TITLE:

Odorant receptors tuned to isothiocyanates in *Drosophila melanogaster* and their evolutionary

expansion in herbivorous relatives

Authors: Teruyuki Matsunaga[1*], Carolina E. Reisenman[2], Benjamin Goldman-Huertas[3], Srivarsha

Rajshekar[2], Hiromu C. Suzuki[2], David Tadres[4], Joshua Wong[5], Matthieu Louis[4], Santiago R. Ramírez[6],

Noah K. Whiteman[2, 3*]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Complexity Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The

University of Tokyo, Chiba, Japan

[2] Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

[3] Department of Integrative Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

[4] Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of California Santa

Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA

[5] The Biochemistry, Cellular and Molecular Biology Graduate Program, The Johns Hopkins University

School of Medicine

[6] Department of Evolution and Ecology, University of California Davis, Davis, CA

[*]Correspondence to: teru.matsu@edu.k.u-tokyo.ac.jp (T.M.) and whiteman@berkeley.edu (N.K.W.)

25 **ABSTRACT:**

26   Plants release complex volatile compounds to attract mutualists, deter herbivores, and deceive

27 pollinators. Here, we used herbivorous specialist flies that feed on mustard plants (*Scaptomyza* spp.) and

28 microbe-feeding species (*Drosophila melanogaster* and *Scaptomyza* spp.) to investigate how plant-

29 derived electrophilic toxins such as isothiocyanates (ITCs) affect insects, and how flies detect these

compounds through olfaction. In survival assays, *D. melanogaster* exposed to volatile allyl isothiocyanate (AITC), a toxin derived from many Brassicales plants, were acutely intoxicated, demonstrating the high toxicity of this volatile compound to non-specialized insects. Through single sensillum recordings (SSR) from olfactory organs and behavioral assays, we found that the Odorant receptor 42a (Or42a) is necessary for AITC detection and behavioral aversion. Comparative transcriptome and RNA FISH studies across the drosophilid genus *Scaptomyza* revealed lineage-specific triplication of *Or42a* in the Brassicales specialists and a doubling of *Or42a*-positive-olfactory sensory neurons. Heterologous expression experiments showed that Or42a paralogs in Brassicales-specialists exhibited broadened sensitivity to ITCs in a paralog-specific manner. Finally, AlphaFold2 modeling followed by site-directed mutagenesis and SSR identified two critical amino acid substitutions that conferred *Or42a* heighten sensitivity to Brassicales-derived ITCs. Our findings suggest that ITCs, which are toxic to most insects, can be detected and avoided by non-specialists like *D. melanogaster* through olfaction. In Brassicales specialists, these same Ors experienced gene duplication events that resulted in an expanded sensitivity to ITC compounds. Thus, the insect's olfactory system can rapidly adapt to toxic ecological niches provided by chemically-defended host plants through co-option of chemosensory capabilities already present in their ancestors.

INTRODUCTION:

Plants have evolved the ability to synthesize a diverse array of potentially toxic specialized metabolites that provide resistance against insect herbivory. In turn, herbivorous insects have evolved diverse morphological, physiological, and behavioral counter-strategies to avoid these chemicals if encountered, or to mitigate their effects if ingested (Mithöfer and Boland 2012). Some herbivores even co-opt these plant toxins as oviposition or feeding stimulants and chemical defenses of their own. For example, monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) larvae evolved target site insensitivity in the sodium potassium ATPase against the cardenolides released from milkweed (*Asclepias* spp.) host plants upon wounding (Dobler et al. 2012). The caterpillars sequester these heart poisons, which serve as effective defenses against predation throughout the life of the insect (Reichstein et al. 1968). Although cardenolides have one major molecular target in insects, some plant toxins are far more promiscuous in their modes of action, which presents a different "evolutionary hurdle" ((Southwood 1972) to herbivores.

For example, to defend themselves against herbivores, Brassicales plants that include mustards

(Brassicaeae) have evolved a sophisticated chemical defense system that produces promiscuous

electrophilic toxins (Ahuja et al. 2010). These plants produce non-toxic glucosinolates from various

amino acid precursors that are hydrolyzed *in planta* to form toxic compounds that include electrophilic

isothiocyanates (ITCs) (Hopkins et al. 2009). ITCs are defined by a R−N=C=S functional group wherein

the carbon is attacked by nucleophiles such as the sulfhydryl groups of cysteines. For example, allyl

isothiocyanate (AITC) is derived from radish [*Raphanus sativus* (Cuellar-Nuñez et al. 2022)], while

butyl isothiocyanate (BITC) and other ITCs bearing similar structures are derived from cabbage

[*Brassica oleracea* (MacLeod et al. 1989)]. The chemical diversity of glucosinolates allows Brassicales

plants to effectively deter a wide array of herbivorous insects because different species have different

mixtures of glucosinolates, making it more difficult for insects to adapt (Winde and Wittstock 2011).

One herbivorous insect lineage that has evolved to cope with more promiscuous toxic plant

metabolites like ITCs are leaf-mining drosophilid flies in the genus *Scaptomyza* (e.g., *S. flava*) which are

specialized primarily on Brassicales plants. *Scaptomyza* species form a clade that is phylogenetically

nested within the paraphyletic *Drosophila* subgenus. Gloss et al. (2014) found that yeast-feeding

Drosophila melanogaster (*D. melanogaster*) uses promiscuous glutathione *S*-transferase (GST) in the

gut to detoxify dietary ITCs released from glucosinolate-bearing Brassicales plants like thale cress

(*Arabidopsis thaliana*), broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*) and wasabi (*Eutrema japonicum*). Further, they

characterized a set of *Scaptomyza*-specific GSTs which experienced rapid gene duplication and non-

synonymous changes during the evolution of herbivory that resulted in among the most efficient GSTs

known from animals in detoxifying ITCs (Gloss et al. 2014; Gloss et al. 2019). Additionally,

Brassicales-feeding *Scaptomyza* flies may minimize their exposure to glucosinolate-derived toxins by

selectively ovipositing on leaves that contain lower glucosinolate concentrations (Humphrey et al.

2016).

Insects can behaviorally avoid toxic chemicals through gustation and olfaction (e.g. (Bernays and

Chapman 1987; Stensmyr et al. 2012; Scott 2018; Chen and Dahanukar 2020; Dweck and Carlson

2020). In *D. melanogaster*, physical contact of ITCs by the tarsi and proboscis induces behavioral

aversion through gustatory receptor cells in those organs that express the nociceptive "wasabi receptor"

TrpA1 and Painless (Al-Anzi et al. 2006; Kang et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2010; Mandel et al. 2018).

Volatile exposure to extracts containing phenethyl isothiocyanate (PITC) from turnip or rutabaga kills

D. melanogaster, suggesting that volatile PITC is toxic to these insects, at biologically meaningful

concentrations (Lichtenstein et al. 1964). Coupled with more recent findings of how volatile allyl

isothiocyanate (AITC) causes aversion in fire ants (*Solenopsis invicta*) (Hashimoto et al. 2019), this

intriguing finding raises the possibility that insects have evolved olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) that

detect plant-derived electrophilic compounds such as ITCs, leading to olfactory-mediated behavioral

avoidance in generalists, as has been found in the gustatory system.

Scaptomyza includes species that are both non-herbivorous (e.g., microbe-feeding) and

herbivorous species (Aguilar et al. 2024). However, the most recent common ancestor of the herbivores

is hypothesized to have been microbe-feeding based on ancestral state reconstruction (Whiteman et al.

2011; Whiteman et al. 2012; Peláez et al. 2023). Of particular interest are the Brassicales plant

specialists *S. flava* and *S. montana*, which are closely related species in the exclusively herbivorous

subgenus *Scaptomyza* of the genus *Scaptomyza* (Kim et al. 2021). Additionally, *Or85d, Or42b, Or9a*

and *Or22a,* which in *D. melanogaster* mediate the olfactory detection of fermentation products of

brewer's yeast and other microbes, have been lost in a stepwise fashion during the evolution of the

herbivory in *Scaptomyza* (Goldman-Huertas et al. 2015). Furthermore, the *S. flava* paralogs of the

antennal odorant receptor Or67b, which in *D. melanogaster* is present as a single copy and responds to

green leaf volatiles such as *trans*-3-hexenol, respond in *S. flava* to a subset of ITCs (Matsunaga et al.

2021). Although there has been some progress, whether and to what extent generalist insects avoid

volatile electrophilic compounds like ITCs on the one hand, and how evolution has reshaped the sensory

receptors of specialists to facilitate both aversion and attraction on the other, is still largely unknown, yet

is a central problem in understanding how organisms invade toxic niches.

We addressed this question in *D. melanogaster* and a set of *Scaptomyza* spp. exhibiting a

gradient in microbe-feeding and plant-feeding habits. We first recapitulated the experiments of

Lichtenstein et al. (1964), but instead of PITC, we used the more widely used AITC and found that *D.*

melanogaster is rapidly killed by exposure to moderate concentrations of volatile AITC, demonstrating

the insecticide potency of this electrophilic compound. This indicated that *D. melanogaster* may have

evolved odorant receptors that respond to and release aversive behaviors toward volatile ITCs. We

found that Or42a, which is expressed in maxillary palp-specific pb1a OSNs, is highly sensitive to AITC

and is necessary for behavioral aversion to this compound in *D. melanogaster*. Furthermore, we

discovered that mustard plant specialist *Scaptomyza* fly species *S. flava* and *S. montana*, but not

microbe-feeding *Scaptomyza* species, have expanded their ITC sensitivity range. This was coupled with

a concomitant increase in the number of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs in *S. flava* and *S. montana*, and with a

triplication of the *Or42a* gene in *S. flava*. We then used site directed mutagenesis and found that via just

two amino acid substitutions, one of these Or42a paralogs in *S. flava* switched its sensitivity from odors

that activate the Or42a of microbe feeders to odors that activate mustard specialists, paralleling the niche

shift of the mustard-feeding *Scaptomyza*. Taken together, these results illustrate how plant-derived

volatile toxins like electrophilic ITCs can negatively impact insect behavior and fitness, how the insect

olfactory system detects them leading to behavioral avoidance, and how host-plant specialization

reshapes the organization and molecular function of toxin-detecting Ors.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Fly Husbandry

Microbe-feeding *D. melanogaster* was reared on cornmeal medium. Microbe-feeding *S. pallida*

(subgenus *Parascaptomyza*) and *S. hsui* (subgenus *Hemiscaptomyza*) were reared in vials of cornmeal

molasses media covered with a mixture of Carolina biological supply instant *Drosophila* media

(Burlington, NC) mixed with blended spinach leaves, and then covered with a layer of defrosted frozen

spinach leaves. The obligate leaf-miners *S. flava* and *S. montana* (subgenus *Scaptomyza*) were cultivated

on potted fresh laboratory-grown *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0. Isofemale lines of microbe feeding *S. hsui*

and *S. pallida*, as well as the herbivorous *S. montana,* were collected along Strawberry Creek on the UC

Berkeley Campus in Berkeley, California, USA (Kim et al. 2021), and a line of *S. flava* was collected in

from a meadow near Dover, New Hampshire, USA. All species were kept at 23 ± 2°C and 60% relative

humidity in a 10L:14D light cycle under fluorescent ballast lights. The following lines (stock #) were

obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center: *Orco* (23130), *Or42a-/-* (60821), *Or42a-Gal4*

(9970), w^{1118} (3605), $TrpA1^1$ (26504), and a genetic background control for the *Or42a$^-$* line (68384). The

Or67d^{Gal4} line was a gift from the laboratory of Barry J. Dickson.

Single sensillum recordings (SSRs)

One to five day-old fed female flies were prepared for Single Sensillum Recording (SSR) as

described in (Matsunaga et al. 2021). Briefly, a silicon tube delivering a constant flow of charcoal-

filtered air (16 ml/min, measured using a flowmeter, Gilmont Instruments, USA) was placed near the

fly's head capsule, and the tip of the stimulation pipette (50 ml) was inserted into the constant air stream.

The stimulation pipette contained a 0.5 cm x 5 cm piece of filter paper loaded with 20 µl of an odorant

solution or the solvent control. A pulse of clean air (duration = 1 sec) was delivered to the stimulus

pipette using a membrane pump operated by a Stimulus Controller CS 55 (Syntech, Buchenbach,

Germany). Sensilla identification was conducted by using the following diagnostic odorants (as

described in (Dweck et al. 2016; Gonzalez et al. 2016); all >95% pure, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO,

USA): ethyl acetate (CAS # 141-78-6) for identifying *D. melanogaster* (*Dmel*) pb1a; AITC (CAS # 57-

06-7) for identifying *Scaptomyza* pb1a-like olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs); 4-ethylguaiacol (CAS#

2785-89-9) for identifying *Dmel* and *Scaptomyza* pb1b-like OSNs; fenchone (CAS# 1195-79-5) for

identifying *Dmel* and *Scaptomyza* pb2a OSNs; guaiacol (CAS# 90-05-1) for identifying *Dmel* and

Scaptomyza pb2b OSNs; phenethyl acetate (CAS# 103-45-7) for identifying *Dmel* pb3b, *Sfla* pb3b, and

Smon pb3a-like OSNs; and 2-heptanone for identifying *Shsu* and *Spal* pb3a-like OSNs. All odorants

were diluted in mineral oil (CAS 8042-47-5) except γ-hexalactone (CAS # 695-06-7), which was

diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO: CAS# 67-68-5). Odorants were diluted to 1/100 vol/vol for

stimulation unless otherwise noted. Supplementary file 1 lists all the chemicals used in this study.

The "net number of spikes/second" was obtained by counting the number of spikes originating

from the OSN of interest within a 0.5-second timeframe which started 0.2 seconds after the onset of

stimulation. This count was then adjusted by subtracting the background spiking activity (# of spikes

within a 0.5 second interval preceding the stimulation), and then doubled to represent the number of

spikes/second. In all figures, unless otherwise stated, we represent the "control-subtracted net # of

spikes/sec" to odorant stimulation, calculated by subtracting the average net # of spikes/sec in response

to the solvent control (mineral oil or DMSO) from the net # of spikes/sec evoked by each odorant

stimulation. The BITC to γ-hexalactone spike ratio (Figure 4F and Figure supplement 10) was calculated

as: [net # of spikes/sec evoked by BITC/ (net # of spikes/sec evoked by BITC + net # of spikes/sec

evoked by γ-hexalactone)]. We used this denominator for the ratio because the control-subtracted net #

of spikes by γ-hexalactone occasionally produced negative values (likely a response to the solvent

control).

The *Or67d*[GAL4] line was used to generate flies expressing *Or42a* homologs in the at1 "empty

neuron" system, as described in (Kurtovic et al. 2007).

Survival assay

　　To investigate the survival of wild-type *D. melanogaster* (Canton-S strain) flies in presence of
AITC volatiles, we used a 9 cm diameter plastic petri dish (Nunc, Denmark) with a piece of fabric mesh
placed horizontally between the base and the lid, which created two chambers (Figure supplement 1A).
The upper chamber housed 8-10 three to five days old male flies, and the lower chamber contained four
5 µl drops of the odor solution (or the solvent control) evenly dispersed. Because the mesh prevented the
flies from reaching the bottom chamber, insects were exposed to the volatile chemicals but could not
directly contact (i.e. taste) the odor solution unless the molecules adhered to the walls of the chamber
after volatilizing. After each test started, we counted the number of flies alive every 10 minutes up to
one hour and calculated the percentage of survival. Flies exhibiting no movement for >30 seconds were
considered dead. AITC or γ-hexalactone were diluted in either mineral oil or DMSO at various
concentrations. Survival data analysis was performed using the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test (Mantel
1966).

Consumption assay

　　Groups of 2-4 days old mated female flies (n=11-15) were wet-starved for 24 hours, and then
transferred to a vial containing a piece of filter paper (2.7 cm diameter, Whatman, cat. No 1001 125)
impregnated with 160 µl of 50 mM D-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) dyed blue with Erioglaucine (0.25
mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) (Reisenman and Scott 2019) (Figure supplement 1B). Flies
were allowed to feed for 15 minutes (10 minutes in tests with γ-hexalactone), vials were frozen (>60
minutes), and the amount of blue dye in the flies' abdomen was scored blind to treatment (see below).
The odor source consisted of a strip of filter paper (0.25 cm wide x 1.5 cm long) impregnated with either
10 µl of an odorant solution (test) or 10 µl of the solvent (control), which was placed inside a container
(1.3 cm long x 0.75 cm diameter) with a meshed bottom affixed to the vial's flug (Figure supplement
1B). This allowed diffusion of odors into the fly vial but prevented flies from contacting the odor source.
Control tests, with vials containing food solution but only the solvent control inside the meshed
container, were conducted in parallel with experimental tests to control for fly cohort and day-to-day
variability.

　　Food consumption was estimated by scoring individual flies in each vial blind to treatment using
the following five-point scale (Reisenman and Scott 2019): 0 (no dye = no food), 0.25 ("trace" of blue

dye), 0.5 (up to ¼ of the abdomen dyed blue), 1 (more than ¼ but less than ½ of the abdomen dyed

blue), and 2 (more than ½ of the abdomen dyed blue). For each vial, a single feeding score value was

calculated as: $[(0 \times n_0 + 0.25 \times n_{0.25} + 0.5 \times n_{0.5} + 1 \times n_1 + 2 \times n_2) / N]$, where $n_{(0-2)}$ denotes the number of

flies in each score category, and N the total number of flies/vial. Each odor vial datapoint was

normalized by dividing the feeding score in presence of odor by the averaged feeding score for the

corresponding day and genotype in absence of odor. Normalized data for each genotype and odor was

compared against the expected median=1 using one sample signed rank tests. That is, medians

significantly less than 1 or more than 1 respectively indicate feeding aversion and enhancement;

medians not different from 1 indicate that the odorant did not reduce neither enhanced consumption.

Normalized data from control and mutant flies were compared using Mann-Whitney U tests. In all cases

results were considered statistically significant if $p<0.05$. The consumption assay data is compiled in

Supplementary file 4.

Positional olfactory assay

To study the olfactory orientation of insects towards odors, we conducted group assays with fed

3-4 days old, mated females (Figure supplement 1C). Flies (n=10-12/test) were briefly anesthetized on

ice and placed in a small piece of clear Tygon tube, capped in both sides with the bottom of a conical

PCR plastic Eppendorf. After another about 3-4 minutes, the Tygon tube with the anesthetized flies was

uncapped and connected to the cut ends of two glass Pasteur pipettes and the assay started; flies usually

resume activity after about three-four minutes. Each of the two opposite ends of the pipettes were

respectively connected (via a small piece of Tygon tube) to a glass vial (capacity: 0.5 ml) containing 10

µl of the odor solution (AITC 1: 500 vol/vol, or γ-hexalactone 1:100 or 1:10 vol/vol) or 10 µl of the

control solvent (mineral oil, or DMSO). Tests with apple cider vinegar used 30 µl instead, and water

was used as a control. The distal ends of the pipettes were separated from the glass vials with a small

piece of fabric mesh, which allowed the odorant to diffuse into the pipette while also preventing insects

from contacting the odor source (Figure supplement 1C). The odor and control sides were switched

between assays. Assays were conducted on a white surface under white light at 22-24 °C, about 2-6

hours after lights on. Once each assay started, the number of flies in the pipette closest to the vial with

the odor (referred to as "odor side" or "odorous tube" for simplicity), in the pipette closest to the vial

with the solvent control ("control" side/tube), and in the Tygon tube that connected both pipettes

(release site) were counted every five minutes until minute 35, and then again at 65 minutes in the case

of tests with AITC. For each assay, we calculated the % of insects that made a choice for one or the other tube as: [(#of insects in the odor side + # of insects in the control side) / total number of insects released)] x 100. The percentage of insects that choose the odorous tube was calculated based on the total number of insects that made a choice as: [# of insects in the odor side / (# of insects in the odor side + # of insects in the control side)] x 100. Assays in which less than 40% of insects made a choice for either side at all time points were discarded (<5% of assays). For each fly genotype and odorant, the % of insects that choose the odor side at each time point was compared against the median value expected under the hypothesis that insects distributed at random between the two tubes (50% of insects in each tube) using one-sample signed rank tests. Thus, the insects either avoid the odorous tube (if median<50%) or prefer it (if median>50%). Also, at each time point and for each odor (and concentration when applicable), the responses of *Or42a-/-* and its genetic background control (Bloomington Stock Center # 68384) were compared via Mann-Whitney U tests. In all cases results were considered significant if p<0.05. The positional olfactory assay data is included in Supplementary file 5.

RNA-sequencing of maxillary palps

Newly emerged adults of *S. flava* and *S. pallida* were collected from our colony and kept in humidified vials with 10% honey water until dissection, to minimize potential differences in nutrition resulting from differences in the two species' larval diet. Three to ten days old flies were anesthetized with CO_2, and their maxillary palps were hand-dissected using forceps. Approximately 100-120 flies were pooled for a single sample. The dissected tissues were directly collected in LB+TGA lysis buffer from Reliaprep RNA Tissue Miniprep System (Promega, USA), and homogenized using a Biomasher Standard homogenizer (Takara Bio Inc., USA) in a dry ice ethanol bath. The sample lysates were stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. Total RNAs were extracted from the lysates using ReliaPrep RNA Tissue Miniprep System (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and quantified using a Qubit RNA High Sensitivity kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Library preparation was performed at the Functional Genomics Laboratory (FGL) at UC Berkeley. Due to the low yields of our maxillary palp-derived total RNAs, cDNA libraries were first produced by Takara SMART-Seq mRNA Ultra-low input RNA kit (Takara Bio Inc., USA) with 8 cycles of PCR for the amplification, and then processed by KAPA HyperPrep kit for DNA (Roche Sequencing, USA) with 9 cycles of PCR for attaching in-house sequencing adapters and index primers. cDNA libraries were then transferred to the Vincent J. Coates

Genomics Sequencing Laboratory (GSL) at UC Berkeley and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000

150 PE S4 flowcell, targeting 25M read pairs per library. For read mapping, we used previously reported

reference genome assemblies and gene annotations from *S. flava* (Peláez et al. 2023) and *S. pallida* (Kim

et al. 2021) for subsequent bioinformatic analyses. Raw RNA-seq reads were filtered using Fastp

v0.21.0 (Chen et al. 2018) and mapped to the respective reference genomes using STAR v2.7.1a (Dobin

et al. 2013) to generate multiple alignment (BAM) files, which were then converted to read count data

using HTseq v0.9.1 (Anders et al. 2015). Count data for the Or gene family were converted to RPM

(reads per million); data is compiled in Supplementary file 6.

HCR RNA FISH

One-to-four-day-old female *S. flava* and *S. pallida* were collected and anesthetized with CO_2.

Whole mouthparts were removed proximal to the attachment of the maxillary palps with Inox 5 forceps

(Fine Science Tools, Foster City, CA) on a Sylgard-covered petri dish. Mouthparts from each species were

immediately placed in 2 mL of fixative (4% vol/vol paraformaldehyde in 1x phosphate buffered saline

with 3% vol/vol Triton X-100 added, PBST) in a LoBind Eppendorf tube, and fixed for 22 hours at 4°C

on a nutator.

Fixative was carefully pipetted out from samples and replaced with 2 mL of cold (4°C) 3% PBST,

and tubes were agitated on a nutator for 5 minutes. This step was repeated once more and then again with

cold 0.1% PBST; samples were then washed with 1 mL of cold 0.1% PBST 4 times and agitated on a

nutator (5 min for each wash). PBST was removed and replaced with 300 µL of warm (37°C) HCR RNA

FISH probe hybridization buffer (Molecular Instruments, Inc., Los Angeles, CA) and incubated for 30

minutes. The probe hybridization solution was prepared by adding 5µL of 1µM of probe B1-*Spal Or42a*

and probe B2-*Spal Orco* (or probe B1-*Sfla Or42a* and probe B2-*Sfla Orco*) to 300 µL of pre-heated probe

hybridization buffer for the *S. pallida* or the *S. flava* tissues and kept at 37°C. Finally, the buffer was

replaced with the preincubated probes in hybridization buffer and kept at 37°C for 22 hours.

Samples were next washed 3 times with 500 µL of preheated (37°C) probe wash buffer for 5 min

on a heating block. Ten µL of 3µM amplification hairpins one and two of b1-488 and b2-637 HCR

amplifiers (Molecular Instruments, Inc., Los Angeles, CA) were snap cooled on a thermocycler at 95°C

for 90 secs and then at 20°C for 30 min, and then covered with aluminum foil. Samples were washed 3

times with 500 µL of 0.75M NaCl, 75mM sodium citrate and 0.1% Tween-20 vol/vol (SSCT) at room

temperature for 5 minutes, and then incubated in 500 µL amplification buffer (Molecular Instruments,

Inc., Los Angeles, CA) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Snap cooled hairpins were added to two vials containing 300 µL of amplification buffer for each species. The amplification buffer was removed from the samples and replaced with the hairpin solution; tubes were covered in aluminum foil and incubated at room temperature for 22 hours.

Samples were washed twice with 500 µL of SSCT solution for 5 minutes, twice for 30 minutes, and finally washed again for 5 minutes (vials were kept on a nutator in between washes). Samples were then stained with 300 nM DAPI stain in 0.1% PBST for 15 minutes, and then washed 3 times with 0.1% PBST for 5 minutes. Tissues were transferred to a microscope slide and mounted in a drop of ProLong diamond antifade mounting (Life Technologies Corp., Eugene, OR) and stored at 4°C until examination. Confocal imaging of fixed samples was performed using a Zeiss LSM 880 microscope in the AiryScan mode. Raw images were processed using Zeiss ZEN Black software. *Orco*-positive cells (visualized with the 488 nm laser) and *Or42a*-positive cells (visualized with the 633 nm laser) were manually counted using the "Cell Counter" plugin in Fiji (ImageJ) software. Supplementary file 7 contains cell counts of RNA FISH.

Scaptomyza Or42a gene cloning and generation of *UAS* lines

RNA was isolated from 15-25 days laboratory-reared adults of both sexes of *S. pallida* and *S. flava* using the ReliaPrep Miniprep system (Promega, W.I., USA). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using the qScript cDNA Supermix (Quantabio, Beverly, MA, USA). The paralogs were amplified via touchdown PCR, using primers that target the highly variable regions of the 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs). The single *Or42a* gene of *S. pallida* was amplified using touchdown PCR with primers targeting the coding region (Q5 DNA Polymerase, #M0491, NEB) (Supplementary file 8). All PCR products underwent gel purification (11-300C, Zymo Research) and were subsequently cloned using the Gibson Assembly (E2611S, NEB) following the manufacturer's instructions into the UAST-attB vector [DGRC Stock 1419; RRID:DGRC1419, (Bischof et al. 2007)]. The ligation products were transformed into DH5α competent cells (T3007, Zymo Research). After confirming the sequences, the 5' and 3' UTRs of the plasmids containing the *S. flava Or42a* paralogs were removed. This was achieved by first amplifying the coding region using PCR, followed by gel purification and ligation back into UAST-attB vector via Gibson Assembly. The resultant plasmids were sequence-verified. Finally, these plasmids were microinjected into the attP40 site (P{nos-phiC31\int.NLS}X, P{CaryP}attP40, line

25709, Rainbowgene) to create transgenic lines for *Spal Or42a*, *Sfla Or42a2*, *Sfla Or42a3* and *Sfla*
Or42a4. All lines were confirmed by sequencing prior to experiments.

Screen of candidate amino acids using branch-site test and Colabfold 3D prediction
CDS of *Sfla Or42a3* and *Sfla Or42a4* were confirmed by palp RNAseq data using IGV_2.16.0.
CDSs of *Sfla Or42a3* and *Sfla Or42a4* were then used as inputs into ColabFold (Jumper et al. 2021;
Mirdita et al. 2022). Then the output models ranked first (rank1) were selected, visualized, and 3D-
aligned by using PyMol2.5.3. Since previous studies suggested that the binding pockets of odorant
receptors are located in the transmembrane region (Butterwick et al. 2018; Del Mármol et al. 2021; Zhao
et al. 2024), in silico substitutions of amino acids were individually conducted on *Sfla Or42a3*. The
resulting amino acid sequences were then re-inputted into ColabFold, the model with rank1 was
selected, and the sequences were 3D-aligned with *Sfla* Or42a4. This process was repeated until the 3D
structures of transmembrane regions between the chimera and *Sfla* Or42a4 matched in PyMol space. All
pdb files used in this study are included in Supplementary file 9.

Site-directed mutagenesis
Conventional PCR was conducted using plasmids of *Sfla Or42a3* as backbone (Q5 DNA
polymerase, #M0491, NEB; Supplemental File 1). Primers were designed to introduce the point
mutations (Supplementary File 1). The PCR product underwent gel purification (11-300C, Zymo
Research) and the methylated plasmids were digested with DpnI for 1 hour at 37°C (QuickChange,
Agilent Technology, USA). Ligation was performed by incubation at 16°C for 30 minutes (DNA
ligation kit Mighty mix, Takara, Japan) and the products were transformed into DH5α competent cells
(Takara, Japan). After confirming the sequence, the plasmid was microinjected into the attP40 site
(P{nos-phiC31\int.NLS}X, P{CaryP}attP40, line # 25709, Rainbowgene) to create transgenic A181D
S307P fly. The line was confirmed by sequencing prior to experiments.

RESULTS

Volatile AITC rapidly kills *D. melanogaster*.
We conducted survival assays with various concentrations of volatile AITC (from 1/500 to 1/2.5
vol/vol). In our experimental setup, a fabric mesh separated the chamber containing the flies from the

chamber containing one of the ITC solutions (Figure supplement 1A) and therefore, flies were exposed

to volatile AITC but could not contact (i.e. taste) the AITC solution directly. While all animals in both

the control treatment and those exposed to AITC 1:250 and 1:500 vol/vol survived, most flies exposed

to AITC concentrations ≥ 1/50 vol/vol became paralyzed (highly intoxicated or dead) within 10 minutes

(Figure 1A, log-rank Mantel-Cox tests, $p<0.0001$). Thus, this rapid immobilization indicates that plant-

derived electrophilic compounds like AITC can have a strong negative effect on fly survival, consistent

with previous findings using volatile PITC (Lichtenstein et al. 1964).

Volatile AITC is detected by Or42a in *D. melanogaster*

The high lethality caused by volatile AITC indicates that this volatile had the potential to be

detected by activating the fly's olfactory system, and that this could provide a fitness benefit if these

volatile toxins were then behaviorally avoided. To investigate this, we conducted exhaustive single

sensillum recordings (SSR) from the fly's olfactory organs, the antennae and maxillary palps, upon

stimulation with volatile AITC. Several OSNs showed excitatory responses to AITC, but OSNs in palp

basiconic (pb) sensilla (pb1a) were the most strongly activated (Figure 1 B-C, 113.8 ± 10.4 spikes/sec

from pb1a, n=8). Because previous studies reported that *D. melanogaster* pb1a OSNs express *Or42a*

(Couto et al. 2005), we investigated whether AITC responses in pb1a OSNs are indeed mediated by

Or42a. OSNs in pb1 sensilla from control flies showed strong responses to volatile AITC (Figure 1D,

Canton-S flies: 119.3 ± 10.8 spikes/sec, n=9; w^{1118} flies: 126.7 ± 9.8 spikes/sec, n=6). In contrast,

ONSs in pb1 sensilla from *Or42a* null mutant flies showed no response (-1.6 ± 1.74 spikes/sec, n=6;

Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison, $p<0.05$ in both cases), suggesting that Or42a

detects volatile AITC in these sensilla. Furthermore, OSNs in pb1a of *TrpA1* null mutant flies still

responded to AITC, suggesting that the contact chemoreceptor TrpA1 is not necessary for maxillary

palp olfactory detection of this compound (Figure 1D, 152.7 ± 9.1 spikes/sec, n=6; $p>0.05$).

Volatile AITC repels *D. melanogaster* via Or42a

Next, we examined if activation of OSNs housing Or42a play a role at the behavioral level

(whole organism). Previous studies have shown that the presence of food-related odorants increase sugar

consumption in *D. melanogaster* (Reisenman and Scott, 2019). Based on this, we hypothesized that the

presence of aversive odorants such as AITC would decrease sugar consumption. To investigate this

hypothesis, we conducted consumption assays. In brief, groups of 10-15 food-deprived female flies were

offered 50 mM glucose water solution dyed blue in the presence or absence of volatile AITC (1:500

vol/vol loaded on filter paper), such as that flies could smell, but not contact, the AITC solution (Figure

supplement 1B). After 15 minutes flies were frozen and then the amount of blue dye in the abdomen of

each fly within a vial was quantified blind to treatment; these values were used to calculate a single

feeding score/vial (see materials and methods). We found that genetic background control flies and

wild-type Canton-S flies fed less when volatile AITC was present than in presence of the solvent control

(one-sample signed rank test on normalized data, p<0.001, n=16; Figure 1E). Although mutant flies still

fed less in presence than in absence of AITC volatiles (p<0.05, n=14), the reduction in consumption was

lower than that of the genetic control group (median normalized feeding scores: 0.35 and 0.83 in control

and mutant flies, respectively; p<0.005, Mann-Whitney U test). These results indicate that Or42a

mediates aversion to AITC in this behavioral context.

Additionally, we conducted a positional olfactory assay based on Ohashi and Sakai (2015), with

various modifications to prevent flies from physically contacting the odor source (Figure supplement

1C). Groups of 10-12 female flies were briefly ice-anesthetized and released at the juncture between the

two glass pipettes, allowing flies to choose between the pipette closest to the odor source (hereafter

referred to as "odorous side/tube", for simplicity) and the pipette closest to the solvent (control

side/odorless tube; Figure supplement 1C). The number of flies in each tube as well as in the middle

section where flies were released was counted every five minutes up to minute 35, and then again at 65

minutes in tests with AITC. We first confirmed that flies can show normal olfactory-guided behavior in

this behavioral set-up using apple cider vinegar, a well-established olfactory attractant (Semmelhack and

Wang 2009; Becher et al. 2010). Canton-S flies preferred the odorous tube from minute 20 onwards

(one-sample signed rank tests, p<0.05 in all cases, p=0.09 at minute 15, n=21). We then tested whether

and to what degree AITC causes olfactory repellence in this behavioral context. Genetic control flies and

wild-type Canton-S flies avoided the AITC tube at various time points (25, 30, 35 and 65 minutes in

genetic controls, one-sample signed rank tests, p<0.005 in all cases, n=15 Figure 1F; and from 25

minutes onwards in Canton-S, p<0.05 in all cases, n=19;), while *Or42a-/-* mutants only avoided the

AITC tube at the 65 minutes time point (p<0.005). These findings suggest that *Or42a* plays a crucial

role in mediating olfactory-driven behavioral aversion to AITC. Similar percentages of genetic control

and *Or42a-/-* mutants choose one or the other tube at all time points (the average of medians over all

time points were 79.7% and 82.5%, respectively; Mann-Whitney U tests, p>0.05 at all-time points;

Figure supplement 2C), suggesting that *Or42a-/-* mutants are as active as wild-type flies in presence of

AITC. We also confirmed that *Or42a-/-* mutants are capable of odor-mediated olfactory orientation. We

conducted dual-choice trap assays offering apple cider vinegar vs. water as described in Matsunaga et al.

(2019). About 50% (median) of flies released in each test (n=8, n=20 females/test) were trapped and of

these, 100% (median) were found in the odor-baited trap (Wilcoxon-matched pairs test, p<0.005, n=8).

This result confirms that the lack of behavioral aversion to AITC in *Or42a-/-* was not due to generalized

olfactory defect.

We also tested whether the *Or42a* olfactory circuit can *per se* mediate olfactory avoidance of

volatile AITC (Figure 1G). We conducted positional assays with *Orco* null mutant flies in which we

rescued the olfactory function specifically in the *Or42a* circuit. While the two parental control groups

(UAS-Orco, n=15, and Or42a-Gal4, n=16) distributed at random between the odorous and the control

tubes at all time points (one sample signed rank tests, p>0.05 in all cases), rescued flies (Or42a-Gal4>

UAS-Orco, n=13) significantly avoided the AITC tube from 15 minutes onwards (p<0.05 at 15, 20 and

25 minutes, p<0.001 at 30 and 35 minutes; tests stopped at 35 minutes). These results support the

hypothesis that Or42a OSNs in pb1a alone are sufficient to induce aversion to AITC.

It has been reported that Or42a mediates behavioral attraction towards fruit/fermentation

volatiles, including γ-hexalactone (Dweck et al. 2016). Thus, we used this odorant to test whether our

behavioral assays yielded results consistent with these previous findings. In consumption assays lasting

10 minutes, Canton-S flies increased their feeding in the presence of volatile γ-hexalactone 1:50 vol/vol

(normalized median=1.65, n=25, one-sample rank test, p=0.001), but this effect was lost in *Or42a-/-*

mutants (normalized median=0.89, n=24, p=0.5; Figure Supplement 2A). In the positional olfactory

assay, a larger proportion of genetic control flies than of *Or42a-/-* mutants choose either tube in

presence of 1:10 vol/vol γ-hexalactone (Figure Supplement 2D, range of medians for all time points:

91% and 50-89% in control and mutant flies, respectively; Mann-Whitney U tests, p<0.05 in all cases),

suggesting that the presence of the odor increases exploratory activity in control flies. Moreover, control

flies and wild-type Canton-S flies showed attraction to 1:10 vol/vol γ-hexalactone (at 20 and 30 minutes

in genetic control, n=19, and at 5, 10, and 15 minutes in Canton-S, n=12; one-sample signed rank tests,

p<0.05 in all cases), but this attraction was lost in *Or42a-/-* mutants (Figure supplement 1B). These

behavioral attraction phenotypes are consistent with the results reported by Dweck et al. (2017), and

with our findings showing that volatile γ-hexalactone did not negatively affect survival (Figure

supplement 1E).

Given that we found that Or42a participates in both mediating repellence to AITC and attraction

to γ-hexalactone, we next tested whether these two odorants activate additional OSNs. Through

exhaustive SSR from antennae and maxillary palps upon stimulation with γ-hexalactone, we found that

pb1a OSNs was the only olfactory sensillum activated by γ-hexalactone (Figure supplement 2F), while

AITC activated several additional OSN types (e.g. ab4a; Figure 1C). In sum, our data suggested that

activation of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs, along with other Or-expressing OSNs likely mediates behavioral

aversion, whereas the simultaneous activation of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs and other yet uncharacterized

olfactory circuits involving e.g. Ionotropic receptors (Benton et al. 2009) would mediate behavioral

attraction.

Pb1a-like OSNs in Brassicales-specialists evolved broadened sensitivity to isothiocyanates (ITCs)

We next investigated how the evolutionary transitions from microbe-feeding to herbivory have

affected OSNs, using the reference species *D. melanogaster* as well as species within *Scaptomyza*: the

microbivorous *S. hsui* and *S. pallida,* and the herbivorous Brassicales specialists *S. flava* and *S. montana*

(Kim et al. 2021; Peláez et al. 2023). We investigated whether *Scaptomyza* species have pb1-like

sensilla homologous to *D. melanogaster* pb1 and if so, the extent to which they respond to a broader

range of ITC compounds, since Brassicales plants release ITCs upon wounding (MacLeod et al. 1989;

Cuellar-Nuñez et al. 2022).

We first validated our methods for functional characterization of sensilla in *D. melanogaster*. We

used compounds that serve as diagnostic for the three sensilla types found in the maxillary palps of *D.

melanogaster* (see methods for details). In addition, we used as stimuli *trans*-2-hexenal, 2-hexanol,

methyl salicylate, 2-heptanone and phenethyl alcohol, and Brassicales-derived isothiocyanates (ITCs)

including allyl ITC (AITC), isobutyl ITC (IBITC), butyl ITC (BITC), sec-butyl ITC (SBITC), and

phenethyl ITC (PITC). Using the diagnostic odorants, we confirmed the presence of three different types

of palp sensilla (pb1, pb2 and pb3) in *D. melanogaster*. We also found that *Dmel* pb1a OSNs were

activated by AITC (128.3 ± 10.8 spikes/sec, n=9), but not by the other ITCs tested (<10 spikes/sec,

Figure 2).

We next characterized OSNs in pb sensilla in four *Scaptomyza* species and used the spike rate to

determine if data clustered by sensilla type (Figure 2, Figure supplement 3A). In all species, the sensilla

fell into three functional classes similar to *Dmel* pb1, pb2 and pb3, which we termed pb1-like, pb2-like,

and pb3-like. The response profiles of *Scaptomyza* pb3-like OSNs were different from those of *Dmel*

pb3, likely because the homologs of *Or59c* and *Or85d*, which are respectively expressed in *Dmel* pb3a

and p3b, are unidentified in the genomes of *Scaptomyza* (Goldman-Huertas et al. 2015). We found two

types of pb1-like sensilla in the four *Scaptomyza* species, each of which exhibited an odorant tuning

pattern similar to that of *Dmel* pb1a. However, only one subset of *Scaptomyza* pb1b-like sensilla

exhibited an odorant tuning pattern similar to that of *Dmel* pb1b, while the other subset showed

subthreshold activity and thus was excluded from analysis. As in *Dmel*, AITC activated pb1a-like

sensilla in all four *Scaptomyza* species (Figure 2). Notably, several other ITCs, including IBITC, BITC,

and SBITC, additionally activated *S. flava* (*Sfla*) and *S. montana* (*Smon*) pb1a-like OSNs (the average #

of spikes/second ranged from 30.3 to 107.3 across ITCs in *Sfla* pb1a-like, and 48.3 to 110.3 in *Smon*

pb1a-like, n=6/ITC and species; Figure 2). Methyl salicylate activated all *Scaptomyza* pb2b-like sensilla

but not *Dmel* pb2b (the average spike rates across *Scaptomyza* pb1a-like ranged from 70 to 110.7

spikes/second, n=6-7, compared to 11.6 ± 4.4 spikes/second for *Dmel* pb2b, n=9; Figure 2) and

interestingly, this compound is a known *S. flava* volatile attractant in natural settings (Orre et al. 2010).

Furthermore, the odorant response profiles of OSNs in pb3-like sensilla were more similar between the

more distantly related species *S. hsui* and *S. pallida,* than between the more closely related species *S.*

pallida and *S. flava,* or *S. pallida* and *S. montana.* For example, *trans*-2-hexenal activated *Shsu* and *Spal*

OSNs in pb3a-like sensilla but not OSNs of *Sfla* or *Smon* in pb3a-like sensilla, while phenethyl acetate

activated *Sfla* and *Smon* OSNs in pb3a-like but not those of *Shsu* or *Spal* pb3a-like sensilla (Figure

supplement 3B-C). Thus, niche differences rather than phylogenetic distance may explain the functional

divergence between OSNs in maxillary palp sensilla in these *Scaptomyza* species.

Brassicales specialists have a higher number of pb1-like sensilla.

We next generated anatomical maps of sensilla on the anterior part of the maxillary palps of the

species of *Scaptomyza* and of *D. melanogaster* for comparison, using diagnostic chemicals (Figures 3A,

Figure supplement 4, Supplementary file 10; see methods for more detail). Interestingly, while *D.*

melanogaster had a relatively randomized distribution of sensilla on the palps, consistent with previous

reports (de Bruyne et al. 1999), all four *Scaptomyza* species exhibited a more organized sensilla pattern,

with pb1-like, pb2-like, and pb3-like respectively located medially, distally, and proximally as was

indicated in *D. mojavensis* (Crowley-Gall et al. 2016).

The proportions of each type of palp sensilla varied within and between species (Figure 3A and

Figure supplement 4). *S. flava* had a larger number of pb1-like sensilla (22.3 ± 1.5, average $\pm$ SEM) than

of pb2-like (3.7 ± 0.3) or pb3-like sensilla (12.3 ± 1.2; ANOVA with Holm-Šídák's multiple

comparisons test, $p<0.0001$ and $p<0.001$). *S. montana* also had a higher number of pb1-like sensilla (17

± 2.3 pb1-like, 6.3 ± 1.2 pb2-like and 5.6 ± 0.7 pb3-like sensilla; $p<0.01$ in both cases). In contrast, *D.*

melanogaster and the other two *Scaptomyza* species had similar numbers of each sensilla type (range:

5.6-7.3 pb sensilla in *D. melanogaster*, 4.3-6.6 in *S. hsui*, and 7.3-10.7 in *S. pallida;* $p>0.05$ for the three

species). These findings suggest an expansion of pb1-like sensilla in Brassicales specialists over the

course of evolution. Moreover, *S. flava* and *S. montana* had an overall larger number of olfactory

sensilla on the palps (38.3 ± 0.3 and 29 ± 2.1 pb sensilla, respectively) compared to *D. melanogaster* (20

± 1.2)*, S. hsui* (15.3 ± 0.9), and *S. pallida* (26.3 ± 3.0) (Figure 3A). The largest number of palp sensilla

in *S. flava* correlated with the larger size of their palps within *Scaptomyza*: the surface area of one palp

of *S. flava* was 3.57 ± 0.32 mm^2, while that of *S. hsui* and *S. pallida* were respectively 2.37 ± 0.23 mm^2

and 2.00 ± 0.18 mm^2; the surface area of the maxillary palp of *D. melanogaster* was 2.99 ± 0.41 mm^2

(Figure supplement 5). Taken together, these results show that Brassicales plant specialists have a higher

proportion of pb1-like sensilla compared to microbe-feeding *Drosophila* and *Scaptomyza*, suggesting

that Brassicales plant specialist *Scaptomyza* species may have enhanced capacity to detect volatile ITCs.

Sfla Or42a is triplicated in the genome of *S. flava* and is highly expressed in the maxillary palps.

We next investigated the expression of *Or* genes in the OSNs of sensilla in the maxillary palps

across some of the species of interest. Initially, we scanned the known genome sequences of species in

the genus *Scaptomyza* and found a duplication of *Or42a* in the lineage leading to all known *Scaptomyza*,

while the *D. melanogaster* outgroup had a single *Or42a* homolog (Figure supplement 6). Interestingly,

within *Scaptomyza*, *S. flava* exhibited serial triplicates in one of the *Or42a* duplicates, which we named

Or42a2, *Or42a3*, and *Or42a4* (Figure 3B and Figure supplement 6), whereas *S. montana* retained only

two paralogs, like *S. hsui* and *S. pallida*.

To determine the extent of *Or* gene expression, including that of the paralogs of *Or42a*s in the

Scaptomyza maxillary palps, we conducted species- and sex-specific RNA transcriptome analyses of *S.*

pallida and *S. flava* (Figure 3C; Figure supplement 7). We confirmed the expression of *Spal Or42a* and

Sfla Or42a2-4 in the palps. Interestingly, the *Sfla Or42a* paralogs were each expressed at levels

comparable to those of other *Or* genes, such as *Or33c*, the homolog of which in *D. melanogaster* is

expressed in OSNs of pb2a sensilla (see below). Furthermore, we confirmed the expression of homologs

Or71a (expressed in OSNs of *Dmel* pb1b), and of *Or33c/Or85e* and *Or46a* (expressed in OSNs of *Dmel*

pb2a and pb2b) in both *Spal* and *Sfla* palps. Only *Spal Or42a2* and *Sfla Or42a2-4* were expressed in the

OSNs of their respective palp sensilla (pb1-like), whereas *Spal Or42a1* and *Sfla Or42a1* were not.

Or85d and *Or59c* were respectively expressed in OSNs of *Dmel* pb3a and pb3b but the homologs were

unidentified in the genomes of *Scaptomyza* (Goldman-Huertas et al., 2015). Instead, *Or59a1, Or67a1,*

Or67a2, and *OrN2a* were strongly expressed in OSNs of both *Spal* and *Sfla,* suggesting that these

homologs may be expressed in pb3a or pb3b (Figure 3C). In summary, potential conservation of *Or*

expression in pb1 and in pb2 sensilla, and the difference in *Or* expression in OSNs of pb3 between

Drosophila and *Scaptomyza,* may reflect the similarities and differences in their odorant response

profiles (See Figure 2).

Brassicales specialists have more abundant *Or42a*-positive-OSNs OSNs.

Our transcriptome analysis revealed high expression of *Or42a* triplicates in *Sfla* maxillary palps

(Figure 3C), which could be due to high expression of *Or42a* in individual cells and/or a higher number

of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs. To distinguish between these possibilities, we quantified the number of

Or42a-positive-OSNs in the maxillary palps of *Sfla* and *Spal* using hybridization chain reaction RNA

fluorescent in situ hybridization (HCR RNA FISH). Due to the high sequence similarity between the

paralogs, we designed an RNA probe based on the conserved sequence region of *Sfla Or42a2-4* to

visualize all *Or42a2-4* paralog^{+} cells in *S. flava.* Similarly, we designed the *Spal Or42a2* probe to

compare the expression of homologs in the maxillary palps of *Sfla* and *Spal* (Figure 3B).

HCR RNA FISH analysis revealed that the maxillary palps of *S. flava* contained more *Or42a*-

positive-OSNs than those of *S. pallida,* as well as more *Orco*-positive-OSNs (*Or42a*-positive-OSNs:

34.2 ± 1.53 in *S. flava* vs 13.8 ± 0.74 in *S. pallida*, average $\pm$ SEM; *Orco*-positive-OSNs: $96.4 \pm$

1.91 in *S. flava* vs 53.2 ± 3.00 in *S. pallida*, Mann Whitney U-tests, $p<0.01$ in both cases, n=5/species,

Figure 3D-E). Additionally, the ratio of the total number of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs to the total number of

Orco-positive-OSNs was higher in *S. flava* (35.6 $\pm$ 1.83 % in *S. flava* vs 26.2 $\pm$ 1.86 % in *S. pallida*,

p<0.01, Figure 3F). This indicates that *S. flava* not only has more *Or42a*-positive-OSNs and *Orco*-

positive-OSNs, but also a greater proportion of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs relative to the overall number of

OSNs in the maxillary palps.

Paralog-specific functional evolution of Or42a.

We next investigated the functional evolution of *Or42a* triplicates in *S. flava*. To do this, we

expressed *Dmel Or42a, Spal Or42a2,* and *Sfla Or42a2-4* in *Dmel* antennal trichoid 1 (at1) OSNs in the

background of a null mutation for *Or67d*, the at1 cognate receptor (Kurtovic et al. 2007). We then

conducted SSR from at1 using six different odorant stimuli at 1/100 vol/vol: *trans*-2-hexenal, AITC,

IBITC, BITC, SBITC, and γ-hexalactone. We found that γ-hexalactone, *trans*-2-hexenal, and AITC

strongly activated OSNs expressing *Dmel* Or42a (range: 79-110 spikes/second) or *Spal* Or42a2 (range:

96-205 spikes/sec; Figure 4A-B), while IBITC, BITC and SBITC evoked much weaker responses from

these two paralogs (respectively 4.3-11 spikes/sec and 18.3-42.3 spikes/sec). In contrast, OSNs

expressing *Sfla* Or42a3 or *Sfla* Or42a4 were very sensitive to IBITC and BITC (range: 87-96.7

spikes/sec in *Sfla* Or42a3; 46-77.7 spikes/sec in *Sfla* Or42a4), which is consistent with the response

profiles of OSNs from wild-type *Sfla* pb1a (Figure 2). Notably, OSNs expressing *Sfla* Or42a4 showed

only small responses to γ-hexalactone. *Sfla* Or42a2 was only activated by AITC. These results align

with the niche difference between microbe-feeding flies and Brassicales specialists. Moreover, our

findings reveal the paralog-specific functional evolution of Or42a triplicates in *S. flava*, as different

paralogs have evolved distinct sensitivities to various ITC chemicals and fruit odors.

We also stimulated OSNs in pb1a (or pb1a-like) sensilla from the microbe-feeding species (*D.*

melanogaster, *S. hsui* and *S. pallida*) and the Brassicales specialists (*S. flava* and *S. montana*) with

various concentrations of odorants from 10^{-5} to 10^{-2} vol/vol. We calculated the odorant concentration

required to elicit a biological response halfway between the baseline and the maximum (50% effective

concentration values, EC_{50}) (Figure supplement 8). The EC_{50} values for γ-hexalactone were consistently

lower in the microbe-feeding species in comparison with the Brassicales specialists, indicating a lower

sensitivity to γ-hexalactone in the latter. All species had similar EC_{50} values for AITC. OSNs expressing

Sfla pb1a exhibited a lower EC_{50} value for *trans*-2-hexenal compared to those expressing *Smon* pb1a

and the other microbe-feeding species. This heightened sensitivity to this general leaf odor in OSNs of

Sfla pb1a aligns with its potentially broader host range, as *S. flava* can be reared on plants from other

lineages in addition to Brassicales, such as Fabaceae or even Caryophyllaceae in New Zealand (Martin

2004)Maca 1972), whereas *S. montana* appears to be more restricted to Brassicaceae within the

Brassicales.

AlphaFold2-led screening with ectopic expression of *Sfla* Or42a reveals the molecular changes
underlying changes in odor sensitivity

We investigated which amino acid substitutions in *Sfla* O42a3 lead to the respective gain and

loss of sensitivity to BITC and γ-hexalactone in *Sfla* Or42a4 (there are total of 32 amino acid differences

between *Sfla* Or42a3 and *Sfla* Or42a4, Figure supplement 9A). For this, we predicted the 3D structures

of *Sfla* Or42a3 and *Sfla* Or42a4 in the cell membrane and aligned them in 3D space using PyMol, and

checked the overlap between Ors (Jumper et al. 2021; Mirdita et al. 2022; Benton and Himmel 2023;

Himmel et al. 2023).

One of the most striking differences in 3D structures between *Sfla* Or42a3 and *Sfla* Or42a4 was

observed in the S5 and S6 helices in the transmembrane region, which are reported to contain the ligand

binding pockets (Figure 4C and Figure supplement 9B; (Del Mármol et al. 2021; Zhao et al. 2024). We

therefore substituted each of the 32 amino acids in *Sfla Or42a4* individually with the corresponding

residues from *Sfla* Or42a3 *in silico,* predicted the 3D structures of the chimeras, and aligned them with

Sfla Or42a4 in 3D space until the local structural differences were resolved. The substitutions of A181D

and S307P in *Sfla* Or42a3 (hereafter referred to as A181D S307P) resolved the structural differences and

overlapped with *Sfla* Or42a4 in 3D space (Figure 4C and Figure Supplement 9B).

We then investigated whether these two amino acid substitutions could account for the *Sfla*

Or42a4 enhanced sensitivity to BITC and reduced sensitivity to γ-hexalactone, in comparison with *Sfla*

Or42a3. Using the *D. melanogaster* at1 empty neuron system, we functionally characterized the A181D

S307P variant, and found that the BITC to γ-hexalactone response ratio (net number of spikes evoked by

BITC divided by the sum of the net number of spikes evoked by BITC and γ-hexalactone) was greater

than *Sfla* Or42a3 and A181D S307P (Figure 4E-F; Mann-Whitney U test, p<0.001), but not between

Sfla Or42a4 and A181D S307P (p>0.05). This suggests that the two amino acid substitutions are

sufficient to increase the BITC to γ-hexalactone response ratio, by respectively increasing and reducing

the sensitivity to BITC and γ-hexalactone in *Sfla* Or42a4. This effect was observed in flies carrying the

heterozygous genotype A181D S307P/+ but not in flies with the homozygous A181D S307P genotype

(Compare Figure 4E-F with Figure supplement 10). Given that the focal gene is more highly expressed

in homozygous flies than in heterologous flies, it is possible that the OSNs' response to both BITC and

γ-hexalactone reached saturation in homozygous flies, obscuring further differences in spike ratios. In

summary, our findings demonstrate that A181D and S307P substitutions are critical for shifting the

receptor's sensitivity from fruit odorants to ITCs.

DISCUSSION:

In this study, we discovered that plant-derived ITCs have a detrimental effect on survival of *D.*

melanogaster through volatile exposure. We then found that volatile ITCs are detected by Or42a, which

is expressed in the maxillary palps, and that this Or is necessary for the behavioral aversion behavior to

volatile ITCs. To our knowledge, Or42a is the first known ITC olfactory detector in *D. melanogaster*.

Thus, these results suggest that Or42a works in combination with the "wasabi" taste receptor" TrpA1

and Painless to facilitate adaptive behavioral avoidance of these chemicals in *D. melanogaster* (Al-Anzi

et al. 2006; Kang et al. 2010; Mandel et al. 2018). We also conducted molecular evolutionary analyses,

site-directed mutagenesis, and functional assays to uncover the molecular mechanisms by which the

Brassicales specialist *S. flava* evolved paralogous copies of the homologous *Or42a* genes that we found

respond to a broad range of electrophilic compounds emitted by their host plants. Overall, our findings

provide new insight into the olfactory mechanisms that allow generalist insects to avoid lethal volatile

toxins and into the rapid evolution of an expanded set of more finely tuned *Or*s in specialist insects that

detect these volatile host-plant signals.

Plant-derived volatile AITC is toxic to *D. melanogaster* and its detection and avoidance is

mediated by *Or42a*-positive-OSNs

Plants have evolved a diverse array of specialized metabolites that repel or intoxicate insects

(Ibanez et al. 2012). Among these, plant-derived electrophilic compounds, including *trans*-2-hexenal

and ITCs, are highly reactive (Noge and Becerra 2015; Tocmo et al. 2021). For example, AITC derived

from wasabi and other mustard and Brassicales plants causes a highly pungent sensation in humans (Bell

et al. 2018). Additionally, ITCs exert toxic effects acutely on insects when volatilized as well

(Lichtenstein et al. 1964). Here, we found that volatile AITC also exerts a dose-dependent detrimental

effect on *D. melanogaster* adults (Figure 1A). As little as 10 minutes of exposure of low to moderate

concentrations of volatile AITC is sufficient to immobilize flies, underscoring the high toxicity of this

compound. These findings are consistent with previous findings showing that ingestion of AITC

decreases fly survival (Zimmermann et al. 2024) and with earlier studies on the toxic effect of volatile

PITC (Lichtenstein et al. 1964).

While TrpA1 pain receptors in *D. melanogaster* mediate the detection of ITCs and other reactive

compounds by taste as they do in humans (i.e, by contact, (Kim et al. 2010)), it was unclear whether and

to what degree insects have evolved strategies to avoid these compounds via olfaction. Our findings

demonstrate that Or42a and its associated OSNs are key components in detecting and inducing olfactory

aversion to AITC in *D. melanogaster*, as revealed through exhaustive SSR and olfactory behavioral

assays (Figure 1). We found that maxillary palp pb1a OSNs, which express Or42a, respond strongly to

olfactory stimulation with AITC in wild-type *D. melanogaster* and TrpA1⁻ loss of function mutants.

However, this response was absent in *Or42a-/-* mutants, demonstrating that Or42a is necessary for

detecting volatile AITC in pb1a OSNs (Figure 1C-D). Using two different behavioral assays, we found

that volatile AITC induces strong behavioral aversion in wild-type and genetic control *D. melanogaster*

lines. These flies consumed less sugar solution than *Or42a-/-* mutant flies in presence of volatile AITC,

indicating that this compound causes olfactory aversion in a feeding context (Figure 1E). Furthermore,

control flies avoided volatile AITC in the positional behavioral assay, while *Or42a-/-* mutants only

showed aversion after a long-time exposure (65 minutes) (Figure 1F). The delayed avoidance in *Or42a-*

/- mutants could be due to the involvement of additional circuits mediating the aversion to volatile

AITC, such as Or7a, which is expressed in ab4a OSNs and was also activated by volatile AITC (Figure

1C), and/or to undescribed olfactory ionotropic receptors that may also respond to AITC. Alternatively,

AITC might have adhered to the internal surface of the glass tube during the course of the experiment,

leading to taste-mediated aversion via TrpA1.

Dweck et al., (2015) reported that *Dmel* Or42a detects fruit odors and mediates behavioral

attraction to these odors. We confirmed this result in our hands by showing that the fruit odor γ-

hexalactone strongly activates *Or42a*-positive-OSNs (Figure 4A-B, Figure supplement 2). Moreover,

the presence of this odor increased consumption of sugar and induced positional behavioral attraction in

control flies, but not in *Or42a-/-* mutants (Figure Supplement 2A-B). These findings may appear

contradictory: a single OSN type (*Or42a*-positive-OSNs) mediates aversion to AITC while also driving

attraction to γ-hexalactone. This paradox could be resolved considering different levels of OSNs

activation, which may result in the recruitment of alternative combinations of glomeruli that are

activated by interglomerular local neurons (Kreher et al. 2008; Semmelhack and Wang 2009; Mohamed

et al. 2019). Alternatively, distinct subsets of OSNs may be activated by different odorants, leading to

behavioral responses of opposite valence (Haverkamp et al. 2018), or a combination of both mechanisms

may be involved. Indeed, we found that rescue of Orco-mediated olfactory function specifically in

Or42a-positive-OSNs was sufficient to induce repellence to AITC (Figure 1G). Additionally, we found

that AITC induced the strongest responses in pb1a OSNs but also elicited spiking activity in other OSNs

such as ab4a and ab8b, albeit to a lesser degree (Figure 1C). In contrast, γ-hexalactone activated only

pb1a OSNs (Figure Supplement 2F). Thus, it's possible that the combined activation of pb1a OSNs

along with ab4a and/or ab8a OSNs leads to repellence, while activation of pb1a OSNs, possibly

alongside other uncharacterized OSNs, results in behavioral attraction. Further research is needed to

fully elucidate the mechanisms by which a single OSN type may mediate behavioral aversion to one

ligand while driving attraction to another.

Functional evolution of *Or42a* and *Or67b* in *Scaptomyza* mustard plant specialists

Phylogenetic evidence and ancestral state reconstruction indicate that the ancestor of all

herbivorous *Scaptomyza* (subgenus *Scaptomyza*) diverged from a microbe-feeding common ancestor and

evolved the herbivory habit ca. 10-15 million years ago, giving rise to a monophyletic Brassicales-

specialized lineage that includes *S. flava* and *S. montana,* and a Carophyllales-feeding lineage that

includes *S. graminum* (Goldman-Huertas et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2021; Peláez et al. 2023). This pattern of

specialization on plants that release specialized metabolites that are toxic to many other insects provides

a novel niche for host use (Ali and Agrawal 2012), given that specialists also evolved mechanisms to

detoxify plant toxins (Gloss et al. 2014; Karageorgi et al. 2019), and may help insects escape pressure

from natural enemies like parasitoids (Bernays and Graham 1988). Indeed, drosophilids, including *D.*

melanogaster, and *S. flava* use the mercapturic acid pathway to detoxify ITCs (Gloss et al. 2014).

Notably, the detoxification enzymes that catalyze this pathway in *S. flava* exhibit a 10-fold higher

catalytic efficiency *in vitro* for ITCs compared to other flies, likely allowing them to thrive on

Brassicales plants (Gloss et al. 2019).

Specialized insects also often use these toxic compounds as olfactory "tokens" to find their

hostplants. Many Brassicales plants release species-specific volatile ITCs (Wu et al. 2021) at particular

ratios and concentrations that attract a guild of specialized herbivores through olfaction. For instance,

the mustard specialist diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* uses volatile ITCs to find suitable

oviposition sites (Liu et al. 2020). Volatile ITCs are also known to attract *S. flava* females at least in

laboratory tests (Matsunaga et al., 2021), and the herbivorous *Scaptomyza* have lost four *Or* that are

involved in attraction to yeast-associated volatiles in *D. melanogaster* (Goldman-Huertas et al. 2015;

Matsunaga et al. 2021). Given the need for finer olfactory resolution in mustard plant specialist like *S.*

flava, we investigated whether the *Or42a* homologs and the Ors they encode in this lineage have

undergone differentiation and specialization compared to those of more generalist microbe-feeding

species like *D. melanogaster* as well as closer relatives of the herbivorous *Scaptomyza*, which include

the microbivores *S. hsui* and *S. pallida*.

To address this question, we started by constructing functional maps of the palp olfactory

sensilla across five species that spanned both Brassicales-feeding and microbe-feeding *Scaptomyza*

(Figure 2 and 3A, Figure Supplement 3). Our analysis confirmed that *Scaptomyza* species possess three

distinct types of sensilla, similar to those found in *D. melanogaster* (Ray et al. 2008; Dweck et al. 2016).

We found that the overall tuning patterns were largely conserved between *Scaptomyza* and *Drosophila*,

with the exception of OSN responses in pb3a- and pb3b-like sensilla (Figure 2). While OSNs housed in

pb1a sensilla from all microbivore and herbivorous species responded to volatile AITC, only OSNs

housed in *Sfla* pb1a-like and *Smon* pb1a-like sensilla additionally responded to other volatile ITCs

(Figure 2).

Next, we investigated the odor-response profiles of the Or42a paralogous genes. We previously

found that triplicated and positively selected *Sfla* Or67b is expressed in antennal OSNs and responds to

aromatic and some aliphatic ITCs in a paralog-specific manner. However, all three Or67b paralogs

showed a poor response to organosulfur ITCs, including AITC. The *D. melanogaster* and *S. pallida*

Or67b copies did not respond to any volatile ITCs (Matsunaga et al. 2021). In this study, on the other

hand, we found that all three Or42a paralogs in the Brassicales-feeding species of *Scaptomyza*

responded to organosulfur ITCs such as AITC (Figure 4B). Thus, the gene duplications and amino acid

substitutions of *Or42a*, along with those of *Or67b*, likely play a crucial role in enabling Brassicales-

plant specialist *Scaptomyza* species to detect a wide range of ITCs, including specific blend ratios and

concentrations, facilitating effective host plant location. Further refinement could be achieved by

changes in processing in downstream olfactory centers, such as those recently reported in the noni

specialist *D. sechellia* (Auer et al. 2020).

Or67b in *S. flava* is primarily expressed in the antennae (Matsunaga et al. 2021), whereas *Or42a*

is expressed in the maxillary palps (Figure 3C). In *D. melanogaster*, OSNs from the maxillary palps

have lower sensitivity thresholds to certain host-related compounds compared to antennal OSNs (Dweck

et al. 2016). Indeed, we found that the *Sfla* Or42a paralogs are much more sensitive to AITC than its

Or67b paralogs [Figure 4, (Matsunaga et al. 2021)]. Odor response redundancy between antennal and

maxillary palp Ors could have evolved to further underpin olfactory orientation over both long and short

distances in drosophilids (Dweck et al. 2016). Given the proximity of Or42a OSNs to the mouthparts,

their activation could potentially modulate feeding behaviors, as suggested in *D. melanogaster* (Shiraiwa

2008). *S. flava* and *S. montana* adult females feed on the juice that seeps into the leaf wounds they create

in Brassicales plants before oviposition (Peláez et al. 2022). Given this stereotyped feeding behavior of

adult females, while the activation of contact chemoreceptors by ITCs could help females assess the

suitability of an oviposition site through taste, flies may also be aided by olfactory activation via the

maxillary palps perhaps even before tasting the plants.

Differential expression of *Or*s in *Scaptomyza*

Our maxillary palp RNA-seq expression data (Figure 3C), combined with electrophysiological

results showing similar patterns of odor responses in pb1-like and pb2-like sensilla OSNs (Figure 2),

suggest that all *Scaptomyza* species express the following *Or*s in the OSNs of pb sensilla: *Or42a* in

pb1a-like, *Or71a* in pb1b-like, *Or33c* and *Or85e* in pb2a-like, and *Or46a* in pb2b-like. This raises the

question of which *Or*s are expressed in OSNs of pb3a- and pb3b-like sensilla in *Scaptomyza*. Based on

our RNA-seq data, candidates included *Or67a, Or98b, Or59a,* and *OrN2a*, as they were robustly

expressed in the maxillary palps (Figure 3C). Among these, only Or67a has been functionally

characterized in *D. melanogaster*, and responds to 2-heptanone (Auer et al. 2020). Our SSR experiments

showed that 2-heptanone also activated OSNs in pb3a-like (Figure 2), raising the possibility that

Scaptomyza pb3a-like OSNs express Or67a. Alternatively, other yet uncharacterized Ors responsive to

2-heptanone might be expressed in these OSNs.

Using HCR RNA FISH, we discovered an increase in the number of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs in *S.*

flava compared to *S. pallida* (Figure 3C). Similar increases in OSNs which detect odors that bear

biological significance for insects have been reported in several *Drosophila* species. For instance, the

noni fruit specialist *D. sechellia* and the seasonal specialist of *Pandanus* spp. screw pine fruits *D. erecta*

both show an increase in the number of *Or22a*^{+} OSNs (Dekker et al. 2006; Linz et al. 2013; Auer et al.

2020). These increases in OSNs have been shown to enhance odor tracking by reducing adaptation in

projection neurons in *D. sechellia* (Takagi et al. 2024). We hypothesize that the increase in the number

of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs in *S. flava* may similarly contribute to enhance odor sensitivity and tracking

during host plant finding, which will require further investigation to address.

Insight into the binding pocket of Or42a paralogs

Our results demonstrated that the Or42a paralogs from microbe-feeding species show strong

responses to both AITC and γ-hexalactone (Figure 4), while the paralogs from the herbivorous *S. flava*

show a notable shift in olfactory sensitivity. For example, *Sfla* Or42a3 showed moderate responses to γ-

hexalactone (about an order of magnitude lower than those of the Or42a from the microbe-feeding *S.*

pallida), and *Sfla Or42a4* showed even less spiking activity (Figure 4D-E). Intriguingly, *Sfla* Or42a4

has a relatively higher sensitivity to BITC compared to Or42a3 (Figure 4B, D and E). These findings

align with the fact that *S. flava* has undergone a full transition to the herbivory habit. To explore the

mechanisms underlying this shift from fruit-detector to ITC-detector, we employed computational and

functional approaches to identify the amino acid substitutions responsible for the differences in odor

sensitivities between *Sfla* Or42a3 and Or42a4.

The binding pocket of Ors is located within the transmembrane region, and amino acid

substitutions in these regions can alter the ligand's binding affinity [(Del Mármol et al. 2021; Zhao et al.

2024), although extracellular loops are also suggested to play an important role (Carraher et al. 2015)].

Our AlphaFold2-led screening for amino acid substitutions identified two key substitutions in the

transmembrane portion: A181D and S307P (Figure 4C). We substituted these two amino acids and

found that OSNs expressing this chimeric Or increased the BITC to γ-hexalactone response ratio, by

respectively increasing and reducing the sensitivity to BITC and γ-hexalactone (Figure 4E-F). The

substitution of serine to proline (S307P) alters both the charge and the protein structure, which likely

accounts for the impact in the notable change in ligand sensitivity. However, the increased BITC to γ-

hexalactone spike ratio for was observed only in flies heterozygous for A181D and S307P (Or67d[Gal4];

UAS-A181D S307P/+) and not in the flies homozygous for A181D and S307P (Figure Supplement 10).

Given that homozygous flies express A181D and S307P more strongly than the heterozygous flies, it is

possible that the OSNs response to both BITC and γ-hexalactone reached saturation in homozygous

flies, obscuring further differences in spike ratios. Alternatively, excessive expression of A181D and

S307P in cells may have altered the 3D structure of the protein, reverting it closer to the original *Sfla*

Or42a3 conformation and restoring the original binding pocket. Nonetheless, the partial rescue we

observed in heterologous flies indicates that A181D and S307P are critical for altering the binding

pocket structure, enabling the binding pocket of Ors to better accommodate BITC instead of γ-

hexalactone.

CONCLUSIONS:

Taken together, our study reveals that generalist insects have evolved olfactory sensory

mechanisms that allow them to detect and avoid plant-derived volatile electrophilic toxins, such as ITCs.

In contrast, Brassicales plant specialists have undergone significant evolutionary sensory adaptations for

host recognition, including specialized ITC olfactory receptors to aid host plant location. These

adaptations involve the triplication of homologous Ors in the antennae (Matsunaga et al. 2021), as well

as in the maxillary palps (this study), which collectively expanded the sensitivity and detection range for

these and other signature hostplant odorants. Furthermore, our use of AlphaFold2, followed by site-

directed mutagenesis and electrophysiology, identified critical amino acid changes for the evolution of

specialized odorant receptors. This also demonstrates the utility of machine learning algorithms in

evolutionary biology research, particularly in screening and identifying functionally relevant genetic

changes for follow-up functional studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

We are grateful to Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, and Drosophila Genomics Resource Center

(NIH Grant 2P40OD010949). T.M. thanks Dr. Akinao Nose and Dr. Hiroshi Kohsaka for advice and

encouragement, and the members of Nose' laboratory for discussions. We thank members of the

Whiteman and Scott Laboratories for discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was

supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Activity Start-up (T.M.), the Uehara Memorial

Foundation (award number 201931028 to T.M.), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant (award

number R35GM139653 to Gary Karpen), and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the

NIH (award number R35GM119816 to N.K.W.).

FIGURE CAPTIONS:

Figure 1: *Drosophila melanogaster* Or42a mediates volatile toxicity of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC)

and behavioral aversion to this compound

(A) Survival of *D. melanogaster* flies exposed to various concentrations of volatile AITC (no direct

contact with the source of the AITC was allowed). The experimental arena (Figure Supplement 1A)

consisted of two chambers, one housing a group of 8-10 flies and the other containing four 5 µL of

AITC. All flies survived exposure to the solvent control and AITC 1:250 or 1:500 vol/vol. Flies exposed

to higher concentrations of AITC survived less than 20 minutes, while those exposed to 1:5 or 1:2.5

vol/vol survived less than 10 minutes (**** $p<0.0001$, log-rank Mantel-Cox tests against the control).

(B) Schematic and representative single sensillum recording (SSR) from pb1 OSNs upon stimulation

with AITC 1:100 vol/vol. **(C)** SSR from all *D. melanogaster* antennae (ab) and palp (pb) basiconic

sensilla to AITC stimulation (1:100 vol/vol). Here and thereafter, bar graph bars represent the control-

subtracted net number of spikes (mean $\pm$ SEM) unless otherwise noted, and symbols indicate individual

data points. AITC activates pb1a neurons the most, followed by ab4a neurons (n=6-10

recordings/sensilla type from 6 animals). **(D)** Responses from pb1 sensilla of Canton-S, w^{1118}, $TrpA1^-$,

and *Or42a-/- D. melanogaster* flies to 1:100 vol/vol AITC stimulation. Flies from all genotypes except

Or42a- responded to AITC, which indicates that *OR42a* is required for olfactory detection of this odor

in pb1a (n=6-9 sensilla/genotype from 3-4 animals/genotype). Responses from all genotypes were

different from those of *Or42a* mutants (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's multiple

comparisons, *$p<0.05$). **(E)** Food consumption of mutants and background genotype control flies in

presence or absence of a non-toxic concentration of volatile AITC (1:500 vol/vol). Data represents the

fly's normalized feeding scores (horizontal bars: median, edges of boxes: 25 and 25% quartiles,

whiskers: 10 and 90% quartiles) in presence of AITC volatiles; the dashed line at 1 indicates neither

aversion nor feeding enhancement. AITC volatiles strongly reduced feeding in control flies

(median=0.35; one-sample signed rank test against median=1, $p<0.001$, n=16). AITC volatiles slightly

reduced feeding in *Or42a* mutants (median=0.83, p<0.05, n=14), but their normalized feeding score was

statistically different from that of control flies (Mann-Whitney U test, ***p<0.001, n=16, 14). **(F)**

Positional olfactory assay. Groups of 10-12 non-starved females were released in the middle of a

dispositive consisting of two glass tubes, each connected to a glass vial containing 10 µl of AITC 1:500

vol/vol or 10 µL of the solvent control (Figure supplement 1B). The number of flies in each of the glass

tubes were counted every five 5 minutes until minute 35, and then again at 65 minutes. Data show the %

of insects (mean $\pm$ SEM) in the tube closest to the odorant source at each timepoint (n=15/genotype).

The dotted line at 50% indicates random distribution between the two tubes. Control insects avoided the

tube closest to the odor source at 25, 30, 35 and 65 minutes (one-sample signed rank tests against

median=50%, ***p<0.005 in all cases; p=0.068 at 20 minutes). *Or42a-/-* mutants distributed randomly

between the two tubes at all timepoints (at 30 and 35 minutes p=0.055 and 0.078, respectively) except at

65 minutes (**p<0.01). **(G)** Positional olfactory assay using *Orco-/-* flies in which orco olfactory

function was specifically rescued in the OR42a circuit. Tests were conducted as in **F** using AITC 1:500

vol/vol. The two parental control lines (*UAS-Orco* and *Or42a-Gal4*) distributed at random between the

two tubes, while rescued flies (*Or42a-Gal4>UAS-Orco*) significantly avoided the tube closest to the

odor source from minute 15 onwards (*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ****p<0.001, n=15, 16, 13).

Figure 2: Functional characterization of maxillary palp OSNs in *D. melanogaster* and *Scaptomyza*

species.

Single sensillum recordings (SSRs) were conducted from maxillary palp OSNs in *D. melanogaster*

(*Dmel*), *S. hsui* (*Shsu*), *S. pallida* (*Shsu*), *S. flava* (*Sfla*), and *S. montana* (*Smon*). Stimuli (all presented at

1:100 vol/vol) included diagnostic chemicals used to identify Ors in *D. melanogaster* such as ethyl

acetate (to identify Or42a in *Dmel* pb1a), 4-ethylguaiacol (Or71a in *Dmel* pb1b), fenchone (Or85e in

Dmel pb2a), guaiacol (Or46a in *Dmel* pb2b), and phenethyl acetate (Or85d in *Dmel* pb3b), along with

fruit volatiles, green leaf volatiles (GLVs), and Brassicales plant-derived isothiocyanate (ITCs). Each

panel depicts the control-subtracted net number of spikes/sec (average ± SEM, n=18-27 sensilla from 3-

4 animals/species) in response to odor stimulation (duration=1 sec). All sensilla housed two OSNs,

labeled "a" (darker color) and "b" (lighter color). Their response profiles and stereotyped locations

within the palp support the classification of *Scaptomyza* sensilla in three types (Figure 3, Supplementary

figure 5), named pb1-like, pb2-like, and pb3-like. In all *Scaptomyza* species we identified OSNs

exhibiting an odorant tuning pattern similar to that of *Dmel* pb1a sensilla. Among these, one OSN type

co-housed with pb1a-like OSNs exhibited a tuning pattern comparable to that of *Dmel* pb1b, while the

other OSN co-housed with pb1a-like OSNs showed subthreshold activity and thus it was excluded from

analysis. While pb1a sensilla from all species responded to AITC, pb1a-like from *S. flava* and *S.*

montana additionally responded to other ITC compounds. Mustard specialization occurred at the clade

leading to the common ancestor of these two species, denoted by the leaf cartoon. AITC: Allyl ITC,

IBITC: Isobutyl ITC, BITC: Butyl ITC, SBITC: Sec-butyl ITC, PITC: Phenethyl ITC.

Figure 3: High expression of *Or42a* paralogs and over-representation of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs in

the maxillary palp of mustard specialist flies.

(A) Picture of SSR from *Sfla* maxillary palp sensilla (top left) and a representative anatomical mapping

of *S. flava* palp sensilla (bottom left) obtained using diagnostic chemicals. Squares, triangles, and circles

in the cartoon indicate the location of the three types of maxillary palp *Sfla* sensilla, and bars in the

graph (right) represent the number of each sensilla type (green: pb1-like, red: pb2-like, purple: pb3-like)

in *Dmel* and the four *Scaptomyza* species (see Figure supplement 4 for individual maps; n=3

animals/species, symbols). Asterisks indicate significant differences in the number of pb sensilla within

a species (one-way ANOVA with Holm-Šídák's multiple comparisons test, **p<0.0001). **(B)** Schematic

of *Or42a* syntenic regions in the genomes of *Spal* and *Sfla*, with a gene triplication in the *Sfla* genome at

the syntenic region of *Spal Or42a2* (*Sfla Or42a2*, *Sfla Or42a3*, and *Sfla Or42a4*). **(C)** Maxillary palp

RNA seq of *Spal* and *Sfla Or*s (n=3 replicates/sex and species; symbols), indicating high expression of

Spal Or42a2, *Sfla Or42a2*, *Sfla Or42a3*, *Sfla Or42a4*, and the absence of *Or42a1* from *S. pallida* and *S.*

flava. *Or*s with the log2 (RPM +1) < 1 average values (n=3) were excluded. **(D-D")** Representative

images of HCR RNA FISH from the maxillary palps of *Spal* and *Sfla* showing *Or42a*-positive-OSNs (**D**,

green), *Orco*-positive-OSNs (**D'**, magenta**),** and the merged signals **(D",** white indicates co-localization

of *Or42a*-positive-OSNs and *Orco*-positive-OSNs**)**. Scale bar: 20μm. **(E)** Number of *Or42a*-positive-

OSNs and *Orco*-positive-OSNs in one maxillary palp of *S. pallida* and *S. flava* (left panel) and ratio

between them (right panel). The number of both cell types as well as their percentage ratio was

significantly higher in *S. flava* (Mann-Whitney U tests, ** p<0.01 in both cases; n=5 animals/species).

Figure 4: Functional characterization of chimeric Or42a (A181D and S301P) and Or42a from *D.*

melanogaster*, *S. pallida*, and *S. flava

(**A**) Representative SSR from *Dmel* at1 sensilla OSNs expressing species-specific *Or42a* under the control of *Or67d* ^{Gal4} (fly genotype: *UAS-Or42a; Or67d* ^{Gal4}) in response to BITC or γ-hexalactone stimulation. Horizontal bars indicate the onset of the stimulus and its duration (1 sec). (**B**) Responses of at1 OSNs (n=6-8 sensilla from 3-4 animals/genotype) expressing species-specific *Or42a* upon stimulation with *trans*-2-hexenal (a general leaf odor released upon leaf mechanical damage such as crushing), various ITCs produced by mustard plants (AITC, IBITC, BITC, SBITC), and γ-hexalactone, which is produced by various fruits. All paralogs except *Sfla*Or42a2 responded to trans-2-hexenal. OSNs expressing *Dmel* and *Spal* paralogs responded strongly to γ-hexalactone. OSNs expressing any of the paralogs responded to AITC, but OSNs expressing *Sfla Or42a3* or *Or42a4* additionally responded to other ITCs. (**C**) Schematic representation of chimeric Or42a with two amino acid substitutions (A181D and S301P) in the background of *Sfla* Or42a3. Lateral view of the 3D structure of A181D, S301P predicted by ColabFold (left) using PyMol2.5.3. *Sfla* Or42a3-derived amino acids are indicated in magenta, and the substitutions with *Sfla* Or42a4-derived amino acids are represented in green. The upper and lower sections represent the extracellular and the intracellular region, respectively (C: terminal C section). The middle and right panels respectively display extracellular and lateral views of alignment of the predicted structures of *Sfla* Or42a3, *Sfla* Or42a4, and the chimera. The extracellular region at S5 and S6 (red arrows) highlight the local structural differences between *Sfla* Or42a3 and Or42a4 where the A181D, S301P overlaps with the local structure of *Sfla* Or42a4. (**D-F**) Representative SSR from *Dmel* at1 sensilla expressing heterologous *Sfla* Or42a3, Or42a4, and the chimera (genotypes of *UAS-Or42a/CyO; Or67d* ^{Gal4}) upon stimulation with BITC or γ-hexalactone (**D**), population responses, with green circles indicating responses to BITC and purple rectangles indicating responses to γ-hexalactone (**E**, n=6-10 sensilla from 3-4 animals/genotype), and response ratio, where green represents *Sfla* Or42a3, purple represents *Sfla* Or42a4, and blue represents A181D S307P (**F**, response to BITC stimulation/ response to BITC + response to γ-hexalactone). *p<0.01, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons.

FIGURE SUPPLEMENT CAPTIONS:

Figure supplement 1: Schematic representations of behavioral assays. (A) Survival assay. Groups of mated female flies (n=10) were placed in the upper chamber and monitored every 10 minutes in presence of volatile chemicals (4 drops of a 5 µl solution in the lower chamber). The top and the bottom chambers were separated by a fabric mesh, preventing direct contact between the flies and the chemical

solutions. **(B)** Feeding assay in presence of odors. Groups of 24 hours wet-starved mated female flies (n=11-15) were transferred to a vial containing a piece of filter paper impregnated with 160 µl of 50 mM D-glucose dyed blue. A small container affixed to the flug vial had a piece of filter paper loaded with 10 µl of an odorant solution or the solvent control, and because the opening was enclosed by mesh, flies could smell but not contact the odor source. After 15 minutes, vials were frozen for at least 60 minutes, the amount of blue dye in the abdomen of each fly in a vial was quantified blind to treatment, and a feeding score was calculated for each vial as explained in materials and methods. **(C)** Positional olfactory assay. Groups of 10-12 non-starved mated females were briefly cold anesthetized in a small piece of clear Tygon tube, which was then used to connect the narrow ends of two cut glass Pasteur pipettes. The distal end of each glass pipette was connected (via a piece of Tygon tube) to a 0.5 mL glass vial containing 10 µL of the odor solution or the solvent control; a piece of fabric mesh prevented insects from entering the vials containing the odor or control solutions. The number of flies in each of the two tubes, as well as in the middle "release" section, were counted every five minutes until minute 35, and in tests with AITC, again at 65 minutes. The % of insects that choose one or the other tube over the total number of insects released, and the % of insects in the tube closest to the odor source over the total number of insects that choose one or the other tube, was then calculated for each time point.

Figure supplement 2. γ-hexalactone does not affect fly survival and mediates olfactory attraction via activation of Or42a in *D. melanogaster*. (A) Feeding assay, as in Figure 1E, but using γ-hexalactone (1:50 vol/vol). Flies were allowed to feed for 10 minutes, frozen, and scored blind to treatment. Data were normalized (feeding in presence of the odor/feeding in absence of the odor) to account for potential day-to-day and genotype variability. Normalized feeding of wild-type (Canton-S) flies significantly increased in presence of volatile γ-hexalactone (one-sample signed rank test against expected median=1, $p<0.001$, n=25), but this enhancement effect was lost in *Or42a-/-* mutants ($p>0.05$). The horizontal dotted line at 1 indicates neither feeding aversion nor enhancement. **(B)** Percentage of odor choice in the positional olfactory assay, as in Figure 1F, but using γ-hexalactone 1:10 vol/vol. The number of flies in the tube closest to the odor source and in the tube closest to the solvent control was counted every 5 minutes until minute 35. The dotted line at 50% indicates random distribution between the two tubes. Control genotype flies significantly prefer the tube closest to the odor source at various time points (*$p<0.05$, one-sample signed rank tests against median=50%; $p=0.074$ and $p=0.064$ at 15 and 25 minutes; n=19). *Or42a-/-* mutant flies were randomly distributed between the two tubes at all time points ($p>0.05$ in all cases; n=19). **(C-D):** Percentage of flies that choose either tube in the

positional assay [(# of flies in one or the other tube / # of flies released) *100] in tests using AITC 1:500

vol/vol vs. m oil **(C)** or γ-hexalactone 1:10 vol/vol vs DMSO **(D)**. Similar proportions of genotype

control and *Or42a-/-* mutant flies choose one or the other tube at all time points in tests with AITC

(Mann-Whitney U tests, $p>0.05$ in all cases), but a significantly larger proportion of control flies choose

either tube in tests with γ-hexalactone (*$p<0.05$, ***$p<0.005$). **(E)** Survival assay, as in Figure 1A, but

using γ-hexalactone at various concentrations from 1/500 to 1/2.5 vol/vol. This odor had no effect on fly

mortality within at least 60 minutes. **(F)** SSR from all *D. melanogaster* antennae (ab) and palp basiconic

(pb) sensilla to stimulation with γ-hexalactone 1:100 vol/vol. Represented are the control-subtracted net

number of spikes and individual data points. Pb1a neurons are the most strongly activated by γ-

hexalactone, all other sensilla showed responses $\leqq 20$ spikes/second.

**Figure supplement 3: Hierarchical cluster analysis based on odorant response profiles across
species. (A)** Hierarchical clusters were constructed using odorant response data from *Dmel, Shsu, Spal,
Sfla,* and *Smon* using R studio v1.4.1717. A terminal node corresponds to a single recording from the
individual sensilla. N=6-8 sensilla from 3-4 animals. **(B)** Hierarchical clusters were created for each
sensilla type, including pb1-like, pb2-like, and pb3-like, using the odorant response (control-subtracted
net number of spikes) average from each species as inputs. **(C)** The species phylogeny (left: Kim et al.,
2021, eLife) and palp functional dendrogram (right) highlight the relevance of niche differences in
shaping odorant responses. The palp functional dendrogram was generated using odorant response
average for each species.

**Figure supplement 4. Location and percentages of the three different maxillary palp basiconic
(pb) sensilla across species.** Classification of maxillary palp pb sensilla using SSRs and stimulation
with diagnostic odorants. Data represents the proportion of pb1 and pb1-like (green), pb2 and pb2-like
(red), and pb3 and pb3-like (magenta) in *Dmel, Shsu, Spal, Sfla,* and *Smon*. The total number of sensilla
identified in each animal is indicated between parentheses. The schematic positions of pb sensilla in
each of the three replicates (R1, R2, and R3) from each species are shown on the right. *Sfla* and *Smon*
exhibited a larger number of pb1 compared to the microbe-feeder species, both in absolute numbers and
proportions.

Figure supplement 5: Maxillary palp and body area dimensions across species. (A) A representative photograph and measurement of a male *Sfla* viewed under a conventional dissection microscope. The areas of the maxillary palp and of the body are respectively indicated by the broken magenta and green lines. Body size was defined as the sum of the head, thorax (excluding legs and wings) and abdomen areas. **(B)** Data (mean ± SEM, symbols indicate individual measurements, n=4 animals/species) represents the palp (top) and body size (middle) areas, along with their ratio (bottom) in *D. melanogaster, S. hsui, S. pallida*, and *S. flava.*

Figure supplement 6: Or42a gene tree constructed by RAxML. Maximum-likelihood gene tree with 1000 bootstrap cycles using *Shsu Or42a1, Spal Or42a1, Sgra Or42a1, Smon Or42a1, Sfla Or42a1, Shsu Or42a2, SpalOr42a2, Sgra Or42a2, Sfla Or42a2, Smon Or42a, Sfla Or42a2, Sfla Or42a3, Sfla Or42a4,* and *Dmel Or42a* as an outgroup, generated by RAxML (v8.2.19) (Stamatakis 2014) after alignment by MAFFT v7 (Katoh and Standley 2013). Bootstrap values for the gene trees are denoted only when the values were smaller than 80. The tree was displayed by FigTree v1.4.3 (Rambaut 2009)

Figure Supplement 7: Maxillary palp RNA seq of *Spal* and *Sfla Or*s. The heatmap displays Log2[RPM+1] values for *Or*s expression in the maxillary palps of *S. flava* and *S. pallida* across both sexes (n=3). Gray boxes indicate that the corresponding genes were unidentified in the genomes of the species.

Figure Supplement 8: Dose-dependent responses of OSNs from *D. melanogaster* pb1a and *Scaptomyza* pb1a-like sensilla to stimulation with various odorant concentrations. Responses of pb1a OSNs across species upon stimulation with various concentrations (ranging from 10^{-2} to 10^{-5} vol/vol) of γ-hexalactone, ethyl butyrate, trans-2-hexenal, AITC, IBITC, BITC, and SBITC (6-8 sensilla from n=3-4 animals/species). The chemical structures of each compound are shown on the left. Half maximal effective concentrations (EC_{50}) are shown for each chemical and species. IBITC, BITC, and SBITC were only tested in *Sfla* and *Smon* pb1a, as BITC at 10^{-2} vol/vol failed to activate OSNs in pb1a from microbe-feeding species (Figure 2A). The EC_{50} values for γ-hexalactone were consistently lower in microbe-feeding species compared to Brassicales specialists, suggesting a lower sensitivity in the non-specialist microbivores. *S. flava* exhibited a lower EC_{50} value for *trans*-2-hexenal compared to *S. montana* and the other microbivorous species. This heightened sensitivity to a general leaf odor in *S.*

flava aligns with its potentially broader host range than *S. montana*, as it can be reared on plants from other families, such as Fabaceae or Caryophyllaceae (Martin 2004), rather than being strictly limited to Brassicales.

Figure supplement 9: Screening of candidate amino acids using branch-site test following ColabFold 3D prediction. (A) Alignment of amino acids of *Spal* Or42a2, *Sfla* Or42a3, and *Sfla* Or42a4, and the A181D S301P chimera. Red arrowheads denote the sites where site-directed-mutagenesis was performed to generate the A181D, S301P chimera. **(B)** Lateral view of alignment of 3D predictions of *Sfla* Or42a3, *Sfla* Or42a4, and the chimera using ColabFold and PyMol 2.5.3. Red rectangles highlight the sites of local structural variance between *Sfla* Or42a3 and Or42a4. The A181D, S301P chimera shows a closer alignment with Or42a4. S5' and S6' are enlarged views of S5 and S6, respectively.

Figure supplement 10: Responses of OSNs expressing homozygous Or42a to stimulation with BITC and γ-hexalactone. Left: responses of OSNs expressing homozygous *Sfla* Or42a3, *Sfla* Or42a4, or A181D S307P (*UAS-Or42a; Or67d ^{Gal4}*) to stimulation with BITC and γ-hexalactone (left; n=16-30 from 5-10 animals). Right: ratio between responses to BITC and the sum of the responses evoked by stimulation with BITC and γ-hexalactone. In these homozygous flies, increased BITC sensitivity or decrease γ-hexalactone sensitivity (Figure 4) was not observed, indicating that while two amino acids substitutions (A181D S307P) can change the ligand specificity (Figure 4), additional amino acids are necessary for achieving further robustness in this ligand switch. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons, *p<0.05 between *Sfla* Or42a4 and A181D S301P.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Measurement of maxillary palps and body size

Two-to-ten-day old male *Dmel, Shsu, Spal,* and *Sfla* flies with their heads facing up and their bodies sideway were mounted and imaged using a commercial camera under a conventional microscope. The areas of the maxillary palps and the body (head, thorax excluding legs and wings, and abdomen) were measured using ImageJ v1.54.

Dilution series

trans-2-hexenal, AITC, IBITC, SBITC, and ethyl butyrate were diluted in mineral oil, and γ-hexalactone was diluted in DMSO to 10^{-5} to 10^{-2} vol/vol. Half maximal effective concentration (EC50) was generated by GraphPad Prism v10.2.1.

Construction of Or42a gene tree

Amino acid sequences of *Dmel Or42a,* and *Sfla Or42a1, Sfla Or42a2, Sfla Or42a3,* and *Sfla Or42a4* were obtained from Flybase (2024_01), and Goldman-Huertas et al., 2015. Blast searches were conducted to annotate *Shsu Or42a1, Spal Or42a1, Sgra Or42a1, Smon Or42a1, Sfla Or42a1, Shsu Or42a2, Spal Or42a2, Sgra Or42a2,* and *Smon Or42a2*, using *Sfla Or42a1* and *Sfla Or42a2* as query against the genomes of *S. hsui* (ASM1815282v1), *S. pallida* (ASM1815296v1), *S. graminum* (ASM1890183v1), and *S. montana* (ASM1890430v1). Amino acid sequences of *Shsu Or42a1, Spal Or42a1, Sgra Or42a1, Smon Or42a1, Sfla Or42a1, Shsu Or42a2, SpalOr4* (Katoh and Standley 2013)*2a2, Sgra Or42a2, Sfla Or42a2, Smon Or42a, Sfla Or42a2, Sfla Or42a3, Sfla Or42a4,* and *Dmel Or42a* were aligned by MAFFT v7.511 with JTT as scoring matrix for amino acid sequences with L-INS-i strategy. Maximum-likelihood gene tree with 1000 bootstrap cycles using the above sequences were constructed with RAxML (v8.2.19)(Stamatakis 2014). GAMMA model of rate heterogeneity was applied. CDS and alignment of *Or42a* are included in Supplementary files 12 and 13, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY FILES:

Supplementary file 1: Chemical list

Supplementary file 2: Control-subtracted net number of spikes/sec obtained from SSRs

Supplementary file 3: Survival assay data

Supplementary file 4: Consumption assay data

Supplementary file 5: Olfactory positional assay data

Supplementary file 6: Expression intensity from maxillary palp RNA-seq

Supplementary file 7: OSNs counts of RNA FISH

Supplementary file 8: Primer list

Supplementary file 9: AlphaFold2 (Colabfold) prediction in pdb format

1105   Supplementary file 10: Pb sensilla counts by SSR

1106   Supplementary file 11: Measurements of maxillary palp and body areas

1107   Supplementary file 12: CDS of *Or42a* homologs in fasta format

1108   Supplementary file 13: Alignment of *Or42a* homologs in fasta format

1109

1110   **REFERENCES:**

1111

1112   Aguilar JM, Gloss AD, Suzuki HC, Verster KI, Singhal M, Hoff J, Grebenok R, Nabity PD, Behmer ST,
1113   Whiteman NK. 2024. Insights into the evolution of herbivory from a leaf‑mining fly.
1114   *Ecosphere* [Internet] 15. Available from:
1115   https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.4764

1116   Ahuja I, Rohloff J, Bones AM. 2010. Defence mechanisms of Brassicaceae: implications for plant-insect
1117   interactions and potential for integrated pest management. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*
1118   30:311–348.

1119   Al-Anzi B, Tracey WD Jr, Benzer S. 2006. Response of Drosophila to wasabi is mediated by painless,
1120   the fly homolog of mammalian TRPA1/ANKTM1. *Curr. Biol.* 16:1034–1040.

1121   Ali JG, Agrawal AA. 2012. Specialist versus generalist insect herbivores and plant defense. *Trends
1122   Plant Sci.* 17:293–302.

1123   Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. 2015. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput
1124   sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31:166–169.

1125   Auer TO, Khallaf MA, Silbering AF, Zappia G, Ellis K, Álvarez-Ocaña R, Arguello JR, Hansson BS,
1126   Jefferis GSXE, Caron SJC, et al. 2020. Olfactory receptor and circuit evolution promote host
1127   specialization. *Nature* 579:402–408.

1128   Becher PG, Bengtsson M, Hansson BS, Witzgall P. 2010. Flying the fly: long-range flight behavior of
1129   Drosophila melanogaster to attractive odors. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 36:599–607.

1130   Bell L, Oloyede OO, Lignou S, Wagstaff C, Methven L. 2018. Taste and flavor perceptions of
1131   glucosinolates, isothiocyanates, and related compounds. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 62:e1700990.

1132   Benton R, Himmel NJ. 2023. Structural screens identify candidate human homologs of insect
1133   chemoreceptors and cryptic Drosophila gustatory receptor-like proteins. *Elife* 12:e85537.

1134   Benton R, Vannice KS, Gomez-Diaz C, Vosshall LB. 2009. Variant ionotropic glutamate receptors as
1135   chemosensory receptors in Drosophila. *Cell* 136:149–162.

Bernays E, Chapman R. 1987. The evolution of deterrent responses in plant-feeding insects. In: Proceedings in Life Sciences. New York, NY: Springer New York. p. 159–173.

Bernays E, Graham M. 1988. On the evolution of host specificity in phytophagous arthropods. *Ecology* 69:886–892.

Bischof J, Maeda RK, Hediger M, Karch F, Basler K. 2007. An optimized transgenesis system for Drosophila using germ-line-specific phiC31 integrases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104:3312–3317.

de Bruyne M, Clyne PJ, Carlson JR. 1999. Odor coding in a model olfactory organ: the Drosophila maxillary palp. *J. Neurosci.* 19:4520–4532.

Butterwick JA, Del Mármol J, Kim KH, Kahlson MA, Rogow JA, Walz T, Ruta V. 2018. Cryo-EM structure of the insect olfactory receptor Orco. *Nature* 560:447–452.

Carraher C, Dalziel J, Jordan MD, Christie DL, Newcomb RD, Kralicek AV. 2015. Towards an understanding of the structural basis for insect olfaction by odorant receptors. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 66:31–41.

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34:i884–i890.

Chen Y-CD, Dahanukar A. 2020. Recent advances in the genetic basis of taste detection in Drosophila. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 77:1087–1101.

Couto A, Alenius M, Dickson BJ. 2005. Molecular, anatomical, and functional organization of the Drosophila olfactory system. *Curr. Biol.* 15:1535–1547.

Crowley-Gall A, Date P, Han C, Rhodes N, Andolfatto P, Layne JE, Rollmann SM. 2016. Population differences in olfaction accompany host shift in Drosophila mojavensis. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* [Internet] 283. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5013806/

Cuellar-Nuñez ML, Luzardo-Ocampo I, Lee-Martínez S, Larrauri-Rodríguez M, Zaldívar-Lelo de Larrea G, Pérez-Serrano RM, Camacho-Calderón N. 2022. Isothiocyanate-Rich Extracts from Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea Var. Botrytis) and Radish (Raphanus sativus) Inhibited Metabolic Activity and Induced ROS in Selected Human HCT116 and HT-29 Colorectal Cancer Cells. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* [Internet] 19. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192214919

Dekker T, Ibba I, Siju KP, Stensmyr MC, Hansson BS. 2006. Olfactory shifts parallel superspecialism for toxic fruit in Drosophila melanogaster sibling, D. sechellia. *Curr. Biol.* 16:101–109.

Del Mármol J, Yedlin MA, Ruta V. 2021. The structural basis of odorant recognition in insect olfactory receptors. *Nature* 597:126–131.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29:15–21.

Dobler S, Dalla S, Wagschal V, Agrawal AA. 2012. Community-wide convergent evolution in insect adaptation to toxic cardenolides by substitutions in the Na,K-ATPase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109:13040–13045.

Dweck HK, Ebrahim SA, Khallaf MA, Koenig C, Farhan A, Stieber R, Weißflog J, Svatoš A, Grosse-Wilde E, Knaden M, et al. 2016. Olfactory channels associated with the Drosophila maxillary palp mediate short- and long-range attraction. *Elife* [Internet] 5. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4927298/

Dweck HKM, Carlson JR. 2020. Molecular logic and evolution of bitter taste in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 30:17-30.e3.

Gloss A, Nelson Dittrich AC, Lapoint R, Goldman-Huertas B, Verster KI, Pelaez JL, Nelson AD, Aguilar JM, Armstrong E, Charboneau JLM, et al. 2019. Evolution of herbivory remodels a Drosophila genome. *bioRxiv* [Internet]. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/767160v1

Gloss AD, Vassão DG, Hailey AL, Nelson Dittrich AC, Schramm K, Reichelt M, Rast TJ, Weichsel A, Cravens MG, Gershenzon J, et al. 2014. Evolution in an ancient detoxification pathway is coupled with a transition to herbivory in the drosophilidae. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 31:2441–2456.

Goldman-Huertas B, Mitchell RF, Lapoint RT, Faucher CP, Hildebrand JG, Whiteman NK. 2015. Evolution of herbivory in Drosophilidae linked to loss of behaviors, antennal responses, odorant receptors, and ancestral diet. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112:3026–3031.

Gonzalez F, Witzgall P, Walker WB. 2016. Protocol for heterologous expression of insect odourant receptors in Drosophila. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* [Internet] 4. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/ecology-and-evolution/articles/10.3389/fevo.2016.00024/full

Hashimoto Y, Yoshimura M, Huang R-N. 2019. Wasabi versus red imported fire ants: preliminary test of repellency of microencapsulated allyl isothiocyanate against Solenopsis invicta (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) using bait traps in Taiwan. *Appl. Entomol. Zool. (Jpn.)* 54:193–196.

Haverkamp A, Hansson BS, Knaden M. 2018. Combinatorial codes and labeled lines: How insects use olfactory cues to find and judge food, mates, and oviposition sites in complex environments. *Front. Physiol.* 9:49.

Himmel NJ, Moi D, Benton R. 2023. Remote homolog detection places insect chemoreceptors in a cryptic protein superfamily spanning the tree of life. *Curr. Biol.* 33:5023-5033.e4.

Hopkins RJ, van Dam NM, van Loon JJA. 2009. Role of glucosinolates in insect-plant relationships and multitrophic interactions. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 54:57–83.

Humphrey PT, Gloss AD, Alexandre NM, Villalobos MM, Fremgen MR, Groen SC, Meihls LN, Jander G, Whiteman NK. 2016. Aversion and attraction to harmful plant secondary compounds jointly shape the foraging ecology of a specialist herbivore. *Ecol. Evol.* 6:3256–3268.

Ibanez S, Gallet C, Després L. 2012. Plant insecticidal toxins in ecological networks. *Toxins (Basel)* 4:228–243.

Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, et al. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596:583–589.

Kang K, Pulver SR, Panzano VC, Chang EC, Griffith LC, Theobald DL, Garrity PA. 2010. Analysis of Drosophila TRPA1 reveals an ancient origin for human chemical nociception. *Nature* 464:597–600.

Karageorgi M, Groen SC, Sumbul F, Pelaez JN, Verster KI, Aguilar JM, Hastings AP, Bernstein SL, Matsunaga T, Astourian M, et al. 2019. Genome editing retraces the evolution of toxin resistance in the monarch butterfly. *Nature* 574:409–412.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30:772–780.

Kim BY, Wang JR, Miller DE, Barmina O, Delaney E, Thompson A, Comeault AA, Peede D, D'Agostino ERR, Pelaez J, et al. 2021. Highly contiguous assemblies of 101 drosophilid genomes. *Elife* [Internet] 10. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34279216/

Kim SH, Lee Y, Akitake B, Woodward OM, Guggino WB, Montell C. 2010. *Drosophila* TRPA1 channel mediates chemical avoidance in gustatory receptor neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107:8440–8445.

Kreher SA, Mathew D, Kim J, Carlson JR. 2008. Translation of sensory input into behavioral output via an olfactory system. *Neuron* 59:110–124.

Kurtovic A, Widmer A, Dickson BJ. 2007. A single class of olfactory neurons mediates behavioural responses to a Drosophila sex pheromone. *Nature* 446:542–546.

Lichtenstein EP, Morgan DG, Mueller CH. 1964. Insecticides in Nature, Naturally Occurring Insecticides in Cruciferous Crops. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 12:158–161.

Linz J, Baschwitz A, Strutz A, Dweck HKM, Sachse S, Hansson BS, Stensmyr MC. 2013. Host plant-driven sensory specialization in Drosophila erecta. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 280:20130626.

Liu X-L, Zhang J, Yan Q, Miao C-L, Han W-K, Hou W, Yang K, Hansson BS, Peng Y-C, Guo J-M, et al. 2020. The molecular basis of host selection in a Crucifer-specialized moth. *Curr. Biol.* 30:4476-4482.e5.

Maca, J., 1972. Czechoslovak species of the genus Scaptomyza Hardy (Diptera,Drosophilidae) and their bionomics. *Acta Entomologica Bohemoslovaca* 69, 119‑132

MacLeod AJ, MacLeod G, Reader G. 1989. Evidence for the occurrence of butyl- and isobutylglucosinolates in seeds of Brassica oleracea. *Phytochemistry* 28:1405–1407.

Mandel SJ, Shoaf ML, Braco JT, Silver WL, Johnson EC. 2018. Behavioral aversion to AITC requires both painless and dTRPA1 in Drosophila. *Front. Neural Circuits* 12:45.

Mantel N. 1966. Evaluation of survival data and two new rank order statistics arising in its consideration. *Cancer Chemother. Rep.* 50:163–170.

Martin NA. 2004. History of an invader,Scaptomyza flava(fallen, 1823) (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *N. Z. J. Zool.* 31:27–32.

Matsunaga T, Reisenman CE, Goldman-Huertas B, Brand P, Miao K, Suzuki HC, Verster KI, Ramírez SR, Whiteman NK. 2021. Evolution of Olfactory Receptors Tuned to Mustard Oils in Herbivorous Drosophilidae. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 39:msab362.

Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. 2022. ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* 19:679–682.

Mithöfer A, Boland W. 2012. Plant defense against herbivores: chemical aspects. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 63:431–450.

Mohamed AAM, Retzke T, Das Chakraborty S, Fabian B, Hansson BS, Knaden M, Sachse S. 2019. Odor mixtures of opposing valence unveil inter-glomerular crosstalk in the Drosophila antennal lobe. *Nat. Commun.* 10:1201.

Noge K, Becerra JX. 2015. 4-Oxo-(E)-2-hexenal produced by Heteroptera induces permanent locomotive impairment in crickets that correlates with free thiol depletion. *FEBS Open Bio* 5:319–324.

Orre GUS, Wratten SD, Jonsson M, Hale RJ. 2010. Effects of an herbivore-induced plant volatile on arthropods from three trophic levels in brassicas. *Biol. Control* 53:62–67.

Peláez JN, Gloss AD, Goldman-Huertas B, Kim B, Lapoint RT, Pimentel-Solorio G, Verster KI, Aguilar JM, Nelson Dittrich AC, Singhal M, et al. 2023. Evolution of chemosensory and detoxification gene families across herbivorous Drosophilidae. *G3* [Internet] 13. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/jkad133

Peláez JN, Gloss AD, Ray JF, Chaturvedi S, Haji D, Charboneau JLM, Verster KI, Whiteman NK. 2022. Evolution and genomic basis of the plant-penetrating ovipositor: a key morphological trait in herbivorous Drosophilidae. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 289:20221938.

Rambaut A. 2009. FigTree, version 1.4.3. *Computer program distributed by the author, website: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/[accessed January 4, 2011]* [Internet]. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&citation_for_view=JiYPDf oAAAAJ:W5xh706n7nkC

Ray A, van der Goes van Naters W, Carlson JR. 2008. A regulatory code for neuron-specific odor receptor expression. *PLoS Biol.* 6:e125.

Reichstein T, von Euw J, Parsons JA, Rothschild M. 1968. Heart poisons in the monarch butterfly. Some aposematic butterflies obtain protection from cardenolides present in their food plants. *Science* 161:861–866.

Reisenman CE, Scott K. 2019. Food-derived volatiles enhance consumption in Drosophila melanogaster. *J. Exp. Biol.* 222:jeb202762.

Scott K. 2018. Gustatory processing in Drosophila melanogaster. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 63:15–30.

Semmelhack JL, Wang JW. 2009. Select Drosophila glomeruli mediate innate olfactory attraction and aversion. *Nature* 459:218–223.

Shiraiwa T. 2008. Multimodal chemosensory integration through the maxillary palp in Drosophila. *PLoS One* 3:e2191.

Southwood T. 1972. insect/plant relationship--an evolutionary perspective. Available from: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/insect%2Fplant-relationship--an-evolutionary-Southwood/635ed400c02b62dca75e90d7ee3e664383d480be

Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–1313.

Stensmyr MC, Dweck HKM, Farhan A, Ibba I, Strutz A, Mukunda L, Linz J, Grabe V, Steck K, Lavista-Llanos S, et al. 2012. A conserved dedicated olfactory circuit for detecting harmful microbes in Drosophila. *Cell* 151:1345–1357.

Takagi S, Sancer G, Abuin L, Stupski SD, Roman Arguello J, Prieto-Godino LL, Stern DL, Cruchet S, Álvarez-Ocaña R, Wienecke CFR, et al. 2024. Olfactory sensory neuron population expansions influence projection neuron adaptation and enhance odour tracking. *Nat. Commun.* 15:7041.

Tocmo R, Veenstra JP, Huang Y, Johnson JJ. 2021. Covalent modification of proteins by plant-derived natural products: Proteomic approaches and biological impacts. *Proteomics* 21:e1900386.

Whiteman NK, Gloss AD, Sackton TB, Groen SC, Humphrey PT, Lapoint RT, Sønderby IE, Halkier BA, Kocks C, Ausubel FM, et al. 2012. Genes involved in the evolution of herbivory by a leaf-mining, Drosophilid fly. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 4:900–916.

Whiteman NK, Groen SC, Chevasco D, Bear A, Beckwith N, Gregory TR, Denoux C, Mammarella N, Ausubel FM, Pierce NE. 2011. Mining the plant-herbivore interface with a leafmining Drosophila of Arabidopsis. *Mol. Ecol.* 20:995–1014.

Winde I, Wittstock U. 2011. Insect herbivore counteradaptations to the plant glucosinolate-myrosinase system. *Phytochemistry* 72:1566–1575.

Wu X, Huang H, Childs H, Wu Y, Yu L, Pehrsson PR. 2021. Glucosinolates in Brassica vegetables: Characterization and factors that influence distribution, content, and intake. *Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol.* 12:485–511.

1309   Zhao J, Chen AQ, Ryu J, Del Mármol J. 2024. Structural basis of odor sensing by insect heteromeric
1310   odorant receptors. *Science* 384:1460–1467.

1311   Zimmermann C, Dähn S, Wagner AE. 2024. Effect of allyl-isothiocyanate on survival and antimicrobial
1312   peptide expression following oral bacterial infections in Drosophila melanogaster. *Front.*
1313   *Immunol.* 15:1404086.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

φ9cm

← fabric mesh

5μl chemical solutions

B

blue dye + glucose water

9.5cm

2cm

odorant

φ2.5cm

C

Figure 1

1ml vial   2cm   10.5cm   2cm   10.5cm   2cm   1ml vial

control   tygon   fabric mesh   choice zone   non-choice zone   choice zone   odorant

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

B

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 10