Mitochondrial ETF insufficiency drives neoplastic growth by selectively optimizing cancer bioenergetics

David Papadopoli[1,2], Ranveer Palia[1,3], Predrag Jovanovic[1,3], Sébastien Tabariès[4], Emma Ciccolini[1,3], Valerie Sabourin[1], Sebastian Igelmann[5], Shannon McLaughlan[1], Lesley Zhan[1,6], HaEun Kim[1,3], Nabila Chekkal[1,6], Krzysztof J. Szkop[7], Thierry Bertomeu[8], Jibin Zeng[1], Julia Vassalakis[9], Farzaneh Afzali[9], Slim Mzoughi[10], Ernesto Guccione[10], Mike Tyers[11], Daina Avizonis[4,12], Ola Larsson[7], Lynne-Marie Postovit[9], Sergej Djuranovic[13], Josie Ursini-Siegel[1,2,3,6], Peter M. Siegel[3,4,6], Michael Pollak[1,2,3*], Ivan Topisirovic[1,2,3,6,14*]

[1]Lady Davis Institute, SMBD JGH, McGill University, Montréal, QC H3T 1E2, Canada.

[2]Gerald Bronfman Department of Oncology, McGill University, Montréal, QC H3A 0G4, Canada.

[3]Department of Experimental Medicine, McGill University, Montréal, QC H3A 0G4, Canada.

[4]Rosalind and Morris Goodman Cancer Institute, McGill University, Montréal, QC H3A 1A3, Canada

[5]VIB Center for Cancer Biology, Department of Oncology, KU Leuven and Leuven Cancer Institute (LKI), Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven, Belgium.

[6]Department of Biochemistry, McGill University, Montréal, QC H3A 0G4, Canada.

[7]Department of Oncology-Pathology, Science for Life Laboratory, Karolinska Institute, 171 77, Stockholm, Sweden.

[8]Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC H3T 1J4, Canada.

[9]Department of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6,

Canada.

[10]Center of OncoGenomics and Innovative Therapeutics (COGIT), Department of Oncological

Sciences, Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029

United States

[11]Program in Molecular Medicine, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON M5G 0A4,

Canada. Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A8,

Canada.

[12]Metabolomics Innovation Resource, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada.

[13]Department of Cell Biology and Physiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St.

Louis, MO 63110, United States

[14]Lead Contact

[*]Correspondence michael.pollak@mcgill.ca (M.P.), ivan.topisirovic@mcgill.ca (I.T.)

Keywords: Electron transfer flavoprotein dehydrogenase (ETFDH), cancer metabolism, mRNA

translation, mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1).

Abstract

Mitochondrial electron transport flavoprotein (ETF) insufficiency causes metabolic diseases known as a multiple acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency (MADD). Although essential in muscle, we identified ETF dehydrogenase (ETFDH) as one of the most dispensable metabolic genes in neoplasia, and its expression is reduced across human cancers. ETF insufficiency caused by decreased ETFDH expression limits flexibility of OXPHOS fuel utilization, but paradoxically increases cancer cell bioenergetics and accelerates neoplastic growth by retrograde activation of the mTORC1/BCL-6/4E-BP1 axis. Collectively, these findings reveal that while ETF insufficiency is rare and has detrimental effects in non-malignant tissues, it is common in neoplasia, where ETFDH downregulation leads to bioenergetic and signaling reprogramming that accelerate neoplastic growth.

Main

The mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) transfers electrons from reducing equivalents (NADH and $FADH_2$) towards complexes I/II, or from peripheral metabolic enzymes that reduce coenzyme Q (ubiquinone, Q) to ubiquinol (QH_2) [1]. The electron transfer flavoproteins (ETFA and ETFB) accept electrons generated by the catabolism of branched-chain amino acids and fatty acids [2-4]. ETFs interact with ETF dehydrogenase (ETFDH or ETF-ubiquinone oxidoreductase) [5], which controls electron flow towards complex III (Extended Data Fig.1A). Loss-of-function mutations in ETF/ETFDH occur in metabolic diseases known as multiple acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency (MADD) [6,7], and ETFDH plays an essential role in skeletal muscle [8]. Surprisingly, we observed that in contrast to muscle, ETFDH is one of the most non-essential metabolic genes in cancer cells. Paradoxically, ETFDH downregulation leads to enhanced mitochondrial biogenesis and increased bioenergetic capacity of cancer cells. ETF insufficiency resulting from reduction in ETFDH levels triggers a tradeoff favored by cancer cells, whereby reduced flexibility in fuel utilization for oxidative phosphorylation is offset by retrograde signaling that optimizes cancer cell bioenergetics to augment neoplastic growth.

ETFDH **is dispensable in cancer cells and its abrogation accelerates tumor growth**

ETFDH is essential in skeletal muscle cells [8]. Intriguingly, a CRISPR-Cas9 knock out (KO) screen performed in a human acute lymphoblastic leukemia cell line (NALM6) [9] ranked *ETFDH* as a top non-essential metabolic gene that clustered with well-established tumor suppressors *TP53* and *RB1* (Extended Data Fig.1B). Mining DepMap also revealed that *ETFDH* is essential in only 1 out of 1150 cancer cell lines [10]. This motivated us to examine the role of ETFDH in cancer. *ETFDH* mRNA levels are reduced in patient samples across human cancer types, including colon

(Extended Data Fig.1C) and breast cancer (Extended Data Fig.1D), whereby reduction in *ETFDH*

expression correlated with poor patient survival (Extended Data Fig.1E-F). Immunohistochemistry

(IHC) staining confirmed that ETFDH protein levels are significantly reduced in colorectal cancer

vs. non-adjacent patient-derived tissues (NAT) (Fig.1A).

We next abrogated the *ETFDH* gene using a CRISPR-Cas9 approach in a variety of cancer

cell lines including HCT-116 (human colorectal cancer), NT2197 (murine HER2+ breast cancer),

4T1 (murine triple negative breast cancer cells), and NALM6 cells (Extended Data Fig.1G-J). We

also investigated the effects of ETFDH loss in non-transformed normal murine mammary gland

(NMuMG) cells (Extended Data Fig.1K), a parental immortalized mammary epithelial cell line

that was transformed with an oncogenic ErbB2 variant to generate NT2197 cells [11].

Notwithstanding their high baseline proliferation rates, ETFDH ablation significantly increased

proliferation across all tested cancer cell lines (Extended Data Fig.1G-J). This was not caused by

the inadvertent effects of the CRISPR-Cas9, as rescuing ETFDH expression in HCT-116 and

NT2197 cells reverted proliferation rates to levels comparable to ETFDH-proficient controls

(Extended Data Fig.1G-H). In contrast, ETFDH abrogation did not affect proliferation of non-

transformed NMuMG cells (Extended Data Fig.1K). ETFDH KO cells also formed more colonies

in soft agar (surrogate measurement of cellular neoplastic potential [12]) as compared to empty vector

infected controls (WT EV), and ETFDH KO cells in which ETFDH was re-expressed (ETFDH

Rescue) (Extended Data Fig.1L-M).

Based on these findings, we tested the impact of ETFDH loss on neoplastic growth *in vivo.*

WT EV, ETFDH KO, or ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells were injected orthotopically in the caeca

of SCID-BEIGE mice. Loss of ETFDH significantly increased the tumor growth rate and volume

at endpoint relative to control and ETFDH Rescue cells (Fig.1B-C). ETFDH loss also increased

NT2197 tumor growth and the final tumor volume as compared to ETFDH-proficient tumors

following orthotopic mammary fat pad injections in SCID-BEIGE mice (Fig.1D-E). Altogether,

these results show that *ETFDH* is a non-essential gene in cancer that is under-expressed across

different cancer types, and that its disruption enhances neoplastic growth, while not affecting

proliferation of non-transformed cells.

ETFDH abrogation results in ETF insufficiency that paradoxically increases bioenergetic

capacity of cancer cells

In non-cancerous cells, ETFDH transfers electrons from catabolism of fatty acids and amino acids

to the ETC (Extended Data Fig.2A). Palmitate oxidation (monitored by oxygen consumption) and

^{13}C leucine labelling into citrate (citrate m+2) were strongly attenuated by ETFDH abrogation

(Extended Data Fig.2B-C), which confirmed that ETF plays a role in fatty and amino acid

catabolism in malignant cells. Thus, ETFDH loss induces ETF insufficiency in cancer cells that

mirrors defects observed in non-malignant tissues. Surprisingly, ETFDH loss in HCT-116 cells

increased oxygen consumption and extracellular acidification, relative to control WT EV and

ETFDH Rescues (Fig.2A-B). ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells produced higher levels of ATP from

oxidative phosphorylation (*J* ATP ox) as compared to ETFDH-proficient cells (Fig.2C-D).

Consequently, ETFDH loss increased the bioenergetic capacity of HCT-116 cells (Fig.2E).

Comparable results were observed in NT2197 cells (Extended Data Fig.2D-H). Collectively, these

findings suggest that although ETF insufficiency limits the ability to utilize lipids and amino acids,

it paradoxically upregulates mitochondrial metabolism and bioenergetic capacity of cancer cells.

Glutamine plays an important role in fueling mitochondrial metabolism in cancer cells [13].

ETFDH abrogation increased glutamine uptake and glutamate production in both HCT-116

(Fig.2F) and NT2197 (Extended Data Fig.2I) cells. ETFDH loss increased ^{13}C-glutamine

incorporation in the forward direction (green) and the reverse direction (purple) of the citric acid

cycle (CAC; Fig.2G, H, Extended Data Fig.2J), suggesting higher glutamine utilization in the

CAC, as well as increased reductive glutamine metabolism. Accordingly, ETFDH KO HCT-116

cells exhibited greater sensitivity to glutamine deprivation than control WT EV cells (Fig.2I).

These findings show that ETFDH loss elevates glutamine utilization in the CAC to support

mitochondrial metabolism. Collectively, these data demonstrate that ETF insufficiency in cancer

cells remodels mitochondrial metabolism while increasing the bioenergetic capacity.

ETFDH loss induces intracellular accumulation of amino acids, mTOR signaling and protein

synthesis

Consistent with the established role of ETFDH in amino acid catabolism [2], and reduction of leucine

consumption upon ETFDH abrogation (Extended Data Fig.2C), steady state levels of most

intracellular amino acids were increased in ETFDH KO vs. WT HCT-116 and NT2197 cells

(Fig.3A). Moreover, ETFDH-deficient HCT-116 cells exhibited higher rates of protein synthesis

relative to ETFDH-proficient cells (Fig.3B). Amino acids activate the mechanistic target of

rapamycin (mTOR) which stimulates protein synthesis [14]. Indeed, mTORC1 signaling was higher

in ETFDH-deficient than ETFDH-proficient HCT-116 and NT2197 cells as illustrated by

increased phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 kinases (S6K1/2; Thr389) and their substrate

ribosomal protein S6 (rpS6; Ser240/244) (Fig.3C). Re-expression of ETFDH in ETFDH KO cells

resulted in normalization of mTORC1 signaling to the levels observed in WT EV cells (Fig 3D).

mTORC2 signaling was also augmented upon ETFDH abrogation in HCT-116 and NALM6 cells

as evidenced by increased AKT phosphorylation (Ser473) (Extended Data Fig.3A-B). Despite

bioenergetic rewiring, ETFDH loss did not exert a major effect on the activity of adenosine

monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) as monitored by its phosphorylation (Thr172)

or phosphorylation of its substrate acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC at S79) (Extended Data Fig.3A-

B).

165   Consistent with mTOR hyperactivation, ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells were more sensitive

to the active site mTOR inhibitor Torin1 [15] as compared to their ETFDH-proficient counterparts

(Extended Data Fig.3C). To further determine which mTOR complex is responsible for mediating

the hyper-proliferative phenotype triggered by ETFDH loss, we selectively inactivated mTORC1

or mTORC2 by depleting RAPTOR or RICTOR, respectively using small hairpin RNA (shRNA)

in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells (Extended Data Fig.3D). Depletion of RAPTOR, but not

RICTOR strongly attenuated the proliferation of ETFDH KO cells (Extended Data Fig.3E-F).

Moreover, the anti-proliferative effects of bisteric mTORC1-specific inhibitor BiS-35x [16] were

stronger in ETFDH KO vs. ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells (Extended Data Fig.3G). Altogether,

these data suggest that mTORC1, but not mTORC2 acts as a major mediator of hyper-proliferative

phenotype triggered by ETFDH loss in cancer cells. Accordingly, the effects of amino acid

deprivation on phospho-rpS6 (Ser240/244), and phospho-4E-BP1 (Ser 65) were attenuated in

ETFDH KO vs. WT control cells, which is consistent with the intracellular accumulation of amino

acids upon ETFDH disruption (Fig.3E-G). In turn, serum stimulation (Stim) resulted in

comparable induction in phosphorylation of rpS6 (Ser240/244) and 4E-BP1 (Ser65) in ETFDH-

deficient and proficient cells (Fig.3E-G). Collectively, these findings suggest that ETFDH loss

induces mTORC1 signaling at least in part by increasing intracellular amino acid levels.

182   Amino acid deprivation leads to general control nonderepressible 2 (GCN2)-dependent

phosphorylation of the alpha subunit of eIF2 and subsequent induction of activating transcription

factor 4 (ATF4) synthesis during the integrated stress response (ISR) [17]. Consistent with an

increase in intracellular amino acid, phosho-eIF2α (S51) levels appeared to be modestly reduced

in ETFDH-deficient vs. proficient HCT-116 cells under both serum starvation and amino acid

depletion (Extended Data Fig.3H). However, the ETFDH status in the cells did not affect ATF4

levels at baseline or upon amino acid depletion (Extended Data Fig.3H). Altogether, these data

demonstrate that ETFDH loss induces mTORC1 signaling and protein synthesis, which is driven

by intracellular accumulation of amino acids. In turn, loss of ETFDH does not appear to exert a

major effect on AMPK signaling or the ISR.

ETFDH loss diminishes 4E-BP1, but not 4E-BP2 protein levels

mTORC1 positively controls cell proliferation through the eukaryotic translation initiation factor

4E-binding proteins (4E-BPs; 4E-BP1-3 in mammals) [18]. Of note, 4E-BP3 is expressed in a tissue-

restricted manner, and does not appear to play a major role in regulating proliferation [18]. mTORC1

phosphorylates 4E-BPs to promote eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4F (eIF4F) complex

assembly by dissociating 4E-BPs from eIF4E, thereby stimulating cap-dependent translation

initiation [19]. Consistent with the increased mTORC1 activity, ETFDH-deficient cells exhibited

increased phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 (Ser65), which was rescued upon re-expression of ETFDH

in HCT-116 and NT2197 cells (Fig.4A). Unexpectedly, we observed that ETFDH loss coincided

with a dramatic reduction in total 4E-BP1 protein levels in HCT-116, NT2197 (Fig.4A), NALM6

(Extended Data Fig.4A), and 4T1 cells (Extended Data Fig.4B). We also treated HCT-116 ETFDH

WT and KO cell lysates with λ-phosphatase to exclude potential confounding effects of different

4E-BP1 phosphorylation states, which confirmed that disruption of ETFDH is paralleled by

reduction in 4E-BP1 levels (Fig.4B). Notably, loss of ETFDH did not exert a major effect on 4E-

BP2 protein abundance in HCT-116 (Fig.4A), NT2197 (Fig.4A), or 4T1 cells (Extended Data

Fig.4B). In addition, 4E-BP1, but not 4E-BP2 protein levels were reduced in ETFDH-deficient

HCT-116 as compared to WT tumors (Fig.4C-E). Strikingly, loss of ETFDH in non-transformed

NMuMG cells did not exert a major effect on mTORC1 signaling (Extended Data Fig.4C) and

failed to alter 4E-BP1 protein levels (Extended Data Fig.4C). Collectively, these findings indicate

that ETF insufficiency is paralleled by a selective decrease in 4E-BP1 protein levels in cancer, but

not non-transformed cells.

Decrease in 4E-BP1 protein levels underpins the bioenergetic rewiring induced by ETF

insufficiency in cancer cells.

Considering that in mammals 4E-BPs play a major role in mediating the effects of mTORC1 on

proliferation, neoplastic growth, mitochondrial functions and protein synthesis [18,20,21], we next

investigated whether the observed decrease in 4E-BP1 levels contributes to increased proliferation

and tumor growth caused by ETFDH abrogation. To this end, we overexpressed 4E-BP1 protein

in ETFDH-deficient NT2197 cells by infecting them with a retrovirus wherein *EIF4EBP1*

expression was driven by an exogenous promoter [18]. Importantly, 4E-BP1 overexpression in

ETFDH KO NT2197 cells decreased eIF4F complex assembly, as evidenced by m^7-GTP pulldown

(Fig.4F). 4E-BP1 overexpression also reduced oxygen consumption in ETFDH KO NT2197 cells,

relative to empty vector infected cells (Fig.4G). Moreover, restoring 4E-BP1 protein levels

decreased cell proliferation (Extended Data Fig.4D) and anchorage-independent growth (Extended

Data Fig.4E) of ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells. Lastly, 4E-BP1 overexpression decreased growth and

the final volume of tumors formed by NT2197 ETFDH KO cells in SCID-BEIGE mice (Fig.4H-

I). Collectively, these data indicate that decreased 4E-BP1 protein levels mediate the pro-

neoplastic effects of ETF insufficiency.

The mTORC1/4E-BP axis stimulates mitochondrial biogenesis and metabolism by altering

the translation of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial mRNAs including mitochondrial transcription

factor A (TFAM) [21,22]. ETFDH loss increased mitochondrial DNA levels (Fig.4J) and mass

(Extended Data Fig.4F) which was paralleled by TFAM induction (Fig.4K). Collectively, these

data show that the loss of 4E-BP1 plays a prominent role in mediating the effects of ETF

insufficiency on bioenergetic reprogramming of cancer cells.

ETFDH loss decreases *EIF4EBP1* transcription via BCL-6

We next set out to determine the level(s) at which 4E-BP1 levels are downregulated in ETF

insufficient cancer cells. ETFDH loss did not have a major effect on 4E-BP1 protein synthesis or

turnover (Extended Data Fig.4G-H), but it decreased the *EIF4EBP1* mRNA levels in ETFDH-

deficient vs. ETFDH-proficient HCT-116 (Fig.4L) and NT2197 cells (Fig.4M). *EIF4EBP1* and

ETFDH mRNA levels were also positively correlated in tumors isolated from colorectal

adenocarcinoma patients (Extended Data Fig.4I). In turn, consistent with no apparent differences

in 4E-BP2 protein levels, the levels of *EIF4EBP2* mRNA were unaffected by the ETFDH status

in both cell lines (Fig.4L-M). Notably, observed decrease in *EIF4EBP1* mRNA levels was not

caused by the effects of ETFDH loss on mRNA stability (Extended Data Fig.4J). Based on these

data, we concluded that ETFDH abrogation is paralleled by suppression of *EIF4EBP1*

transcription and sought to identify transcription factors that may mediate the effects of ETFDH

abrogation on suppression of *EIF4EBP1* expression. ATF4, Snail and Slug have been shown to

stimulate transcription of *EIF4EBP1*, but not *EIF4EBP2* [23,24]. However, ATF4, Snail and Slug

proteins remain unchanged upon ETFDH perturbation (Extended Data Fig.3H, Fig.5A). We also

re-analyzed publicly available RNA-seq datasets, which revealed that *EIF4EBP1,* but not

EIF4EBP2 expression was increased following STAT1 abrogation in MT4788 breast cancer cells

[25]. Indeed, we observed that MT4788 STAT1 KO cells have increased 4E-BP1 protein levels

relative to parental cells (Extended Data Fig.5B). Accordingly, ETFDH KO cells displayed

increased STAT1 and downregulated 4E-BP1 protein levels (Extended Data Fig.5C). To determine

the impact of STAT1 on *EIF4EBP1* or *EIF4EBP2* promoter occupancy, we performed chromatin

immunoprecipitation qPCR (ChIP-qPCR) assay in control (WT EV), ETFDH KO, or ETFDH

rescue HCT-116 cells (Extended Data Fig.5D-E). Binding of STAT1 was however comparable to

IgG across all tested cell lines thereby suggesting that is unlikely that STAT1 directly suppresses

EIF4EBP1 transcription in the context of ETFDH loss (Extended Data Fig.5D-E). Furthermore,

reactive oxygen species (ROS) can also influence redox-responsive transcription [26]. Although loss

of ETFDH induced ROS levels (Extended Data Fig.5F), 4E-BP1 protein abundance was not altered

following treatment with the antioxidant N-acetyl-cysteine (NAC) (Extended Data Fig.5G).

We next investigated the potential role of B-cell lymphoma 6 (BCL-6) [27-29], which was suggested

to suppress *EIF4EBP1* transcription [30]. Notably, *ETFDH* abrogation in HCT-116 and NT2197 cells

resulted in a marked increase in BCL-6 protein levels, compared to ETFDH-proficient control cells

(Fig.5A). These changes in BCL-6 protein levels were mTOR-sensitive (Fig.5B) and not

accompanied by the alterations in *BCL6* mRNA abundance (Fig.5C), which is consistent with

recently reported mTOR-dependent translational activation of BCL-6 [31-33]. Taken together, these

data point out that ETFDH disruption post-transcriptionally induces BCL-6 protein levels in an

mTOR-dependent manner. ETF insufficiency increased BCL-6 binding to the *EIF4EBP1*, but not

the *EIF4EBP2* promoter (Fig.5D-E). BCL-6 silencing in NT2197 and 4T1 ETFDH KO cells

increased 4E-BP1 protein levels (Fig.5F-G). Depletion of BCL-6 also attenuated proliferation of

4T1 ETFDH KO cells (Fig.5H). Collectively, these findings show that BCL-6 mediates the effects

of ETF insufficiency on reduction in *EIF4EBP1* expression and increased proliferation of cancer

cells.

ETFDH acts as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor.

Data available in cBioPortal from 2683 samples across cancer types [34] indicate that missense or

truncating mutations in ETFDH are rare (0.3%) (Fig.6A). This suggests that ETFDH is not lost,

but rather downregulated in human cancers. We observed that DNA methylation inhibitors, 5-

azacytidine (5-aza) and 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (5-aza-2-deoxyC) increased ETFDH protein levels

in HCT-116 cells (Fig.6B), thus suggesting that one of the potential mechanisms of downregulated

ETFDH expression in neoplasia may stem from increased DNA methylation. Based on these

observations, we developed a model that more closely reflects ETFDH downregulation, but not a

complete loss, in human tumors using a method based on targeted poly(A) track insertion within

endogenous genes [35]. The length of the poly(A) track directly correlates with the reduction in

amount of protein produced, allowing for the investigation of gene-dosage effects on ensuing

phenotypes [35,36]. Accordingly, mutants were designed by inserting poly(A) tracks of 12 and 18

adenosines [12A, equivalent to 4 consecutive AAA (Lys) codons $(AAA)_4$; 18A, equivalent to 6

consecutive AAA (Lys) codons $(AAA)_6$], as well as a control track (CTRL) [6 consecutive lysine

AAG codons $(AAG)_6$] (Extended Data Fig.6A, see methods). Based on an Alphafold rendered

structure (version 2) [37,38], we chose residue 38 in an unstructured loop as an insertion site (Extended

Data Fig.6B). Of note, $(AAA)_6$ and $(AAG)_6$ stretches are translated in the equal number of Lys

residues, whereby (AAA), but not (AAG), stretches decrease ETFDH protein levels (Fig.6C).

(AAG)$_6$ construct was thus used as a control to mitigate potential inadvertent effects of the

insertion of poly-Lys on the ETFDH function. (AAA)$_4$ and (AAA)$_6$ stretches progressively reduced

ETFDH protein abundance as compared to constructs harboring the (AAG)$_6$ track (Fig.6C). The

reduction in ETFDH protein levels was mirrored by a gene-dose dependent increase in mTORC1

signaling, BCL-6 expression and reduction in 4E-BP1, but not 4E-BP2 protein levels (Fig.6C).

Accordingly, *Eif4ebp1* mRNA levels were reduced in *ETFDH* dose-dependent manner, while

Eif4ebp2 mRNA levels remained unaffected (Fig.6D). Moreover, reduction in ETFDH protein

levels correlated with increased mtDNA content (Fig.6E), oxygen consumption (Fig.6F), ATP

production from OXPHOS (*J*ATPox) (Fig.6G), bioenergetic capacity (Fig.6H), and cell

proliferation (Fig.6I). Finally, ETFDH KO NT2197 tumors carrying (AAA)$_6$ insertions exhibit

increased tumor growth and larger final tumor volumes relative to control tumors expressing

(AAG)$_6$ insertions in SCID-BEIGE mice (Fig.6J-K). Altogether, these findings show that ETFDH

gene-dosage influences neoplastic growth, thus suggesting that ETFDH may act as a

haploinsufficient tumor suppressor.

Notwithstanding that ETFDH mutations play a detrimental role in MADD metabolic

disorders, we show that ETF insufficiency caused by reduced *ETFDH* expression plays a major

role in rewiring cancer metabolism and signaling to stimulate neoplastic growth. Reduction of

ETFDH levels appears to be a near-universal feature of cancer cells and is likely a consequence of

DNA hypermethylation (Fig.6B), an event that is frequently observed among tumor suppressor

genes [39]. Indeed, ETFDH was found among the most under-expressed metabolic enzymes in a

study that compiled cancer microarray datasets [40]. Moreover, recent studies highlighted crosstalk

between aberrant mTOR signaling and epigenetic perturbations [41-44], which further reinforces the

plausibility that epigenetic reprograming in cancer cells may underpin the pro-neoplastic role of

ETFDH downregulation and/or explain ensuing phenotypes.

Intriguingly, although ETF insufficiency limits the flexibility of cancer cells in using fuels

(e.g., lipids and amino acids) for OXPHOS, it triggers retrograde signalling that established feed-

forward feedback via mTORC1 which leads to increased BCL-6 levels and reduced *EIF4EBP1*

transcription. ETF insufficiency in cancer cells thus orchestrates enhanced mTORC1 signaling

with downregulation of 4E-BP1 protein levels to ultimately increase mitochondrial biogenesis and

functions thereby providing selective proliferative advantage to cancer cells. To this end, ETF

insufficiency allows cancer cells to trade of their metabolic flexibility for increased bioenergetic

capacity and a re-wired signaling state that favors neoplastic growth. Importantly, while ETFDH

plays an essential role in muscle, we did not observe a major effect of ETFDH disruption on

proliferation, mTOR signaling or 4E-BP1 levels in non-transformed murine breast epithelial

NMuMG cells. These observations suggest that ETF insufficiency causes remodeling of signaling

and metabolic networks that are favourable to cancer cells.

Our findings are consistent with previously attributed tumor-suppressive effects of 4E-BP1

effects [45-49]. More recently, it has been demonstrated that under certain contexts 4E-BPs may play

a beneficial role for tumor survival. For instance, 4E-BPs may facilitate tumor growth by

regulating global and selective mRNA translation under periods of cellular stress [50,51], including

suppression of fatty acid biosynthesis under glucose deprivation [52,53]. Collectively, these findings

demonstrate that 4E-BPs are likely to play more complex, context dependent roles during cancer

progression than previously anticipated. Moreover, the role of different 4E-BP proteoforms in

cancer was in general thought to be redundant. Our findings however demonstrate that in the

context of ETF insufficiency selective decrease in 4E-BP1, but not 4E-BP2 levels plays a role in

driving neoplastic growth thereby suggesting that 4E-BP1 and 4E-BP2 may play hitherto

unappreciated non-overlapping roles in neoplasia.

In conclusion, we show that ETF insufficiency caused by reduced ETFDH expression

causes retrograde signaling that remodels mitochondrial metabolism in a manner that drives

neoplastic growth (Fig.6L), while either being neutral (NMuMGs) or deleterious (muscle cells) in

non-malignant cells. These findings explain how disruption of mitochondrial enzyme, rather than

reducing cellular fitness, is common in cancer because it increases mitochondrial energy flux to

drive neoplastic growth.

<u>Figure 1: ETFDH loss promotes tumor growth</u>

A) ETFDH expression in non-adjacent tissue (NAT) or grade 3 cancerous tissue (Tumor) using

IHC on colorectal cancer tissue microarray. Staining intensity of DAB in arbitrary units (A.U) is

shown. Data are presented as means +/- SEM (NAT n=14, Tumor n=13), **$p<0.01$, unpaired

Student's *t* test.

B-C) Tumor growth of WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells following intra-

caecal injection (B) and endpoint volumes of tumors (C). Growth was assessed by luminescence

(photons). Data are presented as means +/- SEM (WT EV n=6, ETFDH KO n=8, ETFDH Rescue

n=8), *$p<0.05$, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test.

D-E) Tumor growth of WT and ETFDH KO NT2197 cells following mammary fat-pad injection

(D) and endpoint volumes of tumors (E). Growth is assessed using calipers. Data are presented as

means +/- SEM (WT n=17, ETFDH KO n=17), *$p<0.05$, unpaired Student's t test.

Figure 2: Absence of ETFDH promotes mitochondrial metabolism

A-B) Oxygen consumption (A) and extracellular acidification (B) for WT EV, ETFDH KO, and

ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells was determined using a Seahorse bioanalyzer. Data are normalized

to cell count. Data are presented as means +/- SD (n=4), *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, one-way ANOVA,

Tukey's post-hoc test.

C) Basal J ATP calculations from WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells. J

ATP ox represents ATP production from oxidative phosphorylation, while J ATP glyc is ATP

production from glycolysis. Comparison between J ATP ox (grey bars; top) and J ATP glyc (white

bars; bottom) is shown. Data are presented as means +/- SD (n=4), *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, one-way

ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test.

D-E) Bioenergetic Plot for Basal, FCCP, and Monensin J ATP fluxes (D) and Bioenergetic

Capacity (E) for WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells. Data are presented as

means +/- SD (n=4), *$p<0.05$, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test.

F) Glutamine uptake and glutamate production from WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells. Results

are shown as fold changes of ETFDH KO cells relative to WT cells. Data are presented as means

+/- SD (n=3), *$p<0.05$, paired Student's t test.

G) Schematic of ^{13}C-glutamine tracing throughout the citric acid cycle (CAC). Isotopomers

labelled in green depict ^{13}C-glutamine tracing in the forward direction of the CAC, while those in

purple represent reverse tracing.

H) Relative abundance of ^{13}C-labelled metabolites in the forward (green) and reverse (purple)

directions from WT (blue) and ETFDH KO (red) NT2197 cells. Data are presented as means +/-

SD (n=3), *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, paired Student's t test.

I) Proliferation of WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells grown in the absence or presence of

glutamine for 48 hours. Results are shown as fold changes of counts grown under glutamine

deprivation relative to counts grown under glutamine repleted (2mM) conditions. Data are

presented as means +/- SD (n=3), *p<0.05, paired Student's *t* test.

<u>Figure 3: ETFDH loss bolsters mTORC1 signaling and downregulates 4E-BP1 protein levels.</u>

A) Amino acid profile in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 and NT2197 cells. Data are presented as

fold change of ETFDH KO compared to WT (n=3).

B) Puromycin incorporation assays in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells. Levels and

phosphorylation status of indicated proteins were determined via western blotting using indicated

antibodies. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

C) Levels and phosphorylation status of indicated proteins in WT or ETFDH KO HCT-116 and

NT2197 cells were determined by western blotting. β-Actin served as a loading control

(representative blots of n=3).

D) Levels and phosphorylation status of indicated proteins in WT EV, ETFDH KO, or ETFDH

Rescue HCT-116 and NT2197 cells were determined by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a

loading control (representative blots of n=3).

E) Total and phosphoprotein levels in WT or ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells were determined by

western blotting using indicated proteins. Cells were serum starved overnight, then depleted of

amino acids for indicated timepoints (0, 10, 30, 60 minutes), or stimulated with FBS for 4 hours

(Stim). β-Actin was used as loading control (representative blots of n=3).

F) Quantification of pS6(S240/244)/S6 ratio from data presented in panel E. Quantification of

amino acid starved cells (left) or serum stimulated cells (right). Data are presented as means +/-

SD (n=3). *Left:* *p<0.05, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test. *Right:* paired Student's *t* test.

G) Quantification of p4E-BP1(S65)/4E-BP1 ratio from data presented in panel E. Quantification

of amino acid starved cells (left) or serum stimulated cells (right). Data are presented as means +/-

SD (n=3). *Left:* *p<0.05, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test. *Right:* paired Student's *t* test.

Figure 4: Repression of *EIF4EBP1* transcription mediates the effects of ETFDH loss on cancer

bioenergetics and tumor growth

A) Levels and phosphorylation status of indicated proteins in WT EV, ETFDH KO, or ETFDH

Rescue HCT-116 and NT2197 cells were determined by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a

loading control (representative blots of n=3).

B) Levels of indicated protein in λ-phosphatase treated WT or ETFDH KO HCT-116 and NT2197

cell lysates were monitored by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control

(representative blots of n=3).

C) Indicated protein levels in WT or ETFDH KO HCT-116 tumors were determined by western

blotting. AKT was used as loading control (WT n=8, ETFDH KO n=11).

D-E) Quantification of 4E-BP1 (D) and 4E-BP2 (E) from tumors described in panel C. Data are

presented as means +/- SEM (WT n=8, ETFDH KO n=11), ***p<0.001, unpaired Student's *t* test.

F) m^{7}GDP pulldown assay in ETFDH KO and ETFDH KO overexpressing 4E-BP1 (ETFDH KO

4E-BP1) NT2197 cells. Specified protein levels in m^{7}GDP pulldown or input were determined by

western blot. β-Actin was used as loading control (input) and used to exclude contamination in the

pulldown material (m^{7}GTP bound) (representative blots of n=3).

G) Oxygen consumption of ETFDH KO and ETFDH KO 4E-BP1 NT2197 cells. Data are

normalized to cell count and presented as means +/- SD (n=5), **p<0.01, Student's *t* test.

H-I) Tumor growth of ETFDH KO and ETFDH KO 4E-BP1 NT2197 cells following mammary

fat-pad injection (H) and endpoint volume of tumors (I). Growth was assessed using caliper

measurements. ETFDH KO measurements are the same as in Fig.1D. Data are presented as means

+/- SEM (ETFDH KO n=17, ETFDH KO 4E-BP1 n=18), **p<0.01, unpaired Student's *t* test.

J) Mitochondrial DNA in WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells was quantified

by qPCR. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) content is normalized to genomic DNA (gDNA) content.

Data are presented as fold change relative to WT EV cells +/- SD (n=3), *p<0.05, **p<0.01, one-

way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test.

K) Levels of indicated proteins in WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells were

assessed by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

L) *EIF4EBP1* and *EIF4EBP2* mRNA levels in WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-

116 cells were determined by RT-qPCR. *PP1A* was used as a house-keeping gene. Data are

presented as fold change relative to WT EV cells +/- SD (n=3), *p<0.05, one-way ANOVA,

Tukey's post-hoc test.

M) *Eif4ebp1* and *Eif4ebp2* mRNA abundance in WT and ETFDH NT2197 KO cells was

determined by RT-qPCR. *Actb* was used as a house-keeping gene. Data are presented as fold

change relative to WT cells +/- SD (n=3), *p<0.05, paired Student's *t* test.

<u>Figure 5: Loss of ETFDH increases BCL-6 levels leading to BCL-6-dependent inhibition of</u>

<u>*EIF4EBP1* transcription.</u>

A) Levels of indicated proteins in WT or ETFDH KO HCT-116 and NT2197 cells were determined

by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

B) Levels of indicated proteins in ETFDH KO NT2197 cells treated with DMSO or Torin1

(250nM) for 4 hours were determined by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control

(representative blots of n=3).

C) *BCL6/Bcl6* mRNA abundance in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 and NT2197 cells was

determined by RT-qPCR. *PP1A* mRNA was used as a control for HCT-116 experiments, while

Actb mRNA was used as a control for NT2197 experiments. Data are presented as fold change

relative to WT cells +/- SD (n=3), paired Student's *t* test.

D-E) Binding events of BCL-6 to the promoters of *EIF4EBP1* and *EIF4EBP2* were determined by

ChIP-qPCR. IgG was used a negative control. Data are presented as % of input (n=3), *p<0.05,

two-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test.

F) The levels of indicated proteins in ETFDH KO NT2197 cells transfected with siRNA targeting

BCL-6 (siBCL-6) or control, scrambled siRNA (siCTRL) were determined by western blotting. β-

Actin was used as loading control (representative blots of n=2).

G) Levels of indicated proteins in ETFDH KO 4T1 cells infected with shRNAs targeting BCL-6

(shBCL-6 #1 or shBCL-6#2) or control, scrambled shRNA (shCTRL) were monitored by western

blotting. β-Actin served as loading control (representative blots of n=3).

H) Proliferation of ETFDH KO shCTRL, shBCL-6 #1, or shBCL-6#2 4T1 cells. Data are presented

as cell count means (n=3), *p<0.05, one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test.

Figure 6: Reduced expression of ETFDH increases mitochondrial metabolism and tumor growth

A) ETFDH mutations from 2683 samples across multiple cancer types. Number of missense and

truncating mutations are shown relative to samples with no mutations. Data are acquired from the

ICGC/TCGA Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes Consortium [38], accessed from the

cBioPortal server (www.cbioportal.org) [70-72].

B) Levels of indicated proteins in WT HCT-116 cells treated with 2.5µM 5-azacytidine (5-aza),

10µM 5-aza-2' -deoxycytidine (5-aza-2-deoxyC), or a vehicle (DMSO) for 72 hours were

determined by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of

n=3).

C) Levels and the phosphorylation status of indicated proteins in ETFDH KO NT2197 cells

expressing ETFDH harboring $(AAG)_6$, $(AAA)_4$, $(AAA)_6$ tracks were determined by western

blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

D) *Eif4ebp1* and *Eif4ebp2* mRNA abundance in $(AAG)_6$, $(AAA)_4$, and $(AAA)_6$ NT2197 cells were

determined by RT-qPCR. *Actb* was used as a house-keeping gene. Data are presented as fold

change relative to $(AAG)_6$ NT2197 cells (n=3), $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's

post-hoc test.

E) Mitochondrial DNA content in $(AAG)_6$, $(AAA)_4$, and $(AAA)_6$ NT2197 cells were monitored

by qPCR. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) content was normalized to genomic DNA (gDNA)

content. Data are presented as mean fold change relative to $(AAG)_6$ NT2197 cells -/+ SD (n=3),

$*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test.

F) Oxygen consumption of $(AAG)_6$, $(AAA)_4$, and $(AAA)_6$ NT2197 cells was determined using

Seahorse bioanalyzer. Data are normalized to cell count and presented as means +/- SD (n=4), one-

way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test.

G-H) Bioenergetic Plot for Basal, FCCP, and Monensin J ATP fluxes (G) and Bioenergetic

Capacity (H) derived from (AAG)$_6$, (AAA)$_4$, and (AAA)$_6$ NT2197 cells. Data are presented as

means +/- SD (n=4), one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test.

I) Proliferation of (AAG)$_6$, (AAA)$_4$, and (AAA)$_6$ NT2197 cells. Data are presented as cell count

means +/- SD (n=4), **$p<0.01$, one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test.

J-K) Tumor growth of (AAG)$_6$ and (AAA)$_6$ NT2197 cells following mammary fat-pad injection

(J) and endpoint volumes of tumors (K). Growth was assessed using calipers. Data are presented

as means +/- SEM ((AAG)$_6$ n=6-12, (AAA)$_6$ n=11-13), **$p<0.01$, unpaired Student's t test.

(L) Schematic representation of the model whereby reduction in ETFDH levels accelerates tumor

growth. Reduction of ETFDH triggers accumulation of intracellular amino acids thus increasing

mTORC1 signaling. This is orchestrated with BCL-6-dependent reduction in 4E-BP1 levels to

drive mitochondrial biogenesis and increase bioenergetic capacity of cancer cells ultimately

leading to more aggressive tumor growth.

<u>Extended Data Figure 1: *ETFDH* expression is reduced across a broad array of cancer subtypes,</u>

<u>whereby *ETFDH* is a non-essential gene in cancer cells.</u>

A) Gene essentiality in NALM6 cells. 19084 genes are presented and ranked based on essentiality

(1 being most essential and 19084 being the least essential). Orange bar depicts essential genes

and grey bar depicts non-essential genes. The rank of *ETFDH* is compared to those of *MYC*, *NRAS*,

RB1, and *TP53*.

B) Schematic of electron transfer from fatty acids and amino acids towards the electron transport

chain (ETC) via electron transfer flavoprotein (ETF) and electron transport flavoprotein

dehydrogenase (ETFDH).

C) *ETFDH* mRNA abundance profiles across tumor samples (red) compared to normal tissues

(blue). Data are presented as log2CPM (counts per million). Data were derived from The Cancer

Genome Atlas (TCGA) repository and accessed on the GEPIA2 server (http://gepia2.cancer-

pku.cn) [65] (Colon Adenocarcinoma: Normal n=349, Tumor n=275; Liver Hepatocellular

Carcinoma: Normal n=160, Tumor n=369; Rectal Adenocarcinoma: Normal n=318, Tumor n=92;

Ovarian Serous Cystadenocarcinoma: Normal n=88, Tumor n=426; Skin Cutaneous Melanoma:

Normal n=558, Tumor n=461; Testicular Germ Cell Tumors: Normal n=165, Tumor n=137;

Uterine Carcinoma: Normal n=78, Tumor n=57), $p<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$.

D) *ETFDH* mRNA levels across healthy (labelled as normal) and breast tumor (labelled as tumor)

samples. RNAseq data from both TCGA and GTex databases were processed and normalized as

described [66]. TPM values were downloaded from GEO [GSE86354 (healthy) and [GSM1536837

(tumour)]. Expression is presented as $\log_2$ standardised mRNA levels. Data were acquired from

the   'Breast   cancer   gene-expression   miner'   (bc-GenExMiner)   server

(http://bcgenex.ico.unicancer.fr) [66] using *ETFDH* expression according to nature of the tissue.

(Normal n=92, Tumor n=743). p<0.0001, Dunnett-Tukey-Kramer's test.

E-F) Overall survival of patients based on low (black) or high (red) *ETFDH* (205530_at)

expression in colon cancer (E) or breast cancer (F). Data were acquired from KmPlotter [67,68] and

processed as described [69]. (E) Colon cancer: Low n=556, High n=770, p=0.006. (F) Breast cancer:

Low n=2485, High n=2444, p=0.0003

G-H) Proliferation of WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 (G) and NT2197 (H)

cells. Data are presented as cell count means +/- SD over multiple time-points. Representative

western blot of indicated proteins is provided in the inlets (n=3), *p<0.05, **p<0.01, one-way

ANOVA, Tukey's post hoc test.

I-J) Proliferation of WT and ETFDH KO 4T1 (I) and NALM6 (J) cells. Data are presented as cell

count means +/- SD over indicated time-points. Representative western blot of indicated proteins

is provided in the inlets (n=3), *p<0.05, paired Student's *t* test.

K) Proliferation of WT and ETFDH KO NMuMG cells. Representative western blot of indicated

proteins is provided in the inlets (n=3), one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc test.

L-M) Soft agar/colony formation assays in WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-116

(L) and NT2197 (M) cells. Data are presented as fold change relative to WT EV cells (n=3),

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test.

<u>Extended Data Figure 2: ETFDH loss reprograms mitochondrial bioenergetics</u>

A) Schematic depicting fatty acid and leucine catabolism linked to ETFDH electron transfer.

B) Palmitate oxidation at basal level in WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-116 cells.

Data are presented as means +/- SD (n=6), ****$p<0.0001$, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc

test.

C) Fractional enrichment (%) of citrate m+2 in WT EV, ETFDH KO, and ETFDH Rescue HCT-

116 cells. Data are presented as means +/- SD (n=6), ****$p<0.0001$, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's

post-hoc test.

D-E) Oxygen consumption (D) and extracellular acidification (E) for WT and ETFDH KO

NT2197 cells was determined using a Seahorse bioanalyzer. Data are normalized to cell count.

Data are presented as means +/- SD (n=5), *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, paired Student's t test

F) Basal J ATP calculations from WT and ETFDH KO NT2197 cells. J ATP ox represents ATP

production from oxidative phosphorylation, while J ATP glyc is ATP production from glycolysis.

Comparison between J ATP ox (grey bars; top) and J ATP glyc (white bars; bottom) is shown.

Data are presented as means +/- SD (n=5), ***$p<0.001$, paired Student's t test.

G-H) Bioenergetic Plot for Basal, FCCP, and Monensin J ATP fluxes (G) and Bioenergetic

Capacity (H) for WT and ETFDH KO NT2197 cells. Data are presented as means +/- SD (n=5),

*$p<0.05$, paired Student's t test.

I) Glutamine uptake and glutamate production in WT and ETFDH KO NT2197 cells. Data are

presented as mean fold changes of ETFDH KO cells relative to WT cells +/- SD (n=3), *$p<0.05$,

paired Student's t test.

J) Fractional abundance of ^{13}C-labelled metabolites over several timepoints from WT (blue) and

ETFDH KO (red) NT2197 cells. Isotopomers labelled in green depict ^{13}C-glutamine tracing in the

forward direction of the CAC, while those in purple represent reverse tracing. Data are presented

as means +/- SD (n=3), *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0001$, two-way ANOVA,

Tukey's post hoc test.

Extended Data Figure 3: ETFDH loss increases mTORC1 signaling and dependency

A-B) Levels and phosphorylation status of indicated proteins in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116

(A) and NALM6 (B) cells were determined by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading

control (representative blots of n=3).

C) Indicated protein levels in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells transfected with shRNA

targeting *RAPTOR* (shRAPTOR), *RICTOR* (shRICTOR), or scramble control (SCR) were

determined by western blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of

n=3).

D-E) Proliferation of HCT-116 WT and ETFDH KO cells expressing SCR and shRAPTOR (D)

or SCR and shRICTOR (E). Data are presented as cell count means +/- SD (n=4), **$p < 0.01$,

***$p < 0.01$ two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post hoc test.

F-G) Proliferation of HCT116 ETFDH KO and ETFDH Rescue cells treated with Torin1 (F) or

BiS-35x (G) for 72 hours. Data are presented as fold changes relative to each respective DMSO

condition (n=3), *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.01$, two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post hoc test.

H) Total and phosphoprotein levels in WT or ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells were determined by

western blotting using indicated proteins. Cells were serum starved overnight, then depleted of

amino acids for indicated timepoints (0, 10, 30, 60 minutes), or stimulated with FBS for 4 hours

(Stim). β-Actin was used as loading control (representative blots of n=3).

 Extended Data Figure 4: *ETFDH* correlates with *EIF4EBP1* expression at the mRNA level

A) Protein levels in WT and ETFDH KO NALM6 cells were monitored by western blotting using

indicated antibodies. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

B) Indicated protein levels in WT and ETFDH KO 4T1 cells were determined by western blotting

using indicated antibodies. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

C) Protein levels in WT and ETFDH KO NMuMG cells were monitored by western blotting using

indicated antibodies. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

D) Proliferation of ETFDH KO and ETFDH KO overexpressing 4E-BP1 (ETFDH KO 4E-BP1)

HCT-116 cells. Data are presented as cell count means +/- SD over indicated time-points (n=3),

**p<0.01, paired Student's *t* test.

E) Soft agar/colony formation assay in ETFDH KO and ETFDH KO 4E-BP1 HCT-116 cells. Data

are presented as fold change relative to ETFDH KO cells (n=3), *p<0.05, paired Student's *t* test.

F) Mitochondrial mass in WT and ETFDH KO NT2197 cells was monitored by flow cytometry.

Data are presented as fold change relative to WT cells (n=3), **p<0.01, paired Student's *t* test.

G) The levels of indicated proteins in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells treated with

cycloheximide (CHX) (50μg/ml), MG-132 (10μM), or both for 4 hours total were monitored by

western blotting. β-Actin was used as loading control, whereas p21 was used as a short-lived

protein to control for the effects of the CHX and MG-132 treatments (representative blots of n=2).

H) Polysome profiling of WT and ETFDH HCT-116 KO cells. Absorbance at 254nm (AU 254nm)

was used to monitor the distribution of 40S-, 60S- ribosomal subunits, monosomes (80S) and light

and heavy polysomes across the gradient. Indicated mRNA abundances from polysome gradient

fractions was obtained using RT-qPCR. Data are shown as a mean percentage of mRNA in each

fraction relative to cumulative corresponding mRNA amount across the whole gradient +/-SD

(n=3).

I) Correlation between *ETFDH* and *EIF4EBP1* mRNA expression in colon adenocarcinoma

samples. Data were derived from TCGA repository.

J) WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells were treated with Actinomycin D (10µg/ml) for indicated

timepoints upon which *EIF4EBP1* and *GAPDH* mRNA levels were determined by RT-qPCR. Data

are presented as a mean percentage of mRNA relative to DMSO-treated cells +/-SD (n=2).

Extended Data Figure 5: The effects of ETFDH loss on *EIF4EBP1* transcription are mediated by

BCL-6 but not STAT1, Snail or Slug

A) Protein levels in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells were determined by western blotting

using indicated antibodies. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=2).

B) MT4788 WT and STAT1 KO cells were treated with IFNγ (1ng/μl) or vehicle (water) for 24

hours. Levels and phosphorylation status of indicated proteins were determined by western

blotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=2).

C) Protein levels in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells were determined by western blotting

using indicated antibodies. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

D-E) Binding of STAT1 to the promoters of *EIF4EBP1* (D) and *EIF4EBP2* (E) was determined

by ChIP-qPCR. IgG was used a negative control. Data are presented as a percentage of the input

+/- SD (n=3), one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test.

F) Fluorescence intensity of WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells stained with DCFDA (2μM) and

measured by flow cytometry. Median values are depicted in the inlets.

G) Levels of 4E-BP1 in WT and ETFDH KO HCT-116 cells were determined by western blotting

using the indicated antibody. β-Actin was used as a loading control (representative blots of n=3).

<u>Extended Data Figure 6: Poly(A) Track design</u>

A) Insertion of poly(A) tracks within the coding sequence of murine ETFDH. DNA sequences for

constructs $(AAG)_6$, $(AAA)_4$, $(AAA)_6$, and WT Etfdh are shown.

B) Protein structure of $(AAG)_6$ / $(AAA)_6$ constructs generated using AlphaFold.

Methods

Cell lines and cell culture

HCT-116, NALM6, and HEK293T cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection

(ATCC) and verified using ATCC Cell line authentication service. NMuMG, NT2197, and

MT4788 Parental and STAT1 KO cells were obtained by Dr. J. Ursini-Siegel's lab, while 4T1

(4T1-526) cells were obtained from Dr. P.M. Siegel's group. HEK293T and HCT-116 cells were

cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin

and supplemented with 2mM L-Glutamine to obtain a final concentration of 6mM L-Glutamine.

4T1 and NALM6 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 1%

penicillin/streptomycin and 2mM L-Glutamine as previously described [54]. NMuMG and NT2197

cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 2mM L-

Glutamine, 10 mg/mL insulin, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5. NT2197 culture media was supplemented

with puromycin (2 μg/mL) as previously described [11]. MT4788 were grown in DMEM, 2.5% FBS,

mammary epithelial growth supplement (MEGS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin and gentamycin as

previously described [25]. All cell lines were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified

environment and tested periodically for mycoplasma according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Animals

6–8-week-old SCID-BEIGE mice were purchased from Charles River. Male SCID-BEIGE mice

were used for intracaecal experiments, while female mice were used for mammary fat pad

injections. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the McGill

University Animal Ethics Committee and the Canadian Council on Animal Care as approved by

the facility animal care committee.

Xenograft Experiments

Luciferase-expressing HCT-116 cells (250,000 cells) were injected intracaecally in male (8-10

weeks old) SCID-BEIGE mice as previously described [55]. Tumor growth was monitored and

assessed by luminescence (IVIS spectrum, PerkinElmer). Animals were sacrificed after 50 days.

Quantification of signal intensity was performed with Living Image software (PerkinElmer).

NT2197 mammary tumor cells (50,000 cells) were injected in mammary fat pads of 6-8-week

female SCID-BEIGE mice. Tumor growth was monitored using calipers. Measurements were

shown as once tumor volume reached $100{\sim}200$ mm^3 and animals were sacrificed before tumors

reached 1cm^3. Tumors were excised, placed in 10% formalin, and embedded for

immunohistochemistry.

Cell Proliferation Assay

Cells were counted using a Countess automated cell counter (Invitrogen) and trypan blue exclusion

was used for determining cell viability. Cells (HCT-116, NT2197, NMuMG, 4T1, NALM-6, and

MT4788) were seeded in 6-well dishes and counted to 3-5 days. For glutamine deprivation

experiments, HCT-116 cells were seeded in full culture for 24 hours. The next day, cells were

grown in media in the presence or absence of glutamine for 48 hours and then counted. For

Torin1/BiS-35x treatment experiments, HCT-116 cells were seeded overnight. The next day,

media was replaced with treatment media containing Torin1 (50nM, 100nM, 250nM, 500nM) or

BiS-35X (1nM, 10nM, 100nM, 1000nM) or DMSO for 72 hours and then counted.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical staining of colon human parafilm-embedded tissue array (TissueArray.com, CO992b) was performed as previously described [56]. Briefly, the tissue slide was baked for 55°C for 30 min followed by incubation in xylene (2× 5 min), 100% ethanol (2× 5 min), 95% ethanol (2× 5 min), 75% ethanol (1× 5min), and ddH2O (2x 5min). The slide was submerged in 10mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0), 0.05% Tween-20 and heat-induced epitope retrieval was carried out in a pressure cooker for 30 min. The slide was cooled for 20 min at room temperature, rinsed in ddH2O for 5 min, and washed with 1xTBS + 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 min, then 1xTBS for 5 min at room temperature. The slide was incubated in 3% H_2O_2 for 10 min at room temperature and washed with TBST (1xTBS + 0.1% Tween-20) for 5 min to inactivate peroxidases. A liquid blocker pen (PAP Pen, DAKO) was used to circle tissues on the slide. The TMA was blocked for 1 hour in room temperature in the presence of DAKO Protein Block-Serum Free (DAKO, Cat#X0909). The slide was incubated overnight at 4°C with the ETFDH antibody (1:500, ProteinTech 11109-1-AP). The next day, the slide was washed in TBST (3x 5min), and secondary incubation was performed for 30 min at room temperature with secondary antibody (Antibody background reducing diluent and HRP rabbit substrate (Cell Signaling, Cat#7074)). The slide was washed in TBST (3x 5min) and DAB (Di-amine-benzidine) peroxidase substrate (Vector, Cat# SK-4100) was applied to the slide for 5 min. Reaction was stopped by washing in ddH2O for 5 min. The slide was counterstained with filtered hematoxylin for 20 sec and rinsed with ddH2O for 5 min. The slide was placed in 75% ethanol (1 min), 95% ethanol (1 min), 100% ethanol (1 min) and xylene (2x 5min). The slide was mounted with a cytoseal at 60°C and dried in a fumehood overnight. Quantitation of sections was performed using Imagescope software (Aperio).

Western Blotting

Western blotting was performed as previously described [44]. Briefly, cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed with RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 1% NP40, 0.1% SDS), supplemented with 1X protease inhibitor (Roche) and 1x PhoSTOP (Roche). Lysates were cleared at 4°C (10min, 13,000rpm). Protein concentrations were determined using BCA kit (ThermoFisher). Lysates were mixed with 5x Laemmli buffer (60mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 10% glycerol, 2% SDS, 5% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.05% bromophenol blue) and boiled (95°C for 5min). Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE (Bio-Rad) and transferred via wet transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad) to nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham). Membranes were blocked in 5% skim milk (dissolved in TBST (0.1% Tween 20 in $1\times$ TBS)) and incubated in primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. The next day, membranes were washed in TBST and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour. Membranes were washed and applied with ECL (Bio-Rad) for 1min. Exposure was carried out on an Azure c300 (Azure Biosystems). Images were quantified by ImageJ and analysed using GraphPad Prism10. The list of primary antibodies is described in Supplementary Table 1.

For λ-phosphatase experiments, cells were lysed with RIPA and supplemented with 1X protease inhibitor, without 1x PhoSTOP. Protein samples were combined with NEBuffer for protein metallophosphatases (PMP), $MnCl_2$, and λ-protein phosphatase for 30min at 30°C according to the manufacturer's instructions (New England Biolabs).

Cap-Binding Pull-Down Assay

Assay was carried out as previously described [18]. Briefly, NT2197 cells were seeded overnight in 150mm plates. The next day, media was changed, and cells were washed with PBS and dissolved

in lysis buffer containing 50mM MOPS/KOH (7.4), 100mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 2mM EDTA,

2mM EGTA, 1% NP40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 7 mM β-mercaptoethanol, supplemented with

1X protease inhibitor (Roche) and 1x PhoSTOP (Roche). Lysates were cleared at 4°C (10min,

16,000g). Protein concentrations in samples were elucidated by BCA (ThermoFisher). Samples

were equilibrated for 20 min rotating at 4°C with m^7-GDP-agarose beads (Jena Bioscience), then

washed in buffer containing 50mM MOPS/KOH (7.4), 100mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 0.5mM EDTA,

0.5 mM EGTA, 7 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1mM Na_3VO_4 and 0.1mM GTP, by

centrifugation (500g for 1min). Bound proteins were eluted from beads via boiling in loading

buffer. Western blotting was performed on eluted proteins and input samples to assess for eIF4F

complex formation using 4E-BP1, eIF4G1, eIF4E, and β-actin antibodies.

Flow Cytometry

NT2197 cells were seeded in 6-well dishes for 24 hours. The next day, cells were stained with

50nM MitoTracker Deep Red (ThermoFisher) for 15 minutes at 37°C in the dark. Cells were

washed, counted, and 100,000 cells were dissolved in 500μl HBSS (Gibco). Samples were

analyzed with the LSR Fortessa cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). Fluorescence

intensity was detected by excitation at 644nm and acquisition on the 665/-A channel for

MitoTracker Deep Red. ROS measurements were performed as previously described [56]. Briefly,

cells were incubated with H_2DCFDA (Molecular Probes) for 30 minutes at 37°C. Cells were

trypsinized, washed, and resuspended in 500μl HBSS. Samples were sorted on a BD FACS Canto

II system. Fluorescence intensities were calculated by FlowJo (Tree Star, Inc.).

Mitochondrial DNA Quantitation

Quantitation of mitochondrial DNA was carried out as previously described [21]. HCT-116 or NT2197 cells were seeded in 6-well dishes for 24 hours. Genomic and mitochondrial DNA were extracted using PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (ThermoFisher) and quantified by qPCR using SensiFAST™ SYBR® Lo-ROX kit (Bioline).

Polysome-Profiling Assay

Experiments were carried out as previously described [57]. Briefly, HCT-116 cells were seeded overnight in 150mm plates. The next day, cells were washed in PBS containing 100 μg/ml cycloheximide and lysed in hypotonic buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1.5 mM KCl, 100 μg/ml cycloheximide, 2 mM DTT, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 0.5% sodium deoxycholate). Samples were loaded onto 10%-50% wt/vol sucrose density gradients (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.6, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$) and spun on a SW40Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter) at 4°C (36,000 rpm for 2 hours). Samples were fractionated and recorded at OD 254nm using an ISCO fractionator (Teledyne ISCO). RNA from fractions and input was extracted using TRIzol (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA from each fraction and input was isolated using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RT-qPCR was performed on fractions and input RNA (refer to section "RNA extraction and RT-qPCR" for more information). Primers are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Puromycilation Assay

HCT-116 cells were grown in 10cm dishes for 24 hours. The next day, cells were treated with puromycin (10ug/ml) for 20 minutes. Cells treated with DMSO were used as negative controls.

Cells were lysed and protein samples were quantified. Western blotting was performed (refer to

"Western Blotting" section for more information) and membranes were incubated in anti-

puromycin antibody (Millipore, Cat#MABE343). Quantification of bands was performed by

ImageJ.

Stable Isotope Tracing

GC/MS quantification and stable isotope tracing analysis (SITA) was performed as previously

described [58]. Briefly, cells were seeded in 6-well dishes. Prior to ^{13}C-glutamine SITA experiments,

culture media was replaced with one whose composition contains 2mM unlabelled glutamine for

2 hours. Afterwards, media was replaced with media containing 2mM labelled ([U-13C])-

glutamine media (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, MA, USA; CLM-1822; L-glutamine ([U-

13C5]), 99%) for 5, 15, 30, and 60 minutes. For ^{13}C-leucine SITA experiments, culture media was

replaced with one containing unlabelled leucine (0.105g/L) for 2 hours, then incubated in media

containing labelled (0.105g/L) ([U-13C])-leucine media (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, MA,

USA; CLM-2262-H-0.1; L-leucine ([U-13C6]), 99%) for 24 hours. Both steady state and tracing

samples were washed in cold saline solution (9g/L NaCl) and scraped off with 80% methanol.

Samples were sonicated at 4°C for 10 minutes (high setting, 30 seconds on/30 seconds off cycles)

to rupture cells. Lysates were centrifuged at 4°C (14000g,10 minutes), and supernatants were

collected with addition of an internal standard (750ng myristic acid-D27). Samples were dried

overnight at 4°C by speed-vac (Labconco). Dried pellets are resuspended in methoxyamine

hydrochloride (10mg/ml), sonicated, and cleared for 10 minutes. Samples are heated 70°C for 30

minutes then applied with N-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-N- methyltrifluoroacetamide (MTBSTFA) at

70°C for 1 hour. Derivatized samples are injected into an Agilent 5975C GC/MS (Agilent

Technologies, CA, USA) using methods described previously [58]. ^{13}C-tracer samples were run in

parallel with unlabelled samples. MassHunter software (Agilent) was used to carry out mass

isotopomer distribution analyses, with metabolites normalized to myristic acid-D27 and cell

number. Isotopic distributions were corrected for naturally occurring isotopes using an in-house

algorithm [59].

RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR

HCT-116 and NT2197 cells were plated in 6-well dishes and extracted using TRIzol (Ambion) or

Aurum Total RNA Mini Kit (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturers' instructions. cDNA was

synthesized from purified RNA using SensiFAST cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bioline), as per

manufacturer's protocol. RT-qPCR was performed using SensiFAST SYBR Lo-ROX kit (Bioline)

using methods as previously described [67]. Primer sequences are found in Supplementary Table 2.

Chromatin Immunopreciptation (ChIP)

Experiments were performed as previously described [44]. Briefly, HCT-116 cells were grown in

150mm dishes and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 10 min, followed by centrifugation. Pellets were

resuspended in ChIP-buffer (50 nM Tris (pH 8), 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1X PMSF, 2 mM

NaF, 0.25% NP-40, 0.25% Triton X-100, 0.25% Sodium Deoxycholate, 0.005% SDS)

supplemented with 1x cOmplete protease inhibitor (Roche). Samples are sonicated using Sonic

Dismembrator Model 500 (ThermoFisher) at 5 cycles at 20% power, 5 cycles at 25% power, 5

cycles at 30% power; each cycle is 10 sec. Lysates are spun at 4°C (14,000rpm, 10min) and protein

concentration was measured from supernatants using the BCA kit (ThermoFisher). Samples were

loaded onto Protein G Plus-Agarose Suspension Beads (Millipore) and pre-cleared at 4°C for 3

hours. Input samples were collected, and immunoprecipitation was performed with anti-BCL6

(Cell Signaling, #5650) or anti-IgG (Cell Signaling, #2729) on the remainder of the sample

overnight at 4°C. The following day, samples were washed in three wash buffers (20 mM Tris

(pH 8), 2 mM EDTA, 0.10% SDS, 1% Triton X-100 with 150/200/599mM for Wash1/2/3 buffers

respectively), then a wash with LiCl buffer (10 mM Tris (pH 8), 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 M LiCl, 1%

NP-40, 1% Sodium Deoxycholate), and two washes with TE buffer (10 mM Tris (pH 8), 1 mM

EDTA). Samples were eluted in elution buffer (0.1 M NaHCO3, 1% SDS), and de-crossliked at

65°C overnight. The following day, proteinase K was applied to samples and heated at 42°C for 1

hour. DNA was purified and collected using DNA collection column (BioBasic). ChIP-qPCR was

performed for *EIF4EBP1* and *EIF4EBP2* using sequences found in Supplementary Table 3.

Respirometry Assay

Oxygen consumption (OCR) and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) were measured using

Seahorse XFe24 and XFe96 analyzers (Agilent) with the Mito Stress Test (Agilent) and Palmitate

Oxidation Assay (Agilent), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, HCT-116 and

NT2197 cells were seeded in Seahorse culture plates (XFe24: 50,000 cells or XFe96: 20,000 cells)

and incubated at 37°C. Cells were washed twice and incubated in assay media. For mito stress test

experiments, assay media is composed of 10mM glucose, 2mM glutamine, and 1mM sodium

pyruvate. Injections include oligomycin (1μM), FCCP (1μM), rotenone/antimycin A (1μM), and

monensin (20μM). ATP production from OXPHOS (ATPox) or glycolysis (ATPglyc) were

quantified using algorithms presented previously [60]. For palmitate oxidation assay, cells were

seeded in substrate-limited media (DMEM, 1% FBS, 0.5mM glucose, 1mM glutamine, 0.5mM

carnitine). The next day, cells are washed with FAO Buffer (includes 0.5mM glucose, 0.5mM

carnitine, and 5mM HEPES). Immediately prior to run, palmitate-BSA or BSA control is added to

the cell media. Basal respiration was recorded. Values were normalized to cell counts.

Soft Agar/Colony Formation Assay

Experiments were conducted as previously shown [12]. Briefly, 6-well dishes are filled with a bottom

layer solution (1:1; 1% noble agar and 2X culture media (2X DMEM, 20% FBS, 2%

Pen/Strep/Glutamine)) per well. Dishes are incubated at room temperature for 30 min to allow

solution to solidify. 5,000-10,000 HCT-116 and NT2197 cells are mixed 1:1 with 0.6% noble agar

and 2X culture media. 1.5ml of this mixture is transferred on top of the solidified agar wells. Dishes

are incubated at room temperature to allow the top layer to solidify. Cells are grown at 37°C until

colony formation is present. Dishes were stained with nitroblue tetrazolium (Sigma), and colonies

were counted.

Protein Stability Assay

HCT-116 cells were seeded in 10cm dishes. The next day, cells were treated with cycloheximide

(CHX) (50µg/ml) or DMSO for 2 hours. Furthermore, cells were treated with the proteasomal

inhibitor, MG-132 (10µM) or DMSO for 2 hours. Final time point for all conditions was 4 hours.

Protein was extracted, quantified, and western blotting was performed for ETFDH, p21, 4E-BP1,

or β-actin (refer to "Western Blotting" section for more information).

RNA Stability Assay

Assay was performed as previously described [61]. Briefly, HCT-116 cells were seeded in 6-well

dishes. The next day, cells were treated with actinomycinD (ActD) for 2,4,8 and 24 hours. RNA

was extracted, cDNA was synthesized, and RT-qPCR was performed (refer to "RNA extraction

and RT-qPCR" for more information).

Expression Profiles from TCGA/GTEx Databases

Expression of *ETFDH* and *EIF4EBP1* mRNA across both normal and tumor samples were

obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and GTEx repositories. The expression data

were normalized using the TMM (trimmed mean of M values) method and presented as log2

counts per million. Correlations between the genes were assessed using Pearson's correlation

coefficient.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10. Data are presented as mean +/- SD

of independent experiments, unless stated otherwise. Technical replicates were averaged from 2-

3 independent experiments. Details on data quantification, presentation, and statistical analysis are

included in figure legends.

Data Availability

Plasmids generated in this study will be deposited to Addgene. The original data will be deposited

and available at Mendeley Data. Metabolomics data is available at MetaboLights (MTBLS11048).

References

1   Banerjee, R., Purhonen, J. & Kallijarvi, J. The mitochondrial coenzyme Q junction and
complex III: biochemistry and pathophysiology. *FEBS J* **289**, 6936-6958 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1111/febs.16164

2   Mereis, M. *et al.* Disorders of flavin adenine dinucleotide metabolism: MADD and related
deficiencies.   *Int   J   Biochem   Cell   Biol*   **132**,   105899   (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.biocel.2020.105899

3   Ghisla, S. & Thorpe, C. Acyl-CoA dehydrogenases. A mechanistic overview. *Eur J*
Biochem **271**, 494-508 (2004). https://doi.org:10.1046/j.1432-1033.2003.03946.x

4   Zhang, Y. *et al.* An acyl-CoA dehydrogenase microplate activity assay using recombinant
porcine   electron   transfer   flavoprotein.   *Anal   Biochem*   **581**,   113332   (2019).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ab.2019.06.003

5   El-Gharbawy, A. & Vockley, J. Inborn Errors of Metabolism with Myopathy: Defects of
Fatty Acid Oxidation and the Carnitine Shuttle System. *Pediatr Clin North Am* **65**, 317-
335 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.pcl.2017.11.006

6   Olsen, R. K. *et al.* ETFDH mutations as a major cause of riboflavin-responsive multiple
acyl-CoA   dehydrogenation   deficiency.   *Brain*   **130**,   2045-2054   (2007).
https://doi.org:10.1093/brain/awm135

7   Missaglia, S., Tavian, D. & Angelini, C. ETF dehydrogenase advances in molecular
genetics and impact on treatment. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* **56**, 360-372 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1080/10409238.2021.1908952

8   Herrero Martin, J. C. *et al.* An ETFDH-driven metabolon supports OXPHOS efficiency in

skeletal muscle by regulating coenzyme Q homeostasis. *Nat Metab* **6**, 209-225 (2024).

https://doi.org:10.1038/s42255-023-00956-y

9   Bertomeu, T. *et al.* A High-Resolution Genome-Wide CRISPR/Cas9 Viability Screen

Reveals Structural Features and Contextual Diversity of the Human Cell-Essential

Proteome. *Mol Cell Biol* **38** (2018). https://doi.org:10.1128/MCB.00302-17

10   Tsherniak, A. *et al.* Defining a Cancer Dependency Map. *Cell* **170**, 564-576 e516 (2017).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.010

11   Ursini-Siegel, J. *et al.* ShcA signalling is essential for tumour progression in mouse models

of   human   breast   cancer.   *EMBO   J*   **27**,   910-920   (2008).

https://doi.org:10.1038/emboj.2008.22

12   Borowicz, S. *et al.* The soft agar colony formation assay. *J Vis Exp*, e51998 (2014).

https://doi.org:10.3791/51998

13   DeBerardinis, R. J. & Cheng, T. Q's next: the diverse functions of glutamine in metabolism,

cell   biology   and   cancer.   *Oncogene*   **29**,   313-324   (2010).

https://doi.org:10.1038/onc.2009.358

14   Saxton, R. A. & Sabatini, D. M. mTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and Disease.

Cell **168**, 960-976 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2017.02.004

15   Thoreen, C. C. *et al.* An ATP-competitive mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor reveals

rapamycin-resistant functions of mTORC1. *J Biol Chem* **284**, 8023-8032 (2009).

https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M900301200

16 Lee, B. J. *et al.* Selective inhibitors of mTORC1 activate 4EBP1 and suppress tumor

growth. *Nat Chem Biol* **17**, 1065-1074 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41589-021-00813-

7

17 Harding, H. P. *et al.* Regulated translation initiation controls stress-induced gene

expression in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell* **6**, 1099-1108 (2000).

https://doi.org:10.1016/s1097-2765(00)00108-8

18 Dowling, R. J. *et al.* mTORC1-mediated cell proliferation, but not cell growth, controlled

by the 4E-BPs. *Science* **328**, 1172-1176 (2010). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1187532

19 Gingras, A. C. *et al.* Regulation of 4E-BP1 phosphorylation: a novel two-step mechanism.

Genes Dev **13**, 1422-1437 (1999). https://doi.org:10.1101/gad.13.11.1422

20 Hulea, L. *et al.* Translational and HIF-1alpha-Dependent Metabolic Reprogramming

Underpin Metabolic Plasticity and Responses to Kinase Inhibitors and Biguanides. *Cell*

Metab **28**, 817-832 e818 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cmet.2018.09.001

21 Morita, M. *et al.* mTORC1 controls mitochondrial activity and biogenesis through 4E-BP-

dependent translational regulation. *Cell Metab* **18**, 698-711 (2013).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cmet.2013.10.001

22 Morita, M. *et al.* mTOR coordinates protein synthesis, mitochondrial activity and

proliferation. *Cell Cycle* **14**, 473-480 (2015).

https://doi.org:10.4161/15384101.2014.991572

23 Yamaguchi, S. *et al.* ATF4-mediated induction of 4E-BP1 contributes to pancreatic beta

cell survival under endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Cell Metab* **7**, 269-276 (2008).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cmet.2008.01.008

24   Wang, J. *et al.* Snail determines the therapeutic response to mTOR kinase inhibitors by

1004   transcriptional repression of 4E-BP1. *Nat Commun* **8**, 2207 (2017).

1005   https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-017-02243-3

1006   25   Totten, S. P. *et al.* STAT1 potentiates oxidative stress revealing a targetable vulnerability

1007   that increases phenformin efficacy in breast cancer. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3299 (2021).

1008   https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-021-23396-2

1009   26   Hayes, J. D., Dinkova-Kostova, A. T. & Tew, K. D. Oxidative Stress in Cancer. *Cancer*

1010   *Cell* **38**, 167-197 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.06.001

1011   27   Nakayamada, S. *et al.* Type I IFN induces binding of STAT1 to Bcl6: divergent roles of

1012   STAT family transcription factors in the T follicular helper cell genetic program. *J*

1013   *Immunol* **192**, 2156-2166 (2014). https://doi.org:10.4049/jimmunol.1300675

1014   28   Liu, Y. *et al.* The oncoprotein BCL6 enables solid tumor cells to evade genotoxic stress.

1015   *Elife* **11** (2022). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.69255

1016   29   Madapura, H. S. *et al.* Interferon gamma is a STAT1-dependent direct inducer of BCL6

1017   expression in imatinib-treated chronic myeloid leukemia cells. *Oncogene* **36**, 4619-4628

1018   (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/onc.2017.85

1019   30   Ramachandran, K. *et al.* Transcriptional programming of translation by BCL6 controls

1020   skeletal muscle proteostasis. *Nat Metab* **6**, 304-322 (2024).

1021   https://doi.org:10.1038/s42255-024-00983-3

1022   31   Yi, W. *et al.* The mTORC1-4E-BP-eIF4E axis controls de novo Bcl6 protein synthesis in

1023   T cells and systemic autoimmunity. *Nat Commun* **8**, 254 (2017).

1024   https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-017-00348-3

32   Xu, L. *et al.* The Kinase mTORC1 Promotes the Generation and Suppressive Function of

Follicular   Regulatory   T   Cells.   *Immunity*   **47**,   538-551   e535   (2017).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.immuni.2017.08.011

33   Raybuck, A. L. *et al.* B Cell-Intrinsic mTORC1 Promotes Germinal Center-Defining

Transcription Factor Gene Expression, Somatic Hypermutation, and Memory B Cell

Generation   in   Humoral   Immunity.   *J   Immunol*   **200**,   2627-2639   (2018).

https://doi.org:10.4049/jimmunol.1701321

34   Consortium, I. T. P.-C. A. o. W. G. Pan-cancer analysis of whole genomes. *Nature* **578**,

82-93 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-020-1969-6

35   Powell, G., Pavlovic Djuranovic, S. & Djuranovic, S. Gene dosage effects of poly(A) track-

engineered   hypomorphs.   *Mol   Ther   Nucleic   Acids*   **26**,   865-878   (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.omtn.2021.10.005

36   Arthur, L. *et al.* Translational control by lysine-encoding A-rich sequences. *Sci Adv* **1**

(2015). https://doi.org:10.1126/sciadv.1500154

37   Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**,

583-589 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

38   Varadi, M. *et al.* AlphaFold Protein Structure Database: massively expanding the structural

coverage of protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**,

D439-D444 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkab1061

39   Jones, P. A. & Baylin, S. B. The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer. *Nat Rev*

Genet **3**, 415-428 (2002). https://doi.org:10.1038/nrg816

40   Nilsson, R. *et al.* Metabolic enzyme expression highlights a key role for MTHFD2 and the

mitochondrial folate pathway in cancer. *Nat Commun* **5**, 3128 (2014).

https://doi.org:10.1038/ncomms4128

41   Smith, H. W. *et al.* An ErbB2/c-Src axis links bioenergetics with PRC2 translation to drive

epigenetic reprogramming and mammary tumorigenesis. *Nat Commun* **10**, 2901 (2019).

https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-019-10681-4

42   Harachi, M. *et al.* Dual Regulation of Histone Methylation by mTOR Complexes Controls

Glioblastoma Tumor Cell Growth via EZH2 and SAM. *Mol Cancer Res* **18**, 1142-1152

(2020). https://doi.org:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-20-0024

43   Chen, M. *et al.* The activation of mTOR signalling modulates DNA methylation by

enhancing DNMT1 translation in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Transl Med* **21**, 276 (2023).

https://doi.org:10.1186/s12967-023-04103-9

44   Kim, H. *et al.* MTOR modulation induces selective perturbations in histone methylation

which influence the anti-proliferative effects of mTOR inhibitors. *bioRxiv*,

2023.2011.2007.566067 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.11.07.566067

45   Polunovsky, V. A. *et al.* Translational control of the antiapoptotic function of Ras. *J Biol*

Chem **275**, 24776-24780 (2000). https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M001938200

46   Lynch, M., Fitzgerald, C., Johnston, K. A., Wang, S. & Schmidt, E. V. Activated eIF4E-

binding protein slows G1 progression and blocks transformation by c-myc without

inhibiting cell growth. *J Biol Chem* **279**, 3327-3339 (2004).

https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M310872200

47   Avdulov, S. *et al.* Activation of translation complex eIF4F is essential for the genesis and

maintenance of the malignant phenotype in human mammary epithelial cells. *Cancer Cell*

5, 553-563 (2004). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ccr.2004.05.024

48   Deslypere, J. P. & Vermeulen, A. Rhabdomyolysis and simvastatin. *Ann Intern Med* **114**,

342 (1991). https://doi.org:10.7326/0003-4819-114-4-342_1

49   Rousseau, D., Gingras, A. C., Pause, A. & Sonenberg, N. The eIF4E-binding proteins 1

and 2 are negative regulators of cell growth. *Oncogene* **13**, 2415-2420 (1996).

50   Musa, J. *et al.* Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1): a master

regulator of mRNA translation involved in tumorigenesis. *Oncogene* **35**, 4675-4688

(2016). https://doi.org:10.1038/onc.2015.515

51   Jewer, M. *et al.* Translational control of breast cancer plasticity. *Nat Commun* **11**, 2498

(2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-020-16352-z

52   Teleman, A. A., Chen, Y. W. & Cohen, S. M. 4E-BP functions as a metabolic brake used

under stress conditions but not during normal growth. *Genes Dev* **19**, 1844-1848 (2005).

https://doi.org:10.1101/gad.341505

53   Levy, T. *et al.* mTORC1 regulates cell survival under glucose starvation through 4EBP1/2-

mediated translational reprogramming of fatty acid metabolism. *Nat Commun* **15**, 4083

(2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-024-48386-y

54   Rose, A. A. *et al.* Osteoactivin promotes breast cancer metastasis to bone. *Mol Cancer Res*

5, 1001-1014 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-07-0119

55   Tabaries, S. *et al.* Claudin-2 promotes colorectal cancer liver metastasis and is a biomarker

of the replacement type growth pattern. *Commun Biol* **4**, 657 (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1038/s42003-021-02189-9

56   Igelmann, S. *et al.* A hydride transfer complex reprograms NAD metabolism and bypasses

senescence.   *Mol   Cell*   **81**,   3848-3865   e3819   (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.molcel.2021.08.028

57   Gandin, V. *et al.* Polysome fractionation and analysis of mammalian translatomes on a

genome-wide scale. *J Vis Exp* (2014). https://doi.org:10.3791/51455

58   Papadopoli, D. *et al.* Perturbations of cancer cell metabolism by the antidiabetic drug

canagliflozin. *Neoplasia* **23**, 391-399 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neo.2021.02.003

59   McGuirk, S. *et al.* PGC-1alpha supports glutamine metabolism in breast cancer. *Cancer*

Metab **1**, 22 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1186/2049-3002-1-22

60   Mookerjee, S. A., Gerencser, A. A., Nicholls, D. G. & Brand, M. D. Quantifying

intracellular rates of glycolytic and oxidative ATP production and consumption using

extracellular   flux   measurements.   *J   Biol   Chem*   **292**,   7189-7207   (2017).

https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M116.774471

61   Topisirovic, I. *et al.* Stability of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E mRNA is

regulated by HuR, and this activity is dysregulated in cancer. *Mol Cell Biol* **29**, 1152-1162

(2009). https://doi.org:10.1128/MCB.01532-08

62   Labun, K. *et al.* CHOPCHOP v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome

editing. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, W171-W174 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkz365

63   Sanjana, N. E., Shalem, O. & Zhang, F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for

CRISPR screening. *Nat Methods* **11**, 783-784 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1038/nmeth.3047

64   Shalem, O. *et al.* Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screening in human cells. *Science*

343, 84-87 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1247005

65   Tang, Z. *et al.* GEPIA: a web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and

1113   interactive   analyses.   *Nucleic   Acids   Res*   **45**,   W98-W102   (2017).

1114   https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkx247

1115   66   Jezequel, P. *et al.* bc-GenExMiner 4.5: new mining module computes breast cancer

1116   differential   gene   expression   analyses.   *Database   (Oxford)*   **2021**   (2021).

1117   https://doi.org:10.1093/database/baab007

1118   67   Gyorffy, B. Integrated analysis of public datasets for the discovery and validation of

1119   survival-associated genes in solid tumors. *Innovation (Camb)* **5**, 100625 (2024).

1120   https://doi.org:10.1016/j.xinn.2024.100625

1121   68   Gyorffy, B. Transcriptome-level discovery of survival-associated biomarkers and therapy

1122   targets in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Br J Pharmacol* **181**, 362-374 (2024).

1123   https://doi.org:10.1111/bph.16257

1124   69   Gyorffy, B. Survival analysis across the entire transcriptome identifies biomarkers with

1125   the highest prognostic power in breast cancer. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* **19**, 4101-4109

1126   (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.csbj.2021.07.014

1127

1128

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the members of all involved laboratories for helpful discussions. We thank Luc Choinière and Mariana Russo for assistance with metabolomic experiments and Christian Young for assistance with flow cytometry. This research was funded by the Terry Fox Foundation (TFF) Oncometabolism Team Grant (TFF-242122) to I.T., P.S, and M.P, and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (PJT-183843, PJT-479494) to I.T. Research in OL's lab is supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (2020-01665), Swedish Cancer Society (22 2186), the Cancer Research Funds of Radiumhemmet (231263) and the Wallenberg Academy Fellow Program. L-M.P. acknowledges the funds from Queen's University. D.P. is supported by CIHR Postdoctoral Fellowship (MFE-171312) and Cancer Research Society (CRS) The Next Generation of Scientists Award (NGS). P.J. and H.K. are supported by Fonds de Recherche du Québec – Santé (FRQS) Fellowships. I.T. is supported by Canada Research Chair in Regulation of mRNA Translation and Metabolism. Metabolic analysis was performed at the Rosalind and Morris Goodman Cancer Research Centre's Metabolomics Core Facility, which is supported by the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Dr. John R. and Clara M. Fraser Memorial Trust, the Terry Fox Foundation (TFF Oncometabolism Team Grant; TFF-242122), and McGill University.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, D.P., M.P. and I.T.; Methodology, D.P., R.P., P.J., S.I., H.K., T.B., and S.D.; Formal Analysis, D.P., P.J., S.T., E.C., S.I., K.J.S. and T.B.; Investigation, D.P., R.P., P.J., S.T., E.C., V.S., S.I., S.M., L.Z., H.K., N.C., K.J.S., J.Z., J.V., F.A., and S.M.; Resources, E.G., M.T, D.A., O.L., L.-M.P., S.D., J.U.-S., P.M.S., M.P., and I.T.; Writing – Original Draft, D.P., M.P.,

1151 and I.T.; Writing – Review & Editing, all authors; Supervision, M.P., and I.T.; Project

1152 Administration, M.P., and I.T.; Funding Acquisition, D.P., M.P., and I.T.

1153

1154 **Competing Interests**

1155 The authors declare no competing interests

1156

1157 **Additional Information**

1158
1159 **Supplementary Information**

1160 The online version contains supplementary methods and supplementary tables.

1161

1162 **Material & Correspondence**

1163 Further information and requests for reagents may be directed upon reasonable request by the lead

1164 contact, Ivan Topisirovic (ivan.topisirovic@mcgill.ca).

1165

Tables

Supplementary Table 1: List of Antibodies

Supplementary Table 2: Primer sequences used for RT-qPCR

Supplementary Table 3: Primer sequences used for ChIP-qPCR

Supplementary Table 4: gRNA sequences used for generation of ETFDH KO cell lines

Supplementary Table 5: Primer sequences used for sequencing

Fig.1

Fig.2

Fig.3

Fig.4

Fig.5

Fig.6

Extended Data Figure 1

Extended Data Figure 2

Extended Data Figure 3

Extended Data Figure 4

Extended Data Figure 5

A HCT-116

WT / ETFDH KO

ETFDH
Snail
Slug
4E-BP1
4E-BP2
β-Actin

B MT4788

Parental / STAT1 KO
− + − + IFNγ (1ng/ml)

p-STAT1(Y701)
STAT1
4E-BP1
β-Actin

C HCT-116

WT / ETFDH KO

ETFDH
STAT1
4E-BP1
4E-BP2
β-Actin

D HCT-116 ChIP

EIF4EBP1
% of input
STAT1   IgG

E HCT-116 ChIP

EIF4EBP2
% of input
STAT1   IgG

- WT EV
- ETFDH KO
- ETFDH Rescue

F HCT-116

DCFDA
Normalized To Mode
WT: 92400
ETFDH KO: 144146
(488nm-530_30)-A

G HCT-116

Vehicle   NAC
WT / ETFDH KO   WT / ETFDH KO

4E-BP1
β-Actin

Extended Data Figure 6

A

	1		172
WT Etfdh	ATGTTGGTGCGACTAACCAAGCTGTCCTGCCCTGCGTATCACTGGTTTCATGCCTTAAAAATTAAGAAGTGCCTACCTCTGTGTGCTCCAAGATGTTCTTCAACCTCTGCTGTA		CCTCAGATTACCACTCACTACACTGTTCATCCCCGGGAGA....
(AAG)₆	ATGTTGGTGCGACTAACCAAGCTGTCCTGCCCTGCGTATCACTGGTTTCATGCCTTAAAAATTAAGAAGTGCCTACCTCTGTGTGCTCCAAGATGTTCTTCAACCTCTGCTGTA AAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAG CCTCAGATTACCACTCACTACACTGTTCATCCCCGGGAGA....
(AAA)₄	ATGTTGGTGCGACTAACCAAGCTGTCCTGCCCTGCGTATCACTGGTTTCATGCCTTAAAAATTAAGAAGTGCCTACCTCTGTGTGCTCCAAGATGTTCTTCAACCTCTGCTGTA AAAAAAAAAAAA CCTCAGATTACCACTCACTACACTGTTCATCCCCGGGAGA....
(AAA)₆	ATGTTGGTGCGACTAACCAAGCTGTCCTGCCCTGCGTATCACTGGTTTCATGCCTTAAAAATTAAGAAGTGCCTACCTCTGTGTGCTCCAAGATGTTCTTCAACCTCTGCTGTA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA CCTCAGATTACCACTCACTACACTGTTCATCCCCGGGAGA....

B

(AAG)₆ / (AAA)₆ Protein Structure