TITLE

Reactive Oxygen Detoxification Contributes to *Mycobacterium abscessus* Antibiotic Survival

AUTHORS

Nicholas A. Bates[1,2], Ronald Rodriguez[3,4], Rama Drwich[1], Abigail Ray[5], Sarah A. Stanley[3],

Bennett H. Penn[1,6]*

AFFILIATIONS:

1. Department of Internal Medicine, University of California, Davis, California, USA

2. Graduate Group in Immunology, University of California, Davis, California, USA

3. Department of Molecular & Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

4. Department of Plant & Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

5. Microbiology Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, California, USA

6. Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, Davis,

California, USA

*Corresponding author

Email: bhpenn@ucdavis.edu

ABSTRACT

When a population of bacteria encounter a bactericidal antibiotic most cells die rapidly. However,

a sub-population, known as "persister cells", can survive for prolonged periods in a non-growing,

but viable, state. Persister cell frequency is dramatically increased by stresses such as nutrient

deprivation, but it is unclear what pathways are required to maintain viability, and how this process

is regulated. To identify the genetic determinants of antibiotic persistence in mycobacteria, we

carried out transposon mutagenesis high-throughput sequencing (Tn-Seq) screens in

Mycobacterium abscessus (*Mabs*). This analysis identified genes essential in both spontaneous

and stress-induced persister cells, allowing the first genetic comparison of these states in

mycobacteria, and unexpectedly identified multiple genes involved in the detoxification of reactive

oxygen species (ROS). We found that endogenous ROS were generated following antibiotic

exposure, and that the KatG catalase-peroxidase contributed to survival in both spontaneous and

starvation-induced persisters. We also found that that hypoxia significantly impaired bacterial

killing, and notably, in the absence of oxygen, KatG became dispensable. Thus, the lethality of

some antibiotics is amplified by toxic ROS accumulation, and persister cells depend on

detoxification systems to remain viable.

INTRODUCTION

A key goal of antibiotic therapy is the complete eradication of the pathogen. While many common

infections respond rapidly to antibiotics, and high cure rates are achieved with 1-2 weeks of

therapy[1,2], there are also infections where bacterial clearance is either very slow, or frequently

incomplete. This challenge is exemplified by mycobacterial infections, where treatment courses

extend from months to years to prevent relapse.

While the ability of mycobacteria to escape antibiotic-mediated killing is multifactorial, the

phenomenon of antibiotic "persistence" is likely an important contributor[3-6]. Studies on penicillin

dating from the 1940s noted that when a population of susceptible bacteria were exposed to a

bactericidal antibiotic, the majority of the population died within a few hours, but that a small sub-

population of 'persisters' remained viable for over a week[7]. Importantly, these persister cells had

not acquired a mutation conferring heritable antibiotic resistance, and do not grow in the presence

of the antibiotic. Rather, they have entered into a readily-reversable epigenetic state[8-10] where,

despite antibiotic-mediated inhibition of critical processes, they are able to survive. Virtually all

bacterial species display the ability to form persister cells, and their development is strongly

induced in response to stresses such as nutrient deprivation or acidic pH[6-7,11-12]. Notably, these

same stresses are encountered in the lysosome of an activated immune cell[13], and studies of

pathogens isolated from activated macrophages indeed show a strong immune-mediated

increase in persister cells[5,14]. Thus, paradoxically, the immune system may actually impede

bacterial eradication by antibiotics.

Persister formation has been studied extensively in model systems such as *Escherichia coli*,

which has provided important insights, but also highlighted uncertainties of current models.

Several different pathways have been implicated in *E. coli* persister formation, including the HipBA

toxin-antitoxin system[15], guanosine pentaphosphate ((p)ppGpp) synthesis by RelA/SpoT

enzymes[16,17], and Lon protease[16]. In each of these models, the postulated mechanism is to halt

cell division and render the process targeted by antibiotics non-essential. However, important

questions remain unanswered. It is unclear how persister cells remain viable when critical

processes such as transcription or translation are arrested by antibiotics, as well as how the

process is regulated and induced by stress.

Even the mechanism of cell death following antibiotic exposure itself remains uncertain, and

somewhat controversial. Historically, antibiotics have been presumed to kill bacteria as a direct

result of inhibition of their target molecule, such as β-lactam antibiotics disrupting cell wall integrity,

directly leading to mechanical cell lysis[18]. However, a number of studies, largely from *E. coli*, have

suggested that reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation triggered by antibiotic stress might

also contribute to cell death[10,19-20]. Conversely, other studies have found no such association[21,22],

leaving the role of ROS in antibiotic-mediated cell death unresolved.

Studying persister cell physiology in mycobacterial pathogens offers several advantages.

Mycobacterial persister cells are particularly resilient, as *Mycobacterium smegmatis* (*Msmeg*) and

Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) persisters can endure many weeks of antibiotic exposure[10,23-

24]. Clinically, mycobacterial infections are difficult to eradicate. Fully-susceptible *Mtb* requires

multiple antibiotics for four months or longer[25-26], whereas non-tuberculous mycobacteria typically

require treatment for 12-18 months and have a relapse rates of roughly 50%[27]. *Mycobacterium*

abscessus (*Mabs*) is among the most difficult of all bacterial pathogens to treat, because in

addition to the possibility of forming persister cells, it is intrinsically resistant to many classes of

antibiotics, leaving few treatment options[28]. This leads to the use of antibiotics with greater toxicity

to patients, and a need to use these agents for prolonged periods to prevent relapse. Thus,

identifying the genes that *Mabs* persister cells rely on for survival could be beneficial by

highlighting pathways that might be targeted therapeutically to eliminate persister cells.

Previous genetic screens have studied antibiotic responses in mycobacteria, with some

evaluating heritable resistance, and others investigating persister cell formation. Several studies

of genetic resistance have successfully used either transposon mutagenesis with high-throughput

sequencing of insertion sites (Tn-Seq) or CRISPR-based transcriptional repression with high-

throughput sequencing of guide RNAs (CRISPRi) to identify genes promoting growth in sub-

inhibitory concentrations of antibiotic. These studies have provided insights such as the

importance of cell membrane permeability controlling antibiotic penetration into the cytoplasm[29-

31]. Persister cell formation has proven challenging to study, likely because their low frequency leads

to population bottlenecks that confound genetic analysis. Although screens in *Mtb* have been

conducted in macrophages and mice, and genes such as *glpK* and *cinA* identified, overall the

number of mutants isolated in these screens has been low[32-34]. There has been one highly-

effective in vitro Tn-Seq study of rifampin survival in *Mtb* that isolated over 100 mutants[19],

demonstrating the feasibility of genetic screening in this context. However, whether these

phenotypes seen with rifampin in *Mtb* extend to other organisms and other antibiotics remains to

be determined.

Here, we study persister cell formation in *Mabs*, and describe the results of genome-wide Tn-seq

screens seeking to identify the genes required for both spontaneous and starvation-induced

antibiotic persistence. We identified diverse pathways contributing to persister cell survival, and

unexpectedly, observed a prominent role for ROS detoxifying factors such as the catalase-

peroxidase enzyme KatG, which contributed to both spontaneous and starvation-induced

persistence. We found that endogenous ROS were generated following antibiotic exposure, and

that hypoxia significantly impaired bacterial killing. Thus, the lethality of some antibiotics is

amplified by toxic ROS accumulation, and persister cells require detoxification systems.

RESULTS

Starvation-induced persister cell formation in mycobacteria

We first sought to develop conditions suitable for genetic analysis of antibiotic persistence in

mycobacteria. Genetic screens examining persister cell physiology faces two inherent obstacles.

First, persister cells are rare in unstressed bacterial populations, and antibiotic-mediated cell

death creates population bottlenecks that obscure mutant phenotypes. Second, most

mycobacterial populations contain spontaneous drug-resistant mutants that can expand if the

population is exposed to a single antibiotic. To overcome these obstacles, we sought to establish

large-scale, high-density culture conditions to prevent genetic bottlenecks, and used multiple

antibiotics to suppress expansion of spontaneous drug-resistant mutants. We began by assessing

the feasibility of this approach using wild-type *Msmeg.* We exposed the cells to either the

combination of rifampin, isoniazid, and ethambutol (RIF/INH/EMB) used to treat *Mtb*, or to the

combination of tigecycline and linezolid (TIG/LZD), two translation-inhibiting antibiotics frequently

used to treat *Mabs*[27,35], and empirically determined the minimum-inhibitory concentrations (MICs)

each antibiotic under the high-density culture conditions that would be needed for genetic analysis

of antibiotic persister cells. Both antibiotic combinations reduced the bacterial population >1000-

fold within 72h (Figure 1A). We then evaluated both spontaneous and stress-induced persister

cell formation under these conditions in *Msmeg*. We compared logarithmically growing (mid-log)

cultures in 7H9 rich media to cultures starved for 2 days in PBS prior to addition of antibiotics.

Consistent with expectations, we found a marked increase in the frequency of persister cells in

starved cultures, with a 100-fold increase in survival following TIG/LZD exposure and a 10,000-

fold increase following RIF/INH/EMB exposure (Figure 1A).

We next examined two species of pathogenic mycobacteria to similarly assess stress-induced

persister formation under these conditions, as has been reported previously[36-39]. We again

compared cells starved in PBS to mid-log cells growing 7H9, and found that cultures of wild-type

Mabs (ATCC 19977 strain) and *Mtb* (Erdman) also displayed dramatic increases in the frequency

of persister cells in nutrient-deprived cultures (Figure 1B,C). Notably, for *Mabs* and *Msmeg,* the

development of these persister cells required an adaptation period of several days under

starvation conditions, as formation of persister cells was dramatically impaired if cells were shifted

immediately into nutrient-deficient conditions with antibiotics, suggesting that a regulated process

needed to be completed (Figure 1D-F).

Identification of pathways needed for persister formation in *Mabs*

We used these conditions to carry out Tn-Seq screens in *Mabs* to identify genes necessary for

forming both spontaneous and starvation-induced persister cells. We conducted the screen using

a *Mabs Himar1* Tn library comprised of ~55,000 mutations across all 4,992 non-essential *Mabs*

genes in strain ATCC 19977[29]. We maintained cells in log-phase growth in 7H9 rich media, or

starved cells in PBS as described above, and then exposed them to TIG/LZD for 6 days (Figure

2A), a point at which spontaneous persister cells comprise the majority of the population (Figure

1C). Following antibiotic exposure cells were then washed and placed in antibiotic-free liquid

media to recover, genomic DNA was isolated, and Tn insertion sites sequenced. We then used

TRANSIT software[40] to quantify the abundance of each Tn mutant across different conditions and

identify mutants with statistically-significant differences in distribution, in order to identify essential

genes in both spontaneous and stress-induced antibiotic persister cells. We identified 277 *Mabs*

genes required for surviving TIG/LZD exposure in rich media, 271 genes required for survival

during starvation and 362 genes required to survive the combined exposure to antibiotics and

starvation using criteria for significance of Log_2 fold-change > 0.5 and Benjamini–Hochberg

adjusted p-value (p-adj.) ≤ 0.05 (Figure 2B-E). Of the genes required for antibiotic persistence,

~60% were required in both nutrient-replete and starvation states, although condition-specific

determinants were also seen (Figure 2F). As expected, we identified genes with already-

established functions in antibiotic responses, including MAB_2752 and MAB_2753 which are both

homologs of known antibiotic transporters in *Mtb,* and tetracycline-responsive transcription factors

such as MAB_4687 and MAB_0314c (Table S1), indicating an ability of these Tn-Seq conditions

to identify physiologically relevant antibiotic-response genes.

To identify other cellular processes necessary for persister survival we performed pathway

enrichment analysis on the set of genes identified by Tn-Seq. We used the DAVID[41] analysis tool

to perform systematic queries of the KEGG, GO, and Uniprot databases and identify over-

represented processes and pathways. Interestingly, although cells were exposed to translation-

inhibiting antibiotics, and no exogenous oxidative or nitrosative stress was applied to the cells, we

identified a number of factors needed to combat these stresses. This includes *bfrB* (bactoferritin),

ahpe (peroxiredoxin) and *katG* (catalase/peroxidase) as well as 5 components of the bacterial

proteasome pathway, known to mediate resistance to nitrosative stress in *Mtb*[42]. We also

identified multiple members of DNA-damage response pathways including *recF*, *recG*, *uvrA*, *uvrB*

and *uvrC* (Figure 2G, Table S2). Examining starvation-induced persisters, a number of the same

pathways were again seen, and the mutant with the greatest persister defect in this context was

mntH, a redox-regulated Mn/Zn transporter implicated in peroxide resistance in other organisms[43-

44]. Taken together, these findings suggest an unexpected scenario whereby translation inhibition

triggers accumulation of reactive oxygen or nitrogen species, with damage to macromolecules

such as DNA occurring.

We next sought to independently confirm a role in persister survival for genes identified by Tn-

Seq. We selected a set of genes with strong defects in persister formation, representing several

of the functional pathways identified, and used oligonucleotide-mediated recombineering

(ORBIT)[45] to disrupt their open reading frames. The initial genes selected were *pafA* (proteasome

pathway), *katG* (catalase-peroxidase), *recR* (DNA repair), *blaR* (β-lactam sensing), and

MAB_1456c (cobalamin synthesis). To control for non-specific effects of antibiotic selection during

the recombineering process, we created a control strain using ORBIT to target a non-coding

intergenic region downstream of a redundant tRNA gene (*MAB_t5030c*). We then individually

screened each of these mutants to determine if they displayed deficits in persister cell formation

by exposing cells to TIG/LZD, either in rich 7H9 media or under starvation conditions, as had been

done in the pooled Tn-Seq screen. For Δ*katG* we detected clear defects in persister cell survival

following 6 days of antibiotic exposure in either rich media or under starvation-induced conditions,

corroborating the results of our Tn-Seq analysis (Figure 3A). We observed smaller defects in the

Δ*pafA* and Δ*MAB_1456c* mutants (Figure 3B,C), and in *blaR* found no loss of overall viability, but

instead observed a delayed resumption of growth after removal of antibiotics (Figure 3D, data not

shown).

To further confirm the role of *katG* and *pafA,* and exclude off-target effects of recombineering, we

performed genetic complementation analysis by restoring a wild-type copy of each gene into the

respective Δ*pafA* and Δ*katG* mutants. In each case, we integrated a single copy of the wild-type

gene, under the control of its endogenous promoter, into the genome at the L5 *attB* site (hereafter

pafA+, katG+ strains), and constructed isogenic control strains with empty vector integrated at

the same site (hereafter *pafA-, katG-* strains). We confirmed expression of the re-introduced copy

of each gene by RT-qPCR in the *pafA+*, and *katG+* strains, and found expression within roughly

2-fold of endogenous wild-type levels (Figure 4A,D). We then challenged these strains with

TIG/LZD as before. In rich media, where the Δ*katG* mutants have a moderate persistence defect,

the *katG+* strain had roughly a 50-fold increase in viable cells relative to the *katG-* strain. We then

exposed cells to antibiotics under starvation conditions, where the Δ*katG* mutant phenotype is

more severe. Under these conditions the *katG-* cells succumbed rapidly between 3d and 10d after

antibiotic exposure, with a 1,000-fold decrease in viable cells relative to control cells, whereas the

katG+ strain showed a near-complete restoration of persistence (Figure 4B). We analogously

examined complementation of Δ*pafA* mutants, and although the phenotype of the Δ*pafA* mutant

is less severe overall than a Δ*katG* mutant, we saw a similar restoration of survival in *pafA+* cells

relative to *pafA-* cells (Figure 4E). We next evaluated whether the *pafA-*, and *katG-* strains were

overall more sensitive to the growth inhibitory effects of TIG/LZD, or whether they had specific

defects in survival above the mean bactericidal concentration. We performed MIC determination

for TIG and LZD individually for each strain, comparing the *katG+/katG-* and *pafA+/pafA-* strains.

We found that that the MICs for each of these strains were unchanged, demonstrating that these

mutants were not more readily inhibited by these antibiotics. Instead, they have more rapid

kinetics of cell death at bactericidal concentrations, consistent with a specific defect in antibiotic

persistence (Figure 4C,F).

Reactive oxygen contributes to antibiotic lethality in *Mabs*

We next investigated the role of KatG in persister cell cells more broadly. We began by assessing

whether *katG* conferred protection from other antibiotics with diverse mechanisms of action,

selecting antibiotics that are used clinically for mycobacterial infections. Because *katG-* mutants

showed the greatest defects in starvation-induced persistence, we analyzed survival of *katG+* and

katG- strains in starvation-adapted cultures exposed to a panel of different antibiotics. Because

both TIG and LZD both act by inhibiting translation, we began by exposing cells to either TIG or

LZD alone. As expected, the degree of bacterial killing was significantly less with either agent

alone than when they are added in combination. Upon exposure to either of these antibiotics the

katG- cells died more rapidly than *katG+* cells, though we note that the final proportion of

persisters in the population was unchanged in *katG-* cells (Figure 5A). When we exposed cells to

rifabutin (RFB), an RNA polymerase inhibitor, we saw a similar effect, with a 100-fold loss of

viability in *katG-* cells relative to the *katG+* cells (Figure 5B). In contrast, when we exposed

cultures to either levofloxacin (gyrase inhibitor) or cefoxitin (β-lactam inhibitor of peptidoglycan

cross-linking), *katG* had little to no effect on cell viability (Figure 5C-D). Thus, the role of KatG is

context-dependent, suggesting that some antibiotics generate oxidative stress that can be

ameliorated by KatG catalase/peroxidase activity while others do not.

The identification of *katG* as essential for persister cells to survive exposure to TIG/LZD suggests

that ROS are present and causing damage. Although TIG/LIN are translation inhibitors that do not

directly generate ROS we evaluated whether they might nonetheless be triggering ROS

accumulation as a secondary effect. We examined ROS levels in *katG+ Mabs* using the ROS

indicator dye cellROX, that is retained in cells when it becomes oxidized[46]. At baseline, during

log-phase growth in rich media < 3% of cells had ROS accumulation (Figure 5E). We saw a

moderate increase in ROS accumulation in starved cultures, with roughly 10% of the population

cellROX+. However, when starved cultures were exposed to the TIG/LZD we saw a dramatic

increase in ROS accumulation with over 30% cellROX+ cells. Taken together, these data indicate

that translation inhibition does indeed have unanticipated downstream effects on cellular redox

balance, with ROS accumulation that could be contributing to cell death.

We next tested whether ROS were contributing to cell death by reducing ROS production and

assessing the impact on cell viability. A well-established system for studying hypoxia in

mycobacteria is the Wayne Model of gradual-onset hypoxia, whereby low-density cultures are

inoculated in sealed vessels with minimal headspace. As the culture slowly grows, the soluble O_2

is consumed, resulting in the onset of hypoxia over several days, a process that can be monitored

by the decolorization of Methylene Blue dye in the media[47]. Under aerobic conditions in rich

media, we observed the expected rapid killing of *Mabs* over the first 5 days with the combination

of TIG/LZD, with more rapid loss of viability in KatG- cells. However, under hypoxic conditions,

where ROS production is suppressed, we saw much slower bacterial killing. Importantly, under

hypoxic conditions *katG*- cells no longer had a survival defect relative to *katG*+ cells, supporting

the hypothesis that translation-inhibiting antibiotics also cause secondary accumulation of lethal

ROS in antibiotic-treated cells that need to be detoxified by KatG (Figure 5F).

Incomplete penetrance of *katG* phenotype among *Mabs* strains

Finally, we tested the role of KatG in *Mabs* clinical strains to determine how widely the role of *katG*

is conserved among different *Mabs* strains. We obtained 2 clinical isolates of *Mabs*, used ORBIT

to disrupt the *katG* locus, and evaluated the ability of these strains to form both spontaneous and

starvation-induced persister cells upon exposure to TIG/LZD. For clinical strain-1, the Δ*katG*

mutant showed no defects in either condition (Figure 6B). In contrast, for clinical strain-2, *katG*

contributed to starvation-induced persistence, as the Δ*katG* mutant rapidly lost viability in a

manner similar to the ATCC 19977 reference strain. However, unlike ATCC 19977, this phenotype

only manifested in starvation-induced persister cells, and was not seen in the absence of stress

(Figure 6C). Thus, the *katG* phenotype displays incomplete penetrance, and the degree of

protection it confers falls along a continuum among *Mabs* strains.

In summary, the results of these studies point to an important effect of ROS in amplifying the

lethality of some antibiotics in *Mabs*. Through genetic analysis we identified a number of ROS

detoxification factors, including KatG, as necessary for persisters to remain viable. This suggested

that antibiotics might induce an oxidative state in cells, and direct measurement of ROS following

antibiotic exposure indicated that this was indeed the case. Further supporting the deleterious

effects of ROS in this context, we found that removal of oxygen both slowed bacterial killing and

rendered KatG dispensable. Taken together these results suggest that antibiotic lethality is

accelerated by toxic ROS accumulation, and persister survival requires active detoxification

systems.

DISCUSSION:

Pathways necessary for persister formation in *Mabs.*

The phenomenon of bacterial persistence has been recognized for decades, and has been

observed in a broad array of bacterial species. Despite this, a unifying mechanism of persister

cell formation has not emerged, suggesting that different pathways may play a role in different

contexts. A large body of work comes from *E. coli* where toxin-antitoxin systems such as HipBA[15],

Lon protease[16,48] and the (p)ppGpp synthase RelA all contribute to the formation of persister

cells[49-52]. However, even within this species other mechanisms seem to function, as RelA

contributes to persister cell formation following exposure to β-lactams, but not quinolones[53]. In

addition, how exactly these pathways maintain cell viability remains unclear. For example, while

(p)ppGpp produced by RelA seems to act through Lon protease[16], the critical substrates in this

process are note unknown. It is also unclear how mechanisms identified in one bacterial species

may relate to the mechanisms in another. *RelA* plays a role in multiple species of bacteria,

including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[54], *Staphylococcus aureus*[55], and *Mtb*[56]. However, the role of

this pathway does not seem to be universal, as deletion of neither *relA* nor *lon* had an effect on

persister formation in *Msmeg*[57]. Interestingly, in our Tn-Seq analysis, we did not identify *relA*.

However, a prior study of the *Mabs relA* mutant demonstrates that this strain still synthesizes

(p)ppGpp[58], suggesting genetic redundancy and a need to disrupt additional genes in a *relA*

mutant in order to study the role of (p)ppGpp in *Mabs*.

Mechanisms of antibiotic lethality

The mechanisms of bacterial cell death following antibiotic exposure remains somewhat

controversial. The most straightforward explanation is that antibiotics kill by directly disrupting the

function of their targets. However, more recently it was suggested that antibiotics kill through a

final common pathway of lethal ROS generation, leading to oxidative damage of DNA and other

macromolecules[59]. Since that time, there have been studies, both supporting[10,19-20] and refuting

the role of ROS[21-22] in antibiotic-mediated cell death.

Our analysis of *Mabs* persister cells provides new insights. The identification of multiple genes

involved in ROS detoxification through an unbiased genome-wide screen suggests that ROS also

promotes antibiotic lethality in *Mabs*. This idea is further supported by the detection of elevated levels

of ROS after exposure to translation inhibitors, and that removal of oxygen slowed antibiotic killing.

Taken together, these data strongly support the idea that ROS are a significant contributor to

antibiotic lethality in some contexts. These findings are supported by other published studies in

mycobacteria. In *Mabs* and *Msmeg,* other groups have also observed reduced antibiotic-mediated

killing in hypoxic conditions[10,36]. In *Mtb*, exposure to rifampin also generates ROS[19,60], and *katG*

contributes to survival in rifampin-treated cells[19].

The source of ROS under these conditions is uncertain. In principle, any of several derangements

could lead to ROS accumulation. One of the major sources of cellular ROS is oxidative

phosphorylation, as hydrogen peroxide, superoxide, and hydroxyl radicals are natural byproducts.

Thus, increased ROS generation by oxidative phosphorylation is an attractive hypothesis.

Alternatively, particularly under starvation conditions, it is possible that antioxidants and ROS

scavengers may become depleted, creating a more oxidizing environment. Our Tn-Seq analysis

provides additional insight on this. We noted a small class of Tn mutants that were paradoxically

protected from antibiotic lethality (Figure 2B). Prominent among this class of mutants were several

independent components of the NADH dehydrogenase complex (Table S1). Also known as

Complex I of the electron transport chain, it is one of the key entry points for electrons into the

oxidative phosphorylation pathway. The observation that mutants in this complex are protected

suggests that decreasing flux through oxidative phosphorylation, with a concomitant decrease in

ROS generation, may enhance survival during antibiotic exposure.

However, antibiotic-induced ROS accumulation is not a universal phenomenon. With some

antibiotics we examined in *Mabs,* loss of *katG* had no effect. In addition, ROS detoxification does

not always play a protective role, as loss of catalase and peroxidase activities in *E. coli* also had

no effect on persister survival[22]. Taken together, this suggests a model in which antibiotics cause

direct toxicity by acting on their target, but in addition, some antibiotics, notably transcription

inhibitors and translation inhibitors, also appear to have a secondary toxic effect of causing ROS

accumulation. How exactly transcription or translation blockade leads to increased ROS levels is

currently unclear and will require further investigation, although our data suggest that the electron

transport chain might play an important role.

Therapeutic implications

Mabs infections are particularly challenging to eradicate, with relapse rates over 50%[28]. Our

results highlight several bacterial processes such as the bacterial proteasome or ROS

detoxification that might be targeted therapeutically to reduce the development or survival of

persister cells. Agents targeting these process might not have any intrinsic antimicrobial activity

alone, but might act to target the unique physiology of persister cells that develop upon antibiotic

exposure. This would represent a new therapeutic class of "persistence inhibitors" that might act

synergistically with traditional antibiotics to eliminate the subpopulation of persister cells that

would otherwise remain viable despite prolonged antibiotic exposure in *Mabs* and other chronic

infections.

Limitations

Tn-Seq has inherent drawbacks, including an inability to identify mutants in essential genes, or in

cases of genetic redundancy. Thus, there are likely genes needed for antibiotic persistence in

Mabs that were not identified in this study. In addition, we studied the response to a single class

of antibiotic, focusing on the translation inhibitors often used to treat *Mabs* infections, and we

studied only spontaneous and starvation-induced persister cells. It is likely that studying other

antibiotics, with different mechanisms of action, or different stresses that induce persister cells,

would identify additional genes contributing to persister formation and would allow better

identification of core survival pathways that might be shared among different forms of stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Key Reagent Table

Reagent	Source/Reference	Identifier
Mabs **ATCC 19977**	ATCC	19977
Msmeg **MC2 155**	ATCC	700084
Mtb **Erdman**	ATCC	35801
Mabs **clinical strains**	Sacramento Department of Public Health	NA
pkm444	Addgene	108319
pkm496	Addgene	109301

pmv306	Ref. 61	
Middlebrook 7H9	BD	271310
Middlebrook 7H10	BD	262710
Glycerol	Invitrogen	15514011
Tween-80	Fisher	BP338-500
Middlebrook OADC	BD	212351
DPBS (-Ca/Mg)	Gibco	14190144
Tyloxapol	Sigma Aldrich	T8761
Methylene blue	Sigma Aldrich	28514
Tigecycline	Chem Impex	29737
Linezolid	Chem Impex	29723
Levofloxacin	Sigma Aldrich	28266
Cefoxitin	Chem Impex	1490
Rifabutin	Cayman Chemical	16468
Rifampin	Sigma Aldrich	R7382
Isoniazid	Supelco	I3377
Ethambutol	Thermo Scientific	J6069506
DMSO	Sigma Aldrich	D2650
Trizol	Invitrogen	15596018
0.1mm zirconia beads	Biospec	11079101z
PureLink RNA Mini Kit	Invitrogen	12183025
Kanamycin	VWR	75856-686
Zeocin	Invivogen	ANTZN1P
Glycine	Fisher Bioreagents	BP381
Sucrose	Sigma Aldrich	S1888

Anhydrotetracycline	Cayman Chemical	10009542
cellROX green	Invitrogen	C10444
Paraformaldehyde	Sigma Aldrich	P6148
DAPI	Sigma Aldrich	D9542
DNAseI	New England Biolabs	M0303
Maxima H minus reverse transcriptase	Thermo Scientific	EP0752
Taq Polymerase	New England Biolabs	M0273
EvaGreen	Biotium	31019

Bacterial strains and culture conditions:

Mabs ATCC 19977, clinical *Mabs* strains and *Msmeg* (MC2 155) were grown in BD Middlebrook

7H9 media (liquid) or 7H10 media (solid) supplemented with 0.5% glycerol (Sigma) and 0.2%

Tween-80 (Fisher) but without any OADC supplementation except for transformations.

Sacramento clinical isolates were obtained from the Sacramento County Department of Public

Health Mycobacteriology Laboratory. Confirmation of clinical isolates as *Mabs* was performed by

amplifying the 16s rRNA locus and Sanger sequencing. *Mtb* (Erdman) was grown in 7H9 (liquid)

or 7H10 (solid) supplemented with 0.5% glycerol, 0.1% Tween-80, and 10% OADC (BD). All

cultures were grown at 37°C with gentle shaking. Except for specific hypoxia conditions, all liquid

cultures were grown with 90% container headspace or using a gas permeable cap to ensure

culture oxygenation. PBS starvation was achieved by washing OD0.5-1.0 *Mabs* 1X in DPBS (-

Ca/Mg, Gibco) and resuspending in DPBS at OD=1, supplemented with 0.1% tyloxapol (Sigma).

Mabs antibiotic experiments:

For PBS starvation experiments, stocks of *Mabs* were grown for 48 hours in 7H9 passaging

continuously in log phase, then PBS starved or passaged in log phase for an additional 48h. Log

phase or PBS starved *Mabs* were then resuspended in antibiotic containing media at OD1.0. For

experiments with hypoxia, *Mabs* in mid-log aerobic growth was adjusted to OD0.001 in media

with 1.5ug/ml methylene blue and added to a rubber septum sealed glass vial with 50%

headspace. Methylene blue discoloration was observed at 3d and antibiotics were added at 5d.

We empirically determined the half-life of each antibiotic in 7H9 media at 37-deg and for those

with half-lives shorter than the experiment, supplemented cultures to with additional antibiotic to

maintain the concentration of active antibiotic. Antibiotics were used at the following

concentrations: tigecycline (Chem-Impex) at 10ug/ml (8 fold above MIC, re-administered every 3

days), linezolid (Chem-Impex) at 100ug/ml (20 fold above MIC), levofloxacin (Sigma) at 40ug/ml

(8 fold above MIC), cefoxitin (Chem Impex) at 80ug/ml (8 fold above MIC, re-administered every

3 days), and rifabutin (Cayman) at 40ug/ml (4 fold above MIC). After antibiotic administration,

colony forming units over time were measured.

Msmeg antibiotic experiments:

Individual colonies were picked and grown for 48 hours in log phase before being PBS starved or

passaged in log phase for 48h. Log phase or PBS starved *Msmeg* were then resuspended in

antibiotic containing media at OD1.0. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations:

tigecycline (Chem-Impex) at 1.25ug/ml (8 fold above MIC, re-administered every 3 days), linezolid

(Chem-Impex) at 2.5ug/ml (8 fold above MIC), rifampin (Sigma) at 32ug/ml (8 fold above MIC, re-

administered every 6 days), isoniazid (Sigma) at 32ug/ml (8 fold above MIC, re-administered

every 6 days), and ethambutol (Thermo) at 4ug/ml (8 fold above MIC, re-administered every 3

days). After antibiotic administration, colony forming units over time were measured.

Mtb antibiotic experiments:

Freezer stocks of *Mtb* were thawed and grown for 5-7 days in log phase before being starved for

14d or longer. Non-starved control *Mtb* were thawed such that they were also grown for 5-7 days

in log phase before experimental use. Log phase or PBS starved *Mtb* was then resuspended in

antibiotic containing media and adjusted to OD1.0. Antibiotics were used at the following

concentrations: rifampin (Sigma) at 0.1ug/ml (4 fold above MIC, re-administered every 6 days),

isoniazid (Sigma) at 0.1ug/ml (4 fold above MIC, re-administered every 6 days), and ethambutol

(Thermo) at 8ug/ml (4 fold above MIC, re-administered every 6 days). After antibiotic

administration, colony forming units over time were measured.

Transposon insertion sequencing:

The construction of this *Himar1* transposon Tn library has been described previously [29]. Screening

was performed by growing a freezer stock of the library for 2.5 days in log phase before 48-hour

PBS starvation or further continuous log-phase growth. Samples were then resuspended in media

containing either tigecycline/linezolid or an equal volume of DMSO solvent and incubated for 6

days, with a re-administration of tigecycline or matching DMSO on day 3. The samples were then

washed 2X in antibiotic free liquid media, resuspended in antibiotic free liquid media (10X the

original culture volume), and grown until OD0.5-1.0. Subsequently, the samples underwent three

more rounds of 100-fold passaging in liquid media to amplify surviving bacteria before the

samples were collected in Trizol (Invitrogen). A sample taken at the time of the commencement

of PBS starvation was collected in Trizol and used as the input control. Three independent trials

of this experiment were submitted to the UC Davis DNA Technologies Core, where Tn insertion

site flanking sequences were amplified as described previously [29] and sequenced on sequenced

on an Illumina AVITI. Sequence reads were mapped to the ATCC 19977 genome and analyzed

using TRANSIT software with the following parameters: 0% of N/C termini ignored, 10,000

samples, TTR normalization, LOESS correction, include sites with all zeros, site restricted

resampling. Genes with significant changes were defined as those with adjusted p-value (p-adj.)

<0.05 and $\log_2$ fold change >0.5. P-adj. was calculated using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

Pathway enrichment analysis

To improve gene annotation, *Mabs* orthologs to *Mtb* genes were identified. *Mabs* genes were first

converted into protein sequences using Mycobrowser, and protein sequences were then used to

perform reciprocal BLASTp searches. *Mabs* genes and *Mtb* genes that mapped to each other

using independent one-way BLASTp searches with a maximum e-value cutoff of 0.1 were

considered orthologs. For pathway analysis, gene lists (*Mtb* orthologs) were then imported into

the DAVID knowledgebase[41] and pathway enrichment analysis performed for Gene Ontology

biological process, Uniprot keyword and KEGG databases with statistical analysis Fisher's exact

test and nominal p-value reported.

Gene deletion and complementation:

Knockout strains were generated using ORBIT[45]. Briefly, *Mabs* was transformed with the

kanamycin-resistant ORBIT recombineering plasmid pkm444. 20ml *Mabs* at OD0.5-1.0 was

washed 2X in 10% glycerol and resuspended in 200ul 10% glycerol. 500ng plasmid was added

and electroporated at 2.5kV in 0.2cm cuvettes. The bacteria were allowed to recover overnight

before plating on 150ug/ml kanamycin plates. Clones were selected and regrown in liquid media

supplemented with 150ug/ml kanamycin and 10% OADC (BD) to OD0.5-1.0. For recombineering,

the pkm444-*Mabs* was grown to mid-log, then diluted to OD0.1 and 200mM glycine (Fisher) was

added to the media. 16 hours later, 500mM sucrose (Sigma) and 500ng/ml anhydrotetracycline

(Cayman) were added and incubated for an additional 4 hours. Subsequently, the *Mabs* was

washed 2X in ice cold 10% glycerol + 500mM sucrose. 200ul of 10X concentrated *Mabs* was then

electroporated with 600ng of the zeocin-R ORBIT payload pkm496 plasmid and 2ug of targeting

oligonucleotide (Table S3) at 2.5kV in 0.2cm cuvettes. The *Mabs* was then allowed to recover

overnight in liquid media with 10% OADC and 500ng/ml anhydrotetracycline before being plated

on 150ug/ml zeocin plates. Mutants were then selected and screened for gene deletion by PCR

amplification and Sanger sequencing. For genetic complementation, the endogenous loci

including promoter and terminator sequences were amplified by PCR and cloned into the EcoRV

site of pmv306 with kanamycin resistance[61]. In the case of *katG,* the upstream gene *furA* was

also included in the complementation construct to achieve optimal *katG* expression.

MIC analysis

Two-fold serial dilutions of antibiotics were prepared in a 96 well plate in 100ul volume. 100ul of

2X bacteria were added (for *Mabs*: used a final OD of 0.001, *Msmeg*: OD0.001, *Mtb*: OD0.01),

making a final volume of 200ul. The plates were incubated until there was visible growth in the no

antibiotic control well. At this time, the bacteria were transferred to a new plate with 20ul of 40%

paraformaldehyde and OD620 measurements were taken with a FilterMax F3 plate reader

(Molecular Devices).

Flow cytometry

OD1 Mab was stained with cellROX green (Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 5uM for 1hr at

37C. The cells were then washed in PBS and resuspended in PBS with 4% paraformaldehyde

and 5ug/ml DAPI (Sigma). The samples were run on a LSRII flow cytometer (BD). Fluorophores

were excited with the 405nm (DAPI) and 488nm (cellROX) lasers. Detection was performed using

the 450/50 (505LP) filter for DAPI and a 525/50 (555LP) filter for cellROX. Data were analyzed

with FlowJo software (BD).

DNA/RNA Purification:

Samples were resuspended in 5 volumes of Trizol and were bead beat with 0.1mm zirconia beads

(Biospec) 6x2min at 4°C in a Mini-Beadbeater-16 (Biospec). Chloroform was added and RNA in

the aqueous phase removed. For DNA isolation, a second RNA extraction was performed with

0.8M guanidine thiocyanate and 0.5M guanidine hydrochloride, 60mM Acetate pH 5.2, 1mM

EDTA. DNA was then isolated with back-extraction buffer (4M Guanidine Thiocyanate, 50mM

Sodium Citrate, 1M Tris base (without pH adjustment ~pH 11) and DNA purified using a PureLink

RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen).

RT-qPCR

RNA was purified using PureLink RNA Mini Kit per manufacturer's instructions. The samples were

DNAseI (NEB) treated for 15min/37°C before stopping the reaction by adding 3.5mM EDTA and

heating for 10min/75°C. cDNA was synthesized from 500ng total RNA using random hexamers

and Maxima H minus reverse transcriptase (Thermo). No reverse-transcription controls were also

included and used to confirm the lack of genomic DNA-driven amplification. qPCR reactions used

Taq polymerase (NEB) and EvaGreen (Biotium) and were run on Biorad CFX Opus 96 Real-Time

PCR System. Melt curves were included for each sample to confirm uniform amplicon identity

between samples. Gene-specific amplification was quantified by comparison to a standard curve

generated from 3-fold serial dilutions of a control sample, then normalized to 16S rRNA within

each sample.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Starvation induces antibiotic persister cells across diverse mycobacteria. (A)

Msmeg, (B) *Mtb* or (C) *Mabs* were grown in 7H9 rich media or starved in PBS prior to the addition

of the indicated antibiotics. Cells were allowed to adapt to starvation for a period of 48h for *Msmeg*

and *Mabs* and 14-21d for *Mtb* prior to the addition of antibiotics in PBS where indicated. (D-F) As

above, but with/without a period of adaptation in PBS prior to antibiotics as indicated. Antibiotic

concentrations were: *Msmeg* - Isoniazid (INH) 32ug/ml (8 x MIC), rifampin (RIF) 32ug/ml (8 x

MIC), ethambutol (EMB) 4ug/ml (8 x MIC), tigecycline (TIG) 1.25ug/ml (8 x MIC), linezolid (LZD)

2.5ug/ml (8 x MIC). *Mtb* – RIF 0.1ug/ml (4 x MIC), INH at 0.1ug/ml (4 x MIC), EMB at 8ug/ml (4 x

MIC). *Mabs* – TIG (8 x MIC), LZD 100ug/ml (20 x MIC). Antibiotics with half-lives shorter than the

duration of experiment were re-added at the following intervals: TIG, EMB every 3d; RIF, INH

every 6d. Error bars represent SEM, statistical significance is calculated at each time point using

student's t test. ****: p<0.0001, ***: p<0.001, **: p<0.01, *: p<0.05, ns: p>0.05. Data are combined

from 3 independent experiments.

Figure 2. Tn-Seq identifies genes required for antibiotic persistence in *Mabs*. (A)

Experimental design. (B-E) Tn-Seq analysis showing relative abundance of individual genes

under the indicted conditions. All cultures were fully aerated throughout the experiment and

cultures without antibiotics received and equal volume of DMSO. For (B-D) gene abundance in

the indicated condition is measured relative the input log-phase population. In (E) an additional

comparison is made relative to the PBS condition. Genes with significant decreases in abundance

are shown in color (p-adj. < 0.05 and $\log_2$ fold-change > 0.5) using the Benjamini–Hochberg

adjustment for multiple hypothesis testing. (F) Number of genes essential in each condition

relative to the input population. (G) Pathway enrichment analysis of the essential genes in each

condition using the DAVID knowledgebase (p <0.05). Screens were run as 3 independent

experiments and the combined results analyzed. Antibiotic conditions were used as described in

Figure 1.

Figure 3. Independent deletions of *katG* and *pafA* confirm Tn-Seq results. (A-E) ORBIT

recombineering was used to disrupt the indicated genes, or to generate a control strain with an

intergenic region targeted distal to tRNA gene *MAB_t5030c*. Each mutant was either grown in

7H9 rich media or starved in PBS for 48 prior to the addition of antibiotics. Error bars represent

SEM, statistical significance is calculated at each time point using student's t test. ****: p<0.0001,

***: p<0.001, **: p<0.01, *: p<0.05, ns: p>0.05. Antibiotics were added as described above. Data

are combined from 4 independent experiments.

Figure 4. Complementation analysis *katG* and *pafA* mutants confirms their role in persister

survival. (A) RT-qPCR analysis of *katG* expression in *katG- (ΔkatG::pmv306), katG+*

(ΔkatG::pmv306 katG), and control strain (ORBIT intergenic::*pmv306).* (B) CFU over time for

katG+/katG- strains. (C) MICs for *katG+/katG-* strains. (D) Expression of *pafA in pafA-*

(ΔpafA::pmv306), pafA+ (pafA::pmv306 pafA) and control strains. (E) CFU over time of

pafA+/pafA- strains. (F) MICs for *pafA+/pafA-* strains. Antibiotic concentrations in (A-B, D-E) are

as described above. Error bars represent SEM, statistical significance is calculated at each time

point using student's t test between *katG+/katG-* strains in (B) and between *pafA+/pafA-* strains

in (E). ****: p<0.0001, ***: p<0.001, **: p<0.01, *: p<0.05, ns: p>0.05. Antibiotics were added as

described above.

Figure 5. ROS-mediated toxicity following antibiotic exposure. (A-D) Analysis of *katG+/katG-*

cells challenged with different antibiotics. Cells were starved in PBS for 48h and then exposed to

the indicated antibiotic. (E) Flow cytometry of cells *katG$^+$* cells stained with DAPI and the ROS-

sensitive dye cellROX after 72h in the indicated conditions. Percentage cellROX-positive cells are

shown. (F) Persister survival over time for aerated and hypoxic and cultures of *Mabs* after

exposure to TIG/LIN. Error bars represent SEM, statistical significance is calculated at each time

point using student's t test. ****: p<0.0001, ***: p<0.001, **: p<0.01, *: p<0.05, ns: p>0.05. (A-D)

are combined data from 3 independent experiments. (E) are representative (median) data from 3

independent experiments. (F) are combined data from 4 independent experiments. Antibiotics

were added as described above.

Figure 6. Incomplete penetrance of *katG* phenotype among *Mabs* strains. Δ*katG* strains and control strains targeting an intergenic region were constructed on the indicated *Mabs* backgrounds using ORBIT recombineering: (A) ATCC 19977, (B-C) clinical strains. Bacteria were cultured in 7H9 or starved for 48h in PBS and then treated with antibiotics where indicated. Cultures without antibiotics received an equal volume of DMSO as a control. Survival over time is shown. Error bars represent SEM, statistical significance is calculated at each time point using student's t test. ****: $p<0.0001$, ***: $p<0.001$, **: $p<0.01$, *: $p<0.05$, ns: $p>0.05$.Combined data from 4 independent experiments are shown. Antibiotics were added as described above.

Table S1. Tn-Seq results

Table S2. DAVID functional pathway analysis

Table S3. Oligonucleotide sequences

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Nick Campbell-Kruger for his technical insights on identifying *Mabs/Mtb* orthologs, Jonathan Van Dyke for his assistance with flow cytometry analysis, Emily Kumimoto and Siranoosh Ashtari for their assistance with Tn-Seq library preparation and sequencing, and Jessie Li and Bradley Jenner for their assistance with Tn-seq bioinformatics. We would also like to thank Caroline Dominic at the Sacramento County Department of Public Health for providing clinical isolates of *Mabs* for analysis.

FUNDING

Pew Biomedical Fellowship BHP

NIH RO1 1R01AI144149 BHP

NIH R01 1R01AI143722 SAS

NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant 1S10OD010786-01 DNA Technologies and Expression

Analysis Core, UC Davis Genome Center

NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P30CA093373 Flow Cytometry Shared Resource, UC Davis

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

BHP and SAS serve on the scientific advisory board of X-Biotics Therapeutics.

REFERENCES

1.   Stevens, D.L., A.L. Bisno, H.F. Chambers, E.P. Dellinger, E.J. Goldstein, S.L. Gorbach,

J.V. Hirschmann, S.L. Kaplan, J.G. Montoya, and J.C. Wade, *Practice guidelines for the*

diagnosis and management of skin and soft tissue infections: 2014 update by the

Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis, 2014. **59**(2): p. e10-52.

2.   Metersky, M.L. and A.C. Kalil, *Management of ventilator-associated pneumonia:*

guidelines. Clinics in chest medicine, 2018. **39**(4): p. 797-808.

3.   Meylan, S., I.W. Andrews, and J.J. Collins, *Targeting Antibiotic Tolerance, Pathogen by*

Pathogen. Cell, 2018. **172**(6): p. 1228-1238.

4.   Namugenyi, S.B., A.M. Aagesen, S.R. Elliott, and A.D. Tischler, *Mycobacterium*

tuberculosis PhoY Proteins Promote Persister Formation by Mediating Pst/SenX3-

RegX3 Phosphate Sensing. mBio, 2017. **8**(4): p. e00494-17.

5.   Liu, Y., S. Tan, L. Huang, R.B. Abramovitch, K.H. Rohde, M.D. Zimmerman, C. Chen, V.

Dartois, B.C. VanderVen, and D.G. Russell, *Immune activation of the host cell induces*

drug tolerance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis both in vitro and in vivo. Journal of

Experimental Medicine, 2016. **213**(5): p. 809-825.

6.   Gold, B. and C. Nathan, *Targeting Phenotypically Tolerant Mycobacterium tuberculosis.*

Microbiol Spectr, 2017. **5**(1).

7.   Bigger, J.J.T.L., *Treatment of staphylococcal infections with penicillin by intermittent*

sterilisation. 1944. **244**(6320): p. 497-500.

8.   Ronneau, S., P.W.S. Hill, and S. Helaine, *Antibiotic persistence and tolerance: not just*

one and the same. Current opinion in microbiology, 2021. **64**: p. 76-81.

9.   Dhar, N. and J.D. McKinney, *Microbial phenotypic heterogeneity and antibiotic tolerance.*

Current opinion in microbiology, 2007. **10**(1): p. 30-38.

10.   Grant, S.S., B.B. Kaufmann, N.S. Chand, N. Haseley, and D.T. ng, *Eradication of*

bacterial persisters with antibiotic-generated hydroxyl radicals. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences, 2012. **109**(30): p. 12147-12152.

11.   Baker, J.J. and R.B. Abramovitch, *Genetic and metabolic regulation of Mycobacterium*

tuberculosis acid growth arrest. Scientific Reports, 2018. **8**(1).

12.   Saito, K., T. Warrier, S. Somersan-Karakaya, L. Kaminski, J. Mi, X. Jiang, S. Park, K.

Shigyo, B. Gold, and J. Roberts, *Rifamycin action on RNA polymerase in antibiotic-*

tolerant Mycobacterium tuberculosis results in differentially detectable populations.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2017. **114**(24): p. E4832-E4840.

13.   Hipolito, V.E.B., E. Ospina-Escobar, and R.J. Botelho, *Lysosome remodelling and*

adaptation during phagocyte activation. Cell Microbiol, 2018. **20**(4).

14.   Sukumar, N., S. Tan, B.B. Aldridge, and D.G. Russell, *Exploitation of Mycobacterium*

tuberculosis reporter strains to probe the impact of vaccination at sites of infection. PLoS

Pathog, 2014. **10**(9): p. e1004394.

15.   Schumacher, M.A., K.M. Piro, W. Xu, S. Hansen, K. Lewis, and R.G. Brennan, *Molecular*

Mechanisms of HipA-Mediated Multidrug Tolerance and Its Neutralization by HipB.

Science, 2009. **323**(5912): p. 396-401.

16.   Harms, A., C. Fino, A. Sørensen Michael, S. Semsey, and K. Gerdes, *Prophages and*

Growth Dynamics Confound Experimental Results with Antibiotic-Tolerant Persister

Cells. mBio, 2017. **8**(6): p. 10.1128/mbio.01964-17.

17.   Korch, S.B., T.A. Henderson, and T.M. Hill, *Characterization of the hipA7 allele of*

Escherichia coli and evidence that high persistence is governed by (p)ppGpp synthesis.

Mol Microbiol, 2003. **50**(4): p. 1199-213.

18.   Wong, F., S. Wilson, R. Helbig, S. Hegde, O. Aftenieva, H. Zheng, C. Liu, T. Pilizota, E.C.

Garner, A. Amir, and L.D. Renner, *Understanding Beta-Lactam-Induced Lysis at the*

Single-Cell Level. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2021. **12**.

19.   Saito, K., S. Mishra, T. Warrier, N. Cicchetti, J. Mi, E. Weber, X. Jiang, J. Roberts, A.

Gouzy, E. Kaplan, C.D. Brown, B. Gold, and C. Nathan, *Oxidative damage and delayed*

replication allow viable Mycobacterium tuberculosis to go undetected. Sci Transl Med,

2021. **13**(621): p. eabg2612.

20.   Vilchèze, C., T. Hartman, B. Weinrick, P. Jain, T.R. Weisbrod, L.W. Leung, J.S.

Freundlich, and W.R. Jacobs, Jr., *Enhanced respiration prevents drug tolerance and*

drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2017. **114**(17):

p. 4495-4500.

21.   Keren, I., S. Minami, E. Rubin, and K. Lewis, *Characterization and transcriptome*

analysis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis persisters. mBio, 2011. **2**(3): p. e00100-11.

22.   Liu, Y. and J.A. Imlay, *Cell death from antibiotics without the involvement of reactive*

oxygen species. Science, 2013. **339**(6124): p. 1210-3.

23.   de Keijzer, J., A. Mulder, J. de Beer, A.H. de Ru, P.A. van Veelen, and D. van Soolingen,

Mechanisms of Phenotypic Rifampicin Tolerance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Beijing

Genotype Strain B0/W148 Revealed by Proteomics. J Proteome Res, 2016. **15**(4): p.

1194-204.

24.   Gold, B., T. Warrier, and C. Nathan, *A multi-stress model for high throughput screening*

against non-replicating Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Mycobacteria protocols, 2015: p.

293-315.

25.   Dorman, S.E., P. Nahid, E.V. Kurbatova, P.P. Phillips, K. Bryant, K.E. Dooley, M. Engle,

675   S.V. Goldberg, H.T. Phan, and J. Hakim, *Four-month rifapentine regimens with or without*

676   *moxifloxacin for tuberculosis.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2021. **384**(18): p. 1705-

677   1718.

678   26.   Nahid, P., S.E. Dorman, N. Alipanah, P.M. Barry, J.L. Brozek, A. Cattamanchi, L.H.

679   Chaisson, R.E. Chaisson, C.L. Daley, and M. Grzemska, *Official American thoracic*

680   *society/centers for disease control and prevention/infectious diseases society of America*

681   *clinical practice guidelines: treatment of drug-susceptible tuberculosis.* Clinical infectious

682   diseases, 2016. **63**(7): p. e147-e195.

683   27.   Griffith, D.E., T. Aksamit, B.A. Brown-Elliott, A. Catanzaro, C. Daley, F. Gordin, S.M.

684   Holland, R. Horsburgh, G. Huitt, and M.F. Iademarco, *An official ATS/IDSA statement:*

685   *diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases.*

686   American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine, 2007. **175**(4): p. 367-416.

687   28.   Griffith, D.E. and C.L. Daley, *Treatment of Mycobacterium abscessus Pulmonary*

688   *Disease.* CHEST, 2022. **161**(1): p. 64-75.

689   29.   Rodriguez, R., N. Campbell-Kruger, J. Gonzalez Camba, J. Berude, R. Fetterman, and

690   S. Stanley, *MarR-Dependent Transcriptional Regulation of mmpSL5 Induces*

691   *Ethionamide Resistance in Mycobacterium abscessus.* Antimicrobial Agents and

692   Chemotherapy, 2023. **67**(4): p. e01350-22.

693   30.   Li, S., N.C. Poulton, J.S. Chang, Z.A. Azadian, M.A. DeJesus, N. Ruecker, M.D.

694   Zimmerman, K.A. Eckartt, B. Bosch, C.A. Engelhart, D.F. Sullivan, M. Gengenbacher,

695   V.A. Dartois, D. Schnappinger, and J.M. Rock, *CRISPRi chemical genetics and*

696   *comparative genomics identify genes mediating drug potency in Mycobacterium*

697   *tuberculosis.* Nature microbiology, 2022. **7**(6): p. 766-779.

698   31.   Carey, A.F., J.M. Rock, I.V. Krieger, M.R. Chase, M. Fernandez-Suarez, S. Gagneux,

699   J.C. Sacchettini, T.R. Ioerger, and S.M. Fortune, *TnSeq of Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

clinical isolates reveals strain-specific antibiotic liabilities. PLoS pathogens, 2018. **14**(3):

p. e1006939.

32.   Kreutzfeldt, K.M., R.S. Jansen, T.E. Hartman, A. Gouzy, R. Wang, I.V. Krieger, M.D.

Zimmerman, M. Gengenbacher, J.P. Sarathy, M. Xie, V. Dartois, J.C. Sacchettini, K.Y.

Rhee, D. Schnappinger, and S. Ehrt, *CinA mediates multidrug tolerance in*

Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat Commun, 2022. **13**(1): p. 2203.

33.   Block, A.M., S.B. Namugenyi, N.P. Palani, A.M. Brokaw, L. Zhang, K.B. Beckman, and

A.D. Tischler, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis Requires the Outer Membrane Lipid*

Phthiocerol Dimycocerosate for Starvation-Induced Antibiotic Tolerance. Msystems,

2023. **8**(1): p. e0069922.

34.   Bellerose, M.M., S.-H. Baek, C.-C. Huang, C.E. Moss, E.-I. Koh, M.K. Proulx, C.M.

Smith, R.E. Baker, J.S. Lee, S. Eum, S.J. Shin, S.-N. Cho, M. Murray, and C.M. Sassetti,

Common Variants in the Glycerol Kinase Gene Reduce Tuberculosis Drug Efficacy.

mBio, 2019. **10**(4): p. e00663-19.

35.   Kumar, K., C.L. Daley, D.E. Griffith, and M.R. Loebinger, *Management of Mycobacterium*

avium complex and Mycobacterium abscessus pulmonary disease: therapeutic

advances and emerging treatments. European respiratory review, 2022. **31**(163).

36.   Yam, Y.K., N. Alvarez, M.L. Go, and T. Dick, *Extreme Drug Tolerance of Mycobacterium*

abscessus "Persisters". Front Microbiol, 2020. **11**: p. 359.

37.   Berube, B.J., L. Castro, D. Russell, Y. Ovechkina, and T. Parish, *Novel Screen to Assess*

Bactericidal Activity of Compounds Against Non-replicating Mycobacterium abscessus.

Front Microbiol, 2018. **9**: p. 2417.

38.   Lee, J., N. Ammerman, A. Agarwal, M. Naji, S.Y. Li, and E. Nuermberger, *Differential In*

Vitro Activities of Individual Drugs and Bedaquiline-Rifabutin Combinations against

Actively Multiplying and Nutrient-Starved Mycobacterium abscessus. Antimicrob Agents

Chemother, 2021. **65**(2).

39.   Betts, J.C., P.T. Lukey, L.C. Robb, R.A. McAdam, and K. Duncan, *Evaluation of a*

nutrient starvation model of Mycobacterium tuberculosis persistence by gene and protein

expression profiling. Molecular Microbiology, 2002. **43**(3): p. 717-731.

40.   DeJesus, M.A., C. Ambadipudi, R. Baker, C. Sassetti, and T.R. Ioerger, *TRANSIT-a*

software tool for Himar1 TnSeq analysis. PLoS computational biology, 2015. **11**(10): p.

e1004401.

41.   Huang da, W., B.T. Sherman, and R.A. Lempicki, *Systematic and integrative analysis of*

large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc, 2009. **4**(1): p. 44-57.

42.   Darwin, K.H., S. Ehrt, J.-C. Gutierrez-Ramos, N. Weich, and C.F. Nathan, *The*

proteasome of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is required for resistance to nitric oxide.

Science, 2003. **302**(5652): p. 1963-1966.

43.   Shi, H., R. Zhang, L. Lan, Z. Chen, and J. Kan, *Zinc mediates resuscitation of lactic acid-*

injured Escherichia coli by relieving oxidative stress. Journal of Applied Microbiology,

2019. **127**(6): p. 1741-1750.

44.   Hohle, T.H. and M.R. O'Brian, *The mntH gene encodes the major Mn(2+) transporter in*

Bradyrhizobium japonicum and is regulated by manganese via the Fur protein. Mol

Microbiol, 2009. **72**(2): p. 399-409.

45.   Murphy, K.C., S.J. Nelson, S. Nambi, K. Papavinasasundaram, C.E. Baer, and C.M.

Sassetti, *ORBIT: a new paradigm for genetic engineering of mycobacterial*

chromosomes. mBio, 2018. **9**(6): p. e01467-18.

46.   McBee, M.E., Y.H. Chionh, M.L. Sharaf, P. Ho, M.W.L. Cai, and P.C. Dedon, *Production*

of superoxide in bacteria is stress- and cell state-dependent: A gating-optimized flow

cytometry method that minimizes ROS measurement artifacts with fluorescent dyes.

Frontiers in Microbiology, 2017. **8**(MAR).

47.   Wayne, L.G. and L.G. Hayes, *An In Vitro Model for Sequential Study of Shiftdown of*

Mycobacterium tuberculosis through Two Stages of Nonreplicating Persistence. Infection

and Immunity, 1996. **64**(6): p. 2062-2069.

48.   Brazas Michelle, D., B.M. Breidenstein Elena, J. Overhage, and E.W. Hancock Robert,

Role of Lon, an ATP-Dependent Protease Homolog, in Resistance of Pseudomonas

aeruginosa to Ciprofloxacin. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2007. **51**(12): p.

4276-4283.

49.   Kusser, W. and E.E. Ishiguro, *Involvement of the relA gene in the autolysis of*

Escherichia coli induced by inhibitors of peptidoglycan biosynthesis. Journal of

Bacteriology, 1985. **164**(2): p. 861-865.

50.   Bokinsky, G., E.E.K. Baidoo, S. Akella, H. Burd, D. Weaver, J. Alonso-Gutierrez, H.

García-Martín, T.S. Lee, and J.D. Keasling, *HipA-Triggered Growth Arrest and β-Lactam*

Tolerance in Escherichia coli Are Mediated by RelA-Dependent ppGpp Synthesis.

Journal of Bacteriology, 2013. **195**(14): p. 3173-3182.

51.   Honsa, E.S., V.S. Cooper, M.N. Mhaissen, M. Frank, J. Shaker, A. Iverson, J. Rubnitz,

R.T. Hayden, R.E. Lee, C.O. Rock, E.I. Tuomanen, J. Wolf, and J.W. Rosch, *RelA*

Mutant Enterococcus faecium with Multiantibiotic Tolerance Arising in an

Immunocompromised Host. mBio, 2017. **8**(1): p. e02124-16.

52.   Nguyen, D., A. Joshi-Datar, F. Lepine, E. Bauerle, O. Olakanmi, K. Beer, G. McKay, R.

Siehnel, J. Schafhauser, Y. Wang, B.E. Britigan, and P.K. Singh, *Active starvation*

responses mediate antibiotic tolerance in biofilms and nutrient-limited bacteria. Science,

2011. **334**(6058): p. 982-6.

53.   Kudrin, P., V. Varik, S.R.A. Oliveira, J. Beljantseva, T.D.P. Santos, I. Dzhygyr, D. Rejman,

F. Cava, T. Tenson, and V. Hauryliuk, *Subinhibitory Concentrations of Bacteriostatic*

Antibiotics Induce <i>relA</i>-Dependent and <i>relA</i>-Independent Tolerance to

β-*Lactams.* Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2017. **61**(4): p. 10.1128/aac.02173-16.

54. Viducic, D., T. Ono, K. Murakami, H. Susilowati, S. Kayama, K. Hirota, and Y. Miyake, *Functional analysis of spoT, relA and dksA genes on quinolone tolerance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa under nongrowing condition.* Microbiol Immunol, 2006. **50**(4): p. 349-57.

55. Geiger, T., B. Kästle, F.L. Gratani, C. Goerke, and C. Wolz, *Two small (p)ppGpp synthases in Staphylococcus aureus mediate tolerance against cell envelope stress conditions.* J Bacteriol, 2014. **196**(4): p. 894-902.

56. Dutta, N.K., L.G. Klinkenberg, M.J. Vazquez, D. Segura-Carro, G. Colmenarejo, F. Ramon, B. Rodriguez-Miquel, L. Mata-Cantero, E. Porras-De Francisco, Y.M. Chuang, H. Rubin, J.J. Lee, H. Eoh, J.S. Bader, E. Perez-Herran, A. Mendoza-Losana, and P.C. Karakousis, *Inhibiting the stringent response blocks Mycobacterium tuberculosis entry into quiescence and reduces persistence.* Sci Adv, 2019. **5**(3): p. eaav2104.

57. Bhaskar, A., C. De Piano, E. Gelman, J.D. McKinney, and N. Dhar, *Elucidating the role of (p) ppGpp in mycobacterial persistence against antibiotics.* IUBMB life, 2018. **70**(9): p. 836-844.

58. Hunt-Serracín, A.C., M.I. Kazi, J.M. Boll, and C.C. Boutte, *In Mycobacterium abscessus, the Stringent Factor Rel Regulates Metabolism but Is Not the Only (p)ppGpp Synthase.* J Bacteriol, 2022. **204**(2): p. e0043421.

59. Kohanski, M.A., D.J. Dwyer, B. Hayete, C.A. Lawrence, and J.J. Collins, *A common mechanism of cellular death induced by bactericidal antibiotics.* Cell, 2007. **130**(5): p. 797-810.

60. Sebastian, J., S. Swaminath, R.R. Nair, K. Jakkala, A. Pradhan, and P. Ajitkumar, *De Novo Emergence of Genetically Resistant Mutants of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from*

800   *the Persistence Phase Cells Formed against Antituberculosis Drugs In Vitro.* Antimicrob

801   Agents Chemother, 2017. **61**(2).

802   61.   Snapper, S.B., L. Lugosi, A. Jekkel, R.E. Melton, T. Kieser, B.R. Bloom, and W.R.

803   Jacobs, Jr., *Lysogeny and transformation in mycobacteria: stable expression of foreign*

804   *genes.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1988. **85**(18): p. 6987-91.

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6