Neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies that target the PcrV component of the Type III Secretion System of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* act through distinct mechanisms

Jean-Mathieu Desveaux[1], Eric Faudry[1], Carlos Contreras-Martel[1], François Cretin[1], Leonardo Sebastian Dergan-Dylon[1], Axelle Amen[1,2], Isabelle Bally[1], Victor TardivyCasemajor[1], Fabien Chenavier[1], Delphine Fouquenet[3], Yvan Caspar[1,4], Ina Attrée*[1], Andréa Dessen*[1], Pascal Poignard*[1,5]

[1]   Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), F-38044 Grenoble, France

[2]   Laboratoire d'Immunologie, CHU Grenoble Alpes, France

[3]   Centre d'Étude des Pathologies Respiratoires INSERM U1100 - UFR de Médecine de Tours, 10 Bd Tonnellé, 37032 Tours Cedex

[4]   Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène Hospitalière, CHU Grenoble Alpes, France

[5]   Laboratoire de Virologie, CHU Grenoble Alpes, France

* to whom correspondence should be addressed: ina.attree@ibs.fr, andrea.dessen@cnrs.fr, pascal.poignard@ibs.fr

ABSTRACT

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a major human opportunistic pathogen associated with a high incidence of multi-drug resistance. The antibody-based blockade of *P. aeruginosa* virulence factors represents a promising alternative strategy to mitigate its infectivity. In this study, we employed single B cell sorting from cystic fibrosis patients to isolate human monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) targeting proteins from the *P. aeruginosa* Type 3 Secretion System (T3SS) and characterized a panel of mAbs directed at PscF and PcrV. Among those, two mAbs, P5B3 and P3D6, that bind to the injectisome tip protein PcrV, exhibited T3SS blocking activity. We solved the crystal structure of the P3D6 Fab-PcrV complex, which revealed that the Ab binds to the C-terminal region of PcrV. In addition, we compared the T3SS-blocking activity of three PcrV-targeting mAbs, including two from previous independent studies, using two distinct assays to evaluate pore formation and toxin injection. We conducted a mechanistic and structural analysis of their modes of action through modeling based on the known structure of a functional homolog, SipD from *Salmonella typhimurium*. The analysis suggests that anti-PcrV mAbs may act through different mechanisms, ranging from preventing PcrV oligomerization to disrupting PcrV's scaffolding function, thereby inhibiting the assembly and function of the translocon pore. Our findings provide additional evidence that T3SS-targeting Abs, some capable of inhibiting virulence, are elicited in *P. aeruginosa*-infected patients. The results offer deeper insights into PcrV recognition by mAbs and their associated mechanisms of action, helping to identify which Abs are more likely to be therapeutically useful based on their mode of action and potency. This paves the way for the development of effective alternatives to traditional antibiotics in the fight against this resilient pathogen.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of antimicrobial resistance is a major threat to human health. Among the microorganisms whose resistance rates have increased the most dramatically are ESKAPE pathogens (*Enterococcus faecium,* **S***taphylococcus aureus,* **K***lebsiella pneumoniae,* **A***cinetobacter baumannii,* **P***seudomonas aeruginosa,* **E***nterobacter spp*) for which novel antibacterial treatments are urgently needed. However, an antibiotic discovery hiatus that occurred during the last few decades severely heightened the resistance threat (Murray et al., 2022), underlining the importance of exploring alternative strategies such as host-targeting, bacteriophage, anti-virulence, and Ab-based therapies (De Melo et al., 2024; Kaufmann et al., 2018; Morrison, 2015).

Therapeutic mAbs have been successfully developed to fight viral infections ranging from Ebola to SARS-CoV-2 (Crowe, 2022; Levin et al., 2022; Mulangu et al., 2019). To date, however, only three therapeutic Abs have been marketed against bacteria, all of which target toxins. Other types of bacterial virulence factors could also serve as potential high importance targets for mAbs. Recent examples include the development of mAbs that target lipopolysaccharides, O-antigen, and outer membrane transporter proteins, notably in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Pennini et al., 2017; Rollenske et al., 2018; Watson et al., 2021). The advantages of targeting virulence factors through mAbs include notably a high specificity and the decreased likelihood of the emergence of resistance among bacteria (La Guidara et al., 2024). Additionally, the employment of mAb engineering platforms offers the potential for improved efficacy through modifications such as half-life extension and alterations of Fc effector functions (Morrison, 2015; Vacca et al., 2022). Finally, strategies such as the use of mAb cocktails targeting different specificities and the combination with traditional antibiotics further expand the range of Ab-based treatment options (Duan et al., 2021; Morrison, 2015; Tabor et al., 2018).

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a major nosocomial pathogen and the leading cause of acute pneumonia and chronic lung infections, particularly in ventilatorassisted and cystic fibrosis (CF) patients. Infections with *P. aeruginosa* ultimately lead to loss of lung function and death in CF patients. Worldwide, *P. aeruginosa* is responsible for more than 300,000 deaths associated or attributed to resistance each year. The natural

resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to a broad range of antibiotics, its ability to grow as biofilms,

as well as its widespread presence in hospital settings (Horcajada et al., 2019; Murray

et al., 2022), have called for urgent efforts towards the development of new therapeutic

agents. Aggressive acute infections by *P. aeruginosa* are highly dependent on its Type

III Secretion System (T3SS), a needle-like, multicomponent secretion machinery

located on the cell surface and that transports effectors from the bacterial cytoplasm

directly into the host cell cytosol (Goure et al., 2004; Hauser, 2009; Quinaud et al.,

2007, 2005). It is of note that in other human pathogens such as *Yersinia pestis*,

Salmonella typhi, *Shigella dysenteriae* and *Escherichia coli* the T3SS also plays a key

role in virulence, participating in the causation of diseases such as plague, typhoid

fever, and bacillary dysentery, respectively (Coburn et al., 2007; Diepold and Wagner,

2014; Hu et al., 2017; Serapio-Palacios and Finlay, 2020).

A key component of the T3SS is the injectisome, membrane-embedded protein

rings extended by a hollow needle, composed of the PscF protein that protrudes

outwards from the bacterial surface. Injectisome-dependent toxin delivery, which

occurs upon contact with the eukaryotic target cell, also requires formation of the

'translocon', a complex of three proteins that are exported through the interior of the

polymerized needle, assemble at its tip and form a pore in the eukaryotic cell

membrane, an essential step for effector injection (Mueller et al., 2008). The translocon

is composed of two hydrophobic proteins (PopB and PopD in *P. aeruginosa*), as well

as a hydrophilic partner - PcrV, or the V antigen- in *P. aeruginosa* (Matteï et al., 2011).

PopB and PopD have been shown to act as *bona fide* pore-forming toxins (Schoehn et

al., 2003; Faudry et al., 2006; Montagner et al., 2011) that, upon membrane disruption,

can trigger the manipulation of host processes including histone dephosphorylation

and mitochondrial network disruption (Dortet et al., 2018). PcrV, on the other hand,

oligomerizes at the tip of the T3SS needle and aids PopB and PopD in their membrane

disruption process (Gébus et al., 2009; Goure et al., 2005; Guo and Galán, 2021;

Matteï et al., 2011). Crystal structures of monomeric homologs of PcrV (LcrV, SipD,

BipD) have shown that they fold into an elongated coiled-coil buttressed by an a-helical

hairpin at the N-terminus and an α/β carboxy-terminal region (Derewenda, 2011;

Erskine et al., 2006; Lunelli et al., 2011). Notably, the cryo-EM structure of a needle

filament complex composed of PrgI (needle protein) with SipD (tip protein) at its

extremity confirmed that the latter forms a pentamer where the first and fifth subunits

are separated by a gap, thus generating a heterogeneous assembly (Guo and Galán, 2021). This arrangement could be similar in numerous T3SS systems (Habenstein et al., 2019).

Given the importance of the T3SS for *P. aeruginosa* infection, components such as PcrV and PscF have been explored as targets for the development of therapeutic Abs and inhibitory small molecules, respectively (Berube et al., 2017; Bowlin et al., 2014). Animal models have shown that blocking the T3SS, particularly the function of PcrV, can successfully diminish tissue damage due to *P. aeruginosa* infection (Frank et al., 2002; Imamura et al., 2007). Moreover, in ventilated patients, pegylated Fabs that target PcrV (KB001-A) were shown to successfully reduce the incidence of pneumonia, which is consistent with the role of T3SS in the acute phase of infection (Jain et al., 2018; Roy-Burman et al., 2001). However, this treatment did not benefit chronically colonized CF patients in terms of antibiotic needs (François et al., 2012; Jain et al., 2018; Yaeger et al., 2021). In addition, the bispecific MEDI3902 mAb targeting both PcrV and the Psl exopolysaccharide successfully protected against *P. aeruginosa* infection in animal models but was discontinued in phase II clinical trials (Chastre et al., 2022; DiGiandomenico et al., 2014). Nevertheless, PcrV remains an attractive target, motivating the search for potentially more effective Abs (Simonis et al., 2023).

Here, we sorted specific single memory B cells from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of cystic fibrosis patients to identify mAbs against PcrV and PscF with potential T3SS-inhibiting activity. Two anti-PcrV mAbs (P5B3 and P3D6) showed inhibition of the injection of the T3SS effector ExoS into epithelial cells, with mAb P5B3 displaying blocking activity against five major PcrV variants representing more than 80% of clinical isolates sequenced to date. We obtained the crystal structure of a P3D6 Fab-PcrV complex and further compared the mechanisms of actions of different anti-PcrV mAbs targeting various epitopes, including one mAb from a recent publication (Simonis et al., 2023). These structure-based analyses of the mechanisms of action of the different mAbs provide valuable insights for the development of improved antipseudomonal treatments and preventive approaches.

RESULTS

Selection of donors exhibiting T3SS-inhibiting circulating IgG responses

Our approach was based on single cell sorting of recombinant PcrV and PscF specific memory B cells from human donor peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). To identify donors with anti-PcrV and -PscF mAbs with T3SS-inhibitory activity, we first evaluated in ELISA the reactivity of sera from a cohort of CF patients that were chronically colonized with *P. aeruginosa* against recombinant PcrV and PscF before testing them in functional assays (Figure 1A). Among the 34 sera tested, donors 16 and 25 exhibited the strongest reactivity for both proteins (Figure 1B).

To assess the capacity of Protein A-purified serum IgGs (predominantly IgG1, IgG2 and IgG4) to block T3SS effector translocation, we used a previously developed cellular model that is based on the T3SS-dependent translocation of the ExoS effector fused to β-lactamase, ExoS-Bla (Verove et al., 2012). Briefly, epithelial cells were exposed to *P. aeruginosa* CHAΔ*exoS* expressing the ExoS-Bla reporter in the presence of patients' polyclonal purified IgGs. ExoS-Bla translocation was measured by monitoring fluorescence of the β-lactamase FRET-competent substrate CCF2-AM, and expressed as normalized reporter injection. Polyclonal IgGs from donors 16 and 25 showed a potent ExoS-Bla translocation blocking activity with an almost complete inhibition of injection at 160 µg/mL (Figure 1C). To investigate whether the observed activity was driven by anti-PcrV and/or anti-PscF specific IgGs, we absorbed specific Abs on beads coated with recombinant PcrV or PscF to obtain polyclonal IgG samples depleted of the corresponding specific IgGs (Figure 1D, top). The T3SS-blocking activity of depleted polyclonal IgGs was then evaluated using the same method as above (Figure 1D, bottom). The results showed a decrease in inhibitory activity when anti-PcrV Abs were depleted from donor 25's IgGs and when anti-PscF Abs were depleted from donor 16's IgGs, suggesting the presence of inhibitory Abs against the respective proteins. Additionally, the findings demonstrated that our recombinant antigen baits could effectively bind T3SS-inhibitory Abs and could therefore be used to isolate memory B cells producing the corresponding IgGs.

Isolation of PcrV and PscF mAbs using a single cell direct sorting approach

To isolate mAbs specific to PcrV and PscF, PBMCs were purified from whole

blood from the two selected donors. Next, using single cell sorting, IgG positive

memory B cells were isolated based on their ability to recognize either PscF or PcrV

(Figure 2A) and seeded at the frequency of one cell per well. Variable heavy and light

chain gene sequences were retrieved from isolated B cells leading to the production of

a total of 66 recombinant mAbs (53 and 13 putative anti-PscF and anti-PcrV,

respectively). The specific binding capacities of 10 anti-PscF and 4 anti-PcrV mAbs

were confirmed by ELISA against the corresponding recombinant proteins. EC_{50} values

calculated from ELISA data showed variable apparent affinities ranging from ~50

µg/mL to 0.02 µg/mL (Figure 2B). Isolated mAbs originated from a variety of variable

gene germline families, as determined using the international immunogenetics

information system (IMGT) database alignments, and did not present any notable

features in term of mutation rates or HCDR3 length (Supplementary Table 1), with no

particular enrichment noted.

The ability of ELISA-confirmed anti-PscF and anti-PcrV mAbs to block T3SS-

mediated activity at a concentration of 100 µg/mL was subsequently evaluated using

the ExoS-Bla reporter system. No significant reduction in ExoS-Bla injection was

observed for any of the anti-PscF mAbs tested. However, two out of four anti-PcrV

mAbs, P5B3 and P3D6, significantly reduced ExoS-Bla injection, with P3D6 displaying

significantly stronger efficacy (Figure 2B).

To map the epitopes of the isolated mAbs, we next performed competition

ELISAs (Supplementary Table 2). Antibodies directed at PscF grouped into three

clusters, with P1D8 and P5G10 mAbs competing only against themselves. Similarly,

anti-PcrV mAbs also grouped into three clusters, with the two anti-PcrV mAbs

exhibiting T3SS inhibitory activity, P5B3 and P3D6, seemingly targeting overlapping

epitopes. Precise affinities of both mAbs were measured using biolayer interferometry

(BLI), revealing subnanomolar K_D values (Supplementary Table 3). Notably, P3D6

exhibited approximately 30-fold lower affinity compared to P5B3, despite

demonstrating greater efficacy in the inhibitory assay.

P5B3 inhibits T3SS-dependent toxin injection by recognizing a highly conserved epitope of PcrV

Polymorphism in PcrV protein sequences was reported among *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates and should be considered in the development of therapeutic human monoclonal Abs targeting PcrV (Figure 3A) (Tabor et al., 2018). To determine whether the blocking activity of mAbs P5B3 and P3D6 was impacted by the PcrV sequence, the reporter ExoS-Bla was introduced into a strain that lacked isogenic PcrV ($\Delta pcrV$) and synthetized the five most prevalent PcrV variants found in over 80% of clinical isolates (Tabor et al., 2018). Monoclonal Ab P5B3 showed statistically significant T3SS blocking activity towards all variants (Figure 3B) with estimated IC_{50} values ranging from 100 µg/mL to 400 µg/mL for the five variants (no statistically significant difference; Supplementary Figure 1). In contrast, mAb P3D6 had no effect on variants 2, 3, 4 and 5, but strongly inhibited variant 1 (Figure 3B) with an estimated IC_{50} of 3.7 µg/mL (Supplementary Figure 1), indicating that the epitope recognized by P3D6 differs between PcrV variants.

Anti-PcrV mAbs block translocon pore assembly

It has been suggested that PcrV scaffolds the assembly of the PopB/PopD translocon within host membranes by interacting with the PopD component of the pore (Goure et al., 2004; Kundracik et al., 2022, 2022; Matteï et al., 2011). Furthermore, polyclonal Abs raised against PcrV have been shown to inhibit the assembly of the translocon in target membranes (Goure et al., 2005).

To investigate the mechanistic details of the inhibitory activity of mAbs P3D6 and P5B3, we used a *P. aeruginosa* strain deprived of all three T3SS effectors, ExoS, ExoT and ExoY. This strain, named PAO1Δ3Tox (Cisz et al., 2008) harbors PcrV variant 1 and provokes toxin-independent macrophage pyroptosis upon membrane insertion of the PopB/PopD translocation pore (Dacheux et al., 2001). Death of J774 macrophages was monitored during 4h post-infection by measuring an increase in propidium iodide fluorescence due to DNA binding to the nuclei of dead cells. Both mAbs significantly reduced the cytotoxicity induced by PAO1Δ3Tox by 28% and 73%, respectively (Figure 4A). Monoclonal Ab P3D6 exhibited a dose-response inhibition

with an estimated IC$_{50}$ of 11.8 µg/mL (Figure 4B), while P5B3 did not exhibit a significant dose-response effect at concentrations below 100 µg/mL (Figure 4C). Overall, these results indicate that the binding of both mAbs to PcrV reduces the formation of the translocation pore in target cell membranes, with P3D6 exhibiting more potent activity.

Crystal structure of PcrV* bound to Fab P3D6

In order to identify the PcrV epitopes recognized by the two mAbs, we generated a plasmid encoding a form of PcrV (PcrV*) amenable to crystallization (Tabor et al., 2018) as well as Fab fragments from both P3D6 and P5B3 mAbs. PcrV* was expressed in *E. coli* while both Fabs were expressed in HEK293F cells. Individual proteins were purified by affinity and size-exclusion chromatographies. PcrV* was incubated with either Fab fragment and samples were co-purified using size exclusion chromatography. Despite the fact that both PcrV*-Fab P3D6 and PcrV*-Fab P5B3 complexes co-eluted in gel filtration, only the PcrV*-Fab P3D6 complex subsequently generated diffracting crystals. Data were collected at the ESRF synchrotron in Grenoble and the structure was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser (McCoy et al., 2007). Iterative manual model building and model improvement led to the structure whose statistics for data collection and refinement are presented in Supplementary Table 4.

PcrV* is composed of six helices interwoven by loop regions. α-helices 1, 4 and 6 are the major secondary structure elements in PcrV*, while helices 2, 3 and 5 are 1- or 2-turn helices. Most of the contacts formed between PcrV* and Fab P3D6 involve Helix 6 and the loop preceding it (Figures 5A, 5B) and implicate a binding platform made by both LC and HC from Fab P3D6 (Supplementary figure 3). From the PcrV side, the interaction region is highly polar, being formed by the side chains of Lys208, Gln217, Glu220, Lys222, Ser225, Asp 226, Tyr 228, Glu231, Asn234, Thr243, Asp246 and Arg247. The substitution of Ser225 in PcrV variant V1 by Lys or Arg in variants V2 to V5 is consistent with P3D6 being inefficient on strains harboring these four variants, since a residue with a bulky side chain in this position would invariably clash with the loop formed by residues 52-56 of the Fab.

In order to understand the protective role of mAb P3D6 in the context of the PcrV

pentameric oligomer located at the tip of the PscF needle, we generated a model using

the cryo-EM structure of the SipD pentamer (Guo and Galán, 2021) and aligned our

co-crystal structure onto this model (Figures 5C, 5D).This analysis revealed that Fab

P3D6 can successfully bind to one PcrV monomer (dark red and orange in Figure 5,

respectively), but would be unable to bind to a pre-formed PcrV pentamer due to the

generation of clashes with neighboring subunits of the oligomeric form (Figure 5; the

structure of the Fab can be seen overlaid with that of the pentamer subunits).

DISCUSSION

We generated a panel of anti-PscF and -PcrV human mAbs through specific

memory B cell sorting from selected individuals. Although adsorption experiments with

recombinant PscF suggested the presence of anti-PscF Abs with T3SS inhibitory

activity in the donor from whom they were isolated, none of the isolated mAbs exhibited

this activity. Competition mapping showed that the anti-PscF mAbs targeted three

distinct regions of PscF, none of which seemingly involved in inhibitory activity. Further

epitope mapping would be necessary to gain deeper insight; however, in the absence

of a PscF structure, this remains challenging. Isolating a greater number of mAbs from

a selected donor with strong anti-PscF inhibitory activity would certainly increase the

likelihood of identifying one with T3SS-inhibiting properties.

Of the four anti-PcrV mAbs isolated, two exhibited T3SS inhibitory capacity.

Their mechanism of action could potentially involve (i) prevention of effector secretion

by acting as a cap for PcrV; (ii) prevention of effector translocation towards the host

cell by disruption of the PcrV-PopB/PopD interaction; or (iii) prevention of

oligomerization of PcrV itself (Gébus et al., 2009; Sawa et al., 2019). Here, we

measured the ability of the inhibiting anti-PcrV mAbs we isolated to block PopB/PopD

pore formation and toxin injection, and carried out a mechanistic and structural analysis

of their activity, in parallel with other mAbs targeting PcrV.

We set out to investigate and compare the mechanism of action of several

antiPcrV mAbs: P3D6 mAb and P5B3 (this work), 30-B8 (Simonis et al., 2023), as well

as a previously reported humanized, bivalent PcrV-Psl mAb (DiGiandomenico et al.,

2014). In order to do so, we produced mAb 30-B8 and subsequently purchased mAb

MEDI3902 from MedChem. The P3D6, P5B3, 30-B8 and MEDI3902 mAbs were

notably compared by employing two assays capable of detecting T3SS inhibition, each

with a different readout: injection of the ExoS-Bla reporter into epithelial cells and

cytotoxicity measurements in macrophages as a read-out for translocon assembly. In

addition, we performed structural analyses on three of the mAb-PcrV complexes, from

the viewpoints of recognition of both monomeric and pentameric forms of PcrV.

Both MEDI3902 and 30-B8 mAbs potently inhibited the injection of ExoS-Bla

into target cells, with IC_{50} values of 117 ng/mL and 21.3 ng/mL, respectively (Figure

6A). Monoclonal Abs isolated in this study also inhibited toxin injection, although

significantly less potently, with IC_{50} values of 3.65 µg/mL for P3D6, and around 100

µg/mL for P5B3. Monoclonal Ab MEDI3902 had previously been shown to bind to

different PcrV variants (Tabor et al., 2018) and here we confirmed that mAb 30-B8 was

similarly efficient at inhibiting toxin injection by strains carrying five different PcrV

variants (Simonis et al., 2023) (Supplementary Figure 2). Monoclonal Ab P5B3 was

also able to inhibit all variants, but only at high concentrations, while P3D6 was only

active against variant V1. Therefore, P5B3 appears to recognize a highly conserved

epitope whereas P3D6 seems to bind an overlapping epitope that includes the variable

Ser225, as suggested by the ELISA mapping competition and structural data, but does

so in a more effective manner.

In the macrophage cytotoxicity assay, translocon assembly was inhibited by

mAbs 30-B8 (IC_{50} of 45.2 ng/mL) and P3D6 (IC_{50} of 11.8 µg/mL), while P5B3 and

MEDI3902 did not exhibit significant dose-response inhibition. Together, these results

suggest that the T3SS-inhibiting activity of these anti-PcrV Abs may occur through

distinct mechanisms.

In order to understand the differences in potency and potentially in mechanisms

of action at a structural level, we compared the Fab-PcrV interaction regions for the

P3D6, 30-B8 and MEDI3902 Fabs. Monomeric PcrV is an elongated, dumbbell-shaped

molecule, and the chimeric form used in this study displays the same characteristics

(Figure 5A). Fab MEDI3902 binds to one of the extremities of monomeric PcrV,

extending in the longitudinal axis of the molecule (Figure 6B, top). This mode of binding

is distinct from that of 30-B8 and P3D6 Fabs, both of which recognize the C-terminal

region of PcrV (Figure 6B, middle) (Simonis et al., 2023). Therefore, the binding region

of 30-B8 and P3D6 does not, by itself, appear to explain the significant difference in potency between the two mAbs. Moreover, a difference in affinity does not account for the difference in potency either, as both mAbs bind to recombinant monomeric PcrV with comparable apparent affinities of around 30 ng/mL (our data and Simonis et al., 2023).

In order to perform this comparative analysis in the context of a PcrV oligomer, we employed the model of the PcrV pentamer generated as described above, which was based on the cryo-EM structure of SipD from *S. typhimurium* (Guo and Galán, 2021). According to this analysis, the only Fab that is able to bind to all PcrV protomers in the pentamer without generating clashes with either PcrV or other Fabs is 30-B8 (Figure 6). In the case of MEDI3902, the Fab can bind the oligomer, but only with a 1:5 stoichiometry, possibility due to clashes between Fabs. Finally, P3D6 is unable to bind to a pre-formed pentamer, and can only recognize a PcrV protomer in its monomeric form.

This structural analysis suggests different potential mechanisms of action. For P3D6, the inability to bind to the pentamer points towards a mechanism involving inhibition of oligomerization. Indeed, the analysis shows that binding of P3D6 to a single PcrV monomer prevents the association of additional PcrV protomers through Fab-protomer direct clashes. A similar mechanism of action may be suggested for MEDI3902, as Fab-bound PcrV protomers cannot oligomerize, in this case due to clashes between the Fabs themselves (Fig. 6). However, the fact that MEDI3902 does not appear to prevent translocon insertion suggests that such a mechanism is unlikely for this mAb as PcrV oligomerisation is required at the tip of the needle for translocon assembly. In contrast to P3D6, MEDI3902 can bind the oligomerized PcrV pentamer (with a stoichiometry of one) and its mechanism of action may thus be related to this ability. Our results suggest that when MEDI3902 is bound to the PcrV oligomer, the formation of the pore is not efficiently blocked while toxin injection is strongly inhibited (Fig. 6). Therefore, the presence of one MEDI3902 Ab molecule at the tip of the needle does not appear to efficiently prevent either the secretion of the translocator proteins PopB/PopD through the needle, nor the interactions between PcrV and PopB/PopD, which have been described as required for pore formation (Kundracik et al., 2022). However, the MEDI3902 Ab molecule seems to interfere with further interactions

between PcrV and the PopB/PopB complex, or with the sensing by PcrV of the host
cell, both of which are needed for toxin injection (Lee et al., 2010).

Lastly, 30-B8, which can bind the formed pentamer with a stoichiometry of five,
appears to be the most effective at blocking both pore formation and toxin injection.
The fact that the PcrV-bound 30-B8 Ab probably projects towards the cell membrane,
associated with its ability to bind to the PcrV pentamer at full occupancy, may result in
remarkable efficacy in blocking the interactions between PcrV and PopB/PopD.

In conclusion, here we show that patients with chronic infection with *P. aeruginosa* can
elicit anti-PscF and anti-PcrV mAbs that recognize different regions within these
proteins. Anti-PcrV Abs can act as T3SS inhibitors through different mechanisms, with
some exhibiting significantly greater efficacy than others. The strategy employed here,
involving the analysis of structural and functional data on antiT3SS mAbs should open
new avenues towards deciphering the mechanism of T3SS toxin translocation and
enable the isolation of more effective mAbs targeting a broad range of clinical strains.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Clinical sample collection

The study was approved by the French ethics committee (ID-RCB 2020A00311-
38) and was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice
(GCP) guidelines and current French regulations. Written consent for participation was
not required for this study. The first phase was a non-interventional study involving data
and samples from human participants conducted according to Reference Methodology
No. 004 issued by French authorities (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des
Libertés). Screening and functional assays were performed on human sera previously
collected at Grenoble Alpes University Hospital (France), from CF patients chronically
infected with *P. aeruginosa*. Participants were all informed and did not object to this
phase of the study. Inclusion criteria for the second phase of the study were: patients
with positive screening during phase one, ≥ 18 years old, ≥32 Kg, with a programmed
blood sampling at Grenoble Alpes University Hospital and not being opposed to the
second phase of the project. Whole blood was then collected using BD Vacutainer®
EDTA tubes (Becton Dickinson) and PBMCs were purified by density gradient

centrifugation using Lymphoprep (Eurobio scientific) following manufacturing guidelines. Cells were then stored in liquid nitrogen until further use.

Bacterial strains, genetic manipulations and growth conditions

P. aeruginosa strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 5. Strains were cultured in LB media at 37 °C. For infection experiments, bacteria were grown until the measured optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600nm}) of 1. Genes encoding the most common variants of PcrV were cloned into the *Pseudomonas* replicative vector derived from pUCP21 (West et al., 1994) containing the P*pcrG* promoter that drives *pcrGVHpopDB* operon expression (West et al., 1994). The plasmids, kindly provided by Simona Barzu (Sanofi Pasteur, Lyon), were transformed into the *P. aeruginosa* strain CHA lacking *pcrV* (Goure et al., 2004).

Expression and purification of full length PcrV and PcrV*

Expression of full-length PcrV from strain PAO1, cloned into a pET15b vector, was performed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) as previously described, with small modifications (Nanao et al., 2003). Expression was induced with 1mM of Isopropyl β-D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at OD_{600} = 0.8 AU and cells were then grown overnight at 20°C with shaking at 250 rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed by passing through a French Press 3 times at 25 Kpsi in lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 200 mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole) supplemented with a protein inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche). The supernatant was cleared by centrifugation at 18,000 rpm and subsequently loaded onto Ni-IDA resin (Macherey-Nagel). The resin was washed with lysis buffer and the sample was eluted with lysis buffer supplemented with 100 mM imidazole. Fractions containing the sample were pooled and applied to a size exclusion chromatography column (Superdex 200 HiLoad 16/600) pre-equilibrated in SEC buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA). A chimeric form of PcrV (PcrV*) consisting of amino acids 1-17 fused to 136-249 whose design was inspired by the construct described in Tabor et al. (2018), was employed for crystallization purposes. The purification protocol was the same as above, the only difference being that 250 mM imidazole was employed to elute the sample from the Ni resin.

Expression and purification of PscF

Expression of PscF from strain PAO1 was performed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) grown in Terrific Broth. Expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG at OD_{600} = 0.6 AU and cells were then grown for an additional 3 hours at 37 °C with shaking at 250 rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed by passing through a French Press 3 times at 25 kpsi in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 200 mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole, 2 % glycerol) supplemented with a protein inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche). The supernatant was cleared by centrifugation at 18,000 rpm and applied to a Ni-IDA resin (Macherey-Nagel). The resin was washed with lysis buffer and the protein was eluted in the same buffer supplemented with 250 mM imidazole. Fractions were then buffer exchanged in an Amicon Ultra 10 kDa cutoff concentrator against a buffer exempt of imidazole (50 mM Tris pH 8, 200 mM NaCl, 2 % glycerol).

ELISA assays

For direct ELISAs, 96-well ELISA plates (Fisher # 11530627 Nunc Maxisorp) were coated overnight at 4° C with the respective antigen diluted to 1μg/ml in PBS. Plates were then washed with PBS-Tween 0.01%, and blocked for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with 3% BSA in PBS. Next, sera or mAbs serially diluted in PBS-BSA 1 % were added and incubated for 1h at RT. Antibody binding was detected using alkaline phosphatase-coupled goat anti-human IgG (Jackson Immuno #109 056 098) and a para-nitrophenylphosphate substrate (Interchim #UP 664791). The enzymatic reaction was read at 405 nm using a TECAN Spark 10M plate reader. Polyclonal Abs raised in rabbits against PscF and PcrV (Goure et al., 2004) were used as positive controls.

For competition ELISAs, serial dilutions of competitor mAbs were transferred into antigen-coated wells. Following a 30-minute incubation, biotinylated mAbs were added to the wells at their EC_{70} concentration (effective concentration for 70% binding). Binding of biotinylated mAbs was detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated streptavidin.

Sorting of specific memory B cells

Briefly, PBMCs were stained for 30 min at 4ºC in the dark, using Facs-Buffer (PBS-1X 0.5 % BSA, 2mM EDTA) with Live Dead staining (Thermo L34957), Antihuman CD3-Vio-Blue (Miltenyi 130-114-519), Anti-human CD20 Pe-Vio707 (Miltenyi 130-111-345), Anti-human CD19 Pe-Vio707 (Miltenyi 130-113-649), Anti-human IgM PE (Miltenyi 130-093-075), Anti-human IgA PE (Miltenyi 130-113-476), Anti-human IgD PE (Miltenyi 130-110-643), Anti-human CD27 APC (Miltenyi 130-108-336), in the presence of recombinant biotinylated His-PcrV-Avitag coupled with streptavidin BUV737 (BD 612775) or streptavidin Vio-515 (Miltenyi 103-107-459), and recombinant biotinylated His-PscF-Avitag coupled with streptavidin BUV496 (BD 612961) or streptavidin BV605 (Biolegend 405229). After washing, the cells were resuspended in Facs-Buffer and PscF or PcrV positive B cells were sorted and clonally seeded in 96 plates containing lysis buffer using BD FACSAria Fusion cytometer (BD Biosciences).

Isolation and production of mAbs

Sequences coding for variable regions of both heavy and light (κ and λ) chains were isolated by reverse transcription on total mRNA followed by a multiplex nested PCR using a set of primers covering the diversity of V-region diversity. V-regions family was attributed after sequencing of amplicons and alignment in the IMGT database (https://imgt.org). An additional round of PCR using primers specific to the identified family was performed followed by the cloning of V-regions genes into corresponding vectors containing IgG1H, IgGκ and IgGλ constant regions. Regarding 30-B8, the sequences coding for the variable regions of heavy and light chains were synthesized by Eurofins according to the sequence published by Simonis and coworkers (Simonis et al., 2023). Cloning and production were performed as described above.

Monoclonal Abs were produced by transient transfection in HEK293F cells (ThermoFisher scientific) and purified by affinity chromatography using a Protein A Sepharose column (Sigma #ge17-1279-03). Elution was performed with 4.5 ml of glycine 0.1 M (pH 2.5), followed by neutralization with 500 µl of 1 M Tris (pH 9). Purified

mAbs were then subjected to buffer exchange and concentration using AmiconUltra
centrifugal filters (Merck #36100101).

P3D6 and P5B3 Fab production

Sequences coding for Fab fragments were obtained by inserting stop codons
on genes corresponding to heavy chains of the mAbs by PCR using site directed
mutagenesis (Quickchange II, Agilent) according to manufacturer's instructions.
Mutated heavy and corresponding light chain genes were cloned into appropriate
expression plasmids for eukaryotic cell expression and were co-transfected at a 2:1
ration into FreeStyle 293-F cells (ThermoFisher). Fabs were purified using
KappaSelect affinity chromatography (Cytiva).

Cellular tests for T3SS activity

ExoS-Bla translocation

The T3SS-dependent toxin injection into epithelial A549 cells was measured
using the reporter system based on Bla/CCF2 enzyme/substrate combination
(Charpentier and Oswald, 2004) previously described for *P. aeruginosa* (Verove et
al., 2012). *P. aeruginosa* strain CHAΔ*exoS* carring ExoS-Bla fusion on the
chromosome was used to infect A549 cells at the multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5.
The level of injected ExoS-β-lactamase was measured using CCF2 substrate, as
described previously (Verove et al., 2012). Inhibition of ExoS-Bla translocation was
evaluated in the presence of serial dilutions of Protein-A purified serum IgGs and
mAbs. Serum IgG purification was performed as described above for mAb
purification. All values were normalized using noninfected cells and cells infected in
the absence of Abs as references.

Pore formation / propidium iodide incorporation into macrophages

To assess the formation of a T3SS translocation pore, macrophages were
infected with a *P. aeruginosa* strain PAO1Δ3Tox devoid of three exotoxins (Cisz et al.,
2008). Two days before the experiment, J774 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate
(Greiner, 655090) at a density of 100 000 cells per well in DMEM supplemented with
10% FCS. The day before the experiment, the strain was grown overnight in LB

medium. The next day, bacteria were sub-cultured in fresh LB media until an OD_{600nm}

of 1 and the macrophages were washed twice with PBS before addition of 65 µL of

DMEM 10% FCS containing 2 µg/mL of propidium iodide. Antibodies diluted in DMEM,

10% FCS (25 µL) were then added followed by 10 µL of bacteria diluted in DMEM 10%

FCS to give a MOI of 5. Propidium iodide fluorescence was recorded in a Fluoroskan

fluorimeter every 10 minutes. The data of each fluorescence kinetics of the triplicates

were processed in R Studio to calculate the Area Under the Curve, as described before

(Ngo et al., 2019). This metrics was then normalized using noninfected cells and cells

infected in the absence of Abs as references.

Data processing and analysis

Data from independent cell experiments were pooled and analyzed with R

version 4.3.2 (R Core Team, 2023) by one-way ANOVA followed by paired t-test or

Kuskal-Wallis followed by the Dunn test with Benjamini-Hochberg p-value adjustment.

Dose-response fitting was performed using the drc package (Ritz & Strebig, 2016)

based on a three-parameters log-logistic model and IC_{50} were compared using the

function comParm().

Bio-layer interferometry

BLI experiments were performed on an OctetRED96e from Satorius/FortéBio

(former Pall/FortéBio) and were recorded with software provided by the manufacturer

(Data Acquisition v11.1). All protein samples were diluted in analysis buffer (1X PBS

pH 7.4, 0.02% Tween-20). 10 mM glycine pH 2.0 was used as regeneration buffer.

Commercial SA or SAX (streptavidin) biosensors (Pall/FortéBio) were used to capture

biotinylated PcrV. Kinetic analyses were performed in black 96-well plates (Nunc F96

MicroWell, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 25°C with agitation at 1000 rpm. After

incubation and equilibration of biosensors in analysis buffer, PcrV samples were

applied at a concentration of 2.5 mg/mL by dipping biosensors until reaching a

spectrum shift between 1.2 and 2 nm, followed by an additional equilibration step in

analysis buffer. For association measurements, all analyte samples were diluted in

analysis buffer at concentrations either between 3.12 and 200 nM for IgGs or between

50 and 3200 nM for Fab fragments. Association phases were monitored while dipping

the functionalized biosensors in analyte solutions for 5 min after recording a baseline

for 2 min, and the dissociation phases monitored in analysis buffer for 10 min. To assess and monitor unspecific binding of analytes, measurements were performed with biosensors treated with the same protocols but replacing ligand solutions with analysis buffer. All measurements were performed in duplicate using sample preparations. Kinetic data were processed with software provided by the manufacturer (Data analysis HT v11.1). Signals from zero-concentration samples were subtracted from the signals obtained for each functionalized biosensor and each analyte concentration. Resulting specific kinetics signals were then fitted using a global fit method and 1:2 bivalent analyte model for full Abs/IgG and 1:1 Langmuir model for Fab. Reported kinetics parameter values were obtained by averaging the values obtained with duplicated assays and reported errors as the standard deviation.

Crystallization of the PcrV*-Fab P3D6 complex

PcrV* and Fab P3D6 were mixed in a 1:2 ratio for 1 hr at room temperature prior to being subjected to size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 10/300GL increase column in SEC buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA). Peaks harboring PcrV*:Fab complexes in SDS-PAGE were pooled, concentrated and used for crystallization trials using the ISBG HTX crystallization platform in Grenoble. Initial crystallization conditions (25 % PEG 1000, 1mM $ZnCl_2$, 100 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5) were optimized manually, and diffracting crystals were obtained using microseeding. All crystals were grown using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method at 20 °C. Single crystals were mounted in cryo-loops and flash cooled in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were collected under a nitrogen stream at 100° K at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France).

Structure determination and refinement

The best diffraction data were collected to 2.56 Å on beamline ID30A-1 (ESRF) (Bowler, 2015). The diffracting crystal was in space group $P2_1$ and displayed one 1:1 PcrV:Fab complex per asymmetric unit. Statistics on data collection and refinement are summarized in supplementary table 5. X-ray diffraction images were indexed and scaled with XDS (Kabsch 2010). ADXV (Arvai, 2020) and XDSGUI (Brehm et al., 2023) were used to perform data quality and resolution cutoff check-ups (Karplus and

Diederichs, 2015). The maximum possible resolution was determined using the STARANISO server (Tickle, 2007). The reduced X-ray diffraction data was imported into the CCP4 program suite (Agirre et al., 2023). The PcrV*-Fab P3D6 structure was solved by molecular replacement using PHASER (McCoy et al., 2007) and an AlphaFold2 ColabFold-generated model (Mirdita et al., 2022). The PcrV* and Fab model chains were placed sequentially. The structure was completed by cycles of manual model building with COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004, p. 200). Water molecules were added to the residual electron density map as implemented in COOT. Crystallographic macromolecular refinement was performed with REFMAC (Murshudov et al., 2011). Cycles of model building and refinement were performed until R_{work} and R_{free} converged. The TLS definition was determined and validated using the TLSMD (Painter and Merritt, 2006) and PARVATI (Zucker et al., 2010) servers. The stereochemical quality of the refined models was verified with MOLPROBITY (Chen et al., 2010, PROCHECK (Laskowski et al., 1993) and PDB-REDO (Joosten et al., 2014). Secondary structure assignment was performed by DSSP (Kabsch and Sander, 1983) and STRIDE (Heinig and Frishman, 2004). Figures displaying protein structures were generated with PYMOL (http://www.pymol.org).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-22-CE18-0009) to PP, AD and IA, as well as grant 183360 from the Région Auvergne Rhône-Alpes to PP and AD. This work used the platforms of the Grenoble Instruct-ERIC center (ISBG; UAR 3518 CNRSCEA-UGA-EMBL) within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB), supported by FRISBI (ANR-10-INBS-0005) and GRAL, financed within the University Grenoble Alpes graduate school (Ecoles Universitaires de Recherche) CBH-EUR-GS (ANR-17-EURE-0003). The IBS acknowledges integration into the Interdisciplinary Research Institute of Grenoble (CEA).

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files, with the exception of the final refined model coordinates and

621 structure factors corresponding to the PcrV*- Fab P3D6 complex. Those were

622 deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB, https://www.rcsb.org), ID code: 9FM0.

REFERENCES

Agirre J, Atanasova M, Bagdonas H, Ballard CB, Baslé A, Beilsten-Edmands J, Borges RJ, Brown DG, Burgos-Mármol JJ, Berrisford JM, Bond PS, Caballero I, Catapano L, Chojnowski G, Cook AG, Cowtan KD, Croll TI, Debreczeni JÉ, Devenish NE, Dodson EJ, Drevon TR, Emsley P, Evans G, Evans PR, Fando M, Foadi J, Fuentes-Montero L, Garman EF, Gerstel M, Gildea RJ, Hatti K, Hekkelman ML, Heuser P, Hoh SW, Hough MA, Jenkins HT, Jiménez E, Joosten RP, Keegan RM, Keep N, Krissinel EB, Kolenko P, Kovalevskiy O, Lamzin VS, Lawson DM, Lebedev AA, Leslie AGW, Lohkamp B, Long F, Malý M, McCoy AJ, McNicholas SJ, Medina A, Millán C, Murray JW, Murshudov GN, Nicholls RA, Noble MEM, Oeffner R, Pannu NS, Parkhurst JM, Pearce N, Pereira J, Perrakis A, Powell HR, Read RJ, Rigden DJ, Rochira W, Sammito M, Sánchez Rodríguez F, Sheldrick GM, Shelley KL, Simkovic F, Simpkin AJ, Skubak P, Sobolev E, Steiner RA, Stevenson K, Tews I, Thomas JMH, Thorn A, Valls JT, Uski V, Usón I, Vagin A, Velankar S, Vollmar M, Walden H, Waterman D, Wilson KS, Winn MD, Winter G, Wojdyr M, Yamashita K. 2023. The *CCP* 4 suite: integrative software for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **79**:449–461. doi:10.1107/S2059798323003595

Arvai, A. (2020). ADXV. A Program to Display X-ray Diffraction Images. https://www.scripps.edu/tainer/arvai/adxv.html. n.d.

Berube BJ, Murphy KR, Torhan MC, Bowlin NO, Williams JD, Bowlin TL, Moir DT, Hauser AR. 2017. Impact of Type III Secretion Effectors and of Phenoxyacetamide Inhibitors of Type III Secretion on Abscess Formation in a Mouse Model of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **61**:e01202-17. doi:10.1128/AAC.01202-17

Bowlin NO, Williams JD, Knoten CA, Torhan MC, Tashjian TF, Li B, Aiello D, Mecsas J, Hauser AR, Peet NP, Bowlin TL, Moir DT. 2014. Mutations in the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Needle Protein Gene *pscF* Confer Resistance to Phenoxyacetamide Inhibitors of the Type III Secretion System. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **58**:2211–2220. doi:10.1128/AAC.02795-13

Brehm W, Triviño J, Krahn JM, Usón I, Diederichs K. 2023. *XDSGUI* : a graphical user interface for *XDS* , *SHELX* and *ARCIMBOLDO*. *J Appl Crystallogr* **56**:1585–1594. doi:10.1107/S1600576723007057

Charpentier X, Oswald E. 2004. Identification of the Secretion and Translocation Domain of the Enteropathogenic and Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* Effector Cif, Using TEM-1 β-Lactamase as a New Fluorescence-Based Reporter. *J Bacteriol* **186**:5486–5495. doi:10.1128/JB.186.16.5486-5495.2004

Chastre J, François B, Bourgeois M, Komnos A, Ferrer R, Rahav G, De Schryver N, Lepape A, Koksal I, Luyt C-E, Sánchez-García M, Torres A, Eggimann P, Koulenti D, Holland TL, Ali O, Shoemaker K, Ren P, Sauser J, Ruzin A, Tabor DE, Akhgar A, Wu

Y, Jiang Y, DiGiandomenico A, Colbert S, Vandamme D, Coenjaerts F, MalhotraKumar S, Timbermont L, Oliver A, Barraud O, Bellamy T, Bonten M, Goossens H, Reisner C, Esser MT, Jafri HS, The COMBACTE-MAGNET EVADE Study Group, Joannidis M, Klimscha W, De Waele E, Devriendt J, Huberlant V, Depuydt P, Van Boxstael S, Peric M, Kopic J, Hanauer M, Hruby T, Sramek V, Svoboda P, Vymazal T, Novacek M, Annane D, Mira J-P, Souweine B, Dequin P-F, Meziani F, Stephan F, Nseir S, Gibot S, Schwebel C, Plantefeve G, Diehl J-L, Richard C, Lamer C, Klouche K, Jaber S, Zakynthinos E, Filntisis G, Zakynthinos S, Koutsoukou A, Saroglou G, Nikolaou C, Vlachogianni G, Pnevmatikos I, Mandragos K, Kremer I, Rozgonyi ZD, Marjanek Z, Martin-Loeches I, Singer P, Van Heerden V, Carmeli Y, Povoa P, Seoane AA, Moura P, Gonzalez F, Ramirez P, Marti AT, Roca RF, Oteiza L, Escudero D, Piacentini E, Vera P, Tamayo L, Gallego MAG, Canas BS, Figueira I, Leon R, Korten V, Akova M, Wyncoll D, Whitehouse T, Hopkins P, Sim M, Golan Y, Zervos M, Vazquez J, Cherabuddi K, Smulian G, Rouphael N, Welker J, Sims M, Van Duin D, McCarthy T, Polk C. 2022. Safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetics of gremubamab (MEDI3902), an anti-Pseudomonas aeruginosa bispecific human monoclonal antibody, in P. aeruginosa-colonised, mechanically ventilated intensive care unit patients: a randomised controlled trial. *Crit Care* **26**:355. doi:10.1186/s13054-022-04204-9

Chen VB, Arendall WB, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. 2010. *MolProbity*: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**:12–21. doi:10.1107/S0907444909042073

Cisz M, Lee P-C, Rietsch A. 2008. ExoS Controls the Cell Contact-Mediated Switch to Effector Secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **190**:2726–2738. doi:10.1128/JB.01553-07

Coburn B, Sekirov I, Finlay BB. 2007. Type III Secretion Systems and Disease. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **20**:535–549. doi:10.1128/CMR.00013-07

Crowe JE. 2022. Human Antibodies for Viral Infections. *Annu Rev Immunol* **40**:349–386. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-042718-041309

Dacheux D, Goure J, Chabert J, Usson Y, Attree I. 2001. Pore-forming activity of type III system-secreted proteins leads to oncosis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-infected macrophages. *Molecular Microbiology* **40**:76–85. doi:10.1046/j.13652958.2001.02368.x

De Melo AG, Morency C, Moineau S. 2024. Virulence-associated factors as targets for phage infection. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **79**:102471. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2024.102471

Derewenda ZS. 2011. It's all in the crystals…. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **67**:243–248. doi:10.1107/S0907444911007797

Diepold A, Wagner S. 2014. Assembly of the bacterial type III secretion machinery.
FEMS Microbiol Rev **38**:802–822. doi:10.1111/1574-6976.12061

DiGiandomenico A, Keller AE, Gao Cuihua, Rainey GJ, Warrener P, Camara MM,
Bonnell J, Fleming R, Bezabeh B, Dimasi N, Sellman BR, Hilliard J, Guenther CM,
Datta V, Zhao W, Gao Changshou, Yu X-Q, Suzich JA, Stover CK. 2014. A
multifunctional bispecific antibody protects against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Sci*
Transl Med **6**. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3009655

Dortet L, Lombardi C, Cretin F, Dessen A, Filloux A. 2018. Pore-forming activity of the
Pseudomonas aeruginosa type III secretion system translocon alters the host
epigenome. *Nat Microbiol* **3**:378–386. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0109-7

Duan L, Zhang J, Chen Z, Gou Q, Xiong Q, Yuan Y, Jing H, Zhu J, Ni L, Zheng Y, Liu
Z, Zhang X, Zeng H, Zou Q, Zhao Z. 2021. Antibiotic Combined with Epitope-Specific
Monoclonal Antibody Cocktail Protects Mice Against Bacteremia and Acute
Pneumonia from Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Infection. *JIR* **Volume**
14:4267–4282. doi:10.2147/JIR.S325286

Emsley P, Cowtan K. 2004. *Coot* : model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta*
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **60**:2126–2132. doi:10.1107/S0907444904019158

Erskine PT, Knight MJ, Ruaux A, Mikolajek H, Sang NWF, Withers J, Gill R, Wood SP,
Wood M, Fox GC, Cooper JB. 2006. High Resolution Structure of BipD: An Invasion
Protein Associated with the Type III Secretion System of Burkholderia Pseudomallei.
Journal of Molecular Biology **363**:125–136. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2006.07.069

Faudry E, Vernier G, Neumann E, Forge V, Attree I. 2006. Synergistic Pore Formation
by Type III Toxin Translocators of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Biochemistry* **45**:8117–
8123. doi:10.1021/bi060452+

François B, Luyt C-E, Dugard A, Wolff M, Diehl J-L, Jaber S, Forel J-M, Garot D, Kipnis
E, Mebazaa A, Misset B, Andremont A, Ploy M-C, Jacobs A, Yarranton G, Pearce T,
Fagon J-Y, Chastre J. 2012. Safety and pharmacokinetics of an anti-PcrV PEGylated
monoclonal antibody fragment in mechanically ventilated patients colonized with
Pseudomonas aeruginosa: A randomized,double-blind, placebo-controlled trial*.
Critical Care Medicine **40**:2320–2326. doi:10.1097/CCM.0b013e31825334f6

Frank DW, Vallis A, Wiener-Kronish JP, Roy-Burman A, Spack EG, Mullaney BP,
Megdoud M, Marks JD, Fritz R, Sawa T. 2002. Generation and Characterization of a
Protective Monoclonal Antibody to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PcrV. *J INFECT DIS*
186:64–73. doi:10.1086/341069

Gébus C, Faudry E, Bohn Y-ST, Elsen S, Attree I. 2009. Oligomerization of PcrV and
LcrV, protective antigens of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Yersinia pestis. *Journal of*
Biological Chemistry **284**:21776. doi:10.1074/jbc.A803146200

Goure J, Broz P, Attree O, Cornelis GR, Attree I. 2005. Protective Anti-V Antibodies
Inhibit *Pseudomonas* and *Yersinia* Translocon Assembly within Host Membranes. *J*
INFECT DIS **192**:218–225. doi:10.1086/430932

Goure J, Pastor A, Faudry E, Chabert J, Dessen A, Attree I. 2004. The V Antigen of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Is Required for Assembly of the Functional PopB/PopD
Translocation Pore in Host Cell Membranes. *Infect Immun* **72**:4741–4750.
doi:10.1128/IAI.72.8.4741-4750.2004

Guo EZ, Galán JE. 2021. Cryo-EM structure of the needle filament tip complex of the
Salmonella type III secretion injectisome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **118**:e2114552118.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2114552118

Habenstein B, El Mammeri N, Tolchard J, Lamon G, Tawani A, Berbon M, Loquet A.
2019. Structures of Type III Secretion System Needle Filaments In: Wagner S, Galan
JE, editors. Bacterial Type III Protein Secretion Systems, Current Topics in
Microbiology and Immunology. Cham: Springer International Publishing. pp. 109–131.
doi:10.1007/82_2019_192

Hauser AR. 2009. The type III secretion system of Pseudomonas aeruginosa: infection
by injection. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **7**:654–665. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2199

Heinig M, Frishman D. 2004. STRIDE: a web server for secondary structure
assignment from known atomic coordinates of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research*
32:W500–W502. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh429

Horcajada JP, Montero M, Oliver A, Sorlí L, Luque S, Gómez-Zorrilla S, Benito N, Grau
S. 2019. Epidemiology and Treatment of Multidrug-Resistant and Extensively
DrugResistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **32**:e00031-19.
doi:10.1128/CMR.00031-19

Hu Y, Huang H, Cheng X, Shu X, White AP, Stavrinides J, Köster W, Zhu G, Zhao Z,
Wang Y. 2017. A global survey of bacterial type III secretion systems and their
effectors. *Environmental Microbiology* **19**:3879–3895. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13755

Imamura Y, Yanagihara K, Fukuda Y, Kaneko Y, Seki M, Izumikawa K, Miyazaki Y,
Hirakata Y, Sawa T, Wiener-Kronish JP, Kohno S. 2007. Effect of anti-PcrV antibody
in a murine chronic airway Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection model. *European*
Respiratory Journal **29**:965–968. doi:10.1183/09031936.00147406

Jain R, Beckett VV, Konstan MW, Accurso FJ, Burns JL, Mayer-Hamblett N, Milla C,
VanDevanter DR, Chmiel JF. 2018. KB001-A, a novel anti-inflammatory, found to be
safe and well-tolerated in cystic fibrosis patients infected with Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* **17**:484–491. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2017.12.006

Joosten RP, Long F, Murshudov GN, Perrakis A. 2014. The *PDB_REDO* server for
macromolecular structure model optimization. *IUCrJ* **1**:213–220.
doi:10.1107/S2052252514009324

Kabsch W, Sander C. 1983. Dictionary of protein secondary structure: Pattern
recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. *Biopolymers* **22**:2577–
2637. doi:10.1002/bip.360221211

Karplus PA, Diederichs K. 2015. Assessing and maximizing data quality in
macromolecular crystallography. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **34**:60–68.
doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2015.07.003

Kaufmann SHE, Dorhoi A, Hotchkiss RS, Bartenschlager R. 2018. Host-directed
therapies for bacterial and viral infections. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **17**:35–56.
doi:10.1038/nrd.2017.162

Kundracik E, Trichka J, Díaz Aponte J, Roistacher A, Rietsch A. 2022. PopB-PcrV
Interactions Are Essential for Pore Formation in the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Type III
Secretion System Translocon. *mBio* **13**:e02381-22. doi:10.1128/mbio.02381-22

La Guidara C, Adamo R, Sala C, Micoli F. 2024. Vaccines and Monoclonal Antibodies
as Alternative Strategies to Antibiotics to Fight Antimicrobial Resistance. *IJMS*
25:5487. doi:10.3390/ijms25105487

Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM. 1993. PROCHECK: a program
to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *J Appl Crystallogr* **26**:283–
291. doi:10.1107/S0021889892009944

Lee P, Stopford CM, Svenson AG, Rietsch A. 2010. Control of effector export by the
Pseudomonas aeruginosa type III secretion proteins PcrG and PcrV. *Molecular*
Microbiology **75**:924–941. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.07027.x

Levin MJ, Ustianowski A, De Wit S, Launay O, Avila M, Templeton A, Yuan Y,
Seegobin S, Ellery A, Levinson DJ, Ambery P, Arends RH, Beavon R, Dey K, Garbes
P, Kelly EJ, Koh GCKW, Near KA, Padilla KW, Psachoulia K, Sharbaugh A, Streicher
K, Pangalos MN, Esser MT. 2022. Intramuscular AZD7442 (Tixagevimab–
Cilgavimab) for Prevention of Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*
386:2188–2200. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2116620

Lunelli M, Hurwitz R, Lambers J, Kolbe M. 2011. Crystal Structure of PrgI-SipD:
Insight into a Secretion Competent State of the Type Three Secretion System Needle
Tip and its Interaction with Host Ligands. *PLoS Pathog*
7:e1002163. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002163

Matteï P, Faudry E, Job V, Izoré T, Attree I, Dessen A. 2011. Membrane targeting and
pore formation by the type III secretion system translocon. *The FEBS Journal* **278**:414–
426. doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2010.07974.x

McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ. 2007.
Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* **40**:658–674.
doi:10.1107/S0021889807021206

Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. 2022.
ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**:679–682.
doi:10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1

Montagner C, Arquint C, Cornelis GR. 2011. Translocators YopB and YopD from
Yersinia enterocolitica Form a Multimeric Integral Membrane Complex in Eukaryotic
Cell Membranes. *J Bacteriol* **193**:6923–6928. doi:10.1128/JB.05555-11

Morrison C. 2015. Antibacterial antibodies gain traction. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **14**:737–
738. doi:10.1038/nrd4770

Mueller CA, Broz P, Cornelis GR. 2008. The type III secretion system tip complex and
translocon. *Molecular Microbiology* **68**:1085–1095.
doi:10.1111/j.13652958.2008.06237.x

Mulangu S, Dodd LE, Davey RT, Tshiani Mbaya O, Proschan M, Mukadi D,
Lusakibanza Manzo M, Nzolo D, Tshomba Oloma A, Ibanda A, Ali R, Coulibaly S,
Levine AC, Grais R, Diaz J, Lane HC, Muyembe-Tamfum J-J. 2019. A Randomized,
Controlled Trial of Ebola Virus Disease Therapeutics. *N Engl J Med* **381**:2293–2303.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1910993

Murray CJL, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Robles Aguilar G, Gray A, Han C,
Bisignano C, Rao P, Wool E, Johnson SC, Browne AJ, Chipeta MG, Fell F, Hackett S,
Haines-Woodhouse G, Kashef Hamadani BH, Kumaran EAP, McManigal B,
Achalapong S, Agarwal R, Akech S, Albertson S, Amuasi J, Andrews J, Aravkin A,
Ashley E, Babin F-X, Bailey F, Baker S, Basnyat B, Bekker A, Bender R, Berkley JA,
Bethou A, Bielicki J, Boonkasidecha S, Bukosia J, Carvalheiro C, Castañeda-Orjuela
C, Chansamouth V, Chaurasia S, Chiurchiù S, Chowdhury F, Clotaire Donatien R,
Cook AJ, Cooper B, Cressey TR, Criollo-Mora E, Cunningham M, Darboe S, Day NPJ,
De Luca M, Dokova K, Dramowski A, Dunachie SJ, Duong Bich T, Eckmanns T, Eibach
D, Emami A, Feasey N, Fisher-Pearson N, Forrest K, Garcia C, Garrett D, Gastmeier
P, Giref AZ, Greer RC, Gupta V, Haller S, Haselbeck A, Hay SI, Holm M, Hopkins S,
Hsia Y, Iregbu KC, Jacobs J, Jarovsky D, Javanmardi F, Jenney AWJ, Khorana M,
Khusuwan S, Kissoon N, Kobeissi E, Kostyanev T, Krapp F, Krumkamp R, Kumar A,
Kyu HH, Lim C, Lim K, Limmathurotsakul D, Loftus MJ, Lunn M, Ma J, Manoharan A,
Marks F, May J, Mayxay M, Mturi N, Munera-Huertas T, Musicha P, Musila LA,
MussiPinhata MM, Naidu RN, Nakamura T, Nanavati R, Nangia S, Newton P, Ngoun
C, Novotney A, Nwakanma D, Obiero CW, Ochoa TJ, Olivas-Martinez A, Olliaro P,

Ooko E, Ortiz-Brizuela E, Ounchanum P, Pak GD, Paredes JL, Peleg AY, Perrone C,
Phe T, Phommasone K, Plakkal N, Ponce-de-Leon A, Raad M, Ramdin T, Rattanavong
S, Riddell A, Roberts T, Robotham JV, Roca A, Rosenthal VD, Rudd KE, Russell N,
Sader HS, Saengchan W, Schnall J, Scott JAG, Seekaew S, Sharland M,
Shivamallappa M, Sifuentes-Osornio J, Simpson AJ, Steenkeste N, Stewardson AJ,
Stoeva T, Tasak N, Thaiprakong A, Thwaites G, Tigoi C, Turner C, Turner P, Van
Doorn HR, Velaphi S, Vongpradith A, Vongsouvath M, Vu H, Walsh T, Walson JL,
Waner S, Wangrangsimakul T, Wannapinij P, Wozniak T, Young Sharma TEMW, Yu
KC, Zheng P, Sartorius B, Lopez AD, Stergachis A, Moore C, Dolecek C, Naghavi M.
2022. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic
analysis. *The Lancet* **399**:629–655. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0

Murshudov GN, Skubák P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, Winn
MD, Long F, Vagin AA. 2011. *REFMAC* 5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal
structures.   *Acta   Crystallogr   D   Biol   Crystallogr*   **67**:355–367.
doi:10.1107/S0907444911001314

Nanao M, Ricard-Blum S, Di Guilmi A, Lemaire D, Lascoux D, Chabert J, Attree I,
Dessen A. 2003. [No title found]. *BMC Microbiol* **3**:21. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-3-21

Ngo T-D, Plé S, Thomas A, Barette C, Fortuné A, Bouzidi Y, Fauvarque M-O, Pereira
De Freitas R, Francisco Hilário F, Attrée I, Wong Y-S, Faudry E. 2019. Chimeric
Protein–Protein Interface Inhibitors Allow Efficient Inhibition of Type III Secretion
Machinery and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Virulence. *ACS Infect Dis* **5**:1843–1854.
doi:10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00154

Painter J, Merritt EA. 2006. *TLSMD* web server for the generation of multi-group TLS
models. *J Appl Crystallogr* **39**:109–111. doi:10.1107/S0021889805038987

Pennini ME, De Marco A, Pelletier M, Bonnell J, Cvitkovic R, Beltramello M, Cameroni
E, Bianchi S, Zatta F, Zhao W, Xiao X, Camara MM, DiGiandomenico A, Semenova E,
Lanzavecchia A, Warrener P, Suzich J, Wang Q, Corti D, Stover CK. 2017. Immune
stealth-driven O2 serotype prevalence and potential for therapeutic antibodies against
multidrug   resistant   Klebsiella   pneumoniae.   *Nat   Commun*   **8**:1991.
doi:10.1038/s41467017-02223-7

Quinaud M, Chabert J, Faudry E, Neumann E, Lemaire D, Pastor A, Elsen S, Dessen
A, Attree I. 2005. The PscE-PscF-PscG Complex Controls Type III Secretion Needle
Biogenesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **280**:36293–
36300. doi:10.1074/jbc.M508089200

Quinaud M, Plé S, Job V, Contreras-Martel C, Simorre J-P, Attree I, Dessen A. 2007.
Structure of the heterotrimeric complex that regulates type III secretion needle
formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104**:7803–7808. doi:10.1073/pnas.0610098104
Rollenske T, Szijarto V, Lukasiewicz J, Guachalla LM, Stojkovic K, Hartl K, Stulik L,
Kocher S, Lasitschka F, Al-Saeedi M, Schröder-Braunstein J, Von Frankenberg M,

Gaebelein G, Hoffmann P, Klein S, Heeg K, Nagy E, Nagy G, Wardemann H. 2018. Cross-specificity of protective human antibodies against Klebsiella pneumoniae LPS O-antigen. *Nat Immunol* **19**:617–624. doi:10.1038/s41590-018-0106-2

Roy-Burman A, Savel RH, Racine S, Swanson BL, Revadigar NS, Fujimoto J, Sawa T, Frank DW, Wiener-Kronish JP. 2001. Type III Protein Secretion Is Associated with Death in Lower Respiratory and Systemic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infections. *J INFECT DIS* **183**:1767–1774. doi:10.1086/320737

Sawa, Kinoshita, Inoue, Ohara, Moriyama. 2019. Immunoglobulin for Treating Bacterial Infections: One More Mechanism of Action. *Antibodies* **8**:52. doi:10.3390/antib8040052

Schoehn G, Di Guilmi AM, Lemaire D, Attree I, Weissenhorn W, Dessen A. 2003. Oligomerization of type III secretion proteins PopB and PopD precedes pore formation in Pseudomonas. *EMBO J.* **22**(19):4957-67. doi:10.1093/emboj/cdg499.

Serapio-Palacios A, Finlay BB. 2020. Dynamics of expression, secretion and translocation of type III effectors during enteropathogenic Escherichia coli infection. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **54**:67–76. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2019.12.001

Simonis A, Kreer C, Albus A, Rox K, Yuan B, Holzmann D, Wilms JA, Zuber S, Kottege L, Winter S, Meyer M, Schmitt K, Gruell H, Theobald SJ, Hellmann A-M, Meyer C, Ercanoglu MS, Cramer N, Munder A, Hallek M, Fätkenheuer G, Koch M, Seifert H, Rietschel E, Marlovits TC, Van Koningsbruggen-Rietschel S, Klein F, Rybniker J. 2023. Discovery of highly neutralizing human antibodies targeting Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Cell* **186**:5098-5113.e19. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2023.10.002

Tabor DE, Oganesyan V, Keller AE, Yu L, McLaughlin RE, Song E, Warrener P, Rosenthal K, Esser M, Qi Y, Ruzin A, Stover CK, DiGiandomenico A. 2018. Pseudomonas aeruginosa PcrV and Psl, the Molecular Targets of Bispecific Antibody MEDI3902, Are Conserved Among Diverse Global Clinical Isolates. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiy438

Tickle IJ. 2007. Experimental determination of optimal root-mean-square deviations of macromolecular bond lengths and angles from their restrained ideal values. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **63**:1274–1281. doi:10.1107/S0907444907050196

Vacca F, Sala C, Rappuoli R. 2022. Monoclonal Antibodies for Bacterial Pathogens: Mechanisms of Action and Engineering Approaches for Enhanced Effector Functions. *Biomedicines* **10**:2126. doi:10.3390/biomedicines10092126

Verove J, Bernarde C, Bohn Y-ST, Boulay F, Rabiet M-J, Attree I, Cretin F. 2012. Injection of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Exo Toxins into Host Cells Can Be Modulated by Host Factors at the Level of Translocon Assembly and/or Activity. *PLoS ONE* **7**:e30488. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030488

Watson A, Li H, Ma B, Weiss R, Bendayan D, Abramovitz L, Ben-Shalom N, Mor M,
Pinko E, Bar Oz M, Wang Z, Du F, Lu Y, Rybniker J, Dahan R, Huang H, Barkan D,
Xiang Y, Javid B, Freund NT. 2021. Human antibodies targeting a Mycobacterium
transporter protein mediate protection against tuberculosis. *Nat Commun* **12**:602.
doi:10.1038/s41467-021-20930-0

West SEH, Schweizer HP, Dall C, Sample AK, Runyen-Janecky LJ. 1994. Construction
of improved Escherichia-Pseudomonas shuttle vectors derived from pUC18/19 and
sequence of the region required for their replication in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Gene*
148:81–86. doi:10.1016/0378-1119(94)90237-2

Yaeger LN, Coles VE, Chan DCK, Burrows LL. 2021. How to kill *Pseudomonas* —
emerging therapies for a challenging pathogen. *Annals of the New York Academy of*
Sciences **1496**:59–81. doi:10.1111/nyas.14596

Zucker F, Champ PC, Merritt EA. 2010. Validation of crystallographic models
containing TLS or other descriptions of anisotropy. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*
66:889–900. doi:10.1107/S0907444910020421

FIGURES

938
939

Figure 1. Screening workflow and donor selection
(A) Schematic representation of the workflow from patient selection to evaluation of
T3SS-blocking activity. (B) Patients sera (1/50 dilution) were tested in ELISA against

recombinant PcrV and PscF. (C) ExoS-Bla translocation blocking activity of serum IgGs from donors 16 and 25. (D) (top) scheme of depletion experiment of specific Abs on either PscF- or PcrV–loaded columns. (bottom) blocking activity of depleted sera for both donors. The dots and bars represent the means and standard-deviations of experimental triplicates. The curves correspond to the modeled log-logistic dose-response curves. The dashed lines represent the mean of normalized ExoS-bla injection in the absence of Ab.

A

B

Anti-PcrV mAbs	EC$_{50}$ (µg/mL)	ExoS-Bla inhibition
P5B3	0.04	24 %
P3D6	0.03	94 %
P3G9	0.08	No inhibition
P5E10	0.17	No inhibition
Anti-PscF mAbs	EC$_{50}$ (µg/mL)	ExoS-Bla inhibition
P5G10	0.01	No inhibition
P1B7	0.07	No inhibition
P5D5	0.07	No inhibition
P1D5	0.18	No inhibition
P1B4	0.24	No inhibition
P3G6	1.28	No inhibition
P3G2	2.08	No inhibition
P1F5	4.65	No inhibition
P3G7	10.61	No inhibition
P1D7	>30	No inhibition

Figure 2. Selection of B cells from donors 16 and 25. (A) B cells sorting and isolation using PscF and PcrV baits. (B) Table summarizing the EC$_{50}$ values of selected Abs obtained by ELISA and the percentage of inhibition of ExoS-Bla injection into epithelial cells at 100 µg/mL. ExoS-Bla inhibitions were compared using ANOVA and "No inhibition" means an absence of significant difference with the control (adjusted p-values > 0.05). The P5B3 and P3D6 mAbs exhibited differences with the control (no Ab) with adjusted p-values < 0.001.

A

Variant	Amino acid positions			
	6	9	21	225
V1 (PAO1-like)	Leu	Ala	Ser	Ser
V2 (CHA-like)	Leu	Ala	Ser	Arg
V3 (PA14-like)	Phe	Ala	Pro	Lys
V4 (PA103-like)	Phe	Gly	Pro	Arg
V5	Phe	Gly	Pro	Lys

B

Figure 3. **mAbs P5B3 and P3D6 activity on PcrV variants**. (A) PcrV variability in clinical strains. The most variable position (225) can either be Ser, Arg or Lys. Representative strains are indicated when available (PAO1 for V1, CHA for V2, PA14

for V3 and PA103 for V4). (B) Inhibition of ExoS-Bla activity following infection of A549 epithelial cells with *P. aeruginosa* expressing the V1 variant. Normalized ExoS-Bla injection values in the presence of 100 µg/mL Abs were compared to the control (no Ab) using ANOVA. Pairwised t-test significance is indicated by the symbols *, **, *** and **** for adjusted p-values below 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001, respectively. The absence of symbol corresponds to adjusted p-values > 0.05.

971
972
973 **Figure 4. mAb P3D6 efficiently inhibits the PopB/PopD translocation pore**. J774
974 macrophages were infected with *P. aeruginosa* strain (PAO1, V1) deprived of all three
975 T3SS toxins. The cell death (cytotoxicity) resulting from insertion of the translocon pore
976 was measured by propidium iodide incorporation and normalized to the wild-type strain

without addition of mAbs. (A) Normalized cytotoxicity values in the presence of mAb at 100 µg/mL. Specific mAbs were compared to the control (no Ab) using ANOVA. Pairwised t-test significance is indicated by the symbol ****, meaning p-values below 0.0001. (B, C) Dose-response analysis with mAb concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 100 µg/mL. The circles, the black line and the grey area represent the experimental values, the log-logistic modeled dose-response curve and the 95% confidence interval, respectively. The dashed lines represent the mean of normalized cytotoxicity in the absence of Ab.The Ab concentration is presented in logarithmic scale. No black curve nor grey area is displayed for P5B3 because no dose-response could be modeled. In contrast, P3D6 exhibits an IC_{50} of 11.8 µg/mL.

Figure 5. Structure of Fab P3D6 in complex with PcrV*. (A) Crystal structure of Fab P3D6 in complex with PcrV*. Fab P3D6 is shown in brown, while PcrV* is in orange. Contacts are made between PcrV* and an interaction platform formed by both HC and LC of P3D6. (B) Closeup of the interaction between PcrV* and P3D6, with the latter being shown as an electrostatic surface where acidic regions are shown in red, and basic in blue. Side (C) and top (D) views of the modeled PcrV pentamer, in light blue) onto which the structure shown in (A) was overlaid.

Figure 6. Functional and structural comparisons between anti-PcrV mAbs.

(A) Dose-dependent inhibition of T3SS in two functional assays reflecting toxin injection (ExoS-Bla injection) and translocon assembly (J774 macrophage cytotoxicity) for three mAbs. The circles, the dark lines and the light-colored areas represent the experimental values, the log-logistic modeled dose-response curves and the 95% confidence intervals, respectively. The Ab concentration is presented in logarithmic scale. No red curve nor red area is displayed for MEDI3902 because no dose-response could be modeled. (B) Structures of PcrV-Fab complexes in the context of a PcrV monomer, as well as of a pentamer modeled based on the cryo-EM structure of SipD (Guo and Galan 2021). The N- and C- termini of PcrV are indicated in all structures, which are referenced in the main text.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JMD, EF, CCM, FC, LSDD, AA, IB, VTC and FC performed experiments and analyzed the data; EF, JMD, VTC, CCM and LSDD prepared the figures; DF and YC provided the tools and materials; IA, AD and PP designed the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. All authors participated in discussions and editing of the final version of the manuscript.

All data reported in this paper including antibody sequences will be shared by the lead contact upon request.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA FOR

Neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies that target the PcrV component of the Type III Secretion System of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* act through distinct mechanisms

Jean-Mathieu Desveaux[1], Eric Faudry[1], Carlos Contreras-Martel[1], François Cretin[1], Leonardo Sebastian Dergan-Dylon[1], Axelle Amen[1,2], Isabelle Bally[1], Victor TardivyCasemajor[1], Fabien Chenavier[1], Delphine Fouquenet[3], Yvan Caspar[1,4], Ina Attrée*[1], Andréa Dessen*[1], Pascal Poignard*[1,5]

[1] Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), F-38044 Grenoble, France

[2] Laboratoire d'Immunologie, CHU Grenoble Alpes, France

[3] Centre d'Étude des Pathologies Respiratoires INSERM U1100 - UFR de Médecine de Tours, 10 Bd Tonnellé, 37032 Tours Cedex

[4] Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène Hospitalière, CHU Grenoble Alpes, France

[4] Laboratoire de Virologie, CHU Grenoble Alpes, France

Supplementary Table 1. Sequence conservation of V and J regions of selected mAbs compared to germline. Percentage (%) of identity was obtained by aligning variable region sequences on IMGT database (https://www.imgt.org).

Anti-PcrV mAbs

Heavy chain	V Gene and allele	J Gene and allele	V region identity (%)	J region identity (%)	CDR3 length	Donor #
P3G9Hc	IGHV4-61*08	IGHJ6*02	92.44	91.94	18	16
P3D6Hc	IGHV3-23*01	IGHJ4*02	92.70	89.58	14	25
P5B3Hc	IGHV3-74*01	IGHJ3*02	96.88	94.00	11	25
P5E10Hc	IGHV3-21*01	IGHJ6*02	88.42	74.20	12	25

Light chain	V Gene and allele	J Gene and allele	V region identity (%)	J region identity (%)	CDR3 length	Donor #
P3G9κc	IGKV3-20*01	IGKJ3*01	94.68	100.00	10 9	16
P3D6κc	IGKV1-8*01	IGKJ4*01	96.41	97.37	11	25
P5B3λc	IGLV3-25*03	IGLJ2*01	96.10	100.00	9	25
P5E10κc	IGKV4-1*01	IGKJ4*01	95.00	100.00		25

Anti-PscF mAbs

Heavy chain	V Gene and allele	J Gene and allele	V region identity (%)	J region identity (%)	CDR3 length	Donor #
P1B7Hc	IGHV3-23*01	IGHJ4*02	97.22	91.67	13	25
P1D5Hc	IGHV4-61*02	IGHJ6*02	83.85	80.65	19	25
P1B4Hc	IGHV3-23*01	IGHJ6*02	95.14	83.87	17	25
P1F5Hc	IGHV3-23*01	IGHJ6*02	77.86	83.87	19	25
P1D7Hc	IGHV3-23*01	IGHJ4*02	96.87	93.75	15	25
P3G2Hc	IGHV4-61*02	IGHJ4*02	91.40	93.75	16	25
P3G6Hc	IGHV3-23*01	IGHJ6*02	98.61	85.48	17	25
P3G7Hc	IGHV3-72*01	IGHJ3*01	86.39	88.00	18	25
P5D5Hc	IGHV3-23*01	IGHJ4*02	96.52	93.75	13	25
P5G10Hc	IGHV4-34*01	IGHJ6*02	91.22	77.42	16	25

Light chain	V Gene and allele	J Gene and allele	V region identity (%)	J region identity (%)	CDR3 length	Donor #
P1B7κc	IGKV1-16*02	IGKJ2*01	97.13	97.14	9	25
P1D5κc	IGKV2-28*01	IGKJ3*01	94.22	100.00	9	25
P1B4κc	IGKV3-20*01	IGKJ1*01	95.04	97.14	9	25
P1F5κc	IGKV2-28*01	IGKJ2*01	95.58	89.47	17	25
P1D7λc	IGLV3-21*02	IGLJ2*01	98.56	97.37	11	25
P3G2λc	IGLV2-8*01	IGLJ2*01	94.79	88.57	10 9	25
P3G6κc	IGKV3-20*01	IGKJ2*01	99.60	89.74	11 9	25
P3G7λc	IGLV1-51*01	IGLJ2*01	88.00	91.67	11	25
P5D5κc	IGKV1-16*01	IGKJ2*01	98.20	94.29		25
P5G10λc	IGLV1-47*01	IGLJ2*01	94.73	91.67		25

.

Supplementary Table 2. Competition between A) anti-PscF mAbs and B) anti-PscF mAbs. The indicated IC_{50} values correspond to the concentration of competitor mAbs necessary to obtain half of the signal generated by the biotinylated mAbs without competitor. ND corresponds to a non-detectable competition.

A)

Competitor mAbs \ Detection mAbs	P1D8*	P1B7*	P5D5*	P3G6*	P1D6*	P1B4*	P1D5*	P5G10*
P1D8	0,01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
P1B7	ND	0,19	0,16	0,16	0,60	0,20	0,52	ND
P5D5	ND	0,39	0,68	0,46	0,33	0,26	1,39	ND
P3G6	ND	12,66	2,99	1,77	8,37	5,18	7,99	ND
P5G10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0,46
P1D6	ND	15,02	0,89	1,17	1,62	1,83	5,30	ND
P1B4	ND	2,83	0,61	2,06	7,67	1,97	5,00	ND
P1D5	ND	14,21	0,86	0,70	3,85	0,23	0,69	ND

IC50 (µg/mL): ND | 9-20 | 4-8 | <3

B)

Competitor mAbs \ Detection mAbs	P3G9*	P3D6*	P5B3*	P5E10*
P3G9	0,98	ND	ND	ND
P3D6	ND	0,8	0,28	ND
P5B3	ND	2,42	0,41	ND
P5E10	ND	ND	ND	0,51

IC50 (µg/mL): ND | 9-20 | 4-8 | <3

Supplementary Table 3. Affinities of anti-PcrV mAbs for PcrV. The reported values correspond to the average of the measurements obtained from two independent experiments (n=2). Standard Deviations were calculated by the BLI analysis software.

IgG/His-PcrV-avitag	K_D (10^{-12}M)	K_{on} (10^2/Ms)	k_{on2} (1/Ms)	K_{off} (10^{-7}/s)	k_{off2} (10^{-3}/s)	R^2
P5B3	23.9 ± n.d	362 ± 38.2	1.2 ± 0.2	9.3 ± n.d	321.15 ± 49.7	0.9998
P3D6	736.8 ± 19	618 ± 1.5	0.4 ± 0.2	463.5 ± 12,7	12.3 ± 5.9	0.9997

Supplementary Table 4. Data collection, phasing and structure refinement statistics

DATA COLLECTION

X-ray source (Beamline)	ESRF (ID30A-1)
Detector	Pilatus 2M
Wavelength (Å)	0.965459
Scan-range (º)	180
Oscillation (º)	0.2
Space group	$P2_1$
a (Å)	87.58
b (Å)	87.58
c (Å)	105.22
a (º)	90.00
b (º)	104.83
g (º)	90.00
Mosaicity (º)	0.129
Overall resolution (Å)	49.21-2.56
No. observed/unique reflections	176390/52157
High resolution shell (Å)	2.71-2.56
Completeness (%) (last shell)	99.5(99.2)
R_{sym} (%) (last shell)	21.7 (152.9)
$I/\sigma(I)$ (last shell)	5.02 (0.72)
$CC_{1/2}$	97.7 (31.2)
Wilson plot B-factor ($Å^2$)	45.86

MOLECULAR REPLACEMENT

Phaser LLG	1032.93
R_{work}/R_{free} (%)	35.68/40.33

REFINEMENT

Initial R_{work}/R_{free} (%)	35.68/40.33
Final R_{work}/R_{free} (%)	19.69/23.64
Final+TLS R_{work}/R_{free} (%)	20.97/23.70

STEREOCHEMICAL QUALITY

RMS deviation, bond lengths (Å)	0.006
RMS deviation, bond angles (º)	1.266
Mean B-factor ($Å^2$)	46.74
No. of atoms	9052
No. of protein atoms	8614
No. of water molecules	392
Residues in most favored/allowed region of Ramachandran plot (%)	99.80

Supplementary Table 5. Bacterial strains and plasmids

P. aeruginosa strains	Characteristics	Reference or source
CHAD*exoS*::*exoS-bla*	b-lactamase (bla) reporter for ExoS translocation	Verove et al., 2012
CHAD*pcrV*	Strain lacking *pcrV*	Gouré et al., 2004
PAO1D3Tox	Strain lacking toxins ExoS, ExoT and ExoY	Cisz et al., 2008
Plasmids	**Characteristics**	**Reference or source**
pIApG-*pcrV*-V1 (PAO1)	Replicative plasmid for PcrV expression, *$Leu^6Ala^9Ser^{21}Ser^{225}$	Lab collection; Simona Barzu, Lyon
pIApG-*pcrV*-V2 (CHA)	$Leu_6Ala_9Ser_{21}Arg_{225}$	Lab collection; Simona Barzu, Lyon
pIApG-*pcrV*-V3 (PA14)	$Phe_6Ala_9Pro_{21}Lys_{225}$	Lab collection; Simona Barzu, Lyon
pIApG-*pcrV*-V4 (PA103)	$Phe_6Gly_9Pro_{21}Arg_{225}$	Lab collection; Simona Barzu, Lyon
pIApG-*pcrV*-V5	$Phe_6Gly_9Pro_{21}Lys_{225}$	Lab collection; Simona Barzu, Lyon
pET15b-His-PcrV	Replicative plasmid for inducible His-PcrV expression	Nanao et al., 2003
pET22b-PscF-His	Replicative plasmid for inducible PscF-His expression	Quinaud et al, 2005
pESPRIT-His-PcrV-avitag	Replicative plasmid for inducible His-PcrV-avitag expression expression	This study
pESPRIT-His-PscF-avitag	Replicative plasmid for inducible expression His-PscF-avitag	This study

*variable residues within PcrV

Supplementary Figure 1. Dose-dependent inhibition by mAbs P5B3 and P3D6 of ExoS-Bla injection from strains expressing five PcrV variants. Inhibition of ExoSBla activity following infection of A495 epithelial cells with *P. aeruginosa* expressing the V1 (A and B), V2 (C), V3 (D), V4 (E) or V5 (F) variants, with mAbs concentration ranging from 0.01 to 100 µg/mL. The circles, the black line and the grey area represent the experimental values, the log-logistic modeled dose-response curve and the 95% confidence interval, respectively. The dashed lines represent the mean of normalized ExoS-Bla injection in the absence of antibody. The Ab concentration is presented in logarithmic scale. Monoclonal Ab P5B3 displays dose-response inhibition with the strains expressing the V1, V2, V3, V4 and V5 variants with respective IC_{50}s of 96, 206, 192, 212 and 426 µg/mL (no statistically significant difference). P3D6 (B) displays a dose-response inhibition with the strain expressing the V1 variant, with an IC_{50} of 3.7 µg/mL, significantly different from the one of P5B3 against the same variant (p-value = 0.015).

Supplementary Figure 2. Dose-dependent inhibition by mAbs 30-B8 of ExoS-Bla injection from strains expressing five PcrV variants. Inhibition of ExoS-Bla activity following infection of A495 epithelial cells with *P. aeruginosa* expressing the V1, V2, V3, V4 or V5 variants, with mAbs concentration ranging from 0.001 to 1 µg/mL. Monoclonal Ab 30-B8 displays dose-response inhibition with V1, V2, V3, V4 and V5 variants with respective IC50 of 21.3, 12.8, 11.2, 13.0, 10.5 ng/mL. The dark lines and the light-colored areas represent the log-logistic modeled dose-response curve and the 95% confidence interval, respectively. The dashed lines represent the mean of normalized ExoS-Bla injection in the absence of antibody. The Ab concentration is presented in logarithmic scale.

Supplementary Figure 3. Interactions between PcrV* and Fab P3D6. Chain codes correspond to: Fab HC (chain A), LC (chain C) and PcrV* (chain E). CDR H1, H2 and H3 of the heavy chain, as well as CDR L1 and L3 of the light chain, contribute to PcrV* binding. Interaction diagram generated with LigPlot+ v.2.9.9 (Lakowski & Swindells 2011, https://www.ebi.ac.uk/thornton-srv/software/LigPlus/). Hydrogen bonds are represented by green dashed lines and residues involved in hydrophobic contacts are indicated by spoked arcs.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

Cisz M, Lee P-C, Rietsch A. 2008. ExoS Controls the Cell Contact-Mediated Switch to Effector Secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **190**:2726–2738. doi:10.1128/JB.01553-07

Goure J, Pastor A, Faudry E, Chabert J, Dessen A, Attree I. 2004. The V Antigen of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Is Required for Assembly of the Functional PopB/PopD Translocation Pore in Host Cell Membranes. *Infect Immun* **72**:4741–4750. doi:10.1128/IAI.72.8.4741-4750.2004

Laskowski R A, Swindells M B. 2011. LigPlot+: multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, **51**, 2778-2786.

Nanao M, Ricard-Blum S, Di Guilmi A, Lemaire D, Lascoux D, Chabert J, Attree I, Dessen A. 2003. [No title found]. *BMC Microbiol* **3**:21. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-3-21

Quinaud M, Chabert J, Faudry E, Neumann E, Lemaire D, Pastor A, Elsen S, Dessen A, Attree I. 2005. The PscE-PscF-PscG Complex Controls Type III Secretion Needle Biogenesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **280**:36293–36300. doi:10.1074/jbc.M508089200

Verove J, Bernarde C, Bohn Y-ST, Boulay F, Rabiet M-J, Attree I, Cretin F. 2012. Injection of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Exo Toxins into Host Cells Can Be Modulated by Host Factors at the Level of Translocon Assembly and/or Activity. *PLoS ONE* **7**:e30488. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030488