Population genomics of the invasive Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*) – adaptive evolution in the

introduced supercolonies despite low genetic diversity

Ida Holmberg[1*], Jenni Paviala[2], Jes Søe Pedersen[3], Heikki Helanterä[1] & Lumi Viljakainen[1*]

Affiliations and correspondence

[1] University of Oulu, Ecology and Genetics Research Unit, 90014 Oulu, Finland

[2] University of Helsinki, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

[3] University of Copenhagen, Department of Biology, Section for Ecology and Evolution, 2200 Copenhagen,

Denmark

*) author for correspondence

Ida Holmberg: Ida.Holmberg@oulu.fi

Lumi Viljakainen: Lumi.Viljakainen@oulu.fi

Abstract

The Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*), native to South America, has spread globally over the past 150 years, forming extremely large supercolonies in its introduced range. For example, the European Main supercolony spans over 6,000 km along the Mediterranean coastline. Despite the recent demographic history, including founder effects, Argentine ants thrive in the introduced range and displace native ant species. We took a comprehensive approach to investigate this highly invasive species' recent demographic history and genome evolution, primarily focusing on introduced supercolonies. We used pooled whole genome sequence data of 100 workers from the species native range and each of the three invasive supercolonies – European Main, Catalonia, and Chile. Invasive supercolonies were highly differentiated from each other and harboured low genetic diversity. Despite this, we could detect signs of positive selection in their genomes – both supercolony-specific and shared patterns. Positively selected genes overlapped the most across invasive supercolonies, indicating altered and shared selective pressure in new habitats. These included, e.g., genes implicated in neurobiological functions. Moreover, we found balancing selection, both supercolony-specific and shared patterns, including many chemical signalling genes. We conclude that introduced Argentine ant supercolonies evolve adaptively, indicating that founder effects and low genetic diversity do not always hamper adaptability.

Keywords: pool-seq, founder effect, selective pressure, positive selection, balancing selection

1. Introduction

Invasive species increasingly threaten biodiversity and ecosystems globally (Mollot et al., 2017; Seebens et al., 2017). In the most harmful situations, they cause local extinctions, permanently changing the nature of colonised areas (Holway et al., 2002; Mack et al., 2000). However, factors causing the success of invasive species are still poorly understood, as are their long-term evolutionary prospects in the introduced ranges, which makes the possibility of controlling these species and their detrimental effects nearly impossible (Estoup et al., 2016; Pérez et al., 2006; Sherpa & Després, 2021).

Ants exist almost everywhere in the world, and they are one of the most successful taxa (Hölldobler & Wilson, 1990; La Polla et al., 2013). Ants have an enormous species richness, inhabit diverse ecological niches and play a crucial role in many ecosystems (Hölldobler & Wilson, 1990; Moreau et al., 2006; Wilson & Hölldobler, 2005). However, many ant species are among the most harmful invasive species (Holway et al., 2002; Lester & Gruber, 2016; McGlynn, 1999). One of these, the highly invasive Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*), has spread from its original habitat in South America to all continents except Antarctica during the past 150 years (Suarez et al., 2001; Wetterer et al., 2006, 2009; Wetterer & Wetterer, 2006). Typical for invasive ant species, the Argentine ant also forms large polygynous (multi-queen) and polydomous (multi-nest) societies called supercolonies (Pedersen et al., 2006) in which territorial borders between nests are absent over large areas, and individuals move freely between them. In both the native and introduced ranges, these supercolonies form closed breeding units with intranidal mating, and the spread of the colony occurs by budding (Helanterä, 2022; Pedersen et al., 2006; Vogel et al., 2009). In the introduced range, Argentine ants dominate in interspecies competition, destroy local ecosystems and cause species extinctions (Giraud et al., 2002; Holway et al., 2002; Sanders et al., 2001, 2003). The Argentine ants' supercolony size in the introduced range can be enormous, e.g., the European Main supercolony spans over 6,000 kilometres along the Mediterranean coastline from France and Spain to Portugal (Giraud et al., 2002).

Previous microsatellite marker-data studies indicated that introduced Argentine ant supercolonies are

genetically highly differentiated from each other and the native range supercolonies with low genetic

diversity (Blight et al., 2012; Brandt et al., 2009; Giraud et al., 2002; Jaquiéry et al., 2005; Tsutsui et al.,

2000; Vogel et al., 2010). These introduced supercolonies originate from a small number, in some cases

only a dozen, of reproducing individuals (Giraud et al., 2002; Vogel et al., 2010), indicating strong founder

effects, i.e., loss of genetic variation. Genetic drift (random loss and fixation of alleles) is often amplified in

small, newly established founder populations with reduced genetic diversity (Keller & Passera, 1993; Nei et

al., 1975). Thus, initially, low diversity combined with strong drift resulting from low effective population

sizes should impede the potential for adaptive evolution in the introduced Argentine ant supercolonies.

Moreover, the general inefficiency of selection on worker traits in supercolonies with low relatedness due

to multiple egg-laying queens increases the accumulation of deleterious mutations and decline of fitness

(genetic meltdown) (Helanterä et al., 2009).

However, the success and dominance of Argentine ants in the introduced range (Vogel et al., 2010) suggest

local adaptation to the new environments. This success in novel ranges despite small effective population

sizes and decreased efficacy of selection raises important questions. First, can signals of natural selection

be detected in the genome, and if so, in what kind of genes? Second, are genes undergoing adaptive

evolution shared among invasive supercolonies, and between the native range supercolonies and invasive

supercolonies? Our recent population genetic study focusing on the evolution of immune genes in 18

Argentine ant supercolonies across the world, also including the invasive supercolonies of this study,

indicated that despite the recent demographic history, positive selection has affected immune genes'

evolution in the introduced range (Holmberg et al., 2024).

In this study, we took a more comprehensive approach by investigating signatures of selection across the

whole genome of the Argentine ant. We studied native range supercolonies and three invasive

supercolonies from different parts of the world using pooled whole-genome sequence data of 100

individuals from the native range and each of the invasive supercolonies. We aimed 1) to investigate

selection patterns within supercolonies and whether the same genomic regions are under selection across

supercolonies and 2) to confirm with genome-wide data the earlier findings based on microsatellite marker

data about demographic changes during introductions, supercolonial diversity and divergence.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling

One of the Argentine ant samples represents the native supercolonies, and three represent invasive

supercolonies, one originating from South America and two from Europe, all including one hundred diploid

workers (Table S1) (Wild, 2004). All three invasive supercolonies arose from different primary introductions

from the native range (Vogel et al., 2010). The sample representing the native supercolonies (Native) was

collected in Argentina, while the samples representing the three invasive populations were collected in

France, Spain and Chile. The two European samples originated from the two known separate large

supercolonies, the European Main supercolony (European Main) and the Catalonian supercolony

(Catalonia), living partly next to each other along the Mediterranean coastline (Giraud et al., 2002). The

third invasive sample from the Chilean supercolony (Chile) originated from the only recognised supercolony

in the country (Vogel et al., 2010). Ten individuals were collected from ten different nests within each

supercolony (i.e., 100 individuals per supercolony) in the introduced range. In the native range, ten

individuals were collected from ten different supercolonies' nests from four separate localities (i.e., a total

of 100 individuals per ten supercolonies) to capture the total genetic diversity in the species' natural range

(Table S1).

2.2. DNA extraction and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted individually from each ant using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN). Equal

amounts of DNA from ten individuals per nest were pooled and genome-amplified using REPLI-g Mini Kit

(QIAGEN). Finally, all the genome-amplified sub-samples were pooled so that each final sample represented

100 individuals. The samples were sent to BGI Genomics for library preparation and paired-end sequencing

using Illumina Hiseq™ 2000 sequencer, with a read length of 90 bp and an insert size of 200 bp. The clean

reads provided by BGI Genomics contained sequences where adapters, contaminations, and low-quality

sequences were removed.

2.3. Data processing

First, the quality-encoding of the sequenced raw reads was converted from Phred 64 to Phred 33 (known as

Sanger) as it is more widely used and required in many software programs. The converting was done using

the Seqtk tool (version 1.3) (*Seqtk*, 2018). After this, raw reads were filtered using trim-fastq.pl script from

the basic pipeline of PoPoolation (version 1.2.2) designed to analyse pooled next-generation sequencing

data (Kofler, Orozco-terWengel, et al., 2011). The reads were filtered using an average minimum base

quality of 20 and a minimum read length of 70 bp. The quality of the reads was controlled using FastQC

(version 0.11.8) analyses before and after filtering (Andrews, 2010).

Filtered reads were aligned to the reference genome (NCBI Assembly Lhum_UMD_V04) using the BWA-

MEM algorithm from the BWA software package (version 0.7.17) with default settings (Li & Durbin, 2009).

The resulting SAM files were sorted, quality-filtered and converted to more compressed binary versions

(BAM files) using the view command of SAMtools (version 1.10) (Li et al., 2009). Only aligned reads with a

mapping quality of at least 20 and a SAM flag "properly paired" were saved. Duplicates were removed using

Picard's MarkDuplicates tool (version 2.21.4) (*Picard Toolkit*, 2019).

The processed BAM files were converted to text-based pileup formats using the mpileup command of

SAMtools (Li et al., 2009). A minimum count of 2 was required for identification of indel, and 5 bp were

removed around each identified indel using identify-genomic-indel-regions.pl and filter-mpileup-by-gtf.pl

scripts from the basic pipeline of PoPoolation (Kofler, Orozco-terWengel, et al., 2011). Sample-specific

pileup files for Native, European Main, Catalonia, and Chile were filtered to keep only positions covered

with a minimum sequencing depth of 20 (a requirement in later sample-specific downstream analyses).

Finally, only the positions that met the sequencing depth criteria in all sample files were kept in analyses to

ensure the comparability of the results. As Catalonia had an uneven read alignment and lower data quality,

its inclusion in the analyses significantly reduced the number of shared positions. Thus, we decided to do

analyses that included and excluded Catalonia. The main text focuses on analyses with higher reference

genome coverage and better quality, excluding Catalonia, and analyses including Catalonia are presented in

Supplemental Information (see Text S2).

A multi-sample joint mpileup file was created using the mpileup command of SAMtools (Li et al., 2009). The

mpileup file was indel-filtered as above using corresponding scripts, identify-indel-regions.pl and filter-sync-

by-gtf.pl, from the pipeline of PoPoolation2 (version 1201) (Kofler, Pandey, et al., 2011). Before indel-

filtering, the mpileup file was synchronised using the script mpileup2sync.jar from PoPoolation2. The indel-

filtered mpileup file was further filtered to keep only positions with a sequencing depth of at least 4 (a

requirement in later multi-sample downstream analyses) in all considered samples (Kofler, Orozco-

terWengel, et al., 2011).

These sample-specific filtered pileup files and the multi-sample joint mpileup files, including and excluding

Catalonia, were used as input files for the downstream analyses detailed below, mainly according to the

pipelines of PoPoolation and PoPoolation2, respectively.

2.4. Population genetic analyses

2.4.1. Genomic diversity

The genomic diversity of supercolonies was evaluated with two genetic diversity estimators, Tajima's π (π

from now on) and Watterson's Θ (Θ_w from now on). These were calculated separately for Native and each

of the invasive supercolony samples using non-overlapping windows of 20 kbp with Variance-sliding.pl

script from PoPoolation (Kofler, Orozco-terWengel, et al., 2011). The window size was slightly larger than

the mean gene length (13 988 bp based on the NCBI Linepithema humile Annotation Release 100). The

minimum and maximum sequencing depth requirements of the sites used for SNP identifications were 20

and 250, respectively. A minimum count of 4 was required for a minor allele, and the minimum base quality

requirement was 20. Finally, at least 60% of each window had to meet the sequencing depth criteria for

acceptance when considering sample-specific pileup files containing shared positions. These parameter

values were used in all analyses unless otherwise stated. Furthermore, the effect of different sliding

window lengths and the inclusion of deletion sites were tested for the results. We calculated the genome-

wide mean and standard deviation for the estimated genetic diversity values and the number of single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) used in these analyses. We also estimated genetic marker diversity,

expected heterozygosity (H_{exp}) and allelic richness (k') for five variable microsatellite loci obtained from

Vogel et al. (2010) applying a similar sample size and design as the genomic samples (see Text S3).

2.4.2. Test of neutrality and inference of adaptive evolution

We used Tajima's D to identify genomic windows evolving non-neutrally (Tajima, 1989). Tajima's D values

were calculated separately for Native and each of the invasive supercolony samples using Variance-

sliding.pl script from PoPoolation with the same parameter values as above (Kofler, Orozco-terWengel, et

al., 2011). Exceptionally, a minimum count of 2 instead of 4 was used for the minor allele as required for

the correcting calculation method (Achaz, 2008). In addition to the genome-wide sliding window analyses,

Tajima's D values were separately calculated for annotated genes (NCBI Linepithema humile Annotation

Release 100) in the genome. These calculations were done using the same sample-specific input pileup files

as above and the script Variance-at-position.pl from PoPoolation (Kofler, Orozco-terWengel, et al., 2011).

The script used genes as windows for calculations. Thus, the sliding window and step size parameters were

excluded from the analysis. We calculated the genome-wide statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median,

and standard deviation) for Tajima's D values and the number of SNPs used in these analyses.

As demography and selection both affect the neutrality test statistic Tajima's D, it was crucial to disentangle

the effects of these two forces. It is widely accepted that demography affects the whole genome, whereas

natural selection acts more locally. We used an empirical outlier approach with strict cut-off values to

identify putative selection signals. The 1%, 5%, 95%, and 99% fractiles of observed Tajima's D value

distributions were used to recognise the 20 kbp genomic windows and annotated genes with the lowest

and highest, i.e., the extreme Tajima's D values, likely driven by selection rather than demography (Fabian

et al., 2012; Kolaczkowski et al., 2011; Nielsen, 2005).

Between-sample comparisons reveal the overlaps of these extremes, which might unveil genes encoding

crucial functions for invasion success (putative parallel adaptive evolution or pre-adaptation in the native

range). Comparisons were made separately for the lowest and highest extremes, and, in addition, a cross-

comparison was carried out between the highest extremes in Native and the lowest extremes in invasive

supercolony samples to determine particular patterns, such as if the invasions reversed the direction of the

selection of some genes. Pairwise and multi-set overlaps were evaluated using the R-package

SuperExactTest (*R Core Team*, 2022; Wang et al., 2015). The obtained p-values were adjusted for multiple

comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg's method using a function p.adjust from R-package stats (Benjamini

& Hochberg, 1995; *R Core Team*, 2022). A p-value less than 0.05 is considered significant in all statistical

tests. Moreover, by using prop.test function in R, we evaluated if the proportions of overlaps were the

same with two-sample tests of equality of proportions (*R Core Team*, 2022), especially when comparing

Native and invasive supercolony samples' overlaps to the overlaps of invasive supercolony samples. In

addition, Jaccard indexes measuring the similarity of the two lists were calculated with a vegdist function

from R-package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2022; *R Core Team*, 2022).

Finally, the Blast2GO tool's enrichment analysis of Gene Ontology (GO) (Conesa et al., 2005) terms was

made separately for Native, and each of the invasive supercolony sample-specific gene sets with the

extreme values of Tajima's D and multi-set overlaps as test sets and a 9702 annotated genes described in

Viljakainen et al. (2018) as a reference set.

2.4.3. Genomic differentiation

A sliding window approach was used to measure genomic differentiation between samples as pairwise F_{ST}

values. The calculations were made using the multi-sample joint mpileup file as input for fst-sliding.pl script

from PoPoolation2 (Kofler, Pandey, et al., 2011). The same parameter values were used as above with the

PoPoolation analyses. SNPs used in the analysis were identified considering all samples simultaneously.

Again, at least 60% of each window had to meet the sequencing depth criteria in all samples included for

acceptance for the analysis. We calculated the genome-wide statistics (minimum, maximum, mean,

median, and standard deviation) for pairwise genetic differentiation values.

The 20 kbp genomic windows and annotated genes in these regions (identified based on the gene

annotation file: NCBI Linepithema humile Annotation Release 100) with the highest F_{ST} values were

recognised with 95% and 99% fractiles of the observed F_{ST} value distributions. Local adaptations might lead

to population differentiation over time as different alleles fixate in each population. The Blast2GO tool's

enrichment analysis of GO terms was carried out for these highly differentiated genes as above (Conesa et

al., 2005). We also estimated genetic marker differentiation as pairwise F_{ST} for five variable microsatellite

loci obtained from Vogel et al. (2010) by applying a sample size and design similar to the genomic samples

(see Text S3).

The Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test (cmh-test) was used to assess if there were consistent allele frequency

changes in the genomes of the invasive supercolonies compared to the native supercolonies. This analysis

was done for every segregating site in the multi-sample joint mpileup files to detect statistically significant

(p-value<0.05) and consistent allele frequency changes between invasive supercolony samples and Native

using cmh-test.pl script from PoPoolation2 (Kofler, Pandey, et al., 2011). Again, the parameter values and

criteria requirements used were the same as detailed above. In addition, a quasibinomial generalised linear

model (qbGLM) for each segregating site in the genome was used to detect significant allele frequency

differences between the invasive supercolony samples and Native (see details in Supplemental Information,

Table S4).

3. Results

3.1. Properties of the data

Catalonia had an uneven read alignment and lower data quality, and its inclusion in the analyses

significantly reduced the number of shared positions. Thus, analyses including Catalonia are presented in

the Supplemental Information (see Text S2), and the main text focuses on the analyses with higher

reference genome coverage and better quality, excluding Catalonia. After filtering, the sample-specific

pileup files with shared positions of Native, European Main, and Chile with a minimum sequencing depth of

at least 20 covered 85% of the reference genome (Table S5 A-C). The corresponding multi-sample joint

mpileup file covered 93% of the reference genome (Table S5 D).

3.2. Genomic diversity

The number of SNPs found in Native was 1 121 307, twice the amount found in the two invasive

supercolonies, European Main and Chile (Table 1). Both genetic diversity estimators, π and Θ_w, indicated

that the native supercolonies are genetically more diverse than the invasive supercolonies (p-values<0.05 in

all pairwise comparisons, see details in Table S6; Table 1). We also tested the effect of different window

sizes and the inclusion of the deletion sites in calculating the genetic diversity estimators (Table S7 A-B).

The consequences for the results were minor; thus, we used only one window size, 20 kbp. Both H_{exp} and k'

estimates of genetic marker diversity gave us similar results as genomic diversity – the native supercolonies

harbour higher diversity than the invasive supercolonies (see Text S3).

3.3. Test of neutrality and inference of adaptive evolution

Tajima's D values for whole genomes (estimated with 20 kbp genomic windows) and separately for

annotated genes were estimated to detect deviations from neutrality (Tajima, 1989). Locations and shapes,

especially width and kurtosis, differed between Tajima's D density curves of the native and invasive

supercolonies, the European Main and the Chilean supercolonies (Figure 1). The density curves of the

native supercolonies are narrow with high kurtosis, while the density curves of the invasive supercolonies

are broad, with lower kurtosis and more extreme values. Moreover, the density curves of the native

supercolonies are located on the negative side. In contrast, the invasive supercolonies' density curves are

located on the positive side, but the density curves of whole genomes are especially skewed toward the

negative side (Figure 1). Similar observations could be made from genome-wide summary statistics (Tables

S8 & S9). These differences indicate varying selection pressure and evolutionary trajectories between the

native and invasive supercolonies.

Gene evolution differs from the evolution of the whole genome, as we observed differences in the

locations of corresponding density curves of each supercolony (p-values<0.05 in all pairwise comparisons,

see details in Table S6; Figure 1). Moreover, the invasive supercolonies' density curves of genes are

bimodal. Bimodality differentiates these gene curves of the invasive supercolonies from their whole

genome curves and the curves of the native supercolonies. The locations of peaks in the two invasive

supercolonies are the same, with one peak around zero and one on the positive side (Figure 1) – indicating

similar changes in selection pressures and evolutionary trajectories.

To identify putative targets of positive and balancing selection, we used an empirical outlier approach with

different thresholds to determine the genomic regions with the most extreme values of Tajima's D from

both ends of the distributions, i.e. genomic windows and genes with the lowest (positive selection) and

highest (balancing selection) Tajima's D values (File S10) (Fabian et al., 2012; Kolaczkowski et al., 2011). The

extreme regions might have been targets of recent selection events or linked to selected genes or other

genomic regions. Overlaps of genomic windows (Figure S11 A-B, File S10) and genes (Figures 2 & 3, File S10)

in the extreme ends of Tajima's D distributions, indicating similar selective regimes, were also found

between supercolonies.

The observed overlaps among the genomic windows were significantly larger than expected by chance

alone (BH-adj. p-values<0.05, see details in File S10) when considering the overlaps of the lowest and

highest extremes separately (Figure S11 A). All but one (overlap of 3 in the lowest 1% extremes of Native

and Chile) of the observed overlaps among the genes were significantly higher than expected by chance

alone (BH-adj. p-values<0.05; File S10) when considering the overlaps of the lowest and highest extremes

separately (Figure 2).

Invasive supercolonies' overlaps of genes and genomic windows in the lowest extremes of Tajima's D, i.e.,

in putative genes evolving under positive selection, were higher than overlaps between the native and

invasive supercolonies (p-values<0.05 in all pairwise comparisons, see details in Table S6; Figures 2 and S8

A).

Jaccard Indexes, which measure the similarity between the two lists, indicated the same pattern as

European Main's and Chile's indexes were higher than indexes between Native and the two invasive

supercolonies when comparing the lowest extremes of Tajima's D (Tables 2 & S12). The overlaps between

the highest extremes did not vary considerably in size (Figures 2 & S11 A), as detected in Jaccard indexes as

well (Tables 2 & S12**)**.

As putative regions where selective regimes may have changed between supercolonies, the highest

extremes of Native and lowest extremes of the invasive supercolonies overlapped more than expected by

chance for the 5% extremes for genomic windows (BH-adj. p-values<0.05, File S10; Figure S11 B), but not

for other comparisons of high and low extremes (Figures 3 & S11 B). The cross-comparison overlaps did not

vary considerably in size (Figures 3 & S11 B).

Scanning the gene sets having high Tajima's D values indicating balancing selection, the Enrichment

Analysis of GO terms found an overrepresentation of a biological process DNA integration GO:0015074

among the genes in the highest 1% and 5% of Native (BH-adjusted p-values<0.05, Table S13). Among the

genes in the highest 5% of Chile, a biological process term, DNA metabolic process GO:0006259 (BH-

adjusted p-value<0.05, Table S13), was found. Finally, one biological process, sensory perception of smell

GO:0007608, and two molecular function terms, olfactory receptor activity GO:0004984 and odorant

binding GO:0005549, were enriched among the genes in the highest 5% of European Main (BH-adjusted p-

values<0.05, Table S13).

Overlaps of the gene sets with the highest Tajima's D values revealed shared genes under balancing

selection among several supercolonies. The biological process term DNA integration GO:0015074 was

enriched among the overlapped genes in the highest 1% and 5% D values of Native and European Main, as

well as in the highest 5% D values of Native and Chile, and highest 5% D values of Native and both invasive

supercolony samples (BH-adjusted p-values<0.05, Table S13). No GO term enrichment was found in the

genes among the lowest extremes of Tajima's D values, i.e., genes evolving under positive selection.

Gene sets with the extreme Tajima's D values contain a broad set of genes with various functions. For

example, gene sets with the lowest Tajima's D values, i.e., those evolving under positive selection, contain

many genes implicated in neurological functions, and genes with the highest Tajima's D values, i.e., those

evolving under balancing selection, include genes encoding putative endogenous virus proteins (File S10).

Interestingly, genes functioning in chemical signalling, such as odorant receptors and fatty acid synthases,

are among the gene sets with both the lowest and the highest Tajima's D values, thus some of them

evolving under positive selection while others under balancing selection (File S10).

3.4. Genomic differentiation

We used pairwise F_{ST} values to evaluate genomic differentiation between supercolonies. These analyses

revealed that the invasive supercolonies were more differentiated from each other than from the native

supercolonies (p-values<0.05 in all pairwise comparisons, see details in Table S6; Figure 4, Table S14).

Similar observations were made from the genetic marker data, with the invasive supercolonies being the

most differentiated from each other (Text S3).

We searched for annotated genes among the 20 kbp genomic windows with the highest F_{ST} values (File S15)

to identify highly differentiated genes indicating local adaptation. The Enrichment Analysis of GO terms

showed an overrepresentation of two biological processes (calcium ion transport GO:0006816 and

inorganic cation transmembrane transport GO:0098662), three molecular functions (protein binding

GO:0005515, calcium channel activity GO:0005262, and transporter activity GO:0005215), and one cellular

component (cation channel complex GO:0034703) GO terms among the highest 5% of European Main and

Chile (BH-adjusted p-values<0.05, see details in Table S16).

Using a Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test, we detected similar allele frequency changes between the invasive

and native supercolonies. 63% of the SNPs showed allele frequency changes significantly more similar than

expected by chance alone (BH-adjusted p-values<0.05, Table S4).

4. Discussion

This study aimed to shed light on the highly invasive Argentine ant's recent evolutionary history and

genome dynamics, specifically focusing on the introduced supercolonies. We searched for answers to the

following questions: Can selection be detected in the genomes of these highly invasive ants, and if so, in

which genes, and are selected genes shared between supercolonies? Moreover, we wanted to verify the

earlier population genetic findings based on marker data. We found similar diversity and divergence

patterns in the invasive supercolonies compared to the native supercolonies as in the earlier marker-based

studies. Moreover, despite the recent demographic history, including strong founder effects and low

genetic diversity of the invasive supercolonies, we could detect both shared and supercolony-specific signs

of selection, indicating adaptability.

The observed low diversity and high divergence in the invasive supercolonies confirm earlier Argentine ant

studies based on microsatellite loci and mitochondrial DNA (Blight et al., 2012; Brandt et al., 2009; Giraud

et al., 2002; Jaquiéry et al., 2005; Tsutsui et al., 2000; Vogel et al., 2010). Similarly, in another invasive ant

species, *Cardiocondyla obscurior,* genome-wide evidence shows reduced genetic diversity in invasive

populations (Errbii et al., 2021). Moreover, marker data has demonstrated reduced genetic diversity in

several other invasive ant populations of *Nylanderia fulva, Pheidole megacephala, Solenopsis invicta*,

Solenopsis geminata, and *Wasmannia auropunctata* (Fournier et al., 2005, 2009, 2012; Ross et al., 1993,

1996; Wauters et al., 2018). Together, these results indicate that introduced populations of invasive ant

species typically harbour less genetic diversity than native populations. This is in line with the typical

supercolonial lifestyle of the invasive ants, i.e., the formation of closed breeding units and the fact that

populations in the introduced ranges usually comprise single supercolonies. If the native range

supercolonies also form closed breeding units (Pedersen et al., 2006; Vogel et al., 2009), evolution could be

quite similar in both ranges as effective population sizes are always small in these types of supercolonies.

The invasive Argentine ant supercolonies included in this study have originated from different primary

introductions from the native range without secondary reinforcements (Giraud et al., 2002; Vogel et al.,

2010). Argentine ant workers are highly aggressive toward non-colony mates, efficiently preventing gene

flow between supercolonies (Krieger & Keller, 2000; Sunamura et al., 2011). In addition, gene flow is prevented by the considerable geographic distance between the studied supercolonies, except the European Main and Catalonian supercolonies (the latter considered in Supplemental Information – see Text S2) living partly next to each other (Blight et al., 2012; Giraud et al., 2002; Jaquiéry et al., 2005; Vogel et al., 2010). Although the European Main and Catalonia supercolonies live close to each other, there is no gene flow between them (Blight et al., 2012; Giraud et al., 2002; Jaquiéry et al., 2005). The effective queen number establishing the European Main as well as the Catalonian supercolonies has been estimated to be between 6 to 13 individuals (Giraud et al., 2002; Vogel et al., 2010), while in native range supercolonies, it has been estimated to be extremely high, reaching infinity (Pedersen et al., 2006). Thus, the founder effect probably created the first shift toward genetic differentiation of the introduced supercolonies. In addition, amplified genetic drift in the small founder populations might have caused further fluctuations in allele frequencies, contributing to diversification in expanding supercolonies (Nei et al., 1975).

Other social insects, such as the yellow-legged hornet *Vespa velutina* and German wasp *Vespula germanica,* have also successfully invaded regions outside their native range despite low genetic diversity (Arca et al., 2015; Brenton-Rule et al., 2018; Eloff et al., 2020; Takeuchi et al., 2017). In the yellow-legged hornet, successful invasion possibly originated from as few individuals as just one (one queen mated with multiple males) (Arca et al., 2015; Takeuchi et al., 2017). In addition, other non-social insects, such as the African fig fly *Zaprionus indianus* (Comeault et al., 2020), and other species, such as the invasive wart comb jelly *Mnemiopsis leidyi* (Jaspers et al., 2021) have also proven that invasion success does not require high genomic diversity. Thus, the general assumption of invasive populations' lower adaptive potential is incorrect, as the founder effect and low diversity do not always prevent invaders' success.

Whilst the Mediterranean-like climate is shared across the native and invasive ranges of the Argentine ant (Roura-Pascual et al., 2011), other biotic and abiotic factors, such as pathogens and competitors, likely differ between regions, leading to distinct local selective pressures (Holmberg et al., 2024; Lester & Gruber, 2016). In the Argentine ant, pervasive selection in both the native and invasive supercolonies is suggested

by the different distributions of Tajima's D of the whole genomes (estimated with 20 kbp windows) and

genes.

The positively selected genes found in the lowest extremes of Tajima's D encoded different regulatory and

signalling proteins participating in several cellular processes and pathways despite no enrichment of

descriptive GO terms (File S10). For example, genes putatively involved in sociobiological traits (Privman et

al., 2018) through their roles in neurological functions, pheromonal signalling and caste determination were

positively selected in each supercolony. In line with our observation, genes associated with sociobiological

traits have also been targets of positive selection in another invasive ant species, the fire ant *S. invicta*

(Privman et al., 2018). Thus, evolutionary changes in genes responsible for sociobiological traits might be

crucial for the continued and long-term success of invasive ant species.

In addition to supercolony-specific adaptive evolution, we could detect some shared patterns (File S10). We

detected overlaps among the positively selected genes in different supercolonies. The overlaps between

the native and invasive supercolonies might indicate that some selection pressures have not changed after

introductions. Instead, the overlaps between the invasive supercolonies might indicate genes that

repeatedly experienced positive selection in the introduced range due to altered and shared selective

pressures. Overlaps of positively selected genes between the invasive supercolonies were higher than

overlaps between the native and invasive supercolonies. These overlaps between the invasive

supercolonies contained the same genes as mentioned above, including genes implicated in neurological

functions (File S10), again highlighting the importance of genes likely involved in sociobiological traits in the

evolution of the invasive supercolonies.

Supercolony-specific and shared patterns of evolution under positive selection were also evident in the

immune genes of Argentine ants (Holmberg et al., 2024). Despite the significant evidence of positive

selection acting on this species' immune genes, we could not detect immune genes among the genes under

the strongest positive selection when considering the whole gene repertoire of the species.

Positive Tajima's D values at the whole genome level in the case of the European Main and Chilean

supercolonies could indicate decreasing supercolony size. However, we can exclude the colony size

contraction since all three studied invasive supercolonies have tremendously expanded after introduction

events (Vogel et al., 2010).

The highest extremes of Tajima's D contain genes evolving under balancing selection, an important factor

for maintaining genetic variation in heterogeneous environments and allowing rapid response in the face of

environmental fluctuations and changing selection pressures (Davidson et al., 2011; Estoup et al., 2016;

Manfredini et al., 2019; Nielsen, 2005; Richards et al., 2006). One or a few GO terms were enriched in the

gene set under balancing selection in each supercolony. Three of the GO terms enriched in the European

Main supercolony were related to olfaction, including several genes encoding odorant receptors as well as

several fatty acid synthase genes. Such genes function in chemical signalling and could thus be relevant for

recognition and communication behaviours. Odorant receptors are necessary for perceiving chemical

signals (Anton & Rössler, 2021; Gadenne et al., 2016). In addition to within-colony communication,

perception of signals outside the colony, such as recognition of enemies, food, and other resources, are

crucial for colony survival. In turn, fatty acid synthases are implicated in cuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis.

Insect cuticular hydrocarbons have two fundamental and vital functions – they protect the individual from

desiccation and mediate chemical signalling (Holze et al., 2020; Leonhardt et al., 2016; Menzel et al., 2017;

Sprenger & Menzel F., 2020; Walsh et al., 2020). In *C. obscurior,* chemical signalling genes are highly

variable (Errbii et al., 2021) and balancing selection has been observed among chemical signalling genes of

Drosophila melanogaster (Comeron, 2014; Croze et al., 2017).

Interestingly, overlaps were also observed among the gene sets under balancing selection in different

supercolonies. These overlaps contained the same chemical signalling genes discussed above. It has been

suggested that chemical signalling is the main evolutionary driver of cuticular hydrocarbons (Hefetz, 2007).

The overlaps of genes under balancing selection between the native and invasive supercolonies might

unveil pre-adaptations in the species' native range, a phenomenon that has been suggested to facilitate

invasion success in various species by providing a selection regime that allows rapid adaptation in response

to changing selection pressures in fluctuating environmental conditions (Gloag et al., 2016; Kaňuch et al.,

2021; Stern & Lee, 2020; Tepolt et al., 2022). It seems plausible that many species became successful

invaders due to the traits already present in their native range. An unstable environment due to frequent

floodings along the Paraná River in the native range (Wild, 2004) could maintain balancing selection in key

genes, preparing this species to become thriving dispersers.

We also found genes encoding putative endogenous virus proteins among the genes that have been targets

of balancing selection in all supercolonies and their overlaps. In general, endogenous virus elements seem

not to be an uncommon discovery in the genomes of insects or other animals (Blair et al., 2020; Flynn &

Moreau, 2019). In future studies, it could be interesting to compare the overall amount of these

endogenous virus proteins encoding genes in the genomes of native and invasive supercolonies and clarify

their functions. For example, in other insect and non-insect species, they have been found to function in

virus defence (Blair et al., 2020; Flynn & Moreau, 2019; Frank & Feschotte, 2017; Yap et al., 2014), which

could also be relevant in the Argentine ants since they are known to carry a high number of viruses

(Viljakainen et al., 2023; Viljakainen, Holmberg, et al., 2018).

The success of a wide range of invasive species populations with low adaptive potential due to low genetic

diversity has been explained by factors such as gene flow, stress-induced accelerated mutation rate or TE-

activation, epigenetic changes and the release from natural pathogens and enemies (Errbii et al., 2021;

Manfredini et al., 2019; Marin et al., 2019; North et al., 2021; Schrader et al., 2014). Despite the low genetic

diversity, genomes of the invasive Argentine ants continue to evolve adaptively. Pre-adaptation in the

native range has recently received more attention across species (Davidson et al., 2011; Elst et al., 2016;

Estoup et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2014; Hufbauer et al., 2012), and we suggest that this might also play a role

in the initial invasive success of the Argentine ant. The unstable environment in the native range of the

Argentine ant, characterised by frequent flooding, may enhance the species' adaptive potential. Balancing

selection, which maintains alternative alleles in fluctuating conditions, could contribute to their continued

and long-term success by adapting to new habitats following introductions. Moreover, the overlap of

positively selected genes is higher among the invasive supercolonies than between the native and invasive

supercolonies, indicating shared selective pressures in the new ranges. The exact roles of all selected genes

must be carefully resolved among the complex biological processes and pathways in upcoming genomic

surveys, such as comparative population genomic studies between native and introduced supercolonies

using both genomic and transcriptomic data at the individual level. Our study provides an excellent

groundwork and frame for future studies of the Argentine ant in the field of invasion genomics.

Tables and Figures

Table 1. The genome-wide means and standard deviations (sd) of π and Θ_W, and the number of SNPs used
for estimations.

Sample	mean π	sd π	Mean Θ_W	sd Θ_W	SNPs
Native	0.00189	0.00124	0.00203	0.00121	1 121 307
European Main	0.00103	0.00103	0.00095	0.00094	561 180
Chile	0.00115	0.00112	0.00105	0.00100	595 635

473   Table 2. Pairwise Jaccard Indexes for genes in the lowest 1% and 5% and highest 1% and 5% extremes of
474   Tajima's D values.

The extreme end of D values	Sample pair	Jaccard Index
Low 1%	Native & European Main	0.0330
Low 1%	Native & Chile	0.0138
Low 1%	European Main & Chile	0.1231
Low 5%	Native & European Main	0.0541
Low 5%	Native & Chile	0.0610
Low 5%	European Main & Chile	0.1457
High 1%	Native & European Main	0.1231
High 1%	Native & Chile	0.1458
High 1%	European Main & Chile	0.1711

High 5%	Native & European Main	0.1053
High 5%	Native & Chile	0.1128
High 5%	European Main & Chile	0.1130

477 *Figure 1. The density curves of Tajima's D values were estimated from (A) whole genomes with 20 kbp windows and (B)*
478 *genes.*

Figure 2. Overlapping genes in the (A) lowest 1%, (B) lowest 5%, (C) highest 1%, and (D) highest 5% extremes of
Tajima's D values.

Figure 3. Overlapping genes between the highest Tajima's D values of Native and the lowest Tajima's D values of the invasive supercolonies with (A) 1% extremes and (B) 5% extremes.

Figure 4. Boxplots of pairwise F_{ST} values. The mean values are indicated with "x".

Author contributions

JSP, HH, and LV designed the research. JP did the lab work. IH analysed the data and wrote the manuscript,

but all authors contributed to editing and revising.

Acknowledgements

We thank Valerie Vogel for kindly providing us with the Argentine ant samples and Jari Päkkilä for statistical

advice. In addition, we thank CSC – IT Center for Science LTD for providing computational resources and

expert support for data management and analysis. The study was funded by Svenska Kulturfonden to IH

and the Academy of Finland (343022) to LV.

References

Achaz, G. (2008). Testing for Neutrality in Samples With Sequencing Errors. *Genetics*, *179*(3), 1409–1424.

https://doi.org/10.1534/GENETICS.107.082198

Andrews, S. (2010). *FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data*.

https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

Anton, S., & Rössler, W. (2021). Plasticity and modulation of olfactory circuits in insects. *Cell and Tissue*

Research, *383*(1), 149–164. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00441-020-03329-Z

Arca, M., Mougel, F., Guillemaud, T., Dupas, S., Rome, Q., Perrard, A., Muller, F., Fossoud, A., Capdevielle-

Dulac, C., Torres-Leguizamon, M., Chen, X. X., Tan, J. L., Jung, C., Villemant, C., Arnold, G., Silvain, J.-F.,

Dupas, S., Fossoud, Á. A., Capdevielle Dulac, Á. C., … Villemant, Á. C. (2015). Reconstructing the

invasion and the demographic history of the yellow-legged hornet, Vespa velutina, in Europe.

Biological Invasions, *17*(8), 2357–2371. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10530-015-0880-9

Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful

Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, *57*(1),

289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

Blair, C. D., Olson, K. E., & Bonizzoni, M. (2020). The Widespread Occurrence and Potential Biological Roles

of Endogenous Viral Elements in Insect Genomes. *Current Issues in Molecular Biology*, *34*, 30.

https://doi.org/10.21775/CIMB.034.013

Blight, O., Berville, L., Vogel, V., Hefetz, A., Renucci, M., Orgeas, J., Provost, E., & Keller, L. (2012). Variation

in the level of aggression, chemical and genetic distance among three supercolonies of the Argentine

ant in Europe. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(16), 4106–4121. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-

294X.2012.05668.X

Brandt, M., Wilgenburg, E. Van, & Tsutsui, N. D. (2009). Global-scale analyses of chemical ecology and

population genetics in the invasive Argentine ant. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*(5), 997–1005.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-294X.2008.04056.X

Brenton-Rule, E. C., Dobelmann, J., Baty, J. W., Brown, R. L., Dvorak, L., Grangier, J., Masciocchi, M., McGrannachan, C., Shortall, C. R., Schmack, J., van Zyl, C., Veldtman, R., & Lester, P. J. (2018). The origins of global invasions of the German wasp (Vespula germanica) and its infection with four honey bee viruses. *Biological Invasions*, *20*(12), 3445–3460. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10530-018-1786-0/TABLES/3

Comeault, A. A., Wang, J., Tittes, S., Isbell, K., Ingley, S., Hurlbert, A. H., Matute, D. R., & Parsch, J. (2020). Genetic Diversity and Thermal Performance in Invasive and Native Populations of African Fig Flies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *37*(7), 1906. https://doi.org/10.1093/MOLBEV/MSAA050

Comeron, J. M. (2014). Background Selection as Baseline for Nucleotide Variation across the Drosophila Genome. *PLOS Genetics*, *10*(6), e1004434. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1004434

Conesa, A., Götz, S., García-Gómez, J. M., Terol, J., Talón, M., & Robles, M. (2005). Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics*, *21*(18), 3674–3676. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTI610

Croze, M., Wollstein, A., Božičević, V., Živković, D., Stephan, W., & Hutter, S. (2017). A genome-wide scan for genes under balancing selection in Drosophila melanogaster. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *17*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12862-016-0857-Z/TABLES/3

Davidson, A. M., Jennions, M., & Nicotra, A. B. (2011). Do invasive species show higher phenotypic plasticity than native species and, if so, is it adaptive? A meta-analysis. *Ecology Letters*, *14*(4), 419–431. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1461-0248.2011.01596.X

Eloff, J., Veldtman, R., Bulgarella, M., & Lester, P. J. (2020). Population genetics of the invasive wasp Vespula germanica in South Africa. *Insectes Sociaux*, *67*(2), 229–238. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00040-020-00752-X/FIGURES/6

Elst, E. M., Acharya, K. P., Dar, P. A., Reshi, Z. A., Tufto, J., Nijs, I., & Graae, B. J. (2016). Pre-adaptation or

genetic shift after introduction in the invasive species Impatiens glandulifera? *Acta Oecologica*, *70*,

60–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACTAO.2015.12.002

Errbii, M., Keilwagen, J., Hoff, K. J., Steffen, R., Altmüller, J., Oettler, J., & Schrader, L. (2021). Transposable

elements and introgression introduce genetic variation in the invasive ant Cardiocondyla obscurior.

Molecular Ecology, *30*(23), 6211–6228. https://doi.org/10.1111/MEC.16099

Estoup, A., Ravigné, V., Hufbauer, R., Vitalis, R., Gautier, M., & Facon, B. (2016). Is There a Genetic Paradox

of Biological Invasion? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *47*, 51–72.

https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ECOLSYS-121415-032116

Fabian, D. K., Kapun, M., Nolte, V., Kofler, R., Schmidt, P. S., Schlötterer, C., & Flatt, T. (2012). Genome-wide

patterns of latitudinal differentiation among populations of Drosophila melanogaster from North

America. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(19), 4748–4769. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05731.x

Flynn, P. J., & Moreau, C. S. (2019). Assessing the Diversity of Endogenous Viruses Throughout Ant

Genomes. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2019.01139/EPUB

Fournier, D., De Biseau, J. C., & Aron, S. (2009). Genetics, behaviour and chemical recognition of the

invading ant Pheidole megacephala. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*(2), 186–199.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-294X.2008.04018.X

Fournier, D., Foucaud, J., Loiseau, A., Cros-Arteil, S., Jourdan, H., Orivel, J., Le Breton, J., Chazeau, J., Dejean,

A., Keller, L., & Estoup, A. (2005). Characterization and PCR multiplexing of polymorphic microsatellite

loci for the invasive ant Wasmannia auropunctata. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, *5*(2), 239–242.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1471-8286.2005.00889.X

Fournier, D., Tindo, M., Kenne, M., Mbenoun Masse, P. S., van Bossche, V., de Coninck, E., & Aron, S.

(2012). Genetic Structure, Nestmate Recognition and Behaviour of Two Cryptic Species of the Invasive

Big-Headed Ant Pheidole megacephala. *PLOS ONE*, *7*(2), e31480.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0031480

Frank, J. A., & Feschotte, C. (2017). Co-option of endogenous viral sequences for host cell function. *Current*

Opinion in Virology, *25*, 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COVIRO.2017.07.021

Gadenne, C., Barrozo, R. B., & Anton, S. (2016). Plasticity in Insect Olfaction: To Smell or Not to Smell?

Annual Review of Entomology, *61*, 317–333. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ENTO-010715-023523

Giraud, T., Pedersen, J. S., & Keller, L. (2002). Evolution of supercolonies: The Argentine ants of southern

Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *99*(9),

6075–6079. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.092694199

Gloag, R., Ding, G., Christie, J. R., Buchmann, G., Beekman, M., & Oldroyd, B. P. (2016). An invasive social

insect overcomes genetic load at the sex locus. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *1*(1), 1–6.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-016-0011

Guo, W. Y., Lambertini, C., Nguyen, L. X., Li, X. Z., & Brix, H. (2014). Preadaptation and post-introduction

evolution facilitate the invasion of Phragmites australis in North America. *Ecology and Evolution*,

4(24), 4567. https://doi.org/10.1002/ECE3.1286

Hefetz, A. (2007). The evolution of hydrocarbon pheromone parsimony in ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae)

– interplay of colony odor uniformity and odor idiosyncrasy. A review. *Myrmecological News*, *10*, 59–

68.

Helanterä, H. (2022). Supercolonies of ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): ecological patterns, behavioural

processes and their implications for social evolution. *Myrmecoigal News*, *32*, 1–22.

Helanterä, H., Strassmann, J. E., Carrillo, J., & Queller, D. C. (2009). Unicolonial ants: where do they come

from, what are they and where are they going? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *24*(6), 341–349.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TREE.2009.01.013

Hölldobler, B., & Wilson, E. O. (1990). *The Ants*. Harvard University Press.

Holmberg, I., Tolonen, L., Paviala, J., Pedersen, J. S., Helanterä, H., & Viljakainen, L. (2024). Positive

selection has shaped the evolution of Argentine ant immune genes both in native and introduced

supercolonies. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *37*(2), 131–140. https://doi.org/10.1093/JEB/VOAD014

Holway, D. A., Lach, L., Suarez, A. V., Tsutsui, N. D., & Case, T. J. (2002). The Causes and Consequences of

Ant Invasions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *33*, 181–233.

https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV.ECOLSYS.33.010802.150444

Holze, H., Schrader, L., & Buellesbach, J. (2020). Advances in deciphering the genetic basis of insect

cuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis and variation. *Heredity 2020 126:2*, *126*(2), 219–234.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-020-00380-y

Hufbauer, R. A., Facon, B., Ravigné, V., Turgeon, J., Foucaud, J., Lee, C. E., Rey, O., & Estoup, A. (2012).

Anthropogenically induced adaptation to invade (AIAI): contemporary adaptation to human-altered

habitats within the native range can promote invasions. *Evolutionary Applications*, *5*(1), 89–101.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1752-4571.2011.00211.X

Jaquiéry, J., Vogel, V., & Keller, L. (2005). Multilevel genetic analyses of two European supercolonies of the

Argentine ant, Linepithema humile. *Molecular Ecology*, *14*(2), 589–598.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-294X.2005.02433.X

Jaspers, C., Ehrlich, M., Pujolar, J. M., Künzel, S., Bayer, T., Limborg, M. T., Lombard, F., Browne, W. E.,

Stefanova, K., & Reusch, T. B. H. (2021). Invasion genomics uncover contrasting scenarios of genetic

diversity in a widespread marine invader. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(51),

e2116211118. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.2116211118

Kaňuch, P., Berggren, Å., & Cassel-Lundhagen, A. (2021). A clue to invasion success: genetic diversity quickly

rebounds after introduction bottlenecks. *Biological Invasions*, *23*, 1141–1156.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S10530-020-02426-Y/FIGURES/6

Keller, L., & Passera, L. (1993). Incest avoidance, fluctuating asymmetry, and the consequences of

inbreeding in Iridomyrmex humilis, an ant with multiple queen colonies. *Behavioral Ecology and*

Sociobiology, *33*, 191–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00216600

Kofler, R., Orozco-terWengel, P., De Maio, N., Pandey, R. V., Nolte, V., Futschik, A., Kosiol, C., & Schlötterer,

C. (2011). PoPoolation: A Toolbox for Population Genetic Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data

from Pooled Individuals. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(1), e15925. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015925

Kofler, R., Pandey, R. V., & Schlötterer, C. (2011). PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between

populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). *Bioinformatics*, *27*(24), 3435–3436.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr589

Kolaczkowski, B., Kern, A. D., Holloway, A. K., & Begun, D. J. (2011). Genomic differentiation between

temperate and tropical Australian populations of Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics*, *187*(1), 245–260.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.123059

Krieger, M. J. B., & Keller, L. (2000). Mating frequency and genetic structure of the Argentine ant

Linepithema humile. *Molecular Ecology*, *9*(2), 119–126. https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1365-

294X.2000.00837.X

La Polla, J. S., Dlussky, G. M., & Perrichot, V. (2013). Ants and the Fossil Record.

Http://Dx.Doi.Org/10.1146/Annurev-Ento-120710-100600, *58*, 609–630.

https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ENTO-120710-100600

Leonhardt, S. D., Menzel, F., Nehring, V., & Schmitt, T. (2016). Ecology and Evolution of Communication in

Social Insects. *Cell*, *164*(6), 1277–1287. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2016.01.035

Lester, P. J., & Gruber, M. A. M. (2016). Booms, busts and population collapses in invasive ants. *Biological*

Invasions, *18*, 3091–3101. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-016-1214-2

Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.

Bioinformatics, *25*(14), 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., & Durbin, R.

(2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(16), 2078–2079.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Mack, R. N., Simberloff, D., Lonsdale, W. M., Evans, H., Clout, M., & Bazzaz, F. A. (2000). BIOTIC INVASIONS:

CAUSES, EPIDEMIOLOGY, GLOBAL CONSEQUENCES, AND CONTROL. *Ecological Applications*, *10*(3),

689–710.

Manfredini, F., Arbetman, M., & Toth, A. L. (2019). A Potential Role for Phenotypic Plasticity in Invasions

and Declines of Social Insects. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *7*, 375.

https://doi.org/10.3389/FEVO.2019.00375/BIBTEX

Marin, P., Genitoni, J., Barloy, D., Maury, S., Gibert, P., Ghalambor, C. K., & Vieira, C. (2019). Biological

invasion: The influence of the hidden side of the (epi)genome. *Functional Ecology*, *34*(2), 1–16.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13317/SUPPINFO

McGlynn, T. P. (1999). The worldwide transfer of ants: geographical distribution and ecological invasions.

Journal of Biogeography, *26*(3), 535–548. https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1365-2699.1999.00310.X

Menzel, F., Blaimer, B. B., & Schmitt, T. (2017). How do cuticular hydrocarbons evolve? Physiological

constraints and climatic and biotic selection pressures act on a complex functional trait. *Proceedings*

of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *284*(1850). https://doi.org/10.1098/RSPB.2016.1727

Mollot, G., Pantel, J. H., & Romanuk, T. N. (2017). The Effects of Invasive Species on the Decline in Species

Richness: A Global Meta-Analysis. *Advances in Ecological Research*, *56*, 61–83.

https://doi.org/10.1016/BS.AECR.2016.10.002

Moreau, C. S., Bell, C. D., Vila, R., Archibald, S. B., & Pierce, N. E. (2006). Phylogeny of the ants:

Diversification in the Age of Angiosperms. *Science*, *312*(5770), 101–104.

https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1124891/SUPPL_FILE/MOREAU_SOM.PDF

Nei, M., Maruyama, T., & Chakraborty, R. (1975). The Bottleneck Effect and Genetic Variability in

Populations. *Evolution*, *29*(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.2307/2407137

662 Nielsen, R. (2005). Molecular Signatures of Natural Selection.

663 *Http://Dx.Doi.Org/10.1146/Annurev.Genet.39.073003.112420*, *39*, 197–218.

664 https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV.GENET.39.073003.112420

665 North, H. L., McGaughran, A., & Jiggins, C. D. (2021). Insights into invasive species from whole-genome

666 resequencing. *Molecular Ecology*, *30*(23), 6289–6308. https://doi.org/10.1111/MEC.15999

667 Oksanen, J., Simpson, G. L., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'Hara, R. B., Solymos, P.,

668 Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., Barbour, M., Barbour, M., Bolker, B., Borcard, D., Carvalho,

669 G., Chirico, M., De Caceres, M., Durand, S., … Weedon, J. (2022). *vegan: Community Ecology Package*

670 (R package version 2.6-4).

671 Pedersen, J. S., Krieger, M. J. B., Vogel, V., Giraud, T., & Keller, L. (2006). NATIVE SUPERCOLONIES OF

672 UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS IN THE INVASIVE ARGENTINE ANT. *Evolution*, *60*(4), 782–791.

673 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2006.tb01156.x

674 Pérez, J. E., Nirchio, M., Alfonsi, C., & Muñoz, C. (2006). The Biology of Invasions: The Genetic Adaptation

675 Paradox. *Biological Invasions*, *8*, 1115–1121. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10530-005-8281-0

676 *Picard Toolkit*. (2019). Broad Institute, GitHub Repository. Http://Broadinstitute.Github.Io/Picard/; Broad

677 Institute. https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/

678 Privman, E., Cohen, P., Cohanim, A. B., Riba-Grognuz, O., Shoemaker, D. W., & Keller, L. (2018). Positive

679 selection on sociobiological traits in invasive fire ants. *Molecular Ecology*, *27*(15), 3116–3130.

680 https://doi.org/10.1111/MEC.14767

681 *R Core Team*. (2022). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for

682 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/

683 Richards, C. L., Bossdorf, O., Muth, N. Z., Gurevitch, J., & Pigliucci, M. (2006). Jack of all trades, master of

684 some? On the role of phenotypic plasticity in plant invasions. *Ecology Letters*, *9*(8), 981–993.

685 https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1461-0248.2006.00950.X

Ross, K. G., Vargo, E. L., & Keller, L. (1996). Social evolution in a new environment: the case of introduced

fire ants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *93*(7),

3021–3025. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.93.7.3021

Ross, K. G., Vargo, E. L., Keller, L., & Trager, J. C. (1993). Effect of a founder event on variation in the genetic

sex-determining system of the fire ant Solenopsis invicta. *Genetics*, *135*(3), 843–854.

https://doi.org/10.1093/GENETICS/135.3.843

Roura-Pascual, N., Hui, C., Ikeda, T., Leday, G., Richardson, D. M., Carpintero, S., Espadaler, X., Gómez, C.,

Guénard, B., Hartley, S., Krushelnycky, P., Lester, P. J., McGeoch, M. A., Menke, S. B., Pedersen, J. S.,

Pitt, J. P. W., Reyes, J., Sanders, N. J., Suarez, A. V., … Worner, S. P. (2011). Relative roles of climatic

suitability and anthropogenic influence in determining the pattern of spread in a global invader.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *108*(1), 220–225.

https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1011723108/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.201011723SI.PDF

Sanders, N. J., Barton, K. E., & Gordon, D. M. (2001). Long-term dynamics of the distribution of the invasive

Argentine ant, Linepithema humile, and native ant taxa in northern California. *Oecologia*, *127*(1), 123–

130. https://doi.org/10.1007/S004420000572

Sanders, N. J., Gotelli, N. J., Heller, N. E., & Gordon, D. M. (2003). Community disassembly by an invasive

species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *100*(5),

2477. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.0437913100

Schrader, L., Kim, J. W., Ence, D., Zimin, A., Klein, A., Wyschetzki, K., Weichselgartner, T., Kemena, C., Stökl,

J., Schultner, E., Wurm, Y., Smith, C. D., Yandell, M., Heinze, J., Gadau, J., & Oettler, J. (2014).

Transposable element islands facilitate adaptation to novel environments in an invasive species.

Nature Communications, *5*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6495

Seebens, H., Blackburn, T. M., Dyer, E. E., Genovesi, P., Hulme, P. E., Jeschke, J. M., Pagad, S., Pyšek, P.,

Winter, M., Arianoutsou, M., Bacher, S., Blasius, B., Brundu, G., Capinha, C., Celesti-Grapow, L.,

Dawson, W., Dullinger, S., Fuentes, N., Jäger, H., … Essl, F. (2017). No saturation in the accumulation of

alien species worldwide. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14435

Seqtk. (2018). Toolkit for Processing Sequences in FASTA/Q Formats. https://github.com/lh3/seqtk

Sherpa, S., & Després, L. (2021). The evolutionary dynamics of biological invasions: A multi-approach

perspective. *Evolutionary Applications*, *14*, 1463–1484. https://doi.org/10.1111/EVA.13215

Sprenger, P. P., & Menzel F. (2020). Cuticular hydrocarbons in ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) and other

insects: how and why they differ among individuals, colonies, and species. *Myrmecological News*, *30*,

1–26.

Stern, D. Ben, & Lee, C. E. (2020). Evolutionary origins of genomic adaptations in an invasive copepod.

Nature Ecology & Evolution, *4*(8), 1084–1094. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1201-y

Suarez, A. V., Holway, D. A., & Case, T. J. (2001). Patterns of spread in biological invasions dominated by

long-distance jump dispersal: Insights from Argentine ants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences*, *98*(3), 1095–1100. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.98.3.1095

Sunamura, E., Hoshizaki, S., Sakamoto, H., Fujii, T., Nishisue, K., Suzuki, S., Terayama, M., Ishikawa, Y., &

Tatsuki, S. (2011). Workers select mates for queens: A possible mechanism of gene flow restriction

between supercolonies of the invasive Argentine ant. *Naturwissenschaften*, *98*, 361–368.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S00114-011-0778-Z/FIGURES/3

Tajima, F. (1989). Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism.

Genetics, *123*(3).

Takeuchi, T., Takahashi, R., Kiyoshi, T., Nakamura, M., Minoshima, Y. N., & Takahashi, J. (2017). The origin

and genetic diversity of the yellow-legged hornet, Vespa velutina introduced in Japan. *Insectes

Sociaux*, *64*(3), 313–320. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00040-017-0545-Z/FIGURES/3

Tepolt, C. K., Grosholz, E. D., de Rivera, C. E., & Ruiz, G. M. (2022). Balanced polymorphism fuels rapid

selection in an invasive crab despite high gene flow and low genetic diversity. *Molecular Ecology*,

31(1), 55–69. https://doi.org/10.1111/MEC.16143

Tsutsui, N. D., Suarez, A. V., Holway, D. A., & Case, T. J. (2000). Reduced genetic variation and the success of

an invasive species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,

97(11), 5948–5953. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.100110397

Viljakainen, L., Fürst, M. A., Grasse, A. V., Jurvansuu, J., Oh, J., Tolonen, L., Eder, T., Rattei, T., & Cremer, S.

(2023). Antiviral immune response reveals host-specific virus infections in natural ant populations.

Frontiers in Microbiology. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.17.512467

Viljakainen, L., Holmberg, I., Abril, S., & Jurvansuu, J. (2018). Viruses of invasive argentine ants from the

European main supercolony: Characterization, interactions and evolution. *Journal of General Virology*,

99(8), 1129–1140. https://doi.org/10.1099/JGV.0.001104/CITE/REFWORKS

Viljakainen, L., Jurvansuu, J., Holmberg, I., Pamminger, T., Erler, S., & Cremer, S. (2018). Social environment

affects the transcriptomic response to bacteria in ant queens. *Ecology and Evolution*, *8*(22), 11031–

11070. https://doi.org/10.1002/ECE3.4573

Vogel, V., Pedersen, J. S., D'Ettorre, P., Lehmann, L., & Keller, L. (2009). Dynamics and genetic structure of

argentine ant supercolonies in their native range. *Evolution*, *63*(6), 1627–1639.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00628.x

Vogel, V., Pedersen, J. S., Giraud, T., Krieger, M. J. B., & Keller, L. (2010). The worldwide expansion of the

Argentine ant. *Diversity and Distributions*, *16*(1), 170–186. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-

4642.2009.00630.x

Walsh, J., Pontieri, L., d'Ettorre, P., & Linksvayer, T. A. (2020). Ant cuticular hydrocarbons are heritable and

associated with variation in colony productivity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, *287*(1928),

20201029. https://doi.org/10.1098/RSPB.2020.1029

Wang, M., Zhao, Y., & Zhang, B. (2015). Efficient Test and Visualization of Multi-Set Intersections. *Scientific*

Reports 2015 5:1, *5*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16923

Wauters, N., Dekoninck, W., & Fournier, D. (2018). Introduction history and genetic diversity of the invasive

ant Solenopsis geminata in the Galápagos Islands. *Biological Invasions*, *20*(11), 3207–3226.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S10530-018-1769-1/FIGURES/2

Wetterer, J. K., Espadaler, X., Wetterer, A. L., Aguin-Pombo, D., & Franquinho-aguiar, A. M. (2006). Long-

term impact of exotic ants on the native ants of Madeira. *Ecological Entomology*, *31*(4), 358–368.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2311.2006.00790.X

Wetterer, J. K., & Wetterer, A. L. (2006). A Disjunct Argentine Ant Metacolony in Macaronesia and

Southwestern Europe. *Biological Invasions*, *8*, 1123–1129. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10530-005-8641-

9

Wetterer, J. K., Wild, A. L., Suarez, A. V., Roura-Pascual Núria, & Espadaler, X. (2009). Worldwide spread of

the Argentine ant, Linepithema humile (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Myrmecological News*, *12*, 187–

194.

Wild, A. L. (2004). Taxonomy and Distribution of the Argentine Ant, Linepithema humile (Hymenoptera:

Formicidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, *97*(6), 1204–1215.

Wilson, E. O., & Hölldobler, B. (2005). The rise of the ants: A phylogenetic and ecological explanation.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, *102*(21), 7411–7414.

https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.0502264102

Yap, M. W., Colbeck, E., Ellis, S. A., & Stoye, J. P. (2014). Evolution of the Retroviral Restriction Gene Fv1:

Inhibition of Non-MLV Retroviruses. *PLOS Pathogens*, *10*(3), e1003968.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PPAT.1003968

Data Accessibility Statement

Raw sequence reads are deposited in the SRA (BioProject PRJNA846165).

Benefit-Sharing Statement

Benefits from this research accrue from sharing our data and results on public databases as described

above.