Global transcription factors analyses reveal hierarchy and synergism of regulatory networks and master virulence regulators in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Jiadai Huang[1#], Yue Sun[1#], Fang Chen[1#], Shumin Li[2], Liangliang Han[1], Jingwei Li[1], Zhe He[1], Canfeng Hua[1], Chunyan Yao[1], Tianmin Li[1], Beifang Lu[1], Yung-Fu Chang[3], Xin Deng[1,4,5*]

[1] Department of Biomedical Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, 83 Tat Chee Rd, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, China

[2] Department of Computer Science, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China

[3] Department of Population Medicine and Diagnostic Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States.

[4] Shenzhen Research Institute, City University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

[5] Lead contact

[*] To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dr. Xin Deng. Tel: +852 3442 5693; Fax: +852 3442 0549; E-mail: xindeng@cityu.edu.hk.

[#] These authors contributed equally to the paper as the first authors.

Abstract

The transcription factor (TF) regulatory network in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is

complex and involves multiple regulators that respond to various environmental

signals and physiological cues by regulating gene expression. However, the biological

functions of at least half of its 373 putative TFs remain uncharacterised. Herein,

chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) was used to investigate the

binding sites of 172 TFs in the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain. The results revealed 81,009

significant binding peaks in the genome, more than half of which were located in the

promoter regions. To further decode the diverse regulatory relationships among TFs, a

hierarchical network was assembled into three levels: top, middle, and bottom.

Thirteen ternary regulatory motifs revealed flexible relationships among TFs in small

hubs, and a comprehensive co-association atlas was established, showing the

enrichment of seven core associated clusters. Twenty-four TFs were identified as the

master regulators of virulence-related pathways. The pan-genome analysis revealed

the conservation and evolution of TFs in *P. aeruginosa* complex and other species. A

Web-based database combining existing and new data from ChIP-seq and the high-

throughput systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment was established

for searching TF-binding sites. This study provides important insights into the

pathogenic mechanisms of *P. aeruginosa* and related bacteria and is expected to

contribute to the development of effective therapies for infectious diseases caused by

this pathogen.

Keywords: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, transcription factor, ChIP-seq

Introduction

Transcription factors (TFs) are key regulators of transcription and thus play crucial roles in mediating multiple biological pathways and events in eukaryotes and prokaryotes. Based on their DNA-binding domains (DBDs), TFs can be classified into different families, such as the LysR, AraC, and LuxR families[1]. TFs can activate and repress the expression of a set of genes in response to various environmental and/or specific signal triggers[2,3]. Several approaches can be used to study TFs, including chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq)[4] and ChIP-exo[5] in *in vivo* research and DNA affinity purification sequencing (DAP-seq)[6] and high-throughput systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (HT-SELEX)[7] in *in vitro* research. ChIP-seq is a powerful *in vivo* technique that enables more accurate genome-wide mapping of TF–DNA interactions than *in vitro* methods because TFs may interact with other co-regulators in an environment-specific pattern, thereby altering binding preferences *in vivo*[8,9].

These sequencing approaches have been used to illustrate the biological functions of TFs in several bacterial species, including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Salmonella enterica*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Clostridium thermocellum*[10-15]. In addition, several databases and models have been constructed based on experimental validation or machine learning for studying TFs in bacteria, such as CollecTF, PredicTF, PRODORIC, DBTBS, and RegulomePA[16-20]. However, the functions and spatiotemporal interactions of most bacterial TFs remain to be clarified.

The gram-negative bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a major human opportunistic pathogen that grows ubiquitously. *P. aeruginosa* tends to cause infection in burn victims, patients with cystic fibrosis (CF), and individuals hospitalised for long periods[21,22]. It utilises versatile pathways to exert its virulence, such as biofilm formation, quorum sensing (QS), type III and type VI secretion systems (T3SS and T6SS, respectively), motility, siderophore production, oxidative stress resistance, and antibiotic resistance, all of which are under the control of a complicated TF regulatory network (TRN). The metabolic changes regulated by the TRN enhance the pathogen's

adaptability under different infection and survival conditions.

P. aeruginosa employs diverse virulence pathways to establish successful infection,

with QS being one of the major mechanisms involving the expression of many

virulence genes. Its regulation occurs hierarchically, comprising the interconnected

las, *rhl*, and *pqs* systems[23,24]. Through its transcriptional regulator, LasR, and in

collaboration with its corresponding autoinducer, 3-oxo-C_{12}-HSL (encoded by *lasI*),

the *las* system triggers the activation of the *rhl* and *pqs* systems[23,25]. Additionally, *P.*

aeruginosa utilizes motility systems for surface attachment and colonization,

including: (i) swarming and swimming powered by flagella, which are multicellular

and individual cell movements, respectively, and (ii) twitching powered by type 4 pili

(T4P)[26], wherein T4P promote surface attachment by twitching to pull the cell closer

to the attachment sites[27]. The motility of *P. aeruginosa* is thus the initial step in its

pathogenic process and is associated with the upregulation of virulence and the

induction of host defence. Both QS and motility pathways are precisely controlled by

the sophisticated TRN in *P. aeruginosa*. Understanding how these virulence pathways

are integrated and regulated is crucial for developing novel therapeutic strategies

against *P. aeruginosa* infections.

Despite the TRN being essential for understanding how bacterial genes are regulated

synergistically, only a limited number of binding targets of TFs have been identified

in *P. aeruginosa* over the past decades. For example, ChIP-seq and RNA sequencing

(RNA-seq) co-analysis has been used to map a *P. aeruginosa* genomic regulatory

network (PAGnet) of 20 key virulence-related TFs, while HT-SELEX has been used

to characterise the DNA-binding specificities of 182 TFs[28]. DAP-seq has been used to

reveal a regulatory network of 55 response regulators (RRs) of two-component

systems in *P. aeruginosa*, 51 of which are from the PAO1 strain[29]. However, almost

half of the TFs remain to be annotated with biological functions, and their

downstream targets and upstream regulators remain unknown. To fill this knowledge

gap, we performed ChIP-seq of 172 TFs, which are the most rest of the TFs after HT-

SELEX screening (**Table S1**) from *P. aeruginosa*, which revealed a hierarchical and

co-association regulatory network among TFs and newly identified virulence-related

regulators. We also established a Web-based database to store and search TF-binding

patterns together with ChIP-seq and HT-SELEX data, which is available to the public.

Our findings and tools will significantly contribute to future mechanistic studies on

and a comprehensive understanding of the TRN in *P. aeruginosa* and other bacterial

species.

Results

ChIP-seq of 172 uncharacterised TFs reveals a global transcriptional atlas of *P.*

aeruginosa.

In this study, 373 proteins in *P. aeruginosa* were annotated as TFs with DNA-binding

activity based on the existing annotations in the *Pseudomonas* Genome Database[30]

(Fig. 1A). To profile the whole TF–DNA binding landscape and construct a

comprehensive regulatory network of *P. aeruginosa*, ChIP-seq experiments were

performed on 172 TFs to determine the TF-binding sites (TFBSs) **(Fig. 1A)**. Libraries

were constructed using vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein tagging, and raw

sequence reads were mapped to the PAO1 genome using bowtie2 (Version 2.3.4.1)[31].

Using MACS2[32], 81,009 significant binding peaks with a cut-off p-value of 0.001

were identified. Subsequently, the R package ChIPpeakAnno[33] was used to define the

peak locations and find the nearest genes. The peak location can be divided into six

features: upstream, overlapStart, inside, overlapEnd, downstream, and includeFeature.

The percentages of binding peak locations for each TF indicated that more than half

of the TFs preferentially bind to the upstream (upstream intergenic regions) and

overlapStart (overlapped with the transcription start sites [TSSs] of genes) regions

(Fig. 1B). Apart from these regions, some TFs bind to the inside regions (coding

regions of genes). Few TFs were found to preferentially bind to the rest of the peak

location features.

To further provide insights into the functional roles of different TFs in gene regulation,

we analysed the peak distance to the TSS, which revealed several patterns **(Fig. 1C)**.

First, most of the TFs exhibited peak distributions that were concentrated in the

proximal region around the TSS. Some TFs, such as Cor_CI, showed a broader

distribution of peak distance, indicating that these TFs might also be active at

positions farther from the TSS. Furthermore, certain TFs, including RpiR and DeoR,

displayed distinct bimodal or multimodal distributions, while other TFs, such as LysR

and AraC, showed smoother and more narrowly focused distributions, suggesting

their different binding preferences. The number of peaks of each TF and its DBD

types are summarised in a treemap in **Fig. 1D**. TFs in the LysR and AraC families

accounted for almost half of all of the peaks. PA2718, classified in the MerR family,

yielded the highest number (2,480) of binding peaks, followed by the TF PA0756

(2,080 binding peaks) from the OmpR family. Furthermore, eight TFs showed no

more than 10 peaks, and the TFs PA4074 and PA4806 had only two peaks each. To

preliminarily identify the different regulatory functions of TFs via their different

binding preferences, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) functional enrichment

analysis for each TF and presented the top 10 GO terms **(Fig. 1E)**. The significantly

enriched GO terms (BH-adjusted $P < 0.05$) for all of the 172 TFs analysed by ChIP-

seq are listed in **Table S2**, and these terms suggest divergent functions of the TFs. Of

these functional categories, most were related to metabolism, such as transcription,

translation, ribosome structure, and GTPase activity, while some of the functional

categories were associated with virulence, including T4P, O antigen biosynthesis, and

biofilm formation **(Fig. 1E)**.

Hierarchical networks of TFs based on pairwise interactions.

TF-coding genes can also serve as targets for other TFs, leading to interactions

between the DNA-binding profiles of TFs. To clarify these relationships, lists of

binding targets of the TFs obtained using ChIP-seq and HT-SELEX were used to

assemble a system-level hierarchical regulatory network. After filtering by TFs that

bind to promoter regions, 947 unique pairwise patterns between TFs were identified

from a total of 13,375 promoter interactions.

We next defined a statistic index, h, based on the out-degree (O) and in-degree (I) of

interactions to measure the direction and hierarchical level of TFs [$h = (O\text{-}I)/(O+I)$]

in our established hierarchical regulatory network and thus identify hubs and

information-flow bottlenecks[34]. The h value of each TF can reflect the direction and

extent of information flow. A positive h indicates that the TF acts 'upstream', whereas

a negative value suggests that it acts 'downstream'. The higher the absolute h value,

the stronger the implication of the direction. A density plot of all of the TFs with their

h values revealed that they can be approximately divided into three groups: top (h □

[0.75, 1]), middle (h $\in$ [-0.75, 0.75]), and bottom (h $\in$ [-1, 0.75]) levels **(Fig. S2A)**.

The top-level TFs are those that tend to control many other TFs, while the bottom-

level TFs are those that are more regulated by other TFs than acting as regulators

themselves. The middle-level TFs are those that connect the top- and bottom-level

TFs. Of the 231 TFs in this hierarchy, 100, 46, and 85 TFs were defined as top-,

middle-, and bottom-level TFs, respectively. The complete hierarchical information of

all of the TFs is provided in **Table S3**. To avoid extreme cases and aid visualisation,

we summarised the hierarchical regulatory network by removing TFs for which ($O+I$)

was more than 10 **(Fig. 2A and Fig. S2B)**. The network was visualised using

Cytoscape software[35].

Additionally, we investigated the factors influencing the hierarchical level of TFs,

such as DBD types (e.g., DBD families containing more TFs). TFs from the AraC,

GntR, and TetR families tended to cluster at the top level. TFs from the MarR family

and two-DBD-type TFs (i.e., TFs with two DBDs) were mostly found in the bottom

level. Additionally, among the characterised TFs, 58% were clustered at the bottom

level, probably because these TFs directly regulated the downstream genes involved

in important phenotypes, such as AlgR[36], AmrZ[37], MvfR[38], FleQ[39], and VqsM[40].

Overall, the organised hierarchical regulatory network profiling at the three levels

showed complex pairwise interactions among TFs in *P. aeruginosa*.

Ternary regulatory motifs show flexible relationships among TFs in *P. aeruginosa*.

Apart from their global hierarchical regulatory structure, we investigated the

constituent regulatory network motifs of TFs, which revealed small connectivity

patterns associated with canonical functions[41]. Positive and negative feedback loops

are prevalent in bacterial regulatory networks, which generally involve combinations

of several genes to appropriately respond to stimuli. One of the regulatory patterns

was a single auto-regulator that can self-regulate its expression or activity. Through

analysis, nine auto-regulator TFs were identified **(Fig. 2B)**. Compared with non-auto-

regulators, auto-regulators usually tend to be repressors, which play important roles in

maintaining a steady state[42,43]. For example, the key T3SS activators PsrA and HrpL

can repress their own expression in *P. aeruginosa* and *P. savastanoi*, respectively[44-46].

In addition, the most fundamental regulatory pattern was the ternary TF motif. We

computed all possible motifs, which are listed in **Fig. 2C** and **Table S4**. The basic

triangular motifs were monodirectional regulatory structures with five different motifs.

The most frequently observed motif (6,535 occurrences) was motif_2, wherein two

different TFs co-regulate another TF. The remaining eight types of motifs, which

contained toggle switches, occurred much less frequently than the basic motifs. The

more complex the relationships among TFs, the lesser their occurrence. In particular,

motif_10, motif_12, and motif_13, characterised by two or three mutually regulating

TFs, were not found even once **(Fig. 2C)**. The high occurrence of different TFs in

diverse motifs revealed a complex and flexible regulatory network in *P. aeruginosa*.

Next, we constructed a basic motif_3 regulatory network based on potential TF–TF

interactions to detect the regulatory preferences based on DBD types **(Fig. 2D).** Basic

motif_3 was a typical hierarchical regulatory network in which TF1 regulates TF2,

which in turn regulates TF3. We found that the AraC, Fis, and GntR families tended to

harbour top-level TFs rather than middle- and bottom-level TFs, while the OmpR

family tended to harbour TFs from middle- and bottom-levels more than those from

the top level. Furthermore, the LysR and LuxR families revealed non-significant

differences among these three TF levels **(Fig. 2D)**. Taken together, our integrated

hierarchical regulatory profiling revealed a multifaceted TF–TF connection, reflecting

the regulatory preferences of TFs and DBD types in *P. aeruginos*a.

Clustering of 103 TF-binding motifs.

According to their binding sites, a total of 103 binding motifs were determined. The

TFs were clustered based on the positional weight matrix similarity of their motifs. A

circular phylogenetic tree was constructed using hierarchical clustering of the

pairwise similarity matrix **(Fig. S3 and Table S5)**. Each node in the tree represents a

TF, colour-highlighted according to its DBD family classification. The clustering

analysis revealed four distinct clusters of TFs, one each coloured light green (Cluster

1), light blue (Cluster 2), purple (Cluster 3), and pink (Cluster 4). These clusters

indicate groups of TFs with similar binding properties or regulatory functions.

Within each identified cluster, TFs tend to share conserved motif patterns, indicating

potential functional relationships. For instance, it was found that the TFs in cluster 1

were enriched in adenine (A) and thymine (T) bases. Further, cluster 4 was more

likely to have an inverted repeat (IR) sequence, such as PA0367 and PA1015. This

conservation suggests that TFs within the same cluster co-regulate similar sets of

genes. Additionally, the clustering uncovered novel associations between TFs from

different DBD families, indicating potential new regulatory interactions or

evolutionary links that warrant further investigation.

Genome-wide synergistic co-association of TFs in *P. aeruginosa*.

To further investigate the crosstalk among TFs in *P. aeruginosa*, we computed the co-

association scores based on the overlaps between the peaks of all pairs of TFs and

ChIP-seq data **(Fig. S4A and Table S6)**. Among a total of 16,175 TF pairs, we found

5,716 significant TF interactions with a co-association score of up to 0.1 based on an

elbow statistic **(Fig. S4B)**. The relationships among TFs can be further determined as

core clusters if their co-association scores are more than 0.4. The clustering analysis

of core networks using Glay clustering[47] resulted in seven associated modules, each

containing a diverse number of TFs with the DBD families highlighted in different

colours **(Fig. 3A)**.

Next, we summarised the potential functional crosstalk among the middle-scale co-

associated five TFs (PA2417, PA2718, PA0756, PmiR, and AgtR) in cluster 3. Among

a total of 1,241 target genes, 372 were co-bound by at least three TFs, and 35 were co-

bound by all five TFs, indicating that these TFs have a high level of collaboration in

regulatory patterns **(Fig. 3B)**. There were smaller intersections among different

combinations of TFs, highlighting potential co-regulation or shared binding sites.

Binding targets co-bound by more than four TFs are summarised in **Fig. 3C**. The

dense network and connectivity indicate a complex regulatory landscape, where these

TFs may orchestrate a coordinated regulation of gene expression. For example, peak

visualization of PA2504 revealed distinct binding patterns of these five TFs compared

with the control group **(Fig. 3D)**. PA2504 is the sole partner of the PppH RNA

hydrolase related to several important cellular factors[48]. In addition, four of the five

TFs (barring PA2718) can bind to the promoter region of *pqsH*, which is involved in

the *pqs* pathway **(Fig. 3E)**. Notably, PmiR has previously been verified to bind to the

pqsH promoter region and thereby regulate virulence[49]. PA2504 and *pqsH* are

highlighted in blue and green, respectively, in **Fig. 3C**.

Twenty-four master regulators are associated with virulence.

After elucidating the binding targets of 172 TFs, we investigated their potential

functions in the virulence-related pathways and pathogenesis of *P. aeruginosa*. For

this purpose, we performed an enrichment analysis using a hypergeometric test to

define the master regulators (BH-adjusted $P < 0.05$) that might play important roles in

regulating specific pathways. We primarily focused on TF-binding profiles involving

the promoter regions of genes involved in nine pathways, namely QS, motility,

biofilm production, antibiotic resistance, T6SS, T3SS, reactive oxygen species (ROS)

resistance, pyocyanin production, and siderophore production. Accordingly, 134 of

the 172 TFs were found to bind to at least one target gene associated with the

abovementioned virulent pathways, and 24 were identified as master regulators of

genes involved in six pathways, namely siderophore production, biofilm production,

pyocyanin production, QS, motility, and ROS resistance pathways **(Fig. 4A and Table**

S7).

The intersection of master regulators across these virulent pathways revealed that six

TFs (PA0167, PyrR, PA0707, PA0877, PA1504, and PA1484) only played an

important role in regulating the motility pathway, and four TFs (PA1380, PA0815,

PA5428, and PA3973) jointly regulated the motility and other pathways, including

biofilm production, pyocyanin production, and QS pathways **(Fig. 4B)**. Specifically,

PA0815 co-regulated motility, biofilm production, and QS pathways. PA1380 jointly

regulated motility and pyocyanin production pathways. PA3973 and PA5428 jointly

regulated motility and biofilm production pathways. The regulatory network of these

four master regulators and their target genes is summarised in **Fig. S5**, represented by

lines connecting the TFs to the targets involved in specific pathways.

Predicted functions of regulators characterised in two metabolomic pathways.

P. aeruginosa uses different global regulators associated with metabolism that ensure

its survival and enhance its adaptability under fluctuating environmental conditions.

For instance, it uses different regulatory mechanisms to respond to nutrient changes,

oxygen limitation, or CF-associated lung infection conditions[50-53].

To define the core TFs that regulate metabolism, we performed master regulator

analysis in metabolic pathways (*p.adjust* < 0.05) based on the TFBSs located in the

promoter regions revealed by ChIP-seq for all TFs. We identified 14 TFs potentially

involved in two pathways, namely the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and ribosome

function pathways **(Fig. S6A-B)**. The radial plot highlights the master regulators

within the TCA cycle and ribosome function pathways, and their joint regulation with

their targets is presented in the regulatory network below. PA2957 and PA5438 were

found to play putative roles in the TCA cycle by potentially binding to the promoter

of certain genes, including *icd*, *sucA*, and *sdhC*, indicating that they coordinate the

expression of genes crucial for central metabolism and energy production **(Fig. S6B)**.

Furthermore, certain TFs, such as PA0611, PA0403 (PyrR), and PA1283, were found

to be involved in regulating a broad array of ribosomal genes, such as *rpsB*, *rpsA*, and

rplL. Notably, TF PyrR was found to play a putative role in regulating motility in *P.*

aeruginosa. Overall, these regulators, which are related to the core metabolic

pathways that enhance the adaptation of *P. aeruginosa* to different infection

conditions and contribute to its pathogenicity, could offer potential drug targets for

tackling *P. aeruginosa* infection in the future.

A global summary of transcription regulatory networks and functions in *P.

aeruginosa.*

After studying the TFBSs of most of the TFs in *P. aeruginosa* both *in vivo* and *in vitro*,

we organised these datasets to yield a comprehensive connection of virulence-related

master regulators. The QS and motility pathways consisting of 18 and 20 TFs,

respectively, were the key pathways in the virulent landscape and showed a close

collaboration with the other five pathways (**Fig. 5A**). Four TFs (ExsA, CprR, AlpR,

and PA2449) were involved in T3SS, a direct host infection mechanism used by *P.*

aeruginosa. Additionally, six TFs (PA4436, PA0929, PA3771, PA2534, PA3699, and

PA5218) were involved in siderophore production, as identified using HT-SELEX,

and showed correlations with only one TF, PA2534, involved in the ROS resistance

pathway. Four TFs (CprR, PA0708, PA1520, and PA2479) were characterised in the

stringent response and persister cell pathways and were correlated with other

virulence-related pathways, namely QS, ROS resistance, T3SS, and antibiotic

resistance pathways.

To investigate the phylogenetic classification of the binding targets of the identified

TFs on a genome-wide scale, we derived a global atlas revealing the relationships

between the protein functions of target genes and the family categories of TFs (**Fig.**

5B). The Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COGs) database was used to

classify proteins based on the orthology concept[54]. Based on the annotated COGs of *P.*

aeruginosa, we found that most of the TF targets were involved in metabolism,

followed by poorly characterised categories. The *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 genome is 6.3

Mbp long, and approximately 40% of it remains uncharacterised but is believed to

encode well-conserved hypothetical proteins (HPs), which might have indispensable

and similar functions. Given that most of the TF targets are poorly characterised, it is

crucial to study these HPs in the future to provide more insights into *P. aeruginosa*

pathogenicity. Altogether, our results provide a resource of TFs and their putative

target genes in *P. aeruginosa* and, consequently, a basis for understanding the

regulatory network of virulence and orthological functions.

A Web-based database of all TFBSs in *P. aeruginosa*.

To enable a quick search of the TFBSs in *P. aeruginosa*, we developed a Web-based

database   containing   our   ChIP-seq   and   HT-SELEX   results   at

https://jiadhuang0417.shinyapps.io/PATF_Net/, which is available to the public. It has

four parts: homepage, data page, upload, and contact. The homepage briefly describes the background information and schematic workflow of ChIP-seq and HT-SELEX. The data page provides two types of data: a network plot of TFs and targets, and detailed TF–target information in a table format. The network plot illustrates the top 50 peaks for ease of visualisation. The code was generated using R, and the figures were created using BioRender (https://www.biorender.com/).

Overall, this platform provides several services, including individual TF–target network visualisation, detailed peak annotations in a table format, TF–target interaction visualisation, data download, and data upload for those who want to share their results on this online platform. The primary purpose of PATF_Net is to store, search, and mine valuable information on *P. aeruginosa* TFs for researchers investigating *P. aeruginosa* infection in the future.

Conservation and evolution of TFs in *P. aeruginosa*.

Differences between species are mainly due to differences in genes, whereas essential genes are usually well conserved, especially in subspecies. To investigate the conservation of TFs in *P. aeruginosa*, we performed a pan-genome analysis of 100 strains containing model strains and clinical strains using the Roary software[55]. A total of 21,432 genes were identified across the 100 *P. aeruginosa* strains, of which only 4,544 genes were classified as core genes (existing in more than 99% of strains), revealing that most of the genes were cloud genes (existing in less than 15% of strains) **(Fig. 6A)**. However, when focusing on the TFs among these 100 strains, almost 89% of TFs (331 of 373) were found to be well-conserved **(Fig. 6B)**, which implied that these recognised TFs from PAO1 play essential roles in biological activities in all of the *P. aeruginosa* subspecies.

The bar plot provides detailed information on the rest of the non-core TFs in PAO1, in addition to several important TFs, such as LasR and ExsA, that are lacking in some strains **(Fig. 7C)**. As is known, the *lasR* mutant of *P. aeruginosa* is associated with CF lung disease progression and is considered a marker of an early CF-adaptive

phenotype[56]. ExsA is considered an important activator of the T3SS pathway in *P.*
aeruginosa, which is characterised by an acute infection phenotype. Additionally,
VqsM might have a relatively special function in PAO1 among *P. aeruginosa*
subspecies in addition to playing an important role in the QS and antibiotic resistance
pathways. Taken together, the core- and non-core TFs were both vital and worth
studying for understanding the metabolism and virulence-related regulatory
mechanisms in *P. aeruginosa*.

To further investigate the conservation of TFs in *P. aeruginosa*, we re-analysed the
ChIP-seq data of PhoB and RpoN in the PA14 strain[57,58] and compared them with
those in the PAO1 strain. **Fig. S7A** shows genome-wide binding peaks of PhoB and
RpoN throughout the genomes of PAO1 and PA14. We found that in PA14 and PAO1,
PhoB had 725 and 63 peaks, while RpoN yielded 363 and 1,238 peaks, respectively.
Next, we used MEME[59] to compare the motifs of PhoB and RpoN in PAO1 and PA14
based on their binding sequences from ChIP-seq **(Fig. S7B)**. PhoB and RpoN shared a
well-conserved motif in the two strains even though they showed different peak
distributions in the genomes. They had different numbers of peaks, and of the 690 and
345 respective annotation targets of PhoB and RpoN in PA14, 114 and 66 targets,
respectively, were unique to PA14. The rest of the targets were homologous between
PAO1 and PA14. We next performed target annotation and observed that the genes
regulated by these two TFs were significantly (Fisher's test) overrepresented in the
two tested strains **(Fig. S7C)**. For example, RpoN has been found to play an important
role in regulating T6SS in both PAO1 and PA14[58,60]. Overall, the conservation of the
representative TFs PhoB and RpoN in PAO1 and PA14 indicates that conserved TFs
may share similar functions in different species. This knowledge is expected to guide
drug development for different infectious strains.

TFs play a pivotal role in regulating gene expression, and studying their conservation
and evolution could provide insights into how gene expression patterns are conserved
or modified across different species and help to predict gene regulatory networks in
different species. For example, the conservation of a TF across multiple species

suggests that its targets and regulatory interactions are likely to be conserved as well.

Therefore, to study the conservation and evolution of *P. aeruginosa* TFs across other

species, we conducted phylogenetic analyses of the 373 TFs of *P. aeruginosa* across

other bacteria, fungi, archaea, plants, and animals. We found that three *P. aeruginosa*

species showed the most conserved TFs, followed by *P. savastanoi*, a phytopathogen

(Fig. 6D). Compared with bacteria, the conservation values of the TFs were lower in

fungi, archaea, plants, and animals. However, several orthologues of TFs were still

noted among these organisms. For instance, PA2032 had more than 25% and

approximately 30% identity with aminoadipate aminotransferase in humans and mice,

respectively. The phylogenetic tree of PA2032 across bacteria, archaea, fungi, plants,

and animals, with PAO1 as the root revealed that the bacterial TFs (purple) indicates a

high degree of conservation within prokaryotes, suggesting a fundamental role in core

regulatory processes **(Fig. S7D)**. In contrast, eukaryotic TFs (fungi, plants, and

animals) form distinct clades with longer branch lengths, indicating significant

divergence and specialization during eukaryotic evolution. These findings suggest that

while TF is conserved across domains of life, its functional roles and regulatory

mechanisms have undergone substantial diversification in eukaryotes. Altogether, the

high-level evolutionary conservation of TFs in *P. aeruginosa* strongly suggests that

the regulatory mechanisms are common to a wide range of bacteria.

Discussion

Bacterial pathogens use many mechanisms to establish infection and cause diseases in human hosts, making them a major public health concern worldwide. These bacteria can secrete a wide range of molecular particles that recognise and bind to host cell targets, thus damaging or preventing host responses[61]. Pathogenic bacteria use strategies to adapt to environmental stressors such as nutrient limitation or antibiotic treatment[62]. The underlying mechanism of these strategies involves sensing external signals and then responding to different environmental conditions. TFs are key molecules involved in responding to host or environmental signals by precisely modulating transcription levels; hence, their pivotal roles in infection conditions cannot be overstated. Nevertheless, our comprehension of bacterial TFs remains considerably limited, even in extensively examined model organisms such as *P. aeruginosa*. To address this knowledge gap and shed more light on TFs in *P. aeruginosa*, we conducted ChIP-seq experiments aimed at elucidating the TFBSs for 172 TFs with relatively unexplored biological functions.

The basic function of TFs is to recognise specific DNA sequences (i.e., motifs) at the promoter region and then upregulate or downregulate the transcription of the target gene[63]. Apart from promoter regions, TFs have also been identified to directly regulate the transcription of coding regions in bacteria[64]. ChIP-seq is a valuable technique to obtain high-resolution DNA–protein interaction mapping on a genome-wide scale to study the potential binding targets of TFs. The technique is highly sensitive and can detect low-abundance DNA-binding events, allowing the detection of weak TF–DNA interactions. *In vitro* assays, such as DAP-seq or HT-SELEX, offer superior scalability to ChIP-seq but present their own challenges[29,65]. It is difficult to investigate the crosstalk and interactions between TFs by studying proteins individually. Thus, we devised a strategy involving TF-overexpressing plasmids. This strategy can enhance the visualisation of more subtle binding peaks, particularly when investigating co-associations and TFs lacking well-defined signals. Notably, the literature underscores the collaborative nature of TFs, which often exhibit interactions

with other auxiliary proteins at multiple promoter sites[66]. As shown in **Figures 3 and**
S3, we present a TF-binding motifs clustering tree and a TF co-association regulatory
network based on ChIP-seq data and core clusters of TFs with high co-association
scores. Overall, our above-described analysis has illustrated a regulatory atlas to study
the biological functions of *P. aeruginosa* TFs in specific contexts.

P. aeruginosa uses TFs to control biological functions, including metabolism and
virulence. Given the public health burden of *P. aeruginosa* infection, many studies
have investigated the roles of TFs in this pathogen. These studies have characterised
the regulatory mechanisms of individual TFs, such as FleQ, AlgR, LasR, VqsM, and
PvrA[40,67-70]. Additionally, a TRN comprising 690 genes and 1,020 regulatory
interactions with six biological modules and main motifs was established in 2011
using published data[71]. Furthermore, we developed a PAGnet containing 20 key
virulence-associated TFs based on ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data[72]. A previous study
used machine learning to identify modules of a group of genes related to known TFs
from published data[73]. Another study used DAP-seq to determine a regulatory
network of 55 RRs in *P. aeruginosa*[29]. To further identify and characterise unknown
TFs, we used HT-SELEX to describe the binding specificities of 182 TFs[28]. Compared
with the abovementioned studies, the present work profiled a comprehensive
regulatory network of *P. aeruginosa*, combining existing and new data with
experimental verification.

We confirmed the functions and provided potential molecular mechanisms of a
number of previously identified TFs to explain their phenotypes. PA0797 is known to
regulate the *pqs* system and pyocyanin production[74]. In the present study, it was also
found to bind to the *pqsH* promoter region and its motif was visualised. PA5428 was
found to bind to the promoter regions of *aceA* and *glcB* genes[75], which was also
demonstrated in our ChIP-seq results. PA4381 (CloR) was found to be associated with
polymyxin resistance in a previous study[76] and to be possibly related to ROS
resistance in the present study. Furthermore, PA5032 plays a putative role in biofilm
regulation and also forms an operon with PA5033, an HP associated with biofilm

formation[77].

Our study is the first to illustrate the hierarchical regulatory network and ternary

motifs of and genome-wide co-association relationships among TFs in *P. aeruginosa*,

contributing to a better understanding of the fundamental traits of pathogenicity of

this species. Traditional bacterial eradication strategies involving antibiotics often

focus on pathogen extermination, yet this approach can inadvertently foster selective

growth advantages and precipitate the emergence of drug-resistant strains. Therefore,

prioritising TF-targeted drugs could potentially alleviate selective growth pressure and

enhance future preventative measures against *P. aeruginosa* infection. The extensive

datasets generated in this study offer valuable insights into understanding and

targeting *P. aeruginosa* pathogenicity. The genome-wide binding profiles can be

systematically analyzed through our hierarchical regulatory network framework to

decode complex virulence mechanisms. The virulence-related master regulators and

core regulatory clusters identified in this study highlighted key nodes of

transcriptional control. Understanding these regulatory relationships is particularly

valuable for identifying targets whose modulation would significantly impact

virulence while accounting for potential compensatory mechanisms. This knowledge

base thus provides a foundation for developing targeted approaches to combat *P.*

aeruginosa infections, moving beyond traditional antibiotic strategies toward more

sophisticated interventions based on regulatory network manipulation.

Methods

Strains, plasmids, and primers

The bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers used in the present study are listed in

Table S8. The *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain, and its derivatives were grown at 37 °C in

LB (Luria-Bertani) broth with shaking at 220 rpm or on LB agar plates. Antibiotics

were used for *Escherichia coli* at the following concentrations: kanamycin at 50 μg/

ml and carbenicillin at 60 μg/ml.

ChIP-seq and analysis

The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) procedures were modified from a

previous study[78]. Briefly, for the VSVG-tagged, the ORF was amplified by PCR from

the PAO1 genome and cloned into pAK1900 plasmid by HindIII/BamHI for the

overexpressed TFs through HindIII site by using ClonEx- press MultiS One Step

Cloning Kit (Vazyme, China). Wild-type *P. aeruginosa* containing empty pAK1900 or

pAK1900-TF-VSV-G was cultured in LB medium supplemented at 37°C with

shaking until mid-log phase (OD600 = 0.6), then we cross-linked the samples with

1% formaldehyde for 10 min. Subsequently, cross-linking was stopped by the addition

of 125 mM glycine. Samples were centrifuged and washed thrice with a Tris buffer

(20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl). The resulting pellets were resuspended in

500 µl IP buffer (50 mM HEPES–KOH [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 %

Triton X- 100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and mini-protease inhibitor

cocktail (Roche), and then the DNA was broken into pieces (100 to 300 bp) with an

ultrasonic processor. Insoluble cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 4 °C,

and the supernatant was used as the input sample in IP experiments. We next added 25

µl agarose-conjugated anti-VSV antibodies (Sigma) in the IP buffer. Washing, reverse

cross-linked, and purification of the ChIP DNA were conducted. We used the

NEXTflex ChIP-Seq kit (Bio Scientific) to construct the DNA fragment library, and

agarose gel was used to cut DNA fragments between 150 and 250 bp. After

sequencing, the raw reads were trimmed by Trim Galore[79] with default parameters.

Then, the filtered reads were aligned to the PAO1 genome (NC_002516) using

bowtie2. Only the unique reads after alignment will be used to perform peakcalling

with MACS2 ($P < 0.001$). MEME-ChIP was used to identify consensus motifs with

all peaks. TF target genes were then annotated by the R package ChIPpeakAnno.

Identification of virulence-related master regulators

We first generated gene lists associated with nine pathways[80]: QS, motility, biofilm

production, antibiotic resistance, T6SS, T3SS, ROS resistance, pyocyanin, and

siderophores. Then, we calculated the number of overlap genes between target genes

of TF and genes involved in the above eight pathways. The statistical significance of

the master regulator was identified using the Hypergeometric test (BH-adjusted

$P < 0.05$). R package ggplot2[81] was used to visualize the result.

GO analysis

GO enrichment analysis of TFs target genes was identified using R package

clusterProfile[82]. The enriched GO terms with BH-adjusted $P < 0.05$ were defined as

significantly enriched.

Pan-genome analysis

The information on the model and clinical *P. aeruginosa* strains[83,84] can be found in

Table S9. Briefly, the genome sequence of all strains were annotated by prokka[85] and

the outputs were then used as input files for roary.

Statistical analysis

Student's t-test was performed to analyze the RT-qPCR and lux production results

using Microsoft Office Excel 2019. $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, and $^{***}P < 0.001$,

and results represent means $\pm$ SD. All experiments were repeated at least twice.

Data availability

The ChIP-seq data was uploaded to National Center for Biotechnology Information

Gene Expression Omnibus database under accession GSE241603 and GSE271817.

Analysis codes have been deposited at https://github.com/dengxinb2315/PS-PATRnet-

code.

Acknowledgment

This study was funded by the Health and Medical Research Fund (20190942),

Shenzhen Science and Technology Fund (JCYJ20210324134000002), National

Natural Science Foundation of China grants (32172358), the General Research Funds

of Hong Kong (11103221, 11101722, and 11102223), and the Theme-based Research

Scheme (T11-104/22-R). The funders had no role in study design, data collection,

interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Contributions

558   X.D. designed the project and obtained funding, material support and study

559   supervision. X.D., J.H. wrote the manuscript and generated the figures and tables. J.H.

560   performed bioinformatic analysis. J.H., Y.S., F.C., and J.L performed the experiments.

561   S.L. helped to write the code. Y.S. and L.H. helped to edit the manuscript. All authors

562   reviewed the manuscript.

Declare of interest

564   The authors declare no competing interests.

 Figure legend

 Figure 1. Overview of ChIP-seq results. (**A**). Density of all TFs (green) and ChIPed

 TFs (orange) in this study throughout the *P. aeruginosa* genome. (**B**). Annotation

 heatmap of all peak distribution with 6 locations: Upstream, where the peak is located

 entirely upstream of the gene; Downstream, where the peak is positioned completely

 downstream of the gene; Inside, where the peak is entirely contained within the gene

 body; OverlapStart, where the peak overlaps with the 5' end of the gene; OverlapEnd,

 where the peak overlaps with the 3' end of the gene; and IncludeFeature, where the

 peak completely encompasses the gene. (**C**). Peak distance to the translational start

 site (TSS) of each DBD family. (**D**). Treemap of the 172 TFs peak numbers based on

 DBD family. Each box's size represents the family's size (number of peaks), and the

 explained variance of each DBD type means the color shades of each box. DBD

 families of ChIPed TFs are classified into 20 different categories: LuxR, LysR, Two

 DBDs (Two DNA binding domains), AraC, TetR, ArsR, CRP, OmpR, GntR, MarR,

 AsnC, Cro/CI, TyrR, Rrf2, MerR, IclR, Fis, RpiR, DeoR, and undetectable. (**E**). The

 dot plot shows the top 10 gene ontology (GO) terms from the PseudoCAP annotation

 of ChIPed TFs. The size of the dots indicates the significance of each functional

 category, quantified by $-\log 10$ (p. adjust).

 Figure 2. Hierarchical networks and network motifs. (**A**). Overview of hierarchy

 regulatory network after removing TFs with degree O+I <= 10. Nodes indicate TFs,

 and the color represents the hierarchical level. The top level was highlighted in red,

 the middle in yellow, and the bottom highlighted in blue. The edges with arrows

 indicate the regulatory direction. Gray means downward-pointing, and red means

 upward-pointing. (**B**). The auto-regulator motif of 9 TFs. (**C**). Three transcription-

 factor motifs occurrences with five basic triangular motifs and eight toggle switch

 motifs. The circle presents TF, and the arrow indicates the regulatory direction**. (D).**

 Alluvial diagram reveals basic triangle motif 3 (n = 1956) depending on DBD types.

 The color of splines is highlighted in different DBD families, and the name of DBD

 families are labeled.

Figure 3. Core co-association regulatory networks. (A). Core clusters of significant co-binding patterns of TFs. Each TF is highlighted in different colors based on DBD types. The co-association score by pair of TFs was calculated by Jaccard statistics, which measures the ratio of the number of base pairs in overlapped binding peaks on both TFs to the number of base pairs in their union. **(B).** The histogram's overlapped target genes of TFs in cluster 3 represent the number of target peaks in the individual/overlapped set. **(C).** The network of co-regulation of TFs in cluster 3 with co-bound targets of more than 4. **(D-E).** Genome browser view of TFs in cluster 3 binding intensities at the PA2504 and *pqsH* locus.

Figure 4. Newly identified virulence-related master regulators. (A). Overview of all identified master regulators related to 6 pathways, including QS, motility, biofilm, siderophore, pyocyanin, and ROS. Each circle represents one TF, with the height indicating the significance, quantified by -log10 (p.adjust), and the size indicates the number of targets associated with the virulence pathway. **(B).** Intersection of master regulators in 6 virulence pathways. The bar chart on the top shows the number of intersections, while the matrix below indicates which TFs are involved in specific biological processes such as siderophore production, pyocyanin production, biofilm formation, ROS response, QS, and motility.

Figure 5. Overview of transcriptional network of TFs in *P. aeruginosa*. (A). The interaction network of virulence-related master regulators in *P. aeruginosa*. The ten virulence pathways are highlighted in different colors. The size of the circle represents the degree, and the width of the edges indicates the overlapped number of TFs between two pathways. **(B).** The target annotated using the COG database shows four orthology classification profiling from different DBD types TFs. The size of rectangle indicates the number of targets.

Figure 6. Conservation and variability of TFs in PAO1. (A). The pie chart shows the proportions of genes categorized by their presence across *P. aeruginosa* strains for all genes. **(B).** The pie chart shows the distribution of TFs identified from PAO1

across different conservation categories. **(C).** The bar plot of the proportion for non-

core TFs. Genes are categorized based on their presence frequency across P.

aeruginosa strains: Core genes (present in 99% ~ 100% strains), Soft core genes

(present in 95% ~ 99% strains), Shell genes (present in 15% ~ 95% strains), and

Cloud genes (present in 0% ~ 15% strains). **(D).** The conservation and evolutionary

relationship of all 373 TFs in PAO1 among bacteria, archaebacteria, fungi, plants, and

animals. The conservation value was normalized after blastp alignment[86]. The

phylogenetic trees were constructed using MEGA11[87] and plotted via R package

ggtree[88].

Supplementary information

Fig. S1. RNA-seq for all TFs and ChIPed TFs. Previous RNA-seq data shows the

expression level of all TFs and ChIPed TFs. The circle size indicates the log2-

transformation raw counts, and the color highlighted for ChIPed TFs represented

different DBD families.

Fig. S2. Distribution of Hierarchy Height h. $h = (O-I)/(O+I)$, O presents the extent

they regulate other TFs, and I indicates the level other TFs regulate them. **(A).** TFs

can be divided into almost equal three levels depending on index h: Bottom level (-1.0

< h < 0.75), Middle level (-0.75 < h < 0.75), and Top level (0.75 < h < 1.0). **(B).** The

number of TFs resided in three levels after removing TFs with $O+I <10$.

Fig. S3 Circular phylogenetic tree of TF binding motifs. Circular phylogenetic tree

representing the clustering of TFs based on their motif similarity. The tree was

constructed using hierarchical clustering with the Ward.D2 method applied to the

pairwise similarity matrix of motifs. The tree were visualized using ggtree[89]. Each

node represents a TF, color-coded by its DBD family classification as indicated in the

legend on the right. The motif logos are aligned with the respective TFs, with their

orientations adjusted to maintain legibility around the circular layout.

Fig. S4. Co-association network of TFs. (A). Heatmap reveals a full co-association

pattern of all TFs. **(B).** The density of co-association score for all TF pairs. Determining co-association score as 0.1 (dashed in red) of significant TF co-associations based on an elbow statistic.

Fig. S5. Co-regulation of virulence-related master regulators. Graph diagram of interactions involving target genes of 4 TFs, including PA1380, PA0815, PA5428, and PA3973.

Fig. S6. Regulators involved in TCA and Ribosome pathways. (A). Radar plots show the putative regulator in TCA and ribosome pathways. **(B).** Graph diagram of interactions involving target genes of 14 TFs, including PA0611, PA5218, PA5431, PA1759, PA0403 (PyrR), PA 1283, PA4381, PA5255 (AlgQ), PA4784, PA0475, PA0893, PA0448, PA2957 and PA5438.

Fig. S7. Conserved TFs in *P. aeruginosa*. (A). The coverage of PhoB and RpoN peak regions over PAO1 and PA14 chromosomes. Each line shows the location and log2 Fold Enrichment of peaks signal in the chromosome. **(B).** The binding motifs of PhoB and RpoN were analyzed via MEME-ChIP. All peaks were used to define the binding motif. **(C).** Comparison of overlapped targets enriched in PAO1 and PA14 of PhoB and RpoN. The Fisher test made the significance of the overlap ratio. **(D).** Phylogenetic tree of PA2032 across different domains of life. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using PA2032 sequence from PAO1 as the root.

Table S1. List of 172 ChIPed TFs.

Table S2. Enriched GO terms of 172 ChIPed TFs.

Table S3. Hierarchical regulatory network.

Table S4. Ternary regulatory motifs.

Table S5. PWM pairwise similarity scores.

Table S6. Co-association network.

Table S7. Virulence-related master regulators.

Table S8. Strains and primers used in this study.

Table S9. List of strains for pan-genome analysis.

Reference

1   El-Gebali, S. *et al.* The Pfam protein families database in 2019. Nucleic Acids
Res 2019, 47, D427-D432.
2   Salgado, H., Martinez-Antonio, A. & Janga, S. C. Conservation of
transcriptional sensing systems in prokaryotes: a perspective from *Escherichia*
coli. FEBS Lett 2007, 581, 3499-3506.
3   Seshasayee, A. S., Sivaraman, K. & Luscombe, N. M. An overview of
prokaryotic transcription factors : a summary of function and occurrence in
bacterial genomes. Subcell Biochem 2011, 52, 7-23.
4   Johnson, D. S., Mortazavi, A., Myers, R. M. & Wold, B. Genome-wide
mapping of in vivo protein-DNA interactions. Science 2007, 316, 1497-1502.
5   Rhee, H. S. & Pugh, B. F. Comprehensive Genome-wide Protein-DNA
Interactions Detected at Single-Nucleotide Resolution. Cell 2011, 147, 1408-
1419.
6   Bartlett, A. *et al.* Mapping genome-wide transcription-factor binding sites
using DAP-seq. Nature protocols 2017, 12, 1659-1672.
7   Jolma, A. *et al.* DNA-Binding Specificities of Human Transcription Factors.
Cell 2013, 152, 327-339.
8   Furey, T. S. ChIP-seq and beyond: new and improved methodologies to detect
and characterize protein-DNA interactions. Nature Reviews Genetics 2012, 13,
840-852.
9   Fu, L. Y. *et al.* ChIP-Hub provides an integrative platform for exploring plant
regulome. Nat Commun 2022, 13.
10   Minch, K. J. *et al.* The DNA-binding network of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.
Nat Commun 2015, 6, 5829.
11   Ayala, J. C., Silva, A. J. & Benitez, J. A. H-NS: an overarching regulator of the
Vibrio cholerae life cycle. Res Microbiol 2017, 168, 16-25.
12   Garcia-Pastor, L., Sanchez-Romero, M. A., Jakomin, M., Puerta-Fernandez, E.
& Casadesus, J. Regulation of bistability in the std fimbrial operon of
Salmonella enterica by DNA adenine methylation and transcription factors
HdfR, StdE and StdF. Nucleic Acids Res 2019, 47, 7929-7941.
13   Seo, S. W. *et al.* Deciphering Fur transcriptional regulatory network highlights
its complex role beyond iron metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. Nat Commun
2014, 5, 4910.
14   Ishihama, A., Shimada, T. & Yamazaki, Y. Transcription profile of *Escherichia*
coli: genomic SELEX search for regulatory targets of transcription factors.
Nucleic Acids Res 2016, 44, 2058-2074.
15   Hebdon, S. D., Gerritsen, A. T., Chen, Y. P., Marcano, J. G. & Chou, K. J.
Genome-Wide Transcription Factor DNA Binding Sites and Gene Regulatory

Networks in *Clostridium thermocellum*. Front Microbiol 2021, 12, 695517.

16 Kilic, S., White, E. R., Sagitova, D. M., Cornish, J. P. & Erill, I. CollecTF: a
database of experimentally validated transcription factor-binding sites in
Bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res 2014, 42, D156-160.

17 Oliveira Monteiro, L. M. *et al.* PredicTF: prediction of bacterial transcription
factors in complex microbial communities using deep learning. Environ
Microbiome 2022, 17, 7.

18 Dudek, C. A. & Jahn, D. PRODORIC: state-of-the-art database of prokaryotic
gene regulation. Nucleic Acids Res 2022, 50, D295-D302.

19 Makita, Y., Nakao, M., Ogasawara, N. & Nakai, K. DBTBS: database of
transcriptional regulation in *Bacillus subtilis* and its contribution to
comparative genomics. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32, D75-77.

20 Galan-Vasquez, E., Luna-Olivera, B. C., Ramirez-Ibanez, M. & Martinez-
Antonio, A. RegulomePA: a database of transcriptional regulatory interactions
in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. Database-Oxford 2020.

21 Bodey, G. P., Bolivar, R., Fainstein, V. & Jadeja, L. Infections caused by
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Rev Infect Dis 1983, 5, 279-313.

22 Stover, C. K. *et al.* Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
PAO1, an opportunistic pathogen. Nature 2000, 406, 959-964.

23 Lee, J. & Zhang, L. H. The hierarchy quorum sensing network in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Protein Cell 2015, 6, 26-41.

24 Girard, G. & Bloemberg, G. V. Central role of quorum sensing in regulating the
production of pathogenicity factors in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Future
Microbiol 2008, 3, 97-106.

25 Schuster, M. & Greenberg, E. P. Early activation of quorum sensing in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa reveals the architecture of a complex regulon. Bmc
Genomics 2007, 8.

26 Kazmierczak, B. I., Schniederberend, M. & Jain, R. Cross-regulation of
Pseudomonas motility systems: the intimate relationship between flagella, pili
and virulence. Curr Opin Microbiol 2015, 28, 78-82.

27 Kearns, D. B. A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. Nature reviews.
Microbiology 2010, 8, 634-644.

28 Wang, T. T. *et al.* An atlas of the binding specificities of transcription factors
in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* directs prediction of novel regulators in virulence.
eLife 2021, 10.

29 Trouillon, J. *et al.* Determination of the two-component systems regulatory
network reveals core and accessory regulations across *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa lineages. Nucleic Acids Res 2021, 49, 11476-11490.

30 Winsor, G. L. *et al.* Enhanced annotations and features for comparing
thousands of *Pseudomonas* genomes in the *Pseudomonas* genome database.
Nucleic Acids Res 2016, 44, D646-653.

31 Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-
efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome
biology 2009, 10, R25.

32   Zhang, Y. *et al.* Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome biology
2008, 9, R137.
33   Zhu, L. J. *et al.* ChIPpeakAnno: a Bioconductor package to annotate ChIP-seq
and ChIP-chip data. BMC Bioinformatics 2010, 11, 237.
34   Gerstein, M. B. *et al.* Architecture of the human regulatory network derived
from ENCODE data. Nature 2012, 489, 91-100.
35   Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
biomolecular interaction networks. Genome research 2003, 13, 2498-2504.
36   Lizewski, S. E., Lundberg, D. S. & Schurr, M. J. The transcriptional regulator
AlgR is essential for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis. Infection and
immunity 2002, 70, 6083-6093.
37   Jones, C. J., Ryder, C. R., Mann, E. E. & Wozniak, D. J. AmrZ modulates
Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm architecture by directly repressing
transcription of the psl operon. Journal of bacteriology 2013, 195, 1637-1644.
38   Déziel, E. *et al.* The contribution of MvfR to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
pathogenesis and quorum sensing circuitry regulation: multiple quorum
sensing□regulated genes are modulated without affecting *lasRI*, *rhlRI* or the
production of N□acyl□L□homoserine lactones. Molecular microbiology
2005, 55, 998-1014.
39   Hickman, J. W. & Harwood, C. S. Identification of FleQ from *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa as ac□di□GMP□responsive transcription factor. Molecular
microbiology 2008, 69, 376-389.
40   Liang, H., Deng, X., Li, X., Ye, Y. & Wu, M. Molecular mechanisms of master
regulator VqsM mediating quorum-sensing and antibiotic resistance in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nucleic acids research 2014, 42, 10307-10320.
41   Cheng, C. *et al.* Construction and analysis of an integrated regulatory network
derived from high-throughput sequencing data. PLoS Comput Biol 2011, 7,
e1002190.
42   Alon, U. Network motifs: theory and experimental approaches. Nature reviews.
Genetics 2007, 8, 450-461.
43   Burda, Z., Krzywicki, A., Martin, O. C. & Zagorski, M. Motifs emerge from
function in model gene regulatory networks. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences 2011, 108, 17263-17268.
44   Huang, J., Yao, C., Sun, Y., Ji, Q. & Deng, X. Virulence-related regulatory
network of *Pseudomonas syringae*. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 2022, 20,
6259-6270.
45   Shen, D. K., Filopon, D., Kuhn, L., Polack, B. & Toussaint, B. PsrA is a
positive transcriptional regulator of the type III secretion system in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Infection and immunity 2006, 74, 1121-1129.
46   Kojic, M., Jovcic, B., Vindigni, A., Odreman, F. & Venturi, V. Novel target
genes of PsrA transcriptional regulator of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. FEMS
microbiology letters 2005, 246, 175-181.
47   Su, G., Kuchinsky, A., Morris, J. H., States, D. J. & Meng, F. GLay:
community structure analysis of biological networks. Bioinformatics 2010, 26,

3135-3137.

48   Drabinska, J., Steczkiewicz, K., Kujawa, M. & Kraszewska, E. Searching for
biological function of the mysterious PA2504 protein from *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2021, 22, 9833.

49   Cui, G. *et al.* PmiR senses 2-methylisocitrate levels to regulate bacterial
virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Science Advances 2022, 8, eadd4220.

50   Moreno, R. & Rojo, F. The importance of understanding the regulation of
bacterial metabolism. Environ Microbiol 2023, 25, 54-58.

51   Dolan, S. K. *et al.* Contextual Flexibility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Central
Carbon Metabolism during Growth in Single Carbon Sources. Mbio 2020, 11.

52   Oberhardt, M. A., Goldberg, J. B., Hogardt, M. & Papin, J. A. Metabolic
Network Analysis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during Chronic Cystic Fibrosis
Lung Infection. J Bacteriol 2010, 192, 5534-5548.

53   Korgaonkar, A. K. & Whiteley, M. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Enhances
Production of an Antimicrobial in Response to N-Acetylglucosamine and
Peptidoglycan. J Bacteriol 2011, 193, 909-917.

54   Tatusov, R. L., Galperin, M. Y., Natale, D. A. & Koonin, E. V. The COG
database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution.
Nucleic Acids Res 2000, 28, 33-36.

55   Page, A. J. *et al.* Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis.
Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 2015, 31, 3691-3693.

56   Hoffman, L. R. *et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasR* mutants are associated
with cystic fibrosis lung disease progression. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2009,
8, 66-70.

57   Bielecki, P. *et al.* Cross talk between the response regulators PhoB and TctD
allows for the integration of diverse environmental signals in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. Nucleic Acids Research 2015, 43, 6413-6425.

58   Allsopp, L. P., Collins, A. C. Z., Hawkins, E., Wood, T. E. & Filloux, A.
RpoN/Sfa2-dependent activation of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* H2-T6SS
and its cognate arsenal of antibacterial toxins. Nucleic Acids Res 2022, 50,
227-243.

59   Bailey, T. L. *et al.* MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching.
Nucleic Acids Res 2009, 37, W202-208.

60   Shao, X. *et al.* RpoN-Dependent Direct Regulation of Quorum Sensing and
the Type VI Secretion System in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. J Bacteriol
2018, 200.

61   Wilson, J. W. *et al.* Mechanisms of bacterial pathogenicity. Postgrad Med J
2002, 78, 216-224.

62   Blair, J. M., Webber, M. A., Baylay, A. J., Ogbolu, D. O. & Piddock, L. J.
Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Nature reviews. Microbiology
2015, 13, 42-51.

63   Perez-Rueda, E. *et al.* Abundance, diversity and domain architecture
variability in prokaryotic DNA-binding transcription factors. PLoS One 2018,
13, e0195332.

64   Hua, C. *et al.* Bacterial Transcription Factors Bind to Coding Regions and
Regulate Internal Cryptic Promoters. mBio 2022, 13, e0164322.

65   Baumgart, L. A. *et al.* Persistence and plasticity in bacterial gene regulation.
Nature methods 2021, 18, 1499-1505.

66   Browning, D. F., Butala, M. & Busby, S. J. W. Bacterial Transcription Factors:
Regulation by Pick "N" Mix. J Mol Biol 2019, 431, 4067-4077.

67   Arora, S. K., Ritchings, B. W., Almira, E. C., Lory, S. & Ramphal, R. A
transcriptional activator, FleQ, regulates mucin adhesion and flagellar gene
expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a cascade manner. J Bacteriol 1997,
179, 5574-5581.

68   Kong, W. *et al.* ChIP-seq reveals the global regulator AlgR mediating cyclic
di-GMP synthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Nucleic Acids Res 2015, 43,
8268-8282.

69   Gilbert, K. B., Kim, T. H., Gupta, R., Greenberg, E. P. & Schuster, M. Global
position analysis of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum‐sensing
transcription factor LasR. Mol Microbiol 2009, 73, 1072-1085.

70   Pan, X. *et al.* PvrA is a novel regulator that contributes to *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa pathogenesis by controlling bacterial utilization of long chain fatty
acids. Nucleic Acids Res 2020, 48, 5967-5985.

71   Galan-Vasquez, E., Luna, B. & Martinez-Antonio, A. The Regulatory Network
of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microb Inform Exp 2011, 1, 3.

72   Huang, H. *et al.* An integrated genomic regulatory network of virulence-
related transcriptional factors in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Nat Commun 2019,
10.

73   Rajput, A. *et al.* Machine learning from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
transcriptomes identifies independently modulated sets of genes associated
with known transcriptional regulators. Nucleic Acids Res 2022, 50, 3658-3672.

74   Cui, G. Y. *et al.* PmiR senses 2-methylisocitrate levels to regulate bacterial
virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Sci Adv 2022, 8.

75   Hwang, W. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of signature genetic
alterations among *pseudomonas aeruginosa* cystic fibrosis isolates. PLoS
Pathogens 2021, 17, e1009681.

76   Gutu, A. D. *et al.* Polymyxin resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa phoQ*
mutants is dependent on additional two-component regulatory systems.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013, 57, 2204-2215.

77   Zhang, L. *et al.* Identification of genes involved in Pseudomonas aeruginosa
biofilm-specific resistance to antibiotics. PLoS One 2013, 8, e61625.

78   Blasco, B. *et al.* Virulence Regulator EspR of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Is a
Nucleoid-Associated Protein. Plos Pathog 2012, 8.

79   Felix Krueger, F. J., Phil Ewels, Ebrahim Afyounian, Michael Weinstein,
Benjamin Schuster-Boeckler, Gert Hulselmans, & sclamons.
FelixKrueger/TrimGalore: v0.6.10 - add default decompression path (0.6.10).
2023.

80   Shao, X. *et al.* The transcriptional regulators of virulence for *Pseudomonas*

893   *aeruginosa*: Therapeutic opportunity and preventive potential of its clinical
894   infections. Genes Dis 2023, 10, 2049-2063.

895   81   Wickham, H. & Wickham, H. Data transformation. In: *ggplot2*: Elegant
896   graphics for data analysis. 2016, 203-220.

897   82   Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y. & He, Q.-Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for
898   comparing biological themes among gene clusters. Omics: a journal of
899   integrative biology 2012, 16, 284-287.

900   83   Hu, Y. Y., Wang, Q., Sun, Q. L., Chen, G. X. & Zhang, R. A novel plasmid
901   carrying carbapenem-resistant gene blaKPC-2 in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
902   Infect Drug Resist 2019, 12, 1285-1288.

903   84   Hu, Y. *et al.* Emergence and expansion of a carbapenem-resistant
904   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clone are associated with plasmid-borne bla KPC-2
905   and virulence-related genes. Msystems 2021, 6, 10.1128/msystems. 00154-
906   00121.

907   85   Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics
908   (Oxford, England) 2014, 30, 2068-2069.

909   86   Coordinators, N. R. Database resources of the National Center for
910   Biotechnology Information. Nucleic Acids Res 2013, 41, D8-D20.

911   87   Tamura, K., Stecher, G. & Kumar, S. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary
912   Genetics Analysis Version 11. Mol Biol Evol 2021, 38, 3022-3027.

913   88   Yu, G., Smith, D. K., Zhu, H., Guan, Y. & Lam, T. T. Y. ggtree: an R package
914   for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and
915   other associated data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 2017, 8, 28-36.

916   89   Yu, G. Using ggtree to Visualize Data on Tree-Like Structures. Curr Protoc
917   Bioinformatics 2020, 69, e96.

A

B

Auto-Regulators

Top ●
Middle ●
Bottom ●

→ Downward
→ Upward

C

Basic Triangular Motifs

M1 n=5530
M2 n=6535
M3 n=1956
M4 n=4
M5 n=220

Toggle Switch Motifs

M6 n=41
M7 n=91
M8 n=10
M9 n=2
M10 n=0
M11 n=10
M12 n=0
M13 n=0

D

Basic Motif_3
(n =1956)

AraC
AsnC
Cro/CI
Fis
GntR
LuxR
LysR
OmpR
TetR
Two DBDs

AraC
Arc-like
Fis
GntR
LuxR
LysR
OmpR
Sigma factor 54
TetR

AraC
Arc-like
Cro/CI
DeoR
Fis
LcrR
LuxR
LysR
LytTr
MarR
OmpR
TetR
Two DBDs

A

B