Cystobactamid off-target profiling reveals favorable safety, superoxide reduction, and SCARB1 inhibition in eukaryotes

Timo Risch[1,2], Benedikt Hellwinkel[3], Dietrich Mostert[4], Andreas M. Kany[1,2], Danny Solga[5], Tim Seedorf[5], Dominik Heimann[2,6], Jessica Hoppstädter[7], Daniel Kohnhäuser[2,6], Jil-Sophie Hilgers[1], Franziska Fries[1,2], Felix Deschner[1,2], Mark Brönstrup[2,6], Andreas Kirschning[5,8], Stephan A. Sieber[1,4], Thomas Pietschmann[2,3,6,9], Alexandra K. Kiemer[7], Jennifer Herrmann*[1,2], and Rolf Müller*[1,2]

[1]T. Risch, Dr. A. M. Kany, J. Hilgers, F. Fries, F. Deschner, Dr. J. Herrmann, Prof. Dr. S. A. Sieber, Prof.

Dr. R. Müller

Helmholtz Institute for Pharmaceutical Research Saarland (HIPS), Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research (HZI) and Saarland University Department of Pharmacy, Campus Building E8.1, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany, E-mail: rolf.mueller@helmholtz-hips.de

[2]T. Risch, Dr. A. M. Kany, Dr. D. Kohnhäuser, D. Heimann, F. Fries, F. Deschner, Prof. Dr. M. Brönstrup,

Prof. Dr. Thomas Pietschmann, Dr. J. Herrmann, Prof. Dr. R. Müller

German Centre for Infection Research (DZIF), Inhoffenstraße 7, 38124 Braunschweig, Germany

[3]B. Hellwinkel, Prof. Dr. Thomas Pietschmann

TWINCORE, Centre for Experimental and Clinical Infection Research, a joint venture between the Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research and the Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

[4]Dr. D. Mostert, Prof. Dr. S. A. Sieber

Center for Functional Protein Assemblies (CPA), Department of Chemistry, Chair of Organic Chemistry II, Technical University of Munich, Ernst-Otto-Fischer-Straße 8, 85748 Garching, Germany

[5]Dr. D. Solga, Dr. T. Seedorf, Prof. Dr. A. Kirschning

Leibniz University Hannover, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Schneiderberg 1B, 30167 Hannover, Germany

[6]Dr. D. Kohnhäuser, D. Heimann, Prof. Dr. M. Brönstrup, Prof. Dr. Thomas Pietschmann

Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research (HZI), Inhoffenstraße 7, 38124 Braunschweig, Germany

[7]Dr. J. Hoppstädter, Prof. Dr. A. K. Kiemer

Institute for Pharmaceutical Biology, Department of Pharmacy, Saarland University

Campus C2 3, 66123 Saarbruecken, Germany

[8]Prof. Dr. Andreas Kirschning

Uppsala Biomedical Center (BMC), Uppsala University, Husargatan 3, 752 37 Uppsala, Sweden

[9]Prof. Dr. Thomas Pietschmann

36   Cluster of Excellence RESIST (EXC 2155), Hanover Medical School, Hanover, Germany

37   *Co-corresponding Author

38   Supporting information for this article is provided separately

Abstract:

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is escalating globally at an alarming rate, posing a severe threat to public health and modern medicine. A substantial strategy to combat AMR and ensure safe and efficient antimicrobial therapies in the future is the development of antimicrobial agents based on novel scaffolds exerting an innovative mode of action. The antibacterial class of cystobactamids, natural products targeting the bacterial gyrase and topoisomerase IV, have such a novel scaffold, and their synthetic derivatives exhibit broad-spectrum antibiotic activity. Herein, as part of our de-risking strategy, we set out to study and characterize potential biological effects on eukaryotic cells. A comprehensive toxicological *in vitro* profiling focusing on general cytotoxicity, genotoxicity and mitochondrial toxicity is provided along with *in vivo* experiments to characterize potential developmental, cardio- and hepatotoxicity in zebrafish embryos. Results from these investigations support good safety and a presumably high therapeutic index of cystobactamids, even suggesting potential protective properties against oxidative cell stress. Furthermore, *in vitro* metabolism studies unveiled glucuronidation and amide bond hydrolysis as the main pathways of biotransformation in hepatocytes. Metabolic stability of cystobactamids was substantially improved by co-treatment with the CYP3A/OATP1B inhibitor cobicistat. In addition, eukaryotic off-targets of cystobactamids were studied on the molecular level employing affinity-based protein profiling and revealing scavenger receptor class B member 1 (SCARB1) as primary eukaryotic target protein. Indeed, functional inhibition of SCARB1 by cystobactamids was shown and effectively prevented hepatitis C virus pseudoparticles (HCVpp) from cell entry, thus confirming SCARB1 inhibition as novel target of the class.

Introduction

Leading health institutions, including the European medicine agency (EMA) and the World Health Organization (WHO), call attention to antimicrobial resistance (AMR) as an increasing global risk to patients and healthcare systems[1,2,3,4] The misuse and overuse of antibiotics in humans and also in animals drive the emergence and spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria. At the same time, the number of future treatment options using novel antibiotics with innovative structures and mechanisms of action is insufficient.[4,5] The development of previously identified cystobactamids (CYS) might help to fill this gap, as they represent promising broad-spectrum antibiotics comprising a novel scaffold.[6]

CYS are natural products derived from *Cystobacter and Myxococcus* spp.[7] They exhibit antibacterial activity against a broad spectrum of clinically relevant Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria including MDR isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*.[6,7] CYS act through a new mechanism of action by inhibiting bacterial type IIa topoisomerases (gyrase and topoisomerase IV).[6] A dual mode of binding for the structurally related albicidin and CYS was recently described with one part of the molecule blocking the gyrase dimer interface and the other end intercalating between cleaved DNA fragments, hence preventing DNA religation.[8] Importantly, CYS and the structurally related compound classes of albicidin and coralmycin represent a novel chemical scaffold consisting of *para*-nitrobenzoic acid (PNBA) and multiple *para*-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) units connected through an amino acid linker.[9,10] The novel structure, the lack of cross-resistance with commercially used drugs and their new mechanism of action contribute to the observed resistance-breaking properties within clinical isolates of MDR pathogens.[6,7,11–13] Furthermore, CYS were shown to have a low frequency of resistance (FoR)[13], which is of utmost importance for the wide and sustainable use as an antibiotic. Several total syntheses of CYS were established and modified to successfully yield more than hundred derivatives.[7,11,12,14,15] This allowed for large-scale production and structural modifications to derive structure-activity and

structure-property relationships for optimization of potency, antibacterial spectrum
coverage, on-target activity, and physicochemical properties.[14,15]

To ensure efficacy and safety, pharmacological and toxicological properties need to
be determined before entering clinical studies. In fact, leading causes for failure of
drug candidates in the drug development process are lack of efficacy (52%) or an
unfavorable safety profile (24%) (2013-2015).[16,17] Drug approval requires three key
properties, namely high quality standards during the production procedure, efficacy
against the target disease or symptoms, and a favorable safety profile.[18] Overall, the
benefit of using a certain drug must exceed the risk of serious adverse effects.[19]
Adverse or side effects include innumerable symptoms, which can range from
uncritical effects like dizziness or headaches to live-threatening events such as liver
damage or arrhythmia.[20,21]

In the presented study, we evaluated the cyto-, geno- and mitotoxicity of CYS
derivatives CN-861-2, CN-DM-861 and Cysto-180 using *in vitro* cell culture models,
and we investigated more complex organotoxicity with regards to general
developmental, cardio- and hepatotoxicity by *in vivo* zebrafish embryo models.
Furthermore, metabolic pathways and biotransformation of CYS were investigated *in*
vitro, and a strategy to improve their *in vivo* exposure was proposed. Moreover,
eukaryotic off-target proteins of CYS were identified and analyzed on a molecular
level by affinity-based proteome profiling and functional inhibition assays, surprisingly
uncovering yet unexplored potential therapeutic areas for CYS outside their use as
antibacterial agents.

Results and Discussion

CYS demonstrate general safety in cytotoxicity and genotoxicity assays, with a
mild effect on uncoupling the mitochondrial electron transfer chain (MTC)
Three CYS derivatives (CN-861-2, CN-DM-861 and Cysto-180; **FIG 1A**) were chosen
to further investigate properties of the class with respect to their biological activity on
eukaryotic cells. The selected derivatives comprise early stage frontrunner molecules
with improved antibacterial properties (CN-861-2 and CN-DM-861[15]; the former

served as main reference compound in this study due to its availability) and a further

improved derivative (Cysto-180[13]).

Since CYS are bacterial topoisomerase II inhibitors and thus, affect DNA synthesis

and repair[22], an obvious potential molecular off-target of CYS is the human DNA

topoisomerase IIα (TOP2A). Half inhibitory concentrations (IC_{50}) of 6.26-12.22 µM

(**FIG 1B**) against TOP2A were determined (CN-861-2 IC_{50} = 6.26 ± 4.69 µM, CN-DM-

861 IC_{50} = 10.83 ± 2.38 µM, Cysto-180 IC_{50} = 12.22 ± 0.63µM), which range far

beyond (~100 fold) previously observed minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of

CYS for their target pathogens as well as identified IC_{50} on *E. coli* gyrase (CN-DM-

861 IC_{50} = 0.08 µM).[13,15]

Cell culture models are widely used to determine the cytotoxicity of compounds and

to initially assess whether molecules interact with fundamental cellular functions

affecting cell division and viability.[23] Interestingly, though their inhibitory effect on the

isolated TOP2A activity, CYS did not show any reduction of cell viability in their

tested solubility range (≤ 20 µM) for any tested human and non-human cell line (**FIG**

1C). Cysto-180 was more soluble than CN-861-2 and CN-DM-861 and could be

tested at higher concentrations. Cysto-180 did not exert cytotoxic effects in Huh-7.5

or CHO-K1 cells with an IC_{50} > 100 µM, underlining the safety of this compound class

in the cell viability assay. False negatives resulting from CYS binding to FBS present

in the cell media can be excluded, since we observed only a negligible shift in MIC

(2-fold) when supplementing the bacterial growth media (MHCII) with FBS (10 %).

In order to assess whether topoisomerase inhibition or previously observed minor

groove binding would translate into genotoxicity in a cellular context, a micronucleus

assay was performed.[11,8] The DNA cross-linker mitomycin C (0.05 µM), the

intercalator doxorubicin (0.05 µM) and the topoisomerase II poison etoposide

(0.25 µM) showed a high amount of nuclear bud/micronuclei formation. Interestingly,

etoposide as TOP2 poison showed substantial micronuclei formation far below its

IC_{50} for TOP2A (46.3 µM, determined by Inspiralis). Cells treated with CYS at

concentrations exceeding their TOP2A IC_{50} (20 µM, 100 µM for Cysto-180) also

exhibit some micronuclei/nuclear bud formation, but the extent was comparable to

that observed in the DMSO control (**FIG 1D**, **FIG S1**). Despite the topoisomerase

inhibition observed on the isolated protein, these results suggest a relatively safe

genotoxic profile in a whole cell environment, potentially explainable by poor passive

eukaryotic membrane permeability of tested CYS derivatives. Nevertheless, further

de-risking measures in the development of CYS are highly recommended, as the

possibility of a genotoxic effect cannot be excluded.[24,25]

Given the known risk of quinolone antibiotics interfering with the mitochondrial

topoisomerases and ETC-proteins, thereby harming mitochondrial functions[26,27], CYS

were further tested in a Seahorse XF Mito Tox Assay (Agilent) to evaluate mitotoxic

risks. This assay measures the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) of HepG2 cells

treated with the test compounds. During the assay, oligomycin is added as an

inhibitor of the oxidative phosphorylation, thus oversaturating the electrochemical

gradient at the inner mitochondrial membrane and thereby causing a decrease in the

OCR. Subsequently, carbonyl cyanide-p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP)

(ionophore) addition leads to an uncoupling of the electron transport chain (ETC)

from the oxidative phosphorylation, thereby increasing the OCR. Inhibition of the

oxidative phosphorylation can be seen as a decrease of the starting OCR, whereas

uncoupling is defined as an increase in OCR after oligomycin addition. Furthermore,

a reduction of the starting OCR and after FCCP addition indicates an inhibition of the

ETC caused by the test compound. CYS showed neither inhibition of the oxidative

phosphorylation, nor of the ETC due to reduced oxygen consumption at the starting

conditions or after FCCP addition, respectively.[28] However, CYS showed a slight but

significant uncoupling of the ETC from the oxidative phosphorylation after oligomycin

addition with an uncoupling mitochondrial toxicity index (MTI) of 13-17% at their

highest soluble concentrations (**FIG 1E** and **F**). This indicates that CYS as lipophilic

weak acids might act as mitochondrial protonophores, shuttling protons across the

inner mitochondrial membrane.[29] CYS are likely to be present in a neutral charge

form in the acidic environment of the mitochondrial intermembrane space. This

protonation could enhance their membrane migration into the mitochondrial matrix,

where the carboxylic acid group is subsequently deprotonated. This process might

partially restore the electrochemical gradient and thereby the functionality of the

electron transport chain (ETC). However, this slight uncoupling did not seem to have

a direct harming effect for cell growth and viability as shown above.

FIG 1. CYS demonstrate general safety in cytotoxicity and genotoxicity assays, with a mild
effect on uncoupling the mitochondrial electron transfer chain (MTC). Chemical structures of
tested CYS derivatives CN-861-2 (top), CN-DM-861 (bottom left) and Cysto-180 (bottom right) in
comparison. N-terminal rings A and B are connected via an amino-acid linker with C-terminal rings C
to E. Structural differences to CN-861-2 (reference in this study) are marked in red **(A)**.
Cystobactamids show concentration dependent inhibition of the human topoisomerase IIα. Data are
represented as mean values with standard deviation. IC$_{50}$ was evaluated using non-linear regression
(n = 3) **(B)**. CYS derivatives showed no harming effect on cell viability on human and non-human
immortalized cell lines in their solubility range (n = 3) (n.d., not determined) **(C)**. CYS treated cells
showed micronucleus formation comparable to the DMSO control (see also **FIG S1**), while mitomycin
C treated cells showed extensive micronucleus formation. (nuclei colored in white, white arrows
indicate micronuclei, scale bar = 50 μm) (n = 3) **(D)**. Seahorse mitotoxicity assay revealed a slight

uncoupling effect of CYS, determined as an increased oxygen consumption rate (OCR) following oligomycin addition. Data are represented as mean values with standard deviation (n = 3 x 6 wells) **(E)**. Normalized mean values (% normalized to max OCR of control group) with standard deviations are shown (n = 3 x 6). Statistically significant differences of the oligomycin OCR were analyzed by ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison to the DMSO treated control group. (**, $p < 0.005$; ****, $p < 0.0001$). Violin plot is used for data representation **(F)**.

CYS treatment resulted in a significant reduction of superoxide radical formation

It has been shown that anti-infective agents frequently influence the mitochondrial function.[26] To investigate potential mitochondrial toxicity caused by increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) due to interruption of the ETC upon CYS treatment, superoxide radical ($O_2^{•-}$) production was examined using MitoSOX Red. ROS are byproducts of various essential biological functions and are involved in cell homeostasis and signaling. However, excess of ROS due to cellular stress, (UV-)irradiation or xenobiotics leads to oxidation of biological components such as lipids, proteins and DNA, which ultimately interrupt their physiological function.[30] It has been shown that an increase in ROS *in vivo* can lead to *e.g.* heart or liver failure with increased mortality, as seen *e.g.* for the cancer chemotherapeutic class of anthracyclines.[31–33] The superoxide radical is the precursor of most ROS and thus, represents an indicator used for quantification of ROS formation.

When comparing the superoxide formation of the DMSO control with the CYS treated cells, there was no increase observable. To our surprise, a decrease of superoxide radical levels was observed in CN-861-2 and Cysto-180 treated samples compared to the control group. We also noticed precipitation of CN-DM-861, probably contributing to increased variation in measured fluorescence intensity caused by light scattering at the particles (**FIG 2A** top row, **FIG 2B**). In order to investigate whether CYS are able to intercept superoxide radicals, ROS formation via treatment with the redox-cycler menadione was induced and cells were co-treated with CYS.[34] Indeed, in the presence of CN-DM-861 and Cysto-180 no significant increase of superoxide was observed. CN-861-2 co-treated cells exhibited a slight yet statistically significant increase in superoxide production, though the induction remained considerably lower compared to the menadione control (**FIG 2A** bottom row, **FIG 2C**).

Nevertheless, some minor morphological abnormalities were also observed in CYS

co-treated cells, indicating that a comprehensive ROS-protection was not achieved.

However, these results show that CYS are able to counteract superoxide radical

formation induced by menadione, and suggest that CYS generally prevent ROS

formation to a certain extent. This effect might partially be explained by the observed

slight uncoupling of the ETC. It was previously reported that mild uncoupling by

mitochondrial uncoupling proteins (UCPs) reduces superoxide formation due to a

slight proton leakage.[35] This proton leakage potentially decreases the transfer of

excess electrons in the ETC to oxygen (electron leakage), which would subsequently

lead to superoxide formation.[36] Furthermore, the structure of CYS could additionally

serve as a radical scavenger due to radical resonance stabilization captured in its

aromatic moieties.[37] These findings indicate that CYS do not induce ROS formation

but serve as protective agents against oxidative cell stress.

244
FIG 2. CYS treatment resulted in a significant reduction of superoxide radical formation.
Superoxide formation in U-2 OS cells (indicated by MitoSOX-red fluorescence (pseudocolor red),
Hoechst-stained nuclei (pseudocolor blue)) (scale bars are set to 200 µm) **(A)**. Cells showed
significantly reduced basal levels of superoxide, when treated with CN-861-2 and Cysto-180. Mean

values with standard deviation are shown. Statistical significance was analyzed by one-way ANOVA
with multiple comparison to the control group and multiple unpaired t-test ($n = 6$) (ns, non-significant; *,
$p < 0.05$; ****, $p < 0.0001$) **(B)**. Co-treatment with CYS suppresses menadione-induced ROS
formation. Mean values with standard deviation are shown. Statistical significance was analyzed by
one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison to the control group and multiple unpaired t-test ($n = 6$) (ns,
non-significant; *, $p < 0.05$; ****, $p < 0.0001$) **(C)**.

CYS are metabolized in hepatocytes by amide bond hydrolysis and
glucuronidation, which can be suppressed by cobicistat supplementation

Investigation of the *in vitro* drug metabolism and pharmacokinetic (DMPK) properties
of potential new drugs is a crucial aspect of its pharmacological and toxicological
assessment that is essential for evaluating an efficacy and safety profile. The
characterization of new molecules comprises *e.g.* plasma or metabolic half-life and
thereby the drug's ability to reach sufficient exposure *in vivo* in relevant
compartments. In addition, the metabolic pathway of a compound can guide
compound optimization and has potential impact on toxicological properties, *e.g.* by
formation of reactive or toxic intermediates, or by depleting detoxifying agents like
glutathione.[38,39]

In vitro evaluations revealed metabolic stability of tested CYS in mouse plasma ($t_{1/2} >$
240 min). In mouse liver microsomes (MLM), some turnover was observed with slight
differences between the derivatives. Cysto-180 and CN-861-2 were stable with $t_{1/2} >$
120 min and 81 % and 59 % of parent compound remaining after 120 min,
respectively, while CN-DM-861 had a half-life of 89 min (**Table S1**). When tested in
murine hepatocytes containing the full complement of phase I and phase II drug
metabolizing enzymes, degradation was observed for CN-DM-861 ($t_{1/2}$ 94 ± 15 min),
and Cysto-180 ($t_{1/2}$ 41 ± 16 min), while CN-861-2 was stable for over > 3 h (**Table**
S1). In order to obtain information about the metabolic pathways of CYS, the
metabolites of CN-DM-861 and Cysto-180 in mouse hepatocytes were analyzed
qualitatively. These studies revealed amide bond hydrolysis and glucuronidation of
the parent compound as the major metabolic pathways (**FIG S2-S5**). In particular, the
amide bond between ring C and ring D appeared to be metabolically labile.

With the aim of reducing the turnover of CYS *in vivo* by reducing hepatic uptake, we

investigated its metabolic stability in the presence of cobicistat. This drug is known as

an OATP1B and CYP3A inhibitor and is used as a co-treatment with HIV therapeutics

to enhance their metabolic stability.[40,41]

Supplementation substantially increased the metabolic stability of CN-DM-861 in

mouse hepatocytes in a concentration-dependent manner. Whether the observed

increase in CYS stability is solely related to reduced transport into hepatocytes via

OATP inhibition or also influenced by reduced CYP-mediated amide cleavage[42],

cannot be fully answered by these assays. The fact that CN-DM-861 metabolism was

also reduced by cobicistat in liver microsomes shows that in principle, reduction of

CYS metabolism via CYP inhibition is feasible (**FIG S6**).[42] In any case, combination

of CYS with cobicistat represents a promising strategy to enhance their metabolic

stability and availability *in vivo*. However, verification in murine pharmacokinetic

studies is required to determine whether a combination with cobicistat leads to

improved *in vivo* stability.

All compounds showed high plasma protein binding (PPB ~ 96-100%, **Table S1**),

which might raise an issue *in vivo* due to reduced availability of free drug. Only the

free compound fraction is capable of interacting with its antimicrobial target and thus,

capable of causing a pharmacological effect. However, previous experiments

demonstrated the capability of CYS for being efficacious *in vivo* as assessed in

various murine infection models.[15,43] It is also important to consider that partial PPB

might even be beneficial for increased metabolic half-life and prolonged exposure at

the site of infection.

In vivo toxicity evaluation of CYS in zebrafish embryos revealed no abnormalities in development, cardiac function, or liver morphology

In vitro assays are sufficient in providing a basic understanding of the

pharmacological properties of a new compound on a cellular level but can often not

cover the complexity of a whole organism. Zebrafish embryos can be used as a more

complex *in vivo* model *e.g.*, for the early evaluation of potential (organo-)toxicity of a

compound and its potential phase I and phase II metabolites.[44–46] In compliance with

the 3R principle on the <u>r</u>eduction, <u>r</u>efinement and <u>r</u>eplacement of animal experiments,

we applied this model since zebrafish at early developmental stages (≤ 120 hours

post-fertilization, hpf) are not classified as animal models according to Directive

2010/63/EU.[47]

Developmental toxicity can be caused by various mechanisms including interference

of the compound with gene expression, cell growth and differentiation, homeostasis

or inhibition of angiogenesis.[48,49] To investigate potential developmental and *in vivo*

genotoxicity, zebrafish embryos were incubated in the presence of CYS from a very

early developmental stage (1 day post fertilization (dpf)) until most organs are fully

developed (5 dpf). For the positive control 3,4-dichloroaniline[50] various malformations

including spinal curvature and edema were observed, which ultimately led to death.

CYS-treated zebrafish embryos did not develop malformations with 100% survival

until 5 dpf at their highest tested soluble concentration (20 µM) (**FIG 3A**). Due to its

higher solubility in the incubation medium, Cysto-180 was tested up to 200 µM,

showing the same outcome. Thus, the maximum tolerated concentration (MTC) of

CYS in zebrafish embryos is above their solubility limit. In view of their potent

antibacterial activity with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) in the sub-µM

range[15], these data suggest that CYS might have a large therapeutic window.

Furthermore, it was reported that substances, which are cardiotoxic in humans, show

the same effect in zebrafish embryos with a very high accuracy.[51] Especially human

ether-à-go-go-related gene (hERG) channel inhibition is regarded as a major risk

factor being predictive for cardiotoxicity. Inhibition of this ion channel induces cardiac

QT interval prolongation, resulting in a specific type of arrhythmia called torsades de

pointes, which is associated with high mortality rates.[21,52] The phenotypical endpoints

determined in the zebrafish embryo assay included heartbeat rate, cardiac rhythm

and the development of pericardial edema.[45] Upon treatment with the known hERG-

inhibitor terfenadine, embryos showed a significant, concentration-dependent

increase in pericardial area (**FIG 3B** and **C**). Additionally, examination of the

heartbeat rate revealed a significant and concentration-dependent decrease of beats

per minute with apparent arrhythmia in the vast majority of treated embryos (**FIG 3D**).

CYS-treated embryos neither showed pericardial malformations, nor significant

changes in pericardial area or heartbeat rate (**FIG 3B-D**). These results suggest a

favorable cardiotoxic safety profile of CYS *in vivo*.

A

Survival curve graph showing Survival [%] vs Time [dpf]

Legend:
- DMSO Control
- CN-861-2 [20 µM]
- CN-DM-861 [20 µM]
- Cysto-180 [200 µM]
- 3,4-dichloraniline [16 µg/mL]

B

Diagram of zebrafish embryo anatomy with labels: Blood vessels, Spinal cord, Swim bladder, Liver, Otolith, Tail fin, Eye, Cloaca, Intestine, Yolk sac, Heart, Mouth

DMSO	Terfenadine	CN-861-2	CN-DM-861	Cysto-180

Microscopy images of zebrafish heads under each treatment condition

C

*Box plot of Pericardial area [normalized %] for: Control, Terfenadine 10 µM, Terfenadine 20 µM, CN-861-2 20 µM, CN-DM-861 20 µM, Cysto-180 20 µM. Significance: ns, ns, ns, ****, ****.*

D

*Box plot of Heart rate [bpm] for: Control, Terfenadine 10 µM, Terfenadine 20 µM, CN-861-2 20 µM, CN-DM-861 20 µM, Cysto-180 20 µM. Significance: ns, ns, ns, ****, ****.*

FIG 3. *In vivo* **toxicity evaluation of CYS in zebrafish embryos revealed no abnormalities in development or cardiac function.** CYS showed no developmental *in vivo* toxicity. All CYS treated zebrafish embryos survived and showed no abnormal development when exposed from 1 to 5 dpf. Maximum tolerated concentration (MTC) of CYS was shown to be above their solubility limit ($n = 20$)

(A). For assessment of cardiotoxicity, zebrafish embryos were treated from 2 to 3 dpf with no
observable pathological cardiac morphology for CYS. For the positive control terfenadine, pericardial
edemas were observable (white arrow) (created with BioRender.com) **(B)**. Statistical evaluation of the
pericardial area showed no abnormalities for CYS treated embryos, but an increase in pericardial area
after terfenadine treatment. Box plot with whiskers is shown. Statistical significance was analyzed by
one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison to the control group (n ≥ 20) (ns, non-significant; ****, p <
0.0001) **(C)**. Statistical evaluation of the embryo´s heart rates showed no abnormalities after CYS
treatment, but a decreased heart rate after treatment with the positive control terfenadine. Box plot
with whiskers is shown. Statistical significance was analyzed by one-way ANOVA with multiple
comparison to the control group ($n ≥ 20$) (ns, non-significant; ****, $p < 0.0001$) **(D)**.

The correlation between human and zebrafish toxicology was also observed for drug-
induced hepatotoxicity. The assessment of *in vivo* hepatotoxicity offers the
opportunity to identify not only toxic effects by the parent molecule but also potential
toxicity of *in vivo* metabolites. At the same time the actual *in vivo* distribution of a drug
and its metabolites is considered, which can cause adverse effects if a compound
shows accumulation in certain compartments such as the liver. Functional toxicity,
such as bile acid pump inhibition or steatosis, is also more effectively assessed *in*
vivo than *in vitro*. For intoxicated zebrafish embryos, liver degradation can be easily
observed by quantifying liver size reduction and observation of histological changes
after treatment.[46]

Several mechanisms of drug-induced hepatotoxicity were proposed for the positive
control valproic acid, namely formation of reactive metabolites, disturbance of the
mitochondrial function and fatty acid metabolism and finally induction of oxidative
stress.[31]

The transgenic fish line [Tg(fabp10a:DsRed; elaA:EGFP)] with fluorescent liver cells
was used, facilitating the quantification of liver size.[46] Valproic acid-treated embryos
showed significant liver size reduction, reflecting its hepatotoxicity. CYS did not show
any significant reduction in liver size, thus suggesting an advantageous and non-
hepatotoxic profile *in vivo* (**FIG 4A** and **B**).

FIG 4. *In vivo* toxicity evaluation of CYS in zebrafish embryos revealed no abnormalities in liver
morphology. No *in vivo* hepatotoxic effect was observed for CYS treated zebrafish embryos.
Transgenic zebrafish embryos [Tg(fabp10a:DsRed; elaA:EGFP)] were checked for liver size reduction
after treatment as endpoint readout. Liver degeneration was examined by comparing the fluorescent
liver area to the control group **(A)**. CYS treated embryos did not show a significant reduction in liver
size, in contrast to the positive control valproic acid. Box plot with whiskers is shown for a quantitative
representation of liver size. Statistical significance was analyzed by one-way ANOVA with multiple
comparison to the control group ($n \geq 15$) (ns, non-significant; *, $p < 0.05$) **(B)**.

Molecular off-target identification revealed SCARB1 as primary binding partner

of CYS in eukaryotes

Phenotypical assays offer a comprehensive overview of potential intoxications;

nevertheless, they frequently fail to provide insights into molecular mechanisms

including binding partners of the investigated compounds.

For this purpose we designed and synthesized the CYS photo-probe Cysto-354 to

investigate eukaryotic target proteins of CYS via affinity-based protein profiling

(AfBPP) (**FIG S7–S27**).[53] Cysto-354 was tested for potential cytotoxicity, revealing a

slight effect on cell viability at the highest assay concentration (37 μM) and no effect

at any of the lower concentrations tested (≤ 12.3 μM) (**FIG S28**).

AfBPP aims to identify molecular binding partners via photo-reactive cross-linking

followed by enrichment of the bound proteins. In order to exclude enriched but

unspecifically bound proteins, competition of the binding site was performed by co-

treatment with the unfunctionalized parent compound (here: CN-861-2). In such

cases, specific binding is identified by reduction of enrichment in the presence of the

parent compound.

By performing the AfBPP assay with Cysto-354-treated HepG2 cells, an immortalized

liver cell line which is widely used in early toxicity profiling of drug candidates, we

were able to identify significantly enriched proteins, which belong to a functional

cluster of cholesterol transfer activity due to lipoprotein and lipid binding (**FIG 5A** and

B). Proteins within this functional cluster had in common, that they interact with the

highly enriched cholesterol-, lipid- and lipoprotein-receptor scavenger receptor class

B member 1 (SCARB1).

By comparing significantly enriched and competed proteins, we were able to identify

SCARB1 (**FIG 5C**) and LPCAT3 as potential specific binding partners of CYS. To

gain insights across diverse cell types, this assay was replicated utilizing both

HEK293 (kidney) and HeLa (cervix) cells. SCARB1 was observed to be enriched in

all tested cell types, whereas LPCAT3 was not enriched in HEK293 or HeLa cells

(**FIG 5D** and **E**). The transcriptional coregulator pirin (PIR) and the acyltransferase

AGPAT2 were observed to be enriched in all cell types (**FIG 5E**), however, the

proteins were not competed by CN-861-2 addition, indicating only unspecific binding

of Cysto-354.[54] Noteworthy, human topoisomerase (TOP1, TOP2A, TOP2B)

enrichment was not observed in the whole cell environment of HepG2, HeLa and

HEK293, potentially explaining the lack of observable cellular (geno-)toxicity (**FIG**

S29).

In conclusion, SCARB1 appears to be the primary off-target protein of CYS in

eukaryotic cells. Biophysical binding analysis via surface plasmon resonance (SPR)

(Biacore X100, Cytiva) was used to characterize and confirm the direct interaction of

CYS and SCARB1. For this, biotinylated SCARB1 (Acrobiosystems) was immobilized

on a SPR sensorchip, coated with streptavidin (sensor chip SA, Cytiva). All tested

CYS derivatives (20 µM) showed interaction with the immobilized SCARB1 protein,

exhibiting different binding kinetics (**FIG 5F**). However, CYS appeared to not only

interact specifically, as indicated in the competition assay, but also unspecifically with

SCARB1. This can be concluded from the observed exceeding of the theoretical

maximal response R_{max} (~41 RU) with increasing CYS contact time and

concentration, which did not result in saturation. This effect is most likely mediated by

the previously observed π-π-stacking of CYS´s aromatic systems, which occurs

subsequently to initial specific binding.[55] Consequently, we were not able to

determine the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of CYS to SCARB1 reliably.

Nevertheless, encouraged by this finding, we further characterized SCARB1 binding

and functional inhibition with regards to a potential novel therapeutic indication of

CYS.

A

Volcano plot — Student's t-test p-value [$-\log_{10}$] Cysto-354 vs. untreated (y-axis, 0 to 8) against Student's t-test difference [$\log_2$ fold change] Cysto-354 vs. untreated (x-axis, -4 to 6). Labeled points: SCARB1, LPCAT3.

B

STRING network diagram with nodes: DNAJC5, OSBPL2, AGPAT2, HMOX2, GPC6, APOA1, MTTP, SNX8, SCARB1, HADHA, APOE, ACOX3, PLGRKT, ABCA1, FABP1, ABCB8, ABCB10, PIR, LPCAT3, FAM241A, TMEM41B

C

Scatter plot — Affinity enrichment [$\log_2$ fold change] (y-axis, -2 to 8) for Control, Cysto-354, Cysto-354 competed [1:5]. Significance: *** and *.

D

Gene	Protein
ABCA1	Phospholipid-transporting ATPase ABCA1
TMEM41B	Transmembrane protein 41B
HADHA	Trifunctional enzyme subunit alpha, mitochondrial
ACOX3	Peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 3
APOA1	Apolipoprotein A-I
FABP1	Fatty acid-binding protein, liver
HMOX2	Heme oxygenase 2
MTTP	Microsomal triglyceride transfer protein large subunit
LPCAT3	Lysophospholipid acyltransferase 5
C4orf32	Uncharacterized protein FAM241A
OSBPL2	Oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 2
DNAJC5	DnaJ homolog subfamily C member 5
ABCB10	ATP-binding cassette sub-family B member 10, mitochondrial
ABCB8	Mitochondrial potassium channel ATP-binding subunit
SNX8	Sorting nexin-8
GPC6	Glypican-6
APOE	Apolipoprotein E
PLGRKT	Plasminogen receptor (KT)
SCARB1	Scavenger receptor class B member 1
PIR	Pirin
AGPAT2	1-acyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase beta
KIF1A	Kinesin-like protein KIF1A
SLC43A3	Equilibrative nucleobase transporter 1
SLCO4A1	Solute carrier organic anion transporter family member 4A1
ONECUT1	Hepatocyte nuclear factor 6
SLCO3A1	Solute carrier organic anion transporter family member 3A1
RECK	Reversion-inducing cysteine-rich protein with Kazal motifs
HBA1	Hemoglobin subunit alpha
LRRK1	Leucine-rich repeat serine/threonine-protein kinase 1
SLF2	SMC5-SMC6 complex localization factor protein 2
HOXC10	Homeobox protein Hox-C10

E

Venn diagram of enriched proteins in HEK293, HeLa-CCL-2 and HepG2 cells.
HEK293 unique: HBA1, HOXC10, LRRK1, SLF2 (4)
HEK293∩HepG2: SCARB1, PIR, AGPAT2 (3)
HepG2 unique: ABCA1, TMEM41B, HADHA, ACOX3, APOA1, FABP1, HMOX2, MTTP, LPCAT3, C4orf32, OSBPL2, DNAJC5, ABCB10, ABCB8, SNX8, GPC6 (16)
HeLa-CCL-2 unique: KIF1A, SLC43A3, SLCO4A1, ONECUT1, SLCO3A1 (5)
HeLa∩HepG2: APOE, PLGRKT (2)
RECK (1)

F

Sensorgram — RU (FC2-1) (y-axis, 0 to 150) vs Time [s] (x-axis, 60 to 540). Curves: DMSO, CN-861-2, CN-DM-861, Cysto-180. R_{max} dashed line.

FIG 5. Molecular off-target identification revealed SCARB1 as primary binding partner of CYS in eukaryotes. Affinity-based protein profiling (AfBPP) revealed significant enrichment of the SCARB1 protein. HepG2 cells were treated with the CYS photo-probe Cysto-354. After affinity enrichment, the protein abundances were compared to an untreated control (volcano plot). Significant enrichment was analyzed by two-tailed unpaired student's t-test (cut-offs for enrichment: p [$-\log_{10}$] > 1.3 and abundance difference [$\log_2$] > 2) ($n = 4$) **(A)**. STRING database analysis of significantly enriched proteins showed functional clustering with respect to cholesterol transfer activity due to lipoprotein and lipid binding (GO-term false discovery rate: 5.05e-7) (created using STRING db (version 12.0)) **(B)**. SCARB1 showed the highest enrichment and significant competition, indicating specific binding by CYS. Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation (*, $p < 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.001$) **(C)**. List of significantly enriched proteins after Cysto-354 treatment in HepG2, HeLa-CCL-2 and HEK293 **(D)**. Venn diagram of enriched proteins for CYS AfBPP in HeLa, HEK293 and HepG2 cells (created using BioVenn) **(E)**.

453   Binding analysis using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) confirmed binding of CYS to the SCARB1
454   protein, exceeding theoretical maximal response (R_{max}) **(F)**.
455

Binding of CYS to SCARB1 leads to functional inhibition of HCVpp entry into
hepatocytes

The functional inhibition of SCARB1 was evaluated to examine the effects of CYS
interaction with the protein.

SCARB1 binds different ligands such as phospholipids, cholesterol esters,
lipoproteins, phosphatidylserine, but especially high density lipoproteins (HDL) with
high affinity. Thus, SCARB1 plays a dual role in cholesterol metabolism. Firstly, it
promotes cholesterol efflux in peripheral tissues such as the arterial wall, aiding in the
removal of excess cholesterol. Secondly, it serves as a substantial receptor for HDL
cholesterol particles, facilitating the transfer of cholesterol, lipids and lipoproteins
back into the liver.[56–58] Thus, it plays a crucial role in many physiological and
pathophysiological processes including cholesterol and lipid homeostasis,
cardiovascular disease, liver disease and cancer.[59–61] Importantly, SCARB1 also
serves as a crucial entry receptor for the hepatitis C virus (HCV) into hepatocytes.[62,63]
Chronic hepatitis C is a viral infection that causes liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular
carcinoma. In 2019, 1.5 million people were newly infected with the hepatitis C virus,
with 290,000 infection-related deaths in the same year.[64] After infection, HCV
circulates in the bloodstream as lipoviral particle and thereby gets in contact with the
basolateral surface of hepatocytes to which it attaches by low affinity interaction of
lipoviral-associated ApoE to low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptors and
glycosaminoglycans (GAGs). Subsequently, SCARB1 binds the lipoviral-associated
lipoproteins, initiating the lipid- and cholesterol-transfer activity of the protein, which
probably mediates dissociation of the virus particle from its associated lipoproteins.
Importantly, SCARB1 binds the HCV surface glycoprotein E2, causing a
conformational change, which enables binding of E2 by CD81. Consequently, CD81
mediates lateral movement and interaction of HCV with claudin-1 (CLDN1),
facilitating its endosomal cell entry and release of the viral genome (**FIG 6A**).[65]

We investigated the functional interaction of CYS with SCARB1 by examining the

reduction of HCV pseudoparticle (HCVpp) cell entry into hepatocytes (Huh-7.5) upon

CYS treatment. To this end, we used the lentivirus-based pseudotype assay, where

the HCVpp was equipped with glycoproteins derived from two different genotypes

(JFH1 from genotype 2a and Con1 from genotype 1b). The SCARB1-inhibitor

ITX5061 was used as positive control.[61] The luciferase reporter gene was used to

quantify HCVpp entry. CYS treatment successfully inhibited HCVpp cell-entry in a

concentration-dependent manner for both tested genotypes. CN-861-2 and CN-DM-

861 exhibited similar inhibitory capacities, while Cysto-180 was the most effective

derivative with 50% entry inhibition at a concentration of ~3.3 µM (**FIG 6B** and **C**).

To elucidate the specificity of CYS in inhibiting SCARB1 as the entry receptor of

HCVpp, we examined the effect of CYS to the SCARB1-independent entry of

vesiculovirus pseudoparticles (VSVpp) equipped with respective viral glycoprotein.

Indeed, we demonstrated that CYS exhibited no inhibitory effect on VSVpp cell entry

(**FIG S30**). Hence, these results provide evidence for the specificity of functional

inhibition of SCARB1 as cause of CYS-mediated HCVpp cell entry inhibition.

Applying a bioinformatic molecular docking approach (MOE), only one potential CYS

binding site within the modeled structure of SCARB1 was identified. The determined

binding pocket is located at the extracellular domain of the protein, potentially

important for interaction with native binding partners. CYS blocking of this site might

hinder interaction of these binding partners with SCARB1, potentially explaining the

inhibitory properties of CYS against HCVpp entry.[66] However, based on the docking

results, multiple poses of CYS in the binding pocket appear feasible (**FIG S31**).

Future studies will validate the mode of binding of CYS to SCARB1 in more detail

and enable the opportunity for structure-guided optimization towards efficient

inhibition of this host target.

FIG 6. Binding of CYS to SCARB1 leads to functional inhibition of HCVpp entry into
hepatocytes. SCARB1 is known for its crucial role in binding and transfer of HCV lipoviral particles
into hepatocytes (created with BioRender.com) **(A)**. HCVpp entry assays with glycoprotein JFH-1
(genotype 2a). Binding of CYS to SCARB1 leads to concentration dependent inhibition of HCVpp entry
into hepatocytes. ITX5061 was used as positive control. Data are shown as mean values with
standard deviation (n = 5) **(B)**. HCVpp entry assays with glycoprotein Con1 (genotype 1b) also
showed concentration dependent entry inhibitory activity of CYS. Data are shown as mean values with
standard deviation (n = 5) **(C)**.

These findings could not only broaden the potential therapeutic applications of CYS

from broad-spectrum antibiotic to antiviral agent, but also extend to various non anti-

infective indications. The inhibition of SCARB1 by CYS might affect its lipid- and

cholesterol transporter activity *in vivo*. In previous *in vivo* mouse studies, suppression

of SCARB1 by ITX5061 successfully led to increased beneficial HDL cholesterol

levels and partially reduced atherosclerotic lesions.[61] In addition, inhibition of

SCARB1 is currently under investigation for potential therapeutic benefits across

various medical conditions, including arteriosclerosis and other cardiovascular

diseases, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, or specific cancer types associated with

the upregulation of SCARB1.[59–61,67,68]

Summary and Conclusion

Herein, we demonstrated the safety of cystobactamids in cell culture assays and in *in*
vivo zebrafish embryo models. The antibiotics showed slight uncoupling of the
mitochondrial ETC, which might contribute to their ROS protective properties. This
influence on the ETC does not seem to have harming effects *in vitro* or *in vivo* as
assessed by cell viability as well as developmental, cardio- and hepatotoxicity in
zebrafish embryos. *In vitro* DMPK studies revealed glucuronidation and amide bond
hydrolysis as the main biotransformation pathways of cystobactamids. Their
metabolic stability was substantially enhanced by supplementation with cobicistat, an
OATP- and CYP-inhibitor, offering the opportunity to explore combination therapy as
viable approach to improve PK/PD properties of cystobactamids *in vivo*. In addition,
on a molecular level, cystobactamids bound to the cholesterol and lipoprotein
receptor SCARB1 as the main eukaryotic off-target proteins in the whole cell
environment. By binding to SCARB1, they actively prevented the entry of hepatitis C
virus into hepatocytes. Analysis of the binding mode to SCARB1 might guide further
development and optimization of cystobactamids, along with related compound
classes such as albicidin and coralmycin, for various possible therapeutic areas.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture All cell types were cultivated at 37 °C with 5 % CO_2. HepG2, HEK293,
HCT116, CHO-K1, HeLa-CCL-2 and Huh-7.5 were purchased at ATCC. The
respective cell media (Gibco) was supplemented with 10 % FBS (Gibco) before
usage. Cells were used between passage #5 and #30. To obtain biological repeats,
cells were split separately for at least two passages.

Topoisomerase IIα inhibition Inhibition of topoisomerase activity was examined
using human topoisomerase II alpha decatenation assay kit (Inspriralis) as indicated
by the manufacturer. Briefly, a compound dilution series was prepared in DMSO.
Water, dilution buffer, assay buffer, plasmid and enzyme were mixed with compound
solution and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. A positive control (100% activity) was
prepared without compound and a negative control (0% activity) was prepared

without compound and enzyme. The reaction was stopped by adding gSTEB-buffer
and chloroform/isoamylalcohol (24:1 v/v). The samples were vortexed and
centrifuged before running gel-electrophoresis. The gel was stained with ethidium
bromide and imaged using a Fusion Fx gel imager (Vilber Lourmat). Subsequent
analysis was done using ImageJ and GraphPad Prism (Version 10.0.2).

Cytotoxicity Cells were washed with PBS and 0.5 mL trypsin was added.
Afterwards, cells were incubated for 5 min before adding 10 mL medium containing
10 % FBS. Per well, 120 µL cell suspension ($5x10^4$ cells/mL) was seeded in
transparent 96 well cell bind plates and incubated for 2 h (37 °C, 5 % CO_2). Dilution
series (1:3) of test compounds was prepared in respective media containing 10 %
FBS and added (60 µL) to the prepared cell plate. Cells were incubated for further
72 h (37 °C, 5 % CO_2). Next, 20 µL MTT (5 mg/mL in PBS) was added to each well
and incubated for 2 h (37 °C, 5 % CO_2). The wells were emptied and 100 µL
isopropanol/10 N HCl (1000:4) per well was added.
The plates were analyzed by measuring the absorbance at 570 nm (plate reader
Infinite® 200 Pro, Tecan). After normalization of data to the respective solvent
controls, the calculated percentage of growth inhibition was plotted using GraphPad
Prism software (version 10.0.2).

Micronucleus test CHO-K1 cells were washed and seeded like described above
with a concentration of $5x10^4$ cells/mL . Cells were treated with CYS (20 µM, 100 µM
for Cysto-180) and incubated for 24 h. Mitomycin C (0.05 µM), doxorubicin (0.05 µM)
and etoposide (0.25 µM) were used as positive controls. Cells were washed and
stained with Hoechst (5 µg/mL) (Thermo) in F12 media for 15 min. Subsequently,
cells were washed three times with PBS and imaged using Celldiscoverer 7 (Zeiss).

Mitochondrial toxicity Seahorse mitotox assay was carried out according to Agilent
seahorse XF mito tox assay kit user guide (kit 103595-100, Agilent). Briefly, sensor

cartridge was hydrated at 37 °C, non-CO$_2$, one day before the assay started in

200 μL water and 2 h in calibrant solution before usage. HepG2 cells were seeded

with a density of 10x10^3 per well in 80 μL and incubated overnight at 37 °C, 5 % CO$_2$.

At the day of the assay, 100 mL Seahorse XF DMEM medium was prepared by

addition of glucose (10 mM), pyruvate (1.0 mM) and glutamine (2.0 mM). CYS

compound dilutions were prepared in assay medium as well as positive controls

menadione (50 μM) and Rot/AA (1.0 μM). Cells were washed with assay medium and

compounds were added, followed by 2 h incubation at 37 °C, non-CO$_2$. Oligomycin

(1.5 μM) and FCCP (1.0 μM) were prepared and added to the respective ports in the

sensor cartridge. The utility plate with sensor cartridge was inserted into the

Seahorse system (Agilent) and calibrated. Afterwards the cell plate was added and

measurement of the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was started. The assay was

repeated three times independently with at least 6 technical replicates per condition.

Uncoupling MTI was calculated as follows:

604
$$Uncoupling\ MTI = \frac{Max\ Oligo\ OCR\ (Compound) - Min\ Oligo\ OCR\ (Vehicle)}{Max\ FCCP\ OCR\ (Vehicle) - Min\ Oligo\ OCR\ (Vehicle)} \qquad (1)$$

Mitochondrial superoxide formation CYS were tested for their potential of inducing

oxidative stress in U-2 OS cells. Therefore, 120 μL of a cell suspension in McCoy`s

(+10 % FBS) containing 5 x 10^4 cells/mL were seeded into black 96-well imaging

plate (BD Falcon). The plates were incubated for two days (37 °C, 5 % CO$_2$) until the

cells reached approximately 70 % confluence. Subsequently, the cells were washed

with HBSS buffer, prior to adding CYS (20 μM) and the ROS inducer menadione (50

μM) as positive control, along with the staining solution (HBSS, calcium, magnesium,

5 μM MitoSOX red and 10 μg/mL Hoechst (Thermo)). To check for ROS protective

properties, menadione (50 μM) was added directly to the staining solution. The cells

were incubated for 1-2 h at 37 °C and 5 % CO$_2$. Afterwards, the cells were washed

twice with HBSS. The cells were imaged using an automated fluorescence

microscope (Celldiscoverer 7, Zeiss) (excitation: 485 nm, emission: 535 nm) to

analyze the fluorescence intensity of the superoxide tracker MitoSOX red. The

experiment was carried out with six replicates per condition. Quantification was done by high-content image analysis using the ZEN software (version 3.4, blue edition, Zeiss). Data presentation was done using GraphPad Prism (version 10.0.3) with ordinary one-way ANOVA including multiple comparison to the control group for statistical analysis of sample without ROS induction via menadione in the staining solution. Multiple unpaired t-test was used to compare samples for ROS protective properties, which were incubated with menadione in the staining solution.

Metabolic stability in mouse liver microsomes For the evaluation of phase I metabolic stability, the compound (1 µM) was incubated with 0.5 mg/mL pooled mouse liver microsomes (Xenotech, Kansas City, USA), 2 mM NADPH, 10 mM $MgCl_2$ at 37 °C for 120 min on a microplate shaker (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The metabolic stability of testosterone, verapamil and ketoconazole was determined in parallel to confirm the enzymatic activity of mouse liver microsomes. For combination experiments, cobicistat was added to the incubation mixture together with test compounds. The incubation was stopped after defined time points by precipitation of aliquots of enzymes with 2 volumes of cold internal standard solution (15 nM diphenhydramine in 10% methanol/acetonitrile). Samples were stored on ice until the end of the incubation and precipitated protein was removed by centrifugation (15 min, 4 °C, 4,000 g). Concentration of the remaining test compound at the different time points was analyzed by HPLC-MS/MS (Vanquish Flex coupled to a TSQ Altis Plus, Thermo Fisher, Dreieich, Germany) and used to determine half-life ($t_{1/2}$).

Metabolic stability in mouse hepatocytes For the evaluation of combined phase I and phase II metabolic stability, the compound (1 µM) was incubated with 0.25×10^6 cells/mL of pooled mouse hepatocytes (Xenotech, Kansas City, USA). Cells were thawed in Leibovitz's L-15 medium without phenol red (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Briefly, cells were transferred into 50 mL of medium, followed by centrifugation at 55 g for 6 min. Supernatant was discarded and the cell count

determined after gently resuspending the cell pellet in 1 mL of medium. Hepatocytes

were diluted to 0.5 x 10^6 cells/mL and incubated at 37 °C, 700 rpm for 10 min, to

achieve the desired final cell count after addition of an equal volume of test

compounds in medium, leading to final test concentration of 1 µM at 1% DMSO.

Samples were incubated for 240 min at 37 °C, 700 rpm and the incubation was

stopped after defined time points by precipitation of aliquots in 4 volumes cold

internal standard solution (12.5 nM diphenhydramine in 10% methanol/acetonitrile).

For the determination of metabolism in presence of cobicistat, this drug was added

together with test compounds in the desired concentration range. The metabolic

stability of testosterone, verapamil, ketoconazole and 7-hydroxycoumarine were

determined in parallel to confirm the enzymatic activity of mouse hepatocytes.

Samples were stored on ice until the end of the incubation and precipitated protein

was removed by centrifugation (15 min, 4 °C, 4,000 g). Concentration of the

remaining test compound at the different time points was analyzed by HPLC-MS/MS

(Vanquish Flex coupled to a TSQ Altis Plus, Thermo Fisher, Dreieich, Germany) and

used to determine half-life (t$_{1/2}$). Intrinsic clearance was calculated as follows:

665
$$CL_{int}\left[\frac{\mu L}{min\times 10^6\,cells}\right] = \frac{Incubation\,volume\,[\mu L]\times ln(2)}{Cells\,in\,incubation\,mixture\,[10^6]\times t_{1/2}} \tag{2}$$

For metabolite idenfication studies, test compounds were incubated at 10 µM final

concentration and samples were analyzed using HPLC-HRMS (Ultimate 3000

coupled to a Q Exactive Focus, Thermo Fisher, Dreieich, Germany). LC conditions

were as follows: column: Accucore Phenyl-Hexyl (2.6 µm, 100 x 2.1 mm; Thermo

Fisher, Dreieich, Germany); temperature 40 °C; flow rate 0.500 mL/min; solvent A:

water + 0.1% formic acid; solvent B: acetoni-trile + 0.1% formic acid; gradient[69]: 0–

4.0 min 2–35% B, 4.0–7.0 min 35–98% B, 7.0–8.0 min 98% B, 8.0–10.0 min 2% B.

MS analysis was performed using full scan mode (switching polarity, full MS

resolution 35,000, scan range 200–2,000; data-dependent MS/MS (ddMS^2)

resolution 17,500, stepped collision energy with 17.5, 35, 52.5). Blank samples using

DMSO were run in parallel for background subtraction. Sample processing for

metabolite identification was performed usind Compound Discoverer 3.2 (Thermo

Fisher, Dreieich, Germany). Metabolites were identified based on mass shifts and

feasibility of the metabolic reaction also in view of MS peak intensities over time.

Zebrafish handling Handling of adult zebrafish and experiments with zebrafish

embryos were performed in accordance to the EU directive 2010/63/EU and the

German Animal Welfare Act (§11 Abs. 1 TierSchG). An automatic aquatic eco-

system (PENTAIR, Apoka, UK) was used for zebrafish housing. Adult zebrafish were

mated pairwise in our zebrafish facility after one night in the mating cages (light/dark

cycle: 14 h/10 h). The eggs were collected after 2 h mating and placed in a petri dish

with fish water (pH 7.36 ± 0.08, conductivity: 800 ± 50 µs). The eggs were washed

with 0.3x Danieau´s medium (17 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM KCl, 0.12 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.18 mM

$Ca(NO_3)_2$, 1.5 mM HEPES, pH 7.1–7.3, and 1.2 µM methylene blue). 300 eggs were

placed per petri dish and stored in the incubator at 28 °C overnight. 24 h post mating,

the unfertilized eggs were discarded. The 0.3x Danieau´s media in the petri dishes

was replaced every 24 h and embryos with developmental issues were euthanized

using ice water. Embryo-/genotoxicity, cardiotoxicity and hepatotoxicity were tested

using zebrafish embryos younger than 120 hours post-fertilization (hpf). The wild-type

AB line was used for embryo-/genotoxicity and cardiotoxicity, and the transgenic line

Tg(fabp10a:DsRed; elaA:EGFP) was used for hepatotoxic evaluation. Embryos were

treated with phenylthiourea (PTU) starting from 1 day post fertilization (dpf) for

hepato- and cardiotoxicity analysis. Previous to compound addition, embryos were

dechorionated using pronase (1 mg/mL) and washed several times. The embryos

were euthanized on ice after maximum 120 hpf and frozen at -20 °C.

Maximum tolerated concentration (MTC) Zebrafish embryos (AB line) were

dechorionated with pronase at 1 dpf. For embryo-/genotoxicity testing, 20 embryos

per condition were treated with 20 µM CN-861-2, CN-DM-861 (solubility limit) and

200 µM (solubility limit), 100 µM, 50 µM and 20 µM Cysto-180 from 1 dpf till 5 dpf.

DMSO (0.2 %) was used as negative control and 3,4-dichloroaniline (16 µg/mL) as

positive control. For acute *in vivo* toxicity testing, embryos were treated from 4 to
5 dpf accordingly with maximal soluble concentrations of CYS and DMSO (0.2 %)
and 3,4-dichloroaniline (32 and 64 µg/mL) as negative and positive controls,
respectively. Kaplan-Meier curves were generated using GraphPad Prism (version
10.0.3, GraphPad, Boston, MA, USA). Dead embryos were defined when no heart
beat was observable.

In vivo cardiotoxicity in zebrafish embryos Embryos were prepared as described
above. At 2 dpf, the embryos were placed in 6 well plates with 10 embryos per well.
Embryos were treated with CYS (20 µM) and the positive control terfenadin (10,
20 µM) in Danieau´s media. Danieau´s with DMSO was used as negative control. At
least 20 embryos were tested per condition. Embryos were incubated at 28 °C for
24 h. Afterwards, embryos were anesthetized with tricaine added to the media (40
µg/mL, 0.004 % (*w/v*)) and videos were recorded using a stereomicroscope (1.25x
magnification) (Stemi 508, Zeiss) with Media Recorder (version 4.0) und analyzed via
DanioScope software (version 1.2.208, Noldus). The embryos were checked with
attention to specific cardiotoxic phenotypes defined as decreased heartrate,
arrhythmia and pericardial edema. Death was defined as absence of heartbeat. Data
presentation was done using GraphPad Prism (version 10.0.3) with ordinary one-way
ANOVA including multiple comparison to the control group and multiple unpaired t-
test for statistical analysis.

In vivo hepatotoxicity in zebrafish embryos Zebrafish embryos
[Tg(fabp10a:DsRed; elaA:EGFP)] were prepared as described above. Embryos were
placed in 6 well plates with 10 embryos per well. Treatment with CYS as well as the
positive control valproic acid (20, 40 µg/mL) was done by soaking from 3 to 5 dpf in
0.3x Danieau´s media. Per condition, at least 15 embryos were treated. Danieau´s
media with DMSO was used as negative control. The embryos were incubated at
28 °C. After 48 h treatment, the embryos were checked via a fluorescence
stereomicroscope (M205 FA, Leica) with attention to liver degeneration.

Fluorescence images were taken after anesthetizing the embryos with tricaine
(40 µg/mL) and placing them laterally (excitation: 558 nm, emission 583 nm, 35x
magnification). The specific phenotypic hepatotoxic endpoint was liver degeneration
defined as liver size reduction. The liver size was calculated using ImageJ software
(format: 8 bit, threshold: 40-255, binary, particle size: 10.0-infinite). The liver size of
the control embryos was set to 100%. The relative liver sizes of treated embryos
were calculated accordingly and normalized to the control group. Data presentation
was done using GraphPad Prism (version 10.0.3) with ordinary one-way ANOVA
including multiple comparison to the control group for statistical analysis.

Affinity-based protein profiling (AfBPP) sample preparation Cells were seeded in
100 mm cell-bind petri dishes with a concentration of 2.8 x 10^6 cells per sample and
incubated (37 °C, 5 % CO_2) for 3 days to reach ~80 % confluence. Cells were
washed with warm PBS prior to compound addition. Affinity based proteome profiling
was performed by treating the respective cell line with Cysto-354 (2.5 µM) for 3 h. For
competition samples, cells were treated with CN-861-2 (12.5 µM) for 1h, prior to
addition of photo-probe Cysto-354 (2.5 µM) for 3 h incubation time. Control samples
were treated with DMSO. Afterwards, cells were UV-irradiated for 10 minutes on ice,
scraped off and transferred to Eppendorf tubes for washing with 1 mL cold PBS
(centrifuge 5 min, 500xg, 4 °C). The cell pellet was stored at -80 °C until lysis. Further
sample preparation was done as previously described.[13] Briefly, the cell pellet was
lysed in 0.4 % SDS in PBS by sonication (Bandelin Sonoplus). After proteome
adjustment (1000 µg/sample), azide-alkyne cycloaddition of labeled proteins with
biotin was performed. Subsequently, the proteome was precipitated and washed with
acetone and methanol, followed by avidin-bead enrichment. Afterwards, samples
were digested (trypsin platinum, Promega), desalted and dried in a speedVac, before
solving the samples in 1 % FA. Samples were filter (Merck Millipore, UFC30GV0S)
and transferred to HPLC autosampler vials (QuanRecovery, Waters).

LC-MS measurement of HepG2 proteome Peptides were measured and online-separated using an UltiMate 3000 nano HPLC system (*Dionex*) coupled to a *Bruker* timsTOF Pro mass spectrometer via a CaptiveSpray nano-electrospray ion source and *Sonation* column oven. Peptides were first loaded on the trap column (Acclaim PepMap 100 C18, 75 µm ID x 2 cm, 3 µm particle size, *Thermo Scientific*), washed with 0.1 % formic acid in water for 7 min at 5 µL/min and subsequently transferred to the separation column (*IonOpticks* Aurora C18 column, 25 cm × 75 µm, 1.7 µm) and separated over a 60 min gradient from 5 % to 28 % B, then to 40 % B over 13 min, followed by 10 min at 95 % before re-equilibration and at a flow rate of 400 nL/min. The mobile phases A and B were 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid in water and 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid in acetonitrile, respectively. The timsTOF Pro was operated in data-dependent PASEF mode with the dual TIMS analyzer operating at equal accumulation and ramp times of 100 ms each with a set $1/K_0$ ion mobility range from 0.85 to 1.40 V × s × cm^{-2}. The capillary voltage of the CaptiveSpray source was set to 1500 V. 10 PASEF scans per topN acquisition cycle were performed, resulting in a total cycle time of 1.17 s. The mass range was set from 100 to 1700 m/z. Only Precursors reaching an intensity threshold of 1750 arbitrary units were considered for fragmentation, precursors reaching a target intensity of 14500 arbitrary units were dynamically excluded for 0.4 min. The quadrupole isolation width was set to 2 *m/z* for *m/z* < 700 and to 3 *m/z* for *m/z* > 800. The collision energy was ramped linearly as a function of the mobility from 59 eV at $1/K_0 = 1.6$ V × s × cm^{-2} to 20 eV at $1/K_0 = 0.6$ V × s × cm^{-2}. TIMS elution voltages were calibrated linearly to obtain the reduced ion mobility coefficients ($1/K_0$) using three Agilent ESI-L Tuning Mix ions (*m/z* 622, 922 and 1,222) spiked on the CaptiveSpray Source inlet filter.

MS raw data was analyzed using MaxQuant software (version 2.0.3.0) and peptides were searched against Uniprot database for Homo sapiens (taxon identifier: 9606, downloaded on 11.03.2022, canonical, reviewed). Carbamidomethylation of cysteine was set as fixed modification and oxidation of methionine and acetylation of *N*-termini were set as variable modifications. Trypsin was set as proteolytic enzyme with a maximum of 2 missed cleavages. For main search, precursor mass tolerance was set to 4.5 ppm and fragment mass tolerance to 0.5 Da. Label free quantification (LFQ)

mode was activated with a LFQ minimum ratio count of 2. Second peptide

identification was enabled, and false discovery rate (FDR) determination carried out

by applying a decoy database and thresholds were set to 1 % FDR at peptide-

spectrum match and at protein levels and "match between runs" (0.7 min match and

20 min alignment time windows) option was enabled. Normalized LFQ intensities

extracted from the MaxQuant result table proteinGroups.txt were further analyzed

with Perseus software (version 2.03.1).

LC-MS measurement of HeLa-CCL-2 and HEK293 proteome Sample analysis was

done as previously described.[13] Samples have been analyzed using nanoElute nano

flow liquid chromatography system (Bruker, Germany) coupled to a timsTOF Pro

(Bruker, Germany). Loading of the samples to the trap column (Thermo Trap

Cartridge 5 mm) was performed, followed by washing with 6 µL 0.1 % FA with a flow

rate of 10 µL/min. Transferring of the peptide samples to the analytical column

(Aurora Ultimate CSI 25 cm x 75 µm ID, 1.6 µm FSC C18, IonOpticks) was done,

with subsequent separation by a gradient elution (eluent A: H2O + 0.1 % FA, B: ACN

+ 0.1 % FA; 0 % to 3 % in 1 min, 3 % to 17 % in 57 min, 17 % to 25 % in 21 min,

25 % to 34 % in 13 min, 34 % to 85 % in 1 min, 85 % kept for 8 min) using a flow rate

of 400 nL/min. Captive Spray nanoESI source (Bruker, Germany) was applied for

ionizing the peptides at 1.5 kV with 180 °C dry temperature at 3 L/min gas flow.

timsTOF Pro (Bruker, Germany) was operated using default dia-PASEF long gradient

mode with TIMS set to 1/K0 start at 0.6 Vs/cm^2, end at 1.6 Vs/cm^2, with a ramp and

accumulation time of 100 ms each and a ramp rate of 9.43 Hz. Mass range was set

from 100.0 Da to 1700 Da with positive ion polarity. Dia-PASEF mass range was

arranged to 400.0 Da to 1201.0 Da with a mobility range of 0.60 1/K0 to 1.43 1/K0

and a cycle time of 1.80 s. Collision energy for 0.60 1/K0 was fixed to 20.00 eV and

ramped for 1.6 1/K0 to 59.00 eV. Tuning MIX ES-TOF (Agilent) was applied for

calibration of *m/z* and mobility. Raw data were processed using DIA-NN (version

1.8.1), and proteins were identified against Uniprot Homo sapiens reference

proteome (Proteome ID: UP000005640, downloaded 27/12/2023). Default settings

were used, except precursor charge range was from 2 to 4. C-carbamidomethylation

was set as fixed modification. To allow further data processing with Perseus

Software, "--relaxed-prot-inf" was added in additional options. Further data analysis

was performed in Perseus software (version 2.0.5.0), were the values were

transformed to their $\log_2$-value and the biological replicates were grouped. To allow

the comparison of the whole datasets, missing values were imputed by default

settings and the differential protein abundance between different treatment regimens

were evaluated using two-tailed student's t-test. The cut-offs for $-\log_{10} P$ was set to

1.3 ($P = 0.05$) and for t-test difference > 2. Proteins fitting these thresholds were seen

as significantly enriched compared to the control only treated with DMSO. Significant

competition was evaluated comparing CN-861-2 co-treated samples with Cysto-354-

only treated samples.[13]

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) Binding analysis of CYS to SCARB1 was done

by using SPR (Biacore X100, Cytiva). Therefore, biotinylated SCARB1

(Acrobiosystems) was immobilized on a SPR sensor chip SA (Cytiva) on flow cell

(FC) 2. Afterwards, CYS (20 µM) were solved and centrifuged in running buffer. CYS

were tested by interaction analysis over the reference FC1 and FC2, where SCARB1

has been immobilized. To conclude binding, the subtraction of FC2-1 was analyzed.

HBS-EP+ (Cytiva) with 1 % DMSO was used as running buffer.

HCVpp cell entry assay Lentiviral pseudotypes were prepared by 293T transfection

as described previously.[70] 293T cells were seeded in a density of 3×10^6 cells in

10 cm plates and incubated at 37 °C and 5 % CO_2. After 24 hours, the cells were

transfected with 2 µg of the lentiviral Gag-Pol expression construct pCMV-ΔR8.74[71],

2 µg of the reporter plasmid coding for a firefly luciferase (pWPI-F-Luc-BLR)[72,73] and

2 µg of either pcDNA3_CMV_dcE1E2_Con1, pcDNA3_CMV_dcE1E2_JFH[74] or

pczVSV-G[75] coding for the glycoproteins of interest or 2 µg of the empty vector

control (pcDNA3). For transfection, the plasmids were mixed in Opti-MEM containing

a final concentration of 0.035 mg/ml polyethylenimine (PEI) and afterwards added to

the cells. After overnight incubation, sodium butyrate was added at a final

concentration of 10 mM. After 6 hours of incubation, a medium change was performed and after a subsequent overnight incubation, the pseudoparticle containing supernatant was harvested and cleared of cell debris by passing through 0.45 µm pore size filter and used for entry assay.

The evaluation of effect on entry by the compounds was performed on Huh-7.5 cells. Huh-7.5 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 7.2×10^3/well and incubated for 24 hours at 37 °C and 5 % CO_2. Compounds were diluted in six subsequent 3-fold dilutions with a final DMSO concentration of 1 % and freshly harvested pseudoparticles were added and transferred to the cells. After 72 hours of incubation, the cells were lysed with lysis buffer (1 % triton-X-100, 25 mM glycylglycine, 15 mM $MgSO_4$, 4 mM EGTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol) and frozen at -20°C. The luciferase activity measurements were performed by transferring 72 µL of assay buffer (25 mM glycylglycine, 5 mM KPO_4, 50 mM $MgSO_4$, 10 mM EGTA, 2 % ATP, 1 mM dithiotreitol) to a white 96-well plate and adding 20 µL of the cell-lysis suspension with subsequent adding of 40 µL D-Luciferin and immediate measuring using the Berthold LB960 Centro XS3 plate luminometer.

Molecular Docking For molecular docking, the AlphaFold structure (AF-Q8WTV0-F1) of scavenger receptor class B member 1 was downloaded as a PDB file and loaded into MOE (version 2022.02). Structural issues were corrected using the "Structure Preparation" tool and the protein was protonated using "Protonate3D" with a set pH to 7.4. The structure was energy minimized before screening for a potential binding site with "Site Finder". Atom dummies were loaded in the potential binding site. CYS derivatives Cysto-180, CN-861-2 and CN-DM-861 were prepared (protonated, energy minimized) in MOE and loaded into a compound database as a .mdb file. This .mdb file was used for docking the compounds to the previous prepared SCARB1 structure. Dummy atoms were selected as target site with triangle matcher (score: London dG) as placement method and induced fit (score: GBVI/WSA dG) for refinement. Potential poses were browsed and optimized via protonation and energy minimization.

Data Availability

Datasets and further information of current and ongoing related studies are available

upon request from the corresponding author. The mass spectrometry proteomics

data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE

partner repository with the data set identifiers PXD053711 (HepG2) and PXD052895

(HEK293, HeLa).

Reviewers access details (HepG2):

Project accession: PXD053711

Token: KfP437WEj9a6

Username: reviewer_pxd053711@ebi.ac.uk

Password: zFzWzExpYFTk

Reviewers access details (HEK293 and HeLa):

Project accession: PXD052895

Token: nyCCMJvkf4bK

Username: reviewer_pxd052895@ebi.ac.uk

Password: IrKDq3L4vxby

Bibliography

1. *WHO Bacterial Priority Pathogens List , 2024.*; 2024.

2. Nathan C, Cars O. Antibiotic Resistance - Problems, Progress, and Prospects.
New Engll J Med. 2014;371(19):1761-1763.

3. Rossolini GM, Mantengoli E. Antimicrobial resistance in Europe and its
potential impact on empirical therapy. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2008;14(SUPPL.
6):2-8. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.02126.x

4. European Medicines Agency. The European Medicines Agency Road map to
2015 : The Agency ' s contribution to Science , Medicines , Health.
2015;44(October 2011).
http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Report/2011/01/WC5

00101373.pdf

5.   Butler MS, Henderson IR, Capon RJ, Blaskovich MAT. Antibiotics in the clinical
pipeline as of December 2022. *J Antibiot (Tokyo).* 2023;76(8):431-473.
doi:10.1038/s41429-023-00629-8

6.   Baumann S, Herrmann J, Raju R, et al. Cystobactamids: Myxobacterial
topoisomerase inhibitors exhibiting potent antibacterial activity. *Angew Chemie*
- Int Ed. 2014;53(52):14605-14609. doi:10.1002/anie.201409964

7.   Hüttel S, Testolin G, Herrmann J, et al. Discovery and Total Synthesis of
Natural Cystobactamid Derivatives with Superior Activity against Gram-
Negative Pathogens. *Angew Chemie - Int Ed.* 2017;56(41):12760-12764.
doi:10.1002/anie.201705913

8.   Michalczyk E, Hommernick K, Behroz I, et al. Molecular mechanism of
topoisomerase poisoning by the peptide antibiotic albicidin. *Nat Catal.*
2023;6(1):52-67. doi:10.1038/s41929-022-00904-1

9.   Kim YJ, Kim HJ, Kim GW, et al. Isolation of Coralmycins A and B, Potent Anti-
Gram Negative Compounds from the Myxobacteria Corallococcus coralloides
M23. *J Nat Prod.* 2016;79(9):2223-2228. doi:10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b00294

10.   Hashimi SM. Albicidin, a potent DNA gyrase inhibitor with clinical potential. *J*
Antibiot (Tokyo). 2019;72(11):785-792. doi:10.1038/s41429-019-0228-2

11.   Elgaher WAM, Hamed MM, Baumann S, et al. Cystobactamid 507: Concise
Synthesis, Mode of Action, and Optimization toward More Potent Antibiotics.
Chem - A Eur J. 2020;26(32):7219-7225. doi:10.1002/chem.202000117

12.   Cheng B, Müller R, Trauner D. Total Syntheses of Cystobactamids and
Structural Confirmation of Cystobactamid 919-2. *Angew Chemie - Int Ed.*
2017;56(41):12755-12759. doi:10.1002/anie.201705387

13.   Risch T, Kolling D, Mostert D, Herrmann J, Müller R. Point mutations in the
ygiV promoter region lead to cystobactamid resistance and reduced virulence

in. *ResearchSquare*. Published online 2024.

doi:https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3751821/v1 License:

14. Moeller M, Norris MD, Planke T, et al. Scalable Syntheses of Methoxyaspartate

and Preparation of the Antibiotic Cystobactamid 861-2 and Highly Potent

Derivatives. *Org Lett*. 2019;21(20):8369-8372. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.9b03143

15. Testolin G, Cirnski K, Rox K, et al. Synthetic studies of cystobactamids as

antibiotics and bacterial imaging carriers lead to compounds with high: In vivo

efficacy. *Chem Sci*. 2020;11(5):1316-1334. doi:10.1039/c9sc04769g

16. Harrison RK. Phase II and phase III failures: 2013-2015. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*.

2016;15(12):817-818. doi:10.1038/nrd.2016.184

17. Arrowsmith J, Miller P. Trial Watch: Phase II and Phase III attrition rates 2011-

2012. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2013;12(8):569. doi:10.1038/nrd4090

18. Lipsky MS, Sharp LK. From idea to market: The drug approval process. *J Am

Board Fam Pract*. 2001;14(5):362-367.

19. Muller PY, Milton MN. The determination and interpretation of the therapeutic

index in drug development. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2012;11(10):751-761.

doi:10.1038/nrd3801

20. Kalyaanamoorthy S, Barakat KH. Development of Safe Drugs: The hERG

Challenge. *Med Res Rev*. 2018;38(2):525-555. doi:10.1002/med.21445

21. Redfern WS, Carlsson L, Davis AS, et al. Relationships between preclinical

cardiac electrophysiology, clinical QT interval prolongation and torsade de

pointes for a broad range of drugs: Evidence for a provisional safety margin in

drug development. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2003;58(1):32-45. doi:10.1016/S0008-

6363(02)00846-5

22. Information S. Cystobactamid 507: Concise Synthesis, Mode of Action, and

Optimization toward More Potent Antibiotics.

23.   Fotakis G, Timbrell JA. In vitro cytotoxicity assays: Comparison of LDH, neutral
973   red, MTT and protein assay in hepatoma cell lines following exposure to
974   cadmium chloride. *Toxicol Lett*. 2006;160(2):171-177.
975   doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2005.07.001

976   24.   Minotti G, Menna P, Salvatorelli E, Cairo G, Gianni L. Anthracyclines:
977   Molecular advances and pharmacologie developments in antitumor activity and
978   cardiotoxicity. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2004;56(2):185-229. doi:10.1124/pr.56.2.6

979   25.   Tomasz M. Mitomycin C: small, fast and deadly (but very selective). *Chem Biol*.
980   1995;2(9):575-579. doi:10.1016/1074-5521(95)90120-5

981   26.   Kalghatgi S, Spina CS, Costello JC, et al. Bactericidal antibiotics induce
982   mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative damage in mammalian cells. *Sci Transl*
983   *Med*. 2013;5(192). doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3006055

984   27.   Hangas A, Aasumets K, Kekäläinen NJ, et al. Ciprofloxacin impairs
985   mitochondrial DNA replication initiation through inhibition of Topoisomerase 2.
986   *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2018;46(18):9625-9636. doi:10.1093/nar/gky793

987   28.   Tilmant K, Gerets H, De Ron P, Hanon E, Bento-Pereira C, Atienzar FA. In
988   vitro screening of cell bioenergetics to assess mitochondrial dysfunction in drug
989   development. *Toxicol Vitr*. 2018;52(May):374-383.
990   doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2018.07.012

991   29.   Shrestha R, Johnson E, Byrne FL. Exploring the therapeutic potential of
992   mitochondrial uncouplers in cancer. *Mol Metab*. 2021;51(March):101222.
993   doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2021.101222

994   30.   Herb M, Gluschko A, Schramm M. Reactive Oxygen Species: Not Omnipresent
995   but Important in Many Locations. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 2021;9(September):1-12.
996   doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.716406

997   31.   Chang TKH, Abbott FS. Oxidative stress as a mechanism of valproic acid-
998   associated hepatotoxicity. *Drug Metab Rev*. 2006;38(4):627-639.

doi:10.1080/03602530600959433

32.   Maillet A, Tan K, Chai X, et al. Modeling Doxorubicin-Induced Cardiotoxicity in
Human Pluripotent Stem Cell Derived-Cardiomyocytes. *Sci Rep.*
2016;6(January):1-13. doi:10.1038/srep25333

33.   Carrasco R, Castillo RL, Gormaz JG, Carrillo M, Thavendiranathan P. Role of
Oxidative Stress in the Mechanisms of Anthracycline-Induced Cardiotoxicity:
Effects of Preventive Strategies. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2021;2021.
doi:10.1155/2021/8863789

34.   Monteiro JP, Martins AF, Nunes C, et al. A biophysical approach to menadione
membrane interactions: Relevance for menadione-induced mitochondria
dysfunction and related deleterious/therapeutic effects. *Biochim Biophys Acta -*
Biomembr. 2013;1828(8):1899-1908. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.04.006

35.   Cadenas S. Mitochondrial uncoupling, ROS generation and cardioprotection.
Biochim Biophys Acta - Bioenerg. 2018;1859(9):940-950.
doi:10.1016/j.bbabio.2018.05.019

36.   Zhao RZ, Jiang S, Zhang L, Yu Z Bin. Mitochondrial electron transport chain,
ROS generation and uncoupling (Review). *Int J Mol Med.* 2019;44(1):3-15.
doi:10.3892/ijmm.2019.4188

37.   Haider K, Haider MR, Neha K, Yar MS. Free radical scavengers: An overview
on heterocyclic advances and medicinal prospects. *Eur J Med Chem.*
2020;204. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112607

38.   Guengerich FP, Liebler DC, Reed DL. *Enzymatic Activation of Chemicals to*
Toxic Metabolites. Vol 14.; 1985. doi:10.3109/10408448509037460

39.   Baillie TA. Metabolism and toxicity of drugs. Two decades of progress in
industrial drug metabolism. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2008;21(1):129-137.
doi:10.1021/tx7002273

40.   Xu L, Liu H, Murray BP, et al. Cobicistat (GS-9350): A potent and selective

1026  inhibitor of human CYP3A as a novel pharmacoenhancer. *ACS Med Chem*
1027  *Lett*. 2010;1(5):209-213. doi:10.1021/ml1000257

1028 41. Custodio JM, Wang H, Hao J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of cobicistat boosted-
1029  elvitegravir administered in combination with rosuvastatin. *J Clin Pharmacol*.
1030  2014;54(6):649-656. doi:10.1002/jcph.256

1031 42. Peng HM, Raner GM, Vaz ADN, Coon MJ. Oxidative cleavage of esters and
1032  amides to carbonyl products by cytochrome P450. *Arch Biochem Biophys*.
1033  1995;318(2):333-339. doi:10.1006/abbi.1995.1237

1034 43. Kohnhäuser D, Seedorf T, Cirnski K, et al. Optimization of the Central α-Amino
1035  Acid in Cystobactamids to the Broad-Spectrum, Resistance-Breaking Antibiotic
1036  CN-CC-861. *J Med Chem*. Published online 2024.
1037  doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.4c00927

1038 44. Parng C, Seng WL, Semino C, McGrath P. Zebrafish: a preclinical model for
1039  drug screening. *Assay Drug Dev Technol*. 2002;1(1 Pt 1):41-48.
1040  doi:10.1089/154065802761001293

1041 45. Zhu JJ, Xu YQ, He JH, et al. Human cardiotoxic drugs delivered by soaking
1042  and microinjection induce cardiovascular toxicity in zebrafish. *J Appl Toxicol*.
1043  2014;34(2):139-148. doi:10.1002/jat.2843

1044 46. He JH, Guo SY, Zhu F, et al. A zebrafish phenotypic assay for assessing drug-
1045  induced hepatotoxicity. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods*. 2013;67(1):25-32.
1046  doi:10.1016/j.vascn.2012.10.003

1047 47. Strähle U, Scholz S, Geisler R, et al. Zebrafish embryos as an alternative to
1048  animal experiments-A commentary on the definition of the onset of protected
1049  life stages in animal welfare regulations. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2012;33(2):128-132.
1050  doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2011.06.121

1051 48. Dathe K, Schaefer C. Drug safety in pregnancy: the German Embryotox
1052  institute. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2018;74(2):171-179. doi:10.1007/s00228-017-

1053   2351-y

1054   49.   Vargesson N. Thalidomide-Induced Teratogenesis: History and Mechanisms.
1055   *Birth Defects Res (Part C)*. 2015;(105):140–156. doi:10.1002/bdrc.21096

1056   50.   Nagel R, Bresch H, Caspers N, et al. Effect of 3,4-dichloroaniline on the early
1057   life stages of the zebrafish (Brachydanio retio): Results of a comparative
1058   laboratory study. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*. 1991;21(2):157-164.
1059   doi:10.1016/0147-6513(91)90017-J

1060   51.   Zakaria ZZ, Benslimane FM, Nasrallah GK, et al. Using Zebrafish for
1061   Investigating the Molecular Mechanisms of Drug-Induced Cardiotoxicity.
1062   *Biomed Res Int*. 2018;2018. doi:10.1155/2018/1642684

1063   52.   Walker DK. The use of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data in the
1064   assessment of drug safety in early drug development. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*.
1065   2004;58(6):601-608. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2004.02194.x

1066   53.   Wright MH, Fetzer C, Sieber SA. Chemical Probes Unravel an Antimicrobial
1067   Defense Response Triggered by Binding of the Human Opioid Dynorphin to a
1068   Bacterial Sensor Kinase. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2017;139(17):6152-6159.
1069   doi:10.1021/jacs.7b01072

1070   54.   Perez-Dominguez F, Carrillo-Beltrán D, Blanco R, et al. Role of pirin, an
1071   oxidative stress sensor protein, in epithelial carcinogenesis. *Biology (Basel)*.
1072   2021;10(2):1-13. doi:10.3390/biology10020116

1073   55.   Seedorf T, Kirschning A, Solga D. Natural and Synthetic Oligoarylamides:
1074   Privileged Structures for Medical Applications. *Chem - A Eur J*.
1075   2021;27(26):7321-7339. doi:10.1002/chem.202005086

1076   56.   Xu S, Laccotripe M, Huang X, Rigotti A, Zannis VI, Krieger M. Apolipoproteins
1077   of HDL can directly mediate binding to the scavenger receptor SR-BI, an HDL
1078   receptor that mediates selective lipid uptake. *J Lipid Res*. 1997;38(7):1289-
1079   1298. doi:10.1016/s0022-2275(20)37413-7

57.   Wen-Jun Shen, Salman Azhar and FBK. SR-B1: A Unique Multifunctional
Receptor for Cholesterol Influx and Efflux. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2018;176(5):139-
148. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-021317-121550

58.   Ji Y, Jian B, Wang N, et al. Scavenger receptor BI promotes high density
lipoprotein-mediated cellular cholesterol efflux. *J Biol Chem*.
1997;272(34):20982-20985. doi:10.1074/jbc.272.34.20982

59.   Mooberry LK, Sabnis NA, Panchoo M, Nagarajan B, Lacko AG. Targeting the
SR-B1 receptor as a gateway for cancer therapy and imaging. *Front
Pharmacol*. 2016;7(DEC):1-11. doi:10.3389/fphar.2016.00466

60.   Qiu Y, Liu S, Chen HT, et al. Upregulation of caveolin-1 and SR-B1 in mice
with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int*.
2013;12(6):630-636. doi:10.1016/S1499-3872(13)60099-5

61.   Masson D, Koseki M, Ishibashi M, et al. Increased HDL cholesterol and ApoA-I
in humans and mice treated with a novel SR-BI inhibitor. *Arterioscler Thromb
Vasc Biol*. 2009;29(12):2054-2060. doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.109.191320

62.   Zeisel MB, Koutsoudakis G, Schnober EK, et al. Scavenger receptor class B
type I is a key host factor for hepatitis C virus infection required for an entry
step closely linked to CD81. *Hepatology*. 2007;46(6):1722-1731.
doi:10.1002/hep.21994

63.   Scarselli E, Ansuini H, Cerino R, et al. The human scavenger receptor class B
type I is a novel candidate receptor for the hepatitis C virus. *EMBO J*.
2002;21(19):5017-5025. doi:10.1093/emboj/cdf529

64.   Global HIV Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes. *Global
Progress Report on HIV, Viral Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections,
2021*.; 2021. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240027077

65.   Lindenbach BD, Rice CM. The ins and outs of hepatitis C virus entry and
assembly. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2013;11(10):688-700. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3098

66. Powers HR, Sahoo D. SR-B1's Next Top Model: Structural Perspectives on the
Functions of the HDL Receptor. *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* 2022;24(4):277-288.
doi:10.1007/s11883-022-01001-1

67. Gordon JA, Noble JW, Midha A, et al. Upregulation of scavenger receptor B1 is
required for steroidogenic and nonsteroidogenic cholesterol metabolism in
prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2019;79(13):3320-3331. doi:10.1158/0008-
5472.CAN-18-2529

68. Wei C, Wan L, Yan Q, et al. HDL-scavenger receptor B type 1 facilitates
SARS-CoV-2 entry. *Nat Metab.* 2020;2(12):1391-1400. doi:10.1038/s42255-
020-00324-0

69. A. Kenneth MacLeoda, Kevin- Sebastien Coquelinb, Leticia Huertasc,
Frederick R. C. Simeonsa, Jennifer Rileya, Patricia Casadoc, Laura Guijarroc,
Ruth Casanuevac, Laura Framea, Erika G. Pintoa , Liam Fergusona, Christina
Duncana , Nicole Muttera, Yoko Shis 1. Acceleration of infectious disease drug
discovery and development using a humanized model of drug metabolism.
Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2024;121. doi:10.1073/pnas

70. Haid S, Grethe C, Bankwitz D, Grunwald T, Pietschmann T. Identification of a
Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus Cell Entry Inhibitor by Using a Novel
Lentiviral Pseudotype System. *J Virol.* 2016;90(6):3065-3073.
doi:10.1128/jvi.03074-15

71. Dull T, Zufferey R, Kelly M, et al. A Third-Generation Lentivirus Vector with a
Conditional Packaging System. *J Virol.* 1998;72(11):8463-8471.
doi:10.1128/jvi.72.11.8463-8471.1998

72. Vieyres G, Welsch K, Gerold G, et al. ABHD5/CGI-58, the Chanarin-Dorfman
Syndrome Protein, Mobilises Lipid Stores for Hepatitis C Virus Production.
PLoS Pathog. 2016;12(4). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005568

73. Pham HM, Argañaraz ER, Groschel B, Trono D, Lama J. Lentiviral Vectors
Interfering with Virus-Induced CD4 Down-Modulation Potently Block Human

Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Replication in Primary Lymphocytes. *J Virol*.

2004;78(23):13072-13081. doi:10.1128/jvi.78.23.13072-13081.2004

74.   Haid S, Grethe C, Dill MT, Heim M, Kaderali L, Pietschmann T. Isolate-

dependent use of claudins for cell entry by hepatitis C virus. *Hepatology*.

2014;59(1):24-34. doi:10.1002/hep.26567

75.   Kalajzic I, Stover ML, Liu P, Kalajzic Z, Rowe DW, Lichtler AC. Use of VSV-G

pseudotyped retroviral vectors to target murine osteoprogenitor cells. *Virology*.

2001;284(1):37-45. doi:10.1006/viro.2001.0903

1143

Acknowledgements

1145 This study was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research

1146 (OpCyBac-project grant number: 16GW0219K). The funder played no role in study

1147 design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of data, or writing of this

1148 manuscript.

Author Contributions

TR designed, performed and analyzed the majority of mentioned experiments and

wrote the manuscript. DH, TS, DS, DK synthesized and provided the cystobactamid

derivatives. AMK performed and analyzed the *in vitro* pharmacokinetic experiments.

JHo supported the seahorse assay. JSH and DM supported proteomic sample

preparation and data analysis. BH performed and analyzed the HCVpp assay and

cytotoxicity testing on Huh-7.5 cells. FF supported the topoisomerase assays and

helped writing the manuscript. FD helped with scientific advice and manuscript

revision. JH, RM, MB, AK, AKK, TP and SS provided supervision and funding. TR,

JH and RM designed the project. All authors were involved in reviewing and editing

the manuscript and approved the final version.

1160

Competing Interests

1162 All authors declare no financial or non-financial competing interests.