1

Main Manuscript for

Xenosiderophore transporter gene expression and clade-specific

filamentation in *Candida auris* killifish infection

Hugh Gifford[a], Tina Bedekovic[a], Nicolas Helmstetter[a], Jack Gregory[a], Qinxi Ma[a], Alexandra C. Brand[a],

Duncan Wilson[a], Johanna Rhodes[b,c], Mark Ramsdale[a], Tetsuhiro Kudoh[d,1], Rhys A. Farrer*[a,1]

[a]MRC Center for Medical Mycology, University of Exeter, Geoffrey Pope Building, Stocker Road, Exeter,

United Kingdom, EX4 4QD

[b]Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboudumc, Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10, Nijmegen, Netherlands,

6525 GA

[c]MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, Imperial College London South Kensington Campus,

London, United Kingdom, SW7 2AZ

[d]Biosciences, University of Exeter, Geoffrey Pope Building, Stocker Road, Exeter, United Kingdom, EX4

4QD

[1]Co-Senior Author

Corresponding Author: Rhys Farrer

Email: R.Farrer@exeter.ac.uk

Author contributions: Designed research: All authors. Performed research: HG, TB, NH, JG, QM.

Analysed data: HG. Wrote the paper: All authors.

Competing interest statement: No competing interests.

Classification: Biological sciences, Microbiology.

Pre-print: This article has been previously made available as a pre-print *via* the bioRxiv server.

Keywords: *Candida auris*, *Aphanius dispar*, dual host-pathogen RNA-seq, siderophore transport,

filamentation

This PDF file includes:
Main text
Figures 1-4
34
35   **Abstract**
36

Candida auris is a World Health Organization (WHO) critical priority fungal pathogen. An
associated mortality of ~45%, rising drug resistance and massive nosocomial outbreaks complicate
clinical treatment. A critical bottleneck in understanding virulence is the lack of gene expression profiling
models during *in vivo* host tissue infection. We developed a thermo-relevant fish embryo yolk-sac
(*Aphanius dispar*; Arabian killifish; AK) microinjection model at human body temperature featuring
clinically relevant features of host immunity. This allowed us to interrogate infection dynamics through
dual host-pathogen RNA-seq at 24 and 48 h post injection (HPI) at 37 °C across five major clades (I-V) of
C. auris. Host responses to infection featured heat shock, complement activation, and nutritional
immunity, including haem oxygenase (*HMOX*) expression in response to clade IV infection. We identified
an *in vivo* transcriptional signature across five clades of *C. auris* that was highly enriched for putative
xenosiderophore transmembrane transporters. We describe this newly-discovered seventeen-member
xenosiderophore transporter candidate (*XTC*) family in terms of individual gene expression patterns, and
a sub-clade of five putative haem transport-related (*HTR*) genes. Only the basal clade V isolate formed
filaments during infection, coinciding with typical and atypical regulators of morphogenesis, including
UME6, *HGC1*, and the novel adhesin *SCF1*. Clades I and IV demonstrated increased virulence,
coinciding with up-regulation of three *HTR* genes in clade IV, and the mating-type locus (*MTL*) non-
mating gene *PIKA* in both. Our study provides critical insights into the pathogenesis of C. auris, including
species-wide up-regulation of *XTC* and *HTR* genes during clinically relevant *in vivo* host tissue infection.
55

56   **Significance statement**
57

Candida auris is an emerging human fungal pathogen and global public health threat, but *in vivo*
tissue infection transcriptome analysis has not been achieved with available models. We infected Arabian
killifish yolk-sacs to study gene expression programmes by five clades of *C. auris*. We discovered that the
basal clade V uniquely filamented during infection. Additionally, all clades encode a large expanded
family of xenosiderophore transporters that are upregulated during infection. These results highlight the
important roles for morphology switching and iron acquisition during infection, both of which shed light on
its mechanisms of infection and could make novel drug targets.
65

Main text

Introduction

C. auris is an ascomycetous yeast that has rapidly transitioned from recent emergence to global

public health threat (1) and World Health Organization (WHO) critical priority human fungal pathogen (2).

The oldest known historical isolate is from 1996 (3) and it was first recognised as a species in 2008 (4).

Over the last decade, *C. auris* had caused nosocomial outbreaks globally resulting in intensive care unit

(ICU) shutdowns and massive infection control costs (5–7). Worryingly, *C. auris* is now a leading cause of

invasive candidiasis in several hospital settings globally (8, 9). Distinct clades of *C. auris*, including a

recent sixth clade (10, 11), suggest that multiple populations of *C. auris* have simultaneously emerged

from an unknown niche, all of which are highly adapted for human infection (12, 13). Clade-specific

differences have been noted, including clades I, III, and IV more commonly associated with invasive

disease and nosocomial outbreaks, while clades II and V have been primarily reported in cases of

otomycosis and rarely in bloodstream infection (14). Human mortality associated with *C. auris*

candidaemia is potentially higher with clades I and IV than clade III, but this difference has not been

shown to be statistically significant (15). Clade and strain-specific genotypic and phenotypic variation may

underlie differences in clinical presentation and pathogenesis.

C. auris bloodstream infection is associated with a human mortality of ~45% (16). Owing to the

recency of its emergence, the factors governing in-host fitness and virulence during infection are poorly

understood. *C. auris* has been shown to reproduce as budding yeasts in mammalian tissues (17, 18) and

possess multiple adhesins associated with an aggregation phenotype (19, 20). There has been no

evidence of toxin synthesis unlike distantly related *C. albicans* (21, 22). *C. auris* digests of host tissue

through secreted aspartyl proteases (23) and evades host immune responses through cell surface

masking (24) and intra-phagocytic survival with cell lysis (25). *C. auris* also demonstrates high rates of

azole antifungal drug resistance and a rising incidence of pan-drug resistance (26).

Gene expression profiling has become a standard approach for assessing host and pathogen

responses to infection. However, no experiment has described the gene expression of *C. auris* during

in vivo infection of living tissue to date. Studies assessing the transcriptome in an *in vivo* murine catheter

model (27), human whole blood *ex vivo* (28), co-incubation with human dermal fibroblasts (29) or murine

cell line-derived macrophages (30) have been informative. Additionally, host responses have been

assessed in murine peripheral skin (31), human peripheral blood-derived monocytes (32), and murine

bone marrow-derived macrophages (33). However, these studies have not reported adequate pathogen

RNA recovery to interrogate in-host pathogen expression, except for the dual RNA-seq study using the

ex vivo whole blood model, for which it was possible to compare gene expression profiles between

C. auris and other *Candida* species (28).

The dearth of studies profiling host-pathogen gene expression *in vivo* for *C. auris* infection may

relate to the lack of a model which allows adequate RNA recovery, balanced with a reasonable degree of

clinical relevance. Most murine models, such as BALB/c (17, 34–36) and C57BL/6 mice (33), have only

very rarely demonstrated lethality through *C. auris* infection (32, 37, 38) without major

immunosuppression with cyclophosphamide/cortisone (39–50), monoclonal antibody neutrophil depletion

(18, 51), or other immunodeficiency (19, 52, 53). Additionally, mouse models are also expensive and

associated with concerns over environmental pathogen shedding (54). Alternative host models such as

Galleria mellonella (34, 44, 55–61), *Drosophila melanogaster* (62) and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (60) have

been employed, but these may lack key elements of mammalian-relevant immune systems, thus limiting

their scope of application. In patients, immune control of *C. auris* is thought to depend on myeloid cell

activity *via* C-type lectin receptors such as complement receptor 3 and mannose receptor MMR, followed

by interleukin (IL)-17 pathway activation (63, 64). Therefore, an animal model that aligns with these

mechanisms will assist human-relevant profiling of host-pathogen interactions.

Fish larvae must survive hostile and varied ocean environments, demonstrating very early innate

immune responses such as antimicrobial peptide production and the complement cascade (65, 66). Only

in adulthood are teleost fish expected to possess functional IL-17 subtypes (A and F) that lead to T-

helper-17 subset differentiation and adaptive immunity in response to fungal infection (67). The zebrafish

(*Danio rerio*) model has allowed hindbrain (24, 68) and swim bladder (69) microinjection modelling of

C. auris infection, but rarely at temperatures above 30 °C, which zebrafish are broadly intolerant of. There

have been no in-host transcription studies using the zebrafish model. Fungal transcriptional programmes

can vary greatly across temperatures and are critical for mammalian pathogenesis (70–72). A

thermotolerant teleost fish embryo model such as the *Aphanius dispar*, also known as the Arabian killifish

(AK), which can acclimatise to temperatures up to and including 40 °C (73–76), offers a balance between

considerations of suitability for experimental manipulation and applicability to human fungal infection at

mammalian temperatures.

The environmental origins of *C. auris* have not been conclusively demonstrated. However, a

marine origin has been hypothesised and supported by successful isolation of *C. auris* in coastal waters

of both Indian and Pacific oceans (77, 78). Notably, a close relative of *C. auris* in the *Metschnikowiaceae*

clade, *C. haemulonii*, was first isolated in 1961 from the gut of blue-striped grunt (genera name

"*Haemulon*" for their blood-red mouth interior) (79). Therefore, a marine model could shed light on a

possible evolutionarily adaptive niche relevant not only to human infection but also to hypothetical origins

in an emerging pathogen. Additionally, fish embryo models align with the principles of replacement,

refinement and reduction of animals in laboratory research and offer a opportunity to develop novel

clinically relevant models with ethical benefits over models such as adult mice (80).

In this paper, we present the first study exploring in-host virulence of *C. auris* clades I-V in the AK

yolk-sac microinjection model at 37 °C. We supplemented our validation of the model with histology,

fungal burden recovery, and dual host-pathogen RNA-seq. By comparing *in vivo* and *in vitro* expression

profiles of *C. auris*, we detected a cross-clade expression signature that was significantly enriched for

siderophore transporter *SIT1* paralogues, which mediate uptake of siderophores produced by other

microbes (xenosiderophores). We designated this expanded gene family as xenosiderophore transporter

candidates (*XTC*), which included a haem transport-related (*HTR*) sub-clade. We also identified *in vivo*

filamentation by clade V during infection, which was associated with orthologues of key hypha-associated

genes from *C. albicans*. Together, these findings substantially enhance our knowledge of the processes

employed by *C. auris* during infection.

Results

Our AK yolk-sac microinjections with each of the five *C. auris* clades demonstrated lethality

(defined by cessation of heartbeat, **Figure 1A**) at 37 °C (92-100% *vs* 6% for sham injections). *C. auris*

was significantly more lethal at earlier timepoints for clade I (*vs* II, III or V) and clade IV (*vs* II or III), with

p < 0.001 and *p* < 0.04 respectively (**Figure 1B**, **Table S1**). We compared histological sectioning of

C. auris in the AK yolk-sac at 48 HPI to understand morphological consequences of *C. auris* infection. All

clades of *C. auris* grown *in vitro* and *in vivo* exhibited budding yeast morphologies, except for the clade V

strain, which demonstrated predominantly filamentous forms grown *in vivo* (**Figure 1C**). Only minor inter-

clade differences were recorded for growth rate and counts of colony-forming units (CFU). Specifically,

clade II was slower growing in YPD-broth at 37 °C (**Figure S1A**), a difference that was not later identified

in CFUs recovered from infected AK embryos (**Figure 1D**). A mean average ($\bar{x}$) of 673 CFUs were

recovered per infected embryo, which increased 166x 24 h post injection (HPI, $\bar{x}$ = 1.12 x10^5) and a

further ~60% after 48 HPI ($\bar{x}$ = 1.78 x10^5). Filamentous clade V colonies were then grown on YPD-agar,

demonstrating rough (as opposed to smooth) colonies in approximately 1-2% of plated colonies (**Figure**

S1B), which consisted of elongated yeast cells and filamentous structures (>10-50 µm) consistent with

pseudohyphae (**Figure S1C**). These results indicate that AK embryo yolk-sac microinjection is resulting in

an active *C. auris* infection, which is associated with death after 7 d, and therefore is an informative model

for the study of human fungal pathogens such as *C. auris*.

To characterize host responses to *C. auris* during infection, we identified host differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) between infection by each clade and sham injections. We identified 685 DEGs

during infection with any clade (2.49% potential genes), of which 183 were up-regulated and 508 were

down-regulated in response to at least one clade. There were no host DEGs common to infection by all

five clades. Only four up-regulated genes and one down-regulated gene was shared across host

responses to four clades of infection (**Table S2**). Up-regulated genes in 4/5 clades included Laminin

subunit alpha-1 (*LAMA1*), Glucose-6-phosphatase (*G6PC*), DN117939 (hypothetical protein) and

Tryptophan aminotransferase protein 1 (*TAR1*). The immunoglobulin-like fibronectin type III domain-

containing protein 1 (*IGFN1*) was down-regulated in 4/5 clades. These results suggest AK larvae have

only a subtle non-uniform response to each clade of *C. auris* microinjection at these time-points, also

potentially reflecting differences in virulence between strains and stages of infection.

While a common host response to all clades of *C. auris* was not identified, we did identify clade-

specific host responses to infection. At 24 HPI, clade II elicited the greatest host response, with 41 up-

regulated genes and 210 down-regulated genes (**Figure 2A**). Reassuringly, we detected very few

differences between sham injection and no injection (**Figure 2B**, SI Appendix). At 48 HPI, clade IV

elicited the greatest host response, with 49 up-regulated genes and 104 down-regulated genes).

Importantly, we found differential expression of heat shock proteins (*HSP30* and *HSP70*), complement

cascade elements, pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), inflammatory transcription regulators, and

modulators of nutritional immunity with higher log-fold changes in clades I and IV, especially at 48 HPI

(**Figure 2C**). The most prominent gene relevant to pathogen recognition was *CARD14* in clade I and IV

infection at 48 HPI (**Figure 2D-E**). We found *NLRP3* (inflammasome) expression during clade III infection

at 24 HPI. Several significantly enriched functional terms were identified from our DEGs, especially

among the more virulent clades I and IV at 48 HPI. Host transcriptional response to clade I infection

included 15 enriched GO terms, driven by up-regulation of two orthologues of *LAMA1* and *LAMA2*

(**Figure 2D**). Clade IV had significant changes in functional annotation related to haem catabolism, haem

binding, and haem oxygenase (*HMOX*). Two orthologues of *HMOX* drove most of these enrichments,

such as haem oxidation (log-fold change $\bar{x}$ = 3.96, FDR < 0.0003, **Figure 2E**, **Figure S2**). Another host

up-regulated gene from infection by clade IV was ferroxidase hephaestin (*HPHL1*), which is also involved

in iron nutritional immunity. Together, these findings suggest that heat shock proteins, nutritional immunity

(particularly iron acquisition), and the complement effect system are important elements of the AK larval

response to *C. auris*.

We compared the in-host transcriptome to expression induced by growth in a standard rich

laboratory media (YPD). Principle components and sample correlation clustering from gene expression

data indicated a predominant separate clustering of *in vivo vs in vitro* conditions, indicating that the gene

expression profile of *C. auris* during infection is substantially different to that when it is grown in nutrient-

rich laboratory media (**Figure S1G and S1H**). We identified 960 DEGs between *in vivo* AK infection at

24 HPI or 48 HPI *vs in vitro* culture in YPD over 24 h (499 up-regulated, 523 down-regulated, 17.7% of

potential genes, **Figure 3A**). We identified few DEGs between the two time-points 24 HPI to 48 HPI, with

zero DEGs for clade IV and V between those time points (**Figure S3A**). The highest numbers of up-

regulated DEGs were identified in the filamentous clade V at 24 HPI and in the more virulent clades I and

IV at 48 HPI (**Figure S3B-C**). Six functional annotation terms were enriched across all in-host infections

at 48 HPI, related to major superfacilitator family carbohydrate and siderophore-iron transmembrane

transport (**Figure S4**). Together, this analysis reveals that during infection, which is a nutrient-limited

environment, *C. auris* is responding by significantly increasing expression of genes involved in sugar

uptake, as well as members of the *C. auris* expanded gene family of siderophore transporters.

Thirteen DEGs common to all clades of in-host transcription were transmembrane transporters,

including up-regulation of transporters for xenosiderophores (*SIT1* genes 3921 and 1487), sugar

transporters (*HGT2*, *HGT12*), an ammonia transporter (*ATO2*), and a nicotinic acid transporter (*TNA1*).

We also found down-regulation of several drug-resistance-related efflux pumps (*MDR2* and *DTR1*) and

peptide transporters (*TPO3*, *PTR22*, **Figure 3B**, **Table S3**). Surprisingly, several putative virulence

factors such as lipase *LIP1* and cell wall-related *KRE6* and *SOD6* were also down-regulated across all

five clades, as were *ALS4* and *MDR1* in at least one clade (**Figure 3C**). Several members of the *SIT1*

family were differentially expressed by <5 of the clades including 0002, 1457, 1458, 1542,2110, 2241,

2465, 3908 and 4097. Meanwhile, two *SIT1* genes were down-regulated across 3 of the clades (1519 and

1499). Several *SIT1* genes were upregulated in ≥1 clade and down-regulated in ≥1 clade (3908 and

2465). The strong signal of differential expression in the *SIT1* expanded gene family highlights the

potential importance during in-host survival.

The more virulent clades (I and IV) are **a**-type mating type locus (*MTL*), while the less virulent

clades (II, III and V) contain the **α**-type *MTL*. Surprisingly, we found differential expression in several non-

mating genes between more virulent and less virulent clades, including poly-A polymerase (*PAP1*),

oxysterol binding protein (*OBPA*) and phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase (*PIKA*, **Figure S5B**). Within the set of

10 DEGs shared across all comparisons, several genes outside the *MTL* were up-regulated, including

vacuolar protein sorting gene, *VPS70*, and filamentous growth regulator, *FGR14*. Additionally, the drug

efflux pump, *MDR1*, was down-regulated across all comparisons, supporting the idea of a fitness trade-off

between resistance and virulence.

To explore drivers of filamentation by the clade V strain, we identified 1,046 DEGs between clade

V and each of the other clades (I-IV), comprising 601 up-regulated and 526 down-regulated genes across

all comparisons. We identified a set of 57 genes shared across all comparisons for *in vivo* conditions only,

since we did not observe filamentation in nutrient-rich YPD. Twenty-two genes were up-regulated at

24 HPI, seven at 48 HPI, and 18 at both time-points (**Figure S5C**). Most of the up-regulated genes were

metabolic (*n* = 13), including two cytochrome P450 *ERG5* orthologues, though *ERG11* was down-

regulated. Transmembrane transporters for sugar (including two *HGT13* orthologues), amino acids and

siderophores (*SIT1* 1487) were also up-regulated (*n* = 10). Cell wall genes up-regulated in filamentous

clade V (*n* = 8) included the novel adhesin *SCF1*, GPI-anchored genes *PGA31* and *IFF4*, and orthologues

of classical *C. albicans* hyphal adhesins *ALS3* and *RBR3*. We identified differentially expressed

transcription factors and related regulators, such as *HAP41* and the pheromone receptor *STE2*, which

could play a governing role on these responses.

We examined significant DEGs between clade V and at least one other clade using mean-log fold

change (**Figure S5D**). Several genes that play critical roles in *C. albicans* hypha formation were highly

up-regulated, such as transcription factor *UME6* and hypha-specific G1 cyclin-dependent protein

serine/threonine kinase *HGC1*. Enrichment analysis indicated up-regulated gene sets involving CFEM

domain, cytochrome P450/oxidoreductase activity, hypha-regulated cell wall GPI-anchored proteins and

siderophore transport, particularly at 24 HPI and comparing clade V to clade II (**Figure S5D**). Significantly

up-regulated iron-related transport genes in clade V included *FRE3*, *CFL4*, *FRP1*, and *SIT1* genes 1487,

1499, 1519, 1542, and 4097. This is consistent with the preservation of a major filamentation programme

in *C. auris*, demonstrated in the basal clade V.

Given the prominence of *SIT1* orthologues across the transcriptomic analyses of *C. auris* during

in vivo gene expression, we set out to characterise the structural and evolutionary conservation of the

expanded gene family across orthogroups, including outgroup species *C. haemulonii* and *C. albicans*. We

performed phylogenetic analysis on proteins with sequence similarity across seven orthologue clusters, of

which two main groupings of transporters with 14 transmembrane domains (TMRs) emerged (**Figure 4**).

The first group included genes with functional annotation relating to siderophore import (including 12

genes in clade I). The second group included haem transmembrane import genes (including 5 genes in

clade I). Most of the orthologues retained 14 TMRs, and few had evidence of additional annotations

except for the trichothecene mycotoxin efflux pump PFAM domain across multiple groupings. The

orthologue *SIT1* 1547 contained 12 TMRs and was up-regulated with *SIT1* 1548 containing 2 TMRs,

which was not identified as a *SIT1* orthologue in our pipeline but has previously been described as such

(28, 81).

To explore the evolutionary history of *SIT1*-related genes in fungi, we identified 1,689 homologs

of *C. albicans SIT1* across 304 reference genomes from 226 fungal species, which clustered into two

branches: a smaller branch annotated for siderophore transport including the 12 *C. auris* groupings which
we have designated "xenosiderophore transporter candidates" (*XTC*), and a larger branch featuring
genes predicted (by GO term) to encode haem transporters, including the 5 *C. auris* groupings, which we
have additionally designated "haem transport-related" (*HTR*, **Figure S6A**). There were no putative haem
transporters or members of the larger branch for *C. albicans*, which only contained the single *SIT1* gene.
The most prominent expansion of the *XTC* branch in the *Metschnikowiaceae* clade was in *C. haemulonii*
(*n* = 15), followed by *C. auris* (*n* = 12). *XTC* orthologues were not present in any members of the basal
Blasocladiomycota, *Chytridiomycota* and *Mucoromycota*, or in several *Ascomycota* (e.g. *Pichia*
kudriavzevii, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Pyricularia oryzae*) and Basidiomycota (e.g. *Ustilago*
maydis). Common human fungal pathogens such as *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *C. albicans* and
Nakaseomyces glabratus contained only one *XTC* orthologue (**Figure S6B**). The *HTR* clade, by contrast,
was more prominent across fungal phyla, with as many as nine in *Mucor* species, 16 in *A. flavus* and 22
in *F. solani*. We therefore propose a naming of these two expanded gene families as *XTC1-12* and *HTR1-*
5 in *C. auris*.
295
C. auris demonstrates clade-specific *XTC* presence-absence polymorphisms including the
absence of *XTC5* in clade V, *XTC10* in clade IV, and *XTC4*, *XTC9* and *XTC11* in clade II (**Figure S6C**).
Clade II exhibited the greatest degree of *XTC* gene loss. Additionally, the AK embryo expressed *HMOX*
genes during clade IV infection, while clade IV up-regulated *HTR1*, *HTR2* and *HTR5*. This suggests a
specific host-pathogen interaction axis in nutritional immunity related to haem scavenging. No other
clades of infection were associated with such iron-related functional enrichment in the host, and no other
clades expressed more than one *HTR* gene. Overall, eleven *XTC* genes were differentially expressed in-
host compared to *in vitro* growth, including up-regulation of *XTC1*, *XTC2*, *XTC3*, *XTC4*, *XTC6*, *XTC7*,
XTC11 and *XTC12*, and down-regulation of *XTC8*, *XTC9* and *XTC12* in at least one clade. In-host up-
regulation of *XTC3* and *XTC7* was significant across all clades. Additionally, the more virulent clades
were associated with up-regulation of *XTC4*, *XTC5*, and *XTC8*. The filamentous clade V up-regulated
XTC7 across comparisons with all other clades, and up-regulated *XTC1*, *XTC4*, *XTC8* and *XTC9*
compared to at least one other clade.
309
310 **Discussion**
311
We have described a model for comparative functional genomics of *C. auris* in-host survival using
AK yolk-sac microinjections at a clinically relevant temperature in living tissue of a host featuring
mammalian-relevant innate immunity. All five clades demonstrated lethality, with a increase in virulence
during clades I and IV infections, consistent with clinical and laboratory findings (15). In-host growth was
similar across all clades and high enough to indicate a large enough *in vivo* fungal burden for robust bulk

transcriptome interrogation across the species. The contained nature of the yolk-sac provides a host

tissue growth medium that enables the assessment of pathogen survival and virulence strategies *in vivo*

and a straightforward experimental unit of host tissue without the challenges of pathogen RNA isolation

such as locating foci of infection in larger animals. Additional time-points could be an informative future

area of study to develop fine-resolution strain-specific transcription networks. Furthermore, the potential

of a translucent and genetically tractable fish embryo model could enable future visualisation of cellular

dynamics and genetic pathway manipulation (74). Thus, an AK yolk-sac microinjection model has the

potential to fill a substantial need for *in vivo* gene expression studies in general.

We investigated the host transcriptional response to *C. auris* infection, which featured an innate

immune response, as expected. The most highly up-regulated gene family included two haem oxygenase

(*HMOX*) genes. *HMOX* genes have been shown to mask iron from invading pathogens as a feature of

anti-*Candida* nutritional immunity (82), so presumably have a similar function against *C. auris*. This was

particularly notable given *HMOX* genes were up-regulated in response to clade IV infection, and the clade

IV isolate had a corresponding significant up-regulation of 3 haem transport-related *HTR* genes. In

addition to nutritional immunity genes, we found up-regulated heat shock and complement cascade

genes during infection, confirming the expected immune response to fungal infection (63, 64). Genes with

complex roles in immunity were up-regulated, such as *NLRP3*, for which *C. auris* may be less activating

than e.g. *C. albicans* (25, 83). It is notable that the yolk-sac model was not associated with histological or

transcriptional evidence of host neutrophil recruitment and activation, which may relate to the hypothesis

that *C. auris* is immunoevasive (31). Reference genome bias resulting from the available adult gill

transcriptome assembly (84) may limit detection of host gene expression features, so future long and

short read assembly from gene expression data across a range of larval stages could further

understanding of AK immunity.

We provided a thorough investigation of differential expression by *C. auris* during infection of host

tissue compared with *in vitro* conditions for the first time. The lack of a major distinction across time-points

(24 *vs* 48 HPI) indicates that the transcriptional activity of *C. auris* in-host was highly similar at these

stages. Six functional annotation terms (GO, KEGG pathway and PFAM domain) were significantly

enriched among genes that were up-regulated across all five clades, including sugar and siderophore

transporters. The enrichment of sugar transporters outside of nutrient-rich YPD-broth is unsurprising,

such as high-affinity glucose transporter *HGT12*, with evidence of redundancy in *C. albicans* (85–88), and

HGT2, part of an eight-gene "core filamentation response network" in *C. albicans* (89). More surprising

was the down-regulation of putative virulence factors such as Secreted Aspartyl Protease 3 (*SAP3*),

thought to be the most important SAP in *C. auris*, and required for virulence in a mouse model (23), and

Copper Superoxide Dismutase *SOD6*, which has been shown to be down-regulated in iron starvation

(90). Multi-drug efflux pumps such as *MDR2* (down-regulated *in vivo*) and *MDR1* (down-regulated *in vivo*

and in clades I and IV *vs* clades II and III) are understood to drive drug resistance through multiple but

unclear mechanisms in *C. auris* (91, 92); in-host down-regulation may indicate a fitness trade-off for

virulence *vs* drug resistance.

Mating type locus (*MTL*) genes have been hypothesised to contribute to virulence in fungal

species including *Cryptococcus neoformans* (93). Given that *C. auris* is assumed not to undergo meiotic

recombination (94), there is a question of what role *MTL*-associated genes play in pathogenesis and

morphology. Two of the three non-mating genes (*PAP1* and *PIKA*) in the *MTL* were up-regulated by more

virulent strains (I and IV) compared to the less virulent strains (II and III). Importantly, the two more

virulent clades (I and IV) contain *MTLa* rather than *MTLα* (clades II & III) (21), and clades V and VI

contain *MTLa* and *MTLα* respectively (11). Thus, it is unclear if the up-regulation of non-mating genes is a

MTL specific transcriptional nuance, or a feature of more highly virulent *C. auris* clades. The *MTL* also did

not feature prominently in the filamentation response observed in clade V, providing no evidence for an

obvious role for the *MTL* in such morphological changes.

Filamentation is an important pathogenicity trait in fungi, especially *Candida* species, although its

roles have been more poorly described in aquatic infections (95). We observed *in vivo* filamentation by a

representative strain of *C. auris* clade V, the basal/ancestral clade (96), accompanied by a genera-typical

filamentous gene expression signature. Specifically, we measured the up-regulation of *HGC1* and *UME6*,

which are two of the most important regulators of filamentation in *C. albicans*, and have recently been

described as drivers of *C. auris* filamentation and biofilm formation (97). The expression of adhesin *SCF1*

in filamenting clade V is noteworthy because its cationic adhesion mechanism has been postulated to be

consistent with adhesion mechanisms common to marine organisms including bivalves (19). The

generalist marine-isolated pathogen *C. haemulonii* has also displayed filamentation competence at lower

temperatures (98), consistent with an ancestral aquatic state.

Human histopathology reports of *C. auris* infection are rarely published and have not

demonstrated classical in-host filamentation, to our knowledge. An *ex vivo* human epithelial model using

a clade I isolate did demonstrate filamentous *C. auris* at 37 °C after injection into deeper dermis (99).

Clade III *C. auris* has also been observed to form filamentous/hyphal forms in *Galleria mellonella* injection

(58). Fan and colleagues have observed filamentous forms of *C. auris* across all four clades, even

demonstrating a higher virulence in the *G. mellonella* model (100), though the phenotype required

passage through a murine host with additional prolonged growth at 25 °C for at least 5 d before and after

injection (17). Other stimuli have induced filamentation in clade I isolates, such as hydroxyurea genotoxic

stress (101) and Hsp90 inhibition (102), where several up-regulated genes in *C. auris* filamentation

(*SCF1*, *IFF4* and *PGA31*) matched those in our study. Constitutive filamentous growth has also been

demonstrated with a transposon-mediated disruption of a long non-coding RNA (103), which sit adjacent

to chitin deacetylase *CDA2*, which was down-regulated at 24 HPI in our experiment during filamentation.

These findings indicate that hyphal formation is possible across *C. auris* clades.

A total of twelve siderophore transporters were up-regulated during infection, and four were

down-regulated, with three family members up-regulated in more virulent clades and five in the

filamentous clade V. The fact that siderophore transporter up-regulation has also been detected during

ex vivo whole blood infection by *C. auris* underscores the likelihood that our findings are clinically relevant

(28). Interestingly, this family of predicted xenosiderophore transporter genes is significantly expanded in

several members of the *Metschnikowiaceae* clade compared to other *Saccharomycetae* (21), raising

questions as to the roles of siderophore transporters in *C. auris* biology and evolution (104). Siderophore

synthesis may be unlikely in *C. auris*, given the lack of evidence in other *Candida* species (105). As a

result, *C. albicans* Sit1, which is required for virulence (106), is thought to be a xenosiderophore

transporter for iron-chelators produced by other microbes and specific to the ferrioxamine type common in

iron-poor ocean environments (107, 108). Siderophore transporters can also act intracellularly for

compartments such as the mitochondrion (109, 110), or act as efflux pumps for various molecules (111)

including trichothecene mycotoxins as identified by our functional annotations.

C. auris encodes a large expanded gene family of 17 siderophore transporters that we named

xenosiderophore transporter candidates (*XTC*) 1-12 and haem transport-related (*HTR*) genes 1-5, the

latter sharing sequence similarity with *S. pombe* low-affinity haem transporter Str3 (112). In other *Candida*

species such as *C. albicans*, iron assimilation is understood to be central for in-host survival (113, 114),

where deprivation triggers various pathogenic programmes such as alterations in cell surface beta-glucan

(115). Haem is acquired by *C. albicans* through vesicular endocytosis and CFEM-domain containing

proteins (116, 117) and does not contain *HTR* genes, suggesting a possible loss of transmembrane haem

transporters. The ubiquity of *HTR* across the fungal kingdom and lack of *XTC* genes in the three basal

fungal phyla suggest that *SIT1*/*HTC* could have arisen from a duplication of an *HTR*-related gene in the

Dikarya (the *Ascomycota* and *Basidiomycota*) with subsequent independent loss of both *XTC* and *HTC*

genes in multiple fungal lineages. Our identification of up-regulated *XTC* transport during infection

corroborates the findings of up-regulated *XTC2A-B* (*B9J08_1547-8*) in an *ex vivo* blood model of *C. auris*

infection (28). These findings together underline the importance of iron metabolism during infection, which

could be targeted in the clinic, e.g. *via* low-toxicity pyrvinium pamoate (118, 119).

We show for the first time an *in vivo* infection host tissue transcriptional profile for the critical

priority WHO human fungal pathogen *C. auris*. Representative strains from all five major clades of the

recent simultaneous emergences highlighted strain-specific differences in morphology, virulence and

gene expression. This study highlights the advantages and potential of a clinically relevant thermotolerant

yolk-sac injection model, adding to the debate around the putative marine origins of *C. auris*, and the

emergence of distinct clades. We demonstrate natural filamentation by clade V and virulence difference

for clades I and IV, and comprehensively describe associated gene expression. We indicate the

importance of the *XTC* and *HTR* gene clusters as recommended targets for investigation of fungal

biology, pathogenicity and treatment. These gene mechanisms likely enable *C. auris* to survive in host

tissue and provide a step forward in understanding the pathobiology of an emerging fungal pathogen and

global public health threat.

Materials and methods

Full details are given in SI Appendix. Briefly, wild-type adult AK were kept at the Aquatic Resources

Facility, Exeter, under Project Licence PP4402521. AK were kept in two 28 °C incubation tanks, with 12-

hour day and night light cycles and artificial enrichment foliage. Embryos were collected using three

plastic netted collection chambers per tank. Injection needles were prepared using borosilicate glass

capillaries (Harvard apparatus, USA) and a heated pulling system (heater level >62, PC-10, Narishige,

UK). We used tweezers to snap the tip to an estimated 1 mm graticule-measured aperture of 10-20 µm,

and sterilised needles before use with 253.7 nm UV light over 15 min (Environmental Validation

Solutions, UK). Injection bays were made with microwaved 1% agarose (Sigma, UK) in 1 g per 100 mL

ASW for up to 24 embryos in 100 mm petri dishes using custom-made molds in an inverted 60 mm petri

dish. Embryos were randomly chosen from each dish and the sequence of injection for each biological

repeat was randomly allocated by computer. *C. auris* yeasts were counted by haemocytometer and

added to 25 µL sterile filtered phenol red solution 0.5% (Sigma, UK) to a concentration of 0.5×10^8 per mL

in 100 µL. Injection needles were loaded with 5 µL injection solution using 20 µL Microloader EpT.I.P.S.

(Eppendorf, UK) immediately before injection of up to 30 embryos before re-loading. Injections were

performed with 400-500 hPa injection pressure and 7-40 hPa compensation pressure over 100-200 ms

with the FemtoJet 4i (Eppendorf UK) using a micromanipulator (World Precision Instruments, UK) and a

Microtec HM-3 stereo dissection microscope (Optech, UK). To deliver a dose of approx. 500 yeasts in

10 nL solution, an injection bolus was administered to an estimated diameter of 0.2 – 0.3 mm (10-15% of

total embryo diameter) *via* a single chorion puncture. Any embryos that failed to meet these criteria for

injection were excluded. Control *in vitro* inoculations were microinjected as above into 1 mL YPD in

1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes before incubation without shaking at 37 °C for 24 h contemporaneously with

embryos as below.

We used an unblinded prospective virulence study comparing six groups of AK embryo yolk-sac
microinjection, including clades I-V of *C. auris*, and sham injections as the negative control. We used ≥23
embryos per condition based on a power calculation of σ = 0.25, significance = 0.05, power = 0.9, and δ
effect size of 25% mortality (two-sided t-test). After excluding embryos that were unhealthy or did not
complete successful microinjection, We included *n* = 10-13 embryos per group per experiment (total
n = 34-37 embryos per group). RNA was extracted from three embryos per condition per time-point (0, 24
and 48 HPI, *n* = 54) plus three embryos per time-point without any injection (*n* = 9, total *n* = 63) as a
control for the impact of microinjection.

We selected five *C. auris* strains to represent each major clade (**Table S1**). Culture stocks were
kept in -80 °C in Yeast extract Peptone Dextrose (YPD) broth (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) containing 25%
glycerol (ThermoFisher, UK) and plated onto YPD-agar (Sigma-Aldrich) for 48 h before inoculation and
overnight growth in 10 mL YPD broth at 30 °C in a shaking incubator at 200 RPM (Multitron, Infors HT,
UK). Five mL from each overnight culture was then centrifuged at 5000 g for 2 min before triple washing
in 1 mL autoclaved deionised water (DIW, Milli-Q, Merck, USA) to prevent aggregation (20), then re-
suspended again in 200 µL DIW.

Individual embryos were kept in 1 mL sterile filtered ASW per embryo in a 48 well flat bottom
plate (Corning, USA) sealed with Parafilm "M" (Bemis Company, USA) at 37 °C with passive
humidification using a 500 mL open glass beaker of water. Embryos were monitored for presence of heart
rate at 24-hourly intervals up to 7 d by stereo dissection microscope. Initial time of injection was
subtracted from each time-point to minimise bias between groups. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were
plotted with Log-Rank testing and Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) correction (120) using survival v.3.5.8 and
survminer v.0.4.9 packages in R v.4.4.0. Colony forming units (CFUs) were calculated by homogenisation
of embryos at 0, 24, and 48 HPI by autoclaved plastic micropestle in a 1.5 mL conical tube (Eppendorf,
UK) in 100 µL 10,000 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (P/S) solution (Gibco, UK).

Dilutions were made with P/S solution and DIW in 1/10-10,000 and counts were adjusted
according to dilution after plating onto YPD agar plates and read after 72 h incubation at 30 °C. Statistical
testing between CFU numbers was performed with Wilcoxon testing and BH correction.

Total RNA was extracted using the Monarch® Total RNA Miniprep Kit (NEB, USA). Embryos
were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, re-suspended in DNA/RNA Protection Reagent and disrupted with an
autoclaved plastic micropestle. Bead beating was performed in a FastPrep 24 homogeniser (MP
Biomedicals) with 0.5 mm zirconia/silica beads for 8 cycles of 35 s at 6 m/s plus 45 s on ice. Lysate was
recovered and incubated for 5 at 55 °C with proteinase K before addition of lysis buffer and RNA

purification following manufacturer's instructions. Library preparation and sequencing were performed by

the Exeter Sequencing Service. Samples were normalised and prepared using the NEBNext Ultra II

Directional RNA Library Prep - Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module following the standard protocol.

Libraries were sequenced on a Novaseq 6000 sequencer with 150bp paired-end reads. Reads were

trimmed with fastp (121) v.0.23.1 to remove reads <75 bases and trim based from the 3' end with q-score

<22. Quality control was performed with FastQC (https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC) v.0.11.9 and

MultiQC (122) v.1.6.

We aligned sequences ($\bar{x}$ = 52.9 m reads per replicate, range 41.1-112.2 m) using the Trinity

pipeline (123) v.2.15.1 to the *C. auris* clade I B8441 reference genome (GCA_002759435.2) (21)

downloaded from GenBank (mean aligned reads in infected embryos 3.83 m, 0.81-13.1%). We further

aligned clade-specific reference genomes for each strain (**Table S1**), including clade II

(GCA_003013715.2) (4), III (GCA_002775015.1)(12), IV (GCA_008275145.1)(12) and V

(GCA_016809505.1)(96, 124, 125). We aligned conditions containing *A. dispar* to the *de novo* GJEY01

adult gill transcriptome assembly (84) downloaded from GenBank, for which we detected expression over

27,481 potential genes. Alignment was performed with Bowtie2 (126) v.2.5.1, transcript estimation with

RNA Seq by Expectation Maximisation (RSEM) (127) v.1.3.3, differential expression with EdgeR (128)

v.3.40.0, Samtools (129) v.1.17 and limma (130) v.3.54.0 using a false discovery rate (FDR) p-value cut-

off of 0.001 and a log fold change cut-off of +/-2.0. Principal components were calculated and plotted

using the prcomp function in Rv4.4.0 and ggfortify v.0.4.17 R package for fragments per kilobase per

million, and correlations plots from counts per million as per the default output of the Trinity pipeline. Log

fold change was plotted with ComplexHeatmap (131).

Gene ontology terms (132, 133) were assigned using DIAMOND (134) v.2.1.8 *via* the

DIAMOND2GO pipeline (135) for each of the *C. auris* reference genomes. KEGG terms (136) were

assigned using the BlastKOALA online platform using the eukaryote database (137). Protein FAMily

(PFAM) domains (138) were identified using the PFAM-A.hmm database

(https://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/Pfam/current_release/Pfam-A.full.gz) and HMMER and HMM Scan

(139) with a cut-off of 1e^{-5}. Further domain-specific identification was performed with SignalP 5.0 (140),

DeepTMHMM 1.0 (141) and NetGPI 1.1 (142). To identify accessory genes across *C. auris* clades, we

used the Synima pipeline (143) with Orthofinder v2.5.5 (144) with additional reference genomes for

C. albicans (SC5314_GCA_000182965.3) and *C. haemulonii* (B11899_ASM1933202v1). Multiple

alignment of single copy orthologues as defined by Orthofinder was performed with Muscle v5.1 (145)

and a phylogeny was made with FastTree v2.1.11 (146). We visualised the structure using Alphafold v3

(147) and ChimeraX v1.8 (148). Clade I-V *C. auris* and *C. haemulonii SIT1* genes were aligned using

Muscle as above before using RAXML-NG v1.2.2 with 1000 bootstraps and the LG4X model (149), which

was visualised using ggtree v3.12.0.

Data availability: Raw reads have been deposited *via* NCBI/GEO *via* accession GSE277854. All

datasets and code required to make figures are available *via* Github

(https://github.com/hughgifford/Arabian_Killifish_C_auris_2024).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding from the MRC Centre for Medical Mycology at the University of Exeter

(MR/N006364/2, MR/V033417/1), MRC Doctoral Training Grants MR/P501955/2 and MR/W502649/1,

Wellcome Trust (206412/A/17/Z), Wellcome Trust Career Development Award (215239/Z/19/Z) and

Wellcome Trust Fellowship (219551/Z/19/Z), National Centre for the Replacement, Refinement and

Reduction of Animals in Research (NC3Rs) (NC/X001121/1), and the NIHR Exeter Biomedical Research

Centre. TB also was supported *via* Elizabeth Ballou and a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the

Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (211241/Z/18/Z) and the Lister Institute. The views expressed are

those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

We thank staff in the Aquatic Resource Center at the University of Exeter for husbandry of the fish and

the support for developing protocols for feeding, spawning, and raising new generations of this relatively

new model species, including Sam Worthington, Chloe Flint and Richard Silcox. We also thank the Exeter

Sequencing Service facility and support from Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund

(WT097835MF), Wellcome Trust Multi User Equipment Awards (WT101650MA and 218247/Z/19/Z),

Medical Research Council Clinical Infrastructure Funding (MR/M008924/1) and BBSRC LOLA award

(BB/K003240/1). We also thank the University of Exeter High-Performance Computing (HPC) facility

funded by the UK MRC Clinical Research Infrastructure Initiative (award number MR/M008924/1). We

thank Tanmoy Chakraborty, Dhara Malavia and Neil Gow for providing *C. auris* strains, Teigan Veale,

Sumita Roy, Jonathan Ball and Darren Thomson for assistance in microscopy and Chloe Pelletier for

advice on aggregation. We also thank Microtechnical Services, Exeter, UK, for assistance with

histological sectioning.

References

1.   J. F. Meis, A. Chowdhary, *Candida auris*: A global fungal public health threat. *The Lancet*

Infectious Diseases **18**, 1298–1299 (2018).

2.   WHO, World Health Organisation (WHO) fungal priority pathogens list to guide research,

development and public health action. (2022).

https://doi.org/https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240060241.

3.   W. G. Lee, *et al.*, First three reported cases of nosocomial fungemia caused by *Candida auris*.
Journal of Clinical Microbiology **49**, 4 (2011).

4.   K. Satoh, *et al.*, *Candida auris* sp. Nov., a novel ascomycetous yeast isolated from the external
ear canal of an inpatient in a Japanese hospital. *Microbiology and Immunology* **53**, 41–44 (2009).

5.   D. W. Eyre, *et al.*, A *Candida auris* outbreak and its control in an intensive care setting. *New*
England Journal of Medicine **379**, 1322–1331 (2018).

6.   S. K. Taori, *et al.*, *Candida auris* outbreak: Mortality, interventions and cost of sustaining control.
Journal of Infection **79**, 601–611 (2019).

7.   L. Shuping, *et al.*, High prevalence of *Candida auris* colonization during protracted neonatal unit
outbreak, South Africa. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* (2023). https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2909.230393.

8.   R. D. Adam, *et al.*, Analysis of *Candida auris* fungemia at a single facility in Kenya. *International*
Journal of Infectious Diseases **85**, 182–187 (2019).

9.   P. S. Prayag, S. Patwardhan, S. Panchakshari, P. A. Rajhans, A. Prayag, The dominance of
Candida auris: A single-center experience of 79 episodes of candidemia from western India. *Indian*
Journal of Critical Care Medicine **26**, 560–563 (2022).

10.   T. Khan, *et al.*, Emergence of the novel sixth *Candida auris* clade VI in Bangladesh. *Microbiology*
Spectrum **12**, e03540–23 (2024).

11.   C. Suphavilai, *et al.*, Detection and characterisation of a sixth *Candida auris* clade in Singapore: A
genomic and phenotypic study. *Lancet Microbe* **0** (2024).

12.   S. R. Lockhart, *et al.*, Simultaneous emergence of multidrug-resistant *Candida auris* on 3
continents confirmed by whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological analyses. *Clinical Infectious*
Diseases **64**, 134–140 (2017).

13.   H. Gifford, J. Rhodes, R. A. Farrer, The diverse genomes of *Candida auris*. *The Lancet Microbe* **0**
(2024).

14.   S. A. Byun, *et al.*, Virulence traits and azole resistance in Korean *Candida auris* Isolates. *Journal*
of Fungi **9**, 979 (2023).

15.   D. J. Santana, G. Zhao, T. R. O'Meara, The many faces of *Candida auris*: Phenotypic and strain
variation in an emerging pathogen. *PLOS Pathogens* **20**, e1012011 (2024).

16.   J. Chen, *et al.*, Is the superbug fungus really so scary? A systematic review and meta-analysis of
global epidemiology and mortality of *Candida auris*. *BMC Infectious Diseases* **20**, 827 (2020).

17.   H. Yue, *et al.*, Filamentation in *Candida auris*, an emerging fungal pathogen of humans: Passage
through the mammalian body induces a heritable phenotypic switch. *Emerging Microbes & Infections* **7**,
1–13 (2018).

18.   C. Chadwick, M. D. Jesus, F. Ginty, J. S. Martinez, Pathobiology of *Candida auris* infection
analyzed by multiplexed imaging and single cell analysis. *PLOS ONE* **19**, e0293011 (2024).

19.   D. J. Santana, *et al.*, A *Candida auris*-specific adhesin, Scf1, governs surface association,
colonization, and virulence. *Science* **381**, 1461–1467 (2023).

20.   C. Pelletier, S. Shaw, S. Alsayegh, A. J. P. Brown, A. Lorenz, *Candida auris* undergoes adhesin-
dependent and -independent cellular aggregation. *PLOS Pathogens* **20**, e1012076 (2024).

21.   J. F. Muñoz, *et al.*, Genomic insights into multidrug-resistance, mating and virulence in *Candida*
auris and related emerging species. *Nature Communications* **9**, 5346 (2018).

22.   J. P. Richardson, *et al.*, Candidalysins are a new family of cytolytic fungal peptide toxins. *mBio*
13, e03510–21 (2022).

23.   J.-S. Kim, K.-T. Lee, Y.-S. Bahn, Secreted aspartyl protease 3 regulated by the Ras/cAMP/PKA
pathway promotes the virulence of *Candida auris*. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **13**,
1257897 (2023).

24.   M. V. Horton, *et al.*, *Candida auris* cell wall mannosylation contributes to neutrophil evasion
through pathways divergent from *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata*. *mSphere* **6**, e00406–21
(2021).

25.   H. Weerasinghe, *et al.*, *Candida auris* uses metabolic strategies to escape and kill macrophages
while avoiding robust activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome response. *Cell Reports* **42**, 112522 (2023).

26.   M. Lyman, Notes from the field: Transmission of pan-resistant and echinocandin-resistant
Candida auris in health care facilities - Texas and the District of Columbia, January-April 2021. *Morbidity*
and Mortality Weekly Report **70** (2021).

27.   T. W. Wang, *et al.*, Functional redundancy in *Candida auris* cell surface adhesins crucial for cell-
cell interaction and aggregation. *Nature Communications* **15**, 9212 (2024).

28.   S. Allert, *et al.*, From environmental adaptation to host survival: Attributes that mediate
pathogenicity of *Candida auris*. *Virulence* **13**, 191–214 (2022).

29.   B. Fayed, *et al.*, Transcriptome analysis of human dermal cells infected with *Candida auris*
identified unique pathogenesis/defensive mechanisms particularly ferroptosis. *Mycopathologia* **189**, 65
(2024).

30.   B. Yang, *et al.*, A correlative study of the genomic underpinning of virulence traits and drug
tolerance of *Candida auris*. *Infection and Immunity* **0**, e00103–24 (2024).

31.   A. Balakumar, *et al.*, Single-cell transcriptomics unveils skin cell specific antifungal immune
responses and IL-1Ra- IL-1R immune evasion strategies of emerging fungal pathogen *Candida auris*.
PLOS Pathogens **20**, e1012699 (2024).

32.   M. Bruno, *et al.*, Transcriptional and functional insights into the host immune response against the
emerging fungal pathogen *Candida auris*. *Nature Microbiology* **5**, 1516–1531 (2020).

33.   Y. Wang, *et al.*, Innate immune responses against the fungal pathogen *Candida auris*. *Nature*
Communications **13**, 3553 (2022).

34.   X. Wang, *et al.*, The first isolate of *Candida auris* in China: Clinical and biological aspects.
Emerging Microbes & Infections **7**, 1–9 (2018).

35.   S. Fan, C. Li, J. Bing, G. Huang, H. Du, Discovery of the diploid form of the emerging fungal
pathogen *Candida auris*. *ACS Infectious Diseases* **6**, 2641–2646 (2020).

36.   S. Fan, *et al.*, A biological and genomic comparison of a drug-resistant and a drug-susceptible
strain of *Candida auris* isolated from Beijing, China. *Virulence* **12**, 1388–1399 (2021).

37.   S. Wurster, N. D. Albert, D. P. Kontoyiannis, *Candida auris* bloodstream infection induces
upregulation of the PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint pathway in an immunocompetent mouse model.
mSphere **7**, e00817–21 (2022).

38.   J. Bing, *et al.*, Rapid evolution of an adaptive multicellular morphology of *Candida auris* during
systemic infection. *Nature Communications* **15**, 2381 (2024).

39.   R. Ben-Ami, *et al.*, Multidrug-resistant *Candida haemulonii* and *C. auris*, Tel Aviv, Israel.
Emerging Infectious Diseases **23** (2017).

40.   C. L. Hager, E. L. Larkin, L. A. Long, M. A. Ghannoum, Evaluation of the efficacy of rezafungin, a
novel echinocandin, in the treatment of disseminated *Candida auris* infection using an
immunocompromised mouse model. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **73**, 2085–2088 (2018).

41.   M. Zhao, *et al.*, *In vivo* pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of APX001 against *Candida*
spp. In a neutropenic disseminated candidiasis mouse model. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*
62, e02542–17 (2018).

42.   S. Singh, *et al.*, The NDV-3A vaccine protects mice from multidrug resistant *Candida auris*
infection. *PLOS Pathogens* **15**, e1007460 (2019).

43.   F. Zhang, *et al.*, A marine microbiome antifungal targets urgent-threat drug-resistant fungi.
Science **370**, 974–978 (2020).

44.   J. E. Muñoz, *et al.*, Pathogenicity levels of Colombian strains of *Candida auris* and Brazilian
strains of *Candida haemulonii* species complex in both murine and *Galleria mellonella* experimental
models. *Journal of Fungi* **6**, 104 (2020).

45.   M. Abe, *et al.*, Potency of gastrointestinal colonization and virulence of *Candida auris* in a murine
endogenous candidiasis. *PLoS One* **15**, e0243223 (2020).

46.   L. Forgács, *et al.*, Comparison of in vivo pathogenicity of four *Candida auris* clades in a
neutropenic bloodstream infection murine model. *Emerging Microbes & Infections* **9**, 1160–1169 (2020).

47.   F. Nagy, *et al.*, In vitro and in vivo interaction of caspofungin with isavuconazole against *Candida*
auris planktonic cells and biofilms. *Medical Mycology* **59**, 1015–1023 (2021).

48.   L. Forgács, *et al.*, In vivo efficacy of amphotericin B against four *Candida auris* clades. *Journal of*
Fungi (Basel, Switzerland) **8**, 499 (2022).

49.   S. Singh, *et al.*, Protective efficacy of anti-Hyr1p monoclonal antibody against systemic
candidiasis due to multi-drug-resistant *Candida auris*. *Journal of Fungi* **9**, 103 (2023).

50.   E. A. Salama, *et al.*, Lansoprazole interferes with fungal respiration and acts synergistically with
amphotericin B against multidrug-resistant *Candida auris. Emerging Microbes & Infections* **13**, 2322649
(2024).

51.   S. R. Torres, *et al.*, Impact of *Candida auris* infection in a neutropenic murine model.
Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy **64**, e01625–19 (2020).

52.   H. Xin, F. Mohiuddin, J. Tran, A. Adams, K. Eberle, Experimental mouse models of disseminated
Candida auris infection. *mSphere* **4**, e00339–19 (2019).

53.   J. Rosario-Colon, K. Eberle, A. Adams, E. Courville, H. Xin, *Candida* cell-surface-specific
monoclonal antibodies protect mice against *Candida auris* invasive infection. *International Journal of*
Molecular Sciences **22**, 6162 (2021).

54.   S. R. Torres, *et al.*, Assessment of environmental and occupational exposure while working with
multidrug resistant (MDR) fungus *Candida auris* in an animal facility. *Journal of Occupational and*
Environmental Hygiene **16**, 507–518 (2019).

55.   A. M. Borman, Of mice and men and larvae: *Galleria mellonella* to model the early host-pathogen
interactions after fungal infection. *Virulence* **9**, 9–12 (2017).

56.   D. Romera, *et al.*, The *Galleria mellonella* infection model as a system to investigate the virulence
of *Candida auris* strains. *Pathogens and Disease* **78**, ftaa067 (2020).

57.   L. C. García-Carnero, *et al.*, Early virulence predictors during the *Candida* species–*Galleria*
mellonella interaction. *Journal of Fungi* **6**, 152 (2020).

58.   V. Garcia-Bustos, *et al.*, Characterization of the differential pathogenicity of *Candida auris* in a
Galleria mellonella infection model. *Microbiology Spectrum* **9**, e00013–21 (2021).

59.   S. K. Carvajal, *et al.*, Pathogenicity assessment of Colombian strains of *Candida auris* in the
Galleria mellonella invertebrate model. *Journal of Fungi* **7**, 401 (2021).

60.   A. Hernando-Ortiz, *et al.*, Virulence of *Candida auris* from different clinical origins in
Caenorhabditis elegans and *Galleria mellonella* host models. *Virulence* **12**, 1063–1075 (2021).

61.   K. Spettel, *et al.*, *Candida auris* in Austria—what is new and what is different. *Journal of Fungi* **9**,
129 (2023).

62.   S. Wurster, *et al.*, *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model to study virulence and azole treatment of
the emerging pathogen *Candida auris*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **74**, 1904–1910 (2019).

63.   V. Harpf, G. Rambach, R. Würzner, C. Lass-Flörl, C. Speth, *Candida* and complement: New
aspects in an old battle. *Frontiers in Immunology* **11**, 1471 (2020).

64.   J. Pechacek, M. S. Lionakis, Host defense mechanisms against *Candida auris*. *Expert Review of
Anti-infective Therapy* **21**, 1087–1096 (2023).

65.   L. Bavia, L. E. Santiesteban-Lores, M. C. Carneiro, M. M. Prodocimo, Advances in the
complement system of a teleost fish, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **123**, 61–74
(2022).

66.   K. Buchmann, A. M. Karami, Y. Duan, The early ontogenetic development of immune cells and
organs in teleosts. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **146**, 109371 (2024).

67.   H. Tian, *et al.*, Cytokine networks provide sufficient evidence for the differentiation of CD4+ T
cells in teleost fish. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **141**, 104627 (2023).

68.   C. J. Johnson, J. M. Davis, A. Huttenlocher, J. F. Kernien, J. E. Nett, Emerging fungal pathogen
Candida auris evades neutrophil attack. *mBio* **9**, e01403–18 (2018).

69.   S. Pharkjaksu, N. Boonmee, C. Mitrpant, P. Ngamskulrungroj, Immunopathogenesis of emerging
Candida auris and *Candida haemulonii* strains. *Journal of Fungi* **7**, 725 (2021).

70.   R. S. Shapiro, L. E. Cowen, Uncovering cellular circuitry controlling temperature-dependent
fungal morphogenesis. *Virulence* **3**, 400–404 (2012).

71.   M. D. Leach, *et al.*, Hsf1 and Hsp90 orchestrate temperature-dependent global transcriptional
remodelling and chromatin architecture in *Candida albicans*. *Nature Communications* **7**, 11704 (2016).

72.   W. Xiao, *et al.*, Response and regulatory mechanisms of heat resistance in pathogenic fungi.
Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology **106**, 5415–5431 (2022).

73.   A. Akbarzadeh, E. H. Leder, Acclimation of killifish to thermal extremes of hot spring:
Transcription of gonadal and liver heat shock genes. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A:
Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **191**, 89–97 (2016).

74.   A. Hamied, *et al.*, Identification and characterization of highly fluorescent pigment cells in
embryos of the Arabian killifish (*Aphanius Dispar*). *iScience* **23**, 101674 (2020).

75.   A. Alsakran, *et al.*, Stage-by-stage exploration of normal embryonic development in the Arabian
killifish, *Aphanius dispar*. *Developmental Dynamics* **n/a** (2024).

76.   R. Minhas, *et al.*, The thermotolerant Arabian killifish, *Aphanius dispar*, as a novel infection model
for human fungal pathogens. 2024.10.08.617174 (2024).

77.   P. Arora, *et al.*, Environmental isolation of *Candida auris* from the coastal wetlands of Andaman
Islands, India. *mBio* **12**, e03181–20 (2021).

78.   P. Escandón, Novel environmental niches for *Candida auris*: Isolation from a coastal habitat in
Colombia. *Journal of Fungi* **8**, 748 (2022).

79.   V. Uden, M. C. Kolipinski, *Torulopsis haemulonii nov. spec.*, A yeast from the Atlantic Ocean.
Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek **28**, 78–80 (1962).

80.   N. Burden, K. Chapman, F. Sewell, V. Robinson, Pioneering better science through the 3Rs: An
introduction to the national centre for the replacement, refinement, and reduction of animals in research
(NC3Rs). *Journal of the American Association for Laboratory Animal Science* **54**, 198–208 (2015).

81.   M. S. Skrzypek, *et al.*, The *Candida* Genome Database (CGD): Incorporation of Assembly 22,
systematic identifiers and visualization of high throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**,
D592–D596 (2017).

82.   J. Potrykus, *et al.*, Fungal iron availability during deep seated candidiasis is defined by a complex
interplay involving systemic and local events. *PLOS pathogens* **9**, e1003676 (2013).

83.   L. Kasper, *et al.*, The fungal peptide toxin candidalysin activates the NLRP3 inflammasome and
causes cytolysis in mononuclear phagocytes. *Nature Communications* **9**, 4260 (2018).

84.   L. C. Bonzi, *et al.*, The time course of molecular acclimation to seawater in a euryhaline fish.
Scientific Reports **11**, 18127 (2021).

85.   A. Varma, *et al.*, Molecular cloning and functional characterisation of a glucose transporter,
CaHGT1, of *Candida albicans*. *FEMS microbiology letters* **182**, 15–21 (2000).

86.   L. Luo, X. Tong, P. C. Farley, The *Candida albicans* gene *HGT12* (Orf19.7094) encodes a
hexose transporter. *FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology* **51**, 14–17 (2007).

87.   V. Brown, J. A. Sexton, M. Johnston, A glucose sensor in Candida albicans. *Eukaryotic Cell* **5**,
1726–1737 (2006).

88.   D. E. Larcombe, *et al.*, Glucose-enhanced oxidative stress resistance-A protective anticipatory
response that enhances the fitness of *Candida albicans* during systemic infection. *PLOS Pathogens* **19**,
e1011505 (2023).

89.   R. Martin, *et al.*, A core filamentation response network in *Candida albicans* is restricted to eight
genes. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e58613 (2013).

90.   S. S. Schatzman, *et al.*, Copper-only superoxide dismutase enzymes and iron starvation stress in
Candida fungal pathogens. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **295**, 570–583 (2020).

91.   J. M. Rybak, *et al.*, Abrogation of triazole resistance upon deletion of *CDR1* in a clinical isolate of
Candida auris. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **63** (2019).

92.   J. Li, A. T. Coste, D. Bachmann, D. Sanglard, F. Lamoth, Deciphering the Mrr1/Mdr1 pathway in
azole resistance of *Candida auris*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **66**, e00067–22 (2022).

93.   S. Sun, M. A. Coelho, M. David-Palma, S. J. Priest, J. Heitman, The evolution of sexual
reproduction and the mating-type locus: Links to pathogenesis of *Cryptococcus* human pathogenic fungi.
Annual Review of Genetics **53**, 417–444 (2019).

94.   Y. Wang, J. Xu, Population genomic analyses reveal evidence for limited recombination in the
superbug *Candida auris* in nature. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal* **20**, 3030–3040
(2022).

95. D. P. Overy, T. Rämä, R. Oosterhuis, A. K. Walker, K.-L. Pang, The neglected marine fungi,
Sensu stricto, and their isolation for natural products' discovery. *Marine Drugs* **17**, 42 (2019).

96. N. A. Chow, *et al.*, Potential fifth clade of *Candida auris,* Iran, 2018. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*
25, 1780–1781 (2019).

97. M. Louvet, *et al.*, Ume6-dependent pathways of morphogenesis and biofilm formation in *Candida*
auris. *Microbiology Spectrum* **0**, e01531–24 (2024).

98. Y. Deng, S. Li, J. Bing, W. Liao, L. Tao, Phenotypic switching and filamentation in *Candida*
haemulonii, an emerging opportunistic pathogen of humans. *Microbiology Spectrum* **9**, e00779–21
(2021).

99. S. Seiser, *et al.*, Native human and mouse skin infection models to study *Candida auris*-host
interactions. *Microbes and Infection* **26**, 105234 (2024).

100. S. Fan, *et al.*, Filamentous growth is a general feature of *Candida auris* clinical isolates. *Medical*
Mycology **59**, 734–740 (2021).

101. G. Bravo Ruiz, Z. K. Ross, N. A. R. Gow, A. Lorenz, Pseudohyphal growth of the emerging
pathogen *Candida auris* is triggered by genotoxic stress through the S phase checkpoint. *mSphere* **5**,
e00151–20 (2020).

102. S. H. Kim, *et al.*, Genetic analysis of *Candida auris* implicates Hsp90 in morphogenesis and azole
tolerance and Cdr1 in Azole Resistance. *mBio* **10**, e02529–18 (2019).

103. J. Gao, *et al.*, LncRNA DINOR is a virulence factor and global regulator of stress responses in
Candida auris. *Nature Microbiology* **6**, 842–851 (2021).

104. A. D. Chybowska, D. S. Childers, R. A. Farrer, Nine things genomics can tell us about *Candida*
auris. *Frontiers in Genetics* **11**, 351 (2020).

105. A. Ismail, G. W. Bedell, D. M. Lupan, Siderophore production by the pathogenic yeast, *Candida*
albicans. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **130**, 885–891 (1985).

106. P. Heymann, *et al.*, The Siderophore iron transporter of *Candida albicans* (Sit1p/Arn1p) mediates
uptake of ferrichrome-type siderophores and Is required for epithelial invasion. *Infection and Immunity* **70**,
5246–5255 (2002).

107. R. M. Boiteau, *et al.*, Siderophore-based microbial adaptations to iron scarcity across the eastern
Pacific Ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 14237–14242 (2016).

108. J. Park, *et al.*, Siderophore production and utilization by marine bacteria in the North Pacific
Ocean. *Limnology and Oceanography* **68**, 1636–1653 (2023).

109. J. C. Renshaw, *et al.*, Fungal siderophores: Structures, functions and applications. *Mycological*
Research **106**, 1123–1142 (2002).

110. T. C. Johnstone, E. M. Nolan, Beyond iron: Non-classical biological functions of bacterial
siderophores. *Dalton Transactions* **44**, 6320–6339 (2015).

111. Y. Nakajima, *et al.*, Effect of disrupting the trichothecene efflux pump encoded by *FgTri12* in the
nivalenol chemotype of *Fusarium graminearum*. *The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology* **61**, 93–
96 (2015).

112. P. Xue, *et al.*, Heme sensing and trafficking in fungi. *Fungal Biology Reviews* **43**, 100286 (2023).

113. R. Fourie, O. O. Kuloyo, B. M. Mochochoko, J. Albertyn, C. H. Pohl, Iron at the Centre of *Candida*
albicans Interactions. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **8**, 185 (2018).

114. A. Alselami, R. A. Drummond, How metals fuel fungal virulence, yet promote anti-fungal
immunity. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* **16**, dmm050393 (2023).

115. A. Pradhan, *et al.*, Non-canonical signalling mediates changes in fungal cell wall PAMPs that
drive immune evasion. *Nature Communications* **10**, 5315 (2019).

116. Z. Weissman, D. Kornitzer, A family of *Candida* cell surface haem-binding proteins involved in
haemin and haemoglobin-iron utilization. *Molecular Microbiology* **53**, 1209–1220 (2004).

117. C. Ding, *et al.*, Conserved and divergent roles of Bcr1 and CFEM proteins in *Candida parapsilosis*
and Candida albicans. *PLOS ONE* **6**, e28151 (2011).

118. C. Simm, *et al.*, Disruption of iron homeostasis and mitochondrial metabolism are promising
targets to inhibit *Candida auris*. *Microbiology Spectrum* **10**, e00100–22 (2022).

119. J. Zhang, *et al.*, Pyrvinium pamoate synergizes with azoles *in vitro* and *in vivo* to exert antifungal
efficacy against *Candida auris* and other *Candida* species. *Infection and Drug Resistance* **18**, 783–789
(2025).

120. Y. Benjamini, Y. Hochberg, Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful
approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology* **57**,
289–300 (1995).

121. S. Chen, Y. Zhou, Y. Chen, J. Gu, Fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics **34**, i884–i890 (2018).

122. P. Ewels, M. Magnusson, S. Lundin, M. Käller, MultiQC: Summarize analysis results for multiple
tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047–3048 (2016).

123. B. J. Haas, *et al.*, De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity
platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols* **8**, 1494–1512 (2013).

124. M. Abastabar, *et al.*, *Candida auris* otomycosis in Iran and review of recent literature. *Mycoses*
62, 101–105 (2019).

125. J. F. Muñoz, *et al.*, Clade-specific chromosomal rearrangements and loss of subtelomeric
adhesins in *Candida auris*. *Genetics* **218**, iyab029 (2021).

126. B. Langmead, S. L. Salzberg, Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* **9**,
357–359 (2012).

127. B. Li, C. N. Dewey, RSEM: Accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a
reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 323 (2011).

128. M. D. Robinson, D. J. McCarthy, G. K. Smyth, edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139–140 (2010).

129. H. Li, *et al.*, The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–2079
(2009).

130. M. E. Ritchie, *et al.*, Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and
microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Research* **43**, e47 (2015).

131. Z. Gu, R. Eils, M. Schlesner, Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in
multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 2847–2849 (2016).

132. M. Ashburner, *et al.*, Gene Ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. *Nature Genetics* **25**, 25–
29 (2000).

133. The Gene Ontology Consortium, *et al.*, The Gene Ontology knowledgebase in 2023. *Genetics*
224, iyad031 (2023).

134. B. Buchfink, K. Reuter, H.-G. Drost, Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale using
DIAMOND. *Nature Methods* **18**, 366–368 (2021).

135. C. Golden, D. J. Studholme, R. A. Farrer, DIAMOND2GO: A rapid Gene Ontology assignment
and enrichment tool for functional genomics. 2024.08.19.608700 (2024).

136. M. Kanehisa, M. Furumichi, Y. Sato, M. Kawashima, M. Ishiguro-Watanabe, KEGG for taxonomy-
based analysis of pathways and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **51**, D587–D592 (2022).

137. M. Kanehisa, Y. Sato, K. Morishima, BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG tools for functional
characterization of genome and metagenome sequences. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **428**, 726–731
(2016).

138. A. Bateman, *et al.*, The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**, D138–D141
(2004).

139. S. R. Eddy, Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLOS Computational Biology* **7**, e1002195
(2011).

140. J. J. Almagro Armenteros, *et al.*, SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep
neural networks. *Nature Biotechnology* **37**, 420–423 (2019).

141. J. Hallgren, *et al.*, DeepTMHMM predicts alpha and beta transmembrane proteins using deep
neural networks. (2022).

142. M. H. Gíslason, H. Nielsen, J. J. Almagro Armenteros, A. R. Johansen, Prediction of GPI-
anchored proteins with pointer neural networks. *Current Research in Biotechnology* **3**, 6–13 (2021).

143. R. A. Farrer, Synima: A synteny imaging tool for annotated genome assemblies. *BMC*
Bioinformatics **18**, 507 (2017).

144. D. M. Emms, S. Kelly, OrthoFinder: Phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics.
Genome Biology **20**, 238 (2019).

145. R. C. Edgar, MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
Nucleic Acids Research **32**, 1792–1797 (2004).

146. M. N. Price, P. S. Dehal, A. P. Arkin, FastTree 2 – approximately maximum-likelihood trees for
large alignments. *PLOS ONE* **5**, e9490 (2010).

147. J. Abramson, *et al.*, Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3.
Nature **630**, 493–500 (2024).

148. E. F. Pettersen, *et al.*, UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and
developers. *Protein Science* **30**, 70–82 (2021).

149. A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).

Figures and Tables

Figure 1: Modelling *C. auris* infection in the Arabian killifish **(A)** Illustrative time-lapse microscopy of embryo death including yolk-sac collapse during infection (clade I infection) or survival (sham injection) using Acquifer live imaging. **(B)** Survival curves for embryos injected with each *C. auris* clade or sham injection. Significance testing between groups is given in **Table S1**; hours post injection (HPI). **(C)** H&E Staining of AK yolk-sac at 48 HPI for each clade or sham injection; morphology is indicated by blue arrows, with insets indicating typical yeast (clade III) and filamentous morphology (clade V). The yolk-sac edge is oriented at the top. **(D)** Colony forming units (CFUs) per clade from embryos homogenised after injection as an indicator of injection dose and *in vivo* growth.

892
893

Figure 2: AK host responses to *C. auris* infection: **(A)** Number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

for each of two comparisons, sham injection *vs* no injection (left panel), and *C. auris* injection *vs* sham

injection (right panel), at either 24 or 48 h post injection (HPI). **(B)** Volcano plot showing log-fold change

and significance according to -log^{10} false discovery rate (FDR) for sham injection *vs* no injection. **(C)**

Heatmap demonstrating log-fold change for differential expression between *C. auris* injection and sham

injection for groups of genes. **(D)** Volcano plot showing log-fold change and significance for DEGs

expressed during infection with clade I *C. auris* at 48 HPI. **(E)** Volcano plot showing log-fold change and

significance for DEGs expressed during infection with clade IV *C. auris* at 48 HPI.

Figure 3: *C. auris* gene expression *in vivo vs in vitro* **(A)** Total DEGs per clade for each comparison between 24 h post injection (HPI), 48 HPI, and growth in YPD alone. **(B)** Combined set of significant DEGs common to all five clades between *in vivo* infection and *in vivo* growth in YPD. Genes are coloured by manually annotated category (**Table S3**). **(C)** Volcano plots demonstrate mean log-fold change for DEGs identified for any clade. Genes with infinite false discovery rate (FDR) are indicated with an arrow. Genes included in the combined set are coloured by category as per panel **B**.

Figure 4: Xenosiderophore Transporter Analysis: **(A)** AlphaFold 3 predicted protein folding for peptide sequences for *SIT1* 1542, illustrating the conserved 14-transmembrane domain similarity across the families. **(B)** RAXML phylogeny using 1000 bootstraps and LG4X model of Muscle-aligned protein sequences for orthologues of *C. albicans* Siderophore Transport 1 *SIT1* gene. Scale bar indicates number of substitutions per site. Orthofinder cluster assignment notes which grouping each gene was

assigned to by our Synima/Orthofinder pipeline. **(C)** Pearson's correlation co-expression of associated gene expression involving siderophore transport candidate genes. **(D)** Pearson's correlation co-expression across available RNA-seq data from meta-analysis of 35 studies (**Table S4**), demonstrating a different set of transcriptional co-expression indicated by external experimental data.