Combination of mitomycin C and low-dose metronidazole synergistically against

***Clostridioides difficile* infection and recurrence prevention**

Jun-Jia Gong[1], I-Hsiu Huang[2], Yuan-Pin Hung[3, 4, 5], Yi-Wei Chen[6], Yun-Chien Lin[3], and Jenn-Wei Chen[1, 3]*

1 Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

2 Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences, Tulsa, OK 74107, USA

3 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

4 Department of Internal Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

5 Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

6 Division of Oral Biology and Medicine, School of Dentistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA

*jc923@mail.ncku.edu.tw

Abstract

Background. *Clostridioides difficile* is an anaerobic, spore-forming, Gram-positive pathogen

that causes symptoms ranging from mild diarrhea and moderate pseudomembranous colitis to

severe toxic megacolon and even death. Currently, the clinical treatment of *C. difficile* infection

(CDI) still relies on Vancomycin and Metronidazole (MTZ). However, the high concentration

of antibiotics used also contributes to increased gut microbiota dysbiosis, which results in a

high probability of *C. difficile* recurrence. Therefore, the development of a novel therapeutic

strategy is urgently required.

Methods. Mitomycin C (MMC), an FDA-approved chemotherapy drug, is frequently used to

induce phage generation in lysogenic strains, thereby eliminating the host bacteria. Since 70%

of *C. difficile* strains carry prophages, we aim to investigate whether MMC can enhance

antibiotic treatment against *C. difficile* infection.

Results. The *in vitro* experimental results demonstrated that MMC synergistically helps MTZ

inhibit the growth of *C. difficile* strain R20291. Additionally, this combined antibiotic strategy

can decrease the resistance of vegetative cells within biofilms and lower the concentration of

MTZ required to kill *C. difficile ex vivo* in the stool samples. Finally, *in vivo*, results in the CDI

mouse relapse model further reveal that combining MMC with a low dose of MTZ can

effectively improve the survival rate and reduce the number of spores in mice's feces after the

antibiotic treatments.

Conclusions. Taken together**,** the low-dose combined antibiotic treatment of MMC and MTZ

expresses a better therapeutic effect *in vitro* and *in vivo*, providing a novel treatment of CDI.

Keywords: *Clostridioides difficile*, mitomycin C, metronidazole, spore, recurrence

Introduction

Clostridioides difficile is an anaerobic, gram-positive, and spore-forming bacterium. The

symptoms of *C. difficile* infection (CDI) progress from asymptomatic carriage, mild diarrhea

to severe pseudomembranous colitis, and even death (1). Antibiotics, such as metronidazole

(MTZ), vancomycin (VAN), and fidaxomicin, are clinically recommended against CDI (2-4).

However, although antibiotics successfully treat CDI, the high dosage of antibiotic treatments

has been linked to further host gut microbiota disruption, which would lead to the recurrence

(5). Recurrent *C. difficile* infection (rCDI) is the greatest clinical challenge, as typically 20% to

35% of patients will experience a recurrence within 30 days after the initial CDI episode (6),

and around 60% of patients will have a second recurrence (7). Furthermore, the mortality rate

associated with CDI was 2.7% for patients who experienced primary infection alone and 25.4%

for recurrent CDI, which is nearly ten times higher than that observed in individuals with

primary CDI (8). Therefore, it is crucial to investigate effective ways to reduce CDI recurrence

caused by antibiotic treatment while against CDI.

Mitomycin C (MMC), an FDA-approved anticancer drug, can cross-link DNA and inhibit

DNA synthesis and function (9). In addition to being used for treating gastric cancer, superficial

bladder cancer, and upper tract urothelial carcinoma (10-12), it has also been reported to have

antibacterial properties (13, 14). Furthermore, MMC exhibited potent activity against *C.*

difficile clinical strains (15). The treatment of combined two antibiotics used in infectious

diseases is common, such as tuberculosis and multidrug-resistant bacteria infections (16).

Since these effects on *C. difficile,* we hypothesize that MMC may assist the antibiotic

treatment against *C. difficile* infection. In this study, we aim to check the potential role of MMC

in CDI treatment. Our results demonstrated that MMC and MTZ could synergistically inhibit

C. difficile RT027 strain R20291 and RT078 clinical isolates, to reduce the minimal bactericidal

concentration (MBC) of MTZ to R20291 biofilm. Additionally, the trial in the CDI mouse

relapse model indicated that the combined treatment strategy of a low-dose MTZ and MMC

could effectively improve the survival rate of mice and reduce the spore number in the stool.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. All *C. difficile* strains were

cultured anaerobically (10% H_2, 10% CO_2, and 80% N_2) at 37°C in the cultured media of BHI

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) alone or BHI supplemented with 0.05% L-

cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and 0.5% yeast extract (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The anaerobic bacteria were maintained in an anaerobic workstation (DG250; Don Whitley

Scientific Ltd., West Yorkshire, UK).

Checkerboard assay

To determine the effects of MMC (Cyrusbioscience, New Taipei City, Taiwan) in

combination with MTZ (Cyrusbioscience) or VAN (Cyrusbioscience), the checkerboard assay

was conducted, following the steps described by Hu Y. et al. (17), with some modifications.

Briefly, MMC and antibiotic combinations were prepared in 96-well plates (SPL life sciences,

Pocheon, South Korea). The initial drug concentrations were set at 4-fold higher than their

respective MIC values, followed by a serial 2-fold dilution. Next, each well was inoculated with

100 µL of *C. difficile* cultures at a 1×10^6 CFU/mL concentration in BHIS broth. After 18 to 20

hours of incubation at 37°C, the OD_{600} values were measured using the iMark^{TM} Microplate

Absorbance Reader (Bio-Rad, Alfred Nobel Drive. Hercules, CA, USA). The combined effects

of the drugs were quantified by calculating the fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index

of the combination as follows:

$$\text{FIX index} = \frac{(\text{MIC of drug A in combination})}{(\text{MIC of drug A alone})} + \frac{(\text{MIC of drug B in combination})}{(\text{MIC of drug B alone})}$$

Synergy was defined as an FIC index of ≤0.5, additive or indifference was identified with

an FIC index of >0.5 but <4, and antagonism was defined as an FIC index of >4 (18). The

results represented three independent experiments with the average from triplicate

measurements.

Epsilometer test (E-test) assay

The susceptibility of *C. difficile* to MTZ was determined using the E-test assay, following

the procedure described by Nachnani S. et al (19). In brief, the overnight cultures of strain

R20291 were 100-fold diluted using fresh BHIS broth and cultured until the value of OD_{600}

reached 1.0. These refreshed bacterial cultures were then spread on BHIS agar plates, with or

without MMC, using sterile glass plate spreaders. A single strip of MTZ (Biomérieux, Marcy-

l'Étoile, France) was positioned at the center of each plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. The

inhibitory concentrations were directly determined at the point of intersection between the

inhibition zone and the test strip. This experiment was representative of three independent

experiments.

Biofilm formation and MBC assay

The biofilm formation assay was conducted as we previously described (16). In brief,

overnight cultures of strain R20291 were 100-fold diluted in fresh BHI broth containing 0.1 M

glucose (Avantor, Radnor Township, Pennsylvania, USA) and grown until the OD_{600} value

reached 0.1. Next, 200 μL of bacterial cultures were inoculated into each well of 96-well plates

and anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 72 hours. After 3 days of incubation, the supernatants

were carefully removed and replaced with 200 μL of fresh BHI-glucose broth containing

antibiotic treatments, followed by a further 48 hr incubation. Subsequently, the supernatants

were carefully removed, and each well was added with 200 μL of fresh BHIS broth to resuspend

the biofilm through pipetting. The MBC assay was then performed as described by Lambert

RJ., et al. (20) to determine the efficacy of biofilm disruption. The spot plate technique was

used, with three drops (2 μL) of the resuspended biofilm solution placed on BHIS agar. All

plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. The MBC was the lowest biocide concentration at

which no bacterial growth was observed. This experiment was representative of three

independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.

***Ex vivo* bacterial-fecal co-culture assay**

Fresh fecal samples were collected from C57BL/6 mice, and the fecal suspension was prepared

with sterile ddH_2O at a concentration of 0.01 g/mL. Overnight cultures of *C. difficile* strain

R20291 were refreshed 100-fold in BHIS broth until the OD_{600} reached 1.0. In each group,

5×10^5 CFU of R20291 vegetative cells in 800 μL BHIS broth were combined with or without

different antibiotic treatments (1 μg/mL of MMC, 0.375 μg/mL of MTZ, 3 μg/mL of MTZ, and

a combination of 1 μg/mL of MMC with 0.375 μg/mL of MTZ). These bacterial mixtures were

then gently mixed with 200 μL of fecal suspensions and anaerobically incubated for 24 hr. After

a day of co-incubation, the fecal-bacterial cultures were diluted 10^6-fold and spread on selective

cycloserine-cefoxitin fructose agar (CCFA) plates for bacterial CFU quantification. The

experiment was representative of four independent experiments with duplicate measurements.

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10.0 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA) and

significant differences between the groups were determined by One-Way ANOVA.

Spore purification

The spore purification process was referred to in our previous description (21). Briefly,

overnight cultures of *C. difficile* were 100-fold diluted in fresh BHIS broth and grown until the

OD_{600} value reached 0.8. The bacterial cultures were then inoculated on SMC agar (22) in 6-

well plates (SPL life sciences) and incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 7 days. Subsequently,

bacterial spores were harvested using ice-cold sterile ddH_2O and stored overnight at 4°C. The

spores were then centrifuged at 2,330 ×g for 16 min at 4°C and washed five times with ice-cold

sterile ddH_2O to separate spores from cell debris. *C. difficile* spores were then purified using

the universal density gradient medium Nycodenz (48%; Axis Shield, Oslo, Norway) and

centrifuged at 2,500 ×g for 10 min. The pellet containing spores was collected and washed five

times with ice-cold sterile ddH_2O, followed by centrifugation at 2,500 ×g for 7 min at 25°C.

The pellet was resuspended in 1x PBS and stored at 4°C for *in vivo* experiments. Purified spores

were 10-fold serially diluted and plated on BHIS agar supplemented with 0.1% taurocholic acid

(Sigma-Aldrich) to determine the counts of spore-forming CFU.

Experimental rCDI animal model and sample collection

7-weeks-old male C57BL/6 mice were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of

NCKU. All mice were managed in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of NCKU. Furthermore, all animal experiments followed

the protocol approved by the IACUC of NCKU (approval number: NCKU-IACUC-113-068)

and the NCKU Biosafety and Radiation Safety Management Division. To determine the effects

of combined antibiotic treatment, a mouse CDI relapse model was performed, following the

procedure previously described by Bublitz A. et al (23). Briefly, mice were weighed and

intraperitoneally injected with 10 mg/kg of clindamycin 24 hr before infection. On the

following day, mice were orally challenged with 100 uL containing $1x10^4$ CFU spores of *C.*

difficile strain R20291. On days 2 and 3 post-infection, mice were treated with different kinds

of antibiotic treatment through oral gavage. To determine whether MMC can synergically assist

low dose of MTZ against *C. difficile* infection and recurrence, mice were divided into six groups

and respectively treated with (1) sterile 1x PBS (Mock group, 3 mice and R20291 group, 8

mice), (2) 50 mg/kg of MTZ dissolved in 1x PBS (MTZ_{HC} group, 8 mice), (3) 7 mg/kg of MTZ

dissolved in 1x PBS (MTZ$_{LC}$ group, 8 mice), (4) 5 mg/kg of MMC dissolved in 1x PBS (MMC

group, 8 mice), and (5) 7 mg/kg of MTZ combined with 5 mg/kg MMC dissolved in 1x PBS

(MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC group, 8 mice). In the animal experiment to compare the efficacy of combined

MTZ$_{LC}$ and MMC treatment with VAN treatment in a mouse CDI relapse model, mice were

divided into three groups and respectively treated with (1) 50 mg/kg of MTZ dissolved in 1x

PBS (MTZ$_{HC}$ group, 9 mice), (2) 20 mg/kg of VAN dissolved in 1x PBS (VAN group, 9 mice),

(3) 7 mg/kg of MTZ combined with 5 mg/kg MMC dissolved in 1x PBS (MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC group,

9 mice). During the infection, mice were monitored daily for CSS (24), and fecal samples were

collected to quantify the number of spores on days 3, 5, and 7 post-infection. Mice were

humanely euthanized with CO_2 asphyxiation followed by cervical dislocation on day 7 post-

infection. Subsequently, mice serial samples were used to measure the amount of glutamic

pyruvic transaminase (GPT), glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT), blood urea nitrogen

(BUN) and creatinine (CRE) using NX-600 dry biochemistry analyzer (Fujifilm, Minato,

Tokyo, Japan). After observation of colonic morphology, the resected colon tissue samples

were fixed in 10% formaldehyde (BS Chemical, Kretinga, Lithuania), and harvested organs

were stored at -80°C for further analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad

Prism 10.0, and significance was determined by t-test and One-Way ANOVA.

Quantification of *C. difficile* spore-forming CUFs

Fresh fecal samples were collected on days 3, 5, and 7 post-infection, and fecal weights

were recorded. The fecal samples were then diluted by 1 mL of ddH$_2$O, and 100 mg of 0.1 mm

zirconia beads (BioSpec Products, Inc., Bartlesville, Oklahoma, USA) were added, followed

by a homogenization using the BeadBeater (Bertin Technologies, avenue Ampère, Montigny-

le-Bretonneux, France) for 50 sec (23). To quantify the number of spores, *C. difficile* vegetative

cells were first heat-killed at 65°C for 20 min and plated on BHIS agar containing 0.1% TCA.

The plates were then anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. The CFUs of *C. difficile* were

calculated after normalization to the weight of mice feces. This experiment was representative

of three independent experiments, and each experiment was performed in duplicate. Statistical

analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10.0, and significance was determined by t-test

and One-Way ANOVA.

Results

Mitomycin C showed a synergistic antibacterial activity in combination with

metronidazole against *C. difficile* RT027 strain R20291 and RT078 clinical strains

In our previous study, MMC was used to induce phage production from *C. difficile* strain

NCKUH-21 and promote host bacteria entering the lytic cycle (21). Additionally, more than

70% of *C. difficile* strains naturally carry prophage (25). Therefore, we wondered if MMC could

be combined with VAN or MTZ as a novel therapeutic strategy against CDI. Therefore, we

performed the checkerboard assay. Antibiotics were serially diluted and co-cultured with fresh

RT027 *C. difficile* strain R20291 cultures in a 96-well plate (Figure 1A). As shown in Figure

S1A, the MIC of MMC and MTZ were determined to be 2 µg/mL and 3 µg/mL, respectively.

The result also demonstrated that MMC expresses a synergistic antibacterial activity in

combination with MTZ in inhibiting the growth of R20291, as a fractional inhibitory

concentration (FIC) index was less than 0.5. The combination of MMC with MTZ could

synergistically inhibit the growth of *C. difficile*; the required concentration of MTZ to inhibit

C. difficile growth could be reduced from 3 µg/mL to 0.375 µg/mL (an 8-fold reduced MIC

value), with the presence of 1 µg/mL MMC (Figure S1A). In addition, the combination of MMC

and VAN exhibited an additive activity only, with the FIC index between 0.5 and 1, inhibiting

R20291 (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the combination of MMC and MTZ could also

synergistically against both of RT027 and RT078 *C. difficile* clinical strains (Figure 1C); MMC

additively assisted VAN in inhibiting RT078 strains (Figure S1B). These results demonstrated

that MMC effectively enhances the effects of CDI-treating antibiotics.

To confirm the synergistic effects of MMC and MTZ, antibiotic susceptibility testing with

E-test strips was also performed to determine the feasibility of combining MMC with MTZ to

combat strain R20291. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of MTZ strip alone was

0.125 µg/mL (Figure 1D, left). However, 0.25 µg/mL of MMC can effectively reduce the MIC

of MTZ to 0.023 µg/mL (a 5.4-fold reduced MIC value; Figure 1D, middle), and the growth of

bacteria is not affected at a concentration of 0.25 µg/mL MMC only (Figure 1D, right).

Moreover, we also combined higher concentration of MMC with MTZ to determine the

inhibitory effects of *C. difficile*. As shown in Figure S1C, although 0.5 µg/mL of MMC could

reduce the MIC of MTZ reach 0.016 µg/mL, this concentration of MMC might slightly

influence the basic growth of *C. difficile*, and this phenomenon was strongly observed when

combining with 1 µg/mL of MMC. Taken together, these *in vitro* experimental results indicate

that the combination of MTZ and MMC can more effectively inhibit the growth of *C. difficile*

strain R20291 than MTZ alone.

Mitomycin C could effectively enhance the metronidazole effect on the strain R20291

biofilms

C. difficile strain R20291 strain has previously been observed to form aggregates and a

glycan-rich biofilm during the CDI mouse model (26). Moreover, the formation of biofilm by

C. difficile has previously been associated with increased antibiotic resistance and a higher

recurrence of CDI (27, 28). Therefore, we tried to clarify whether MMC can enhance MTZ

effectively to decrease the required MTZ concentration to kill biofilm. To assess the resistance

of *C. difficile* to antibiotics in the biofilm form, we conducted a minimum bactericidal

concentration (MBC) assay (Figure 2A). As shown in Figure 2B, the MBC of MTZ for the

vegetative cells of strain R20291 was 3 µg/mL. However, when biofilm formation, the MBC

of MTZ required to eliminate strain R20291 increased to 18 µg/mL (Figure 2C). Interestingly,

when MTZ was combined with 1µg/mL of MMC, which could synergistically inhibit the

growth of *C. difficile* in Figure 1, the MBC of MTZ markedly decreased to 9 µg/mL (Figure

2D). MMC did not exhibit any toxic effects on the R20291 vegetative cells until the

concentration reached 4 µg/mL (Figure 2E). To determine whether any *C. difficile* vegetative

cells were released outside the biofilm and would affect the experimental results, we also

dropped the biofilm supernatant on BHIS agar plates. As shown in Figure S2, after treatment

with antibiotics, no live bacteria could be detected outside the biofilm. Taken together, MMC

could enhance MTZ effectively to reduce the MBC of MTZ on strain R20291 biofilm.

Combination of mitomycin C with metronidazole inhibits *C. difficile* colonization in fresh

mouse feces

To accurately simulate the diverse microbial environment within the host gut, *C. difficile*

strain R20291 was mixed with fresh mouse fecal suspensions with several different antibiotic

treatments to assess the efficacy of a low concentration of MTZ combined with MMC against

C. difficile ex vivo. After co-culturing for 24 hours, 1×10^6 dilutions of bacterial-fecal cultures

were spread on BHIS agar to calculate the bacterial colony-forming units (CFUs) (Figure 3A).

As shown in Figure 3B, no *C. difficile* CFU was observed on BHIS agar in the group treated

with 3 µg/mL of MTZ to indicate that the number of *C. difficile* was less than 1×10^6 CFU/mL.

To demonstrate the efficacy of the combination therapy of MTZ and MMC, the concentration

of MTZ was reduced to 0.375 μg/mL with the presence of 1μg/mL MMC, and an average of

4.5×10^6 CFU/mL was observed. In contrast, the average *C. difficile* number under the treatment

of 0.375 μg/mL MTZ (MTZ_{LC}) was 7.8×10^7 CFU/mL, and the one under the treatment of

1μg/mL MMC was 4.9×10^7 CFU/mL. The *C. difficile* number in these two groups was higher

than in the group without any antibiotic treatment (R20291 group) significantly, which might

be because the treatment of low-concentration antibiotics impacted other bacteria that competed

with *C. difficile* in mouse feces. In summary, these results demonstrated that combining MTZ

with MMC is a potential strategy to inhibit the colonization of *C. difficile ex vivo*.

Treating metronidazole with mitomycin C successfully increased the survival rate and

decreased the spore number in a recurrence CDI mouse model

Given that the combination of low-dose MTZ and MMC effectively inhibited *C. difficile*

in various *in vitro* and *ex vivo* experiments, we next want to determine whether this therapeutic

strategy can against severe CDI and recurrence using a mouse model (Figure 4A). We

performed a CDI recurrence mouse model, as previously described by Arne Bublitz et al. (23),

and orally infected mice with purified spores from *C. difficile* strain R20291 (Figure S3A, B).

In mice survival rate, only the noninfected mock group and the infected group treated with a

combination of MTZ and MMC provided 100% survival, while the survival rates of other

groups were consistently under 80% (Figure 4B). Furthermore, we noticed that the groups

infected with R20291 spores alone (R20291 group) and those treated with MMC only (MMC

group) exhibited the lowest weight on the second day post-infection. However, the rate of body

weight change for all surviving mice in each group consistently recovered to over 95% of the

initial weight at day 7 post-infection (Figure 4C).

To determine the recurrence of *C. difficile* in mice, we collected feces on days 3, 5, and 7

post-infection and plated them on BHIS agar supplemented with 0.1% taurocholic acid (TCA).

After two days of antibiotic treatment, there was no significant difference in the number of

spore-forming CFUs in the feces between the MTZ_{HC} and MTZ_{LC}+MMC groups on day 3 post-

infection (Figure 4D). However, the CFUs formed by spores in other infected groups were

significantly higher than those in the MTZ_{LC}+MMC group (Figure 4D). On day 5 post-infection,

we observed that the amount of spore-forming CFUs in both the R20291 and the MTZ_{LC}+MMC

groups was significantly lower than that of other infected groups (Figure 4E). Notably, the

MTZ_{HC} group had a significantly higher amount of CFUs than the MTZ_{LC}+MMC group and

had the highest amount among all groups on day 5 post-infection (Figure 4E). On day 7 post-

infection, despite a decrease in the CFUs formed by spores from all infected groups, the CFUs

in the group treated with high-dose MTZ remained significantly higher than that in the

MTZ_{LC}+MMC group (Figure 4F). During the *C. difficile* infection, we also monitored the daily

clinical sickness score (CSS) of each surviving mouse (24). In the result, the CSS of mice in

the MTZ_{LC}+MMC group was lower than other infection groups during the CDI (Figure S3C).

The colonic morphology of infected mice was an important indicator for assessing intestinal

inflammation (29). As shown in Figure 4G, all surviving mice had recovered at day 7 post-

infection, and there was no significant difference in the length and weight of cecum between

each group. The colon length of the MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC group was significantly higher than the

MTZ$_{LC}$ and MMC groups. Taken together, the CDI recurrence *in vivo* experiments

demonstrated that the combined treatment strategy of MTZ and MMC can not only express the

highest mouse survival rate but also prevent the relapse of *C. difficile*.

Synergistic effects of mitomycin C and metronidazole enhanced mice survival rate and

reduced stool spore number compared to vancomycin treatment

The previous study has suggested that vancomycin exhibits superior clinical cure rates,

particularly in severe cases of CDI (30). Moreover, mice treated with vancomycin alone for

CDI demonstrated better outcomes than those treated with metronidazole (31). However,

vancomycin treatment was associated with a significant disruption of the intestinal microbiota,

thereby increasing the risk of CDI recurrence (32, 33). Therefore, we wanted to assess whether

the combination of MTZ with MMC can have a better outcome than VAN in the mouse CDI

relapse model.

After being infected with *C. difficile* R20291 spores, mice were given VAN, MTZ alone,

or low-dose MTZ combined with MMC treatments, respectively (Figure 5A). As the result

shown in Figure 5B, compared to the groups treated with VAN (67%) or MTZ (67%) alone,

mice treated with low-dose MTZ combined with MMC (89%) provided a higher survival rate

after the infection. In bodyweight change rate, two groups treated with VAN (VAN group) and

MTZ (MTZ$_{HC}$ group) alone exhibited an appreciable decrease on day 3 to 4 post-infection, a

phenomenon that was less obvious in MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC group (Figure 5C). However, on day 7

post-infection, all groups of mice had recovered to over 90% of their initial weight (Figure 5C).

To monitor the recurrence of *C. difficile* in the mouse intestine, we collected feces for spore

plating on days 3, 5 and 7 post-infection. As shown in Figure 5D, although the spore-forming

CFUs in mice feces of the MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC group were significantly higher than the other two

groups on day 3 post-infection. However, on days 5 and 7 post-infection, the fecal spore CFUs

of the MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC group were significantly lower than the other two groups (Figure 5E, F).

In addition, the VAN group had increased nearly ten times of spore number in their feces on

day 5 post-infection (Figure 5E). The MTZ$_{HC}$ group maintained a high level of spore content in

their feces from day 3 to 7 post-infection (Figure 5F). Furthermore, mice intestinal

inflammation was also observed, and except for the cecal weight in MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC was

significantly higher than the MTZ$_{HC}$ group, the morphology of mice cecum and colon showed

no significant difference between each group (Figure 5G). Finally, to ensure that the strategy

of combining low-dose MTZ with MMC for treating CDI would not lead to additional damage

to the liver and kidneys of mice. Serum was collected on day 7 post-infection and analyzed for

the indicator, including glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT), glutamic oxaloacetic

transaminase (GOT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine (CRE) using a dry biochemical

analyzer. As shown in Figure 5H, the values of each indicator exhibited no significant

difference between the groups. In summary, our results demonstrated that compared with the

usage of VAN or MTZ alone, the treatment strategy of combining low-dose MTZ with MMC

could not only provide the highest survival rate for CDI mice but also effectively reduce the

spore content in the intestine of infected mice.

Discussion

Patients infected with *C. difficile* still rely on antibiotic treatments, such as VAN and MTZ

(2-4). However, these treatments significantly disrupt the gut microbiota, leading to a high

probability of CDI recurrence (34). In this study, we found that MMC, an FDA-approved

chemotherapeutic agent frequently used to induce prophage from lysogenic bacteria (11, 21,

35-37), can synergistically cooperate with MTZ against *C. difficile* infection. The bacterial

biofilm protects *C. difficile* vegetative cells and spores from antibiotics, making it difficult to

treat and leading to recurrent infections (26-28, 38). Notably, our results revealed that MMC

can enhance the effect of MTZ in killing *C. difficile* within the biofilm. However, the

mechanism of MMC in assisting MTZ to eliminate *C. difficile* in biofilm remains unclear.

Fidaxomicin has previously been reported to effectively kill vegetative cells within *C. difficile*

biofilm by penetrating biofilms and disrupting their structure, thereby enhancing the

bactericidal activity (39, 40). In the future, the biofilm morphology should be observed to

determine whether MMC-MTZ treatment can directly disrupt biofilm structures to kill *C.*

difficile vegetative cells.

In our *in vivo* experiments, we referred to the recurrent mouse model described by A.

Bublitz et al (23). Mice infected with *C. difficile* will experience decreased body weight, severe

diarrhea, high mortality rates, and reduced activity levels (41-43). Remarkably, the combination

of MTZ with MMC provided mice with higher survival rates and less daily CSS after the spore

challenge. However, the recovery of body weight in this group was not as good as in other

infected groups. We consider that most mice of other groups with severe body weight loss have

died in CDI, leading to the lived mice having a higher average body weight than the MTZ-

MMC-treated group. We quantified the CFU number of spores from mice fecal samples to

evaluate CDI recurrence (23, 44) and there was no significant difference in CFU counts between

the R20291-infected and MTZ-MMC-treated groups after day 5 post-infection. Colonization

resistance has been suggested to play a critical role in recovery from CDI and preventing

recurrence through the healthy microbiota in inhibiting *C. difficile* colonization and overgrowth

(45-47). However, the antibiotic treatment will disrupt the diversity and richness of microbiota

(48). Accordingly, we consider that mice in both groups may suffer less damage in their

microbiota and could recover more rapidly against *C. difficile* colonization than other

antibiotic-treated groups. Nevertheless, further analysis of intestinal microbial composition

between each group should be performed to confirm this hypothesis. Finally, we found that

mice treated with VAN significantly increased *C. difficile* spores in their fecal contents

compared to other groups at day 5 post-infection. This is consistent with previous studies

suggesting that VAN treatment leads to more severe alterations in the composition of symbiotic

microorganisms, potentially complicating the recovery process and resulting in prolonged

susceptibility to *C. difficile* compared to treatment with MTZ (32).

MTZ targets bacteria by disrupting DNA synthesis (49), while the anticancer agent MMC

cross-links DNA to prevent bacterial replication (50). The results of our study indicate that the

combination of MTZ and MMC seems to provide better action in inhibiting bacterial DNA

replication against *C. difficile* infection.

In summary, our findings reveal a novel therapeutic strategy that the combination of MMC

significantly enhances the efficacy of MTZ against *C. difficile* infection. This combination not

only improves the survival rate of CDI mice but also reduces the spore count in their feces. The

reduced efficacy of MTZ in treating CDI has previously been suggested to *C. difficile* mutant

strains that overexpress the NimB enzyme, leading to the inactivation of MTZ and antibiotic

resistance (51). This issue did not occur in our MTZ-MMC combination therapy against *C.*

difficile. Furthermore, the low dosage of MTZ combined with MMC may effectively decrease

C. difficile recurrence by minimizing severe disruptions to the gut microbiota composition of

the infected host (45, 47). Nevertheless, further experiments are necessary to confirm the safety

profile, optimal dosage, and potential host microbial side effects of the combined antibiotic

therapy before its application in the clinical management of CDI.

Notes

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Science and Technology

Council (Grants: 113-2314-B-006-043-MY3; awarded to J. W. C.). The funding agency played

no role in study design, data collection or analysis, manuscript preparation, or decision to

publish. We thank the Laboratory Animal Center, College of Medicine at National Cheng Kung

University (NCKU), Taiwan, accredited by AAALAC International and Taiwan Animal

Consortium.

Author contributions. J. J. G. contributed to the methodology, validation, formal analysis,

investigation, visualization, and original manuscript writing. I. H. H. contributed to

conceptualization and methodology. Y. P. H. contributed to conceptualization, methodology,

and resources. Y. W. C. contributed to conceptualization, methodology, and visualization. Y.

C. L. contributed to the methodology and investigation. J. W. C. contributed to the

conceptualization, methodology, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition, and

manuscript editing.

Potential Conflict of Interest. All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Evaluating the ability of MMC combined with MTZ or Van to combat *C. difficile*

strain R20291 *in vitro*. (A) Flowchart of checkerboard assay (Created with BioRender.com).

FIC index of MMC combined with MTZ or VAN co-culturing with *C. difficile* (B) RT027 strain

R20291, (C) RT027 and RT078 clinical strains. The MIC of (D) MTZ alone or (E) combination

of MMC with MTZ to against *C. difficile* was determined using E-test strips. MTZ,

metronidazole. VAN, vancomycin. MMC, mitomycin C. FIC index, fractional inhibitory

concentration index. MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration. The results were representative

of three independent experiments with the average from triplicate measurements.

Fig 2. Combining MMC and MTZ therapy effectively reduced the antibiotic resistance of

R20291 biofilms. (A) The flowchart of antibiotic treatments against *C. difficile* biofilm

formation (Created with BioRender.com). (B) MBC assay was used to determine the

concentration of MTZ that can kill R20291 vegetative cells. After 3 days of incubation to form

biofilms, the MBC concentration of (C) MTZ alone, (D) MTZ combined with 1 μg/mL MMC,

and (E) MMC alone to combat R20291 within the biofilm was determined. MBC, minimum

bactericidal concentration. This experiment was representative of three independent

experiments and each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Fig 3. The combination of MMC and low-dose MTZ significantly inhibited the growth of

R20291 in mouse feces.

(A) *Ex vivo* model of R20291 co-cultured with mouse feces and treated with different kinds of

antibiotic treatment (Created with BioRender.com). (B) Fecal-bacterial mixtures cultured for

24 hr were 10^6-fold diluted and the CFU of *C. difficile* were calculated by spreading on CCFA

plates. CFU, colony-forming units. MTZ_{HC}, high concentration of MTZ (3 µg/mL). MTZ_{LC},

low concentration of MTZ (0.375 µg/mL). MMC, 1 µg/mL MMC. MTZ_{LC}+MMC, 0.375

µg/mL MTZ combined with 1 µg/mL MMC. The experiment was representative of four

independent experiments with duplicate measurements. Statistical analysis was performed

using the one-way analysis of variance test (*$p \leq 0.05$; ****$p \leq 0.0001$; ns, not significant)

Fig 4. Application of combining MMC with low-dose MTZ treatment in the mouse CDI

recurrence model.

(A) Schematic diagram of the mouse CDI recurrence model (Created with BioRender.com).

C57BL/6 mice were given clindamycin (10 mg/kg) and orally challenged with 10^4 CFU spores.

After two days treating with (n=8/group) or without (Mock, n=3; R20291, n=8) antibiotics, the

severity of infection symptoms was observed, and feces were collected to assess the number of

spores. (B) The mice survival rate was monitored daily from the day of infection (pi-day 0)

until being sacrificed. (C) The body weight of each group was monitored daily from the day

before infection until the day 7 post-infection. (D-F) The CFU of *C. difficile* spore was

determined using mouse feces collected on the 3rd, 5th, and 7th days post-infection. (G) The

morphology and quantification of mice's cecal and colonic tissues. The colon length, cecum

length, and cecum weight were harvested when the mice were sacrificed on the day 7 post-

infection. Each point represents the data of one mouse. Statistical analysis was performed using

GraphPad Prism 10.0, and significance was determined by the one-way analysis of variance

(**$\leq$ 0.01; ***$\leq$ 0.001; ****$p \leq$ 0.0001; ns, not significant)

Fig 5. Comparing the effect of MMC-MTZ$_{LC}$ treatment and clinical antibiotics against *C.

difficile infection and recurrence *in vivo*.**

(A) Diagram of the mouse CDI recurrence model (Created with BioRender.com). Mice (n =

9/group) were orally challenged with *C. difficile* spores, followed by two days of antibiotic

treatment. (B) Mice survival rate and (C) the daily percentage of mouse bodyweight were

recorded after infected with R20291 spores. Mice feces were collected on (D) day 3, (E) day 5,

and (F) day 7 post infection. The homogenized feces were diluted and plated on CCFA plates

to measure the spore forming CFU. (G) The morphology of mice cecum and colon. The degree

of intestinal inflammation was evaluated by the quantification of the colonic length, cecum

length and weight. Each point represents the data of a mouse. (H) The values of GPT, GOT,

BUN, and CRE in mice serum. The dry biochemical analyzer was used to measure various

indicators in the serum to evaluate the damage and impact of different treatment processes on

the liver and kidney functions of mice. GPT, glutamic pyruvic transaminase. GOT, glutamic

oxaloacetic transaminase. BUN, blood urea nitrogen. CRE, creatinine. Statistical analysis was

performed using GraphPad Prism 10.0, and significance was determined by the t-test and one-

way analysis of variance (*≤ 0.05; **≤ 0.01; ***≤ 0.001; ****$p \leq 0.0001$; ns, not significant)

References

1.   Mounsey A, Lacy Smith K, Reddy VC, Nickolich S. Clostridioides difficile Infection:
Update on Management. Am Fam Physician. 2020;101(3):168-75.
2.   Kelly CR, Fischer M, Allegretti JR, LaPlante K, Stewart DB, Limketkai BN, et al. ACG
Clinical Guidelines: Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Clostridioides difficile Infections.
Am J Gastroenterol. 2021;116(6):1124-47.
3.   McDonald LC, Gerding DN, Johnson S, Bakken JS, Carroll KC, Coffin SE, et al. Clinical
Practice Guidelines for Clostridium difficile Infection in Adults and Children: 2017 Update by
the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and Society for Healthcare Epidemiology
of America (SHEA). Clin Infect Dis. 2018;66(7):e1-e48.
4.   Surawicz CM, Brandt LJ, Binion DG, Ananthakrishnan AN, Curry SR, Gilligan PH, et al.
Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of Clostridium difficile infections. Am J
Gastroenterol. 2013;108(4):478-98; quiz 99.
5.   Chilton CH, Pickering DS, Freeman J. Microbiologic factors affecting Clostridium
difficile recurrence. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2018;24(5):476-82.
6.   Feuerstadt P, Theriault N, Tillotson G. The burden of CDI in the United States: a
multifactorial challenge. BMC Infect Dis. 2023;23(1):132.
7.   Nelson WW, Scott TA, Boules M, Teigland C, Parente A, Unni S, et al. Health care
resource utilization and costs of recurrent Clostridioides difficile infection in the elderly: a real-
world claims analysis. J Manag Care Spec Pharm. 2021;27(7):828-38.
8.   Feuerstadt P, Nelson WW, Drozd EM, Dreyfus J, Dahdal DN, Wong AC, et al. Mortality,
Health Care Use, and Costs of Clostridioides difficile Infections in Older Adults. J Am Med
Dir Assoc. 2022;23(10):1721-8.e19.
9.   Verweij J, Pinedo HM. Mitomycin C: mechanism of action, usefulness and limitations.
Anticancer Drugs. 1990;1(1):5-13.
10.   Metcalfe M, Wagenheim G, Xiao L, Papadopoulos J, Navai N, Davis JW, et al. Induction
and Maintenance Adjuvant Mitomycin C Topical Therapy for Upper Tract Urothelial
Carcinoma: Tolerability and Intermediate Term Outcomes. J Endourol. 2017;31(9):946-53.
11.   Read MD, Drake J, Hashemipour G, Powers BD, Mehta R, Sinnamon A, et al. Initial
Experience Using Laparoscopic HIPEC for Gastric Cancer with Peritoneal Metastasis: Safety
and Outcomes. Ann Surg Oncol. 2024;31(6):3750-7.
12.   Al-Humairi RMA, Hashim Mohammad T, Thanoon Ahmed S, Ad'hiah AH. Systemic
Interleukin-6 Response after Intravesical Instillation of Bacillus Calmette-Guérin and
Mitomycin C in Superficial Bladder Cancer. Arch Razi Inst. 2023;78(1):353-60.
13.   Svedholm E, Bruce B, Parcell BJ, Coote PJ. Repurposing Mitomycin C in Combination
with Pentamidine or Gentamicin to Treat Infections with Multi-Drug-Resistant (MDR)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antibiotics (Basel). 2024;13(2).
14.   Pacios O, Fernández-García L, Bleriot I, Blasco L, González-Bardanca M, López M, et al.

Enhanced Antibacterial Activity of Repurposed Mitomycin C and Imipenem in Combination with the Lytic Phage vB_KpnM-VAC13 against Clinical Isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2021;65(9):e0090021.

15.   Pal R, Seleem MN. Screening of Natural Products and Approved Oncology Drug Libraries for Activity against Clostridioides difficile. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):5966.

16.   Worthington RJ, Melander C. Combination approaches to combat multidrug-resistant bacteria. Trends Biotechnol. 2013;31(3):177-84.

17.   Hu Y, Liu Y, Coates A. Azidothymidine Produces Synergistic Activity in Combination with Colistin against Antibiotic-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019;63(1).

18.   Odds FC. Synergy, antagonism, and what the chequerboard puts between them. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2003;52(1):1.

19.   Nachnani S, Scuteri A, Newman MG, Avanessian AB, Lomeli SL. E-test: a new technique for antimicrobial susceptibility testing for periodontal microorganisms. J Periodontol. 1992;63(7):576-83.

20.   Lambert RJ, Pearson J. Susceptibility testing: accurate and reproducible minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and non-inhibitory concentration (NIC) values. J Appl Microbiol. 2000;88(5):784-90.

21.   Gong JJ, Huang IH, Su MS, Xie SX, Liu WY, Huang CR, et al. Phage transcriptional regulator X (PtrX)-mediated augmentation of toxin production and virulence in Clostridioides difficile strain R20291. Microbiol Res. 2024;280:127576.

22.   Permpoonpattana P, Tolls EH, Nadem R, Tan S, Brisson A, Cutting SM. Surface layers of Clostridium difficile endospores. J Bacteriol. 2011;193(23):6461-70.

23.   Bublitz A, Brauer M, Wagner S, Hofer W, Müsken M, Deschner F, et al. The natural product chlorotonil A preserves colonization resistance and prevents relapsing Clostridioides difficile infection. Cell Host Microbe. 2023;31(5):734-50.e8.

24.   Shelby RD, Tengberg N, Conces M, Olson JK, Navarro JB, Bailey MT, et al. Development of a Standardized Scoring System to Assess a Murine Model of Clostridium difficile Colitis. J Invest Surg. 2020;33(10):887-95.

25.   Hargreaves KR, Colvin HV, Patel KV, Clokie JJ, Clokie MR. Genetically diverse Clostridium difficile strains harboring abundant prophages in an estuarine environment. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79(20):6236-43.

26.   Soavelomandroso AP, Gaudin F, Hoys S, Nicolas V, Vedantam G, Janoir C, et al. Biofilm Structures in a Mono-Associated Mouse Model of Clostridium difficile Infection. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2086.

27.   Morais M, Santos MGC, Costa CL, Martins CS, Leitão RFC, de Melo Pacífico D, et al. Comparative biofilm-forming ability between Clostridioides difficile strains isolated in Latin America and the epidemic NAP1/027 strain. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:1033698.

28.   Đapa T, Leuzzi R, Ng YK, Baban ST, Adamo R, Kuehne SA, et al. Multiple factors

modulate biofilm formation by the anaerobic pathogen Clostridium difficile. J Bacteriol. 2013;195(3):545-55.

29. Huang J, Kelly CP, Bakirtzi K, Villafuerte Gálvez JA, Lyras D, Mileto SJ, et al. Clostridium difficile toxins induce VEGF-A and vascular permeability to promote disease pathogenesis. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4(2):269-79.

30. Di X, Bai N, Zhang X, Liu B, Ni W, Wang J, et al. A meta-analysis of metronidazole and vancomycin for the treatment of Clostridium difficile infection, stratified by disease severity. Braz J Infect Dis. 2015;19(4):339-49.

31. Erikstrup LT, Aarup M, Hagemann-Madsen R, Dagnaes-Hansen F, Kristensen B, Olsen KE, et al. Treatment of Clostridium difficile infection in mice with vancomycin alone is as effective as treatment with vancomycin and metronidazole in combination. BMJ Open Gastroenterol. 2015;2(1):e000038.

32. Lewis BB, Buffie CG, Carter RA, Leiner I, Toussaint NC, Miller LC, et al. Loss of Microbiota-Mediated Colonization Resistance to Clostridium difficile Infection With Oral Vancomycin Compared With Metronidazole. J Infect Dis. 2015;212(10):1656-65.

33. Warren CA, van Opstal EJ, Riggins MS, Li Y, Moore JH, Kolling GL, et al. Vancomycin treatment's association with delayed intestinal tissue injury, clostridial overgrowth, and recurrence of Clostridium difficile infection in mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(2):689-96.

34. Berkell M, Mysara M, Xavier BB, van Werkhoven CH, Monsieurs P, Lammens C, et al. Microbiota-based markers predictive of development of Clostridioides difficile infection. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):2241.

35. Lee SJ, Jeon Y, Lee HW, Kang J, Baik SH, Park EJ. Impact of Mitomycin-C-Induced Neutropenia after Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy with Cytoreductive Surgery in Colorectal Cancer Patients with Peritoneal Carcinomatosis. Ann Surg Oncol. 2022;29(3):2077-86.

36. Melgarejo-Segura MT, Morales-Martínez A, Yáñez-Castillo Y, Arrabal-Polo M, Gómez-Lechuga P, Pareja-Vílchez M, et al. A systematic review of the efficacy of intravesical electromotive drug administration therapy for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Urol Oncol. 2023;41(4):166-76.

37. Schüler MA, Daniel R, Poehlein A. Novel insights into phage biology of the pathogen Clostridioides difficile based on the active virome. Front Microbiol. 2024;15:1374708.

38. Dawson LF, Peltier J, Hall CL, Harrison MA, Derakhshan M, Shaw HA, et al. Extracellular DNA, cell surface proteins and c-di-GMP promote biofilm formation in Clostridioides difficile. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):3244.

39. James GA, Chesnel L, Boegli L, deLancey Pulcini E, Fisher S, Stewart PS. Analysis of Clostridium difficile biofilms: imaging and antimicrobial treatment. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018;73(1):102-8.

40. Hamada M, Yamaguchi T, Ishii Y, Chono K, Tateda K. Inhibitory effect of fidaxomicin

on biofilm formation in Clostridioides difficile. J Infect Chemother. 2020;26(7):685-92.

41.   Buffie CG, Jarchum I, Equinda M, Lipuma L, Gobourne A, Viale A, et al. Profound
alterations of intestinal microbiota following a single dose of clindamycin results in sustained
susceptibility to Clostridium difficile-induced colitis. Infect Immun. 2012;80(1):62-73.

42.   Cymbal M, Chatterjee A, Baggott B, Auron M. Management of Clostridioides difficile
Infection: Diagnosis, Treatment, and Future Perspectives. Am J Med. 2024;137(7):571-6.

43.   Jose S, Mukherjee A, Horrigan O, Setchell KDR, Zhang W, Moreno-Fernandez ME, et al.
Obeticholic acid ameliorates severity of Clostridioides difficile infection in high fat diet-
induced obese mice. Mucosal Immunol. 2021;14(2):500-10.

44.   McDonald JAK, Mullish BH, Pechlivanis A, Liu Z, Brignardello J, Kao D, et al. Inhibiting
Growth of Clostridioides difficile by Restoring Valerate, Produced by the Intestinal Microbiota.
Gastroenterology. 2018;155(5):1495-507.e15.

45.   Theriot CM, Koenigsknecht MJ, Carlson PE, Jr., Hatton GE, Nelson AM, Li B, et al.
Antibiotic-induced shifts in the mouse gut microbiome and metabolome increase susceptibility
to Clostridium difficile infection. Nat Commun. 2014;5:3114.

46.   Britton RA, Young VB. Role of the intestinal microbiota in resistance to colonization by
Clostridium difficile. Gastroenterology. 2014;146(6):1547-53.

47.   Theriot CM, Young VB. Interactions Between the Gastrointestinal Microbiome and
Clostridium difficile. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2015;69:445-61.

48.   Binyamin D, Nitzan O, Azrad M, Hamo Z, Koren O, Peretz A. The microbial diversity
following antibiotic treatment of Clostridioides difficile infection. BMC Gastroenterol.
2021;21(1):166.

49.   Edwards DI. Mechanism of antimicrobial action of metronidazole. J Antimicrob
Chemother. 1979;5(5):499-502.

50.   Tomasz M, Palom Y. The mitomycin bioreductive antitumor agents: cross-linking and
alkylation of DNA as the molecular basis of their activity. Pharmacol Ther. 1997;76(1-3):73-
87.

51.   Olaitan AO, Dureja C, Youngblom MA, Topf MA, Shen WJ, Gonzales-Luna AJ, et al.
Decoding a cryptic mechanism of metronidazole resistance among globally disseminated
fluoroquinolone-resistant Clostridioides difficile. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):4130.

Fig. 1

A.

B.

C.

Fig. 1

D.

BHIS agar only

E.

BHIS + 0.25 µg/mL MMC

Fig. 2

A.

B. **MTZ only**

MTZ concentration (μg/mL)

6
5
4
3
1.5
1
0.5
0.1

R20291 vegetative cell

C. **MTZ only**

MTZ concentration (μg/mL)

D. **MTZ + 1 μg/mL MMC**

MTZ concentration (μg/mL)

E. **MMC only**

MMC concentration (μg/mL)

R20291 Biofilm

Fig. 3

A.

B.

A.

B.

C.

Fig. 4

G.

Colonic morphology

| Mock | R20291 | MTZ$_{HC}$ | MTZ$_{LC}$ | MMC | MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC |

Colon length (cm)

Cecum length (cm)

Cecum weight (g)

ns
ns
**
**

ns
ns
ns
ns

ns
ns
ns
ns

- ● Mock
- □ R20291
- △ MTZ$_{HC}$
- ◇ MTZ$_{LC}$
- ● MMC
- ○ MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC

Fig. 5

Fig. 5

Fig. 5

G.

Cecal and colonic morphology

MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC   VAN   MTZ$_{HC}$

Fig. 5

H.