Efficient cell-wide mapping of mitochondria in electron microscopic volumes using webKnossos

Yi Jiang[1,4,*], Haoyu Wang[1,4], Kevin Boergens[2,4], Norman Rzepka[3], Fangfang Wang[1], Yunfeng Hua[1,*]

[1]Shanghai Institute of Precision Medicine, Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China
[2]Department of Physics, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607, USA.
[3]Scalable minds GmbH, Potsdam, Germany
[4]These authors contributed equally

*Correspondence: yi.jiang@shsmu.edu.cn (Y.J.) and yunfeng.hua@shsmu.edu.cn (Y.H.)

Abstract

Recent technical advances in volume electron microscopy (vEM) and artificial intelligence-assisted image processing have facilitated high throughput quantifications of cellular structures, such as mitochondria that are ubiquitous and morphologically diversified. A still often overlooked computational challenge is to assign cell identity to numerous mitochondrial instances, for which both mitochondrial and cell membrane contouring used to be required. Here, we present a vEM reconstruction procedure (called mito-segEM) that utilizes virtual path-based annotation to assign automatically segmented mitochondrial instances at the cellular scale, therefore bypassing the requirement of membrane contouring. The embedded toolset in webKnossos (an open-source online annotation platform) is optimized for fast annotation, visualization, and proofreading of cellular organelle networks. We demonstrate broad applications of mito-segEM on volumetric datasets from various tissues, including the brain, intestine, and testis, to achieve an accurate and efficient reconstruction of mitochondria in a use-dependent fashion.

Introduction

Mitochondria are vital organelles for eukaryotic cells, producing the energy-rich compound ATP that is essential for cellular metabolism. *In situ*, mitochondria not only vary in shape, size, and dynamics according to cell type and bioenergy status but also form highly organized networks with subcellular compartment precision to meet local metabolic demands (Giacomello et al., 2020; Pekkurnaz and Wang, 2022; Pernas and Scorrano, 2016). Therefore, spatial mapping of mitochondrial networks provides crucial information about key aspects of mitochondrial biology (such as morphological features, cellular positioning, and fission-fusion ratio) to comprehend the biological relevance of mitochondrial properties under healthy conditions or in disease states (Chan, 2020).

Recent technical advances in volume electron microscopy (vEM) have enabled high-throughput 3D imaging of large tissue blocks at nanometer resolutions (Collinson et al., 2023; Kievits et al., 2022; Titze and Genoud, 2016). Using this approach, the mitochondrial network organization has been profiled at an unprecedented scale in various cell types, including neurons (Faitg et al., 2021; Thomas et al., 2019; Turner et al., 2022), sensory cells (Liu et al., 2022; McQuate et al., 2023), muscles (Bleck et al., 2018; Perez-Hernandez et al., 2021; Vincent et al., 2019), hepatocytes (Jiang et al., 2021; Kang et al., 2024; Parlakgul et al., 2024), sperm (Liu et al., 2023), and tumor cells (Han et al., 2023). Currently, despite substantial progress in automated analysis powered by deep learning (DL) algorithms (Conrad and Narayan, 2023; Franco-Barranco et al., 2023; Schubert et al., 2019), manual annotation and proofreading of hundreds to thousands of instances are required to ensure trustful quantification of mitochondria, likely owing to the complexity and diversity of their morphology as well as prevalent inter-organelle contacts. Moreover, different sample preparations and imaging settings, cell type-specific mitochondrial heterogeneity, as well as unseen disease-related phenotypes, lead to poor DL model generalization (Conrad and Narayan, 2023). Thus, most DL pipelines still rely on repeated cycles of use-dependent fine-tuning. Lastly, it is often of interest to investigate the cellular landscapes of mitochondria, in particular those of polarized cells such as neurons (Turner et al., 2022), meaning that all mitochondria belonging to the same host cell are sought to be assigned based on the membrane boundaries of its interior. For intricate tissue systems like the brain,

however, cellular volume reconstruction can be more challenging in terms of
computational and human labor costs than the mitochondrial segmentation itself
(Motta et al., 2019), making a high payoff for such analysis only on *ad hoc* densely
segmented datasets.

Here, we report the development of a semi-automated analysis procedure
(called mito-segEM) for cell-wide mitochondrial reconstruction in large-scale volume
EM data. It involves mitochondrial segmentation by a pre-trained artificial neural
network and instance assignment using the path-based annotation tool of
webKnossos as an alternative to the cell membrane contouring, thus achieving a
highly efficient mapping of the cellular mitochondrial network in various specimens
including brain tissues.

Results

Based on the online 3D data annotation tool webKnossos (Boergens et al., 2017), we developed embedded functions that are aimed at facilitating the reconstruction of cellular mitochondrial networks in a semi-automated manner. The workflow (Figure 1A) included (1) automated mitochondrial segmentation, (2) uploading of mitochondrial masks to webKnossos for visualization (Supplementary video 1), (3) manual interconnecting of cellular mitochondrial instances by virtual paths (Supplementary video 2), and (4) proofreading and downloading of the mitochondrial merges associated with host cells or subcellular compartments (Supplementary video 3). Particularly, to enable fast interactive annotation of mitochondrial instances by humans, individual 3D masks of mitochondria are allowed to be highlighted with colors upon selection by clicking on the vEM data. In addition, on each selected mitochondrion, an annotation node was placed, and interconnected nodes within the cell assembled a virtual path that allows fast indexing of associated mitochondrial merges. Finally, a new keyboard shortcut was implemented in webKnossos for processing all segments that have been picked up by the path of annotation. This tool enables a fast selection of all mitochondria associated with the currently active path and adds them to a new segment group with the meshes automatically loaded for easy handling and 3D rendering (Supplementary video 4).

Mapping spatial organization of neuronal mitochondria

We first tested this procedure for neuronal mitochondrial reconstruction in the mouse brainstem tissue (Figure 1B). A dataset containing 3983 consecutive images with a pixel size of 15×15 nm^2 was acquired using serial block-face scanning electron microscopy (SBEM) (Denk and Horstmann, 2004) at a nominal thickness of 40 nm, yielding an EM volume of $260 \times 230 \times 155.7$ µm^3 after alignment along the cutting direction (Figure 1C). Automated segmentation of mitochondrial instances was performed using a pre-trained 3D U-net (Lu et al., 2024) with dataset-specific minor modifications (Figure S1), which generated a map of 3D masks containing 1,048,575 mitochondria in the volume before proofreading. The EM volume together with the masks was then uploaded to webKnossos for the inspection and assignment of individual mitochondria to the corresponding host cells. For the proof-of-principle

purpose, we randomly chose three neuronal cell bodies as starting points for neurite
assignments of mitochondrial instances with our new tools.

The quantification revealed that each neuron accommodated 7495 ± 1146
(mean ± SD) mitochondrial instances, which was about 0.75 % of all the
mitochondria in the dataset (Figure 1C and S2). Next, in one neuron we subdivided
the mitochondria into three subpopulations based on cellular positions, i.e. in the
soma, dendrites, or axons (Figure 1D) by simply modifying the annotation paths in
webKnossos. In contrast to random sampling, our cell-based quantification suggests
neuronal compartment-specific differences in volume and complexity of mitochondria
(Figure 1E and 1F). Note that the example cell features an overall higher ratio of
simple mitochondria than those from randomly sampled volumes (Figure 1G),
implying an inter-cellular heterogeneity in mitochondrial complexity. Furthermore, we
showed distinct spatial distributions of mitochondria in the dendrites and axons
(Figure 1H).

Mitochondrial morphologies in mouse intestine and testis tissues
To highlight the general applicability of the mito-segEM workflow, we analyzed two
additional datasets from various mouse tissues. First, an intestinal sample block was
cut at 50 nm step size, and 1000 consecutive slices were collected using automated
tape-collecting ultramicrotome (ATUM) (Hayworth et al., 2014). The SEM images
were acquired at a pixel size of 8×8 nm^2 and sequentially aligned to yield an EM
volume of $41 \times 57.3 \times 50$ μm^3. Likewise, mitochondrial instances were automatically
segmented and assigned to eight individual cells located at different regions of the
intestinal crypt (Figure 2A and B). On average, each cell contained 80 ± 8 (mean ±
SD) mitochondria (Figure S3A) with relatively broad size distributions (Figure 2C)
and differential degrees of complexity (Figure 2D). Second, we reanalyzed a
previously published SBEM dataset (Fan et al., 2022) (dimension: $49.8 \times 66 \times 125$
μm^3, voxel size: $15 \times 15 \times 50$ nm^3) of mouse testis tissue (Figure 2E and F), in which
twelve cells were randomly selected for mitochondrial reconstruction (491 ± 322,
mean ± SD mitochondria per cell, Figure S3B). This revealed overall small-sized and
simple mitochondria in the germ cells with a limited inter-cell difference (Figure 2G
and H).

DISCUSSION

In the present work, we have developed mito-SegEM to facilitate use-dependent
mitochondrial network reconstruction in electron microscopic volumes. By combining
automatic mitochondrial segmentation and virtual path-based annotation, mito-
SegEM enabled the efficient assignment of mitochondrial instances rather than
individual pixels to the host cell. Besides, this procedure bypassed the contouring of
the cell membrane borders that appear often intricated in tissues. We illustrated the
broad applicability of our procedure on vEM datasets of the mouse brain containing
intermingled neurites (Figure 1C), as well as the intestine and testis (Figure 2B and
E), in which densely packed cells show less defined membrane borders.

Neurons are polarized cells with anatomically distinct compartments. This
feature helps mitochondria maintain microenvironments in support of unique
subcellular functions (Alan and Scorrano, 2022; Pekkurnaz and Wang, 2022),
including synaptic plasticity (Kochan et al., 2024; Rangaraju et al., 2019; Thomas et
al., 2023), axonal branching (Courchet et al., 2013; Lewis et al., 2018), and
presynaptic release (Ashrafi et al., 2020; Kwon et al., 2016; Lewis et al., 2018). Thus,
it is of particular significance for both basic neuroscience and clinical studies to
quantitatively characterize the compartment-specific mitochondrial morphologies in
healthy individuals and disease models. While recent progress in vEM methods
provides an unprecedented opportunity to visualize the cellular landscape of
mitochondrial networks at nanometer resolution in 3D (Turner et al., 2022), a fast
extraction of this information relies on existing full-volume reconstructions of neurites,
to which individual mitochondria could be automatically assigned. Just like segEM
(Berning et al., 2015), our procedure, which profits from well-established neuronal
mitochondrial segmentation and efficient skeleton annotation, can achieve
presumably a 10-fold reconstruction efficiency gain and make a neurite-specific
mapping of mitochondrial morphology affordable in most laboratories (Figure 1C). In
addition, the skeleton-like annotation not only allows a real-time update of assigned
mitochondria upon split and merge operations of neurites during proofreading in
webKnossos, but also enables a spatial correlation of the mitochondrial network with
numerous published neurite tracings and synapse annotations (Gour et al., 2021;
Hua et al., 2022; Karimi et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2017). Moreover, it has been
recently shown (Hong et al., 2023) that mitochondria can be used to facilitate neurite

tracing in a focused annotation mode due to their elongation and ubiquitous
presence in a neuron.

It has been recently demonstrated that promising inference results of
mitochondrial segmentation can be readily delivered by a generalist DL model
trained on massive EM datasets (Conrad and Narayan, 2023). However, given the
structural diversity and different imaging settings, quantifications of mitochondrial
morphologies without proofreading would not be granted in most case studies. In
principle, the mito-segEM procedure will allow transfer learning of a generalist model
through human-in-the-loop annotation of mitochondria within the cells of interest only,
bypassing the excessive requirement of model perfection for datasets with
intermixed cell types or cells at different phases. Another advantage of this analysis
routine is that the result from path annotation can be applied to the same dataset
with mitochondrial masks inferred by different DL models, allowing the assignment of
mitochondria with progressively improved segmentation outcomes.

Besides mitochondria, content-rich vEM datasets enable investigations of
various organelles, for instance, endoplasmic reticula, lipid droplets, and lysosomes,
as well as inter-organelle contact sites (Jang et al., 2022; Jiang et al., 2021; Obara et
al., 2024; Parlakgul et al., 2024). We speculate that our analysis routine can be
easily extended to a multi-organelle approach by parallelizing reconstructions of
different organelles with specialist DL models, serving as a powerful tool for
nanoscale mapping of a comprehensive cell atlas.

Online Methods

Dataset

The mouse brainstem SBEM dataset contained 3983 single images (16000 × 16000 pixels) that were acquired at 15 nm pixel size and 40 nm cutting thickness using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, Gemini300, Carl Zeiss) equipped with an in-chamber ultramicrotome (3ViewXP, Gatan). The mouse intestine dataset was produced by SEM imaging on 50-nm-thick serial sections collected by a commercial ATUM setup (RMC Boeckeler, ATUMtome), yielding 1000 images of 6000 × 8000 pixels at 8 nm pixel size. The mouse testis dataset was previously reported (Fan et al., 2022), which was acquired using SBEM and contained 2500 50-nm-spaced single tiles of 10000 × 4000 15-nm-sized pixels.

Image alignment and cubing

The alignment of both SBEM datasets (brainstem and testis) was performed offline using a script developed in our lab in MATLAB (MathWorks, US) to compute image offsets based on the cross-correlation maximum between consecutive sections (Hua et al., 2022). For the intestine dataset, a coarse-to-fine strategy was adopted to align the serial sections owing to nonlinear distortion. In detail, coarse alignment was performed by extracting the corresponding points between adjacent images using an affine transformation model, followed by fine alignment that involved pairwise correspondence extraction between adjacent images by SIFT flow (Liu et al., 2011), global adjustment of correspondence positions, and image wrapping through the moving-least-square method (Schaefer et al., 2006).

After alignment, all datasets were cubed in 3D using a Python script included in the webKnossos toolkit (https://github.com/scalableminds/webknossos-libs) and uploaded to webKnossos for browsing and annotation.

Automated mitochondrial segmentation

The pre-trained mitochondrial segmentation model (Lu et al., 2024) was based on a residual 3D U-Net architecture with four-down/four-up layers (See Figure S1 for more details of the network architecture), which was provided by PyTorch Connectomics (https://connectomics.readthedocs.io/en/latest/). The model was trained to classify each voxel of the input stack (17 consecutive 256 × 256 pixel-sized images) into the "background", "mitochondrial mask", and "mitochondrial

232　contour" categories. The model output was a two-channel image stack with the same

233　format as the input, including the predicted probability maps of mitochondrial masks

234　and contours. The overall loss function was

$$L_{total} = L_{mask} + L_{contour} + \alpha\left(L_{mask\_dice} + L_{contour\_dice}\right),$$

where L_{mask} and $L_{contour}$ were the binary cross-entropy losses calculated for the

mask and contour of mitochondrial segmentation, respectively. L_{mask_dice} and

$L_{contour\_dice}$ were the Dice losses calculated for the mask and contour segmentation

of mitochondria, respectively. α was a constant value and was set to 0.5.

Model training and evaluation.

The PyTorch deep learning framework (https://pytorch.org/) was employed for model

training. The training datasets were randomly cropped from the raw image stacks.

For the brainstem dataset three sub-volumes (1000 × 1000 × 100 voxels); for the

intestine dataset four sub-volumes (one 1000 × 1000 × 100 voxels and three 2000 ×

2000 × 100 voxels); and for the testis dataset two sub-volumes (1500 × 1500 × 100

voxels) were used. The ground-truth labels of mitochondria for the training datasets

were generated by human experts using the Fiji plugin trakEM2. For model training,

the training datasets were pre-processed by padding and cutting pixel-by-pixel into

small patches (256 × 256 × 17 voxels), which were randomly selected as inputs to

conduct data augmentation, including rotation, flip, motion blur, noise addition, small

region missing, and section missing. In addition, batch normalization was carried out

during training, and the batch size was set to 4 due to hardware limitations. The

model was trained for 300,000 iterations with a base learning rate of 0.04 using

WarmupCosineLR　　(https://github.com/facebookresearch/detectron2)　　and

asynchronous stochastic gradient descent on a single NVIDIA TITAN RTX GPU.

IOU and F1-score were used for model evaluation on three test datasets,

which were cropped randomly from the raw data and labeled manually. The model-

predicted values and human ground truth were compared, yielding an IOU of 0.88

and an F1-score of 0.94 for the test dataset of the brainstem. For the intestine and

testis cases, an IOU of 0.92 and an F1-score of 0.96 as well as an IOU of 0.90 and

an F1-score of 0.95 were obtained.

To generate mitochondrial instance masks, the seeds of mitochondria (or

markers) were determined with a high mask probability and low contour probability

by thresholding. Then, the marker-controlled watershed transform algorithm (part of

the scikit-image library) was employed to generate high-quality instance masks of

mitochondria with the seed locations and the predicted probability map of the masks.

Mitochondria assignment by a virtual path.

The segmentation of mitochondria was imported into the webKnossos using Python

scripts. Next, in the "toggle merger mode" and with the option "hide the unmapped

segmentation" selected, a start point was seeded and associated mitochondria were

annotated one after another through the mouse right-clicks within individual

instances. Upon each valid assignment, the corresponding mitochondrial instance

would become visible with a pseudo-color and linked by an active node, so that

missing and multiple annotations of mitochondrial instances could be minimized.

Note that the "toggle merger mode" does not allow a mouse click outside the

segments and ignores redundant annotations of a single segment. Finally, the

assembly of the nodes was utilized to specify the associated mitochondrial instances

that could be then operated as a defined group with i.e. self-written Python scripts.

Quantitative analysis.

Mitochondrial volume and surface area were calculated from the image stack using a

Python script developed in our lab. The mitochondrial complexity index (MCI) was

calculated using the formula(Vincent et al., 2019) as below:

$$MCI = \frac{\text{surface area}^3}{16\,\pi^2\,\text{volume}^2}$$

The skeleton for each neuron was split according to its compartment to generate

individual sub-skeletons for the dendrites and axons. For each sub-skeleton, the

nodes closest to the soma surface were chosen as the source nodes, and all other

leaf nodes were marked as target nodes. The shortest path length from the source

node to each target node was computed using Dijsktra's algorithm. Each

mitochondrion of the dendrite and axon was assigned to the closest skeleton node

by calculating the distance between the mitochondrial centroid and the skeleton

node. The mitochondrial volume per unit length was calculated by dividing the total

mitochondrial volume within 10 μm of the dendritic or axonal path length by the 10

μm.

Data and code availability.

Raw electron microscopy image data and segmentations supporting the current study can be browsed at https://webknossos.org/dashboard/publications. For testing, an example dataset is available under: https://wklink.org/6112. All the original codes are publicly available on the date of publication (https://github.com/JiangYi0311/Mito-assignment).

Conflicts of interest

Norman Rzepka is a founder of Scalable minds GmbH. All other authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author Contributions

Y.H. conceived and supervised the study; Y.J. conducted the experiments with critical inputs from H.W. and K.M.B.; N.R. developed the software; F.W. acquired the EM datasets. Y.H. wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Hua Han and Linlin Li for the ATUM data acquisition. We thank the Transdisciplinary Platform of Functional Connectome and Brain-inspired Intelligence in Huairou Science City in Beijing and the Microscopic Technology & Analysis Center at the Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing technical support and device resources. This study is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82171133 to Y.H.), Industrial Support Fund of Huangpu District in Shanghai (XK2019011 to Y.H.), Innovative Research Team of High-level Local Universities in Shanghai (SHSMU-ZLCX20211700).

Figure 1. Reconstruction of the mitochondrial network in a neuron.

(A) Flowchart of semi-automated analysis for cell-specific mitochondrial
reconstruction using webKnossos. For the sake of simplicity, 2D pixel art is used as
an example.
(B) Schematic illustration of neuronal mitochondrial network reconstruction.
(C) Dimensions of example SBEM volume acquired from the mouse brainstem (left).
3D rendering of the reconstructed mitochondrial networks (color-coded) from three
neurons (right).
(D) A representative cell-wide mitochondrial reconstruction of somatic (orange),
dendritic (blue), and axonal (green) subpopulations of a neuron in C (Neuron-1).
Scale bars, 50 μm.
(E and F) Cumulative frequency distribution of mitochondrial instance volume and
complexity index in the axons, dendrites, and soma of the neuron shown in D. (n =
650 axonal, n = 3,890 dendritic, n = 1,405 somatic mitochondria, n = 192,484
mitochondria in there randomly selected sub-volumes. See Figure S4 for details.)
(G) In the same neuron as in D, dendrites (blue) and axons (green) were binned into
10 μm-long segments, in which mitochondrial volumes were measured, respectively.
Data is presented as mean ± SD of mitochondrial volumes in segments at different
path lengths away from the soma (See Online Methods for details).
344

345
Figure 2. Cell-type specific differences in mitochondrial morphology.
(A) EM image of a single ultra-thin slice from the mouse intestinal tissue.
Mitochondria were automatically segmented and labeled with pseudo-colors. Scale
bar 10 μm.
(B) Volume of the acquired ATUM dataset (left), in which eight cells (color-coded)
were randomly selected for mitochondrial reconstruction (right).

(C and D) Cumulative frequency distributions of the volume and complexity index of
mitochondrial instances per cell were computed from the reconstructions in B.
(E) Example EM image with mitochondrial segmentations (pseudo-colored) of the
mouse testis tissue block. Scale bar 10 μm.
(F) SBEM volume (left) and 3D rendering of the mitochondrial reconstruction in
twelve randomly selected cells.
(G and H) Same as C and D, cumulative frequency distributions of mitochondrial
instance volume and complexity index from the cellular reconstructions are shown in
F. Insets: same plots but with an expanded x-axis.
361

Reference

Alan, L., and Scorrano, L. (2022). Shaping fuel utilization by mitochondria. Curr Biol *32*, R618-R623.

Ashrafi, G., de Juan-Sanz, J., Farrell, R.J., and Ryan, T.A. (2020). Molecular Tuning of the Axonal Mitochondrial Ca(2+) Uniporter Ensures Metabolic Flexibility of Neurotransmission. Neuron *105*, 678-687 e675.

Berning, M., Boergens, K.M., and Helmstaedter, M. (2015). SegEM: Efficient Image Analysis for High-Resolution Connectomics. Neuron *87*, 1193-1206.

Bleck, C.K.E., Kim, Y., Willingham, T.B., and Glancy, B. (2018). Subcellular connectomic analyses of energy networks in striated muscle. Nat Commun *9*, 5111.

Boergens, K.M., Berning, M., Bocklisch, T., Braunlein, D., Drawitsch, F., Frohnhofen, J., Herold, T., Otto, P., Rzepka, N., Werkmeister, T., *et al.* (2017). webKnossos: efficient online 3D data annotation for connectomics. Nat Methods *14*, 691-694.

Chan, D.C. (2020). Mitochondrial Dynamics and Its Involvement in Disease. Annu Rev Pathol *15*, 235-259.

Collinson, L.M., Bosch, C., Bullen, A., Burden, J.J., Carzaniga, R., Cheng, C., Darrow, M.C., Fletcher, G., Johnson, E., Narayan, K., *et al.* (2023). Volume EM: a quiet revolution takes shape. Nat Methods *20*, 777-782.

Conrad, R., and Narayan, K. (2023). Instance segmentation of mitochondria in electron microscopy images with a generalist deep learning model trained on a diverse dataset. Cell Syst *14*, 58-71 e55.

Courchet, J., Lewis, T.L., Jr., Lee, S., Courchet, V., Liou, D.Y., Aizawa, S., and Polleux, F. (2013). Terminal axon branching is regulated by the LKB1-NUAK1 kinase pathway via presynaptic mitochondrial capture. Cell *153*, 1510-1525.

Denk, W., and Horstmann, H. (2004). Serial block-face scanning electron microscopy to reconstruct three-dimensional tissue nanostructure. PLoS Biol *2*, e329.

Faitg, J., Lacefield, C., Davey, T., White, K., Laws, R., Kosmidis, S., Reeve, A.K., Kandel, E.R., Vincent, A.E., and Picard, M. (2021). 3D neuronal mitochondrial morphology in axons, dendrites, and somata of the aging mouse hippocampus. Cell Rep *36*, 109509.

Fan, Y., Huang, C., Chen, J., Chen, Y., Wang, Y., Yan, Z., Yu, W., Wu, H., Yang, Y., Nie, L., *et al.* (2022). Mutations in CCIN cause teratozoospermia and male infertility. Sci Bull (Beijing) *67*, 2112-2123.

Franco-Barranco, D., Lin, Z., Jang, W.D., Wang, X., Shen, Q., Yin, W., Fan, Y., Li, M., Chen, C., Xiong, Z., *et al.* (2023). Current Progress and Challenges in Large-Scale 3D Mitochondria Instance Segmentation. IEEE Trans Med Imaging *42*, 3956-3971.

Giacomello, M., Pyakurel, A., Glytsou, C., and Scorrano, L. (2020). The cell biology of mitochondrial membrane dynamics. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *21*, 204-224.

Gour, A., Boergens, K.M., Heike, N., Hua, Y., Laserstein, P., Song, K., and Helmstaedter, M. (2021). Postnatal connectomic development of inhibition in mouse barrel cortex. Science *371*.

Han, M., Bushong, E.A., Segawa, M., Tiard, A., Wong, A., Brady, M.R., Momcilovic, M., Wolf, D.M., Zhang, R., Petcherski, A., *et al.* (2023). Spatial mapping of mitochondrial networks and bioenergetics in lung cancer. Nature *615*, 712-719.

Hayworth, K.J., Morgan, J.L., Schalek, R., Berger, D.R., Hildebrand, D.G., and Lichtman, J.W. (2014). Imaging ATUM ultrathin section libraries with WaferMapper: a multi-scale approach to EM reconstruction of neural circuits. Front Neural Circuits *8*, 68.

Hong, B., Liu, J., Shen, L.J., Xie, Q.W., Yuan, J.B., Emrouznejad, A., and Han, H. (2023). Graph partitioning algorithms with biological connectivity decisions for neuron reconstruction in electron microscope volumes. Expert Syst Appl *222*.

Hua, Y., Loomba, S., Pawlak, V., Voit, K.M., Laserstein, P., Boergens, K.M., Wallace, D.J., Kerr, J.N.D., and Helmstaedter, M. (2022). Connectomic analysis of thalamus-driven disinhibition in cortical layer 4. Cell Rep *41*, 111476.

Jang, W., Puchkov, D., Samso, P., Liang, Y., Nadler-Holly, M., Sigrist, S.J., Kintscher, U., Liu, F., Mamchaoui, K., Mouly, V*., et al.* (2022). Endosomal lipid signaling reshapes the endoplasmic reticulum to control mitochondrial function. Science *378*, eabq5209.

Jiang, Y., Li, L., Chen, X., Liu, J., Yuan, J., Xie, Q., and Han, H. (2021). Three-dimensional ATUM-SEM reconstruction and analysis of hepatic endoplasmic reticulum□organelle interactions. J Mol Cell Biol *13*, 636-645.

Kang, S.W.S., Cunningham, R.P., Miller, C.B., Brown, L.A., Cultraro, C.M., Harned, A., Narayan, K., Hernandez, J., Jenkins, L.M., Lobanov, A*., et al.* (2024). A spatial map of hepatic mitochondria uncovers functional heterogeneity shaped by nutrient-sensing signaling. Nat Commun *15*, 1799.

Karimi, A., Odenthal, J., Drawitsch, F., Boergens, K.M., and Helmstaedter, M. (2020). Cell-type specific innervation of cortical pyramidal cells at their apical dendrites. Elife *9*.

Kievits, A.J., Lane, R., Carroll, E.C., and Hoogenboom, J.P. (2022). How innovations in methodology offer new prospects for volume electron microscopy. J Microsc-Oxford *287*, 114-137.

Kochan, S.M.V., Malo, M.C., Jevtic, M., Jahn-Kelleter, H.M., Wani, G.A., Ndoci, K., Perez-Revuelta, L., Gaedke, F., Schaffner, I., Lie, D.C*., et al.* (2024). Enhanced mitochondrial fusion during a critical period of synaptic plasticity in adult-born neurons. Neuron *112*, 1997-2014 e1996.

Kwon, S.K., Sando, R., 3rd, Lewis, T.L., Hirabayashi, Y., Maximov, A., and Polleux, F. (2016). LKB1 Regulates Mitochondria-Dependent Presynaptic Calcium Clearance and Neurotransmitter Release Properties at Excitatory Synapses along Cortical Axons. PLoS Biol *14*, e1002516.

Lewis, T.L., Jr., Kwon, S.K., Lee, A., Shaw, R., and Polleux, F. (2018). MFF-dependent mitochondrial fission regulates presynaptic release and axon branching by limiting axonal mitochondria size. Nat Commun *9*, 5008.

Liu, C., Yuen, J., and Torralba, A. (2011). SIFT flow: dense correspondence across scenes and its applications. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell *33*, 978-994.

Liu, J., Wang, S., Lu, Y., Wang, H., Wang, F., Qiu, M., Xie, Q., Han, H., and Hua, Y. (2022). Aligned Organization of Synapses and Mitochondria in Auditory Hair Cells. Neurosci Bull *38*, 235-248.

Liu, P., Shi, J., Sheng, D., Lu, W., Guo, J., Gao, L., Wang, X., Wu, S., Feng, Y., Dong, D*., et al.* (2023). Mitopherogenesis, a form of mitochondria-specific ectocytosis, regulates sperm mitochondrial quantity and fertility. Nat Cell Biol *25*, 1625-1636.

Lu, Y., Jiang, Y., Wang, F., Wu, H., and Hua, Y. (2024). Electron Microscopic Mapping of Mitochondrial Morphology in the Cochlear Nerve Fibers. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol *25*, 341-354.

McQuate, A., Knecht, S., and Raible, D.W. (2023). Activity regulates a cell type-specific mitochondrial phenotype in zebrafish lateral line hair cells. Elife *12*.

Motta, A., Schurr, M., Staffler, B., and Helmstaedter, M. (2019). Big data in
nanoscale connectomics, and the greed for training labels. Curr Opin Neurobiol *55*,
180-187.
Obara, C.J., Nixon-Abell, J., Moore, A.S., Riccio, F., Hoffman, D.P., Shtengel, G.,
Xu, C.S., Schaefer, K., Pasolli, H.A., Masson, J.B.*, et al.* (2024). Motion of VAPB
molecules reveals ER-mitochondria contact site subdomains. Nature *626*, 169-176.
Parlakgul, G., Pang, S., Artico, L.L., Min, N., Cagampan, E., Villa, R., Goncalves,
R.L.S., Lee, G.Y., Xu, C.S., Hotamisligil, G.S.*, et al.* (2024). Spatial mapping of
hepatic ER and mitochondria architecture reveals zonated remodeling in fasting and
obesity. Nat Commun *15*, 3982.
Pekkurnaz, G., and Wang, X. (2022). Mitochondrial heterogeneity and homeostasis
through the lens of a neuron. Nat Metab *4*, 802-812.
Perez-Hernandez, M., Leo-Macias, A., Keegan, S., Jouni, M., Kim, J.C., Agullo-
Pascual, E., Vermij, S., Zhang, M., Liang, F.X., Burridge, P.*, et al.* (2021). Structural
and Functional Characterization of a Na(v)1.5-Mitochondrial Couplon. Circ Res *128*,
419-432.
Pernas, L., and Scorrano, L. (2016). Mito-Morphosis: Mitochondrial Fusion, Fission,
and Cristae Remodeling as Key Mediators of Cellular Function. Annu Rev Physiol
78, 505-531.
Rangaraju, V., Lauterbach, M., and Schuman, E.M. (2019). Spatially Stable
Mitochondrial Compartments Fuel Local Translation during Plasticity. Cell *176*, 73-84
e15.
Schaefer, S., McPhail, T., and Warren, J. (2006). Image deformation using moving
least squares. ACM Transactions on Graphics *25*, 533-540.
Schmidt, H., Gour, A., Straehle, J., Boergens, K.M., Brecht, M., and Helmstaedter,
M. (2017). Axonal synapse sorting in medial entorhinal cortex. Nature *549*, 469-475.
Schubert, P.J., Dorkenwald, S., Januszewski, M., Jain, V., and Kornfeld, J. (2019).
Learning cellular morphology with neural networks. Nat Commun *10*, 2736.
Thomas, C.I., Keine, C., Okayama, S., Satterfield, R., Musgrove, M., Guerrero-
Given, D., Kamasawa, N., and Young, S.M., Jr. (2019). Presynaptic Mitochondria
Volume and Abundance Increase during Development of a High-Fidelity Synapse. J
Neurosci *39*, 7994-8012.
Thomas, C.I., Ryan, M.A., Kamasawa, N., and Scholl, B. (2023). Postsynaptic
mitochondria are positioned to support functional diversity of dendritic spines. Elife
12.
Titze, B., and Genoud, C. (2016). Volume scanning electron microscopy for imaging
biological ultrastructure. Biol Cell *108*, 307-323.
Turner, N.L., Macrina, T., Bae, J.A., Yang, R., Wilson, A.M., Schneider-Mizell, C.,
Lee, K., Lu, R., Wu, J., Bodor, A.L.*, et al.* (2022). Reconstruction of neocortex:
Organelles, compartments, cells, circuits, and activity. Cell *185*, 1082-1100 e1024.
Vincent, A.E., White, K., Davey, T., Philips, J., Ogden, R.T., Lawless, C., Warren, C.,
Hall, M.G., Ng, Y.S., Falkous, G.*, et al.* (2019). Quantitative 3D Mapping of the
Human Skeletal Muscle Mitochondrial Network. Cell Rep *26*, 996-1009 e1004.
503
504