G-quadruplex ligand RHPS4 compromises cellular radio-resistance by blocking the increase in mitochondrial mass and activity induced by ionising irradiation.

Tricot, S. [1,2], Siberchicot, C. [1,2], Bontemps, I. [1,2], Desmaze, C. [1,2], Kratassiouk, G. [3,4], Vandamme, M. [3,4], Pinna, G. [3,4], Radicella, J.Pablo [1,2], Lenaers, G. [5], Lebeau, J. [1,2], Campalans, A. [1,2]

[1] Université Paris-Saclay, iRCM/IBFJ, CEA, Genetic Stability, Stem Cells and Radiation, F-92260 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
[2] Université Paris Cité, iRCM/IBFJ, CEA, Genetic Stability, Stem Cells and Radiation, F-92260 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
[3] Université Paris Cité, INSERM, CEA, iRCM/IBFJ, Genetic Stability, Stem Cells and Radiation, F-92260 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
[4] Université Paris-Saclay, INSERM, CEA, iRCM/IBFJ, Genetic Stability, Stem Cells and Radiation, F-92260 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
[5] University of Angers, MitoLab, Unité MITOVASC, UMR CNRS 6015, INSERM U1083, SFR ICAT, Angers, France.
[6] Service de Neurologie, CHU d'Angers, Angers, France.

ABSTRACT

A major challenge in radiotherapy is enhancing tumor cell sensitivity while minimizing damage to healthy cells. Ionising radiation (IR) induces mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) alterations that can impair mitochondrial function and cell survival. Since mitochondria play a key role in tumor cell proliferation, they present a promising therapeutic target for cancer treatment. In this study, we characterized the impact of different IR sources on mitochondrial function in radioresistant cancer cells. Our findings revealed several adaptive responses that may contribute to radioresistance, including increased mtDNA content, mitochondrial mass, enhanced activity, and hyperfusion of the mitochondrial network. Notably, the use of mitochondrial-targeted G-quadruplex (G4) ligands, which block mtDNA replication and transcription, disrupted these adaptations, reducing cancer cell survival in an mtDNA-dependent manner. These results demonstrate that mitochondrial adaptations contribute to radioresistance and highlight mitochondria as a novel target for the radiosensitizing effects of G4-ligands, extending their potential beyond telomere destabilization.

INTRODUCTION

Radiotherapy (RT), together with surgery and chemotherapy, is one of the major forms of cancer therapy. Its primary goal is to target malignant cells by inducing non-reparable DNA damage, while sparing the healthy tissue surrounding the tumor as much as possible. Despite the efficiency of radiotherapy in cancer treatment, the adaptive response of cancer cells to radiation-induced damage is a major problem being at the origin of therapeutic failure and relapse. Therefore an intense research is dedicated to improve the therapeutic index of radiotherapy either by developing new irradiation sources allowing a more precise targeting of the tumor (proton beam therapy, FLASH-RT) or developing targeted drugs enhancing cancer cell radiosensitivity (Begg et al., 2011.).

Although a big interest has been dedicated to investigate the effects of ionising radiation in nuclear DNA much less is known concerning its impact on mitochondrial DNA and mitochondrial function. Contradictory results have been reported concerning the effects of ionising radiation on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). Human cells contain multiple copies of mtDNA, a small circular molecule of 16 Kb that encodes for 13 subunits of the mitochondrial respiratory chain together with rRNAs and tRNAs involved in the transcription and translation of the molecule. mtDNA is required to sustain oxidative phosphorylation and mitochondrial function that is essential for the proliferation of cancer cells, not only for the major role of mitochondria in ATP production but also for their involvement in cell metabolism, cell signaling and cell death (Wallace, 2012; Liu et al., 2023; Zaffaroni et al., 2022). Irradiation has been previously reported to increase the induction of the common deletion in mtDNA (Chen et al., 2018; Schilling-Toth et al., 2011; Prithivirajsingh et al., 2004) representing a loss of roughly 1/3 of the mtDNA molecule (4977 bp), containing 5 tRNA genes and 7 genes encoding for subunits of respiratory complexes (Yusoff et al., 2019). Fragmentation of the mitochondrial network

has also been observed suggesting the induction of a mitochondrial dysfunction a few hours after ionising radiation (Jin et al., 2018). Furthermore, mitochondrial adaptation plays a crucial role in the development of chemo and radioresistance, hindering cancer treatment by potentially leading to tumor relapse and metastatsis (McCann et al., 2021).

Therefore, inducing mitochondrial dysfunction is emerging as a promising strategy in cancer treatment and many molecules have been developed targeting mitochondrial metabolism, mitochondrial redox signaling pathways, mitochondrial dynamics and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Dong et al., 2020). Several compounds have been identified to affect the stability and activity of mtDNA and it has been shown that elimination of mtDNA results in an inhibition of cancer cell proliferation and therefore limits tumorigenesis (Tan et al., 2015). A nice example is the recently developed small-molecule inhibitors of the human mitochondrial RNA polymerase POLRMT, that induce a defect in the biogenesis of oxidative phosphorylation system resulting in the specific inhibition of cancer cell proliferation and tumor growth in a preclinical mouse model (Bonekamp et al., 2020).

One of the particular features of mtDNA is its high GC content and GC strand asymmetry with a two-fold enrichment of guanines on one strand contributing to the very high density of sequences with the potential to form G-quadruplexes (G4). G-quadruplexes (G4) are secondary structures formed in guanine rich regions by stacked sets of four guanines that have multiple regulatory roles and have been involved in regulation of replication, transcription and telomere maintenance of nuclear DNA. Several evidences indicate that G4 may also play important roles in the regulation of mitochondrial DNA replication and transcription (Sahayasheela et al., 2023; Wanrooij et al., 2010 and 2012) and are at the origin of mtDNA instability (Falabella et al., 2019; Doimo et al., 2023) being particularly enriched at breakpoints of pathogenic mtDNA deletions (Bharti et al., 2014; Dahal et al., 2021).

G4 structures have been identified as promising anti-cancer targets and several hundreds of G4 ligands with the capacity to recognize and stabilize these structures have been developed. G4 ligands can target multiple genomic regions and affect cancer cell proliferation by inducing telomere instability or stabilizing G4 at promoters therefore altering oncogene expression (Figueiredo et al., 2024). Interestingly, radiosensitizing effects of G4 ligands leading to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis induction have been reported and explained by an increase in telomere dysfunction (Merle et al., 2015; Berardinelli et al., 2015). A very promising molecule is the G4 ligand RHPS4 that combined with irradiation reduces tumor mass and avoids tumor relapse by inducing telomere dysfunction, replicative stress and accumulation of nuclear DNA damage depending on the cellular context (Berardinelli et al., 2019). Interestingly, recent findings have shown that RHPS4 can also localize to mitochondria and affect mitochondrial transcription and replication resulting in a loss of mtDNA and a depletion of respiratory complexes (Falabella et al., 2019; Fallabella et al., 2020; Doimo et al., 2023).

In order to improve the efficiency of radiotherapy, a good understanding of the molecular and cellular effects of ionising radiation is essential, as it will help to identify new targets and to develop more specific drugs with radio-sensitizing effects. In this study we have characterized the effects of ionising radiation on mitochondrial network and activity and shown an adaptive response resulting in an increase in mtDNA copy number, mitochondrial mass and activity together with the hyperfusion of mitochondrial network, potentially contributing to cellular radioresistance. The mitochondrially localized G4 ligand RHPS4 compromises the mitochondrial adaptation induced by irradiation and increases cellular radiosensitivity in a mtDNA dependent manner. Our results bring further insights concerning the effects of radiation on mitochondria and the molecular mechanisms involving G4 in mtDNA but also highlight a novel therapeutical application of this promising small molecules in cancer treatment.

RESULTS

Increase in mitochondrial mass and activity induced by Ionising radiation

In order to evaluate the impact of ionising radiation on mitochondrial content, mtDNA copy number was monitored by qPCR at different times after a single dose of gamma irradiation at 6 Gy. In order to evaluate an eventual accumulation of molecules with the common deletion in irradiated cells we selected probes against the mitochondrial genes ND1, COX2 and COX3 and two intergenic regions located all along the mtDNA molecule, inside or outside the common deletion. An increase in the levels of mtDNA was clearly observed 96H after a single irradiation at 6 Gy in surviving cells for all the probes used, indicating an amplification of the entire mtDNA molecule (Figure 1A). Exactly the same increase was observed with probes located inside or outside the common deletion.

Mitochondrial nucleoids were visualized by immunofluorescence using an antibody against DNA, unveiling a signal specifically located in the mitochondrial network labeled with mitotracker red,

and colocalizing with TFAM, a core protein of the mitochondrial nucleoid, in both irradiated and non irradiated cells (Figure 1B). In agreement with the results from qPCR, an increase in the number and the surface of nucleoids per cell was observed in irradiated cells (Figure 1C-D).

The increase in mtDNA was correlated with an increase in mitochondrial mass and membrane potential measured using Mitotracker Green and TMRE probes respectively (Figure 1E-G). Microscopy images show the specificity of the mitochondrial stainings (Figure 1E). Even if both signals, Mitotracker Green and TMRE, increased in irradiated cells, the increase was more important for the TMRE resulting in higher ratios TMRE / Mitotracker Green, indicating a higher mitochondrial activity in irradiated cells (Figure 1F-G).

As expected, both microscopy and cytometry analysis revealed a gradual increase in cell size induced by ionising radiation (Figure S1A), with a proportion of large cells reaching up to 60% of the total population four days after irradiation. Interestingly, a gradual increase in mtDNA levels was observed in the days following irradiation (Figure S1B) in correlation with the increase in the % of large cells showing also a major increase in mitochondrial mass and mitochondrial membrane potential measured by Mitotracker Green and TMRE respectively (Figure S1C).

Ionising radiation induces hyperfusion of mitochondrial network

Mitochondrial dynamics involve the continuous processes of fusion and fission, which are crucial for maintaining mitochondrial function and cellular homeostasis. While fusion allows to merge mitochondrial contents and compensate for damaged components, fission allows mitochondrial division and removal of damaged mitochondria by mitophagy. The balance between fusion and fission ensures proper mitochondrial size, number, and function, which are essential for cellular energy production and overall cell viability (Tilokani et al., 2018; Youle et al., 2012).

Quantitative analysis of mitochondrial morphology in both living and fixed cells revealed an increase in mitochondrial footprint and in the number and the surface of branches (contacts between different parts of the network) clearly indicating an hyperfusion of mitochondrial network induced by irradiation (Figure 2A-C; Figure S2). Mitochondrial hyperfusion has been previously described as an adaptation phenomena allowing cells to survive to different kind of stress such as nutrient deprivation or oxidative stress (Tondera et al., 2009). This adaptive response helps maintaining cellular energy balance and protects cells against apoptosis and therefore could contribute to cellular radioresistance.

While no difference was observed in western blot on the global amounts of DRP1 or OPA1, proteins involved respectively in mitochondrial fission or fusion (Figure 2D), a clear decrease in the mitochondrial localization of DRP1 was observed in irradiated cells, indicating that hyperfusion of the mitochondrial network was a consequence of a reduction in the levels of fission (Figure 2E-H). No difference was observed in the mitochondrial localization of OPA1 (Figure S3E). The specificity of the signal obtained with antibodies against DRP1 and OPA1 was evaluated by western blot and immunofluorescence in cells transfected with siRNAs against DRP1 or OPA1 (Figure S3A-D).

To evaluate if the hyperfused mitochondrial network was electrically continuous, we performed microirradiation using a focalized laser in order induce depolarization of a small part of the network and evaluated the spread of depolarization through the mitochondrial network (Mitra et al., 2009). To do so the mitochondria were stained with both MitoTracker green and TMRE and loss of TMRE was monitored in the microirradiated region and in the entire mitochondrial network. We could observe a fast depolarization of mitochondria located at the microirradiated region and in parts of the network located several micrometers appart indicating directly connected mitochondrial branches (Figure 2I and S4). Interestingly the mitochondrial membrane potential was rapidly recovered in the affected regions indicating the capacity of the mitochondrial network to compensate for localized damage.

Altogether, our results show a clear alteration of mitochondrial network induced by ionising radiation, with an increase in mtDNA levels, mitochondrial mass and membrane potential and hyperfusion of the mitochondrial network. All this adaptation responses, known to enhance cellular fitness and reduce apoptosis, could contribute to cellular radioresistance. Therefore, blocking this adaptive response by using small molecules targeting mitochondrial stability could have a major therapeutic potential for cancer treatment.

G4 ligand RHPS4 localizes into mitochondria and compromises the stability of mtDNA and mitochondrial morphology.

To assess if G4 ligands that stabilize mitochondrial G-quadruplexes can counteract mitochondrial adaptation to ionising radiation, we treated cells with RHPS4, a G4 ligand that enters mitochondria and disrupts mtDNA transcription and replication, causing mitochondrial dysfunction (Falabella et al., 2018; Doimo et al., 2023). RHPS4 was indeed very rapidly accumulated in the mitochondria of U2OS cells, as observed by live time imaging in cells in which mitochondrial network was stained with MitoTracker Deep Red (Figure 3A). From the first 5 min after adding the ligand to the culture medium a clear accumulation of the ligand in the mitochondria was observed (Figure S5). In agreement with previous observations (Falabella et al., 2018), no significant nuclear localization of RHPS4 was observed in living cells, suggesting that previously reported nuclear localization of RHPS4 is mostly due to the procedure of cell fixation resulting in a loss of mitochondrial membrane potential (Figure S5).

Exposure of cells to RHPS4 for 24 hours results in an alteration of mitochondrial morphology, with clustering of the mitochondria and nucleoids around the nucleus (Figure 3B-D). In order to evaluate if the effects of RHPS4 on mitochondrial morphology were dependent on the presence of mtDNA, we generated cells depleted of mtDNA by adding EtBr to the culture medium, inhibiting the replication of mtDNA. Loss of mtDNA was measured by qPCR (Figure 3E) and immunofluorescence using an antibody against DNA that beautifully illustrates the absence of DNA staining in the mitochondria of EtBr treated cells (Figure 3B). No effect of RHPS4 on mitochondrial clustering was observed in cells depleted for mtDNA (Figure 3B,D), clearly indicating that RHPS4 induces mitochondrial dysfunction by directly targeting mtDNA.

G4 ligand RHPS4 blocks the increase in mtDNA, mitochondrial mass and activity induced by ionising radiation.

Considering the good targeting of RHPS4 to mitochondria and the clear impact of the molecule on mtDNA replication/loss and mitochondrial morphology, we decided to evaluate if the addition of RHPS4 to medium could limit mitochondrial adaptive response induced by ionising radiation. Therefore, the levels of mtDNA were monitored at 48 and 96 hours after irradiation in the presence or in the absence of 0.5 µM of RHPS4. The ligand was added to the medium immediately before irradiation and kept all along the recovery period. The increase in mtDNA copy number induced by irradiation was clearly reduced in the presence of RHPS4, as measured both by qPCR (Figure 4A) and immunofluorescence analysis (Figure 4B-C). The same response was observed after irradiation with gamma-rays and X-rays and in other tumoral cell lines such as T47D and HMLE (Figure S6). Cytometry analysis showed that the addition of RHPS4 does not block the increase in cell size induced by irradiation but while levels of mtDNA are specifically high in the cells that become enlarged after irradiation (Figure S6D, the amount of mtDNA between small and big cells was not significantly different in cells irradiated in the presence of RHPS4 (Figure S6E).

In order to evaluate if irradiation, RHPS4 or the combination of both could induce the accumulation of deletions or mutations at the level of the mtDNA molecule, sequence analysis of mtDNA was performed for all the different conditions 96H after irradiation (Figure S7). The use of the eKLIPse software, specifically developed to map mtDNA deletions (Goudenège et al., 2019) did not allow to detect the accumulation of any particular deletion or mutational patterns, in agreement with previous observations showing that RHPS4 efficiently blocks the progression of the mtDNA replication fork (Doimo et al., 2023).

The increase of mitochondrial membrane potential measured by the TMRE probe observed in irradiated cells was also significantly decreased in cells irradiated in the presence of RHPS4 (Figure 4D-E). A reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential was also observed by microscopy in cells stained simultaneously with Mitotracker Deep Red, a dye accumulating in active mitochondria in a potential-dependent manner, and an antibody against MPPB allowing to visualize the mitochondrial matrix. While a perfect correlation was observed between both mitochondrial markers in the absence of RHPS4, a loss of Mitotracker Deep Red staining (monitored as a decrease in the Pearson correlation coefficient) was observed in cells exposed to RHPS4, indicating a loss of mitochondrial

membrane potential induced by the presence of RHPS4 in both irradiated and non irradiated cells (Figure 4F-G).

In the same manner, hyperfusion of the mitochondrial network induced by irradiation was also reduced in the presence of the ligand, with a clear reduction in the number of branches observed in cells exposed to irradiation in the presence of RHPS4 (Figure 4H-J).

RHPS4 increases radiosensitivity in a mtDNA dependent manner

In agreement with previous reports showing the radiosensitizing effect of RHPS4 (Berardinelli et al., 2015 and 2019) a reduction in cellular proliferation and clonogenicity was observed in cells irradiated in the presence of RHPS4 (Figure 5A-C). Although the effect of the RHPS4 ligand in telomere maintenance has been pointed out to be responsible for the radio-sensitizing effect of the molecule, we observed a significant reduction in cellular proliferation and survival at very low concentrations of the ligand going from 0.5 to 2 µM, that have been previously shown to induce no detectable amount of nuclear DNA damage, measured by γ-H2AX levels, or telomeric shortening (Fallabella et al., 2018). Therefore, in order to evaluate if the direct targeting of mtDNA by RHPS4 could have an impact on cell survival, we performed a proliferation assay in cells depleted from mtDNA compared to normal cells. The depletion of mtDNA was induced by addition of ddC to the culture medium. ddC is incorporated by DNA polymerase gamma that can no further perform elongation therefore inhibiting mtDNA replication through chain termination (Young et al., 2021). In our experimental conditions a strong depletion of mtDNA levels was achieved after 5 days of exposure as measured both by immunofluorescence and qPCR (Figure 5D-F). While a reduction in cell survival was observed in WT cells irradiated in the presence of increasing amounts of RHPS4, the effect of the ligand was significantly reduced in cells depleted in mtDNA (Figure 5G), indicating that targeting stability of mtDNA contributes to cellular radiosensitivity.

DISCUSSION

Mitochondrial hyperfusion is a cellular response to various stress conditions, characterized by the extensive merging of mitochondria into elongated and interconnected networks. The so-called Stress induced mitochondrial hyperfusion (SIMH) was described for the first time in 2009 as an adaptive pro-survival response of the cells against stress (Tondera et al., 2009). Mitochondrial hyperfusion has been observed after UV exposure, cycloheximide and Actinomycin D treatments, or serum deprivation. Although to our knowledge, no previous reports have shown ionising radiation induced SIMH, a slight increase in mitochondrial elongation, together with an increase in respiration, following IR has been recently reported in mesenchymal stem cells (Patten et al., 2019). At the molecular level, mitochondrial hyperfusion results from a disequilibrium between fusion and fission and is greatly reduced in cells deficient in MFN1/2 and OPA1, required for the fusion of outer and inner mitochondrial membrane respectively (Westermann, 2012). The decrease in the mitochondrial localization of DRP1, a key regulator of mitochondrial fission, observed in irradiated cells (Figure 2) suggest that a reduced fission is at the origin of the observed hyperfusion of the network. These results are in agreement with previous publications showing that phosphorylation of Drp1- S637 is required for mitochondrial elongation after starvation (Gomes et al., 2011). The results presented in Figure 3 and Figure S4 show that the hyperfused mitochondrial networks is electrically continuous. Therefore, mitochondrial damage induced in a small part of the network by laser microirradiation, rapidly spreads affecting other regions that are a several µm apart. This electrical continuity has been shown to enhance the overall bioenergetic efficiency of the mitochondria, allowing the cell to optimize ATP production under stress conditions (Westermann., 2012; Mitra et al., 2009; De Giorgi et al., 2000). The interconnected network can therefore buffer against localized damage, as healthy mitochondria can support and compensate for the impaired ones within the network, as can be observed by the fast recovery of TMRE in regions affected by microirradiation induced damage (Figure 3 and S4).

Irradiation induced mitochondrial hyperfusion is correlated with an increase in mtDNA, mitochondrial mass and membrane potential (Figure 1). An increase in mtDNA copy number and mitochondrial biogenesis is considered to be a compensatory mechanism aimed at sustaining OXHPOS activity in order to overcome the eventual bioenergetics defects induced by damage to some

mtDNA molecules (Filograna et al., 2019). Indeed, several studies have demonstrated that increasing mtDNA copy number by different genetic tools, boosts mitochondrial biogenesis and rescues mitochondrial dysfunction and may provide a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of mitochondrial diseases (Filograna et al., 2021). However, despite the increase in mtDNA copy number observed in several tumours, a high variability exist depending on the cancer type and context and a direct correlation between mtDNA copy number and tumour progression or prognosis has not been clearly stablished (Yuan et al., 2020).

This adaptive mitochondrial response ensures that cells can better cope with and recover from stressful conditions, supporting overall cellular survival and radioresistance. Recent findings highlight the central role of mitochondrial adaptation in chemoresistance of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). An increase in mitochondrial mass and respiratory activity has been observed in cells developing drug resistance and several molecules targeting mitochondrial metabolism or dynamics have been shown to enhance cellular sensitivity to different chemotherapeutical agents and open new therapeutical avenues for AML (Bosc et al., 2021; Larrue et al., 2023).

Mitochondria are essential for the proliferation of cancer cells, not only because of their main role in ATP production but also because of their essential roles in cellular signaling, apoptosis and pyrimidine synthesis needed for DNA replication. Therefore, many molecules inducing a mitochondrial dysfunction are gaining interest for their potential use in cancer treatment. The term MITOCANS, for MITOchondria and CANcer, has even been proposed for anti-cancer drugs that induce mitochondrial dysfunction by targeting different mitochondrial pathways (Neuzil et al., 2020.). mtDNA being essential for OXPHOS biogenesis, several molecules targeting mtDNA stability, both indirectly by inducing mtDNA damage and dysfunction or directly by binding or cleaving mtDNA are emerging as promising tools for cancer treatment (Lin et al., 2023). Among the proteins regulating mtDNA transcription and replication, TFAM and POLRMT play an essential role and have been identified as potential targets for anti-cancer therapy. The anti-tumor efficacy of POLRMT inhibitors has been confirmed in ovarian, lung and cervical cancer (Bonekamp et al., 2020). Among the direct targeted therapy interesting perspectives come from nano-carrier systems such as TPP that can target mtDNA by delivering agents directly to mitochondria or metal complexes with the capacity to bind and damage mtDNA (Lin et al., 2023; Mukherjee et al., 2023).

In this context, targeting mitochondrial G4 by using mitochondrial localized G4 ligands with the capacity to block transcription and replication of mtDNA (Fallabella et al., 2019) represents a promising strategy for cancer treatment. Up to now, radiosensitizing effects of G4 ligands, including RHPS4, have been explained by their effect on telomere stability, replicative stress and cell cycle arrest (Berardinelli et al., 2015 and 2019). Interestingly, a systematic comparison of the effects of the RHPS4 at different concentrations has shown that while telomeric dysfunction and accumulation of nuclear DSB are observed at higher concentrations of the ligand (10 µM), the incubation of cells with a low dose (2 µM) interferes with mtDNA copy number but does not induce direct detectable nuclear DNA damage (Falabella et al., 2019). In agreement with previous findings, we have observed that RHPS4 preferentially localizes to mitochondria in living cells and affects mtDNA content and mitochondrial morphology by directly targeting mtDNA (Figure 3A, S5; Falabella et al., 2019). Interestingly oxidative stress has been shown to accelerate telomere loss (von Zglinicki, 2002) and a direct and tight link between mitochondrial dysfunction and telomere instability has been stablished. The use of a chemoptogenetic tool allowing the induction of singlet oxygen specifically in the mitochondrial matrix, has recently been show to induce a secondary wave of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide and rapid telomere dysfunction as characterized by 53BP1-positive TIFs, telomere fragility, and telomere loss in the apparent absence of general nuclear DNA strand breaks (Qian et al., 2019). This findings could explain the telomere dysfunction observed in cells exposed to low concentrations of RHPS4 (0.2, 0.5 or 1µM) during 5 days (Berardinelli et al., 2019), that could indeed be indirectly induced by a mitochondrial dysfunction. Altogether those results suggest that mtDNA could be the primary target of RHPS4. Similar results have been obtained with G4 ligands derived from BMVC that accumulate in mitochondria of cancer cells and can induce cancer cell death without damaging normal cells. Interestingly, no effect of the ligand on cell death was observed in cells depleted for mtDNA, indicating that mtDNA is the major target of the G4 ligand BMVC (Huang et al., 2015).

Our results show that the G4 ligand RHPS4, with a primary mitochondrial localization, specifically target mtDNA and induce mtDNA loss and mitochondrial dysfunction resulting in a blockage of the adaptative mitochondrial response induced by ionising irradiation and therefore increasing radiosensitivity. These results are not only a major fundamental advance concerning the understanding of radiation effects on mitochondrial network and formation of G4 in mtDNA but also offer new therapeutic possibilities for the use of this molecule and other mitochondrial imported G4 ligands in cancer treatment.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cell culture

All cell lines were cultivated in Heraeus Thermo Scientific BBD6220 incubator at 37˚C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air. Presence of mycoplasma was regularly tested with the MycoAlert™ Mycoplasma detection kit from Lonza Group, Ltd. U2OS cells were purchased from ATCC, tumor breast cancer cell lines T47D were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD) and Human Mammary Epithelial HMLE cell line was kindly provided by Professor Robert A. Weinberg (Whitehead Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA). All cell lines were grown at 37°C in adherent conditions in cell culture media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma, F7524) and 5% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco 15140122). U2OS were cultured in DMEM, low glucose, GlutaMAX™ Supplement, pyruvate (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). For T47D and MCF7, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), high glucose, GlutaMAX™ Supplement, pyruvate (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used. HMLE cells were cultured in DMEM/F-12 Nutrient Mixture, GlutaMAX Supplement (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) with 10 ng/ml human epidermal growth factor, 0.5 µg/ml hydrocortisone and 10 µg/ml insulin (all from Sigma-Aldrich, Merck).

Cell treatments and irradiations

Cells were seeded in 6 well plates the day of irradiation and recovered at the indicated times after irradiation. Cells were irradiated with gamma rays at 2, 4 or 6 Gy on a GSR D1 irradiator at a dose rate of 1.5 Gy/min (Gamma Medical Service (http://www.gammaservice.co.uk/gsrd1.html). X-rays irradiations were performed at DOSEO in an irradiator ELEKTA VERSA HD.
RHPS4 ligand (RHPS 4-methosulfate ; Tocris ; Ref 5311) was added to the culture medium at the indicated concentrations for 30 min before irradiation and kept in the medium for all the recovery period before cell recovery.
mtDNA depletion was induced by incubating cells in DMEM High glucose containing 100 ng/ml BEt or 10µM of 2,3 dideoxycitidine (ddC) complemented with 50 µg/ml Uridine.

qPCR

Genomic DNA was extracted from 1 million cells using the Qiamp DNA mini kit (QIAGEN, #51304) following manufacturer instructions and DNA concentration was measured with Nanodrop 2000c (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 75ng of DNA was used for qPCR with the iTaq Universal Probes Supermix (Biorad #1725130) using the Applied Biosystems™ QuantStudio™ three Real-Time PCR System. Taqman probes against ND1 (Hs02596873_s1), ND4 (Hs02596876_g1), COX2 (Hs02596865_g1), COX3 (Hs02596866_g1), CYTB (Hs02596867_s1), tRNA1 (Hs02596871_s1) and tRNA2 (Hs02596871_s1) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Each sample was run in triplicate. Mean Ct values were used to calculate the mtDNA copy number relative to untreated samples using the ΔΔCt method.

Western blot

Cell pellets (from 3 × 10exp6 cells) were resuspended in 50 µl of Lysis buffer (Tris 20 mM, NaCl 20 mM, SDS 0.1%, MgCl2 1 Mm, Benzonase 0.25 U/µl and Protease inhibitors cocktail 1X) and sonicated for 10 min (with pulses 30 s on/30 s off). After sonication the samples were centrifuged at 12000 at 4°C for 5 min and the supernatants were recovered. Protein concentration was measured with the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad #500-0006), laemmli buffer was added at a 1x concentration (0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.0005% Bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol, 2% SDS, and 63 mM Tris pH 6.8) before heating for 5 min at 95°C, and 20 µg of protein extracts were loaded in a mini PROTEAN TGX

stain-free gel (Bio-Rad #4568083). Precision plus protein dual color standards (Bio-Rad #1610374) was used as a Molecular weight marker. The transfer on nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad #1704157) was performed with the Trans-Blot® Turbo™ Transfer System (Bio-Rad #1704150). The membrane was blocked for 1 h in blocking solution (PBS-0.1% Tween20 containing 5% milk), and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with primary antibodies (Table S1). Membranes were washed three times for 5 min with PBS-0.1% Tween20 and further incubated for 45 min in secondary antibodies anti-rabbit IR800 (Diagomics R-05060) and anti-mouse IR700 (Diagomics R-05055) diluted at 1/10.000 in PBS-0.1% Tween 20 containing 5% milk. Western blots were imaged with the Li-Cor Odyssey DLx system

Flow cytometry, acquisition and analysis

Mitochondrial mass and mitochondrial membrane potential were analyzed using MitoTracker™ Green (MTG) (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific M7513) and TMRE (Sigma-Aldrich 87917) respectively. Following soft trypsinization, cells were loaded with 200 nM MTG and/or 10 nM TMRE and incubated for 20 min at 37°C, then immediately analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells were analyzed on a SORP LSR-II analyzer (configuration: 488, 561, 405, 355 and 635 nm; BD Biosciences) or BD FACSCalibur (configuration: 488 and 635 nm; BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed with FlowJo v10.7.1 (Tree Star).

Immunofluorescence, live cell microscopy and image analysis

For microscopy experiments cells were grown on Ibidi µ-Slide 4-well plates for two days before experiment. For live imaging cells were washed twice with warm DMEM and incubated with 100nM of Mitotracker (Green, Red or DeepRed as indicated), or 10 nM TMRE (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 20min at 37°C followed by washing with complete medium. Images acquisition was performed using on NIKON Ti2 / GATACA, W1 spinning disk microscope with 60X oil immerssion objective (Plan Apo N.A. 1.4) in a chamber maintained at 37°C with humidified 5% CO2 and 18% oxygen).

For Immunofluorescence experiments, cells were washed with DMEM and immediatly fixed for 20min at 37°C with 2% formaldehyde in DMEM solution, rinsed with PBS and permeabilised at room temperature in PBS 0.1% Triton (X100) for 5 min. Cells were incubated in blocking solution (PBS containing 0.1% Triton 100X, 3% BSA and 1% normal goat serum) at 37°C for 1h. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies (Table S1) diluted in blocking solution for 1h at 37°C, washed three times for 5 min in PBS containing 0.1% Triton and further incubated with secondary antibodies (Table S1) diluted 1/1000 in blocking solution for 45 min at 37°C. Cells were washed three times for 5 min in PBS containing 0.1% Triton. Nuclear DNA was counterstained with 1 µg/ml 4',6'- diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).

Image acquisition was performed using a NIKON Ti2 / GATACA, W1 spinning disk microscope with 60X oil immerssion objective (Plan Apo N.A. 1.4) or a Leica SP8 confocal microscope with a 63× oil immersion objective (HC PL Apo N.A. 1.4). Image analysis was performed with ImageJ/Fiji (Schneider et al., 2012).

Image analysis was performed with ImageJ. Mitochondrial morphology alterations were analysed by applying the Kernel algorithm (Koopman et al., 2005) to binary images. This approach allows measurement of morphological parameters for individual mitochondria, such as a measure of mitochondrial count and area. Quantification of mtDNA was performed with an anti-DNA after subtraction of the nucleus stained with DAPI. Pearson and Manders correlation coefficients between were calculated with ImageJ using the plugin JACoP (Bolte and Cordelieres, 2006).

For each parameter, the number of cells analysed from at least two or three independent experiments is indicated in the legend to the figures.

Sequencing and analysis of mtDNA

The entire mtDNA was amplified in overlapping fragments using a combination of primers designed to avoid nuclear pseudogene amplification and already previously validated in Rho zero cells devoided on mtDNA. Primer sequences, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conditions, and sequencing were performed as previously described, and data analyzed with the dedicated software eKLIPse, a

sensitive and specific tool based on soft clipping, allowing the univocal detection of mtDNA rearrangements and breakpoints, and their quantification from NGS data (Goudenège et al., 2019).

Proliferation/survival assay

When required, U2OS cells were pretreated with 10µM of 2,3 dideoxycitidine (ddC) for 3 days before the plate seeding, and then throughout the experiment, to induce and maintain mtDNA depletion. Cells – either mtDNA depleted or not - were seeded in black-walled, clear-bottom 96-well culture plates (Costar #3904, Corning). For endpoint measurement 6 days post-seeding in non-irradiated and irradiated conditions, 300 cells were plated per well. For daily measurement of cell growth in non-irradiated and irradiated conditions, respectively 750 and 2000 cells were plated per well. Cells were then treated with RHPS4 the same day (for 6-days experiments) or one day post-seeding (for daily growth measurements) at concentrations ranging from 100 nM to 2 µM, or with DMSO as a vehicle control and irradiated 3 hours later. Plates were incubated at 37°C, then fixed at the indicated timepoints post-RHPS4 treatments with 2% (w/v) paraformaldehyde. Nuclear DNA was stained with 2 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Sigma). Images were captured using an automated epifluorescence microscope (Operetta, Perkin Elmer) at 10× magnification, with 9 fields per well in the blue fluorescence channel (excitation: 380 ± 20 nm, emission: 445 ± 35 nm). An automated algorithm, developed in Harmony 3.0 (Perkin Elmer), was used to quantify cell numbers. In brief, nuclear regions of interest (ROIs) were segmented based on Hoechst staining, and the relative cell proliferation/survival in each condition was calculated as the number of cells in experimental conditions relative to the average cell count in the reference control wells.

Clonogenicity assay

U2OS cells were seeded in black-walled, clear-bottom 96-well culture plates (Costar #3904, Corning) at densities of 15 cells/well. Cells were then treated with RHPS4 the same day at concentrations ranging from 100 nM to 2 µM, or with DMSO as a vehicle control and irradiated 3 hours later. Plates were incubated at 37°C, then fixed at the indicated timepoints post-RHPS4 treatments with 2% (w/v) paraformaldehyde, and nuclear DNA was stained with 4 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Sigma). Images of clones were captured using an automated epifluorescence microscope (Operetta, Perkin Elmer) at 2× magnification, with 1 field per well in the blue fluorescence channel (excitation: 380 ± 20 nm, emission: 445 ± 35 nm). An automated algorithm, developed in Harmony 3.0 (Perkin Elmer), was used to quantify clone numbers. In brief, nuclear regions of interest (ROIs) were segmented as fluorescent spots based on Hoechst staining. Clones were then defined as clusters of nuclei in close proximity (4 pixels or less) and made of 50 cells or more. For each condition, clone amount represents the sum of clones in ten wells. The relative clone amount was calculated as the number of clones in the experimental conditions relative to the average clone amount in the reference control wells.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis were performed in GraphPad. The statistical test used and the number of cells and independent experiments analyzed are indicated in the legend to the figures.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

S.T. performed experiments and analysis and prepared the figures; C.D., I.B., C.S. J.L., performed experiments and analysis. G.P., G.K., M.V., performed proliferation and clonogenicity experiments and analysis. G.L. performed sequence analysis. J.P.R. read and commented the manuscript. A.C. conceptualized and supervised the project, performed experiments and analysis, prepared figures, wrote the manuscript and obtained funding.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Arturo Londono, Alain Nicolas and Marie-Paule Teulade-Fichou for fruitful discussions all along the project, Xavier Renaudin for critical reading of the manuscript, Véronique Ménard, Mathieu Agelou and Guillaume Boissonnat for their help in irradiation experiments. We thank

REFERENCES

– Begg,A.C., Stewart,F.A. and Vens,C. (2011) Strategies to improve radiotherapy with targeted drugs. Nat. Rev. Cancer, 11, 239–253.

– Berardinelli,F., Siteni,S., Tanzarella,C., Stevens,M.F., Sgura,A. and Antoccia,A. (2015) The G-quadruplex-stabilising agent RHPS4 induces telomeric dysfunction and enhances radiosensitivity in glioblastoma cells. DNA Repair, 25, 104–115.

– Berardinelli,F., Tanori,M., Muoio,D., Buccarelli,M., Di Masi,A., Leone,S., Ricci-Vitiani,L., Pallini,R., Mancuso,M. and Antoccia,A. (2019) G-quadruplex ligand RHPS4 radiosensitizes glioblastoma xenograft in vivo through a differential targeting of bulky differentiated- and stem-cancer cells. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res., 38, 311.

– Bharti,S.K., Sommers,J.A., Zhou,J., Kaplan,D.L., Spelbrink,J.N., Mergny,J.-L. and Brosh,R.M. (2014) DNA Sequences Proximal to Human Mitochondrial DNA Deletion Breakpoints Prevalent in Human Disease Form G-quadruplexes, a Class of DNA Structures Inefficiently Unwound by the Mitochondrial Replicative Twinkle Helicase. J. Biol. Chem., 289, 29975–29993.

– Bonekamp,N.A., Peter,B., Hillen,H.S., Felser,A., Bergbrede,T., Choidas,A., Horn,M., Unger,A., Di Lucrezia,R., Atanassov,I., et al. (2020) Small-molecule inhibitors of human mitochondrial DNA transcription. Nature, 588, 712–716.

– Bosc,C., Saland,E., Bousard,A., Gadaud,N., Sabatier,M., Cognet,G., Farge,T., Boet,E., Gotanègre,M., Aroua,N., et al. (2021) Mitochondrial inhibitors circumvent adaptive resistance to venetoclax and cytarabine combination therapy in acute myeloid leukemia. Nat. Cancer, 2, 1204–1223.

– Chen,Y., Gao,H. and Ye,W. (2018) Mitochondrial DNA Mutations Induced by Carbon Ions Radiation: A Preliminary Study. Dose-Response, 16, 1559325818789842.

– Dahal,S., Siddiqua,H., Katapadi,V.K., Iyer,D. and Raghavan,S.C. (2022) Characterization of G4 DNA formation in mitochondrial DNA and their potential role in mitochondrial genome instability. FEBS J., 289, 163–182.

– De Giorgi,F., Lartigue,L. and Ichas,F. (2000) Electrical coupling and plasticity of the mitochondrial network. Cell Calcium, 28, 365–370.

– Doimo,M., Chaudhari,N., Abrahamsson,S., L'Hôte,V., Nguyen,T.V.H., Berner,A., Ndi,M., Abrahamsson,A., Das,R.N., Aasumets,K., et al. (2023) Enhanced mitochondrial G-quadruplex formation impedes replication fork progression leading to mtDNA loss in human cells. Nucleic Acids Res., 51, 7392–7408.

– Dong,L., Gopalan,V., Holland,O. and Neuzil,J. (2020) Mitocans Revisited: Mitochondrial Targeting as Efficient Anti-Cancer Therapy. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 21, 7941.

– Falabella,M., Kolesar,J.E., Wallace,C., De Jesus,D., Sun,L., Taguchi,Y.V., Wang,C., Wang,T., Xiang,I.M., Alder,J.K., et al. (2019) G-quadruplex dynamics contribute to regulation of mitochondrial gene expression. Sci. Rep., 9, 5605.

– Figueiredo,J., Mergny,J.-L. and Cruz,C. (2024) G-quadruplex ligands in cancer therapy: Progress, challenges, and clinical perspectives. Life Sci., 340, 122481.

– Filograna,R., Koolmeister,C., Upadhyay,M., Pajak,A., Clemente,P., Wibom,R., Simard,M.L., Wredenberg,A., Freyer,C., Stewart,J.B., et al. (2019) Modulation of mtDNA copy number ameliorates the pathological consequences of a heteroplasmic mtDNA mutation in the mouse. Sci. Adv., 5, eaav9824.

– Filograna,R., Mennuni,M., Alsina,D. and Larsson,N. (2021) Mitochondrial DNA copy number in human disease: the more the better? FEBS Lett., 595, 976–1002.

– Gomes,L.C., Benedetto,G.D. and Scorrano,L. (2011) During autophagy mitochondria elongate, are spared from degradation and sustain cell viability. Nat. Cell Biol., 13, 589–598.

– Goudenège,D., Bris,C., Hoffmann,V., Desquiret-Dumas,V., Jardel,C., Rucheton,B., Bannwarth,S., Paquis-Flucklinger,V., Lebre,A.S., Colin,E., et al. (2019) eKLIPse: a sensitive tool for the detection

and quantification of mitochondrial DNA deletions from next-generation sequencing data. Genet. Med., 21, 1407–1416.

- Huang,W.-C., Tseng,T.-Y., Chen,Y.-T., Chang,C.-C., Wang,Z.-F., Wang,C.-L., Hsu,T.-N., Li,P.-T., Chen,C.-T., Lin,J.-J., et al. (2015) Direct evidence of mitochondrial G-quadruplex DNA by using fluorescent anti-cancer agents. Nucleic Acids Res., 10.1093/nar/gkv1061.
- Jin,X., Li, F., Liu, B., Zheng,X., Li,H., Ye,F., Chen,W., Li,A. (2018) Different mitochondrial fragmentation after irradiation with X-rays and carbon ions in HeLa cells and its influence on cellular apoptosis. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 500(4), 958-965.
- Larrue,C., Mouche,S., Lin,S., Simonetta,F., Scheidegger,N.K., Poulain,L., Birsen,R., Sarry,J.-E., Stegmaier,K. and Tamburini,J. (2023) Mitochondrial fusion is a therapeutic vulnerability of acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia, 37, 765–775.
- Lin,Y., Yang,B., Huang,Y., Zhang,Y., Jiang,Y., Ma,L. and Shen,Y.-Q. (2023) Mitochondrial DNA-targeted therapy: A novel approach to combat cancer. Cell Insight, 2, 100113.
- Liu,Y., Sun,Y., Guo,Y., Shi,X., Chen,X., Feng,W., Wu,L.-L., Zhang,J., Yu,S., Wang,Y., et al. (2023) An Overview: The Diversified Role of Mitochondria in Cancer Metabolism. Int. J. Biol. Sci., 19, 897–915.
- McCann,E., O'Sullivan,J. and Marcone,S. (2021) Targeting cancer-cell mitochondria and metabolism to improve radiotherapy response. Transl. Oncol., 14, 100905.
- Merle,P., Gueugneau,M., Teulade-Fichou,M.-P., Müller-Barthélémy,M., Amiard,S., Chautard,E., Guetta,C., Dedieu,V., Communal,Y., Mergny,J.-L., et al. (2015) Highly efficient radiosensitization of human glioblastoma and lung cancer cells by a G-quadruplex DNA binding compound. Sci. Rep., 5, 16255.
- Mitra,K., Wunder,C., Roysam,B., Lin,G. and Lippincott-Schwartz,J. (2009) A hyperfused mitochondrial state achieved at G 1 –S regulates cyclin E buildup and entry into S phase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 106, 11960–11965.
- Mukherjee,S., Bhatti,G.K., Chhabra,R., Reddy,P.H. and Bhatti,J.S. (2023) Targeting mitochondria as a potential therapeutic strategy against chemoresistance in cancer. Biomed. Pharmacother., 160, 114398.
- Neuzil,J., Dong,L.-F., Rohlena,J., Truksa,J. and Ralph,S.J. (2013) Classification of mitocans, anti-cancer drugs acting on mitochondria. Mitochondrion, 13, 199–208.
- Prithivirajsingh,S., Story,M.D., Bergh,S.A., Geara,F.B., Kian Ang,K., Ismail,S.M., Stevens,C.W., Buchholz,T.A. and Brock,W.A. (2004) Accumulation of the common mitochondrial DNA deletion induced by ionising radiation. FEBS Lett., 571, 227–232.
- Qian,W., Kumar,N., Roginskaya,V., Fouquerel,E., Opresko,P.L., Shiva,S., Watkins,S.C., Kolodieznyi,D., Bruchez,M.P. and Van Houten,B. (2019) Chemoptogenetic damage to mitochondria causes rapid telomere dysfunction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 116, 18435–18444.
- Sahayasheela,V.J., Yu,Z., Hidaka,T., Pandian,G.N. and Sugiyama,H. (2023) Mitochondria and G-quadruplex evolution: an intertwined relationship. Trends Genet., 39, 15–30.
- Schilling-Tóth,B., Sándor,N., Kis,E., Kadhim,M., Sáfrány,G. and Hegyesi,H. (2011) Analysis of the common deletions in the mitochondrial DNA is a sensitive biomarker detecting direct and non-targeted cellular effects of low dose ionising radiation. Mutat. Res. Mol. Mech. Mutagen., 716, 33–39.
- Tan,A.S., Baty,J.W., Dong,L.-F., Bezawork-Geleta,A., Endaya,B., Goodwin,J., Bajzikova,M., Kovarova,J., Peterka,M., Yan,B., et al. (2015) Mitochondrial Genome Acquisition Restores Respiratory Function and Tumorigenic Potential of Cancer Cells without Mitochondrial DNA. Cell Metab., 21, 81–94.
- Tilokani,L., Nagashima,S., Paupe,V. and Prudent,J. (2018) Mitochondrial dynamics: overview of molecular mechanisms. Essays Biochem., 62, 341–360.
- Tondera,D., Grandemange,S., Jourdain,A., Karbowski,M., Mattenberger,Y., Herzig,S., Da Cruz,S., Clerc,P., Raschke,I., Merkwirth,C., et al. (2009) SLP-2 is required for stress-induced mitochondrial hyperfusion. EMBO J., 28, 1589–1600.
- Von Zglinicki,T. (2002) Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. Trends Biochem. Sci., 27, 339–344.
- Wallace,D.C. (2012) Mitochondria and cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer, 12, 685–698.
- Wanrooij,P.H., Uhler,J.P., Shi,Y., Westerlund,F., Falkenberg,M. and Gustafsson,C.M. (2012) A hybrid G-quadruplex structure formed between RNA and DNA explains the extraordinary stability of the mitochondrial R-loop. Nucleic Acids Res., 40, 10334–10344.

− Wanrooij,P.H., Uhler,J.P., Simonsson,T., Falkenberg,M. and Gustafsson,C.M. (2010) G-quadruplex structures in RNA stimulate mitochondrial transcription termination and primer formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107, 16072–16077.

− Westermann,B. (2012) Bioenergetic role of mitochondrial fusion and fission. Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Bioenerg., 1817, 1833–1838.

− Youle,R.J. and Van Der Bliek,A.M. (2012) Mitochondrial Fission, Fusion, and Stress. Science, 337, 1062–1065.

− Young,C.K.J., Wheeler,J.H., Rahman,Md.M. and Young,M.J. (2021) The antiretroviral 2′,3′-dideoxycytidine causes mitochondrial dysfunction in proliferating and differentiated HepaRG human cell cultures. J. Biol. Chem., 296, 100206.

− Yuan,Y., Ju,Y.S., Kim,Y., Li,J., Wang,Y., Yoon,C.J., Yang,Y., Martincorena,I., Creighton,C.J., Weinstein,J.N., et al. (2020) Comprehensive molecular characterization of mitochondrial genomes in human cancers. Nat. Genet., 52, 342–352.

− Yusoff,A.A.M., Wan Abdullah,W.S., Mohd Khair,S.Z.N. and Abd Radzak,S.M. (2019) A comprehensive overview of mitochondrial DNA 4977-bp deletion in cancer studies. Oncol. Rev., 13.

− Zaffaroni,M., Vincini,M.G., Corrao,G., Marvaso,G., Pepa,M., Viglietto,G., Amodio,N. and Jereczek-Fossa,B.A. (2022) Unraveling Mitochondrial Determinants of Tumor Response to Radiation Therapy. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 23, 11343.

Figure 1. **Increase in mitochondrial mass and activity induced by Ionising radiation**. U2OS cells were irradiated by gamma irradiation (6Gy) and compare with no irradiated cells. **A)** mitochondrial genes ND1, ND4, COX2, COX3, CYB, TRNA1 and TRNA2 were quantifed by qPCR 96 h after gamma irradiation. **B)** Confocal microscopy images of U2OS cells stained with anti-DNA (yellow), anti-TFAM (cyan) and mitotrakerDeepRed (magenta). Scale bar is 10µm and 5µm for insets. **C)** number of nucleoids and **D)** the area of mtDNA per cell was quantified from 102 non irradiated cells and 112 irradiated cells from 4 independent experiments. **E)** Confocal microscopy images of U2OS living cells 96h after irradiation. Mitochondria were stained with MitotrackerGreen (magenta) and TMRE (cyan), the scale bar is 10µm. **F)** TMRE and MitoTracker Green probes were used to measure mitochondrial membrane protential and mitochondrial mass, respectively in U2OS cell by cytometry 3 days after irradiation (6Gy). **G)** Representative quantification of cytometry results for single cells Mitotracker green, TMRE and the ratio TMRE/Mitotracker. 10 000 cells were analyse for each condition. One representative experiment out of 3 is shown. Statistical analysis were performed with GraphPad using Kruskal-Wallis test (***P ≤ 0.001).

Figure 2. **Ionising radiation induces functional hyperfusion of mitochondrial network.** U2OS cells were irradiated by gamma irradiation (6Gy) and compared with no irradiated cells. **A)** Mitochondria were stained by mitotracker red and Binary images were generated and analysed by applying the Kernel algorithm (Koopman et al., 2005) **B)** The number of mitochondrial branches and **C)** the area of branches per cell were analyse by ImageJ, see material and methods and in Fig.S2 for further details. 102 NI cells and 118 irradiated cells were analysed from 4 independent experiments. **D)** Levels of OPA1 and DRP1 were analysed by Western blot at 1h, 4h 24h, 48h 72h and 96h after irradiation. Actin was used as a loading marker. **E)** Confocal microscopy images of U2OS cells stained with DRP1 (cyan) and PMPCB (magenta). Scale bar is 10µm and 2µm for insets. **F)** DRP1 fluorescence intensity in PMPCB mitochondrial mask was analysed and normalized to non irradiated cells. More than 50 cells were analyzed for each condition. Results from one representative experiment out of three, **G)** Mander's **H)** Pearson correlation factors between DRPA and PMPCB were analyzed with JACOP plugin (imageJ). 17 NI and 24 Irradiated cells were analysed from three independent experiments. **I)** Microirradiation was performed with a 561 nm laser for 50 msec on the region indicated with a dotted square in cells stained with mitotracker green and TMRE. Cells were imaged at 1 image/sec during 2 min. The graph shows the quantification of the TMRE signal in the mitotracker mask for mitochondria at the irradiated region (red) and located several microns appart (black). A representative cell is shown. Scale bar 10 µm. Statistical analysis were performed in GraphPad using Kruskal-Wallis test (***P ≤ 0.001).

Figure 3. **G4 ligand RHPS4 localizes into mitochondria and compromises the stability of mtDNA and mitochondrial morphology. A)** U2OS cells were stained with mitotracker deep Red (magenta) and exposed to RHPS4 for 15 before imaging with a spinning disk confocal microscope. RHPS4 fluoresce was imaged with 488nm (cyan) and 562nm (yellow) channels. **B)** U2OS cell treated or not with EtBr to deplete mtDNA were exposed to RHPS4 (10μM) for 24 H before staining with mitotrakerRed (magenta) and fixation. mtDNA was visualized with an anti-DNA (yellow). Scale bar 10μm and 2μm for the zoom. **C)** Quantification of mtDNA in cells exposed or not to (10μM) for 24h. 1120 NL cells and 1036 RHPS4 cells were analysed from 3 independent experiments. **D)** Mean intensity of Mitotracker red was analyzed for more than 1500 cells for each condition from 3 independent experiments. **E)** mitochondrial genes ND1, ND4, COX2, COX3, CYB, TRNA1 and TRNA2 were quantified by qPCR in control cells and in cells exposed to EtBr inducing mtDNA depletion.

Figure 4 : **G4 ligand RHPS4 blocks the increase in mtDNA, mitochondrial mass and activity induced by ionising radiation. A)** mtDNA copy number quantification by qPCR for two mtDNA regions CYTB and ND4 48 and 96h after irradiation in the presence or absence of 0.5 μM RHPS4. **B)** confocal microscopy images of U2OS cells non irradiated or 96H after irradiation, treated or not with RHPS4 (0,5μM). Cells were stained with mitotrakerDeepRed (magenta) before fixation and then stained with anti-DNA (yellow) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar is 10μm and 2μm for zoom. **C)** Quantification of mtDNA per cell. At least 70 cells were analysed for each condition from 4 independent experiments. **D)** TMRE probe was used to measure mitochondrial membrane potential by flow cytometry 4 days after irradiation (6Gy) with or without RHPS4 (2μM). Histogram illustrates one representative experiments out of three. **E)** TMRE mean intensity from non irradiated or irradiated cells with or without RHPS4 (2μM). 10.000 single cells were measured from 3 independent experiments and values nomalized to NI cells. **F)** U2OS cells non irradiated or 96H after irradiation were stained with mitotrakerDeepRed (magenta) and anti-MPPB (cyan) and imaged by confocal microscopy. Scale bar 10 μm. **G)** Pearson correlation coefficient between mitotrackerDeepRed and MPPB in irradiated and non irradiated cells in the absence or presence of RHPS4 (2μM). **H)** confocal microscopy images of irradiated U2OS cells 96H after irradiation, treated or not with 2 μM RHPS4. Cells were stained with mitotrakerDeepRed (cyan) before fixation and further stained with an anti-DNA (yellow), and anti-MPPB (magenta); Scale bar is 10μm. **I)** Area and **J)** number of mitochondrial branches per cell were analysed from 102 NI cells and 118 irradiated cells from 4 independent experiments.

Figure5 : **RHPS4 increases radiosensitivity in a mtDNA dependent manner. A)** U2OS cell proliferation courbes measured after a single irradiation at 2 Gy in the presence or absence of RHPS4 (2μM). statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad using Kruskal-wallis test (n.s. non significant; **** p<0.0001). **B)** Images of a clonogenicity experiment performed at increasing concentrations of RHPS4 (0.5μM ; 1μM and 2μM) combined or not with an irradiation at 2Gy**. C)** clonogenicity measured at different irradiation doses (0 ; 2 ; 4 and 6 Gy) and different RHPS4 concentrations (0.1 ; 0.2 ; 0.5 ; 1 ; 2 μM) **D)** microscopic images of cells depleted or not of their mtDNA upon ddc treatment. mtDNA was stained with picogreen (green) and nuclear DNA with DAPI (blue)) **E)** quantification of mtDNA in images presented in D **F)** qPCR quantification for two mtDNA regions CYTB and ND4 on cells treated or not by ddc. **G)** percentage of cell proliferation/survival 6 days after an irradiation at 6Gy with increasing concentrations of RHPS4 doses ((0.1 ; 0.2 ; 0.5 ; 1 ; 2 μM) in cells depleted (+ddC) or not (-ddC) for mtDNA.

Figure 6. Radiosensitizing effects of RHPS4 mediated by mitochondrial targeting. RHPS4 hijacks the mitochondrial adaptation induced by ionising radiation by blocking the increase in mitochondrial DNA, mitochondrial mass, activity and the hyperfusion of the network, therefore increasing cellular radiosensitivity.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

NI and 2 Gy (n=3)

Cell proliferation (normalized to 0H) vs Time (hours)

- NI
- NI RHPS4 2µM
- 2 Gy
- 2 Gy RHPS4 2µM

ns
****

B

0Gy 2Gy

DMSO

RHPS4

C

Clonogenicity (n=3)

Cell survival vs Gy

- DMSO 0.1%
- RHPS4 0,1 µM
- RHPS4 0,2 µM
- RHPS4 0,5 µM
- RHPS4 1 µM
- RHPS4 2 µM

D

- ddC   + ddC

E

mtDNA (IF)

mtDNA (anti-ADN / mitotracker)

- ddC   + ddC

****

F

mtDNA (qPCR)

mtDNA (qPCR)

- ddC
+ ddC

CytB   ND4

G

6 Gy

% cell survival (normalized to DMSO)

- ddC
+ ddC

DMSO   0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2
µM RHPS4

***
*
*** *
*** *

Figure 6