Host genotype shapes root mycobiota in durum wheat

Authors: Margot Trinquier*(1,2), Michel Colombo*(3,4), Hélène Fréville(3), Jacques David(3), Aline Rocher(3), Benoit Lefebvre*(2), Christophe Roux*(1).

- * the authors contributed equally to this manuscript

(1) LRSV, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, Toulouse INP, Castanet-Tolosan, Occitanie, France

(2) LIPME, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, CNRS, Castanet-Tolosan, Occitanie, France

(3) AGAP, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, Occitanie, France

(4) CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, Occitanie, France

Trinquier Margot: margot.trinquier@inrae.fr, ORCID ID 0009-0007-6913-9835

Colombo Michel: michelop.colombo@gmail.com, ORCID ID 0000-0003-0031-4918

Fréville Hélène: helene.freville@inrae.fr, ORCID ID 0000-0003-4212-0097

David Jacques: jacques.david@inrae.fr

Rocher Aline: aline.rocher@inrae.fr

Lefebvre Benoit: benoit.lefebvre@inrae.fr, ORCID ID 0000-0002-3810-605X

Roux Christophe, **corresponding author**: Christophe.roux@univ-tlse3.fr, ORCID ID 0000-0001-5688-5379

Main sections	Word count	Number of figures (if colored or not)	Tables & supporting information
Introduction	1040	0	0
Materials and Methods	1742	1 (colored)	0
Results	1153	4 (all colored)	3 tables + 4 figures (all colored)
Discussion	1312	0	0

Summary

- In addition to environmental factors, plant genetics play a key role in shaping the root microbiota; however, the extent of this genetic control remains underexplored. Using a collection of 181 wheat lines derived from a genetically diverse population, we investigated the influence of wheat genotypes on the composition of the root endophytic mycobiota and explored the genetic determinants driving these relationships.

- We first characterized the mycobiota associated with the roots of field-grown lines from the Evolutionary Prebreeding pOpulation (EPO) using Internal Transcribed Spacer 2 (ITS2) barcoding. Fungal diversity was then correlated with wheat genetics by quantitative methods, including heritability analysis and Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS), to identify novel genetic determinants influencing the mycobiota composition.

- Fungal species richness showed a positive correlation across most fungal clades, except between *Mortierellomycotina* and *Glomeromycotina*. Some specific fungal clades, such as *Olpidiomycota* or *Chytridiomycota*, underscored their potential as root endophytes. Additionally, we observed higher heritability in fungal clades (*i.e.* at the phylum or subphylum rank) that exhibit a homogenous trophic mode, such as the biotrophic Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF).

- This study identifies 11 QTLs associated with mycobiota composition at the clade level. By shedding light on the genetic control of fungal diversity and uncovering key fungal associations, this work enhances our understanding of plant-microbiota interactions and highlights the potential for breeding strategies to optimize these relationships.

Key words: Evolutionary Prebreeding pOpulation, field survey, plant genetics, root endophytes, root mycobiota, wheat

Introduction

Plants establish complex interaction networks with microorganisms that play a crucial role in enhancing their nutrition and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Trivedi *et al.*, 2020). When encountering soil microbiota, root systems orchestrate their microbial environment, spanning from the rhizosphere and rhizoplane to the root endosphere (van der Heijden & Schlaeppi, 2015). The relative importance of plant genetics and soil environmental determinants on the formation of root microbial communities is still the subject of debate. Numerous abiotic factors have been shown to create local ecological niches that impact soil microbiome. In agricultural settings, these factors encompass soil physico-chemical properties, water and nutrient availability, climatic conditions, agricultural practices, and cropping history (Lupwayi *et al.*, 1998; Hartman *et al.*, 2018; Azarbad *et al.*, 2020). Beyond these abiotic factors, the genetics of the host plant also exerts influence on the rhizosphere, rhizoplane and root endosphere microbiomes (Zhang *et al.*, 2023).

Plant genetics has been identified as a key driver of phyllosphere microbiome variation (Horton *et al.*, 2014; Wallace *et al.*, 2018; Beilsmith *et al.*, 2019; Roman-Reyna *et al.*, 2020). However, assessing such correlation in roots is inherently more complex. First, the high diversity of soil microbes challenges the robustness of diversity analyses. Secondly, roots harbor multiple associated microbiomes (rhizosphere-rhizoplane-endosphere), influenced by various intertwined factors such as root exudate composition (involving host-microbe chemical signaling and nutrient exchange) and plant immune system (Zhang *et al.*, 2023). Nevertheless, studies conducted on various plant species, including crops, grown under either controlled or field conditions, with or without abiotic stresses, have successfully identified correlations between plant genetics and root microbiomes (Schlaeppi *et al.*, 2014; Edwards *et al.*, 2015; Deng *et al.*, 2021; Escudero-Martinez *et al.*, 2022; Wang *et al.,* 2022; Andreo-Jimenez *et al.,* 2023; Roux *et al.*, 2023).

Among the different phyla of organisms that make up the microbiome, much more is known about bacteria than fungi. However, the plant fungal microbiota, or mycobiota, plays a critical role in plant fitness (Bonfante *et al.*, 2019). The Fungal kingdom comprises approximatively 3.8 million species (Hawksworth & Lücking, 2017), categorized into several phyla The most diverse of these are *Ascomycota*, *Basidiomycota* and *Mucoromycota*, the latter of which includes three subphyla: *Mucoromycotina*, *Mortierellomycotina* and *Glomeromycotina* (Spatafora *et al.*, 2016; Li *et al.*, 2021). Soil represents the largest reservoir

of fungal diversity (Tedersoo *et al.*, 2021). This diversity decreases near plant roots and further within the roots as endophytic fungi (Urbina *et al.*, 2018), as a result of successive selective processes (Mesny *et al.*, 2021). Endophyte fungi were first identified as ascomycetes (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2009), but barcoding investigations based on high-throughput sequencing have greatly enlarged our vision on their diversity (Harrison & Griffin, 2020). Indeed, recent findings indicate that many taxa are currently found as part of the root mycobiota and are even proposed as plant growth promoting fungi (Zhang *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, many fungi previously classified as strict saprotrophs have been identified as root endophytes through barcoding, suggesting a need to redefine their ecological roles (Põlme *et al.*, 2020).

In wheat, one of the world's major crops, as in other plant species, the root mycobiota has received much less attention than its bacterial counterpart (Donn *et al.*, 2015; Naylor *et al.*, 2017; Rossmann *et al.*, 2020; Simonin *et al.*, 2020; Kavamura *et al.*, 2021). Nevertheless, using 12 cultivars grown in pots, Latz and co-authors found that bread wheat genetics significantly affects the root mycobiota (Latz *et al.*, 2021). This underscores the importance of identifying genomic regions that govern the composition of the wheat root mycobiota.

Durum wheat was first domesticated 10,000 years ago in the Fertile Crescent and then bred and selected for agronomic characteristics. As a result of recurrent selective events during domestication and breeding, genetic diversity of wheat and more broadly of grass crops has been strongly reduced (Glémin & Bataillon, 2009; Maccaferri *et al.*, 2019). Such loss might have altered the ability of wheat crops to interact with beneficial microorganisms (Sawers *et al.*, 2008; Fréville *et al.*, 2022). In this context, studying the genetic determinants underlying root mycobiota organization could help designing selection schemes for improving beneficial microbial interactions.

Deciphering the genetic architecture of traits has been tremendously accelerated by the use of Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS), including multivariate analysis as traits (Zhang *et al.*, 2018). The root mycobiota is currently investigated by metabarcoding through amplification and sequencing of specific genomic regions (Tedersoo *et al.*, 2022). Integrating these two approaches would enable the identification of the host genetic determinants that underpin the organisation of the root mycobiota. (Zancarini *et al.*, 2021). However, as metabarcoding methods allow detecting hundreds of taxa in a sample, comparison of mycobiota composition between samples has thus to be addressed with summarized metrics as diversity indexes and multivariate analysis used to describe the samples in a much more simplified numerical space. Diversity indices and genotype coordinates following multivariate analysis

can thus be considered as quantitative traits on which the relationship with host genetics can be investigated by GWAS.

To avoid establishing spurious genetic associations, the study of mycobiota on a large diversity of host genotypes in field requires experimental designs with replicates and adequate spatial modeling to control intra-field spatial variability due to micro-environment. Such models have been recently developed in agronomy (Rodríguez-Álvarez *et al.*, 2018), and have been successfully applied in a field-based study of sorghum rhizosphere microbiota (Deng *et al.*, 2021). The partially replicated design (p-rep design) is particularly adapted to these issues as it enables to find a trade-off between estimation of environmental variation and experimental efforts (Smith *et al.*, 2006). Yet, this type of experimental setup has not yet been used to investigate the genetic determinants of root mycobiota composition.

In this study, we performed fungal metabarcoding approaches and GWAS on a collection of 181 field-grown durum wheat inbred lines from the highly diversified Evolutionary Prebreeding durum wheat pOpulation (EPO - David *et al.*, 2014). As the genetic diversity in durum wheat has been strongly reduced since the domestication of the wild relatives, wild and domesticated emmer wheat lines were crossed in this dynamic population to enrich in allelic diversity. We here address two key questions: (1) Is the ability of durum wheat roots to associate with fungi genetically determined? (2) Which fungal phyla are most strongly influenced by wheat genetic control?

Material and Methods

Genetic material

The Evolutionary Prebreeding durum wheat pOpulation (EPO) was obtained by intercrossing a durum wheat composite cross segregating for a spontaneous male sterility with some from tetraploid taxa, including wild, primitive, and domesticated emmer wheat. It has been developed since 1997 at INRAE Montpellier, France. After twelve generations of open pollination, a set of 181 EPO lines was extracted and fixed by successive generations of selfing (David *et al.*, 2014). These lines were genotyped with the TaBW410K marker high-throughput genotyping array (Rimbert *et al.*, 2018), from which marker and presence/absence variants (Off Target Variants) were extracted. This set of lines has a weak linkage disequilibrium (LD) structure, making this panel ideal for GWAS. Non-polymorphic markers and markers with a

minor allele count under 10 were discarded. Missing values were imputed as the observed allele frequencies at each locus. After these steps, 46,439 markers were available for further analysis.

Experimental design

We grew the 181 EPO inbred lines in a 0.10 ha field at Mauguio (43.6208218; 3.9851894, experimental unit DIASCOPE, INRAE) in the south of France during the 2020-2021 season. Each plot consisted of 3 rows, each 1.5 m long spaced 0.23 m apart (Fig. **1a,b**). Each inbred line was replicated twice in plots randomly distributed across two blocks (Fig. **1c**). Seeds were sown on October 19, 2020, with 70 seeds per row. Plots were managed using shallow tillage, mechanical and hand weeding. Organic fertilization (Orga VIO 10.5.0, Violleau at 600 kg per ha) and irrigation were supplied to prevent resource limitations.

Root samples were collected in April 2021 before the heading stage. Each sample consisted of a pool of root systems up to approximately 15 cm depth and belonging to a minimum of three plants. Sampling was conducted at the center of the central row within each plot to minimize border effects (Fig. **1b**). For 128 out of the 181 lines, root samples were randomly collected between the two blocks. For the remaining 53 lines, root samples were collected in each block. This sampling design corresponds to a p-rep design, where 30% of the test entries were replicates, enabling the calculation of heritability values while considering spatial effects.

Characterization of mycobiota community

DNA extraction

Samples were carefully hand washed with tap water to remove all aggregating soil, using 180 µm sieves to collect fine root fragments. Cleaned roots were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C before DNA extraction with a ZymoBIOMICS 96 DNA Kit in plate (Zymo Research). Two grinding steps were performed: a first manual grinding of the entire sample with mortar and pestle, and a second on a fraction of root powder in tubes containing beads provided with the kit, in a mixer mill MM400 (Retsch). DNA extraction was performed following the supplier protocol. DNA samples were then stored at -20°C.

Amplicon library and sequencing

The ITS2 rRNA amplicon library was generated using a nested PCR. Two primer pairs were used: 1) pair rcAMDGR (5'-ATGATTAATAGGGATAGTTGGG-3') (Sato *et al.*, 2005)

& ITS4 (5'-TCCTSCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') (White *et al.*, 1990) that does not amplify wheat ITS2 rRNA, and then, 2) pair ITS 86F (5'-GTGAATCATCGAATCTTTGAA-3') (Turenne *et al.*, 1999) & ITS4 that produces an amplicon size compatible with Illumina MiSeq. For both PCR, the GoTaq G2 DNA polymerase (Promega) was used in a mix with the following final concentration: for PCR 1, 1X GoTaq Reaction Buffer, 0.08mM dNTPs, 0.32µM of both primers, 0.04U/µl of polymerase and 2% DMSO, and for PCR 2, 1X GoTaq Reaction Buffer, 0.2mM dNTPs, 0.4µM of both primers, 0.02U/µl of polymerase and 2.5 mM of MgCl2. Nuclease-Free Water for Molecular Biology (Sigma-Aldrich) was used for each PCR mix. PCR was performed with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min and final elongation at 72°C for 10 min ; for PCR 1, 40 cycles were used at 95°C for 5 sec - 62°C for 30 sec - 72°C for 1 min; and for PCR 2, 35 cycles at 95°C for 30 sec - 55°C for 30 sec - 72°C for 1 min. Amplification of ~300 bp was visualized thanks to electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel.

Libraries were generated using the Illumina two-step PCR protocol and normalized using SequalPrep plates (Thermofischer). Paired-end sequencing with a 2x250 bp read length was performed at the Bio-Environment platform (University of Perpignan Via Domitia Perpignan, France) on a MiSeq system (Illumina) using v2 chemistry according to the manufacturer's protocol. Data were deposited at SRA (PRJNA1070864).

Read processing

Raw reads were processed with the pipeline FROGS version 3.2.3 (Bernard *et al.*, 2021) using the Galaxy interface (https://vm-galaxy-prod.toulouse.inrae.fr/galaxy_main/). Reads were merged, pre-quality filtered, dereplicated and trimmed at 400 bp with Vsearch version 2.17.0, flash version 1.2.11 and cutadapt version 2.10. Then clustering was done using the SWARM algorithm (Mahé *et al.*, 2014) with an aggregation distance of 1 allowing to build very fine clusters with minimal differences. Chimeras and singletons were removed. Filtering was done on a minimum proportion to keep a zero-radius Operational Taxonomic Unit (zOTU) with at least 0.005% of all sequences, i.e. represented by at least 261 reads for the whole sampling (Escudié *et al.*, 2018). Then ITSx filter version 1.1.2 was used to keep only sequences that correspond to the ITS2 region. Taxonomy affiliation was performed by BLAST using the UNITE Fungi 8.3 database (Kõljalg *et al.*, 2019; Nilsson *et al.*, 2019; Urbina *et al.*, 2019). Rarefaction curves were done for each sample in order to detect sequencing quality. From these data, we extracted presence / absence data of each zOTU within each sample. To describe mycobiota community composition, we performed 6 independent multiple correspondence analysis (MCA) on presence / absence of each zOTU using the *MCA* function of the

FactomineR package (Lê *et al.*, 2008), one for each of the 6 fungal clades identified by the metabarcoding approach (Zancarini *et al.*, 2021). We plotted either zOTUs or genotypes and extracted each coordinate along the MCA axes.

We also computed three alpha diversity indices for each EPO line, the *Observed*, *Shannon* and *Inverse Simpson* indices, using the R package *phyloseq* (McMurdie & Holmes, 2013). These indices were calculated for each fungal clade, referring either to a fungal phylum or a fungal subphylum. *Observed index* corresponds to the zOTU richness, i.e. the number of observed zOTUs in a given sample (Spellerberg & Fedor, 2003). *Shannon index* represents both richness and relative abundance of one zOTU among all (Shannon & Weaver, 1949). *Inverse Simpson index* is the probability that two fungi picked randomly in the community belong to different zOTUs.

Finally, to test for patterns of co-occurrence or co-exclusion of zOTUs within EPO line, we conducted three analyses. First, we tested for the correlation between zOTUs coordinates on MCA axes and *Observed index* values of each fungal clade. Then, we tested for the correlation between *Observed index* values among fungal clades. Finally, we tested for the correlation between zOTUs coordinates among fungal clades. For each analysis, we used the *cor* function of R base, and we applied a Bonferroni correction to account for multiple testing.

Quantitative genetic analyses

Spatial modeling and heritability computation

To account for variation in mycobiota community composition due to variation in local environmental conditions, we fitted a spatial model with the *PSANOVA* function in the SpATS package for presence-absence of each zOTUs and each mycobiota composition variable, *i.e.* alpha diversity indexes and genotype coordinates on MCA axes (Rodríguez-Álvarez *et al.*, 2018). We used a linear logistic model with logit link function for presence-absence data, whereas we used a linear model with a gaussian linked function for the mycobiota composition variables.

We estimated the heritability of presence-absence of zOTUs and mycobiota composition variables using the function *get_Heritability*. The genotype Best Linear Unbiased Predictors (BLUPs referred as to Y in the next paragraph) was extracted from the coefficients provided by the SpATS package (Rodríguez-Álvarez *et al.*, 2018).

GWAS

To test for the association between variation in each mycobiota composition variable
and allelic variation at each SNP marker, we used the following mixed model (Yu *et al.*, 2006):

$$Y \ = \ X\beta \ + \ Zu \ + \ \varepsilon$$

with Y the analyzed phenotype, u is the random polygenic effect, β represents the vector
of fixed effects (intercept, dosage of the tested SNP), X and Z are the incidence matrices for
fixed and random effects, respectively.

Variances of random effects were estimated as follows:

$$\mathrm{Var}(u) = \mathrm{K}\sigma^2{}_G \text{ and } \mathrm{Var}(\varepsilon) = \mathrm{I}\sigma^2{}_e$$

Where K represents a matrix of genetic relatedness between individuals (see below), I
is the identity matrix, $\sigma^2{}_G$ and $\sigma^2{}_e$ are the polygenic and error variances, respectively. K was
computed following (VanRaden, 2008) equation:

$$K \ = \ \frac{V\,V'}{2\sum pi(1-pi)}$$

With V, the centered marker matrix and pi the allele frequency at marker i.

Each SNP was tested successively with the function *GWAS* from the R package Statgeng
was based on the method presented in (Kang *et al.*, 2010), using the EMMA algorithm. Indeed,
this algorithm allows estimating the variance of the residual and polygenic effects only once by
fitting a model with no SNP. QQ-Plots were systematically inspected to control for false
positives.

To identify significant SNPs linked to variation in mycobiota composition, we used a
threshold of 4.34 corresponding to a Bonferroni type threshold computed with the Galwey
method (Galwey, 2009). This threshold is well adapted to detect QTLs with large effects.

To group all significant markers into QTLs and thus define QTL boundaries for each

mycobiota composition variable, we used a method inspired from (Cormier *et al.*, 2014). For

each chromosome, we computed linkage disequilibrium (LD) between significant markers,

using R^2 estimator from (Hill & Robertson, 1968). LDs were square roots transformed to

approximate a normally distributed random variable as in (Breseghello & Sorrells, 2006). Then,

markers were clustered by LD blocks. Clustering was performed with the UPGMA method

using a cutoff of 1-"critical R^2". Critical R^2 (R^2c) was defined as the 99.9[th] percentile of the

distribution of unlinked R^2 computed between 10 000 pairs of markers randomly sampled from

different chromosomes. This threshold accounts for a risk of 0.1% to be in LD by chance.

QTL boundaries were defined as the minimum and maximum map positions of

significant markers belonging to the same LD block. QTLs of different mycobiota composition

variables were considered to overlap when they had at least one common significant marker

and were located at a physical distance below one tenth of the total physical length of the

chromosome as presented in (Cormier *et al.*, 2014).

Data analysis

284   All statistical analyses were conducted in R 4.1.

Results

Root mycobiota of the EPO panel

The root mycobiota of the 181 wheat inbred lines grown in the field was investigated

through metabarcoding of the fungal ITS2 rDNA region. Of the 6 million reads obtained, 76.3%

were retained after bioinformatic processing and clustered into a total of 533 zOTUs, with 529

identified as fungi using the UNITE database (Table S1, Fig. S1). This confirmed the specificity

of primers for the fungal ITS2 region. From this set, 446 zOTUs were assigned to a specific

fungal clade (*i.e.* at the phylum or subphylum rank), and retained for further analysis. The 83

remaining zOTUs were either assigned only at the kingdom level (70 zOTUs; 16.6% of the

reads) or multi-affiliated (13 zOTUs; 9.1% of the read). The 446 zOTUs were distributed across

7 fungal clades, and mainly belongs to the *Ascomycota* (215 zOTUs; 38.8% of the reads) and

the *Basidiomycota* (121 zOTUs; 13.8% of the reads) (Fig. 2). The remaining zOTUs belongs to

the subphylum *Glomeromycotina, i.e.* AM fungi (38 zOTUs ; 1.2% of the reads), the subphylum

Mortierellomycotina (37 zOTUs ; 6.1% of the reads), the phylum *Chytridiomycota* (19 zOTUs

; 0.4% of the reads), the phylum *Olpidiomycota* (12 zOTUs ; 13.1% of the reads), and the

subphylum *Mucoromycotina* (4 zOTUs ; 0.7% of the reads) (Fig. 2). The *Mucoromycotina*

subphylum was excluded from further analysis due to its low number of zOTUs. Affiliations

and abundance file are provided in Supplementary Information (Table S1, Table S2).

The root mycobiota varies depending on EPO lines

Correspondence analyses (MCA) were conducted to summarize information and assess

patterns of co-occurrence or avoidance of fungal clades (*i.e.* at the phylum or subphylum rank).

We analyzed the grouping of categories (presence / absence of each zOTU) along the first four

axes of the MCA. For each clade, if all present zOTUs cluster together and oppose clustered

absent zOTUs, it indicates that the primary determinant of fungal diversity within each sample

is the presence of the entire clade rather than a specific set of zOTUs of the fungal clade.

For each of the six clades (Fig. 3) as for the whole fungal kingdom (Fig. S2), both

present and absent zOTUs were grouped in the two-dimensional space along axis 1 and axis 2.

Thus, for each fungal clade, the two first axes of MCA discriminate wheat root samples with

either the presence or the absence of the entire clade. Such a pattern was not found for axes 3

and 4 in any fungal clade (Fig. S3).

When further testing for co-occurrence of zOTUs within EPO lines, we measured

correlations between the zOTU coordinates on the MCA axes and diversity metrics for each

and between clades (Fig. S4). When comparing within clades, the strongest correlations were

found for axes 1 and 2 with the *Observed index* (respectively $r_{\text{Glomero axe 1-Ob}} = 1$; $r_{\text{Asco axe 1-Ob}} = 1$;

$r_{\text{Basidio axe 1-Ob}} = 0.8$; $r_{\text{Mortierello axe 1-Ob}} = -1$; $r_{\text{Chytridio axe 1-Ob}} = 1$; $r_{\text{Olpidio axe 2-Ob}} = 0.9$). This

confirmed that for all fungal clades, the main characteristics accounted for by the first two axes

of the MCAs was either a common presence or a common absence of their respective zOTUs

within each EPO line.

When comparing between clades, values of *Observed index* measured were mostly

positively correlated (Fig. 4): wheat genotypes harboring high diversity in one fungal clade also

exhibited high diversity in another fungal clade. Strong positive correlations were found

between the *Ascomycota* and the *Basidiomycota* (r = 0.50, p<0.05) and between the

Chytridiomycota and the *Basidiomycota* (r = 0.50, p<0.05). Interestingly, one negative

correlation was detected between *Observed index* of the *Mortierellomycotina* and the

Glomeromycotina (r = -0.30, p<0.05): genotypes with high diversity in *Mortierellomycotina* exhibited low diversity of *Glomeromycotina*, and vice versa.

We found additional significant correlations between MCA axis 1 or 2 of different fungal clade (Fig. S4). As these metrics represent the presence/absence of each clade (Fig.3), such correlations could be interpreted as co-occurrence or co-exclusion between fungal clades, confirming the correlation shown with the *Observed index*. It should be noted that the sense of projection red-presence/blue-absence in Figure 3 has no biological signification (opposite sense in axis 1 of *Mortierellomycotina* clade compared to other clades). Differently from other fungal clades, the MCA axis 2 of *Olpidiomycota* and not the axis1 allows discriminating the presence-absence (Fig.3). As represented in figure S4, we found significant co-occurrence of *Olpidiomycota* and *Mortierellomycotina* (r $_{\text{Olpidio axe 2 - Mortierello axe 1}}$ = -0.5), *Ascomycota* and *Basidiomycota* (r $_{\text{Basidio axe 1 - Asco axe 1}}$ = 0.4), *Chytridiomycota* and *Glomeromycotina* (r $_{\text{Chytridio axe 1 - Glomero axe 1}}$ = 0.4), *Chytridiomycota* and *Olpidiomycota* (r $_{\text{Chytridio axe 1 - Olpidio axe 2}}$ = 0.3), *Chytridiomycota* and *Mortierellomycotina* (r $_{\text{Chytridio axe 1 - Mortierello axe 1}}$ = -0.3). We also found a significant co-exclusion between *Mortierellomycotina* and *Glomeromycotina* (r $_{\text{Mortierelle axe 1 - Glomero axe 1}}$ = 0.3).

Genetic determinants of root mycobiota composition

The genetic determinants of variables describing the mycobiota composition were explored on three distinct datasets: presence-absence of zOTUs, genotype coordinates on MCA axes and the diversity indices. To account for variation in mycobiota composition due to variation in local environmental conditions, we corrected the data using a spatial model (see methods).

As a prerequisite, we assessed the heritabilities of each variable within each clade and across all clades. Heritabilities of presence-absence of individual zOTUs were weak in all fungal clades, ranging from 0.01 to 0.21 (Fig. **5a**). Among the investigated zOTUs, 113 (=25%) exhibited presence-absence features that were partially influenced by the plant genotype, as indicated by non-zero values.

The heritabilities of genotype coordinates on MCA axes and diversity indices, were generally much higher (Fig. **5b,c**). Notably, the heritabilities of the first MCA axes for the *Mortierellomycotina,* the *Olpidiomycota* and the *Glomeromycotina* were high compared to other phyla ($h^2_{Mortierello} = 0.56$, $h^2_{Olpidio} = 0.38$, $h^2_{Glomero} = 0.33$; Fig. **5b**). Finally, the heritability of the first and second axes of the global MCA was moderate ($h^2_{Total\ axe\ 1} = 0.36$, $h^2_{Total\ axe\ 2} =$

0.24). The heritability of *Observed index* was 0.56 for the *Mortierellomycotina* and 0.32 for the *Glomeromycotina*, heritability for the *Shannon index* for the *Glomeromycotina* was 0.45 (Fig. **5c**). Overall, indices describing the *Glomeromycotina* communities had much higher values of heritabilities than other fungal clades.

To identify QTLs associated with mycobiota composition, we performed GWAS on the variables with the highest heritabilities (i.e. genotype coordinates on the MCA axes and diversity indices). We found 11 significant QTLs (Table S3). QTLs were detected in all fungal clades, although the metrics for QTL detection varied among clades. The most significant QTL was observed for the first axis of the *Chytridiomycota* ($-\log10(pval) = 5.4$). Additionally, some QTLs were shared across multiple variables. For instance, the QTL associated with the *Shannon index* of the *Glomeromycotina* on chromosome 1A was also detected for the second axis in the global analysis.

Discussion

In addition to recognized environmental factors playing a major role in shaping root microbiomes, there is a growing interest in exploring to which extent plant genetics also influences microbiomes (Bergelson *et al.*, 2021; Raajmakers & Kiers, 2022; Escudero-Martinez & Bulgarelli, 2023). While the majority of published studies have predominantly focused on bacteria, we here studied wheat root associated fungi. Consistent with previous findings (Zheng *et al.*, 2021), the wheat root mycobiota identified in our work exhibited a wide range of lifestyles, encompassing mutualists and pathogens, but also numerous fungi often described as saprotrophs. It is worth noting that our procedure to enrich the representativity of endophytic fungi in data did not fully eliminate fungi from other compartments as rhizoplane rhizosphere or soil. Although rare, some soil contaminant fungi – *i.e.* not endophytic –are present in our zOTUs, albeit in low abundance (estimated to lower than 0.5%). Overall, the robustness of our analysis is supported by the presence of the majority of fungal species already recognized as wheat endophytic fungi (Błaszczyk *et al.*, 2021).

We investigated the influence of wheat genetics on root mycobiota composition by analysing both the patterns of covariation between taxa from different fungal clades, and classic quantitative genetics methodology (heritability and GWAS study). Recent studies showed an effect of domestication and modern breeding on root bacterial and fungal microbiota composition (Kinnunen-Grubb *et al.*, 2020; Spor *et al.*, 2020; Tkacz *et al.*, 2020; Mauger *et al.*,

2021; Abdullaeva *et al.*, 2024). To maximize the chance to identify genetic determinants controlling microbiota composition, it is thus important to use a genetic material containing alleles potentially lost in modern cultivars. The 181 EPO lines, obtained from a wheat population with the introgression of alleles from wild durum wheat genotypes, offered this potentiality.

We provide evidence that the mycobiota composition is influenced by the genotype of the plant in wheat. Indeed, positive correlations of observed index of each detected clade suggests that the ability to find a high or low diversity of fungi in a wheat line depends on its genetics.

Using the EPO lines, we identified several QTLs associated with descriptors of mycobiota composition at the fungal phylum/sub-phylum level. However, the effect sizes were generally weak, and no QTL was identified for the majority of the descriptors , as previously reported (Zancarini *et al.*, 2021). The results are in lines with studies on other species. For instance, the rhizosphere microbiome of maize (Peiffer *et al.*, 2013) and sorghum (Deng *et al.*, 2021) have been shown to include heritable bacterial taxa, with numerous QTLs identified, but only for a limited set of diversity descriptors. Overall reported proportions of variance explained by these QTLs are weak and heritabilities were also modest. Given the reported beneficial effects of root-associated mycobiota on crop tolerance to abiotic stress (Andreo-Jimenez et al., 2023), it would have been interesting to investigate the composition of the EPO root mycobiota under a range of abiotic field conditions. Nonetheless, by elucidating the genetic regulation of fungal diversity and identifying key fungal associations, this study advances our understanding of plant-mycobiota interactions and highlights the potential for breeding strategies aimed at optimizing these beneficial relationships (Hartman *et al.*, 2018; Kavamura *et al.*, 2021).

Notable differences were found between fungal clades. Taxa composition of the clades *Mortierellomycotina*, *Glomeromycotina* and *Olpidiomycota* were among those with the highest heritability. *Mortierellomycotina* and *Glomeromycotina* are phylogenetically sister clades (Spatafora *et al.*, 2016), both recognized for their plant growth-promoting effects. In contrast, *Olpidiomycota*, described in the literature as soil-borne obligate parasites of Brassicaceae (Lay *et al.*, 2018), were abundantly found in our root samples. *Olpidiomycota* were also previously identified in wheat (Zheng *et al.*, 2021), suggesting that they might have an additional endophytic lifestyle. In contrast, composition of fungal clades containing species with a wide variety of trophic modes, such as *Ascomycota* or *Basidiomycota*, were less heritable. We analysed heritability of subgroups of *Ascomycota* or *Basidiomycota* taxa according to their supposed lifestyle (Nguyen *et al.*, 2016), but it did not lead to higher heritability, probably due

to the fragmentary knowledge on the lifestyle of soil fungi. Altogether heritability results suggest that the influence of host genetic control on root mycobiota taxa should partly rely on the dependency of endophyte fungi for their host. Obligate biotrophs as *Glomeromycotina*, fully depending of host carbon resources, are much more under host genetic control than facultative endophytes that have to share nutrient resources from root exudates or from apoplastic fluid. Mirroring this fungal dependency to the host, since phylogenetically divergent microbial taxa are known to provide similar services, it is expected that host-genetics regulation is on services, functions and lifestyle rather than on taxa identities (Lemanceau *et al.*, 2017). Some general services are shared by various fungi, as phytohormone synthesis, while others are more specific, as the transfer of nutrients to the host (as nitrogen or phosphorus). Thus, the genetic control by the plant of the services provided by its mycobiota could be higher on fungal clades having a specific life style and providing a limited number of services, such as the mutualistic *Glomeromycotina*, than on large clades such as the *Ascomycota* and the *Basidiomycota* which supply a large number of diversified and redundant services. Another possibility is that the services provided by *Mortierellomycotina*, *Glomeromycotina* and *Olpidiomycota*, under the experimental conditions applied here, were more important. A future challenge would thus be to identify host genetic determinants controlling mycobiota services rather than mycobiota composition. This would first require to functionally characterize the fungal diversity, which is far to be the case in spite of existing tools such as FUNGuild (Nguyen *et al.*, 2016) and FungalTraits (Põlme *et al.*, 2020), due to the cryptic lifestyle of most fungi. Finally, beside understanding host control over microbiota composition/functions, it will be essential to study the degree of host genetic variability to benefit from the root microbiota composition/functions, in order to exploit this variability in breeding programs.

Interestingly, complex relation exists between fungal clades associated with wheat root. A negative correlation was found between zOTU richness from the *Mortierellomycotina* and from the *Glomeromycotina*, suggesting some compensatory effect. The AM fungi *Glomeromycotina* are ubiquitous mutualistic fungi associated with most of the plant roots (Smith & Read, 2008). *Mortierella* sp., belonging to *Mortierellomycotina,* were described as phosphate solubilizing microorganisms (Zhang *et al.*, 2011). Both are known to promote plant growth especially by enhancing plant nutrition (Zhang *et al.*, 2020). Inoculation of these fungi individually has shown a positive impact on wheat growth and yield in both field and pot experiments (Singh & Reddy, 2011; Berruti *et al.*, 2015; Ozimek *et al.*, 2018). Inoculation of both fungi has been less documented especially in agricultural soil. Velez & Osorio (Tamayo-Velez & Osorio, 2017) have tested co-inoculation of *Mortierella sp.* and AM fungal isolates on

avocado plantlets in controlled conditions. Interestingly, they found an additive effect of dual

inoculation on shoot avocado height and P content and a decrease of both fungal root

colonization suggesting that AM fungi and *Mortierellomycotina* species might share the same

ecological niche. The most straightforward hypothesis posits that both clades confer similar

benefits to their host such as phosphorus uptake. The observed exclusion pattern in our analysis

may consequently arise from strong competition, driven by a process of species exclusion vying

for the same endophytic niche.

Besides this compensatory/negative effect, positive correlations have been found

between zOTU richness for other pairs of fungal clades, among which the

Chytridiomycota/*Basidiomycota* is the highest. This could suggest synergistic or facilitative

interactions among particular species within these fungal clades. Alternatively, these positive

correlations could demonstrate a general capacity of certain plant genotypes to accommodate

fungi within their tissues. This implies potential mechanisms associated with quantitative

control of fungal invasion, whether correlated with or independent of plant immunity.

Describing precisely these interactions would require sophisticated statistical analyses and

integration of multiple data types (Zancarini *et al.*, 2021).

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that wheat genotypes significantly influence the

composition of the root mycobiota. The apparent ability of specific genotypes to host a limited

fungal diversity merits further investigation, paving the way for the identification of novel

quantitative plant resistance mechanisms. Regarding the mycobiota, our observations

emphasize the importance of evaluating the role of *Olipidiomycota* and *Chytridiomycota* as root

endophytes. Furthermore, our findings emphasize the potential of AM fungi and

Mortierellomycotina as promising fungal associations with potential benefits as plant-growth

promoting fungi.

Figure 1: (**a**) Picture of the field trial taken at the time of the sampling. The plots containing EPO inbred lines number 367 and 480 are delimited by yellow rectangles. (**b**) Plot sampling design: a sample consists of a pool of root systems from at least three plants (shown in red) in the middle of the central row. (**c**) Trial design in two blocks delineated with the red line, arranged in 22 lines and 20 columns and surrounded by a border (B) of elite durum wheat. Inbred line identification number is shown for each plot. Plots colored in green were sampled once, whereas those in blue were sampled twice into each block. The two EPO inbred lines shown in (**a**) and (**b**) are boxed in yellow.

Figure 2: Percentage of sequences and zOTUs obtained for each fungal clade (affiliation at the

phylum or sub-phylum rank) and for fungi non or multi identified. Other refers to sequences or

zOTUs not affiliated as fungi.

Figure 3: Projection of zOTUs in dimensions 1 and 2 from Multiple Correspondence Analysis

for each fungal clade. Each triangle represents a zOTU, in red the projected position of its

presence, and in blue the projected position of its absence.

Figure 4: Correlation matrix of *Observed index* values for each pair of fungal clades. Only

significant correlations were reported. Positive and negative correlations are represented by

blue and red values, respectively. Bonferroni correction was applied to account for the 15

simultaneous testing which correspond to a critical r of 0.217. Critical r corresponds to r value

above which the correlation is significant.

Figure 5: Heritabilities of mycobiota composition variables for each fungal clade and across all

clades. A) Boxplot of heritabilities absence/presence of individual zOTUs. B) Heritabilities

calculated with genotype coordinates obtained from the four first MCA axes. C) Heritabilities

calculated with Alpha diversity metrics (*Inverse Simpson (IS), Observed (Ob) and Shannon*

(Sh) indexes).

Acknowledgements

We thank Antonin Grau (EU DIASCOPE, INRAE) for supervision of the EPO field assay. We also thank Virginie Gasciolli and Coline Rosset for their technical assistance. We are grateful to Jean-François Allienne, Margot Doberva and Michèle Laudié from the Bio-Environment platform (UPVD, Région Occitanie, CPER 2007-2013 Technoviv, CPER 2015-2020 Technoviv2) for technical support in library preparation and sequencing.

Competing interest

None declared

Authors' contributions

CR, BL, JD & HF designed the experiment. AR set up the field assay. MT produced and analysed the Miseq data. MC performed GWAS analysis under JD supervision. MT & MC wrote the manuscript under the supervision of CR, BL, JD & HF.

JD, HF and MC were supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) project "Selecting for cooperative crops to develop sustainable agriculture" (SCOOP, grant no.ANR-19-CE32-0011). CM is also co-funded by INRAE-Département "Biologie et Amélioration des Plantes". This study is set within the framework of the "Laboratoires d'Excellences (LABEX)" TULIP (ANR 10 LABX 41) and of the "Ecole Universitaire de Recherche (EUR)" TULIP GS (ANR 18 EURE 0019). MT PhD is co-funded by the Université Fédérale Toulouse Midi-Pyrénées (UFTMiP) and the Région Occitanie.

Data availability

All data will be available under request.

References

Abdullaeva, Y., Ratering, S., Rosado-Porto, D., Manirajan, B. A., Glatt, A., Schnell, S., & Cardinale, M. 2024. Domestication caused taxonomical and functional shifts in the wheat rhizosphere microbiota, and weakened the natural bacterial biocontrol against fungal pathogens. *Microbiol Res*, *281*, 127601.

Andreo-Jimenez B, te Beest DE, Kruijer W. et al. 2023. Genetic Mapping of the Root Mycobiota in Rice and its Role in Drought Tolerance. *Rice* **16**, 26.

Azarbad H, Tremblay J, Giard-Laliberté C, Bainard LD, Yergeau E. 2020. Four decades of soil water stress history together with host genotype constrain the response of the wheat microbiome to soil moisture. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **96**(7).

Beilsmith K, Thoen MPM, Brachi B, Gloss AD, Khan MH, Bergelson J. 2019. Genome-wide association studies on the phyllosphere microbiome: Embracing complexity in host-microbe interactions. *Plant J* **97**(1): 164-181.

Bergelson J, Brachi B, Roux F, Vailleau F. 2021. Assessing the potential to harness the microbiome through plant genetics. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* **70**: 167-173.

Bernard M, Rué O, Mariadassou M, Pascal G. 2021. FROGS: a powerful tool to analyse the diversity of fungi with special management of internal transcribed spacers. *Brief Bioinform* **22**(6).

Berruti A, Lumini E, Balestrini R, Bianciotto V. 2015. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi as Natural Biofertilizers: Let's Benefit from Past Successes. *Front Microbiol* **6**: 1559.

Bonfante P, Venice F, Lanfranco L. 2019. The mycobiota: fungi take their place between plants and bacteria. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **49**: 18-25.

Breseghello F, Sorrells ME. 2006. Association mapping of kernel size and milling quality in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars. *Genetics* **172**(2): 1165-1177.

Błaszczyk L, Salamon S, Mikołajczak K. 2021. Fungi Inhabiting the Wheat Endosphere. *Pathogens* **10**(10).

Cormier F, Le Gouis J, Dubreuil P, Lafarge S, Praud S. 2014. A genome-wide identification of chromosomal regions determining nitrogen use efficiency components in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Theor Appl Genet* **127**(12): 2679-2693.

David J, Holtz Y, Ranwez V, Santoni S, Sarah G, Ardisson M, Poux G, Choulet F, Genthon C, Roumet P, et al. 2014. Genotyping by sequencing transcriptomes in an evolutionary pre-breeding durum wheat population. *Molecular Breeding* **34**(4): 1531-1548.

Deng S, Caddell DF, Xu G, Dahlen L, Washington L, Yang J, Coleman-Derr D. 2021. Genome wide association study reveals plant loci controlling heritability of the rhizosphere microbiome. *ISME J* **15**(11): 3181-3194.

Donn S, Kirkegaard JA, Perera G, Richardson AE, Watt M. 2015. Evolution of bacterial communities in the wheat crop rhizosphere. *Environ Microbiol* **17**(3): 610-621.

Edwards J, Johnson C, Santos-Medellín C, Lurie E, Podishetty NK, Bhatnagar S, Eisen JA, Sundaresan V. 2015. Structure, variation, and assembly of the root-associated microbiomes of rice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**(8): E911-920.

Escudero-Martinez C, Coulter M, Alegria Terrazas R, Foito A, Kapadia R, Pietrangelo L, Maver M, Sharma R, Aprile A, Morris J, et al. 2022. Identifying plant genes shaping microbiota composition in the barley rhizosphere. *Nat Commun* **13**(1): 3443.

Escudero-Martinez, C., & Bulgarelli, D. 2023. Engineering the crop microbiota through host genetics. *Annual Review Phytopathol*, *61*(1), 257-277.

Escudié F, Auer L, Bernard M, Mariadassou M, Cauquil L, Vidal K, Maman S, Hernandez-Raquet G, Combes S, Pascal G. 2018. FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution. *Bioinformatics* **34**(8): 1287-1294.

Fréville H, Montazeaud G, Forst E, David J, Papa R, Tenaillon MI. 2022. Shift in beneficial interactions during crop evolution. *Evol Appl* **15**(6): 905-918.

Galwey NW. 2009. A new measure of the effective number of tests, a practical tool for
comparing families of non-independent significance tests. *Genet Epidemiol* **33**(7):
559-568.
Glémin S, Bataillon T. 2009. A comparative view of the evolution of grasses under
domestication. *New Phytol* **183**(2): 273-290.
Harrison JG, Griffin EA. 2020. The diversity and distribution of endophytes across biomes,
plant phylogeny and host tissues: how far have we come and where do we go from
here? *Environ Microbiol* **22**(6): 2107-2123.
Hartman K, van der Heijden MGA, Wittwer RA, Banerjee S, Walser JC, Schlaeppi K.
2018. Cropping practices manipulate abundance patterns of root and soil microbiome
members paving the way to smart farming. *Microbiome* **6**(1): 14.
Hawksworth DL, Lücking R. 2017. Fungal Diversity Revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 Million Species.
Microbiol Spectr **5**(4).
Hill WG, Robertson A. 1968. Linkage disequilibrium in finite populations. *Theor Appl Genet*
38(6): 226-231.
Horton MW, Bodenhausen N, Beilsmith K, Meng D, Muegge BD, Subramanian S, Vetter
MM, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Nordborg M, Gordon JI, et al. 2014. Genome-wide
association study of Arabidopsis thaliana leaf microbial community. *Nat Commun* **5**:
5320.
Kang HM, Sul JH, Service SK, Zaitlen NA, Kong SY, Freimer NB, Sabatti C, Eskin E.
2010. Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide
association studies. *Nat Genet* **42**(4): 348-354.
Kavamura VN, Mendes R, Bargaz A, Mauchline TH. 2021. Defining the wheat microbiome:
Towards microbiome-facilitated crop production. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* **19**:
1200-1213.
Kinnunen-Grubb M, Sapkota R, Vignola M, Nunes IM, Nicolaisen M. 2020. Breeding
selection imposed a differential selective pressure on the wheat root-associated
microbiome. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **96**(11).
Kõljalg U, Abarenkov K, Nilsson R, Larsson K, Taylor A 2019. The UNITE Database for
Molecular Identification and for Communicating Fungal Species.
Latz MAC, Kerrn MH, Sørensen H, Collinge DB, Jensen B, Brown JKM, Madsen AM,
Jørgensen HJL. 2021. Succession of the fungal endophytic microbiome of wheat is
dependent on tissue-specific interactions between host genotype and environment.
Sci Total Environ **759**: 143804.
Lay CY, Hamel C, St-Arnaud M. 2018. Taxonomy and pathogenicity of Olpidium brassicae
and its allied species. *Fungal Biol* **122**(9): 837-846.
Lemanceau P, Blouin M, Muller D, Moënne-Loccoz Y. 2017. Let the Core Microbiota Be
Functional. *Trends Plant Sci* **22**(7): 583-595.
Li Y, Steenwyk JL, Chang Y, Wang Y, James TY, Stajich JE, Spatafora JW, Groenewald
M, Dunn CW, Hittinger CT, et al. 2021. A genome-scale phylogeny of the kingdom
Fungi. *Curr Biol* **31**(8): 1653-1665.e1655.
Lupwayi NZ, Rice WA, Clayton GW 1998. Soil microbial diversity and community structure
under wheat as influenced by tillage and crop rotation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*,
1733-1741.
Lê S, Josse J, Husson F. 2008. FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis.
Journal of Statistical Software **25**(1): 1 - 18.
Maccaferri M, Harris NS, Twardziok SO, Pasam RK, Gundlach H, Spannagl M,
Ormanbekova D, Lux T, Prade VM, Milner SG, et al. 2019. Durum wheat genome
highlights past domestication signatures and future improvement targets. *Nat Genet*
51(5): 885-895.
Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, de Vargas C, Dunthorn M. 2014. Swarm: robust and fast
clustering method for amplicon-based studies. *PeerJ* **2**: e593.
Mauger S, Ricono C, Mony C, Chable V, Serpolay E, Biget M, Vandenkoornhuyse P.
2021. Differentiation of endospheric microbiota in ancient and modern wheat cultivar
roots. *Plant Environ Interact* **2**(5): 235-248.

McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive
analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* **8**(4): e61217.
Mesny F, Miyauchi S, Thiergart T, Pickel B, Atanasova L, Karlsson M, Hüttel B, Barry
KW, Haridas S, Chen C, et al. 2021. Genetic determinants of endophytism in the
Arabidopsis root mycobiome. *Nature communications*, **12**(1), 7227
Naylor D, DeGraaf S, Purdom E, Coleman-Derr D. 2017. Drought and host selection
influence bacterial community dynamics in the grass root microbiome. *ISME J* **11**(12):
2691-2704.
Nguyen NH, Song Z, Bates ST, Branco S, Tedersoo L, Menke J, Schilling JS, Kennedy
PG. 2016. FUNGuild: An open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets
by ecological guild. *Fungal Ecology* **20**: 241-248.
Nilsson RH, Larsson KH, Taylor AFS, Bengtsson-Palme J, Jeppesen TS, Schigel D,
Kennedy P, Picard K, Glöckner FO, Tedersoo L, et al. 2019. The UNITE database
for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic
classifications. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**(D1): D259-D264.
Ozimek E, Jaroszuk-Ściseł J, Bohacz J, Korniłłowicz-Kowalska T, Tyśkiewicz R,
Słomka A, Nowak A, Hanaka A. 2018. Synthesis of Indoleacetic Acid, Gibberellic
Acid and ACC-Deaminase by. *Int J Mol Sci* **19**(10).
Peiffer JA, Spor A, Koren O, Jin Z, Tringe SG, Dangl JL, Buckler ES, Ley RE. 2013.
Diversity and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome under field conditions.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **110**(16): 6548-6553.
Põlme S, Abarenkov K, Nilsson RH, ..., Tedersoo L. 2020. FungalTraits: a user-friendly
traits database of fungi and fungus-like stramenopiles. *Fungal Diversity*.
Raaijmakers, J. M., & Kiers, E. T. 2022. Rewilding plant microbiomes. *Science*, *378*(6620),
599-600.
Rimbert H, Darrier B, Navarro J, Kitt J, Choulet F, Leveugle M, Duarte J, Rivière N,
Eversole K, Le Gouis J, et al. 2018. High throughput SNP discovery and genotyping
in hexaploid wheat. *PLoS One* **13**(1): e0186329.
Rodriguez RJ, White JF, Arnold AE, Redman RS. 2009. Fungal endophytes: diversity and
functional roles. *New Phytol* **182**(2): 314-330.
Rodríguez-Álvarez MX, Boer MP, van Eeuwijk FA, Eilers PHC. 2018. Correcting for
spatial heterogeneity in plant breeding experiments with P-splines. *Spatial Statistics*
23: 52-71.
Roman-Reyna V, Pinili D, Borja FN, Quibod IL, Groen SC, Alexandrov N, Mauleon R,
Oliva R. 2020. Characterization of the Leaf Microbiome from Whole-Genome
Sequencing Data of the 3000 Rice Genomes Project. *Rice* **13**(1): 1-8.
Rossmann M, Pérez-Jaramillo JE, Kavamura VN, Chiaramonte JB, Dumack K, Fiore-
Donno AM, Mendes LW, Ferreira MMC, Bonkowski M, Raaijmakers JM, et al.
2020. Multitrophic interactions in the rhizosphere microbiome of wheat: from bacteria
and fungi to protists. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **96**(4).
Roux F, Frachon L, Bartoli C. 2023. The Genetic Architecture of Adaptation to Leaf and
Root Bacterial Microbiota in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*
40(5).
Sato K, Suyama Y, Saito M, Sugawara K. 2005. A new primer for discrimination of
arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi with polymerase chain reaction-denature gradient gel
electrophoresis. *Grassland Science* **51**(2): 179-181.
Sawers RJ, Gutjahr C, Paszkowski U. 2008. Cereal mycorrhiza: an ancient symbiosis in
modern agriculture. *Trends Plant Sci* **13**(2): 93-97.
Schlaeppi K, Dombrowski N, Oter RG, Ver Loren van Themaat E, Schulze-Lefert P.
2014. Quantitative divergence of the bacterial root microbiota in Arabidopsis thaliana
relatives. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**(2): 585-592.
Shannon CE, Weaver W. 1949. *The mathematical theory of communication*.
Simonin M, Dasilva C, Terzi V, Ngonkeu ELM, Diouf D, Kane A, Béna G, Moulin L. 2020.
Influence of plant genotype and soil on the wheat rhizosphere microbiome: evidences

for a core microbiome across eight African and European soils. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **96**(6): fiaa067.

Singh H, Reddy MS. 2011. Effect of inoculation with phosphate solubilizing fungus on growth and nutrient uptake of wheat and maize plants fertilized with rock phosphate in alkaline soils. *European Journal of Soil Biology* **47**(1): 30-34.

Smith AB, Lim P, Cullis BR. 2006. The design and analysis of multi-phase plant breeding experiments | The Journal of Agricultural Science | Cambridge Core. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **144**(5).

Smith S, Read D. 2008. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis, 3rd Edition. *New York: Academic Press.*: 1-787.

Spatafora JW, Chang Y, Benny GL, Lazarus K, Smith ME, Berbee ML, Bonito G, Corradi N, Grigoriev I, Gryganskyi A, et al. 2016. A phylum-level phylogenetic classification of zygomycete fungi based on genome-scale data. *Mycologia* **108**(5): 1028-1046.

Spellerberg IF, Fedor PJ. 2003. A tribute to Claude Shannon (1916–2001) and a plea for more rigorous use of species richness, species diversity and the 'Shannon–Wiener' Index. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **12**(3): 177-179.

Spor A, Roucou A, Mounier A, Bru D, Breuil MC, Fort F, Vile D, Roumet P, Philippot L, Violle C. 2020. Domestication-driven changes in plant traits associated with changes in the assembly of the rhizosphere microbiota in tetraploid wheat. *Sci Rep* **10**(1): 12234.

Tamayo-Velez A, Osorio NW. 2017. Co-inoculation with an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and a phosphate-solubilizing fungus promotes the plant growth and phosphate uptake of avocado plantlets in a nursery. *Botany* **95**(5).

Tedersoo, L., Mikryukov, V., Anslan, S., Bahram, M., Khalid, A. N., Corrales, A., et al. 2021. The Global Soil Mycobiome consortium dataset for boosting fungal diversity research. *Fungal Diversity*, *111*, 573-588

Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Zinger L, Nilsson RH, Kennedy PG, Yang T, Anslan S, Mikryukov V. 2022. Best practices in metabarcoding of fungi: From experimental design to results. *Mol Ecol* **31**(10): 2769-2795.

Tkacz A, Pini F, Turner TR, Bestion E, Simmonds J, Howell P, Greenland A, Cheema J, Emms DM, Uauy C, et al. 2020. Agricultural Selection of Wheat Has Been Shaped by Plant-Microbe Interactions. *Front Microbiol* **11**: 132.

Trivedi P, Leach JE, Tringe SG, Sa T, Singh BK. 2020. Plant-microbiome interactions: from community assembly to plant health. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **18**(11): 607-621.

Turenne CY, Sanche SE, Hoban DJ, Karlowsky JA, Kabani AM. 1999. Rapid identification of fungi by using the ITS2 genetic region and an automated fluorescent capillary electrophoresis system. *J Clin Microbiol* **37**(6): 1846-1851.

Urbina H, Breed MF, Zhao W, Lakshmi Gurrala K, Andersson SGE, Ågren J, Baldauf S, Rosling A. 2018. Specificity in Arabidopsis thaliana recruitment of root fungal communities from soil and rhizosphere. *Fungal Biol* **122**(4): 231-240.

van der Heijden MG, Schlaeppi K. 2015. Root surface as a frontier for plant microbiome research. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**(8): 2299-2300.

VanRaden PM. 2008. Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. *J Dairy Sci* **91**(11): 4414-4423.

Wallace JG, Kremling KA, Buckler ES. 2018. Quantitative Genetic Analysis of the Maize Leaf Microbiome.

Wang Y, Wang X, Sun S et al. 2022. GWAS, MWAS and mGWAS provide insights into precision agriculture based on genotype-dependent microbial effects in foxtail millet. *Nat Commun* 13, 5913.

White T, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal Rna genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis M, Gelfand D, Sninsky J, White T eds. *PCR - protocols and applications - a laboratory manual*. New York, USA: Academic Press, 315–322.

Yu J, Pressoir G, Briggs WH, Vroh Bi I, Yamasaki M, Doebley JF, McMullen MD, Gaut
BS, Nielsen DM, Holland JB, et al. 2006. A unified mixed-model method for
association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. *Nat Genet* **38**(2):
203-208.
Zancarini A, Westerhuis JA, Smilde AK, Bouwmeester HJ. 2021. Integration of omics
data to unravel root microbiome recruitment. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* **70**: 255-261.
Zhang H, Wu X, Li G, Qin P. 2011. Interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and
phosphate-solubilizing fungus (Mortierella sp.) and their effects on Kostelelzkya
virginica growth and enzyme activities of rhizosphere and bulk soils at different
salinities. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **47**(5): 543-554.
Zhang J, Liu W, Bu J, Lin Y, Bai Y. 2023. Host genetics regulate the plant microbiome. *Curr*
Opin Microbiol **72**: 102268.
Zhang K, Bonito G, Hsu C-M, Hameed K, Vilgalys R, Liao H-L. 2020. Mortierella elongata
Increases Plant Biomass among Non-Leguminous Crop Species. *Agronomy* **10**(5):
754.
Zhang W, Gao X, Shi X, Zhu B, Wang Z, Gao H, Xu L, Zhang L, Li J, Chen Y. 2018. PCA-
Based Multiple-Trait GWAS Analysis: A Powerful Model for Exploring Pleiotropy.
Animals (Basel) **8**(12).
Zheng Y, Li X, Cao H, Lei L, Zhang X, Han D, Wang J, Yao M. 2021. The assembly of
wheat-associated fungal community differs across growth stages. *Appl Microbiol*
Biotechnol **105**(19): 7427-7438.
773