Transcriptional pattern enriched for synaptic signaling is associated with shorter survival of patients with high-grade serous ovarian cancer

Arkajyoti Bhattacharya [#,1],PhD, Thijs S. Stutvoet [#,1],MD, PhD, Mirela Perla[#,1],MSc, Stefan Loipfinger[1], MSc, Mathilde Jalving[1],MD, PhD, Anna K.L. Reyners[1],MD, PhD, Paola D. Vermeer[2], PhD, Ronny Drapkin[3],MD, PhD, Marco de Bruyn[4],PhD, Elisabeth G.E. de Vries[1],MD, PhD, Steven de Jong[1],PhD, Rudolf S.N. Fehrmann [*,1],MD, PhD

[1]Department of Medical Oncology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands, [2]Cancer Biology and Immunotherapies Group, Sanford Research, Sioux Falls, SD, [3]Penn Ovarian Cancer Research Center and Basser Center for BRCA, University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, [4]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

[#] Contributed equally

[*]**Corresponding author:**

Rudolf S.N. Fehrmann, MD, PhD

Department of Medical Oncology, University Medical Center Groningen

P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, the Netherlands

Tel. +31503612821, Fax +31503614862

E-mail: r.s.n.fehrmann@umcg.nl

Abstract

Background: Bulk transcriptomic analyses of high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) so far have not uncovered potential drug targets, possibly because subtle, disease-relevant transcriptional patterns are overshadowed by dominant, non-relevant ones. Our aim was to uncover disease-outcome-related patterns in HGSOC transcriptomes that may reveal novel drug targets.

Method: Using consensus-independent component analysis, we dissected 678 HGSOC transcriptomes of systemic therapy naïve patients—sourced from public repositories—into statistically independent transcriptional components (TCs). To enhance c-ICA's robustness, we added 447 transcriptomes from non-serous histotypes, low-grade serous, and non-cancerous ovarian tissues. Cox regression and survival tree analysis were performed to determine the association between TC activity and overall survival (OS). Finally, we determined the activity of the OS-associated TCs in 11 publicly available spatially resolved ovarian cancer transcriptomes.

Results: We identified 374 TCs, capturing prominent and subtle transcriptional patterns linked to specific biological processes. Six TCs, age, and tumor stage stratified patients with HGSOC receiving platinum-based chemotherapy into ten distinct OS groups. Three TCs were linked to copy-number alterations affecting expression levels of genes involved in replication, apoptosis, proliferation, immune activity, and replication stress. Notably, the TC identifying patients with the shortest OS captured a novel transcriptional pattern linked to synaptic signaling, which was active in tumor regions within all spatially resolved transcriptomes.

Conclusion: The association between a synaptic signaling-related TC and OS supports the

emerging role of neurons and their axons as cancer hallmark-inducing constituents of the

tumor microenvironment. These constituents might offer a novel drug target for patients

with HGSOC.

Keywords: high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC), synaptic signaling, consensus

independent component analysis, transcriptome

Background

Epithelial ovarian cancer encompasses five primary histological subtypes, with high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC) constituting about 75% of all cases (1). The standard treatment for HGSOC diagnosed at stage IIB and beyond involves a combination of surgery and chemotherapy, primarily using platinum-based compounds and taxanes (2,3). While initial chemotherapy results in tumor response in most patients with HGSOC, there is a very high recurrence rate (4). The addition of poly-ADP ribose polymerase and vascular endothelial growth factor A inhibitors to chemotherapy for subsets of patients currently results in a 5-year disease-specific overall survival (OS) rate of approximately 45% for patients with HGSOC. This rate has hardly improved in the last three decades (5–8). Therefore, new insights into the complex biology underlying HGSOC are urgently needed to develop more effective treatment strategies.

Previous studies using bulk transcriptomes of patients with HGSOC have identified expression-based molecular subtypes. However, these subtypes did not provide insights that have translated into novel drug targets (9–11). A common limitation of such studies is their reliance on bulk transcriptomes, containing both tumor cells and tumor microenvironment (TME) components, thus reflecting the average transcriptional patterns of the combination of all biological processes present in the tumors. This averaging often masks subtle transcriptional patterns pivotal to understanding HGSOC biology, especially when these are overshadowed by dominant patterns from other less relevant (non-)biological processes (12). Consensus-independent component analysis (c-ICA) offers an alternative by decomposing such bulk transcriptomes into statistically independent transcriptional patterns (i.e., transcriptional components; TCs)(13,14). This approach reveals both dominant and subtle patterns and provides a measure of TC activity for each sample (15).

74 In the present study, our aim was to utilize c-ICA to dissect HGSOC transcriptomes to identify

75 as many TCs associated with patient OS as possible, which could reveal potential novel drug

76 targets.

Methods

78 See Supplementary methods for the extended methods.

Data acquisition

Raw microarray bulk transcriptomes and clinicopathological details for patients with HGSOC,

low-grade serous ovarian cancer (LGSOC), non-serous ovarian cancer, and benign ovarian

tissues were sourced from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)(16). We exclusively utilized

transcriptomes generated from primary tumor samples. Our analysis was confined to

samples on the Affymetrix HG-U133 Plus 2.0 platform (GEO accession identifier: GPL570)

and excluded cell line samples. The datasets were pre-processed, and quality controlled as

previously described (17). Furthermore, for comprehensive analyses, we incorporated

transcriptomes from five distinct resources: the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE, n =

969), Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC, n = 959), Gene Expression Omnibus

(GEO, n = 13,810), and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, n = 8,150), and spatially resolved

transcriptomes from 10xGenomics (16,18-20).

Consensus-independent component analysis (c-ICA)

The bulk transcriptome input data underwent a whitening transformation to optimize the

subsequent analysis. Following this pre-processing step, we employed the FastICA algorithm

to execute the ICA, facilitating the derivation of estimated sources (ESs). We determined the

appropriate number of ESs to extract based on the number of principal components that

captured 90% of the total variance within the dataset. To rigorously evaluate the stability of

the ESs, we conducted 25 separate ICA runs, each with uniquely randomized initial weight

factors. ESs with an absolute Pearson correlation exceeding 0.9 were grouped. By analyzing

the outcome of these runs, we derived consensus ESs, denoted as transcriptional

components or TCs. This was achieved by computing the mean vector of weights from

grouped ESs present in over half of the conducted runs. The gene weights within each TC

provide insights into the strength and directionality by which an underlying latent biological

process influences the related gene expression levels. Subsequently, these TCs are used to

generate a consensus mixing matrix (MM). The coefficients within this MM depict the

activity scores of the TCs across the samples.

Survival analysis

To discern the relationship between TC activity and patient OS, a univariate Cox proportional

hazards analysis was conducted on a select group of patients with available follow-up data (n

= 541, Supplementary Table S1). In addition, a multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis

was carried out, including covariates such as age, stage, debulking status, and tumor grade.

This latter analysis was based on a subset of patients with comprehensive clinicopathological

data available (n = 373, Supplementary Table S1). We implemented a multivariate

permutation framework encompassing 10,000 permutations to mitigate the risk of false

discoveries. We established the acceptable false discovery rate (FDR) at 1%, maintaining an

80% confidence level, applicable for both the univariate and multivariate analyses.

Survival tree analysis

We performed a survival tree analysis to delineate groups of patients with HGSOC treated

with platinum-based chemotherapy based on distinct transcriptional and clinicopathological

attributes. The analysis utilized activities of TCs associated with OS (either from univariate or

multivariate survival analysis as mentioned in supplementary methods) in conjunction with

relevant clinicopathological factors, such as age, tumor stage, debulking status, and grade, as

potential classifiers. We divided patients into two subsets using every plausible cut-off point

for each classifier and compared the resulting survival curves employing the log-rank

statistic. Consequently, the division was based on the most significant classifier at its optimal

cut-off based on the smallest p-value of the log-rank test mentioned above. This divisional

process was successfully reiterated on the derived subsets until any of the following

stipulated conditions was satisfied: *i*) the total patient count across both subsets fell below

50, *ii*) the collective number of uncensored events in both subsets was < 25, or *iii*) one of the

subsets contained < 17 patients. To gauge the stability of our classifiers, we performed

20,000 iterations, randomly selecting 80% of the patient group in each iteration. The

significance-based ranks of classifiers in these iterations were correlated with those from the

primary survival tree.

Associating the identified transcriptional components with biological processes

To discern the biological processes associated with the TCs, we adopted a multifaceted

approach encompassing *i*) Transcriptional Adaptation to Copy Number Alterations (TACNA)

profiling, targeting the identification of TCs that reflect the downstream implications of copy

number alterations (CNAs) on gene expression levels(21); *ii*) Execution of gene set

enrichment analysis (GSEA) for each TC, utilizing gene set collections (*n* = 16) from The

Human Phenotype Ontology (The Monarch Initiative), the Mammalian Phenotypes (Mouse

Genome Database), and the Molecular Signatures Database (MsigDB)(22,23); *iii*) The

formation of co-functionality networks on the top and bottom genes of each TC, achieved

using the GenetICA methodology, accessible via https://www.genetica-network.com(24). For

clusters comprising ≥ 5 genes, the enrichment of the predicted functionality was quantified.

This served as the foundation for determining the biological process associated with the TC

being examined.

Cross-study transcriptional component projection

To determine whether a biological process captured by an identified TC is also active in other

cancer types and to investigate if it is more active in tumor cells or in the TME, we collected

raw expression profiles from multiple sources: the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE, *n* =

969), Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC, *n* = 959), Gene Expression Omnibus

(GEO, *n* = 13,810), and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, *n* = 8,150)(16,22–24). While the

CCLE and GDSC datasets comprise cell line profiles across many solid and hematologic

malignancies, the GEO and TCGA datasets offer an extensive set of bulk transcriptomes

derived from patient samples spanning 27 tumor types. We pre-processed the raw data as

previously described (18). Next, we projected the TCs identified via c-ICA onto the cell line

expression profiles from CCLE and GDSC and the patient-derived expression profiles from

GEO and TCGA. This projection methodology has been described in more detail previously

(18). To identify potential variations in the activity scores of the TCs, we compared the

activity scores among cell lines and samples derived from patients within all four

repositories. We used an absolute activity score threshold of 0.05 for each TC to pinpoint

outlier cell lines and patient tumors with heightened activity.

Determination of spatial transcriptomic profiles' significant activity locations for individual

transcriptional components

To further assess whether a biological process captured by an identified TC is more active in

tumor cells or in the TME, we collected publicly available spatial resolved transcriptomic

profiles of ovarian cancer samples. Eight were sourced from GEO (study ID GSE211956), and

three were sourced from the public dataset repository of 10xGenomics (see supplementary

methods for details) generated using the 10xGenomics Visium platform. The samples were

from patients with HGSOC, serous papillary, and endometrioid ovarian cancer. Activity for

each TC across every location within the spatial samples was ascertained through the cross-

study projection methodology referred to in the previous method section (21). We

incorporated a permutation-driven approach to discern the markedly active areas within the

spatial samples for each TC. We derived a null distribution of activities for each TC-location

pairing by performing 3,000 permutations and subsequent projections. The p-value of each

observed TC activity quantifies the significance of the deviation of the TC activity at a given

location from its baseline null distribution. After this, we visualized the z-transformed p-

values using a heatmap, followed by obtaining colocalization scores for each combination of

TCs in the spatial transcriptomic profiles for each ovarian cancer sample (25). This

visualization aided in highlighting the areas with notable activity aligned against the stained

representation of the tissue sample.

Results

An integrated data set containing 1,125 bulk transcriptomes from ovarian tissues

We curated 1,193 bulk transcriptomes from the GEO, including patients with HGSOC, low-

grade serous ovarian cancer (LGSOC), non-serous ovarian cancer, and benign ovarian tissues

(16). Pre-processing, which included removing duplicates and quality checks, culminated in a

refined dataset of 1,125 samples (17). These were extracted from 32 distinct studies

(Supplementary Table S2) and represented the entire spectrum of ovarian cancer types,

stages, and grades, and included 43 samples from non-malignant ovarian tissue

(Supplementary Table S1). The ovarian cancer dataset comprised bulk transcriptomes of

patients with HGSOC (n = 678), other serous (n = 110), endometrioid (n = 110), and clear-cell

ovarian cancer samples (n = 96). Additionally, for 541 patients, comprehensive survival data

was available, as well as additional clinicopathologic information, including age, grade, stage,

subtype, treatment schedule, and debulking status for 373 patients (Fig. 1).

Consensus-independent component analysis identifies 374 transcriptional components

c-ICA on the 1,125 bulk transcriptomes revealed 374 independent TCs. Notably, 135 TCs

captured the impact of copy number alterations on gene expression levels. Each TC

displayed enrichment for at least one gene set from the 16 gene set collections, with an

absolute Z-score of more than two. For example, the number of enriched gene sets from the

Hallmark gene set collection in an individual TC ranged from zero to 28 enriched gene sets

(interquartile range 3 – 7). The median top Z score for Hallmark gene sets was 3.21 (range

1.55 – 37.54, interquartile range 2.6 – 4.25). A comprehensive database, including all TCs

and GSEA outcomes, has been made accessible at http://transcriptional-landscape-

ovarian.opendatainscience.net.

Using consensus-independent component analysis (c-ICA), we decomposed the 1,125 bulk

transcriptomes, revealing 374 independent transcriptional components (TCs). Each TC

displayed enrichment for at least one gene set from the 16 gene set collections, with an

absolute Z-score of more than two. The activities of 13 TCs were associated with patient

overall survival (OS) in a univariate analysis, with an additional TC (TC166) identified in a

multivariate analysis accounting for age, stage, debulking, and tumor grade. Combined,

these 14 OS-associated TCs were enriched for gene sets associated with diverse biological

processes and clinicopathological characteristics, with four TCs capturing the effects of copy

number alterations on gene expression levels.

The activities of six transcriptional components are associated with patient overall survival

For a selected subset of 541 patients—including HGSOC, LGSOC, and non-serous ovarian

cancer—with available OS information (Supplementary Table S1), 13 TC activities displayed

an association with OS univariately (false discovery rate 5%, confidence level 80% in

permutation-based multiple testing framework Supplementary Table S3; Fig. 2). For patients

with serous ovarian cancer, treated with platinum-based therapy (n = 301, Supplementary

Table S1), lower activity of one additional TC (TC166) was associated with worse OS

independent of age, stage, debulking, and tumor grade (Supplementary Table S4).

Combined, these 14 OS-associated TCs were enriched for gene sets associated with diverse

biological processes and clinicopathological characteristics. Four of these TCs captured the

downstream effects of CNAs on gene expression levels (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. S1-S3).

Survival tree analysis identified ten groups of patients with platinum-treated HGSOC based

on the activity of six OS-associated TCs and the presence of two clinicopathological

characteristics, namely age and stage (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table S5, median robustness

statistic of survival tree = 0.52, interquartile range = 0.36 - 0.69). The survival tree

demonstrated good classification power (concordance statistic = 0.72, standard error =

0.021). As expected, patients were divided into separate survival groups based on stage (1/2

vs. 3/4) and age (<53.7 vs. ≥53.7 years). The most significant difference in OS was observed

between the cohorts with low and high TC121 activity (Supplementary Table S5). Patients

with high TC121 tumor activity exhibited the shortest OS, also observed for the subset of

patients with advanced-stage HGSOC (Supplementary Fig. S4, Supplementary Table S6).

These robust associations with OS for TC121 in these two subsets of patients indicate the

relevance of TC121, irrespective of stage.

Distinct biological processes show enrichment in the transcriptional components

associated with overall survival

Three of the six TCs associated with OS—TC166, TC247, and TC76—captured the effects of

CNAs on the expression levels of genes mapping to chromosome regions 13q12-q14, 11q13-

q14, and 9p13-p21, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S5, Supplementary Table S7) (6). The

higher activity of TC166 was associated with better OS, whereas the higher activities of

TC121, TC247, TC250, TC76, and TC146, were associated with worse OS. The top genes from

TC166 were enriched for genes involved in replication and apoptosis. The chromosomal

region 13q12-q14 linked to the TC166, contains the tumor suppressor genes retinoblastoma

1 (*RB1*) and Breast Cancer Type 2 Susceptibility Protein (*BRCA2*). Loss of heterozygosity of

this chromosomal region is frequently observed in both sporadic and hereditary serous

ovarian cancers (26,27). The top genes from TC247 were enriched for genes involved in

proliferation and immune cell activation, TC76 in replication stress, TC250 in extracellular

matrix (ECM) interactions, and TC146 in neurotransmitter signaling.

Intriguingly, the top 100 genes in TC121 revealed a co-functional cluster enriched for

genes involved in synaptic signaling, with the corresponding proteins reported to localize to

the synaptic membrane of neurons (Fig. 4). Among these were pre-synaptic protein

neurexin-1 (*NRXN1*) and its post-synaptic ligand leucine-rich repeat transmembrane protein

2 (*LRRTM2*), which regulates excitatory synapse formation (top 20 genes are described in

Supplementary Table S8, for more details: [http://transcriptional-landscape-](http://transcriptional-landscape-ovarian.opendatainscience.net)

[ovarian.opendatainscience.net](http://transcriptional-landscape-ovarian.opendatainscience.net)) (28,29). Furthermore, this co-functional cluster included

neuron-specific synaptic structure proteins, neurofilament light, and medium chain.

Moreover, genes encoding for potassium ion channel proteins integral to membrane

repolarization during synapse signal transduction carried high weights in TC121. These genes

included *KCNC1*, *KCNN2*, and *KCNIP1*(30–32). Several genes in TC121 encoded proteins

related to glutamate receptor signaling, including *GRIN2C* and *SLC7A10* (33). In line with this

proposed function, high activity of TC121 was observed in neuroblastoma cell lines but not

in ovarian or central nervous system cancer cell lines in the GDSC and CCLE datasets (Fig. 5A,

Supplementary Fig. S6, S7). In the GEO and TCGA datasets, high activity of TC121 was

observed in glioblastoma multiforme and lower-grade glioma but not in ovarian cancer

patient samples (Fig. 5B).

Distinct spatial profiles of transcriptional components associated with overall survival

Cross-study TC projection onto spatial transcriptomic profiles from 11 ovarian cancer

samples revealed that TC121 was highly active in profiles from the tumor region of the 11

ovarian cancer samples (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. S8). Distinct regions with high activity of

the copy number TCs (TC166, TC247, and TC76) in the HGSOC sample overlapped with the

region containing cancer cells, as expected. TC250, enriched for extracellular matrix

interactions, was also active in the stromal region. The strongest inverse colocalization

(colocalization score -2.43) was observed between the activity scores of TC146, enriched for

neurotransmitter signaling, and TC76, captured the effect of copy number alterations at

chromosome 9p13-p21, at the serous ovarian cancer sample (Fig. 6B, Supplementary Table

S9).

Discussion

In this study, we identified 374 TCs, each enriched for gene sets representing various

biological processes in HGSOC samples. Six could stratify patients with HGSOC who had

received platinum-based treatment into ten distinct OS groups.

The most significant TC in the survival tree analysis, TC121, captured a clinically

relevant subtle transcriptional pattern linked to synaptic signaling not previously recognized

in HGSOC. In the survival tree, TC121 identified 12% of the HGSOC patients with the shortest

OS and, based on spatially resolved transcriptomic analyzed samples, is active in tumor

regions. This observation supports the emerging role of neurons and neuronal projections as

cancer hallmark-inducing constituents of the TME (34–36).

Further investigation on whether the activity of TC121 originated from tumor cells or

in the TME revealed that the TC121 signal is coming from cells within the TME. The high

activity of TC121 in low-grade glioma and glioblastoma multiforme patient samples (Fig. 5B)

is in agreement with the presence of neurons in large numbers within the TME of gliomas,

where they form functional synapses with tumor cells (37,38). Moreover, TC121 activity was

lower in non-brain cancers, such as ovarian cancers, which contain fewer neurons and

synapses in the TME compared to brain cancers. We expected TC121 activity to be low in the

bulk transcriptomes of all cell lines, since they lack TME. TC121 activity in most cell lines,

which includes glioblastoma and ovarian cancer cell lines, was indeed low. Neuroblastoma

cell lines, however, exhibited high TC121 activity, which is likely due to retained synaptic

formation capacity originating from neuroblast cells (39,40). Lastly, TC121's high activity

observed in small, scattered regions within the tumor of spatially resolved transcriptomic

ovarian cancer samples also supports TC121's role in the TME.

TC121's significant association with OS underscores the potential significance of

synaptic signaling in HGSOC biology. Yet, the neuronal subtype and the molecular

mechanisms associated with TC121 remain to be elucidated. A study in human ovarian

cancer-bearing mice demonstrated that sympathetic innervation in HGSOC involves

adrenergic signaling: norepinephrine released by sympathetic neurons binds to beta-

adrenergic receptors on the cancer cells (41–43). This binding triggers the tumor cells to

release brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), which enhances cancer innervation via

activation of host neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase B receptors, thereby establishing a

feed-forward loop of sustained signaling. BDNF and the nerve marker neurofilament protein

expression were examined in 108 human ovarian tumors (41). This study revealed that

increased intratumoral nerve presence strongly correlates with elevated BDNF and

norepinephrine levels, advanced tumor stage, and shorter OS in patients with ovarian

cancer. Our analysis indicated that *BDNF* is a prominent gene (with an absolute weight > 3)

in 10 TCs but not in TC121, suggesting that TC121 may indicate a distinct process unrelated

to BDNF.

The significance of sensory innervation in HGSOC was evidenced by the co-

localization of TRPV1, a marker for sensory neurons, and β-III tubulin, a general neuronal

marker, in immunofluorescent staining of histological sections from 75 patients (44).

Additionally, a murine model study employing neural tracing identified sensory neurons

originating from local dorsal root ganglia and jugular–nodose ganglia, with axons extending

into the TME (44). A transgenic murine model lacking nociceptors demonstrated that this

specific subtype of sensory neurons was involved in tumor progression (45). Another study

showed that reducing the release of Calcitonin gene-related peptide from tumor-innervating

nociceptors could be a strategy to alleviate this effect of nociceptors by improving anti-

tumour immunity of cytotoxic CD8+ T cells in a melanoma model bearing mice (46). This

indicates that the signal from TC121 may represent an indirect influence on tumor cells via

interactions with immune cells and the promotion of an immune suppressive TME.

Furthermore, in cell lines derived from Trp53$^{-/-}$ Pten$^{-/-}$ murine HGSOC, the influence of

nociceptors was characterized by the release of substance P (SP), their primary

neuropeptide. SP is an alternative splicing product of the preprotachykinin A gene (*TAC1*)

and binds to the receptor neurokinin 1 (NK1R), encoded by the *TACR1* gene. NK1R

expression was confirmed in murine HGSOC cell line, and SP enhanced cellular proliferation

in NK1R-positive murine HGSOC cancer cells *in vitro* (45). Our analysis identified *TAC1* and

TACR1 as prominent genes in 15 and 2 TCs, respectively, yet not in TC121, and none of these

TCs were associated with patient survival. Currently, there are no drugs specifically targeting

tumor innervation in (ovarian) cancer (47). Interestingly, the NK1R antagonist aprepitant

effectively inhibited the metastasis-promoting effects of neural substance P in human breast

and mammary cancer bearing mice (48), demonstrating the feasibility of such an approach.

Therefore, it is essential that the mechanisms driving this nerve growth, the specifics of how

nerves within the TME interact with ovarian cancer cells, and how they impact the survival of

patients with HGSOC are further elucidated.

Altogether, the present study uncovered a clinically relevant TC linked to synaptic

signaling not previously identified in HGSOC. This TC may represent a novel cancer cell-

extrinsic mechanism within the TME, illustrating how cancer cells and nerve cells interact to

promote enhanced proliferation. A deeper understanding of the molecular aspects of tumor

innervation could pave the way for novel drug targets for patients with HGSOC.

Data availability

Microarray expression data was collected from three public data repositories: Gene Expression Omnibus with accession number GPL570 (generated with Affymetrix HG-U133 Plus 2.0), CCLE (generated with Affymetrix HG-U133 Plus 2.0, file CCLE_Expression.Arrays_2013-03-18.tar.gz) available at https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle/data and GDSC (generated with Affymetrix HG-U219) available at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-3610/. Pre-processed and normalized RNA-seq data was collected from TCGA using the Broad GDAC Firehose portal (https://gdac.broadinstitute.org/). Spatially resolved samples were sourced from 10xGenomics and GEO. The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the website: http://transcriptional-landscape-ovarian.opendatainscience.net.

Funding

This research was supported by a Hanarth Fund grant, the Netherlands (2019N1552 to R.S.N.F).

Author contribution

A. Bhattacharya: Data curation, methodology, data analysis, data interpretation, writing. T. S. Stutvoet: Data curation, methodology, data analysis, data interpretation, writing. M. Perla: Data interpretation, writing. S. Loipfinger: Data analysis, data interpretation, writing. M. Jalving: Data interpretation, writing. A. K. L. Reyners: Data interpretation, writing. P. D. Vermeer: Data interpretation, writing. R. Drapkin: Data interpretation, writing. M. de Bruyn:

Data interpretation, writing. E. G. E. de Vries: Data interpretation, writing. S. de Jong:

Conceptualization, data interpretation, writing. R. S. N. Fehrmann: Conceptualization, data

curation, methodology, data analysis, data interpretation, writing. All were involved in the

final decision to submit the manuscript.

Disclosure

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Workflow indicates the data acquisition and relations between the methods.

Figure 2. Enrichment heatmap of Hallmark gene sets in each TC associated with patient OS.

GSEA results of 14 TCs associated with OS in univariate or multivariate survival analysis are

presented, including Hallmark gene sets that were included in the enrichment for at least

one TC that passed the Bonferroni threshold for multiple testing correction. The gene sets

were clustered using Pearson correlation and Ward D2, and the heatmap colors were based

on Z-scores, truncated at a value of four. The right column shows the chromosomal location

of a copy number alteration that the TC captures the downstream effects on gene

expression levels.

Figure 3. Survival tree analysis of patients with platinum-treated HGSOC defines survival

cohorts with distinct clinicopathologic and biological characteristics. Survival tree analysis of

294 patients with platinum-treated HGSOC using 14 OS-associated TCs and other classifiers

such as age, tumor stage, grade, and debulking status. The analysis resulted in nine survival

cohorts, and the height of the bar in the Sankey diagram represents the number of patients

in each cohort. The Kaplan-Meier plots and number-at-risk tables are presented with survival

data censored at 10 years. The names of the survival cohorts were based on enriched

biological processes in the TCs, as determined by the chromosomal location of genes

captured by a TC, GSEA, and co-functionality analysis of the top genes. The p-values in each

panel show the p-value from the corresponding log-rank test between the two groups.

Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component, ECM = extracellular matrix.

Figure 4. Co-functionality network of top 100 absolute weighted genes in TC121. One

cluster with more than 5 genes was identified in both Gene ontology biological processes

and cellular components databases having strong shared predicted co-functionality

(r > 0.7). This cluster shows high enrichment for genes related to the synaptic signaling in

the Gene ontology biological processes database and synaptic membrane in the cellular

components database.

Figure 5. The activity of TC121 in bulk transcriptomes of CCLE, GDSC cell lines, and GEO and

TCGA patient-derived samples. **A.** Cross-study TC projection of TC121 on CCLE and GDSC cell

lines. The boxplots display the activity scores of TC121 in different tissue types, which are

ordered based on their corresponding median activity scores. **B**. Cross-study TC projection of

TC121 on GEO and TCGA bulk transcriptomes resulted in the activity scores presented in the

boxplots. Cancer types were ordered based on corresponding medians of TC121 activity

scores. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component.

Figure 6. Spatial transcriptomic profiles in ovarian cancer samples. **A.** We employed a

permutation-based approach to pinpoint the areas of significant TC activity in spatial

transcriptomic profiles. We ran 5,000 permutations for each TC-profile combination, yielding

a p-value that indicates the extent to which the TC activity in the corresponding profile

differs from what would be expected by chance (the null distribution). We then transformed

these p-values into logarithmic values and represented them using a heatmap. Heatmaps of

activity scores of the TCs are presented in individual rows for the HGSOC, serous papillary,

and endometrioid adenocarcinoma of ovary samples. The first column represents the

stained images of the samples. The second to seventh columns show heatmaps

corresponding to the mentioned TCs. **B.** The heatmap illustrates the colocalization between

two TC activities on spatial transcriptomic profiles from ovarian cancer samples. For each

cell, the colocalization scores of the TCs at each of the three spatial transcriptomics samples

OC 1, OC 2, and OC 3 are arranged in columns. A colocalization score of 4 between two TCs

(red) indicates that the positively (+) and negatively (-) active regions of both TCs are

perfectly colocalized. Conversely, a colocalization score of -4 between two TCs (blue) also

indicates colocalization. Still, with inverse activity, i.e., the positively active regions of one TC

are colocalized with the negatively active regions of the other TC or vice versa. A

colocalization score close to 0 between two TCs (white) indicates that the activities of two

TCs are spatially separated. The dashed and solid circle in the panel on the right side of the

color bar represents two different TCs. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component.

Supplementary Figure S1. Association of OS-related TCs with clinicopathologic parameters.

The association between clinicopathologic parameters and the activity of the OS-associated

14 TCs was determined in the complete set of 1,125 samples. Pearson correlation was used

to calculate the association of each clinicopathologic parameter with each TC. The TCs were

then ranked based on their association with OS. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional

component, OS = overall survival.

Supplementary Figure S2. Enrichment heatmap for the KEGG gene set collection in OS-

related TCs. GSEA results of 14 TCs associated with OS in univariate or multivariate survival

analysis are presented, including KEGG gene sets that were included if the enrichment for at

least one TC passed the Bonferroni threshold for multiple testing correction. The gene sets

were clustered using Pearson correlation and Ward D2, and the heatmap colors were based

on Z-scores, truncated at a value of four. The right column shows the chromosomal location

of a copy number alteration that the TC captures the downstream effects on gene

expression levels. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component, OS = overall survival.

Supplementary Figure S3. Enrichment heatmap for the REACTOME gene set collection in OS-

related TCs. GSEA results of 14 TCs associated with OS in univariate or multivariate survival

analysis is presented, including REACTOME gene sets that were included if the enrichment

for at least one TC passed the Bonferroni threshold for multiple testing correction. The gene

sets were clustered using Pearson correlation and Ward D2, and the heatmap colors were

based on Z-scores, truncated at a value of four. The right column shows the chromosomal

location of a copy number alteration that the TC captures the downstream effects on gene

expression levels. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component.

Supplementary Figure S4. Survival tree analysis of patients with advanced-stage, HGSOC

defines survival cohorts with distinct clinicopathologic and biological characteristics. Survival

tree analysis of 265 patients with advanced-stage, platinum-treated HGSOC using 14 OS-

associated TCs and other classifiers such as age, tumor stage, grade, and debulking status.

The analysis resulted in nine survival cohorts, and the height of the bar in the Sankey

diagram represents the number of patients in each cohort. The Kaplan-Meier plots and

number-at-risk tables are presented with survival data censored at 10 years. The names of

the survival cohorts were based on enriched biological processes in the TCs, as determined

by the chromosomal location of genes captured by a TC, GSEA, and co-functionality analysis

of the top genes. The p-values in each panel show the p-value from the corresponding log-

rank test between the two groups. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component, ECM =

extracellular matrix.

Supplementary Figure S5. Three OS-associated TCs capture the transcriptional effect of copy

number alterations. For each OS-related TC, the weight of each gene was plotted on its

genomic location. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component.

Supplementary Figure S6. Activity of OS-associated TCs in bulk transcriptomes of CCLE cell

lines. The proportion of samples with outlier activity scores in individual tissue types for each

of the 14 OS-associated TCs was obtained using an absolute cut-off value of 0.05. TCs were

ordered based on their association with OS. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component.

Supplementary Figure S7. The activity of OS-associated TCs in bulk transcriptomes of GDSC

cell lines. The proportion of samples with outlier activity scores in individual tissue types for

each of the 14 OS-associated TCs was obtained using an absolute cut-off value of 0.05. TCs

were ordered based on their association with OS. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional

component.

Supplementary Figure S8. Spatial transcriptomic profiles in eight ovarian cancer samples. **A.**

We employed a permutation-based approach to pinpoint the areas of significant TC activity

in spatial transcriptomic profiles. We ran 5,000 permutations for each TC-profile

combination, yielding a p-value that indicates the extent to which the TC activity in the

corresponding profile differs from what would be expected by chance (the null distribution).

We then transformed these p-values into logarithmic values and represented them using a

heatmap. Heatmaps of activity scores of the TCs are presented in individual rows for the

HGSOC samples. The first column represents the stained images of the samples. The second

to seventh columns show heatmaps corresponding to the mentioned TCs. **B.** The heatmap

illustrates the colocalization between two TC activities on spatial transcriptomic profiles

from ovarian cancer samples. For each cell, the colocalization scores of the TCs at each of

the eight spatial transcriptomics samples are arranged in columns. A colocalization score of 4

between two TCs (red) indicates that the positively (+) and negatively (-) active regions of

both TCs are perfectly colocalized. Conversely, a colocalization score of -4 between two TCs

(blue) also indicates colocalization. Still, with inverse activity, i.e., the positively active

regions of one TC are colocalized with the negatively active regions of the other TC or vice

versa. A colocalization score close to 0 between two TCs (white) indicates that the activities

of two TCs are spatially separated. The dashed and solid circle in the panel on the right side

of the color bar represents two different TCs. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component.

Supplementary table legends

Supplementary Table S1. Patient characteristics. Abbreviations: NA = not applicable, HGSOC = high-grade serous ovarian cancer, LGSOC = low-grade serous ovarian cancer, LMP = low malignant potential, OCCC = ovarian clear cell cancer.

Supplementary Table S2. Overview of the number of samples from each GEO series and the corresponding study. Abbreviations: PMID = PubMed identification number.

Supplementary Table S3. Multivariate permutation framework results from the univariate survival analysis. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component. CI = confidence interval

Supplementary Table S4. Permutation framework results from the multivariate survival analysis. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component. CI = confidence interval

Supplementary Table S5. Clinicopathological parameters per survival tree node in platinum-treated HGSOC. Abbreviations: HGSOC = high-grade serous ovarian cancer, TC = transcriptional component, ECM = extracellular matrix.

Supplementary Table S6. Clinicopathological parameters per survival tree node in advanced-stage platinum-treated HGSOC. Abbreviations: HGSOC = high-grade serous ovarian cancer, TC = transcriptional component, ECM = extracellular matrix.

Supplementary Table S7. GenetICA gene network analysis results. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component.

549 **Supplementary Table S8.** Expression and function of the top 20 genes in TC121, according to

550 literature. Abbreviations: TC = transcriptional component.

552 **Supplementary Table S9.** Colocalization scores of every two TC combinations in spatial

553 transcriptomic profiles from three ovarian cancer samples. Abbreviations: TC =

554 transcriptional component.

References

1. Lheureux S, Gourley C, Vergote I, Oza AM. Epithelial ovarian cancer. *Lancet* 2019;393:1240–53.

2. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology - ovarian cancer, including fallopian tube cancer and primary peritoneal cancer. November 26, 2019.

3. Wright AA, Bohlke K, Armstrong DK, Bookman MA, Cliby WA, Coleman RL, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for newly diagnosed, advanced ovarian cancer: Society of Gynecologic Oncology and American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:3460–73.

4. Corrado G, Salutari V, Palluzzi E, Distefano MG, Scambia G, Ferrandina G. Optimizing treatment in recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2017;17:1147–58.

5. Cibula D, Balmaña J. PARP inhibitors in ovarian cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2016;27:i40–44.

6. Wang H, Xu T, Zheng L, Li G. Angiogenesis inhibitors for the treatment of ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2018;28:903–14.

7. Wu SG, Wang J, Sun JY, He ZY, Zhang WW, Zhou J. Real-world impact of survival by period of diagnosis in epithelial ovarian cancer between 1990 and 2014. *Front Oncol* 2019;9:639.

8. Tewari KS, Burger RA, Enserro D, Norquist BM, Swisher EM, Brady MF, et al. Final overall

survival of a randomized trial of Bevacizumab for primary treatment of ovarian cancer. *J Clin*

Oncol 2019;37:2317–28.

9. Bell D, Berchuck A, Birrer M, Chien J, Cramer DW, Dao F, et al. Integrated genomic

analyses of ovarian carcinoma. *Nature* 2011;474:609–15.

10. Tothill RW, Tinker AV, George J, Brown R, Fox SB, Lade S, et al. Novel molecular subtypes

of serous and endometrioid ovarian cancer linked to clinical outcome. *Clin Cancer Res*

2008;14:5198–208.

11. Verhaak RGW, Tamayo P, Yang JY, Hubbard D, Zhang H, Creighton CJ, et al. Prognostically

relevant gene signatures of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. *J Clin Investig*

2013;123:517–25.

12. Chen C, Grennan K, Badner J, Zhang D, Gershon E, Jin L, et al. Removing batch effects in

analysis of expression microarray data: an evaluation of six batch adjustment methods. *PLoS*

One 2011;6:e17238.

13. Kong W, Vanderburg CR, Gunshin H, Rogers JT, Huang X. A review of independent

component analysis application to microarray gene expression data. *BioTechniques*

2008;45:501–20.

14. Chiappetta P, Roubaud MC, Torrsani B. Blind source separation and the analysis of

microarray data. *J Comput Biol* 2004;11:1090–109.

15. Biton A, Bernard-Pierrot I, Lou Y, Krucker C, Chapeaublanc E, Rubio-Pérez C, et al.

Independent component analysis uncovers the landscape of the bladder tumor

transcriptome and reveals insights into luminal and basal subtypes. *Cell Rep* 2014;9:1235–45.

16. Clough E, Barrett T. Statistical genomics, methods and protocols. *Methods Mol Biol*

2016;1418:93–110.

17. Fehrmann RSN, Karjalainen JM, Krajewska M, Westra H-J, Maloney D, Simeonov A, et al.

Gene expression analysis identifies global gene dosage sensitivity in cancer. *Nat Genet*

2015;47:115–25.

18. Barretina J, Caponigro G, Stransky N, Venkatesan K, Margolin AA, Kim S, et al. The cancer

cell line encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. *Nature*

2012;483:603–7.

19. Yang W, Soares J, Greninger P, Edelman EJ, Lightfoot H, Forbes S, et al. Genomics of drug

sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC): a resource for therapeutic biomarker discovery in cancer cells.

Nucleic Acids Res 2013;41:D955–61.

20. Barrett T, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, Evangelista C, Kim IF, Tomashevsky M, et al. NCBI GEO:

archive for functional genomics data sets—update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;41:D991–5.

21. Bhattacharya A, Bense RD, Urzúa-Traslaviña CG, de Vries EGE, van Vugt MATM,

Fehrmann RSN. Transcriptional effects of copy number alterations in a large set of human

cancers. *Nat Commun* 2020;11:715.

22. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene

set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide

expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2005;102:15545–50.

23. Köhler S, Carmody L, Vasilevsky N, Jacobsen JOB, Danis D, Gourdine J-P, et al. Expansion

of the human phenotype ontology (HPO) knowledge base and resources. *Nucleic Acids Res*

2019;47(D1):D1018-D1027.

24. Urzúa-Traslaviña CG, Leeuwenburgh VC, Bhattacharya A, Loipfinger S, van Vugt MATM,

de Vries EGE , et al. Improving gene function predictions using independent transcriptional

components. *Nat Commun* 2021;12:1464.

25. Canozo FJG, Zuo Z, Martin JF, Samee MdAH. Cell-type modeling in spatial transcriptomics

data elucidates spatially variable colocalization and communication between cell-types in

mouse brain. *Cell Syst* 2022;13:58-70.e5.

26. Huang RY, Chen GB, Matsumura N, Lai HC, Mori S, Li J, et al. Histotype-specific copy-

number alterations in ovarian cancer. *BMC Méd Genom* 2012;5:47.

27. Jongsma APM, Piek JMJ, Zweemer RP, Verheijen RHM, Gebbinck JWTK, Kamp GJ van, et

al. Molecular evidence for putative tumour suppressor genes on chromosome 13q specific to

BRCA1 related ovarian and fallopian tube cancer. *Mol Pathol* 2002;55:305.

28. Ko J, Fuccillo MV, Malenka RC, Südhof TC. LRRTM2 functions as a neurexin ligand in

promoting excitatory synapse formation. *Neuron* 2009;64:791–8.

29. de Wit J, Sylwestrak E, O'Sullivan ML, Otto S, Tiglio K, Savas JN, et al. LRRTM2 interacts

with neurexin1 and regulates excitatory synapse formation. *Neuron* 2009;64:799–806.

30. Ried T, Rudy B, Miera EV-S de, Lau D, Ward DC, Sen K. Localization of a highly conserved

human potassium channel gene (NGK2-KV4; KCNC1) to chromosome 11p15. *Genomics*

1993;15:405–11.

31. Willis M, Trieb M, Leitner I, Wietzorrek G, Marksteiner J, Knaus H-G. Small-conductance

calcium-activated potassium type 2 channels (SK2, KCa2.2) in human brain. *Brain Struct*

Funct 2017;222:973–9.

32. Bourdeau ML, Laplante I, Laurent CE, Lacaille JC. KChIP1 modulation of Kv4.3-mediated

A-type K+ currents and repetitive firing in hippocampal interneurons. *Neuroscience*

2011;176:173–87.

33. Ehmsen JT, Liu Y, Wang Y, Paladugu N, Johnson AE, Rothstein JD, et al. The astrocytic

transporter SLC7A10 (Asc-1) mediates glycinergic inhibition of spinal cord motor neurons. *Sci*

Rep 2016;6:35592.

34. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 2011;144:646–

74.

35. Reavis HD, Chen HI, Drapkin R. Tumor Innervation: Cancer Has Some Nerve. *Trends*

Cancer 2020;6:1059–67.

36. Gysler SM, Drapkin R. Tumor innervation: peripheral nerves take control of the tumor

microenvironment. *J Clin Investig* 2021;131:e147276.

37. Radin DP, Tsirka SE. Interactions between tumor cells, neurons, and microglia in the

glioma microenvironment. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020;21:8476.

38. Venkatesh HS, Morishita W, Geraghty AC, Silverbush D, Gillespie SM, Arzt M, et al.

Electrical and synaptic integration of glioma into neural circuits. *Nature* 2019;573:539–45.

39. Preter KD, Vandesompele J, Heimann P, Yigit N, Beckman S, Schramm A, et al. Human

fetal neuroblast and neuroblastoma transcriptome analysis confirms neuroblast origin and

highlights neuroblastoma candidate genes. *Genome Biol* 2006;7:R84.

40. Mark B, Lai S-L, Zarin AA, Manning L, Pollington HQ, Litwin-Kumar A, et al. A

developmental framework linking neurogenesis and circuit formation in the Drosophila CNS.

eLife 2021;10:e67510.

41. Allen JK, Armaiz-Pena GN, Nagaraja AS, Sadaoui NC, Ortiz T, Dood R, et al. Sustained

adrenergic signaling promotes intratumoral innervation through BDNF induction. *Cancer Res*

2018;78:3233-3242.

42. Rains SL, Amaya CN, Bryan BA. Beta-adrenergic receptors are expressed across diverse

cancers. *Oncoscience* 2017;4:95–105.

43. Eng JWL, Kokolus KM, Reed CB, Hylander BL, Ma WW, Repasky EA. A nervous tumor

microenvironment: the impact of adrenergic stress on cancer cells, immunosuppression, and

immunotherapeutic response. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2014;63:1115–28.

44. Barr JL, Kruse A, Restaino AC, Tulina N, Stuckelberger S, Vermeer SJ, et al. Intra-tumoral

nerve-tracing in a novel syngeneic model of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. *Cells*

2021;10:3491.

45. Restaino AC, Walz A, Vermeer SJ, Barr J, Kovács A, Fettig RR, et al. Functional neuronal

circuits promote disease progression in cancer. *Sci Adv* 2023;9:eade4443.

46. Balood M, Ahmadi M, Eichwald T, Ahmadi A, Majdoubi A, Roversi K, et al. Nociceptor

neurons affect cancer immunosurveillance. Nature. 2022;611:405–12.

47. Li X, Peng X, Yang S, Wei S, Fan Q, Liu J, et al. Targeting tumor innervation: premises,

promises, and challenges. *Cell Death Discov* 2022;8:131.

48. Padmanaban V, Keller I, Seltzer ES, Ostendorf BN, Kerner Z, Tavazoie SF. Neuronal

substance-P drives breast cancer growth and metastasis via an extracellular RNA-TLR7 axis.

bioRxiv 2024;2024.03.08.584128.

Z-score Gene Ontology biological processes genesets

11.5 - Synaptic signaling

10.3 - Modulation of synaptic transmission

9.51 - Single organism behavior

8.78 - Adult behavior

8.09 - Regulation of synaptic plasticity

7.69 - Cognition

7.47 - Glutamate receptor signaling

7.35 - Regulation of synaptic structure

7.34 - Behavior

7.12 - Regulation of neurotransmitter

Z-score Gene Ontology cellular components genesets

8.33 - Synaptic membrane

8.00 - Presynapse

7.72 - Postsynapse

7.61 - Postsynaptic membrane

7.57 - Presynaptic membrane

7.57 - Presynaptic active zone

7.43 - Transporter complex

7.08 - Potassium channel complex

7.07 - AMPA Glutamate receptor complex

7.03 - Cation channel complex

A

| | Stained images of biopsies | TC 121 synaptic signaling | TC 166 CNA 13q12-q14 replication & apoptosis | TC 247 CNA 11q13-q14 proliferation immune response | TC 76 CNA 9p13-p21 replication stress | TC 250 ECM interactions | TC 146 neurotransmitter signaling |

Activity scores
−6 −3 0 3 6

B

colocalization score

colocalized 4

spatially separate 0

inversely colocalized −4

| | OC 1 | OC 2 | OC 3 |