Step-by-Step Maturation Mechanism of Binary Toxin Pore Revealed by Cryo-EM Analysis

Tomohito Yamada[1#], Yukihiko Sugita[2,3,4], Toru Yoshida[1,5], Takeshi Noda[2,3], and Hideaki Tsuge[1,6,7#]

1. Faculty of Life Sciences, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kamigamo-motoyama, Kita-ku, Kyoto, 603-8555, Japan

2. Laboratory of Ultrastructural Virology, Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, 53 Shogoin Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

3. Laboratory of Ultrastructural Virology, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, 53 Shogoin Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

4. Hakubi Center for Advanced Research, Kyoto University, 53 Kawahara-cho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

5. Department of Chemical and Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Japan Women's University, 2-8-1 Mejirodai, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 112-8681, Japan

6. Institute for Protein Dynamics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kamigamo-motoyama, Kita-ku, Kyoto, 603-8555, Japan

7. Center for Molecular Research in Infectious Diseases, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kamigamo-motoyama, Kita-ku, Kyoto, 603-8555, Japan

#Corresponding authors

Tomohito Yamada, t.mountain.rf23doc@gmail.com

Hideaki Tsuge, tsuge@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp

Abstract

Membrane pore-forming proteins (PFPs) form ring-shaped membrane-translocating oligomers on membranes, contributing to infection, immunity, and cell death functions. Binary toxins produced by some bacteria consist of an enzymatic component that acts as a toxin and a membrane-binding component that forms a pore that delivers the enzymatic component into target cells. Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) has advanced our understanding of these translocation mechanisms by revealing several binary toxin complexes' structures. However, the mechanisms underlying the initial pore formation remain unclear. We determined the structures of several oligomeric forms of the membrane-binding component, Ib, of the Iota toxin from *Clostridium perfringens* at various stages of pore formation. Structural comparisons revealed that the symmetrically arranged soluble oligomer (prepore) became a transmembrane oligomer (pore). These findings enhance our understanding of the PFP mechanisms and provide a structural basis for developing nanodevices using membrane pores.

Membrane pore-forming proteins (PFPs) are a family of proteins produced by many organisms, including bacteria, which form homogeneous or heterogeneous multimeric pores on target biological membranes. These proteins involve various vital functions, including cell death, immunity, and infection[1,2]. PFPs are produced as soluble monomers that form ring-shaped oligomers and subsequently create membrane-spanning α-helices or β-barrels to form pores in various biological membranes. Toxic PFPs are known as pore-forming toxins (PFTs). These PFTs can disrupt the homeostasis of target cells by forming pores, leading to the efflux of ions, amino acids, and water and ultimately inducing cell death.

Clostridium perfringens[3], Clostridioides difficile[4], Clostridium spiroforme[5], Clostridium botulinum[6] and Bacillus anthracis[7] produce binary toxins (Iota toxin; Ia and Ib, CDT; CDTa and CDTb, CST; CSTa and CSTb, C2 toxin; C2I and C2II, Anthrax toxin; LF, EF, and PA) composed of enzymatic and membrane-binding components which function as PFPs[8]. Binary toxins are classified into two groups: intestinal toxins from Clostridium, including the Iota toxin, CDT, CST, and C2 toxin, which have homologous enzyme components such as actin ADP-ribosyltransferase. The other is the anthrax toxin, whose enzyme components (EF and LF) differ from those of clostridial ADP-ribosyltransferases in both structure and function. However, the membrane-binding components of these toxins are highly conserved, except for their receptor-binding domains. The addition of the enzymatic component alone to cells or organisms does not result in activity, whereas the membrane-binding component alone induces necrosis and dermonecrosis[9,10]. However, components A and B co-addition increases toxicity compared to their individual addition, inducing morphological changes and cell death[11].

Cell entry mechanisms are similar for clostridial binary toxins and anthrax toxins. The monomeric membrane-binding component binds to the target cell receptor and is activated by proteases to form a soluble ring-shaped oligomer (prepore). The endosomes take up the enzymatic components and prepores. As the endosome acidifies, the pore undergoes a conformational change into a membrane-spanning β-barrel pore, allowing the enzymatic component to translocate into the cell[12,13]. The translocated enzymatic component exerts severe effects on host cells through actin depolymerisation via ADP-ribosylation activity by clostridial binary toxins[6,14,15], or inhibition of signalling pathways through degradation of MAPKK in anthrax toxin[16,17]. At first, it was shown that the pore of PA forms the narrowest constriction site (φ-clamp) with a diameter of only 6 Å in the centre[18,19]. Understanding how the enzymatic component passes through the φ-clamp is key interest in studying membrane translocation mechanisms of binary toxins. We previously determined the cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures of the enzyme-pore complexes of the iota and CDT toxins[20,21]. The constriction

sites of Ib and CDTb, as named ϕ-clamp in PA, were conserved among Ib and CDTb. We found

that after complex formation with Ca-edge and NSQ-loop, which are constriction-sites of the

pore, the N-terminal α-helix of the enzymatic component tilts towards the ϕ-clamp, and this

structure further unfolds[20,21]. In the case of anthrax toxin, the first α-helix of LF and EF unfold

and dock into a deep amphipathic cleft called the α-clamp[22]. Thus, N-terminal unfolding is

commonly observed in clostridial binary toxins and anthrax toxins; however, they use different

modes. It is hypothesised that the disordered structure passes through the ϕ-clamp and refolds its

secondary structure within the β-barrel or cytosol. As described above, binary toxin membrane

pores are not only pore-forming toxins but also serve as an intriguing research subject because

of their unique translocation mechanism involving the unfolding of the enzymatic component.

Mutations in the F427 residue forming the ϕ-clamp of the anthrax toxin PA-pore, as

well as the surrounding residues, have significant effects on the maturation from prepore to pore

and the translocation activity of the enzymatic component[23–25]. In anthrax PA, the D425A point

mutation, two residues upstream of F427, does not affect the oligomerisation ability but inhibits

the conformational change to the pore state. Cryo-EM structural analysis of this mutant revealed

that the oligomer was formed by PA protomers in multiple intermediate states between prepore

and pore conformations[26]. Furthermore, adjacent protomers have similar structural states,

suggesting that maturation from prepores to pores proceeds in a domino-like manner within the

heptamer. However, the complete heptamer structure of each intermediate in this domino-like

pore maturation process has not yet been experimentally revealed.

We unexpectedly obtained a supercomplex in which multiple Ib oligomers were

assembled radially (Ib-rosette) while preparing the wild-type Ib component of the iota toxin. A

single particle structural analysis of the Ib-rosette sample revealed that it consisted of both Ib-

pores with formed β-barrels and Ib-prepores without the β-barrels. A detailed analysis of the

prepore class allowed us to determine the structure of a seven-fold symmetric prepore for the

first time in Ib and multiple asymmetric prepore structures. Comparison with previously

reported structures revealed that the protomers forming these prepores were in an intermediate

state between the prepore and pore states. Furthermore, comparison of the series of obtained

overall structures suggested that the symmetrically arranged protomers underwent a partial loss

of symmetry, and this structural change proceeded step-by-step in a domino-like manner to

mature into pores. In this paper, we report on the pore maturation process deduced from

comparing multiple prepore structures and high-resolution pore structure obtained in this study.

Results

Cryo-EM single particle analysis of Ib-rosette

While attempting to prepare Ib-pores reconstituted in liposomes, the obtained sample was observed using negative staining and cryo-EM revealing that the structure consisted of multiple radially assembled Ib oligomers (referred to as Ib-rosette) **(Extended Data Fig. 1a,b)**. Focusing on the individual units of the oligomers, single particle structural analysis using CryoSPARC[27] revealed that the sample contained not only particles of Ib-pores with membrane−spanning regions covered by lipid micelles, but also particles of Ib-prepores where the β-barrel was not yet formed (**Extended Data Fig. 1c–g)**. The prepore particle set could be further classified into five subclasses: particles with an open central region and particles with a constricted central structure where the base of the β-barrel has formed but is still immature. Other intermediate states between these forms **(Extended Data Fig. 2a)** suggest that the prepore particle set was composed of protomers in multiple states. Structural analysis at the protomer level for each class revealed that the heptameric prepore state is formed by three distinct protomer states, which differ from those in the pore state: the initial state, early intermediate state (early int.), and late intermediate (late int.). The structural characteristics of each state are described in the following sections **(Fig. 2)**. The particle sets for each prepore (class 1−4) were classified into eight classes with a complicated workflow **(Extended Data Fig. 2)**, reflecting the different proportions of these three states **(Fig. 1a-j).**

During the single particle analysis of the Ib-pore, multiple pore states with varying degrees of β-barrel maturation were observed. These states exhibit no distinguishing features other than the length of the β-barrel. Focusing on the particle set that formed a fully extended β-barrel, particle images were selected for map calculation **(Fig. 1k)**. Atomic models were refined against the density maps of the prepores and the pore, allowing for a comparative analysis of their structural characteristics.

Structure comparison of Ib protomers

Ib consists of four domains: D1, which binds to the enzymatic component Ia; D2, which forms the pore lumen; D3, which interacts with other domains and contributes to structural stabilisation directly; and D4, which is responsible for binding to the target cell receptor **(Fig. 2a,b)**. The most significant structural differences were observed for D2 among the obtained protomer states. D2 can be further subdivided into D2c, which serves as the structural foundation of the oligomer, and D2s, which form β-barrels upon pore formation. Structural changes were observed in both the subdomains. The visibility of the D2s density served as a key indicator for obtaining multiple prepore classes during the single particle analysis **(Extended Data Fig. 2c)**. While protomers with visible densities have D2s within them, those without visible densities have D2s in a popped-out and flexible state. The class with visible D2s can be further subdivided into two additional states based on structural changes in D2c at the protomers interface. Consequently, the prepores comprised protomers in three distinct states, except for the pore state **(Fig. 2d-i, Supplementary Movie 1)**.

The first state is where Prepore I is arranged in a sevenfold cyclic symmetric (C7) configuration, and this was termed the "initial state" **(Fig. 2d,g)**. The initial state is similar to the monomeric structural state of CDTb (PDBID:8DCM) and PA (PDBID:1ACC) reported in previous studies **(Extended Data Fig. 4)**[28,29]. The second state has a structure where β7 and β8 on the D2c domain at the protomer interface are shifted towards the CCW (counter-clockwise) protomer compared to the initial state, and this was termed the "Early intermediate state" (abbreviated as Early int.) **(Fig. 2e,h,k, Supplementary Movie 2)**. The third state is where D2s are released from the protomer and become invisible, and this was termed the "Late intermediate state" (abbreviated as Late int.) **(Fig. 2f,i)**. In the Late int., compared to the Early int., in addition to the difference in D2s visibility, the position of α5 on the D2c domain was observed to shift towards the centre of the oligomer **(Fig. 2l)**. The Late int. is quite similar to the structure of the pore (Pore state), except that the β-barrel has not yet formed, and some differences observed in the arrangement of the secondary structures. A structural comparison of the Late int. and the Pore state is described in the following section. Although the characteristic structural changes mentioned above were observed in D2c and D2s, no changes were observed in the Ca-edge and NSQ-loop, which are the binding sites for substrate Ia in each structure **(Fig. 2j)**. Based on the backbone root mean square deviation (RMSD) values and previously reported monomeric homologue structures, the series of structures was suggested to change in the order of Initial state, Early int., Late int., and Pore state **(Supplementary Movie 1)**.

The arrangements of the three types of protomers that constituted the prepores

obtained in this analysis were distinctive. From Prepores I to VIII, the number of protomers in

the Initial state decreased by one, whereas the number of protomers in the Late int. increased by

one. In addition, Late int. protomers were not scattered throughout the oligomer but were

adjacent to each other **(Fig.1a-h, Extended Data Fig. 5)**. This structural feature suggests the

possibility of a step-by-step conformational change from a heptameric prepore to a pore

structure in a domino-like manner, temporarily losing its symmetry. Another notable feature is

that there was always one Early int. in the CCW direction from a Late int. These observations

suggest two possible models: the CCW model, in which Early int. served as the starting point

for the conformational change, with a Late int. increasing one by one in the CCW direction, and

the CW (clockwise) model, where the Late int. induced a conformational change in the adjacent

protomer in the CW direction, converting it from its Initial state to an Early int.

Conformational change at the protomers interface

It was necessary to consider the influence of the structural changes of each protomer on adjacent

protomers to interpret the step-by-step conformational changes. Therefore, structural

comparisons were made in pairs of protomers. In the Initial state-Initial state interface, which is

considered to represent the earliest structure, the structure around β7-8 showed a gap between

the CCW protomer. In contrast, at the Early int.-Late int. interface, the structure was tightly

zipped, increasing the varied surface area of the interface **(Fig. 3a-d, Supplementary Movie 2)**.

In other words, the initial structural changes between the protomers can be considered a process

of reducing the solvent-exposed surface area and gaining interactions between the protomer

pairs.

Next, the structural changes in the Early int.-Late int. interface and Late int.-Late int.

interface were examined. However, as described above, no significant structural changes were

observed at these interfaces. In contrast, Prepore VIII was found to have a relatively constricted

structure with a more densely filled central density than earlier prepores **(Fig. 3e-h, Extended**

Data Fig. 6). This suggests that during the conformational change from the prepore to the pore

state, the residues forming the constriction-site, known as the φ-clamp, gradually converged

towards the centre. Since the residues forming the φ-clamp are located near α5 (aa. 460-473), it

is expected that this is linked to the shift of α5 observed during the transition from Early int. to

Late int. **(Fig. 2l, Supplementary Movie 1,3)**. In summary, these features suggest a

conformational change from the Early int. to Late int. represents a preliminary step in forming

the constriction-site at the centre of the oligomer, which is facilitated by the steps mentioned

above. Notably, in the structure of Prepore VIII, a clear density for the ϕ-clamp and the
surrounding residues (aa. 451-458) was not observed, indicating that a stable constriction-site,
as seen in the Pore state, had not yet formed.
210
High-resolution structure of Ib-pore
We previously reported the structures of Ib-pores solubilised with LMNG and the Ia-
bound Ib-pore complex[20]. In this analysis, the resolution of the Ib-pore was further improved,
and a clear density was obtained up to the tip of the β-barrel compared with previous analyses
(Fig. 4a,b). The Ib-pore contains several distinct constriction-sites within its lumen **(Fig. 4c)**.
As described in the previous section, the Ca-edge and the NSQ-loop, which are involved in
binding to the enzymatic component, did not undergo structural changes from the prepore to the
pore **(Fig.2c)**. The most significantly changed constriction-site was the ϕ-clamp, which was not
observed in Prepore I, showed unclear density in Prepore VIII, and was observed in Ib-pore
(Extended Data Fig.6, Fig. 3e-h, Fig. 4d).
221
In the density measurements obtained at the tip of the β−barrel, the hydroxyl groups of
Y356 and Y344 were oriented outwards from the TM region, showing the characteristic
snorkelling effect of transmembrane proteins. The amino acid side chains oriented inward in the
β-barrel are rich in Ser/Thr and are relatively small. The side chain density of Q345, located at
the hairpin turn of the β-barrel, extended towards the centre, indicating constriction **(Fig. 4c,e)**.
However, the side chain density was relatively unclear and the side chains were far from each
other, which suggested that the structure was flexible. Furthermore, in the Pore state, α5 was
shown to shift towards the base of the β-barrel from Prepore VIII, forming interactions and
stabilising the structure **(Fig. 4f,g)**. As observed in the structural transitions from Early int. to
Late int. and from Late int. to the Pore state, the formation of the ϕ-clamp (F454) and the shift
of α5 (aa. 460-473) appear to be linked.
233
234
235

Discussion

This study, utilising cryo-EM analysis, revealed the step-by-step conformational changes in bacterial PFTs as they transitioned from soluble prepores to membrane-spanning pores. Although the general mode of action of PFTs is widely recognised, detailed insights into their structural changes have mostly been based on comparisons of a few stable states and biochemical experiments with mutants. We uncovered multiple structures, including intermediates during pore formation **(Fig. 1)**: the early immature state of soluble monomers forming a symmetric oligomer (Prepore I), asymmetric oligomers with structural changes in some protomers (Prepore II-VII), the fully matured symmetric Prepore VIII, and the membrane translocating the β-barrel in the pore. These comparisons improve our understanding of structural changes within individual protomers and allow for a more thorough discussion of the overall maturation process of binary toxin pores.

In our previous cryo-EM study of the Iota toxin, we determined the structures of both the Ib-pore and the Ia-bound Ib-pore complex (as well as the CDTa-bound CDTb-pore complex), revealing the docking mode of the enzymatic component as the initial step of its translocation through the Ib-pore, and showed that the N-terminus undergoes unfolding[20,21]. The results of this study revealed the detailed structures of the earlier steps, enabling a more comprehensive understanding of the cellular entry mechanisms of binary toxins. Scott et al. also reported, using cryo-EM analysis of the D425A mutant of the anthrax toxin PA (which inhibits pore formation), which is based on the appearance of the density map of the receptor-binding domain, that the structural variety among PA protomers within the oligomer was classified into distinct states. Moreover, protomers with similar structural states tend to be adjacent to each other within oligomer[26]. This study classified various protomer states based on their structural features on D2c and D2s using cryo-EM analysis and wild-type *Clostridium perfringens* iota toxin Ib samples. In addition, multiple structural states of the entire oligomer were successfully identified. Finally, we identified the characteristic structural changes in the protomers and classified them into four states: Initial state, Early int., Late int., and Pore state. Furthermore, these protomer states were distributed in a distinctive pattern, in which the Late int. are always adjacent to each other at Early int. was positioned in the most CW direction within the oligomer, suggesting a regularity in the structural transition process **(Fig. 1a-h)**.

Initially, we aimed to prepare the Ib-pores in a lipid membrane environment using liposomes for solubilisation. However, the particles obtained were not proteoliposomes but rather aggregates of Ib oligomers assembled radially **(Extended Data Fig. 1a)**. Similar aggregates have been observed in the oligomers of the anthrax toxin PA, another binary toxin. It

has been reported that such structures can be obtained by incubating oligomers bound to a

carrier under acidic conditions[30] (However, unlike this study, structural analysis of the

constituent oligomers has not been achieved). Our previous study found that Ib oligomers

dispersed in solution did not form aggregates when incubated under acidic conditions but

instead underwent dramatic aggregation[20]. Considering their similarities to previous studies, it is

likely that these structures form when aggregation occurs under conditions in which the

mobility of the oligomers is restricted. The antigen component of the influenza virus vaccine

forms a radial structure composed of HA proteins referred to as the HA rosette[31,32]. Following

this convention, we refer to the aggregated Ib structure as the Ib-rosette.

The Ib-rosette is an aggregate composed of multiple Ib oligomers; however, single

particle structural analysis is possible by focusing on each oligomer. During the analysis, it was

found that these oligomers included prepore structures without β-barrels, partially formed β-

barrels, and fully formed β-barrel **(Extended Data Fig. 1c–g)**. When observing the two-

dimensional average images of the prepore class, an ambiguous density was found in the side

view, in the direction where the β-barrel would form **(Extended Data Fig. 1f,g)**. This indicated

that other oligomers might spatially hinder the formation of the β-barrel. In CDTb, it is known

that paired heptamers form a dimer of heptamers; however, clear two-dimensional images of

each heptamer are observed in these cases. The average images of this Ib-prepore class are

considered to be different[33,34]. We performed a more detailed classification of the prepore

classes, and obtained high-resolution density maps for each of the eight prepores and pore

(Extended Data Fig. 2). Notably, classification based on the differences in the structural states

of each protomer revealed that both asymmetric and symmetric prepore states existed **(Fig. 1)**.

Prepore I was composed of the Initial state, in which the D2s region was stored within

the protomer, arranged in a seven-fold symmetrical manner **(Fig. 1a)**. The initial state has a

structure highly homologous to previously reported PA monomers and CDTb monomers and is

considered to be an early stage in the alignment of soluble protomers in the initial phase of

maturation **(Extended Data Fig. 4)**. The Early int. observed in Prepore II-VII is a state in which

β7-8 has shifted towards the adjacent protomer in the CCW direction, suggesting that this partial

structure is in a transition **(Fig. 2k, Fig. 3a-d, Supplementary Movie 2)**. Notably, Early int. is

always positioned in the CW direction relative to Late int. except for Prepore VII, and was

never observed in the CCW direction. Furthermore, Late int. appeared consecutively. Based on

these observations, we propose two possible models: (1) A CCW model in which the Early int.

stabilises the adjacent protomer on the CCW side into Late int., which stabilise Late int. further

induces the stabilisation of the next protomer on the CCW side into the Late int. state. (2) A CW

model in which Early int. matures into the Late int, stabilising the Initial state protomer on the CW side into the Early int. state, and this process continues sequentially. Structural changes within a series of protomers should not occur simultaneously but rather in a stepwise manner. In other words, it is unlikely that an Initial state will abruptly convert into a Late state, as observed in the CCW model. In this context, we consider the CW model, where each protomer gradually converts from an Initial state to an Early int. and then to a Late int., as a more plausible explanation **(Supplementary Movie 3)**. In both models, the early stage of maturation from Prepore I involved forming a pair of Early ints. and Late int. compared to the adjacent Initial state. These findings suggest that a series of structural changes occurred step-by-step and domino-like manner.

Upon examining the entire oligomer structure, the central radius is observed to progressively narrow during the maturation from prepore to pore, with the most constricted region having a diameter of only 6 Å **(Fig. 4c,d)**. A conserved phenylalanine residue across various binary toxin pores forms this constricted region. Mutational studies using PA have revealed that this residue is crucial for pore formation activity[24]. The F454 residue of Ib is located upstream of α5 (aa. 460-473), which undergoes the most significant structural shift during the transition from Early int. to Late int. The density of the residues surrounding F454 (aa. 449-460) was not observed in the Initial state or Early int., suggesting that these residues possessed a flexible structure **(Fig. 3f)**. In the Late int., the density became more apparent, especially in Prepore VIII, where the main-chain structure could be roughly traced. This observation indicates that the constricted region gradually forms during the transition to the late int. **(Fig. 3h)**. No significant structural changes were observed in the Early int.-Late int. interface or the Late-Late int interface, suggesting that the partial formation of the constricted region, accompanied by the shift of α5, may lead to the tendency for adjacent Late int. to associate. The most distinctive difference between the Prepore VIII and Pore structures is the presence or absence of β-barrel formation. In Prepore VIII, the F454 density was ambiguous, but it was clear in the Pore state, indicating the formation of a stable ϕ-clamp. During this process between Prepore VIII and Pore, the further shift of α5 forms interactions with the base of D2s, stabilising the β-barrel foundation **(Fig. 4f,g)**. Scott *et al.* reported that the D425 mutant of anthrax PA, which is adjacent to F427 and responsible for forming the ϕ-clamp, inhibited pore formation[26]. This residue faces inward within the ϕ-clamp loop, forming hydrogen bonds stabilising the constriction-site. In Ib, D452 forms a bond with R457, binding to the P460 main-chain near α5 (aa. 460-473). This interaction suggests that the proper formation of the

constriction-site is closely related to the movement of α5 and may also be linked to the

formation of the β-barrel.

The enzymatic components believed to pass through the pores exhibit different

stoichiometries and binding positions in the iota toxin and anthrax toxins. In anthrax toxin, three

enzyme molecules, LF and EF, bind to a single pore, with their N-terminal α-helices interacting

with the α-clamp formed at the interface of PA protomers[35–37]. In contrast, the single enzymatic

components of the iota toxin and CDT bind to the Ca-edge of the pore, with its N-terminal helix

unfolding at the NSQ-loop[20,21]. For binary toxin pores with a narrow constriction such as ϕ-

clamp, the docking and unfolding of the enzymatic component from the Ca-edge to the NSQ-

loop represent critical steps for activity. Notably, these regions remain unchanged in the

structures of the individual monomers reported in this study **(Fig. 2j)**. This suggests that the

enzymatic component can bind to the oligomer in both immature and mature states. In addition,

the high-resolution cryo-EM density of the pore structure reported in this study revealed a

constriction formed by Q345 at the tip of the β-barrel, which served as the exit for membrane

translocation **(Fig. 4c,e)**. The diameter of this constriction site is less than 10 Å, which is

smaller than the general diameter of an α-helix. Although a clear density was observed in the ϕ-

clamp, the density of this constriction was low, suggesting that it was relatively unstable.

Additionally, this residue is neither sequenced nor structurally conserved in the PA pore,

making it unlikely to pose steric hindrance to membrane translocation. However, the side chain

of Q345, located towards the centre, may weakly interact with individual residues of Ia ongoing

membrane translocation, indicating that this residue should be considered in future

investigations as a key residue that may modulate the translocation process.

This study has enabled the discussion of the maturation process from Prepore to Pore in binary

toxins at the molecular structural level, supported by the cryo-EM density **(Fig. 5)**. However, it

should be noted that the observation of these structural states may have been made possible by

the formation of the Ib-rosette, which potentially sterically hindered pore formation. Therefore,

it is necessary to consider the possibility that the obtained structures may be artefacts, similar to

samples in which pore maturation was cancelled by introducing mutations. However, the

formation of the Ib-rosette may have enabled the trapping of unstable intermediates that also

occurred during the normal transition from the prepore to the pore. Based on a comparison of

the obtained structures, we proposed two models of structural change: CW and CCW. We

considered the CW model the most plausible, as described above. Jiao *et al.* concluded that

perforin undergoes a CW structural change by comparing temporal observations of the same

molecule using atomic force microscopy (AFM) and specific directional structural perturbations

through MD simulations[38]. In contrast, the semi-stable structural states determined via cryo-EM

are outputs averaged from multiple and individual particle images, making it impossible to

correspond to the protomers between states definitively. During the maturation of the prepore,

the number of Late ints. protomers increased sequentially. However, whether this structural

change proceeds via Early int. or directly from the Initial state, corresponding to the CW and

CCW models, respectively, remains to be investigated. In addition, the domino-like structural

change in the prepore progressed through forming a pair of Early int. and Late int. as observed

during the transition from Prepore I to Prepore II. However, it is also possible that the

maturation process proceeds from more than two initiation points or occurs before heptamer

formation. This hypothesis needs to be discussed, considering the speed of the maturation

process and the likelihood of the emergence of additional initiation points. Future experiments

involving single-molecule observations or simulations are expected to address these challenges

and elucidate the detailed mechanisms of pore maturation.

PFPs are major components of bacterial toxins and the immune system and have

become intriguing targets in both fundamental research and applications, such as their use as

nanodevices in nanopore sequencing. However, these complicated proteins are produced as

soluble monomers that mature into functional pores through dynamic structural changes. Binary

toxins possess unique characteristics among pore-forming toxins that enable the translocation of

enzymatic components into target cells via pores, making them highly applicable. Research is

being conducted to create carriers by conjugating proteins to the binding domain of the

enzymatic component, facilitating the intracellular delivery of the desired molecules[24,39]. In this

study, we report multiple structural states during the pore-forming process of a binary toxin that

was previously difficult to obtain, through detailed classification using cryo-EM. We expect that

the findings of this study will facilitate our understanding of the maturation processes of PFPs in

general and contribute to the advancement of nanodevice development based on this structural

foundation.

Methods

Expression and purification of Ib

Ib was expressed with a 20 kDa pro-sequence at the N-terminus, which inhibits oligomer formation, and a 6-His tag at the C-terminus. Specifically, the gene encoding Ib (Uniprot ID: Q46221, residues 40-875) was cloned into pET23a without the signal sequence or other tags. The plasmid was transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) and cultured in LB medium containing 50 ng/μl ampicillin at 37°C. When the culture reached an OD600 of 0.6, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 1 mM, and the culture was incubated for an additional 16 hours at 23°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation.

The harvested cells were resuspended in buffer A (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1.0 mM CaCl2, 20 mM imidazole) containing one complete ULTRA Tablet (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and lysed by sonication on ice. The lysate was subjected to ultracentrifugation (180,000*g*, 40 min) and the soluble fraction was bound to a Ni-NTA column. The column was washed with 10 volumes of buffer A and eluted with buffer B (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1.0 mM CaCl2, and 500 mM imidazole). The eluate was concentrated by centrifugation using a 50 K ultrafiltration membrane and exchanged with buffer C (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1.0 mM CaCl2).

Sample preparation of Ib-rosette

Ib was activated and oligomerised in our previous studies[6]. Monomeric Ib was incubated at room temperature for 1 h with chymotrypsin at a 1/1,000 mass ratio. The reaction was stopped by adding PMSF (phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) at a final concentration of 1 mM to inhibit chymotrypsin activity. Ethanol was then added to a final concentration of 10% (v/v), and the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 1 h to promote Ib oligomerisation. 450 μl of the Ib oligomer solution was added to a Ni-NTA resin and washed with buffer C to remove ethanol. 540 μl of prepared liposomes (made by drying E. coli Extract Polar (Sigma-Aldrich) under N2 gas, resuspending with Buffer C, and processing through an extruder (Sigma-Aldrich) with a 100 nm filter), 360 μl of buffer C, and 100 μl of 1 M MES (pH 5.5) were then added, followed by incubation at 4°C for 16 hours. The resin was washed with ten column volumes of buffer A and eluted with buffer B. The eluted product was subjected to gel filtration using HiLoadTM 16/200 SuperdexTM 200pg of buffer C at a flow rate of 0.8 mg/ml. Fractions containing the Ib oligomer bands were collected based on SDS-PAGE observation and concentrated to 1.0 mg/ml using a 50 K ultrafiltration membrane.

Data collection with Cryo-EM

The prepared sample (3 μl) was applied to hydrophilised Quantifoil R1.2/1.3, 300 mesh (Cu), and blotted for 7 seconds using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by rapid freezing in liquid ethane. The frozen grids were imaged using Glacios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a Falcon4 direct electron detector at the Institute for Life and Medical Sciences at Kyoto University to screen the grid preparation conditions through single-particle analysis. The final data were collected using a Titan Krios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan), a BioQuantum energy filter (Gatan) with a slit width of 20 eV, and a Cs corrector (CEOS, GmbH) at the Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University, with a pixel size of 0.88 Å/pix, capturing 11,549 micrographs. The detailed imaging conditions are listed in Table 1.

Single particle analysis of Ib-pore

A series of analyses of the cryo-EM images was conducted using CryoSPARC (ver.3.3.2.)[27]. Motion correction was performed using Patch Motion Correction, and CTF estimation was performed using Patch CTF Estimation. Particle images were obtained using a Template Picker with a reference created by back-projecting a previously reported Ib-pore density map (EMD-0721). The particle images were extracted and downscaled to 1/4 of their original size. These extracted particles were roughly classified through Heterogeneous Refinement with C7 symmetry applied, resulting in a distinction between the prepore class, which lacks a β-barrel, and the pore class which has a β-barrel. The detailed analysis of the prepore class is described later in the "Classification of Ib-prepore" section, where the classification procedure is outlined. More homologous particle images were selected for the pore class through 3D Variability Analysis (3DVA)[40] and no-align 3D classification. The resulting particle set was re-extracted without rescaling, and a 3D density map was reconstructed using Homogeneous Refinement. Additionally, Local Motion Correction[41] was performed to correct for per-particle motion, followed by Homogeneous Refinement of images with defocus correction[42]. The local resolution was estimated with the RELION[43] Local Resolution job

Classification of Ib-prepore

3DVA was performed on the prepore class, resulting in the classification of circular oligomers into five distinct subclasses ranging from an expanded state to a more constricted state **(Extended Data Fig.2a)**. Class 5, the most constricted class, exhibited a structure partially forming a β-barrel, similar to the pore state; thus, further analysis was not conducted for this class. The remaining classes 1-4 were subjected to Symmetry Expansion with C7 symmetry,

where the particle images were symmetrically replicated seven times. A mask was created
focusing on one protomer, and the 3DVA of the protomer was used to distinguish between
classes with and without an observable density corresponding to the D2s within the protomer
(**Extended Data Fig. 2b,c**). For Classes 1 and 2, the maps were reconstructed using
Homogeneous Reconstruction only with the particle sets where D2s were not visible, whereas
Classes 3 and 4 used particle sets where D2s were visible. These reconstructions were
references for refining the original particle sets before replication using nonuniform refinement.
Among the resulting density maps, protomers, where D2s were ambiguously visible, were
subjected to focused non-aligned 3D classification to separate classes where D2s were visible
from those where they were not; this process was iteratively repeated (**Extended Data Fig.**
2e–g). Ultimately, the prepore states were classified into eight distinct classes, each differing in
the number of protomers with clearly visible D2s. Finally, Non-uniform Refinement[44] and Local
refinement were performed for each of the eight prepore classes. The local resolution was
estimated with the RELION[43] Local Resolution job
493
Model building

As structures such as the initial state and early int., where D2s are folded within the
protomer, have not been previously reported in Ib, the predicted models were used as the initial
model. The structure predicted from the Alphafold Database was edited to start the residue
numbering from 1 after the signal sequence based on the amino acid sequence of Ib (Uniplot ID:
Q46221), and used as the initial atomic model for the initial state. The predicted model was
fitted as a rigid body into the most clearly observed initial state density in the Prepore I map
using UCSF ChimeraX (ver.1.2.4)[45], followed by manual model refinement using Coot
(ver.0.9.5)[46] and automated structural refinement using PHENIX (ver.1.19.2)[47]. For Early int.,
the refined initial state was used as the starting model. In contrast, for late int. and the pore state,
the known Ib-pore structure (PDBID:6KLW) was used as the starting model and refinement was
conducted against the respective density maps of Prepore II–VIII, and Pore in the same manner
as described above. Although the D4-I structure of Ib, including its pore structure, has not been
previously reported, its density was observed in Prepore I. Therefore, the refined D4-I structure
from Prepore I was used as the initial model for other oligomers and structural refinement was
conducted again using PHENIX. Finally, the chain orientations were validated using
MolProbity[48] for the models obtained using the above process. Inner radius of Ib-pore was
calculated using HOLE2[49] software.

512
513

Data availability statement

The Cryo-EM maps and coordinates were deposited to the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) and Protein Data Bank (PDB) with the accession codes EMD-61772 and PDBID 9JSG for Pore state, EMD-61771 and PDBID 9JSF for Prepore I, EMD-61774 and PDBID 9JSI for Prepore VII, EMD-61773 and PDBID 9JSH for Prepore III, EMD-61775 and PDBID 9JSK for Prepore IV, EMD-61776 and PDBID 9JSL for Prepore V, EMD-61777 and PDBID 9JSM for Prepore VI, EMD-61778 and PDBID 9JSN for Prepore VII, and EMD-61779 and PDBID 9JSO for Prepore VIII. The cryo-EM images are deposited to Electron Microscopy Public Image Analysis (EMPIAR) with the accession code XXXXXX (under depositing).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 21H02452 (to H.T.), 24K01993 (to H.T.), and 21J13410 (to T.Y). This research was partially supported by the Platform Project for Supporting Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (Basis for Supporting Innovative Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (BINDS)) from AMED under Grant Number 2366 and the Joint Usage/Research Center Program of the Institute for Life and Medical Sciences at Kyoto University (to H.Y., Y.S., and T.N.). We thank J. Kishikawa, M. Hirose, and T. Kato at the Institution for Protein Research, Osaka University, for training on the techniques used for the cryo-EM study. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing

Author contribution

All author participated in research design; T.Yamada prepared the Ib-rosette sample for cryo-EM; T.Yamada, Y.S and T.N performed cryo-EM data collection, and T.Yamada and Y.S performed single particle analysis; T.Yamada and T.Yoshida performed the atomic model building; all authors contributed to the discussion and writing the manuscript, and H.T supervised the project.

Author information

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure and figure legends

 Figure 1 | Cryo-EM maps obtained from Ib-rosette analysis

 a-j Cryo-EM maps of Ib-prepores obtained from cryo-EM single-particle analysis. **a-h** are

 views from the top of the prepore where the enzymatic component Ia docks. **i,j** Side views of

 Prepore I and Prepore VIII indicate that the membrane-spanning-barrel has not yet formed at

 these stages. **k** Cryo-EM map of Ib-pore viewed from the side (left) and top (right).

Figure 2 | Structures of Ib protomer

a Schematic of the N-terminal cleaved Ib domains with densities observed in cryo-EM maps. **b, c** Cryo-EM maps of Prepore I, coloured according to the domains shown in panel **a**. **d-i** Maps and atomic models of the Ib protomer, coloured according to the domains. The Initial state map was extracted from the Prepore I, Early int. from Prepore II, and the Late int. in Prepore VIII. **j** Atomic models of the Initial state from Prepore I, Early int. from Prepore II, Late int. from Prepore VIII, and Pore state from Pore were aligned. **k, l** Comparison of cartoon models with tube α-helix between the Initial state (cyan) vs. Early int. (white), and Early int. vs. Late int. (brown). The RMSD values were calculated using UCSF Chimerax[45].

Figure 3 | Comparison of inter-protomer interactions

a-d Comparison of interface structures between the Initial state and the Initial state (Prepore I) and the interface structure between the Early int. and Late int. (Prepore II). **a,c** Surface models of interest calculated from atomic models of Prepore I and Prepore II. **b,d** Atomic models in respective cryo-EM density maps. **e-h** Comparison of constriction-site structures between the Early int. and Late int. (Prepore II) and the interface structure between the Late int. state and Late int. (Prepore VIII). **e,g** Surface models of interest calculated from the atomic models of Prepores II and VIII. **f,h** Diagram of the atomic model in the ϕ-clamp map, generated with residues 448–460, and the density map trimmed around the atoms.

Figure 4 | Structure of Ib-pore

a,b Cryo-EM maps of Ib-pores with immature-barrel (see Extended Data Fig.2a) and fully formed-barrel. The maps are coloured according to the protomers. **c** Cryo-EM map of an Ib protomer extracted from the Ib-pore with a fully formed barrel. The inner radius was calculated using HOLE2[49] software. The positions of the constriction sites in the Ib-pore are marked with dotted lines. **d,e** Diagrams of the model showing the smallest inner radius. **f** Comparison of cartoons between Late int (brown) and the Pore state (purple). **g** Atomic model of Pore state Ib.

595

Figure 5 | Summary of Ib-pore maturation mechanism

a N-terminal cleaved active Ib monomer in its Initial state. **b** Active Ib monomers assemble into heptameric Prepore I. **c** Two adjacent protomers convert into a pair of Early int. (white), and Late int. (Red) (Prepore II). **d** Another adjacent protomer changes its state to Early int. (white), and Prepore II transitions to Prepore III, composed of four Initial state protomers, one Early int. protomer and two Late int. protomers. **e-g** Top views of the respective Ib-prepores. Number of Initial states and Late int. changed with step-by-step domino-like manner propagation. **g** The central constriction-site of the Ib-prepore is not yet fully formed but remains flexible. **h-j** Structures of the Ib-pores **h** Upon maturation to the pore, a stable φ-clamp is formed at the central constriction-site. The extension of the long β-barrel was achieved through the partial formation of the β-barrel from the foundation of the oligomer.

Extended Data Figure 1 | Single particle analysis workflow

a Micrograph of negatively stained Ib-rosette. **b** Cryo-EM micrograph of the Ib-rosette. **c**

Representative density maps calculated using heterogeneous refinement. The classes marked

with squares were manually sorted into the Ib-prepore particle set (red) and Ib-pore particle set

(black). **d,e** Averaged images of Ib-prepores and Ib-pores calculated using 2D classification.

The scale bar represents a length of 200 Å. **f,g** Representative 2D average images of the side

views of the Ib-prepores and Ib-pores.

Prepore particle set

Particle extraction (box size=380, pixel size=0.88 Å/pix)
Homogeneous refinement (C7)
3D variability analysis

Classification into
averaged-out prepores and immature pore

a Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4 Class 5 Pore with immature β-barrel

Heterogeneous refinement optimizing per-particle defocus, respectively
Local motion correction, respectively
Heterogeneous refinement optimizing per-particle defocus, respectively
Non-uniform refinement, respectively
Symmetry expansion, respectively
3D variability analysis with focus mask for a protomer position, respectively

Check protomers variability

b

c D2s visible protomers at position A   D2s ambiguous   D2s invisible

D2s

d Focus mask
D2s visible
D2s invisible

Averaged-out
or flexible?

Applied as reference for
asymmetric refinement

Homogeneous reconstruction only (C1)
  with D2s invisible class for class 1,2, and D2s visible class for class 3,4
Non-uniform refinement (C1) using reference from Homogeneous reconstruction only
No-align 3D classification with focus mask for the averaged out protomer

Asymmetric alignment
and classification

e Chain A Chain B Chain C Chain D Chain E Chain F Chain G

Focused
classification

f Seven D2s visible protomers
⇨ Sorted as prepore I

g One D2s invisible protomer + six D2 visible protomers
⇨ Sorted as prepore II

Merge particle set based on the number of D2s visible protomers
Non-uniform refinement (C1)
Map alignment with particle alignment update, referring to prepore I map
Local refinement (C1/C7)

Sorting into each prepore class
Final map calculation

h Prepore I (C7)
10,511 p. from class 1

Initial state

i Prepore II (C1)
9,830 p. from class 1 and 5,369 p. from class 2

Early int.   Late int.   Initial state

Extended Data Figure 2 | Detailed classification workflow for Ib-prepore

a Classes obtained from 3D variability analysis. **b** Density of subunit A (transparent light blue)

indicates the mask used for focused classification of symmetry-expanded particles. **c,d** Classes

obtained from focused 3D variability analysis and the corresponding diagram of the possible

relative positions between the focused mask and D2s-visible or D2s-invisible protomers. **e**

Protomer maps extracted from the non-uniform refinement output. Subunit A shows a weak D2s

density because the D2s-visible protomer (**f**) and the D2s-invisible protomer (**g**) are aligned at

the same position. **h,i** Ib-prepore maps obtained from Class 1 particles.

Extended Data Figure 3 | Global and local resolution

The global resolution was calculated using the gold-standard FSC threshold of 0.143. The local

resolution was estimated with the RELION Local Resolution job using the final half-maps that

are calculated using CryoSPARC. For Ib-prepore, the maps are coloured by the same resolution

range (2.5 Å to 5.5 Å), while an independent resolution range coloured Ib-pore due to its

outstanding resolution.

642 **Extended Data Figure 4 | Structural comparison between Ib and CDTb monomer**

643 The monomeric CDTb structure (PDBID: 8DCM) was aligned to the Ib Initial state from

644 Prepore I and the Early int. from Prepore VII.

Extended Data Figure 5 | Protomers profile contained in oligomers

Density maps of the protomers were trimmed from their respective prepores, along with their
atomic models. Owing to the symmetry for averaging, applied during the single particle
analysis, all protomer maps from Prepore I and Prepore VIII are same. The CW and CCW

652   directions were defined relative to the top of the Ib oligomer where the Ia docking region was

653   located.

660   **Extended Data Figure 6 | Comparison of the constriction-site top view**

661   A close-up view of the central constriction site. Each map was calculated using C7 symmetry.

EMDB ID	Pore EMD-61772	Prepore I EMD-61771	Prepore II EMD-61774	Prepore III EMD-61773	Prepore IV EMD-61775	Prepore V EMD-61776	Prepore VI EMD-61777	Prepore VII EMD-61778	Prepore VIII EMD-61779
Magnification	81,000								
Voltage (kV)	300								
Electron Exposure (e⁻/Å²)	50								
Defocus Range (μm)	-0.6–1.6								
Pixel Size (Å)	0.88								
Symmetry Imposed	C7	C7	C1	C1	C1	C1	C1	C1	C7
Initial Particle Images (No.)	6,223,563								
Final Particle Images (No.)	161,249	10,511	15,199	22,352	8,878	11,285	7,676	23,305	17,097
Map Resolution (Å)	2.47	3.04	3.35	3.32	3.57	3.59	3.73	3.32	2.90
FSC Threshold	0.143								

Table1 1 | Cryo-EM data collection statistics

PDB ID	Pore 9JSG	Prepore I 9JSF	Prepore II 9JSI	Prepore III 9JSH	Prepore IV 9JSK	Prepore V 9JSL	Prepore VI 9JSM	Prepore VII 9JSN	Prepore VIII 9JSO
Refinement									
Model Resolution (Å)	2.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.7	4.1	3.6	3.2
FSC Threshold					0.5				
Model Composition									
Non-hydrogen Atoms	28,539	27,426	26,589	26,191	25,806	25,489	25,502	24,827	24,612
Protein Residues	3,710	3,563	3,453	3,397	3,343	3,299	3,256	3,207	3,171
Ligands	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
***B* factor**									
Protein ($Å^2$)	57.22	72.41	16.28	10.83	29.92	31.31	22.04	24.75	70.82
Ligand ($Å^2$)	60.40	60.85	10.12	6.43	24.55	24.25	61.32	19.90	52.98
R.M.S.Deviations									
Bond Lengths	0.008	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.003
Bond Angles	1.096	0.988	0.512	0.654	0.524	0.950	0.973	0.939	0.921
Validation									
MolProbity Score	1.36	1.62	1.65	1.81	1.69	1.56	1.75	1.48	1.54
Clash Score	3.69	5.33	6.76	8.58	7.19	4.54	9.49	4.06	5.84
Poor Rotamers (%)	0.80	0.22	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.25
Ramachandran Plot									
Favored (%)	96.73	95.23	95.94	94.98	95.75	95.26	96.30	95.83	96.63
Allowed (%)	3.27	4.77	4.06	5.02	4.25	4.71	3.70	4.14	3.37
Disallowed (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00

Table 2 | Refinement and validation statistics

Reference

1.   Bischofberger, M., Iacovache, I. & van der Goot, F. G. Pathogenic pore-

forming proteins: function and host response. *Cell Host Microbe* **12**, 266–275 (2012).

2.   Li, Y. *et al.* Structural Basis of the Pore-Forming Toxin/Membrane Interaction.

Toxins **13**, 128 (2021).

3.   Simpson, L. L., Stiles, B. G., Zepeda, H. H. & Wilkins, T. D. Molecular basis

for the pathological actions of Clostridium perfringens iota toxin. *Infect. Immun.* **55**,

118–122 (1987).

4.   Perelle, S., Gibert, M., Bourlioux, P., Corthier, G. & Popoff, M. R. Production

of a complete binary toxin (actin-specific ADP-ribosyltransferase) by Clostridium

difficile CD196. *Infect. Immun.* **65**, 1402–1407 (1997).

5.   Popoff, M. R. & Boquet, P. Clostridium spiroforme toxin is a binary toxin

which ADP-ribosylates cellular actin. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **152**, 1361–

1368 (1988).

6.   Aktories, K. *et al.* Botulinum C2 toxin ADP-ribosylates actin. *Nature* **322**,

390–392 (1986).

7.   Liu, W. & Nestorovich, E. M. Anthrax toxin channel: What we know based on

over 30 years of research. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Biomembr.* **1863**, 183715

(2021).

8.   Knapp, O., Benz, R. & Popoff, M. R. Pore-forming activity of clostridial

binary toxins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Biomembr.* **1858**, 512–525 (2016).

9.   Nagahama, M. *et al.* Clostridium perfringens Iota-Toxin b Induces Rapid Cell

Necrosis ▿ . *Infect. Immun.* **79**, 4353–4360 (2011).

10.   Sakurai, J. & Kobayashi, K. Lethal and dermonecrotic activities of Clostridium

perfringens iota toxin: biological activities induced by cooperation of two nonlinked

components. *Microbiol. Immunol.* **39**, 249–253 (1995).

11.   Stiles, B. G. & Wilkins, T. D. Purification and characterization of Clostridium

perfringens iota toxin: dependence on two nonlinked proteins for biological activity.

Infect. Immun. **54**, 683–688 (1986).

12.   Gordon, V. M., Leppla, S. H. & Hewlett, E. L. Inhibitors of receptor-mediated

endocytosis block the entry of Bacillus anthracis adenylate cyclase toxin but not that of

Bordetella pertussis adenylate cyclase toxin. *Infect. Immun.* **56**, 1066–1069 (1988).

13.   Barth, H. *et al.* Cellular uptake of Clostridium botulinum C2 toxin requires

oligomerization and acidification. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 18704–18711 (2000).

14.   Schering, B., Bärmann, M., Chhatwal, G. S., Geipel, U. & Aktories, K. ADP-
ribosylation of skeletal muscle and non-muscle actin by Clostridium perfringens iota
toxin. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **171**, 225–229 (1988).

15.   Reuner, K. H., Presek, P., Boschek, C. B. & Aktories, K. Botulinum C2 toxin
ADP-ribosylates actin and disorganizes the microfilament network in intact cells. *Eur.*
J. Cell Biol. **43**, 134–140 (1987).

16.   Duesbery, N. S. *et al.* Proteolytic Inactivation of MAP-Kinase-Kinase by
Anthrax Lethal Factor. *Science* **280**, 734–737 (1998).

17.   Leppla, S. H. Anthrax toxin edema factor: a bacterial adenylate cyclase that
increases cyclic AMP concentrations of eukaryotic cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **79**,
3162–3166 (1982).

18.   Krantz, B. A. *et al.* A phenylalanine clamp catalyzes protein translocation
through the anthrax toxin pore. *Science* **309**, 777–781 (2005).

19.   Jiang, J., Pentelute, B. L., Collier, R. J. & Zhou, Z. H. Atomic structure of
anthrax protective antigen pore elucidates toxin translocation. *Nature* **521**, 545–549
(2015).

20.   Yamada, T. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures reveal translocational unfolding in the
clostridial binary iota toxin complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **27**, 288–296 (2020).

21.   Kawamoto, A. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures of the translocational binary toxin
complex CDTa-bound CDTb-pore from Clostridioides difficile. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 6119
(2022).

22.   Hardenbrook, N. J. *et al.* Atomic structures of anthrax toxin protective antigen
channels bound to partially unfolded lethal and edema factors. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 840
(2020).

23.   Melnyk, R. A. & Collier, R. J. A loop network within the anthrax toxin pore
positions the phenylalanine clamp in an active conformation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*
S. A. **103**, 9802–9807 (2006).

24.   Sun, J., Lang, A. E., Aktories, K. & Collier, R. J. Phenylalanine-427 of anthrax
protective antigen functions in both pore formation and protein translocation. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. **105**, 4346–4351 (2008).

25.   Sellman, B. R., Nassi, S. & Collier, R. J. Point Mutations in Anthrax Protective
Antigen That Block Translocation *. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 8371–8376 (2001).

26.   Scott, H. *et al.* Structure of the Anthrax Protective Antigen D425A Dominant
Negative Mutant Reveals a Stalled Intermediate State of Pore Maturation. *J. Mol. Biol.*
434, 167548 (2022).

27.   Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC:
algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* **14**,
290–296 (2017).

28.   Goldsmith, J. A., Dewar, V., Hermand, P., Blais, N. & McLellan, J. S.
Structural Basis for Binding of Neutralizing Antibodies to Clostridioides difficile
Binary Toxin. *J. Bacteriol.* **205**, e00456-22 (2023).

29.   Petosa, C., Collier, R. J., Klimpel, K. R., Leppla, S. H. & Liddington, R. C.
Crystal structure of the anthrax toxin protective antigen. *Nature* **385**, 833–838 (1997).

30.   Naik, S. *et al.* Monitoring the Kinetics of the pH-Driven Transition of the
Anthrax Toxin Prepore to the Pore by Biolayer Interferometry and Surface Plasmon
Resonance. *Biochemistry* **52**, 6335–6347 (2013).

31.   Laver, W. G. & Valentine, R. C. Morphology of the isolated hemagglutinin and
neuraminidase subunits of influenza virus. *Virology* **38**, 105–119 (1969).

32.   Gallagher, J. R. *et al.* Characterization of Hemagglutinin Antigens on Influenza
Virus and within Vaccines Using Electron Microscopy. *Vaccines* **6**, 31 (2018).

33.   Xu, X. *et al.* Structure of the cell-binding component of the Clostridium
difficile binary toxin reveals a di-heptamer macromolecular assembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. U. S. A. **117**, 1049–1058 (2020).

34.   Anderson, D. M., Sheedlo, M. J., Jensen, J. L. & Lacy, D. B. Structural
Insights into the Transition of Clostridioides difficile Binary Toxin from Prepore to
Pore. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, 102–107 (2020).

35.   Feld, G. K. *et al.* Structural basis for the unfolding of anthrax lethal factor by
protective antigen oligomers. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **17**, 1383–1390 (2010).

36.   Antoni, C. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of the fully-loaded asymmetric anthrax
lethal toxin in its heptameric pre-pore state. *PLOS Pathog.* **16**, e1008530 (2020).

37.   Zhou, K. *et al.* Atomic structures of anthrax prechannel bound with full-length
lethal and edema factors. *Struct. Lond. Engl. 1993* **28**, 879-887.e3 (2020).

38.   Jiao, F. *et al.* Perforin-2 clockwise hand-over-hand pre-pore to pore transition
mechanism. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5039 (2022).

39.   Rabideau, A. E., Liao, X., Akçay, G. & Pentelute, B. L. Translocation of Non-
Canonical Polypeptides into Cells Using Protective Antigen. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 11944 (2015).

40.   Punjani, A. & Fleet, D. J. 3D variability analysis: Resolving continuous
flexibility and discrete heterogeneity from single particle cryo-EM. *J. Struct. Biol.* **213**,
107702 (2021).

41.   Rubinstein, J. L. & Brubaker, M. A. Alignment of cryo-EM movies of

individual particles by optimization of image translations. *J. Struct. Biol.* **192**, 188–195

(2015).

42.   Estimation of high-order aberrations and anisotropic magnification from cryo-

EM data sets in RELION-3.1 - PubMed. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32148853/.

43.   Scheres, S. H. W. RELION: Implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-

EM structure determination. *J. Struct. Biol.* **180**, 519–530 (2012).

44.   Punjani, A., Zhang, H. & Fleet, D. J. Non-uniform refinement: adaptive

regularization improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. *Nat. Methods* **17**,

1214–1221 (2020).

45.   Goddard, T. D. *et al.* UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in

visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci. Publ. Protein Soc.* **27**, 14–25 (2018).

46.   Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics.

Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. **60**, 2126–2132 (2004).

47.   Afonine, P. V. *et al.* Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and

crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. Struct. Biol.* **74**, 531–544 (2018).

48.   Chen, V. B. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular

crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 12–21 (2010).

49.   Smart, O. S., Neduvelil, J. G., Wang, X., Wallace, B. A. & Sansom, M. S. P.

HOLE: A program for the analysis of the pore dimensions of ion channel structural

models. *J. Mol. Graph.* **14**, 354–360 (1996).

790