Dopamine D1 receptor activation shapes anterior insula neural coding of anxiety

Authors: Yoni Couderc[1], Tanmai Dhani Reddy[1], Archi Garg[1], Daria Ricci[1], Giovanni Vardiero[1], Marion d'Almeida[1], Céline Nicolas[1], Joeri Bordes[1], Tina Habchi[1], Camille Penet[1], Yue Kris Wu[2], Julijana Gjorgjieva[2], Yulong Li[3], Emmanuel Valjent[4], Anna Beyeler[1]*

Affiliations

[1] Neurocentre Magendie, INSERM 1215, Université de Bordeaux, 146 rue Léo Saignat, 33000 Bordeaux, France

[2] Technical University of Munich, School of Life Sciences (Freising) and School of Medicine and Health (Munich); Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Germany

[3] State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology, Peking University School of Life Sciences, Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Science, IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research at PKU, Beijing, China

[4] INM, Université Montpellier, INSERM, 34094 Montpellier, France

*Correspondence to: Anna Beyeler (anna.beyeler@inserm.fr)

Abstract

The anterior insula[1–3] and dopamine neuromodulation[4–11] both play key roles in the control of anxiety, yet how dopamine shapes anterior insula function to regulate anxiety remains unknown. Here we show that dopaminergic neurons of the ventral tegmental area preferentially target the anterior relative to the posterior insula, and that optogenetic activation of these neurons elicits dopamine signals in the anterior insula. Behaviorally, dopamine signals increased in the anterior insula during risk assessment and exploration of exposed spaces. Interestingly, neurons expressing the type-1 dopamine receptor (D1) are enriched in the anterior insula subdivision, where their optogenetic activation is anxiogenic. At the molecular level, direct D1 activation or blockade in the anterior insula bidirectionally controls anxiety, demonstrating a causal anxiogenic function of D1 in the anterior insula. Remarkably, systemic D1 activation also increased anxiety-related behaviors, together with a cellular activation of the anterior insula, and a disruption of neural coding in this region. As an example of the latter, systemic D1 activation oppositely regulated the coding reliability of exposed and protected areas, increasing the reliability of the neural code for exposed spaces. Together, our findings reveal an anterior insula D1-dependent mechanism by which dopamine can control anxiety, providing a framework for investigating dopamine dysregulation in models of anxiety disorders. Our study also introduces quantitative metrics applied to AI-based computational representations of neural activity to identify how neuromodulation reshapes neural coding of behaviors and contexts.

Main

Anxiety is an adaptive response to potential threats, yet persistent and high levels of anxiety can become pathological[12,13]. Anxiety disorders are the most prevalent psychiatric conditions, characterized by chronic and excessive worry, along with other symptoms. Heightened insular cortex (or insula) activity has been reported in patients with anxiety disorders. Indeed, neuroimaging studies consistently report insular overactivation in response to salient and negative

stimuli in patients with anxiety disorders, supporting a contribution of insular circuits in the
pathophysiology of anxiety[14–19]. Moreover, pharmacological and optogenetic manipulations in
rodents have further demonstrated that activation of the anterior insula is anxiogenic[1,2,20]. While
dopamine is best known for its role in reward and motivation[21,22], it also modulates anxiety levels
through actions on D1 and D2 receptors in humans[11] and preclinical models[4–10]. In cortical and
subcortical regions, the predominance of D1 over D2 receptors[23] suggests that D1 signaling may
be a primary driver of dopaminergic modulation. Interestingly, pharmacological activation of D1 in
the basolateral amygdala (BLA) is anxiogenic[4]. However, activation of D1 receptors in the medial
prefrontal cortex (mPFC) is anxiolytic[24], underscoring the complex and region-specific nature of
dopaminergic control of anxiety. Yet, the topography of D1+ neurons in the insula, and whether
D1 receptor signaling in the anterior insula causally controls anxiety-related behaviors, remain
unknown. Here, combining anatomical mapping, pharmacology, optogenetics, *in vivo*
electrophysiology, as well as advanced computational methods, we identify a D1-dependent
mechanism by which dopamine shapes anterior insula neural dynamics for protective and risk-
assessment behaviors.
60
VTA dopamine neurons preferentially innervate the anterior over posterior insula
To dissect the dopaminergic innervation of the insular cortex, we performed both
retrograde and anterograde mapping of dopaminergic projections to the insula. First, we injected
Cholera Toxin B (CTB) retrograde tracers into the anterior and posterior insular cortex (aIC and
pIC, respectively) combined with tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) immunostaining to identify
dopaminergic neurons. We then quantified insula-projecting dopaminergic neurons (co-labeled
with TH and CTB) in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) as well as substantia nigra pars compacta
(SNc, **Fig. 1a-b and Extended Data Fig. 1a**). We found comparable numbers of VTA
dopaminergic neurons (VTA^{DA}) and SNc dopaminergic neurons (SNc^{DA}) projecting to the insula
overall (**Extended Data Fig. 1b**). However, we found more VTA^{DA} and SNc^{DA} neurons projecting
to the anterior insula compared to posterior insula (**Fig. 1c-d**). Next, we tested whether
dopaminergic innervation of the insula shows laminar specificity depending on its VTA or SNc
origin. To this end, we expressed eYFP or mCherry in a cre-dependent manner in the VTA or
SNc of DAT-cre mice (**Fig. 1e-f and Extended Data Fig. 1c**). Interestingly, we revealed a denser
axonal arborization from VTA^{DA} neurons in the anterior than the posterior insula. SNc^{DA} terminal
density was overall similar across cortical layers in both anterior and posterior insula, except for
the layer 6 with a higher density in the posterior insula, compared to anterior insula (**Fig. 1g-h
and Extended Data Fig. 1c-d**).
Given that VTA^{DA} neurons preferentially innervate the anterior over the posterior insula,
we tested whether optogenetic activation of VTA^{DA} neurons is sufficient to elicit dopamine signal
in the anterior insula. DAT-cre mice were injected with a viral vector expressing the red-shifted
opsin rsChRmine fused to oScarlet (or a control vector expressing mCherry) into the VTA,
together with a viral vector expressing the genetically-encoded dopamine sensor gDA3m[25,26] into
the anterior insula (**Fig. 1i**). Optogenetic activation of VTA^{DA} cell bodies increased dopamine
signals in the anterior insula compared to controls (**Fig. 1j-l** and **Extended Data Fig. 1e**),
demonstrating that VTA dopaminergic inputs can drive dopamine release in the anterior insula.

Fig. 1: Dopaminergic system architecture of the insular cortex.
a, Retrograde mapping of dopaminergic projections to the anterior (aIC) and posterior (pIC) insula, combined with Tyrosine Hydroxylase (TH) immunostaining. **b,** Confocal images of VTA^{DA} (left) and SNc^{DA} (right) neurons projecting to the insula. **c,** Higher number of VTA^{DA} neurons projecting to the aIC (*p=0.0297) relative to pIC (Main effect Region: $F_{1,16}$=4.91, *p=0.0415, Main effect Side: $F_{1,16}$=15.7, **p=0.0011, with a Region x Side interaction: $F_{1,16}$=4.44, *p=0.05). **d,** No difference in the number of SNc^{DA} neurons projecting to either aIC or pIC (Region: $F_{1,16}$=3.34, p=0.0863, Main effect Side: $F_{1,16}$=11, **p=0.0044, and no Region x Side interaction: $F_{1,16}$=1.57, p=0.2288). **e,** Anterograde mapping of dopaminergic projections to aIC and pIC. **f,** Confocal images of VTA^{DA} and VTA^{DA} axonal terminals in aIC and pIC. **g,** No layer specificity observed from VTA^{DA} terminals in both aIC and pIC (Main effect Region: $F_{1,104}$=8.87, **p=0.0036, Layer: $F_{3,104}$=0.175, p=0.9131, with no Region x Layer interaction: $F_{3,104}$=0.489, p=0.6909). **h,** SNc^{DA} terminals are denser in pIC layer 6 (**p=0.0012) compared to aIC (Region: $F_{1,72}$=2.17, p=0.1450, Layer: $F_{3,72}$=0.127, p=0.9440, with a Region x Layer interaction: $F_{3,72}$=3.19, *p=0.0288). **i,** Left: Viral approach to evoke dopamine signals in the aIC (hSyn-gDA3m) by optogenetic activation of VTA^{DA} neurons expressing rsChRmine-oScarlet (or the control vector expressing mCherry) in DAT-cre mice. Right: Representative fluorescence image of injection sites. **j,** Representative heatmap of an rsChRmine-expressing mouse showing z-scored dopamine signal in the aIC, aligned to the onset of light stimulation (20 Hz, 10 mW, 2s, 10 ms pulses). Trials were sorted based on dopamine signal activity during the laser stimulation period (0-2s). **k,** Averaged z-scored dopamine signal increased during laser stimulation for one rsChRmine-expressing mouse compared to baseline, while no change is observed for the mCherry-

expressing mouse. **l,** VTA[DA] cell bodies optogenetic stimulation of rsChRmine-expressing mice increases
dopamine signals in the aIC (**p=0.0062) compared to controls (Group: $F_{1,356}$=2.33, p=0.1278, Main effect
Period: $F_{1,356}$=4.22, *p=0.0407, with a Group x Period interaction: $F_{1,356}$=4.41, *p=0.0365). Quantification
panels for **j-l** panels: baseline window [-3s to -1s] and laser windows [0s to 2s]. **m,** DA signal in the aIC
decreases upon closed arm (CA) entries (*p=0.0108) and increases upon open arm (OA) entries
(***p=0.0005), with a stronger increase (***p<0.0001) at OA entry compared to CA entry in aIC (Space:
$F_{1,68}$=3.55, p=0.0638, Event: $F_{1,68}$=0.4605, p=0.4997, with a Space x Event interaction: $F_{1,68}$=27.15,
***p<0.0001). **n,** Although dopamine signal in the pIC at entries into open and closed follows similar
direction than in the aIC, no statistical difference was found between entries into both arms (Space:
$F_{1,36}$=0.5154, p=0.4774, Event: $F_{1,36}$=0.1555, p=0.6957, with no Space x Event interaction: $F_{1,36}$=1.783,
p=0.1902). Quantification windows for **m-n** panels: center (CT) window: [-3s to -1s] and arm entry window
[0s to 2s]. **o-p,** Averaged heatmaps (**o**) and quantification (**p**) of z-scored DA signal in aIC and pIC during
EPM exploration showed increased DA signal in open vs. closed arms in aIC (***p<0.0001) and pIC
(*p=0.0160), with a stronger signal in aIC (**p=0.0028) relative to pIC (**p,** Main effect Arm: $F_{1,52}$=92.6,
***p<0.0001, Region: $F_{1,52}$=0.35, p=0.5565, with an Arm x Region interaction: $F_{1,52}$=21.6, **p=0.0001).
a-d: aIC and pIC (n=5 mice). **e-h:** VTA (n=14 mice) and SNc (n=10 mice). **i-l:** rsChRmine (n=3 mice) and
mCherry (n=2 mice). **m-p**: aIC (n=18 mice) and pIC (n=10 mice). **c-d, g-h, l-n, p**: Two-way ANOVA followed
by Tukey's/Holm-Sidak's post hoc tests. Data are: mean ± SEM.

Dopamine signals are higher in the anterior insula at entry into and during exploration of exposed areas

To determine how anatomical differences of dopaminergic inputs to the insula translate
into dopamine dynamics during anxiety-related behaviors, we expressed the genetically-encoded
dopamine sensor dLight1.1[27] under the pan-neuronal hSyn promoter in either the anterior or
posterior insula (**Extended Data Fig. 1f**). We then recorded dopamine dynamics in the anterior
or posterior insula during the elevated-plus maze (EPM, **Fig 1m-p**, **Extended Data Fig. 1g-h**)
and the open field test (OFT, **Extended Data Fig. 1i-j**). Dopamine signals were time-locked to
entries into open (exposed) and closed (safe) arms in the EPM. In the anterior insula, dopamine
signals sharply decreased at entry into closed arms, and increased at entry into open arms
(**Fig. 1m**). A similar bidirectional response to safe versus exposed environments was observed in
the posterior insula, although with smaller amplitude (**Fig. 1n**). Moreover, dopamine signals were
higher in the anterior compared to posterior insula, when mice were located in the open arms of
the EPM(**Fig. 1o-p**), and similarly, when mice were in the center of the OFT (**Extended Data Fig.
1i-j**). However, the magnitude of open arm evoked dopamine signal did not correlate with the level
of anxiety in mice (estimated by the time mice spent in EPM open arms or OFT center, **Extended
Data Fig. 1k-l**). Importantly, these dopamine changes were not correlated with velocity in open
arms or OFT center (**Extended Data Fig. 1m-n**). Interestingly, dopamine transient frequency was
higher in the posterior compared to anterior insula in the EPM, but independently of whether mice
were in protected or exposed areas (**Extended Data Fig. 1o**). Together, these findings reveal
stronger dopamine signals in the anterior relative to posterior insula during exploration of exposed
environments, consistent with the preferential VTA dopaminergic innervation of the anterior
compared to posterior insula.

Dopamine signaling onto D1+ neurons in the anterior insula scales with anxiety levels

152   To parse the role of dopamine signaling in the insula, we examined the topography of
153   dopaminoceptive neurons across anterior and posterior subdivisions and cortical layers. We used
154   D1-cre and D2-cre mouse lines crossed with the Ai14 reporter line to generate double transgenic
155   mice expressing tdTomato selectively in D1+ or D2+ neurons, respectively (**Fig. 2a and

Extended Data Fig. 2a). We observed a higher density of D1+ neurons in the anterior compared to the posterior insula. Moreover, D1+ neuron density was more than sevenfold greater than D2+ neuron density in both anterior and posterior insula (**Fig. 2b**). Across cortical layers, D1+ neurons were particularly enriched in layer 2/3 of the anterior relative to the posterior insula. Conversely, D2+ neurons were equally abundant in the anterior and posterior insula (**Fig. 2c**). Although the absolute number of D1+ but not D2+ neurons was higher among excitatory than inhibitory neurons (**Extended Data Fig. 2b**), the proportion of D1+ and D2+ neurons within inhibitory populations was higher in both anterior and posterior insula (**Extended Data Fig. 2c**). To assess potential overlap between dopamine receptor subtypes, we performed fluorescent *in situ* hybridization and detected limited co-expression of *Drd1* and *Drd2* transcripts, with 10% of cells in the anterior insula and 6% in the posterior insula co-expressing both receptors (**Extended Data Fig. 2d-f**).

Given the divergent densities and distribution of D1+ and D2+ neurons in the insula, we next examined dopamine dynamics specifically in these neuronal subtypes during anxiety-related behaviors by selectively expressing the dopamine sensor gDA3m[25,26] in D1-cre or D2-cre mice. Because dopamine signals were higher in the anterior compared to posterior insula when mice explored exposed spaces, we performed recordings in the anterior insula (**Extended Data Fig. 2g**). Time-locked analyses during entry into EPM open arms revealed neuron subtype-specific effects. Indeed, dopamine preferentially targeted D1+ neurons at open arm entry, whereas this effect was not detected in D2+ neurons. Conversely, the decrease in dopamine signal at closed arm entry was significant only in D1+ neurons (**Extended Data Fig. 2h-i**).

When mice were in open arms of the EPM (**Fig. 2d-e**) or in the center of the OFT (**Fig. 2f-g**), dopamine signals onto both D1+ and D2+ anterior insula neurons increased. However, only the amplitude of dopamine signal measured in D1+ neurons during the exposure to open arms or OFT center was negatively correlated with the time spent in these exposed spaces (**Fig. 2h-i**). This indicates a selective link between the anxiety levels and the amount of dopamine released targeting D1 anterior insula neurons. We did not detect changes in dopamine transient frequency or amplitude in the anterior insula during the EPM (**Extended Data Fig. 2j-k**). Importantly, dopamine signals were not correlated with the velocity in the open arms or OFT center (**Extended Data Fig. 2l-m**), suggesting that these dopamine fluctuations reflect anxiety state rather than locomotor activity.

Previous studies have shown that glutamatergic neurons of the insula project to downstream regions involved in anxiety[2,28,29], including the basolateral amygdala (BLA), the bed nucleus stria terminalis and central amygdala nuclei. We therefore examined whether D1+ neurons in the anterior and posterior insula share similar downstream targets. Long-range synaptic tracing of D1 anterior and posterior insula neurons revealed strong projections to ipsilateral amygdala nuclei and to contralateral insula (**Extended Data Fig. 2n-q**), mirroring the projection patterns of glutamatergic insula neurons.

Overall, these findings demonstrate that the insular cortex, particularly its anterior subdivision, is enriched in D1+ neurons and that dopamine signaling onto D1+ anterior insula neurons scales with anxiety levels during exploration of exposed spaces, supporting the hypothesis of a D1-dependent regulation of anxiety in the anterior insula.

Fig. 2: Dopamine signal in the anterior insula encodes anxiety-related states.
a, Confocal images of tdTomato expression in D1+ and D2+ neurons across aIC layers. **b,** Density of dopaminoceptive neurons reveals a predominance of D1+ over D2+ neurons in aIC (***p<0.0001) and pIC (***p<0.0001), and a higher density of D1+ neurons in the aIC (*p=0.0480) relative to pIC (Main effect Receptor: $F_{1,40}$ = 134, ***p< 0.0001, Region: $F_{1,40}$=0.821, p=0.3703, with a Receptor x Region interaction: $F_{1,40}$=4.36, *p=0.0432). **c,** Laminar distribution of dopaminoceptive neurons shows an enrichment of D1+ neurons in the aIC layer 2/3 (***p<0.0001) compared to pIC (Main effect Receptor: $F_{1,152}$=203.3, ***p<0.0001, Region: $F_{1,152}$=1.113, p=0.2930, Main effect Layer: $F_{3,152}$=16.88, ***p<0.0001, with a Receptor x Region x Layer interaction : $F_{3,152}$=3.138, *p=0.0272). **d-e,** Averaged heatmaps (**d**) and quantification (**e**) of z-scored DA signal onto D1+ and D2+ aIC neurons in the EPM shows increased DA signal targeting both D1+ and D2+ neurons in open (vs. closed) arms of the EPM (Main effect Arm: $F_{1,36}$=48.9, ***p<0.0001, Receptor: $F_{1,36}$=0.695, p=0.41, with no Arm x Receptor interaction: $F_{1,36}$=0.414, p=0.5243). **f-g,** Averaged heatmaps (**f**) and quantification (**g**) of z-scored DA signal onto D1+ and D2+ aIC neurons in the OFT shows increased DA signals onto D1+ and D2+ aIC neurons in center (vs. borders) of the OFT (Main effect Zone: $F_{1,36}$=131, ***p<0.0001, Receptor: $F_{1,36}$=1.84, p=0.1833, with no Zone x Receptor interaction: $F_{1,36}$=2.90, p=0.0973). **h,** DA signal onto D1+ aIC neurons when mice are in open arms negatively correlates with the time spent in open arms (two-tailed Pearson's correlation, D1: R^2=0.71, ***p=0.0006; D2: R^2=0.1222, p=0.3960). **i,** DA signal onto D1+ aIC neurons when mice are in OFT center negatively correlates with the time spent in OFT center (two-tailed Pearson's correlation, D1: R^2=0.4596, *p=0.0156; D2: R^2=0.0183, p=0.7493). **a-c:** D1 (n=13 mice) and D2 (n=8 mice). **d-i:** aIC D1 (n=12 mice) et aIC D2 (n=8 mice). **b-c, e, g**: Two-way ANOVA (three-way in **c**) and Tukey's (or Holm-Sidak's) post hoc tests. Data are: mean ± SEM.

D1 receptor activation in the anterior insula bidirectionally controls anxiety

Based on the positive correlation between dopamine signaling onto D1+ anterior insula neurons and anxiety levels, and prior evidence supporting an anxiogenic role of the anterior insula[1,2], we tested whether D1 receptor activation promotes anxiety at both systemic and intra-anterior insula levels. First, we administered the selective D1 agonist SKF38393 or vehicle, intraperitoneally 30 minutes before behavioral testing (10 mg/kg, **Fig. 3a**). Systemic D1 activation reduced the time spent in the open arms of the EPM (**Fig. 3b-c**) and in the center of the OFT (**Fig. 3e-f**), without affecting total distance travelled in either test (**Fig. 3d,g**). To evaluate the impact of systemic D1 activation on neuronal activity, we performed cFOS immunostaining 90 minutes after intraperitoneal injection of SKF38393 or vehicle (**Fig. 3h**). Compared to vehicle, D1 activation increased the number of cFOS+ cells in both anterior and posterior insula, as well as and in the

nucleus accumbens (NAc), but not the BLA or S1 somatosensory cortex (**Fig. 3j**), Remarkably, the increase of cFOS+ cells was the highest in the anterior insula compared to the posterior insula and NAc. Collectively, our results indicate that systemic D1 activation increases anxiety-related behaviors, as well as an activation of anterior insula activity.

Fig. 3: D1 receptors of the anterior insula drive anxiety-related behaviors.
a, Systemic activation of D1 receptors. Mice received an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of vehicle or D1 agonist SKF38393 (SKF, 10 mg/kg) 30 minutes before EPM testing. **b-e,** Representative mice trajectory treated with vehicle or SKF38393 in the EPM (**b**) and OFT (**e**). **c-f,** SKF-treated mice spend less time in the open arms (**c,** two-tailed unpaired *t*-test, *t*=2.106, *p=0.0405) and OFT center (**f,** two-tailed unpaired *t*-test, *t*=2.811, **p=0.0097) compared to vehicle-treated mice. **d-g,** No impairment of distance travelled in the EPM (**d,** two-tailed unpaired *t*-test, *t*=0.1008, *p*=0.9201) and OFT (**g,** two-tailed unpaired *t*-test, *t*=1.042, *p*=0.3078) between vehicle- and SKF-treated mice. **h,** Vehicle- or SKF-treated mice were perfused 90 minutes later for cFOS immunostaining. **i,** Representative confocal images of cFOS expression in the aIC and pIC for vehicle- and SKF-treated mice. **j,** SKF38383 i.p. injection increases the proportion of cFOS+ cells in the aIC (***p<0.0001), pIC (***p=0.0008) and NAc (*p=0.0234) compared to vehicle, with a greater effect in the aIC compared to pIC (***p<0.0001), NAc (***p<0.0001), BLA (***p<0.0001) and S1 (***p<0.0001) (Main effect Region: $F_{4,103}$=34.2, ***p<0.0001, Main effect Group: $F_{1,103}$=49.2, ***p<0.0001, with a Region x Group interaction: $F_{4,103}$=6.54, ***p<0.0001). **k,** Design and histological verification of intra-aIC bilateral activation and blockade of D1. Mice received a bilateral intra-aIC infusion of vehicle, SKF38393

To directly probe the contribution of anterior insula D1 receptors to anxiety regulation, we locally manipulated D1 signaling. Mice were bilaterally implanted with cannulae targeting the anterior insula and received infusions of the D1 agonist SKF38393 (0.25 mg/mL, **Fig. 3k and Extended Data Fig. 3a**), the D1 antagonist SCH23390 (0.5 mg/mL), or vehicle. In line with systemic D1 activation, local activation of D1 receptors in the anterior insula decreased time spent in open arms, whereas D1 blockade in the anterior insula increased time spent in open arms (**Fig. 3l-m and Extended Data Fig. 3b-c**), without affecting total distance travelled (**Fig. 3n**).

To assess the impact of D1+ neuron activation to local neural dynamics in the anterior insula, we combined *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings and optogenetic activation of D1+ anterior insula neurons (**Fig. 3o-p**). A 1s train of optogenetic stimulation at 20 Hz increased the firing of 10% of anterior insula neurons, while around 20% were inhibited, relative to eYFP controls (**Fig. 3q and Extended Data Fig. 3d**). Importantly, the magnitude of excitation exceeded inhibition by more than fourfold (**Fig. 3r**), suggesting a net excitatory effect on anterior insula network activity. These observations are consistent with the activation of the anterior insula induced by systemic SKF38393 injection and measured with cFOS expression. Finally, we assessed the behavioral impact of selective activation of D1+ anterior insula neurons using bilateral optogenetic activation. Remarkably, photoactivation of D1 anterior insula neurons reduced time spent in open arms (**Fig. 3s-t**) compared to controls, without altering total distance travelled by the animals (**Fig. 3u and Extended Data Fig. 3e-g**). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that activation of D1 receptors in the anterior insula promotes anxiety-related behaviors, supporting a causal anxiogenic role of D1 signaling in this region.

Systemic D1 activation increases the proportion of anxiety- and salience-encoding units in the anterior insula

As systemic D1 activation increased anxiety-related behaviors and cFOS activation in the anterior insula, we sought to identify the impact of systemic D1 activation on neural coding of anxiety-related behaviors in this region. Thus, we conducted *in vivo* electrophysiology in the anterior insula of vehicle- and SKF38393-treated mice, which received systemic injections 30 minutes before EPM testing while being recorded (**Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 4a**). Consistent with observations in non-implanted mice (**Fig. 3c**), systemic D1 activation decreased open arm entries (**Fig. 4b**) and reduced time spent in open arms compared to vehicle-treated mice, without affecting closed arm entries or total distance travelled (**Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 4b**).

Fig. 4: Single-unit activity of anterior insula neurons in response to anxiety-like behaviors.
a, Extracellular recordings in the aIC using 16-channel electrodes in vehicle-treated (n=9, 397 units) and SKF38393-treated mice (n=9, 309 units). A 10-min baseline was recorded prior to i.p. injection. Representative histological confirmation of the electrode placement (top) and spike sorting representation from one mouse (bottom). **b,** SKF-treated mice perform less entries (one-tailed *t*-test, *t*=1.870, *p=0.0399) and spend less time in open arms (two-tailed *t*-test, *t*=3.144 **p=0.0063) and do less head dips (two-tailed *t*-test, *t*=2.856, *p=0.0114) compared to vehicle. **c,** Heatmaps of *z*-scored peri-event firing rate aligned to closed arm (CA) entry, open arm (OA) entry and head dips (HD) in open arms, in vehicle and SKF groups. Baseline window: [-3s to -1s]. **d,** Population z-scored firing rate of vehicle- and SKF-treated mice aligned to CA entry, OA entry and HD revealed a trend to increased firing rate at CA entry (*p*=0.0601), and decreased firing rate at HD (*p=0.0214) following D1 activation, relative to vehicle (two-way ANOVA: Main effect Trial type: $F_{2,2112}$=6.61, **p=0.0014, Main effect Group: $F_{1,2112}$=0.176, *p*=0.6753, with a Region x Group interaction: $F_{2,2112}$=4.38, *p=0.0126). **e,** Proportions of 'excited', 'inhibited', and 'non-responding' units across trial types and groups. Generalized linear mixed models were performed on the proportion of excited, inhibited and non-responding units to assess the effects of trial type and group on unit class proportions. For excited units, in vehicle mice, the proportion of excited units was higher during HD compared to CA entry (*p=0.028), and compared to OA entry (*p=0.020). D1 activation tended to decrease the proportion of excited units during HD (*p*=0.059). No difference was observed for inhibited and non-responding units. **f,** Higher excited over inhibited ratio during HD relative to OA entry (*p=0.0394) and CA entry (*p=0.0421) in vehicle condition (one-way ANOVA, $F_{2,24}$=8.206, *p=0.0222). No change observed

following SKF injection (one-way ANOVA, $F_{4,40}$=4.271, p=0.0685). **g,** Sankey diagrams showing transitions of units activity between CA and OA entry in vehicle and SKF mice. Units were classified as 'excited' (red), 'inhibited' (blue), or 'neutral' (gray) to CA entry and OA entry. Violet links indicate stable transitions (same), black links opposite transitions, and other links are colored by the transitional state. The width of each link is proportional to the percentage of units. **h,** Distribution of four outcome categories of unit response to CA entry and OA entry between Vehicle and SKF groups using a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (F=4.839, *p=0.038). Among unit classes, systemic D1 activation increases the proportion of 'opposite' units (*p=0.0489), 'same' units (*p=0.0264) and decreases the proportion of 'selective' units (*p=0.0442), relative to vehicle. Data are: mean ± SEM.

To dissect finer behavioral alterations, we used machine-learning-based tracking and supervised classification in the EPM using DeepLabCut[30] and deepOF[31]. We extracted sniffing, climbing (against the closed walls), immobility, scanning (immobile environmental scanning with active head/nose movements), locomotion, instantaneous velocity and head dips. Head dips are discrete behavioral events in which mice extend their head beyond the open arm borders, and are a classical measure of risk assessment thought to be highly anxiogenic[32]. Systemic D1 activation reduced both the number of head dips and the time spent head-dipping (**Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 4c-d**), whereas other quantified behaviors were unchanged **(Extended Data Fig. 4c-d)**, indicating that systemic D1 activation primarily affected anxiety-related behaviors rather than exploration, locomotion or arousal.

To assess anterior insula neural correlates of anxiety-related behaviors in the EPM, we aligned single-unit activity to three relevant behaviors: the entry to closed arms, the entry to open arms, and head dips in open arms (397 and 309 units for vehicle and SKF mice, respectively, **Fig. 4c**). In vehicle-treated mice, the average firing rate across recorded units after the onset of the three behavior types revealed that, firing rate was higher during head dips than at entries to either open or closed arms (**Fig. 4d**). On the contrary, SKF-treated mice did not exhibit significant differences in population firing rates across these behaviors. Notably, SKF treatment markedly decreased the firing rate during head dips and tended to increase it at closed arm entries (**Fig. 4d and Extended Data Fig. 4h**).

For each behavior, units were then classified as excited, inhibited or non-responding. Under vehicle conditions, the proportion of excited units was higher during head dips than at entries into open or closed arms (**Fig. 4e**). Systemic D1 activation did not alter these proportions, although a trend toward fewer excited units during head dips was observed in SKF-treated mice (**Fig. 4e**). In vehicle-treated mice, the excited-to-inhibited unit (E/I) ratio increased during head dips relative to both closed and open arm entries. After systemic D1 activation, the E/I ratio was similar across behaviors (**Fig. 4f**). We next categorized units into five classes based on their response to entry into closed and open arms: [1] same units, exhibiting similar activity at both arm types entry, [2] opposite units, excited at one entry and inhibited at the other, [3] excited-only units, excited at the entry of only one arm type, [4] inhibited-only units, inhibited at the entry of only one arm-type, and finally [5] non-responding units which have stable firing rates at the entrance of either arm type (**Fig. 4g**). The two largest classes were same units (~37% and ~43% for vehicle and SKF groups, respectively) and opposite units (~28% and ~30% for vehicle and SKF groups, respectively, **Fig. 4h and Extended Data Fig. 4i**). Systemic D1 activation increased the proportions of units with same and opposite responses at closed and open arm entries, and decreased the proportion of units with a selective response to one arm-type entry (**Fig. 4h**). When units were categorized by their response to open arm entry and head dips, or closed arm entry

and head dips, systemic D1 activation did not alter the proportions of each category (**Extended Data Fig. 4j-k**).

Together, these results support a mixed selectivity among anterior insula neurons and indicate that systemic D1 activation reduces the number of selective units, while increasing the number of units with the same or opposite responses to entries into exposed versus safe zones. This reorganization suggests that systemic D1 activation increases the fraction of units encoding salience ('same units') and of units encoding anxiety-related behaviors ('opposite units').

Systemic D1 activation disrupts anterior insula population dynamics during anxiety-related behaviors

Our single-unit analyses show that anterior insula population activity is increased during risk-assessment behaviors (**Fig. 4d-f**) and that systemic D1 activation alters the proportion of units responding to anxiety-related behaviors (**Fig. 4g-h**). Yet these observations do not establish how anterior insula neurons encode anxiety-related behaviors. To address this, we analyzed neural population activity in the anterior insula using principal component analysis (PCA) during anxiety-related behaviors (**Fig. 5a**). We constructed 250 ms population activity vectors across 6 seconds windows centered on anxiogenic behaviors (open arm entry and head dips) or protected behaviors (closed arm entry) in vehicle- and SKF-treated mice. In both groups, neural trajectory lengths computed in the first three principal components (PCs) were all longer than those obtained from shuffled data (**Fig. 5b-c**) and explaining between 8% and 14% of the variance (**Extended Data Fig. 5a**). In vehicle-treated mice, neural trajectory lengths were longer for anxiogenic behaviors (open arm entry < head dips) than for closed arm entry (black stars in **Fig. 5b-c and Extended Data Fig. 5b**), indicating more dynamic population coding of anxiogenic behaviors. Following D1 activation, this pattern was inverted: the neural trajectory was longest at closed arm entry and shortest around head dip (blue stars in **Fig. 5b-c and Extended Data Fig. 5b**). Consistently with this opposite pattern of neural dynamics in vehicle- and SKF-treated mice, neural trajectories were longer for closed arm entry and shorter for head dip, in SKF- compared to vehicle-treated mice (grey stars in **Fig. 5b-c and Extended Data Fig. 5b**).

To directly quantify how anterior insula population activity encodes anxiety-related behaviors, we trained a linear support vector machine (SVM) classifier. The decoder was trained on 60% of the data and tested on the remaining 40% to distinguish between open arm entry, closed arm entry and head dips (Methods, **Fig. 5d**). For shuffled datasets, decoding performance remained at chance level (**Fig. 5e-f and Extended Data Fig. 5c-d**). In vehicle-treated mice, the accuracy to decode open and closed arm entries reached 62.6%, similar to the accuracy to decode closed arm entry and head dips (61.7%) while the accuracy to decode open arm entry vs head dips was lower (59.5%, black stars, **Fig. 5e-f**). Systemic D1 activation reduced the accuracies to decode open and closed arm entries, and to decode open arm entry and head dips (**Fig. 5e-f**). Finally, when decoding all three behaviors simultaneously, decoding accuracy in vehicle mice was 11% higher than chance, which was decreased to 7.2% higher than chance following D1 activation (**Extended Data Fig. 5c-d**). Together, PCA and decoding analyses indicate that under control conditions, anterior insula coding is more dynamic for risk-assessment behaviors. Systemic D1 activation increases neural dynamics for entries to a safe space, while decreasing neural dynamics of risk assessment, leading to a reduction of information content (**Extended Data Fig. 7d**).

Fig. 5: Anterior insula activity reveals coding specificity for risk-assessment behaviors.
a, Population vectors (250 ms bins) contain spike counts of recorded aIC neurons for each trial. Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to firing patterns across closed arm (CA) entry, open arm (OA), and head dips (HD) across 6 second behavioral snippets. **b,** Neural trajectories (Shuffle vs Data) for the three trial types projected onto the first three principal components explaining 17.37% (vehicle) and 17.18% (SKF) of the total variance (vehicle: PC1 = 7.83%, PC2 = 6.56% and PC3 = 2.98%; SKF: PC1 = 8%, PC2 = 5.89% and PC3 = 3.29%). a.u.: arbitrary units. **c,** For vehicle-treated mice, neural trajectories were longer for OA entry (***$p<0.0001$) and HD (***$p<0.0001$) compared to CA entry. In SKF-treated mice, neural trajectories were longer for CA entry (***$p<0.0001$) and shorter for HD (***$p<0.0001$), while no change was observed for OA entry. Neural trajectories from real data were statistically different from Shuffle. (3-way ANOVA: Main effect Event: $F_{2,96}$=12.57, ***$p<0.0001$, Main effect Data type: $F_{2,96}$=3329, ***$p<0.0001$, Main effect Group: $F_{2,96}$=11.68, ***$p=0.0009$, with an Event x Data type x Group interaction: $F_{2,96}$=80.66, ***$p<0.0001$). **d,** Illustration of the Simple Vector Machine (SVM) classifier to decode the activity of each behavior at a given time point. **e,** Temporal evolution of the SVM decoding accuracy from Shuffle and Data in vehicle and SKF mice for OA entry vs. CA entry (left), OA entry vs. Head dip (middle) and Head dip vs. CA entry (right). The dashed line represents the chance level. The thick line represents the permutation test performed on each bin during 1000 iterations (*$p<0.05$). **f,** Mean of the SVM performances from the onset of the event (0 to 3s) between vehicle and SKF, showing a decreased accuracy to decode between CA and OA entries in SKF-treated mice (***$p<0.0001$) and OA entries and head dips (***$p<0.0001$), while no change of decoding accuracy was observed between head dip vs CA entry, relative to vehicle (2-way ANOVA: Main effect Group: $F_{1,36}$=47.9, ***$p<0.0001$, Main effect Data type: $F_{1,36}$=63.6, ***$p<0.0001$, with a Group x Data type interaction: $F_{1,36}$=39.5, ***$p<0.0001$). Data are: mean ± SEM.

Non-linear dimensionality reduction reveals that systemic D1 activation alters neural representations of anxiety-related behaviors and spaces in the anterior insula

Linear dimensionality reduction using PCA indicated that anterior insula population activity differentiates protected and anxiogenic behaviors, although the first three PCs explained only a modest fraction of total variance. To further probe nonlinear structure in population activity, we applied the recently developed machine-learning algorithm, CEBRA[33] (**Fig. 6a** and Methods) and trained it solely on single-unit spiking (CEBRA-Time model). Embeddings were computed in a three-dimensional latent space from 50 ms binned activity, and behavioral labels were overlaid

post hoc. To quantify how anxiety-related information is organized in the latent space, we computed two complementary metrics on CEBRA latent clusters: the barycenter distance, measuring the separation between cluster centroids, and the Shannon entropy, quantifying how compact or dispersed a cluster is (**Fig. 6a**, see Methods for details).

We first applied the CEBRA-Time to neural snippets of anterior insula neural activity aligned to behaviors (**Extended Data Fig. 6a**). In vehicle-treated mice, three clearly separated latent clusters corresponding to closed arm entry, open arm entry and head dips, indicate distinct population codes for protective (closed arm entry) and risk-assessment behaviors (open arm entry and head dips). Strikingly, following systemic D1 activation, latent embedding structure was completely reorganized, with substantial overlap between closed arm entry and open arm entry clusters (**Fig. 6b**). Accordingly, D1 activation nearly abolished the cluster separation between closed and open arm entries, as reflected by a barycenter distance close to zero. On the contrary, systemic D1 activation increased the separation between the head dip cluster and both open and closed arm entry clusters (**Fig. 6c**). Interestingly, systemic D1 activation also reduced the entropy of closed and open arm entry clusters, and of the head dip cluster (**Fig. 6d**). While cluster distances were independent of anxiety levels, estimated by time spent in open arms (**Extended Data Fig. 6b**), closed arm entry cluster entropy positively correlated with anxiety levels in mice with or without D1 activation (**Fig. 6e**). However, when pooling mice of both groups, the entropy of open arm entry and head-dip clusters were positively correlated with the time mice spent in the open arm, meaning that the more anxious mice were the lower the entropy of those cluster was (**Extended Data Fig. 6c**).

Finally, SVM decoding of the three behaviors yielded accuracies above 95% in vehicle mice, which decreased of 30% after D1 activation (**Fig. 6f**). Similar results were obtained using k-nearest neighbors (kNN) decoding (**Extended Data Fig. 6d**), supporting the hypothesis that D1 activation disrupts anxiety-associated representations in the anterior insula. Altogether, CEBRA-Time analysis of behavioral snippets reveal that D1 activation strongly alters neural population dynamics during anxiety-related behaviors, by both blurring the distinction between neural codes of protective and risk-assessment behaviors, and by magnifying the segregation of these codes and the one of high risk-taking behavior.

As behavioral snippets only capture a portion of the neural activity rather than representing the full recording, we next applied CEBRA-Time on the entire EPM recording. When latent embeddings were color-coded by mouse position, we observed segregated clusters depending on the mouse position in safe and exposed spaces (**Fig. 6g** and **Extended Data Fig. 6e-f**). The distance between open and closed arm clusters did not change after systemic D1 activation (**Fig. 6h**), and this distance was independent of the level of anxiety in both vehicle- and SKF-treated mice (**Extended Data Fig. 6i**). Interestingly, systemic D1 activation increased the entropy of the closed arm cluster, while decreasing the entropy of the open arm cluster (**Fig. 6i**). Importantly, matching the number of open arm and closed arm positions yielded similar entropy values, confirming that the increased dispersion of the closed arm cluster is independent of the increased time spent in closed arms induced by systemic D1 activation (**Extended Data Fig. 6j**). Moreover, the open arm cluster entropy correlated negatively with anxiety levels of SKF-treated mice (**Fig. 6j**). This correlation was also present when combining vehicle- and SKF-treated mice, indicating that more anxious mice exhibit sharper, more consistent representations of open arm occupancy in the anterior insula (**Extended Data Fig. 6j**).

Finally, high SVM decoding accuracy for closed arm versus open arm in vehicle mice was
decreased in SKF-treated mice (**Fig. 6k,** similar to kNN decoding in **Extended Data Fig. 6k**),
supporting that systemic D1 activation decreases the information content of neural firing in an
anxiogenic environment (**Extended Data Fig. 7d**).

Together, these complementary metrics of CEBRA latent embeddings reveal neural
features of anxiety in anterior insula population activity. At the individual behavior level, D1
activation disrupts latent representations of entries into safe and exposed compartments. At the
space level, coding reliability for open arm scales with anxiety levels. Shannon entropy and
barycenter distance thus provide quantitative metrics demonstrating that systemic D1 activation
reshapes anterior insula population code for anxiety across event-based and continuous
timescales.

Fig. 6: Non-linear dimensionality reduction reveals hidden features of anxiety in the anterior insula.
a, CEBRA pipeline applied to aIC single-units. Population spike trains were binned (50 ms) and projected
into a low-dimensional embedding space using contrastive learning. **b,** Representative CEBRA
embeddings for vehicle- and SKF-treated mice representing behavioral snippets. Latent embeddings were
plotted in the first three latent spaces and post hoc color coded by trial types (CA entry: black, OA entry:
red and head dips (HD): orange). **c,** Barycenter distance of different clusters for vehicle and SKF groups.
D1 activation decreased (***$p<0.0001$) the distance between OA entry and CA entry clusters, increased
(***$p<0.0001$) the distance between OA entry and head dip clusters, and increased (***$p<0.0001$) the
distance between CA entry and head dip clusters (Two-way ANOVA: Main effect Snippets: $F_{2,48}=468$,
***$p<0.0001$, Main effect Group: $F_{1,48}=48.9$, ***$p<0.0001$, with a Snippets x Group interaction: $F_{2,48}=236$,
***$p<0.0001$). **d,** D1 activation decreased the Shannon entropy of all clusters without specific changes
within groups (Two-way ANOVA: Snippets: $F_{2,48}=2.93$, $p=0.0629$, Main effect Group: $F_{1,48}=141$,
***$p<0.0001$, with no interaction). **e,** For CA entry latent cluster only, its entropy negatively correlates with

the time spent in open arms in vehicle-treated mice (two-tailed Pearson correlation: R^2=0.56, *p=0.021), and correlates negatively even more following D1 activation (two-tailed Pearson correlation: R^2=0.78, **p=0.0016). No difference was observed for OA entry and HD latent clusters (two-tailed Pearson correlations). **f,** A SVM classifier reached above 95% of accuracy decoding between the three trial types in vehicle group (***p<0.0001), while the decoding decreased to 65% of decoding accuracy (***p<0.0001) following SKF injection (Two-way ANOVA: Main effect Data type: $F_{1,32}$=14.8, ***p<0.0001, Main effect Group: $F_{1,32}$=484, ***p<0.0001, with a Data type x Group interaction: $F_{1,32}$=4.95, *p=0.0333). **g,** Representative CEBRA embeddings for vehicle- and SKF-treated mice representing the continuous EPM recording. Latent embeddings were plotted in the first three latent spaces and post hoc color coded with the mouse position in the EPM (CA: black, OA: red). **h,** No change of barycenter distance between OA and CA latent clusters following D1 activation (two-tailed t-test, t=1.033, p=0.3170). **i,** Shannon entropy increased for CA latent cluster (*p=0.0462) but decreased for OA latent cluster (*p=0.0133) after SKF injection compared to vehicle (Two-way ANOVA: Main effect Arm: $F_{1,16}$=60.3, ***p<0.0001, Group: $F_{1,16}$=0.152, p=0.7015, with an Arm x Group interaction: $F_{1,16}$=10.8, **p=0.0046). **j,** Shannon entropy for the CA latent cluster did not correlate with the time spent in open arms in both vehicle and SKF groups (two-tailed Pearson correlation, vehicle: R^2=0.05, p=0.5608, SKF: R^2=0.04, p=0.6147). For the OA latent cluster, only after D1 activation that the OA latent cluster entropy correlates with the time mice spent in open arms (two-tailed Pearson correlation, vehicle: R^2=0.24, p=0.1818, SKF: R^2=0.90, ***p=0.0001). **k ,** SVM decoding of OA vs. CA position achieved above 90% accuracy in vehicle mice but decreased to 75% (***p<0.0001) after SKF injection (two-way ANOVA: Main effect Data type: $F_{1,32}$=14.8, ***p=0.0005, Main effect Group: $F_{1,32}$=484, ***p<0.0001, with a Data type x Group interaction: $F_{1,32}$=4.95, *p=0.0333). **c-d, f, i, k**: Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc tests were performed. Data are: mean ± SEM.

Discussion

The anterior insula and dopaminergic transmission both regulate anxiety in humans and in preclinical models, yet the dopaminergic impact on anterior insula function has remained unknown. Using a multi-faceted approach, we identify a D1-dependent mechanism by which dopamine shapes anterior insula neural dynamics for protective and risk-assessment behaviors.

VTA dopaminergic innervation of the anterior insula

We found that both anterior and posterior insula receive dopaminergic inputs from the VTA and SNc, extending previous work showing both nuclei innervate the anterior insula[34]. Additionally, we show that VTA[DA] neurons preferentially project to the anterior insula, whereas SNc[DA] terminals are more uniformly distributed in the rostro-caudal axis of the insula, except in layer 6 where they are denser in the posterior insula. Our findings are consistent with the broader view that midbrain dopamine neurons are organized into projection-defined subpopulations with distinct input-output connections and behavioral roles[35,36]. While SNc[DA] neurons classically regulate sensorimotor processes via striatal targets[37], VTA[DA] neurons are critical for processing both positive and negative valence[38–40], including anxiety[41]. In this context, the preferential VTA[DA] innervation of the anterior compared to posterior insula, combined with the established anxiogenic role of the anterior insula[1,2], supports the idea that dopamine released from VTA[DA] neurons could be a key contributor to anterior insula functions in anxiety.

Topography of D1 and D2 neurons of the anterior insula

We showed that D1+ neurons outnumber D2+ neurons in both anterior and posterior insula, consistent with human[42] and preclinical studies[23]. Along the rostro-caudal axis, we identified a higher density of D1+ neurons in the anterior compared to the posterior insula, suggesting a prominent influence of D1 modulation in the anterior insula. Along the medio-lateral axis, unlike in the mPFC where D1+ neurons are enriched in deeper layers[43–45], in the anterior

insula, D1+ neurons are preferentially located in layer 2/3. This distribution suggests a specialization for modulating cortico-cortical circuits and indirectly shaping layer 5 projection neurons[46]. Although the proportion of D1+ or D2+ neurons is higher among inhibitory neurons, as reported in the mPFC[44,47], in absolute numbers D1+ excitatory and D2+ excitatory neurons are more than threefold more numerous than D1+ inhibitory and D2+ inhibitory neurons. This indicates that glutamatergic neurons represent the primary target of D1 control in the insula. Hence, the very limited *Drd1/Drd2* co-expression (<10%) supports largely segregated D1 and D2 circuits, reminiscent of the functional dichotomy described in the mPFC[48], ventral hippocampus[6], and striatum[49–51]. Finally, long-range mapping of anterior and posterior insula D1+ neurons revealed dense projections to ipsilateral amygdala nuclei and contralateral insula, closely mirroring the projection pattern of insula glutamatergic neurons[2,29]. This consistency supports the existence of D1 projection-defined subpopulations that may differentially contribute to anxiety control, with D1 anterior insula-BLA neurons being strong candidates given the established role of anterior insula-BLA neurons in anxiety[2]. Future work will delineate the contribution of selective anterior insula D1 circuits in encoding anxiety states.

Brain region and cell-type selectivity of dopaminergic modulation

Dopamine signaling is region-specific and modulates a wide range of behaviors[52]. Only two previous studies have reported increased dopamine signals during exploration of anxiogenic environments, recorded in the ventral hippocampus[6] and in the interpeduncular nucleus[53]. Here, we report for the first time increased dopamine signals in the insula during exposure to exposed spaces, with stronger signals in the anterior insula relative to the posterior insula. This presence of increased dopamine signals in exposed areas, across multiple brain regions, supports a model in which dopamine acts a key modulator of a distributed anxiety network, integrating both anterior and posterior insula.

Importantly, although dopamine targeted both D1+ and D2+ anterior insula neurons during exposure to exposed spaces, dopamine signals preferentially increased onto D1+ neurons upon entry of these exposed spaces. Consistently, only dopamine signaling onto D1+ neurons of the anterior insula scaled with the level of anxiety of mice. These findings suggest that D1+ neurons of the anterior insula encode anxiety magnitude while D2+ neurons may preferentially signal contextual or state-dependent aspects of anxiety. Together, these results indicate that D1+ and D2+ anterior insula neurons encode distinct components of anxiety, potentially mediated by differential dopaminergic inputs, such as distinct sources (VTA versus SNc) and/or divergent laminar projections preferentially targeting D1+ and D2+ neurons.

Systemic and insula D1 activation increase anxiety-related behaviors

We repeatedly found that systemic D1 activation elicited robust anxiogenic effects, as recently published in adolescent mice[54]. Conversely, a seminal study had found that systemic D1 blockade produce anxiolytic effects as shown by increased head-dipping in mice[55]. In line with these previous studies, our findings reveal a systemic and bidirectional D1-dependent control of anxiety. Furthermore, systemic D1 agonism induced neural activation in the anterior and posterior insula, as well as NAc, consistent with other studies reporting activation in NAc and PVN[56,57]. Surprisingly, BLA activation remained unchanged, despite high D1 expression[58] and previously described anxiogenic influence of D1 in this region[4], suggesting that BLA recruitment might be

more transient or context-dependent. Importantly, we observed the highest neural activation in the anterior insula, pinpointing this insula section as a crucial actor of systemic D1-mediated anxiogenesis. We further demonstrated using local D1 activation/blockade or optogenetic D1 neuron activation, that both D1 receptors and D1+ neurons of the anterior insula have anxiogenic properties. Optogenetic activation of D1+ neurons increased anxiety, consistent with recent findings in the ventral hippocampus[6]. However, this contrasts with studies showing that D1 blockade in the dorsal hippocampus[59] or ventral pallidum[60] leads to increased or unchanged anxiety, respectively. Moreover, optogenetic activation of D1+ neurons in the VTA has been reported to decrease anxiety[9]. These region-specific effects (**Extended Data Fig. 7c**) underline that behavioral outcomes critically depend on which dopaminoceptive circuit is engaged (**Extended Data Fig. 7c**). Integrating our behavioral, neural activation, pharmacological and optogenetic observations with previous findings, we propose that systemic administration of a selective D1 agonist engages a distributed network of which the anterior insula is a major target. While some of the behavioral effects following systemic injection may be mediated by D1 activation outside the insula, it is reasonable to infer that D1 activation within the anterior insula strongly contributes to anxiogenic effects induced by systemic administration of a D1 agonist.

Systemic D1 activation modulates anterior insula single-unit responses to anxiety

Anterior insula neurons form a heterogeneous pool distributed across response types during protective and risk-assessment behaviors, as reported in a stress-related paradigm[20]. Systemic D1 activation increased the proportion of the two most prominent pools of neurons responding to those three behaviors (closed arm entry, open arm entry, head dip). Specifically, D1 activation increased the number of units exhibiting either similar responses across entries to both safe and exposed spaces, or opposite responses to these contexts, suggesting additional recruitment of salience-encoding and anxiety-encoding units, respectively. Interestingly, more than twice more extra salience-encoding units were recruited after systemic D1 activation compared to extra anxiety-encoding units. In parallel, decoding accuracy for entries into safe or exposed spaces decreased following systemic D1 activation, indicating that population activity in the anterior insula during these behaviors becomes less discriminable. We hypothesize that the enhanced number of salience-encoding units could partially mask information from anxiety-encoding units that distinguish protective from risk-assessment behaviors, reducing the clarity of the neural representation and contributing to an overall increase in anxiety.

Nonlinear methods to dissect neural population dynamics

Principal component analysis of anterior insula activity allowed us to visualize variability in population firing constructing neural trajectories computed across all mice. In contrast, the non-linear model CEBRA-Time[33] preserved inter-individual information in population coding, enabling direct comparisons across individuals. Compared to previous CEBRA applications[61,62], our approach focuses on the intrinsic structure of population dynamics without using behavioral information[63]. After representing the neural embeddings of each mouse in the latent space, we then overlaid, post hoc, in which behavioral state or physical location mice were for each analyzed time bin. Interestingly, this approach revealed that time bins of anterior insula activity organized into distinct latent clusters, each containing bins of activity sharing similar firing structure, as well as behavioral features. We further extended this data representation by introducing explicit

quantitative metrics of latent clusters geometry: the Shannon entropy and barycenter distance.
The Shannon entropy captures how compact or dispersed a latent cluster is, which is a proxy for
the coding variability of the associated behavioral state. The barycenter distance measures
separation between two latent clusters, estimating the neural divergence of pairs of behavioral
states. These metrics open avenues to quantify neural codes of virtually any type of behavior,
emotional state, sensory perception or motor action. Together, PCA and CEBRA offer
complementary tools to quantify neural population dynamics at mouse populations and inter-
individual levels, respectively.
668
Systemic D1 activation reorganizes anterior insula firing geometry of anxiety-related behaviors
and spaces
Under control conditions, high neural decoding accuracy of CEBRA in differentiating
risk-assessment and protective behaviors, as well as exposed and protected spaces, supports
the hypothesis that the anterior insula generates distinct population codes for high and low anxiety
states. Notably, systemic D1 activation profoundly reorganizes the latent geometry of anterior
insula activity. Beyond inducing a general decrease in coding variability for the three behaviors
analyzed, it markedly reduced the distance between clusters associated with entries into safe or
exposed areas, behaviors which share similar sensorimotor components, yet differ in inferred
threat value. In addition, in both control and D1-activated mice, higher anxiety was associated
with larger coding variability within the cluster linked to the entry into protected spaces. This
suggests that anterior insula geometry tracks individual differences in avoidant behaviors.
Interestingly, systemic D1 activation also induced opposite effects on coding variability for
protected and exposed spaces. Specifically, it increased the variability of safe spaces
representation, while increasing the reliability of anxiogenic spaces representation. Notably,
systemic D1 activation installed a positive correlation between anxiety levels and reliability of
exposed spaces representation, indicating that coding reliability of anxiogenic contexts scales
with the level of anxiety in mice. This aligns with the idea that dopamine through D1 can modulate
threat valuation and the assignment of negative value to cues and contexts[64–67].
Finally, consistent with linear decoding analyses, systemic D1 activation also markedly
reduced the accuracy to decode entries to safe and exposed spaces, and to decode those spaces,
using a non-linear approach. Altogether, these reduced decoding accuracies supports the idea
that D1 signaling reduces neural discrimination of contextual value (safety vs. threat) under similar
sensorimotor features. In this framework, D1 activation would blur the population activity patterns
that normally distinguish safe from exposed contexts, impairing the anterior insula ability to
appropriately differentiate safety from threat representations, which could in turn increase anxiety.
695
Conclusions
In summary, we provide the first evidence of a D1-mediated control of anxiety in which the
anterior insula plays a crucial role. This key brain structure receives dopaminergic innervation
preferentially from the VTA and expresses a higher proportion of D1+ neurons than other insular
territories. Anterior insula D1 modulations induced a bidirectional control over anxiety, with
activations of D1 receptors or D1+ neurons both increasing anxiety-related behaviors. At the
coding level, systemic D1 activation dampens the coding dichotomy between protective and risk-
assessment behaviors which share similar sensorimotor components, as well as between safe
and exposed contexts. We hypothesize that this alteration of anterior insula coding selectivity

could blur the mental delineation between threat and safety, which might contribute to the observed increased anxiety. In parallel, systemic D1 activation linked the coding reliability of exposed spaces to mice level of anxiety, suggesting a direct contribution of anterior insula D1 activation to neural coding of both anxiety-related behaviors as well as anxiety levels. Finally, our work lays out an innovative approach using nonlinear deep learning methods to dissect neural population dynamics underlying specific behaviors and mental states. By defining how dopamine modulates insula control of anxiety in mice, our work will serve as a starting point to understand how dopaminergic modulation contributes to anxiety disorders.

Methods

Animals and housing conditions. Adult male and female mice, at least 10 weeks old, were used for all experiments. The cohorts included wild-type C57Bl/6J mice, transgenic D1-cre (Tg(Drd1a-cre)AGsc/KndlJ, JAX 030329), DrD2-cre (Tg(DrD2-cre)ER44Gsat, MMRRC 32108), D1-cre*Ai14, D2-cre*Ai14, and DAT-cre mice (B6.SJL-Slc6a3tm1.1(cre)Bkmn/J, JAX 006660). Animals were maintained under controlled temperature and humidity on a reversed 12 h light/dark cycle, with ad libitum access to food and water. All housing, treatments, and experimental procedures complied with French governmental regulations and were approved by the local ethical committee and the Ministry of Education, Research, and Innovation (APAFIS #30247), following European Communities Council Directives.

Stereotaxic surgeries. Before surgery, mice received Metacam (5 mg/kg) and all procedures were performed under aseptic conditions. Anesthesia was induced with isoflurane (5%) and maintained at 1.5-2% in a stereotaxic frame, with body temperature controlled using a heating pad. Postoperative health and weight were monitored for three days. Retrograde tracers or viral vectors were delivered by intracranial injection using glass micropipettes (3-000-203-G/X, Drummond Scientific) pulled with a PC-100 puller (Narishige). Injections were made at a rate of 1 nL.s^{-1} with a Nanoliter 2020 injector (WPI). After injection, the pipette was left in place for 5 minutes to facilitate diffusion before being slowly withdrawn, after which the skin was sutured. Following surgery, mice were kept under a heat lamp until they fully recovered from anesthesia and then returned to their home cages. Animals were allowed 1 week for retrograde tracer transport or 3-5 weeks for viral expression before experiments.

Dopaminergic inputs to the insular cortex were mapped using complementary tracing strategies. Retrograde tracers (CTB conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 or 555) were injected into either the anterior or posterior insular cortex (aIC or pIC, counterbalanced). In DAT-cre mice, anterograde labeling was achieved by injecting Cre-dependent AAV$_9$ vectors encoding eYFP (AAV$_9$-EF1α-DIO-eYFP) or mCherry (AAV$_9$-EF1α-DIO-mCherry) into the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc).

For quantification of synaptic contacts in D1 insula neurons, D1-cre mice received injections of a 1:2 mixture of AAV$_9$-EF1α-DIO-eYFP and AAV$_{8/2}$-EF1α-DIO-Synaptophysin-mCherry into the aIC and pIC.

For combined optogenetic manipulations and fiber-photometry recordings of dopamine signal, DAT-cre mice received AAV$_9$-hSyn-gDA3m (green GRAB-DA3m) injections into the aIC, and AAV$_8$-EF1a-DIO-rsChRmine-oScarlet (or a control vector, AAV$_9$-hSyn-DIO-mCherry) into the

VTA. An optical fiber (400 µm diameter, NA 0.39, >90% efficiency) mounted in a 1.25 mm ferrule
was implanted 50 µm above the aIC and 300 µm above the VTA with a 10° angle, at following
stereotactic coordinates: ML ± 0.9 mm, AP −3.2 mm, DV −4.20 ± 0.05 mm from Bregma (Paxinos).
Fibers were secured with biocompatible cement and resin. Mice recovered in their home cages
for 5 weeks to allow for viral expression.
<u>For fiber photometry recordings of dopamine signal</u>, either AAV_1-hSyn-dLight1.1 was injected into
the aIC and pIC, or AAV_9-hSyn-DIO-gDA3m was injected into the aIC of D1-cre and D2-cre mice.
An optical fiber (400 µm diameter, NA 0.39, >90% efficiency) mounted in a 1.25 mm ferrule was
implanted 50 µm above the injection site and secured with biocompatible cement and resin. Mice
recovered in their home cages for 3 weeks to allow for viral expression.
<u>For pharmacological experiments</u>, bilateral stainless-steel guide cannulae (4 mm, 26G; Phymep)
were implanted 1 mm above the aIC. Cannulae were fixed with dental cement as for fiber
photometry implants, using the following coordinates relative to Bregma (mm): AP +1.7, ML ±3.1,
DV −2.5. After cementation, protective caps (Phymep) were secured to the guide cannulae.
<u>For optogenetic activation of D1 aIC neurons</u>, D1-cre mice received bilateral injections of AAV_9-
EF1a-DIO-hChR2-eYFP or the control viral vector AAV_9-EF1a-DIO-eYFP into the aIC. Optical
fibers (300 µm diameter, NA >0.80 efficiency) mounted in 1.25 mm ferrules were implanted 300
µm above the aIC injection site and secured with biocompatible cement and resin. Mice recovered
for 4 weeks to allow for viral expression before behavioral testing.
768

Fig.	Coordinates AP/ML/DV (mm)	Viral vector	V(nL)	Titer (vg/mL)	Provider
1a	**aIC**: +1.7 / +3.1 / -3.5 **pIC**: -0.35 / +4.0 / -4.2	CTB AF488 or AF555	250		Fisher Scientific 488 (11544267) 555 (11554267)
1e	**VTA**: -3.2 / +0.4 / -4.35 **SNc**: -3.16 / +1.5 / -4.2	AAV_9- EF1α-DIO-eYFP AAV_9- EF1α-DIO-mCherry	400	$6.69.10^{13}$ (diluted 1:10)	Addgene (27056-AAV9) (50462-AAV9)
S2n	**aIC**: +1.7 / +3.1 / -3.5 **pIC**: -0.35 / +4.0 / -4.2	$AAV_{8/2}$-hEF1α -DIO-Synaptophysin-mCherry	250	$2.5.10^{13}$	Massachusetts General Hospital AAV-RN1
1i	**VTA:** -3.4 / 0.35 / -4.0 (10°)	AAV_8- EF1α-DIO-rsChRmine-oScarlet AAV_9- EF1α-DIO-mCherry	400	$\geq 10^{13}$ (diluted 1:10)	Deisseroth Lab
1m-p	**aIC**: +1.7 / +3.1 / -3.5 **pIC**: -0.35 / +4.0 / -4.2	AAV_1.hSyn.dLight1.1	250	$\geq 7.10^{12}$	Addgene (111066-AAV1)
2d-i	**aIC**: +1.7 / +3.1 / -3.5	AAV_9.hSyn.DIO.gDA3m	250	$\geq 2.10^{12}$	From Li Lab Vector Builder
3o-u	**aIC:** +1.7 / +3.1 / -3.5	AAV_9- EF1α-DIO-hChR2-eYFP AAV_9- EF1α-DIO-eYFP	250 250	$\geq 6. 10^{13}$ (diluted 1:10)	Addgene (35509-AAV9) (27056-AAV9)
Red DiI used to coat electrodes before implantation					ThermoFisher (V22885)

769 **Table 1. Details of coordinates, anatomical tracing and viral vectors.**
770
<u>For extracellular recordings</u>, a 16-wire electrode array (4×4 grid; 35 µm tungsten wires, 150 µm
spacing; Innovative Neurophysiology) was implanted into the right aIC (coordinates from Bregma,
mm: AP +1.7, ML +3.1, DV −3.5). Electrodes were referenced to a silver ground placed above
the right visual cortex and coated with the fluorescent tracer DiI prior to insertion. Implants were

secured with Super-Bond cement (Sun Medical). Mice recovered for 4 days post-surgery and
were habituated to handling and head stage connection for 3 days.
For combined photoactivation of D1 aIC neurons and single-unit recordings, D1-cre mice received
unilateral injection of AAV$_9$-hSyn-DIO-hChR2-eYFP (n = 2) or a control viral vector AAV$_9$-hSyn-
DIO-eYFP (n = 2) into the right aIC (coordinates from Bregma, mm: AP +1.7, ML +3.1, DV −3.5).
2 weeks after, mice were implanted with an electrode and optic fiber (200 µm diameter, NA >0.90
efficiency, mounted in 1.25 mm ferrules and implanted 50 µm above the aIC injection site). Mice
recovered for another 2 weeks before behavioral testing.
783
Brain extraction and sectioning. Animals were euthanized with pentobarbital (300 mg/kg, i.p.)
and perfused transcardially with Ringer's solution followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA,
Antigenfix, F/P0014, MM France) at 4°C. Brains were post-fixed overnight in 4°C, then
cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS 1X at 4°C, and embedded in OCT and sectioned into 50-
100 µm coronal or horizontal slices using a freezing sliding microtome (Thermo Scientific,
12062999). Sections were incubated in Hoechst for 10 minutes, washed in PBS 1X twice for 5
minutes each, and mounted with PVA-DABCO mounting medium (Sigma-Aldrich, France). All
processed sections, including those containing injection sites, were imaged using a 10x objective.
792
Immunohistochemistry
Staining tyrosine hydroxylase. To label dopaminergic neurons in the VTA and SNc, 40 µm coronal
slices between Bregma -2.80 mm and -3.52 mm were selected. Sections were permeabilized with
3% Triton-X100 in PBS 1X, then blocked for 1 h at room temperature (RT) in 10% normal goat
serum (NGS) with 3% Triton X-100 in PBS 1X. Sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with the
mouse anti-Tyrosine Hydroxylase primary antibody (1:2000, Millipore, MAB318) in PBS
containing 3% Triton-100x and incubated overnight at 4°C. After three washing steps in 3% Triton
X-100 in PBS 1X, sections were incubated for 2 h at RT with the goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647
secondary antibody (1:500, Fisher Scientific A21236), rinsed three times in PBS 1X, incubated in
Hoechst (1:20000, Fisher Scientific 11544876) for 10 min, and given a final PBS wash. Sections
were then mounted and cover slipped with PVA-DABCO (Sigma Aldrich).
Double NeuN and GAD67 staining in section expressing TdTomato. To identify glutamatergic and
GABAergic neurons in the insular cortex, NeuN was used to label neurons and GAD67 to label
GABAergic somata. Putative glutamatergic neurons were defined as NeuN+ and GAD67- cells,
and GABAergic neurons as GAD67+ cells, consistent with cortical composition reported
previously[68]. Horizontal sections from D1-cre x Ai14 and D2-cre x Ai14 mice were washed in PBS
1X and blocked for 1h at RT in 10% NGS with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS. Primary and secondary
antibodies were diluted in 5% NGS with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS. Sections were incubated
overnight at 4°C with guinea pig anti-NeuN (1:500, Synaptic Systems 266004) and mouse anti-
GAD67 (1:500, Millipore MAB5406), washed 3 x 5 min in PBS, then incubated for 3 h at RT with
Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti-guinea pig IgG (1:1000, Fisher Scientific A21435) and Alexa Fluor 647
goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1000, Fisher Scientific A21236). After three 5 min PBS washes, Hoechst
(1:20000, Fisher Scientific 11544876) was applied for 10 min, sections were washed in PBS,
mounted, and cover slipped with PVA-DABCO (Sigma Aldrich).
cFos immunohistochemistry. To assess cFOS expression as a marker of neuronal activation,
mice received an intraperitoneal injection of vehicle or the D1 receptor agonist SKF38393

(10 mg/kg) and were returned home cage. 90 minutes after, animals were anesthetized with
pentobarbital (300 mg/kg, i.p.) and transcardially perfused. Following cryoprotection in 30%
sucrose in PBS 1X, brains were sectioned at 50 μm coronal brain sections using a frozen sliding
microtome (12062999, Thermo-Scientific). Sections were washed in PBS 1X (3 x 5 min, RT), then
blocked for 2 h at RT in 3% NGS in PBS 1X with 0.3% Triton X-100. Sections were incubated
overnight at 4 °C with the rabbit polyclonal anti-cFos primary antibody (1:500, Synaptic Systems
226-003), washed in PBS 1X (3 x 10 min), and incubated for 2 h at RT with Alexa Fluor 555 goat
anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:1000, Fisher Scientific 1890860). After PBS washes (3 x
10 min), sections were incubated in Hoechst (1:20000, Fisher Scientific 11544876) for 10 min,
washed once in PBS, mounted, and cover slipped with PVA-DABCO (Sigma Aldrich).
829
Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH). Staining for *Drd1* and *Drd2* mRNAs was performed
using single molecule FISH (smFISH). Brains from four C57Bl/6J 8-week-old male mice were
rapidly extracted and snap-frozen on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until use. Anterior and posterior
insular cortex coronal sections (16 μm) were collected directly onto Superfrost Plus slides
(Fisherbrand). RNAscope Fluorescent Multiplex labeling kit (ACDBio; Cat# 323110) was used to
perform the smFISH assay according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Probes used for
staining are mm-DrD1+C2 (ACDBio, Cat# 406491-C2), mm-DrD1+C1 (ACDBio, Cat# 461901),
mm-DrD2+C3 (ACDBio, Cat# 406501-C3), mm-Slc17a7-C1 (ACDBio; Cat# 416631) and mm-
Slc32a1-C2 (ACDBio, Cat# 319191-C2). After incubation with fluorescent-labeled probes, slides
were counterstained with DAPI and mounted with ProLong Diamond Antifade mounting medium.
840
Confocal imaging and data analysis
Confocal images were acquired on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems) using
a 10x dry objective (NA 0.70) and a 40x oil-immersed objective (NA 1.30).
Retrograde mapping and tyrosine hydroxylase staining. Images were acquired with a Leica SP8
confocal microscope (Leica Microscopy) and analyzed in ImageJ. To quantify dopaminergic cell
bodies in the VTA and SNc projecting to the insula, images were collected at 40x magnification
(field size: 276,79 μm², resolution: 1024x1024 pixels, z-step of ~2-4 μm). Regions of interest were
defined using the Allen Brain Atlas, with brain sections spanning Bregma -2.80 to -3.52 mm. Cell
bodies double-labeled with tracer (CTB488 or CTB555) and tyrosine hydroxylase were classified
as dopaminergic neurons.
Anterograde mapping of dopaminergic outputs. To quantify axonal densities from VTA (ipsi- and
contralateral merged) and SNc neurons targeting the insula, eYFP- or mCherry-labeled terminals
were imaged bilaterally in the aIC and pIC of coronal sections. Cortical layers were outlined as
ROIs, and terminal density was measured per layer, using custom FIJI macros. For each
structure, DA terminal signal was normalized to the layer with the highest fluorescence.
Double immunofluorescence for NeuN and GAD67. Confocal images were acquired on a Leica
SP8 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems) using a 10x dry objective (NA 0.70) and a 40x
oil-immersed objective (NA 1.30).
cFos immunohistochemistry and imaging. Z-stacks of regions of interest (ROIs) were acquired
with a z-step of ~2–4 μm at 1024 × 1024 pixels using a 40x objective, and counts were performed
on maximum-intensity projections. ROIs were the right anterior insula (aIC, +1.70 mm), right

somatosensory cortex (S1, +1.70 mm), right posterior insula (pIC, -0.35 mm), right nucleus
accumbens (NAc, +1.21 mm), and the right basolateral amygdala (BLA, +1.31 mm).
Quantification of *Drd1* and *Drd2* mRNAs in the insula (FISH). A cell was classified as positive for
Drd1 and *Drd2* only if it contained at least 5 puncta for each transcript independently.
866
Behavioral assays. The temperature and humidity of the experiment room were controlled at 20
to 24°C and 45% to 65%, respectively. Animals were handled at least once a day, and habituated
to being connected one week before fiber-photometry, *in vivo* electrophysiology and optogenetic
experiments. All tests were performed during the dark phase (active phase) of the reversed
light/dark cycle. Behavioral mazes were cleaned with acetic acid 2% and distilled water before
each animal session. One camera on top of the experimental apparatus was used for all tests to
track the animal's position and then synchronized with related activities.
Anxiety assays: two recognized tests with predictive validity were used: the elevated plus maze
(EPM, Lister, 1987) and the open field test (OFT, Prut & Belzung, 2003). Elevated plus maze:
The arena has a plus shape (75 x 75 cm) at 60 cm height, consisting of two open arms (exposed
spaces) and two closed arms (protected areas) of 5 cm width. Each animal was placed at the
center and facing one open arm and left to explore it for 15 minutes. Open field test: the arena is
squared (60 x 60 cm) and the exposed space is the center, while the protected spaces are the
borders of the field. The center is defined as 50% of the total open-field arena. Each animal was
put in the corner of the open field and left to explore it for 20 minutes.
882
Fiber-photometry recordings
Acquisition: dLight1.1 and gDA3m signals were recorded under low-power blue excitation
(470 nm LED, 30-40 µW measured at the patch-cord tip) delivered through a 0.29 NA patch cords.
Emitted green fluorescence was collected through the same cord, relayed through a 20x
objective, and imaged onto a CMOS sensor after band-pass filtering. An isosbestic 405 nm
channel was acquired in parallel to remove motion and non-dopamine-related fluctuations
artifacts since it is independent of DA concentration. Photometry and video were sampled at
20 Hz.
Data analysis: photometry signals were processed and analyzed using custom-written scripts in
Matlab R2024b, see[2]. The 405 nm reference was fitted to the raw trace by polynomial fit, and
normalized fluorescence was computed as dF/F. For z-scoring, the baseline was defined as the
dF/F trace with suprathreshold peaks filtered out, as in[71]. Suprathreshold peaks used for baseline
exclusion were identified on the z-scored trace with a minimum peak prominence of 2 z and a
minimum peak amplitude of 2.58 z. All samples spanning each detected peak width were
excluded. The baseline mean and standard deviation were then computed on this baseline for
the z-score. Detection of dopamine transients were detected on the dF/F trace and defined as
high-amplitude events (amplitude 1.5 median absolute deviation (MAD) above the dF/F). For each
transient, peak time and amplitude were extracted, and averaged peak amplitude and frequency
were compared across groups.
For entry-based analyses of dopamine signals (Fig. 1m-n and Extended Data Fig. 2h-i),
photometry traces were expressed as z-scored dF/F as described above. For each open- or
closed-arm entry event, mean activity was quantified in a pre-entry center (CT) window (-3s to -

1s relative to entry) and in an arm entry window (0s to 2 s), and these values were used for
statistical analyses.
907

***In vivo* pharmacology**
For intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of the selective D1 agonist SKF38393, mice were habituated for
2 days to the i.p. injections. On the test day, mice received either sterile saline (0.9% NaCl) or the
D1 agonist SKF38393 (10 mg/kg, diluted with saline). 30 minutes after, mice were placed in the
EPM for 15 minutes.
For bilateral intracranial infusion of the D1 agonist SKF38393, or D1 antagonist SCH23390, mice
were handled for 5 days to habituate them to restraint and cannula connection. Infusions were
performed using 33GA stainless steel internal canulae (5 mm long, Phymep) projecting 1 mm
beyond 26 GA guide cannula, connected via tubing to Hamilton syringes mounted on a micro-
infusion pump (KDS Legato 101, Phymep). Internal cannula tip coordinates relative to Bregma (in
mm) were AP +1.7, ML ± 3.1, DV -3.5. SKF38393 hydrobromide (0.25 mg/mL, Tocris) or
SCH23390 hydrochloride (0.5 mg/ml, Tocris) was diluted in sterile saline (0.9% NaCl). To verify
injection sites, drugs were mixed with 0.5% CTB-AF555 (0.4%, Fisher Scientific). Mice received
250 nL per hemisphere of SKF38393, SCH23390 or vehicle at a rate of 1 μl.min^{-1}. Internal
cannulae were withdrawn 1 minute after infusion, and mice were placed in the center of the EPM
5 min later, for 15 minutes.
924

Optogenetics
For combined optogenetic manipulations and fiber-photometry recordings of dopamine signals,
unilateral stimulations of VTA dopaminergic neurons were delivered by coupling the implanted
optical fiber to a patch cord connected to a 635 nm laser source (Intelligent Optogenetics Laser
System, RWD; IOS-635 nm). In rsChRmine-oScarlet and mCherry control mice, light output was
calibrated to 10 mW at the fiber tip and delivered in 36 epochs consisting of 2 s ON trains (20 Hz,
10 ms pulses; 40 pulses per epoch) followed by 3 s OFF. Photometry signals were expressed as
z-scored dF/F, using the -3s to -1s pre-stimulation period as baseline. Mean z-scored dopamine
signal in the baseline window (-3s to -1s) and the stimulation window (0s to 2 s) was extracted for
statistical analyses.
For optogenetic activation of D1 aIC neurons in the EPM, bilateral stimulations of D1 aIC neurons
were achieved by connecting fiber implants to two patch cords connected to a 465 nm laser
source (Intelligent Optogenetics Laser System, RWD, IOS-465 nm). ChR2 and eYFP mice
received pulse trains (465 nm, 20 mW at the tip of the fiber, 5 ms pulse duration, 20 Hz repetition
rate for 3 minutes).
For combined photoactivation of D1 aIC neurons and single-unit recordings, *in vivo* recordings
and optogenetic stimulations were synchronized. Unilateral stimulations of D1 aIC neurons were
achieved by connecting the fiber implant to a patch cord connected to the 465 nm laser source
(Intelligent Optogenetics Laser System, RWD, IOS-465 nm). ChR2 and eYFP mice received pulse
trains (465 nm, 20 mW at the tip of the fiber, 5 ms pulse duration, 20 Hz repetition rate for 3
minutes). The entire recording session was 15 minutes. The first 3 minutes served to record
baseline firing rate.

In vivo electrophysiology

Single-unit recordings. 16-channel electrodes were connected to an 18-pin nanoconnector (Omnetics A79014-001) and a unity-gain 16-channel headstage (Intan RHD2132). Signals were acquired via an Open Ephys board at 30 kHz, hardware high-pass 300 Hz and and low-pass 6 kHz, synchronized to behavior.

Spike sorting. Spike detection and sorting used Kilosort 4[72] and custom-written python scripts. Common reference removal and per-channel normalization were applied before template matching. Principal component analysis was applied per channel. Units were accepted as single-units if they formed an isolated cluster in PC space, had a refractory period violation consistent with a refractory period longer than 1 ms and passed visual inspection of waveforms and auto-correlograms. Multi-units that failed these criteria were excluded.

Behavioral tracking. Mouse pose was tracked using markerless pose estimation with DeepLabCut 2.3.10 (single animal mode for pose estimation[30]). Around 5-20 frames per video were manually annotated for: nose, ears, neck, three backbone points, and three tail points, and a ResNet-50 model was trained over 80% (100 000 iterations) of the manually annotated frames. To account for head dips, the effective open arm border was widened by a tolerance equal to the average snout-to-shoulder offset. Time points with coordinates outside the EPM were removed. Outliers were defined as any body part at a particular timepoint t larger than 5 cm between consecutive frames; outliers were replaced by linear interpolation over $t-10$ to $t+10$ frames. Head dips closer than 1 s to a previous head dip were merged and counted once.

Individual behaviors were analyzed during the elevated-plus maze data using DeepOF version 0.8.1[31,73] for supervised behavioral analysis of nine behaviors including: head dips, climbing in closed arms (climbing-arena in DeepOF module), arena sniffing, immobility, stationary look around, stationary active, stationary passive, moving, instantaneous speed (description of behaviors can be found here: https://deepof.readthedocs.io/). In addition, the instantaneous speed (speed-p95) was computed by calculating the Euclidean displacement of the Center key point between consecutive frames divided by the frame interval (frames per second specific), which was then defined as the empirical 95th percentile of these per-frame speeds, providing a robust summary of high-end movement bursts. All behaviors were analyzed throughout the different zones, in which a separation was made based on the center key point of the animal in the open arm, closed arm and center zone. Data were analyzed for the total 15 min.

Event definition, binning and trial snippets. Behavioral events were defined as closed arm (CA) entry, open arm (OA) entry and head dip (HD) over the borders of the open arms of the EPM, and all behavioral entries into the closed or open arm take the center of the EPM as the origin. To avoid any false positive, mice had to stay at least 2 seconds in the center of the EPM for entry events and 1 second in the open arms for the head dips. For each event, a 6.5 s snippet was extracted and centered on the event time. Spikes were binned at 25 ms, then converted to a sliding 250 ms window by summing over 10 successive 25 ms bins with a 25 ms step. Population matrices were stored as (units, time) shape.

Single-unit response classification. For each event type, per-unit peri-event time histograms were z-scored using a baseline of -3 to -1 s relative to event onset. Within the post-event window (0, +3 s), responses were classified using a sliding window of 200 ms (4 consecutive 50 ms bins after the 50 ms resampling step): units were considered as excited when all samples in any 200 ms

window exceed z = 1.65, inhibited when all samples in any 200 ms window are below z = -1.65
and neutral for neither criterion met.

<u>Single-unit response classification following photoactivation of D1 aIC neurons</u>. To test whether
units were expressing ChR2, we applied a Wilcoxon signed-rank test with a significance threshold
of **$p<0.01$ to compare the firing of each unit during a baseline window (1 s before light onset) to
the firing in an experimental window of 10 ms, starting at light onset. If the average z-score during
the experimental window was positive, and the Wilcoxon signed rank test was significant
(**$p<0.01$), the unit was classified as excited, whereas, if the average z-score during the
experimental window was negative and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was significant (**$p<0.01$),
the unit was classified as inhibited.

<u>Analysis of unit class proportions</u>. To compare the proportion of unit response categories between
Vehicle and SKF groups, units were classified into four mutually exclusive categories (opposite,
same, selective, neutral) based on their activity across pairs of behaviors: CA entry and OA entry
(Fig. 4h), or OA entry and head dip, or CA entry and head dip (Extended Data Fig. 4j-k). Group
differences in class composition were assessed using a permutational multivariate analysis of
variance. Post hoc comparisons were performed using Welch's t-tests.

<u>Population matrix construction and principal component analysis</u>. For each mouse and trial type,
z-scored, trial-averaged population vectors were concatenated along time to build trial-type
blocks. Blocks for open arm entry, closed arm entry, and head dips were concatenated along time
to form a global matrix across units, then concatenated across mice along the unit axis to obtain
a single analysis matrix. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the covariance
of this matrix. Trajectories were obtained by projecting time points onto the principal components.

<u>Leave-one-mouse-out projection and trajectory metrics</u>. To estimate across-animal
generalization, we used a leave-one-mouse-out procedure. For each mouse, we removed its units
from the eigenvector matrix and re-ordered eigenvectors by the remaining eigenvalues, then
projected that mouse concatenated snippets into the PCA space derived from the other mice.
Trajectory length per condition was computed as the sum of step sizes across the first 3 principal
components after light Gaussian smoothing. We report on the scalar trajectory length. As a
control, a shuffled dataset was generated by randomizing spikes per unit and bin using a binomial
process matched to firing statistics. These data were binned and processed identically as the real
data.

<u>Decoding</u>. To quantify how well aIC population activity discriminated against behavioral
conditions, we trained linear support vector machine (SVM) classifiers on single-trial
instantaneous population vectors. For each neuron, spike counts were computed in 250 ms bins
before and after the onset of behavioral events (closed arm entry, open arm entry, and head dips),
yielding one population vector per trial and time bin (units and trials pooled across mice). Vectors
were z-scored across trials, and for each decoding analysis (for example, closed arm entry versus
open arm entry, or a given event versus the rest of the recording), we used the same number of
trial type events between trials by subsampling each condition to the global minimum trial count.
Data were then randomly split into training (60%) and testing (40%) sets. SVM model training was
performed on the training set using C-type classification with a linear kernel and fivefold cross-
validation. The trained model was subsequently evaluated on the held-out testing set, and
decoding accuracy was defined as the proportion of correctly classified trials. This procedure was
repeated 10 times with new random train/test splits to obtain a distribution of accuracies for

statistical comparison. To assess significance, we used a two-sided permutation test: for each
decoding comparison, we computed the difference in mean decoding accuracy between condition
(*p<0.05). Analogous decoding analyses were performed with a k-Nearest Neighbors (kNN)
classifier to obtain similar accuracies.
Non-linear dimensionality reduction (CEBRA). To characterize nonlinear population dynamics, we
used CEBRA-Time (Consistent EmBeddings of high-dimensional Recordings using auxiliary[33]), a
self-supervised contrastive learning algorithm. CEBRA-Time models were trained on 50 ms spike-
count vectors using a multi-session (multi animals) setup that learns embeddings invariant across
animals, based solely on single-unit spiking and without behavioral labels. CEBRA-Time employs
a nonlinear encoder (deep neural network) to map high-dimensional neural activity into a low-
dimensional latent space. Through contrastive learning, the model pulls together temporally
neighboring activity patterns while pushing apart dissimilar activity patterns, thereby capturing the
intrinsic temporal structure of neural population dynamics. For each analysis, models were trained
on 60% of the data, and training loss was monitored to ensure how good our model can predict
values based on 60% of the data. The remaining 40% of the data were projected into the three-
dimensional latent space in an embedding (50 ms bins). Behavioral labels were then overlaid post
hoc and were not used during training. Decoding analyses were then performed on the projected
latent clusters. We applied CEBRA-Time in two complementary ways: (1) on the behavioral
snippets (discrete: closed arm entry, open arm entry, head dips), and (2) on the entire EPM
recording (continuous). Both analyses used identical architecture and hyperparameters (offset5
architecture, learning rate 3.10^{-4}, 20 hidden units, temperature 1). The number of training
iterations was adjusted according to dataset size: 4 000 iterations for snippet analysis and 40 000
for the entire recording analysis. To quantify behavioral information in the latent space, we
performed decoding analysis using a support vector machine (SVM), with an analogous k-nearest
neighbors (kNN) classifier for comparison. Decoding was performed on neural activity projected
onto the first three latent dimensions to discriminate behavioral states (closed arm entry vs open
arm entry vs head dips; or closed arm vs open arm position). Two complementary metrics were
computed from the latent embeddings:
(1) the Shannon entropy, computed from the empirical distribution of embedded points within
each condition, to quantify the dispersion of the population state. The Shannon entropy H is
defined as:

1065
$$H = -\sum_{i=0}^{k} p(x_i)\log_2 p(x_i)$$

where $p(x_i)$ represents the probability of observing point x_i in the latent space. Higher entropy
reflects greater dispersion and variability of the embedded population activity, whereas lower
entropy indicates more compact and consistent/reliable representations.
(2) the barycenter distance, defined as the Euclidean distance between the centroid of two latent
clusters. This metric quantified the separation between neural representations associated with
different behavioral states and serves as an estimate of neural divergence between conditions.
1072

Histological verification of photometry, pharmacology, optogenetic and electrophysiology targets

At the end of behavioral experiments, mice were anesthetized and transcardially perfused with PBS followed by 4% PFA. Brains were post-fixed in 4% PFA and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS before sectioning. Coronal sections (100 μm) of the insular cortex were collected and mounted for fluorescence imaging. Sections were imaged on a Leica fluorescence microscope to verify viral expression and the location of optic fiber, cannula, or electrode placements using the Paxinos Brain Atlas. For each animal, histological images were overlaid onto atlas templates to reconstruct maps of viral infusion and implant locations. Representative examples and overlaid histological verifications are shown in the corresponding Extended Data Figures for photometry implants (Extended Data Fig. 1h and Extended Data Fig. 2g), pharmacology injection sites (Extended Data Fig. 3a), optogenetic manipulations (Extended Data Fig. 3e-f) and *in vivo* electrophysiology recordings (Extended Data Fig. 4a).

Code availability

Custom-written codes used to analyze fiber photometry data and *in vivo* electrophysiology data are available on the GitHub page of the Beyeler Lab:
https://github.com/beyelerlab/FiberPhotometry_anxiety
https://github.com/beyelerlab/in_vivo_ephys.

Statistical analysis

For statistical analyses, GraphPad Prism 10 (version 10.6.1) was used. Normality and homogenous variance of the data were assessed by Shapiro-Wilk and F-tests, respectively. Paired or unpaired two-tailed *t*-tests were used for comparisons between two conditions. For analyses involving more than two groups and/or multiple factors, one-way or two-way ANOVA was performed followed by post hoc multiple-comparisons tests. For correlation analyses, Pearson's correlation coefficients (R^2) and linear regression were calculated. Grubb's tests were performed for each test to identify potential outliers. Significance was set at *$p<0.05$. A table of all statistical analyses is reported in Table 2.

Acknowledgments

We want to acknowledge the past and present members from the Beyeler Lab for discussions and advice, especially Débora Jacky and Anes Ju. We thank Sara Laumond, Julie Tessaire, and the technical staff of the Neurocentre Magendie animal facility, especially Delphine Gonzales, Elizabeth Huc, Mégane Marion, and Jean-Baptiste Bernard, for their invaluable support. We thank Cyril Herry for the DAT-cre mouse line. We are grateful to Yulong Li for providing the cre-dependent gDA3m plasmid. We thank the Bordeaux Imaging Center (BIC) for using their confocal microscope, and especially Jérémie Teillon for advice. We also thank Adeline Cathala and Luigi Bellocchio for their guidance and assistance with pharmacological experiments. Finally, we thank Sébastien Delcasso and Stéphane Valerio from Aquineuro for their support regarding *in vivo* electrophysiology experiments.

Funding

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Région Nouvelle-Aquitaine, the INSERM 'ATIP/Avenir' program, the Fondation Schlumberger pour l'Education et la Recherche (FSER), the French National Research Agency (ANR, grants: 'CEA' and 'INSULA' to AB and ANR-20-CE14-0020 to EV), and the Fondation Bettencourt Schueller (Impulscience grant) provided to AB. This work was supported by Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (EQU202203014705 to EV, "Equipes FRM" to AB, "Fin de thèse" grant (FDT202304016426) to YC and the "postdoctoral fellowship" to CN (ARF201909009147). We also acknowledge the FENS-Kavli Network for supporting YC's exchange in JG's lab.

Authorship contributions

YC and AB conceptualized the study. AB provided resources to perform all experiments except the FISH staining, supported by EV . YC contributed to the analysis of all experiments.

Dopaminergic architecture of the insula. MA and GV conducted D1+ neurons and D2+ neurons mapping of the insula, respectively. EV performed FISH experiments, and DR analyzed the data.

Dopamine signal recordings. YC and GV performed and analyzed dLight1.1 / gDA3m recordings.

Pharmacology. YC, GV, DR, CN, and TH conducted pharmacological experiments.

AI-based behavioral phenotyping. JB performed the deepOF analysis.

Single-unit recordings and analysis. YC performed *in vivo* single-unit recordings while TDR, AG, and DR assisted in analyzing these data. TDR, AG and YC wrote computational analysis scripts for the study, with help from YKW and JG.

Manuscript. YC and AB wrote the original draft of the manuscript. YC made all the figures. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final version before submission.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests or conflicts of interest (financial or otherwise) related to the material presented in this manuscript.

Supplementary Figures and Legends

Extended Data Fig. 1: Dopaminergic system architecture in the insular cortex.
a-c, Histological verification of retrograde (**a**) and anterograde (**c**) mapping of dopaminergic outputs to the aIC and pIC. **b,** Total number of TH projectors from the VTA and SNc in the ipsilateral and contralateral side (Two-way ANOVA: Region: $F_{1,16}=0.04$, $p=0.8454$, Main effect Hemisphere: $F_{1,16}=17.62$, ***$p=0.0007$, with no interaction: $F_{1,16}=0.002$, $p=0.9617$). **d,** Heatmaps showing the fluorescent intensity of VTA (top) and SNc (bottom) dopaminergic terminals in the aIC and pIC cortical layers. Gray scale illustrates the magnitude of the fluorescence intensity (black is the highest intensity). **e,** VTA^{DA} cell body optogenetic stimulations in rsChRmine mice (20 Hz, 10 mW, 2s, 10 ms pulses) increase DA signal (**$p=0.0062$), relative to mCherry (Group: $F_{1,356}=2.33$, $p=0.1278$, Main effect Period: $F_{1,356}=4.22$, *$p=0.0407$, with a Group x Period interaction: $F_{1,356}=4.41$, *$p=0.0365$). **f,** dLight1.1 sensor schematic and representative confocal image of dLight1.1 expression in the aIC. **g,** Representative dopamine signal (dLight1.1) traces from aIC (top) and pIC (bottom) in the EPM. **h,** Histological verification of dLight1.1 viral injections into aIC and pIC. **i-j,** Heatmaps (**i**) and quantification (**j**) of averaged z-scored DA signal in aIC and pIC during the OFT showed that DA signals are increased in center vs. borders in aIC (***$p<0.0001$) and pIC (***$p<0.0001$), with a stronger signal in aIC (**$p=0.0065$) relative to pIC (Main effect Zone: $F_{1,52}=120$, ***$p<0.0001$, Main effect Region: $F_{1,52}=5.82$, *$p=0.0194$, with a Zone x Region interaction: $F_{1,52}=5.88$, *$p=0.0189$). **k-l,** DA signals in the aIC and pIC do not correlate with the time mice spent in open arms (**k,** two-tailed Pearson's correlation, aIC: $R^2=0.0135$, $p=0.6462$; pIC: $R^2=0.0055$, $p=0.8391$) or OFT center (**l,** aIC: $R^2=0.2151$, $p=0.0525$; pIC: $R^2=0.0338$,

p=0.6109), except for the amplitude of DA signal in OFT center that tends to negatively correlate with the
time mice spent in OFT center (**l**). **m-n,** Z-scored DA signals in aIC and pIC did not correlate with the velocity
in EPM open arms (two-tailed Pearson's correlation, aIC: R^2=0.09, *p*=0.228; pIC: R^2=0.20, *p*=0.286) or OFT
center (aIC: R^2=0.20, *p*=0.062; pIC: R^2=0.003, *p*=0.887). **o,** aIC and pIC dopamine transient frequency and
amplitude in the EPM (Frequency: Main effect Region: $F_{1,52}$=27.5, ***p<0.0001, Zone: $F_{1,52}$=0.15, *p*=0.7003,
with no interaction; Amplitude: Region: $F_{1,52}$=1.77, *p*=0.1886, $F_{1,52}$=0.0305, *p*=0.8620, with no interaction).
a-b: aIC and pIC (n=5 mice). **c-d:** VTA (n=14 mice) and SNc (n=10 mice). **e:** rsChRmine (n=3 mice) and
mCherry (n=2 mice). **f-o:** aIC (n=18 mice) and pIC (n=10 mice). **b, e, j, o:** Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's
post-hoc tests were performed. Data are: mean ± SEM.
1172

Extended Data Fig. 2: Role of D1+ and D2+ anterior insula neurons in anxiety-related behaviors.

a, Confocal images of tdTomato-expressing neurons in D1 (top) and D2 (bottom) subpopulations of the pIC among cortical layers. **b,** Absolute numbers of D1+ neurons (Region: $F_{1,20}=0.7961$, $p=0.3829$, Main effect Subpopulation: $F_{1,20}=46.06$, ***$p<0.0001$, with no Region x Subpopulation interaction: $F_{1,20}=0.1018$, $p=0.7530$) and D2+ neurons in excitatory and inhibitory subpopulations in the insula (Region: $F_{1,28}=0.1208$, $p=0.7308$, Subpopulation: $F_{1,28}=0.8323$, $p=0.3694$, with no Region x Subpopulation interaction: $F_{1,28}=0.8724$, $p=0.3583$). **c,** Proportion of D1+ neurons (Region: $F_{1,20}=0.711$, $p=0.409$, Main effect Subpopulation: $F_{1,20}=10.8$, **$p=0.0037$, with no Region x Subpopulation interaction: $F_{1,20}=0.659$, $p=0.4266$) and D2+ neurons within excitatory and inhibitory subpopulations in aIC and pIC (Region: $F_{1,28}=0.169$, $p=0.6844$, Main effect Subpopulation: $F_{1,28}=4.99$, *$p=0.0337$, with no Region x Subpopulation interaction: $F_{1,28}=0.066$, $p=0.7987$). **d-e,** Colocalization and proportion of *Drd1* and *Drd2* mRNAs among excitatory (*Slc17a7*+) and inhibitory (*Slc32a1*+) neurons in the aIC (**d,** Main effect Receptor: $F_{1,60}=42.6$, ***$p<0.0001$, Main effect Subpopulation: $F_{1,60}=69.8$, ***$p<0.0001$, with a Receptor x Subpopulation interaction: $F_{1,60}=4.89$, *$p=0.0308$), and pIC (**e,** Main effect Receptor: $F_{1,60}=4.70$, *$p=0.0341$, Main effect Subpopulation: $F_{1,60}=9.76$, **$p=0.0027$, with Receptor x Subpopulation interaction: $F_{1,60}=5.9$, *$p=0.0182$). **f,** Summary diagram of the proportion of D1 and D2 neurons expression in the insula (two-tailed unpaired *t*-tests, *cf.* Table 2 for statistical values). **g,** Histological verification of gDA3m viral injections into aIC and pIC. **h,** Peri-event z-scored DA signal onto D1 aIC neurons aligned to closed or open arm entries showing a decrease of DA signals at CA entry (*$p=0.0108$) and increased at OA entry (***$p=0.0005$), with a stronger increase (***$p<0.0001$) at OA entry compared to CA entry (Space: $F_{1,68}=2.48$, $p=0.1221$, Main effect Event: $F_{1,68}=4.56$, *$p=0.0384$, with a Space x Event interaction: $F_{1,68}=31.1$, ***$p<0.0001$). **i,** No difference of DA signals was found onto D2 aIC neurons (Space: $F_{1,36}=0.0243$, $p=0.8772$, Event: $F_{1,36}=0.0498$, $p=0.8251$, with Space x Event interaction: $F_{1,36}=8.74$, **$p=0.0063$). **j,** Dopamine transient frequency onto D1 and D2 aIC neurons in the EPM (Region: $F_{1,36}=0.306$, $p=0.5836$, Zone: $F_{1,36}=0.22$, $p=0.6418$, with no interaction). **k,** Dopamine transient amplitude onto D1 and D2 aIC neurons in the OFT (Region: $F_{1,36}=0.0342$, $p=0.8544$, Main effect Zone: $F_{1,36}=4.3$, *$p=0.0453$, with no interaction). **l-m,** Z-scored DA signal onto D1 or D2 aIC did not correlate with the velocity in EPM open arms (two-tailed Pearson's correlation, aIC: $R^2=0.03$, $p=0.577$; pIC: $R^2=0.03$, $p=0.698$) or OFT center (aIC: $R^2=0.20$, $p=0.147$; pIC: $R^2=0.09$, $p=0.457$). **n,** Viral strategy to map D1 aIC and pIC outputs using a mix of two viral vectors to label axons and synaptic contacts in D1-cre mice. **o,** Histological verification of viral injections into the aIC and pIC of D1-cre mice. **p,** Representative confocal images of axonal (green) and synaptic (red) densities in the BLA and CeL arising from D1 aIC (*top*) and D1 pIC (*bottom*) neurons. **q,** Relative synaptic density in different target regions from D1 aIC and pIC outputs (Main effect Outputs: $F_{11,107}=18.5$, ***$p=0.0001$, Insula section: $F_{1,107}=0.0174$, $p=0.8954$, with a Outputs x Insula section interaction: $F_{11,107}=3.82$, ***$p<0.0001$). **a**: D1 (n=13 mice) and D2 (n=8 mice). **b-c:** D1 (n=6 mice) and D2 (n=6 mice). **d-f:** aIC (n=4 mice) and pIC (n=4 mice). **g-m:** D1 aIC (n=12 mice) and D2 aIC (n=8 mice). **n-q:** D1 aIC (n=6 mice) and D1 pIC (n=5 mice). **b-e, h-k, q:** Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc tests. Data are: mean ± SEM.

Extended Data Fig. 3: Additional analyses of D1 manipulations in the anterior insula.
a, Representative images illustrating the location of bilateral cannulae implants and the infusion of the vehicle, the D1 agonist SKF38393 and the D1 antagonist SCH23390 in the aIC. Local infusions were mixed with a fluorescent marker (CTB). Antero-posterior levels (distance from Bregma, in millimeters) are indicated next to each slice. **b-c,** Raw time spent in open arms and total distance travelled in the EPM for SKF- (**b**) and SCH-treated (**c**) mice related to the Main Fig. 3k-m. **d,** Photoactivation of D1 neurons expressing the fluorescent protein eYFP in the aIC (n=2 mice, 65 units) showing no effect of laser stimulations on population activity. **e-f,** Histological verification of viral injections and fiber implants for eYFP (**e**) and ChR2 (**f**) mice. **g,** No change of total distance travelled in eYFP and ChR2 mice during the light stimulation (Two-way ANOVA, Group: $F_{1,24}$=0.395, p=0.5357, Light mode: $F_{1,24}$=1.27, p=0.27, with no Group x Light mode interaction: $F_{1,24}$=0.0023, p=0.9569).

Extended Data Fig. 4: Additional analyses of single-unit anterior insula activity during anxiety-related behaviors.

a, Representative image of the probe track coated with a red fluorescent dye (DiI) in aIC and histological verification for vehicle and SKF mice. Antero-posterior levels (distance from Bregma, in millimeters) are indicated at the bottom. **b,** SKF injection increased and decreased the time mice spent in the closed arms (CA) and open arms (OA), respectively compared to vehicle-injected mice (two-way ANOVA, Group: $F_{1,48}=1.2\times10^{-14}$, $p>0.99$, Main effect Location: $F_{2,48}=467$, ***$p<0.0001$, with Group x Location interaction: $F_{2,48}=18.2$, ***$p<0.0001$), without altering the total distance travelled and the number of total entries into the closed arms. **c,** Representative behavioral raster plots from one vehicle and SKF-treated mice in the EPM. **d,** No alteration of solitary behaviors was found in the EPM between vehicle- and SKF-treated mice, except for a decrease of time spent in head-dipping following SKF, relative to vehicle injection (two-tailed unpaired t-test, $t=3.299$, **$p=0.0051$). **e,** Waveform of a representative unit shown on the 4 x 4 electrode. **f,** Averaged unit number per channel on the electrode (vehicle: 3.71 ± 0.30 units, SKF: 2.86 ± 0.21 units). **g,** Scatterplot representing the action potential amplitude as a function of the baseline firing rate for all units recorded (vehicle: n=397 units, SKF: n=309 units). The averaged firing rate for vehicle-treated mice and SKF-treated mice are: 6.44 ± 0.28 Hz , 6.53 ± 0.38 Hz, respectively. **h,** Peri-event time course of the z-scored population firing rate centered on three behaviors: CA entry (top), OA entry (middle) and head dips (bottom) for vehicle and SKF mice. **i,** Distribution of nine outcome categories analyzed by multinomial logistic regression with

1242 non-responding classification as the reference (F=2.363, *p*=0.05). Among unit classes, D1 systemic
1243 activation increased the percentage of 'saliency-coding' (**p*=0.0061) and 'anxiety-coding' units
1244 (**p*=0.0071). **j-k,** Distribution of four outcome categories of unit response to OA entry and head dip (**j**) and
1245 between CA entry and head dip (**k**) Vehicle and SKF groups using a permutational multivariate analysis of
1246 variance. **a-k:** vehicle (397 units, n=9 mice) and SKF38393 (309 units, n=9 mice). Data are: mean ± SEM.
1247
1248

1249
Extended Data Fig. 5: Additional linear analyses on population anterior insula activity during
anxiety-related behaviors.
a, Variance explained by the first three PCs for the three trial types (Event: $F_{2,48}$=0.653, *p*=0.5250, Group:
$F_{1,48}$=3.77, *p*=0.0582, with an Event x Group interaction: $F_{2,48}$=54, ***p*<0.0001) was higher for CA entry
(***p*<0.0001) and shorter for HD (***p*<0.0001) after SKF compared to vehicle. **b,** Relative neural trajectory
lengths (Event: $F_{2,48}$=0.198, *p*=0.8214, Group: $F_{2,48}$=1.79, *p*=0.1868, with an Event x Group interaction:
$F_{2,48}$=135, ***p*<0.0001) were longer for CA entry (***p*<0.0001) and shorter for HD (***p*<0.0001) after SKF
compared to vehicle. **c,** A SVM classifier was used to decode the activity between CA entry, OA entry and
Head dip for vehicle- and SKF-treated mice (permutation test, *p*<0.05). **d,** SVM accuracy differentiating
CA entry, OA entry and head dip in SKF-treated mice was decreased following D1 activation (***p*<0.0001),
relative to vehicle (Two-way ANOVA, Main effect Data type: $F_{1,36}$=556, ***p*<0.0001, Main effect Group:
$F_{1,36}$=21.6, ***p*<0.0001, with an Data type x Group interaction: $F_{1,36}$=24.1, ***p*<0.0001). The dashed line
represents the chance level. **a-d:** vehicle (397 units, n=9 mice) and SKF38393 (309 units, n=9 mice). **a-b,**
d: Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc tests. Data are: mean ± SEM.

1264

Extended Data Fig. 6: Additional nonlinear analyses on population anterior insula activity in anxiety-
related behaviors and spaces.
a-d, CEBRA-Time during behavioral snippets. **a,** InfoNCE training loss curve from vehicle and SKF groups
(4000 iterations). **b,** The distances between cluster barycenters (vehicle and SKF) associated with different

pairs of behaviors for: CA entry-OA entry, OA entry-head dip, and CA entry-head dip, do not correlate with
the time spent in the open arms of the EPM, except when the barycenter distances from both vehicle and
SKF groups are pooled together (i.e., the shaded gray area representing the 95% confidence interval).
c, Pooled (vehicle and SKF) correlation analyses of Shannon entropy for CA entry, OA entry, and head dip
clusters with time spent in the open arms. Shannon entropy for OA entry and head dip clusters negatively
correlates with time spent in the open arms (OA entry: R^2=0.39, **p=0.006; head dip: R^2=0.36, **p=0.008).
d, k-Nearest Neighbor decoder achieved above 95% accuracy in distinguishing OA entry, CA entry and
head dip trials in vehicle conditions (***p<0.0001), while it decreased to 70% (***p<0.0001) following D1
activation (Two-way ANOVA, Main effect Data type: $F_{1,32}$=3746, ***p<0.0001, Main effect Group: $F_{1,32}$=247,
***p<0.0001, with an Data type x Group interaction: $F_{1,32}$=265, ***p<0.0001). **e,** EPM linearization to quantify
the mouse position in the EPM. **f,** CEBRA latent embeddings representing population anterior insula activity
(shuffled and non-shuffled) binned in 50 ms and projected onto the first three latent spaces, for vehicle and
SKF38393 mice. Each embedding represents the neural activity from one mouse with the number of
recorded units per mouse at the bottom of each embedding. We post hoc color coded each embedding
based on the mouse position in the EPM (black: closed arms, red: open arms). **g,** InfoNCE training loss
curve from vehicle and SKF groups (40000 iterations). **h,** Barycenter distance between OA and CA latent
clusters do not correlate with the time mice spent in open arms for vehicle and SKF groups (two-tailed
Pearson correlations, Vehicle: R^2=0.39, p=0.0721; SKF: R^2=0.39, p=0.0723 and pooled data: R^2=0.03,
p=0.5070). **i,** Shannon entropy was computed on the same number of trials in the closed arms and the
open arms. Higher entropy for the CA latent cluster (*p=0.0462) but shorter for the OA latent cluster
(*p=0.0133) after SKF compared to vehicle (two-way ANOVA, Arm: $F_{1,16}$=60.3, ***p<0.0001, Group:
$F_{1,16}$=0.152, p=0.7015, with an Arm x Group interaction: $F_{1,16}$=10.8, **p=0.0046). **j,** Shannon entropy for the
CA latent cluster does not correlate with time spent in the open arms when vehicle and SKF groups are
pooled (two-tailed Pearson correlation, R^2=0.04, p=0.3958). In contrast, Shannon entropy for the OA latent
cluster positively correlates with time spent in the open arms (pooled data; two-tailed Pearson correlation,
R^2 = 0.61, ***p=0.0001). **k,** k-Nearest Neighbor decoder achieved above 80% accuracy in distinguishing
open arm vs. closed entry trials (***p<0.0001) in vehicle conditions, while it decreased to 70% of accuracy
(***p<0.0001) following D1 activation (two-way ANOVA). Data are: mean ± SEM.
1297

a Insular dopamine dynamics during anxiety-related behaviors

	aIC	pIC	D1 aIC	D2 aIC
EPM OA entry	↑	∅	↑	∅
EPM OA	↑ –**– ↑		↑	↑
OFT center	↑ –**– ↑		↑	↑

b Correlations between dopamine dynamics, anxiety level and distance travelled

		Anxiety level (time spent in exposed zones)		Velocity during recording	
		EPM OA	OFT center		
EPM OA	aIC	p=0.646		p=0.228	EPM OA
	pIC	p=0.839		p=0.286	
	D1 aIC	⊖ ***p=0.0006		p=0.577	
	D2 aIC	p=0.396		p=0.698	
OFT center	aIC		p=0.052	p=0.062	OFT center
	pIC		p=0.611	p=0.887	
	D1 aIC		⊖ *p=0.015	p=0.147	
	D2 aIC		p=0.749	p=0.457	

DA signal (z-scored dFF)

significant (*p<0.05, **p<0.01) ⊖ negative correlation

c Systemic and region-specific effects of D1 receptor manipulation on anxiety-related behaviors

Administration	Drug	Dose	Action	Region	Specie	Assay	Behavioral measure	Effect	Reference
Systemic	SKF38393	10 mg/kg	D1R agonist	-	Rat	EPM	↓ Number of head dips ↔ Time in open arms	Anxiogenic	Moraes et al. 2024
Systemic	SCH23390	0.025 mg/kg	D1R antagonist	-	Mouse	EPM	↑ Number of head dips ↔ Time in open arms	Anxiolytic	Rodgers et al. 1994
Bilateral local infusion	SKF38393	0.25 µg/rat	D1R agonist	Basolateral Amygdala	Rat	EPM	↓ Time in open arms	Anxiogenic	Bananej et al. 2012
Bilateral local infusion	SCH23390	1 µg/rat	D1R antagonist	Ventral Pallidum	Rat	EPM	↔ Time in open arms	∅	Ollmann et al. 2022
Bilateral local infusion	SCH23390	0.12 µg/side	D1R antagonist	Basolateral Amygdala	Rat	LDT	↑ Time in light zone	Anxiolytic	de la Mora et al. 2005
Bilateral local infusion	SCH23390	0.5 µg/rat	D1R antagonist	Dorsal Hippocampus	Mouse	Hole board	↓ Number of head dips	Anxiogenic	Nasehi et al. 2011
Bilateral local infusion	SCH23390	1 µg/side	D1R antagonist	Basolateral Amygdala	Rat	EPM	↓ Time in open arms	Anxiogenic	Zarrindast et al. 2011

d

		Insula neural coding metrics after systemic D1 activation relative to vehicle					Correlation with anxiety
		PCA: Principal Component Analysis		CEBRA: Consistent EmBeddings of high-dimensional Recordings using Auxiliary variables			
		Neural trajectory	SVM decoding	Cluster distance	Cluster entropy	SVM decoding	Cluster entropy
Anxiety-related behaviors	CA entry	↑	↓	↓	↓	↓	⊕ vehicle ⊕ SKF
	OA entry	↔			↓		∅ ∅
Anxiety-related spaces	CA			↔	↑	↓	∅ ∅
	OA				↓		∅ ⊖ SKF

Extended Data Fig. 7: Summary tables.
a, Dopamine dynamics in the anterior and posterior insula upon the entrance to EPM open arms, within open arms and in the center of the OFT. **b,** Correlations between dopamine signal, anxiety level and distance travelled. **c,** Table summarizing systemic and region-specific effects of D1 receptor pharmacological manipulations on anxiety-related behaviors. **d,** Anterior insula neural coding metrics after systemic D1 activation relative to vehicle and cluster entropy correlations with anxiety (summarizing Main results from Fig. 5 and 6).

Bibliography

1. Méndez-Ruette, M. *et al.* The Role of the Rodent Insula in Anxiety. *Front. Physiol.* **10**, (2019).
2. Nicolas, C. *et al.* Linking emotional valence and anxiety in a mouse insula-amygdala circuit. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 5073 (2023).
3. Buff, C. *et al.* Specifically altered brain responses to threat in generalized anxiety disorder relative to social anxiety disorder and panic disorder. *NeuroImage Clin.* **12**, 698–706 (2016).
4. Bananej, M., Karimi-Sori, A., Zarrindast, M. R. & Ahmadi, S. D1 and D2 dopaminergic systems in the rat basolateral amygdala are involved in anxiogenic-like effects induced by histamine. *J. Psychopharmacol. (Oxf.)* **26**, 564–574 (2012).

5.   de la Mora, M. P., Gallegos-Cari, A., Arizmendi-García, Y., Marcellino, D. & Fuxe, K. Role of dopamine
receptor mechanisms in the amygdaloid modulation of fear and anxiety: Structural and functional
analysis. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **90**, 198–216 (2010).

6.   Godino, A. *et al.* Dopamine D1–D2 signalling in hippocampus arbitrates approach and avoidance.
Nature 1–10 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41586-025-08957-5.

7.   Hare, B. D. & Duman, R. S. Prefrontal cortex circuits in depression and anxiety: contribution of discrete
neuronal populations and target regions. *Mol. Psychiatry* **25**, 2742–2758 (2020).

8.   Hayden, E. P. *et al.* The dopamine D2 receptor gene and depressive and anxious symptoms in
childhood: associations and evidence for gene–environment correlation and gene–environment
interaction. *Psychiatr. Genet.* **20**, 304–310 (2010).

9.   Tong, Q. *et al.* D1 receptor-expressing neurons in ventral tegmental area alleviate mouse anxiety-like
behaviors via glutamatergic projection to lateral septum. *Mol. Psychiatry* **28**, 625–638 (2023).

10.   Zarrindast, M.-R. & Khakpai, F. The Modulatory Role of Dopamine in Anxiety-like Behavior. *Arch. Iran.*
Med. **18**, 591–603 (2015).

11.   Hjorth, O. R. *et al.* Expectancy effects on serotonin and dopamine transporters during SSRI treatment
of social anxiety disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *Transl. Psychiatry* **11**, 1–8 (2021).

12.   Belzung, C. & Griebel, G. Measuring normal and pathological anxiety-like behaviour in mice: a review.
Behav. Brain Res. **125**, 141–149 (2001).

13.   Daviu, N., Bruchas, M. R., Moghaddam, B., Sandi, C. & Beyeler, A. Neurobiological links between
stress and anxiety. *Neurobiol. Stress* **11**, 100191 (2019).

14.   Etkin, A. & Wager, T. D. Functional Neuroimaging of Anxiety: A Meta-Analysis of Emotional Processing
in PTSD, Social Anxiety Disorder, and Specific Phobia. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **164**, 1476–1488 (2007).

15.   Paulus, M. P. & Stein, M. B. An insular view of anxiety. *Biol. Psychiatry* **60**, 383–387 (2006).

16.   Shah, S. G., Klumpp, H., Angstadt, M., Nathan, P. J. & Phan, K. L. Amygdala and insula response to
emotional images in patients with generalized social anxiety disorder. *J. Psychiatry Neurosci. JPN* **34**,
296–302 (2009).

17.   Klumpp, H., Fitzgerald, D. A. & Phan, K. L. Neural predictors and mechanisms of cognitive behavioral
therapy on threat processing in social anxiety disorder. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry*
45, 83–91 (2013).

18.   Alvarez, R. P. *et al.* Increased anterior insula activity in anxious individuals is linked to diminished
perceived control. *Transl. Psychiatry* **5**, e591–e591 (2015).

19.   MacLeod, C., Grafton, B. & Notebaert, L. Anxiety-Linked Attentional Bias: Is It Reliable? *Annu. Rev.*
Clin. Psychol. **15**, 529–554 (2019).

20.   Park, S., Cho, J. & Huh, Y. Role of the anterior insular cortex in restraint-stress induced fear behaviors.
Sci. Rep. **12**, 6504 (2022).

21.   Bromberg-Martin, E. S., Matsumoto, M. & Hikosaka, O. Dopamine in motivational control: rewarding,
aversive, and alerting. *Neuron* **68**, 815–834 (2010).

22.   Watabe-Uchida, M., Eshel, N. & Uchida, N. Neural Circuitry of Reward Prediction Error. *Annu. Rev.*
Neurosci. **40**, 373–394 (2017).

23.   Bjerke, I. E., Carey, H., Bjaalie, J. G., Leergaard, T. B. & Kim, J. H. The developing mouse
dopaminergic system: Cortical-subcortical shift in D1/D2 receptor balance and increasing regional
differentiation. *Neurochem. Int.* **182**, 105899 (2025).

24.   Liu, Zhang, L., Wang, T. & Chen, L. Dopamine D1 receptor in the medial prefrontal cortex mediates
anxiety-like behaviors induced by blocking glutamatergic activity of the ventral hippocampus in rats.
Brain Res. **1704**, 59–67 (2019).

25.   Sun, F. *et al.* Next-generation GRAB sensors for monitoring dopaminergic activity in vivo. *Nat. Methods*
17, 1156–1166 (2020).

26.   Zhuo, Y. *et al.* Improved green and red GRAB sensors for monitoring dopaminergic activity in vivo. *Nat.*
Methods **21**, 680–691 (2024).

27.   Patriarchi, T. *et al.* Ultrafast neuronal imaging of dopamine dynamics with designed genetically
encoded sensors. *Science* **360**, eaat4422 (2018).

28.   Gehrlach, D. A. *et al.* A whole-brain connectivity map of mouse insular cortex. *eLife* **9**, e55585 (2020).

29.   Glangetas, C. *et al.* A population of Insula neurons encodes for social preference only after acute social
isolation in mice. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 7142 (2024).

30.   Mathis, A. *et al.* DeepLabCut: markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep
learning. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1281–1289 (2018).

31. Bordes, J. *et al.* Automatically annotated motion tracking identifies a distinct social behavioral profile following chronic social defeat stress. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 4319 (2023).

32. Pellow, S., Chopin, P., File, S. E. & Briley, M. Validation of open:closed arm entries in an elevated plus-maze as a measure of anxiety in the rat. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **14**, 149–167 (1985).

33. Schneider, S., Lee, J. H. & Mathis, M. W. Learnable latent embeddings for joint behavioural and neural analysis. *Nature* **617**, 360–368 (2023).

34. Ohara, P. T. *et al.* Dopaminergic input to GABAergic neurons in the rostral agranular insular cortex of the rat. *J. Neurocytol.* **32**, 131–141 (2003).

35. Beier *et al.* Circuit Architecture of VTA Dopamine Neurons Revealed by Systematic Input-Output Mapping. *Cell* **162**, 622–634 (2015).

36. Poulin, J.-F. *et al.* Mapping projections of molecularly defined dopamine neuron subtypes using intersectional genetic approaches. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1260–1271 (2018).

37. Lerner, T. N. *et al.* Intact-Brain Analyses Reveal Distinct Information Carried by SNc Dopamine Subcircuits. *Cell* **162**, 635–647 (2015).

38. Lammel, S., Ion, D. I., Roeper, J. & Malenka, R. C. Projection-specific modulation of dopamine neuron synapses by aversive and rewarding stimuli. *Neuron* **70**, 855–862 (2011).

39. Lammel, S. *et al.* Input-specific control of reward and aversion in the ventral tegmental area. *Nature* **491**, 212–217 (2012).

40. Lammel, S., Lim, B. K. & Malenka, R. C. Reward and aversion in a heterogeneous midbrain dopamine system. *Neuropharmacology* **76 Pt B**, 351–359 (2014).

41. Nguyen, C. *et al.* Nicotine inhibits the VTA-to-amygdala dopamine pathway to promote anxiety. *Neuron* **109**, 2604-2615.e9 (2021).

42. Hurd, Y. L., Suzuki, M. & Sedvall, G. C. D1 and D2 dopamine receptor mRNA expression in whole hemisphere sections of the human brain. *J. Chem. Neuroanat.* **22**, 127–137 (2001).

43. Anastasiades, P. G., Boada, C. & Carter, A. G. Cell-Type-Specific D1 Dopamine Receptor Modulation of Projection Neurons and Interneurons in the Prefrontal Cortex. *Cereb. Cortex N. Y. N 1991* **29**, 3224–3242 (2019).

44. Gaspar, P., Bloch, B. & Le Moine, C. D1 and D2 Receptor Gene Expression in the Rat Frontal Cortex: Cellular Localization in Different Classes of Efferent Neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **7**, 1050–1063 (1995).

45. Meador-Woodruff, J. H. *et al.* Dopamine Receptor mRNA Expression in Human Striatum and Neocortex. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **15**, 17–29 (1996).

46. Harris, K. D. & Shepherd, G. M. G. The neocortical circuit: themes and variations. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 170–181 (2015).

47. Santana, N., Mengod, G. & Artigas, F. Quantitative analysis of the expression of dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in pyramidal and GABAergic neurons of the rat prefrontal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex N. Y. N 1991* **19**, 849–860 (2009).

48. Jenni, N. L., Larkin, J. D. & Floresco, S. B. Prefrontal dopamine D1 and D2 receptors regulate dissociable aspects of decision-making via distinct ventral striatal and amygdalar circuits. *J. Neurosci.* https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0030-17.2017 (2017) doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0030-17.2017.

49. Bertran-Gonzalez, J. *et al.* Opposing Patterns of Signaling Activation in Dopamine D1 and D2 Receptor-Expressing Striatal Neurons in Response to Cocaine and Haloperidol. *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 5671–5685 (2008).

50. Gangarossa, G. *et al.* Frontiers | Spatial distribution of D1R- and D2R-expressing medium-sized spiny neurons differs along the rostro-caudal axis of the mouse dorsal striatum. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neural-circuits/articles/10.3389/fncir.2013.00124/full (2013).

51. Gerfen, C. R. *et al.* D1 and D2 Dopamine Receptor-regulated Gene Expression of Striatonigral and Striatopallidal Neurons. *Science* **250**, 1429–1432 (1990).

52. Aragona, B. J. *et al.* Regional specificity in the real-time development of phasic dopamine transmission patterns during acquisition of a cue-cocaine association in rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **30**, 1889–1899 (2009).

53. Molas, S., Zhao-Shea, R., Freels, T. G. & Tapper, A. R. Viral Tracing Confirms Paranigral Ventral Tegmental Area Dopaminergic Inputs to the Interpeduncular Nucleus Where Dopamine Release Encodes Motivated Exploration. *eNeuro* **10**, (2023).

54. Moraes, M. A. *et al.* Effects of L-Dopa, SKF-38393, and quinpirole on exploratory, anxiety- and depressive-like behaviors in pubertal female and male mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* **459**, 114805 (2024).

55. Rodgers, R. J., Nikulina, E. M. & Cole, J. C. Dopamine D1 and D2 receptor ligands modulate the behaviour of mice in the elevated plus-maze. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **49**, 985–995 (1994).

56. Chocyk, A., Czyrak, A. & Wedzony, K. Dopamine D1-like receptors agonist SKF 38393 increases cFOS expression in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus--impact of acute and chronic cocaine. *J. Physiol. Pharmacol. Off. J. Pol. Physiol. Soc.* **59**, 425–440 (2008).

57. De Risi, M. *et al.* Cortico-striatal circuit mechanisms drive the effects of D1 dopamine agonists on memory capacity in mice through cAMP/PKA signalling. *Nat. Commun.* **16**, 2615 (2025).

58. Huang, Q. *et al.* Immunohistochemical localization of the D1 dopamine receptor in rat brain reveals its axonal transport, pre- and postsynaptic localization, and prevalence in the basal ganglia, limbic system, and thalamic reticular nucleus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **89**, 11988–11992 (1992).

59. Nasehi, M., Mafi, F., Oryan, S., Nasri, S. & Zarrindast, M. R. The effects of dopaminergic drugs in the dorsal hippocampus of mice in the nicotine-induced anxiogenic-like response. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **98**, 468–473 (2011).

60. Ollmann, T. *et al.* Effect of D1- and D2-like Dopamine Receptor Antagonists on the Rewarding and Anxiolytic Effects of Neurotensin in the Ventral Pallidum. *Biomedicines* **10**, 2104 (2022).

61. Chang, S. *et al.* Molecular and neural mechanisms of behavioural integration in the extended-amygdala. 2024.04.29.591588 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.29.591588 (2024).

62. Kamm, G. B. *et al.* Central infusion of prostaglandin E2 reveals a unified representation of sickness in the mouse insular cortex. 2025.04.28.651028 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.04.28.651028 (2025).

63. Mathis & Mathis. Joint modelling of brain and behaviour dynamics with artificial intelligence. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **27**, 87–100 (2026).

64. Cazalé-Debat, L. *et al.* Mating proximity blinds threat perception. *Nature* 1–9 (2024) doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07890-3.

65. Kutlu, M. G., Tat, J., Christensen, B. A., Zachry, J. E. & Calipari, E. S. Dopamine release at the time of a predicted aversive outcome causally controls the trajectory and expression of conditioned behavior. *Cell Rep.* **42**, (2023).

66. Vander Weele, C. M. *et al.* Dopamine enhances signal-to-noise ratio in cortical-brainstem encoding of aversive stimuli. *Nature* **563**, 397–401 (2018).

67. Zweifel, L. S. *et al.* Activation of dopamine neurons is critical for aversive conditioning and prevention of generalized anxiety. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 620–626 (2011).

68. Ju, A., Fernandez-Arroyo, B., Wu, Y., Jacky, D. & Beyeler, A. Expression of serotonin 1A and 2A receptors in molecular- And projection-defined neurons of the mouse insular cortex. *Mol. Brain* **13**, 1–13 (2020).

69. Lister, RichardG. The use of a plus-maze to measure anxiety in the mouse. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* **92**, (1987).

70. Prut, L. & Belzung, C. The open field as a paradigm to measure the effects of drugs on anxiety-like behaviors: a review. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **463**, 3–33 (2003).

71. Muir, J. *et al.* In Vivo Fiber Photometry Reveals Signature of Future Stress Susceptibility in Nucleus Accumbens. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **43**, 255–263 (2018).

72. Pachitariu, M., Sridhar, S., Pennington, J. & Stringer, C. Spike sorting with Kilosort4. *Nat. Methods* **21**, 914–921 (2024).

73. Miranda, L., Bordes, J., Pütz, B., Schmidt, M. V. & Müller-Myhsok, B. DeepOF: a Python package for supervised and unsupervised pattern recognition in mice motion tracking data. https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.05394 (2023).