**Palmitoylated Importin α Regulates Mitotic Spindle Orientation Through Interaction with**

**NuMA**

**Authors**

Patrick James Sutton[1,*] and Christopher W. Brownlee[1,2]

**Author Affiliations and Footnotes**

[1]Department of Pharmacological Sciences, Stony Brook University; Stony Brook, 11794, United

States of America.

[2]Lead Contact

*Correspondence: Patrick.Sutton@stonybrook.edu (P.J.S),

Christopher.Brownlee@stonybrook.edu (C.W.B)

**Abstract**

Regulation of cell division orientation is a fundamental process critical to differentiation

and tissue homeostasis. Microtubules emanating from the mitotic spindle pole bind a conserved

complex of proteins at the cell cortex which orients the spindle and ultimately the cell division

plane. Control of spindle orientation is of particular importance in developing tissues, such as the

developing brain. Misorientation of the mitotic spindle and thus subsequent division plane

misalignment can contribute to improper segregation of cell fate determinants in developing

neuroblasts, leading to a rare neurological disorder known as microcephaly. We demonstrate that

the nuclear transport protein importin α, when palmitoylated, plays a critical role in mitotic

spindle orientation through localizing factors, such as NuMA, to the cell cortex. We also observe

craniofacial developmental defects in *Xenopus laevis* when importin α palmitoylation is

abrogated, including smaller head and brains, a hallmark of spindle misorientation and

microcephaly. These findings characterize not only a role for importin α in spindle orientation,

but also a broader role for importin α palmitoylation which has significance for many cellular

processes.

**Introduction**

Mitotic spindle orientation is a fundamental cellular process which regulates cell division

orientation, a crucial aspect of mitosis that when dysregulated can lead to uncontrolled

asymmetric division and overproliferation, or developmental defects due to premature stem cell

differentiation, depending on the cell type (Bergstralh and St Johnston, 2014; Bergstralh et al.,

2017; Charnley et al., 2013; Finegan and Bergstralh, 2019). Neuronal development in particular

is heavily reliant on properly oriented cell divisions to ensure the correct timing of neuron

differentiation, a process that when disturbed can result in severe defects, such as microcephaly

(Razuvaeva et al., 2023; Higgins and Goldstein, 2010; Konno et al., 2008).

Mitotic spindle orientation is largely controlled by the anchoring of astral microtubules

(aMTs) to the cell cortex in metaphase, and the pulling force generated by the dynein/dynactin

motor complex on aMTs during anaphase (Kiyomitsu and Cheeseman, 2012; Kotak and Gönczy,

2013; Toyoshima and Nishida, 2007; Pietro et al., 2016; Bergstralh et al., 2017; Singh et al.,

2021; Yang et al., 2014). aMTs are anchored at the cortex by a conserved complex of proteins

consisting of Gαi, which through myristylation is thought to associate with the plasma

membrane (PM), Leucine-Glycine-Asparagine repeat containing protein (LGN), which binds to

Gαi, and Nuclear Mitotic Apparatus (NuMA), which binds to LGN and dynein/dynactin,

facilitating the strong pulling force on the aMTs necessary for maintenance of spindle orientation

in metaphase (Higgins and Goldstein, 2010; Pietro et al., 2016; Camuglia et al., 2022; Kiyomitsu

and Cheeseman, 2012; Fankhaenel et al., 2023; He et al., 2023; Kiyomitsu and Boerner, 2021;

Zheng et al., 2013; Okumura et al., 2018; Pirovano et al., 2019; Du and Macara, 2004; Neville et

al., 2022; Yu et al., 2000; Bowman et al., 2006; Carvalho et al., 2015). While all of these factors

play a role in aMT anchoring during metaphase, only NuMA and dynein/dynactin are required

during anaphase to ensure the proper separation of chromatin to the poles (Bergstralh and St

Johnston, 2014). Additionally, it has been shown that LGN and Gαi are only required for NuMA

localization to the cell cortex during metaphase, not anaphase (Okumura et al., 2018; Kiyomitsu

and Boerner, 2021). However, it remains unclear how these factors localize to the PM during

metaphase and how this membrane localization is maintained there when it is believed that only

a singly myristoylated Gαi is responsible for membrane association of the entire aMT anchoring

complex. The necessity for a significant pulling force towards the PM during metaphase to orient

the mitotic spindle and during anaphase to facilitate separation of chromatin to the poles suggests

that an additional factor, which can associate with the PM via multiple interaction points, may be

playing a role in aMT anchoring.

Importin $\alpha$ is known for functioning as a nuclear transport adapter in interphase and as a

spindle assembly factor during mitosis through its ability to bind Nuclear Localization Signal

(NLS) sequence containing proteins (Takeda et al., 2011; Oka and Yoneda, 2018; Goldfarb et al.,

2004). However in recent years, a number of proteomic screens for palmitoylated proteins

utilizing a variety of biochemical methods and mass spectrometry verification have identified

human importin $\alpha$-1 (KPNA2) as a target for palmitoylation (Won and Martin, 2018; Thinon et

al., 2018; Serwa et al., 2015; Mariscal et al., 2020; Schelar and Liu, 2008; Zhou et al., 2019;

Sobocinska et al., 2018). Palmitoylation is a reversible and dynamic process which modifies

proteins post translationally with palmitate lipids allowing both diffusion and vesicle mediated

transport of palmitoylated proteins to the PM (Guan and Fierke, 2011). Additionally, recent work

in *Xenopus laevis* (*X. laevis*) revealed that importin $\alpha$ can be reversibly sequestered to the PM

via palmitoylation of 4 key residues, 3 of which are conserved in humans (Brownlee and Heald,

2019). This same study found that when palmitoylated, importin $\alpha$ acts as an evolutionarily

conserved cell-surface area-to-volume sensor that coordinately scales nuclear and spindle size to

cell size. Following this work, mass spectrometry methods have confirmed palmitoylation of at

least one cysteine in human KPNA2 in addition to the 3 residues conserved from *X. laevis*

importin $\alpha$-1 (Zhou et al., 2019) and palmitoylation prediction screens have identified additional

cysteine residues in human KPNA2 likely to be palmitoylated with high confidence. These

findings raise the intriguing possibility that importin $\alpha$ may have roles other than as a nuclear

import adapter upon palmitoylation (Brownlee and Heald, 2019).

Due to the requirement of an exceedingly strong pulling force to drive orientation of the

spindle and chromatin separation to the poles, we hypothesize that palmitoylated importin $\alpha$

could provide a sufficiently strong interaction with the PM to anchor aMTs in mitosis. Importin

$\alpha$ when modified with palmitate lipids at multiple residues would provide a significantly

increased membrane association compared to singly myristoylated $G\alpha i$ and could increase the

membrane association of the aMT anchoring complex as a whole if bound to the factors

involved. Notably, NuMA as well as Discs Large (Dlg), another protein recently implicated in

spindle orientation (Bergstralh et al., 2016; Carvalho et al., 2015; Saadaoui et al., 2014; Schiller

and Bergstralh, 2021), contain strongly predicted NLS sequences suggesting importin $\alpha$ may be

responsible for their cellular localization through importin $\alpha$-NLS binding. Previous literature

has also investigated the effects of deleting the NLS of NuMA and determined that NuMA's NLS

is required for its cortical localization (Okumura et al., 2018). Additionally, findings which

demonstrate that NuMA does not require LGN or $G\alpha i$ to localize to the polar cortex during late

anaphase raise the intriguing possibility that palmitoylated importin $\alpha$ may play a role in

NuMA's mitotic localization. We hypothesize that palmitoylated importin $\alpha$ could bind NuMA's

NLS in metaphase, transport it to the PM and therefore be necessary for NuMA's localization in

metaphase and early anaphase, and sufficient for NuMA's localization during late anaphase

(Okumura et al., 2018; Kiyomitsu and Boerner, 2021).

A key factor driving protein localization and spindle formation in mitosis is a gradient of

RanGTP generated by the chromosome-tethered RanGEF, RCC1 (Kalab and Heald, 2008). In

interphase, the same localized concentration of RanGTP in the nucleus facilitates release of cargo

from importins for proper nuclear transport (Kalab and Heald, 2008; Ozugergin and Piekny,

2021). All of these processes are driven by the binding of RanGTP to importin $\beta$, which causes

the dissociation of importins and any bound cargo (Kalab and Heald, 2008; Oka and Yoneda,

2018). In mitosis, chromosomes are arranged during metaphase in such a manner that the

tethered RCC1 generates a high concentration of RanGTP at the midline of the cell, but a low

concentration of RanGTP towards the poles (Ems-McClung et al., 2020). The lack of RanGTP at

the polar cortex and the abundance of RanGTP at the lateral cortex during metaphase due to the

equatorial localization of the mitotic Ran gradient indicates that importin $\alpha$ can remain bound to

NLS containing cargo at the polar cortex exclusively. Importantly, this is where aMTs are

anchored and NuMA has been found to localize (Kiyomitsu and Cheeseman, 2012; Oka and

Yoneda, 2018; Chang et al., 2017; Kalab and Heald, 2008; Ems-McClung et al., 2020). This

suggests that the Ran gradient can regulate the localization of NLS containing proteins at the PM

and therefore restrict localization of NuMA to the polar cortex, through interaction with

palmitoylated importin $\alpha$. Additionally, it has been shown that manipulation of the Ran gradient

disrupts spindle orientation and the localization of spindle orientation factors (Kiyomitsu and

Cheeseman, 2013).

In the present work we demonstrate that importin $\alpha$ when palmitoylated is a key regulator

of mitotic spindle orientation through localization of NuMA to the PM during metaphase and as

such palmitoylation of importin $\alpha$ is required for proper control of cell division orientation. We

show that palmitoylated importin $\alpha$ can localize throughout the PM in mitotic cells and that

palmitoylation of importin $\alpha$ is required for both proper spindle orientation and proper NuMA

localization in metaphase. While importin $\alpha$ localizes to the entire PM when palmitoylated (at

both the lateral and polar cortex), the accumulation of RanGTP near the metaphase plate would

preclude importin $\alpha$ binding to NuMA, leading to accumulation of NuMA specifically to the

polar cortex, where aMTs are anchored. We also explore the effects of importin $\alpha$ palmitoylation

disruption on neuronal development in *X. laevis* and observe microcephaly which can be rescued

upon forcing importin α to the PM independent of palmitoylation, further supporting that PM

localization of palmitoylated importin α is a key regulator of mitotic spindle orientation.

**Results**

131
**Importin α Localizes to The Mitotic Polar Cortex at Metaphase in a Palmitoylation-**

**Dependent, but Not Cargo-Dependent Manner**

Importin α has long been recognized for its role in spindle assembly during mitosis by

facilitating the transport of spindle assembly factors to the midline of the cell, where mitotic

spindles form (Kalab and Heald, 2008; Kaláb et al., 2006; Weaver and Walczak, 2015; Goldfarb

et al., 2004). However, recent work has demonstrated in *X. laevis* that importin α can be post

translationally modified with palmitate lipids to drive PM localization (Brownlee and Heald,

2019). These palmitoylation sites are conserved in human importin α and additional

palmitoylation sites have been confirmed by palmitoylation prediction screens (Supplemental

Figure 1A) and multiple mass spectrometry studies of global palmitoylated proteins (Mariscal et

al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2019; Won and Martin, 2018; Thinon et al., 2018; Serwa et al., 2015;

Sobocinska et al., 2018). Additionally, NLS prediction screens have shown an enrichment of

NLS containing proteins which localize to the PM and play a role in a variety of cellular

processes, including spindle orientation (Supplemental Figure 1B&C).This suggests that

palmitoylated importin α may be involved in mitotic cellular processes outside of spindle

assembly at the PM by binding NLS containing proteins and localizing them there.

We sought to investigate other possible roles of palmitoylated importin α in mitosis by

either increasing or decreasing levels of palmitoylated importin α, as well as inhibiting importin

α's ability to bind or release cargo. To manipulate palmitoylation of importin α, we targeted the

specific proteins responsible for palmitoylating and depalmitoylating importin α. Palmitoylation

is catalyzed by palmitoyl acyl transferases (PATs), which attach palmitoyl groups to serine or

cysteine residues, while depalmitoylation is carried out by acyl protein thioesterases (APTs)

which remove palmityl groups (Guan and Fierke, 2011). To modulate palmitoylation levels

specifically, we used small molecule inhibitors to target porcupine (PORCN), the serine PAT

responsible for palmitoylation of serine residues on importin α, and APT1, the APT responsible

for depalmitoylation of importin α (Brownlee and Heald, 2019). HCT116 colorectal cancer cells

were selected for this study due to their established role as a model system for both spindle

orientation and NuMA localization (Okumura et al., 2018; Tsuchiya et al., 2021).

We investigated the role of importin α in palmitoylation-mediated PM targeting using

HCT116 cells synchronized in metaphase and treated with either DMSO (control) or Wnt-C59, a

competitive inhibitor of PORCN (Proffitt et al., 2013). Wnt-C59 treatment would be expected to

result in a reduction in cellular palmitoylated importin α levels, leading to a decrease in the

population of importin α localized to the PM. Conversely, palmostatin treatment, which inhibits

APT1 (Dekker et al., 2010; Lin and Conibear, 2015), would be expected to result in an increase

in palmitoylated importin α levels, known as hyper-palmitoylation, which would increase the

population of importin α localized to the PM.

Mitotic importin α cellular localization was assessed after 1 hour in the respective drug

treatments, with cell boundaries determined by bright-field microscopy (Supplemental Figure 2).

In DMSO control cells, importin α is observed localizing to centrosomes, near the assembling

spindles, and at the PM (Figure 1A). Notably, Wnt-C59 treatment resulted in a 6-fold decrease of

importin α signal at the PM and a concurrent enrichment of importin α signal in the cytoplasm

(Figure 1A-D), while palmostatin treatment did not alter importin α PM localization compared to DMSO control treatment (Figure 1A-D). PM localization in DMSO control cells was confirmed by western blot analysis of subcellular-fractioned HCT116 cells. HCT116 cells were metaphase arrested and fractionated into 4 fractions: nuclear, cytoplasmic, organelles, and PM. The PM and cytoplasmic fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted for β-tubulin, as a cytoplasmic marker, plasma membrane $Ca^{2+}$ ATPase1 (PMCA1), as a PM marker, and importin α. β-tubulin was detected only in the cytoplasmic fraction and PMCA1 was detected only in the PM fraction demonstrating proper isolation of cellular fractions. However, importin α was detected in both the cytoplasmic and PM fractions confirming our immunofluorescence findings that importin α localizes to the PM in metaphase-arrested cells (Figure 1E). These results collectively suggest that palmitoylation of importin α during metaphase is required for its proper localization to the cortex.

The localization of importin α during metaphase was also analyzed in the presence of drugs that disrupt its ability to bind and release cargo. Metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells were treated with either ivermectin, a small molecule inhibitor that prevents the binding of importin α to NLS containing cargoes (Wagstaff et al., 2012) or importazole, a small molecule inhibitor of importin based nuclear transport that prevents RanGTP mediated release of cargo from importins (Soderholm et al., 2011) (Figure 1A-D). We observed that in both importazole and ivermectin treated cells, importin α remained unperturbed at the PM (Figure 1A-D). Notably, in all drug treatments mitotic spindles were still formed properly despite disruption of importin α palmitoylation or NLS cargo binding. Taken together, these results suggest importin α partitions to the mitotic cortex via palmitoylation and does not require binding of NLS-containing cargoes to localize to the PM.

**Importin α Palmitoylation and Cargo Binding Is Required for Proper Mitotic Spindle**

**Orientation**

We next sought to determine the effect of both disrupting importin α's ability to properly

bind NLS-containing cargo and importin α mislocalization on the orientation of the metaphase

mitotic spindle. HCT116 cells were metaphase-arrested and treated with DMSO, Wnt-C59,

palmostatin, importazole, ivermectin, or 2-bromopalmitate, a pan palmitoylation inhibitor (Lin

and Conibear, 2015). The angle of the metaphase spindle was then calculated using the relative

horizontal and vertical distances between the two spindle poles (Figure 1F). Mitotic spindles

were found to be misoriented in all treatments other than palmostatin relative to the DMSO-

treated control (Figure 1G & H). Interestingly, disruption of importin α palmitoylation (Wnt-C59

treatment), which was previously shown to alter mitotic importin α localization, and disruption

of importin α's ability to properly bind and release cargo (ivermectin and importazole treatments

respectively) both resulted in spindle misorientation. These data suggest that importin α

palmitoylation is required for proper spindle orientation, and spindle orientation is dependent

upon importin α binding of NLS-containing cargo.

To determine if the spindle misorientation phenotypes observed when HCT116 cells were

treated with palmitoylation disrupting drugs were specifically due to mislocalization of importin

α from the cell cortex, we constructed a plasmid containing importin α modified with a C-

terminal CaaX domain.  Addition of the CaaX domain to importin α facilitates its cortical

localization through farnesylation, irrespective of its palmitoylation status (Supplemental Figure

3). Unlike palmitoylation, farnesylation is irreversible, enabling CaaX-modified proteins to be

directed to the membrane and remain tethered there(Tang et al., 2009; Tamanoi et al., 2001).

HCT116 cells were transfected via nucleofection to express CaaX-modified importin α or an

unmodified importin α 24 hours prior to metaphase arrest and subsequent drug treatment with

DMSO or Wnt-C59. We observed that HCT116 cells overexpressing unmodified importin α

exhibited significantly misoriented spindle structures when treated with Wnt-C59 compared to

DMSO treatment (Figure 1I).  However, HCT116 cells overexpressing CaaX-modified importin

α did not exhibit misorientation of spindle structures under the same conditions (Figure 1I),

therefore rescuing spindle misorientation in Wnt-C59 treatment. This suggests that the spindle

misorientation phenotypes observed when palmitoylation is disrupted are specifically due to

mislocalization of importin α to the mitotic cell cortex.

Several cancer cell lines have been shown to harbor various mutations which exacerbate

mitotic spindle orientation phenotypes (Chhabra and Booth, 2021). Therefore, we repeated the

previous spindle orientation experiment using an hTERT immortalized cell line, RPE-1, treated

with Wnt-C59 and palmostatin (Figure 1J). We again observed that Wnt-C59, but not palmostatin

treatment resulted in mitotic cells with significantly misoriented spindle structures relative to the

DMSO control (Figure 1K). This confirmed the findings in HCT116 cells and further suggests

that palmitoylation of importin α is required for proper mitotic spindle orientation.

**Importin α Interacts with NuMA but not Dlg at the Metaphase Cell Cortex**

Mitotic spindle orientation in vertebrates is mediated through aMT anchoring at the cell

cortex via a conserved protein complex consisting of LGN, Gαi, NuMA and dynein/dynactin

(Bergstralh et al., 2017); additionally, while the membrane protein Dlg has also been implicated

in spindle orientation, its exact interaction within this complex is unknown. Of these known

spindle orientation proteins, only NuMA and Dlg contain predicted and conserved NLS

sequences and are thus potential binding partners of importin α.

We therefore sought to probe if importin α can directly bind to either of these proteins at

the mitotic cortex using a rolling amplification based proximity ligation assay (PLA) (Alam,

2018). Importin α interaction with NuMA or Dlg was quantified by measuring the number of

PLA foci in 3 regions of interest at the polar cortex, lateral cortex, and in the cytoplasm to

determine where in the cell these proteins were interacting (Figure 2A). PLA was performed on

metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells in DMSO control, Wnt-C59 and palmostatin treated conditions

using specific antibodies to importin α and either NuMA or Dlg (Figure 2B and C, respectively).

The assay revealed that importin α and NuMA interact at the polar cortex of DMSO treated

metaphase cells, but not Wnt-C59 treated cells. Additionally, NuMA and importin α interactions

were found at both the polar and lateral cortices in palmostatin treated cells (Figure 2D).

Palmostatin treated cells also exhibited a marked enrichment of importin α-NuMA interactions at

the centrosomes and along spindle structures (Figure 2D) suggesting that importin α could

associate more strongly with NuMA when hyper-palmitoylated. It is noteworthy that importin α

and NuMA were only found to interact at the polar cortex in control conditions as we have

previously demonstrated that palmitoylated importin α localizes throughout the mitotic PM at

both the polar and lateral cortex (Figure 1A). This is to be expected, as although importin α is

present throughout the cortex, the presence of the RanGTP gradient at the midline of the cell

prevents importin α from binding or remaining bound to the NLS of NuMA at the lateral cortex,

limiting their interaction to the polar cortex where aMTs are anchored.

When probing for importin α interaction with Dlg, we observed that while importin α

and Dlg were found to interact throughout the cytosol, this interaction was not enriched at any

specific cellular location and was not altered by Wnt-C59 or palmostatin treatment (Figure 2E).

These results collectively suggest that precise importin α palmitoylation levels are required to

maintain the importin α-NuMA interaction at the mitotic polar cortex and that importin α and

Dlg do not interact at the PM. A potential explanation for importin α interacting with NuMA

specifically and not Dlg is that these proteins contain distinct NLS sequences, such that NuMA

has a bipartite NLS while Dlg is predicted to have a monopartite NLS (Chang et al., 2017). It is

plausible that palmitoylated importin α, which would need to bind to these proteins in order to

localize them to the membrane, binds preferentially to some NLS containing cargo over others.

We then confirmed the importin α/NuMA interaction via immunoprecipitation of the

endogenous proteins from metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells treated with DMSO, Wnt-C59 and

palmostatin (Figure 2F). While importin α and NuMA were found to associate in all conditions,

interestingly, hyper-palmitoylated importin α due to palmostatin treatment resulted in an

approximately 4X increase in NuMA association, suggesting that palmitoylation status

influences importin α cargo-binding. The observations of increased importin α-NuMA

interaction at centrosomes when importin α is hyper-palmitoylated (Figure 2B) and of increased

importin α-NuMA binding when hyper-palmitoylated (Figure 2F) could be due to the

palmitoylated residues of importin α lying within the NLS binding domain (Brownlee and

Heald, 2019). Specifically, cysteine 223 (palmitoylated residue confirmed by mass spectrometry)

and cysteine 237 (palmitoylated residue conserved from *X. laevis*) of human KPNA2 lies within

the major NLS binding domain which may partially occlude the major NLS binding site

affecting palmitoylated importin α's ability to bind some cargoes.

To account for potential differences in NuMA or importin α protein levels after drug

treatments, we performed a western blot and found that none of the treatments altered protein

levels in metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells (Figure 2G, H & I). Taken together, these results

suggest that importin α interacts with NuMA at the mitotic polar cortex in a palmitoylation-

dependent manner.

**NuMA Localization During Metaphase is Dependent on Its Ability to Bind Palmitoylated**

**Importin α**

It has previously been shown that deletion of NuMA's NLS causes mislocalization of

NuMA away from the polar cortex (Okumura et al., 2018). Based on this and our findings that

importin α palmitoylation is required for proper spindle orientation, we reasoned that importin α

may be driving NuMA's mitotic localization to the polar cortex through binding NuMA's NLS

and anchoring it to the PM. We next sought to determine how disruption of importin α

palmitoylation and its ability to properly bind and release cargo could affect NuMA's mitotic

localization.

Metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells expressing mClover-NuMA were treated with

palmitoylation and importin α cargo binding altering drugs and analyzed for NuMA localization

in metaphase (Figure 3A). NuMA intensity was measured at three cellular locations (polar

cortex, lateral cortex, and cytoplasm). To account for cell-to-cell differences in fluorescent

intensity these measurements were normalized by calculating the ratio of polar cortex/lateral

cortex, polar cortex/cytoplasm, and lateral cortex/cytoplasm fluorescent intensities. In the DMSO

control there is an enrichment of NuMA at the polar cortex over the lateral cortex as has been

previously characterized (Kiyomitsu and Boerner, 2021). It is worth noting that while importin α

localizes to the PM at both the lateral and polar cortices (Figure 1A), NuMA only localizes to the

polar cortex in control conditions (Figure 3A). This is expected as the RanGTP gradient at the

midline of the cell would prevent NLS containing cargo, such as NuMA, from remaining bound

to importin α at the lateral cortex. Strikingly, NuMA polar cortex enrichment was lost in all drug

conditions (Figure 3B). In Wnt-C59 and ivermectin treated cells, NuMA localization shifts away

from the polar cortex and is instead enriched in the cytoplasm compared to the DMSO control

(Figure 3C). In palmostatin and importazole treated cells, NuMA localization no longer enriched

at the polar cortex, but rather localized throughout the cortical membrane, including the lateral

cortex (Figure 3D). This unexpected lateral cortex localization when importin α is hyper-

palmitoylated upon palmostatin treatment, or cannot release cargo upon importazole treatment,

suggests that importin α may be an upstream regulator of proper NuMA localization at the polar

cortex. These results suggest that palmitoylated importin α membrane localization and cargo

binding is necessary for NuMA's localization and maintenance to the mitotic polar cortex.

**Disruption of Importin α Palmitoylation Results in Microcephaly Phenotypes in *X. laevis***

319           Having established the role of palmitoylated importin α in mitotic spindle orientation by

localizing NuMA to the polar cortex and maintaining it there, we subsequently sought to validate

these findings in vivo. Numerous centrosomal and mitotic spindle orientation defects have been

linked to microcephaly, as the resulting misorientation of cell division during brain development

leads to a depletion of neuroprogenitor cells, and consequently, total brain tissue at the

completion of development (Bergstralh and St Johnston, 2014). The African clawed frog

*Xenopus laevis* has been used extensively as a model system to study microcephaly and

developmental craniofacial abnormalities (Kennedy and Dickinson, 2014; Lasser et al., 2019;

Shantanam and MUELLER, 2018). Consequently, we utilized this model organism to determine

whether mislocalization of importin α at the PM and subsequent spindle misorientation might

lead to microcephaly or microcephaly-associated phenotypes. Leveraging our in vitro

observations, we analyzed the effects of disrupting mitotic spindle orientation by abrogating

importin α palmitoylation in the developing *X. laevis* model system.

*X. laevis* eggs were fertilized, placed in drug baths containing DMSO, Wnt-C59 or

palmostatin at approximately NF stage 24 and allowed to develop until NF stage 42 when

craniofacial measures can first be made (Figure 4A) (Kennedy and Dickinson, 2014; Shantanam

and MUELLER, 2018). Drug treated *X. laevis* embryos were assessed for craniofacial defects by

three metrics: distance between eyes, snout length and overall head area. Embryos were

immobilized in MS-222 and imaged from a dorsal perspective allowing for direct measurement

of cranial morphometrics. Both Wnt-C59 and palmostatin treatments significantly altered all

three metrics of head shape/size (Figure 3B) indicating that precise importin α palmitoylation is

required for proper craniofacial development in *X. laevis*. In addition to alterations in head shape,

drug treated tadpoles also exhibited other body morphology defects. Notably, Wnt-C59 treated

tadpoles exhibited much smaller tails than other conditions and a much higher mortality rate than

other conditions (Supplemental Figure 4).

While craniofacial abnormalities are a hallmark of microcephaly, we wanted to directly

measure potential microcephaly phenotypes. We therefore sought to quantify the neuroprogenitor

population in the various drug treated *X. laevis* tadpoles. DMSO, Wnt-C59 and palmostatin

treated *X. laevis* embryos were analyzed at NF stage 46, when brain development expansion

reaches its apex (Exner and Willsey, 2021) by immunostaining for nestin, a neuroprogenitor

marker (Suzuki et al., 2010). Immunofluorescence images were taken of tadpole forebrains and

analyzed for the number of total cells, determined by DNA signal, that were positive for nestin,

indicating a neuroprogenitor identity (Figure 4C). Due to higher mortality rate in Wnt-C59

treated *X. laevis* embryos, no Wnt-C59 treated tadpoles survived to NF stage 46 to be analyzed,

indicative of severe developmental defects in both the brain and other tissue. Palmostatin treated

*X. laevis* embryos survived to NF stage 46 at the same rate as DMSO controls but exhibited a

significantly diminished neuroprogenitor population (Figure 4D). While total cell count remained

the same from DMSO to palmostatin treatments, the number of nestin positive cells decreased

(Figure 4D). This decrease in neuroprogenitors at NF stage 46 suggests that the morphometric

defects observed previously are indicative of true microcephaly, which is characterized by

defects in neuroprogenitor population maintenance.

To further characterize the observed microcephaly phenotypes NF stage 42 drug treated

*X. laevis* embryos were analyzed for the number of phosphohistone 3 (PH3) positive cells, a

marker for actively dividing cells (Elmaci et al., 2018), in the brain. Embryos treated with

DMSO or Wnt-C59 were wholemount immunostained for DNA and PH3 and imaged via

confocal microscopy to determine the number of cells positive for PH3 in the brain (Figure 5A).

Wnt-C59 treated embryos exhibited a 6-fold decrease in the number of actively dividing cells

compared to DMSO control conditions (Figure 5D). Additionally, Wnt-C59 treated embryos at

NF stage 42 displayed deformed brains which lacked mid and forebrain patterning. These results

together with our morphometric measurements indicate that dysregulation of palmitoylation

causes craniofacial defects and particularly microcephaly in *X. laevis* embryos.

**Forcing Importin α to the PM Rescues *X. laevis* Microcephaly Phenotypes Observed When**

**Palmitoylation is Disrupted**

Up to this point we have exclusively altered importin α palmitoylation using small

molecule inhibitors, such as Wnt-C59 and palmostatin. Wnt-C59, however, exhibits off-target

effects by inhibiting the PAT PORCN, which palmitoylates Wnt for secretion in addition to

importin α. Given the crucial role of Wnt signaling in stemness and whole body development in

*X. laevis* (Yu et al., 2024), it is plausible that the observed effects in Wnt-C59-treated embryos

are off-target effects and cannot be directly attributed to importin α palmitoylation. Critically, to

address these off-target effects we investigated whether the loss of mitotic cells in the brain

leading to microcephaly was specifically caused by inhibiting importin α palmitoylation. To this

end, we utilized CaaX-modified importin α to force it to the cell membrane independently of

palmitoylation. Previously, we demonstrated that expression of CaaX-modified importin α could

rescue spindle misorientation phenotypes induced by Wnt-C59 treatment in human cell culture

(Figure 1E). By forcing importin α to the cell membrane while importin α palmitoylation is

abrogated, we can determine whether the microcephaly phenotype observed in Wnt-C59-treated

*X. laevis* embryos is specifically attributable to the absence of membrane-bound importin α or

other off-target effects.

We found that when *X. laevis* embryos were injected with CMV promoter-driven

wildtype and CaaX importin α exhibited increased mortality and developmental defects likely

due to overexpression associated issues (Supplemental Figure 5). To combat this, an importin α-

CaaX construct was developed in a tetracycline inducible vector, pcDNA4TO, that would allow

for titratable levels of importin α expression.  Additionally, we mitigated global developmental

defects of importin α overexpression by targeting expression exclusively to the D11 blastomere

which is fated to give rise to the brain (Moody, 1987a; b*). X. laevis* embryos were co-injected

with importin α-CaaX pcDNA4TO and a plasmid expressing the tet repressor at the D11

blastomere and analyzed for potential rescue of microcephaly (Figure 5B).

Importantly, *X. laevis* embryos microinjected with importin α-CaaX pcDNA4TO at the

D11 blastomere and treated with Wnt-C59 exhibited a rescue of PH3 levels in the brain at NF

stage 42 compared to uninjected Wnt-C59 treated embryos (Figure 5D). Additionally, the

microinjected tadpoles exhibited brain morphology more similar to the canonical brain

morphology at this stage, as seen in the DMSO control, than compared with the uninjected Wnt-

C59 treated embryos (Figure 5A&B). When treated with Wnt-C59, Importin α-CaaX injected

embryos showed brain PH3 levels about 2.5 times higher than both uninjected embryos and

embryos injected at D11 with an mCherry tagged CaaX domain (Figure 5C&D). Taken together,

these results suggest that disrupting importin α palmitoylation leads to a loss of dividing cells in

the developing *X. laevis* brain, which subsequently causes microcephaly. Furthermore, the

expression of the membrane-bound importin α CaaX construct, but not the uninjected, or

mCherry CaaX constructs, can rescue both brain cell proliferation and attenuate microcephaly in

*X. laevis* compared to drug treatment alone. This finding aligns with our in vitro work in cell

culture, where forcing importin α to the cell cortex was able to rescue spindle misorientation

(Figure 1I). These findings demonstrate that the membrane localization of importin α is

sufficient to rescue palmitoylation disruption-induced spindle misorientation in vitro and

microcephaly in vivo.

**Discussion**

Importin α is known to act almost exclusively as a nuclear transport protein by binding

NLS sequence containing proteins. In the present study we have demonstrated a previously

uncharacterized role for importin α at the PM. We have shown that importin α, when partitioned

to the PM via palmitoylation, plays a crucial role in mitotic spindle orientation. Disruption of

importin α palmitoylation resulted in mitotic spindle misorientation and mislocalization of the

aMT anchoring protein NuMA. While LGN and Gαi are necessary to ensure proper spindle

orientation in metaphase (Bergstralh et al., 2017; Neville et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2022), we

have shown that importin α, while palmitoylated, is also required for proper NuMA localization

and in turn proper spindle orientation, irrespective of LGN and Gαi. Our finding that importin α

plays a role in spindle orientation through interaction with the aMT anchoring complex is

especially noteworthy in that importin α's ability to bind cargo is sensitive to the RanGTP

gradient which emanates from the chromatin. As NuMA is a known cargo of importin α and has

been shown in this work to interact with palmitoylated importin α at the polar cortex, this

provides a regulatory pathway by which the localization of the chromatin and the RanGTP

gradient can determine where aMTs are anchored. This relationship is able to explain the well-

defined spatial organization of the aMT anchoring complex exclusively to the polar cortex which

has been shown to be essential for proper spindle orientation (Bergstralh et al., 2017)(Figure 6).

Our results demonstrated that disruption of importin α palmitoylation leads to

developmental defects in *X. laevis* embryos, specifically microcephaly. Defects in neurogenesis,

in particular microcephaly, have long been linked to spindle misorientation(Taverna et al., 2014).

Neuroprogenitors rely on proper spindle orientation to correctly align polarity cues which

regulate cell fate determination in the developing brain (Taverna et al., 2014). During early

neurogenesis, neuroprogenitors rely on several symmetric divisions to generate a large enough

population of cells to later divide asymmetrically and differentiate into neurons. Spindle

misorientation can cause neuroprogenitors to prematurely differentiate, depleting the pool of

neuroprogenitors, resulting in an overall decrease in neuronal tissue and microcephaly (Taverna

et al., 2014; Razuvaeva et al., 2023). Expression of importin α modified with a CaaX motif,

which is forced to the PM by farnesylation, effectively rescued both the spindle misorientation

observed in HCT116 cells and the developmental defects observed in *X. laevis*. These findings

further emphasize the role of palmitoylated importin α in spindle orientation and neurogenesis.

The observed rescues not only demonstrate importin α's involvement in aMT anchoring, but also

that the spindle misorientation and microcephaly observed when palmitoylation was disrupted by

Wnt-C59 treatment are not due to off-target effects and can be attributed, in part, to

mislocalization of importin α from the PM, as those phenotypes are reversed by forcing importin

α to the PM.

We propose an updated model for mitotic spindle orientation in which aMT anchoring at

the cell cortex is mediated by palmitoylated importin α through its interaction with NuMA

(Figure 6). In this newly proposed model, the RanGTP gradient plays a significant role in

limiting the binding of NuMA to palmitoylated importin α to the polar cortex exclusively. This

provides a mechanism by which palmitoylated importin α can regulate spindle orientation by

binding directly to NuMA with spatial binding cues provided by the RanGTP gradient emanating

from the chromosomes at the metaphase plate (Figure 6). Further studies to explore NuMA

activation via phosphorylation, which has been previously linked with NuMA PM localization

and association with the aMT anchoring complex of proteins (Gallini et al., 2016), and its impact

on binding to palmitoylated importin α would be beneficial to expand this model and provide a

robust view of NuMA localization in mitosis. It is reasonable to hypothesize that phosphorylated

NuMA could bind with differential preference to palmitoylated importin α similar to our

observation that hyper-palmitoylation of importin α increased NuMA/importin α interaction, but

this warrants further study and remains speculative.

The present work not only highlights the importance of importin α as a key upstream

regulator in mitotic spindle orientation, but also serves as the first evidence of a novel protein

transport pathway by which palmitoylated importin α can transport NLS containing proteins to

the PM. This new transport pathway could be involved in several cellular processes due to the

abundance of NLS containing proteins that enrich at the PM and provides a potential new level

of regulation of these processes through regulation of importin α palmitoylation. Overall, our

work challenges the long-standing dogma of importin α only facilitating transport into the

nucleus and suggests that there are a number of potential non-canonical roles for importin α at

the membrane.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Natalie Mosqueda, Kathryn Malone, and Melanie Garcia for their constructive

feedback on this work, engaging discussions, and edits during the writing of this manuscript. We

thank Maurice Kernan, Holly Colognato, Gerald Thomsen, and Daniel Levy for their insights

and suggestions on experimental approach. We thank the Stony Brook University Central

Microscopy Imaging Center (CMIC) and Guowei Tian for assistance in imaging with Zeiss LSM

980 Airsycan 2 NLO Two-Photon Confocal Microscope. Work was supported by National

Institutes of Health grant 1R35GM147569-01 (C.W.B).

**Author Contributions**

C.W.B initially conceptualized the project. P.J.S and C.W.B designed the project. P.J.S performed

all experiments and analysis of data. P.J.S prepared the figures, and P.J.S and C.W.B wrote the

manuscript. C.W.B procured funding for this project.

491     **Figures**

**Figure 1. Palmitoylation Mediated Cortical Localization of Importin $\alpha$ and Importin $\alpha$ Cargo Binding are Required for Proper Mitotic Spindle Orientation.**

A) Immunofluorescence images of importin $\alpha$ localization in metaphase-arrested (refer to Materials & Methods) HCT116 cells incubated for 1 hour with DMSO, drugs that inhibit importin palmitoylation (10$\mu$M Wnt-C59) drugs that enhance importin palmitoylation (50$\mu$M palmostatin), and drugs that inhibit importin cargo binding (25$\mu$M ivermectin) or cargo release (40$\mu$M importazole). Yellow arrows indicate cortical poles and white arrows indicate lateral cortex. Scale bar=5$\mu$m. Cell boundaries determined by brightfield.

B-D) Quantification of importin $\alpha$ localization in drug treated cells. Measurements of importin $\alpha$ signal intensity were made at three cellular locations: polar cortex, lateral cortex and cytoplasm. Polar cortex measurements were made for each cell at the pole with the higher measure of intensity, a similar method was used for lateral cortex measurements and cytoplasm measurements were made at the midline of the cell. These measurements were normalized to each other on a cell-by-cell basis by determining the ratio of cortical vs lateral importin $\alpha$, cortical vs cytosolic importin $\alpha$, and lateral vs cytosolic importin $\alpha$. Mean +/- SEM n=60 from 2 replicates **=p<0.01.

E) Western blot of HCT116 cell fractions following subcellular fractionation to separate PM, cytoplasmic, organelle, and nuclear fractions. PM and cytoplasmic fractions shown. Western blots were immunostained for PMCA1 (PM marker), $\beta$-tubulin (cytoplasmic marker), and importin $\alpha$ (KPNA2).

F) Cartoon representation of a metaphase cell with misoriented spindles mounted on a coverslip indicating the angle, $\alpha$, which was measured as the arctangent of the horizontal distance, z, over

the vertical distance, x, between the two centrosomes to determine the angle of spindle

structures.

G) Immunofluorescence images of metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells in presence of DMSO or

10µM Wnt-C59 stained for NuMA. DMSO treated cell represents a properly oriented cell with a

spindle angle of 0 degrees relative to the parallel of the coverslip the cells were mounted on.

Wnt-C59 treated cell represents a severely misoriented cell with a spindle angle of 90 degrees

relative to the parallel of the coverslip the cells were mounted on. Scale bar=5µm

H) Quantification of mitotic spindle angles for metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells incubated in

DMSO, 10µM Wnt-C59, 50µM palmostatin, 40µM importazole, 25µM ivermectin, or 100µM 2-

bromopalmitate for 1 hour prior to analysis.  All drug treatments except palmostatin significantly

increased the mean spindle angle of metaphase cells relative to a DMSO control. Mean +/- SEM,

n=60 mitotic cells from 2 replicates *=$p<0.05$ **=$p<0.01$ ***=$p<0.001$ ****=$p<0.0001$. Refer

to Materials & Methods for method of determining spindle angle.

I) Quantification of mitotic spindle angles for metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells incubated with

DMSO or 10µM Wnt-C59 expressing importin α-mCherry-HA or importin α-mCherry-HA-

CaaX. Cells expressing importin α-mCherry-HA-CaaX showed no spindle misorientation when

treated with Wnt-C59. Mean +/- SEM, n=60 mitotic cells from 2 replicates *=$p<0.05$

****=$p<0.0001$.

J) Immunofluorescence images of metaphase-arrested RPE-1 cells incubated with DMSO, 10µM

Wnt-C59, or 50µM palmostatin for 1 hour prior to analysis stained for γ-tubulin. DMSO treated

cells are representative of cells with properly oriented spindles. Wnt-C59 treated cells were

significantly misoriented and palmostatin treated cells were properly oriented when compared to

DMSO control. Scale bar=5µm

K) Quantification of mitotic spindle angles for metaphase-arrested RPE-1 cells incubated with

DMSO, 10μM Wnt-C59 or 50μM palmostatin for 1 hour prior to analysis. Wnt-C59 treatment

significantly increased the mean spindle angle of metaphase cells relative to a DMSO control

while palmostatin treatment did not significantly increase the mean spindle angle relative to a

DMSO control.  Mean +/- SEM, n=60 mitotic cells from 2 replicates *=p<0.05.

**Figure 2. Importin α Interacts with NuMA, but not Dlg at the Metaphase Cell Cortex in a**

**Palmitoylation Dependent Manner.**

A) Cartoon schematic of PLA quantification. ROIs of quantification represented by dashed lines.

B) Immunofluorescence images of DuoLink proximity ligation assay probing interaction of

NuMA with importin α (KPNA2) in interphase and metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells in the

presence of DMSO, 10μM Wnt-C59 or 50μM palmostatin for 1 hour prior to analysis. White

dashed lines indicate cell borders as determined by brightfield images. Scale bar=5μm.

C) Immunofluorescence images of DuoLink proximity ligation assay probing interaction of Dlg

with importin α (KPNA2) in interphase and metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells in the presence of

DMSO, 10μM Wnt-C59 or 50μM palmostatin.  White dashed lines indicate cell borders. Scale

bar=5μm.

D) Quantification of the percentage of importin α (KPNA2)-NuMA PLA foci at the polar cortex,

lateral cortex and cytoplasm in DMSO, Wnt-C59 and palmostatin treated cells. Foci was

enriched at the polar cortex in DMSO treated cells, the cytoplasm in Wnt-C59 treated cells, and

the lateral cortex in palmostatin treated cells. Mean +/- SEM, n>136 foci *=$p<0.05$

****=$p<0.0001$

E) Quantification of the percentage of importin α (KPNA2)-Dlg PLA foci at the polar cortex,

lateral cortex and cytoplasm in DMSO, Wnt-C59 and palmostatin treated cells. Localization of

PLA foci did not change across three drug treatments. Mean +/- SEM, n>297 foci

F) Western blot of NuMA Immunoprecipitation from HCT116 cells treated with DMSO, 10μM

Wnt-C59 or 50μM palmostatin for 1 hour prior to analysis.  Immunoprecipitation of NuMA

followed by importin α and NuMA western blot.

G) Western blot of importin α, NuMA and β-tubulin in metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells in the

presence of DMSO, 10μM Wnt-C59, 50μM Palmostatin, 40μM importazole, 25μM ivermectin,

or 100μM 2-bromopalmitate.

H and I) Quantification of NuMA and importin α (KPNA2) expression levels respectively,

relative to β-tubulin protein levels for each condition.

**Figure 3. Palmitoylated Importin α Regulates Cortical Localization of NuMA in**

**Metaphase.**

A) Confocal images of NuMA localization in metaphase-arrested HCT116 cells in the presence

of DMSO, 10μM Wnt-C59, 50μM palmostatin, 40μM importazole or 25μM ivermectin. Yellow

arrows indicate cortical poles and white arrows indicate lateral cortex. Scale bar=5μm.

B-D) Quantification of NuMA localization in drug treated cells. Measurements of NuMA signal

intensity were made at three cellular locations: polar cortex, lateral cortex and cytoplasm. Polar

cortex measurements were made for each cell at the pole with the higher measure of intensity, a

similar method was used for lateral cortex measurements and cytoplasm measurements were

made at the midline of the cell. These measurements were normalized to each other on a cell-by-

cell basis by determining the ratio of polar vs lateral NuMA, polar vs cytosolic NuMA, and

lateral vs cytosolic NuMA. Mean +/- SEM n>40 *=p<0.05 **=p<0.01 ***=p<0.001

****=p<0.0001.

**Figure 4. Regulation of Palmitoylation is Required for Proper Brain Development in**

***Xenopus laevis.***

A) Bright field images of stage 42 *X. laevis* grown in the presence of DMSO, 100μM Wnt-C59

or 1mM palmostatin. Scale bar=500μm

B) Measurements of drug treated stage 42 *X. laevis* head shape by 3 metrics: distance between

eyes, snout length, and overall head area.  Mean +/- SEM n>55 *=$p<0.05$ ***=$p<0.001$

****=$p<0.0001$.  All 3 metrics of head shape were significantly altered from DMSO control in

both Wnt-C59 and palmostatin treatments.

C) Immunofluorescence images of DMSO treated stage 46 *X. laevis* immunostained for the

neuroprogenitor marker nestin and stained with Hoechst to visualize DNA. Scale bar=250μm.

D) Quantification of total cell count in forebrains and percentage of forebrain cells positive for

nestin signal in stage 46 *X. laevis* grown in the presence of DMSO, 100μM Wnt-C59 or 1mM

palmostatin. Quantifications were performed on maximum projection images from z-stack

images of *X. laevis* brains with a parent-child analysis to determine the number of total cells as

determined by Hoechst signal that also were positive for nestin signal.  Mean +/- SEM n=12

***=$p<0.001$.  All Wnt-C59 treated *X. laevis* died before reaching stage 46 while all palmostatin

treated *X. laevis* display a significantly reduced neuroprogenitor population by nestin positive

cell count.

**Figure 5. Overexpression of CaaX Modified Importin α in the Developing *Xenopus laevis***

**Brain Partially Rescues Developmental Defects due to PORCN Inhibition.**

A) Confocal images of stage 42 *X. laevis* brains from *X. laevis* grown in the presence of DMSO

or 100μM Wnt-C59 immunostained for phospho-histone H3, a marker of actively dividing cells.

Scale bar = 250μm.

B) Confocal images of stage 42 *X. laevis* brains from *X. laevis* expressing importin α modified

with a c-terminal CaaX domain which forces cortical localization via farnesylation and grown in

the presence of 100μM Wnt-C59 immunostained for phospho-histone H3 and the modified

importin α-CaaX construct.

C) Confocal images of stage 42 *X. laevis* brains from *X. laevis* expressing an mCherry construct

modified with a c-terminal CaaX domain and grown in the presence of 100μM Wnt-C59

immunostained for phospho-histone H3 and the modified CaaX construct.

D) Quantification of the number of phospho-histone H3 positive cells in stage 42 *X. laevis* brains

of *X. laevis* grown in the presence of DMSO or 100μM Wnt-C59 and expressing importin α-

CaaX or mCherry-CaaX.  Mean +/- SEM n>10 *=$p<0.05$ ****=$p<0.0001$.  Wnt-C59 treated *X.*

*laevis* showed a significantly reduced number of phospho-histone H3 positive cells in the brain

compared to DMSO treated *X. laevis*. *X. laevis* expressing importin α-CaaX in the brain display

a partial rescue of the reduced phospho-histone H3 levels which was not recapitulated in *X.*

*laevis* expressing mCherry-CaaX.

**Figure 6. Importin α regulates mitotic spindle orientation through mediating NuMA**

**localization to the metaphase cortex and maintenance at the cell cortex through anaphase**

**in a palmitoylation dependent manner.**

Proposed model of palmitoylated importin α's role in astral microtubule anchoring as a

transporter of NuMA and a scaffold at the cell cortex for astral microtubule anchoring proteins to

maintain cortical localization throughout metaphase and anaphase.

## RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

### Lead Contact for Reagent and Resource Sharing

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Christopher W. Brownlee

(Christopher.Brownlee@stonybrook.edu).

### Materials Availability

Plasmids generated in this study are being deposited to Addgene.

### Data and Code Availability

- Microscopy data reported in this study will be shared by the lead contact upon request

- No original code was generated in this study

- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is

  available from the lead contact upon request

## EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

### Cell Culture

RPE-1 and HCT116 cells were cultured as previously described (Kiyomitsu and Cheeseman,

2012).

### Animal Models

All animals were maintained in accordance with standards established by the Division of

Laboratory Animal Resources at Stony Brook University

## METHOD DETAILS

### Cell Culture and Immunostaining

RPE-1 and HCT116 cells were cultured as previously described (Kiyomitsu and Cheeseman,

2012) in DMEM F-12 and McCoy's 5A media, respectively, supplemented with 5% FBS and

grown at 5% $CO_2$. Immunostaining was carried out on cells cultured onto fibronectin coated

coverslips, fixed with 4% PFA, permeabilized with PBS+0.2% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich

9036-19-5), and blocked with Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) in PBS+0.2% Triton X-100. The

coverslips were than incubated with antibodies diluted in PBS+0.2% Triton X-100 as follows:

Rabbit polyclonal anti-NuMA 1:1000 (Novus Biologicals), monoclonal living colors antibody

1:1000 (Takarabio), rabbit polyclonal anti-mcherry 1:1000 (Proteintech), monoclonal anti-

importin α 1:1000 (Proteintech), rabbit polyclonal anti-importin α 1:1000 (ABclonal),

monoclonal anti-β tubulin E7 1:1000 (DSHB), monoclonal anti-SAP97 1:1000 (Enzo Life

Sciences), rabbit polyclonal anti-γ tubulin 1:1000 (Sigma-Aldrich), donkey anti-mouse IgG AF-

488 1:1000 (Southern Biotech), donkey anti-rabbit IgG AF-488 1:1000 (Southern Biotech),

donkey anti-mouse IgG AF-568 1:1000 (Invitrogen) and donkey anti-rabbit IgG AF-488 1:1000

(Invitrogen). The coverslips were then mounted onto slides with ProLong Diamond Antifade

Mountant (ThermoFisher P36961).

**Mitotic Arrest and Drug Treatment**

RPE-1 and HCT116 cells were arrested in metaphase through a sequential drug treatment of RO-

3306 and MG-132. Cells were treated with 9μM RO-3306 to arrest at the G2/M transition for 20

hours at 37°C. Cells were then washed with fresh media three times to remove RO-3306 and

treated with 20μM MG-132 within 15 minutes of RO-3306 washout to arrest cells at metaphase.

Cells were incubated in MG-132 for 1 hour at 37°C. In experiments with palmitoylation and

importin function disrupting drug treatments cells were treated with DMSO, 10μM Wnt-C59,

50µM palmostatin, 40µM importazole, 25µM ivermectin, or 100µM 2-bromopalmitate with the

MG-132 treatment and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C.

**Spindle Angle Measurement**

Mitotic spindle angles of metaphase-arrested cells were determined by measuring the vertical

and horizontal distances between the centrosomes at each pole of the mitotic cell and calculating

the arctangent of the vertical distance divided by the horizontal distance as follows:

691
$$\alpha = \arctan\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)$$

The vertical distance was determined through imaging the cell and measuring the length of a line

drawn between each centrosome. The horizontal distance was determined through using a z-stack

of the mitotic cell, determining at which z-slice each centrosome was in optimal focus, and

calculating the z distance between each slice of optimal focus. Z-stacks were taken at a step

distance of 0.1µm with varying numbers of steps depending on individual cell size.

*X. laevis* **Fertilization**

*Xenopus laevis* adult females were induced to lay eggs by a priming injection of 100 U of

pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (PMSG) at least 48 hours before use and a boosting injection

of 500 U of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) 16 hours before use. Following the hCG

injection, adult female *X. laevis* were placed in a 2L water bath of 1X MMR (100 mM NaCl, 2

mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5 mM HEPES pH 7.8) overnight at 17°C.

approximately 16 hours following hCG injection, fresh eggs were collected by squeezing eggs

from ovulating frogs into a 10cm plastic petri dish. To fertilize eggs, a sperm solution made from

¼ of a male frog testis was placed in 1mL 1X MR (100 mM NaCl, 1.8 mM KCl, 2.0 mM $CaCl_2$,

1.0 $MgCl_2$, 5.0 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.6) and homogenized using scissors and a pestle. 1mL

of sperm solution was added dropwise to the freshly squeezed eggs and the dish was swirled to

form a monolayer of eggs and incubated for 3 mins. Dishes were flooded with milli-Q water and

incubated for an additional 10 mins. Eggs were dejellied with a 2% cysteine solution for 6

minutes with occasional swirling and washed 5 times with 1/3 MR. Fertilized eggs were

incubated at 23°C until the appropriate developmental stage. In experiments where *X. laevis*

embryos were drug treated, the embryos were placed into a bath of 1/3 MR containing either

DMSO, 100μM Wnt-C59, or 1mM palmostatin 24 hours post fertilization and kept in drug bath

until the appropriate developmental stage for each experiment. In drug treatment conditions,

morphometric defects made it often difficult to determine the exact stage of Wnt-C59 and

palmostatin treated embryos between NF stages 40 to 43, so analysis was conducted when the

DMSO treated embryos were at the appropriate stage.

*X. laevis* **Morphometric Measurements**

*X. laevis* embryos were analyzed for morphometric defects at stage 42 by immobilizing embryos

in a bath of 140μg/mL MS-222 and imaging at 4X mounted upright. All measurements were

made in ImageJ. Distance between eyes was determined by measuring the straight line distance

from the right most portion of the left eye to the left most portion of the right eye, snout length

was determined by drawing a line from the front of the left eye to the right eye and then

measuring the straight line distance from the center of this line to the mouth, and overall head

area was determined by measuring the area of a circle drawn around the head such that each eye

is completely within the circle and the circle does not extend beyond the snout.

*X. laevis* **Whole Mount Immunostaining**

*X. laevis* embryos were fixed in 4% PFA for 24 hours at 4°C. Following fixation, embryos were

immunostained by washing in PBS 3x20 min, photobleaching in a solution of 5% formamide and

1.2% hydrogen peroxide for 2 hours, washing in PBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBST) overnight at

4°C, blocking with 2% BSA in PBST for 3 hours at RT, incubating with 1° antibodies diluted in

PBST overnight at 4°C as follows: rabbit polyclonal anti-nestin 1:1000 (Sino Biological), rabbit

polyclonal anti-mCherry 1:1000 (Proteintech), and monoclonal anti-phospho Histone H3 1:1000

(Proteintech), washing 3x1 hour in PBST, incubating with 2° antibodies diluted in PBST

overnight at 4°C as follows: , washing 3x1 hour in PBST and mounting onto a coverslip in

fluoromount G. In cases where embryos were cleared before mounting, embryos were chilled in

1-propanol and incubated 2x5 minutes, cleared with 5mL Murray's (2 parts Benzyl Benzoate and

1 part Benzyl Alcohol) and then mounted onto a coverslip with fluoromount G.

**Plasmid Construct Development**

Plasmid constructs were cloned into pCS2+ and pcDNA4TO vectors from existing plasmid

constructs of importin $\alpha$, GFP, mCherry-CaaX, and NuMA-GFP.

**Embryo Microinjection**

Plasmid was loaded into a needle pulled from a 1mm glass capillary tube (TW100F-3, World

Percision Instruments) using a L/M-3P-A electrode/needle puller.  Embryos were placed in a

mesh-bottomed plastic dish with 2.5% Ficoll in 1/3 MR and microinjected with a 2nL droplet of

the appropriate plasmid using a Narishige IM-400 microinjector system equipped with a MM-3

micromanipulator (Narishige). For stage 1 injections embryos were injected directly at the

animal pole, for stage 2 injections 1 blastomere was injected at the animal pole, and for stage 5

injections the D11 blastomere was injected at roughly the middle of the blastomere (as per

Moody, 1987). pCS2+ plasmids were injected at a concentration of 10ng/$\mu$L such that the final

concentration of plasmid delivered was 20pg. pcDNA4TO plasmids were co-injected with

pcDNA6TR at concentrations of 5ng/$\mu$L and 25ng/$\mu$L respectively such that the final

concentration of total plasmid delivered was 60pg. Following injection, embryos were placed

into a new dish containing 2.5% Ficoll in 1/3 MR and incubated at 23°C for 4 hours after which

embryos were moved to a dish containing 1/3 MR and incubated at 23°C until appropriate

developmental stage. Embryos injected with pcDNA4TO + pcDNA6TR were placed in 1/3 MR

containing 12.5μg/mL doxycycline to induce gene expression 4 hours post injection and

transferred to fresh 1/3 MR with 12.5μg/mL doxycycline 24 hours post injection.

*X. laevis* **Nestin Positive Cell Count**

Stage 46 *X. laevis* embryos were whole mount fixed and stained for DNA (Hoechst) and nestin

(Rb α-nestin Sino Biological 100244-T08). Embryos were imaged at 20X magnification on an

EVOS M7000 epifluorescent microscope to generate a z-stack image of the brain of each

embryo. Z-stack images were processed in Celeste Image Analysis software for 3D-

deconvolution to remove background signal and using a parent child analysis measured the

number of DNA containing cells in the brain with overlapping nestin signal in the maximum

projection of the processed z-stack.

*X. laevis* **Phospho-Histone H3 Positive Cell Count**

Stage 42 *X. laevis* embryos were whole mount fixed and stained for DNA (Hoechst), Phospho-

histone H3, and mCherry to visualize mCherry tagged proteins from microinjected constructs.

Whole embryos were imaged at 10X on a Zeiss LSM 980 confocal microscope by imaging a Z-

stack of the brain. A maximum projection image from this z-stack was then processed in Celeste

Image Analysis Software and a 3D count was measured in an ROI around the brain to determine

the number of cells positive for Phospho-histone H3 signal. Phospho-histone H3 positive cell

counts were determined by thresholding the minimal signal such that individual positive cells

could be resolved from background fluorescence.

**Proximity Ligation Assay**

Proximity ligation assay (PLA) was performed using DuoLink PLA (Millipore Sigma) following

the recommended protocol. PLA analysis of importin α and NuMA interaction was performed in

mitotically arrested HCT 116 cells using mouse anti-importin α (Proteintech) and rabbit anti-

NuMA (Novus Biologicals). PLA analysis of importin α and Dlg interaction was performed in

mitotically arrested HCT 116 cells using rabbit anti-importin α (ABclonal) and mouse anti-

SAP97 (Enzo Life Sciences). Cells were imaged with an EVOS M7000 epifluorescent

microscope at 60X magnification. Localization of PLA fluorescent signal was quantified by

counting the number of foci within three separate ROIs of each cell. Polar cortex ROI was

defined as the region from the plasma membrane at each pole to the centrosomes. Lateral cortex

ROI was defined as the region from the plasma membrane to the chromatin between the

centrosomes. Cytosol ROI was defined as the region between the centrosomes excluding the

plasma membrane. The number of foci in each region was calculated as a percentage of the total

number of foci for that cell. Cell border was determined by a brightfield image of each mitotic

cell quantified.

**Cell Lysis and Western Blot Analysis**

Lysates of RPE-1 and HCT 116 cells were generated from 10cm dishes seeded with $1x10^6$ cells 2

days prior to lysis. Cells were collected by washing with ice cold PBS and scraping off the plate

into solution. Cells were spun at 100xg for 5 minutes, supernatant was aspirated and cells were

resuspended in 150μL RIPA buffer (150 mM sodium chloride, 1.0% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium

deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0) supplemented with 10μg/mL each of leupeptin,

pepstatin and chymostatin (LPC) protease inhibitors. Resuspended cells were rocked at 4°C for 1

hour and spun at 12,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C in an Eppendorf FA-45-24-11 rotor.

Supernatant containing cell lysate proteins was then mixed 1:1 with 2X laemlli buffer, boiled at

100ºC for 5 minutes and stored at -20ºC until use. Western blot analysis was performed on cell

lysates by running lysates through SDS-PAGE in a 7.5% or 5.0% Tris-glycine gel (dependent on

size of proteins being analyzed), transferring to a nitrocellulose membrane, and blotting for target

proteins. Western blot analysis for NuMA was performed with overnight transfer of SDS-PAGE

gel at 20V at 4ºC while all other proteins were performed with a transfer at 150V for 90 minutes

at room temperature.

**Co-Immuno Precipitation**

Co-immunoprecipitation was performed with Thermo Fisher IgG conjugated magnetic

Dynabeads following recommended protocol. Cell lysates were generated for

immunoprecipitation experiments with previously stated cell lysis protocol using a non-

denaturing lysis buffer (20 mMTris HCl pH 8, 137 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA) in

place of RIPA buffer.

**Mitotic Protein Localization Measurement**

To determine changes to KPNA2 and NuMA localization in cultured cells upon drug treatment,

cells were mounted onto fibronectin coated coverslips, arrested in metaphase, drug treated,

washed with cytoskeletal buffer (100mM NaCl, 300mM Sucrose, 3mM MgCl2, 10mM PIPES,

pH 6.9, supplemented with 250μL 1M EGTA and 250μL Triton X-100 per 50 mL immediately

before use), fixed with 4% PFA, and immunostained for DNA and KPNA2/NuMA. For KPNA2

localization cells were imaged using an EVOS M7000 at 100X with cell boundaries determined

using bright-field images. For NuMA localization cells were imaged using a Leica SP5 confocal

at 40X. To determine the cellular localization of target protein in each drug condition, 60 mitotic

cells were imaged per drug treatment and the fluorescent intensity of KPNA2 or NuMA signal

was measured in ImageJ at three cellular locations. A 10 pixel wide and 50 pixel long line was

drawn at one cortical pole, one lateral membrane, and along the midline of the cell and

measured. In order to normalize variations in intensity from inconsistent immunostaining, these

measurements were normalized to each other on a cell-by-cell basis by determining the ratio of

polar vs lateral signal, polar vs cytosolic signal, and lateral vs cytosolic signal. In the cases where

one cortical pole differed in intensity from the opposite cortical pole, the pole with the higher

measure of intensity was used for data analysis (the same method was used when measuring the

lateral poles).

**DNA Transfection**

HCT116 cells were seeded onto fibronectin coated coverslips. The following day media was

replaced with serum free media and a mixture of 1μg plasmid in 12μL polyethylenimine (PEI)

was added dropwise to cells. Cells were incubated in PEI mixture for 4 hours then media was

washed out and replaced with complete media and incubated overnight before fixation and

imaging.

**Subcellular Fractionation**

HCT116 cells were seeded in a 10cm dish and incubated at 37°C. Following 2 days of incubation

Cells were incubated with DMSO for 1 hour at 37°C then lifted from dish with ice cold PBS and

a cell scraper. Collected cells were then fractionated using the Minute Plasma Membrane/Protein

Isolation and Cell Fractionation kit from Invent Biotechnologies following the recommended

protocol.

**DNA Nucleofection**

HCT116 cells were transfected via nucleofection using LONZA SE cell line 4D-Nucleofector kit

(Catalog #V4XC-1032) following recommended protocol. Following nucleofection cells were

incubated for 24 hours before fixation and immunostaining for mitotic spindle angle analysis.

**Palmitoylation Prediction**

GPS-Palm (Ning et al., 2021) was employed for detection of potential palmitoylated cysteines

within human KPNA2. Cysteines above a threshold score of >0.6 (specificity > 85% and

accuracy > 82%) were considered to be likely palmitoylated.

**Nuclear Localization Signal, Cellular Localization, and Protein Function Prediction**

NucPred (Brameier et al., 2007) was used to determine which proteins in the human genome

contain potential NLS sequences. Any proteins above a threshold score of >0.63 (specificity >

71% and accuracy >53%) were considered potential NLS-sequence containing candidate

proteins. Proteins were then filtered to discard transmembrane proteins while retaining only

plasma membrane proteins, as identified by UniProt GO identifiers (Ashburner et al., 2000;

Aleksander et al., 2023). Proteins were then sorted by cellular localization and function using

GO enrichment analysis (Thomas et al., 2022).

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

All statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism 10.0. Comparisons between datasets

was determined by a student's t-test unless otherwise stated. Graphs represent the mean value +/-

the SEM unless otherwise stated. $*p<0.05$ $**p<0.01$ $***p<0.001$ $****p<0.0001$ unless

otherwise stated.

865
866

**References**

Alam, S.M. 2018. Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA). *Curr Protoc Immunol*. 123.

doi:10.1002/cpim.58.Proximity.

Aleksander, S.A., J. Balhoff, S. Carbon, J.M. Cherry, H.J. Drabkin, D. Ebert, M. Feuermann, P.

Gaudet, N.L. Harris, D.P. Hill, R. Lee, H. Mi, S. Moxon, C.J. Mungall, A. Muruganugan, T.

Mushayahama, P.W. Sternberg, P.D. Thomas, K. Van Auken, J. Ramsey, D.A. Siegele,

R.L. Chisholm, P. Fey, M.C. Aspromonte, M.V. Nugnes, F. Quaglia, S. Tosatto, M. Giglio,

S. Nadendla, G. Antonazzo, H. Attrill, G. Dos Santos, S. Marygold, V. Strelets, C.J.

Tabone, J. Thurmond, P. Zhou, S.H. Ahmed, P. Asanitthong, D. Luna Buitrago, M.N.

Erdol, M.C. Gage, M. Ali Kadhum, K.Y.C. Li, M. Long, A. Michalak, A. Pesala, A.

Pritazahra, S.C.C. Saverimuttu, R. Su, K.E. Thurlow, R.C. Lovering, C. Logie, S.

Oliferenko, J. Blake, K. Christie, L. Corbani, M.E. Dolan, L. Ni, D. Sitnikov, C. Smith, A.

Cuzick, J. Seager, L. Cooper, J. Elser, P. Jaiswal, P. Gupta, S. Naithani, M. Lera-Ramirez,

K. Rutherford, V. Wood, J.L. De Pons, M.R. Dwinell, G.T. Hayman, M.L. Kaldunski, A.E.

Kwitek, S.J.F. Laulederkind, M.A. Tutaj, M. Vedi, S.J. Wang, P. D'Eustachio, L. Aimo, K.

Axelsen, A. Bridge, N. Hyka-Nouspikel, A. Morgat, S.R. Engel, K. Karra, S.R. Miyasato,

R.S. Nash, M.S. Skrzypek, S. Weng, E.D. Wong, E. Bakker, T.Z. Berardini, L. Reiser, A.

Auchincloss, G. Argoud-Puy, et al. 2023. The Gene Ontology knowledgebase in 2023.

*Genetics*. 224:1–14. doi:10.1093/genetics/iyad031.

Ashburner, M., C.A. Ball, J.A. Blake, D. Botstein, H. Butler, J.M. Cherry, A.P. Davis, K.

Dolinski, S.S. Dwight, J.T. Eppig, M.A. Harris, D.P. Hill, L.I.-T.A. Kasarskis, S. Lewis,

J.C. Matese, J.E. Richardson, M. Ringwald, G.M. Rubin, and G. Sherlock. 2000. Gene

Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat. Genet.* 25:25–29.

doi:10.2174/1381612824666180522105202.

Bergstralh, D.T., N.S. Dawney, and D. St Johnston. 2017. Spindle orientation: A question of

complex positioning. *Dev.* 144:1137–1145. doi:10.1242/dev.140764.

Bergstralh, D.T., H.E. Lovegrove, I. Kujawiak, N.S. Dawney, J. Zhu, S. Cooper, R. Zhang, and

D.S. Johnston. 2016. Pins is not required for spindle orientation in the drosophila wing disc.

*Dev.* 143:2573–2581. doi:10.1242/dev.135475.

Bergstralh, D.T., and D. St Johnston. 2014. Spindle orientation: What if it goes wrong? *Semin.*

*Cell Dev. Biol.* 34:140–145. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.06.014.

Bowman, S.K., R.A. Neumüller, M. Novatchkova, Q. Du, and J.A. Knoblich. 2006. The

Drosophila NuMA Homolog Mud Regulates Spindle Orientation in Asymmetric Cell

Division. *Dev. Cell*. 10:731–742. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2006.05.005.

Brameier, M., A. Krings, and R.M. MacCallum. 2007. NucPred - Predicting nuclear localization

of proteins. *Bioinformatics*. 23:1159–1160. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm066.

Brownlee, C., and R. Heald. 2019. Importin α Partitioning to the Plasma Membrane Regulates

Intracellular Scaling. *Cell*. 176:805-815.e8. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.001.

Camuglia, J., S. Chanet, and A.C. Martin. 2022. Morphogenetic forces planar polarize LGN/Pins

in the embryonic head during Drosophila gastrulation. *bioRxiv*. 2022.01.07.475359.

Carvalho, C.A., S. Moreira, G. Ventura, C.E. Sunkel, and E. Morais-De-Sá. 2015. Aurora a

triggers Lgl cortical release during symmetric division to control planar spindle orientation.

*Curr. Biol.* 25:53–60. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.053.

Chang, C.C., T.L. Huang, Y. Shimamoto, S.Y. Tsai, and K.C. Hsia. 2017. Regulation of mitotic

spindle assembly factor NuMA by Importin-β. *J. Cell Biol.* 216:3453–3462.

doi:10.1083/jcb.201705168.

Charnley, M., F. Anderegg, R. Holtackers, M. Textor, and P. Meraldi. 2013. Effect of Cell Shape

and Dimensionality on Spindle Orientation and Mitotic Timing. *PLoS One*. 8.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066918.

Chhabra, S.N., and B.W. Booth. 2021. Asymmetric cell division of mammary stem cells. *Cell*

*Div.* 16:1–15. doi:10.1186/s13008-021-00073-w.

Dekker, F.J., O. Rocks, N. Vartak, S. Menninger, C. Hedberg, R. Balamurugan, S. Wetzel, S.

Renner, M. Gerauer, B. Schölermann, M. Rusch, J.W. Kramer, D. Rauh, G.W. Coates, L.

Brunsveld, P.I.H. Bastiaens, and H. Waldmann. 2010. Small-molecule inhibition of APT1

affects Ras localization and signaling. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 6:449–456.

doi:10.1038/nchembio.362.

Du, Q., and I.G. Macara. 2004. Mammalian Pins is a conformational switch that links NuMA to

heterotrimeric G proteins. *Cell*. 119:503–516. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2004.10.028.

Elmaci, I., M.A. Altinoz, R. Sari, and F.H. Bolukbasi. 2018. Phosphorylated Histone H3 (PHH3)

as a Novel Cell Proliferation Marker and Prognosticator for Meningeal Tumors: A Short

Review. *Appl. Immunohistochem. Mol. Morphol.* 26:627–631.

doi:10.1097/PAI.0000000000000499.

Ems-McClung, S.C., M. Emch, S. Zhang, S. Mahnoor, L.N. Weaver, and C.E. Walczak. 2020.

RanGTP induces an effector gradient of XCTK2 and importin α/β for spindle microtubule

cross-linking. *J. Cell Biol.* 219:1–15. doi:10.1083/jcb.201906045.

Exner, C.R.T., and H.R. Willsey. 2021. Xenopus leads the way: Frogs as a pioneering model to

understand the human brain. *Genesis*. 59. doi:10.1002/dvg.23405.

Fankhaenel, M., F. Sadat Golestan Hashemi, M. Mosa Hosawi, L. Mourao, P. Skipp, X. Morin,

C. LGJ Scheele, and S. Elias. 2023. Annexin A1 is a polarity cue that directs planar mitotic

spindle orientation during mammalian epithelial morphogenesis. *bioRxiv*. 454117.

doi:10.1038/s41467-023-35881-x.

Finegan, T.M., and D.T. Bergstralh. 2019. Division orientation: disentangling shape and

mechanical forces. *Cell Cycle*. 18:1187–1198. doi:10.1080/15384101.2019.1617006.

Gallini, S., M. Carminati, F. De Mattia, I.A. Asteriti, and G. Guarguaglini. 2016. NuMA

Phosphorylation by Aurora-A Orchestrates Spindle Orientation. *Curr. Biol.* 26:458–469.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.051.

Goldfarb, D.S., A.H. Corbett, D.A. Mason, M.T. Harreman, and S.A. Adam. 2004. Importin α: A

multipurpose nuclear-transport receptor. *Trends Cell Biol.* 14:505–514.

doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2004.07.016.

Guan, X., and C.A. Fierke. 2011. Understanding protein palmitoylation: Biological significance

and enzymology. *Sci. China Chem.* 54:1888–1897. doi:10.1007/s11426-011-4428-2.

He, S., J.P. Gillies, J.L. Zang, C.M. Córdoba-Beldad, I. Yamamoto, Y. Fujiwara, J. Grantham,

M.E. DeSantis, and H. Shibuya. 2023. Distinct dynein complexes defined by DYNLRB1

and DYNLRB2 regulate mitotic and male meiotic spindle bipolarity. *Nat. Commun.* 14:1–

15. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-37370-7.

Higgins, C.D., and B. Goldstein. 2010. Asymmetric cell division: A new way to divide

unequally. *Curr. Biol.* 23:R1029–R1031. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2006.05.022.

Kalab, P., and R. Heald. 2008. The RanGTP gradient - A GPS for the mitotic spindle. *J. Cell Sci.*

121:1577–1586. doi:10.1242/jcs.005959.

Kaláb, P., A. Pralle, E.Y. Isacoff, R. Heald, and K. Weis. 2006. Analysis of a RanGTP-regulated

gradient in mitotic somatic cells. *Nature*. 440:697–701. doi:10.1038/nature04589.

Kennedy, A.E., and A.J. Dickinson. 2014. Quantification of orofacial phenotypes in xenopus. *J.*

*Vis. Exp.* 1–14. doi:10.3791/52062.

Kiyomitsu, T., and S. Boerner. 2021. The Nuclear Mitotic Apparatus (NuMA) Protein: A Key

Player for Nuclear Formation, Spindle Assembly, and Spindle Positioning. *Front. Cell Dev.*

*Biol.* 9:1–12. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.653801.

Kiyomitsu, T., and I.M. Cheeseman. 2012. Chromosome-and-spindle-pole-derived signals

generate an intrinsic code for spindle position and orientation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 14:311–317.

doi:10.1038/ncb2440.

Kiyomitsu, T., and I.M. Cheeseman. 2013. XCortical dynein and asymmetric membrane

elongation coordinately position the spindle in anaphase. *Cell*. 154:391.

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.06.010.

Konno, D., G. Shioi, A. Shitamukai, A. Mori, H. Kiyonari, T. Miyata, and F. Matsuzaki. 2008.

Neuroepithelial progenitors undergo LGN-dependent planar divisions to maintain self-

renewability during mammalian neurogenesis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 10:93–101.

doi:10.1038/ncb1673.

Kotak, S., and P. Gönczy. 2013. Mechanisms of spindle positioning: Cortical force generators in

the limelight. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 25:741–748. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2013.07.008.

Lasser, M., B. Pratt, C. Monahan, S.W. Kim, and L.A. Lowery. 2019. The many faces of

Xenopus: Xenopus laevisas a model system to study Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome. *Front.*

*Physiol.* 10:1–12. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00817.

Lin, D.T.S., and E. Conibear. 2015. ABHD17 proteins are novel protein depalmitoylases that

regulate N-Ras palmitate turnover and subcellular localization. *Elife*. 4:1–14.

doi:10.7554/eLife.11306.

Mariscal, J., T. Vagner, M. Kim, B. Zhou, A. Chin, M. Zandian, M.R. Freeman, S. You, A.

Zijlstra, W. Yang, and D. Di Vizio. 2020. Comprehensive palmitoyl-proteomic analysis

identifies distinct protein signatures for large and small cancer-derived extracellular

vesicles. *J. Extracell. Vesicles*. 9. doi:10.1080/20013078.2020.1764192.

Moody, S.A. 1987a. Fates of the blastomeres of the 16-cell stage Xenopus embryo. *Dev. Biol.*

119:560–578. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(87)90059-5.

Moody, S.A. 1987b. Fates of the blastomeres of the 32-cell-stage Xenopus embryo. *Dev. Biol.*

122:300–319. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(87)90296-X.

Neville, K.E., T.M. Finegan, N. Lowe, P.M. Bellomio, D. Na, and D.T. Bergstralh. 2022. The

Drosophila mitotic spindle orientation machinery requires activation, not just localization.

*bioRxiv.*

Ning, W., P. Jiang, Y. Guo, C. Wang, X. Tan, W. Zhang, D. Peng, and Y. Xue. 2021. GPS-Palm:

A deep learning-based graphic presentation system for the prediction of S-palmitoylation

sites in proteins. *Brief. Bioinform.* 22:1836–1847. doi:10.1093/bib/bbaa038.

Oka, M., and Y. Yoneda. 2018. Importin α: Functions as a nuclear transport factor and beyond.

*Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. B Phys. Biol. Sci.* 94:259–274. doi:10.2183/pjab.94.018.

Okumura, M., T. Natsume, M.T. Kanemaki, and T. Kiyomitsu. 2018. Dynein–dynactin–NuMA

clusters generate cortical spindle-pulling forces as a multiarm ensemble. *Elife.* 7:1–24.

doi:10.7554/eLife.36559.

Ozugergin, I., and A. Piekny. 2021. Complementary functions for the Ran gradient during

division. *Small GTPases.* 12:177–187. doi:10.1080/21541248.2020.1725371.

Pietro, F., A. Echard, and X. Morin. 2016. Regulation of mitotic spindle orientation: an

integrated view. *EMBO Rep.* 17:1106–1130. doi:10.15252/embr.201642292.

Pirovano, L., S. Culurgioni, M. Carminati, A. Alfieri, S. Monzani, V. Cecatiello, C. Gaddoni, F.

Rizzelli, J. Foadi, S. Pasqualato, and M. Mapelli. 2019. Hexameric NuMA:LGN structures

promote multivalent interactions required for planar epithelial divisions. *Nat. Commun.* 10.

doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09999-w.

Proffitt, K.D., B. Madan, Z. Ke, V. Pendharkar, L. Ding, M.A. Lee, R.N. Hannoush, and D.M.

Virshup. 2013. Pharmacological inhibition of the Wnt acyltransferase PORCN prevents

growth of WNT-driven mammary cancer. *Cancer Res.* 73:502–507. doi:10.1158/0008-

5472.CAN-12-2258.

Razuvaeva, A. V., L. Graziadio, V. Palumbo, G.A. Pavlova, J. V. Popova, A. V. Pindyurin, S.

Bonaccorsi, M.P. Somma, and M. Gatti. 2023. The Multiple Mitotic Roles of the ASPM

Orthologous Proteins: Insight into the Etiology of ASPM-Dependent Microcephaly. *Cells*.

12:922. doi:10.3390/cells12060922.

Saadaoui, M., M. Machicoane, F. di Pietro, F. Etoc, A. Echard, and X. Morin. 2014. Dlg1

controls planar spindle orientation in the neuroepithelium through direct interaction with

LGN. *J. Cell Biol.* 206:707–717. doi:10.1083/jcb.201405060.

Schelar, E. and, and J. Liu. 2008. 基因的改变NIH Public Access. *Bone*. 23:1–7.

doi:10.1038/nmeth.1293.Large-Scale.

Schiller, E.A., and D.T. Bergstralh. 2021. Interaction between Discs large and

Pins/LGN/GPSM2: a comparison across species. *Biol. Open*. 10:1–10.

doi:10.1242/bio.058982.

Serwa, R.A., F. Abaitua, E. Krause, E.W. Tate, and P. O'Hare. 2015. Systems Analysis of

Protein Fatty Acylation in Herpes Simplex Virus-Infected Cells Using Chemical

Proteomics. *Chem. Biol.* 22:1008–1017. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2015.06.024.

Shantanam, S., and MUELLER. 2018. Modeling human craniofacial disorders in Xenopus.

*Physiol. Behav.* 176:139–148. doi:10.1007/s40139-017-0128-8.Modeling.

Singh, D., N. Schmidt, F. Müller, T. Bange, and A.W. Bird. 2021. Destabilization of Long Astral

Microtubules via Cdk1-Dependent Removal of GTSE1 from Their Plus Ends Facilitates

Prometaphase Spindle Orientation. *Curr. Biol.* 31:766-781.e8.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.11.040.

Sobocinska, J., P. Roszczenko-Jasinska, M. Zareba-Kozio, A. Hromada-Judycka, O. V.

Matveichuk, G. Traczyk, K. Ukasiuk, and K. Kwiatkowska. 2018. Lipopolysaccharide

Upregulates Palmitoylated Enzymes of the Phosphatidylinositol Cycle: An Insight from

Proteomic Studies. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*. 17:233–254. doi:10.1074/mcp.RA117.000050.

Soderholm, J.F., S.L. Bird, P. Kalab, Y. Sampathkumar, K. Hasegawa, M. Uehara-Bingen, K.

Weis, and R. Heald. 2011. Importazole, a small molecule inhibitor of the transport receptor

importin-β. *ACS Chem Biol*. 6:700–708. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2006.05.022.

Suzuki, S., J. Namiki, S. Shibata, Y. Mastuzaki, and H. Okano. 2010. The neural stem/progenitor

cell marker nestin is expressed in proliferative endothelial cells, but not in mature

vasculature. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 58:721–730. doi:10.1369/jhc.2010.955609.

Takeda, E., T. Murakami, G. Matsuda, H. Murakami, T. Zako, M. Maeda, and Y. Aida. 2011.

Nuclear exportin receptor cas regulates the NPI-1-mediated nuclear import of HIV-1 vpr.

*PLoS One*. 6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027815.

Tamanoi, F., C.L. Gau, C. Jiang, H. Edamatsu, and J. Kato-Stankiewicz. 2001. Protein

farnesylation in mammalian cells: Effects of farnesyltransferase inhibitors on cancer cells.

*Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 58:1636–1649. doi:10.1007/PL00000802.

Tang, X., J.J. Punch, and W.L. Lee. 2009. A CAAX motif can compensate for the PH domain of

Num1 for cortical dynein attachment. *Cell Cycle*. 8:3182–3190. doi:10.4161/cc.8.19.9731.

Taverna, E., M. Götz, and W.B. Huttner. 2014. The cell biology of neurogenesis: toward an

understanding of the development and evolution of the neocortex. 30. 465–502 pp.

Thinon, E., J.P. Fernandez, H. Molina, and H.C. Hang. 2018. Selective Enrichment and Direct

Analysis of Protein S-Palmitoylation Sites. *J. Proteome Res.* 17:1907–1922.

doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00002.

Thomas, P.D., D. Ebert, A. Muruganujan, T. Mushayahama, L.P. Albou, and H. Mi. 2022.

PANTHER: Making genome-scale phylogenetics accessible to all. *Protein Sci.* 31:8–22.

doi:10.1002/pro.4218.

Toyoshima, F., and E. Nishida. 2007. Integrin-mediated adhesion orients the spindle parallel to

the substratum in an EB1- and myosin X-dependent manner. *EMBO J.* 26:1487–1498.

doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601599.

Tsuchiya, K., H. Hayashi, M. Nishina, M. Okumura, Y. Sato, M.T. Kanemaki, G. Goshima, and

T. Kiyomitsu. 2021. Ran-GTP Is Non-essential to Activate NuMA for Mitotic Spindle-Pole

Focusing but Dynamically Polarizes HURP Near Chromosomes. *Curr. Biol.* 31:115-127.e3.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.09.091.

Wagstaff, K.M., H. Sivakumaran, S.M. Heaton, D. Harrich, and D.A. Jans. 2012. Ivermectin is a

specific inhibitor of importin α/β-mediated nuclear import able to inhibit replication of

HIV-1 and dengue virus. *Biochem. J.* 443:851–856. doi:10.1042/BJ20120150.

Weaver, L.N., and C.E. Walczak. 2015. Spatial gradients controlling spindle assembly. *Biochem.*

*Soc. Trans.* 43:7–12. doi:10.1042/BST20140243.

Won, S.J., and B.R. Martin. 2018. Temporal Profiling Establishes a Dynamic S-Palmitoylation

Cycle. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 13:1560–1568. doi:10.1021/acschembio.8b00157.

Yang, Y., M. Liu, D. Li, J. Ran, J. Gao, S. Suo, S. Sun-Cong, and J. Zhou. 2014. CYLD

regulates spindle orientation by stabilizing astral microtubules and promoting dishevelled-

NuMA-dynein/ dynactin complex formation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111:2158–

2163. doi:10.1073/pnas.1319341111.

Yu, F., X. Morin, Y. Cai, X. Yang, and W. Chia. 2000. Analysis of partner of inscuteable, a

novel player of Drosophila asymmetric divisions, reveals two distinct steps in inscuteable

apical localization. *Cell*. 100:399–409. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80676-5.

Yu, M., K. Qin, J. Fan, G. Zhao, P. Zhao, W. Zeng, C. Chen, A. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Zhong, Y.

Zhu, W. Wagstaff, R.C. Haydon, H.H. Luu, S. Ho, M.J. Lee, J. Strelzow, R.R. Reid, and

T.C. He. 2024. The evolving roles of Wnt signaling in stem cell proliferation and

differentiation, the development of human diseases, and therapeutic opportunities. *Genes*

*Dis*. 11:101026. doi:10.1016/j.gendis.2023.04.042.

Zheng, Z., Q. Wan, J. Liu, H. Zhu, X. Chu, and Q. Du. 2013. Evidence for dynein and astral

microtubule-mediated cortical release and transport of Gαi/LGN/NuMA complex in mitotic

cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 24:901–913. doi:10.1091/mbc.E12-06-0458.

Zhong, T., X. Gongye, M. Wang, and J. Yu. 2022. Understanding the underlying mechanisms

governing spindle orientation : How far are we from there ? 1–7. doi:10.1111/jcmm.17526.

Zhou, B., Y. Wang, Y. Yan, J. Mariscal, D. Di Vizio, M.R. Freeman, and W. Yang. 2019. Low-

background acyl-biotinyl exchange largely eliminates the coisolation of non- s-acylated

proteins and enables deep s-acylproteomic analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 91:9858–9866.

doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.9b01520.

1094