Evaluating Plant-Microbe Associations in Response to Environmental Stressors to Enhance

Salt Marsh Restoration

RUNNING TITLE: Salt marsh plant-microbiome restoration

Kai A. Davis[1], Mary-Margaret McKinney[2], Rachel K. Gittman[1], Ariane L. Peralta[1,*]

[1]Department of Biology, [2]Integrated Coastal Sciences, East Carolina University, Greenville, North

Carolina, USA, 3 Coastal Studies Institute, Wanchese, North Carolina, USA

*Corresponding Author: phone: 252-328-2712, email: peraltaa@ecu.edu

ABSTRACT

Microorganisms can enhance nutrient acquisition or suppress diseases from pathogens, while

plants can provide carbon resources and oxygen to root-associated microbes. However, human

activities have altered nutrient cycles and disrupted such mutualisms. Therefore, we need to

understand how to promote positive plant-microbe associations to aid in restoring coastal wetland

ecosystems where human stressors and climate change (e.g., hurricanes, sea-level rise) challenge

restoration outcomes. This study seeks to examine how salinity stressors influence plant-microbe

relationships, where we hypothesize that the presence of microbes will buffer salinity stressor

effects. We used a whole sediment inocula approach to test this hypothesis. We exposed marsh

cordgrass (*Sporobolus alterniflorus*) plugs to a replicated factorial experiment with three levels of

microbiome addition (microbial inocula, autoclaved microbial inocula, no microbe control) and

two levels of salinity (<0.5 psu, 20 psu), replicated ten times. We added microbial inocula from the

marsh site with autoclaved soilless media and exposed half the plugs to saltwater (20 psu) and half

to freshwater (<0.5 psu). Results revealed that marsh microbial inocula additions during early plant

development may ameliorate salinity stressors and could be critical for future restoration efforts.

The addition of marsh microbial inocula provided a rescue effect from salinity stress, observed in

plant height and aboveground biomass. Belowground biomass, bacterial diversity H', and bacterial

evenness J' were similar across microbial and water treatments (ANOVA, NS); however, the main

effects of water (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.100, $P<0.001$) and microbial (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.086,

$P<0.001$) treatments significantly influenced the bacterial community composition. This work

provides evidence that microbial stewardship is essential for buffering against environmental

stressors and could promote plant establishment for wetland restoration.

INTRODUCTION

The coastal regions of North Carolina (NC) possess the second largest expanse of coastline protected by various coastal habitats in the continental United States, including marshes, seagrass beds, coastal and kelp forests, as well as oyster and coral reefs (Arkema et al., 2013; Buchanan et al., 2022). Salt marshes, coastal wetlands, and other coastal ecosystems aid in ameliorating erosion and flooding, protect people and property from storm surges, and provide habitat for fisheries and many other species (Arkema et al., 2013; Buchanan et al., 2022). Despite their high ecological and economic importance, these coastal habitats are under threat due to anthropogenic activities (e.g., land development, dredging) and climate change (e.g., accelerated sea level rise, increased frequency of storms) (Valiela et al., 2018; Leonardi et al., 2018; Rudershausen et al., 2021; Buchanan et al., 2022). Unfortunately, current restoration efforts are failing to keep pace with current and future losses, highlighting the necessity for improved salt marsh restoration techniques.

The planting of marsh smooth cordgrass *Sporobolus alterniflorus* (also known as *Spartina alterniflora*) is a common approach to salt marsh restoration efforts, as the plant is a foundational species that can withstand a wide range of salinities, inundation periods, and anoxic stress (Gedan & Bertness, 2010). These foundation or habitat-forming species alter their environment, making it possible for other organisms to establish and endure within an ecosystem (Bruno, 2000). However, when commercially raised nursery plants are transferred to field restoration sites, they are exposed to abiotic stressors (e.g., salinity and wave energy) and biotic factors (e.g., species interactions) that can induce stress and hinder restoration (Billah et al., 2022). The effect of exposing *S. alterniflorus* plants to abiotic stressors prior to establishment at a restoration site is unknown. In addition, the understanding of plant-microbe associations between marsh soil microbiomes and *S. alterniflorus* and its influence on plant growth and survival is limited. Therefore, an examination of

the effect of salinity stress and microbial inoculation on marsh plant productivity would further the

understanding of ecological mechanisms and aid in restoring coastal wetland ecosystems.

In a broader context, microorganisms can enhance the nutrient acquisition of plants,

support growth, and suppress diseases from pathogens (Mariotte et al., 2018; Farrer et al., 2022). In

turn, plants can provide carbon resources and oxygen to root-associated microorganisms (Mariotte

et al., 2018). Human activities and climate change can and have altered these plant-microbe

relationships in ways that promoted or suppressed plant growth. For example, anthropogenic-

caused eutrophication and pollution have disrupted nutrient cycles and have led to losses in

microbial biodiversity (Cavicchioli et al., 2019), while sea level rise and extreme climatic events

damage plants and disrupt mutualisms (Zabin et al., 2022). Conversely, the practice of microbiome

stewardship — the intentional understanding and manipulation of microbial communities — can

lead to increased microbiome diversity, evenness, and homeostasis (Peixoto et al., 2022). Growing

evidence has also indicated that microbial communities can increase plant survivorship and

growth in high-stress environments, aiding ecological restoration efforts (McHugh & Dighton, 2004;

Mariotte et al., 2018).

With the urgent need for enhanced restoration techniques, we aim to understand how to

promote positive plant-microbe associations to aid in restoring coastal wetland ecosystems where

human stressors and climate change challenge restoration outcomes. In this investigation, we

evaluated the interactive effects of salinity stress and marsh sediment microbial inoculation on *S.*

alterniflorus productivity. Conducting a replicated factorial with two levels of salinity and three

levels of microbial inocula treatment, we measured the plant height and biomass (aboveground

and belowground) of *S. alterniflorus* plugs after a 2-month duration. Additionally, we extracted

genomic DNA from the sediment samples to characterize the root-associated microbiomes of the

laboratory-treated plugs. We hypothesized that *S. alterniflorus* plugs inoculated with restoration-

area microbial communities would have higher survivorship and growth rates than control plugs

when salt-stressed.

METHODS

Field Site Description

The field study focused on *S. alterniflorus* growth and survival across an elevation gradient. The

field site chosen for planting was a small section of sandy, eroded shoreline behind a recently

installed offshore sill at Hammocks Beach State Park on Queens Creek in Swansboro, North

Carolina. The section of beach contained roughly equal areas above and below the mean high

water (MHW) line (Figure 1).

Field Plant and Soil Sampling

Commercially grown *S. alterniflorus* plugs were obtained mid-spring 2023 from a local

nursery that routinely grows *S. alterniflorus* plugs from locally collected seeds for salt marsh

restoration projects. The number of stems in each cell in the nursery trays was counted and the

length of the longest leaf in each cell was measured to 0.1 centimeter (cm). All plants were then

trimmed to a total height of 20 cm. Nine rows of plants were installed (n=270): five above MHW

(n=142) and four below (n=128) with approximately 7 cm between plugs and 25 cm between rows

(Figure 1). The remaining plugs were relocated to the laboratory for salinity and microbial

treatments.

The location and elevation of each row were measured at time of planting using a Trimble

Virtual Reference Station (VRS; Trimble, Sunnyvale, California, USA), Real Time Kinematic (RTK),

Global Positioning System (GPS). Elevations ranged from an average of 0.45 m along the highest

row to 0.09 m along the lowest row. Row 4 was approximately along the MHW line at an elevation of

0.32 m. Surviving planted plugs in each row were counted every two to three weeks throughout the

2023 growing season, and the height of the longest leaf on each plant was recorded to the nearest

0.1 cm. Sediment samples were taken, and one plant per row was destructively harvested for

biomass measurements two, six, and 16 weeks after planting.

We sampled field soil during three dates June 12th, July 13th, and Oct. 5th. We sampled along

the transect from row 1 to row 9. At each row, we randomly sampled a plant (above and

belowground biomass) and associated soil using a 5 cm diameter PVC corer to 12 cm depth. We

stored samples on ice and then at 4 ºC overnight prior to sample processing for downstream

microbiome analyses. To separate bulk from root-associated soils, we cut aboveground plant

biomass, we shook the sediment from the roots into the plastic bag, and transferred roots and

remaining soils into sterile tube and mixed with sterile 1X phosphate buffer solution (1X PBS). After

shaking for 5 minutes, we used sterile tweezers to remove roots and transferred cleaned roots into

paper bag to dry at 60 ºC for 48-hours. We centrifuged the remaining root-associated soils, carefully

poured off PBS, and stored these rhizosphere sediments at -20 ºC until DNA extractions. After

removing the plant from the field sediment sample, we homogenized the sediment sample,

transferred a subsample to a sterile WhirlPak bag, and stored the bulk soil sample at -20 ºC until

DNA extractions.

Microbiome x Salinity Stress Experimental Design

To test our hypothesis, we conducted a factorial experiment with three levels of microbiome

addition (No Addition, Autoclaved Inocula, Added Inocula) and two levels of salinity (<0.5 psu, 20

psu), replicated ten times (n=60) (Figure 2). Marsh sediment was collected from Hammocks Beach

State Park (Swansboro, North Carolina), while the marsh plugs, *Sporobolus alterniflorus*, were

purchased from a commercial plant nursery (Garner's Landscaping, Inc., Newport, North Carolina).

To prepare the microbial treatments, we combined microbial inocula with Fafard 3B potting mix,

where 5% of the total volume was marsh sediment and 95% was autoclaved potting mix (following

the methods of Lau & Lennon, 2011). While autoclaving can influence the chemistry of soil organic

matter and the accessibility of plant nutrients, it creates a benchmark for sterilization and microbial

community establishment. Any variations observed between microbial treatments can be

attributed to the introduction of inocula containing either live or deceased soil microorganisms

(Lau & Lennon, 2011).

The combination of untreated marsh sediment and autoclaved potting mix represented the

'Added Inocula' treatment. For the 'Autoclaved Inocula' treatment, marsh sediment was autoclaved

before being combined, with the purpose of examining whether there were abiotic effects on plant

productivity. For the 'No Addition' treatment, 100% of the volume of the microbial treatment was

comprised of autoclaved potting mix. The plugs were then transferred to 4.5" square pots with their

respective treatments and allowed to acclimate to the soil conditions for four weeks to prevent

environmental shock. This was followed by weekly salt stress treatment for three weeks.

For the salinity treatment, we exposed each potted plugs to 15 minutes of 20 psu saltwater

or freshwater. Saltwater was made with Instant Ocean Sea Salt, with a general ratio of ~300 g

Instant Ocean to 14 L of tap water. This established a concentration of ~20 psu, which represented

salinity levels at Hammocks Beach State Park, where salinity ranges from 20 to 35 psu (personal

communication, park manager). Although the duration of salt exposure in our experimental setup

may be shorter compared to natural field conditions experienced by plants, it was anticipated that

the deposited salt would gradually accumulate in the soil due to evaporation, mimicking real-world

scenarios. This deliberate manipulation allowed us to specifically examine the effects of salt stress

on plant physiology, distinct from the stress induced by prolonged inundation, which typically leads

to anoxia. Thus, our experimental design ensured a focused investigation into salt stress dynamics

without confounding factors associated with prolonged inundation. After eight weeks, the plants

were harvested and processed for plant biomass and microbial sequencing.

Plant and Soil Sample Processing

We measured maximum plant height and number of live stems biweekly. At the end of the

experiment, after two months, we harvested aboveground biomass and roots, dried the plant

biomass samples at 60 °C for 48 hours, and weighed aboveground and root biomass. For soil

bacterial characterization and analysis, we homogenized the remaining soil, transferred soil into

sterile WhirlPak bags, and stored samples at -20 °C until DNA extraction and 16S rRNA amplicon

sequencing (described below).

DNA Extraction and Bacterial Amplicon Sequencing

To characterize the sediment microbiomes of the laboratory-treated plugs, we extracted

genomic DNA from sediments using the Qiagen DNeasy PowerSoil Kit, employing a combination of

chemical and mechanical methods to lyse the sample following the manufacturers protocol. The

isolated DNA was quantified using the Nanodrop spectrophotometer and diluted to a standardized

concentration of 10 ng μl^{-1} to ensure uniformity across all samples. This process facilitated

equimolar concentrations of DNA for downstream applications. Triplicate Polymerase Chain

Reactions (PCR) were subsequently conducted on all extracted samples, serving as an efficient

downstream technique for the amplified DNA. To characterize bacterial communities, we used

barcoded primers (515FB/806R) initially developed by the Earth Microbiome Project (Caporaso et

al. 2012) to target the V4 region of the bacterial 16S subunit of the ribosomal RNA gene (Caporaso

et al., 2012; Apprill et al., 2015; Parada et al., 2016). For each sample, three 50 µL PCR libraries

were prepared by combining 35.75 µL molecular grade water, 5 µL Amplitaq Gold 360 10x buffer, 5

µL MgCl$_2$ (25 mM), 1 µL dNTPs (40mM total, 10mM each), 0.25 µL Amplitaq Gold 360 polymerase, 1

µL 515 forward barcoded primer (10 µM), 1 µL 806 reverse primer (10 µM), and 1 µL DNA template

(10 ng µL^{-1}). Thermocycler conditions for PCR reactions were as follows: initial denaturation (94 °C,

3 minutes); 30 cycles of 94 °C for 45 seconds, 50 °C for 30 seconds, 72 °C for 90 seconds; final

elongation (72 °C, 10 minutes). The triplicate 50 µL PCR libraries were combined and then cleaned

using the Axygen® AxyPrep Magnetic (MAG) Bead Purification Kit (Axygen, Union City, California,

USA). Cleaned PCR products were quantified using QuantIT dsDNA BR assay (Thermo Scientific,

Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and diluted to a concentration of 10 ng µL^{-1} before pooling libraries

in equimolar concentration of 5 ng µL^{-1}. We sequenced the pooled libraries using the Illumina

MiSeq platform using paired-end (2×300 bp) reads (Illumina Reagent Kit v2, 500 reaction kit) at the

Duke University Center for Genomic and Computational Biology Core Sequencing Facility.

Sequences were processed using the mothur (v1.42.0) (Schloss et al. 2009) MiSeq pipeline

(Kozich et al., 2013). We assembled contigs from the paired end reads, quality trimmed using a

moving average quality score (minimum quality score 35), aligned sequences to the SILVA rRNA

database (v132) (Quast et al. 2013), and removed chimeric sequences using the VSEARCH

algorithm (Rognes et al. 2016). We created operational taxonomic units (OTUs) by first splitting

sequences based on taxonomic class and then binning into OTUs based on 97% sequence

similarity. Taxonomic identity was assigned using the SILVA rRNA database (v132) (Quast et al.

2013). We used an OTU-based 3% distance threshold instead of using amplicon sequence variant

(ASV) of a single base difference, especially given our high diversity microbial communities based

on short-read (250 bp) amplicon sequences and that similar broadscale microbiome patterns are

observed using OTU- or ASV-based approaches (Glassman and Martiny 2018). In addition, the OTU-

based approach limits overestimating the number of ASVs due to PCR bias and sequencing errors

(Schloss 2021).

Statistical Analysis

We evaluated the interactive effects of inoculation and salinity on *S. alterniflorus*
aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, root-to-shoot ratio, and maximum plant height using
a series of generalized linear models with inoculation and salinity treatment as fixed effects. All
statistical analyses, calculations, and graphical manipulations were run in the R environment (R
version 4.4.1, 2024; R Studio version 2024.09.0+375, 2024) using the vegan, ade4, picante
packages, and custom functions (Dray et al., 2023; Oksanen et al., 2022). Prior to statistical
analyses, we low abundance OTUs that were <10 sequences across the data set. We also omitted
two samples where the total sequences were less than 10,000 reads, which represented issues
with the library preparation. Samples were organized by salinity treatment and microbial
treatments. Several soil bacterial diversity metrics were then computed, including Shannon
Diversity (H') and Pielou's Evenness (J'). These metrics provide insights into community
composition by assessing both species richness and species evenness. Species richness refers to
the number of different species present in a community, while species evenness examines the
distribution of individuals among those species (Morris et al., 2014). Shannon Diversity (H')
measures the uncertainty in identifying individual organisms within a species. In highly diverse and
evenly distributed systems, there is more significant uncertainty regarding the identity of unknown
individuals, whereas in less diverse systems, it is easier to predict the identity of unknown
individuals, leading to lower uncertainty (Morris et al., 2014). Pielou's evenness index (J'), also
known as the Shannon evenness index, complements measures of species richness by providing
insights into the distribution of individuals among different species. The index ranges from 0 to 1,
with 0 indicating complete unevenness (i.e., one species dominates the community) and 1
indicating perfect evenness (i.e., all species are equally abundant) (Chao & Ricotta, 2019). Pielou's

239   Index standardizes the Shannon H' to account for differences in species richness, allowing for

240   comparisons of evenness across communities with varying species numbers.

241   We examined the microbial community variation explained by inoculation and salinity

242   treatment using a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). Principal

243   Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) graphs were generated to visualize the multivariate relationships

244   between samples based on their microbial community compositions, allowing for exploration of

245   how the varying experimental factors influenced the overall microbial diversity and structure.

246   To analyze prominent indicator species within the microbial communities, we used the

package 'indicspecies'. Indicator species — selected based on their ability to reflect environmental

conditions — indicate changes in the environment and predict diversity patterns (De Cáceres et al.,

2010). The indicspecies package facilitates this analysis by examining the relationship between

species occurrence or abundance and site classification into groups representing habitat types or

disturbance states (De Cáceres et al., 2010).

RESULTS

Evidence for Marsh Microbiomes Buffering Plants from Salinity Stress

255   Saltwater stressors and autoclaved microbial addition 'Autoclaved Inocula' tended to

decrease the rate of plant growth (ANOVA, $F_{2,260}$=3.184, P=0.043, Figure 3). For 'Added Inocula'

compared to 'Autoclaved Inocula', we observed an increase in plant height over time, especially

under salt stress treatment (Figure 3). Results also revealed that for the 'Autoclaved Inocula' and

'No Addition', freshwater treatments consistently showed increased plant growth compared to

saltwater. However, in the 'Added Inocula' treatments, this trend was reversed, with the saltwater

treatment resulting in greater median plant height compared to its freshwater counterpart. This

may hint at salt marsh microbial addition providing a rescue effect from salinity stress.

A similar (but weaker) trend was observed with aboveground plant biomass measurements,

where 'Added Inocula' treatment buffered salinity stress and resulted in more growth when

compared to freshwater treatment (Figure 4a). Although not statistically significant (ANOVA, $F_{2,45}$ =

0.662, P = 0.521), the reversal trend was still exhibited; aboveground biomass tended to be higher

under 'Added Inocula' in salt compared to freshwater conditions (Figure 4). When looking at

belowground biomass (Figure 5), we observed similar root biomass across the microbial and water

treatments (ANOVA, $F_{2,45}$ = 1.458, P = 0.243) (Figure 4b), which could represent that the allocation of

the plant's carbon was more directed at aboveground biomass rather than belowground.

Salinity and Microbiome Addition Influenced Bacterial Composition More than Diversity

The experimental treatments of salinity and marsh microbial inocula additions resulted in

an average bacterial Shannon Diversity H' of 6.448 $\pm$ 0.083 and Pielou's Evenness J' of 0.850 $\pm$

0.0057. Soil bacterial diversity and evenness metrics were similar among treatments (ANOVA,

inocula: NS, water: NS). The pattern of greater medians measured in the saltwater compared to

freshwater treatments was observed for both Shannon Diversity (Figure 5a) and Pielou's Evenness

(Figure 5b) indices.

Microbial and water treatments influenced bacterial community composition (Figure 6,

Table 1). The main effects of water (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.1002, P<0.001) and microbial

(PERMANOVA, R^2=0.0863, P<0.001) treatments significantly influenced bacterial community

composition (Table 1). We observed distinct bacterial community composition associated with

water treatment, while there was more overlap in bacterial communities across microbial

treatments for salt compared to freshwater treatments (Figure 6). In support of the short-term

abiotic and biotic effects on bacterial communities and plant growth, the characterization of

sediment microbiomes at a newly planted marsh restoration site revealed that plant associations

(bulk vs. rhizosphere) and shoreline location (below and above mean high waterline) influenced

bacterial community composition over time (Figure S1).

Bacterial Indicator Operational Taxonomic Units Differed Across Treatments

To further examine bacterial associations with microbial and salinity treatments, we used

indicator species analysis to identify a subset of bacterial taxa representing each experimental

root-associated microbiome in salt and freshwater treatments (Table S1). There were 48 OTUs were

significantly associated with the freshwater treatment from phyla *Proteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*,

Plantomycetes, *Acidobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, and *Gemmatimonadetes*; and 37 OTUs were

associated with saltwater treatments from phyla *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Acidobacteria*, and

Verrucomicrobia. In freshwater treatments, prominent OTUs included orders *Myxococcales*,

Burkholderiales, and *Gp1*, family *Desulfuromonadaceae*, and genus *Dongia*, while in the saltwater

treatments, OTUs represented taxa from the genus *Opitutus*, family *Rhodospirillaceae*, and order

Myxococcales (Table S1).

For the microbial treatments, there were 30 OTUs were significantly associated with the 'No

Addition' control treatment from phyla *Proteobacteria, Planctomycetes, Bacteroidetes*,

Acidobacteria, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Actinobacteria*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, 8 OTUs were associated

with 'Autoclaved Inocula' treatment from phyla *Proteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Actinobacteria*,

and 22 OTUs were associated with the Added Inocula' treatment from phyla *Proteobacteria*,

Verrucomicrobia, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, and *WPS-1* (Table S2). The top OTUs for the 'No

Addition' treatments represented taxa from the families *Rhizomicrobium*, *Plantomycetaceae*,

Sinobacteraceae, and *Chitnophagaceae*; for 'Autoclaved Inocula' treatment indicator species

represented families *Myxococcales* and *Rhodospirillales* and orders *Myxococcales* and

Rhodospirillales; and for the 'Added Inocula' treatment, top indicator species represented genera

Geobacter, *Prosthecobacter* and family *Gp1* (Table S2).

DISCUSSION

While understanding the underpinnings of microbial ecology is still in its relative infancy

compared to more contemporary scientific disciplines, the roles of belowground microbial

communities in coastal wetland restoration have been of great interest (Birnbaum & Trevathan-

Tackett, 2023). Many empirical studies have demonstrated the reliance of plants on soil

microbiomes in tolerating environmental stresses, including salinity, inundation, drought, and

limited nutrient availability (reviewed in Farrer et al., 2022; Rodriguez et al., 2008). Furthermore, the

adaptive and evolutionary responses of plants to changing environmental conditions have been

shown to rely heavily on the context of microbial community assemblage, with plants exhibiting

increased fitness when associated with stress-adapted microbiomes (Lau & Lennon, 2012).

Presently, gaps in knowledge hinder the success of complete ecosystem restoration projects

(Duarte et al., 2015); therefore, the foundational knowledge gained from biological conservation

and species restoration studies is of utmost relevance and importance. In our investigation, the

interactive effects of microbial inocula additions and salinity treatment on *S. alterniflorus* height,

biomass, and root-associated microbiomes produced various results. We hypothesized that

inoculating *S. alterniflorus* plugs with salt marsh microbial communities would buffer salt stress

and promote plant growth and survivorship; a subset of our results supports this hypothesis.

Upon analyzing plant height data, we concluded that the addition of marsh microbes

'Added Inocula' at early plant development stages may ameliorate salinity stress (as demonstrated

by the reversal trend in median plant heights). Conversely, autoclaved microbial media and salinity

stress treatment were shown to decrease plant growth in aboveground biomass, belowground

biomass, and plant height. While intuitive, this confirms that the sterilization of belowground

community influences and the addition of nonessential or less-utilized abiotic materials can hinder

plant productivity. These findings are in accordance with a similar study, where greenhouse-raised

S. alterniflora that were exposed to saltwater (10 ppt salinity) and microbial consortium (a selection

of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria) experienced the highest rates of growth when compared

to other treatments (Bledsoe & Boopathy, 2016).

Aboveground biomass results further supported evidence that microbial inocula additions

during early plant development may be critical for establishing stress-tolerant plugs. While not

statistically significant, belowground biomass displayed a consistent pattern as well. The allocation

of carbon to aboveground biomass more than belowground is of interest as it may hint at efficient

primary productivity and carbon sequestration but less carbon storage and root establishment.

Additional studies are necessary to determine the consequence of increasing wave energy

stressors on the success of plant establishment during marsh restoration.

When analyzing patterns in bacterial diversity, average bacterial diversity H' and evenness J'

were similar across microbial and water treatments. The 'Autoclaved Inocula' treatment tended to

decrease the ranges of H' and J', while greater ranges existed in the 'Added Inocula' treatment.

Additionally, marsh microbial inocula under salinity stress tended to exhibit greater medians in H'

and J' than that of freshwater, in support of the reversal trend. Throughout contemporary literature,

it is well-accepted that increases in microbial biodiversity and evenness are associated with

increased resistance to plant pathogens, improved soil health and ecosystem functions, increased

plant growth and survivorship, and many other positive benefits (see Banerjee & van der Heijden,

2023; Birnbaum & Trevathan-Tackett, 2023; Farrer et al., 2022; Graham & Knelman, 2023; Lau &

Lennon, 2012). If the addition of marsh microbial inocula and their adapted environmental

conditions can better predict microbial community assembly, then marsh wetland restoration

techniques may have another avenue of improvement and success.

CONCLUSION

The patterns in bacterial community composition revealed support for strong

environmental filtering due to salinity exposure, even under short (15-minute) weekly pulses. The

bacterial community composition was similar among microbial treatments but revealed significant

dissimilarities in structure when under the influence of salinity treatments. The pre-treatment of

salt conditions and sediment microbes could help grasses buffer from abiotic and biotic field

stressors after marsh grass plugs are transplanted and exposed to stressful environmental

conditions. This work provides evidence that microbial stewardship is important for buffering

against environmental stressors and could promote plant establishment for wetland restoration.

Additionally, this work supports the theory of "habitat-adapted symbioses," highlighting the

facultative mutualisms between marsh plants and their symbiotic microorganisms in tolerating

high-stress environments (Rodriguez et al., 2008). With many stressors projected to intensify in the

near future (e.g., extreme climatic events, sea level rise, compounding stress from invasive species,

anthropogenic change, etc.), marshes will need to adapt to survive, and their associated

microorganisms will undergo even more rapid evolutionary changes (Zabin et al., 2022; Billah et al.,

2022; Lau & Lennon, 2012; Mueller et al., 2020). By incorporating microbial inocula into salt marsh

restoration practices, success could be better leveraged.

Within a broader scope, coastal wetlands and salt marshes are among the most productive

ecosystems on Earth and provide numerous ecological and economic services. Salt marshes

support fisheries and habitats for biodiversity, filter contaminants, sequester carbon, buffer storm

surges and protect shorelines from erosion, provide tourism, and facilitate many other functions

(Arkema et al., 2013; Buchanan et al., 2022). Therefore, preserving and restoring such ecological

habitats are vital to humans, wildlife, and the environment, emphasizing the concept of One Health

(Peixoto et al., 2022). By evaluating the interactions between *Sporobolus alterniflorus*, marsh

sediment microbiomes, and environmental stressors, this study provides insight into ecological

dynamics and may aid in salt marsh restoration efforts considering climate and anthropogenic

change.

REFERENCES

Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., & Weber, L. (2015). Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, *75*. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753

Arkema, K. K., Guannel, G., Verutes, G., Wood, S. A., Guerry, A., Ruckelshaus, M., Kareiva, P., Lacayo, M., & Silver, J. M. (2013). Coastal habitats shield people and property from sea-level rise and storms. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*(10), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1944

Banerjee, S., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2023). Soil microbiomes and one health. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *21*(1), 6–20. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00779-w

Billah, M. M., Bhuiyan, M. K. A., Islam, M. A., Das, J., & Hoque, A. R. (2022). Salt marsh restoration: An overview of techniques and success indicators. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(11), 15347–15363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-18305-5

Birnbaum, C., & Trevathan-Tackett, S. M. (2023). Aiding coastal wetland restoration via the belowground soil microbiome: An overview. *Restoration Ecology*, *31*(7), e13824. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13824

Bledsoe, R., & Boopathy, R. (2016). Bioaugmentation of microbes to restore coastal wetland plants to protect land from coastal erosion. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, *113*, 155–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.02.010

Bruno, J. F. (2000). Facilitation of Cobble Beach Plant Communities Through Habitat Modification by Spartina Alterniflora. *Ecology*, *81*(5), 1179–1192. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[1179:FOCBPC]2.0.CO;2

Buchanan, M. K., Kulp, S., & Strauss, B. (2022). Resilience of U.S. coastal wetlands to accelerating

sea level rise. *Environmental Research Communications*, *4*(6), 061001.

https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ac6eef

Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N., Owens, S. M.,

Betley, J., Fraser, L., Bauer, M., Gormley, N., Gilbert, J. A., Smith, G., & Knight, R. (2012).

Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq

platforms. *The ISME Journal*, *6*(8), 1621–1624. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.8

Cavicchioli, R., Ripple, W. J., Timmis, K. N., Azam, F., Bakken, L. R., Baylis, M., Behrenfeld, M. J.,

Boetius, A., Boyd, P. W., Classen, A. T., Crowther, T. W., Danovaro, R., Foreman, C. M.,

Huisman, J., Hutchins, D. A., Jansson, J. K., Karl, D. M., Koskella, B., Mark Welch, D. B., …

Webster, N. S. (2019). Scientists' warning to humanity: Microorganisms and climate change.

Nature Reviews Microbiology, *17*(9), Article 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0222-5

Chao, A., & Ricotta, C. (2019). Quantifying evenness and linking it to diversity, beta diversity, and

similarity. *Ecology*, *100*(12), e02852. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2852

De Cáceres, M., Legendre, P., & Moretti, M. (2010). Improving indicator species analysis by

combining groups of sites. *Oikos*, *119*(10), 1674–1684. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-

0706.2010.18334.x

Dray, S., Dufour, A.-B., Thioulouse, and J., Jombart, with contributions from T., Pavoine, S., Lobry, J.

R., Ollier, S., Borcard, D., Legendre, P., & Chessel, S. B. and A. S. B. on earlier work by D.

(2023). *ade4: Analysis of Ecological Data: Exploratory and Euclidean Methods in*

Environmental Sciences (1.7-22) [Computer software]. https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/ade4/index.html

Duarte, C. M., Borja, A., Carstensen, J., Elliott, M., Krause-Jensen, D., & Marbà, N. (2015).

Paradigms in the Recovery of Estuarine and Coastal Ecosystems. *Estuaries and Coasts*,

38(4), 1202–1212. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-013-9750-9

Farrer, E. C., Van Bael, S. A., Clay, K., & Smith, M. K. H. (2022). Plant-Microbial Symbioses in Coastal

Systems: Their Ecological Importance and Role in Coastal Restoration. *Estuaries and*

Coasts, *45*(7), 1805–1822. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-022-01052-2

Gedan, K. B., & Bertness, M. D. (2010). How will warming affect the salt marsh foundation species

Spartina patens and its ecological role? *Oecologia*, *164*(2), 479–487.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1661-x

Glassman, S. I., & Martiny, J. B. H. (2018). Broadscale Ecological Patterns Are Robust to Use of

Exact Sequence Variants versus Operational Taxonomic Units. *mSphere*, *3*(4),

10.1128/msphere.00148-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00148-18

Graham, E. B., & Knelman, J. E. (2023). Implications of Soil Microbial Community Assembly for

Ecosystem Restoration: Patterns, Process, and Potential. *Microbial Ecology*, *85*(3), 809–819.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-022-02155-w

Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K., & Schloss, P. D. (2013). Development of

a Dual-Index Sequencing Strategy and Curation Pipeline for Analyzing Amplicon Sequence

Data on the MiSeq Illumina Sequencing Platform. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*,

79(17), 5112–5120. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01043-13

Lau, J. A., & Lennon, J. T. (2011). Evolutionary ecology of plant–microbe interactions: Soil microbial

structure alters selection on plant traits. *New Phytologist*, *192*(1), 215–224.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03790.x

Lau, J. A., & Lennon, J. T. (2012). Rapid responses of soil microorganisms improve plant fitness in

novel environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(35), 14058–

14062. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1202319109

Leonardi, N., Carnacina, I., Donatelli, C., Ganju, N. K., Plater, A. J., Schuerch, M., & Temmerman, S.

(2018). Dynamic interactions between coastal storms and salt marshes: A review.

Geomorphology, *301*, 92–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.11.001

Mariotte, P., Mehrabi, Z., Bezemer, T. M., De Deyn, G. B., Kulmatiski, A., Drigo, B., Veen, G. F. (Ciska),

van der Heijden, M. G. A., & Kardol, P. (2018). Plant–Soil Feedback: Bridging Natural and

Agricultural Sciences. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *33*(2), 129–142.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.11.005

McHugh, J. M., & Dighton, J. (2004). Influence of Mycorrhizal Inoculation, Inundation Period,

Salinity, and Phosphorus Availability on the Growth of Two Salt Marsh Grasses, Spartina

alterniflora Lois. And Spartina cynosuroides (L.) Roth., in Nursery Systems. *Restoration*

Ecology, *12*(4), 533–545. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1061-2971.2004.03109.x

Morris, E. K., Caruso, T., Buscot, F., Fischer, M., Hancock, C., Maier, T. S., Meiners, T., Müller, C.,

Obermaier, E., Prati, D., Socher, S. A., Sonnemann, I., Wäschke, N., Wubet, T., Wurst, S., &

Rillig, M. C. (2014). Choosing and using diversity indices: Insights for ecological applications

from the German Biodiversity Exploratories. *Ecology and Evolution*, *4*(18), 3514–3524.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1155

Mueller, E. A., Wisnoski, N. I., Peralta, A. L., & Lennon, J. T. (2020). Microbial rescue effects: How

microbiomes can save hosts from extinction. *Functional Ecology*, *34*(10), 2055–2064.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13493

Oksanen, J., Simpson, G. L., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'Hara, R. B.,

Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., Barbour, M., Bedward, M., Bolker, B.,

Borcard, D., Carvalho, G., Chirico, M., Caceres, M. D., Durand, S., … Weedon, J. (2022).

vegan: Community Ecology Package (2.6-4) [Computer software]. https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html

Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M., & Fuhrman, J. A. (2016). Every base matters: Assessing small

subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and

global field samples. *Environmental Microbiology*, *18*(5), 1403–1414.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13023

Peixoto, R. S., Voolstra, C. R., Sweet, M., Duarte, C. M., Carvalho, S., Villela, H., Lunshof, J. E., Gram,

L., Woodhams, D. C., Walter, J., Roik, A., Hentschel, U., Thurber, R. V., Daisley, B., Ushijima,

B., Daffonchio, D., Costa, R., Keller-Costa, T., Bowman, J. S., … Berg, G. (2022). Harnessing

the microbiome to prevent global biodiversity loss. *Nature Microbiology*.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01173-1

Rodriguez, R. J., Henson, J., Van Volkenburgh, E., Hoy, M., Wright, L., Beckwith, F., Kim, Y.-O., &

Redman, R. S. (2008). Stress tolerance in plants via habitat-adapted symbiosis. *The ISME*

Journal, *2*(4), 404–416. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2007.106

Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., & Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: A versatile open source

tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, *4*, e2584. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584

Rudershausen, P. J., Lombardo, S. M., & Buckel, J. A. (2021). Linking Historical Changes in Salt-

Marsh Coverage to Lost Production of a Nektonic Bioindicator. *Marine and Coastal*

Fisheries, *13*(2), 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcf2.10147

Schloss, P. D. (2021). Amplicon Sequence Variants Artificially Split Bacterial Genomes into

Separate Clusters. *mSphere*, *6*(4), e00191-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00191-21

Valiela, I., Lloret, J., Bowyer, T., Miner, S., Remsen, D., Elmstrom, E., Cogswell, C., & Robert Thieler,

E. (2018). Transient coastal landscapes: Rising sea level threatens salt marshes. *Science of*

The Total Environment, *640–641*, 1148–1156.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.235

Zabin, C. J., Jurgens, L. J., Bible, J. M., Patten, M. V., Chang, A. L., Grosholz, E. D., & Boyer, K. E.

(2022). Increasing the resilience of ecological restoration to extreme climatic events.

Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, *20*(5), 310–318. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2471

FIGURES

Figure 1.

Figure 1. Location of field marsh sediment and plant sampling at Hammocks Beach State Park,

Swansboro, North Carolina, USA. *Sporobolus alterniflorus* transplants were planted along an

elevation gradient. Row 1 represented the highest elevation and row 9 represented the lowest

elevation. At three time points (12 June 2023, 13 July 2023, 5 October 2023), one plant sample and

associated bulk soil were collected and processed to examine bulk and rhizosphere microbiomes.

Marsh sediment from this field site was used as the microbial inocula treatment in the salinity x

microbe experiment.

Figure 2.

Figure 2. Experimental design of salinity/microbe treatments. Factorial experiment with three levels

of microbial addition (No Addition, Autoclaved Inocula, Added Inocula) and two levels of salinity

(<0.5 psu, 20 psu). Treatments were replicated ten times. Microbial inocula were combined with

potting mix and established for four weeks, followed by weekly salt stress treatment, and harvested

after eight weeks.

Figure 3.

Figure 3. Boxplots depicting plant height (in mm) on the y-axis over time on the x-axis in response to marsh soil microbes and salinity stress treatments. Color represents salinity stress (orange) and freshwater control (blue). The boxplot is a visual representation of 5 key summary statistics: the median, the 25% and 75% percentiles, and the whiskers, which represent the feasible range of the data as determined by 1.5 × the interquartile range. Symbols represent individual data points.

558 **Figure 4.**

559 **(a)**

567 **(b)**

Figure 4. Boxplots depicting aboveground (a) and belowground root (b) biomass (in g) on the y-axis

in response to microbial (No Addition, Autoclaved Inocula, Added Inocula) and salinity (<0.5 psu,

20 psu) treatments. Color represents salinity stress (orange) and freshwater control (blue). The

boxplot is a visual representation of 5 key summary statistics: the median, the 25% and 75%

percentiles, and the whiskers, which represent the feasible range of the data as determined by 1.5 ×

the interquartile range. Symbols represent individual data points.

Figure 5.

(a)

591　**(b)**

Figure 5. Boxplots for bacterial diversity representing Shannon Diversity Index (H') (a) and Pielou's

Evenness (J') (b) associated with microbial (No Addition, Autoclaved Inocula, Added Inocula) and

salinity (<0.5 psu, 20 psu) treatments. Colors indicate salinity treatment (fresh in blue, salt in

orange). Symbols represent individual data points.

Figure 6.

(a)

615   **(b)**

624   **Figure 6.** Ordination based on a principal coordinates analysis depicting bacterial community

625   composition according to salinity and microbial treatments representing average centroid across

626   treatments (a) and individual samples (b). Symbols are colored according to salinity treatment

627   (orange = saltwater, blue = freshwater) and shaped by microbial treatment (circles = Autoclaved

628   Inocula, triangles = Added Inocula, squares = No Addition).

Table 1. Summary PERMANOVA comparing microbial community composition due to main effects (water treatment, microbial inocula treatment) and the interaction water × microbial treatments. Bold text indicates significant differences (P ≤ 0.05).

Effect	DF	Sum of Sqs	R^2	F-value	P-value
Water TRT	1	0.4953	0.1002	6.2325	**<0.001**
Microbe TRT	2	0.4267	0.0863	2.6845	**<0.001**
Water TRT: Microbe TRT	2	0.2062	0.0417	1.2973	0.1290
Residual	48	3.8147	0.7718		
Total	53	4.9429	1.0000		