***Mettl5* coordinates protein production and degradation of PERIOD to regulate sleep in**

Drosophila

Xiaoyu Wu[1#], Xingzhuo Yang[1#], Tiantian Fu [1#], Yikang S. Rong[2], Juan Du[1*]

1   Department of Entomology, MOA Key Lab of Pest Monitoring and Green Management,

College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

2   MOE Key Lab of Rare Pediatric Diseases, Hengyang College of Medicine, University of South

China, Hengyang, China

[*]Correspondence author: Juan Du, dujuan9981@cau.edu.cn

[#] These authors contribute equally to this work.

Summary

Sleep is crucial for animal physiology, primarily governed by the brain, and disruptions are prevalent

in various brain disorders. *Mettl5*, associated with intellectual disability (ID) often accompanied by

sleep disturbances, remains poorly understood in its role causing these disorders. Previous research

demonstrated that Mettl5 forms a complex with Trmt112, influencing rRNA methylation. In our study,

we explored sleep phenotypes due to *Drosophila Mettl5* mutations. Rescue experiments pinpointed

Mettl5's predominant role in neurons and glia marked by *Mettl5*-Gal4 in sleep regulation. Notably, a

Trmt112 mutation mirrored these sleep disturbances, implicating translational regulation via the

Mettl5/Trmt112 complex. Subsequent RNA-seq and Ribo-seq analyses unveiled downstream events

from *Mettl5^{1bp}* mutations, revealing altered expression levels of proteasome components and Clock

genes. Rescue experiments confirmed that the net increased PERIOD protein is responsible for the

sleep phenotype. This investigation sheds light on ribosome, clock genes, and proteasome interplay in

sleep regulation, underscoring protein synthesis and degradation's integrative role. These findings

could potentially provide an example of *in vivo* study of the function of rRNA methylation, expand

our understanding of the role of protein homeostasis in sleep and inspire explanations on the ID related

sleep phenotypes.

Key words: *Mettl5*, rRNA methyltransferase, proteasome, Clock genes, sleep

Introduction

Sleep serves critical functions for animals. Investigating the molecular mechanisms underlying sleep holds profound importance for both fundamental research and practical applications. While previous studies have identified several sleep regulators (*Du et al., 2021*), gaps persist in comprehending fundamental cellular processes like protein synthesis and degradation in relation to sleep.

Evidence suggests a correlation between sleep regulation and protein synthesis and degradation, both of which control protein levels dynamically. Protein synthesis is particularly active during sleep (*Lyons et al., 2023*). Sleep deprivation targets translational initiation, impacting this process (*Costa et al., 2019*). The proteasome, crucial for ubiquitination-mediated protein degradation, plays a key role in synapse homeostasis by modulating ribosomal components (*Costa et al., 2019*), thereby influencing synaptic function. Additionally, proteasome components exhibit a circadian expression pattern at the transcriptional level in human cells (*Desvergne et al., 2016*). A recent study in *Drosophila* showed that mutation of a proteasome component alters sleep patterns (*Fernández et al., 2020*). The roles of protein synthesis and degradation in sleep regulation, as well as their interactions, remain open questions.

Several factors influencing proteasome assembly and subunit transcription have been identified (*Kapetanou et al., 2022*). However, there remains a significant gap in research regarding the translational control of proteasome subunits. Furthermore, it has been reported that proteasome inhibition can lead to changes in ribosome function (*Galimberti et al., 2016; Costa et sl., 2019; Palanca et al., 2014*). An open question persists as to whether the proteasome is regulated by the status of the translation machinery. Exploring this relationship could provide deeper insights into the interplay between proteasome function and translation.

Drosophila Mettl5 exhibits 18S ribosomal RNA m⁶A methyltransferase activity (*Leismann et al., 2020*). In both *Drosophila* and human, Mettl5 interacts with Trmt112 to facilitate this function (*Leismann et al., 2020; van Tran et al., 2019*) Depletion of *Mettl5* results in the absence of m⁶A modification on 18S rRNA, yet does not affect rRNA maturation. The loss of *Mettl5*-mediated m⁶A modification influences fly orientation. In mammalian cells, this gene was found mediating 18S rRNA N6-methyladenosine (m⁶A) modification and controlling stem cell fate determination and neural

function (*Wang et al., 2020*). However, it remains intriguing whether *Mettl5* impacts global or transcript-specific translation profile, especially in tissues *in vivo* instead of cell lines. Furthermore, *Mettl5*, associated with intellectual disability (ID) often accompanied by sleep disturbances, remains poorly understood in its role causing these disorders. Thus, test whether the loss of *Mettl5* loss affect behavior such as sleep in *Drosophila* would shed light on this problem.

We discovered that *Mettl5* regulates sleep. To investigate the underlying mechanism, we used RNA-seq and Ribo-seq to reveal downstream events associated with *Mettl5* mutations. We identified multiple clock genes and proteasome components regulated by *Mettl5*, suggesting a coordinated regulation of protein production and degradation, crucial for protein homeostasis. Further experiments showed that the protein level of Period was upregulated, contributing to the sleep phenotype. This study highlights how ribosome defects can impact proteasome function, demonstrating a mechanism that coordinates protein degradation with protein production. Additionally, by mapping the genome-wide profile of an rRNA methylation modifier, this study offers insights into the gene-specific roles of ribosome function.

Results

***Mettl5* is a regulator of *Drosophila* sleep**

Mettl5 is predicted to have a N6 adenine specific nucleic acids methylase domain. In order to study the function of this gene in sleep regulation, we generated mutants by CRISPR-Cas9 deletion. Allele *Mettl5^{1bp}*, which deleted 1bp in the coding region results in a truncated version (Figure 1A) and down regulation of *Mettl5* at mRNA level (Figure 1B). Another allele *Mettl5^{9bp}*, which results in 3 amino acids deleted version of *Mettl5* (Figure 1A) does not cause significant change at mRNA level (Figure 1B). We found that the heterozygous *Mettl5^{1bp}* mutation results in decreased total sleep during the night time, which is resulted from the effect of decrease of sleep at early night time (Figure 1C-F). The sleep curve showed a significant down regulation of sleep at ZT12 to ZT16 (Figure 1C). The calculation of the awake percentage at ZT14 indicated a significant increase of this parameter in *Mettl5^{1bp}* (Figure 1G). In addition, *Mettl5* expression was significantly up regulated during the sleep recovery period after mechanical sleep deprivation (Figure 1M-N). Consistently, the sleep recovery was down regulated in the *Mettl5* mutant (Figure 1O), indicating the sleep homeostasis was affected by *Mettl5*.

In conclusion, the truncated mutation of *Mettl5* resulted in sleep phenotypes.

To verify the specificity of the sleep phenotype caused by *Mettl5^{1bp}*, we did the rescue experiment.

The results showed that supplying of one copy of *Mettl5* to *Mettl5^{1bp}* rescued the sleep phenotype in

sleep amount and awake percentage at ZT14 (Figure 1H-L). These results verified the specificity of

the phenotype.

To verify in which cells did *Mettl5* function for the sleep phenotype, we checked the expression

pattern of *Mettl5*-Gal4. The results indicated that a portion of the *Mettl5*-Gal4 marked cells colocalized

with Repo antibody staining (Figure S1A-C). Another portion of the *Mettl5*-Gal4 marked cells

colocalized with ELAV antibody staining (Figure S1D-F). In addition, we also tested the climbing

ability of *Mettl5* mutation which showed an increase (Figure S1G). The sleep arousal assay also

indicated an increased sleep arousal (Figure S1H). Moreover, the lifespan increased in the mutant

(Figure S1I).

***Mettl5* regulation on *Drosophila* sleep was dependent on its methyltransferase activity**

Previous studies have shown that Mettl5 interacts with Trmt112 to regulate 18S rRNA m^{6}A

modification (*Leismann et al., 2020; van Tran et al., 2019*). Consistently, we found that the

methylation level in both total and 18S rRNA decreased in heterozygous *Mettl5^{1bp}*. We tested the m^{6}A

level using LC-MS/MS experiment. The m^{6}A level decreased in heterozygous *Mettl5^{1bp}* either in both

total RNA and 18S rRNA (Figure 2A-B). To investigate the mechanism of *Mettl5* regulation on sleep.

We examined the phenotype of *Trmt112* knockdown. We found that the *Mettl5*-Gal4 driven *Trmt112*

RNAi resulted in a similar phenotype to *Mettl5^{1bp}* (Figure 2C-G), suggesting that *Mettl5* regulates

sleep through its 18S rRNA m^{6}A modification activity. The efficiency of the *Trmt112* RNAi line was

tested in previous study (*López-Varea et al., 2021*). More important, we performed a rescue

experiment with a mutated form of *Mettl5* that lacks the NPPF amino acids required for its

methyltransferase activity. We observed that this mutant *Mettl5* failed to rescue the sleep phenotype

caused by *Mettl5^{1bp}* (Figure 2H-L). These results collectively indicated that the methyltransferase

activity of *Mettl5* is essential for its role in *Drosophila* sleep.

RNA-seq and Ribo-seq revealed the downstream gene profile of *Mettl5*

To better understand the downstream events of *Mettl5*, we analyzed RNA-seq and Ribo-seq data to

evaluate the effects of *Mettl5^{1bp}* on gene expression. The analysis of the RNA-seq and Ribo-seq data

followed by the Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) showed a clear separation between the two

groups (Figure S2A, B, D, E). High correlation was found between the three biological repeats for

each sample (Figure S2C, F), indicating that RNA-seq and Ribo-seq quality was high. Differentially

expressed gene analysis of RNA-seq showed that 1053 genes ($|\log_2$ (Fold Change) $| \geq 1$ & $p^{adj} < 0.05$)

were significantly changed at the transcription level (Figure 3A), including 217 up-regulated and 836

down-regulated genes (Figure 3B, Table S1). Differentially expressed gene analysis of Ribo-seq

showed that 258 genes were significantly changed at the translation level ($|\log_2$ (Fold Change) $| \geq$

0.265 & $p^{adj} < 0.05$), including 149 up-regulated and 150 down-regulated genes (Figure 3C, Table S1).

The top 100 differentially expressed genes found in RNA-seq (Figure S3A) and Ribo-seq (Figure S3B)

were shown in a heatmap generated according to the rlog transformed values.

To explore the biological implication of the differentially expressed genes at transcriptional and

translational levels, Gene ontology (GO) enrichment was performed. At the transcriptional level

(Figure S4A, C)，the most significantly enriched biological processes GO terms were related to

cellular response, biosynthetic process and metabolic process, such as cellular response to chemical

stimulus, small molecule biosynthetic process, fatty acid metabolic process (Figure S4A). Several term

related gene network also be shown (Figure S4C). At the translation level (Figure S4B, D)，the most

significantly enriched biological processes GO terms were related to organic acid metabolic process,

amino acid metabolic process, lipid catabolic process, cellular respiration, and transport mechanisms.

Additionally, we found prominent terms related to circadian rhythm genes, including circadian

regulation of gene expression and entrainment of the circadian clock (Figure S4B, D).

The GO results usually contain a long list of enriched terms that have highly redundant

information and are difficult to summarize. So, we performed a simplify Enrichment analysis that

visualizes the summaries of clusters by word cloud for the GO enrichment result at transcriptional and

translational levels. We found that the main biological process was metabolic, the response signaling

stimulus; the development of wing disc; imaginal et.al system; the homeostasis and transport for ion-

channel; the cycle of cytokinesis and transition in transcriptional significant DEG (Figure S5A).

Except for the above main biological progress, the significant translated genes also showed enrichment

for the sleep wake, cycle related behavior circadian rhythm and neuron death (Figure S5D). For

cellular components, the main enrichments are chromosome structures, complexes, membranes, and

vesicles (Figure S5B). Molecular functions primarily include enzymatic activities, ion and protein

binding, receptor activities, and transcription processes (Figure S5C). The RNA-seq results are very

similar to the Ribo-seq results (Figure S5E-F).

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) revealed pathways that were enriched in differentially

expressed genes at both transcriptional and translational levels. As the significant genes enrichment

analysis will lose some key important message which are caused by unreasonable screening

parameters, these gene sets ranked by $\log_2$fold-change only (not screened by p values) were considered

in the GSEA. The GSEA-GO and GSEA-KEGG enrichment results of the transcriptional and

translational genes were sorted according to the Normalized enrichment score (NES) value (Figure

S6A-D). We found some important pathway such as proteasome pathway that were suppressed at both

transcriptional and translational levels (P.adjust<0.05) (Figure 3E, I). Arginine and proline metabolism

and insect hormone biosynthesis were significantly affected at transcriptional level (P.adjust<0.05)

(Figure 3D, G). While, fatty acid degradation, Phosphonate and Phosphinate metabolism,etc. were

significantly affected at translational level (P.adjust<0.05) (Figure 3H-K).

In particular, GSEA analysis showed some interesting results. Gene ontology analysis (GSEA-

GO) of the GSEA gene set showed a suppression of mitochondria related activities both at

transcriptional and translational levels (Figure S6A, C). The aromatic amino acid metabolic and

catabolic process were activated at transcriptional level (Figure S6A). While, the signaling receptor,

molecular transducer activity related term was activated at translational level (Figure S6C). The KEGG

analysis (GSEA-kegg) indicated that at transcriptional level, we found that the pathway Pentose and

glucuronate interconversions, Insect hormone biosynthesis, Drug metabolism - cytochrome P450 et.al

were activated (Figure S6B). While, arginine and proline metabolism, Proteasome, Phagosome,

Oxidative phosphorylation etc. pathway were suppressed (Figure S6B). *Mettl5[1bp]* mainly affected the

physiological activities through activating Phototransduction, Phosphonate metabolism,

Glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor biosynthesis etc. pathways at translational level (Figure

S6D). At the same time, it suppressed Peroxisome, amino acid metabolism, Fatty acid degradation,

proteasome etc. pathways at the translational level (Figure S6D).

To better understand the correlation between transcription and translation levels, we performed

correlation analysis of differentially expressed genes based on fold change of DEGs (Figure 3L). we

found 977 genes which had opposite tendency of change at transcriptional vs. translational levels, 24

genes which had same tendency of change at transcriptional *vs.* translational levels., 240 genes which

changed only at translational level and 3309 genes which changed only at transcriptional level. These

four gene clusters were analyzed by enrichment analysis (Figure S7A-H). The expression of important

clock gene *Clk*, *tim* etc. were significantly changed at both transcriptional and translational levels

(Figure 3L).

To assess net translation alterations and exclude the effects of transcription changes, we analyzed

translation efficiency (TE). We found a change of TE in *Mettl5^{1bp}* compared to w^{1118} (Figure 3M, Table

S1). We obtained 1204 genes that had significantly different TE. We performed GO and KEGG

enrichment analysis for these genes. GO enrichment indicated that these genes mainly enriched in

amino acid metabolic process and small molecule biosynthetic process etc. (Figure 3N). The KEGG

enrichment analysis showed that the main pathway are Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, one

carbon pool by folate, etc. (Figure 3O, P).

Ribo-seq revealed the *Mettl5^{1bp}* led to changes of some global translation features

Ribo-seq revealed the global translation features in two groups, w^{1118} and *Mettl5^{1bp}*, respectively.

The correlation coefficient of TE between the three biological replicates of two groups varied from

0.87 to 0.93, indicating a high correlation between the samples of the same genotype (Figure S8A-F).

We found that the length of ribosome-protected RNA fragments (RPFs) was approximately 28nt

(Figure S10A). The RPFs exhibited a significant 3-nt periodicity. Metagene analysis of individual 28nt

reads revealed the distribution of RPFs across the gene locus in w^{1118} and *Mettl5^{1bp}*, respectively (Figure

S8, S9). The starting point of translation is 12nt upstream of start-codon and gradually disappears 15nt

from stop-codon (Figure S8G-L). RPFs on the metagene plot distribution around the translation start

and translation stop site (Figure S9A-F), different coding frames on CDS, 3'-UTR and 5'-UTR,

respectively in two groups of samples all showed the periodicity (Figure S9G-L). As expected, all

plots show an enrichment of P-sites in the first frame on the coding sequence but not the UTRs, in

accord with ribosome protected fragments from protein coding mRNAs (Figure S9G-L).

Analysis of the proportion of the different types of open reading frame (ORF) indicated an

alteration of the percentage of some types of ORFs. Compared to w^{1118}, we found that $Mettl5^{1bp}$ have

more overlapped dORFs and less non-overlapped dORFs (Figure S10B). Both of the two groups

showed the shorter length of translated uORF compared to untranslated uORF (P<0.05) (Figure S10C).

$Mettl5^{1bp}$ had less dORF and uORF read count (Figure S10D-E). According to the translation potential

of uORF, the translated uORF and untranslated uORF can be divided from different sample. Motif

analysis was performed on untranslated uORF and translated uORF respectively (Figure S10F-G).

Mutation of $Mettl5$ altered the codon preference. When comparing the codon occupancy (A-site)

correlation between two groups, we find two codons (GAC and GAU) were preferred by $Mettl5^{1bp}$ and

UCC were preferred by w^{1118} (Figure S10H). The cumulative frequency of these three codons also

demonstrated the same trend (Figure S10I-K). The Asp codon GAC and GAU, so we compared the

amino acid occupancy of Asp. The result indicated that the frequency of Asp in w^{1118} was significantly

enriched in translation (Figure S10L).

Metagene plot comparing RPFs between $Mettl5^{1bp}$ and w^{1118} revealed the differential translation.

The CDS and region around it was divided into 100 equal bins, and the average RPF density was

calculated for each bin. The plot visually depicting the differences in ribosome occupancy patterns

between $Mettl5^{1bp}$ and w^{1118} along the CDS (Figure S10M), around the translation start site (Figure

S10N) and around the translation end site (Figure S10O). The plot highlights the divergent ribosome

occupancy patterns between $Mettl5^{1bp}$ and w^{1118} along the CDS and near the translation start site,

indicated potential variations in translation dynamics and disparities in translation initiation (Figure

S10M, O).

Clock gene regulatory loop regulating circadian rhythm was affected by $Mettl5^{1bp}$

We found that multiple clock genes had significant changes in both transcriptional and translational

levels. Cry and $Clock$ was significantly upregulated at both transcriptional and translational levels

(Figure 4A-B). $Tim, per, vri and pdp1$ were significantly downregulated at both transcriptional and

translational levels (Figure 4A-B). More important, per, $vri,$ and $pdp1$ were significantly

downregulated in translational efficiency (Figure 4C). These genes are components of the clock gene

regulatory network which controls the circadian rhythm. The clock neuron morphology was not

affected by the mutant (Figure S11).

According to the relationship between these genes in the clock regulatory circuit, if Per was

downstream of Clock in mediating the function of *Mettl5*, we should have seen the change of both

genes to the same direction. However, we detected changes to opposite for Clock and Per. Therefore,

we propose that Per was upstream of Clock in mediating the function of *Mettl5*. Then, we examined

the protein level of Per. Surprisingly, we found that the protein level of Per was upregulated in the

mutant detected by both immunostaining and western blot (Figure 4D-G). This is confirmed by the

circadian rhythm phenotype showed by *Mettl5* mutant, which showed a significant increased period

length (Table 1). An increased period length was reported in previous case of inactive kinase activity

which results in a stabilized Period (*Philpott et al., 2023*). Consistently, genetic evidence indicated

that both of *Clock* and *Per* genes were downstream of *Mettl5*, because the double mutant showed the

phenotype of clock genes (Figure 4H-M, Table 1). This result indicated that other mechanism

regulating Per protein was affected by $Mettl5^{1bp}$.

Then we ask what's the factors mediated Per protein level changes in *Mettl5* mutant. By examining

the RNA-seq, Ribo-seq data and translation efficiency, we found that multiple components of the

proteasome pathways were significantly downregulated in $Mettl5^{1bp}$ (Figure 3E, I, 4N). Based on the

above results, we proposed a working model in which *Mettl5* directly regulates proteasome, Per and

Clock in transcription and translation. The proteasome regulates Per at post translational level. And in

turn Per inhibits Clock at protein level. As a result, in $Mettl5^{1bp}$, the down regulation of proteasome

results in the upregulation of Per protein and as a result up regulation of Clock protein activity and its

downstream gene transcription (Figure 5).

The axon complexity was affected by $Mettl5^{1bp}$

Previous results have indicated a close correlation between sleep need and synaptic complexity

(*Bushey et al., 2011*). Moreover, it has been observed that synaptogenesis stimulates proteasome

activity in axons (*Costa et al., 2019*). Considering the loss of sleep rebound after deprivation and the

expression level changes of proteasome subunits resulting from $Mettl5^{1bp}$, we were interested in

investigating whether synaptic complexity is affected.

To quantify synaptic complexity, we employed a method previously reported (*Bushey et al., 2011*).

Syt-GFP, a protein product that colocalizes with native synaptic vesicles, was used to visualize changes

in presynaptic morphology. As controls, UAS-*Fmr1* and *Fmr1* mutation were utilized, resulting in a

decrease and increase of *syt*-eGFP, respectively (Figure 6A-C). Interestingly, we found that the

Mettl5^{1bp} led to an increase in *syt*-eGFP staining in presynaptic terminals (Figure 6D).

Discussion

In this study, we found that *Mettl5*, a previously identified rRNA methylase, regulates sleep through

its RNA methylation activity. RNA-seq and Ribo-seq of the *Mettl5^{1bp}* identified downstream genes of

Mettl5 at transcriptional and translational levels. Further study identified Mettl5 can affect clock gene

network and proteasome pathway which mediated *Mettl5* regulation of sleep. By elucidated the

molecular mechanism for Mettl5 function on sleep, this study highlighted an integrative role of protein

synthesis and degradation in sleep regulation. The Ribo-seq analysis in this study indicated that global

features of translation, such as uORF translation efficiency and codon choices, were affected in the

Mettl5^{1bp}, suggesting a role of rRNA methylation in these processes.

The ortholog of Mettl5 in humans is METTL5, mutation of which causes intellectual disability (ID)

(*Richard et al., 2019*). This study expanded our understanding of the molecular mechanism of *Mettl5*

function, which potentially provides new insights for the potential treatment of ID. Previous clinical

studies found that the ID patients have sleep problems, including sleep duration shortness. This

symptom is consistent with what we found for the *Mettl5^{1bp}*. The mechanism for this phenotype found

in this study is potentially important. More studies need to be done in vertebrates to verify if this

mechanism is conserved for sleep disorders.

The RNA-seq and Ribo-seq of *Mettl5^{1bp}* revealed the gene network that is potentially directed by

Mettl5. The direct or immediate effect of *Mettl5* may lie in the regulation of translation. Indeed, we

found that the codon occupancy was significantly changed for GAC, GAU, UCC. Moreover, the

occupancy on dORF were significantly downregulated in the *Mettl5^{1bp}*. The translated uORF were

significantly downregulated by the *Mettl5^{1bp}*. These may lead to fluctuations in certain protein

production, which may eventually lead to altered downstream gene expression. This result indicated

that small perturbations in translation process have a significant impact on downstream events,

highlighting the importance of maintaining the accuracy of the translation process.

We observed a significant decrease in total RNA and 18S rRNA methylation levels in individuals

with the heterozygous allele of *Mettl5*. Interestingly, this finding differs slightly from studies

conducted in mice, where heterozygous knockouts still exhibited normal levels of 18S rRNA m^6A

methylation (*Sepich-Poore et al., 2022*). It may attribute to potential species-specific regulatory

differences between *Drosophila* and mice. Another plausible explanation may lie in the distinct nature

of the knockdown compared to knockouts. Notably, prior research has highlighted compensation

effects arising from complete knockouts (*Teng et al., 2013; Rossi et al., 2015; Vu et al., 2015; Ma et*

al., 2019; El-Brolosy et al., 2019).

This study revealed a new aspect on the connection of clock genes and proteasome. The clock

regulation on proteasome was important in several different contexts. Previous studies showed that

the circadian clock rhythmically controls the daily expression of multiple components of the

proteasome, which responds to dietary restriction in *Drosophila* fat body (*Hwangbo et al., 2023*). In

this study, we found *Mettl5* can regulate clock protein synthesis and degradation in clock neurons via

affecting proteasome function. The role played by *Mettl5* in this process may be through affecting the

methylation of ribosomes in clock neurons, affecting the proteasome degradation pathway (*Costa et*

al., 2019), and ultimately leading to changes in clock proteins in clock neurons.

The function of Mettl5 highlights an integrative regulation of rRNA methylation and the proteasome.

This provides an opportunity to coordinate protein synthesis and protein degradation, which would be

a feasible way to keep balance for the total protein level. Interestingly, we observed specificity in the

downstream affected genes both at transcriptional and translational levels. For the proteasome subunits,

we also detected level changes for some of them, indicating a specificity of *Mettl5* function. The

specificity for the proteasome subunits changes could be attributed to gene specificity of *Mettl5* on

translation. Another possibility is that there are other layers of regulation on protein degradation

pathway by Mettl5 protein interaction or other mechanisms. These factors may form a regulatory

network to coordinate with each other. It is an intriguing question how the downstream specificity is

achieved. More studies are needed to elucidate these mechanisms.

This result indicates the complex relationship between clock genes and sleep homeostasis regulation.

The data in this study are consistent with previous reports on the involvement of clock genes in the

regulation of sleep homeostasis. It has been reported that loss of function alleles of *Per* and *Clock*

exhibit a more pronounced sleep rebound after sleep deprivation (*Shaw et al., 2002*). Conversely, the

Mettl5 allele, which results in increased PER protein, leads to reduced sleep rebound. Furthermore,

data in this study suggested that the regulatory mechanism of *Clock* has tissue specificity. According

to the expression patterns of *Mettl5* based on *Mettl5*-Gal4, it does not express in clock neurons. Instead,

it is expressed in *Mettl5*-Gal4 positive cells, which are composed of a part of neurons and glia. *Clock*

is expressed not only in clock neurons of the *Drosophila* brain (*Patop et al., 2023*). The combinational

expression of these proteins constitutes the specificity of clock upstream regulators. Our study

indicates that these *Mettl5* expressing neurons and glia are important in sleep regulation. It would be

interesting to find out the *Mettl5* dependent sleep homeostasis regulatory circuits.

Evidence indicates that sleep functions in development, metabolism and neuronal plasticity (*Anafi*

et al., 2019). Sleep exerts effects on neuronal plasticity by modifying synapses. The synaptic

homeostasis theory proposes that sleep has a role in downscale synaptic strength (*Tononi and Cirelli,*

2006). Indeed, synapse markers progressively decrease during sleep in both mammals and *Drosophila*

brains (*López-Varea et al., 2021; Vyazovskiy et al., 2008; Gilestro et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010*) More

importantly, evidence indicates that sleep need and protein levels in synapse are tightly linked. Studies

of the presynaptic active zone have shown that synaptic plasticity regulates sleep homeostasis (*Huang*

et al., 2020). Genome-wide proteomic studies of synapse in mouse brain indicate that the synaptic

proteins peak around dusk and dawn (*Noya et al., 2019; Brüning et al., 2019*). Sleep deprivation

experiments have demonstrated that the sleep drive is significantly dependent on the cycling of

proteins and phosphoproteins in synapses, in contrast to mRNAs (*Noya et al., 2019; Brüning et al.,*

2019). These results suggest that the gene expression regulation at the protein level is crucial for sleep.

Consistently, we detected changes in the quantity of axons in *Mettl5[1bp]*, suggesting a possible

regulation of neural circuits by *Mettl5*.

This study revealed gene-specific functions of ribosome components. Previous study suggested a

context dependent component and function of ribosomes (*Simsek et al., 2017*). We observed changes

in the translational efficiency of genes in the *Mettl5* mutant. One possible mechanism is that rRNA

modifications influenced by *Mettl5* affect the binding affinity of ribosomes to specific RNA sequences. Alternatively, the rRNA modifications influenced by *Mettl5* may be responsible for certain ribosome types that impact the translation of this gene profile. Further evidence is needed to elucidate these possibilities.

Material and methods

Drosophila Strains

Fly stocks used in this study were maintained under standard culture conditions. We used the w^{1118} as the control strain. The following flies were obtained from Bloomington Stock Center: w^{1118} (Bl: 5905), *Mettl5*-Gal4 (Bl: 19514), *Repo*-Gal4 (Bl: 7415), *nSyb*-Gal4 (Bl: 51941), *pdf*-Gal4 (Bl: 41286), Clk^{JRK} (Bl: 80927), per^{01}(Bl: 80917), $Fmr1^{\Delta 50M}$(Bl: 6930)，UAS-*Trmt112*RNAi (VDRC: 101515), UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.eGFP (Bl: 33064), UAS-GFPstinger (from Yi Rao's lab), UAS-*Fmr1* (Bl: 6931), UAS-*Mettl5* (FlyORF: F000760) are from Fly ORF collection. UAS-*Mettl5m*-3HA (generated in this study). $Mettl5^{1bp}$ and $Mettl5^{9bp}$ were generated by the CRISPR/Cas system.

Sleep behavior assays

Sleep assays were performed in an incubator at a temperature of 25 ± 1 °C and a relative humidity of $60\% \pm 5\%$. Lights were turned on at ZT0 (06:30 am) and off at ZT12 (18:30 pm). The locomotor activity was recorded using Drosophila Activity Monitoring System (Trikinetics. Waltham, MA). Flies were acclimated to the experimental conditions for 2 days before data collection. Locomotor activity data were collected at 1-minute intervals for 3 days, and analyzed by pysolo as described previously (*Gilestro and Cirelli, 2009*). Sleep was defined as periods of 5 min or more of behavioral immobility.

Sleep deprivation is applied by mechanical stimuli using a timer-controlled rotating shaker. The intensity of stimuli was 1500 rpm once every minute (2 s/1 min) (*Shimizu et al., 2008*). After acclimating to the experimental conditions for 2 days, the flies were sleep deprived for 12 h (ZT12-ZT24). Mechanical sleep deprivation was performed using the SNAP method to keep flies awake for 12 h overnight (*Shaw et al., 2002*). Flies were sleep deprived from ZT12 (beginning of the dark phase) to ZT0 (beginning of the light phase) by a vortex at the lower intensity setting for 3 s every minute. Sleep lost and recovery were calculated for each fly by using the 24-h period preceding deprivation as

the baseline. Sleep recovery values were calculated by subtracting 6 h sleep amount during night

(ZT12-ZT18) after deprivation from the sleep amount of the corresponding time of the previous day

(baseline sleep amount).

Sleep arousal is measured at ZT14. Flies are given a uniform, gentle mechanical stimulus, and

any activity within the next five minutes is recorded. If no activity is observed during this period, the

fly is considered not to have awakened in response to the stimulation. The number of flies that awaken

due to the stimulus is counted, and their percentage is calculated.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out in GraphPad Prism 5. The statistical tests for each experiment are

shown in the figures. The significance of difference for sleep parameters was analyzed using

Nonparametric test, including two-tailed Mann-Whitney test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's

multiple comparison test. Unpaired Student's t-test analyses were carried out for qPCR data and Log-

rank (Mantel-Cox) test analyses were carried out for lifespan data using GraphPad Prism software.

For all statistical tests, $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Quantitative PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cells and tissues using the TRNzol Universal Reagent (Tiangen #DP4-

02). RNA reverse transcription was performed by using PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser

(TAKARA #RR047A). Quantitative PCR was carried out using SuperReal PreMix Plus (SYBR Green)

(Tiangen #DP4-02). *RP49* were used to normalize results between groups. Three independent

biological replicates were performed for each experiment. The primers used in this experiment are

RP49-F: CGGTTACGGATCGAACAAGC; *RP49*-R: CTTGCGCTTCTTGGAGGAGA; *Mettl5*-F:

CAACACGGTCGTACATTCAG; *Mettl5*-R: AGTCCACCTC AATATCCTTCG.

Imaging and analysis of axon volume

To quantify the axon volume of small LNvs, the plugin Object 3D counter in ImageJ was used to

quantify number of pixels from the raw images. The images were processed according to a threshold

in which an intact axon can be observed in controls. Axon span from the first axonal bifurcation to the

tip of the terminal which was labeled by white rectangular box in Figure 8 was quantified.

Sample collection and library construction for Ribo-seq and RNAseq

For *Drosophila* samples, the w^{1118} and *Mettl5^{1bp}* at ZT15 were transferred to centrifuge tubes and

quick-frozen by immersion in liquid nitrogen. The fly heads were separated and collected after quick-

frozen with liquid nitrogen. Three biological replicates were collected for w^{1118} and *Mettl5^{1bp}*,

respectively. Half of each sample was used for RNA-seq and the other half for Ribo-seq.

For Ribo-seq library construction, samples were treated with specific lysis buffer with

cycloheximide (50mg/mL) to acquire the lysate (Novogen, China). To digest the RNA other than

ribosome-protected fragments (RPF), fly tissue lysate was treated with unspecific endoribonuclease,

RNase I. Isolation of monosomes was performed by size-exclusion chromatography using MicroSpin

S-400 HR columns. The RNA samples were then treated with rRNA depletion kit to remove as much

rRNA contamination as possible before performing PAGE purification of the relatively short (20~38

nt) RPFs. Following PAGE purification, both ends of the RPF were phosphorylated and ligated with

5' and 3' adapters, respectively. Then the fragments were reversely transcribed to the cDNAs,

amplified by PCR, and subjected to library construction after quality test. Ribo-seq libraries were

sequenced using PE150 (Illumina).

For RNA-seq library construction, total RNA was extracted using a standard TRIzol RNA

extraction protocol. The cDNA library was built and sequenced using illumina PE 150 (Illumina). The

RNAseq analysis was performed by Novogen.

Ribo-seq and RNA-seq data were analyzed as follows. Raw data (raw reads) of FASTQ format

were first processed. Clean reads were obtained by removing reads containing adapters, reads

containing N bases and low-quality reads from the raw data. At the same time, Q20, Q30 and GC

content of the clean data were calculated.

RNA-seq analysis

Index of the *Drosophila melanogaster* reference genome (dm6) was built using Hisat2 v2.0.5

(*Kim et al., 2019*) and paired-end clean reads were aligned to the *Drosophila melanogaster* reference

genome (dm6) using Hisat2 v2.0.5. The mapped reads of each sample were assembled by StringTie

(v1.3.3b) (*Pertea et al., 2015*) in a reference-based approach. FeatureCounts (v1.5.0) (*Liao et al., 2014*)

was used to count the reads numbers mapped to each gene. Differential expression analysis of w^{1118}

and *Mettl5^{1bp}* was performed using the DESeq2 R package (1.20.0) (*Pertea et al., 2015*). Genes with

an adjusted P-value<0.05 and |log2fc| ≥1 were assigned as significant differentially expressed gene.

Ribo-seq analysis

First, the clean reads were aligned to a FASTA file containing rRNA, tRNA, snoRNA, and snRNA

from Flybase Release 6.13 annotations using Bowtie (*Liao et al., 2014*) with a maximum of two

mismatches (-v 2) by default. Successful alignments were discarded, and all unaligned reads were

collected. Unaligned clean reads (ribosome-protected fragments, RPF) were then mapped to the

FlyBase D. melanogaster reference genome (Release 6.13) using STAR (v2.7.3a) (*Dobin et al., 2013*)

with default options. The unique genome-mapped reads are then mapped against protein coding

transcripts using Bowtie (v1.2.2) (*Langmead et al., 2009*) with parameters "-a -v 2" by default. The

featureCounts program in Subread package v1.6.3 is used to count the number of RPFS uniquely

mapped to CDS regions based on fly genome mapping file (-t CDS -g gene_id), which were then

normalized as RPF Per Kilobase per Million mapped RPFs (RPKM). DESeq2 R package (1.14.1)

(*Love et al., 2014*) was used for differential expression analysis. The threshold for significantly

differential expression is |$\log_2$ (Fold Change) | ≥ 0.265 & p^{adj}< 0.05. Differential TE analysis was

performed using RiboDiff. Coding frame distribution and 3-nt periodicity analyses for Ribo-seq

quality evaluation were performed using R package riboWaltz (v1.1.0) (*Lauria et al., 2018*). The

Ribocode package (*Xiao et al., 2018*) was used to analyze the P-site and the motif of

translated/untranslated uORFs. Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway analysis, Gene Set

Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) analysis was performed using the clusterProfiler package (v4.5.2.002)

(*Wu et al., 2021*). Volcano plots, veen plots a were plotted with the ggplot2 package.

SimplifyEnrichment package (*Gu and Hübschmann, 2022*) was used to simply the GO enrichment

results.

Immunofluorescence experiments

Adult flies that were 7-15 days old (unless otherwise noted) were anaesthetized with CO_2 and dissected

in 0.03% PBST (1 × PBS with 0.03% Triton X-100; Sigma, T9284) on ice. After a 55 min fixation of

samples in 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at room temperature (RT), samples were washed four times

for 15 min in 0.03% PBST at RT, blocked in 10% Normal Goat Serum (NGS; diluted with 1 × PBS

with 2% Triton) overnight at 4°C and incubated with primary antibodies for 24 h at 4°C. The samples

were washed again four times with 1 × PBS with 1% Triton for 15 min at RT and incubated with

secondary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. And then the samples were washed with 1 × PBS with 1%

Triton again 4 times for 15 min at RT and mounted on a slide using anti-fading Mounting medium

(with DAPI; Solarbio, S2110). The primary antibodies we used were anti-Elav rat (DSHB, 7E8A10,

1:200) and anti-Repo mouse (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 8D12; 1:200). The secondary

antibodies were Alexa FluorTM 568 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A11004; 1:200) and Alexa FluorTM

647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A21247; 1:200). The primary and secondary antibodies were diluted

in dilution buffer (1.25% PBST, 1% NGS). Images were taken using confocal microscopy (Leica SP8)

with LAX software with auto Z brightness correction to generate a homogeneous signal where it

seemed necessary and were formatted using Adobe Photoshop CS6. Figures were generated using

Adobe IIIustrator 2020. For the immunofluorescence experiment of PDF, the method is similar with

the discription above. All immunofluorescence experiments were done with at least 3 repeats, each

repeat with a sample size of more than 10 individual flies. ImageJ was used to analyze

immunofluorescence brightness.

Western Blotting

Total protein was extracted from approximately 30 fly heads using RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl,

1.0% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0). Protease inhibitor

cocktail (CW2200S) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (CW2383S) were added according to the

manufacturer's instructions. An equal volume of 2x SDS loading buffer was added to the samples,

followed by heating in a water bath at 100 °C for 5 minutes, and then cooling on ice for several minutes.

Primary antibodies (Rabbit anti-PER, Gift from Dr. Jeffrey Price's laboratory at University of

Missouri at Kansas City, U.S.) were diluted 1:5000 and incubated with the membranes overnight at

4°C, while HRP-labeled secondary antibodies (HRP Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H + L) (ABclonal, AS014))

were diluted 1:1500 and incubated for 4 hours at room temperature. Signals were detected using ECL

(ABclonal, RM00021P) and visualized with the Amersham ImageQuant 800 (GE Healthcare, Sweden).

Signal intensity was quantified using ImageJ software. Three biological replicates were conducted for

this experiment.

LC-MS/MS analysis of m^6A levels

Total RNA was extracted from cells and tissues using TRNzol Universal Reagent (Tiangen #DP4-02).

18S rRNA was obtained through polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and gel recovery of total RNA.

Ribonucleoside standards included rA and N6 mA. The fluid phase used in this experiment was a

mixture of methanol and ddH_2O. For each biological replicate, 1 μg of total RNA or 18S rRNA was

degraded to single nucleotides using Nucleoside Digestion Mix (NEB #M0649), followed by the

addition of a 4-fold volume of methanol and precipitation at -20°C for 2 hours to remove proteins.

Multiple reaction monitoring transitions used in this study were 268.10275→136.0621 (rA),

282.11835→150.0774 (N6 mA).

Lifespan and Negtive geotaxis RING assay

Mated males were collected and maintained at a density of 20 flies per vial. The flies were kept

at a temperature of 25 °C with 60% humidity and a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle. Every other day,

the flies were transferred to new vials, and their survival rate was recorded. Statistical analysis and

survival curve plotting were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0. Each experiment was conducted

with three biological replicates, using a total of 300 flies for lifespan assays.

For climbing assays, flies were collected under CO_2 anesthesia and allowed to recover overnight.

Typically, 200 flies (distributed among 10 vials, each containing 20 flies and marked at 90 mm from

the bottom) were used for each genotype. To initiate the climbing assay, vials were tapped sharply on

the table three times to elicit negative geotaxis responses. A 15-minute rest period was observed

between each trial. Three trials were conducted for each group, and five groups were used for each

genotype. The number of flies attempting to reach the mark within 10 seconds was counted for each

trial. Videos were recorded during the assay, and the positions of the flies were captured. The camera

and vials were separated by a 20 cm distance, and a white open-faced box placed behind the setup

provided uniform lighting.

Data availability

501   The RNA-seq and Ribo-seq data from this publication have been deposited to the NCBI bioproject

502   database https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/ and assigned the identifier PRJNA994860.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 32070492 and 32122017) to Juan Du.

Author contributions

XW and TF designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. XY performed the bioinformatics analysis, analyzed the data and edited the manuscript. YR analyzed data and edited the manuscript. JD designed experiments, analyzed data, wrote and edited the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary information

Supplementary Figures S1-S11

Supplementary Table1

Figure legends

Figure 1 *Mettl5* is a regulator of *Drosophila* sleep.

(A) Diagram illustrating CRISPR-Cas knockout of 1 or 9 bases in the *Mettl5* gene. (B) Relative expression of *Mettl5* mRNA in *Mettl5^{1bp}* and *Mettl5^{9bp}* mutant male flies compared to control flies. (C) Sleep curve throughout the day for *Mettl5* mutant male flies and control flies. (D) Total sleep of *Mettl5* mutant male flies and control flies. (E) Sleep bout duration of *Mettl5* mutant male flies and control flies. (F) Number of sleep bouts of *Mettl5* mutant male flies and control flies. (G) Percentage of awake for *Mettl5* mutant flies and control flies. (H) Sleep curve throughout the day for *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5* over expression male flies and control flies. (I) Total sleep of *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5* over expression male flies and control flies. (J) Sleep bout duration of *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5* over expression male flies and control flies. (K) Number of sleep bouts of *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5* over expression male flies and control flies. (L) Percentage of awake for *Mettl5* mutant flies, *Mettl5* over expression male flies and control flies. (M)

Sleep curve is tracked throughout the entire day prior to sleep deprivation and during the daytime sleep rebound period. (N) *Mettl5* mRNA expression level at different time points. W(wake), SD (sleep deprivation), SR (sleep recovery). (O) The daytime sleep recovery during the six hours following sleep deprivation in *Mettl5* mutant male flies. For * stands for p<0.05, ** stands for p<0.01, *** stands for p<0.001, *ns* stands for not significant.

Figure 2 *Mettl5* regulation of *Drosophila* sleep was dependent on its methyltransferase activity.

(A) The m^{6}A level in the total RNA of *Mettl5* mutant male flies. (B) The m^{6}A level in the 18S rRNA of *Mettl5* mutant male flies. (C) The sleep curve throughout the day shows the sleep pattern of induced *Trmt112* RNAi male flies and control flies. (D) Total sleep of induced *Trmt112* RNAi male flies and control flies. (E) Sleep bout duration in induced *Trmt112* RNAi male flies and control flies. (F) Number of sleep bouts in induced *Trmt112* RNAi male flies and control flies. (G) Percentage of awake in *Trmt112* RNAi and control flies. (H) Sleep curve throughout the day for *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5m* over expression male flies and control flies. (I) Total sleep of *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5m* over expression male flies and control flies. (J) Sleep bout duration in *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5* over expression male flies and control flies. (K) Number of sleep bouts in *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5* over expression male flies and control flies. (L) Percentage of awake in *Mettl5* mutant male flies, induced *Mettl5* over expression male flies and control flies. For * stands for p<0.05, ** stands for p<0.01, *** stands for p<0.001, *ns* stands for not significant.

Figure 3 RNA-seq and Ribo-seq analysis revealed changes in the gene profile of *Mettl5^{1bp}*.

(A) Venn diagram depicting the number of significant differentially expressed genes revealed by RNA-seq and Ribo-seq. (B) Volcano plot representing the differentially expressed genes identified by RNA-seq. Genes that met the criteria of |log2(fold-change)| ≥ 1 and p.adjust < 0.05 were considered significantly expressed, marked in orange for downregulation and green for upregulation. (C) Volcano plot representing the differentially expressed genes identified by Ribo-seq. Candidates that satisfied the criteria of |log$_2$(fold-change)| ≥ 0.265 and p.adjust <0.05 were regarded as significantly expressed, marked in red for downregulation and blue for upregulation, respectively. (D-K) Gene set enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes revealed by RNA-seq (D-G) and Ribo-seq (H-K). All the plots are generated using the KEGG gene set database. The bar chart at the bottom of each panel shows

the distribution of target genes for each pathway according to their rank position. Each vertical line represents a gene. Genes on the left show positive correlation with $Mettl5^{1bp}$, while, genes on the right show negative correlation with $Mettl5^{1bp}$. The green line indicates the enrichment score (ES), and NES stands for normalized enrichment score. (L) Distribution of the differentially expressed genes revealed by both RNA-seq and Ribo-seq. (M) Cumulative distribution of TE frequencies among w^{1118} and $Mettl5^{1bp}$ (N, O) GO and KEGG enrichment of significantly changed TE-related genes between w^{1118} and $Mettl5^{1bp}$. The color of the bar indicates the enrichment p.adjust value. (P) KEGG network showing the top 5 pathway and associated genes. The size of the dots represents the number of genes in the pathway.

Figure 4. Clock genes expression mediated the sleep phenotype caused by *Mettl5* mutation.

(A-C) Fold changes in clock genes with significant expression level differences between w^{1118} and $Mettl5^{1bp}$ were observed in RNA-seq, Ribo-seq, and translation efficiency analyses. (D-E) PER protein immunofluorescence staining in small LNvs (ventral lateral neurons) and its immunofluorescence intensity statistics. (F-G) Western blot analysis of PER protein and Tubulin protein, along with their grayscale quantification. (H) Sleep curves throughout the day for $Mettl5^{1bp}$, CLK^{JRK}, double mutant and control flies. (I) Total sleep for $Mettl5^{1bp}$, CLK^{JRK}, double mutant and control flies. (J) Percentage of awake time in $Mettl5^{1bp}$ flies, partially rescued by double mutant flies. (K) Sleep curve throughout the day for $Mettl5^{1bp}$, per^{01}, double mutant and control flies. (L) Total sleep for $Mettl5^{1bp}$, per^{01}, double mutant and control flies. (M) Percentage of awake time in $Mettl5^{1bp}$ flies, partially rescued by double mutant flies. (N) Fold changes in proteasome subunits with significant expression level differences between w^{1118} and $Mettl5^{1bp}$ were observed in RNA-seq, Ribo-seq, and translation efficiency analyses. For statistical significance, * stands for p<0.05, ** stands for p<0.01, *** stands for p<0.001, *ns* stands for not significant.

Figure 5 A working model illustrating the role of *Mettl5* in *Drosophila* sleep was presented.

Figure 6 The axon complexity was found to be affected by $Mettl5^{1bp}$

(A-D) Examples of small LNvs axonal terminals labeled by syt-eGFP (green). A rectangular box indicates the location where LNvs axons exit the posterior optic tract and the first axonal bifurcation to the tip of the terminal region, whose volume was measured. (E) Mean volume measurements of

small LNvs axonal terminals labeled by syt-eGFP.

Table 1 Circadian rhythm phenotypes of various mutants.

References

Anafi RC, Kayser MS, Raizen DM. 2019. Exploring phylogeny to find the function of sleep. *Nature*
Reviews Neuroscience 20: 109-116.

Brüning F, Noya SB, Bange T, Koutsouli S, Rudolph JD, Tyagarajan SK, Cox J, Mann M, Brown SA,
and Robles MS. 2019. Sleep-wake cycles drive daily dynamics of synaptic
phosphorylation. *Science* 366: eaav3617.

Bushey D, Tononi G, Cirelli C. 2011. Sleep and synaptic homeostasis: structural evidence in *Drosophila*.
Science 332: 1576-1581.

Costa RO, Martins H, Martins LF, Cwetsch AW, Mele M, Pedro JR, Tomé D, Jeon NL, Cancedda L,
Jaffrey SR, Almeida RD. 2019. Synaptogenesis Stimulates a Proteasome-Mediated Ribosome
Reduction in Axons. *Cell Reports* 28: 864-876.

Desvergne A, Ugarte N, Radjei S, Gareil M, Petropoulos I, Friguet B. 2016. Circadian modulation of
proteasome activity and accumulation of oxidized protein in human embryonic kidney HEK 293 cells
and primary dermal fibroblasts. *Free Radical Biology And Medicine* 94: 195-207.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras, TR.
2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29: 15-21.

Du J, Lv P, Fu T, Wei Y, Zhao Z. 2021. Regulation of sleep in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Adv *Insect*
Physiol 60: 119-168.

El-Brolosy MA, Kontarakis Z, Rossi A, Kuenne C, Gunther S, Fukuda N, Kikhi K, Boezio GLM, Takacs
CM, Lai SL. 2019. Genetic compensation triggered by mutant mRNA degradation. *Nature* 568: 193-
197.

Fernández-Cruz I, Sánchez-Díaz I, Narváez-Padilla V, Reynaud E. 2020. Rpt2 proteasome subunit
reduction causes Parkinson's disease like symptoms in *Drosophila*. *IBRO Reports* 9: 65-77.

Galimberti V, Kinor N, Shav-Tal Y, Biggiogera M, Brüning A. 2016. The stress-inducible transcription
factor ATF4 accumulates at specific rRNA-processing nucleolar regions after proteasome inhibition.

European Journal of Cell Biolog 95: 389-400.

Gilestro GF, Tononi G, Cirelli C. 2009. Widespread changes in synaptic markers as a function of sleep
and wakefulness in *Drosophila*. *Science* 324: 109-112.

Gilestro GF, Cirelli C. 2009. pySolo: a complete suite for sleep analysis in
Drosophila. *Bioinformatics* 25: 1466-1467.

Gu Z, Hübschmann D. 2022. simplifyEnrichment: A bioconductor package for clustering and
visualizing functional enrichment results. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* 21: 190-202.

Huang S, Piao C, Beuschel CB, Götz T, Sigrist SJ. 2020. Presynaptic active zone plasticity encodes
sleep need in Drosophila. *Current Biology* 30: 1077-1091.

Hwangbo DS, Kwon YJ, Iwanaszko M, Jiang P, Abbasi L, Wright N, Alli S, Hutchison AL, Dinner AR,
Braun RI, Allada R. 2023. Dietary Restriction Impacts Peripheral Circadian Clock Output Important
for Longevity in *Drosophila*. bioRxiv [Preprint]. 2023.01.04.522718. DOI:
10.1101/2023.01.04.522718. PMID: 36711760

Kapetanou M, Athanasopoulou S, Gonos ES. 2022. Transcriptional regulatory networks of the
proteasome in mammalian systems. *IUBMB Life* 74: 41-52.

Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. 2019. Graph-based genome alignment and
genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nature Biotechnology* 37: 907-915.

Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short
DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biology* 10: R25.

Lauria F, Tebaldi T, Bernabò P, Groen EJN, Gillingwater TH, Viero G. 2018. riboWaltz: Optimization
of ribosome P-site positioning in ribosome profiling data. *PLoS Computational Biology* 14: e1006169.

Leismann J, Spagnuolo M, Pradhan M, Wacheul L, Vu MA, Musheev M, Mier P, Andrade-Navarro MA,
Graille M, Niehrs C, Lafontaine DL, Roignant JY. 2020. The 18S ribosomal RNA m^{6}A
methyltransferase *Mettl5* is required for normal walking behavior in *Drosophila*. *EMBO Reports* 21:
e49443.

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30: 923-930.

Liu ZW, Faraguna U, Cirelli C, Tononi G, Gao XB. 2010. Direct evidence for wake-related increases

and sleep-related decreases in synaptic strength in rodent cortex. *Journal Of Neuroscience* 30: 8671-
8675.

López-Varea A, Vega-Cuesta P, Ruiz-Gómez A, Ostalé CM, Molnar C, Hevia CF, Martín M, Organista
MF, de Celis J, Culí J, Esteban N, de Celis JF. 2021. Genome-wide phenotypic RNAi screen in the
Drosophila wing: phenotypic description of functional classes. G3 (Bethesda). *11*, jkab349. DOI:
10.1093/g3journal/jkab349. PMID: 34599810

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* 15: 550.

Lyons LC, Vanrobaeys Y, Abel T. 2023. Sleep and memory: The impact of sleep deprivation on
transcription, translational control, and protein synthesis in the brain. *Journal Of Neurochemistry*
166: 24-46.

Ma Z, Zhu P, Shi H, Guo L, Zhang Q, Chen Y, Chen S, Zhang Z, Peng J, Chen J. 2019. PTC-bearing
mRNA elicits a genetic compensation response via Upf3a and COMPASS components. *Nature* 568:
259-263.

Noya SB, Colameo D, Brüning F, Spinnler A, Mircsof D, Opitz L, Mann M, Tyagarajan SK, Robles MS,
Brown SA. 2019. The forebrain synaptic transcriptome is organized by clocks but its proteome is
driven by sleep. *Science* 366: eaav2642.

Palanca A, Casafont I, Berciano MT, Lafarga M. 2014. Reactive nucleolar and Cajal body responses to
proteasome inhibition in sensory ganglion neurons. *Biochimica et Biophysica Act* 1842: 848-859.

Patop IL, Anduaga AM, Bussi IL, Ceriani MF, Kadener S. 2023. Organismal landscape of clock cells
and circadian gene expression in *Drosophila*. bioRxiv [Preprint]. 2023.05.23.542009.

Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL. 2015. StringTie enables
improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nature Biotechnology* 33: 290-295.

Philpott JM, Freeberg AM, Park J, Lee K, Ricci CG, Hunt SR, Narasimamurthy R, Segal DH, Robles
R, Cai Y, Tripathi S, McCammon JA, Virshup DM, Chiu JC, Lee C, Partch CL. 2023. PERIOD
phosphorylation leads to feedback inhibition of CK1 activity to control circadian period. *Molecular
Cell* 83: 1677-1692.

Richard EM, Polla DL, Assir MZ, Contreras M, Shahzad M, Khan AA, Razzaq A, Akram J, Tarar MN,

Blanpied TA, Ahmed ZM, Abou Jamra R, Wieczorek D, van Bokhoven H, Riazuddin S, Riazuddin S.

2019. Bi-allelic variants in METTL5 cause autosomal-recessive intellectual disability and

microcephaly. *American Journal Of Human Genetics* 105: 869-878.

Rossi A, Kontarakis Z, Gerri C, Nolte H, Holper S, Kruger M, Stainier DY. 2015. Genetic compensation

induced by deleterious mutations but not gene knockdowns. *Nature* 524: 230-233

Sepich-Poore C, Zheng Z, Schmitt E, Wen K, Zhang ZS, Cui XL, Dai Q, Zhu AC, Zhang L, Sanchez

Castillo A, Tan H, Peng J, Zhuang X, He C, Nachtergaele S. 2022. The METTL5-TRMT112 N6-

methyladenosine methyltransferase complex regulates mRNA translation via 18S rRNA methylation.

Journal Of Biological Chemistry 298: 101590.

Shaw PJ, Tononi G, Greenspan RJ, Robinson DF. 2002. Stress response genes protect against lethal

effects of sleep deprivation in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 417: 287-291.

Shimizu H, Shimoda M, Yamaguchi T, Seong KH, Okamura T, Ishii S. 2008. *Drosophila* ATF-2

regulates sleep and locomotor activity in pacemaker neurons. *Molecular And Cellular Biology* 28:

6278-6289.

Simsek D, Tiu GC, Flynn RA, Byeon GW, Leppek K, Xu AF, Chang HY, Barna M. 2017. The

Mammalian Ribo-interactome Reveals Ribosome Functional Diversity and Heterogeneity. *Cell* 169:

1051-1065.e18.

Teng X, Dayhoff-Brannigan M, Cheng WC, Gilbert CE, Sing CN, Diny NL, Wheelan SJ, Dunham MJ,

Boeke JD, Pineda FJ. 2013. Genome-wide consequences of deleting any single gene. *Molecular Cell*

52: 485-494.

Tononi G, Cirelli C. 2006. Sleep function and synaptic homeostasis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 10: 49-62.

van Tran N, Ernst FGM, Hawley BR, Zorbas C, Ulryck N, Hackert P, Bohnsack KE, Bohnsack MT,

Jaffrey SR, Graille M, Lafontaine DLJ. 2019. The human 18S rRNA m6A methyltransferase METTL5

is stabilized by TRMT112. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47: 7719-7733.

Vu V, Verster AJ, Schertzberg M, Chuluunbaatar T, Spensley M, Pajkic D, Hart GT, Moffat J, Fraser

AG. 2015. Natural variation in gene expression modulates the severity of mutant phenotypes. *Cell*

162: 391-402

Vyazovskiy VV, Cirelli C, Pfister-Genskow M, Faraguna U, Tononi G. 2008. Molecular and

electrophysiological evidence for net synaptic potentiation in wake and depression in sleep. *Nature*

Neuroscience 11: 200-208.

Xiao Z, Huang R, Xing X, Chen Y, Deng H, Yang X. 2018. De novo annotation and characterization of

the translatome with ribosome profiling data. *Nucleic Acids Research* 46: e61.

Wang L, Liang Y, Lin R, Xiong Q, Yu P, Ma J, Cheng M, Han H, Wang X, Wang G, Liang F, Pei Z,

Chen D, Yuan Q, Jiang YZ, Lin S. Mettl5 mediated 18S rRNA N6-methyladenosine (m6A)

modification controls stem cell fate determination and neural function. 2020. *Genes & Diseases* 9:

268-274.

Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, Feng T, Zhou L, Tang W, Zhan L, Fu X, Liu S, Bo X, Yu G.

2021. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innovation*

(Camb) 2: 100141.

Genotype	NumTotal	%Rhythmic	Period	Power
w^{1118}	32	92.6	23.9± 0.05	127.9± 7.62
Mettl5 ^{1bp}/+	32	92.3	28.3± 0.4 ***	114.3± 6.76 ns
Mettl5 ^{1bp}/+ ; UAS-Mettl5/Mettl5Gal4	32	96.9	24± 0.02 ns	127.1± 5.42 ns
Mettl5 ^{1bp}/Clk^{JRK}	44	29.5	24± 0.04 ns	68.5± 8.85 ***
Mettl5 ^{1bp}/Per^{01}	54	9.3	24.2± 0.2 ns	43.8± 13.41 ***

Mettl5 allele verification

A

Mettl5 [-]
NM_001144501.2
NM_140841.3

w^{1118} GCCCAAGATCCTGTTGGAACAGTACCCCACT
$Mettl5^{9bp}$ GCCCAAGATCCTGT*********ACCCCACT
$Mettl5^{1bp}$ GCCCAAGATCCTGTTG*AACAGTACCCCACT

MARLKLRKLEEYLQGVDGFEQPKILLEQYPTPPHIAACMAHHMQSQHEDIEGKLVGDLGCGCGMLSIASTLLGAQLTVGFELDGDAVDTFRGNVVEME
MARLKLRKLEEYLQGVDGFEQPKIL***YPTPPHIAACMAHHMQSQHEDIEGKLVGDLGCGCGMLSIASTLLGAQLTVGFELDGDAVDTFRGNVVEME
MARLKLRKLEEYLQGVDGFEQPKILLNSTPLRRT*

LPNVDCVRADVLQLIGSKWEKSFDTVLM NPPF GTKHNAGMDMRFLEVALRLANRAVYSLHKTSTRSYIQKKALEWGARGSVVAELRYNIDASYKFHKQ
LPNVDCVRADVLQLIGSKWEKSFDTVLM NPPF GTKHNAGMDMRFLEVALRLANRAVYSLHKTSTRSYIQKKALEWGARGSVVAELRYNIDASYKFHKQ

KSKDIEVDFWRFEIGTE
KSKDIEVDFWRFEIGTE

B *Mettl5*

Sleep phenotypes

C 24-hour-sleep curve

D total sleep

E sleep bout duration

F number of sleep bouts

G awake % at ZT14

H 24-hour-sleep curve

I total sleep

J sleep bout duration

K number of sleep bouts

L awake % at ZT14

M 24-hour-sleep curve

N *Mettl5*

O 6h sleep rebound

Figure 3

Differential expression of clock genes

Differential expression of ribosome subunit

A RNA−seq **B** Ribo−seq **C** TE **N** TE

PER protein levels

Genetic interactions

D PER / PER

E PER

F PER / β-Tublin

G PER

H 24-hour-sleep curve

I total sleep

G awake % at ZT14

K 24-hour-sleep curve

L total sleep

M awake % at ZT14

Figure 6

UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.GFP/+;*pdf*-GAL4/+

UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.GFP/+;*pdf*-GAL4/UAS-*Fmr1*

UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.GFP/+;*pdf*-GAL4/*Fmr1*▲50m

UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.GFP/+;*pdf*-GAL4/*Mettl5*1bp

E   *syt*-eGFP

UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.GFP/+;*pdf*-GAL4/+

UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.GFP/+;*pdf*-GAL4/UAS-*Fmr1*

UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.GFP/+;*pdf*-GAL4/*Fmr1*▲50m

UAS-DenMark,UAS-*syt*.GFP/+;*pdf*-GAL4/*Mettl5*1bp