Reducing flight time during running decreases tibial-fibular strains: a finite element analysis

Arash Khassetarash[1,2], Benno. M. Nigg[1], W. Brent Edwards[1,3,4]

[1] Human Performance Laboratory, Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada

[2] Department of Kinesiology and Physical Education, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB, T1K 3M4, Canada

[3] Department of Biomedical Engineering, Schulich School of Engineering, Uni University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada

[4] McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 4Z6, Canada

Corresponding author:

Arash Khassetarash

Email: arash.khassetarash@uleth.ca

4401 University Dr. W, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada, T1K 3M4

Word count: 3500

Reducing flight time during running decreases tibial-fibular strains: a finite element analysis

Abstract

Purpose: Reducing strains within the tibia and fibula during running may reduce the risk

of stress fractures. We examined the effect of reduced flight time during running (i.e., grounded

running) on finite-element predicted bone strains within the tibia-fibula complex. **Methods:** Nine

physically active males ran on an instrumented treadmill at 2.2 m/s using a preferred and reduced

flight time technique in a randomized order. Three-dimensional force and motion capture data

were recorded during running and a computed tomography image was subsequently acquired for

the participant's left leg. An inverse-dynamics-based musculoskeletal modeling workflow was

used to calculate bone-on-bone contact and muscle forces during the stance phase of running.

These forces served as inputs to a participant-specific finite-element model to estimate peak bone

strains and strained volume (i.e., the volume of bone experiencing strains above a specific

threshold) within the tibia-fibula complex. **Results:** Guided attempts to reduce flight time was

successful with an 18 ms (95% CI: 12 ms, 25 ms; $p<0.001$) reduction in flight time. Reducing

flight time was associated with significant reductions in peak tibial/fibular strains (17% lower;

95% CI: -7.1%, -25.0%; $p=0.002$) and strained volume (35% lower; 95% CI: -13.57%, -50.87%;

$p=0.007$). **Conclusion:** We conclude that guided attempts to reduce flight time significantly

reduces strains in the tibia and fibula during treadmill running at a fixed speed. These results

suggest that grounded running may be a viable technique to reduce musculoskeletal loading and

stress fracture risk, particularly in slow runners and those runners coming back from injury.

Keywords: Running, stress fracture, injury, biomechanics, gait retraining

Introduction

Running is one of the most accessible and popular forms of physical activity, annually adopted by over 600 million people worldwide (Wonder, n.d.). Unfortunately, running-related injuries are common, and these injuries act as barriers to achieving the many physical and mental health benefits of running. Stress fractures are particularly common amongst runners, with an incident rate of 8-18 injuries per 1000 hours of running (Videbæk et al., 2015). Stress fractures have been associated with a mechanical fatigue phenomenon, wherein cyclic submaximal loading leads to microdamage accumulation and structural failure at loading magnitudes much lower than the bone's monotonic failure strength (Edwards, 2018). The rate of microdamage accumulation and fatigue failure in bone is heavily dependent on the resulting strain from the applied loading magnitude (Haider et al., 2021; Loundagin et al., 2021). Hence, information regarding bone strain in running is of paramount importance to evaluate the efficacy of training interventions to reduce the risk of stress fractures.

Stress fractures in runners frequently occur at the tibia (Fredericson et al., 2006; Rizzone et al., 2017), and seminal work quantified tibial bone strains *in vivo* through direct strain gauge measurement. These studies investigated differences in tibial strains in response to changes in running speed (Milgrom, 2000), grade (Burr et al., 1996), surface (Milgrom et al., 2003), and fatigue (Milgrom et al., 2007). *In vivo* strain gauge measurements are invasive and typically limited to a single anatomical location, which do not characterize the complex strain distribution experienced throughout the bone (Yang et al., 2011). For this reason, more recent studies have estimated tibial strains in different running conditions by means of musculoskeletal modeling and finite element (FE) analysis (Baggaley et al., 2024; Bruce et al., 2022; Burnet, 2017; Haider et al., 2020). In addition to a noninvasive estimation of peak strain, this approach has the added

benefit of approximating the entire strain distribution within the bone, and the volume of bone

experiencing high strain (i.e., above a presumed yield) is strongly associated with the number of

cycles to failure (Haider et al., 2021).

Several modeling studies have investigated potential interventions to reduce tibial loads

and/or strains in running. For instance, the work of Edwards et al. (2009) suggested that running

with a reduced stride length may decrease bone strains and consequently, the risk of tibial stress

fracture (Edwards et al., 2009); these findings were later verified through a prospective study in

colligate runners (Kliethermes et al., 2021). Alternative interventions that may reduce tibial loads

and thus the risk of stress fracture include running with a wider step width (Meardon and

Derrick, 2014) and the use of advanced footwear technology (Werkhausen et al., 2024).

Recently, Bonnaerens et al., (2022) investigated an intervention that eliminated the flight phase

of running (i.e., "grounded running"; (Gatesy & Biewener, 1991)), which suggested reduced

peak muscle and joint contact forces at the lower extremity with this technique. This technique

may be beneficial for slower runners or those coming back from running injuries. However,

given the complex relationship between applied load and bone strain, we were interested to

further characterize the influence of grounded running on the mechanical environment within the

tibia.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of grounded running on bone

strains in the tibia-fibula complex using a combination of experimentation, musculoskeletal

modelling, and FE analysis. To this end, an inverse-dynamics based musculoskeletal model was

used to estimate lower-extremity muscle forces while participants ran with a preferred or

grounded form of running. Participant-specific FE models based on computed tomography (CT)

scans were then used to compute tibial-fibular strains in each condition. Based on previous

research, suggesting reduced lower-extremity joint contact forces in grounded running

(Bonnaerens et al., 2022), we hypothesized that shortening the flight phase in running (indicative

of grounded running) would reduce strains within the tibia-fibula complex when compared to

preferred running. In addition, it may be beneficial to know what factors are associated with

changes in bone strains in running to be used in future training interventions. For this reason, we

also conducted a correlation analysis between running biomechanics, external and internal

forces, and FE-predicted strains. We hypothesized that changes in muscle forces associated with

changes in running technique would be correlated with tibial bone strain.

Methods

Previous studies comparing the effect of preferred versus grounded running demonstrated

an effect size of Cohen's d = 2.75 for external forces (Bonnaerens et al., 2019) and Cohen's d =

1.25 for internal forces (e.g., Soleus force (Bonnaerens et al., 2022)). Given uncertainty in the

effect size for the primary outcome of bone strain, an *a priori* sample size of N = 8 was

calculated, assuming a cautious effect size of Cohen's d = 1.0 to reach a statistical power of 1-β

= 0.8, at a significance level of α = 0.05 (GPower 3.1.9.7). To account for possible dropouts, a

convenience sample of ten physically active males were recruited and only nine participants

(age: 27.2 ± 1.98 years, height: 1.76 ± 0.09 m, body mass: 75.5 ± 11.5 kg) completed the entire

protocol described below. The participants did not have any musculoskeletal injuries prior to

data collection. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (REB #19-1845)

and all participants provided written informed consent.

Participants visited the lab on three different occasions with a maximum of a one-week

between sessions. In the first visit, participants were familiarized with the grounded running

technique. Participants ran on an instrumented treadmill (Bertec Corps., Columbus, OH), while

the vertical component of the ground reaction force (VGRF) was projected in real time on a

screen facing the runner. Grounded running was defined by the elimination of a flight phase and

no double-leg-support (Gatesy and Biewener, 1991). This was accomplished by asking

participants to remove the flight phase while keeping the lowest VGRF slightly above zero. An

error threshold was identified on the screen by a ribbon signifying a VGRF below 50 N.

Participants were given as much time as needed to learn and run comfortably with the grounded

running technique. In the second visit, twenty retroreflective markers were used to capture the

lower extremity's motion during running. The participants ran on the instrumented treadmill with

a preferred and grounded running technique for 5 minutes each in a randomized order; running

velocity was held constant at 2.22 m/s for both conditions and the VGRF was projected on the

screen in a similar manner to the first visit. Only one speed was investigated because maintaining

a grounded running technique at faster speeds was not feasible for all participants. Three-

dimensional external forces and moments from the instrumented treadmill were recorded at 2000

Hz and motion capture data were recorded at 200 Hz (Vicon motion Analysis Ltd., Oxford, UK)

for 30 seconds at a 2.5-minute mark of each condition. In the third visit, a computed tomography

(CT) scan was obtained using a GE Revolution GSI (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL) with

acquisition settings of 120 kVp and 180 mA. The CT scans captured the entire participants' left

leg and were reconstructed with an in-plane resolution of 0.486 mm by 0.486 mm and a slice

thickness of 0.625 mm. A hydroxyapatite calibration phantom (QRM GmbH, Mohrendorf,

Germany) was placed in the field of view of each scan to transform CT attenuation values in

Hounsfield units to bone mineral equivalent density (ρ_{HU}).

We used a previously established inverse-dynamics-based musculoskeletal modeling

pipeline to estimate muscle and joint contact forces in the left leg during the running protocol

(Baggaley et al., 2021; Edwards et al., 2009). The musculoskeletal model included 44 lower

extremity muscle-tendon units based on Arnold et al. (Arnold et al., 2010). For every 1% of the

gait cycle, muscle forces were estimated by minimizing the following cost function

(Crowninshield and Brand, 1981):

$$117 \qquad J = \sum_{i=1}^{44} \left(\frac{f_i}{PCSA_i}\right)^2$$

where f_i is the estimated force for the ith muscle and $PCSA_i$ is the physiological cross-section of

the ith muscle. The muscle forces were bound between zero and the maximum dynamic forces,

adjusted for length and velocity. The moments produced by the muscles about the lower

extremity joints were constrained to match the joint moments predicted by the inverse dynamics

analysis. Specifically, the flexion-extension, and abduction-adduction moments at the hip, and

the flexion-extension moment at the knee and ankle were used as constraints. The optimization

was implemented using the interior-point algorithm in the MATLAB Toolbox (i.e., fmincon

function). Bone-on-bone joint contact forces were calculated as the algebraic sum of muscle

forces from the musculoskeletal model and intersegmental reaction forces from inverse

dynamics.

FE models of the tibia-fibula complex followed the procedures previously published by

our group (Baggaley et al., 2024; Khassetarash et al., 2023), which predicted tibial bending and

torsion similar to those from experimental bone pin studies (Yang et al., 2014) and strains from

experimental measurements (Burr et al., 1996). Briefly, the CT images were manually segmented

and converted into 3D models of the tibia and fibula using the Mimics Innovation Suit (v21,

Materialize, Leuven, Belgium). The 3D tibia and fibula models were then meshed using

NetGen/NGSolve (https://ngsolve.org). Bones were meshed with quadratic tetrahedral elements

with an average of ~300,000 elements for the tibia and ~50,000 elements for the fibula. A

convergence study from our previous work illustrated that changing the number of elements

from 130,000 to 270,000 changed peak tibial strains by 4% (Bruce et al., 2022), suggesting the

FE mesh was adequately refined. Bone was modeled as an inhomogeneous orthotropic material

with bone apparent density (ρ_{app}) being used to assign element moduli according to:

140
$$E_3 = 6570\, \rho_{app}^{1.37}$$

where E_3 is the elastic modulus in the longitudinal direction and $\rho_{app} = \rho_{HU}\,/0.626$ g/cm^3 (Dalstra

et al., 1993). The elastic and shear moduli in the other directions along with the Poisson's ratios

were determined according to Rho et al., (Rho et al., 1995) as reported in our previous work

(Haider et al., 2020)

Boundary conditions were defined similar to Khassetarash et al., (2023), where the tibial

plateau was fixed in all degrees of freedom and a point on the medial proximal tibia was fixed in

the anterior-posterior direction; the ankle joint was fixed in the anterior-posterior and medio-

lateral directions. The tibia and fibula were connected by binding adjacent elements of the bones

at the proximal and distal side. The anterior and the posterior proximal tibia-fibula ligaments

were modelled as spring elements with stiffness according to Marchetti et al. (2017). The ankle

joint contact force along with a residual moment (Haider et al., 2020) were applied to the ankle

joint centre. Forces from sixteen muscles along with the patellar tendon were applied as point

forces at their insertions on the tibia or fibula. The quasi-static finite element simulation was

performed in Abaqus (version 2021, Dassault System, RI, USA) using muscle forces from the

instant of peak ankle joint contact force. To further verify model predicted strains, similar to

Baggaley et al., (2024), we created a virtual strain gauge between the midshaft and 2 cm distal on

the medial tibial surface. We then compared the strains at the site of the virtual strain gauge to

the experimental studies (Burr et al., 1996).

We focused our analysis on elements in the tibial and fibular diaphysis (Bruce et al.,

2022). For these elements, we calculated the 90th percentile pressure modified von Misses strain

(de Vree et al., 1995) as a measure of peak strain and strained volume (defined as the volume of

elements experiencing a pressure modified von Misses strain above 3000 $\mu\epsilon$), as the latter was

shown to correlate well with the fatigue life of whole rabbit tibiae (Haider et al., 2021). To

quantify changes in biomechanics associated with running technique, secondary dependent

variables of interest included spatiotemporal parameters (i.e., flight time, contact time, step

frequency, duty factor) and the VGRF.

A Shapiro-Wilk test of normality was performed on all variables of interest. The results

were reported in mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise stated. Running technique (i.e.,

preferred or grounded) was treated as an independent variable while the FE results (i.e., 90th

percentile strain and strained volume) as well as spatiotemporal and VGRF were treated as

dependent variables. Paired t-tests were conducted for dependent variables to compare preferred

and grounded running conditions. An exploratory correlation analysis was also performed

between FE predicted strains, spatiotemporal variables, VGRF, and select muscle or tendon

forces (i.e., soleus, patellar tendon). These two muscle and tendon forces were chosen because of

their large magnitudes and significant contributions to the bone strain distribution. A Benjamini-

Hochberg (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) false discovery rate correction was applied to the

significance values from the correlation analysis; statistical significance was set at 0.05 after the

p-values were corrected.

Results

Attempts to decrease flight time through grounded running were successful. Indeed,

flight time was reduced from 31 ± 11 ms during preferred running to 13 ± 4 ms during grounded

running ($p < 0.001$) (**Figure 1**). No changes in contact time (345 ± 25 ms in preferred condition

vs. 354 ± 21 ms in grounded running; $P = 0.21$) or step frequency (160 ± 8.8 steps per minute in

preferred condition vs. 164 ± 8.9 steps per minute in grounded running; $p = 0.24$) associated with

the intervention were observed. Duty factor increased from 0.46 ± 0.16 during preferred running

to 0.48 ± 0.06 during grounded running ($p < 0.001$). The VGRF also reduced significantly during

grounded running (1605 ± 211 N vs. 1351 ± 240 N; $p = 0.006$).

Figure 1. Spatiotemporal variables during preferred and grounded running including: A) flight time B)
contact time, C) step frequency, and D) duty factor. In both graphs, the thick black line represents the mean of all
participants, and the gray transparent lines represent individual participants.

The distribution of pressure-modified von Misses strain for a representative participant is

shown in **Figure 2**. In general, the strains on the anterior and posterior aspects of the tibial and

fibular midshaft were lower during grounded running when compared to preferred running.

Figure 3 depicts the strained volume for the same participant. In both conditions, most of the

volume of bone experiencing over 3000 $\mu\varepsilon$ (i.e., elements contributing to the strained volume

calculation) were on the posterior aspect of the tibia and fibula. Quantitatively, peak strain was

significantly lower during grounded running compared to preferred running (**Figure 4A**, 4193 $\pm$

737 $\mu\varepsilon$ vs. 3498 $\pm$ 738 $\mu\varepsilon$; $p = 0.002$). Strained volume was also significantly reduced in the

grounded running condition when compared to preferred running (**Figure 4B**, 9680 $\pm$ 3159 mm^3

vs. 6305 $\pm$ 2905 mm^3; $p = 0.007$).

Figure 2. Pressure-modified von Misses strain distribution in the tibia-fibula complex during preferred and grounded running for a representative participant. The elements between the proximal and distal planes shown in the figure were considered for peak strain calculation.

Figure 3. Strained volume (i.e., volume of elements experiencing strains above 3000 με) during preferred

and grounded running for a representative participant. The elements between the proximal and distal planes shown

in the figure were considered for strained volume calculation.

Figure 4. Finite element predicted A) peak strain (i.e., 90th percentile strain within the midshaft of the

tibia-fibula complex) and B) strained volume (i.e., volume of bone experiencing strains above 3000 με within the

midshaft of tibia-fibula complex). In both graphs, the thick black line represents the mean of all participants, and the

gray transparent lines represent individual participants.

219  Correlation analyses (**Table 1**) revealed that between 70% to 90% of the change in peak

220 strain could be explained by peak patellar tendon force ($p = 0.016$) and peak soleus force ($p <$

221 0.001), while strained volume was not well-explained by any of the variables explored (all $p \geq$

222 0.19).

223   **Table 1.** Correlation analysis between the finite element outputs and select musculoskeletal loading and

224              running biomechanics variables.

	Variable	R-squared	Corrected p-value
Δ peak strain	Δ VGRF	0.160	0.328
	Δ flight time	0.453	0.468
	Δ duty factor	0.712	0.097
	Δ Soleus force	0.912	**<0.001**
	Δ Patellar tendon force	0.702	**0.023**
Δ strained volume	Δ VGRF	0.233	0.285
	Δ flight time	0.317	0.192
	Δ duty factor	0.388	0.336
	Δ Soleus force	0.517	0.328
	Δ Patellar tendon force	0.5641	0.192

227  The strains predicted at the virtual strain gauge site were within the range of the

228 experimental strain gauge study. During preferred running condition, the FE model predicted

229 maximum principal strains of 853 ± 313 με, minimum principal strains of -955 ±- 454 με, and

maximum shear strains of 1809 ± 522 με. These values are comparable to Burr et al. (1996)

(Burr et al., 1996) who reported maximum principal strains of 625 ± 15 με, minimum principal

strains of -879 ± -73 με, and maximum shear strains of 1444 ± 141 με during jogging at 2.82

m/s.

Discussion

Mechanical fatigue is believed to play an important role in the development of stress

fracture (Edwards, 2018), and the fatigue behaviour of bone is strongly dependent on the

resulting strain from the applied cyclic load (Carter et al., 1981). In this study, we investigated

the effects of grounded running (i.e., reducing flight time) on FE-predicted tibial/fibular strains.

We observed a significant reduction in both the peak strain and strained volume in grounded

running when compared to a preferred running technique. The reductions in peak strain were

explained, in part, by corresponding reductions in muscle forces associated with grounded

running. These findings suggest that grounded running may represent a practical gait strategy for

lowering the risk of stress fractures.

The reductions in peak strain and strained volume associated with grounded running

would be expected to reduce the risk of stress fracture considerably. On average, grounded

running reduced the magnitude of peak strain by 695 ± 581 με (95% CI: 316 με, 1076 με) when

compared to preferred running. Using a stress-life approach, we can estimate how much longer a

participant could hypothetically run by adopting a grounded running technique before bone

failure. The relationship between bone stress, or strain, and the number of cycles to failure is

well explained by an inverse power-law with an exponent ranging from -5 to -15 (Martin, 1992).

Assuming an exponent of -7, used previously for estimations of bone damage (Carter and Caler,

1985; Matijevich et al., 2019) and stress fracture risk (Edwards et al., 2009), the ~17% reduction

in peak strain associated with grounded running would correspond to a ~256% increase in the

number of cycles to failure. In other words, a grounded running technique may allow an

individual to run more than three times farther at the same speed before experiencing a stress

fracture. Note that this simplified estimation does not consider the large scatter observed in *ex*

vivo cyclic tests of bone (Taylor, 1999), or the bone adaptation and bone remodeling response to

microdamage and mechanical loading (Burr et al., 1985).

Prior research investigating grounded running demonstrated a reduction in external forces

(Bonnaerens et al., 2019) as well as muscle and joint contact forces (Bonnaerens et al., 2022)

when compared to a preferred technique. The current study contributes to this literature by

demonstrating these reductions in applied load were also associated with concurrent reductions

in bone strain. Our findings underscore the limitations of relying solely on external loading

parameters for predicting bone strain. Indeed, the correlation analysis between FE outputs and

VGRF revealed weak associations (R^2 = 0.16-0.23), indicating that external forces alone are

insufficient predictors of peak strain or strained volume. On the other hand, select muscle-tendon

forces explained a substantial portion of the variance in peak strain (R^2 = 0.70-0.91), suggesting

their utility as a surrogate measure of bone strain and presumably stress fracture risk. These

findings highlight the crucial role of muscle forces in modulating the stress-strain environment

within bone, beyond what is captured by external loading variables.

While this study provides insights into the bone strain distribution in grounded running, it

is important to acknowledge certain limitations inherent to musculoskeletal and FE modeling.

For example, the estimation of muscle forces relied on an optimization approach with a cost

function. While this cost function has been implicitly tested (Zargham et al., 2019), it lacks

explicit validation. Moreover, the muscle parameters used in the model, including origins,

insertions, moment arms, and theoretical maximum forces, were based on estimations rather than

direct measurements from our participants. These estimations may affect the magnitude of strain

but are highly unlikely to affect our interpretation given the repeated measure design of this

study. With regard to the FE model, while the boundary conditions were grounded in

physiological principles (Haider et al., 2020), they may not perfectly replicate the actual joint

mechanical behavior *in vivo*. Nevertheless, FE predicted bone strains at a virtual strain gauge

location were similar to those measured experimentally by Burr et al., (Burr et al., 1996). The

peak strains in our FE models were considerably higher (ranging from 3000 $\mu\varepsilon$ to 5000 $\mu\varepsilon$) than

those at the virtual strain gauge location, which illustrates the shortcomings of using a single

strain gauge measurement to characterize the complex strain distribution experienced throughout

the bone. Despite uncertainties related to the musculoskeletal and FE modeling approach used

herein, our current and prior research (Baggaley et al., 2024; Khassetarash et al., 2023) has

demonstrated promising results in predicting bone bending and strains that align with

experimental findings from bone pin (Yang et al., 2014) and strain gauge (Burr et al., 1996;

Milgrom et al., 2020) studies.

It is also crucial to remember that this investigation focused on an acute intervention, and

the long-term implications of prolonged grounded running on the musculoskeletal system remain

unknown. Future studies should consider the potential for adaptations and changes in bone

strains with chronic exposure to grounded running. Grounded running was accomplished with

minimal instruction, but this was facilitated through real-time visual feedback. If grounded

running program were to be implemented in practice several laboratory-based gait training

sessions would likely be required (e.g., (Gaudette et al., 2022)). In this regard, there are several

questions left to be answered related to the length and frequency of gait training sessions for

optimal adoption and recall. Although we would not recommend grounded running as a universal

strategy, in part because of the slow running speed required, it could represent a viable load-

management technique for those runners with a history of overuse injury, particularly in

situations where running speed can be sacrificed.

Conclusion

305 This study suggested that reducing the flight phase of running by transitioning to a

306 grounded running technique is an effective intervention for decreasing strains within the tibia-

307 fibula complex in the majority of runners. Although confirmation through prospective studies is

308 still needed, reducing the flight phase appears to be a promising strategy to reduce bone strain

309 and stress fracture risk at slower running velocities.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council

of Canada (RGPIN 02404-2021). We would like to thank Art Kuo, Jeremy Wong, Koen Lemire

for their help in study design and implementation, and Mark Pineda for the help with data

collection.

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to declare.

References

319   **References**

320   Arnold, E.M., Ward, S.R., Lieber, R.L., Delp, S.L., 2010. A model of the lower limb for analysis
321   of human movement. Ann Biomed Eng 38, 269–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-009-
322   9852-5

323   Baggaley, M., Derrick, T.R., Vernillo, G., Millet, G., Edwards, W.B., 2021. Internal Tibial
324   Forces and Moments During Graded Running. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering.
325   https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4051924

326   Baggaley, M., Haider, I., Bruce, O., Khassetarash, A., Edwards, W.B., 2024. Tibial strains are
327   sensitive to speed, but not grade, perturbations during running. Journal of Experimental
328   Biology jeb.246770. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.246770

329   Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and
330   Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol 57, 289–
331   300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

332   Bonnaerens, S., Fiers, P., Galle, S., Aerts, P., Frederick, E.C., Kaneko, Y., Derave, W., De
333   Clercq, D., 2019. Grounded Running Reduces Musculoskeletal Loading: Med Sci Sport
334   Exer 51, 708–715. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001846

335   Bonnaerens, S., Van Rossom, S., Fiers, P., Van Caekenberghe, I., Derie, R., Kaneko, Y.,
336   Frederick, E., Vanwanseele, B., Aerts, P., De Clercq, D., Segers, V., 2022. Peak Muscle
337   and Joint Contact Forces of Running with Increased Duty Factors. Medicine & Science in
338   Sports & Exercise 54, 1842–1849. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002974

339   Bruce, O.L., Baggaley, M., Khassetarash, A., Haider, I.T., Edwards, W.B., 2022. Tibial-fibular
340   geometry and density variations associated with elevated bone strain and sex disparities
341   in young active adults. Bone 161, 116443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2022.116443

342   Burnet, J.K., 2017. Bone strain change as a result of a long distance run modeled on a finite
343   element tibia. Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa.

344   Burr, D.B., Martin, R.B., Schaffler, M.B., Radin, E.L., 1985. Bone remodeling in response to in
345   vivo fatigue microdamage. Journal of Biomechanics 18, 189–200.
346   https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290(85)90204-0

347   Burr, D.B., Milgrom, C., Fyhrie, D., Forwood, M., Nyska, M., Finestone, A., Hoshaw, S., Saiag,
348   E., Simkin, A., 1996. In vivo measurement of human tibial strains during vigorous
349   activity. Bone 18, 405–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/8756-3282(96)00028-2

350   Carter, D.R., Caler, W.E., 1985. A cumulative damage model for bone fracture. Journal of
351   Orthopaedic Research 3, 84–90. https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.1100030110

352   Carter, D.R., Caler, W.E., Spengler, D.M., Frankel, V.H., 1981. Fatigue Behavior of Adult
353   Cortical Bone: The Influence of Mean Strain and Strain Range. Acta Orthopaedica
354   Scandinavica 52, 481–490. https://doi.org/10.3109/17453678108992136

355   Crowninshield, R.D., Brand, R.A., 1981. A physiologically based criterion of muscle force
356   prediction in locomotion. J Biomech 14, 793–801.

357   Dalstra, M., Huiskes, R., Odgaard, A., van Erning, L., 1993. Mechanical and textural properties
358   of pelvic trabecular bone. Journal of Biomechanics 26, 523–535.
359   https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290(93)90014-6

360   de Vree, J.H.P., Brekelmans, W.A.M., van Gils, M.A.J., 1995. Comparison of nonlocal
361   approaches in continuum damage mechanics. Computers & Structures 55, 581–588.
362   https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-7949(94)00501-S

Edwards, W.B., 2018. Modeling Overuse Injuries in Sport as a Mechanical Fatigue Phenomenon.
Exerc Sport Sci Rev 46, 224–231. https://doi.org/10.1249/Jes.0000000000000163
Edwards, W.B., Taylor, D., Rudolphi, T.J., Gillette, J.C., Derrick, T.R., 2009. Effects of stride
length and running mileage on a probabilistic stress fracture model. Med Sci Sports Exerc
41, 2177–84. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a984c4
Fredericson, M., Jennings, F., Beaulieu, C., Matheson, G.O., 2006. Stress Fractures in Athletes.
Top Magn Reson Imaging 17, 17.
Gatesy, S.M., Biewener, A.A., 1991. Bipedal locomotion: effects of speed, size and limb posture
in birds and humans. Journal of Zoology 224, 127–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
7998.1991.tb04794.x
Gaudette, L.W., Bradach, M.M., de Souza Junior, J.R., Heiderscheit, B., Johnson, C.D., Posilkin,
J., Rauh, M.J., Sara, L.K., Wasserman, L., Hollander, K., Tenforde, A.S., 2022. Clinical
Application of Gait Retraining in the Injured Runner. J Clin Med 11, 6497.
https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11216497
Globally, how many people practice running (as a sport), and what is the global market size for
this sport? [WWW Document], n.d. . Wonder. URL
https://askwonder.com/research/globally-people-practice-running-as-sport-global-market-
size-sport-dba00dqvc (accessed 5.31.24).
Haider, I.T., Baggaley, M., Brent Edwards, W., 2020. Subject-Specific Finite Element Models of
the Tibia With Realistic Boundary Conditions Predict Bending Deformations Consistent
With In Vivo Measurement. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering 142, 021010.
https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4044034
Haider, I.T., Lee, M., Page, R., Smith, D., Edwards, W.B., 2021. Mechanical fatigue of whole
rabbit-tibiae under combined compression-torsional loading is better explained by
strained volume than peak strain magnitude. Journal of Biomechanics 122, 110434.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2021.110434
Khassetarash, A., Haider, I., Baggaley, M., Edwards, W.B., 2023. Tibial Strains During
Prolonged Downhill Running: A Finite Element Analysis. Journal of Biomechanical
Engineering 145, 041007. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4055756
Kliethermes, S.A., Stiffler-Joachim, M.R., Wille, C.M., Sanfilippo, J.L., Zavala, P., Heiderscheit,
B.C., 2021. Lower step rate is associated with a higher risk of bone stress injury: a
prospective study of collegiate cross country runners. Br J Sports Med 55, 851–856.
https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-103833
Loundagin, L.L., Pohl, A.J., Edwards, W.B., 2021. Stressed volume estimated by finite element
analysis predicts the fatigue life of human cortical bone: The role of vascular canals as
stress concentrators. Bone 143, 115647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115647
Marchetti, D.C., Moatshe, G., Phelps, B.M., Dahl, K.D., Ferrari, M.B., Chahla, J., Turnbull,
T.L., LaPrade, R.F., 2017. The Proximal Tibiofibular Joint: A Biomechanical Analysis of
the Anterior and Posterior Ligamentous Complexes. Am J Sports Med 45, 1888–1892.
https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546517697288
Martin, B., 1992. A theory of fatigue damage accumulation and repair in cortical bone. Journal
Orthopaedic Research 10, 818–825. https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.1100100611
Matijevich, E.S., Branscombe, L.M., Scott, L.R., Zelik, K.E., 2019. Ground reaction force
metrics are not strongly correlated with tibial bone load when running across speeds and
slopes: Implications for science, sport and wearable tech. PLoS ONE 14, e0210000.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210000

Meardon, S.A., Derrick, T.R., 2014. Effect of step width manipulation on tibial stress during
running. Journal of Biomechanics 47, 2738–2744.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.04.047

Milgrom, C., 2000. Do high impact exercises produce higher tibial strains than running? British
Journal of Sports Medicine 34, 195–199. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.34.3.195

Milgrom, C., Finestone, A., Segev, S., Olin, C., Arndt, T., Ekenman, I., 2003. Are overground or
treadmill runners more likely to sustain tibial stress fracture? Br J Sports Med 37, 160–3.

Milgrom, C., Finestone, A.S., Voloshin, A., 2020. Differences in the principal strain angles
during activities performed on natural hilly terrain versus engineered surfaces. Clinical
Biomechanics 80, 105146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2020.105146

Milgrom, C., Radeva-Petrova, D.R., Finestone, A., Nyska, M., Mendelson, S., Benjuya, N.,
Simkin, A., Burr, D., 2007. The effect of muscle fatigue on in vivo tibial strains. J
Biomech 40, 845–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2006.03.006

Rho, J.Y., Hobatho, M.C., Ashman, R.B., 1995. Relations of mechanical properties to density
and CT numbers in human bone. Med Eng Phys 17, 347–55.

Rizzone, K.H., Ackerman, K.E., Roos, K.G., Dompier, T.P., Kerr, Z.Y., 2017. The
Epidemiology of Stress Fractures in Collegiate Student-Athletes, 2004–2005 Through
2013–2014 Academic Years. Journal of Athletic Training 52, 966–975.
https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-52.8.01

Taylor, D., 1999. Compression data on bovine bone con"rms that a `stressed volumea principle
explains the variability of fatigue strength results. Journal of Biomechanics.

Videbæk, S., Bueno, A.M., Nielsen, R.O., Rasmussen, S., 2015. Incidence of Running-Related
Injuries Per 1000 h of running in Different Types of Runners: A Systematic Review and
Meta-Analysis. Sports Med 45, 1017–1026. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0333-8

Werkhausen, A., Lund-Hansen, M., Wiedenbruch, L., Peikenkamp, K., Rice, H., 2024.
Technologically advanced running shoes reduce oxygen cost and cumulative tibial
loading per kilometer in recreational female and male runners. Sci Rep 14, 11903.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-62263-0

Yang, P.F., Brüggemann, G.-P., Rittweger, J., 2011. What do we currently know from in vivo
bone strain measurements in humans? J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 11, 8–20.

Yang, P.-F., Sanno, M., Ganse, B., Koy, T., Brüggemann, G.-P., Müller, L.P., Rittweger, J.,
2014. Torsion and Antero-Posterior Bending in the In Vivo Human Tibia Loading
Regimes during Walking and Running. PLoS ONE 9, e94525.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094525

Zargham, A., Afschrift, M., De Schutter, J., Jonkers, I., De Groote, F., 2019. Inverse dynamic
estimates of muscle recruitment and joint contact forces are more realistic when
minimizing muscle activity rather than metabolic energy or contact forces. Gait &
Posture 74, 223–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2019.08.019

447