Conserved yet Divergent Smc5/6 Complex Degradation by Mammalian Hepatitis B Virus X

Proteins

Maya Shofa,[a,b] Yuri V Fukushima,[a] Akatsuki Saito,[a,b,c#]

[a]Department of Veterinary Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki,

Miyazaki 8892192, Japan

[b]Graduate School of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki,

Miyazaki 8891692, Japan

[c]Center for Animal Disease Control, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki, Miyazaki 8892192, Japan

Running Head: Smc5/6 Degradation by Mammalian HBV Protein X

[#]Address correspondence to Akatsuki Saito, sakatsuki@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp

*Present address: Akatsuki Saito

Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Miyazaki

1-1 Gakuen Kibanadai-nishi, Miyazaki 889-2192, JAPAN

Abstract

Hepatitis B virus (HBV), belonging to the genus *Orthohepadnavirus*, can cause chronic hepatitis and

hepatocarcinoma in humans. HBV ensures optimal replication by encoding X, a multifunctional

protein responsible for degrading the structural maintenance of the chromosome (Smc) 5/6 complex,

an anti-HBV factor in hepatocytes. Previous studies suggest that the degradation activity of the

Smc5/6 complex is conserved among viruses from the genus *Orthohepadnavirus*. Recently, a novel

hepadnavirus in cats, domestic cat hepadnavirus (DCH) or DCH B virus (DCHBV), has been

identified to be genetically close to HBV. However, it remains unclear whether the DCHBV X

protein possesses a similar Smc5/6 complex–degrading activity.

Here, we investigated the degradation activity of the Smc5/6 complex by X of the viruses of

the genus *Orthohepadnavirus*, including DCHBV, in cells derived from primates and cats. We found

that the DCHBV X protein degraded Smc6 in the cells of several host species, and the degree of its

anti-Smc5/6 activity differed depending on the host species. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the

DCHBV X protein degraded Smc6 independently of DNA-binding protein 1 (DDB1), which is a

critical host factor for HBV X–mediated Smc6 degradation. Our findings highlight the conserved yet

divergent degradation machinery of the Smc5/6 complex of mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins.

Keywords: hepatitis B virus (HBV), domestic cat HBV (DCHBV), structural maintenance of

chromosome (Smc) Smc5/6 complex, DNA-binding protein 1 (DDB1)

Importance

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) causes chronic hepatitis and liver cancer in humans. HBV mainly acts by

degrading the Smc5/6 complex, a defense mechanism in liver cells that restricts viral replication.

Smc5/6 degradation, mediated by the HBV protein X, is conserved across the viruses in the same

genus. However, it remains unclear whether the novel domestic cat HBV (DCHBV) exhibits similar

capabilities.

Here, we compared Smc5/6 degradation by the X proteins of various HBVs, including

DCHBV, in different primate and feline cells. The DCHBV X protein could degrade Smc6 in various

host cells at different levels. Notably, DCHBV X could degrade Smc6 without requiring DDB1, a

host factor essential for HBV X–mediated Smc6 degradation. These findings highlight the conserved

yet distinct strategies employed by mammalian HBVs to evade the host Smc5/6 system, expanding

our understanding of viral adaptation and persistence across species.

Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV), of the family *Hepadnaviridae* family and genus *Orthohepadnavirus*, is an enveloped virus with a partially double-stranded, circular DNA genome. Approximately 250 million individuals are chronically infected with HBV worldwide, and chronic HBV infections can cause cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (1). Several therapeutic agents, including nucleoside analogs and interferon-α (IFN-α), have been approved to treat HBV infection; however, curing the infection, i.e., eliminating the virus from an individual, remains challenging. Therefore, there has been much research on developing antiviral therapies to achieve a cure. In addition, there is a need to identify new animal models for studying HBV infection and to study the interaction between host defense mechanisms and HBV. Therefore, it is important to elucidate the molecular interactions between hosts and viruses.

The viruses belonging to the genus *Orthohepadnavirus* encode 4 viral proteins: polymerase (P) protein, surface (S) protein, core (C) protein, and X protein (17). While S and C proteins are structural proteins, the P protein is responsible for viral genome replication (18). Meanwhile, the HBV X protein is a versatile regulator that influences host cellular processes and activates multiple transcriptional factors, including AP-1, AP-2, NF-κB, and the cAMP response element (reviewed in 19), playing a critical role in HBV replication and pathogenesis (20). The HBV X protein comprises 4 key regions that are essential for transactivation, dimerization, p53 interaction, and binding to the 14-3-3 protein motif (reviewed in 21). Notably, HBV X protein antagonizes the inhibitory effect by the structural maintenance of the chromosome (Smc) Smc5/6 complex on HBV in infected cells. HBV X protein acts by degrading the Smc5/6 complex via interaction with the DNA-binding protein 1 (DDB1)–Cul4 ubiquitin ligase machinery (22–24). Moreover, the genus *Orthohepadnavirus* also includes HBV-like viruses that infect mammals, such as rodents, bats, and primates, and the function of X from viruses in the genus *Orthohepadnavirus* is conserved in primates, bats, and rodents (25).

However, it is unclear if the function of X is conserved in HBVs found in other animals. For

example, domestic cat hepadnavirus (DCH), an HBV-like virus also classified as domestic cat

hepatitis B virus (DCHBV), was identified in domestic cats in 2018. DCHBV infection displayed a

signature of chronic hepatitis, suggesting an association between them (2). DCHBV has been

identified in several countries and regions, including Australia (3, 4), Italy (5), the UK (6), the US,

Malaysia (7), Thailand (8), Taiwan (9), Türkiye (10), Japan (11, 12), Hong Kong (13), Chile (14),

and Brazil (15). Furthermore, we have recently demonstrated that DCHBV shares with HBV the

sodium/bile acid cotransporter (NTCP) as an entry receptor (16); this finding suggests that DCHBV

infection in cats can be a promising animal model for studying HBV infection. However, whether the

function of the DCHBV X protein is conserved in carnivores remains to be elucidated.

In this study, we analyzed the degradation of the Smc5/6 complex by mammalian hepatitis B

virus X proteins in primate and feline cells. While Western blotting is used to study Smc5/6

degradation by mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins, this assay has a relatively low throughput.

Thus, we improved the assay's throughput by developing a new assay using a split-type red

fluorescent protein to measure Smc5/6 degradation by mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins

quantitively. We observed that while the human–derived HBV(A) X protein showed conserved

Smc6 degradation activity in primate and feline cells, the DCHBV X protein displayed weaker Smc6

degradation activity in the cells of African green monkeys. Furthermore, we uncovered that the

DCHBV(KT116) X protein degraded the Smc5/6 complex via a different mechanism compared with

the HBV(A) X protein.

Our findings suggest that the X proteins in the viruses in the genus *Orthohepadnavirus* may

have evolved to have conserved but differential Smc5/6 degradation activities, depending on the

combination of viruses and host species. Collectively, our findings highlight the evolutionary traits

of the mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins as a consequence of the arms race between hosts and

viruses.

Results

Genetic similarity of the mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins

First, we analyzed the genetic diversity of mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins by aligning the sequences of the X proteins of 5 strains of HBV, 5 strains of DCHBV, 5 species of bat hepadnavirus, 1 strain of woodchuck hepatitis virus, and those of other viruses belonging to the genus *Orthohepadnavirus* (**Figure 1A**). Interestingly, DCHBV clustered independently but closely with domestic donkey HBV and bat HBV. Additionally, DCHBV exhibited distinct clustering patterns compared with primate HBV (**Figure 1B).**

Similarity in Smc6 among mammals

Next, we analyzed the similarities in Smc6 between humans and other mammals, including cats (**Figure 2**). According to the phylogenetic tree, feline Smc6 was genetically close to primate Smc6 even though they were on different branches. The similarity rates of mammalian Smc6 to human Smc6 at the amino acid level were consistently high, with values of more than 90%, indicating relative conservation across species. Notably, platypus showed a lower sequence identity, at less than 70%, with human Smc6, suggesting greater evolutionary divergence in this species (**Supplementary Table 1**). Also, we found a 5-amino-acid indel in the N-terminal region of platypus Smc6 (**Figure 2**). Unlike hominoids and Old World monkeys (OWMs), New World monkeys and other mammals possessed a TXSFX motif between positions 36 and 48. Furthermore, feline Smc6 (isoform 1) had an additional 5-amino-acid insertion at positions 216-KVRNT-222, which is absent in feline Smc6 (isoform 2) and other mammals (**Figure 2**).

Mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins exhibit differential Smc5/6 degradation activity depending on the host species

Four cell lines derived from the 3 mammalian species, i.e., Lenti-X 293T (human), COS-7

(African green monkey: AGM), Fcwf-4 (cat), and CRFK (cat), were transfected with expression

vectors encoding mNeonGreen or mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins tagged with mNeonGreen.

We observed comparable levels of mNeonGreen-tagged X in these cell lines **(Figure 3, right side of**

each panel). While the expression of mNeonGreen alone did not affect the level of the Smc5/6

complex in each cell line, all mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins showed a significant

degradation activity of the Smc5/6 complex in Lenti-X 293T and Fcwf-4 cells **(Figures 3A and 3D)**.

In contrast, we found a differential Smc5/6 degradation activity of mammalian hepatitis B virus X

proteins in COS-7 and CRFK cells **(Figure 3B and 3D)**. Specifically, HBV(A) X had a significant

Smc5/6 degradation activity in COS-7 and CRFK cells. This result was consistent with a previous

finding that HBV(A) X has a conserved degradation activity with all mammalian Smc6, including in

cell lines derived from OWMs (Vero cells and COS-7 cells) (25). While DCHBV(KT116) X

efficiently degraded the Smc5/6 complex in Lenti-X 293T, Fcwf-4, and CRFK cells **(Figures 3A, 3C,**

and 3D), it caused minimal Smc5/6 degradation in COS-7 cells **(Figure 3B)**. In CRFK cells,

HBV(H) X and orangutan HBV (OHBV) X failed to degrade Smc6 **(Figure 3D)**. These results

suggest that mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins have differential Smc6 degradation activities

depending on the host species and cell types.

DCHBV(KT116) X is more predominantly localized in the nucleus than HBV(A) X

While the subcellular localization of HBV X is both cytoplasmic and nuclear (26–28), about

80% of it is concentrated in the cytoplasm (26). We examined the similarity of X subcellular

localizations by comparing the localization of HBV(A) X and DCHBV(KT116) X in Lenti-X 293-T

cells. While HBV X was observed both in the cytoplasm and nucleus, DCHBV(KT116) X was

mainly localized in the nucleus **(Figure 4A)**. In addition, we evaluated the distribution of X using a

subcellular fractionation assay. First, we confirmed the efficient separation of the cytosolic and

nuclear fractions **(Figure 4B)**. Second, we observed that while HBV(A) X was distributed in both

the cytoplasm and the nucleus, DCHBV(KT116) X was predominantly present in the nucleus

(Figure 4C); these findings are consistent with observations made with fluorescence microscopy

(Figure 4A).

DCHBV(KT116) X degrades the Smc5/6 complex independently of DDB1

We improved the throughput of the analysis of Smc5/6 degradation activities by developing a

new assay using a split-type of a red fluorescent protein, sfCherry3C (29). First, we tested the

specificity of this system by transfecting Lenti-X 293T cells with either pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-

sfCherry3C(1-10), pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-mNeonGreen alone, or both. We found that

pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10)   or   pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-mNeonGreen

transfection did not produce any sfCherry3C signal. In contrast, the co-transfection of both plasmids

produced double-positive signals of sfCherry3C and mNeonGreen **(Figure 5A)**. We observed

efficient levels of sfCherry3C after the co-transfection of pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10)

and pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-Smc6 (Human) **(Figure 5A)**; the finding highlighted the

specificity of this system **(Supplementary Figure 1)**.

Next, Lenti-X 293T cells were co-transfected with pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10),

pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-Smc6 (Human, Cat, and Cat (+KVRNT)), and pCAGGS

plasmids encoding mNeonGreen-tagged X proteins. The co-expression of the plasmids encoding

HBV(A) X and DCHBV(KT116) X resulted in a lower level of sfCherry3C **(Figure 5C)**, suggesting

that this system could reproduce the Smc6 degradation visualized by Western blotting **(Figure 3)**.

HBV X degrades the Smc5/6 complex by interacting with the host DDB1-containing E3

ubiquitin ligase complex for ubiquitin-mediated Smc5/6 degradation (22, 23). Thus, we determined

whether HBV(A) X and DCHBV(KT116) X shared the Smc6 degradation mechanism by examining

the effect of *Ddb1* deletion on Smc5/6 degradation **(Figure 6A)**. While knockdown of human *Ddb1*

in Lenti-X 293T cells completely inhibited HBV(A) X–mediated Smc5/6 degradation (**Figure 6B**), it

did not affect DCHBV(KT116) X–induced Smc5/6 degradation (**Figure 6B**). This finding suggested

that DCHBV(KT116) X degraded the Smc5/6 complex in a different mechanism from that of

HBV(A) X.

Further, we tested the role of feline DDB1 in X-mediated Smc6 degradation in feline-derived

Fcwf-4 cells (**Figure 6C**). The knockout of *Ddb1* negated the Smc6 degradation induced by HBV(A)

X (**Figures 6D**), suggesting a similar role for human and feline DDB1 in HBV(A) X-mediated

Smc5/6 degradation. In contrast, Smc6 degradation by DCHBV(KT116) X was more efficient in

Ddb1-depleted cells. These results suggest that human DDB1 and feline DDB1 play different roles in

DCHBV(KT116) X–mediated Smc5/6 degradation.

Discussion

In this study, we have demonstrated that the Smc5/6 degradation activities, mediated by

mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins, are divergent depending on the combination of the virus and

the host species. Further, we have uncovered that HBV(A) X and DCHBV(KT116) X depend on

DDB1 differently for Smc5/6 degradation.

The phylogenetic analysis of DCHBV X indicated its placement on a branch separate from

that of HBV (**Figure 1**). In addition, Smc6 was found to be divergent in mammals (**Figure 2**),

suggesting different Smc5/6 degradation activities depending on the virus and host. Supporting this

suggestion, the Western blotting analysis demonstrated that HBV(A) X degraded Smc6 in human,

monkey, and feline cells. In contrast, DCHBV(KT116) X minimally degraded Smc6 in monkey cells

(**Figures 3A, 3B, 3C, and 3D**), suggesting that it has a narrower range of Smc5/6 degradation than

HBV(A) X. In addition, several X proteins, including HBV(H) X and orangutan HBV X, minimally

degraded Smc6 in feline cells (**Figure 3D**), suggesting that the combination of the virus and host

species significantly affects Smc5/6 degradation. Alternatively, feline cells may have the machinery

to antagonize Smc6 degradation by several mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins.

Using our novel Smc6 degradation assay (**Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 1**), we

demonstrated that HBV(A) X–mediated Smc6 degradation was negated by Ddb1 depletion (**Figure**

6B). This finding is consistent with previous reports that HBV(A) X requires interaction with DDB1

for Smc6 degradation (22, 23). In marked contrast, DCHBV(KT116) X degraded Smc6

independently of DDB1 (**Figure 6D**).

Another difference between HBV(A) X and DCHBV(KT116) X is their cellular localization.

DCHBV(KT116) X protein was predominantly localized in the nucleus compared with HBV(A) X

(**Figures 4A and 4C**). The cellular localization of HBV X affects the functions of X (28, 30–32).

Therefore, the predominantly nuclear localization of DCHBV X likely leads to different functions

compared with HBV X. Although it remains unclear how different localizations are determined,

HBV(A) X and DCHBV(KT116) X may interact with host factors differently.

DDB2 induces the nuclear localization of HBV X without the binding of DDB1 (33).

Because HBV X lacks a nuclear localization signal, its nuclear accumulation may rely on its

interaction with other cellular proteins (34, 35). Therefore, it will be intriguing to test the molecular

interaction of DCHBV X with DDB2 and other cellular proteins that also interact with HBV X.

In this study, we have identified two major isoforms of the feline *Smc6* gene. Isoform 1 has

the KVRNT insertion, which is absent in other mammals, between amino acids 216 and 222 (**Figure**

2). Although our Smc6 degradation assay demonstrated that this insertion did not affect its sensitivity

to mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins (**Figures 6B and 6D**), the impact of this insertion on the

antiviral activity should be examined. Interestingly, we have identified similar insertions encoding 1

to 3 amino acids in the human *Smc6* gene (rs1168792692, rs1669134078, and rs1669195959;

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp; accessed on 10/20/2024). The impact of these insertions,

especially on the host's susceptibility to HBV infection, can be investigated in future studies.

Mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins have multiple functions in addition to Smc6

degradation. For example, HBV X modulates transcription, cell cycle control, cell growth, and

apoptosis in hepatocytes (reviewed in 19, 36). Also, we have recently demonstrated that mammalian

hepatitis B virus X proteins have conserved activities in preventing the TIR-domain-containing

adaptor protein from inducing IFN-β (TRIF)-mediated IFN-β signaling pathway through TRIF

degradation (37). We propose that mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins exhibit different

phenotypes depending on their functions and host species. It will be of interest to investigate which

function is more and less conserved in mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins. Furthermore, HBV

X can be an attractive target for inhibitors (38).

There are several questions to be addressed in the future. First, we used an overexpression

system to study Smc6 degradation by mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins. It will be valuable to

examine the roles of mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins in Smc6 degradation with physiological

protein levels. Second, the effect of the mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins should be tested

using an infectious and X-deficient strain of HBV to directly evaluate the roles of the mammalian

hepatitis B virus X proteins in viral replication (25). Lastly, the domains or residues in HBV(A) X

and DCHBV(KT116) X that determine different Smc6 degradation activities and DDB1 dependency

can be investigated using chimeric proteins.

In summary, we have uncovered conserved yet distinct strategies employed by the

mammalian virus of the genus *Orthohepadnavirus* in evading the host Smc5/6 defense system.

Further research into the interaction between DCHBV(KT116) X, the Smc5/Smc6 complex, and

related molecules can provide valuable insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying DCHBV

pathogenesis. Understanding how DCHBV X targets and modulates the Smc5/6 complex may

provide potential therapeutic insight into treating DCHBV-infected cats. Furthermore, it will

contribute to the development of a novel HBV animal model using DCHBV.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Lenti-X 293T cells (*Homo sapiens* or human; TaKaRa, Kusatsu, Japan, Cat# Z2180N), COS-

7 (*Cercopithecus aethiops* or African green monkey [AGM]; Japanese Collection of Research

Bioresources Cell Bank [JCRB], Ibaraki, Japan, Cat# JCRB9127), Fcwf-4 (*Felis catus* or cat;

American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA, Cat# CRL-2787), and CRFK (cat; JCRB,

Cat# JCRB9035) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Nacalai

Tesque, Kyoto, Japan, Cat# 08458-16) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1 ×

penicillin-streptomycin (Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 09367-34).

Plasmids

The cDNA sequences of the X protein derived from 9 viruses of *Orthohepadnavirus* were

previously synthesized with codon optimization to humans (Twist Bioscience, San Francisco, CA,

USA) (**Supplementary Table 2)** (37). cDNA fragments encoding X were cloned into a pCAGGS-

mNeonGreen vector (39) predigested with AgeI-HF (New England Biolabs [NEB], Ipswich, MA,

USA, Cat# R3552S) and NheI-HF (NEB, Cat# R3131M) using the In-Fusion Snap Assembly Master

Mix (TaKaRa, Cat# Z8947N). The plasmids were amplified using NEB 5-alpha F'Iq Competent

Escherichia coli (High Efficiency) (NEB, Cat# C2992H) and isolated using the PureYield Plasmid

Miniprep System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA, Cat# A1222). The sequences of all the plasmids

were verified using a SupreDye v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (M&S TechnoSystems, Osaka, Japan,

Cat# 063001) with a Spectrum Compact CE System (Promega).

Smc5/6 complex degradation assay

Lenti-X 293T (1.25×10^5 per well), COS-7 (4.17×10^4 per well), CRFK (4.17×10^4 per well),

and Fcwf-4 cells (4.17×10^4 per well) were plated in a 24-well plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Waltham, MA, USA, Cat# 142475). After overnight incubation, the cells were co-transfected with

500 ng of pCAGGS-mNeonGreen-X using the TransIT-X2 Dynamic Delivery System (TaKaRa,

Cat# V6100) in the Opti-MEM I Reduced Serum Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#

31985062). After 48 h, the cells were stained with the NucBlue Live ReadyProbes Reagent (Hoechst

33342) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# R37605), observed under the EVOS M7000 Imaging System

(Thermo Fisher Scientific), and collected for Western blotting analysis.

Western blotting

Western blotting was used to examine Smc5/6 degradation by mammalian hepatitis B virus

X proteins. First, pelleted cells were lysed in an M-PER Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#78501) containing a Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set I ($\times$100)

(Fujifilm, Osaka, Japan, Cat#165-26021). Protein concentrations were measured using the TaKaRa

Bradford Protein Assay Kit (TaKaRa, Cat# T9310A). Then, 1 µg of the cellular extracts were mixed

with 2□×□Bolt LDS sample buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# B0008) containing 2% β-

mercaptoethanol (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA, Cat# 1610710) and incubated at 70°C for 10 min.

The level of Smc6 was evaluated using a SimpleWestern Abby (ProteinSimple, San Jose, CA, USA)

with an anti-SMC6L1 antibody (GeneTex, Irvine, CA, USA, Cat# GTX116832, ×50) and an Anti-

Rabbit Detection Module (ProteinSimple, Cat# DM-001). The level of mNeonGreen-tagged X was

measured with an anti-mNeonGreen antibody (Proteintech, Rosemont, IL, USA, Cat# 32F6-100,

×50) and an Anti-Mouse Detection Module (ProteinSimple, Cat# DM-002). The amount of input

protein was measured using a Total Protein Detection Module (ProteinSimple, Cat# DM-TP01).

X protein localization was examined by first plating Lenti-X 293-T cells (1.25×10^5 per well)

in a 24-well plate. After overnight incubation, the cells were co-transfected with 500 ng of

pCAGGS-mNeonGreen-X plasmids using the TransIT-X2 Dynamic Delivery System. After 48 h, the

nuclear and cytosolic fractions were separated using a Minute Cytoplasmic and Nuclear Extraction

Kit (Invent Biotechnologies, Inc., Cat# SC-003). The samples for Western blotting were prepared as

described above. The localization of X was evaluated using an anti-mNeonGreen antibody. The

efficiency of subcellular fractionation was evaluated using an anti-Lamin A/C antibody (Cell

Signaling Technology [CST], Danvers, MA, USA, Cat# 4777S, ×250) and anti-α-Tubulin antibody

(CST, Cat# 2125S, ×250).

Smc6 degradation assay using the SpyTag/SpyCatcher system

First, total RNA was extracted from Lenti-X 293T, COS-7 CRFK, and Fcwf-4 cells using an

RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Chuo-ku, Japan, Cat# 74104) and QIAshredder (QIAGEN, Cat# 79656).

Then, *Smc6* cDNA was amplified by RT-PCR using the PrimeScript II High Fidelity One Step RT-

PCR Kit (TaKaRa, Cat#R026B) using specific primers (**Supplementary Table 3**). The PCR

consisted of 1 cycle of 45°C for 10 min; 1 cycle of 94°C for 2 min; 40 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 60°C

for 15 s, and 68°C for 20 s; 1 cycle of 68°C for 7 min. The amplified fragments were ligated into

pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-HA predigested with AgeI-HF and NheI-HF using an In-Fusion

Snap Assembly Master Mix. The plasmids were verified by sequencing.

The system was validated by plating Lenti-X 293T cells at 2.5×10^5 cells per well in a 12-

well plate. Then the cells were transfected with pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10) alone,

pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-mNeonGreen alone, or a combination of pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-

sfCherry3C(1-10), pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-mNeonGreen, and pCAGGS-SpyTag-

sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6 (Human). The signals of mNeonGreen and sfCherry3C were measured 2

days after transfection using an Attune NxT Flow Cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The

mNeonGreen- and sfCherry3C- double-positive population was gated and analyzed using FlowJo

v10.8.1 (Becton, Dickinson and Company).

To evaluate the role of DDB1 in Smc6 degradation, we depleted human DDB1 by

transfecting Lenti-X 293T cells (1.9×10^6 cells per well in a 6-well plate) with DsiRNA targeting

human *Ddb1* (Integrated DNA Technologies [IDT], Coralville, IA, USA, Reference# hs. Ri.

DDB1.13.1, and hs. Ri. DDB1.13.3) or Negative Control DsiRNA (IDT, Cat# 109617253) with the

TransIT-X2 Dynamic Delivery System. We depleted feline Ddb1 by transfecting Fcwf-4 cells

(6.3×10^5 cells per well in a 6-well plate) with DsiRNA targeting feline *Ddb1* (IDT, Reference#

CD.Ri.477260.13.1 and CD.Ri.477260.13.3) or Negative Control DsiRNA with the TransIT-X2

Dynamic Delivery System. After 2 days, the cells were re-plated in a new 96-well plate at 3×10^4

Lenti-X 293T cells per well or 1×10^4 Fcwf-4 cells per well. The cells were cultured overnight

and co-transfected with pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10) at 15 ng/well, pCAGGS-SpyTag-

sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6 at 15 ng/well, and pCAGGS-mNeonGreen-X at 70 ng/well. Smc6

degradation was measured with sfCherry3C-positivity 2 days after transfection as described above.

Alignment of the mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins and phylogenetic analysis

The complete amino acid sequences of the X protein from 32 virus strains of the genus

Orthohepadnavirus were aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm in MEGA X (MEGA Software). The

alignment parameters were gap open at −2.90, gap extend at 0.00, and hydrophobicity multiplier at

1.20. Alignment visualization was done using CLC Genomics Workbench viewing mode Version

22.0.1

A phylogenetic tree was built using the alignment of X amino acid sequences retrieved from

public databases, and evolutionary analysis was conducted using MEGA X (40, 41)**.** The

evolutionary history was inferred using the maximum likelihood method and the JTT matrix–based

mode (42). The initial trees for the heuristic search were obtained by applying the neighbor-joining

method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the JJT model. A discrete gamma

distribution was used to model the evolutionary rate differences among sites. The tree is drawn to

scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site.

Alignment of the mammalian Smc6 proteins and phylogenetic analysis

The regions around residues 36 to 48 and 216 to 222 of the Smc6 protein from 23 animal

species and 3 human cells were aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm in MEGA X (MEGA

Software). The alignment parameters were gap open at −2.90, gap extend at 0.00, and hydrophobicity

multiplier at 1.20.

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the alignment of Smc6 amino acid sequences

retrieved from public databases. The evolutionary analysis was conducted in MEGA X**.** The

evolutionary history was inferred using the maximum likelihood method and the JTT matrix–based

mode. The initial trees for the heuristic search were obtained by applying the neighbor-joining

method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the JJT model. A discrete gamma

distribution was used to model the evolutionary rate differences among sites. The tree is drawn to

scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site.

Calculation of the identity of Smc6 among animal species

The identity of Smc6 among the animal species was calculated using MEGA X with a pairwise

distance matrix. Analyses were conducted using the JTT matrix-based model (43). The analysis

involved 26 amino acid sequences. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair

(pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1125 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary

analyses were conducted in MEGA11 (44, 45).

Statistical analysis

The level of Smc6 degradation was evaluated by one-way ANOVA, followed by the Tukey

test. A *p*-value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant. The analysis was performed

using Prism 10 v10.2.3 (GraphPad Software).

References

1. Nguyen MH, Wong G, Gane E, Kao J-H, Dusheiko G. 2020. Hepatitis B Virus: Advances in

Prevention, Diagnosis, and Therapy. Clin Microbiol Rev 33:e00046-19.

2. Aghazadeh M, Shi M, Barrs VR, McLuckie AJ, Lindsay SA, Jameson B, Hampson B, Holmes EC,

Beatty JA. 2018. A Novel Hepadnavirus Identified in an Immunocompromised Domestic Cat in

Australia. Viruses 10:269.

3. Pesavento PA, Jackson K, Scase T, Tse T, Hampson B, Munday JS, Barrs VR, Beatty JA. 2019. A

Novel Hepadnavirus is Associated with Chronic Hepatitis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in

Cats. Viruses 11:969.

4. Aghazadeh M, Shi M, Barrs VR, McLuckie AJ, Lindsay SA, Jameson B, Hampson B, Holmes EC,

Beatty JA. 2018. A Novel Hepadnavirus Identified in an Immunocompromised Domestic Cat in

Australia. Viruses 10:269.

5. Pesavento PA, Jackson K, Hampson TSTTB, Munday JS, Barrs VR, Beatty JA. 2019. A Novel

Hepadnavirus is Associated with Chronic Hepatitis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Cats.

Viruses 11:969.

6. Jeanes EC, Wegg ML, Mitchell JA, Priestnall SL, Fleming L, Dawson C. 2022. Comparison of

the prevalence of Domestic Cat Hepadnavirus in a population of cats with uveitis and in a

healthy blood donor cat population in the United Kingdom. Vet Ophthalmol 25:165–172.

7. Anpuanandam K, Selvarajah GT, Choy MMK, Ng SW, Kumar K, Ali RM, Rajendran SK, Ho KL,

Tan WS. 2021. Molecular detection and characterisation of Domestic Cat Hepadnavirus (DCH)

from blood and liver tissues of cats in Malaysia. BMC Vet Res 17:9.

394 8. Piewbang C, Wardhani SW, Chaiyasak S, Yostawonkul J, Chai-In P, Boonrungsiman S,

395  Kasantikul T, Techangamsuwan S. 2020. Insights into the genetic diversity, recombination, and

396  systemic infections with evidence of intracellular maturation of hepadnavirus in cats. PLoS One

397  15:e0241212.

398 9. Silva BBI, Chen J-Y, Villanueva BHA, Lu Z-Y, Hsing H-Z, Montecillo AD, Shofa M, Minh H,

399  Chuang J-P, Huang H-Y, Saito A, Chuang K-P. 2023. Genetic Diversity of Domestic Cat

400  Hepadnavirus in Southern Taiwan. Viruses 15:2128.

401 10. Korkulu E, Şenlik Eİ, Adıgüzel E, Artut FG, Çetinaslan HD, Erdem-Şahinkesen E, Oğuzoğlu

402  TÇ. 2024. Status Quo of Feline Leukaemia Virus Infection in Turkish Cats and Their Antigenic

403  Prevalence. Animals (Basel) 14:385.

404 11. Takahashi K, Kaneko Y, Shibanai A, Yamamoto S, Katagiri A, Osuga T, Inoue Y, Kuroda K,

405  Tanabe M, Okabayashi T, Naganobu K, Minobe I, Saito A. 2022. Identification of domestic cat

406  hepadnavirus from a cat blood sample in Japan. J Vet Med Sci 84:648–652.

407 12. Sakamoto H, Ito G, Goto-Koshino Y, Sakamoto M, Nishimura R, Momoi Y. 2023. Detection of

408  domestic cat hepadnavirus by next-generation sequencing and epidemiological survey in Japan.

409  J Vet Med Sci 85:642–646.

410 13. Beatty JA, Choi YR, Nekouei O, Woodhouse FM, Gray JJ, Hofmann-Lehmann R, Barrs VR.

411  2024. Epidemiology of Pathogenic Retroviruses and Domestic Cat Hepadnavirus in

412  Community and Client-Owned Cats in Hong Kong. Viruses 16:167.

413 14. Choi YR, Iturriaga MP, Nekouei O, Tu T, Van Brussel K, Barrs VR, Beatty JA. 2023.

414  Domestic Cat Hepadnavirus and Pathogenic Retroviruses; A Sero-Molecular Survey of Cats in

415  Santiago, Chile. Viruses 16:46.

15. Tessmann A, Sumienski J, Sita A, Mallmann L, Birlem GE, da Silva Nunes NJ, Lupion CG,

Eckert JS, Demoliner M, Gularte JS, de Almeida PR, Spilki FR, Weber MN. 2024. Domestic

cat hepadnavirus genotype B is present in Southern Brazil. Virus Genes

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-024-02115-1.

16. Shofa M, Ohkawa A, Kaneko Y, Saito A. 2023. Conserved use of the sodium/bile acid

cotransporter (NTCP) as an entry receptor by hepatitis B virus and domestic cat hepadnavirus.

Antiviral Res 217:105695.

17. Magnius L, Mason WS, Taylor J, Kann M, Glebe D, Dény P, Sureau C, Norder H, Ictv Report

Consortium null. 2020. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: Hepadnaviridae. J Gen Virol 101:571–

572.

18. Feng H, Chen P, Zhao F, Nassal M, Hu K. 2013. Evidence for multiple distinct interactions

between hepatitis B virus P protein and its cognate RNA encapsidation signal during initiation

of reverse transcription. PLoS One 8:e72798.

19. Murakami S. 1999. Hepatitis B virus X protein: structure, function and biology. Intervirology

42:81–99.

20. Lucifora J, Arzberger S, Durantel D, Belloni L, Strubin M, Levrero M, Zoulim F, Hantz O,

Protzer U. 2011. Hepatitis B virus X protein is essential to initiate and maintain virus

replication after infection. J Hepatol 55:996–1003.

21. Diao J, Garces R, Richardson CD. 2001. X protein of hepatitis B virus modulates cytokine and

growth factor related signal transduction pathways during the course of viral infections and

hepatocarcinogenesis. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 12:189–205.

22. Murphy CM, Xu Y, Li F, Nio K, Reszka-Blanco N, Li X, Wu Y, Yu Y, Xiong Y, Su L. 2016.

Hepatitis B Virus X Protein Promotes Degradation of SMC5/6 to Enhance HBV Replication.

Cell Rep 16:2846–2854.

23. Decorsière A, Mueller H, van Breugel PC, Abdul F, Gerossier L, Beran RK, Livingston CM,

Niu C, Fletcher SP, Hantz O, Strubin M. 2016. Hepatitis B virus X protein identifies the

Smc5/6 complex as a host restriction factor. Nature 531:386–389.

24. Niu C, Livingston CM, Li L, Beran RK, Daffis S, Ramakrishnan D, Burdette D, Peiser L, Salas

E, Ramos H, Yu M, Cheng G, Strubin M, Delaney WE, Fletcher SP. 2017. The Smc5/6

Complex Restricts HBV when Localized to ND10 without Inducing an Innate Immune

Response and Is Counteracted by the HBV X Protein Shortly after Infection. PLoS One

12:e0169648.

25. Abdul F, Filleton F, Gerossier L, Paturel A, Hall J, Strubin M, Etienne L. 2018. Smc5/6

Antagonism by HBx Is an Evolutionarily Conserved Function of Hepatitis B Virus Infection in

Mammals. J Virol 92:e00769-18.

26. Hoare J, Henkler F, Dowling JJ, Errington W, Goldin RD, Fish D, McGarvey MJ. 2001.

Subcellular localisation of the X protein in HBV infected hepatocytes. J Med Virol 64:419–426.

27. Cha M-Y, Ryu D-K, Jung H-S, Chang H-E, Ryu W-S. 2009. Stimulation of hepatitis B virus

genome replication by HBx is linked to both nuclear and cytoplasmic HBx expression. J Gen

Virol 90:978–986.

28. Henkler F, Hoare J, Waseem N, Goldin RD, McGarvey MJ, Koshy R, King IA. 2001.

Intracellular localization of the hepatitis B virus HBx protein. J Gen Virol 82:871–882.

29. Feng S, Varshney A, Coto Villa D, Modavi C, Kohler J, Farah F, Zhou S, Ali N, Müller JD,

Van Hoven MK, Huang B. 2019. Bright split red fluorescent proteins for the visualization of

endogenous proteins and synapses. Commun Biol 2:344.

30. McClain SL, Clippinger AJ, Lizzano R, Bouchard MJ. 2007. Hepatitis B virus replication is

associated with an HBx-dependent mitochondrion-regulated increase in cytosolic calcium

levels. J Virol 81:12061–12065.

31. Clippinger AJ, Bouchard MJ. 2008. Hepatitis B virus HBx protein localizes to mitochondria in

primary rat hepatocytes and modulates mitochondrial membrane potential. J Virol 82:6798–

6811.

32. Keasler VV, Hodgson AJ, Madden CR, Slagle BL. 2009. Hepatitis B virus HBx protein

localized to the nucleus restores HBx-deficient virus replication in HepG2 cells and in vivo in

hydrodynamically-injected mice. Virology 390:122–129.

33. Nag A, Datta A, Yoo K, Bhattacharyya D, Chakrabortty A, Wang X, Slagle BL, Costa RH,

Raychaudhuri P. 2001. DDB2 induces nuclear accumulation of the hepatitis B virus X protein

independently of binding to DDB1. J Virol 75:10383–10392.

34. Sitterlin D, Bergametti F, Transy C. 2000. UVDDB p127-binding modulates activities and

intracellular distribution of hepatitis B virus X protein. Oncogene 19:4417–4426.

35. Weil R, Sirma H, Giannini C, Kremsdorf D, Bessia C, Dargemont C, Bréchot C, Israël A. 1999.

Direct association and nuclear import of the hepatitis B virus X protein with the NF-kappaB

inhibitor IkappaBalpha. Mol Cell Biol 19:6345–6354.

36.   Arbuthnot P, Capovilla A, Kew M. 2000. Putative role of hepatitis B virus X protein in

479   hepatocarcinogenesis: effects on apoptosis, DNA repair, mitogen-activated protein kinase and

480   JAK/STAT pathways. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 15:357–368.

481   37.   Choonnasard A, Shofa M, Okabayashi T, Saito A. 2024. Conserved Functions of

482   Orthohepadnavirus X Proteins to Inhibit Type-I Interferon Signaling. Int J Mol Sci 25:3753.

483   38.   Sekiba K, Otsuka M, Ohno M, Yamagami M, Kishikawa T, Suzuki T, Ishibashi R, Seimiya T,

484   Tanaka E, Koike K. 2019. Inhibition of HBV Transcription From cccDNA With Nitazoxanide

485   by Targeting the HBx-DDB1 Interaction. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 7:297–312.

486   39.   Niwa H, Yamamura K, Miyazaki J. 1991. Efficient selection for high-expression transfectants

487   with a novel eukaryotic vector. Gene 108:193–199.

488   40.   Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary

489   Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549.

490   41.   Stecher G, Tamura K, Kumar S. 2020. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) for

491   macOS. Mol Biol Evol 37:1237–1239.

492   42.   Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM. 1992. The rapid generation of mutation data matrices

493   from protein sequences. Comput Appl Biosci 8:275–282.

494   43.   Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM. 1992. The rapid generation of mutation data matrices

495   from protein sequences. Comput Appl Biosci 8:275–282.

496   44.   Stecher G, Tamura K, Kumar S. 2020. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) for

497   macOS. Mol Biol Evol 37:1237–1239.

45.   Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. 2021. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis

Version 11. Mol Biol Evol 38:3022–3027.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Ms. Tomoko Nishiuchi, Ms. Yuki Shibatani, Ms. Miki Kawano, Ms. Natsumi

Matsubara, and the staff of CADIC, University of Miyazaki, for their assistance for their assistance.

Supplementary Figure 1 was created with BioRender (https://biorender.com/).

Author information

Contributions

M.S. and A.S. designed the experiments. M.S., YV.F, and A.S. performed the experiments. M.S.,

YV.F, and A.S. analyzed the results. M.S. and A.S. wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and

approved the manuscript.

Data availability

Source data are available on request.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development

(AMED) Research Program on HIV/AIDS JP24fk0410047, JP24fk0410056, and JP24fk0410058 (to

A.S.); the AMED Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases

JP22fk0108511, JP22fk0108506, and JP23fk0108583 (to A.S.); the AMED the Research Project for

Practical Applications of Regenerative Medicine JP24bk0104177 (to A.S.); the JSPS KAKENHI

Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) JP24K09227 (to A.S.); the JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid

for Scientific Research (B) JP22H02500 (to A.S.) and JP21H02361 (to A.S.); the JSPS Bilateral

Program JPJSBP120245706 (to A.S.); the JSPS Fund for the Promotion of Joint International

Research (International Leading Research) JP23K20041 (to A.S.); the G-7 Grant (to A.S.); and the

Ito Foundation Research Grant R6 KEN119 (to A.S.).

Ethics declarations

N/A

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Corresponding author

Akatsuki Saito.

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis of the X proteins from viruses of the

 genus *Orthohepadnavirus*.

 (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of the X proteins of the genus *Orthohepadnavirus*. The residue

 numbering was based on the HBV genotype D strain aw.

 (B) The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the alignment of X protein sequences derived from

 viruses of the genus *Orthohepadnavirus*. The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-

 joining method. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in

 the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches.

 Figure 2. Sequence alignment of mammalian Smc6 and phylogenetic analysis of the entire

 mammalian Smc6.

 The alignment of the amino acid sequence of mammalian Smc6, specifically amino acids 36 to 48

 and 216 to 222, was conducted. Subsequently, a phylogenetic tree for the complete mammalian

 Smc6 was constructed. The numbering of residues was standardized in reference to the human Smc6

 sequence.

 Figure 3. Mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins exhibit differential Smc5/6 complex

 degradation activities depending on the host species.

 Lenti-X 293T (human), COS-7 (African green monkey), Fcwf-4 (feline), and CRFK (feline) cells

 were transfected with plasmids encoding mNeonGreen-HBV(A) X, mNeonGreen-DCHBV(KT116)

 X, or mNeonGreen or only pCAGGS. The levels of Smc6 and mNeonGreen at 2 days after

 transfection were analyzed by Western blotting. The results are representative of at least 3

 independent experiments.

Figure 4. Subcellular localization of HBV(A) X and DCHBV(KT116) X.

(A) Lenti-X 293-T cells were transfected with pCAGGS plasmids encoding mNeonGreen-HBV(A) X, mNeonGreen-DCHBV(KT116) X, mNeonGreen or only pCAGGS.

(B) The nuclear fraction, cytosolic fraction, and whole-cell lysates (Total) were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-lamin A/C (nuclear), anti-α-tubulin (cytosolic), and anti-mNeonGreen (X protein) antibodies. The results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments.

Figure 5. A flow cytometry–based SMC6 degradation assay using sfCherry3C-Smc6.

(A) Lenti-X 293T cells were transfected with pCAGGS plasmids encoding SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10) only, SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-mNeonGreen only, or in combination of SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10), SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-mNeonGreen, and SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6 (human). The signals of mNeonGreen and sfCherry3C were measured 2 days after transfection.

(B) Lenti-X 293T cells were co-transfected with pCAGGS plasmids encoding SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10) only, SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6 (human) only, or in combination with mNeonGreen-HBV(A) X, mNeonGreen-DCHBV(KT116) X, or mNeonGreen. Smc6 degradation was evaluated by measuring sfCherry3C levels using flow cytometry. The results, presented as the mean and SD of sextuplicate measurements from 1 assay, are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. The differences in sfCherry3C positivity between the cells producing HBV(A) X, DCHBV(KT116) X, and mNeonGreen were evaluated using one-way ANOVA, followed by the Tukey test. $*p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.001$, $****p < 0.0001$, ns (not significant).

Figure 6. DCHBV(KT116) X degrades the Smc5/6 complex independently of DDB1.

(A) Lenti-X 293-T cells were transfected with DsiRNA targeting human *Ddb1* or non-targeting

control DsiRNA. The level of DDB1 in Lenti-X 293-T cells was determined using Western blotting.

(B) After 2 days of DsiRNA transfection, the cells were co-transfected with pCAGGS plasmids

encoding SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10), SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6 (human, feline, or feline

with KVRNT insertion), or in combination with mNeonGreen-HBV(A) X, mNeonGreen-

DCHBV(KT116) X, or mNeonGreen. Smc6 degradation was evaluated by measuring sfCherry3C

levels with flow cytometry. The results, presented as the mean and SD of sextuplicate measurements

from 1 assay, are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. The differences in sfCherry3C

positivity between the cells producing HBV(A) X, DCHBV(KT116) X, and mNeonGreen were

evaluated using one-way ANOVA, followed by the Tukey test. $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$,

$****p$ $<$ 0.0001,   ns   (not   significant).

(C) Fcwf-4 cells were transfected with DsiRNA targeting cat *Ddb1* or non-targeting control DsiRNA.

The level of Ddb1 in Fcwf-4 cells was determined by Western blotting.

(D) After 2 days of DsiRNA transfection, the cells were co-transfected with pCAGGS plasmids

encoding SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10), SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6 (human, feline, or feline

with +KVRNT insertion), in combination with mNeonGreen-HBV(A) X, mNeonGreen-

DCHBV(KT116) X, or mNeonGreen. Smc6 degradation was evaluated by measuring sfCherry3C

levels with flow cytometry. The results, presented as the mean and SD of sextuplicate measurements

from 1 assay, are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. The differences in sfCherry3C

positivity between cells producing HBV(A) X, DCHBV(KT116) X, and mNeonGreen were

evaluated by one-way ANOVA, followed by the Tukey test. $***p < 0.001$, $****p < 0.0001$, ns (not

significant).

Supplemental Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the SpyTag/SpyCatcher-assisted SMC6

degradation assay.

Flow cytometry analysis of the fluorescence in cells producing SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10) and

SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6. In the absence of mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins, a

specific fluorescence signal was detected when SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10) was reconstituted with

the SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6 to generate a functional sfCherry3C protein (upper panel). In

the presence of mammalian hepatitis B virus X proteins, the signal was decreased due to the

degradation of SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-HA-Smc6 by X proteins (lower panel).

Figure 1

Figure 1

B

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

Figure 5

A

pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10)
+
pCAGGS empty

pCAGGS empty
+
pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-mNeonGreen

pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10)
+
pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-mNeonGreen

pCAGGS-SpyCatcher-sfCherry3C(1-10)
+
pCAGGS-SpyTag-sfCherry3C(11)-Smc6 (293T)

pCAGGS-mNeonGreen

pCAGGS-mCherry2

pCAGGS empty

B

Figure 6