Title: A small molecule increases mycobacterial translation fidelity without a fitness cost

by targeting ribosomal protein S5

Authors: Jie Wu[1], Swarnava Chaudhuri[2], Sarah Caldwell Feid[3], Hemant Joshi[3],

Miaomiao Pan[2], Qingwen Kawaji[2], Gang Liu[1*] and Babak Javid[2, 3*]

JW, SC and SCF contributed equally to this work

GL and BJ contributed equally to this work

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: babak.javid@ucsf.edu (BJ) or

gangliu27@tsinghua.edu.cn (GL)

Running title: Benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-dione analogues decrease mycobacterial

mistranslation

Abstract

A central dogma of molecular biology is the "speed-accuracy trade-off," where ribosomes must slow down to ensure accurate protein synthesis. In mycobacteria, a high basal level of mistranslation at glutamine and asparagine codons, caused by an indirect tRNA aminoacylation pathway, promotes tolerance to the antibiotic rifampicin. While pharmacologically increasing translational fidelity is a promising strategy to combat antibiotic tolerance, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. Here, we screened 9,000 synthetic compounds and identified **benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-diones** as a novel chemical class that reduces mycobacterial mistranslation. Medicinal chemistry optimization yielded a lead compound, **9787**, with superior potency in decreasing mistranslation and reversing rifampicin tolerance. Using competitive chemical proteomics, we identified the 30S ribosomal protein S5 (RpS5) as the specific cellular target. Remarkably, compound **9787** enhances translational fidelity at concentrations that do not measurably impact the overall rate of protein synthesis. Our findings challenge the universality of the speed-accuracy trade-off, demonstrating that fidelity can be improved independently of translation speed. This work reveals that the ribosomal small subunit is a druggable target for modulating translational quality control and introduces a new strategy for combating antibiotic-tolerant bacteria without the associated fitness cost of slowed translation.

Importance

A fundamental principle in molecular biology holds that ribosomes face a trade-off

between translation speed and accuracy: going faster means making more errors, while

maintaining high fidelity requires slowing down. This study challenges that paradigm by

identifying a small molecule that increases translational accuracy in mycobacteria without

affecting the rate of protein synthesis. The compound targets ribosomal protein S5 and

specifically reduce errors arising from physiologically mischarged tRNAs – a quality

control problem distinct from the well-studied codon•anticodon mismatches. This form of

mistranslation contributes to antibiotic tolerance in tuberculosis, making it a potential

therapeutic target. Our findings reveal unexpected flexibility in how ribosomes maintain

translation quality and suggest that pharmacologically increasing fidelity without the

fitness cost of slowed protein synthesis may be an attractive strategy for combating

antibiotic-tolerant bacteria.

Keywords: mistranslation; translational fidelity; small molecule; antibiotic tolerance;

medicinal chemistry; mycobacteria

INTRODUCTION

Genetically susceptible bacteria may still withstand killing by antibiotics, a phenomenon known as antibiotic tolerance (1, 2). There are several potential mechanisms for tolerance, and these may reflect different physiological states of the bacteria (3-5). Non-replicating persisters are usually multi-drug tolerant and have a relatively, but not entirely, quiescent physiological state (5-8). We recently described a form of tolerance in mycobacteria to the first-line antibiotic rifampicin, which we termed RNA polymerase-specific phenotypic resistance (RSPR) (9-11). By contrast with non-replicating persisters, the antibiotic-tolerant bacteria in RSPR are able to grow in bulk-lethal concentrations of antibiotic that can kill the majority of bacilli in the culture (9). Importantly, RSPR was apparent in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* freshly isolated from sputum from a small number of patients, suggesting that it may be a clinically relevant mode of antibiotic tolerance (10, 12).

We had identified at least two mechanisms that contribute to mycobacterial RSPR (10, 11). One of these mechanisms involved modulation of translational fidelity in the indirect tRNA aminoacylation pathway – or specific mycobacterial mistranslation (10, 13). Unlike cytosolic eukaryotic gene translation, the vast majority of bacteria, excepting *Escherichia coli* and a few related proteobacteria, lack the specific tRNA aminoacyl synthetases for either glutamine or asparagine or both (14-18). All mycobacteria lack both glutaminyl- and asparaginyl-synthetases. Instead, they undergo a two-step pathway to specifically aminoacylate glutamine and asparagine tRNAs. First, a non-discriminatory glutamyl- (or aspartyl-) synthetase physiologically misacylates the glutamine or asparagine tRNA respectively to Glu-tRNA^{Gln} and Asp-tRNA^{Asn}. This misacylated complex is then

specifically recognized by the essential glutamine amidotransferase GatCAB (10). Using

cytosolic glutamine as an amide donor, GatCAB amidates the misacylated tRNAs to their

cognate Gln-tRNA^{Gln} and Asn-tRNA^{Asn} respectively, thereby allowing preservation of the

genetic code. Therefore, mutations that alter GatCAB structure and stability can increase

mistranslation in mycobacteria (10, 13). Moreover, we had identified that naturally

occurring mutations in *gatA* in clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* both

increased rates of specific mistranslation and tolerance to rifampicin (10). Furthermore,

GatCAB could be limiting in wild-type mycobacteria, and sorting cells by decreased

expression of wild-type *gatCAB* in an isogenic population identified subpopulations with

both increased rates of mistranslation and antibiotic tolerance (10). We also showed that

mistranslation of a specific, critical, residue in the rifampicin binding region of the cellular

target of rifampicin, RNA polymerase (RNAP), contributed substantially to RSPR in wild-

type mycobacteria (10). Together, these data compellingly suggested that specific

mycobacterial mistranslation was an important contributor to RSPR.

Since mycobacterial mistranslation contributed to RSPR even in isogenic wild-type

bacteria, we hypothesized that this pathway may be amenable to pharmacological

intervention. We identified the natural product kasugamycin as a small molecule that could

both decrease specific mycobacterial mistranslation and potentiate rifampicin both *in vitro*

and in a murine model of tuberculosis (TB) (19). However, other than the naturally

occurring peptide Edeine, which showed some activity in our assays (19), no other

molecules capable of decreasing this specific form of bacterial mistranslation, which is

mechanistically distinct from ribosomal decoding errors (10), have been identified. We

decided to perform a pathway-specific whole-cell screen (20) that could identify synthetic small molecules that could target mycobacterial mistranslation. In this study, we utilized our mistranslation inhibition assay, which had earlier been used to identify kasugamycin's ability to increase mycobacterial translational fidelity (19), (21), in a high-throughput format. Here, we identify analogues of benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-diones that can decrease mistranslation rates and potentiate rifampicin *in vitro*. Furthermore, we identify the potential target of the most potent analogue as the small ribosomal subunit protein RpS5. Importantly, the lead compound, **9787**, increases translational fidelity at concentrations that do not impact the rate of protein synthesis, challenging the universality of the speed-accuracy trade-off and revealing previously unrecognized mechanisms of ribosomal quality control.

RESULTS

Development of a mistranslation pathway-specific phenotypic screen

We developed a reporter system in *M. smegmatis* to sensitively measure relative mistranslation of asparagine to aspartate – one of the two form of mistranslation implicated in the indirect tRNA aminoacylation pathway (**Fig. 1A**) (19), (21). This whole cell reporter system avoids a common pitfall of *in vitro* screens: such screens identify many hits that are ultimately inactive against whole cells due to the complex and thick mycobacterial cell wall and envelope (20). However, unlike a traditional whole-cell screen in which all hits that could kill bacteria are identified, leading to 're-discovery' of common anti-microbials or identification of non-specifically toxic molecules, the system retains the advantage of

being "pathway specific". Only hits that decrease specific mycobacterial mistranslation are

identified.

The basis of the screen was as follows: Nluc luciferase was mutated at a critical aspartate

residue (D140) to asparagine, that resulted in >99% loss of activity (19, 21). Physiological

mycobacterial mistranslation of asparagine->aspartate resulted in a baseline detectable

Nluc activity of ~1% of the wild-type enzyme (corrected for cell density and protein

expression by normalizing to GFP fluorescence). If a small molecule *decreased*

mistranslation rates, the corrected Nluc/GFP would be reduced below the baseline

physiological rates (**Fig. 1A**). Hits were classified as those molecules that decreased

mistranslation rates by > 1 standard deviation compared with the negative control.

We then used this strain to screen 9000 synthetic heterocyclic small molecules in 96 well-

plate format (**Fig. 1B**). Screen performance was variable, due to the inherent noisy signal

in measuring low rates of translational error, with 30% of plates yielding Z' < 0.34 and

excluded from analysis. From well-performing plates, we identified 45 hits. Of note, 3 were

from the same chemical scaffold, benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-diones (**Fig. 1C**). These 3

compounds, **7006**, **7013** and **7020**, were retested for their ability to reduce mycobacterial

mistranslation and RSPR, confirming them as valid hits (**Fig, 2A and 2B**).

Medicinal chemistry identifies potent analogs that increase specific translational

fidelity

We prioritized **7020** for medicinal chemistry because it modulated translational fidelity

without direct antimicrobial activity and was amenable to synthetic modification. This

would allow us to deconvolute antimicrobial activity from anti-mistranslation activity. We

synthesized 110 analogs of **7020**, of which 10 compounds (**Fig. 3**), showed superior

potency to the parent compound, **7020**, both in terms of decreasing mistranslation, and

potentiating rifampicin in an assay used to measure RSPR (**Table 1**). The compounds also

had minimal direct antimicrobial activity by themselves (**Table 1**), making them suitable

for dissecting the contribution of targeting mycobacterial mistranslation on antibiotic

tolerance without confounding issues due to inhibitory activity.

Our preliminary investigation of structure-activity relationships (SAR) is summarized in

Fig. 4 and **Fig. 5**. Our SAR analysis showed that the benzoquinone part of the compound

is essential for its ability to reduce mistranslation; all analogs of 7020 synthesized without

the benzoquinone structure are poor inhibitors of mistranslation (**Fig. 4**). We further

observed that the methyl groups at positions 5 and 6 of the benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-diones

scaffold are not necessary for their bioactivities in terms of inhibition of mistranslation and

RSPR (**Fig. 5**). Replacement of these methyl groups with other functional groups such as

chlorine, as in **9744**, or with an aromatic ring, as in **9775**, **9782**, **9783** and **9787**, improved

bioactivities of the compounds. (**Table. 1** and **Fig. 5**).

We chose **9787** as the most promising candidate for attempting to determine the cellular

target(s) of the hits. **9787** was more potent than the initial hit **7020** (**Fig. 6A**). Moreover,

9787 was able to decrease mistranslation in another closely related mycobacterial species,

M. bovis-BCG (**Fig. 6B**), demonstrating activity across mycobacterial species.

Chemical proteomics identifies ribosomal subunit protein S5 as the molecular target

of 9787

We wished to identify the cellular target by which **9787** mediated a decrease in specific

mistranslation rates. Since the compounds lacked significant antimicrobial activity by

themselves, it was not possible to raise mutants resistant to the compound and sequence

for mutations in the genome to identify potential targets (22). We therefore decided to

identify the target by a modified pull-down and mass-spectrometry technique (22-25). For

this competitive chemical proteomic approach, **9787** was biotinylated to yield **9788**, which

retained activity (**Fig. 7A, B**). An inactive analog, **9789** from the initial medicinal

chemistry approach was also biotinylated to make inactive **9791** (**Fig. 7A, C**). We

incubated **9788** or **9791** with mycobacterial cell lysate. To increase the specificity of the

identified target protein(s), we also included conditions in which 5-fold molar excess of

non-biotinylated **9787** or **9789** were added to the tubes in addition to the biotinylated

compound (**Fig. 7D** and see methods). Following incubation, the biotinylated compounds

and putative cellular binding partners were pulled down using a streptavidin column,

washed, and then the eluates were resolved on an SDS-PAGE gel and bands cut out and

sent for analysis by mass-spectrometry (**Supplementary Data 1**). Our rationale for

identifying the target for **9787** was as follows: When the active biotinylated compound

(**9788**) was used, the quality score of the target protein should be significantly higher than

that obtained with the inactive biotinylated compound (**9791**). Moreover, in the presence

of competing non-biotinylated compounds, less target protein(s) are expected to bind to the

streptavidin column. Hence the quality score of the target protein obtained with the active

9788 alone should be significantly higher than that obtained with a mixture of **9788** and

excess **9787**. Furthermore, no such phenomenon should be observed in case of the inactive

compound (**9789**) and its biotinylated form (**9791**). We plotted the ratio of protein quality

scores obtained with the active and inactive biotinylated compounds (**9788/9791**) against

the ratio of protein quality scores obtained with **9788** alone, and **9788** and excess **9787**

(9788/[9788 + excess 9787]). Out of 1925 proteins, only the 30S ribosomal protein S5

(Rps5) derived from *rpsE* gene, could fulfill all the criteria and significantly stood out

against the others. (**Fig. 7E**). These data strongly suggest that RpS5 is the target of active

9787.

Compound 9787 increases translational fidelity without affecting translation rate

A fundamental dogma in translation biology holds that increased translational fidelity

requires decreased translation rate, i.e. the "speed-accuracy trade-off" (26, 27). This model

derives primarily from studies of codon•anticodon proofreading in *E. coli* and has been

challenged in some studies (28, 29). To test if this applies to the discrimination of

mischarged tRNAs by **9787**, we measured protein synthesis rates in *M. smegmatis* cultures.

We compared untreated cultures to those treated with **9787** or with chloramphenicol, a

known translation inhibitor. As expected, chloramphenicol dramatically reduced the rate

of protein synthesis (**Fig. 8**). In contrast, cultures treated with **9787** at a concentration

known to enhance fidelity showed translation rates that were not significantly different

from untreated controls (**Fig. 8**). This key result demonstrates that **9787** enhances

translational fidelity through a mechanism that is independent of the overall translation rate,

challenging the universality of the speed-accuracy trade-off.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we identify a novel class of synthetic molecules, benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-

diones, that increase mycobacterial translational fidelity. Our work makes three significant

contributions. First, we establish a new chemical scaffold for modulating a specific

mistranslation pathway that contributes to antibiotic tolerance. Second, using an unbiased

chemical proteomics approach, we identify the 30S ribosomal protein S5 (RpS5) as the

molecular target of our lead compound, **9787**. Third, we demonstrate that this compound

can enhance translational fidelity without a concomitant decrease in the rate of protein

synthesis, providing a clear exception to the canonical "speed-accuracy trade-off" model

of translation.

The "speed-accuracy trade-off" has been considered a fundamental constraint on ribosomal

function (26, 27, 30). This model proposes that ribosomes sacrifice speed to achieve high

fidelity through kinetic proofreading of codon-anticodon interactions. Our finding that

9787 enhances fidelity without a measurable impact on translation rate suggests this trade-

off is not absolute. This has several important implications. It suggests that the quality

control mechanisms governing discrimination of mischarged tRNAs may be

mechanistically distinct from those governing codon•anticodon matching. Furthermore, it

reveals an unexpected plasticity in ribosome function, where fidelity can be modulated

without the obligate fitness cost of slowed protein synthesis. Third, from a potential

therapeutic perspective, it shows that translational fidelity can be pharmacologically

modulated without imposing the fitness cost of slowed protein synthesis.

Our chemical proteomic approach identified RpS5 as the molecular target of **9787**. RpS5

plays crucial roles in mRNA binding to the ribosome and proper decoding (31). Our results

suggest that small molecule binding to RpS5 can enhance discrimination of mischarged

aminoacyl-tRNAs. How might the small ribosomal subunit, primarily concerned with

decoding, contribute to aminoacyl identity discrimination? The mechanism remains

unknown, but we speculate that binding of **9787** to RpS5 induces a conformational change

that allosterically tightens quality control at the A-site, enhancing rejection of near-cognate

or misacylated tRNAs without affecting the rate-limiting steps of translation. Several lines

of evidence support a role for the small subunit in this process. First, kasugamycin, our

previously identified mistranslation inhibitor (19), also targets the 30S subunit, binding

near residues A794 and G926 of 16S rRNA (32, 33). The universally conserved nature of

these residues suggests kasugamycin likely binds similarly in mycobacteria. Thus, the 30S

ribosomal subunit appears to be a common target for mistranslation inhibitors. Second,

while the ribosome's proofreading of codon•anticodon interactions is well-established (34),

its ability to detect mischarged tRNAs is less understood. Our results suggest the 30S

subunit can discriminate aminoacyl identity, possibly through conformational sensing

mechanisms that are independent of the kinetic proofreading governing codon•anticodon

fidelity. Third, the lack of impact of **9787** on translation rate suggests that it acts

independently of peptide bond formation or aa-tRNA accommodation, a rate limiting step

in protein synthesis. Future studies, including structural or kinetic approaches will be

crucial in understanding this mechanism.

Although maintaining translational fidelity is believed to be essential for all living systems,

bacteria have been shown to tolerate up to 10% mistranslation of a specific codon without

major deleterious effects (35). Moreover, mistranslation has been proposed to be a

mechanism by which bacteria such as *Mycobacterium* adapt to environmental stresses such

as low pH and presence of rifampicin. Under such conditions, mistranslation results in

increased phenotypic heterogeneity and improves bacterial survival (29, 36).

Rifampicin is a crucial antibiotic in anti-TB chemotherapy. Its introduction in TB therapy

has drastically reduced the treatment time. However, due to persistence of the bacteria, the

current treatment time for drug-susceptible TB is still 4-6 months, and thus has room for

further improvement. Empirical attempts have been made improve the efficacy of

rifampicin by changing the therapeutic concentrations, as well as by using new

combinations with other drugs (37-39). We, for the first time, had earlier proposed a

mechanism of enhancing the efficacy of rifampicin in TB treatment by targeting

mycobacterial mistranslation (19). In this work we have further expanded our search for

compounds that can decrease mycobacterial mistranslation. The 'hits' have the potential to

be used as candidates for combination therapy with rifampicin in future. Importantly, this

gives us confidence of using our platform to develop future drug candidates. Moreover, the

30S ribosomal subunit can be used as an attractive drug target for reducing the burden of

antibiotic-tolerant bacteria.

Phenotypic screening has been extensively used in developing novel drug candidates against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (40-43). Phenotypic screening is in general considered a better approach than biochemical screening, which often yields 'hits' that cannot actually penetrate into the bacterial cell. This is particularly true for mycobacteria that have a complex capsule and cell wall. On the other hand, hits obtained from whole cell phenotypic screening exhibit their effect after penetrating into the bacterial cell. Hence, they are usually better candidates for drug development than those obtained from biochemical screening.

In this study we have successfully expanded one of the luciferase-based mycobacterial mistranslation assays (19) developed in our laboratory into a larger scale screening platform. Throughout the screening procedure, kasugamycin was used as a positive control to enhance the validity of the screen. Although the performance of the screening platform was variable due to low average rate of mistranslation (~1%/Asn codon), it nonetheless identified several hits that could be further validated and characterized. Most of the hits also significantly decreased mycobacterial RSPR. This further confirms the connection between mistranslation and RSPR in mycobacteria.

Several important questions remain: (1) Do these compounds affect other types of translational errors beyond mischarged tRNAs? (2) What is the structural basis for RpS5-mediated fidelity enhancement? (3) Can these compounds or optimized derivatives show efficacy in animal models of tuberculosis?

In conclusion, we have identified synthetic small molecules that increase mycobacterial

translational fidelity through a rate-independent mechanism by targeting ribosomal protein

S5. This work challenges prevailing models of ribosomal quality control, reveals new

therapeutic strategies for antibiotic-tolerant bacteria, and demonstrates that the 30S

ribosomal subunit is an attractive drug target for reducing the burden of persistent

mycobacterial infections.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Bacterial strains, culture, antibiotics and test compounds

Wildtype *M. smegmatis* mc^2-155 and its mistranslation reporter derivative strain were

grown in Middlebrook 7H9 broth supplemented with 0.2% glycerol, 0.05% Tween-80, 10%

Albumin-dextrose-salt (ADS), and on Luria-Bertani agar (LB agar) for plate assays.

Rifampicin and kasugamycin (Ksg) were purchased from Sigma. Rifampicin was dissolved

in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO); Ksg was dissolved in water, and filter sterilized. The test

compounds for screening were all dissolved in DMSO.

Screening of compounds that decrease mycobacterial mistranslation

Screening of the chemical library to identify compounds that decrease mycobacterial

mistranslation was done using the previously developed N-luc/GFP mistranslation assay.[11]

Briefly, the mistranslation reporter *M. smegmatis* strain or *M. bovis* BCG strain was grown

in 7H9 broth containing hygromycin (50μg/mL) and kanamycin (20μg/mL) at 37˚C to late

log phase (OD_{600} = 2.0). N-luc expression was induced by adding anhydrotetracycline

(ATc, 50 ng/mL), and the bacterial culture was immediately aliquoted into a 96 well plate

(100 µl, i.e. approximately 6×10^7 cells per well). 50 µM of each of the test compound or

50 µg/mL of Ksg as positive control were added, and the cultures incubated for 16 hours

at 37°C with shaking. Then the cultures were transferred to a 96-well black plate and GFP

fluorescence was measured by Fluoroskan Ascent FL Fluorometer and Luminometer

(Thermo Scientific). The plate was then centrifuged (4000 rpm for 10 minutes), and

supernatants transferred to a 96-well white luminescence plate. The nano-luciferase assay

was performed using Nano-Glo luciferase assay kit (Promega), and luminescence

measured by the same machine.

Rifampicin specific phenotypic resistance (RSPR) assay

RSPR assay was performed as previously described (19). Briefly, *M. smegmatis* mc^2-155

was grown to stationary phase, after which the cultures were serially diluted and spread on

LB-agar plates containing rifampicin (50µg/mL) only, or rifampicin and 50µM of each test

compound. LB agar containing rifampicin and Ksg (100µg/mL) was used as positive

control. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 5 days after which the number of colony

forming units (cfu) were enumerated. The number of bacterial cells in the inoculum was

calculated by counting colonies after spreading serial dilutions of the culture on LB-agar

plates without any antibiotic.

Target identification of 9788

To identify the intracellular target(s) of compounds that decrease mycobacterial

mistranslation, 9788, a biotinylated version of a compound 9787 that decreased

mycobacterial mistranslation, and 9791, a biotinylated version of a compound 9789 that

cannot decrease mycobacterial mistranslation were used. A protocol modified from an

earlier study to identify mycobacterial drug targets was used (44). To prepare

mycobacterial cell lysate, *M. smegmatis* mc^2-155 was grown in 7H9 broth up to OD$_{600}$ 1.0,

and then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes. The pellet was resuspended in phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS) containing a tablet of protease inhibitor (Roche), and the cells were

lysed by beads beating twice for 45 seconds each. Then the mixture was centrifuged at

15000 rpm for 15 minutes, the supernatant was collected and added to EZ view^{TM} Red

streptavidin affinity gel (Sigma Aldrich), pre-equilibrated with the lysis buffer (PBS plus

protease inhibitor cocktail). The mixture was incubated at 4°C for an hour in an orbital

shaker and then centrifuged at 15000 rpm for an hour to collect the supernatant. The

supernatant was then distributed to five tubes, and the protein concentration of each tube

was adjusted to 1 mg/mL. The following compounds were then added one to each tube: 1)

1% DMSO, 2) 100 μM 9788, 3) 100 μM 9788 + 500 μM 9787, 4) 100 μM 9791, 5) 100

μM 9791 + 500 μM 9789. After 1 hour incubation at room temperature, the mixture from

each tube was added to pre-equilibrated EZ view^{TM} Red streptavidin affinity gel, and

incubated at 4°C for an hour in an orbital shaker. Then the mixtures were centrifuged at

15000 rpm for 20 mins at 4°C. The pellets were then resuspended in Laemmli buffer, ran

in SDS PAGE, and sent for mass-spectrometry at the Tsinghua University Mass-

Spectrometry facility.

Relative Translation Rate Assay

To assess the relative translation rate, we adapted a previously described protocol(45) with

minor modifications. *M. smegmatis* strain harboring the reporter construct was first grown

in 7H9 medium to a stationary phase ($OD_{600} > 3$). Cultures were then diluted to an OD_{600}

of 0.2 in fresh 7H9 medium, and anhydrotetracycline (ATc) was added to a final

concentration of 50 ng/mL to induce reporter expression. After 5 minutes of induction,

cells were pelleted, washed twice with fresh 7H9 medium (without ATc), and resuspended

in the same medium supplemented with or without 200 μg/mL chloramphenicol (a

translation inhibitor) and 100 μM compound **9787**. Higher concentrations of **9787** could

not be tested since the stock solution was 10mM dissolved in DMSO and final DMSO

concentration needed to be ≤1%.   Aliquots were collected every 10 minutes and

immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Once all time points were collected,

luminescence was measured using a luminometer. All experiments were performed in

triplicate using three independent biological replicates.

Author Contributions

MM and QWK optimized and performed the initial screen. JW performed all medicinal

chemistry. SC performed follow-up microbiological experiments including target

identification. GL provided the initial screening library and supervised JW. HJ performed

the translation rate assay. SCF re-analyzed and interpreted all primary data, wrote the first

and revised drafts of the manuscript and compiled all the figures. BJ conceived of the

project, supervised the research and interpreted results, obtained funding and revised the

manuscript.

Acknowledgements

395 We thank the Tsinghua University proteomics core for performing the mass-spec analysis.

396 This work was in part funded by the Gates Foundation (OPP1109789), a Wellcome Trust

397 Investigator Award (207487/C/17/Z), a Kleberg Medical Research Foundation award

398 (A141660) and startup funds from UCSF to BJ.

WORKS CITED

1.   Gold B, Nathan C. 2017. Targeting Phenotypically Tolerant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Microbiol Spectr 5.
2.   Keren I, Kaldalu N, Spoering A, Wang Y, Lewis K. 2004. Persister cells and tolerance to antimicrobials. FEMS Microbiol Lett 230:13-8.
3.   Aldridge BB, Keren I, Fortune SM. 2014. The Spectrum of Drug Susceptibility in Mycobacteria. Microbiol Spectr 2.
4.   Balaban NQ, Gerdes K, Lewis K, McKinney JD. 2013. A problem of persistence: still more questions than answers? Nat Rev Microbiol 11:587-91.
5.   Kaldalu N, Hauryliuk V, Tenson T. 2016. Persisters-as elusive as ever. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100:6545-6553.
6.   Maisonneuve E, Gerdes K. 2014. Molecular mechanisms underlying bacterial persisters. Cell 157:539-48.
7.   Orman MA, Brynildsen MP. 2013. Dormancy is not necessary or sufficient for bacterial persistence. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:3230-9.
8.   Wakamoto Y, Dhar N, Chait R, Schneider K, Signorino-Gelo F, Leibler S, McKinney JD. 2013. Dynamic persistence of antibiotic-stressed mycobacteria. Science 339:91-5.
9.   Javid B, Sorrentino F, Toosky M, Zheng W, Pinkham JT, Jain N, Pan M, Deighan P, Rubin EJ. 2014. Mycobacterial mistranslation is necessary and sufficient for rifampicin phenotypic resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:1132-7.
10. Su HW, Zhu JH, Li H, Cai RJ, Ealand C, Wang X, Chen YX, Kayani MU, Zhu TF, Moradigaravand D, Huang H, Kana BD, Javid B. 2016. The essential mycobacterial amidotransferase GatCAB is a modulator of specific translational fidelity. Nat Microbiol 1:16147.
11. Zhu JH, Wang BW, Pan M, Zeng YN, Rego H, Javid B. 2018. Rifampicin can induce antibiotic tolerance in mycobacteria via paradoxical changes in rpoB transcription. Nat Commun 9:4218.
12. Li YY, Cai RJ, Yang JY, Hendrickson TL, Xiang Y, Javid B. 2021. Clinically Relevant Mutations of Mycobacterial GatCAB Inform Regulation of Translational Fidelity. mBio 12:e0110021.
13. Cai RJ, Su HW, Li YY, Javid B. 2020. Forward Genetics Reveals a gatC-gatA Fusion Polypeptide Causes Mistranslation and Rifampicin Tolerance in Mycobacterium smegmatis. Front Microbiol 11:577756.
14. Bailly M, Blaise M, Lorber B, Becker HD, Kern D. 2007. The transamidosome: a dynamic ribonucleoprotein particle dedicated to prokaryotic tRNA-dependent asparagine biosynthesis. Mol Cell 28:228-39.
15. Curnow AW, Hong K, Yuan R, Kim S, Martins O, Winkler W, Henkin TM, Soll D. 1997. Glu-tRNAGln amidotransferase: a novel heterotrimeric enzyme required for correct decoding of glutamine codons during translation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:11819-26.
16. Huot JL, Fischer F, Corbeil J, Madore E, Lorber B, Diss G, Hendrickson TL, Kern D, Lapointe J. 2011. Gln-tRNAGln synthesis in a dynamic transamidosome from Helicobacter pylori, where GluRS2 hydrolyzes excess Glu-tRNAGln. Nucleic Acids Res 39:9306-15.
17. Rathnayake UM, Wood WN, Hendrickson TL. 2017. Indirect tRNA aminoacylation during accurate translation and phenotypic mistranslation. Curr Opin Chem Biol 41:114-122.
18. Sheppard K, Yuan J, Hohn MJ, Jester B, Devine KM, Soll D. 2008. From one amino acid to another: tRNA-dependent amino acid biosynthesis. Nucleic Acids Res 36:1813-25.

444   19.   Chaudhuri S, Li L, Zimmerman M, Chen Y, Chen YX, Toosky MN, Gardner M, Pan M, Li YY,
445   Kawaji Q, Zhu JH, Su HW, Martinot AJ, Rubin EJ, Dartois VA, Javid B. 2018. Kasugamycin
446   potentiates rifampicin and limits emergence of resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis
447   by specifically decreasing mycobacterial mistranslation. Elife 7.
448   20.   Moreira W, Ngan GJ, Low JL, Poulsen A, Chia BC, Ang MJ, Yap A, Fulwood J, Lakshmanan
449   U, Lim J, Khoo AY, Flotow H, Hill J, Raju RM, Rubin EJ, Dick T. 2015. Target mechanism-
450   based whole-cell screening identifies bortezomib as an inhibitor of caseinolytic protease
451   in mycobacteria. mBio 6:e00253-15.
452   21.   Chen YX, Pan M, Chen YM, Javid B. 2019. Measurement of Specific Mycobacterial
453   Mistranslation Rates with Gain-of-function Reporter Systems. J Vis Exp
454   doi:10.3791/59453.
455   22.   La Rosa V, Poce G, Canseco JO, Buroni S, Pasca MR, Biava M, Raju RM, Porretta GC, Alfonso
456   S, Battilocchio C, Javid B, Sorrentino F, Ioerger TR, Sacchettini JC, Manetti F, Botta M, De
457   Logu A, Rubin EJ, De Rossi E. 2012. MmpL3 is the cellular target of the antitubercular
458   pyrrole derivative BM212. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:324-31.
459   23.   Abrahams KA, Chung CW, Ghidelli-Disse S, Rullas J, Rebollo-Lopez MJ, Gurcha SS, Cox JA,
460   Mendoza A, Jimenez-Navarro E, Martinez-Martinez MS, Neu M, Shillings A, Homes P,
461   Argyrou A, Casanueva R, Loman NJ, Moynihan PJ, Lelievre J, Selenski C, Axtman M, Kremer
462   L, Bantscheff M, Angulo-Barturen I, Izquierdo MC, Cammack NC, Drewes G, Ballell L,
463   Barros D, Besra GS, Bates RH. 2016. Identification of KasA as the cellular target of an anti-
464   tubercular scaffold. Nat Commun 7:12581.
465   24.   Abrahams KA, Cox JA, Spivey VL, Loman NJ, Pallen MJ, Constantinidou C, Fernandez R,
466   Alemparte C, Remuinan MJ, Barros D, Ballell L, Besra GS. 2012. Identification of novel
467   imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine inhibitors targeting M. tuberculosis QcrB. PLoS One 7:e52951.
468   25.   Bantscheff M, Hopf C, Savitski MM, Dittmann A, Grandi P, Michon AM, Schlegl J, Abraham
469   Y, Becher I, Bergamini G, Boesche M, Delling M, Dumpelfeld B, Eberhard D, Huthmacher
470   C, Mathieson T, Poeckel D, Reader V, Strunk K, Sweetman G, Kruse U, Neubauer G,
471   Ramsden NG, Drewes G. 2011. Chemoproteomics profiling of HDAC inhibitors reveals
472   selective targeting of HDAC complexes. Nat Biotechnol 29:255-65.
473   26.   Pan T. 2013. Adaptive translation as a mechanism of stress response and adaptation.
474   Annu Rev Genet 47:121-37.
475   27.   Thompson RC, Karim AM. 1982. The accuracy of protein biosynthesis is limited by its
476   speed: high fidelity selection by ribosomes of aminoacyl-tRNA ternary complexes
477   containing GTP[gamma S]. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 79:4922-6.
478   28.   Mikkola R, Kurland CG. 1992. Selection of laboratory wild-type phenotype from natural
479   isolates of Escherichia coli in chemostats. Mol Biol Evol 9:394-402.
480   29.   Ribas de Pouplana L, Santos MA, Zhu JH, Farabaugh PJ, Javid B. 2014. Protein
481   mistranslation: friend or foe? Trends Biochem Sci 39:355-62.
482   30.   Wohlgemuth I, Pohl C, Mittelstaet J, Konevega AL, Rodnina MV. 2011. Evolutionary
483   optimization of speed and accuracy of decoding on the ribosome. Philos Trans R Soc Lond
484   B Biol Sci 366:2979-86.
485   31.   Hentschel J, Burnside C, Mignot I, Leibundgut M, Boehringer D, Ban N. 2017. The
486   Complete Structure of the Mycobacterium smegmatis 70S Ribosome. Cell Rep 20:149-160.
487   32.   Schuwirth BS, Day JM, Hau CW, Janssen GR, Dahlberg AE, Cate JH, Vila-Sanjurjo A. 2006.
488   Structural analysis of kasugamycin inhibition of translation. Nat Struct Mol Biol 13:879-86.
489   33.   Wilson DN. 2014. Ribosome-targeting antibiotics and mechanisms of bacterial resistance.
490   Nat Rev Microbiol 12:35-48.

34.   Zaher HS, Green R. 2009. Quality control by the ribosome following peptide bond
formation. Nature 457:161-6.
35.   Ruan B, Palioura S, Sabina J, Marvin-Guy L, Kochhar S, Larossa RA, Soll D. 2008. Quality
control despite mistranslation caused by an ambiguous genetic code. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 105:16502-7.
36.   Mohler K, Ibba M. 2017. Translational fidelity and mistranslation in the cellular response
to stress. Nat Microbiol 2:17117.
37.   Boeree MJ, Heinrich N, Aarnoutse R, Diacon AH, Dawson R, Rehal S, Kibiki GS, Churchyard
G, Sanne I, Ntinginya NE, Minja LT, Hunt RD, Charalambous S, Hanekom M, Semvua HH,
Mpagama SG, Manyama C, Mtafya B, Reither K, Wallis RS, Venter A, Narunsky K, Mekota
A, Henne S, Colbers A, van Balen GP, Gillespie SH, Phillips PPJ, Hoelscher M, Pan Ac. 2017.
High-dose rifampicin, moxifloxacin, and SQ109 for treating tuberculosis: a multi-arm,
multi-stage randomised controlled trial. Lancet Infect Dis 17:39-49.
38.   de Steenwinkel JE, Aarnoutse RE, de Knegt GJ, ten Kate MT, Teulen M, Verbrugh HA,
Boeree MJ, van Soolingen D, Bakker-Woudenberg IA. 2013. Optimization of the rifampin
dosage to improve the therapeutic efficacy in tuberculosis treatment using a murine
model. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 187:1127-34.
39.   Seijger C, Hoefsloot W, Bergsma-de Guchteneire I, Te Brake L, van Ingen J, Kuipers S, van
Crevel R, Aarnoutse R, Boeree M, Magis-Escurra C. 2019. High-dose rifampicin in
tuberculosis: Experiences from a Dutch tuberculosis centre. PLoS One 14:e0213718.
40.   Alumasa JN, Manzanillo PS, Peterson ND, Lundrigan T, Baughn AD, Cox JS, Keiler KC. 2017.
Ribosome Rescue Inhibitors Kill Actively Growing and Nonreplicating Persister
Mycobacterium tuberculosis Cells. ACS Infect Dis 3:634-644.
41.   Burke C, Jankute M, Moynihan P, Gonzalez Del Rio R, Li X, Esquivias J, Lelievre J, Cox JAG,
Sacchettini J, Besra GS. 2020. Development of a novel secondary phenotypic screen to
identify hits within the mycobacterial protein synthesis pipeline. FASEB Bioadv 2:600-612.
42.   Sukheja P, Kumar P, Mittal N, Li SG, Singleton E, Russo R, Perryman AL, Shrestha R, Awasthi
D, Husain S, Soteropoulos P, Brukh R, Connell N, Freundlich JS, Alland D. 2017. A Novel
Small-Molecule Inhibitor of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Demethylmenaquinone
Methyltransferase MenG Is Bactericidal to Both Growing and Nutritionally Deprived
Persister Cells. MBio 8.
43.   Zheng P, Somersan-Karakaya S, Lu S, Roberts J, Pingle M, Warrier T, Little D, Guo X,
Brickner SJ, Nathan CF, Gold B, Liu G. 2014. Synthetic calanolides with bactericidal activity
against replicating and nonreplicating Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Med Chem 57:3755-
72.
44.   Cox JA, Abrahams KA, Alemparte C, Ghidelli-Disse S, Rullas J, Angulo-Barturen I, Singh A,
Gurcha SS, Nataraj V, Bethell S, Remuinan MJ, Encinas L, Jervis PJ, Cammack NC, Bhatt A,
Kruse U, Bantscheff M, Futterer K, Barros D, Ballell L, Drewes G, Besra GS. 2016. THPP
target assignment reveals EchA6 as an essential fatty acid shuttle in mycobacteria. Nat
Microbiol 1:15006.
45.   Chen YX, Xu ZY, Ge X, Sanyal S, Lu ZJ, Javid B. 2020. Selective translation by alternative
bacterial ribosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:19487-19496.

Table 1. Bioactivity of analogs of 7020 with superior potency.

Compound	Mistranslation Inhibition (%)	RSPR Inhibition (%)	Bacterial Growth Restriction in the Absence of Rifampicin (%)
7020	53.24	57.69	0
9719	83.21	61.23	0
9741	74.38	78.34	0
9744	86.95	87.32	1
9759	59.64	86.02	0
9767	64.77	81.43	1.2
9775	86.89	71.35	0
9782	83.73	86.78	0
9783	66.99	88.93	0
9787	75.51	98.14	1.15

Supplementary Table 1. Pull-down mass spectrometry to identify the binding target of 9787. Putative binding proteins identified by mass spectrometry after mycobacterial cell lysates were incubated with (**A**) DMSO, (**B**) 9791, inactive biotinylated compound, (**C**) 9791 + 9789, inactive biotinylated compound with excess inactive non-biotinylated compound, (**D**) 9788, active biotinylated compound, and (**E**) 9788 + 9787, active biotinylated compound with excess active non-biotinylated compound.

Figure 1. A phenotypic whole-cell screen identified benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-dione analogs as modulators of mycobacterial mistranslation (A) Schematic of the N-luc luciferase/GFP reporter system for screening of chemicals that decrease mistranslation in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. (B) Screening of chemical library using the N-luc luciferase/GFP reporter for inhibitors of mycobacterial mistranslation. (Materials & Methods for details) The quality of the assay was assessed by the Z-factor, which was

calculated by the formula Z-factor $= 1 - \frac{3\sigma_p + 3\sigma_n}{|\mu_n - \mu_p|}$, where σ_p, σ_n, μ_p and μ_n are standard

deviations (σ) and means (μ) of the positive (p) and negative (n) controls respectively.

Throughout the screening process, kasugamycin (Ksg) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)

have been used as the positive and negative controls respectively.   (C) Structure of

benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-dione analogs identified in the preliminary screen (7006, 7013,

7020).

Figure 2. **The benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-dione analogs 7006, 7013 and 7020 decrease**

mycobacterial mistranslation and RSPR. (A) The compounds 7006, 7013 and 7020

could significantly decrease mistranslation in *M.smegmatis* expressing the N-luc/GFP

reporter system. (B) *M. smegmatis* was plated on rifampicin containing media in presence

7006/ 7013/ 7020. All of them could significantly enhance rifampicin mediated

mycobacterial killing. DMSO and Kasugamycin (Ksg) have been used as negative and

positive controls in both the experiments. ***$p < 0.001$ by Student's t-test.

Figure 3. Structural analogs of 7020 which decrease mistranslation and RSPR in *M.*

smegmatis. Several analogs of 7020 were synthesized, of which 10 compounds were found

to be better than 7020 in inhibiting mycobacterial mistranslation and RSPR (Table 1). The

structures of the analog are shown here along with the parent compound 7020.

Figure 4. **Analogs of 7020 lacking the benzoquione structure are poor inhibitors of**

mistranslation. The structure of analogs of 7020 synthesized without the benzoquinone

structure (boxed in green on 7020) and their percent inhibition of mistranslation are shown

alongside 7020.

Figure 5. Replacement of 7020 methyl groups improves bioactivity of analog

compounds. The structures and percent inhibition of mistranslation and RSPR inhibition

of 7020 analogs with improved bioactivity that have replacements of the methyl groups at

positions 5 and 6 (boxed in blue on 7020) are shown alongside 7020. In 9744, the methyl

groups have been replaced by chlorine. In 9775, 9782, 9783 and 9787, the methyl groups

have been replaced by an aromatic ring.

Figure 6. Compound 9787 decreases mistranslation more effectively than compound

7020 and decreases mistranslation in *M. bovis* BCG. (A) The N-luc/GFP reporter was

used to show the ability of 9787 to inhibit mycobacterial mistranslation. Different doses of

9787 and the parent compound 7020 were used to check the improved potency of 9787.

Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and kasugamycin (Ksg) have been used as the negative and

the positive controls respectively. $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$ by Student's t-test

(B) Other than *M. smegmatis*, the N-luc/GFP mistranslation reporter could be expressed in

M.bovis BCG strain. 9787 was able to decrease mistranslation in this strain as well. $**p <$

0.01, $***p < 0.001$ by Student's t-test.

Figure 7. 30S ribosomal protein S5 (RpS5) is the molecular target of compound 9787.

(A) Structures of 9787, active biotinylated analog 9787, inactive analog 9789, and inactive

biotinylated analog 9791. (B) The N-luc/GFP reporter was used to show the ability of 9787

and biotinylated 9788 to inhibit mycobacterial mistranslation. . *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p

< 0.001 by Student's t-test (C) 9789, unable to reduce mycobacterial mistranslation, was

biotinylated to produce compound 9791. Neither of the compounds could inhibit

mycobacterial mistranslation. (D) Schematic of the experiment for target identification of

9787. The compound of interest (C) is tagged with biotin (B) and incubated with

mycobacterial cell lysate. After it binds with the target (T), the whole complex is pulled

down using streptavidin (S) beads (Materials & Methods for details). The pulled-down

proteins are then analyzed by mass-spectrometry. (E) The ratio of the quality scores of the

proteins pulled down by the active biotinylated compound (9788) and the inactive

biotinylated compound (9791) has been plotted against the ratio of the quality scores of

proteins pulled down by the active alone (9788), and the mixture of 9788 and excess non-

biotinylated active compound (9787). The 30S ribosomal protein S5 (Rps5) is represented

by the red dot.

Figure 8. Treatment with compound 9787 does not alter the translation rate of *M.*

***smegmatis*.** Nanoluciferase (Nluc) activity was monitored over time as a proxy for

translation rate in untreated *M. smegmatis,* and *M. smegmatis* treated with **9787** (100 µM)

or chloramphenicol (200 µg/mL) using a strain of *M. smegmatis* in which Nluc expression

was controlled by a tetracycline-inducible promoter. Time $=0$ represents time of addition

of Atc (50ng/mL). Experiment was performed using three independent biological

replicates. Points represent means +/- SD.

Fig. 1

A

B

C

7006

7013

7020

Figure 1. A phenotypic whole-cell screen identified benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-dione analogs as modulators of mycobacterial mistranslation (A) Schematic of the N-luc luciferase/GFP reporter system for screening of chemicals that decrease mistranslation in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. (B) Screening of chemical library using the N-luc luciferase/GFP reporter for inhibitors of mycobacterial mistranslation. (Materials & Methods for details) The quality of the assay was assessed by the Z-factor, which was calculated by the formula $\text{Z-factor} = 1 - \frac{3\sigma_p + 3\sigma_n}{|\mu_n - \mu_p|}$, where σ_p, σ_n, μ_p and μ_n are standard deviations (σ) and means (μ) of the positive (p) and negative (n) controls respectively. Throughout the screening process, kasugamycin (Ksg) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) have been used as the positive and negative controls respectively. (C) Structure of benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-dione analogs identified in the preliminary screen (7006, 7013, 7020).

Figure 2. **The benzo[d]isoxazole-4,7-dione analogs 7006, 7013 and 7020 decrease mycobacterial mistranslation and RSPR.** (A) The compounds 7006, 7013 and 7020 could significantly decrease mistranslation in *M.smegmatis* expressing the N-luc/GFP reporter system. (B) *M. smegmatis* was plated on rifampicin containing media in presence 7006/ 7013/ 7020. All of them could significantly enhance rifampicin mediated mycobacterial killing. DMSO and Kasugamycin (Ksg) have been used as negative and positive controls in both the experiments. ***$p < 0.001$ by Student's t-test.

Fig. 3

Figure 3. Structural analogs of 7020 which decrease mistranslation and RSPR in *M. smegmatis.*
Several analogs of 7020 were synthesized, of which 10 compounds were found to be better than 7020 in inhibiting mycobacterial mistranslation and RSPR (Table 1). The structures of the analog are shown here along with the parent compound 7020.

Fig. 4

Figure 4. **Analogs of 7020 lacking the benzoquinone structure are poor inhibitors of mistranslation.** The structure of analogs of 7020 synthesized without the benzoquinone structure (boxed in green on 7020) and their percent inhibition of mistranslation are shown alongside 7020.

Fig. 5

7020	9744	9775
MI: 53.24%	MI: 86.95%	MI: 86.89%
RSPRI: 57.69%	RSPRI: 87.32%	RSPRI: 71.35%
9782	9783	9787
MI: 83.73%	MI: 66.99%	MI: 75.51%
RSPRI: 86.78%	RSPRI: 88.93%	RSPRI: 98.14%

Figure 5. Replacement of 7020 methyl groups improves bioactivity of analog compounds.
The structures and percent inhibition of mistranslation and RSPR inhibition of 7020 analogs
with improved bioactivity that have replacements of the methyl groups at positions 5 and 6
(boxed in blue on 7020) are shown alongside 7020. In 9744, the methyl groups have been
replaced by chlorine. In 9775, 9782, 9783 and 9787, the methyl groups have been replaced by
an aromatic ring.

Fig. 6

Figure 6. Compound 9787 decreases mistranslation more effectively than compound 7020 and decreases mistranslation in _M. bovis_ BCG. (A) The N-luc/GFP reporter was used to show the ability of 9787 to inhibit mycobacterial mistranslation. Different doses of 9787 and the parent compound 7020 were used to check the improved potency of 9787. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and kasugamycin (Ksg) have been used as the negative and the positive controls respectively. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by Student's t-test (B) Other than _M. smegmatis_, the N-luc/GFP mistranslation reporter could be expressed in _M.bovis_ BCG strain. 9787 was able to decrease mistranslation in this strain as well. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by Student's t-test.

Fig. 7

A

9787

9788

9789

9791

B

C

D

B C + Bacterial cell lysate → B C T

S

S B C T → Pull down & MS

E

Figure 7. 30S ribosomal protein S5 (RpS5) is the molecular target of compound 9787. (A) Structures of 9787, active biotinylated analog 9788, inactive analog 9789, and inactive biotinylated analog 9791. (B) The N-luc/GFP reporter was used to show the ability of 9787 and biotinylated 9788 to inhibit mycobacterial mistranslation. . *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by Student's t-test (C) 9789, unable to reduce mycobacterial mistranslation, was biotinylated to produce compound 9791. Neither of the compounds could inhibit mycobacterial mistranslation. (D) Schematic of the experiment for target identification of 9787. The compound of interest (C) is tagged with biotin (B) and incubated with mycobacterial cell lysate. After it binds with the target (T), the whole complex is pulled down using streptavidin (S) beads (Materials & Methods for details). The pulled-down proteins are then analyzed by mass-spectrometry. (E) The ratio of the quality scores of the proteins pulled down by the active biotinylated compound (9788) and the inactive biotinylated compound (9791) has been plotted against the ratio of the quality scores of proteins pulled down by the active alone (9788), and the mixture of 9788 and excess non-biotinylated active compound (9787). The 30S ribosomal protein S5 (Rps5) is represented by the red dot.

Figure 8. Treatment with compound 9787 does not alter the translation rate of *M. smegmatis*. Nanoluciferase (Nluc) activity was monitored over time as a proxy for translation rate in untreated *M. smegmatis,* and *M. smegmatis* treated with **9787** (100 µM) or chloramphenicol (200 µg/mL) using a strain of *M. smegmatis* in which Nluc expression was controlled by a tetracycline-inducible promoter. Time =0 represents time of addition of Atc (50ng/mL). Experiment was performed using three independent biological replicates. Points represent means +/- SD.