Combination of mitomycin C and low-dose metronidazole synergistically against

Clostridioides difficile **infection and recurrence prevention**

Jun-Jia Gong[1], I-Hsiu Huang[2], Yuan-Pin Hung[3, 4, 5], Yi-Wei Chen[6], Yun-Chien Lin[3], Jenn-Wei Chen[1, 3]*

1 Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

2 Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences, Tulsa, OK 74107, USA

3 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

4 Department of Internal Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

5 Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

6 Division of Oral Biology and Medicine, School of Dentistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA

*jc923@mail.ncku.edu.tw

Abstract

Clostridioides difficile is an anaerobic, spore-forming, Gram-positive pathogen responsible for

various conditions from mild diarrhea to severe toxic megacolon and potentially death. Current

treatments for *C. difficile* infection (CDI) rely on antibiotics like vancomycin and metronidazole

(MTZ); however, the high doses required often disrupt gut microbiota, leading to a high

recurrence rate. Mitomycin C (MMC), a chemotherapy drug approved by the FDA, is known

for inducing phage production in lysogenic bacterial strains, effectively targeting the host

bacteria. Given that 70% of *C. difficile* strains harbor prophages, this study investigates MMC's

potential to enhance antibiotic efficacy against CDI. Our in vitro experiments indicate that

MMC acts synergistically with MTZ to inhibit the growth of *C. difficile* strain R20291.

Furthermore, this combination decreases biofilm-resident vegetative cell resistance and reduces

the MTZ concentration needed to kill *C. difficile* in stool samples ex vivo. In a CDI mouse

relapse model, in vivo results show that MMC combined with a low dose of MTZ significantly

improves survival rates and reduces fecal spore counts after antibiotic treatment. Overall, these

findings suggest that a low-dose combination of MMC and MTZ offers enhanced therapeutic

efficacy for CDI, both in vitro and in vivo, and may provide a promising new approach for

treatment.

Keywords: *Clostridioides difficile*, mitomycin C, metronidazole, spore, recurrence

Introduction

Clostridioides difficile is an anaerobic, gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium. The symptoms of *C. difficile* infection (CDI) progress from asymptomatic carriage, diarrhea to severe pseudomembranous colitis, and even death (1). Antibiotics, such as metronidazole (MTZ), vancomycin (VAN), and fidaxomicin, are clinically recommended against CDI (2-4). However, a high dose of antibiotics disrupts the host gut microbiota, leading to recurrent *C. difficile* infection (rCDI) (5). rCDI is a serious clinical challenge, with over 20% of patients experiencing recurrence within 30 days (6), and 60% will relapse again (7). The mortality rate for primary CDI is 2.7%, while for rCDI is 25.4%, which is nearly ten times higher (8). Therefore, investigating effective ways to reduce rCDI caused by antibiotics is crucial.

Mitomycin C (MMC), an FDA-approved anticancer drug, inhibits DNA synthesis by cross-linking DNA (9). In addition to treating several cancer diseases (10-12), it has antibacterial properties (13, 14) and exhibits potent activity against *C. difficile* (15). The combination of two antibiotics is common for treating infections such as tuberculosis and multidrug-resistant bacteria (16).

Since these effects on *C. difficile,* we hypothesize that MMC may assist antibiotic treatment against CDI, and we aim to check the potential role of MMC in CDI treatment. Our study demonstrated that MMC and MTZ synergistically inhibited *C. difficile* RT027 and RT078 strains, reducing the minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of MTZ for R20291 biofilm.

Additionally, the rCDI animal model trial indicated that combining low-dose MTZ with MMC

effectively improved mice survival rates and reduced the spore counts in their stool.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. All *C. difficile* strains were

cultured anaerobically (10% H_2, 10% CO_2, and 80% N_2) at 37°C in BHI media (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), alone or with 0.05% L-cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,

USA) and 0.5% yeast extract (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The anaerobic bacteria were

maintained in an anaerobic workstation (DG250; Don Whitley Scientific Ltd., West Yorkshire,

UK).

Checkerboard assay

To determine the effects of MMC (Cyrusbioscience, New Taipei City, Taiwan) with MTZ

or VAN (Cyrusbioscience), we conducted the checkerboard assay in 96-well plates (SPL life

sciences, Pocheon, South Korea) (17). The initial drug concentrations were prepared at 4-fold

minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values, followed by 2-fold serial dilutions. Next, each

well was inoculated with 100 µL of *C. difficile* at 10^6 colony-forming unit (CFU)/mL in BHIS

broth. After 18 hours of incubation at 37°C, the OD_{600} values were measured using the iMark^{TM}

Microplate Absorbance Reader (Bio-Rad, Alfred Nobel Drive. Hercules, CA, USA). The

combined drug effects were quantified by the fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index as

follows:

$$\text{FIX index} = \frac{\text{(MIC of drug A in combination)}}{\text{(MIC of drug A alone)}} + \frac{\text{(MIC of drug B in combination)}}{\text{(MIC of drug B alone)}}$$

Synergy, FIC index ≤0.5; additive or indifference, FIC index >0.5 but <4; antagonism,

FIC index >4 (18). The results represented three independent experiments with the average

from triplicate measurements.

Epsilometer test (E-test) assay

The susceptibility of *C. difficile* to MTZ was determined using the E-test assay (19). The

overnight cultures of R20291 were 100-fold diluted using BHIS broth and cultured until the

value of OD_{600} reached 1.0. The refreshed cultures were then spread on BHIS agar, with or

without MMC, using sterile glass plate spreaders. A single strip of MTZ (Biomérieux, Marcy-

l'Étoile, France) was positioned at the center of each plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

The inhibitory concentrations were directly determined at the point of intersection between the

inhibition zone and the test strip. This experiment was representative of three independent

experiments.

Biofilm formation and MBC assay

The biofilm formation assay was conducted as previously described (16). In brief, overnight cultures of strain R20291 were 100-fold diluted in BHI broth containing 0.1 M glucose (Avantor, Radnor Township, Pennsylvania, USA) and grown until the OD_{600} value reached 0.1. 200 µL of cultures were inoculated into 96-well plates and anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 72 hours. The supernatants were then replaced with 200 µL of BHI-glucose broth containing antibiotics, followed by a 48-hour incubation. Subsequently, the supernatants were removed, and 200 µL of BHIS broth was used to resuspend the biofilm. The Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) Assay was performed to determine the efficacy of biofilm disruption (20). Two µL of resuspended biofilm solution were dropped into BHIS agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. This experiment was representative of three independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.

Ex vivo bacterial-fecal co-culture assay

Fresh feces were collected from C57BL/6 mice and dissociated in sterile ddH_2O at a ratio of 0.01 g stool per 1 mL ddH_2O. Overnight cultures of *C. difficile* strain R20291 were refreshed 100-fold in BHIS broth until the OD_{600} reached 1.0. In each group, 5×10^5 CFU of R20291 in 800 µL BHIS broth were combined, with or without different antibiotics (1 µg/mL of MMC,

0.375 µg/mL of MTZ, 3 µg/mL of MTZ, or 1 µg/mL of MMC+0.375 µg/mL of MTZ). These

mixtures were then gently mixed with 200 µL of fecal suspensions and anaerobically incubated

for 24 hours. The fecal-bacterial cultures were then diluted 10^6-fold and spread on selective

cycloserine-cefoxitin fructose agar (CCFA) plates for CFU quantification. The experiment was

representative of four independent experiments with duplicate measurements. Statistical

analysis was performed using One-Way ANOVA with GraphPad Prism 10.0 (GraphPad, La

Jolla, CA).

Spore purification

The spore purification process was performed as previously described (21). Briefly,

overnight cultures of *C. difficile* were 100-fold diluted in BHIS broth and grown until the OD_{600}

value reached 0.8. The bacterial cultures were then inoculated on SMC agar (22) in 6-well plates

(SPL life sciences) and incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 7 days. *C. difficile* spores were

harvested using ice-cold sterile ddH_2O and stored overnight at 4°C. Spores were centrifuged at

2,330 ×g for 16 min at 4°C and washed five times with ice-cold sterile ddH_2O to separate spores

from cell debris. Spores were purified using Nycodenz (48%; Axis Shield, Oslo, Norway) and

centrifuged at 2,500 ×g for 10 min to collect the pellet. After five times wash with ice-cold

sterile ddH_2O, the pellet was resuspended in 1x PBS and stored at 4°C. Purified spores were

10-fold serially diluted and plated on BHIS agar with 0.1% taurocholic acid (Sigma-Aldrich)

to determine the CFUs formed by spores.

Experimental rCDI animal model and sample collection

7-weeks-old male C57BL/6 mice were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of

NCKU. All mice were managed following the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee

(IACUC) guidelines of NCKU. All animal experiments followed the protocol approved by the

IACUC (approval number: NCKU-IACUC-113-068) and the NCKU Biosafety and Radiation

Safety Management Division. To determine the effects of combined antibiotic treatment, a

rCDI mouse model was performed as previously described (23). Briefly, mice were

intraperitoneally injected with 10 mg/kg of clindamycin 24 hours before infection, then orally

challenged with 100 μL containing 10^4 CFU R20291 spores. Different antibiotic treatments

were orally given on days 2 and 3 post-infection. To determine whether MMC can synergically

assist low-dose of MTZ against *C. difficile* infection and recurrence, mice were divided into six

groups and respectively treated with (1) sterile 1x PBS (Mock group, 3 mice and R20291 group,

8 mice), (2) 50 mg/kg of MTZ dissolved in 1x PBS (MTZ_{HC} group, 8 mice), (3) 7 mg/kg of

MTZ dissolved in 1x PBS (MTZ_{LC} group, 8 mice), (4) 5 mg/kg of MMC dissolved in 1x PBS

(MMC group, 8 mice), and (5) 7 mg/kg of MTZ combined with 5 mg/kg MMC dissolved in 1x

PBS (MTZ_{LC}+MMC group, 8 mice). In the animal experiment to compare the efficacy of

MTZ_{LC}+MMC treatment and VAN treatment, mice were divided into three groups and

respectively treated with (1) 50 mg/kg of MTZ dissolved in 1x PBS (MTZ$_{HC}$ group, 9 mice),

(2) 20 mg/kg of VAN dissolved in 1x PBS (VAN group, 9 mice), (3) 7 mg/kg of MTZ combined

with 5 mg/kg MMC dissolved in 1x PBS (MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC group, 9 mice). During the infection,

mice were monitored daily for clinical sickness score (CSS) (24), and fecal samples were

collected to quantify the number of spores on days 3, 5, and 7 post-infection. Mice sera were

analyzed for glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT), glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT),

blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine (CRE) using NX-600 dry biochemistry analyzer

(Fujifilm, Minato, Tokyo, Japan). After colonic morphology was observed, harvested organs

were fixed in 10% formaldehyde (BS Chemical, Kretinga, Lithuania) or stored at -80°C for

further analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10.0, and

significance was determined by t-test and One-Way ANOVA.

Quantification of *C. difficile* spore-forming CUFs

Fresh fecal samples collected on days 3, 5, and 7 post-infection were weighted and diluted

by 1 mL of ddH$_2$O with 100 mg of 0.1 mm zirconia beads (BioSpec Products, Inc., Bartlesville,

Oklahoma, USA). After the homogenization using the BeadBeater (Bertin Technologies,

avenue Ampère, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) for 50 sec (23), fecal samples were heat-

killed at 65°C for 20 min and plated on BHIS agar containing 0.1% TCA to quantify the CFUs.

The plates were anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and CFU numbers were calculated

after normalizing to the fecal weight. This experiment was representative of three independent

experiments and each in duplicate. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism

10.0, and significance was determined by t-test and One-Way ANOVA.

Results

Mitomycin C showed a synergistic antibacterial activity in combination with

metronidazole against *C. difficile* RT027 strain R20291 and RT078 clinical strains

The previous study used MMC to induce phage production in *C. difficile* and promote the

lytic cycle (21). Interestingly, over 70% of *C. difficile* strains naturally carry prophages (25).

Therefore, we wanted to check whether MMC could be combined with VAN or MTZ as a novel

CDI therapeutic strategy. First, we performed the checkerboard assay (Figure 1A), and the MIC

of MMC and MTZ were determined to be 2 μg/mL and 3 μg/mL, respectively (Figure S1A).

The combination of MTZ with MMC showed synergistic antibacterial activity in inhibiting the

growth of R20291, as the FIC index was less than 0.5 (Figure 1B). MTZ combined with 1

μg/mL MMC could reduce the MIC of MTZ for inhibiting *C. difficile* growth from 3 μg/mL to

0.375 μg/mL (an 8-fold reduced MIC value) (Figure S1A). While the combination of VAN and

MMC exhibited an additive activity only (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the MTZ-MMC treatment

also synergistically against RT027 and RT078 *C. difficile* clinical strains (Figure 1C); MMC

additively assisted VAN in inhibiting RT078 strains (Figure S1B). These results demonstrated

that MMC enhances the effects of CDI-treating antibiotics.

E-test strips were used to confirm the synergistic effects of MTZ and MMC for combating

R20291. The MIC of MTZ strip alone was 0.125 µg/mL (Figure 1D, left). Notably, 0.25 µg/mL

of MMC would not influence the growth of bacteria (Figure 1D, middle), but effectively

reduced the MIC of MTZ to 0.023 µg/mL (a 5.4-fold reduced MIC value; Figure 1D, right).

These in vitro experimental results indicate that the combination of MTZ and MMC can more

effectively inhibit *C. difficile* strain R20291 than MTZ alone.

Mitomycin C could effectively enhance the metronidazole effect on the strain R20291

biofilms

In the CDI mouse model, *C. difficile* strain R20291 can aggregate and form a glycan-rich

biofilm, which is associated with increased antibiotic resistance and rCDI (26-28). Therefore,

we tried to clarify whether MMC can help MTZ reduce the required concentration against

biofilm by the MBC assay (Figure 2A). As shown in Figure 2B, the MBC of MTZ for R20291

vegetative cells was 3 µg/mL. However, the MBC of MTZ required to eliminate R20291 in

biofilm increased to 18 µg/mL (Figure 2C, left). Interestingly, combining MTZ with 1 µg/mL

of MMC markedly decreased the MBC of MTZ to 9 µg/mL (Figure 2C, middle). MMC showed

no toxicity on R20291 vegetative cells until the concentration reached 4 µg/mL (Figure 2C,

right). To determine whether any vegetative cells were released outside the biofilm, we dropped

the biofilm supernatant on BHIS agar plates. As shown in Figure S2, no lived bacterium was

detected outside the biofilm after treatment with antibiotics. Taken together, MMC could

enhance MTZ to effectively reduce its MBC against R20291 biofilm.

Combination of mitomycin C with metronidazole inhibits *C. difficile* colonization in fresh

mouse feces

To simulate the diverse microbial gut environment, *C. difficile* strain R20291 was mixed

with fresh mouse fecal suspensions to assess the efficacy of a low concentration of MTZ

combined with MMC against *C. difficile* ex vivo. After co-culturing for 24 hours, 10^6 dilutions

of bacterial-fecal cultures were spread on BHIS agar to assess the bacterial CFU count (Figure

3A). As shown in Figure 3B, no CFU was observed in the 3 μg/mL of MTZ-treated group,

indicating that the number of *C. difficile* was less than 10^6 CFU/mL. With the combination

treatment of 0.375 μg/mL MTZ and 1 μg/mL MMC, an average of 4.5×10^6 CFU/mL was

observed. By comparison, the average CFU counts with 0.375 μg/mL of MTZ (MTZ$_{LC}$) or

1μg/mL of MMC alone were 7.8×10^7 CFU/mL and 4.9×10^7 CFU/mL, respectively. The

difference may be due to the low concentration of antibiotic treatments, which affect other

bacteria competing with *C. difficile* while having no direct impact on *C. difficile* in the mouse

feces. In summary, these results demonstrated that combining MTZ with MMC is a potential

strategy to inhibit *C. difficile* colonization ex vivo.

Treating metronidazole with mitomycin C successfully increased the survival rate and

decreased the spore number in a recurrence CDI mouse model

Given that the combination of low-dose MTZ and MMC effectively inhibited *C. difficile*

in vitro and ex vivo, we want to determine whether this strategy can be applied in vivo (Figure

4A). We referred to the rCDI mouse model (23), and challenged mice with purified R20291

spores (Figure S3A, B). Only the non-infected and infected group treated with MTZ_{LC}+MMC

demonstrated a 100% survival rate, while other groups consistently fell below 80% (Figure 4B,

left). Furthermore, both R20291 group and MMC group exhibited the lowest weight on day 2

post-infection. However, by day 7 post-infection, all surviving mice had recovered to over 95%

of their initial weight (Figure 4B, right).

To determine the recurrence of *C. difficile* in mice, we collected feces on days 3, 5, and 7

post-infection and plated them on BHIS agar with 0.1% taurocholic acid (TCA). The spore-

formed CFU counts showed no significant difference between MTZ_{HC} and MTZ_{LC}+MMC

groups on day 3 post-infection (Figure 4C, left). However, the CFU counts in other infected

groups were significantly higher than those in the MTZ_{LC}+MMC group (Figure 4C). On day 5

post-infection, the spore-formed CFU counts in R20291 and MTZ_{LC}+MMC groups were

significantly lower than in other infected groups (Figure 4C, middle). Notably, the MTZ_{HC}

group had a significantly highest CFU number among all groups (Figure 4C, middle). On day

7 post-infection, despite a decrease in CFU number from all infected groups, the CFU number

in the MTZ_{HC} group remained significantly higher than that in the MTZ_{LC}+MMC group (Figure

4C, right). During the CDI, the daily clinical sickness score of mice in the MTZ_{LC}+MMC group

was lower than other infection groups (Figure S3C). The colonic morphology of infected mice

was an important indicator for assessing intestinal inflammation (29). As shown in Figure 4D,

all surviving mice recovered at day 7 post-infection with no significant difference in their cecum

length and weight. The colon length of the MTZ_{LC}+MMC group was significantly higher than

that of the MTZ_{LC} and MMC groups. Taken together, the rCDI in vivo experiments

demonstrated that the MTZ_{LC}+MMC treatment could express the highest mouse survival rate

and prevent the relapse of CDI.

Synergistic effects of mitomycin C and metronidazole enhanced mice survival rate and

reduced stool spore number compared to vancomycin treatment

Previous studies suggest that VAN has superior cure rates for severe CDI and better

outcomes for infected mice than those treated with MTZ (30, 31). However, VAN treatment

was associated with a significant disruption of the intestinal microbiota, thereby increasing the

risk of the rCDI (32, 33). Therefore, we wanted to assess whether combining MTZ with MMC

could express a better effect than VAN in the rCDI mouse model.

After being infected with R20291 spores, mice received VAN, MTZ_{HC}, or MTZ_{LC}+MMC

treatments, respectively (Figure 5A). The MTZ_{LC}+MMC treatment provided a higher survival

rate (89%) compared to the VAN (67%) or MTZ_{HC} (67%) groups (Figure 5B, left). In body

weight change rate, VAN and MTZ_{HC} groups exhibited an appreciable decrease on day 3 to 4

post-infection; this trend was less obvious in MTZ_{LC}+MMC group (Figure 5B, right), all groups

of mice recovered to over 90% of their initial weight on day 7 post-infection (Figure 5B, right).

Although the CFU counts of spore in feces from MTZ_{LC}+MMC group were significantly higher

than the other groups on day 3 post-infection (Figure 5C, left). However, on days 5 and 7 post-

infection, the CFU counts in MTZ_{LC}+MMC group were significantly lower than other two

groups (Figure 5C, middle and right). In addition, the VAN group had increased nearly ten

times spore number in their feces on day 5 post-infection (Figure 5C, middle), while the MTZ_{HC}

group maintained a high spore level in feces from day 3 to 7 post-infection (Figure 5C). In mice

intestinal inflammation, except for the cecal weight in MTZ_{LC}+MMC group was significantly

higher than in the MTZ_{HC} group; mice cecum and colon morphology showed no significant

difference between each group (Figure 5D). Finally, to ensure that combining low-dose MTZ

with MMC for CDI would not cause liver and kidney damage in mice. Serum was collected on

day 7 post-infection and analyzed for glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT), glutamic

oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine (CRE) using a dry

biochemical analyzer, and the values of each indicator exhibited no significant difference

between the groups (Figure 5E). In summary, our results demonstrated that combining low-

dose MTZ with MMC showed the highest survival rate and effectively reduced intestinal spore

count in CDI mice compared to VAN or MTZ-treated groups.

Discussion

The CDI patients still rely on VAN and MTZ treatments (2-4), however, these antibiotics

disrupt gut microbiota to cause the recurrence (34). In this study, we found that MMC can

synergistically cooperate with MTZ against *C. difficile*. Moreover, MMC can boost MTZ's

effectiveness in eliminating *C. difficile* within biofilms, despite biofilm formation providing

protection for *C. difficile* against antibiotic attacks, which can result in recurrent infections (26-

28, 35). Fidaxomicin can penetrate biofilms and disrupt their structure, thereby enhancing the

bactericidal activity (36, 37). Future work should observe the biofilm morphology to determine

whether the MTZ-MMC treatment directly disrupts biofilms to kill *C. difficile* vegetative cells.

Our in vivo experiments showed that combining MTZ_{LC} with MMC increased mice

survival rates after the spore challenge. However, the recovery of body weight in this group

was not as good as in other infected groups. Most mice of other groups with severe body weight

loss have died in CDI, leading to the lived mice having a higher average body weight than the

MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC group. The CFUs formed by spore in mice fecal samples to evaluate CDI

recurrence showed no significant difference between the R20291 and MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC groups

after day 5 post-infection. Colonization resistance is critical for recovery and preventing rCDI

through the healthy microbiota in inhibiting *C. difficile* colonization and overgrowth (38-40).

However, antibiotics disrupt microbiota diversity (41). Accordingly, we consider that mice in

both groups might suffer less damage in their microbiota and recover more rapidly to prevent

C. difficile colonization. Nevertheless, further analysis of intestinal microbial composition

between each group is needed to confirm our hypothesis.

MTZ disrupts DNA synthesis (42), while MMC cross-links DNA to prevent bacterial

replication (43). Our study indicated that combining MTZ with MMC provides better action in

inhibiting bacterial DNA replication against *C. difficile* infection.

In summary, our findings reveal a novel therapeutic strategy that MMC enhances the

efficacy of MTZ against CDI. The overexpression of NimB in some mutant strains reduces the

ability of MTZ to treat CDI, leading to antibiotic resistance (44). This issue did not occur in our

MTZ-MMC combination therapy for *C. difficile*. Furthermore, the low dose of MTZ combined

with MMC may effectively decrease rCDI by minimizing gut microbiota disruption (38, 40).

Nevertheless, further experiments are necessary to confirm the safety, optimal dosage, and

potential side effects of the combined antibiotic therapy before the clinical application.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science and Technology Council (Grants: 113-2314-B-006-043-MY3; awarded to J. W. C.). The funding agency played no role in study design, data collection or analysis, manuscript preparation, or decision to publish. We thank the Laboratory Animal Center, College of Medicine at National Cheng Kung University (NCKU), Taiwan, accredited by AAALAC International and Taiwan Animal Consortium. J. J. G. contributed to the methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, and original manuscript writing. I. H. H. contributed to conceptualization and methodology. Y. P. H. contributed to conceptualization, methodology, and resources. Y. W. C. contributed to conceptualization, methodology, and visualization. Y. C. L. contributed to investigation. J. W. C. contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition, and manuscript editing. All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. MMC and MTZ demonstrated synergistic inhibition against specific *C. difficile* strains, including RT027 (e.g., R20291) and RT078 in vitro. (**A**) Flowchart of checkerboard assay (Created with BioRender.com). FIC index of MMC combined with MTZ or VAN co-culturing with (**B**) *C. difficile* strain R20291, (**C**) RT027 and RT078 clinical strains. The MIC of MTZ alone or a combination of MMC with MTZ against *C. difficile* was determined using E-test strips (**D**). MTZ, metronidazole. VAN, vancomycin. MMC, mitomycin C. FIC index, fractional inhibitory concentration index. MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration. The results represented three independent experiments with the average from triplicate measurements.

Fig 2. Combining MMC and MTZ therapy effectively reduced the antibiotic resistance of R20291 biofilms. (**A**) The flowchart of antibiotic treatments against *C. difficile* biofilm formation (Created with BioRender.com). (**B**) MBC assay was used to determine the concentration of MTZ that can kill R20291 vegetative cells. After 3 days of incubation to form biofilms, the MBC concentration of (**C**) MTZ alone, MTZ combined with 1 µg/mL MMC, and MMC alone to combat R20291 within the biofilm was determined. MBC, minimum bactericidal concentration. This experiment was representative of three independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.

Fig 3. The combination of MMC and low-dose MTZ significantly inhibited the growth of R20291 in mouse feces. (**A**) Ex vivo model of R20291 co-cultured with mouse feces and treated with different kinds of antibiotic treatment (Created with BioRender.com). (**B**) Fecal-bacterial mixtures cultured for 24 hours were 10^6-fold diluted, and the CFU counts of *C. difficile* were determined by spreading on CCFA plates. CFU, colony-forming units. MTZ_{HC}, high concentration of MTZ (3 µg/mL). MTZ_{LC}, low concentration of MTZ (0.375 µg/mL). MMC, 1 µg/mL MMC. MTZ_{LC}+MMC, 0.375 µg/mL MTZ combined with 1 µg/mL MMC. The experiment was representative of four independent experiments with duplicate measurements. Statistical analysis was performed using the one-way analysis of variance test (*$p \leq 0.05$; ****$p \leq 0.0001$; ns, not significant)

Fig 4. Application of combining MMC with low-dose MTZ treatment in the mouse CDI recurrence model. (**A**) Schematic diagram of the mouse CDI recurrence model (Created with BioRender.com). C57BL/6 mice were given clindamycin (10 mg/kg) and orally challenged with 10^4 CFU spores. After two days of treatment with (n=8/group) or without (Mock, n=3; R20291, n=8) antibiotics, the severity of infection symptoms was observed, and feces were collected to assess the number of spores. (**B**) The survival and body weight change rate of mice were monitored daily from the day of infection (pi-day 0) until being sacrificed. (**C**) The CFU of *C. difficile* spore was determined using mouse feces collected on the days 3, 5, and 7 post-

infection. (**D**) The morphology and quantification of cecal and colonic tissues. The colon length,

cecum length, and cecum weight were harvested when the mice were sacrificed on day 7 post-

infection. Each point represents the data of one mouse. Statistical analysis was performed using

GraphPad Prism 10.0, and significance was determined by the one-way analysis of variance

(** $\leq$ 0.01; *** $\leq$ 0.001; **** $p \leq$ 0.0001; ns, not significant)

Fig 5. Comparing the effect of MMC-MTZ$_{LC}$ treatment and clinical antibiotics against *C.

***difficile* infection and recurrence in vivo.** (**A**) Diagram of the mouse CDI recurrence model

(Created with BioRender.com). Mice (n = 9/group) were orally challenged with *C. difficile*

spores, followed by two days of antibiotic treatment. (**B**) Mice survival rate and the daily

percentage of mouse body weight were recorded after being infected with R20291 spores. (**C**)

Mice feces were collected on day 3, 5, and 7 post-infection. The homogenized feces were

diluted and plated on CCFA plates to measure the spore-forming CFU counts. (**D**) The

morphology of mice cecum and colon. The degree of intestinal inflammation was evaluated by

quantifying the colonic length, cecum length, and weight. Each point represents the data of a

mouse. (**E**) The values of GPT, GOT, BUN, and CRE in mice serum. The dry biochemical

analyzer was used to measure various indicators in the serum to evaluate the damage and impact

of different treatment processes on the liver and kidney functions of mice. GPT, glutamic

pyruvic transaminase. GOT, glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase. BUN, blood urea nitrogen.

CRE, creatinine. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10.0, and

significance was determined by the t-test and one-way analysis of variance (*≤ 0.05; **≤ 0.01;

***≤ 0.001; ****p ≤ 0.0001; ns, not significant)

References

1.   Mounsey A, Lacy Smith K, Reddy VC, Nickolich S. 2020. Clostridioides difficile

Infection: Update on Management. Am Fam Physician 101:168-175.

2.   Kelly CR, Fischer M, Allegretti JR, LaPlante K, Stewart DB, Limketkai BN, Stollman

NH. 2021. ACG Clinical Guidelines: Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of

Clostridioides difficile Infections. Am J Gastroenterol 116:1124-1147.

3.   McDonald LC, Gerding DN, Johnson S, Bakken JS, Carroll KC, Coffin SE, Dubberke

ER, Garey KW, Gould CV, Kelly C, Loo V, Shaklee Sammons J, Sandora TJ, Wilcox

MH. 2018. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Clostridium difficile Infection in Adults and

Children: 2017 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and

Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA). Clin Infect Dis 66:e1-e48.

4.   Surawicz CM, Brandt LJ, Binion DG, Ananthakrishnan AN, Curry SR, Gilligan PH,

McFarland LV, Mellow M, Zuckerbraun BS. 2013. Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment,

and prevention of Clostridium difficile infections. Am J Gastroenterol 108:478-98; quiz

499.

5.   Chilton CH, Pickering DS, Freeman J. 2018. Microbiologic factors affecting

Clostridium difficile recurrence. Clin Microbiol Infect 24:476-482.

6.   Feuerstadt P, Theriault N, Tillotson G. 2023. The burden of CDI in the United States: a

multifactorial challenge. BMC Infect Dis 23:132.

7.   Nelson WW, Scott TA, Boules M, Teigland C, Parente A, Unni S, Feuerstadt P. 2021.

Health care resource utilization and costs of recurrent Clostridioides difficile infection

in the elderly: a real-world claims analysis. J Manag Care Spec Pharm 27:828-838.

8.   Feuerstadt P, Nelson WW, Drozd EM, Dreyfus J, Dahdal DN, Wong AC, Mohammadi

I, Teigland C, Amin A. 2022. Mortality, Health Care Use, and Costs of Clostridioides

difficile Infections in Older Adults. J Am Med Dir Assoc 23:1721-1728.e19.

9.   Verweij J, Pinedo HM. 1990. Mitomycin C: mechanism of action, usefulness and

limitations. Anticancer Drugs 1:5-13.

10.   Metcalfe M, Wagenheim G, Xiao L, Papadopoulos J, Navai N, Davis JW, Karam JA,

Kamat AM, Wood CG, Dinney CP, Matin SF. 2017. Induction and Maintenance

Adjuvant Mitomycin C Topical Therapy for Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma:

Tolerability and Intermediate Term Outcomes. J Endourol 31:946-953.

11.   Read MD, Drake J, Hashemipour G, Powers BD, Mehta R, Sinnamon A, Pimiento JM,

Dineen SP. 2024. Initial Experience Using Laparoscopic HIPEC for Gastric Cancer with

Peritoneal Metastasis: Safety and Outcomes. Ann Surg Oncol 31:3750-3757.

12.   Al-Humairi RMA, Hashim Mohammad T, Thanoon Ahmed S, Ad'hiah AH. 2023.

Systemic Interleukin-6 Response after Intravesical Instillation of Bacillus Calmette-

Guérin and Mitomycin C in Superficial Bladder Cancer. Arch Razi Inst 78:353-360.

13.   Svedholm E, Bruce B, Parcell BJ, Coote PJ. 2024. Repurposing Mitomycin C in

Combination with Pentamidine or Gentamicin to Treat Infections with Multi-Drug-

Resistant (MDR) Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antibiotics (Basel) 13.

14.   Pacios O, Fernández-García L, Bleriot I, Blasco L, González-Bardanca M, López M,

Fernández-Cuenca F, Oteo J, Pascual Á, Martínez-Martínez L, Domingo-Calap P, Bou

G, Tomás M. 2021. Enhanced Antibacterial Activity of Repurposed Mitomycin C and

Imipenem in Combination with the Lytic Phage vB_KpnM-VAC13 against Clinical

Isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 65:e0090021.

15.   Pal R, Seleem MN. 2020. Screening of Natural Products and Approved Oncology Drug

Libraries for Activity against Clostridioides difficile. Sci Rep 10:5966.

16.   Worthington RJ, Melander C. 2013. Combination approaches to combat multidrug-

resistant bacteria. Trends Biotechnol 31:177-84.

17.   Hu Y, Liu Y, Coates A. 2019. Azidothymidine Produces Synergistic Activity in

Combination with Colistin against Antibiotic-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Antimicrob

Agents Chemother 63.

18.   Odds FC. 2003. Synergy, antagonism, and what the chequerboard puts between them. J

Antimicrob Chemother 52:1.

19.   Nachnani S, Scuteri A, Newman MG, Avanessian AB, Lomeli SL. 1992. E-test: a new

technique for antimicrobial susceptibility testing for periodontal microorganisms. J

Periodontol 63:576-83.

20. Lambert RJ, Pearson J. 2000. Susceptibility testing: accurate and reproducible minimum

inhibitory concentration (MIC) and non-inhibitory concentration (NIC) values. J Appl

Microbiol 88:784-90.

21. Gong JJ, Huang IH, Su MS, Xie SX, Liu WY, Huang CR, Hung YP, Wu SR, Tsai PJ,

Ko WC, Chen JW. 2024. Phage transcriptional regulator X (PtrX)-mediated

augmentation of toxin production and virulence in Clostridioides difficile strain R20291.

Microbiol Res 280:127576.

22. Permpoonpattana P, Tolls EH, Nadem R, Tan S, Brisson A, Cutting SM. 2011. Surface

layers of Clostridium difficile endospores. J Bacteriol 193:6461-70.

23. Bublitz A, Brauer M, Wagner S, Hofer W, Müsken M, Deschner F, Lesker TR,

Neumann-Schaal M, Paul LS, Nübel U, Bartel J, Kany AM, Zühlke D, Bernecker S,

Jansen R, Sievers S, Riedel K, Herrmann J, Müller R, Fuchs TM, Strowig T. 2023. The

natural product chlorotonil A preserves colonization resistance and prevents relapsing

Clostridioides difficile infection. Cell Host Microbe 31:734-750.e8.

24. Shelby RD, Tengberg N, Conces M, Olson JK, Navarro JB, Bailey MT, Goodman SD,

Besner GE. 2020. Development of a Standardized Scoring System to Assess a Murine

Model of Clostridium difficile Colitis. J Invest Surg 33:887-895.

25. Hargreaves KR, Colvin HV, Patel KV, Clokie JJ, Clokie MR. 2013. Genetically diverse

Clostridium difficile strains harboring abundant prophages in an estuarine environment.

Appl Environ Microbiol 79:6236-43.

26.   Soavelomandroso AP, Gaudin F, Hoys S, Nicolas V, Vedantam G, Janoir C, Bouttier S.

2017. Biofilm Structures in a Mono-Associated Mouse Model of Clostridium difficile

Infection. Front Microbiol 8:2086.

27.   Morais M, Santos MGC, Costa CL, Martins CS, Leitão RFC, de Melo Pacífico D,

Quesada-Gómez C, Castelo Branco D, Ferreira EO, Brito GAC. 2022. Comparative

biofilm-forming ability between Clostridioides difficile strains isolated in Latin

America and the epidemic NAP1/027 strain. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12:1033698.

28.   Đapa T, Leuzzi R, Ng YK, Baban ST, Adamo R, Kuehne SA, Scarselli M, Minton NP,

Serruto D, Unnikrishnan M. 2013. Multiple factors modulate biofilm formation by the

anaerobic pathogen Clostridium difficile. J Bacteriol 195:545-55.

29.   Huang J, Kelly CP, Bakirtzi K, Villafuerte Gálvez JA, Lyras D, Mileto SJ, Larcombe S,

Xu H, Yang X, Shields KS, Zhu W, Zhang Y, Goldsmith JD, Patel IJ, Hansen J, Huang

M, Yla-Herttuala S, Moss AC, Paredes-Sabja D, Pothoulakis C, Shah YM, Wang J,

Chen X. 2019. Clostridium difficile toxins induce VEGF-A and vascular permeability

to promote disease pathogenesis. Nat Microbiol 4:269-279.

30.   Di X, Bai N, Zhang X, Liu B, Ni W, Wang J, Wang K, Liang B, Liu Y, Wang R. 2015.

A meta-analysis of metronidazole and vancomycin for the treatment of Clostridium

difficile infection, stratified by disease severity. Braz J Infect Dis 19:339-49.

31.   Erikstrup LT, Aarup M, Hagemann-Madsen R, Dagnaes-Hansen F, Kristensen B, Olsen

490   KE, Fuursted K. 2015. Treatment of Clostridium difficile infection in mice with

491   vancomycin alone is as effective as treatment with vancomycin and metronidazole in

492   combination. BMJ Open Gastroenterol 2:e000038.

493   32.   Lewis BB, Buffie CG, Carter RA, Leiner I, Toussaint NC, Miller LC, Gobourne A, Ling

494   L, Pamer EG. 2015. Loss of Microbiota-Mediated Colonization Resistance to

495   Clostridium difficile Infection With Oral Vancomycin Compared With Metronidazole.

496   J Infect Dis 212:1656-65.

497   33.   Warren CA, van Opstal EJ, Riggins MS, Li Y, Moore JH, Kolling GL, Guerrant RL,

498   Hoffman PS. 2013. Vancomycin treatment's association with delayed intestinal tissue

499   injury, clostridial overgrowth, and recurrence of Clostridium difficile infection in mice.

500   Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:689-96.

501   34.   Berkell M, Mysara M, Xavier BB, van Werkhoven CH, Monsieurs P, Lammens C,

502   Ducher A, Vehreschild M, Goossens H, de Gunzburg J, Bonten MJM, Malhotra-Kumar

503   S. 2021. Microbiota-based markers predictive of development of Clostridioides difficile

504   infection. Nat Commun 12:2241.

505   35.   Dawson LF, Peltier J, Hall CL, Harrison MA, Derakhshan M, Shaw HA, Fairweather

506   NF, Wren BW. 2021. Extracellular DNA, cell surface proteins and c-di-GMP promote

507   biofilm formation in Clostridioides difficile. Sci Rep 11:3244.

36.   James GA, Chesnel L, Boegli L, deLancey Pulcini E, Fisher S, Stewart PS. 2018.

Analysis of Clostridium difficile biofilms: imaging and antimicrobial treatment. J

Antimicrob Chemother 73:102-108.

37.   Hamada M, Yamaguchi T, Ishii Y, Chono K, Tateda K. 2020. Inhibitory effect of

fidaxomicin on biofilm formation in Clostridioides difficile. J Infect Chemother 26:685-

692.

38.   Theriot CM, Koenigsknecht MJ, Carlson PE, Jr., Hatton GE, Nelson AM, Li B,

Huffnagle GB, J ZL, Young VB. 2014. Antibiotic-induced shifts in the mouse gut

microbiome and metabolome increase susceptibility to Clostridium difficile infection.

Nat Commun 5:3114.

39.   Britton RA, Young VB. 2014. Role of the intestinal microbiota in resistance to

colonization by Clostridium difficile. Gastroenterology 146:1547-53.

40.   Theriot CM, Young VB. 2015. Interactions Between the Gastrointestinal Microbiome

and Clostridium difficile. Annu Rev Microbiol 69:445-61.

41.   Binyamin D, Nitzan O, Azrad M, Hamo Z, Koren O, Peretz A. 2021. The microbial

diversity following antibiotic treatment of Clostridioides difficile infection. BMC

Gastroenterol 21:166.

42.   Edwards DI. 1979. Mechanism of antimicrobial action of metronidazole. J Antimicrob

Chemother 5:499-502.

43.   Tomasz M, Palom Y. 1997. The mitomycin bioreductive antitumor agents: cross-linking

and alkylation of DNA as the molecular basis of their activity. Pharmacol Ther 76:73-

87.

44.   Olaitan AO, Dureja C, Youngblom MA, Topf MA, Shen WJ, Gonzales-Luna AJ,

Deshpande A, Hevener KE, Freeman J, Wilcox MH, Palmer KL, Garey KW, Pepperell

CS, Hurdle JG. 2023. Decoding a cryptic mechanism of metronidazole resistance

among globally disseminated fluoroquinolone-resistant Clostridioides difficile. Nat

Commun 14:4130.

Figure 1

A.

B. **C.**

D.

Figure 2

A.

B.

MTZ only

R20291 vegetative cell

C.

R20291 Biofilm

Figure 3

A.

B.

Figure 4

A.

B.

C.

D.

Colonic morphology

Figure 5

A.

Clindamycin
(10 mg/kg)

Antibiotic treatment
- MTZ$_{HC}$
- VAN
- MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC

Fecal collection
&
Observation

Day 0   Day 3   Day 7

Day -1   Day 1   Day 2   Day 5

C. difficile Spore infection
(10^4 spore forming CFU/mice)

Fecal collection
&
Observation

Fecal collection
&
Sacrifice

B.

Survival (%) vs Days post infection
- VAN (6/9)
- MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC (8/9)
- MTZ$_{HC}$ (6/9)

Bodyweight (%) vs Days post infection
- VAN
- MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC
- MTZ$_{HC}$

C.

CFU/g feces — Post-infection day 3: *** ***
CFU/g feces — Post-infection day 5: **** ***
CFU/g feces — Post-infection day 7: *** **

- VAN
- MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC
- MTZ$_{HC}$

D.

Cecal and colonic morphology

MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC   VAN   MTZ$_{HC}$

Colon length (cm): ns ns
Cecum length (cm): ns ns
Cecum weight (g): ns *

- VAN
- MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC
- MTZ$_{HC}$

E.

GPT (U/L): ns ns
GOT (U/L): ns ns
BUN (mg/dL): ns ns
CRE (mg/dL): ns ns

- VAN
- MTZ$_{LC}$+MMC
- MTZ$_{HC}$