Mitochondrial fission controls astrocyte morphogenesis and organization in the cortex

Maria Pia Rodriguez Salazar[1], Sprihaa Kolanukuduru[1,2], Valentina Ramirez[1,3], Boyu Lyu[4], Gracie Manigault[1], Gabrielle Sejourne[1], Hiromi Sesaki[5], Guoqiang Yu[6,7], Cagla Eroglu[1,8,9,10*]

The Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

The Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

The Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

Bradley Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Arlington, VA, USA

Department of Cell Biology, John Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

Department of Automation, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) Collaborative Research Network, Chevy Chase, MD

The Department of Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

*Corresponding author: Cagla Eroglu: cagla.eroglu@duke.edu

Summary:

During cortical astrocyte morphogenesis, mitochondria fragment and decrease in size to populate distal astrocyte processes. Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fission is necessary for peripheral astrocyte process formation. Astrocyte-specific Drp1 loss induces astrocyte reactivity, disrupts cortical astrocyte organization, and dysregulates PAP proteins including gap-junction protein Connexin 43 abundance.

ABSTRACT

Dysfunctional mitochondrial dynamics are a hallmark of devastating neurodevelopmental disorders such as childhood refractory epilepsy. However, the role of glial mitochondria in proper brain development is not well understood. We show that astrocyte mitochondria undergo extensive fission while populating astrocyte distal branches during postnatal cortical development. Loss of mitochondrial fission regulator, Dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1), decreases mitochondrial localization to distal astrocyte processes, and this mitochondrial mislocalization reduces astrocyte morphological complexity. Functionally, astrocyte-specific conditional deletion of Drp1 induces astrocyte reactivity and disrupts astrocyte organization in the cortex. These morphological and organizational deficits are accompanied by loss of perisynaptic astrocyte process (PAP) proteins such as gap junction protein Connexin 43. These findings uncover a crucial role for mitochondrial fission in coordinating astrocytic morphogenesis and organization, revealing the regulation of astrocytic mitochondria dynamics as a critical step in neurodevelopment.

INTRODUCTION

Mitochondria are dynamic organelles that move, divide, and fuse in response to changing cellular states (Friedman and Nunnari, 2014; Youle and van der Bliek, 2012). Localized functions of mitochondria within cells are governed by fusion, fission, and trafficking processes, collectively referred to as mitochondrial dynamics (Ni et al., 2015). Mitochondrial dynamics are particularly important for the function and development of highly compartmentalized cells such as neurons, where mitochondria within distal compartments perform key functions including ion buffering, energy production, and local protein translation (Billups and Forsythe, 2002; López-Doménech and Kittler, 2023; Rangaraju et al., 2019). Furthermore, distal mitochondria regulate cellular morphology of neurons through focalized ATP generation for actin polymerization at growth cones, and disruptions in mitochondrial dynamics result in stunted neurite growth (Courchet et al., 2013; Smith and Gallo, 2018; Steketee et al., 2012). Indeed, mutations in the genes that control the mitochondrial dynamics' machinery cause severe neurodevelopmental disorders, such as forms of childhood refractory epilepsy (Abati et al., 2022; Vanstone et al., 2016).

Despite the clear importance of mitochondrial dynamics in brain development, the role of this organelle in non-neuronal brain cells is less well understood. In particular, astrocytes are the most abundant glial cells in the brain and have highly ramified processes that infiltrate the neuropil in the brain parenchyma (Verkhratsky et al., 2017) . These fine astrocyte processes interact with synapses, vasculature, and other glia (Allen and Lyons, 2018) where they regulate synapse formation, neurotransmitter and ion buffering, and maintain the blood-brain barrier (Alvarez et al., 2013; Chung et al., 2015). Intriguingly, astrocyte peripheral processes are loaded with mitochondria at higher densities than the surrounding neuropil (Lovatt et al., 2007), and their mitochondria are recruited to perisynapses in response to neuronal activity (Stephen et al., 2015), linking astrocyte mitochondrial dynamics and synapse function. Indeed, mature astrocyte mitochondria localize to functional microdomains in their arbors, where mitochondria regulate distal calcium dynamics to provide metabolic support in response to neuronal activity (Agarwal et al., 2017). Furthermore, recent work established that immature astrocytes have a high oxidative capacity and require mitochondrial biogenesis for proper morphogenesis and synapse formation (Zehnder et al., 2021). However, the role of mitochondrial dynamics in astrocyte development is unknown.

Beyond their morphological complexity, astrocytes further regulate brain homeostasis by tiling the entire brain parenchyma in evenly dispersed, non-overlapping domains. This organization enables astrocytes to form an extensive network that facilitates long-distance communication via gap junction-coupling between the distal processes of neighboring astrocytes (Bushong et al., 2002; Giaume et al., 2010). Tiling is absent in immature astrocytes, becomes established as astrocytes mature, and is regulated by the same machinery that ensures proper gap junction coupling (Baldwin et al., 2021). Cx43 is the most abundant gap junction protein in the brain and is almost exclusively expressed in astrocytes (Rash et al., 2001). Beyond its gap junction roles, Cx43 has cell-adhesion functions that drive astrocyte morphogenesis and migration (Kameritsch et al., 2012; Lagos-Cabré et al., 2019). Importantly, both tiling and gap junction-coupling are disrupted in many forms of brain injury and disease such as epilepsy and traumatic brain injury, indicating the importance of the proper establishment of astrocyte networks in brain homeostasis (Cheung et al., 2023; Clasadonte et al., 2017; Hösli et al., 2022; Oberheim et al., 2008).

Here, we investigated the role of mitochondrial dynamics in astrocyte morphogenesis, development, and function. We found that astrocyte mitochondria robustly increase in number and decrease in size to populate distal astrocyte processes during postnatal development, linking mitochondrial fission to astrocyte morphogenesis. Dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1), encoded by the gene *Dnm1l,* is a highly conserved GTPase that controls mitochondrial fission (Smirnova et al., 2001). Upon mitochondrial division, Drp1is recruited to the outer mitochondrial membrane to perform GTP hydrolysis-driven scission (Smirnova et al., 2001). Complete loss of Drp1 is embryonically lethal in mice (Wakabayashi et al., 2009b) and mutations in human *DNM1L* are linked to aggressive forms of developmental delay and childhood refractory epilepsy (Fahrner et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2021). Despite the causal link between Drp1 function and proper brain development, the role of Drp1 in astrocyte morphogenesis and development is unknown.

RESULTS

Mitochondria increase in number and decrease in size during cortical astrocyte morphogenesis

In mature astrocytes, mitochondria occupy the entirety of the astrocyte arbor (Lovatt et al., 2007) and this mitochondrial dispersion is remodeled in response to injury or disease (Gollihue and Norris, 2020; Motori et al., 2013). However, how the mitochondrial network is established and modified in developing astrocytes is not well understood. How do mitochondrial transport, fission, or fusion dynamics orchestrate the distribution of astrocytic mitochondria during morphogenesis? To address this question, we first investigated how the mitochondrial network transforms during astrocyte maturation to populate the arbors. To do so, we used Postnatal Astrocyte Labeling by Electroporation (PALE) (Stogsdill et al., 2017) to fluorescently label sparse populations of astrocytes in the developing mouse V1 visual cortex. We used Mito-EGFP floxed mice (Agarwal et al., 2017), in which EGFP is targeted to the inner mitochondrial membrane protein cytochrome c oxidase, to label all mitochondria in Cre-expressing cells. We electroporated two plasmids into the ventricles of P0 mice— a pCAG-Cre plasmid to turn on the mitochondrial GFP and an mCherry-CAAX plasmid to label astrocyte membranes. Then using confocal microscopy, we imaged and analyzed astrocytes from layers 2/3, 4, and 5 of the developing visual cortex. This approach allowed us to visualize and quantify astrocyte morphology and their mitochondrial content throughout postnatal cortical development using confocal microscopy and morphometric analyses (Fig. 1A).

We focused our studies on the first 3 weeks of postnatal astrocyte development (P4, P7, P14, P21, Fig. 1B), because cortical astrocytes become morphological mature during this period (Clavreul et al., 2019; Stogsdill et al., 2017). As expected, astrocytes undergo robust growth and elaboration, increasing their overall cell volume approximately 20-fold between P4 and P21 (Fig. 1C). Concurrently, we found that total astrocyte mitochondrial volume per cell increased 5-fold between P4 and P14 and then remained constant between P14 and P21 (Fig. 1D). We next quantified total mitochondrial volume per cell normalized to astrocyte cell volume, hereafter referred to as total mitochondrial occupancy. We found total mitochondrial occupancy decreased during development due to the robust increase in astrocyte cell volume (Fig. 1E). Importantly, astrocyte mitochondria increased in number and decreased in size on average during these first two postnatal weeks (Fig.

1F-G), indicating mitochondria division (fission) occurs concurrently with astrocyte
morphogenesis.

Mitochondria occupy fine astrocyte processes concurrently with morphogenesis *in vitro*

The recruitment of mitochondria to the distal edge of developing cell processes in other
compartmentalized cells is required for cytoskeletal remodeling and branch outgrowth (Cunniff et
al., 2016; Smith and Gallo, 2018). However, the spatiotemporal distribution of mitochondria
during astrocyte arborization and whether astrocyte mitochondria are present at the tips of their
developing peripheral processes is not known. The highly complex morphology of astrocytes *in*
vivo obstructs our ability to track and analyze individual astrocyte processes and their
mitochondria. Therefore, we investigated how mitochondria are distributed throughout the
astrocyte arbor during morphological growth using an *in vitro* astrocyte-neuron co-culture system.
Astrocytes cultured on top of neurons gain a complex morphology compared to astrocytes cultured
alone or with non-neuronal cells (Stogsdill et al., 2017). Thus, we used astrocyte-neuron co-
cultures to stimulate astrocyte ramification and track how mitochondrial number, size, and
distribution change during process elaboration. We isolated and transfected primary cortical rat
astrocytes with cytosolic GFP and MitoDsRed constructs to label astrocytes and their
mitochondria, respectively. Transfected astrocytes were then plated onto rat cortical neuron
monolayers for 4, 12, 24, and 48 hours (Fig. 2A-B).

We developed a Matlab-based image analysis program, Seg_Astro (https://github.com/Eroglu-
Lab/seg_cul_astro_app/tree/main) to quantify branch number, mitochondrial number, and
mitochondrial size across astrocyte arbors. Seg_Astro uses branch width and branchpoints to
determine the astrocyte branch hierarchy and assign four branch types: 1) soma and primary
processes, 2) secondary processes, 3) fine processes, and 4) the terminal tips of processes (Fig.
2C). Seg_Astro then bins mitochondria into these 4 types of branches and outputs branch number,
mitochondrial number, and mitochondrial size per branch type. We excluded mitochondrial
measurements from the soma and primary branches of astrocytes as mitochondria form dense
network in these compartments that cannot be distinguished as discrete mitochondria for number
and size quantification.

Seg_astro quantification showed a significant increase across all astrocyte branch types (primary,
secondary, fine, and terminal) between 4hr and 48hr in culture. Strikingly, astrocyte fine branches
had the largest increase in number, ~5-fold from 4 to 48 hours in culture (Fig 2D). Intriguingly,
mitochondria were present across all astrocyte branch types including fine and terminal, as early
as 4 hours and through 48 hours in co-culture (Fig. 2B Inset and 2E). These results show that
mitochondria occupy distal processes during astrocyte branch development in vitro and suggest
that mitochondrial recruitment to these distal processes may be required for sustained growth of
astrocyte arbors.

Our analyses also revealed astrocyte mitochondria numbers increased across all branch types
throughout time in culture, with fine branches housing the largest increase in number of
mitochondria from 4 to 48hrs in culture compared to other branch types (secondary and terminal)
(Fig. 2E). This unique fine branch mitochondrial number increase correlates with the high increase
in fine branch numbers (both ~5-fold) compared to all other branch types (Fig. 2D), indicating that
the robust increase in astrocyte fine branch number during astrocyte morphogenesis is linearly
scaled with increased occupancy of proportionally high mitochondrial numbers. While
mitochondrial numbers increased, average mitochondrial size decreased across all astrocyte branch
types throughout time in culture *except* in fine processes, which housed consistently small
mitochondria throughout morphogenesis (Fig. 2F). This result suggested that mitochondrial fission
may be required for mitochondrial recruitment to the growing fine/distal processes as there may
be a maximum size threshold for mitochondria to occupy fine astrocyte branches. These data echo
and expand upon our *in vivo* findings and implicate a role for mitochondrial division in distal
astrocyte process morphogenesis.

Drp1-induced mitochondrial fission is required for distal astrocyte process formation and
mitochondrial localization *in vitro*

Because cortical astrocyte morphogenesis occurred concurrently with extensive mitochondrial
fragmentation *in vivo* and *in vitro*, we next investigated the role of mitochondrial fission in
astrocyte development. We knocked down Drp1, the key GTPase regulator of mitochondrial
fission (Smirnova et al., 2001) (Fig. 3A-C), in astrocytes using a small hairpin RNA (shRNA)
targeting both the rat and mouse mRNAs (Sup. Fig. 2A-B) and assessed how it affected astrocyte

morphological complexity in co-culture with neurons. We co-transfected GFP-expressing shDrp1 or a scrambled control shRNA (shControl) and MitoDsRed into astrocytes and co-cultured them on neurons for 48 hours (Fig. 3C). shDrp1 astrocytes displayed robustly hyperfused and elongated mitochondria as we would expect when inhibiting mitochondrial fission (Fig. 3C). We used Seg_Astro to quantify mitochondrial and branch number per branch type in shControl and shDrp1 astrocytes (Fig. 3D). We found that Drp1 knockdown significantly decreased mitochondria numbers in all branch types measured- secondary, fine, and terminal astrocyte processes compared to control. The largest decrease in mitochondria numbers was in fine/distal branches, to less than 40% of shControl (Fig. 3E). Interestingly, shDrp1 astrocytes only had a significant loss of fine and terminal branch numbers compared to shControl, with the greatest decrease quantified in fine branch numbers (~40% of control, Fig. 2F). Therefore, both fine branch number and fine branch mitochondria number in shDrp1 astrocytes decreased to the same degree compared to control (Fig. 2E-F). Drp1 loss did not affect primary or secondary branch numbers, despite secondary branches also displaying a significant decrease in mitochondrial numbers in shDrp1 conditions (Fig. 3F). These data demonstrate that Drp1 is specifically required for fine and distal astrocyte process formation, likely through regulation mitochondrial size and recruitment to these fine processes.

To determine if Drp1 GTPase activity-driven scission is necessary for its role in astrocyte distal process morphogenesis, we implemented human Drp1 constructs that are resistant to shDrp1 (Sup. Fig. 2A); wild-type human Drp1 (hDrp1) and GTPase-dead point mutant human Drp1 (hDrp1-K38E) (Fig. 3B) (König et al., 2021). The co-transfection of shDrp1 with hDrp1 rescued astrocyte distal process formation compared to shDrp1 alone, whereas the GTPase-dead counterpart could not (Fig. 3G-K). Together these data reveal that Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fission is necessary for the formation of fine/distal astrocyte branches *in vitro*. These data also suggest that mitochondrial recruitment to distal processes is facilitated by mitochondrial fission, and this recruitment is required for astrocyte fine branch formation and/or stabilization during astrocyte morphological growth.

Drp1 controls mitochondrial occupancy and distal astrocyte process morphogenesis *in vivo*

Because Drp1 is required for distal astrocyte branch formation *in vitro,* we next tested whether Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fission (Fig. 4A) would play a similar role in cortical astrocyte

morphogenesis *in vivo.* To address this question, we used PALE to electroporate plasmids with

shDrp1 or shControl into the ventricle of P0 Mito-EGFP floxed mice. These plasmids also express

a membrane-tagged mCherry reporter, which we used to quantify astrocyte morphology. They

were delivered together with a Cre-expressing plasmid, which drives Mito-EGFP expression to

determine mitochondrial morphology at P21. We used Imaris to render 3D surfaces of astrocytes

and their mitochondria and measure overall astrocyte territory size, the volume of distal neuropil-

infiltrating astrocyte processes (Neuropil Infiltration Volume; NIV), and total mitochondrial

volume, size, and number in the whole astrocyte or the distal processes. Using these methods, we

investigated how loss of Drp1 in cortical astrocytes *in vivo* impacted astrocyte territory size, distal

process formation, and mitochondrial localization within the astrocyte arbors.

Importantly, Drp1 knock-down robustly modified astrocyte mitochondria, significantly increasing

average mitochondrial size and decreasing mitochondrial number compared to shControl

astrocytes (Sup. Fig. 2A-B). These data validated Drp1 loss inhibited mitochondrial fission in

astrocytes, resulting in longer and fewer mitochondria *in vivo,* as we had observed *in vitro* (Fig.

3C). Interestingly, shDrp1 had no effect on astrocyte territory size (Fig. 4B), which is determined

by larger astrocyte process outgrowth. However, Drp1 knockdown significantly decreased

mitochondrial localization to distal astrocyte regions, which was accompanied by a significant

decrease in the volume of distal neuropil-infiltrating astrocyte processes (Fig. 4C-D). These results

suggest that mitochondrial fission controls mitochondrial recruitment to distal/perisynaptic

astrocyte processes which is required for proper neuropil infiltration by astrocytes *in vivo*.

To determine if distal astrocyte process formation was specifically controlled by Drp1-induced

mitochondrial fission or a byproduct of modifying mitochondrial dynamics in general, we tested

how disrupting mitochondrial fusion by knocking down Mitofusin1 or inhibiting mitochondrial

transport by knocking down Miro1, modified astrocyte morphogenesis and mitochondrial

occupancy. Mitofusin1 (Mfn1) is a GTPase on the outer membrane of mitochondria that facilitates

fusion (Chen et al., 2003) (Fig. 4E). Miro1 is a GTPase adaptor that tethers the outer mitochondrial

membrane to cytoskeletal motors for mitochondrial transport (Fransson et al., 2006) (Fig. 4I). We

used PALE to electroporate shMfn1 or shMiro1 constructs (Sup. Fig. 1C-E). First, we found that

Mfn1 knock-down in astrocytes significantly increased mitochondrial number and decreased

average mitochondrial size compared to shControl, indicating inhibited mitochondrial fusion in

shMfn1 astrocytes (Sup. Fig. 2A, C). shMiro1 had no effect on astrocyte mitochondrial numbers

but had a slight yet significant increase in mitochondrial size (Sup. Fig. 2A, D). Interestingly,

silencing Mfn1 caused a reduction in astrocyte territory size (Fig. 4F) but did not alter the volume

of distal neuropil-infiltrating processes (Fig. 4G-H), indicating mitochondrial fusion may play a

role in astrocyte territory outgrowth independently of distal process complexity. Despite increased

mitochondrial numbers, significantly decreased mitochondrial size in Mfn1 KD astrocytes may

stunt astrocyte territory outgrowth. Miro1 knockdown did not impact astrocyte morphology (Fig.

4J-K) but caused an increase in the volume of mitochondria localized at the distal astrocyte

processes (Fig. 4L), suggesting Miro1-mediated mitochondrial trafficking may regulate retrograde

mitochondrial transport in developing astrocytes. Lastly, total mitochondrial content (total

mitochondrial volume normalized to total cell volume) was not altered in any of the genetic

manipulations of mitochondrial dynamics (Sup. Fig. 2 E-H). This result indicates that

dysfunctional mitochondrial fission, fusion, or transport impacts astrocyte morphogenesis

proportionally to changes in the mitochondrial network. Taken together, we found that

mitochondrial dynamics are key regulators of astrocyte morphology, likely through the control of

mitochondrial localization to nascent processes. Our findings show that astrocyte territory growth

(large primary/secondary processes) does not require Drp1 function. However, Drp1-induced

mitochondrial fission, but not mitochondrial fusion or transport, is necessary for the formation of

distal neuropil-infiltrating astrocyte processes *in vivo*.

263   Drp1 is required for astrocyte organization during postnatal cortical development

During our PALE studies investigating the role of Drp1 in cortical astrocyte morphogenesis, we

observed an unusual clustering phenotype in shDrp1-transfected astrocytes compared to shControl

at P21 (Fig. 5A). PALE time course experiments from P7-P21 revealed that shDrp1 astrocytes

clustered as early as P7, and the clustering effect was cumulative across timepoints, reaching a

nearly 4-fold increase in cluster area compared to control by P21 (Fig. 5B). To determine if this

phenotype was due to a prolonged or exuberant astrocyte proliferation, we used Click-IT EdU

chemistry to label proliferating cells in the cortex of PALE mice. shControl and shDrp1 plasmids

were electroporated at P0, then EdU was injected intraperitoneally every two days from P3 to P13

and brains were collected 15 hours after EdU injection from P4 through P14 (Sup Fig. 3A). We

did not find any evidence of increased or prolonged cell proliferation in shDrp1 transfected

astrocytes compared to control (Sup. Fig. 3B, C), indicating astrocyte clustering in shDrp1-
transfected astrocytes is not caused by over proliferation. These shDrp1 astrocyte clusters
remained into adulthood (in 2 and 6-month-old mice, Sup. Fig. 3D, E), demonstrating astrocyte
clustering due to Drp1 loss is not a transient developmental phenomenon that resolves after
maturation.

Cortical astrocytes organize themselves into a network of evenly dispersed, non-overlapping
domains, which is critical for proper brain function (Bushong et al., 2004). Because early postnatal
loss of Drp1 led to cortical astrocyte clustering, we next sought to understand whether these
astrocyte clusters displayed disrupted astrocyte organization. To do so, we measured the nearest
neighbor distance between Sox9+ astrocyte nuclei to investigate whether shDrp1 astrocyte clusters
consisted of adjacent astrocytes that maintained their evenly dispersed astrocyte organization
(equidistant nuclei), or disorganized astrocytes with inconsistent nuclei distances (Fig. 5C). First,
we found that Drp1 knockdown clusters contained ~4 nuclei on average compared to control
astrocytes which only contain 1 nucleus (Fig. 5D), confirming that shDrp1-transfected astrocyte
clusters comprised multiple astrocytes. Importantly, shDrp1 astrocyte clusters had a 3-fold
decrease in nearest neighbor distance compared to control astrocytes (Fig. 5E), indicating a
disorganization among cortical astrocytes when Drp1 is knocked down. These data show that loss
of Drp1 impairs the astrocyte organization in the cortex by inducing clustering, suggesting Drp1
may play a role in the establishment of astrocyte tiling during development. Taken together these
data demonstrate that Drp1-induced mitochondrial fission promotes both astrocyte morphogenesis
and organization during postnatal development in the mouse cortex.

Astrocyte organization in the cortex is mechanistically linked to the formation of a gap junction-
coupled astrocyte network (Baldwin et al., 2021). In particular, proper expression and localization
of Connexin 43 (Cx43) , the most abundant astrocytic gap junction protein (Dermietzel et al.,
1991), is required for the establishment of non-overlapping astrocyte territories (Baldwin et al.,
2021; Lagos-Cabré et al., 2019). Furthermore, Cx43 has non-gap junction functions such as cell
migration and cell-cell interactions, which control astrocyte migration and localization in the
cortex (Homkajorn et al., 2010). Because we observed astrocyte disorganization and clustering in
PALE shDrp1 astrocytes, we next wondered if loss of Drp1 caused concurrent disruptions of gap
junctions. To test this possibility, we quantified Cx43 via immunohistochemistry within shDrp1
and shControl PALE astrocytes. Strikingly, shDrp1 astrocyte clusters had a 5-fold decrease in

Cx43 with visible "holes" of Cx43 staining coinciding with the shDrp1 astrocyte clusters (Fig. 5F-

G). Interestingly, Cx43 levels in shDrp1 astrocytes that were not part of shDrp1 astrocyte clusters

or on the periphery of these clusters also exhibited significantly decreased Cx43 protein levels

(Fig. 5F-G), suggesting that inhibited mitochondrial fission leads to decreases of Cx43 protein in

both single and clustered shDrp1 astrocytes. This finding also indicates that astrocyte clustering

requires multiple mitochondrial fission-deficient astrocytes to be adjacent to occur, as single

shDrp1 astrocytes with decreased Cx43 expression did not cluster with the neighboring wild-type

astrocytes. These data reveal that Drp1 knockdown results in a profound reduction of Cx43 protein

expression in astrocytes. Importantly, this effect of shDrp1 is Cx43 specific as we did not observe

a change in the levels of Cx30, another gap junction protein which is also expressed by astrocytes

(Sup. Fig. 3F). These results also suggest that Drp1-mediated Cx43 expression and/or localization

could be linked to the observed clustering phenotype of Drp1 knockdown astrocytes.

318   Astrocyte-specific Drp1 knock out induces astrocyte reactivity

To understand the impact of Drp1 loss in all astrocytes during cortical development, we utilized a

conditional (floxed) allele of mouse Drp1 (Wakabayashi et al., 2009a) which we crossed with the

tamoxifen-inducible astrocytic Cre-driver mouse Aldh1l1-CreERT2 (Srinivasan et al., 2016). A

tdTomato-floxed reporter (Ai14) was also used to identify cells expressing Cre (Fig. 6A). To delete

Drp1 from developing astrocytes, tamoxifen was injected into the milk spot of pups at P1, P2, and

P3 and mice were collected for analyses at P21 (Fig. 6A). This approach resulted in a significant

reduction of Drp1 protein in Drp1 conditional knock-out (cKO) astrocytes compared to wild-type

control (cWT) by immunoblotting of isolated cortical astrocytes (Fig. 6B-C). Further, we found

Drp1 protein reduced within Cre-positive (tdTomato$^+$) astrocytes across all cortical layers by

immunohistochemistry, with an overall significant decrease in V1 cortex in Drp1 cKO astrocytes

compared to control (Sup. Fig. 4A-C). To assess the recombination efficiency in this model, we

stained for astrocyte-specific nuclear marker Sox9 and quantified the number of tdTomato$^+$/Sox9$^+$

double positive cells in proportion to the total number of Sox9+ cells in Drp1 cKO and cWT mice

(Sup. Fig. 4E). We found that Cre recombination was not significantly different between Drp1

cWT and cKO conditions, both were ~80% efficient in recombining cells (Sup. Fig. 4F). These

results show that Aldh1L1-CreERT2 with daily tamoxifen injections between P1-P3 can be used

to significantly reduce astrocytic Drp1 expression in mice *in vivo*.

Because we found that shDrp1 decreased astrocyte distal process formation and caused astrocyte

clustering in our sparse PALE manipulation model, we wondered how global loss of Drp1 in

astrocytes via conditional deletion would affect astrocyte development and cortical homeostasis.

We found an overall decrease in astrocyte density in the cortex by tdTomato$^+$ soma count that was

primarily driven by decreases in L1 and L6 astrocytes in Drp1 cKO mice compared to control (Fig.

6D-E). Whole cortical quantification of astrocyte-specific nuclear marker Sox9 also confirmed a

slight but significant decrease in overall astrocyte density (Sup. Fig. 4E, G). The decrease in

astrocyte density did not impact overall cortical thickness (Sup. Fig. 4D). Next, we quantified

tdTomato$^+$ signal by immunohistochemistry as a proxy for astrocyte coverage and found that loss

of Drp1 in cKO astrocytes significantly decreased their process coverage across the cortex

compared to cWT, with the greatest coverage loss found in L5 and L6 astrocytes (Fig. 6F). Given

that our astrocyte coverage analysis was normalized by astrocyte density, these data suggest this

significant reduction in cortical astrocyte coverage is driven by the loss of astrocyte processes

formation in Drp1 cKO.

Astrocytes modify their morphology and coverage of the cortex under disease and injury

conditions (Fiebig et al., 2019; Oberheim et al., 2008). Further, mature cortical astrocytes express

low GFAP protein under homeostatic conditions but increase their GFAP expression upon entering

a reactive state (Middeldorp and Hol, 2011). Importantly, astrocyte reactivity is accompanied by

shifts in both metabolic state and mitochondrial dynamics (Cassina et al., 2025; Rahman and Suk,

2020). Because we found morphology and coverage abnormalities in astrocyte-specific Drp1 cKO

mice, we next tested whether the astrocytes in these brains became reactive. To do so, we

performed immunohistochemistry for GFAP in Drp1 cKO and cWT cortices, and quantified

changes in their GFAP density and coverage (Fig. 6D). We found that Drp1 cKO mice had

significantly increased cortical GFAP expression predominantly in the mid layers (L2/3, L4, and

L5) compared to control (Fig. 6G-H). Next, we measured mRNA levels of six markers of astrocyte

reactivity in isolated astrocytes from Drp1 cKO and cWT mice. We found a significant

upregulation of four out of six markers- GFAP, vimentin, LCN2, and Cxcl10 (Fig. 6I-L), a trending

increase of Serpina3n, and no significant difference in Cp mRNA levels (Sup. 4H-I). These

findings indicate that mitochondrial fission maintains astrocyte homeostasis during development

and suggest that developmental conditional deletion of Drp1 in astrocytes induces cortical
astrocyte reactivity *in vivo*.

Astrocytic Drp1 loss disrupts astrocyte organization and dysregulates PAP protein
expression *in vivo*

To determine if conditional deletion of Drp1 in cortical astrocytes disrupted astrocyte organization
as we previously observed in our sparse PALE knockdown model (Fig. 5), we quantified both
nearest neighbor and multiple neighbor distances between tdTomato$^+$ somas in the cortices of cKO
and cWT mice (Fig. 7A). We found that in Drp1 cKO mice, astrocytes displayed significant
decreases in nearest neighbor distance (Fig. 7B), similar to the astrocyte clustering observed in our
sparse Drp1 KD model. Further, quantification of distances between multiple astrocyte neighbors
revealed that Drp1 cKO astrocytes have a greater variability in distances to their neighbors
compared to cWT astrocytes (Fig. 7C), indicating Drp1 cKO astrocytes have an unevenly
dispersed distribution of astrocyte somas compared to cWT. These data identify a disorganization
in cortical astrocytes in the absence of Drp1. Taken together, these results are in line with our
findings using shRNA constructs and PALE (Fig. 5) and show that loss of Drp1 in developing
astrocytes disrupts cortical astrocyte organization *in vivo*.

Given the robust disruption to astrocyte organization in Drp1 cKO mice, we next tested how Cx43
expression was impacted in Drp1 cKO astrocytes compared to cWT. To do so, we stained for Cx43
in the cortex and found that Drp1 cKO astrocytes displayed a heterogeneous and uneven
expression of Cx43, with some astrocytes apparently expressing almost no Cx43 while others
expressed wild-type or higher levels of the protein compared to the more even distribution of Cx43
in cWT cortices (Fig. 7D). Indeed, quantification of Cx43 signal showed that while there was no
change in average Cx43 expression between genotypes (Fig. 7E), there was significant increase in
the variance of Cx43 expression in Drp1 cKO astrocytes compared to cWT (Fig. 7F). We
quantified this heterogeneity by comparing the histogram of Cx43 expression per astrocyte and
found that Drp1 cKO astrocytes had a significantly altered distribution of Cx43 expression (Fig.
7G). This heterogeneous expression of cortical Cx43 protein *in situ* led us to investigate how Cx43
mRNA and protein expression may be disrupted in Drp1 cKO astrocytes. To do so, we
immunopurified astrocytes from the cortex and measured mRNA and protein levels of Cx43. Cx43

mRNA levels were unchanged in Drp1 cKO isolated astrocytes compared to cWT (Fig. 7H).

Interestingly, immunoblotting for Cx43 in isolated astrocytes demonstrated a robust decrease of

Cx43 full-length (FL) protein as well as its internally translated smaller isoforms in Drp1 cKO

astrocytes compared to cWT (Fig. 7I). Importantly, the trafficking of Cx43-FL to the cell

membrane to carry out its gap junction and cell-adhesion functions is regulated by its C-terminal

isoform, Cx43-20k (Smyth and Shaw, 2013). Both Cx43-FL and Cx43-20k protein levels were

significantly decreased in Drp1 cKO astrocytes compared to cWT (Fig. 7J-K). These findings

indicate that loss of Drp1 dysregulates Cx43 protein, but not mRNA, expression in astrocytes *in*

vivo.

Lastly, we tested how Drp1 loss impacts the abundance of other astrocyte membrane proteins

known to be localized to perisynaptic astrocyte processes (PAPs). To do so, we immunopurified

astrocytes from the cortices of cWT and cKO mice and measured protein levels of glutamate-

aspartate transporter (GLAST), glutamate transporter-1 (Glut1), and the inwardly rectifying

potassium channel Kir4.1 (Pajarillo et al., 2019; Takumi et al., 1995) by immunoblotting (Fig. 7L,

N, P). Intriguingly, all three PAP proteins were significantly decreased in isolated Drp1 cKO

astrocytes compared to control (Fig. 7M, O, Q), potentially due to the loss of distal astrocyte

processes that house these PAP proteins (Fig. 4C, Fig. 6F). These data indicate that mitochondrial

fission regulates PAP protein expression, including but not limited to Cx43, in developing

astrocytes. However, Drp1 cKO astrocytes lose Cx43 protein levels to a much greater degree

(reduced to <10% of cWT) than other PAP proteins (~70-50% of cWT), suggesting Cx43

homeostasis is more vulnerable to aberrant mitochondrial fission in astrocytes. Taken together,

these data suggest that Drp1-induced mitochondrial fission is required for proper PAP formation,

PAP protein expression, and the establishment of astrocyte organization in the cortex.

DISCUSSION

Astrocytes require a highly complex morphology to perform essential roles in brain development. They ramify into hundreds of thousands of fine processes enabling their functional interaction with synapses, neighboring astrocytes, and other brain cells. Distal mitochondria locally interact with the cytoskeleton to regulate branch morphology in compartmentalized cells such as neurons (Courchet et al., 2013; Smith and Gallo, 2018), but the roles of mitochondria in fine astrocyte processes are largely unknown. Mature astrocytes were historically believed to be predominantly glycolytic (Pellerin and Magistretti, 1994), not depending on mitochondrial respiration for their own survival (Supplie et al., 2017), and instead using their mitochondria to provide metabolic support for neurons (Pellerin et al., 1998). Indeed, astrocyte mitochondria have recently emerged as gatekeepers of several biological processes that maintain brain homeostasis including regulation of blood-brain barrier remodeling, neuroinflammation, and neurodegeneration (Göbel et al., 2019; Ignatenko et al., 2018; Motori et al., 2013; Popov et al., 2023). While these studies introduce astrocytic mitochondria as key regulators of brain function, the cell-intrinsic roles of mitochondria in astrocyte and brain development are not well understood.

Our findings elucidate a previously unknown role for mitochondrial fission in regulating distal astrocyte process morphogenesis and astrocyte organization in the developing mouse cortex. We show that astrocytes undergo robust mitochondrial division to predominantly occupy distal astrocyte processes during postnatal cortical development. Inhibiting mitochondrial fission by silencing Drp1, reduces mitochondrial occupancy of distal astrocyte processes and diminishes peripheral astrocyte branch formation specifically, without affecting overall astrocyte territory size or growth. Functionally, Drp1-induced mitochondrial fission is essential for the establishment of an organized astrocyte network and proper expression of PAP proteins including Cx43. Finally, global Drp1 loss in developing astrocytes induces cortical astrocyte reactivity, indicating that mitochondrial fission, distal mitochondrial localization, and astrocyte fine process formation are required for proper astrocyte development.

Several recent studies have elucidated astrocyte-neuron and astrocyte-astrocyte cell adhesion-based mechanisms that instruct astrocyte morphogenesis (Baldwin et al., 2021; Stogsdill et al., 2017; Takano et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2023; Zipursky et al., 2024). Curiously, loss of these cell-adhesions often concurrently disrupts both astrocyte territory formation and complexity of distal

astrocyte processes. Here we identify an organelle-driven mechanism that regulates peripheral

astrocyte process morphogenesis independently from the development of higher order astrocyte

processes and overall astrocyte size. The specificity of mitochondrial fission in regulating distal

astrocyte process development could be attributed to their actin-rich cytoskeletal make-up which

is distinct from larger processes. Primary astrocyte processes, which determine territory size,

contain mainly intermediate filaments and microtubules (Haseleu et al., 2013). In other cell types,

local mitochondrial ATP has been shown to fuel actin polymerization (Cunniff et al., 2016). Thus,

it is possible that mitochondrial fission facilitates the recruitment of mitochondria into actin-rich

distal astrocyte processes to supply local ATP required for actin polymerization during

morphogenesis. In the absence of mitochondrial fission, the mislocalization of mitochondria away

from peripheral, fine astrocyte branchlets could impair actin-cytoskeleton dynamics during

morphogenesis. Because these peripheral astrocyte processes are critical components of the

tripartite synapse, future work is needed to elucidate the role of astrocyte mitochondrial fission in

proper synapse formation and function.

Our study establishes the role of Drp1 and mitochondrial fission in astrocyte organization in the

cortex, and this disruption is likely accompanied by tiling defects. While the molecular

mechanisms that drive astrocyte domain formation and tiling remain largely unknown, we know

that proper organization of astrocyte networks is functionally necessary for brain development and

health. A recent study identified an astrocyte-astrocyte cell-adhesion molecule, HepaCAM, that

controls the establishment of non-overlapping astrocyte domains through cis interactions with

neighboring astrocytes and regulation of Cx43 localization (Baldwin et al., 2021). In our study,

both sparse knockdown and conditional deletion of Drp1 in astrocytes led to dysregulation of Cx43

protein expression and concurrent disruption of astrocyte organization in the cortex. These results

support previous findings that both Cx43 abundance and stability are critical for the proper

migration of astrocytes and even dispersion of the astrocyte network (Lagos-Cabré et al., 2019;

Wiencken-Barger et al., 2007), and reveal this process is regulated at least in part by astrocyte

mitochondrial fission during cortical development. Additionally, mitochondrial fission itself has

been shown regulate cell migration in immune cells, cancer cells, and neural progenitor cells (da

Silva et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2015; Paupe and Prudent, 2018). Thus, it is possible that Drp1 loss

could directly, or synergistically with Cx43 reduction, disrupt astrocyte migration and organization

in our model. Future work will need to investigate whether the relationship between Drp1, Cx43,

and astrocyte organization is direct or indirect, particularly because we found other PAP proteins
were also reduced in Drp1 cKO astrocytes. Lastly, while our study establishes mitochondrial
fission is necessary for proper Cx43 protein expression in astrocytes, functional gap junction
coupling studies will reveal whether Drp1 regulates astrocyte-network communication via gap
junctions.

How could Drp1-induced mitochondrial fission modulate Cx43 protein levels in astrocytes?
Previous studies in cardiac cells found that the C-terminus of Connexin 43 is internally translated
to generate a small 20kDa Cx43 isoform (Cx43-20kDa), which is required for the trafficking of
full-length Cx43 (Cx43-FL) to the cell membrane (Smyth and Shaw, 2013). Loss of Cx43-20kDa
leads to degradation of mistrafficked Cx-43-FL (Xiao et al., 2020). Importantly, Cx43-20kDa can
abandon its canonical Cx43-FL trafficking roles and instead employ a Drp1-independent, actin-
based mechanism to induce mitochondrial fission in conditions of oxidative stress and
mitochondrial elongation (Shimura et al., 2021). Therefore, it is plausible that Drp1 loss in
astrocytes redirects Cx43-20kDa away from its Cx43-FL trafficking role in an attempt to rescue
dysfunctional mitochondrial fission, resulting in the degradation of mistrafficked Cx43-FL.
Furthermore, Cx43-20kDa has been previously detected in astrocytes and overexpression of
astrocytic Cx43-20kDa promotes neuronal survival and recovery in a rat model of traumatic brain
injury (Ren et al., 2020). These studies, coupled with our findings, suggest the Cx43/Drp1 axis
may be critical for brain development, brain disease, and neurotherapeutics.

One of the existing dogmas of astrocyte biology is that astrocyte reactivity is often accompanied
by increased mitochondrial fragmentation and decreased oxidative phosphorylation (Cassina et al.,
2025; Motori et al., 2013; Rahman and Suk, 2020). In fact, inhibition of mitochondrial fission has
been studied as a therapeutic target for reducing astrocyte reactivity and neurotoxicity in models
of neurodegenerative disorders such as ALS and Huntington's disease (Gollihue and Norris, 2020;
Gu et al., 2025; Reddy, 2014). Intriguingly, our study demonstrates an opposite relationship
between mitochondrial fission and astrocyte reactivity during postnatal cortical development-
inhibition of mitochondrial fission in astrocytes upregulates multiple markers of astrocyte
reactivity. This finding indicates active mitochondrial fission is temporally necessary for
maintaining astrocyte homeostasis, specifically during brain development. Indeed, developing and
mature astrocytes have distinct metabolic profiles (Zehnder et al., 2021), thus it is plausible that
mitochondrial fission may have differential effects on astrocyte metabolism, mitochondrial

function, and astrocyte reactivity during astrocyte development, maturation, or aging. Lastly, while Drp1-null or neuron-specific conditional deletion of Drp1 during development is embryonically or neonatally lethal in mice (Ishihara et al., 2009; Wakabayashi et al., 2009b), our astrocyte-specific model of Drp1 loss did not affect mouse viability during the developmental timeframe of this study. Future investigations extending beyond the postnatal developmental window will reveal how astrocyte-specific Drp1 loss and astrocyte reactivity affect mouse behavior or physiology long-term.

Finally, this study has important implications for understanding the pathology of neurological diseases, particularly neurodevelopmental diseases with Drp1 dysfunction. Indeed, point mutations in human Drp1 cause encephalopathy due to defective mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission-1 (EMPF1), a devastating disorder that presents with microcephaly, developmental delay, refractory epilepsy, or lethal infantile encephalopathy (Fahrner et al., 2016; Lhuissier et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2021; Vanstone et al., 2016). While much research has focused on the disruption of excitation/inhibition (E/I) balance and neuronal pathogenesis of EMPF1 (Casillas-Espinosa et al., 2012; Ke et al., 2023), our results reveal how Drp1 dysfunction in astrocytes alone can fundamentally disrupt cortical development through astrocyte morphogenesis and organization deficits. Decreased distal astrocyte process development could contribute to diminished tripartite synapse formation (Stogsdill et al., 2017), subsequently disrupting the E/I balance. Furthermore, while loss of astrocyte tiling has been reported in models of epilepsy (Oberheim et al., 2008), it has been unclear whether the phenotype is causative or a consequence of disease. Our findings suggest endogenous loss of astrocytic Drp1 is sufficient to cause astrocyte reactivity, which is a hallmark of many neurological disorders, underscoring the translational importance of astrocyte mitochondrial fission in neuropathology. In future studies, it will be interesting to investigate whether astrocyte-specific Drp1 loss causes synaptic E/I imbalance deficits associated with human *DNM1L* mutations. In conclusion, we show a novel role of Drp1-induced mitochondrial fission in astrocyte peripheral process morphogenesis and in regulating the establishment of an organized astrocyte network, demonstrating astrocyte mitochondria are essential instructors of proper brain development.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig.1: Mitochondria increase in number and decrease in size during cortical astrocyte
morphogenesis *in vivo*. (A) Schematic of P̲ostnatal A̲strocyte L̲abeling by E̲lectroporation
(PALE). (B) Representative images of V1 astrocytes expressing mCherry-CAAX (magenta, top
panels) and their EGFP mitochondria (green, bottom panels) at P4, P7, P14, and P21. Scale bar:
10 μm. Super-plots for the quantification of (C) astrocyte cell volume, (D) total mitochondrial
volume per cell, (E) mitochondrial volume normalized to cell volume, (F) average mitochondrial
number per cell, and (G) average mitochondrial size per cell from P4-P21. N=3-4 male and female
mice/timepoint (large circled data points), n= 2-6 cells/mouse, 10-13 cells total/timepoint (small
gray data points). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Nested one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-
hoc test.

Fig. 2: Mitochondria occupy fine astrocyte processes concurrently with astrocyte
morphological arborization *in vitro*. (A) Schematic of astrocyte-neuron co-culture assay. (B)
Representative images of rat astrocytes transfected with EGFP (green, top panels) and MitoDsRed
(magenta, middle panels) from 4 to 48 hours in co-culture with cortical neurons. Inset (bottom
panels) of distal astrocyte processes (green) housing mitochondria (magenta) at the leading edge
of growing processes (white arrowheads) from 4 to 48 hours in culture. Scale bars: 20 μm. (C)
Overview of Seg_Astro image analysis pipeline. (D) Astrocyte secondary, fine/distal, and terminal
branch number at 4 vs. 48 hours in culture. n = 10-12 cells per timepoint from one experiment.
Unpaired two-tailed t-test. (E) Total astrocyte mitochondria number, and (F) average mitochondrial
size in secondary, fine/distal, and terminal astrocyte branches from 4 to 48 hours in culture. n =
10-12 cells per timepoint from one experiment. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. One-way
ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test.

Fig 3: Drp1-induced mitochondrial fission is required for fine astrocyte process formation
and mitochondrial localization *in vitro*. (A) Schematic of Drp1 in mitochondrial fission. (B)
Drp1 domain structure noting K38E mutation in the GTPase domain. (C) Representative images
of rat astrocytes transfected with shControl or shDrp1 (green) and MitoDsRed (magenta) (top
panels) co-cultured on neurons (unlabeled), with a MitoDsRed mask (lower panels) noting
hyperfused/elongated mitochondria in shDrp1 astrocytes (red arrowheads). Scale bars: 40 μm. (D)
Representative images of rat astrocytes transfected with shRNA targeting Drp1 (shDrp1) or a

scrambled control (shControl) (first column) co-cultured on neurons (unlabeled), and their

mitochondria (second column) across primary, secondary, fine, and terminal astrocyte process

types. Scale bar: 20μm. (E) Super-plotted quantification of average mitochondrial number in

secondary, fine, and terminal branches from shControl vs. shDrp1 astrocytes. (F) Quantification

of primary, secondary, fine, and terminal branch numbers from shControl and shDrp1 astrocytes.

Data are mean ± S.E.M. n = 4 independent experiments (large circles), 10

cells/condition/experiment (small gray dots). Nested t-test. (G) Representative images of rat

astrocytes transfected with shControl (green), shDrp1 (green), shDrp1 (green)+ hDrp1-YFP

(magenta), or shDrp1 (green)+ hDrp1-K38E-CFP (magenta). Scale bar: 40μm. (H) Quantification

of total primary, (I) secondary, (J) fine, and (K) terminal branch number in astrocytes across the 4

conditions from (G). Data are mean ± S.E.M. n = 3 independent experiments (large circles), 10

cells/condition/experiment (small gray dots). Nested One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test.

Fig 4: Mitochondrial fission, not fusion nor transport, is required for distal astrocyte process

morphogenesis *in vivo*. (A, E, I) Schematic of mitochondrial fission, fusion, and transport

mediated by Drp1, Mfn1, and Miro1, respectively. (B-D, F-H, J-L) Representative images of V1

P21 astrocytes expressing mCherry-CAAX-tagged shRNA (magenta) against Drp1 (shDrp1),

Mfn1 (shMfn1), Miro1 (shMiro1), or scrambled control (shControl) and their EGFP mitochondria

(green). Scale bar, 10μm. (B, F, J) Astrocyte territory (cyan) and quantification, (D, G, K) NIV

reconstructions (cyan) and quantification, and (D, H, L) distal mitochondrial volume (green within

cyan ROI) and quantification in shControl, shDrp1, shMfn1, and shMiro1 conditions, respectively.

N= 4-5 male and female mice/condition (large circles), n=2-4 cells/mouse, 10-15 cells

total/condition (small gray dots). Data are mean ± S.E.M. Nested t-test.

Fig 5: Drp1 controls cortical astrocyte organization during postnatal development. (A)

Representative images of V1 mouse cortices with astrocytes expressing mCherry-CAAX-tagged

shRNA (magenta) against Drp1 (shDrp1) or scrambled control (shControl) and their EGFP

mitochondria (green) at P7, P14, and P21. Scale bar, 200μm. (B) Quantification of astrocyte

individual or cluster area per field of view at P7, P14, P21. N=3-4 male and female mice/condition

(big circles), 3 cortical sections/mouse (small gray dots). Data points are mean ± S.E.M. Nested t-

test. (C) Representative images of shControl (top) and shDrp1 (bottom) P21 astrocytes expressing

mCherry-CAAX shRNAs (magenta) and stained with Sox9 (green). Yellow arrows note Sox9+

astrocytic nuclei within mCherry+ astrocytes. Scale bar, 40μm. (D) Quantification of number of

Sox9+ astrocyte nuclei and (E) nearest neighbor distance between astrocytes per astrocyte cluster in P21 shControl and shDrp1 astrocytes. N=4-5 male and female mice/condition (large circles), n=2-6 cells or clusters/condition, 20 cells or clusters total/condition (small gray dots). Data are mean ± S.E.M. Nested t-test. (F) Representative images of V1 astrocytes expressing mCherry-CAAX (magenta) and shControl (top row) or shDrp1 (bottom two rows, shDrp1 clusters or single astrocytes) stained with Cx43 (cyan) at P21. Scale bars, 20µm. (G) Quantification of Connexin43 expression within mCherry-CAAX astrocytes. N=6 male and female animals/condition (large circles), n=1-8 cells or clusters/animal, 10-25 cells total/condition (small gray dots). Data are mean ± S.E.M. Nested one-way ANOVA.

Fig 6: Astrocyte-specific Drp1 cKO induces cortical astrocyte reactivity.

(A) Overview of astrocyte-specific conditional Drp1 knockout mouse breeding strategy and timeline of tamoxifen administration. (B) Representative immunoblot for Drp1 from immuno-purified astrocytes. COX4, a mitochondrial protein, serves as a loading control. (C) Quantification of Drp1 protein in isolated cKO and cWT astrocytes. N=3 mice/condition. Data are mean ± S.E.M. Unpaired, two-tailed t-test. (D) Representative images of Drp1 cWT and cKO V1 cortices at P21 with tdTomato$^+$ astrocytes (magenta, first column), stained for GFAP (green, second column), and merge (third column). Scale bar, 100µm. Zoom merge (last column). Scale bar, 20µm. (E) Quantification of cortical tdTomato$^+$ soma count of astrocyte density, (F) tdTomato$^+$ astrocyte coverage normalized to astrocyte density, (G) GFAP soma count, and (H) GFAP coverage normalized to astrocyte density per layer in Drp1 cKO compared to cWT. N=4 male and female mice/condition, 2-3 cortical images/mouse. Data are mean ± S.E.M. Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test. Quantification of (I) GFAP, (J) Vimentin, (K) LCN2, and (L) Cxcl10 mRNA from isolated Drp1 cKO and cWT astrocytes. N=2-6 male and female mice/condition. Data are mean ± S.E.M. Unpaired two-tailed t-test.

Fig 7: Astrocytic Drp1 loss dysregulates astrocyte organization and PAP protein expression in the mouse cortex. (A) Diagram of astrocyte organization analysis by nearest and multiple neighbor distance quantification. (B) Violin plot of nearest neighbor average distance between tdTomato$^+$ somas across V1 cortex of Drp1 cWT and cKO mice. N=4 male and female mice/condition (large circles), ~200 distances per image, 2-3 cortical images/mouse. Data are mean ± S.E.M. Nested t-test. (C) Distribution of average distances to multiple (9) neighbors. N=4

male and female mice/condition, ~200 distances per image, 2-3 images/mouse. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. (D) Representative images of Drp1 cWT and cKO V1 cortices with tdTomato[+] astrocytes (magenta) stained with Cx43 (cyan) at P21. Scale bar, 100μm. Zoom merge (last column). Scale bar, 20μm. Quantification of (E) individual (F) variance, and (G) distribution of Cx43 mean gray value per astrocyte in Drp1 cKO and cWT mice. N=4 male and female mice/condition, n= 15-30 mid-layer astrocytes (L2/3, L4, L5) per animal from 2-3 section images/animal. Nested t-test for (E), Unpaired, two-tailed t-test for (F), and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for (G). (H) Quantification of Cx43 mRNA from isolated cKO and cWT astrocytes. N=4-6 mice/condition. Data are mean ± S.E.M. Unpaired two-tailed t-test. (I) Representative immunoblot of isolated astrocytes for Cx43 showing its full-length form at 40kDa and its 20kDa isoform using COX4 as loading control. (J) Quantification of full-length Cx43 protein, (K) Cx43-20kDa isoform protein in isolated cKO and cWT astrocytes. N=3 mice/condition. Data are mean ± S.E.M. Unpaired, two-tailed t-test. Representative immunoblots for (L) GLAST, (N) Glut1, and (P) Kir4.1 from immuno-purified astrocytes from Drp1 cWT and cKO mice. COX4, a mitochondrial protein, serves as a loading control. Quantification of (M) GLAST, (O) Glut1, and (Q) Kir4.1 protein in isolated cKO and cWT astrocytes. N=3-4 mice/condition. Data are mean ± S.E.M. Unpaired, two-tailed t-test.

Supplemental Figure 1: Validation of shRNA tools

(A) Schematic of shDrp1 sequence aligned to the mouse, rat, and human genome. (B) Drp1 relative mRNA expression in control and shDrp1 transfected mouse 3T3 cells. (C) Schematic of shMiro1 and shMfn1 sequences aligned to the mouse genome. (D) Miro1 and (E) Mfn1 relative mRNA expression in control and shDrp1 transfected mouse 3T3 cells. Data are mean ±S.E.M. n = 3 independent experiments. Unpaired two-tailed t-test.

Supplemental Figure 2: Genetic manipulation of mitochondrial dynamics in vivo alters mitochondria number and size

(A) Representative images (left column) and Imaris reconstructions (right column) of V1 P21 astrocyte mitochondria expressing EGFP (green) and shRNA against Drp1 (shDrp1), Mfn1 (shMfn1), Miro1, (shMiro1) or scrambled control (shControl). Scale bar, 10μm. **(B-D)** Quantification of astrocyte average mitochondrial number (left graphs) and average mitochondrial size (right graphs) in shDrp1, shMfn1, and shMiro1 conditions, respectively. N=4-5 male and

female mice/condition (large circles), n=2-4 cells/mouse, 10-15 cells total/condition (small gray dots). Data are mean ± S.E.M. Nested t-test. (E) Representative images of Imaris reconstructed V1 P21 astrocytes expressing mCherry-CAAX-tagged shRNA (magenta) against Drp1 (shDrp1), Mfn1 (shMfn1), Miro1, (shMiro1) or scrambled control (shControl) and their EGFP mitochondria (green). Scale bar, 10µm. Quantification of total mitochondrial volume normalized to cell volume in (F) shDrp1, (G) shMfn1, and (H) shMiro1 conditions, respectively. N=4-5 male and female mice/condition (large circles), n=2-4 cells/mouse, 10-15 cells total/condition (small gray dots). Data are mean ± S.E.M. Nested t-test.

Supplemental Figure 3: Characterization of shDrp1-induced astrocyte clustering

(A) Overview of PALE combined with EdU labeling. (B) Representative images of V1 cortices with astrocytes expressing mCherry-CAAX shRNA (red) against Drp1 (shDrp1) or scrambled control (shControl) and EdU labeling (magenta) at P4 and P14. Scale bar, 200µm. (C) Quantification of Edu+ cells in shControl or shDrp1 cortices at P4, P8, P10, P12, and P14. N=3-4 male and female mice/condition, 3 cortical section images/mouse. Data points are timepoint averages ± S.E.M. Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test. (D) Representative images of V1 cortices with astrocytes expressing mCherry-CAAX shRNA (magenta) against Drp1 (shDrp1) or scrambled control (shControl) at 2 months and 6 months. Scale bar, 200µm. (E) Quantification of astrocyte cell or cluster area per field of view at 2 and 6 months. N=3 male and female mice/condition (large circles), 3 cortical section images/mouse (small gray dots). Data points are mean ± S.E.M. Nested t-test. (F) Representative images of V1 astrocytes from 3 mice per condition expressing mCherry-CAAX and shControl (top two rows) and shDrp1 (bottom two rows) stained with an antibody against Cx30 (cyan) at P21. Scale bars, 20µm.

Supplemental Figure 4: Astrocyte-specific Drp1 cKO mouse characterization

(A) Representative images of Drp1 cWT and cKO V1 cortices with tdTomato[+] astrocytes (magenta) stained with Drp1 (yellow) and showing Drp1 masked by tdTomato (last column) at P21. Scale Bar, 40µm. (B-C) Quantification of Drp1 mean gray value within tdTomato area normalized to astrocyte density per cortical layer (B) and across the whole cortex (C). N=3-4 male and female mice/condition, 2-3 cortical images/mouse. Data points are mean ± S.E.M. Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test for B, and Unpaired, two-tailed t-test for C. (D)

Quantification of cortical thickness in Drp1 cKO compared to cWT mice. N=4 male and female
mice/condition (large circles), n=2-3 cortical images/mouse (small gray dots). Data are mean ±
S.E.M. Unpaired, two-tailed t-test. (E) Representative images of Drp1 cWT and cKO V1 cortices
with tdTomato$^+$ astrocytes (magenta) stained with Sox9 (green) at P21. Scale Bar, 100μm.
Quantification of (F) percent Sox9 and tdTomato double positive astrocytes out of total Sox 9
positive nuclei, and (G) total Sox 9 positive nuclei per cortical field of view in Drp1 cWT and cKO
mice. N= 3 male and female mice/condition (large circles), n=3 cortical images/mouse (small gray
dots). Data points are mean ± S.E.M. Nested t-test. Quantification of (H) Serpina3n and (I) Cp
mRNA from immuno-purified astrocytes from Drp1 cWT and cKO mice. N=6 male and female
mice/condition. Data are mean ± S.E.M. Unpaired two-tailed t-test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Resource availability

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by Cagla Eroglu (cagla.eroglu@duke.edu).

Materials availability

The reagents generated in this study are available without restriction.

Data and code availability

The raw data and analyses generated during this study can be accessed on Zenodo at DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15328429. The Seg_Astro code can be found at https://zenodo.org/records/15311182. Any additional information can be requested from the lead contact.

Animal studies

All mice and rats were used in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and with oversight by the Duke Division of Laboratory Animal Resources (IACUC Protocol Numbers A117-20-05 and A103-23-04). All animals were housed under typical 12-hour light/dark cycles. Aldh1l1-Cre/ERT2 BAC transgenic (RRID:IMSR_JAX:029655) and ROSA-td-Tomato Ai14 (RTM) (RRID:IMSR_JAX:007914) lines were obtained through Jackson Laboratory. Wild-type CD1 mice (RRID: IMSR_CRL:022) used for PALE and CD1 (Sprague-Dawley) IGS rats (RRID: IMSR_CRL:400) used for primary culture preps were purchased from Charles River Laboratories. Rosa26-lsl-mito-EGFP (RRID:IMSR_JAX:021429) were previously described (Agarwal et al., 2017). Drp1 conditional knockout mice were previously described and were a gift from the Sesaki Laboratory (Wakabayashi et al., 2009a). Mice and rats of both sexes were included in all experiments. Sex was not an influence on any of the experimental outcomes.

Primary Cultures

Cortical Neuron Isolation and Culture Purified (glia-free) rat cortical neurons were prepared as described previously (Stogsdill et al., 2017). In brief, cortices from P1 rat pups of both sexes

(Sprague Dawley, Charles River Laboratories, SD-001) were micro-dissected, digested in papain

(~7.5 units/mL) at 33°C for 45 minutes, triturated in low and high ovomucoid solutions,

resuspended in panning buffer (DPBS (Gibco 14287) supplemented with BSA and insulin) and

passed through a 20 μm mesh filter (Elko Filtering 03-20/14). Filtered cells were incubated on

negative panning dishes coated with Bandeiraea Simplicifolia Lectin 1 (x2), followed by goat anti-

mouse IgG+IgM (H+L) (RRID: AB_2338451), and goat anti-rat IgG+IgM (H+L)

(RRID:AB_2338094) antibodies, then incubated on positive panning dishes coated with mouse

anti-L1 (RRID:AB_528349) to bind cortical neurons. Adherent cells were collected by forceful

pipetting with a P1000 pipette. Isolated neurons were pelleted (11 min at 200 g) and resuspended

in serum-free neuron growth media (NGM; Neurobasal, B27 supplement, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 100

U/mL Pen/Strep, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.2 μg/mL Forskolin, 50 ng/mL BDNF, and 10 ng/mL

CNTF). 100,000 neurons were plated onto 12 mm glass coverslips coated with 10 μg/mL poly-D-

lysine (PDL, Sigma P6407) and 2 μg/mL laminin and incubated at 37°C in 10% CO_2. On day in-

vitro (DIV) 2, half of the media was replaced with NGM Plus (Neurobasal Plus, B27 Plus, 100

U/mL Pen/Strep, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4.2 μg/mL Forskolin, 50 ng/mL, BDNF, and 10 ng/mL

CNTF) and AraC (10 μM, Sigma, C1768) was added to stop the growth of proliferating

contaminating cells. On DIV 3, all of the media was replaced with NGM Plus. Neurons were fed

on DIV 6 and DIV 9 by replacing half of the media with NGM Plus. Detailed protocol can be

found at (https://www.protocols.io/view/glia-free-cortical-neuron-culture-36wgq3r35lk5/v1)

Cortical Astrocyte Isolation and Culture Rat cortical astrocytes were prepared as described

previously (Stogsdill et al., 2017). Briefly, P1 rat cortices from both sexes were micro-dissected,

papain digested, triturated in low and high ovomucoid solutions, and resuspended in astrocyte

growth media (AGM: DMEM (Gibco 11960), 10% FBS, 10 μM, hydrocortisone, 100 U/mL

Pen/Strep, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 5 μg/mL Insulin, 1 mM Na Pyruvate, 5 μg/mL N-Acetyl-L-

cysteine). Between 15-20 million cells were plated on 75 mm2 flasks (non-ventilated cap) coated

with poly-D-lysine and incubated at 37°C in 10% CO_2. On DIV 3, removal of non-astrocyte cells

was performed by forcefully shaking closed flasks by hand for 10-15 seconds until only an

adherent monolayer of astrocytes remained. AraC was added to the media from DIV 5 to DIV 7 to

eliminate contaminating fibroblasts. On DIV 7, astrocytes were trypsinized (0.05% Trypsin-

EDTA) and plated into 12-well or 6-well dishes. On DIV 8, cultured rat astrocytes were transfected

with shRNA and/or expression plasmids using Lipofectamine LTX with Plus Reagent (Thermo

Scientific, 15338100) per the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 1 μg (12-well) or 2 μg (6-well) total

DNA was diluted in Opti-MEM containing Plus Reagent, mixed with Opti-MEM containing LTX

(1:2 DNA to LTX) and incubated for 30 minutes. The transfection solution was added to astrocyte

cultures and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. On DIV 10, astrocytes were trypsinized, resuspended

in NGM plus, plated (20,000 cells per well) onto DIV 10 neurons, and co-cultured for 48 hours.

Detailed protocol can be found at (https://www.protocols.io/view/astrocyte-isolation-and-acm-

production-261gedp57v47/v1).

Cell Lines

765 *3T3* 3T3 cells (RRID:CVCL_0594) used for shRNA validation experiments were cultured in

766 DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL Pen/Strep, 2 mM L-Glutamine,

767 and 1 mM sodium pyruvate. Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2 and passaged every 2-3

768 days.

Plasmids

shRNA plasmids pLKO.1 puro plasmids containing shRNA against mouse/rat *Drp1*

(TRCN0000321170; CTATAATGCATGCACTATTTA) was generated in this study. shRNA

sequence was obtained from RNAi Consortium (TRC) via Dharmacon. A scrambled shRNA

sequence was generated (GTTCTAAGTTCCGTGTTCAGG) and cloned into the pLKO.1 TRC

cloning vector (Moffat et al., 2006) according to Addgene protocols

(https://www.addgene.org/protocols/plko/). To generate pLKO.1 shRNA plasmids that express

EGFP, CAG-EGFP was removed from pLenLox-shNL1-CAG-EGFP (Chih et al., 2006) and

inserted between Kpn1 and Spel sites in pLKO.1 Puro, replacing the puromycin resistance gene in

pLKO.1. Final constructs generated were pLKO.1-scrambled-GFP (RRID: Addgene_228736) and

pLKO.1-shDrp1-GFP (RRID: Addgene_228737).

PiggyBac plasmids pGLAST-PBase was a gift from Dr. Joseph Loturco (Chen and LoTurco, 2012).

shRNA sequences against mouse/rat *Miro1* (TRCN0000330651;

TGGACTGTGCTTCGACGATTT), *Mfn1* (TRCN0000081400;

GCAAGATTACAGGAGTTTCAA), and *Drp1* (see above *shRNA plasmids*) were obtained from

RNAi Consortium (TRC) via Dharmacon. A scrambled shRNA sequence was generated (see above

shRNA plasmids). To insert the hU6 promoter and shRNA in pPBCAG-mCherry-CAAX a DNA

fragment containing hU6 and shRNA was amplified from pLKO.1-shRNA using Phusion High-

Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) with primers that introduced SpeI restriction sites (Forward

Primer: GGACTAGTCAGGCCCGAAGGAATAGAAG;   Reverse   Primer:

GGACTAGTGCCAAAGTGGATCTCTGCTG). PCR products were purified, digested with SpeI,

and ligated into pPBCAG-mCherry-CAAX at the SpeI restriction site. An analytical digest with

EcoRI followed by sequencing was used to confirm the orientation of the inserted DNA fragment.

Final plasmids generated were pPB-scrambled-mCherry-CAAX (RRID: Addgene_228738), pPB-

sDrp1-mCherry-CAAX (RRID: Addgene_228739), pPB-shMiro1-mCherry-CAAX (RRID:

Addgene_228741), and pPB-shMfn1-mCherry-CAAX (RRID: Addgene_228740).

Other plasmids hDrp1-YFP and hDrp1-K38E-CFP were gifts from Heidi McBride (König et al.,

2021) . Mito-DsRed was acquired from Addgene (RRID: Addgene_55838).

Biochemical assays

mRNA extraction and cDNA preparation Cells stored in TRIzol (#15596026; Invitrogen) were

brought to room temperature and resuspended in a final volume of 1 ml of TRIzol. 200 μl of

chloroform was added to each sample and mixed thoroughly. Samples were centrifuged at 12,000

g for 15 min at 4°C for phase separation and the clear aqueous phase was collected. 2 μl of

GlycoBlue Coprecipitant (15 mg/ml, #AM9515; Invitrogen) and 500 μl of isopropanol were added

to each sample, centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, precipitating RNA as a blue pellet. The

RNA pellet was rinsed in 75% ethanol, air-dried, and resuspended in 20 μl of nuclease-free water.

mRNA concentration in each sample was quantified via Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit (#Q32852;

Invitrogen). RNA samples were then diluted with nuclease-free water to match concentrations

across all samples. cDNA libraries were then generated by incubating the samples with qScript

cDNA SuperMix (#101414-102; VWR) and nuclease-free water for 5 min at 25°C, 30 min at 42°C,

and 5 min at 85°C. The resulting cDNA was then diluted appropriately with nuclease-free water

and stored at −80°C (https://www.protocols.io/view/mrna-extraction-and-cdna-preparation-

bp2l623n5gqe/v1) .

Real-time qPCR cDNA samples were plated in duplicate on a 96-well qPCR plate and incubated

with Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (#4385616; Applied Biosystems), nuclease-free water, and

the forward and reverse primers of interest at a ratio of 5 μl SYBR: 3 μl water: 0.5 μl forward

primer: 0.5 μl reverse primer: 1 μl sample. Each sample was plated twice to ensure technical

replicates. A no-cDNA sample (water with primers and Master Mix) served as a negative control.

Cycle threshold values were collected for each well and normalized to PPIA as a housekeeping

gene. (https://www.protocols.io/view/real-time-qpcr-j8nlk8mexl5r/v1). The sequences of forward

(F) and reverse (R) primers used (5′→ 3′) are:

Drp1: (F) TTACGGTTCCCTAAACTTCACG and (R) GTCACGGGCAACCTTTTACGA

Miro1: (F) TGGGCAGCACTGATAGAATAGA and (R) GCAAAGACCGTAGCACCAAAG

Mfn1: (F) CCTACTGCTCCTTCTAACCCA and (R) AGGGACGCCAATCCTGTGA

Cx43: (F) ACAGCGGTTGAGTCAGCTTG and (R) GAGAGATGGGGAAGGACTTGT

GFAP: (F) GGGGCAAAAGCACCAAAGAAG and (R) GGGACAACTTGTATTGTGAGCC

Vimentin: (F) CGTCCACACGCACCTACAG and (R) GGGGGATGAGGAATAGAGGCT

PPIA: (F) GAGCTGTTTGCAGACAAAGTTC and (R) CCCTGGCACATGAATCCTGG

LCN2: (F) TGGCCCTGAGTGTCATGTG and (R) CTCTTGTAGCTCATAGATGGTGC

Cxcl10: (F) CCAAGTGCTGCCGTCATTTTC and (R) GGCTCGCAGGGATGATTTCAA

Serpina3: (F) ATTTGTCCCAATGTCTGCGAA and (R) TGGCTATCTTGGCTATAAGGGG

Cp: (F) CTTAGCCTTGGCAAGAGATAAGC and (R) GGCCTAAAAACCCTAGCCAGG

Protein extraction and western blotting Protein was extracted from cultured cells using RIPA lysis

buffer (ThermoFisher, 89900). Cells were washed twice with ice-cold DPBS and incubated on ice

in RIPA buffer for 5 minutes with occasional agitation. Cell lysates were collected, vortexed

briefly, and centrifuged at 4°C at high speed for 10 minutes to pellet non-solubilized material. The

supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C. To collect protein from astrocytes from Drp1 *cWT*

and *cKO* mice at P21, astrocytes were isolated following a modified version of the Magnetic

Activated Cell Sorting (MACS) protocol previously described (Holt et al., 2019). In brief, mice

were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg tribromoethanol (avertin) and perfused with TBS/Heparin,

cortices rapidly dissected, digested in papain (~7.5 units/mL) at 33°C for 45 minutes with pipette

trituration every 15 minutes. Then homogenates were resuspended in Magnetic Activated Cell

Sorting (MACS) buffer (0.002M EDTA, 0.2% milk peptone, 0.01M HEPES pH 7, 0.5% Glucose,

1X HBSS), and passed through a CellTrics 30 μm filter (Sysmex, 04-004-2326). Cortical cells

were then cleared from microglia by incubating with anti-CD11b human/mouse magnetic

microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-093-634) for 10 minutes at 4°C with rotation and passed through

an LS separation column (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-042-401) inserted into a Quadro MACS Multi

Stand magnetic stand (Miltenyi Biotec) followed by 3 washes with MACS buffer. Flowthrough

was then spun down and resuspended in MACS buffer and incubated with blocking buffer and

anti-ACSA2 mouse magnetic microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-097-678, RRID: AB_2894998)

for 30 minutes at 4°C with rotation to isolate astrocytes. Cell suspension was again passed through

a separation column inserted into a magnetic stand, rinsed 3 times with MACS buffer and column

retentate (ACSA2+ population, astrocytes) was pelleted. Supernatant was discarded and astrocyte

pellet was resuspended in RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitors (Roche, 4693132001) and

incubated with rotation at 4°C for 20 minutes. Lysate was centrifuged at max speed at 4°C for 10

minutes, supernatant collected and stored at −80°C.

Pierce Micro BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher, 23235) was used to determine protein

concentration, and lysates were subjected to western blot analysis and quantification using a

SimpleWestern Jess (ProteinSimple) automated immunoassay system with a 12-230kDa

Chemiluminescence Separation module (SM-W001) and associated manufacturer's protocol.

Primary antibodies used were: anti-Drp1 (Rabbit,1:10, RRID: AB_2895215), Connexin43 (Rabbit,

1:10, RRID:AB_2294590), COX4 (Rabbit, 1:10, RRID:AB_443304), GLAST (Rabbit, 1:150,

RRID:AB_2190597), GLUT1 (Rabbit, 1:30, RRID:AB_2809254), and Kir4.1 (Rabbit, 1:10,

RRID:AB_2040120).

Immunocytochemistry

Astrocyte-neuron co-cultures on glass coverslips were fixed on DIV 12 with warm 4% PFA for 7

minutes, washed 3 times with PBS, blocked in a blocking buffer containing 50% normal goat

serum (NGS) and 0.4% Triton-X 100 for 30 minutes, and washed in PBS. Samples were then

incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer containing 10% NGS.

Primary antibodies used were: anti-GFP (Chicken, 1:1000, RRID:AB_10000240) and anti-RFP

(Rabbit, 1:1000, RRID:AB_2209751). Cells were then washed with PBS, incubated in Alexa-

Fluor conjugated secondary antibodies (Ck 594 RRID:AB_2534099; Rb 594, RRID:AB_2534079;

Ck 488 RRID:AB_2534096; Rb 488, RRID:AB_2925776; Rb 405, RRID:AB_2890548; Ms IgG1

647, RRID:AB_2535809) for 2 hours at room temperature, and washed again in PBS. Coverslips

were mounted onto glass slides with Vectashield mounting media containing DAPI (Vector Labs,

H-1200-10), sealed with nail polish, and imaged on a BZ-X800 microscope (Keyence) with a

Nikon S Plan Fluor ELWD ADM 40XC/0.60NA dry/oil objective and a 2/3-inch, 2.83 million

pixel Peltier-cooled monochrome CCD (colorized with LC filter) camera, supporter by the BZ-

X800 analyzer software. Images of astrocytes were acquired in red, green, and/or DAPI channels

using a CCD camera. Astrocytes that contained a single nucleus as revealed by DAPI stain,

strongly expressed fluorescent markers, and did not overlap with other labeled astrocytes were

selected for imaging (https://www.protocols.io/view/immunocytochemistry-14egn2556g5d/v1).

Astrocyte branch number and mitochondrial localization were analyzed using Seg_Astro

MATLAB (RRID: SCR_001622, version R2022b, http://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab/)

plugin. To quantify branch and mitochondrial number and size across the astrocyte arbor,

Seg_Astro (https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/seg_cul_astro_app/tree/main) first detects the

astrocyte as well as the mitochondria by identifying locally significant regions based on order

statistics(Wang et al., 2020). Specifically, for the detection of the astrocyte, due to the nonuniform

intensity inside the cell, the initial detection contained many fragments. We further refined the

detection by searching for the globally optimal linkage, which is based on the graph design that

each node represents a fragment and the edge weight between nodes represents the mean intensity

of the brightest path between each pair of fragments. Then, the optimal linkage was obtained by

finding the min-spanning tree of the graph. Once the astrocyte is detected, Seg_Astro then uses

branch width and branchpoints to determine the astrocyte branch hierarchy and assign four types

of astrocyte branches per cell: 1) soma and primary processes, 2) secondary processes, 3) fine

processes, and 4) the terminal tips of processes (Fig. 2C). Seg_Astro then bins mitochondria into

these 4 branch types and outputs branch number, mitochondrial number, and mitochondrial size

per branch type. Importantly, we excluded mitochondrial measurements from the soma and

primary branches of astrocytes as mitochondria form a dense network in these compartments that

cannot be distinguished as discrete mitochondria for number and size quantification. Image

acquisition and analysis were performed blinded to experimental conditions. Each independent

experiment consisted of primary neurons and astrocytes isolated from a unique litter of wild-type

rats of mixed sexes. The exact number of independent experiments and the exact number of cells
analyzed are indicated in the figure legend for each experiment. Branch and mitochondrial number
per conditions were statistically analyzed using nested t-test or nested one-way ANOVA on
Graphpad Prism 9.

Postnatal Astrocyte Labeling by Electroporation (PALE)

Late P0/early P1 mice were sedated by hypothermia until anesthetized and 1 μL of plasmid DNA
mixed with Fast Green Dye was injected into the lateral ventricle of one hemisphere using a pulled
glass pipette. For mCherryCAAX labeling and shRNA knockdown experiments in pups from mito-
EGFP mice crossed with wild-type CD1 mice, the 1 μL of DNA contained 0.8 μg of pGLAST-
PBase, 0.7 μg of pPB-shRNA-mCherryCAAX, and 0.5 μg of pCAG-Cre (RRID:
Addgene_13775). Following DNA injection, electrodes were oriented with the positive terminal
above the visual cortex and the negative terminal below the chin, and 5 discrete 50 msec pulses of
100 V spaced 950 msec apart were applied. Pups were recovered on a heating pad, returned to their
home cage, and monitored until collection at P4, P7, P14, P21, P60, or P180. In the case of plasmid
expression in CD1 mice, assignment to experimental groups was randomly determined for each
litter. The number of replicates for each experiment is indicated in the figure legends. All animals
that appeared healthy at the time of collection were processed for data collection. All brain sections
were examined for the presence of electroporated cells before staining and downstream analyses
(https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.8epv5op66g1b/v1).

Tamoxifen administration

Tamoxifen (Sigma, T5648) was dissolved in corn oil (Sigma, 8267) at a concentration of 10 mg/mL
and further diluted in corn oil to 1.25 mg/mL. 40 μL of the tamoxifen solution was injected into
the milk spot using an insulin syringe, for a dose of 0.05 mg at P1, P2, and P3. Two tamoxifen
injections (P1 and P2) were sufficient turn on tdTomato expression in all astrocytes, however a
third dose of tamoxifen (at P3) was needed for achieving a significant decrease of Drp1 levels in
astrocytes (https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.dm6gp21b5lzp/v1).

EdU administration

EdU stock solution from Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit (Thermofisher, C10640) was diluted in DPBS
to a concentration of 10µM (2.5µg of EdU/µl). At timepoints noted in Supplemental Figure 4, pups
were weighed and injected with 15µg of EdU per gram of animal weight (6µl of working 10µM
EdU stock solution per gram of animal weight). Animals were collected for staining 16 hours after
EdU injection and tissue sections were stained following the Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit
manufacturer protocol (https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.8epv5m5bdl1b/v1).

Immunohistochemistry

Sample Preparation Mice used for immunohistochemistry were anesthetized with 200 mg/kg
tribromoethanol (avertin) and perfused with TBS/Heparin and 4% PFA. Brains were collected and
post-fixed in 4% PFA overnight, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, frozen in a solution containing 2
parts 30% sucrose and 1 part TissueTek O.C.T. (Sakura Finetek, cat#4583) and stored at −80°C.
Floating coronal tissue sections of 30, 40, 100 µm thickness were collected and stored in a 1:1
mixture of TBS/glycerol at −20°C. For immunostaining, sections were washed in 1x TBS
containing 0.2% Triton-X 100 (TBST), blocked in 10% NGS diluted in TBST, and incubated in
primary antibody for 2-3 nights at 4°C with shaking. Primary antibodies used were: anti-RFP
(Rabbit, 1:2000, RRID:AB_2209751), GFP (Chicken, 1:2000, RRID:AB_10000240), Sox9
(Rabbit, 1:2000, RRID:AB_2239761), Connexin43 (Rabbit, 1:100, RRID:AB_2294590), Drp1
(Mouse, 1:100, RRID:AB_398424), Connexin30 (Rabbit, 1:500, RRID:AB_2533979), and GFAP
(Rabbit, 1:1000, RID:AB_10013382). Following primary incubation, sections were washed in
TBST, incubated in Alexa-Fluor conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:200 (Life
Technologies) for 2-3 hours at room temperature, washed with TBST, and mounted onto glass
slides using a homemade mounting media (90% Glycerol, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5% n-Propyl
gallate) and sealed with nail polish. For primary antibodies produced in mouse, isotype specific
secondary antibodies were always used (e.g. goat anti-mouse IgG1) to avoid excessive background
staining. For DAPI staining, DAPI (1:50,000) was added to the secondary antibody solution for
the final 10 minutes of incubation. (https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.261ge876og47/v1).

Astrocyte territory volume analysis To assess the territory volume of individual astrocytes in the
developing mouse cortex, 100 µm-thick floating sections containing V1 astrocytes labeled sparsely
via PALE with mCherry-CAAX and mito-EGFP were collected. In shDrp1 conditions where

astrocytes cluster together, only single astrocytes on the periphery of clusters were used for this
analysis. Images containing an entire astrocyte (50-60 μm Z-stack) were acquired at 21°C on an
Olympus FV 3000 microscope with an Olympus Plan APO 60× 1.4 NA oil objective (Immoil-
f30cc), supported by the Olympus FV315-SW software. Criteria for data inclusion required that
the entirety of the astrocyte could be captured within a single brain section, and that the astrocyte
was located in layers 2/3, 4, or 5 of the visual cortex. Astrocytes in which the entire astrocyte could
not be captured within the section or were located in other layers or outside of the visual cortex
were excluded from the study and not imaged. Imaged astrocytes were analyzed using Imaris
Bitplane software (RRID: SCR_007370, version 9.9.9, https://imaris.oxinst.com/packages) as
described previously (Stogsdill et al., 2017). Briefly, surface reconstructions were generated and
the Imaris Xtensions "Visualize Surface Spots" and "Convex Hull" were used to create an
additional surface render representing the territory of the astrocyte. The volume of each territory
was recorded, and astrocyte territory sizes from biological replicates were analyzed across
experimental conditions using a nested t-test. The number of mice and cells/mouse analyzed are
specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Neuropil infiltration volume analysis To measure the extent of astrocyte infiltration into the
neuropil, astrocyte images were acquired from mCherry-CAAX and mito-EGFP labeled astrocytes
in 40 μm brain sections. Images were acquired at 21°C on an Olympus FV3000 microscope with
an Olympus Plan APO 60× 1.4 NA oil objective (Immoil-f30cc) and a 2x zoom, supported by the
Olympus FV315-SW software. Criteria for inclusion required the astrocyte to be located within
layer 2/3, 4, or 5 of the visual cortex, express the fluorescent label, include their soma in the z-
stack capture, and have at least 15μm in the z-dimension contained within the section. Astrocytes
that did not meet these criteria were excluded from the study and not imaged. For each astrocyte,
three ROIs (12.65 μm x 12.65 μm x 10 μm) containing the neuropil, and devoid of cell soma, large
branches, and end feet were chosen and reconstructed using the surface tool in Imaris. The surface
volume of each ROI was calculated and the three data points from each astrocyte were averaged.
Data from biological replicates were analyzed using a nested t-test. The number of mice and
cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Mitochondrial average volume, number, and total volume analysis To measure mitochondrial size
(average volume), number, and total volume within whole astrocytes or distal astrocyte process,

astrocyte images were captured as described above in *Astrocyte territory volume analysis* (for

whole astrocyte mitochondrial volume) or *Neuropil infiltration volume analysis* (for distal NIV

mitochondrial volume). In Imaris, the astrocyte volume rendered above was used to mask the

mitochondrial EGFP channel. Either whole or distal mitochondria were reconstructed using the

surface volume tool in Imaris (Surface detail: 0.1, Background subtraction: 0.5, Volume filter:

0.01). Data from biological replicates were analyzed using a nested t-test. The number of mice and

cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Quantification of astrocyte cell or cluster area To analyze astrocyte cell or cluster area of sparse

Drp1 knockdown PALE experiments, three 40µm sections per animal were imaged at 21°C on an

Olympus FV 3000 microscope with an Olympus UPlanSApo 10x/0.40 dry objective, supported by

the Olympus FV315-SW software and loaded into ImageJ. The astrocyte mCherry channel, the

RFP channel, was selected. The image was then stacked into a max projection z-stack and a

gaussian blur of sigma radius 1.00 was applied. A threshold was then applied to the image to

include signal from astrocytes in the cortex above the subventricular zone. Then, using ImageJ

analysis, the area of each cluster above 500 pixels per image was recorded. The average cluster

area per image was calculated and 3 technical replicates per animal were averaged for analysis.

Data from biological replicates were analyzed using a nested t-test. The number of mice and

cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Nearest and multiple neighbor analysis To measure the distance between astrocyte neighbors in

sparse Drp1 knockdown PALE experiments, images of astrocytes or astrocyte clusters from 40µm

sections were captured at 21°C on a Leica Stellaris 8 Confocal with a 63x/1.40 HC PL APO CS2

(11506350) oil objective (Leica F Immersion liquid (Oil) 11513859) and a Leica K5 sCMOS

(14402610) camera, supported by the Leica Application Suite X (LASX, build 4.5.0.25531)

software and loaded into ImageJ. Composite images merging the DAPI channel for all nuclei, Sox9

channel for astrocytic nuclei, and the RFP channel for astrocytes were generated. Using the line

tool, a line was manually drawn from the edge of one Sox9+ nucleus within mCherry to the closest

Sox9+ nucleus and the length of the line was measured. Each distance between neighbors was

recorded from the same animal as technical replicates. Data from biological replicates were

analyzed using a nested t-test. To quantify the number of Sox9+ nuclei, the composite images from

above were used, and the number of Sox9+ nuclei within mCherry signal was manually counted.

The number of Sox9+ nuclei per cell or cluster were recorded as technical replicates per mouse.
The number of mice and cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each
experiment. In the Drp1 conditional deletion mouse model, V1 cortical images of 30μm sections
were taken at 21°C on a Leica Stellaris 8 Confocal with a 20x/0.75 HC PL APO CS2 (11506343)
immersion objective (Leica F Immersion liquid (Oil) 11513859) at 0.75 optical zoom and a Leica
K5 sCMOS (14402610) camera, supported by the Leica Application Suite X (LASX, build
4.5.0.25531) software. Single optical section images were loaded onto Imaris with a z-size of 0.01
μm. Selecting the tdTomato channel, soma spots were generated with an estimated XY diameter
of 7.5 μm and with background subtraction using Imaris Spots tool. Object-Object statistics were
collected for the spots generated. Once these soma spots were generated, distance to nearest
neighbor and average distance to 9 neighbors were analyzed. Data from biological replicates were
analyzed using a nested t-test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The number of mice and cells/mouse
analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Aldh1l1-Drp1 mouse model recombination efficiency analysis with Sox9 In the Drp1 conditional
deletion mouse model, V1 cortical images of 30μm sections were taken at 21°C on a Leica Stellaris
8 Confocal with a 20x/0.75 HC PL APO CS2 (11506343) immersion objective (Leica F Immersion
liquid (Oil) 11513859) at 0.75 optical zoom and a Leica K5 sCMOS (14402610) camera, supported
by the Leica Application Suite X (LASX, build 4.5.0.25531) software. A z-stack of 5μm with a
step size of 1 μm was captured. Images were loaded onto Imaris and the surface tool was used to
create a mask of the tdTomato channel. This tdTomato mask was used to sort Sox9+ signal within
tdTomato and outside tdTomato separately. Soma spots for Sox9+ nuclei within and outside of
tdTomato were generated with an estimated XY diameter of 6 μm and with background subtraction
using Imaris Spots tool. Once these spots were generated, the number of Sox9+ spots were
collected for both inside and outside tdTomato signal. Total number of Sox9+ spots were calculated
as the sum of Sox9+ spots inside and outside tdTomato. The percent recombination efficiency was
quantified was the number of Sox9+ spots within tdTomato signal divided by total Sox9+ spots
per field of view. The number of mice and cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend
for each experiment.

Connexin43 volume analysis To quantify the amount of Connexin43 within astrocytes in the sparse
Drp1 knockdown PALE model, images (0.5 μm z-step, 10 μm z-stacks) from 40μm sections of

astrocytes or clusters were taken at 21°C on a Leica Stellaris 8 Confocal with a 93x/1.30 HC PL APO CS2 (11506417) glycerol objective (Leica G Immersion liquid (Glycerol) 11513910) and a Leica K5 sCMOS (14402610) camera, supported by the Leica Application Suite X (LASX, build 4.5.0.25531) software. Criteria for inclusion required the astrocyte to be located within layer 2/3, 4, and 5 of the visual cortex, express the fluorescent label, and have at least 10μm in the z-dimension contained within the section. For each astrocyte or astrocyte cluster, the Imaris surface tool was used to render the astrocyte volume. This astrocyte volume was then used to mask the Cx43 channel, and then Imaris surface tool was used to generate surface volumes of Cx43 puncta within the astrocyte volume territory only (Surface detail: 0.1, Background subtraction: 0.5, Volume filter: 0.01). Data from biological replicates were analyzed using a nested one-way ANOVA. The number of mice and cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Astrocyte density analysis For quantifying the density of astrocytes in the Drp1 conditional deletion model, V1 cortical images of 30μm sections were taken at 21°C on a Leica Stellaris 8 Confocal with a 20x/0.75 HC PL APO CS2 (11506343) immersion objective (Leica F Immersion liquid (Oil) 11513859) at 0.75 optical zoom and a Leica K5 sCMOS (14402610) camera, supported by the Leica Application Suite X (LASX, build 4.5.0.25531) software. Using the tdTomato channel on ImageJ, a rectangular region of interest (ROI) that encompassed all cortical layers of the visual cortex was selected and saved to the ROI manager in ImageJ. Within the multi-layer rectangular ROI, ROIs for each cortical layer were drawn and saved. The area of each ROI was recorded. These imaging parameters and ROIs were also used for the *Cortical Astrocyte coverage analysis* and *Drp1 protein expression analyses by immunohistochemistry* below. The 'Show-all' feature on the ROI manager was selected, so that the boundaries between cortical layers were shown. Using the Cell Counter plug-in (RRID:SCR_025376, https://imagej.net/ij/plugins/cell-counter.html), tdTomato+ somas were manually counted. The number of somas across the cortex was calculated as the sum of tdTomato+ somas in all cortical layers. Density of tdTomato+ somas was calculated by dividing the number of tdTomato+ somas by their corresponding ROI area. GFAP+ cell density was calculated and analyzed in the same manner, using the GFAP channel instead of the tdTomato channel. Three sections were analyzed as technical replicates per mouse. Data from biological

replicates were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test. The

number of mice and cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Cortical Astrocyte coverage analysis To quantify astrocyte coverage across the cortex in the Drp1

conditional deletion model, cortical images were captured and divided into multiple ROIs for

analysis as described above in *Astrocyte density analysis*. Using the threshold tool in ImageJ, the

tdTomato channel coverage was binarized and saved as a tdTomato mask. Then, selecting each

cortical ROI, the area of tdTomato coverage from the mask generated across the cortex and per

layer was collected. tdTomato coverage was defined as the percent of tdTomato+ area divided by

the density of tdTomato+ cells. GFAP coverage was calculated and analyzed in the same manner,

using the GFAP channel instead of the tdTomato channel. GFAP coverage likewise normalized by

tdTomato density. Three sections were analyzed as technical replicates per mouse. Data from

biological replicates were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons

test. The number of mice and cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each

experiment.

Drp1 protein expression analyses by immunohistochemistry For quantifying Drp1 protein levels

in the Drp1 conditional deletion model, cortical images were captured and divided into multiple

ROIs for analysis as described above in *Astrocyte density analysis*. Then a mask of the tdTomato

channel was applied to the Drp1 channel and mean gray value of Drp1 within astrocytes was

collected per layer and across the cortex using ImageJ. The collected values were normalized to

tdTomato+ soma density. Three sections were analyzed as technical replicates per mouse. Data

from biological replicates were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple

comparisons test. The number of mice and cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend

for each experiment.

Cx43 protein expression analysis by immunohistochemistry To measure Cx43 protein levels in

astrocytes in the Drp1 conditional deletion model, cortical images were captured as described

above in *Astrocyte density analysis*. ImageJ was used to subtract background from the Cx43

channel with a rolling ball radius of 50 units. Following background subtraction, individual

astrocytes within layers 2/3, 4, or 5 were outlined with the freehand tool using tdTomato to

determine astrocyte territories, and astrocyte outlines were saved as ROIs. Astrocyte ROIs were

applied to Cx43 channel and the mean gray value of Cx43 signal was collected per astrocyte and

normalized by the ROI area. Three sections were analyzed as technical replicates per mouse. Data

from biological replicates were analyzed using a nested t-test, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The

number of mice and cells/mouse analyzed are specified in the figure legend for each experiment.

Cortical thickness analysis: To measure cortical thickness in Drp1 cWT and cKO mice, images of

V1 cortices were taken on at 21°C on a Leica Stellaris 8 Confocal with a 10x/0.40 HC PL APO

CS2 (11506424) dry objective and a Leica K5 sCMOS (14402610) camera, supported by the Leica

Application Suite X (LASX, build 4.5.0.25531) software. Images were loaded into ImageJ and the

line tool was used to record length. Three lines per section were drawn from the subventricular

zone to the Pia. The lengths of these lines were averaged per section for 3 sections per mouse, and

data from each section was plotted as a technical replicate per mouse. Data from biological

replicates were analyzed using a nested t-test.

Quantification and statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism (RRID: SCR_002798, version 9,

https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/). The exact number of replicates, specific

statistical tests, and P values for each experiment are indicated in the figures and figure legends.

All data are represented as mean ± SEM, and individual data points are shown for all data, where

applicable. Where indicated, nested t-tests were applied with an alpha threshold of 0.05 for

adjusted P-value. A Geisser-Greenhouse correction was used for both One-way and Two-way

ANOVA analyses. All experimental animals that appeared healthy at the time of tissue collection

were processed for data collection. Specific details for inclusion, exclusion, and randomization are

included in the specific subsections of the Materials and methods details section.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 contains qPCR validation of shRNAs targeting mouse and rat Drp1, mouse Mfn1, and

mouse Miro 1. Fig. S2 emphasizes how silencing astrocytic mitochondrial dynamics modifies

mitochondrial numbers and size. Fig. S3 establishes Drp1 KD astrocyte clusters are not a result of

increased astrocyte proliferation, persist into adulthood, and express gap-junction protein Cx30.

Fig. S4 characterizes the astrocyte-specific Drp1 cKO model, demonstrating decreased Drp1

protein expression, Cre-mediated recombination efficiency, and additional astrocyte reactivity

markers probed in isolated astrocytes from Drp1 cKO and cWT mice.

1135

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Romain Cartoni and Chantell Evans for critical feedback on this manuscript. We thank Sarah Johnson and Donna Porter for their excellent technical help. This work was supported by a Paul and Daisy Soros Fellowship (2020) and an HHMI Gilliam Fellowship (GT15076) to MPRS, a Chan Zuckerberg Initiative Neurodegeneration Challenge Network Collaborative grant (DAF2018-191999 and DAF2021-237435) to CE, an N.I.H. grant U19-NS123719 to CE and GY, and by the joint efforts of MJFF and the Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) initiative. MJFF administers the grant ASAP-020607 to CE for ASAP and the Michael J Fox Foundation. Dr. Cagla Eroglu is an HHMI Investigator.

IP RIGHTS NOTICE

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, Maria Pia Rodriguez Salazar (MPRS) and Cagla Eroglu (CE); Data Curation, MPRS, Sprihaa Kolanukuduru (SK), Valentina Ramirez (VR); Formal analysis, MPR, SK, VR; Funding Acquisition, MPRS, Guoqiang Yu (GY), CE; Investigation, MPRS, SK, VR, Gracie Manigault (GM), Gabrielle Sejourne (GS); Methodology, MPRS, SK, VR, Boyu Lyu (BL); Project Administration, MPRS, CE; Resources, MPRS, BL, GY, Hiromi Sesaki (HS); Software, MPRS, BL, VR; Supervision, MPRS, CE; Validation, MPRS, SK, VR; Visualization, MPRS, SK, VR, CE; Writing – original draft, MPRS, SK, VR, CE; Writing – Review & Editing, MPRS, SK, VR, BL, GM, GS, HS, GY, CE;.

REFERENCES

Abati, E., A. Manini, D. Velardo, R. Del Bo, L. Napoli, F. Rizzo, M. Moggio, N. Bresolin, E. Bellone, M.T. Bassi, M.G. D'Angelo, G.P. Comi, and S. Corti. 2022. Clinical and genetic features of a cohort of patients with MFN2-related neuropathy. *Scientific Reports*. 12:6181.

Agarwal, A., P.H. Wu, E.G. Hughes, M. Fukaya, M.A. Tischfield, A.J. Langseth, D. Wirtz, and D.E. Bergles. 2017. Transient Opening of the Mitochondrial Permeability Transition Pore Induces Microdomain Calcium Transients in Astrocyte Processes. *Neuron*. 93:587-605.e587.

Allen, N.J., and D.A. Lyons. 2018. Glia as architects of central nervous system formation and function. *Science*. 362:181-185.

Alvarez, J.I., T. Katayama, and A. Prat. 2013. Glial influence on the blood brain barrier. *Glia*. 61:1939-1958.

Baldwin, K.T., C.X. Tan, S.T. Strader, C. Jiang, J.T. Savage, X. Elorza-Vidal, X. Contreras, T. Rülicke, S. Hippenmeyer, R. Estévez, R.R. Ji, and C. Eroglu. 2021. HepaCAM controls astrocyte self-organization and coupling. *Neuron*. 109:2427-2442.e2410.

Billups, B., and I.D. Forsythe. 2002. Presynaptic Mitochondrial Calcium Sequestration Influences Transmission at Mammalian Central Synapses. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 22:5840.

Bushong, E.A., M.E. Martone, and M.H. Ellisman. 2004. Maturation of astrocyte morphology and the establishment of astrocyte domains during postnatal hippocampal development. *International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience*. 22:73-86.

Bushong, E.A., M.E. Martone, Y.Z. Jones, and M.H. Ellisman. 2002. Protoplasmic astrocytes in CA1 stratum radiatum occupy separate anatomical domains. *J Neurosci*. 22:183-192.

Casillas-Espinosa, P.M., K.L. Powell, and T.J. O'Brien. 2012. Regulators of synaptic transmission: roles in the pathogenesis and treatment of epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 53 Suppl 9:41-58.

Cassina, P., E. Miquel, L. Martínez-Palma, and A. Cassina. 2025. Mitochondria and astrocyte reactivity: Key mechanism behind neuronal injury. *Neuroscience*. 567:227-234.

Chen, F., and J. LoTurco. 2012. A method for stable transgenesis of radial glia lineage in rat neocortex by piggyBac mediated transposition. *J Neurosci Methods*. 207:172-180.

Chen, H., S.A. Detmer, A.J. Ewald, E.E. Griffin, S.E. Fraser, and D.C. Chan. 2003. Mitofusins Mfn1 and Mfn2 coordinately regulate mitochondrial fusion and are essential for embryonic development. *J Cell Biol*. 160:189-200.

Cheung, G., O. Chever, A. Rollenhagen, N. Quenech'du, P. Ezan, J.H.R. Lübke, and N. Rouach. 2023. Astroglial Connexin 43 Regulates Synaptic Vesicle Release at Hippocampal Synapses. *Cells*. 12.

Chih, B., L. Gollan, and P. Scheiffele. 2006. Alternative splicing controls selective trans-synaptic interactions of the neuroligin-neurexin complex. *Neuron*. 51:171-178.

Chung, W.S., N.J. Allen, and C. Eroglu. 2015. Astrocytes Control Synapse Formation, Function, and Elimination. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 7:a020370.

Clasadonte, J., E. Scemes, Z. Wang, D. Boison, and P.G. Haydon. 2017. Connexin 43-Mediated Astroglial Metabolic Networks Contribute to the Regulation of the Sleep-Wake Cycle. *Neuron*. 95:1365-1380.e1365.

Clavreul, S., L. Abdeladim, E. Hernández-Garzón, D. Niculescu, J. Durand, S.-H. Ieng, R. Barry, G. Bonvento, E. Beaurepaire, J. Livet, and K. Loulier. 2019. Cortical astrocytes develop in a plastic manner at both clonal and cellular levels. *Nature Communications*. 10:4884.

Courchet, J., T.L. Lewis, Jr., S. Lee, V. Courchet, D.Y. Liou, S. Aizawa, and F. Polleux. 2013. Terminal axon branching is regulated by the LKB1-NUAK1 kinase pathway via presynaptic mitochondrial capture. *Cell*. 153:1510-1525.

Cunniff, B., A.J. McKenzie, N.H. Heintz, and A.K. Howe. 2016. AMPK activity regulates trafficking of
mitochondria to the leading edge during cell migration and matrix invasion. *Molecular biology*
of the cell. 27:2662-2674.

da Silva, A.F., F.R. Mariotti, V. Máximo, and S. Campello. 2014. Mitochondria dynamism: of shape,
transport and cell migration. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 71:2313-2324.

Dermietzel, R., E.L. Hertberg, J.A. Kessler, and D.C. Spray. 1991. Gap junctions between cultured
astrocytes: immunocytochemical, molecular, and electrophysiological analysis. *J Neurosci*.
11:1421-1432.

Fahrner, J.A., R. Liu, M.S. Perry, J. Klein, and D.C. Chan. 2016. A novel de novo dominant negative
mutation in DNM1L impairs mitochondrial fission and presents as childhood epileptic
encephalopathy. *Am J Med Genet A*. 170:2002-2011.

Fiebig, C., S. Keiner, B. Ebert, I. Schäffner, R. Jagasia, D.C. Lie, and R. Beckervordersandforth. 2019.
Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Astrocytes Impairs the Generation of Reactive Astrocytes and
Enhances Neuronal Cell Death in the Cortex Upon Photothrombotic Lesion. *Frontiers in*
molecular neuroscience. 12:40-40.

Fransson, Å., A. Ruusala, and P. Aspenström. 2006. The atypical Rho GTPases Miro-1 and Miro-2 have
essential roles in mitochondrial trafficking. *Biochemical and biophysical research*
communications. 344:500-510.

Friedman, J.R., and J. Nunnari. 2014. Mitochondrial form and function. *Nature*. 505:335-343.

Giaume, C., A. Koulakoff, L. Roux, D. Holcman, and N. Rouach. 2010. Astroglial networks: a step
further in neuroglial and gliovascular interactions. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 11:87-99.

Gollihue, J.L., and C.M. Norris. 2020. Astrocyte mitochondria: Central players and potential
therapeutic targets for neurodegenerative diseases and injury. *Ageing Res Rev*. 59:101039.

Gu, X., W. Chen, Z. Li, X. Wang, Q. Su, and F. Zhou. 2025. Drp1 mitochondrial fission in astrocyte
modulates behavior and neuroinflammation during morphine addiction. *Journal of*
Neuroinflammation. 22:108.

Göbel, J., P. Pelzer, E. Engelhardt, V. Sakthivelu, H.M. Jahn, M. Jevtic, K. Folz-Donahue, C. Kukat, A.
Schauss, C.K. Frese, A. Ghanem, K.-K. Conzelmann, E. Motori, and M. Bergami. 2019.
Mitochondrial fusion in reactive astrocytes coordinates local metabolic domains to promote
vascular repair. *bioRxiv*:657999.

Haseleu, J., E. Anlauf, S. Blaess, E. Endl, and A. Derouiche. 2013. Studying subcellular detail in fixed
astrocytes: dissociation of morphologically intact glial cells (DIMIGs). *Frontiers in Cellular*
Neuroscience. 7.

Holt, L.M., R.D. Hernandez, N.L. Pacheco, B. Torres Ceja, M. Hossain, and M.L. Olsen. 2019.
Astrocyte morphogenesis is dependent on BDNF signaling via astrocytic TrkB.T1. *Elife*. 8.

Homkajorn, B., N.R. Sims, and H. Muyderman. 2010. Connexin 43 regulates astrocytic migration and
proliferation in response to injury. *Neurosci Lett*. 486:197-201.

Hösli, L., N. Binini, K.D. Ferrari, L. Thieren, Z.J. Looser, M. Zuend, H.S. Zanker, S. Berry, M. Holub, W.
Möbius, T. Ruhwedel, K.A. Nave, C. Giaume, B. Weber, and A.S. Saab. 2022. Decoupling
astrocytes in adult mice impairs synaptic plasticity and spatial learning. *Cell Rep*. 38:110484.

Ignatenko, O., D. Chilov, I. Paetau, E. de Miguel, C.B. Jackson, G. Capin, A. Paetau, M. Terzioglu, L.
Euro, and A. Suomalainen. 2018. Loss of mtDNA activates astrocytes and leads to spongiotic
encephalopathy. *Nature Communications*. 9:70.

Ishihara, N., M. Nomura, A. Jofuku, H. Kato, S.O. Suzuki, K. Masuda, H. Otera, Y. Nakanishi, I. Nonaka,
Y.-i. Goto, N. Taguchi, H. Morinaga, M. Maeda, R. Takayanagi, S. Yokota, and K. Mihara. 2009.
Mitochondrial fission factor Drp1 is essential for embryonic development and synapse
formation in mice. *Nature Cell Biology*. 11:958-966.

Kameritsch, P., K. Pogoda, and U. Pohl. 2012. Channel-independent influence of connexin 43 on cell
migration. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1818:1993-2001.

Ke, P., J. Gu, J. Liu, Y. Liu, X. Tian, Y. Ma, Y. Meng, and F. Xiao. 2023. Syntabulin regulates neuronal
excitation/inhibition balance and epileptic seizures by transporting syntaxin 1B. *Cell Death*
Discov. 9:187.

Kim, H.J., M.R. Shaker, B. Cho, H.M. Cho, H. Kim, J.Y. Kim, and W. Sun. 2015. Dynamin-related protein
1 controls the migration and neuronal differentiation of subventricular zone-derived neural
progenitor cells. *Scientific Reports*. 5:15962.

König, T., H. Nolte, M.J. Aaltonen, T. Tatsuta, M. Krols, T. Stroh, T. Langer, and H.M. McBride. 2021.
MIROs and DRP1 drive mitochondrial-derived vesicle biogenesis and promote quality
control. *Nat Cell Biol*. 23:1271-1286.

Lagos-Cabré, R., F. Burgos-Bravo, A.M. Avalos, and L. Leyton. 2019. Connexins in Astrocyte
Migration. *Front Pharmacol*. 10:1546.

Lhuissier, C., B.E. Wagner, A. Vincent, G. Garraux, O. Hougrand, R. Van Coster, V. Benoit, D.
Karadurmus, G. Lenaers, N. Gueguen, A. Chevrollier, and I. Maystadt. 2022. Case report:
Thirty-year progression of an EMPF1 encephalopathy due to defective mitochondrial and
peroxisomal fission caused by a novel de novo heterozygous DNM1L variant. *Front Neurol*.
13:937885.

Liu, X., Z. Zhang, D. Li, M. Lei, Q. Li, and P. Zhang. 2021. DNM1L-Related Mitochondrial Fission
Defects Presenting as Encephalopathy: A Case Report and Literature Review. *Front Pediatr*.
9:626657.

Lovatt, D., U. Sonnewald, H.S. Waagepetersen, A. Schousboe, W. He, J.H.C. Lin, X. Han, T. Takano, S.
Wang, F.J. Sim, S.A. Goldman, and M. Nedergaard. 2007. The Transcriptome and Metabolic
Gene Signature of Protoplasmic Astrocytes in the Adult Murine Cortex. *The Journal of*
Neuroscience. 27:12255.

López-Doménech, G., and J.T. Kittler. 2023. Mitochondrial regulation of local supply of energy in
neurons. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 81:102747.

Middeldorp, J., and E.M. Hol. 2011. GFAP in health and disease. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 93:421-
443.

Moffat, J., D.A. Grueneberg, X. Yang, S.Y. Kim, A.M. Kloepfer, G. Hinkle, B. Piqani, T.M. Eisenhaure, B.
Luo, J.K. Grenier, A.E. Carpenter, S.Y. Foo, S.A. Stewart, B.R. Stockwell, N. Hacohen, W.C.
Hahn, E.S. Lander, D.M. Sabatini, and D.E. Root. 2006. A lentiviral RNAi library for human and
mouse genes applied to an arrayed viral high-content screen. *Cell*. 124:1283-1298.

Motori, E., J. Puyal, N. Toni, A. Ghanem, C. Angeloni, M. Malaguti, G. Cantelli-Forti, B. Berninger, K.-
K. Conzelmann, M. Götz, Konstanze F. Winklhofer, S. Hrelia, and M. Bergami. 2013.
Inflammation-Induced Alteration of Astrocyte Mitochondrial Dynamics Requires Autophagy
for Mitochondrial Network Maintenance. *Cell Metabolism*. 18:844-859.

Ni, H.-M., J.A. Williams, and W.X. Ding. 2015. Mitochondrial dynamics and mitochondrial quality
control. *Redox Biol*. 4:6-13.

Oberheim, N.A., G.F. Tian, X. Han, W. Peng, T. Takano, B. Ransom, and M. Nedergaard. 2008. Loss of
astrocytic domain organization in the epileptic brain. *J Neurosci*. 28:3264-3276.

Pajarillo, E., A. Rizor, J. Lee, M. Aschner, and E. Lee. 2019. The role of astrocytic glutamate
transporters GLT-1 and GLAST in neurological disorders: Potential targets for
neurotherapeutics. *Neuropharmacology*. 161:107559.

Paupe, V., and J. Prudent. 2018. New insights into the role of mitochondrial calcium homeostasis in
cell migration. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 500:75-86.

Pellerin, L., and P.J. Magistretti. 1994. Glutamate uptake into astrocytes stimulates aerobic
glycolysis: a mechanism coupling neuronal activity to glucose utilization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A*. 91:10625-10629.

Pellerin, L., G. Pellegri, P.G. Bittar, Y. Charnay, C. Bouras, J.L. Martin, N. Stella, and P.J. Magistretti.
1998. Evidence supporting the existence of an activity-dependent astrocyte-neuron lactate
shuttle. *Dev Neurosci*. 20:291-299.

Popov, A., N. Brazhe, K. Morozova, K. Yashin, M. Bychkov, O. Nosova, O. Sutyagina, A. Brazhe, E.
Parshina, L. Li, I. Medyanik, D.E. Korzhevskii, Z. Shenkarev, E. Lyukmanova, A. Verkhratsky,
and A. Semyanov. 2023. Mitochondrial malfunction and atrophy of astrocytes in the aged
human cerebral cortex. *Nature Communications*. 14:8380.

Rahman, M.H., and K. Suk. 2020. Mitochondrial Dynamics and Bioenergetic Alteration During
Inflammatory Activation of Astrocytes. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*. Volume 12 - 2020.

Rangaraju, V., M. Lauterbach, and E.M. Schuman. 2019. Spatially Stable Mitochondrial
Compartments Fuel Local Translation during Plasticity. *Cell*. 176:73-84.e15.

Rash, J.E., T. Yasumura, F.E. Dudek, and J.I. Nagy. 2001. Cell-specific expression of connexins and
evidence of restricted gap junctional coupling between glial cells and between neurons. *J
Neurosci*. 21:1983-2000.

Reddy, P.H. 2014. Inhibitors of mitochondrial fission as a therapeutic strategy for diseases with
oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 40:245-256.

Ren, D., P. Zheng, J. Feng, Y. Gong, Y. Wang, J. Duan, L. Zhao, J. Deng, H. Chen, S. Zou, T. Hong, and
W. Chen. 2020. Overexpression of Astrocytes-Specific GJA1-20k Enhances the Viability and
Recovery of the Neurons in a Rat Model of Traumatic Brain Injury. *ACS Chemical
Neuroscience*. 11:1643-1650.

Shimura, D., E. Nuebel, R. Baum, S.E. Valdez, S. Xiao, J.S. Warren, J.A. Palatinus, T. Hong, J. Rutter,
and R.M. Shaw. 2021. Protective mitochondrial fission induced by stress-responsive protein
GJA1-20k. *Elife*. 10.

Smirnova, E., L. Griparic, D.L. Shurland, and A.M. van der Bliek. 2001. Dynamin-related protein Drp1
is required for mitochondrial division in mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell*. 12:2245-2256.

Smith, G.M., and G. Gallo. 2018. The role of mitochondria in axon development and regeneration.
Developmental neurobiology. 78:221-237.

Smyth, J.W., and R.M. Shaw. 2013. Autoregulation of connexin43 gap junction formation by internally
translated isoforms. *Cell Rep*. 5:611-618.

Srinivasan, R., T.Y. Lu, H. Chai, J. Xu, B.S. Huang, P. Golshani, G. Coppola, and B.S. Khakh. 2016. New
Transgenic Mouse Lines for Selectively Targeting Astrocytes and Studying Calcium Signals in
Astrocyte Processes In Situ and In Vivo. *Neuron*. 92:1181-1195.

Steketee, M.B., S.N. Moysidis, J.E. Weinstein, A. Kreymerman, J.P. Silva, S. Iqbal, and J.L. Goldberg.
2012. Mitochondrial dynamics regulate growth cone motility, guidance, and neurite growth
rate in perinatal retinal ganglion cells in vitro. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 53:7402-7411.

Stephen, T.L., N.F. Higgs, D.F. Sheehan, S. Al Awabdh, G. Lopez-Domenech, I.L. Arancibia-Carcamo,
and J.T. Kittler. 2015. Miro1 Regulates Activity-Driven Positioning of Mitochondria within
Astrocytic Processes Apposed to Synapses to Regulate Intracellular Calcium Signaling. *J
Neurosci*. 35:15996-16011.

Stogsdill, J.A., J. Ramirez, D. Liu, Y.-H. Kim, K.T. Baldwin, E. Enustun, T. Ejikeme, R.-R. Ji, and C. Eroglu.
2017. Astrocytic Neuroligins Control Astrocyte Morphogenesis and Synaptogenesis. *Nature*.
551:192-197.

Supplie, L.M., T. Düking, G. Campbell, F. Diaz, C.T. Moraes, M. Götz, B. Hamprecht, S. Boretius, D.
Mahad, and K.-A. Nave. 2017. Respiration-Deficient Astrocytes Survive As Glycolytic Cells
In Vivo. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 37:4231.

Takano, T., J.T. Wallace, K.T. Baldwin, A.M. Purkey, A. Uezu, J.L. Courtland, E.J. Soderblom, T.
Shimogori, P.F. Maness, C. Eroglu, and S.H. Soderling. 2020. Chemico-genetic discovery of
astrocytic control of inhibition in vivo. *Nature*. 588:296-302.
Takumi, T., T. Ishii, Y. Horio, K.-I. Morishige, N. Takahashi, M. Yamada, T. Yamashita, H. Kiyama, K.
Sohmiya, S. Nakanishi, and Y. Kurachi. 1995. A Novel ATP-dependent Inward Rectifier
Potassium Channel Expressed Predominantly in Glial Cells *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*.
270:16339-16346.
Tan, C.X., D.S. Bindu, E.J. Hardin, K. Sakers, R. Baumert, J.J. Ramirez, J.T. Savage, and C. Eroglu. 2023.
δ-Catenin controls astrocyte morphogenesis via layer-specific astrocyte-neuron cadherin
interactions. *J Cell Biol*. 222.
Vanstone, J.R., A.M. Smith, S. McBride, T. Naas, M. Holcik, G. Antoun, M.-E. Harper, J. Michaud, E.
Sell, P. Chakraborty, M. Tetreault, J. Majewski, S. Baird, K.M. Boycott, D.A. Dyment, A.
MacKenzie, M.A. Lines, and C. Care4Rare. 2016. DNM1L-related mitochondrial fission defect
presenting as refractory epilepsy. *European Journal of Human Genetics*. 24:1084-1088.
Verkhratsky, A., R. Zorec, and V. Parpura. 2017. Stratification of astrocytes in healthy and diseased
brain. *Brain Pathol*. 27:629-644.
Wakabayashi, J., Z. Zhang, N. Wakabayashi, Y. Tamura, M. Fukaya, T.W. Kensler, M. Iijima, and H.
Sesaki. 2009a. The dynamin-related GTPase Drp1 is required for embryonic and brain
development in mice. *J Cell Biol*. 186:805-816.
Wakabayashi, J., Z. Zhang, N. Wakabayashi, Y. Tamura, M. Fukaya, T.W. Kensler, M. Iijima, and H.
Sesaki. 2009b. The dynamin-related GTPase Drp1 is required for embryonic and brain
development in mice. *The Journal of cell biology*. 186:805-816.
Wang, Y., C. Wang, P. Ranefall, G.J. Broussard, G. Shi, B. Lyu, C.T. Wu, L. Tian, and G. Yu. 2020.
SynQuant: an automatic tool to quantify synapses from microscopy images. *Bioinformatics*.
36:1599-1606.
Wiencken-Barger, A.E., B. Djukic, K.B. Casper, and K.D. McCarthy. 2007. A role for Connexin43 during
neurodevelopment. *Glia*. 55:675-686.
Xiao, S., D. Shimura, R. Baum, D.M. Hernandez, S. Agvanian, Y. Nagaoka, M. Katsumata, P.D. Lampe,
A.G. Kleber, T. Hong, and R.M. Shaw. 2020. Auxiliary trafficking subunit GJA1-20k protects
connexin-43 from degradation and limits ventricular arrhythmias. *The Journal of Clinical
Investigation*. 130:4858-4870.
Youle, R.J., and A.M. van der Bliek. 2012. Mitochondrial fission, fusion, and stress. *Science*.
337:1062-1065.
Zehnder, T., F. Petrelli, J. Romanos, E.C. De Oliveira Figueiredo, T.L. Lewis, Jr., N. Déglon, F. Polleux,
M. Santello, and P. Bezzi. 2021. Mitochondrial biogenesis in developing astrocytes regulates
astrocyte maturation and synapse formation. *Cell Rep*. 35:108952.
Zipursky, S., J. Lee, A. Sergeeva, G. Ahlsen, S. Mannepalli, F. Bahna, K. Goodman, B. Khakh, J. Weiner,
L. Shapiro, and B. Honig. 2024. Astrocyte morphogenesis requires self-recognition. *Res Sq*.

1387

Fig 1: Mitochondria increase in number and decrease in size during cortical astrocyte morphogenesis *in vivo.*

Fig 2: Mitochondrial occupy fine astrocyte processes concurrently with astrocyte morphological arborization *in vitro.*

Fig 3: Drp1-induced mitochondrial fission is required for fine astrocyte process formation and mitochondrial localization *in vitro.*

Supplemental 1: Validation of shRNA tools

A

shDrp1	ms	CTATAATGCATGCACTATTTA
	rat	CTATAATGCATGCACTATTTA
	Hm	XXXXAATGCATGCACTATXXX

C

shMiro1	ms	TGGACTGTGCTTCGACGATTT
shMfn1	ms	GCAAGATTACAGGAGTTTCAA

B Drp1
p < 0.0001

D Miro1
p = 0.0046

E Mfn1
p = 0.0007

Relative mRNA expression

shControl / shDrp1
shControl / shMiro1
shControl / shMfn1

Fig 4: Mitochondrial fission, not fusion nor transport, is required for distal astrocyte process morphogenesis *in vivo*

Supplemental 2: Genetic manipulation of mitochondrial dynamics in vivo alters mitochondria number and size

Fig 5: Drp1 controls cortical astrocyte organization during postnatal development

Supplemental 3: shDrp1-induced astrocyte clustering is not a result of increased astrocyte proliferation and is maintained through adulthood

Fig 6: Astrocyte-specific Drp1 cKO induces cortical astrocyte reactivity

Rodriguez Salazar et. al

Supplemental 4: Astrocyte-Specific Drp1 cKO mouse characterization

Fig 7: Astrocytic Drp1 loss dysregulates astrocyte organization and PAP protein expression in the mouse cortex