Main Manuscript for

Optochemical profiling of NMDA receptor molecular diversity at

synaptic and extrasynaptic sites

Antoine Sicard[1], Meilin Tian[1], Zakaria Mostefai[1], Sophie Shi[1], Cécile Cardoso[1], Joseph

Zamith[1], Isabelle McCort-Tranchepain[2], Cécile Charrier[1], Pierre Paoletti[1,*] and Laetitia

Mony[1,*]

[1]Institut de Biologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure (IBENS)

Ecole Normale Supérieure, Université PSL, CNRS, INSERM

46 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France

[2]Université Paris Cité, Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et

Toxicologiques, CNRS UMR8601, 75006 Paris, France

*co-senior and co-corresponding authors: laetitia.mony@ens.psl.eu;

pierre.paoletti@ens.psl.eu

Keywords

NMDA receptors, optopharmacology, synapse, glutamate, neuropharmacology

This pdf file includes:

Main Text

Figures 1 to 6

Extended Data Figures 1 to 10

Inventory of Supplementary Information

Extended Data Fig. 1-10 (this pdf file).

Supplementary Information (Separate pdf file): Supplementary Fig. 1; Supplementary

Tables 1-5; and Supplementary Notes 1-3.

Source data (Separate .xlsx file)

Abstract

Neurotransmitter receptors are the linchpin of neuronal communication. These receptors often form large multimeric complexes that differ in their subunit composition, distribution and signaling properties. Studying neurotransmitter receptors in native tissues with subunit stoichiometry resolution remains challenging. This is the case of NMDA receptors (NMDARs), a class of glutamate-gated ion channels playing essential roles in brain development and plasticity. These receptors co-exist as multiple subtypes, with GluN1/GluN2A (GluN2A diheteromers), GluN1/GluN2B (GluN2B diheteromers) and GluN1/2A/2B (GluN2A/2B triheteromers) receptors prevailing. Here, we designed a photochemical tool (Opto2B) enabling specific and reversible modulation of GluN2B diheteromers (while leaving other receptor subtypes unaffected). Using Opto2B, we established the differential contribution of GluN2B diheteromers to synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDAR pools, clarifying decades of controversial research. In particular, we show that in adult CA1 pyramidal cells, GluN2A-receptors predominate in both pools, with no preferential contribution of GluN2B diheteromers to extrasynaptic currents. Our study paves the way for interrogating NMDAR signaling diversity with unprecedented molecular and spatio-temporal resolution.

Introduction

With their ability to convert a chemical message – the presence of the neurotransmitter – into an electrical signal – a change in membrane potential – neurotransmitter receptors are the linchpin of neuronal communication[1]. They also constitute therapeutic targets of prime importance against neurological and psychiatric disorders[2,3]. Neurotransmitter receptors assemble as large macromolecular complexes formed by the association of multiple subunits, usually encoded by large multigenic families. The combinatorial association of constitutive subunits into functional receptors generates a vast diversity of receptor subtypes differing in their molecular composition, localization and functional properties. For instance, GABA$_A$ receptors, which mediate the bulk of inhibitory neurotransmission, associate into pentamers from no less than 19 different subunits giving rise to tens of different GABA$_A$ receptor subtypes in the CNS[4–6]. Similarly, ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs), which mediate the bulk of excitatory neurotransmission, assemble as tetramers from a repertoire of several different subunits resulting into a wide variety of receptor subtypes[7–9]. Individual neurons or synapses may assemble distinct receptors subtypes across spatial locations, developmental stages, and physiological or disease states. There is little doubt that this large variety allows specific receptor subtype to engage into distinct neuronal function. This diversity also holds strong promise for next generation therapeutics through the development of target-specific precision drugs more efficient and better tolerated[2,10]. Nevertheless, we currently lack a clear understanding of the physiological and pathological relevance of the large plurality of neurotransmitter receptor subtypes. Current methodologies based on pharmacology and genetic modifications, although powerful, usually have limitations in terms of molecular specificity and spatiotemporal resolution. Therefore, new strategies are required to better discriminate between receptor subtypes and dissect their distribution and associated signaling pathways.

By combining the power of light, pharmacology and genetics, optogenetic pharmacology offers means to overcome some of these limitations[11–15]. This approach consists in tethering a photoswitchable ligand to a desired receptor subunit. Upon illumination with different wavelengths, the ligand can reach its binding site on the receptor target, or undock from it, resulting in pharmacological modulation of the receptor activity. Optogenetic pharmacology affords exquisite molecular and spatiotemporal resolution, as well as on-demand reversibility[11,13–15].

NMDA receptors (NMDARs), a subfamily of iGluRs, play essential roles in brain development and function[16]. Normal NMDAR signaling controls synaptic plasticity, a cellular substrate of learning and memory. Conversely, abnormal NMDAR function is deleterious causing neuronal injury, cognitive deficits and maladaptive behaviors[16–18]. At the molecular

level, NMDARs are obligatory hetero-tetramers containing two GluN1 subunits and two
GluN2 or GluN3 subunits of which there are six versions (GluN2A-2D and GluN3A-B).
NMDARs can assemble as diheteromers (with two identical copies of GluN2 or GluN3
subunits) or triheteromers (with two different copies of GluN2 or GluN3 subunits) that co-exist
in native tissue, with over ten receptor subtypes identified to date[7,16,19,20]. In the adult
forebrain, the GluN2A diheteromers (GluN1/GluN2A), GluN2B diheteromers (GluN1/GluN2B)
and GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers (GluN1/GluN2A/GluN2B) predominate, at mixtures that
differ according to cell type, brain region and developmental stage[7,16,20,21]. The GluN2A to
GluN2B subunit ratio has been shown to be an essential parameter controlling key neural
processes, such as critical periods, bidirectional synaptic plasticity as well as excitotoxic
damage[16,22–24]. Yet the respective contribution of GluN2A and GluN2B diheteromers in
respect to GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers in these processes remains controversial.
Moreover, the subcellular distribution of these various receptor subtypes, i.e. their distribution
between synaptic and extrasynaptic compartments, is also contentious. Genetic manipulation
of GluN2A or GluN2B subunits indifferently affects diheteromers and triheteromers. Similarly,
available pharmacological agents, such as the 'GluN2A selective' inhibitors zinc and TCN-
201, or the 'GluN2B selective' inhibitor ifenprodil (and derivatives), poorly discriminate
between their respective diheteromers and triheteromers[20,25–27]. These inherent limitations
hamper drawing unambiguous conclusions.

In this work, using optogenetic pharmacology, we designed a new photoswitchable
tool targeting NMDARs with subunit stoichiometry resolution. This tool (coined 'Opto2B')
allows specific and reversible manipulation of GluN2B diheteromers in complete
independence of GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers (and GluN2A diheteromers). Because
Opto2B is based on an allosteric, rather than orthosteric (i.e. targeting the agonist binding
sites), photoswitchable ligand, it also minimally interferes with the normal pattern of receptor
activation, thus preserving endogenous signaling. Using opto2B, we defined the contribution
to hippocampal CA1 NMDA currents of GluN2B diheteromers relative to GluN2A-containing
receptors (GluN2A diheteromers and GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers), both at extrasynaptic
and synaptic sites and during brain development up to adulthood. Our study reveals that,
early on in postnatal development, GluN2A subunits are excluded from extrasynaptic sites
but incorporate readily in synaptic NMDARs, while in adult CA1 pyramidal cells, GluN2B
diheteromers are expressed at low levels at synaptic but also extrasynaptic sites.

Results

Design of photocontrollable GluN2B-NMDARs using optogenetic pharmacology

GluN2B-NMDARs are selectively potentiated by polyamines (spermine and spermidine), a class of positively-charged compounds binding at the interface between the lower lobes of GluN1 and GluN2B NTDs[2,28,29]. Polyamines promote GluN1 and GluN2B NTD lower lobe apposition, a motion coupled to a downstream rolling motion between the two GluN1/GluN2B ABD dimers, which in turn leads to an increase in the receptor channel activity[28,30–32] (Figure 1a). We decided to target this allosteric site to modulate GluN2B-NMDARs with light. To this aim, we designed a photoswitchable spermine derivative called MASp (for Maleimide-Azobenzene-Spermine), a tri-partite ligand composed of (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Note 1): (i) a cysteine-reactive maleimide moiety allowing covalent attachment to a cysteine; (ii) an azobenzene group (the photoswitch), which can reversibly alternate between an extended *trans* and a bent *cis* configuration depending on the illumination wavelength; and (iii) a spermine moiety (the ligand), acting as the pharmacological head-group. MASp was best switched from *trans* to *cis* by 365 nm light illumination, and from *cis* to *trans* by wavelengths of ~500 nm, as revealed by UV-visible spectroscopy (Extended Data Fig. 1a). Hence, thereafter, we used the wavelength of 365 nm to optimally photoswitch MASp from *trans* to *cis*, and wavelengths from 490 to 530 nm to induce *cis* to *trans* conversion. Further characterization of MASp physicochemistry revealed efficient and reversible photoswitching as well as bistability, properties highly suitable for biological use (Extended Data Fig. 1b,c and Supplementary Note 1- Spectra S1g,h).

We next searched for a MASp attachment site on the GluN2B subunit for optimal photomodulation. Ideally, MASp tethered to the GluN2B subunit should be inert in one configuration (*cis* or *trans*), while inducing receptor potentiation in the other configuration (Fig. 1a). To identify potential MASp attachment sites, we performed individual cysteine scanning mutagenesis targeting solvent-accessible residues of the GluN2B α5-β7 region proposed to participate in the spermine binding site[28,31,32] (Fig. 1c,d). Screening was performed in Xenopus oocytes expressing GluN1/GluN2B receptors with various cysteine mutants in the GluN2B subunit, and labeled with MASp (see Methods and Extended Data Fig. 1d). Because spermine potentiation displays strong pH-dependence[28,33], functional screening was performed at an acidic pH (pH 6.5) to maximize chances of observing photomodulation.

We first tested potential background effects of MASp labeling on wild-type (WT) GluN1/GluN2B receptors. Currents from WT GluN2B diheteromers labeled with MASp were not affected by 365 or 490 nm illumination (Fig. 1e). However, MASp labeling by itself

induced a marked (~3-fold) increase in receptor channel open probability (Po), as assessed

by measuring the kinetics of current inhibition by MK-801, an NMDAR open channel

blocker[34–38] (Extended Data Fig. 1e,f). This effect was due to conjugation of MASp to the

endogenous cysteine C395, located in the short linker segment between the NTD and the

ABD of the GluN2B subunit (Extended Data Fig. 1e). Indeed, MASp-induced Po increase

was fully abolished in mutant GluN1/GluN2B-C395S receptors, in which C395 was rendered

non-reactive (Extended Data Fig. 1g,h). To avoid effect of MASp on WT GluN2B-receptors,

we subsequently used receptors containing the background mutation GluN2B-C395S (noted

hereafter GluN2B*). Importantly, the C395S mutation by itself had no significant impact on

GluN2B-receptor channel Po (Extended Data Fig. 1h).

Among the 23 labeling positions tested, significant (>30%) photomodulation was

observed for 8 of them (Fig. 1d). Most of these positions were clustered on the GluN2B α5

helix, which occupies a central position at the dimer interface of GluN1/GluN2B NTD dimer in

its active state[31] (Fig. 1c,d). Interestingly, at 3 positions located at the 'top' (N-terminal end) of

the α5 helix, currents under 365 nm light were smaller than under 490 nm light (Fig. 1c,d, red

squares), while at downstream positions the opposite was observed (currents under 365 nm

light stronger than under 490 nm light; Fig. 1c,d green squares). The strongest

photomodulation ratios were obtained for positions GluN2B-Q180C and -R187C, with 2.5-

fold UV-induced current inhibition ($I_{365 nm}/I_{490 nm}$ = 0.39 ± 0.02, n = 27) and close to 4-fold UV-

induced current potentiation ($I_{365 nm}/I_{490 nm}$ = 3.5 ± 0.2, n = 32) (Fig. 1d,e and Supplementary

Table 1), respectively. As observed with other azobenzene-based photoswitchable ligands[39–

43], the photomodulation showed high reversibility and reproducibility, allowing multiple cycles

of illumination without fatigability (Fig. 1e). These experiments also confirm that *cis*-MASp is

kinetically stable, since no current relaxation was observed upon interruption of the UV

illumination (see end of illumination cycle in Fig. 1e). The opposite light effects observed at

the Q180C and R187C positions are due to opposing effects of MASp *cis* and *trans*

configurations. Indeed, at the Q180C position, MASp acts as a *trans*-on photoswitch: it

constitutively occupies the spermine binding-site in its *trans* form (under 490 nm light, as

revealed by the ~3 fold Po increase after MASp labeling), hence potentiating receptor activity

(Fig. 1e and Extended Data Fig. 2a). Conversion by UV light to its *cis* isomer withdraws the

spermine moiety from its potentiating binding site, allowing the receptor to revert to its basal

state (similar Po as the non-labeled receptor). In contrast, MASp conjugated to GluN2B-

R187C acts as a *cis*-on photoswitch: there is no effect on receptor channel Po under 490 nm

light, while Po is increased by ~3.5-fold under UV-light (*cis* configuration, Fig. 1e and

Extended Data Fig. 2b). We thus have on-hand two complementary optopharmacological

tools for remote and reversible photocontrol of GluN2B-NMDARs with either UV (R187C) or
green light (Q180C) illumination.

We then assessed the extent of MASp photomodulation of GluN1/GluN2B*-Q180C
and GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C receptors at physiological pH (pH 7.3). Similarly to what was
observed for spermine potentiation of GluN2B-NMDARs[28,33], photomodulation at pH 7.3 was
lower than at acidic pH (Extended Data Fig. 2c,d). The UV-induced current inhibition of
MASp-labeled GluN1/GluN2B*-Q180C receptors dropped from ~2.5-fold at pH 6.5 to ~1.2-
fold   at   pH 7.3   ($I_{365\,nm}/I_{490\,nm} = 0.84 \pm 0.08$,   n = 13;   Extended   Data   Fig. 2c,d   and
Supplementary Table 1). Photomodulation at pH 7.3 was also lower for MASp-labeled
GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C receptors, although it remained robust, with ~2-fold photomodulation
of receptor activity ($I_{365\,nm}/I_{490\,nm} = 1.97 \pm 0.17$, n = 21; Extended Data Fig. 2c,d). Finally, we
evaluated the functional impact of the GluN2B-Q180C and -R187C mutations (together with
the C395S background mutation) on receptor activity, as well as on receptor sensitivity to
agonists (glutamate and glycine) and endogenous allosteric modulators spermine and
protons[44,45]. The Q180C mutation itself (- MASp condition in Extended Data Fig. 2e-i and
Supplementary Table 2) had no significant effect on any of the above parameters. MASp
binding to Q180C in its inactive (*cis*) form (+ MASp, UV condition) did not either induce any
significant change in receptor function, except a decrease in spermine sensitivity, likely
because MASp partially occupies (or hinders) the polyamine site. Functional effects of the
R187C mutation and its subsequent labeling with MASp were also mild, although more
marked than for Q180C. The R187C mutation by itself induced modest effects on receptor
activity (Extended Data Fig. 2e-i and Supplementary Table 2), while conjugation of MASp in
its inactive (*trans*) form (490 nm PSS, Extended Data Fig. e-i) did not further perturb receptor
function. It even restored spermine and proton sensitivities to WT levels (Extended Data
Fig. 2h,i and Supplementary Table 2). Overall, it appears that R187C combines many
advantages, including robust *cis*-on photomodulation at physiological pH, making this site
particularly attractive for implementation in native tissues (Extended Data Fig. 2j and
Supplementary Table 2). Therefore, we focused on receptors containing the GluN2B*-R187C
subunit conjugated with MASp (Opto2B tool).

Selective photomodulation of GluN2B diheteromers

In vivo, NMDARs exist as multiple subtypes that differentially populate brain regions and cell
types[16]. To determine the MASp selectivity towards the different NMDAR subtypes, we first
verified the effect of MASp labeling on diheteromeric GluN1/GluN2A receptors, an abundant
pool of NMDARs in the adult brain. Currents from Xenopus oocytes expressing MASp-

treated WT GluN1/GluN2A receptors displayed only modest photomodulation, with a small
(8%) UV-induced inhibition ($I_{365 nm}/I_{490 nm}$ = 0.92 ± 0.02, n = 22; Fig. 2a,b, and Supplementary
Table 1). Moreover, MASp labeling by itself had no significant effect on GluN1/GluN2A
receptor channel Po (Fig. 2c). Similarly, MASp-treated GluN1/GluN2C and GluN1/GluN2D
receptors displayed no photomodulation (1.02 ± 0.006, n = 5; 1.00 ± 0.008, n = 5
respectively; Fig. 2a,b and Supplementary Table 1). This is consistent with the fact that the
spermine potentiating NTD site is present on GluN2B-, but not GluN2A-, GluN2C- and
GluN2D-NMDARs[28,33,46].

Since GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers are thought to form a sizeable proportion of
NMDAR subtypes in the adult forebrain[20], we characterized the photosensitivity of
GluN2A/GluN2B*-R187C triheteromers labeled with MASp. For that purpose, we used a
previously published approach based on retention signals (hereby named r1 and r2) of
GABA_B receptors that allows selective expression of NMDAR triheteromers at the cell
surface[27] (see also ref. [25]). No significant photomodulation of MASp-labeled
GluN2A/GluN2B*-R187C triheteromers was observed (GluN2A-r1/GluN2B*-R187C-r2;
$I_{365 nm}/I_{490 nm}$ = 1.01 ± 0.01, n = 17; Fig. 2d,e and Supplementary Table 1). This lack of
photosensitivity could not be attributed to the insertion of the retention signals since MASp-
tethered GluN2B*-R187C diheteromers expressed using the same retention system
displayed strong photomodulation similar to their control counterparts (GluN2B*-R187C-
r1/GluN2B*-R187C-r2; $I_{365 nm}/I_{490 nm}$ = 3.07 ± 0.22, n = 9; Fig. 2d,e). Finally, GluN2B-NMDARs
containing only one copy of the mutant GluN2B*-R187C subunit displayed an intermediate
level of photomodulation (GluN2B-r1/GluN2B*-R187C-r2; $I_{365 nm}/I_{490 nm}$ = 1.21 ± 0.02, n = 14;
Fig. 2d,e). MASp therefore discriminates according to subunit copy number. A single GluN2B
subunit is insufficient to endow light sensitivity while strong photocontrol is gained when two
photosensitive copies of GluN2B are assembled in the receptor, thus allowing selective
modulation of GluN2B diheteromers. In that regard, Opto2B is unique, outperforming
currently available subtype-specific pharmacological tools, such as the GluN2B-specific
inhibitor ifenprodil, or the GluN2A-specific inhibitor zinc, which all poorly distinguish between
diheteromers and triheteromers[20,25–27].

Fast on-demand photocontrol of GluN2B diheteromers in mammalian cells

We investigated MASp-induced photomodulation in mammalian cells. Similarly to Xenopus
oocytes, MASp-labeled WT GluN2B diheteromers expressed in HEK cells were not
photosensitive and WT GluN2A diheteromers displayed only minor UV-induced inhibition
(Extended Data Fig. 3a,b). GluN2B*-Q180C diheteromers displayed a small

($I_{365\,nm}/I_{490\,nm}$ = 0.77 ± 0.07, n = 4; Extended Data Fig. 3a,b) photo-inhibition similar to the one

observed in Xenopus oocytes at physiological pH (see above). In contrast, currents from

MASp-labeled GluN2B*-R187C diheteromers expressed in HEK cells displayed strong and

fully reversible UV-induced potentiation at physiological pH ($I_{365\,nm}/I_{525\,nm}$ = 3.2 ± 0.3, n = 18,

pH 7.3; Extended Data Fig. 3a,b), thus qualitatively mirroring the results obtained in oocytes.

Quantitatively however, the extent of photomodulation (~3-fold) was significantly higher than

that observed in oocytes at physiological pH (~2-fold). This difference presumably stems

from the spherical nature and opacity of oocytes, while HEK293 cells are transparent and

flatter, allowing more efficient and broader photoswitching of expressed GluN2B*-R187C

diheteromers. Current potentiation by UV illumination was similar whether light was applied

during agonist application (active state) or before agonist application (resting state)

(Extended Data Fig. 3c,d). UV illumination by itself had no (or little, see below) effect on the

baseline current (recorded in the absence of agonist), as expected from MASp acting as a

genuine allosteric ligand, modulating but not directly activating the receptors. Finally, the

kinetics of GluN2B*-R187C photoswitching were fast, with time constants of ~60 ms for UV-

induced potentiation and of 13 to 450 ms for return to the basal state depending on the

illumination conditions (Extended Data Fig. 3f,g and Supplementary Note 2).

We finally tested MASp-induced photomodulation in cultured mouse cortical neurons

transfected with the GluN2B-R187C* subunit. NMDAR currents from non-fluorescent

neurons, which do not express the mutant GluN2B*-R187C subunit, displayed minimal

photomodulation ($I_{365\,nm}/I_{525\,nm}$ = 0.968 ± 0.009, n = 9; Extended Data Fig. 4a,b and

Supplementary Table 1), consistent with minimal effects of MASp-labeling on native, WT

NMDARs. In contrast, UV illumination robustly potentiated currents from GFP-positive

neurons   expressing   the   GluN2B*-R187C   subunit   and   labeled   with   MASp

($I_{365\,nm}/I_{525\,nm}$ = 1.81 ± 0.09, n = 19; Extended Data Fig. 4a,b and Supplementary Table 1).

The lower photomodulation ratio observed in neurons compared to HEK cells is likely due to

the presence of endogenous GluN2A and GluN2B-NMDARs, which contribute to the NMDAR

current but are photo-insensitive. Overall, these experiments demonstrate that the Opto2B

tool is transposable to cultured neurons with intact potentiality.

Selective photomodulation of synaptic and NMDA tonic currents in brain slices

Motivated by the successful implementation of Opto2B in cultured neurons, we next moved

to more native preparations using acute brain slices. As a proof-of-concept, we used cortex-

directed *in utero* electroporation of E15.5 mouse embryos to allow the sparse and specific

modification of layer II/III cortical pyramidal neurons from the somatosensory cortex in their

intact environment (Fig. 3a). This technique furthermore allows monitoring fluorescent (i.e.
electroporated) neurons and non-fluorescent (i.e. control) neurons, in the same
preparation[47,48]. Similarly to cultured cortical neurons, we restricted the electroporation to the
GluN2B*-R187C subunit, not including the GluN1 subunit, to limit NMDAR over-expression.
Acute slices were incubated during 30 min in 6.6 µM MASp and then washed before
recording (Fig. 3a and see Methods). Synaptic currents were induced by stimulation near the
apical dendrite of the patched cortical pyramidal neuron (Fig. 3a).

In fluorescent neurons expressing the GluN2B*-R187C subunit and labeled with
MASp, UV light induced an increase in NMDA-EPSC amplitude of up to 1.45-fold (in P11-14
mice) compared to EPSCs recorded under green light (Fig. 3b,c and Supplementary Table 3,
and see Methods and Extended Data Fig. S5a,b for the protocol of light stimulation). As in
recombinant systems, this photomodulation was reversible and reproducible, with no sign of
photo-fatigue for at least two cycles of UV-green light stimulations (Fig. 3b and Extended
Data Fig. 5b). No photomodulation of NMDA-EPSCs was observed in control, non-
fluorescent neurons (Fig. 3b; Extended Data Fig. 5b; and Supplementary Table 3). We also
detected a significant photomodulation in fluorescent cells from P21-P25 mice (Extended
Data Fig. 5c and Supplementary Table 3). We found that MASp labeling by itself had minimal
impact on synaptic transmission, as indexed by the absence of modification of the
NMDA/AMPA ratio (Extended Data Fig. 5c) and the lack of photomodulation of AMPA-EPSCs
(Extended Data Fig. 5e-g). It furthermore had minimal impact on the intrinsic neuronal
electrical properties and excitability, (Extended Data Fig. 5h-j). Taken together, these results
show that MASp is operational in intact neuronal networks allowing selective manipulation
and detection of GluN2B diheteromers with minimal off-target effects.

During the course of our recordings, we systematically observed in MASp-treated
slices a large (up to several hundreds of pA) and transient increase of the tonic (i.e. holding)
current at the onset of the UV illumination pulse (Fig. 3d). UV-induced increase in tonic
current occurred in the ~15 ms time-range (Extended Data Fig. 6a,b) but the current relaxed
close to basal level with a time constant of ~200 ms despite the continuous presence of UV
light (no green light application) (Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b). However, illumination
with green light was necessary to allow subsequent UV-induced potentiation of the tonic
current and, similarly to synaptic currents, this process was reproducible over several cycles
of illumination without visible photo-fatigue (Extended Data Fig. 6c). This current peak was
absent in non-fluorescent neurons (Fig. 3d,e and Extended Data Fig. 6c,d) and was fully
abolished by application of 50 µM of the NMDAR-specific antagonist APV (Fig. 3d and
Extended Data Fig. 6e). Thus, we can safely conclude that this transient UV-induced current
is mediated by light-sensitive GluN2B diheteromers. In principal neurons of the forebrain,

extrasynaptic NMDARs contribute to tonic currents by binding ambient glutamate present at low concentrations in the extracellular space[49–53]. Monitoring the large and transient potentiation of NMDA tonic currents should therefore allow us to probe with high sensitivity the presence of GluN2B*-R187C diheteromers at extrasynaptic sites.

We hypothesized that the transient nature of the UV-induced potentiation of tonic NMDA currents reflected glutamate dissociation from potentiated receptors. Spermine potentiation of GluN2B diheteromers (but also UV potentiation by MASp, Extended Data Fig. 2e and Supplementary Table 2) is known to come with a slight decrease in the receptor's glutamate sensitivity[54]. Recordings from cultured cortical neurons transfected with GluN2B*-R187C confirmed that MASp photo-potentiation decayed with time when sub-saturating glutamate concentrations were used, while under saturating agonists, UV light yielded sustained, non-desensitizing potentiation (Extended Data Fig. 7a,b). Thus, in brain slices, the low (i.e. non-saturating) glutamate concentrations likely account for the transient nature of UV potentiation of tonic NMDA currents. To confirm this hypothesis, we artificially raised ambient glutamate extracellular concentration in brain slices by perfusing the glutamate transporter inhibitor DL-threo-β-Benzyloxyaspartic acid (TBOA). As expected, application of TBOA resulted in a strong increase of tonic current levels (average ± s.e.m tonic current at +40 mV of 438 ± 101 pA before TBOA vs 1469 ± 108 pA after TBOA treatment, n = 8, p-value = 0.008, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test). Upon subsequent UV-light application, the UV-induced peak did not fully decay, reaching a steady-state current significantly larger than basal levels, and that was reversed by green light ($\Delta_{UV, SS}$ / $\Delta_{UV, peak}$ = 0.15 ± 0.02 before TBOA and 0.46 ± 0.08 after TBOA; n = 8; Extended Data Fig. 6f,g). In some cases, the UV potentiation was sustained with minimal decay of the tonic NMDA currents indicating glutamate concentrations following TBOA treatment high enough to saturate the receptors' glutamate binding sites (Extended Data Fig. 6f). By comparing the ratio of steady-state over peak of the UV-induced current increase in absence of TBOA ($\Delta_{UV, SS}$ / $\Delta_{UV, peak}$ = 0.15 ± 0.02, Extended Data Fig. 6g) to the values measured in dissociated neurons (Extended Data Fig. 7b), we estimated the basal glutamate concentration to be in the 30 to100 nM range around cortical pyramidal neurons, consistent with the literature[55–57]. Given the low occupancy of extrasynaptic NMDARs by tonic glutamate at these concentrations and the large peak current elicited by UV illumination, our findings indicate that extrasynaptic sites express a high amount of GluN2B diheteromers. Hence, the very fast control of GluN2B diheteromers by light allows separation of the two opposite effects of polyamine modulation: increase of channel Po and decrease of glutamate potency[28,54]. As MASp is converted from *trans* to *cis* by UV light, it reaches its NTD modulatory site leading to an increase of Po in a ~15 ms time range, which corresponds to the peak of UV-induced

increase in tonic current. Subsequent UV peak relaxation reflects glutamate dissociation,

with much slower kinetics (~200 ms, see above) that are similar to the NMDA EPSC decay

kinetics measured from fluorescent neurons (weighted τ_{off} = 198 ± 13 ms, n = 8). In addition,

the amount of relaxation makes it possible to estimate the local tonic glutamate concentration

around the recorded neuron.

Unlike steady-state UV potentiation, peak UV potentiation as assessed on

recombinant receptors was largely independent on glutamate concentration, with <10%

variation of photomodulation between 0.03 and 100 µM of glutamate (Extended Data Fig. 7c-

e and Supplementary Note 3). Measurement of UV-induced potentiation at the peak

therefore allows comparison of the proportions of GluN2B diheteromers between neurons

and neuronal compartments regardless of their local glutamate environment. Varying

concentrations of the co-agonist glycine also had only small effects on the extent of

photomodulation measured at the UV peak (Extended Data Fig. 7f-h and Supplementary

Note 3). For each individual cell in brain slices, we thus estimated the level of tonic NMDAR

current photo-enhancement by measuring the UV-induced peak current and comparing it to

the amplitude of basal tonic current mediated by NMDARs deduced from APV inhibition

under green light ($I_{365\ nm}$ / $I_{530\ nm}$ on Fig. 3D; and see Methods). Using this approach, we found

that UV light induced massive potentiation of tonic NMDARs, >3.5-fold in slices from juvenile

(P11-14) mice ($I_{365\ nm}$ / $I_{530\ nm}$ = 3.8 ± 1.3, n = 9; Fig. 3e; Supplementary Table 3, and see also

Extended Data Fig. 6h for older ages). These results indicate a strong presence of GluN2B

diheteromers at extrasynaptic compartments at young postnatal ages, confirming previous

work[51,58]. They also show the potential of our tool to precisely estimate the amount of GluN2B

diheteromers at synaptic and extrasynaptic sites relatively to existing pharmacological agents

such as ifenprodil or polyamines, whose effects on tonic currents are difficult to interpret due

to the glutamate-dependence of their mode of action[54,59].

Developmental regulation of endogenous synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDAR

subtypes in the hippocampus

With its ability to probe the proportion of GluN2B diheteromers at synaptic and extrasynaptic

sites, Opto2B appears well suited to dissect the molecular composition of NMDARs across

development and subcellular compartments. To avoid overexpression and maintain

endogenous levels and patterns of GluN2B-NMDAR expression, we generated a knock-in

(KI) mouse containing a mutant GluN2B subunit allowing for MASp attachment and

photomodulation (Opto2B mouse). While in Xenopus oocytes (see above and Extended Data

Fig. 2) and HEK cells (Extended Data Fig. 8a), neutralizing the endogenous cysteine

GluN2B-C395 was required to avoid background effects of MASp, no such undesired effects were observed in cultured cortical neurons (similar large photomodulation between GluN2B*-R187C and GluN2B-R187C receptors, Extended Data Fig. 8b and Supplementary Table 1). This suggests that, in neurons, MASp does not react with GluN2B-C395. Accordingly, to limit the number of introduced mutations, we generated a KI mouse harboring the single GluN2B-R187C mutation (Opto2B mouse, Fig. 4a). Using kinetics measurements and classical pharmacology, we verified that the basal GluN2A/GluN2B subunit ratio at hippocampal CA3-CA1 synapses (synapses of interest, see below) was unaffected in MASp-labeled Opto2B mice compared to unlabeled WT animals (Extended Data Fig. 9a-d), indicating no significant alteration of NMDA synaptic currents and subunit content by the GluN2B-R187C mutation and MASp labeling step.

We focused on hippocampal CA1 neurons and CA3-CA1 synapses, which have been extensively studied for their NMDAR receptor content. Adult CA1 neurons express high levels of GluN2A and GluN2B subunits, but little or no GluN2C and GluN2D subunits[60–62]. CA1 neurons also display the typical GluN2B to GuN2A 'switch' whereby, following birth, expression of the GluN2A subunit gradually increases, leading to increasing incorporation of GluN2A-containing NMDARs and a proportional decrease in GluN2B-containing receptors[7,16,23]. However, in which proportions GluN2A and GluN2B subunits associate to form GluN2A and GluN2B diheteromers, or GluN2A/2B triheteromers, and how these subtypes segregate between synaptic and extrasynaptic sites remains elusive and subject to controversy[51,58,63–65].

To study synaptic NMDARs through development, we prepared acute brain slices from Opto2B mice at different age ranges (P5, P8-P12, P20-P23 or P37-P47), which were labeled with 6.6 µM MASp. NMDA synaptic currents (NMDA-EPSCs) were recorded on patched CA1 pyramidal neurons by stimulating the Schaeffer collaterals, either under UV light, or under green light (Fig. 4B, similar protocol as Extended Data Fig. 5a). UV light induced ~1.4-fold potentiation of NMDA-EPSC peak currents compared to green light at young ages (P5-P12) while no effect of light was observed on MASp-labeled slices from WT animals (at P8-P12, $I_{365\,nm}/I_{530\,nm}$ = 1.41 ± 0.06, n = 20 for Opto2B mice vs 1.02 ± 0.04, n = 10 for WT; Fig. 4c,d and Supplementary Table 4). The photomodulation in Opto2B animals decreased progressively with development to reach 1.14-fold around P40, indicative of a progressive decrease in the GluN2B diheteromer contribution to synaptic currents during development (Fig. 4c, left; Fig. 4d, dark-blue bars; and Supplementary Table 4). To gain insights into the origin of this drop in photomodulation, we crossed the Opto2B mouse line with a GluN2A-KO mouse line[66] in order to prevent expression of any GluN2A-NMDARs (including GluN2A diheteromers and GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers). Compared to Opto2B

slices, the photomodulation of NMDA-EPSC peak currents was markedly and systematically higher for Opto2B/GluN2A-KO slices, showing co-existence of GluN2B diheteromers with GluN2A-NMDARs at synaptic sites already at young ages (< P12; Fig. 4c,d and Supplementary Table 4). This difference increased with age (Fig. 4c,d and Supplementary Table 4), consistent with an increasing expression and incorporation of the GluN2A subunit in synaptic NMDARs during postnatal development[7,16,23,67,68]. This result is consistent with the developmental acceleration of NMDA-EPSC decay kinetics in slices from Opto2B mice, an effect absent in Opto2B/GluN2A-KO animals (Extended Data Fig. 9e,f).

We next studied the photomodulation of tonic NMDA currents across development on slices from Opto2B and Opto2B/GluN2A-KO animals to estimate the evolution of the proportion of GluN2B diheteromers in extrasynaptic compartments (Fig. 5a,b and Extended Data Fig. 10a). We first verified that no significant photomodulation of tonic NMDA currents was present on MASp-treated slices from WT animals (0.94 ± 0.04, n = 4; Fig. 5b and Extended Data Fig. 10b). At early postnatal ages (before P12), massive, and quantitatively similar, UV potentiation of NMDA tonic currents (>2.5-fold) was observed for Opto2B mice and Opto2B/GluN2A-KO animals (Fig. 5a,b and Supplementary Table 4), indicating a lack of GluN2A-NMDARs. In fact, the extent of photo-potentiation observed on tonic NMDAR currents was similar to that observed *in vitro* in GluN2B-R187C transduced cultured neurons ($I_{365\ nm}/I_{530\ nm}$ = 3.30 ± 0.39, n = 15 for P8-P12 Opto2B slices, Fig. 5b and Supplementary Table 4, *vs* $I_{365\ nm}/I_{525\ nm}$ = 3.04 ± 0.25, n = 20 for GluN2B-R187C-expressing cultured cortical neurons, Extended Data Fig. 8 and Supplementary Table 1). Therefore, at early postnatal ages, extrasynaptic NMDARs are almost entirely GluN2B diheteromers. Strikingly, for older Opto2B animals (after P12), the photomodulation drastically dropped (to levels close to 1.5-fold), while it was maintained at high levels for Opto2B/GluN2A-KO animals (Fig. 5a,b and Supplementary Table 4). This drop in photomodulation could not be accounted for a progressive decrease in tonic NMDA currents with age (there was instead an increase of APV-dependent tonic current with age; Extended Data Fig. 10c,d). Rather, the developmental drop of photomodulation ratio for Opto2B neurons was mediated by a decrease of the absolute amplitude of UV-induced peak currents (Extended Data Fig. 10e). Altogether, these results show that the proportion of GluN2B diheteromers at extrasynaptic sites strongly decreases with age, and that GluN2B diheteromers are progressively replaced by GluN2A-NMDARs.

Discussion

As increasing evidence reveal that most neurotransmitter receptors assemble as heteromers with multiple subunit stoichiometries, developing tools to selectively control and monitor individual receptor subtypes becomes a growing challenge[4,6,8,9,69–71]. We have developed an optopharmacological tool (Opto2B) to enhance selectively the activity of NMDARs containing two copies of the GluN2B subunit (GluN2B diheteromers), while receptors containing a single GluN2B copy (GluN2B-containing triheteromers) are unaffected. Opto2B relies on the covalent reaction of a photoswitchable spermine, MASp, to a cysteine-modified GluN2B subunit, GluN2B-R187C. We show that, when bound to GluN2B-R187C, MASp allows fast, reversible and reproducible photo-enhancement of GluN2B diheteromer activity in recombinant systems, cultured neurons and brains slices with minimal off-target effects on basal synaptic transmission. Using this tool, we were able to investigate the evolution of NMDAR subtype composition during postnatal development. We reveal that at hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells both synaptic and extrasynaptic populations undergo synaptic maturation through a GluN2B-to-GluN2A switch mechanism, but that this maturation occurs at a later time for extrasynaptic populations. At adult stage, our works indicates that GluN2A-containing NMDARs, i.e. GluN2A diheteromers and GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers, likely form the majority of NMDAR subtypes in hippocampal CA1 neurons at both synaptic and extrasynaptic sites.

Opto2B, a GluN2B-selective PAM with subunit stoichiometry resolution

To our knowledge, Opto2B is the first tool allowing the discrimination between NMDAR containing distinct stoichiometries of GluN2A and GluN2B subunits, the two main GluN2 subunits in the adult forebrain[16]. Currently available GluN2A-selective NAMs acting at the level of the NTDs (zinc ions) or GluN2A-selective PAMs, have intermediate effects on GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers. In addition, effects of GluN2A-selective NAMs acting at the LBD level (e.g. TCN-201[72] or MPX-004[73]) are almost as large on GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers as on GluN2A diheteromers[25,27,74,75]. On the other hand, ifenprodil derivatives, commonly used GluN2B-selective inhibitors, have an intermediate effect on GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers[25,27]. Polyamines, the only known GluN2B-selective PAMs, have a large potentiating effect on GluN2B diheteromers[28,46], with minimal potentiation of GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers[27,76]. However, these compounds also produce a non-selective inhibition of all NMDAR subtypes through a pore block mechanism. They furthermore have multiple non-specific effects on various membrane receptors and channels, which might interfere with the GluN2B specific potentiating effect and complicate their use in native systems[29,77,78]. In

contrast, the Opto2B tool relies on the covalent binding of a polyamine ligand, MASp, near
the GluN2B-specific polyamine potentiating site. After labeling and extensive washout of the
free compound, only the bound-MASp remains, hence avoiding off-target pore block of
NMDARs, as well as off-target binding to other receptors in native tissues. Accordingly, unlike
free spermine, treatment of non-GluN2B NMDARs (GluN2A, 2C and 2D diheteromers) with
MASp yielded no light-dependent effect on their activity (Fig. 2). Interestingly, covalent
binding of MASp to GluN2B-R187C in the context of a GluN2A/2B triheteromer did not either
photosensitize the activity of this receptor subtype. This is reminiscent of the poor effect of
free spermine on GluN2A/2B triheteromers (see above) and is consistent with the GluN2A
subunit dominating the biophysical and pharmacological properties of GluN2A/2B
triheteromers[25,27,75].

Despite the exquisite selectivity of Opto2B towards GluN2B diheteromers, MASp can
conjugate to the endogenous cysteine GluN2B-C395 in recombinant systems (Xenopus
oocytes and HEK cells), leading to an increase in channel Po but no light sensitivity. This
increase in basal Po explains the smaller UV potentiation observed when MASp was able to
react with both cysteines at positions 187 and 395 (GluN1/GluN2B-R187C receptors; 1.75-
fold UV-potentiation in HEK cells) than when C395 reactivity was neutralized, allowing
conjugation of MASp only at position R187 (GluN1/GluN2B-R187C-C395S receptors) (3 to
3.7-fold UV-potentiation in HEK cells) (Extended Data Fig. 3 and 8a). However, in cultured
cortical neurons, whether C395 was neutralized or not had no significant impact on the
photomodulation ratio (Extended Data Fig. 8b). Moreover, we obtained large
photomodulation ratios in brain slices from Opto2B mice (Fig. 5), close to the ones obtained
from cortical brain slices electroporated with the GluN2B-R187C-C395S subunit. This
suggests that background conjugation of MASp to the endogenous cysteine GluN2B-C395 is
not an issue in native systems. The factors underlying the lack of reactivity of GluN2B-C395
in neurons compared to other (non-neuronal) cells remain to be established.

Monitoring GluN2B diheteromers with subcellular precision

In addition to its exquisite molecular specificity, the fast and reversible properties of the
Opto2B tool allowed us to probe independently, within the same cell, the molecular
composition of synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDARs. It is considered that NMDA-EPSCs
evoked by single electrical stimulations of presynaptic afferents reflect the activity of the
synaptic pool of NMDARs[51]. On the other hand, NMDA tonic currents, recorded in absence of
any electrical stimulation, are considered to be mediated primarily by extrasynaptic
receptors[49,51,53]. Hence, by measuring in CA1 pyramidal neurons the amount of UV-induced

potentiation of NMDA-EPSCs on one side, and NMDA tonic current on the other, we were able to monitor the proportion of GluN2B diheteromers from the CA3-CA1synaptic pool and the extrasynaptic pool, respectively.

In many respects, Opto2B outperforms previous methods to investigate the molecular nature of NMDARs. In particular, with its ability to reveal with high sensitivity the presence of extrasynaptic GluN2B diheteromers activated by very low concentrations of ambient glutamate, Opto2B circumvents many limitations of classical pharmacology. Investigation of the molecular nature of extrasynaptic NMDARs has largely relied on classical pharmacology, assessing the extent of tonic NMDAR current inhibition by subunit-specific NMDAR antagonists like zinc or ifenprodil (see, for example, ref. [50]). NMDAR-dependent tonic currents are usually small (30-60 pA) and inhibitors typically take minutes to elicit their effect in brain slices, making the quantification of tonic current inhibitions challenging. In addition, modulation by subunit-specific NMDAR antagonists is usually agonist-dependent, resulting in large variations in the amplitude and direction of modulation depending on agonist concentration. For instance, at low (~100 nM) glutamate concentrations, ifenprodil has a potentiating rather than an inhibitory effect on GluN2B-NMDARs[59]. Similarly, the extent of zinc inhibition strongly depends on the occupancy of the glutamate sites[79,80]. Thus, the effects of conventional pharmacological agents on tonic NMDA currents, which are activated by ambient glutamate concentrations that likely differ from preparation to preparation[56], appear to be unreliable readouts for determining the receptor subunit composition.

The Opto2B tool also harnesses the power of light and allows temporal segregation of GluN2B diheteromer potentiation (occurring in a 15 ms time range) linked to the increase in channel Po, from a 10-time slower receptor "depotentiation", reflecting glutamate dissociation due a decrease in glutamate affinity. The time separation between these two opposite effects yields a clear sharp peak following illumination of the NMDA tonic current. The large amplitude of the current peak (tens of pA) and its independence on glutamate concentration allows reliable estimation of the contribution of GluN2B diheteromers at extrasynaptic sites and its direct comparison with the contribution of this receptor subtype at synaptic sites. On the other hand, the amount of UV-induced potentiation of NMDA tonic currents at steady-state, which strongly depends on glutamate concentration, allows estimation of glutamate concentrations at the vicinity of the receptors (Extended Data Fig. 7). With its unique properties, the Opto2B tool appears well suited to compare NMDAR subunit composition from different cell compartments and to probe the local glutamate microenvironment.

Opto2B reveals distinct developmental profiles of synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDARs

Decades of research have established that the GluN2B subunit is enriched at embryonic
stages while expression of the GluN2A subunit gradually increases following birth, resulting
in a GluN2A/GluN2B ratio that increases with age[7,16,23,50,60,67,81]. However, what is still unclear
and highly debated is how the GluN2B subunit partitions between GluN2B diheteromers or in
GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers during brain maturation. Early studies based on subunit co-
immunoprecipitation concluded that, at adult stage, the GluN2B subunit is either incorporated
preferentially in GluN2B diheteromers[82,83], or, in contrary, in GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers[84]
(see also ref [20]). At adult CA3-CA1 hippocampal synapses, several studies have indicated
that the majority of receptors are GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers (and thus that GluN2B
diheteromers are a minority)[20,81,85,86]. Yet, more recently, super-resolution microcopy data on
cultured hippocampal neurons revealed a maximum of ~30% of GluN2A and GluN2B subunit
colocalization at synaptic sites, suggesting preferential incorporation of GluN2A and GluN2B
subunits into diheteromers[87]. In absence of tools with subunit stoichiometry resolution,
functional investigations of NMDAR subunit composition is challenging (see above). The
Opto2B tool solves this issue in a large part by allowing direct probing of GluN2B
diheteromer content.

We generated a knock-in mouse expressing the mutated GluN2B-R187C subunit to
allow the investigation of the developmental regulation of GluN2B diheteromers at synaptic
and extrasynaptic sites, while keeping endogenous levels of GluN2B subunit expression.
Using Opto2B mice, we observed an increase of NMDA-EPSC amplitude under UV light at
all ages, demonstrating that GluN2B diheteromers are present at CA3-CA1 synapses
throughout. However, we also observed a clear decrease of the extent of photomodulation
with age reflecting a decreased contribution of synaptic GluN2B diheteromers during
development, so that this population becomes a minority at adult stage. Even at early stages,
the UV photomodulation remained modest in amplitude (compared to the photomodulation
observed on 'pure' GluN2B diheteromers), indicating a scarcity of GluN2B diheteromers.
Combining the Opto2B mouse line with the GluN2A-KO mouse line revealed that GluN2A-
NMDARs (GluN2A diheteromers and/or GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers) are indeed already
present with GluN2B diheteromers at early postnatal ages (<P12) at the CA3-CA1 synapse.
Therefore, following birth, GluN2A-NMDARs readily access synaptic sites (Fig. 6a). For the
youngest age tested (P5), the low photomodulation could additionally be linked to the
contribution of residual (spermine-insensitive) GluN2D-containing receptors[88], with the
GluN2D subunit being highly expressed at embryonic stages before rapidly declining during
the first postnatal week in CA1 pyramidal cells[60,88]. Altogether our results demonstrate a
gradual disappearance of GluN2B diheteromers at CA3-CA1 synapses during development
in favor of GluN2A-containing NMDARs (either GluN2A diheteromers or GluN2A/GluN2B

triheteromers, Fig. 6), in line with the previously described GluN2B-to-GluN2A developmental
switch. They also add the new information that CA3-CA1 synaptic sites do not favor the
clustering of GluN2B diheteromers, but rather of GluN2A-containing receptors, even at early
postnatal stages when GluN2B expression still dominates that of GluN2A. This poses key
questions that remain to be addressed about the rules governing NMDAR subunit assembly
and their specific targeting to distinct neuronal compartments.

In contrast to synaptic receptors, we observed that GluN2B diheteromers form the
vast majority of extrasynaptic NMDAR subtypes in CA1 pyramidal neurons at young
postnatal ages (< P12), which is consistent with the literature[51,58]. At these juvenile ages, our
results on Opto2B/GluN2A-KO mice show that GluN2A-NMDARs do not contribute or
minimally to NMDA tonic currents (Fig. 6). However, we also observed that the contribution to
tonic current of GluN2B diheteromers decreases drastically through development,
Opto2B/GluN2A-KO mice revealing a growing contribution of GluN2A-NMDARs at
extrasynaptic sites. At adult stage, our results show that GluN2B diheteromers form only a
minor population of extrasynaptic NMDARs, while GluN2A-NMDARs predominate (Fig. 6). At
first glance, this result challenges the commonly admitted view that GluN2A-NMDARs and
GluN2B-NMDARs segregate in synaptic and extrasynaptic compartments, respectively[50,89–91].
Several studies, however, do not support such a strict differential repartition, with equivalent
GluN2A and GluN2B contents in both compartments[92–95]. This discrepancy likely originates
from different methodological approaches, various preparations (neuronal culture or brain
slices), brain regions and developmental stages of the studied systems[51]. The limitations of
classical pharmacological agents to study extrasynaptic receptors and their complex effects
at low agonist concentrations (see above) add to the lack of consensus. Leveraging the
unique attributes of Opto2B, we here establish that, similarly to synaptic NMDARs,
extrasynaptic NMDARs also undergo a marked GluN2B-to-GluN2A switch in hippocampal
CA1 pyramidal cells. The comparison between synaptic and tonic photomodulations also
reveals a previously unknown shift in the developmental profile of NMDAR molecular
composition between synaptic and extrasynaptic sites (Fig. 4 and 5): GluN2A is incorporated
earlier at the synapse but surprisingly constitutes a major part of all NMDARs in the adult
mouse at both sites (see model, Fig. 6). These results challenge the prevailing idea of an
enrichment of extrasynaptic receptors in GluN2B diheteromers throughout development.
Given the robust expression of GluN2B subunit in the adult forebrain[60–62], we propose that a
substantial pool of synaptic but also of extrasynaptic NMDARs is constituted by
GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers. Establishing the molecular identity of the various pools of
NMDARs, each of which presumably triggers distinct signaling pathways[7,16,23], remain of
prime importance to untangle the complex effects of subtype-specific NMDAR signaling on

brain circuits and behavior. It also provides valuable information for the design and biological
understanding of precision pharmaceutics targeting NMDARs[2,17].

In conclusion, we have engineered, validated and implemented a new tool, Opto2B,
that enables fast and selective interrogation of native GluN2B diheteromers in isolation form
other co-expressed NMDARs. Using Opto2B, we established the developmental sequence
that shapes the distribution of GluN2A and GluN2B subunits at synaptic and extrasynaptic
sites in CA1 pyramidal neurons, while clarifying a long-standing contentious issue, that of the
relative abundance of GluN2A- and GluN2B-containing receptors between both locations.
We foresee broad applications of Opto2B and other optopharmacological tools targeting
NMDARs to study their molecular and functional adaptation to contextual experience and
disease states.

Methods

Chemicals

Salts, buffers, glucose, D-serine, DTPA (diethylenetriamine-pentaacetic acid), glucose, L-glutamate, glycine, spermine, Mg-ATP and Na-GTP were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). D-APV (D-(-)-2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid), NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartate), NBQX (3-Dioxo-6-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[*f*]quinoxaline-7-sulfonamide), TBOA (DL-*threo*-β-Benzyloxyaspartic acid), strychnine, picrotoxin were purchased from HelloBio (County Meath, ROI). MK801 was purchased from Ascent Scientific (now Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Gentamycin was purchased from GIBCO (Invitrogen, Rockville, MD, USA). Ro 25-6981 is a gift from F. Hoffmann-LaRoche. MPX-004 was purchased from Alomone Labs.

Stock solutions of L-glutamate (100 mM), glycine (100 mM), DTPA (10 mM), D-serine (500 mM), NMDA (100 mM), MK801 (50 µM), D-APV (50 or 100 mM), NBQX (10 mM) and strychnine (10 mM) were prepared in bi-distilled water. Picrotoxin (100 mM), MPX-004 (30 mM) and Ro 25-6981 (10 mM) stock solutions were prepared in DMSO. All stock solutions were stored at -20 °C.

MASp chemical synthesis and characterization

MASp (1-[2-(4-{(E)-[4-(2-{[3-({4-[(3-aminopropyl)amino]butyl}amino)propyl]amino}ethoxy) phenyl] diazenyl}phenoxy)ethyl]-1H-pyrrole-2,5-dione tetrakis(trifluoroacetate)) was obtained as a trifluoroacetate salt from custom synthesis by Spectrum Info Ltd (https://www.spec-info.com/, Kiev, Ukraine; currently available at https://shop.lifechemicals.com/compound/1/F9995-4157). NMR and mass-spectroscopy characterizations are described in Supplementary Note 1. MASp stock solutions were prepared in anhydrous DMSO at concentrations of 16.5 mM (for labeling of Xenopus oocytes and brain slices) or 0.66 mM (for labeling of HEK cells and cultured neurons) and stored at -20 °C.

MASp photochemical characterization

To avoid hydrolysis of the maleimide moiety during compound characterization, MASp was reacted in the dark with 2 equivalents of L-cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich) during at least 30 min in oocyte recording Ringer solution at pH 7.3 (see below). This reaction is predicted to produce four different cysteine-conjugated MASp (MASp[Cys]) diastereoisomers (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Spectroscopic analyses and HPLC analyses were made on the crude product mixture. All
experiments were performed at room temperature.

UV-visible absorption spectra were acquired in 1cm long quartz cuvettes on a
NanoPhotometer® NP80 spectrometer (Implen, Germany). MASp was diluted at 33 µM in
Ringer (pH 7.3) from the 16.5 mM DMSO stock (0.2% DMSO final concentration) solution
together with 100 µM L-cysteine to yield MASp^{Cys}. Blank solution was Ringer at pH 7.3
containing 0.2 % DMSO and 100 µM L-cysteine. Photostationary states (PSS) of MASp^{Cys} *cis*
and *trans* isomers were obtained by continuous illumination of the quartz cuvette containing
the MASp^{Cys} solution with a multi-wavelength LED (pE-2 and pe-4000, CoolLED, UK) until no
further change in the absorption spectra was observed. For all irradiation wavelengths
tested, 5 min illumination was sufficient to reach steady state. Photostability of the *cis* state
(365 nm PSS) was measured by irradiating the MASp^{Cys} solution with 365 nm light during 10
min, then letting it relax in the dark, inside the spectrophotometer. Spectra were acquired at
regular intervals, up to 8 h after irradiation.

Analytical HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1200 series equipped with a quaternary
pump using a Proto 200 C18 column from Higgins Analytical Inc (particles size 3 µm,
100×4.6 mm column). The compounds (66 µM of MASp^{Cys} products in Ringer solution) were
eluted with a flow of 1 ml/min using a gradient of acetonitrile (0 to 100% over 10 minutes) in
water, both solvents containing 0.1% TFA. The detection was performed at 220 nm, 280 nm
and 440 nm (MASp^{Cys} isosbestic point, see Figure S1A). MASp^{Cys} *cis/trans* PSS in the dark
and after illumination with 365 and 525 nm light were determined by HPLC using the relative
integrated areas of the *cis* and *trans* peaks at 440 nm. HPLC of a baseline solution
containing 0.4 % DMSO and 200 µM L-Cysteine was measured and its chromatogram
subtracted to the one of MASp^{Cys}. Due to the presence of two diastereoisomers per
azobenzene configuration after reaction with cysteine, *trans* and *cis* MASp^{Cys} were each
represented by two peaks (see Figure S1C).

Molecular biology

For expression in HEK cells and Xenopus oocytes, rat GluN2A and mouse GluN2B subunits
(ε2) and eGFP were expressed using pcDNA3-based expression plasmids, and rat GluN1-1a
(named GluN1 herein) using pRCCMV plasmid. For selective expression of tri-heteromeric
NMDARs, DNAs coding for a modified GluN1 subunit (GluN1-6A) and GluN2A and GluN2B
subunits containing the GABA$_B$ retention signals (GluN2A-r1, GluN2B-r1 and GluN2B-r2)
were from ref. [27]. Point mutations were performed by Quikchange mutagenesis and DNA
sequences verified by Sanger sequencing.

For *ex utero* and *in utero* electroporation, mouse GluN2B-R187C-C395S, GluN2B-
R187C-C395S-IRES-GFP, GluN2B-R187C-IRES-GFP, Cre, GFP and Td-tomato constructs
were expressed using pCAG-based plasmids. pCAG_GFP and pCAG_Td-tomato were from
ref. [47]. pCAG_GluN2B-R187C-C395S was obtained by subcloning from the pCAG_GFP and
p3αpA_GluN2B-R187C-C395S plasmids using KpnI (ThermoFisher Scientific) and NotI (New
England Biolabs) restriction enzymes. pCAG_GluN2B-R187C-C395S-IRES-GFP and
pCAG_GluN2B-R187C-IRES-GFP were obtained by Quikchange mutagenesis of the
pCAG_GluN2B-IRES-GFP plasmid (a gift from Katherine Roche, ref. [23]).

Oocyte preparation and injection

Oocytes from Xenopus *lævis* were used for heterologous expression of recombinant NMDA
receptors for two-electrode voltage-clamp (TEVC) experiments. Female Xenopus laevis were
housed and ovary bags harvested according to European Union guidelines (husbandry
authorizations #C75-05-31 and #D75-05-31; project authorizations #05137.02 and Apafis
#28867-2020121814485893). Fragments of ovary bags were also purchased from the
"Centre de Ressources Biologiques Xenopes" (now TEFOR, Paris Saclay, France) and from
the European Xenopus Resource Center (EXRC, Portsmouth, UK). Xenopus *laevis* oocytes
were harvested and prepared as previously described in ref.[96]. Briefly, membranes of ovary
bags were teared with forceps to expose the oocytes to the medium. Ovary bag fragments
were then subjected to digestion with Collagenase type II (Gibco, 1-1.5 mg/mL) diluted in a
calcium-free, OR2 medium (in mM: 85 NaCl, 5 HEPES, 1 $MgCl_2$, pH adjusted to 7.6 with
KOH) under mild shaking (~110 rpm) until the oocytes were fully defolliculated (usually after
~1 h). Oocytes were then washed 5 times in OR2 then 3 times with a Barth solution (in mM:
88 NaCl, 1 KCl, 0.33 $Ca(NO_3)_2$, 0.41 $CaCl_2$, 0.82 $MgSO_4$, 2.4 $NaHCO_3$ and 7.5 HEPES, pH
adjusted to 7.3 with NaOH). Defolliculated oocytes were stored at 12°C in a Barth solution
supplemented with gentamycin (50 µg/µL).

Expression of recombinant di-heteromeric NMDARs was obtained by oocyte nuclear
co-injection of 37 nL of a mixture of cDNAs (at 10-30 ng/µL) coding for GluN1-1a and various
GluN2 subunits (ratio 1:1). Expression of tri-heteromeric NMDARs using modified subunits
containing the $GABA_B$ ER retention signals was obtained by co-injecting a mixture of cDNAs
coding for GluN1-6A, GluN2-r1 and GluN2-r2 subunits at 45 ng/µL (ratio 2:1:1)[27]. Co-injection
of a mixture of GluN1-6A/GluN2-r1 or GluN1-6A/GluN2-r2 (45 ng/µL, 1:1 ratio), which is not
supposed to yield membrane expression of NMDARs, was systematically performed to
monitor leakage of the retention motifs[27]. After injection, the oocytes were transferred to 96-
well plates filled with Barth supplemented with gentamicin (50 µg/µL) and 50 µM APV, a

selective NMDAR antagonist. Plates were then stored at 18 °C for 24h for expression of

GluN1/GluN2A constructs, and 48h to 96h for expression of GluN1/GluN2B, GluN1/GluN2C,

GluN1/GluN2D and tri-heteromeric constructs.

Oocyte labeling, TEVC recordings and NMDAR photomodulation

Oocyte labeling

Oocytes (~5 oocytes per 1 mL labeling solution) were labeled in a Barth solution containing

0.66 µM MASp (diluted from a 16.5 mM stock, 0.004% DMSO in final dilution) during 15 min

on ice (0°C), in the dark, under mild shaking (90 rpm). Oocytes were then thoroughly washed

in 4 mL of Barth solution during 10 min in the dark, on ice, under mild shaking (90 rpm),

during three consecutive times (30 min total wash time). Oocytes were transferred to a new

well containing 4 mL Barth between each washing step. Increasing the labeling step to

30 min instead of 15 min did not increase the amplitude of photomodulation. On the other

hand, we observed strong non-covalent association of MASp with NMDARs and/or the

oocyte membrane, so that increase of MASp concentration in the labeling solution (to

6.6 µM) or decrease of the wash time resulted in incomplete washout of the non-covalently

bound compound. This was evidenced by a slow inhibition of WT GluN1/GluN2B or

GluN1/GluN2A receptor currents by UV light, which was slowly reversed by green light. This

effect slowly washed away as the cell was submitted to several illumination cycles. We

attributed this slow and non-specific effect on NMDAR currents to a high affinity, photo-

dependent pore block. It has indeed been shown that aromatic polyamines induce high

affinity inhibition of NMDARs through a pore block mechanism[29,97,98]. Reducing the labeling

time, MASp concentration and increasing wash time allowed us to eliminate this non covalent

MASp effect, with no effect of light on WT GluN1/GluN2B NMDARs with our final labeling

conditions (Fig. 1e).

TEVC recordings

1–4 days following DNA injection, TEVC recordings were performed using an Oocyte Clamp

amplifier OC-725 (Warner Instruments) computer-controlled via a 1440A Digidata (Molecular

Devices). Currents were sampled at 100 Hz and low-pass filtered at 20 Hz using an 8-pole

Bessel filter (900 Series, Frequency Devices Inc). Data were collected with Clampfit 10.3.

During the recording, the cells were continuously perfused with external recording Ringer

solution at either pH 7.3 (in mM: 100 NaCl, 0.3 $BaCl_2$, 5 HEPES and 2.5 KOH, pH adjusted to

7.3 by addition of HCl) or 6.5 (in mM: 60 NaCl, 0.3 $BaCl_2$, 40 HEPES, 2.5 KOH, pH adjusted

to 10.3 with NaOH, then back to 6.5 with HCl, see ref [28]). Unless otherwise noted, NMDA

currents were induced by simultaneous application of L-glutamate and glycine (agonist
solution) at saturating concentration (100 µM each), and DTPA (10 µM) to prevent receptor
inhibition by ambient zinc (~20 nM, ref. [80]). Control, agonist free solution contained 10 µM
DTPA. All recordings were performed at a holding potential of -60 mV and at room
temperature.

Photomodulation

Photomodulation of NMDA currents on Xenopus oocytes was performed by irradiating the
oocyte from the top (irradiation of the animal pole) during TEVC recording with a PE-2 light
source (CoolLED) coupled to a liquid light guide, using wavelengths of either 365 nm
(irradiance ~ 8 mW/mm^2) or 490 nm (irradiance ~ 18 mW/mm^2).

Pharmacological characterization of NMDAR mutants

Pharmacological characterization was performed on unlabeled oocytes, or on MASp-labeled
oocytes in the dark or under constant illumination with 365 or 490 nm light. Glutamate and
glycine dose-response curves were recorded at pH 7.3. Glutamate dose-response curves
were performed in presence of 100 µM glycine and varying concentrations of glutamate.
Glycine dose-response curves were performed in presence of 100 µM glycine and varying
concentrations of glutamate. For each cell, agonist dose-response curves were fitted with the
Hill equation: $I = I_{max} / (1 + (EC_{50}/[A])^{nH})$, where I_{max} is the maximum current calculated from
the fit, EC_{50} the agonist concentration necessary to induce 50 % of I_{max}, n_H is the Hill
coefficient, and [A] the agonist concentration. I_{max}, n_H and EC_{50} were fitted as free
parameters. Proton dose-response curves were performed and analyzed as previously
described[99]. Spermine sensitivity was assessed by measuring currents in absence and in
presence of 200 µM spermine at pH 6.5, as previously described[28]. Open probability (Po) of
the different NMDAR mutants was assessed by measuring the rate of inhibition by 100 nM
MK801, an open channel blocker whose inhibition kinetics correlate with channel Po[34–38].
Recordings were performed at acidic pH (6.5) to maximize the differences of Po between the
MASp-potentiated and non-potentiated receptors. MK-801 time constants of inhibition (τ_{on})
were obtained by fitting inhibition currents with a single-exponential function. On-rate (k_{on})
constants were then calculated assuming a pseudo first-order reaction scheme: $k_{on} = 1 /$
$([MK-801]*\tau_{on})$. In Extended Data Fig. S2g, all k_{on} were normalized to the average k_{on} of
untreated, wt GluN1/GluN2B receptors measured in the same conditions on the same day.

Mice

Mice were housed in the IBENS rodent facility duly accredited by the French Ministry of Agriculture. All experiments were performed in compliance with French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals (EU Directive 2010/63, French Law 2013-118, February 6th, 2013), and were approved by local ethics committees and by the French Ministry of Research and Innovation (authorization numbers #05137.02, APAFIS #28867-2020121814485893 and APAFIS #29476-2021020311595454). Animals were maintained on a 12-hour light/dark cycles with food and water provided *ad libitum*.

For *ex vivo* experiments performed in the cortex, *in utero* electroporation was performed on time-pregnant Swiss mice and both male and female pups we used for recordings. For *ex vivo* recordings in the hippocampus, both male and female mice were used as well with their corresponding littermates from the following lines: WT C57Bl/6N, GluN2B-R187C KI (Opto2B mouse, generated in this study, see below), GluN2A KO[66], and Opto2B/GluN2A-KO generated by crossing the Opto2B and GluN2A KO lines. Opto2B mice are on a C57Bl/6N background, GluN2A KO mice on a C57Bl/6J background, and Opto2B/GluN2A KO mice on a mixed C57Bl/6N and C57Bl/6J background. For neuronal culture experiments, WT C57Bl/6J mice or floxed-Grin2B transgenic mice (B6.Cg-Grin2btm1Mony/Crl, ref. [100]) were used. All the mice used in our experiments were homozygous for their corresponding gene(s).

Generation of the Opto2B mouse and determination of genotype

The Opto2B mouse, containing the GluN2B-R187C mutation, was generated by the *Institut clinique de la souris*, Phenomin (Illkirch, France) using the CRISPR/Cas9 strategy. At the position equivalent to R187 on GluN2B, codon CGC was replaced by TGC, leading to substitution of an arginine to a cysteine. The C>T substitution also created a BglII enzyme restriction site, which was used to discriminate WT and mutant animals during genotyping (AGATC***T***, the T in bold italic being the substituted base).

Genotyping consisted of several steps. DNA was first dissociated in 25 mM NaOH during 1 hour at 93°C and the reaction was stopped with 40 mM TrisHCl. DNA was then amplified by PCR (DreamTaq DNA Polymerase EP0705 Thermofischer - MgCl$_2$ 1 mM R0971 Thermofischer - 0.2 mM dNTP R0193 Thermofischer; 34 cycles: 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 62°C, 60 s at 72°C, 1 min at 72°C) using primers TCTGTCATGCTCAACATCATGGAAG and GATGGCAATCCCATCTCTCACTCTG. DNA products were then digested overnight using 0.1 U/µL of restriction enzyme BglII (New England Biolabs). Discrimination between genotypes was performed on a gel electrophoresis according to the presence or absence of

WT (undigested bands, expected molecular weight: 435 bp) and digested mutant GluN2B characteristic bands (expected molecular weights: 341 and 94 bp).

Dissociated cell culture and transfection/electroporation

HEK cells

HEK-293 cells (obtained from ECACC, Cat #96121229) were cultured in DMEM + glutamax medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine calf serum and 1% Penicillin/streptomycin (5000 U/ml), under standard cell culture conditions (5% CO_2, 37 °C). Transfections were performed using polyethylenimine (PEI, linear 25 kD; Polysciences, Inc., Eppelheim, Germany; stock at 1mg/mL) with a cDNA/PEI ratio of 1:3 (v/v). Cells were co-transfected with a DNA-mixture containing plasmids encoding wild-type GluN1, GluN2A or GluN2B constructs, and eGFP. The total amount of DNA was 1.0 µg per 500 µL of transfected medium for a 12 mm² diameter coverslip The DNA mass ratio for GluN1:GluN2B:eGFP was 1:2:1 and 1:1:1 for GluN1:GluN2A:eGFP. 150 µM of D-APV was added to the culture medium after transfection. Currents from HEK cells expressing GluN1/GluN2A and GluN1/GluN2B constructs were recorded 24h and 48-72h post transfection, respectively.

Cortical neurons

Dissociated cultures of cortical neurons were prepared from mouse embryos at E15. After brain extraction, expression of GluN2B-R187C-C395S mutated subunit in cortical was performed by *ex utero* electroporation. Endotoxin-free cDNAs coding for GluN2B-R187C-C395S (pCAG_GluN2B-R187C-C395S plasmid, 0.5 µg/µL) and for a GFP fluorescent marker (pCAG_GFP plasmid, 0.5 µg/µL) were injected unilaterally into the lateral ventricle of the mouse embryos using a glass pipette. For experiments using the Cre-Lox strategy, GluN2B-R187C-C395S (pCAG_GluN2B-R187C_IRES_GFP, 1 µg/µL) or GluN2B-R187C (pCAG_GluN2B-R187C_IRES_GFP, 1 µg/µL) subunits were expressed as well as a Cre-recombinase (pCAG_Cre, 1 µg/µL) on floxed-Grin2B mice[100] following the same protocol. As the GFP fluorescence from IRES constructs was barely visible, a Td-Tomato fluorescent marker (pCAG_Td-Tomato, 1 µg/µL) was systematically co-electroporated. The volume of injected DNA was adjusted depending on the experiments. Electroporation was performed using a square wave electroporator (ECM 830, BTX) and tweezer-type platinum disc electrodes (5mm diameter, Sonidel). The electroporation settings were: 5 100ms-long pulses at 18 V separated by 100 ms.

After removing meninges, electroporated cortices were placed in ice-cold HBSS
solution supplemented with 20 mM HEPES. Cell dissociation was performed individually for
cortices of each embryo. Cortices were incubated in 2.5% trypsin at 37 °C for 10 min, rinsed
three times with 37 °C HBSS solution, and further dissociated by trituration with syringes of
decreasing diameter (21 then 24 gauge). Cells were resuspended in attachment medium
(MEM supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (5000 U/ml), 1 mM
sodium pyruvate and 10 % horse serum) then plated on poly-D-lysine coated coverslips in
24-well culture dishes at a density of 1-2*10^5 cells per well. Cells were cultured under
standard cell culture conditions (37°C, 5% CO_2). Attachment medium was changed to
Neurobasal medium (MEM supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% B27 supplement and
1% Penicillin/Streptomycin) 2 hours after plating. Cells were fed by changing ½ medium to
fresh Neurobasal medium every 4 days. Cultures were used for experiments after 6 to 9 days
in vitro (DIV6 to DIV9).

Labeling and patch-clamp electrophysiology on dissociated cells

Before patch-clamp recording, HEK cells and cultured cortical neurons were labeled with
MASp. Labeling was performed by incubating the coverslips in 500 µM of extracellular
recording solution (in mM: 140 NaCl, 2.8 KCl, 1 $CaCl_2$, 10 HEPES, 20 sucrose and 0.01
DTPA; 290–300 mOsm; pH adjusted to 7.3 using NaOH) containing 0.66 µM MASp (0.1%
final DMSO concentration) at 37°C in the cell culture incubator. Coverslips were then
transferred into a petri dish containing ~4 mL extracellular recording solution, then to the
patch-clamp recording chamber.

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed on an Olympus IX73 inverted
microscope. Positively transfected cells were visualized by GFP fluorescence. Patch pipettes
had a resistance of 3–6 MΩ and were filled with a solution containing (in mM): 115 CsF,
10 CsCl, 10 HEPES and 10 BAPTA (280–290 mOsm), pH adjusted to 7.2 using CsOH.
Currents were sampled at 10 kHz and low-pass filtered at 2 kHz using an Axopatch 200B
amplifier, a 1550B digidata and Clampex 10.6 (Molecular Devices). Recordings were
performed at a holding potential of −60 mV and at room temperature. Agonists (100 µM
glutamate and glycine for HEK cells; 300 µM NMDA and 50 µM D-serine for cortical neurons)
were applied using a multi-barrel solution exchanger (RSC 200, BioLogic). In Figure S4A,
NMDAR currents from cultured cortical neurons were elicited by varying concentrations of
glutamate and glycine and isolated by adding 10 µM NBQX, 100 µM picrotoxin, 10 µM
strychnine, and 20 µM glycine to the extracellular recording solution, in order to mimic the
recording conditions of neurons in brain slices (see below).

Computer-controlled light pulses during electrophysiological recordings were provided
from high power LEDs (Prizmatix). The three following LEDs were used: Mic-LED-365
(365 ± 4 nm, 200 mW), UHP-Mic-LED-460 (460 ± 5 nm, 2 W) and UHP-Mic-LED-520
(520 ± 5 nm, 900 mW). The LED port was directly coupled to the fluorescence port of the
microscope. The output beam of the LED entry was directed towards the sample thanks to a
mirror (Chroma) and applied to the center of the recording dish through a 10X objective
(Olympus, 0.30 N.A.). In Extended Data Fig. 3g, light power was measured at the center of
the recording chamber plane with an optical power meter (1916-C, Newport) equipped with a
calibrated UV/D detector.

***In utero* electroporation**

In utero electroporation was performed as previously described[47]. Briefly, pregnant Swiss
females at E14.5-15.5 (Janvier labs) were subcutaneously injected with 0.1 mg/kg of
buprenorphine for analgesia and anesthetized with isoflurane (3.5% for induction and 2%
during the surgery). The uterine horns were exposed after laparotomy. Endotoxin-free DNA
diluted in 1× PBS with 0.1% Fast Green dye for visualization was injected unilaterally into the
lateral ventricle of the mouse embryos using a glass pipette. The volume of injected DNA
was adjusted depending on the experiments. Electroporation was performed using a square
wave electroporator (ECM 830, BTX) and tweezer-type platinum disc electrodes (5 mm
diameter, Sonidel). The electroporation settings were: 5 pulses of 50 V for 50 ms with 500 ms
interval. DNAs were used at the following concentration: pCAG_Td-tomato, 1 µg/µL;
pCAG_GluN2B-R187C-C395S, 2 µg/µL*.

***Ex vivo* patch clamp electrophysiology**

Slice preparation and labeling

Acute coronal slices (320 µm) were prepared at the indicated age by decapitation of the
isoflurane-anesthesized animals. After brain extraction, slices were prepared using a
vibratome (Leica VT1200S). Slicing was performed in a cold (~ 4°C) and oxygenated (95%
O_2, 5% CO_2) slicing solution containing (in mM): 92 choline chloride, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH_2PO_4,
30 $NaHCO_3$, 20 HEPES, 25 glucose, 5 ascorbic acid, 3 sodium pyruvate, 10 Magnesium
sulfate, 0.5 calcium chloride, pH adjusted to 7.3 by Tris base. Slices were transferred to an
ACSF solution (in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 20 D-glucose, 2
$CaCl_2$, 1 $MgCl_2$) at 32°C under constant oxygenation and left to recover for 1-1.5 hours.
Labeling was performed by incubating the slices in oxygenated ACSF containing 6.6 µM

MASp (from 16.5 mM stock, 0.04% DMSO in final dilution) during 30 min at 32°C. Slices

were then kept in ACSF before recording.

Electrophysiology

Slice electrophysiology was performed on a Scientifica SliceScope upright microscope

coupled to an OrcaFlash 4.0 camera for cell and fluorescence visualization. Electroporated

cells were identified by their Td-tomato fluorescence. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings

were performed at ~28-30°C in either layer 2/3 cortical pyramidal neurons of the

somatosensory cortex or CA1 pyramidal neurons of the hippocampus. Currents and

potentials were recorded using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier coupled to a 1550B Digidata and

Clampex 10.6 (Molecular Devices). Currents were sampled at 10 kHz. Patch pipettes

(Hilgenberg) had a resistance of 3 to 6 MΩ.

For voltage-clamp recordings, cells were patched using the following intracellular

solution (in mM): 125 CsMeSO4, 10 BAPTA, 5 TEA, 10 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.2 Na_3-GTP

(280-290 mOsm, pH adjusted to 7.3 using CsOH). Series resistance (typically < 20 MOhm

before compensation) was compensated (around 40-60%) and monitored during the whole

experiment. Extracellular synaptic stimulation was achieved by applying voltage pulses (3.5

ms, 5–50 V; Digitimer Ltd, UK) via a second patch pipette filled with HBS (in mM: 150 NaCl,

2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 10 HEPES, 2 $CaCl_2$, 1 $MgCl_2$; pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH) and

placed near the apical dendrite of the patched neuron (for cortical neurons[47]), or by

stimulating the afferent Schaeffer collaterals in the *stratum radiatum* for CA1 hippocampal

neurons. AMPA currents were recorded at -70 mV in ACSF. NMDA currents (NMDA EPSCs

and tonic currents) were recorded at +40 mV and isolated by adding 10 µM NBQX, 100 µM

picrotoxin, 10 µM strychnine and 20 µM glycine to ACSF (as in ref. [101]). NMDA/AMPA ratios

were recorded in regular ACSF and calculated as the ratio of EPSC amplitude at +40 mV

holding potential measured 50 ms after peak (NMDA component) over EPSC at peak at -

70 mV holding potential (AMPA component).

For current clamp experiments patch pipettes were filled with the following

intracellular solution (in mM): 130 K-gluconate, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.6 EGTA, 2 $MgCl_2$, 0.2

$CaCl_2$, 2 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na_3-GTP (290-300 mOsm, pH adjusted to 7.3 using KOH). Cell

hyperpolarization/depolarization and action potentials were induced by 500 ms current

injections ranging from -300 to +800 pA.

Photomodulation and analysis of light-dependent effects

Computer-controlled light pulses during electrophysiological recordings were provided from
ThorLabs LEDs. The two following LEDs were used: M365L2 (365 nm) and M530L3
(530 nm). The LED port was directly coupled to the fluorescence port of the microscope. The
output beam of the LED entry was directed towards the sample thanks to a mirror (Chroma)
and applied to the center of the recording chamber through a 40X objective (Olympus, 0.1
N.A.). We observed that UV light at high power abolished synaptic transmission. UV light
intensity was thus chosen so that in induces minimal decrease of synaptic transmission while
still allowing photomodulation. Final powers measured in the recording chamber for UV and
green light illuminations were ~2 mW for 365 nm light and ~0.3 mW for 530 nm.

Effect of light on NMDA EPSCs was monitored by illuminating the region around the
patched neuron 1s before stimulation until 200 ms after stimulation (1.2 s total illumination).
Photomodulation ratios were measured by performing cycles of five stimulations at 0.1 Hz
with green (530 nm) light, followed by five stimulations at 0.1 Hz with UV (365 nm) light,
followed by three other green-UV-green cycles (Extended Data Fig. 5a). Due to the slow
reversal of UV-induced potentiation by green light, steady-state current under green light was
obtained only after the third green light illumination bout (see Extended Data Fig. 5a). As a
consequence, the average of only the last three EPSCs of the five-stimulation cycles (either
with UV or green light) were considered to calculate the photomodulation ratios (Extended
Data Fig. 5a, grey bars). For cortical neurons, photomodulation ratios were calculated as the
ratios of the mean EPSC amplitude under UV light (3 EPSCs x 2 UV cycles = 6 EPSCs) over
the mean EPSC amplitude under green light (3 EPSCs x 3 green cycles = 9 EPSCs). The
same protocol and data analysis were applied to investigate the photodependence of AMPA
EPSCs after MASp treatment of the slice (Extended Data Fig. 5d). For hippocampal neurons,
the absolute NMDA-EPSC responses decreased after the first green-UV-green cycle for
some cells. Hence, to decrease cell-to-cell variability, only the first green-UV-green cycle was
taken into account to calculate the photomodulation ratio (meaning 3 EPSCs x 1 UV cycle =
3 EPSCs in UV light and 3 EPSCs x 2 green cycles = 6 EPSCs in green light).

NMDA-EPSC decay time constants (τ_w NMDA-EPSC) were calculated by fitting the
decay phase of the averaged EPSCs under green light with a double exponential using the
following formula: $I = I_0 + A_{fast} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(t-t_0)}{\tau_{fast}}\right) + A_{slow} \cdot \exp(\frac{-(t-t_0)}{\tau_{slow}})$, where τ_{fast} and τ_{slow}
represent the fast and slow time constants of EPSC decay, respectively, and A_{slow} and A_{fast}
their relative weights. τ_w NMDA-EPSC represents the weighted time constant calculated as
follows: $\frac{A_{fast}}{A_{fast}+A_{slow}} \cdot \tau_{fast} + \frac{A_{slow}}{A_{fast}+A_{slow}} \cdot \tau_{slow}$.

The protocol of photomodulation of tonic currents consisted of 5-10 cycles of 2 s of

365 nm illumination and 4 s of 530 nm illumination, repeated every 30 s (see Fig. 3d and

Extended Data Fig. 6a,c). Cells were maintained in the dark between illumination steps.

Except for Extended Data Fig. S6c, calculations were performed on the average current

trace from the 5 or 10 illumination cycles. APV was systematically applied in the dark after

the 5 or 10 cycles of illumination to allow calculation of photomodulation ratios, and the

illumination protocol was repeated in presence of APV to check for proper inhibition of all

NMDARs, including the photodependent receptors. Since APV was applied in the dark and

after green light illumination, the APV-sensitive current (I_{APV}) represents the basal NMDA

tonic current in the dark or under green light ($I_{APV} = I_{530\ nm}$). Under UV light, NMDA tonic

current is calculated as $\Delta_{UV,\ peak} + I_{APV}$ at the time of peak, with $\Delta_{UV,\ peak}$ representing the UV-

induced increase in tonic current at its peak (~ 35 ms after the onset of UV light, mean

around the peak over a windows of 0.30 ms to avoid bias from nonspecific noise) (see

Extended Data Fig. 6a). The photomodulation ratio was calculated as $(\Delta_{UV,\ peak} + I_{APV})/I_{APV}$

(Fig. 3d). Rising and decaying phases of the UV-induced current peak were fitted with single

exponentials.

1044

1045   Subtype-specific pharmacology on NMDA-EPSCs

For Ro 25-6981 or MPX-004 experiments, slices from Opto2B animals were labeled with

MASp but not the ones from WT animals. NMDA-EPSCs were isolated by adding to ACSF

10 µM NBQX, 100 µM picrotoxin, 10 µM strychnine but, contrary to photomodulation

experiments, no glycine was added to maximize the effect of MPX-004[75]. A baseline of

NMDA-EPSCs was recorded for at least 5 min before adding the pharmacological agent.

Ro 25-6981 was applied at 1 µM and MPX-004 at 30 µM. NMDA-EPSCs were recorded for

at least 5 min after the effect of the inhibitors has reached steady state (total duration of

~30 min). Cells were maintained in the dark. Only cells with stable EPSCs before application

of the inhibitor were analyzed. For each drug, percentage of inhibition effect was calculated

as the ratio between the average of EPSC amplitudes over 3 minutes before drug application

and the average of EPSC amplitudes over 3 minutes once stability is reached after drug

action.

1058

1059   Data analysis and Statistical analysis

1060   Electrophysiological data were analyzed using Clampfit 10.3 (Molecular Devices), Prism

1061   (GraphPad software), Igor (Wavemetrics) and a built-in Python script. Graphs were

1062 generated and statistical analyses were performed using Sigmaplot 11, GraphPad Prism 9

1063 and 10, or Python (using Matplotlib[102] – version 3.7.4 -, Seaborn[103] – version 0.13.1 -).

1064 Statistical tests are displayed in the Figure legends and summarized in Table S5.

1065

1066 **Scientific illustration**

1067 Chemical structures were drawn with ChemDraw Prime. Some parts of Fig. 3a, Fig. 4a,b;

1068 Fig. 6a; and Extended Data Fig. 5a and 10a were created using Biorender.com.

1069

Acknowledgments

We thank Nora Assendorp and Doris Wennagel for their help with *in utero* electroporation; Julie Lefrançois, Mélissa David and Mathilde Murat for their help with cell culture, molecular biology and genotyping; IBENS rodent facility for help with mouse line management; Maria Rodrigo for her help with electrophysiology; Nicolas Delsuc (Chemistry Department, ENS, Paris, France) for training and help on HPLC. The pCAG-GluN2B-IRES-GFP plasmid was a gift from Katherine Roche (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). This project was supported by the French Ministry of Research (doctoral fellowship from Ecole Normale Supérieure attributed to A.S.), the European Commission (Marie-Sklodowska-Curie fellowship H2020-MSCA-IF-2015 Grant #701467 to L.M.), the European Research Council (ERC Advanced Grant #693021 to P.P. and ERC starting grant #803704 to C.Ch.), the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM) (fellowship no. FDT202304016679 to A.S.), and the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR JCJC Grant #22-CE16-0016 Opto2B to L.M.).

Author contributions

Compound design and characterization: L.M. and P.P.; molecular biology and *in vitro* electrophysiology: M.T., A.S., Z.M., and L.M.; cell culture: M.T., A.S., and C.Ca.; *in utero* electroporation: J.Z; *ex vivo* electrophysiology on electroporated mice: L.M.; *ex vivo* electrophysiology on Opto2B mice: A.S. and S.S.; mouse line management and genotyping: A.S., C.Ca., and S.S; data analysis: A.S., M.T., S.S, Z.M., P.P. and L.M.; supervision: C.Ch., P.P. and L.M.; design of the project: P.P. and L.M.; acquisition of funding: P.P. and L.M.; writing of the paper: A.S., P.P. and L.M.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests

References

1. Smart, T. G. & Paoletti, P. Synaptic Neurotransmitter-Gated Receptors. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* **4**, a009662–a009662 (2012).

2. Geoffroy, C., Paoletti, P. & Mony, L. Positive allosteric modulation of NMDA receptors: mechanisms, physiological impact and therapeutic potential. *The Journal of Physiology* **600**, 233–259 (2022).

3. Lemoine, D. *et al.* Ligand-Gated Ion Channels: New Insights into Neurological Disorders and Ligand Recognition. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 6285–6318 (2012).

4. Sente, A. *et al.* Differential assembly diversifies GABAA receptor structures and signalling. *Nature* **604**, 190–194 (2022).

5. Sigel, E. & Steinmann, M. E. Structure, Function, and Modulation of GABAA Receptors. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **287**, 40224–40231 (2012).

6. Sun, C., Zhu, H., Clark, S. & Gouaux, E. Cryo-EM structures reveal native GABAA receptor assemblies and pharmacology. *Nature* **622**, 195–201 (2023).

7. Hansen, K. B. *et al.* Structure, Function, and Pharmacology of Glutamate Receptor Ion Channels. *Pharmacol Rev* **73**, 1469–1658 (2021).

8. Yu, J. *et al.* Hippocampal AMPA receptor assemblies and mechanism of allosteric inhibition. *Nature* **594**, 448–453 (2021).

9. Zhao, Y., Chen, S., Swensen, A. C., Qian, W.-J. & Gouaux, E. Architecture and subunit arrangement of native AMPA receptors elucidated by cryo-EM. *Science* **364**, 355–362 (2019).

10. Sieghart, W. & Savić, M. M. International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. CVI: GABA $_A$ Receptor Subtype- and Function-selective Ligands: Key Issues in Translation to Humans. *Pharmacol Rev* **70**, 836–878 (2018).

11. Berlin, S. & Isacoff, E. Y. Synapses in the spotlight with synthetic optogenetics. *EMBO Reports* **18**, 677–692 (2017).

12. Broichhagen, J. & Levitz, J. Advances in tethered photopharmacology for precise optical control of signaling proteins. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology* **63**, 102196 (2022).

13. Hüll, K., Morstein, J. & Trauner, D. *In Vivo* Photopharmacology. *Chem. Rev.* **118**, 10710–10747 (2018).

14. Kramer, R. H., Mourot, A. & Adesnik, H. Optogenetic pharmacology for control of native neuronal signaling proteins. *Nat Neurosci* **16**, 816–823 (2013).

15. Paoletti, P., Ellis-Davies, G. C. R. & Mourot, A. Optical control of neuronal ion channels and receptors. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **20**, 514–532 (2019).

16. Paoletti, P., Bellone, C. & Zhou, Q. NMDA receptor subunit diversity: impact on receptor properties, synaptic plasticity and disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **14**, 383–400 (2013).

17. Hanson, J. E. *et al.* Therapeutic potential of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor modulators in psychiatry. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* **49**, 51–66 (2024).

18. Zhou, Q. & Sheng, M. NMDA receptors in nervous system diseases. *Neuropharmacology* **74**, 69–75 (2013).

19. Sheng, M., Cummings, J., Roldan, L. A., Jan, Y. N. & Jan, L. Y. Changing subunit composition of heteromeric NMDA receptors during development of rat cortex. *Nature* **368**, 144–147 (1994).

20. Stroebel, D., Casado, M. & Paoletti, P. Triheteromeric NMDA receptors: from structure to synaptic physiology. *Curr Opin Physiol* **2**, 1–12 (2018).

21. Dupuis, J. P., Nicole, O. & Groc, L. NMDA receptor functions in health and disease: Old actor, new dimensions. *Neuron* **111**, 2312–2328 (2023).

22. Cui, Z. *et al.* Increased NR2A:NR2B ratio compresses long-term depression range and
constrains long-term memory. *Sci Rep* **3**, 1036 (2013).
23. Sanz-Clemente, A., Nicoll, R. A. & Roche, K. W. Diversity in NMDA receptor
composition: many regulators, many consequences. *Neuroscientist* **19**, 62–75 (2013).
24. Yashiro, K. & Philpot, B. D. Regulation of NMDA receptor subunit expression and its
implications for LTD, LTP, and metaplasticity. *Neuropharmacology* **55**, 1081–1094
(2008).
25. Hansen, K. B., Ogden, K. K., Yuan, H. & Traynelis, S. F. Distinct functional and
pharmacological properties of Triheteromeric GluN1/GluN2A/GluN2B NMDA receptors.
Neuron **81**, 1084–1096 (2014).
26. Hatton, C. J. & Paoletti, P. Modulation of triheteromeric NMDA receptors by N-terminal
domain ligands. *Neuron* **46**, 261–274 (2005).
27. Stroebel, D., Carvalho, S., Grand, T., Zhu, S. & Paoletti, P. Controlling NMDA receptor
subunit composition using ectopic retention signals. *J Neurosci* **34**, 16630–16636
(2014).
28. Mony, L., Zhu, S., Carvalho, S. & Paoletti, P. Molecular basis of positive allosteric
modulation of GluN2B NMDA receptors by polyamines. *EMBO J* **30**, 3134–3146 (2011).
29. Williams, K. Modulation and block of ion channels: a new biology of polyamines. *Cell
Signal* **9**, 1–13 (1997).
30. Esmenjaud, J.-B. *et al.* An inter-dimer allosteric switch controls NMDA receptor activity.
EMBO J **38**, (2019).
31. Tajima, N. *et al.* Activation of NMDA receptors and the mechanism of inhibition by
ifenprodil. *Nature* **534**, 63–68 (2016).
32. Tian, M. *et al.* GluN2A and GluN2B NMDA receptors use distinct allosteric routes. *Nat
Commun* **12**, 4709 (2021).
33. Traynelis, S. F., Hartley, M. & Heinemann, S. F. Control of proton sensitivity of the
NMDA receptor by RNA splicing and polyamines. *Science* **268**, 873–876 (1995).
34. Chen, N., Luo, T. & Raymond, L. A. Subtype-dependence of NMDA receptor channel
open probability. *J Neurosci* **19**, 6844–6854 (1999).
35. Blanke, M. L. & VanDongen, A. M. J. Constitutive activation of the N-methyl-D-aspartate
receptor via cleft-spanning disulfide bonds. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 21519–21529 (2008).
36. Gielen, M., Siegler Retchless, B., Mony, L., Johnson, J. W. & Paoletti, P. Mechanism of
differential control of NMDA receptor activity by NR2 subunits. *Nature* **459**, 703–707
(2009).
37. Talukder, I., Borker, P. & Wollmuth, L. P. Specific Sites within the Ligand-Binding
Domain and Ion Channel Linkers Modulate NMDA Receptor Gating. *JOURNAL OF
NEUROSCIENCE* **30**, 11792–11804 (2010).
38. Hansen, K. B. *et al.* Structural determinants of agonist efficacy at the glutamate binding
site of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* **84**, 114–127 (2013).
39. Banghart, M., Borges, K., Isacoff, E., Trauner, D. & Kramer, R. H. Light-activated ion
channels for remote control of neuronal firing. *Nat Neurosci* **7**, 1381–1386 (2004).
40. Berlin, S. *et al.* A family of photoswitchable NMDA receptors. *eLife* **5**, e12040 (2016).
41. Durand-de Cuttoli, R. *et al.* Manipulating midbrain dopamine neurons and reward-
related behaviors with light-controllable nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *eLife* **7**,
e37487 (2018).
42. Levitz, J. *et al.* Optical control of metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Nat Neurosci* **16**,
507–516 (2013).

43.   Lin, W.-C. *et al.* A Comprehensive Optogenetic Pharmacology Toolkit for In Vivo Control
of GABAA Receptors and Synaptic Inhibition. *Neuron* **88**, 879–891 (2015).
44.   Zhu, S. & Paoletti, P. Allosteric modulators of NMDA receptors: multiple sites and
mechanisms. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **20**, 14–23 (2015).
45.   Hansen, K. B. *et al.* Structure, function, and allosteric modulation of NMDA receptors.
Journal of General Physiology **150**, 1081–1105 (2018).
46.   Williams, K., Zappia, A. M., Pritchett, D. B., Shen, Y. M. & Molinoff, P. B. Sensitivity of
the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor to polyamines is controlled by NR2 subunits. *Mol*
Pharmacol **45**, 803–809 (1994).
47.   Fossati, M. *et al.* Trans-Synaptic Signaling through the Glutamate Receptor Delta-1
Mediates Inhibitory Synapse Formation in Cortical Pyramidal Neurons. *Neuron* **104**,
1081-1094.e7 (2019).
48.   Meyer-Dilhet, G. & Courchet, J. In Utero Cortical Electroporation of Plasmids in the
Mouse Embryo. *STAR Protoc* **1**, 100027 (2020).
49.   Meur, K. L., Galante, M., Angulo, M. C. & Audinat, E. Tonic activation of NMDA
receptors by ambient glutamate of non-synaptic origin in the rat hippocampus. *J Physiol*
580, 373–383 (2007).
50.   Papouin, T. *et al.* Synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors are gated by different
endogenous coagonists. *Cell* **150**, 633–646 (2012).
51.   Papouin, T. & Oliet, S. H. R. Organization, control and function of extrasynaptic NMDA
receptors. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **369**, 20130601 (2014).
52.   Sah, P., Hestrin, S. & Nicoll, R. A. Tonic activation of NMDA receptors by ambient
glutamate enhances excitability of neurons. *Science* **246**, 815–818 (1989).
53.   Wu, Y.-W., Grebenyuk, S., McHugh, T. J., Rusakov, D. A. & Semyanov, A.
Backpropagating Action Potentials Enable Detection of Extrasynaptic Glutamate by
NMDA Receptors. *Cell Reports* **1**, 495–505 (2012).
54.   Williams, K. Mechanisms influencing stimulatory effects of spermine at recombinant N-
methyl-D-aspartate receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* **46**, 161–168 (1994).
55.   Kalivas, P. Extracellular Glutamate: Functional Compartments Operate in Different
Concentration Ranges. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* **5**, (2011).
56.   Herman, M. A. & Jahr, C. E. Extracellular Glutamate Concentration in Hippocampal
Slice. *J. Neurosci.* **27**, 9736–9741 (2007).
57.   Moldavski, A., Behr, J., Bading, H. & Bengtson, C. P. A novel method using ambient
glutamate for the electrophysiological quantification of extrasynaptic NMDA receptor
function in acute brain slices. *The Journal of Physiology* **598**, 633–650 (2020).
58.   Parsons, M. P. & Raymond, L. A. Extrasynaptic NMDA receptor involvement in central
nervous system disorders. *Neuron* **82**, 279–293 (2014).
59.   Kew, J. N., Trube, G. & Kemp, J. A. A novel mechanism of activity-dependent NMDA
receptor antagonism describes the effect of ifenprodil in rat cultured cortical neurones.
The Journal of Physiology **497**, 761–772 (1996).
60.   Akazawa, C., Shigemoto, R., Bessho, Y., Nakanishi, S. & Mizuno, N. Differential
expression of five N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunit mRNAs in the cerebellum of
developing and adult rats. *J Comp Neurol* **347**, 150–160 (1994).
61.   Monyer, H., Burnashev, N., Laurie, D. J., Sakmann, B. & Seeburg, P. H. Developmental
and regional expression in the rat brain and functional properties of four NMDA
receptors. *Neuron* **12**, 529–540 (1994).

62. Watanabe, M., Inoue, Y., Sakimura, K. & Mishina, M. Distinct distributions of five N-
methyl-D-aspartate receptor channel subunit mRNAs in the forebrain. *J Comp Neurol*
338, 377–390 (1993).
63. Hardingham, G. E. & Bading, H. Synaptic versus extrasynaptic NMDA receptor
signalling: implications for neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **11**, 682–696
(2010).
64. Köhr, G. NMDA receptor function: subunit composition versus spatial distribution. *Cell*
Tissue Res **326**, 439–446 (2006).
65. Gladding, C. M. & Raymond, L. A. Mechanisms underlying NMDA receptor
synaptic/extrasynaptic distribution and function. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **48**, 308–320 (2011).
66. Sakimura, K. *et al.* Reduced hippocampal LTP and spatial learning in mice lacking
NMDA receptor ε1 subunit. *Nature* **373**, 151–155 (1995).
67. Bellone, C. & Nicoll, R. A. Rapid bidirectional switching of synaptic NMDA receptors.
Neuron **55**, 779–785 (2007).
68. Ferreira, J. S. *et al.* Co-agonists differentially tune GluN2B-NMDA receptor trafficking at
hippocampal synapses. *Elife* **6**, (2017).
69. Belkacemi, K., Rondard, P., Pin, J.-P. & Prézeau, L. Heterodimers Revolutionize the
Field of Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors. *Neuroscience* (2024)
doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2024.06.013.
70. DeDominicis, K. E. *et al.* The (α4)3(β2)2 Stoichiometry of the Nicotinic Acetylcholine
Receptor Predominates in the Rat Motor Cortex. *Mol Pharmacol* **92**, 327–337 (2017).
71. Meng, J. *et al.* Nanobody-based sensors reveal a high proportion of mGlu heterodimers
in the brain. *Nat Chem Biol* **18**, 894–903 (2022).
72. Bettini, E. *et al.* Identification and characterization of novel NMDA receptor antagonists
selective for NR2A- over NR2B-containing receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **335**, 636–
644 (2010).
73. Volkmann, R. A. *et al.* MPX-004 and MPX-007: New Pharmacological Tools to Study the
Physiology of NMDA Receptors Containing the GluN2A Subunit. *PLoS One* **11**,
e0148129 (2016).
74. Hackos, D. H. *et al.* Positive Allosteric Modulators of GluN2A-Containing NMDARs with
Distinct Modes of Action and Impacts on Circuit Function. *Neuron* **89**, 983–999 (2016).
75. Yi, F. *et al.* Structural Basis for Negative Allosteric Modulation of GluN2A-Containing
NMDA Receptors. *Neuron* **91**, 1316–1329 (2016).
76. Cheriyan, J., Balsara, R. D., Hansen, K. B. & Castellino, F. J. Pharmacology of
triheteromeric N-Methyl-d-Aspartate Receptors. *Neuroscience Letters* **617**, 240–246
(2016).
77. Mony, L., Kew, J. N., Gunthorpe, M. J. & Paoletti, P. Allosteric modulators of NR2B-
containing NMDA receptors: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic potential. *Br J*
Pharmacol **157**, 1301–1317 (2009).
78. Yi, F., Bhattacharya, S., Thompson, C. M., Traynelis, S. F. & Hansen, K. B. Functional
and pharmacological properties of triheteromeric GluN1/2B/2D NMDA receptors. *J*
Physiol **597**, 5495–5514 (2019).
79. Zheng, F. *et al.* Allosteric interaction between the amino terminal domain and the ligand
binding domain of NR2A. *Nat Neurosci* **4**, 894–901 (2001).
80. Paoletti, P., Ascher, P. & Neyton, J. High-affinity zinc inhibition of NMDA NR1-NR2A
receptors. *J Neurosci* **17**, 5711–5725 (1997).

81.   Gray, J. A. *et al.* Distinct Modes of AMPA Receptor Suppression at Developing
1282   Synapses by GluN2A and GluN2B: Single-Cell NMDA Receptor Subunit Deletion
1283   In Vivo. *Neuron* **71**, 1085–1101 (2011).
1284   82.   Al-Hallaq, R. A., Conrads, T. P., Veenstra, T. D. & Wenthold, R. J. NMDA Di-Heteromeric
1285   Receptor Populations and Associated Proteins in Rat Hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **27**,
1286   8334–8343 (2007).
1287   83.   Chazot, P. L. & Stephenson, F. A. Molecular Dissection of Native Mammalian Forebrain
1288   NMDA Receptors Containing the NR1 C2 Exon: Direct Demonstration of NMDA
1289   Receptors Comprising NR1, NR2A, and NR2B Subunits Within the Same Complex.
1290   *Journal of Neurochemistry* **69**, 2138–2144 (1997).
1291   84.   Luo, J., Wang, Y., Yasuda, R. P., Dunah, A. W. & Wolfe, B. B. The Majority of *N*-Methyl-
1292   d-Aspartate Receptor Complexes in Adult Rat Cerebral Cortex Contain at Least Three
1293   Different Subunits (NR1/NR2A/NR2B). *Mol Pharmacol* **51**, 79–86 (1997).
1294   85.   Rauner, C. & Köhr, G. Triheteromeric NR1/NR2A/NR2B receptors constitute the major
1295   N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor population in adult hippocampal synapses. *J Biol Chem*
1296   **286**, 7558–7566 (2011).
1297   86.   Tovar, K. R., McGinley, M. J. & Westbrook, G. L. Triheteromeric NMDA receptors at
1298   hippocampal synapses. *J Neurosci* **33**, 9150–9160 (2013).
1299   87.   Kellermayer, B. *et al.* Differential Nanoscale Topography and Functional Role of GluN2-
1300   NMDA Receptor Subtypes at Glutamatergic Synapses. *Neuron* **100**, 106-119.e7 (2018).
1301   88.   von Engelhardt, J. *et al.* GluN2D-containing NMDA receptors-mediate synaptic currents
1302   in hippocampal interneurons and pyramidal cells in juvenile mice. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.*
1303   **9**, (2015).
1304   89.   Fellin, T. *et al.* Neuronal synchrony mediated by astrocytic glutamate through activation
1305   of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors. *Neuron* **43**, 729–743 (2004).
1306   90.   Scimemi, A., Fine, A., Kullmann, D. M. & Rusakov, D. A. NR2B-Containing Receptors
1307   Mediate Cross Talk among Hippocampal Synapses. *J. Neurosci.* **24**, 4767–4777 (2004).
1308   91.   Tovar, K. R. & Westbrook, G. L. The Incorporation of NMDA Receptors with a Distinct
1309   Subunit Composition at Nascent Hippocampal Synapses *In Vitro*. *J. Neurosci.* **19**,
1310   4180–4188 (1999).
1311   92.   Harris, A. Z. & Pettit, D. L. Extrasynaptic and synaptic NMDA receptors form stable and
1312   uniform pools in rat hippocampal slices. *The Journal of Physiology* **584**, 509–519
1313   (2007).
1314   93.   Le Meur, K., Galante, M., Angulo, M. C. & Audinat, E. Tonic activation of NMDA
1315   receptors by ambient glutamate of non-synaptic origin in the rat hippocampus. *J Physiol*
1316   **580**, 373–383 (2007).
1317   94.   Mohrmann, R., Hatt, H. & Gottmann, K. Developmental regulation of subunit
1318   composition of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors in neocortical neurones: *NeuroReport* **11**,
1319   1203–1208 (2000).
1320   95.   Petralia, R. S. *et al.* Organization of NMDA receptors at extrasynaptic locations.
1321   *Neuroscience* **167**, 68–87 (2010).
1322   96.   Paoletti, P., Neyton, J. & Ascher, P. Glycine-independent and subunit-specific
1323   potentiation of NMDA responses by extracellular Mg2+. *Neuron* **15**, 1109–1120 (1995).
1324   97.   Igarashi, K., Shirahata, A., Pahk, A. J., Kashiwagi, K. & Williams, K. Benzyl-polyamines:
1325   novel, potent N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **283**,
1326   533–540 (1997).
1327   98.   Kashiwagi, K., Pahk, A. J., Masuko, T., Igarashi, K. & Williams, K. Block and modulation
1328   of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors by polyamines and protons: role of amino acid

residues in the transmembrane and pore-forming regions of NR1 and NR2 subunits.
Mol Pharmacol **52**, 701–713 (1997).

99. Gielen, M. *et al.* Structural rearrangements of NR1/NR2A NMDA receptors during
allosteric inhibition. *Neuron* **57**, 80–93 (2008).

100. von Engelhardt, J. *et al.* Contribution of hippocampal and extra-hippocampal NR2B-
containing NMDA receptors to performance on spatial learning tasks. *Neuron* **60**, 846–
860 (2008).

101. Yan, J., Bengtson, C. P., Buchthal, B., Hagenston, A. M. & Bading, H. Coupling of
NMDA receptors and TRPM4 guides discovery of unconventional neuroprotectants.
Science (2020) doi:10.1126/science.aay3302.

102. Hunter, J. D. Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Computing in Science &*
Engineering **9**, 90–95 (2007).

103. Waskom, M. seaborn: statistical data visualization. *JOSS* **6**, 3021 (2021).

1342

Main Figures

1344

Fig. 1: Engineering light-sensitive GluN2B-NMDARs. (a) Schematic of a dimer of GluN1
and GluN2B subunits. GluN1 and GluN2B NTDs are colored in blue and orange,
respectively. To photo-enhance GluN2B-NMDAR activity, the idea is to introduce a
photoswitchable polyamine derivative in proximity of the polyamine positive allosteric
modulation site, at the interface between the lower lobes of GluN1 and GluN2B NTDs[28]. **(b)**
Chemical structure of MASp, a photoswitchable spermine derivative, in its *trans* and *cis*
configurations. **(c-d)** Screening for MASp labeling positions yielding photomodulation. **(c)**
Left, X-ray structure of an NTD dimer in its "active" form (pdb 5FXG[31]) with the positions
tested for photomodulation highlighted on the GluN2B subunit. Right, close-up view of the
NTD lower lobe - lower lobe interface. Positions were screened along the α5 helix and β7
strand of the NTD of the GluN2B subunit. Screened labeling positions are color-coded as
follows: grey, positions yielding no or little (< 30%) photomodulation of MASp-labeled

GluN1/GluN2B; red, positions for which UV light induces an inhibition > 30%; green,
positions for which UV light induces a potentiation > 30% (see **d**). UL, upper lobe; LL, lower
lobe. **(d)** Summary of the amount of photomodulation (expressed as the current ratio
between 365 and 490 nm light) obtained on NMDARs containing a GluN2B subunit mutated
with a cysteine at the shown positions and labeled with MASp. For each position, the number
of oocytes tested is indicated in parenthesis. n.d., not tested; †, no expression of the cysteine
mutant; *, the cysteine mutation was made on the GluN2B-C395S background. Same color
code as in **c**. **(e)** Current traces from oocytes expressing GluN1/GluN2B WT, GluN1/GluN2B-
Q180C-C395S (2B*-Q180C) or GluN1/GluN2B-R187C-C395S (2B*-R187C) receptors
following application of glutamate (Glu) and glycine (Gly) (100 μM each) and under
illumination with 365 (violet bars) or 490 nm light (blue-green bars), and summary of the
photomodulation ratios ($I_{365\ nm}/I_{490\ nm}$) for these three constructs. Right, proposed mechanism
of MASp light-dependent action when bound to Q180C (top) or R187C (bottom) (see
Extended Data Fig. 2a,b). n.s., $p > 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.001$; multiple one sample Wilcoxon tests
against the value 1, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni
correction. All recordings were performed at pH6.5. Values and cell numbers are described in
Supplementary Table 1.

1374

1375

Fig. 2: Selective photomodulation of GluN2B diheteromers. (a-c) MASp labeling induces no or little photodependent effect on the function of GluN2A, GluN2C and GluN2D diheteromers. **(a)** Current trace from a MASp-labeled Xenopus oocyte expressing GluN1/GluN2A, GluN1/GluN2C or GluN1/GluN2D NMDARs upon perfusion of agonists glutamate (Glu) and glycine (Gly) (100 μM each) and submitted to illumination with 365 nm (violet bars) or 490 nm light (blue-green bars). **(b)** Summary of photomodulation ratios ($I_{365\,nm}/I_{490\,nm}$). n.s., $p > 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.001$; one sample Wilcoxon test, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. **(c)** Left, superposed MK-801 inhibition traces of unlabeled (- MASp, black) and labeled (+ MASp, grey) WT GluN1/GluN2A NMDARs kept in the dark (MASp in its *trans* state). Right, MK-801 inhibition rates of unlabeled and labeled WT GluN1/GluN2A NMDARs. ns, $p > 0.05$, Mann-Whitney test. **(d)** Current traces from Xenopus oocytes expressing NMDARs with defined subunit stoichiometry using the retention signal strategy described in ref [27], and labeled with MASp, following perfusion of agonists (glutamate and glycine, 100 μM each) under 365 or 490 nm illumination: GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C-r1/GluN2B*-R187C-r2 diheteromer (left), GluN1/GluN2Bwt-r1/GluN2B*-R187C-r2 (middle) and GluN1/GluN2Awt-r1/GluN2B*-R187C-r2 (right) triheteromers. **(e)** Summary of the photomodulation ratios ($I_{365\,nm}/I_{490\,nm}$) for the different constructs. n.s., $p > 0.05$; *, $p < 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.001$; multiple one sample Wilcoxon tests against the value 1, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. All recordings were performed at pH6.5. Photomodulation values and cell numbers are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Fig. 3: Photocontrol of NMDA synaptic and tonic currents in brain slices. (a) Experimental workflow for *in vivo* expression of GluN2B*-R187C and *ex-vivo* photomodulation of currents from GluN2B diheteromers. See Main Text and Methods for more details. **(b,c)** Photocontrol of NMDA-EPSCs. **(b)** Left, NMDA-EPSC amplitudes of MASp-labeled, fluorescent (i.e. electroporated, top) and non-fluorescent (control, bottom) neurons from P11-14 mice during alternating cycles of 530 nm (green bars) and 365 nm light (violet bars), normalized to the amplitude of the first set of EPSCs under green light. Each

dot represents the average amplitude of 3 NMDA-EPSCs for each illumination cycle for each
cell (see Extended Data Fig. 5b). n.s., $p > 0.05$; * $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$, Repeated-measures
Anova followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. Right, representative NMDA-EPSCs
from a fluorescent (top) and a control (non-fluorescent, bottom) neuron. These traces are the
average EPSC traces over three bouts of illumination (9 EPSCs in total) for the 530 nm light
condition, and over two bouts of illumination (6 EPSCs in total) for the 365 nm light condition
(see Extended Data Fig. 5b and Methods for the photostimulation protocol). **(c)** NMDA-EPSC
photomodulation ratios (calculated as the average NMDA-EPSC amplitudes in 365 nm light
over the average NMDA-EPSC amplitudes in 530 nm light) of MASp-labeled, fluorescent and
control cortical neurons from P11-14 mice. Photomodulation values and cell numbers are
summarized in Supplementary Table 3. ***, $p < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney test. **(d,e)** Photocontrol
of NMDA tonic currents. **(d)** Top, protocol of tonic current photomodulation (no electrical
stimulation). Bottom, tonic current traces of MASp-labeled, fluorescent and control (non-
fluorescent) neurons from a P13 animal before (left) and during (middle and right) application
of 50 µM D-APV. Left and right traces are the average of 5 traces. Arrows indicate the
currents measured to calculate the photomodulation ratio. **(e)** Photomodulation ratios
(calculated as $I_{365\,nm}/I_{530\,nm}$) of NMDA tonic currents of MASp-labeled, fluorescent and control
neurons from P11-P14 animals. ***, $p < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney test. All recordings in brain
slices were performed at physiological pH. Photomodulation values and cell numbers are
summarized in Supplementary Table 3.

1425

1426

Fig. 4: Optical profiling of NMDAR subtypes at CA3-CA1 synaptic sites. (a) Generation of a KI mouse endogenously expressing GluN2B-R187C (Opto2B mouse). **(b)** Experimental workflow for *ex-vivo* photomodulation of GluN2B diheteromers at the CA3-CA1 synapse of the hippocampus. See Main Text and Methods for more details. **(c)** Representative NMDA-EPSCs of MASp-labeled, CA1 pyramidal neurons from Opto2B (left) or Opto2B/GluN2A-KO (right) mice at different age ranges (P8-P12, top; P20-P23, middle; P37-P47, bottom) under 530 nm (green bars) and 365 nm light (violet bars). These traces are the average EPSC traces over two bouts of illumination (6 EPSCs in total) for the 530 nm light condition and over one bout of illumination (3 EPSCs in total) for the 365 nm light condition, which is sandwiched between the two previous ones (green – UV – green cycle, see Methods). **(d)** Summary of NMDA-EPSC photomodulation ratios for MASp-labeled, CA1 pyramidal neurons from WT (grey), Opto2B (dark blue) and Opto2B/GluN2A-KO (light blue) mice at different age ranges. All recordings in brain slices were performed at physiological pH. Photomodulation values and cell numbers are summarized in Supplementary Table 4. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$;

1441 ***, $p < 0.001$; multiple Mann-Whitney tests; p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons

1442 using Bonferroni correction. Only the indicated comparisons were performed.

1443

1444

Fig. 5: Optical profiling of NMDAR subtypes at CA1 extrasynaptic sites. (a)
Representative tonic NMDA current traces of MASp-labeled, CA1 pyramidal neurons from
Opto2B (left) or Opto2B/GluN2A-KO (right) mice at different age ranges (P8-P12, top; P20-
P23, middle; P37-P47, bottom) under illumination by 365 nm (violet bars) and 530 nm light
(green bars). The dotted line shows the level of tonic current under application of 50 µM D-
APV (as in Extended Data Fig. 10b). Tonic current traces are the averages of 5 to 10 traces.
(b) Summary of the photomodulation ratios of NMDA tonic currents of MASp-labeled, CA1
pyramidal neurons from WT (grey), Opto2B (dark blue) and Opto2B GluN2A-KO (light blue)
mice at different age ranges. All recordings in brain slices were performed at physiological
pH. Photomodulation values and cell numbers are summarized in Supplementary Table 4.
For non-significant p-values, p-values are indicated directly in the graph. **, $p < 0.01$;***, $p <$
0.001; multiple Mann-Whitney tests, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using
Bonferroni correction. Only the indicated comparisons were performed.

1458

1459

Figure 6: Model of developmental regulation of synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDARs in CA1 pyramidal cells. (a) Left, at early postnatal ages, GluN2B diheteromers are present at the synapse together with GluN2A-NMDARs (GluN2A diheteromers and/or GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers). On the contrary, extrasynaptic NMDARs are mainly composed of GluN2B diheteromers. Right, in adolescent and adult mice, GluN2A-NMDARs form the major NMDAR populations at both synaptic and extrasynaptic sites. **(b)** Qualitative model of the evolution of the relative abundance of synaptic (top) and extrasynaptic (bottom) GluN2B diheteromers and GluN2A-NMDARs (GluN2A diheteromers and GluN2A/GluN2B triheteromers) across development.

1469

 Extended Data Figures

1472 Extended Data Fig. 1 (related to Fig. 1): Photochemical properties of MASp and design

1473 of the labeling conditions in Xenopus oocytes.

(a) UV-visible spectra of MASp conjugated to L-cysteine (MASp$_{Cys}$, see Methods and Supplementary Fig. 1) in oocyte recording medium (pH 7.3, 33 µM MASp) in the dark (black trace) and under illumination with increasing wavelengths, from 365 nm (dark violet) to 635 nm (red). Inset, absorbance at 358 nm (*trans*-MASp$_{Cys}$ absorbance peak) as a function of the illumination wavelength. **(b)** Thermal stability of *cis*-MASp$_{Cys}$. After recording a UV-visible spectrum of MASp$_{Cys}$ in the dark (mainly *trans* state, black trace), MASp$_{Cys}$ was irradiated for 10 min by 365 nm light to yield mainly *cis*-MASp$_{Cys}$ (violet spectrum). Spectra in violet/grey gradation represent the gradual *cis*-to-*trans* transition of MASp$_{Cys}$ in the dark at different time points (up to ~8 h) post UV irradiation. Inset, evolution over time of the absorbance at 358 nm. Single-exponential fit (grey line) yielded a time constant τ = 259 min (~4 h) for *cis*-to-*trans* MASp$_{Cys}$ thermal relaxation in the dark. **(c)** HPLC chromatograms monitored at the isosbestic point (440 nm) of the photostationary states (PSS) of MASp$_{Cys}$ in the dark (black trace), after illumination with 365 nm light (violet trace) and subsequent illumination with 525 nm light (green trace). **(d)** Experimental workflow for heterologous expression of GluN1/GluN2B receptors, MASp labeling and photomodulation of NMDAR activity in Xenopus oocytes. **(e-h)** MASP binds to the endogenous cysteine GluN2B-C395. **(e)** Left, schematic of a GluN1/GluN2B dimer with the positions of the free cysteines (i.e. not involved in disulfide bridges) highlighted in yellow. Right, inhibition traces by MK-801 (100 nM), an open channel pore blocker, of unlabeled (- MASp, black) and labeled (+ MASp, grey) GluN1/GluN2B WT NMDARs kept in the dark (MASp in its *trans* state). **(f)** Superposition of the normalized MK801 inhibition traces from Panel (e). Note the increase of MK-801 inhibition rate after labeling with MASp, indicating an increase in the open probability when GluN1/GluN2B NMDARs are conjugated with MASp. **(g)** Left, neutralization of the reactivity of C395, a cysteine located in GluN2B NTD-ABD linker, by mutation into a serine. Right, superposed and normalized MK-801 inhibition traces for labeled (+ MASp, grey) and unlabeled (- MASp, black) GluN1/GluN2B-C395S mutants. Note that on this mutant, labeling does not affect the rate of inhibition by MK-801. **(h)** Summary of the rates of inhibition by MK-801. n.s., $p > 0.05$; *, $p < 0.05$; multiple Mann-Whitney tests, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Only the pre-selected indicated comparisons were performed.

Extended Data Fig. 2 (related to Fig. 1): Photomodulation and pharmacological properties of GluN2B*-Q180C and -R187C mutants.

(a,b) Evaluation of the relative Po of MASp-labeled GluN1/GluN2B*-Q180C (**a**) and GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C (**b**) under different light conditions. Left, superposed current traces following application of agonists and 100 nM MK-801 of unlabeled receptors (- MASp, black) and MASp-labeled receptors (+ MASp) under 365 (violet, mostly *cis*-MASP) or 490 nm light (blue-green, mostly *trans*-MASp). Right, summary of MK-801 inhibition rates. Kinetics of

MK801 inhibition: 2B*-Q180C, k_{on} = 3.7 ± 0.4 10^5 $L.mol^{-1}.s^{-1}$, n = 8 (- MASp); 11.3 ± 0.9

10^5 $L.mol^{-1}.s^{-1}$, n = 9 (+ MASp, 490 nm), and 3.3 ± 0.5 10^5 $L.mol^{-1}.s^{-1}$, n = 7 (+ MASp,

365 nm); 2B*-R187C, k_{on} = 2.3 ± 0.1 10^5 $L.mol^{-1}.s^{-1}$, n = 6 (- MASp); 2.1 ± 0.2 10^5 $L.mol^{-1}.s^{-1}$,

n = 5 (+ MASp, 490 nm), and 8.2 ± 1.6 10^5 $L.mol^{-1}.s^{-1}$, n = 5 (+ MASp, 365 nm). n.s., $p > 0.05$;

*, $p < 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.001$; multiple Mann-Whitney test, p-values were adjusted for multiple

comparisons using Bonferroni correction. When MASp was conjugated to GluN2B-Q180C in

its *trans* configuration (under 490 nm light), channel Po increased by ~2-fold compared to

unlabeled receptors, while labeling with *cis*-MASp (under UV light) produced no significant

change in receptor activity. MASp thus acts as a *trans*-on photoswitch at position Q180C. In

contrast, MASp conjugated to GluN2B-R187C had no effect on receptor channel Po under

490 nm light, while it increased Po by ~3.5-fold under UV-light. MASp thus acts as a *cis*-on

photoswitch at position R187C (see Fig. 1e, right). **(c,d)** Photomodulation of MASp-labeled,

GluN1/GluN2B*-Q180C and -R187C receptors at physiological pH. **(c)** Current traces from

MASp-labeled GluN1/GluN2B*-Q180C (left) and GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C (right) at pH 7.3. **(d)**

Summary of photomodulation ratios for the Q180C and R187C mutants at pH 6.5 and 7.3.

Photomodulation values at pH 6.5 are from Fig. 1. ***, $p < 0.001$ multiple Mann-Whitney

tests, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Only the

pre-selected indicated comparisons were performed. Photomodulation values and number of

cells are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. **(e-i)** Determination of the relative open

probability and sensitivity to different pharmacological agents of unlabeled (- MASp) and

labeled (+ MASp) GluN1/GluN2B*-Q180C and GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C receptors compared

to unlabeled WT GluN1/GluN2B receptors. *, $p < 0.05$ between the condition and unlabeled

WT GluN1/GluN2B receptors; #, $p < 0.05$, between MASp-labeled mutant receptors under

365 or 490 nm light and unlabeled mutant receptors. One way Anova followed by Tukey's

test. **(j)** Pros and cons of photo-enhancing GluN2B-NMDARs via the Q180C or the R187C

labeling position. The R187C is the most favored labeling position due to its strong

photomodulation at physiological pH and the fact that MASp at this position is active in *cis*.

1540

1541

Extended Data Fig. 3 (related to Fig. 2): Up to 3-fold potentiation of GluN2B diheteromer currents in HEK cells.

(a) Current traces from MASp-labeled HEK cells expressing GluN1/GluN2B WT, GluN1/GluN2B*-Q180C, GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C, and GluN1/GluN2A WT receptors, following application of glutamate (100 µM) under 365 nm (violet bars) or 525 nm (green

bars) illumination. Cells were constantly perfused with glycine (100 µM). **(b)** Summary of the

photomodulation ratios ($I_{365\,nm}$ / $I_{525\,nm}$) of currents from the different receptors in (a)

expressed in HEK cells. n.s., $p > 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; multiple one sample Wilcoxon tests

against the value 1, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni

correction. Photomodulation values and number of cells are indicated in Supplementary

Table 1. **(c,d)** No state-dependence of MASp-induced photomodulation. **(c)** GluN1/GluN2B*-

R187C current traces in HEK cells under different illumination protocols. Left, light was

applied before agonist application, allowing receptor modulation only from its resting state

(Resting). Right, light was applied before and during agonist application, allowing receptor

modulation from both its resting and activated states (Resting + Active). The small current

peak observed at the onset of UV light in absence of agonist application corresponds to a

transient potentiation of NMDARs tonically activated by small amounts of contaminating

glutamate (see Extended Data Fig. 7). **(d)** Photomodulation ratios of steady-state (left) and

peak (right) currents following light application during the active state only (Active, panel a),

the resting state only (Resting, panel c, left) and both the active and resting states (resting +

Active, panel c, right). Photomodulation ratios under these three states were measured from

HEK cells from the same coverslip (hence labeled at the same time) to minimize any

variability linked to the labeling procedure. n.s., $p > 0.05$, Kruskall Wallis (left) and Mann

Whitney (right) tests. **(e-g)** Wavelength-dependence of MASp-induced photomodulation. **(e)**

GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C current trace in a MASp-labeled HEK cell following illumination with

UV (365 nm, violet bar), blue (460 nm, blue bar) or green (525 nm, green bar) light. Cells

were pre-illuminated with blue light before current recording. **(f)** Time constants of current

potentiation by UV light (left) and current recovery from potentiation by blue or green light

(middle), and steady-state currents under the different light conditions relative to the current

under green light, which represents the receptor basal activated state (right). Kinetics of

photomodulation were measured at maximal light power through a 10x objective (~10 mW for

365 nm, 79 mW for 460 nm and 27 mW for 525 nm). Tau on (365 nm) = 64 ± 13 ms (n = 13),

Tau off (460 nm) = 13.5 ± 0.9 ms   (n = 4),   Tau off (525 nm) = 442 ± 27 ms   (n = 13).   ***,

$p < 0.001$, Mann Whitney test. Relative steady-state (SS) values: SS(365 nm) = 2.38 ± 0.30;

SS(460 nm) = 1.43 ± 0.11. **(g)** Kinetics of current recovery from potentiation by blue or green

light as a function of light power. Recordings in HEK cells were performed at pH 7.3, -60 mV.

1578

1579

Extended Data Figure 4 (related to Fig. 2): Strong photomodulation of GluN2B*-R187C

diheteromers in cultured cortical neurons.

(a) Left, expression of the GluN2B*-R187C subunit in cultured cortical neurons from wild-

type animals through *ex utero* electroporation (see Methods). Right, current traces from

DIV7-9 neurons labeled with MASp, following application of the selective NMDAR agonist

NMDA (300 μM), under 365 nm (violet bars) or 525 nm (green bars) illumination. Cells were

constantly perfused with D-serine (50 μM). Fluorescent neurons express the 2B*-R187C

subunit, while non-fluorescent (control) neurons only express the endogenous NMDAR

population. (**b**) Summary of photomodulation ratios of MASp-labeled, fluorescent and control

(non-fluorescent) neurons. ***, p < 0.001, Mann Whitney test. Recordings in cultured cortical

neurons were performed at pH 7.3, -60 mV. Photomodulation values and number of cells are

summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

1592

1593

Extended Data Fig. 5 (related to Fig. 3): Protocol of photomodulation of NMDA-EPSCs and determination of MASp background effects on brain slices.

(a) Data were obtained from electroporated (fluorescent) and non-electroporated (non fluorescent, control) layer II/II pyramidal neurons from the somatosensory cortex upon stimulation at the level of their apical dendrite. **(b)** Top, protocol of photomodulation of NMDAR synaptic currents (NMDA-EPSCs). Synaptic stimulation was performed continuously at 0.1 Hz. 525 nm (green bars) or 365 nm (violet bars) illumination started 1 s before synaptic stimulation and ended 200 ms after synaptic stimulation (1.2 s total). Illumination cycles consisted of alternations between 5 stimulations under 525 nm light and 5 stimulations under 365 nm light. Bottom, amplitudes of NMDAR-EPSCs from a MASp-labeled, fluorescent (expressing GluN2B*-R187C) neuron from a P14 mouse under alternating 525 nm (green points) and 365 nm (violet points) illumination, showing reversible and reproducible photomodulation of NMDA-EPSCs. Given the slow reversion of EPSC amplitude by 525 nm light, only the three last EPSCs of each 5-stimulation cycle were considered for amplitude quantification and trace averaging (grey bars). **(c)** Photomodulation ratios ($I_{365\,nm} / I_{525\,nm}$) of NMDA-EPSCs of MASp-labeled, fluorescent and control neurons from P21-P25 animals.

1610 Photomodulation values and number of cells are summarized in Supplementary Table 3. **(d-j)**

1611 MASp labeling has no or minimal impact on synaptic transmission and neuronal electrical

1612 properties **(d)** NMDA/AMPA ratios for WT neurons either unlabeled (- MASp, n = 8) or labeled

1613 (+ MASp, n = 6) from P21-P25 animals. n.s., $p > 0.05$, Mann Whitney test. **(e-g)** No

1614 photodependent effect of MASp labeling on AMPA-EPSCs. **(e)** Representative AMPA-EPSCs

1615 (recorded at -70 mV) from a MASp-labeled control (non-fluorescent) layer II/III cortical

1616 neuron under 525 nm (green bar, left) or 365 nm light (violet bar, right) in a slice from a P21

1617 mouse. Same illumination conditions as in Panel b. **(f)** Summary of AMPA-EPSC amplitudes

1618 of MASp-labeled control neurons from P21-P22 mice under alternating 525 nm (green bars)

1619 and 365 nm (violet bars) illumination. Each dot represents the average amplitude of AMPA-

1620 EPSCs for each cycle for each cell. n.s., $p > 0.05$; Repeated measures Anova followed by

1621 Tukey's multiple comparison test. **(g)** Photomodulation ratios of AMPA-EPSCs from MASp-

1622 labeled, control neurons, showing no significant photomodulation. Quantification of the

1623 photomodulation ratio was performed similarly to Fig. 3. n.s., $p > 0.05$; one sample Wilcoxon

1624 test against the value of 1. **(h-j)** No photodependence of the excitability properties of MASp-

1625 labeled control neurons. **(h)** Current-clamp voltage traces of a MASp-labeled, control neuron

1626 from a P23 mouse during 500 ms current injection steps under UV (365 nm, violet bar) and

1627 green light (525 nm, green bar). UV light was applied 50 ms before and during the current

1628 step, while green light was applied 2 s before and during the current step. The amount of

1629 current injected is written next to the corresponding voltage trace. **(i,j)** No light-dependence

1630 of the membrane potential (i) and firing frequency (j) as a function of injected current after

1631 MASp labeling of control neurons from P21-P24 animals. All recordings in brain slices were

1632 performed at physiological pH.

1633

1634

Extended Data Fig. 6 (related to Fig. 3):

Unless otherwise noted, experiments were performed on slices from P11-14 electroporated

animals. **(a)** NMDAR tonic current trace of the MASp-labeled, P13 fluorescent neuron shown

in Fig. 3d displaying the different values used in the following panels. $\Delta_{UV, peak}$ represents the

difference between the peak current amplitude at the onset of UV irradiation and the current

amplitude under green light (baseline current). $\Delta_{UV, SS}$ represents the difference between the

steady-state current amplitude at the end of UV irradiation and the current amplitude under

green light (baseline current). **(b)** Left, zoom on the UV-induced peak of the NMDA tonic

current trace from (a). Middle and right, time constants of UV-induced potentiation (Tau on

UV peak, left) and relaxation (Tau off UV peak, right). Tau on UV peak = 15.1 ± 0.7 ms,

n = 12 and Tau off UV peak = 206 ± 13 ms, n = 12. **(c)** Tonic current amplitudes of MASp-

labeled, fluorescent (left) and control (right) neurons during the 5-cycle illumination protocol.

See Panel (a) for annotations. **(d)** UV-induced increase of tonic current amplitude at peak

($\Delta_{UV, peak}$) for each MASp-labeled, fluorescent and control (non-fluorescent, denoted ctrl)

neuron displayed in Panel c, and averaged over the 5 cycles of illumination. ***, $p < 0.001$,

Mann Whitney test. **(e)** APV suppresses UV-induced increase of tonic current at peak of

MASp-labeled, fluorescent neurons. **, $p < 0.01$, Mann-Whitney test. **(f)** Photomodulation of

the tonic current of a MASp-labeled, P9 fluorescent neuron in basal conditions (0 TBOA, top)

and after 10 min treatment of the slice with the glutamate transporter inhibitor TBOA (30 µM

TBOA, bottom). As tonic glutamate concentration increases, UV-induced potentiation goes

from transient to sustained. **(g)** Relative amplitude of steady-state over peak UV-induced

increase in tonic current ($\Delta_{UV, SS}$ / $\Delta_{UV, peak}$) before (-TBOA) and after (+TBOA) TBOA

treatment. Animals with ages ranging from P9 to P25 were used for this experiment. **,

$p < 0.01$; Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. **(h)** Photomodulation ratios of NMDA tonic

currents of MASp-labeled, fluorescent and control (non-fluorescent) neurons from P21-P25

animals. Photomodulation values and cell numbers are summarized in Supplementary

Table 3. ***, $p < 0.001$, Mann Whitney test. All recordings in brain slices were performed at

physiological pH.

1663

Extended Data Fig. 7 (related to Fig. 3): Stability of Opto2B photoenhancement depends on glutamate concentration.

(a) Current traces from a cultured cortical neuron electroporated with the GluN2B*-R187C subunit and labeled with MASp showing transient UV (violet bar)-induced potentiation of NMDAR currents under non-saturating glutamate (Glu) concentrations (0.1 and 1 μM), while

UV potentiation was stable at saturating glutamate concentration (100 µM). NMDAR currents were isolated using 10 µM NBQX, 100 µM picrotoxin and 10 µM strychnine, and 20 µM glycine was applied throughout the experiment (same conditions as in *ex vivo* experiments in Fig. 3). The experimental strategy to express the mutated GluN2B subunit on a WT background was the same as in Extended Data Fig. 4a. **(b)** The ratio of steady-state over peak current ($\Delta_{UV, SS}$ / $\Delta_{UV, peak}$; see Panel (a) for measurement of $\Delta_{UV, SS}$ and $\Delta_{UV, peak}$) increases as glutamate concentration increases. Recordings were performed at pH 7.3, -60 mV. **(c-h)** Experiments were performed in Xenopus oocytes expressing GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C at pH 6.5, -60 mV. **(c-e)** Glutamate dependence of GluN2B diheteromer photomodulation. **(c)** Current traces from an oocyte expressing GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C and labeled with MASp following application of varying concentrations of glutamate together with a saturating concentration (100 µM) of glycine and under 365 (violet bar) or 490 nm (blue-green bar) illumination. **(d)** While the photomodulation ratios at steady-state ($I_{UV, SS}$ / $I_{490\ nm}$, black dots) are highly dependent on glutamate concentration, the photomodulation ratios calculated from the UV peak currents ($I_{UV, peak}$ / $I_{490\ nm}$, white dots) were almost independent of glutamate concentration (they varied by less than 10% across all glutamate concentrations). For each cell, $I_{UV, peak}$ was measured at the time of peak measured for 0.1 µM glutamate, i.e. ~0.9 s after the onset of UV illumination. $I_{UV, SS}$ was measured at the end of the UV illumination step. $I_{490\ nm}$ was measured at the end of the 490 nm illumination step. **(e)** Relative currents at different glutamate concentrations normalized to the current at 100 µM glutamate (saturating concentration). **(f-h)** Glycine dependence of GluN1/GluN2B photomodulation. **(f)** Current traces from an oocyte expressing GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C and labeled with MASp following application of varying concentrations of glycine together with a saturating concentration (100 µM) of glutamate and under 365 (violet bar) or 490 nm (blue-green bar) illumination. **(g)** UV potentiation was stable for 100 and 1 µM glycine but became transient for 0.1 µM glycine. Maximum UV potentiation ($I_{UV, peak}$ / $I_{490\ nm}$, white dots) increased by 20% at low glycine concentrations compared to higher 1 and 100 µM concentrations. **(h)** Relative currents at different glycine concentrations normalized to the current at 100 µM glycine (saturating concentration).

1700

Extended Data Fig. 8 (related to Fig. 4): Design strategy of the Opto2B mouse line: neutralizing the endogenous cysteine C395 is not necessary to obtain strong photomodulation in native preparations.

(a) Left, current traces from HEK cells expressing GluN1/GluN2B-R187C-C395S (GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C) and GluN1/GluN2B-R187C receptors (with endogenous cysteine 395 intact), and labeled with MASp, following application of glutamate (100 µM) under 365 nm (violet bars) or 525 nm (green bars) illumination. Cells were constantly perfused with glycine (100 µM). Right, summary of the photomodulation ratios ($I_{365\,nm} / I_{525\,nm}$) for the different GluN2B mutants expressed in HEK cells. Note that the photomodulation ratio is much lower for GluN1/GluN2B-R187C (1.75 ± 0.15, n = 7) compared to GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C (3.66 ± 0.32, n = 12). ***, $p < 0.001$; Mann Whitney test. **(b)** Left, current traces from DIV6-9 cortical neurons expressing GluN1/GluN2B-R187C-C395S (GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C) and GluN1/GluN2B-R187C receptors, and labeled with MASp, following application of NMDA (300 µM) under 365 nm (violet bars) or 525 nm (green bars) illumination. Cells were constantly perfused with D-serine (50 µM). In this particular experiment, we adopted a strategy of molecular replacement of the endogenous, WT GluN2B subunits by the mutated GluN2B subunits using the Cre-lox approach. Dissociated cortical neurons from floxed-Grin2B mice[100] were electroporated with pIRES plasmids coding for GFP and a mutated GluN2B subunit[23], and plasmids coding for the Cre recombinase (to remove endogenous

GluN2B expression) and for the fluorescent marker TdTomato (see Methods). Right,
summary of the photomodulation ratios ($I_{365\,nm}$ / $I_{525\,nm}$) of currents from the different GluN2B
mutants expressed in cultured neurons. Note that, contrary to what was observed on HEK
cells, the photomodulation ratio is similar between GluN1/GluN2B-R187C (3.04 ± 0.25,
n = 20) and GluN1/GluN2B*-R187C (3.25 ± 0.35, n = 15). n.s, $p > 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.001$;
Multiple Mann-Whitney tests, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using
Bonferroni correction. Only the pre-selected indicated comparisons were performed. All
recordings were performed at pH 7.3, -60 mV.

1728

1729

Extended Data Fig. 9 (related to Fig. 4): Similar NMDAR subunit profiles between Opto2B and WT mice.

(a) Top, superposition of NMDA-EPSCs of CA1 pyramidal neurons before and after application of MPX-004 (top) or Ro 25-6981 (bottom) from unlabeled WT (left) and MASp-labeled Opto2B mice (right) at age P25, recorded in the dark (MASp in its inactive, *trans* configuration). **(b)** Summary of NMDA-EPSC inhibition (%) by MPX-004 or Ro 25-6981 of CA1 pyramidal neurons from unlabeled WT (white) and MASp-labeled Opto2B mice (blue) at P21-P28. n.s., p > 0.05; multiple Mann-Whitney tests, p-values were adjusted using Bonferroni correction. Only the pre-selected indicated comparisons were performed. **(c)** Superposition of normalized NMDA-EPSCs of CA1 pyramidal neurons from unlabeled WT

(black) and MASp-labeled Opto2B mice (blue) at age P25. **(d)** Summary of NMDA-EPSC

decay kinetics from unlabeled WT (white) and MASp-labeled Opto2B mice (blue) at P21-P28.

n.s., $p > 0.05$; Mann Whitney test. Note the similar NMDA-EPSC decay time between WT

and Opto2B animals, suggesting that the R187C mutation does not perturb developmental

maturation of NMDA subtypes. **(e)** Superposition of normalized NMDA EPSCs of MASp-

labeled CA1 pyramidal neurons from Opto2B mice at age P12 and P46, as well as from P46

Opto2B/GluN2A KO animals, recorded under green light (MASp in its inactive configuration).

Each trace is the average of 12 individual EPSCs. **(f)** Left, decay time constants (τ_w NMDA-

EPSC) of NMDA-EPSCs of MASp-labeled CA1 pyramidal neurons from WT (grey), Opto2B

(dark blue) and Opto2B GluN2A-KO (light blue) mice at different age ranges. Note the

absence of significant difference between the NMDA-EPSC decay kinetics of from WT and

Opto2B animals at P8-P12, suggesting similar NMDAR subunit populations. NMDA-EPSC

decay kinetics from Opto2B/GluN2A KO mice remained slow at older ages, consistently with

what was previously shown for animals lacking GluN2A expression[81]. Right, zoom on the

Opto2B mouse condition for better visualization. ***, $p < 0.001$, Kruskall-Wallis test on

Opto2B condition. Note the acceleration of NMDA-EPSC decay time with age on Opto2B

mice, which is consistent with what was observed in the literature on WT animals[16]. All the

recordings on brain slices were performed at physiological pH.

1758

Extended Data Fig. 10 (related to Fig. 5):

(a) Protocol of tonic current photomodulation. Note the absence of electrical stimulation: recorded tonic currents are mediated by low levels of tonic glutamate. See Methods and Main Text for more details. **(b)** Tonic current trace of a MASp-labeled, CA1 pyramidal neuron from a P10 WT mouse before (left) and during (middle and right) application of 50 µM D-APV. Left and right traces are the average of 5 to 10 traces. Note the absence of photomodulation. **(c)** Tonic current traces of MASp-labeled, CA1 pyramidal neurons from a P10 (left) or a P37 (right) Opto2B mouse before and during application of 50 µM D-APV. Left and right traces are the average of 5 to 10 traces. Displayed arrows indicate the currents measured in the following panels and used to calculate the photomodulation ratio (see also Fig. 3). **(d)** Amplitude of APV-sensitive currents (as shown in a) of MASp-labeled, CA1 pyramidal

neurons from WT (grey), Opto2B (dark blue) and Opto2B GluN2A-KO (light blue) mice

according to age ranges. Right, zoom on data for better visibility of bar graphs. **(e)** Amplitude

of the UV-induced peak (as shown in a) of MASp-labeled, CA1 pyramidal neurons from WT

(grey), Opto2B (dark blue) and Opto2B GluN2A-KO (light blue) mice according to age

ranges. Right, zoom on data for better visibility of bar graphs. All recordings in brain slices

were performed at physiological pH.

1777