Determination of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MexXY-OprM specificity in a major efflux knockout system reveals distinct antibiotic substrate classes

Logan G. Kavanaugh[1,2], Shraddha M. Hariharan[1], and Graeme L. Conn[1,2,3]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

[2]Microbiology and Molecular Genetics Graduate Program, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

[3]Emory Antibiotic Resistance Center, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

Address correspondence to: gconn@emory.edu

Running Title: MexXY-OprM substrate specificity (<54 characters)

Key Words: Efflux, *Pseudomonas,* antimicrobial susceptibility, antimicrobial resistance, resistance-nodulation-division (RND)

Journal Section: Mechanisms of Resistance

Research Areas: Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, Bacteriology

ABSTRACT

Defining the substrates of Resistance-Nodulation-Division (RND) systems is often complicated by the presence of multiple systems in a single bacterial species. Using a major efflux knockout strain, we developed a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* system that enables controlled expression of MexXY-OprM in the absence of background efflux complexes with overlapping substrate profiles. This system identified three groups of potential substrates: true, partial, and nonsubstrates, and defined trimethoprim as a new substrate for MexXY-OprM.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a Gram-negative, multidrug resistant (MDR) opportunistic pathogen associated with acute and chronic infections, linked to ventilators and catheters in nosocomial settings and, for example, localized in the lungs of individuals with cystic fibrosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, respectively[1-3]. In 2019, MDR *P. aeruginosa* contributed to >300,000 deaths worldwide[1], with the occurrence of hospital-associated infections increasing by 32% in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic driving longer hospital stays and increasing the prevalence of secondary infections[2]. A major contributor to resistance in *P. aeruginosa* is active removal of antimicrobials via efflux, in particular by members of the Resistance-Nodulation-Division (RND) efflux family[4-7].

RND efflux pumps are tripartite complexes comprising a trimeric outer membrane protein (OMP), a hexameric periplasmic adaptor protein (PAP), and a trimeric inner membrane (IM) transporter[8], e.g., OprM, MexX and MexY, respectively (**Fig. 1A**). MexXY-OprM is recognized for its unique ability in *P. aeruginosa* to efflux aminoglycosides, but its substrate profile is also proposed to include ethidium bromide (EtBr), tetracyclines, macrolides, chloramphenicol (Chl), and some β-lactams[5,9-16]. Prior studies have examined substrate preference in a limited efflux knockout background (e.g., Δ*mexAB*, Δ*mexC*D, and/or Δ*mexXY*), through heterologous expression (e.g., in *E. coli*), and/or with uncontrolled induction systems (e.g., knockout of the native *mexXY* repressor, MexZ). As such, these systems do not account for compensating effects from the remaining RND pumps and determining the specific contribution of MexXY can be challenging. To overcome these limitations, we aimed to identify substrate preference for PAO1 MexXY through its controlled induction from a genomic chromosomal insertion in a multi-deletion *P. aeruginosa* background, PΔ6 (PAO1 Δ*mexAB-oprM, mexCD-oprJ, mexEF-oprN, mexJKL, mexXY, triABC*).

The native *mexXY* operon and ribosome binding site (rbs) were PCR amplified from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 genomic DNA and cloned into the miniTn7 vector, pJM220[17], under a rhamnose inducible promoter (resulting in pTn7XY), and a FLAG tag-encoding sequence subsequently appended 3' of *mexY* (resulting in pTn7XY-FLAG; **Tables S1** and **S2**). Using transposon insertion, pTn7XY-FLAG and helper plasmid, pTNS3, were electroporated into PΔ6. As outlined[18], insertion of rbs-*mexXY* and removal of the gentamicin resistance marker using pFLP were confirmed using colony PCR (resulting in strain LK17). To complement the absent OMP in LK17 (due to deletion of *mexAB-oprM* in PΔ6), *oprM*

was amplified from PAO1 genomic DNA and cloned into the arabinose-inducible complementation

plasmid, pHERD20T[19], and electroporated into both PΔ6 and LK17 resulting in strains LK36 and LK21,

respectively (**Fig. 1B**, and **Tables S1** and **S2**). This approach allows for MexXY expression in the same

isogenic genetic background and without need for chimeric operon construction with the OMP.

Optimal inducer concentrations for MexXY (rhamnose) and OprM (arabinose) were empirically

determined, with 1% wt/vol of each inducer determined to show the largest increase in resistance without

affecting cell growth (**Fig. S1** and **Table S3**). Using this system we measured minimum inhibitory

concentrations (MICs) for a panel of chemically and functionally diverse antimicrobials, as previously

described[20,21], in the absence or presence of inducer (i.e., rhamnose and/or arabinose) in all strains (**Fig.**

2 and **Table S4**). Western blot analysis was performed on whole cell lysate after seven hours of

induction to confirm MexY expression in LK21 (**Fig. S2**).

Deletion of the six efflux systems in PΔ6 resulted in increased sensitivity to all antibiotics tested

with the exception of tobramycin (Tob), ceftazidime (Caz), imipenem (Imi), and polymyxin B (Pmb), which

are weak or non-substrates for RND-type efflux pumps in *Pseudomonas*[22,23]. Substrates with the highest

change in susceptibility (up to 64-fold) were Ery, Chl, and trimethoprim (Tmp), confirming that the deleted

RND efflux systems are collectively a major contributor to resistance to these antimicrobials in *P.*

aeruginosa PAO1 (**Fig. 2**). Interestingly, the use of arabinose and rhamnose sugars antagonized action

of aminoglycosides in both PAO1 and PΔ6, as well as Ery, cefepime (Fep), and Chl in PAO1 (**Table S4**).

We speculate that because aminoglycosides are modified sugars, the presence of additional sugars in

the media may regulate other aminoglycoside entry/efflux transporters[24].

Expression of MexXY-OprM in PΔ6 allowed for robust efflux of aminoglycosides, ciprofloxacin

(Cip), Fep, tigecycline (Tig), Ery, meropenem (Mem), and Tmp, for which MICs were restored to or

exceeded wild-type levels. We refer to these antimicrobials as 'true' substrates of MexXY-OprM. These

analyses also revealed Tmp to be a previously undefined substrate for MexXY-OprM (**Fig. 1C, Fig. 2** and

Table S4). We further classified antibiotics into two additional categories: 'partial' or 'nonsubstrates'.

Partial substrates were defined as substrates for which resistance levels increased moderately in the

presence of MexXY-OprM expression, but resistance observed in PAO1 cannot be attributed to MexXY

efflux alone (**Fig. 1C**). For example, resistance to EtBr and Chl increased but was not restored to the

level observed for PAO1 upon MexXY-OprM expression, suggesting additional RND systems or

resistance mechanisms are partly or primarily responsible for efflux of these substrates. Additionally, the

MICs for Tob, Caz, and Imi did not change upon deletion efflux systems in PΔ6 but were increased

during overexpression of MexXY-OprM (**Table S4**). These data suggest that these antimicrobials are not

preferred MexXY-OprM substrates but can be exported when the pump is overexpressed, or that the

overexpression of MexXY-OprM itself leads to physiological changes that impact resistance to these

antimicrobials. Furthermore, as these compounds are members of antibiotic families with 'true'

substrates, it is possible that the small differences in chemical substituents may result in loss of efflux

recognition (**Fig. 2**). The only antibiotic tested whose resistance was unaffected by the deletion or complementation of MexXY-OprM was Pmb which is thus classified as a nonsubstrate.

Overall, these studies identified Tmp as a MexXY-OprM substrate and the potential for MexXY-OprM to contribute to Caz and Imi resistance when overexpressed, a phenomenon typically observed in CF clinical isolates[25,26]. Additionally, as our system allows for the overexpression of MexXY-OprM, without significantly impacting growth, we can increase the threshold for observing small differences within substrate classes (e.g., aminoglycoside, cephalosporins, and carbapenems). As efflux-mediated resistance is a major contributor to multidrug resistance, and therefore therapeutic failures, such approaches to better understand efflux substrate specificity as well as the impact of overexpression on 'nonsubstrate' antibiotics are of vital importance.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Disease award R01 AI185192 (to G.L.C.) and NIH National Institute for General Medical Sciences NRSA Pre-doctoral Fellowship F31 GM143891 (to L.G.K.).

References

1. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. Lancet. 2022;399(10325):629-55. Epub 20220119. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)02724-0. PubMed PMID: 35065702; PMCID: PMC8841637.

2. COVID-19 : U.S. impact on antimicrobial resistance, special report 20222022. PubMed PMID: cdc:119025.

3. Reynolds D, Kollef M. The Epidemiology and Pathogenesis and Treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infections: An Update. Drugs. 2021;81(18):2117-31. Epub 20211107. doi: 10.1007/s40265-021-01635-6. PubMed PMID: 34743315; PMCID: PMC8572145.

4. Lorusso AB, Carrara JA, Barroso CDN, Tuon FF, Faoro H. Role of Efflux Pumps on Antimicrobial Resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(24). Epub 20221213. doi: 10.3390/ijms232415779. PubMed PMID: 36555423; PMCID: PMC9779380.

5. Masuda N, Sakagawa E, Ohya S, Gotoh N, Tsujimoto H, Nishino T. Substrate specificities of MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, and MexXY-oprM efflux pumps in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000;44(12):3322-7. Epub 2000/11/18. doi: 10.1128/aac.44.12.3322-3327.2000. PubMed PMID: 11083635; PMCID: PMC90200.

6. Okamoto K, Gotoh N, Nishino T. Alterations of susceptibility of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by overproduction of multidrug efflux systems, MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, and MexXY/OprM to

133  carbapenems: Substrate specificities of the efflux systems. Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy.

134  2002;8(4):371-3. doi: 10.1007/s10156-002-0193-7.

135 7. Okamoto K, Gotoh N, Nishino T. Extrusion of penem antibiotics by multicomponent efflux systems

136  MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, and MexXY-OprM of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents

137  Chemother. 2002;46(8):2696-9. Epub 2002/07/18. doi: 10.1128/aac.46.8.2696-2699.2002. PubMed

138  PMID: 12121960; PMCID: PMC127373.

139 8. Kavanaugh Logan G, Dey D, Shafer William M, Conn Graeme L. Structural and functional diversity of

140  Resistance-Nodulation-Division (RND) efflux pump transporters with implications for antimicrobial

141  resistance. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. 2024;88(3):e00089-23. doi:

142  10.1128/mmbr.00089-23.

143 9. Chuanchuen R, Wannaprasat W, Ajariyakhajorn K, Schweizer HP. Role of the MexXY multidrug

144  efflux pump in moderate aminoglycoside resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from

145  Pseudomonas mastitis. Microbiol Immunol. 2008;52(8):392-8. Epub 2008/08/01. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-

146  0421.2008.00051.x. PubMed PMID: 18667038.

147 10. Sobel ML, McKay GA, Poole K. Contribution of the MexXY Multidrug Transporter to Aminoglycoside

148  Resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Clinical Isolates. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.

149  2003;47(10):3202-7. doi: 10.1128/AAC.47.10.3202-3207.2003.

150 11. Masuda N, Sakagawa E, Ohya S, Gotoh N, Tsujimoto H, Nishino T. Contribution of the MexX-MexY-

151  oprM efflux system to intrinsic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents

152  Chemother. 2000;44(9):2242-6. Epub 2000/08/22. doi: 10.1128/aac.44.9.2242-2246.2000. PubMed

153  PMID: 10952562; PMCID: PMC90052.

154 12. Llanes C, Hocquet D, Vogne C, Benali-Baitich D, Neuwirth C, Plésiat P. Clinical strains of

155  Pseudomonas aeruginosa overproducing MexAB-OprM and MexXY efflux pumps simultaneously.

156  Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48(5):1797-802. Epub 2004/04/24. doi: 10.1128/aac.48.5.1797-

157  1802.2004. PubMed PMID: 15105137; PMCID: PMC400543.

158 13. Aires JR, Köhler T, Nikaido H, Plésiat P. Involvement of an active efflux system in the natural

159  resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to aminoglycosides. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.

160  1999;43(11):2624-8. Epub 1999/10/30. doi: 10.1128/aac.43.11.2624. PubMed PMID: 10543738;

161  PMCID: PMC89534.

162 14. Mine T, Morita Y, Kataoka A, Mizushima T, Tsuchiya T. Expression in Escherichia coli of a New

163  Multidrug Efflux Pump, MexXY, from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrobial Agents and

164  Chemotherapy. 1999;43(2):415-7. doi: 10.1128/AAC.43.2.415.

15. Westbrock-Wadman S, Sherman DR, Hickey MJ, Coulter SN, Zhu YQ, Warrener P, Nguyen LY,
Shawar RM, Folger KR, Stover CK. Characterization of a Pseudomonas aeruginosa efflux pump
contributing to aminoglycoside impermeability. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1999;43(12):2975-83.
Epub 1999/12/03. doi: 10.1128/AAC.43.12.2975. PubMed PMID: 10582892; PMCID: PMC89597.

16. Morita Y, Tomida J, Kawamura Y. Primary mechanisms mediating aminoglycoside resistance in the
multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolate PA7. Microbiology (Reading).
2012;158(Pt 4):1071-83. Epub 2012/01/28. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.054320-0. PubMed PMID: 22282519.

17. Meisner J, Goldberg JB. The Escherichia coli rhaSR-PrhaBAD Inducible Promoter System Allows
Tightly Controlled Gene Expression over a Wide Range in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Appl Environ
Microbiol. 2016;82(22):6715-27. Epub 2016/10/30. doi: 10.1128/aem.02041-16. PubMed PMID:
27613678; PMCID: PMC5086565.

18. Choi KH, Schweizer HP. mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single attTn7 sites: example
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nat Protoc. 2006;1(1):153-61. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.24. PubMed
PMID: 17406227.

19. Qiu D, Damron FH, Mima T, Schweizer HP, Yu HD. PBAD-based shuttle vectors for functional
analysis of toxic and highly regulated genes in Pseudomonas and Burkholderia spp. and other
bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008;74(23):7422-6. Epub 20081010. doi: 10.1128/aem.01369-08.
PubMed PMID: 18849445; PMCID: PMC2592904.

20. Kavanaugh LG, Mahoney AR, Dey D, Wuest WM, Conn GL. Di-berberine conjugates as chemical
probes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa MexXY-OprM efflux function and inhibition. npj Antimicrobials
and Resistance. 2023;1(1):12. doi: 10.1038/s44259-023-00013-4.

21. Wiegand I, Hilpert K, Hancock RE. Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal
inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. Nat Protoc. 2008;3(2):163-75. doi:
10.1038/nprot.2007.521. PubMed PMID: 18274517.

22. Masuda N, Sakagawa E, Ohya S, Gotoh N, Tsujimoto H, Nishino T. Substrate Specificities of
MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, and MexXY-OprM Efflux Pumps in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2000;44(12):3322-7.

23. Dey D, Kavanaugh LG, Conn GL. Antibiotic Substrate Selectivity of MexY and MexB Efflux Systems
Is Determined by a Goldilocks Affinity. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2020;64(8):e00496-
20. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00496-20.

24. Kotra LP, Haddad J, Mobashery S. Aminoglycosides: perspectives on mechanisms of action and
resistance and strategies to counter resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000;44(12):3249-56.
doi: 10.1128/aac.44.12.3249-3256.2000. PubMed PMID: 11083623; PMCID: PMC90188.

25. Singh M, Yau YCW, Wang S, Waters V, Kumar A. MexXY efflux pump overexpression and
aminoglycoside resistance in cystic fibrosis isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa from chronic
infections. Canadian Journal of Microbiology. 2017;63(12):929-38. doi: 10.1139/cjm-2017-0380.

26. Vogne C, Aires JR, Bailly C, Hocquet D, Plésiat P. Role of the multidrug efflux system MexXY in the
emergence of moderate resistance to aminoglycosides among Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates
from patients with cystic fibrosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48(5):1676-80. Epub
2004/04/24. doi: 10.1128/aac.48.5.1676-1680.2004. PubMed PMID: 15105120; PMCID:
PMC400545.

Fig. 1. Overview of the MexXY-OprM induction system and substrate classes. *A,* Homology model *(left)* of the tripartite complex containing outer membrane (OM) protein OprM (blue), periplasmic adaptor protein MexX (orange), and inner membrane (IM) transporter MexY (red). Cartoon schematic of the transposon system containing the rbs and PAO1 *mexXY* with FLAG-tag (purple) under the control of a rhamnose promoter in the PΔ6 genomic DNA *(center)* and *oprM* under an arabinose promoter located on the pHERD20T plasmid *(right)*. *C,* Schematic representation of the three substrate classes (true, partial, and nonsubstrate) with MexXY-OprM located in the cell envelope. Antibiotics identified in each class are given below.

Antimicrobials			MIC (µg/ml)			Class
			PAO1	PΔ6	LK21	
Aminoglycosides	R1=OH, R2=OH, R3=OH, R4=CH₂OH	Kanamycin	64	32	128	True
	R1=OH, R2=OH, R3=AHB, R4=CH₂OH	Amikacin	2	1	16	True
	R1=OH, R2=OH, R3=OH, R4=H	Gentamicin	4	2	16	True
	R1=NH₂, R2=H, R3=OH, R4=CH₂OH	Tobramycin	2	2	8	Partial
	R=NH₂	Neomycin	32	16	64	True
	R=OH	Paromomycin	128	16	>128	True
Cephalosporin	R₁=OH, R₂=	Cefepime	0.5	0.06	2	True
	R₁, R₂=	Ceftazidime	2	2	4	Partial
Carbapenem	R=	Meropenem	0.5	0.25	0.5	True
	R=	Imipenem	4	4	8	Partial
Fluoroquinolone		Ciprofloxacin	0.125	<0.03	0.25	True
Tetracycline		Tigecycline	4	0.5	4	True
Macrolide		Erythromycin	>128	4	>128	True
Dihydropyrimidine		Trimethoprim	32	1	32	True
Dyes		Ethidium Bromide	>128	32	128	Partial
Chloramphenicol		Chloramphenicol	16	0.5	4	Partial
Polymyxin		Polymyxin B	0.5	0.5	0.5	Non

Increased susceptibility — 64 32 16 8 4 2 0 2 4 8 16 32 64 — Increased resistance

Fold Change

Fig. 2. Substrate specificity of the MexXY-OprM efflux pump in the P. aeruginosa PΔ6 expression system. Left, antibiotic families and the respective individual antibiotics tested; where two or more antibiotics within the same family were tested, differences in their chemical structure are noted. Center, average MICs (µg/mL) for 10 classes of antibiotics in P. aeruginosa PAO1, PΔ6, and LK21 (PΔ6::mexXY[PAO1],pHERD-oprM[PAO1]) in the presence of 1% wt/vol arabinose and rhamnose are shown numerically overlayed on a heatmap indicating fold-change compared to parent strain (PΔ6 is compared to PAO1 and LK21 is compared to PΔ6). Blue is increase in susceptibility (reduced efflux) and red is increase in resistance (increased efflux). Right, the resulting substrate classification for each antibiotic.