Spatiotemporal transcriptomic analyses reveal molecular gradient patterning during development and the tonotopic organization along the cochlear axis

Mengzhen Yan[1,2#], Penghui Zhang[1,2#], Yafan Wang[1,2#], Haojie Wang[2,3#], Junhong Li[4], Xiang Guo[5], Xiangyao Zeng[6], Zhili Feng[6], Zhiyi Yun[1,2], Fei Deng[7], Shouhong Wang[1], Di Deng[4], Lu Ma[6], Yong Feng[6,8,9,10*], Huajun Wu[3,11,12*], Yu Zhao[4*] and Jun Li[1,4*]

[1]Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610213, China

[2]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China.

[3]Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing100142, China.

[4]Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China

[5]Institute of Blood Diseases, Department of Hematology, Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences & Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, School of Medicine of University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Sichuan, Chengdu 610000, China.

[6]Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Hengyang Medical School, University of South China, Hengyang 421000, China

[7]Graduate School of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

[8]Department of Otorhinolaryngology, The Affiliated Changsha Central Hospital, Hengyang Medical School, University of South China, Changsha 410028, China

[9]Institute of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of South China, Changsha 410028, China

[10]MOE Key Lab of Rare Pediatric Diseases & Institute for Future Sciences, University of

South China, Changsha 410008, China

[11]Department of Biomedical Informatics, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Peking University

Health Science Center, Beijing 100191, China.

[12]Center for Precision Medicine Multi-Omics Research, Institute of Advanced Clinical

Medicine, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China.

[#]Authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors:

Jun Li, Ph.D. Tel: +86 028 82890981

Email: dreamnwhu@gmail.com

Yu Zhao, Ph.D. Tel: +86 028 85422436

Email: yuzhao@wchscu.edu.cn

Huajun Wu, Ph.D. Tel: +86 010 82805976

Email: hjwu@pku.edu.cn

Abstract

While cochlear tonotopic organization is essential for sound frequency discrimination, the spatiotemporal molecular programs shaping this architecture remain unresolved. Here, we integrate spatiotemporal transcriptomics (E17.5-P8-adult) with single-cell RNA-seq to construct a single-cell-resolution atlas of mouse cochlear development. As marked by differential gradient expression genes, distinct spatially and molecularly defined hair cell (HC) subtypes along the apical-basal axis were identified: such as the apical $Myo7a^{+++}/Calb1^{+++}$ outer hair cell (OHC) subtype, the basal $Myo7a^{+}/Calb1^{\square}$ OHC subtype, the apical $vGlut3^{+++}/Calb2^{+}$ inner hair cell (IHC) subtype, and the basal $vGlut3^{+}/Calb2^{+++}$ IHC subtype. In addition, the spatial data also demonstrate the developmental reversal of *Myo7a*/*Calb2Nefh* gradient patterns in HCs and spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs), revealing dynamic plasticity and complexity in frequency coding during development. Spatial analyses further demonstrate regional heterogeneity in cell communication intensity between HCs and SGNs, and also reveal core signaling hubs coordinating tonotopic organization, such as *Fgf10-Fgfr2* pathway in the basal region and *Ptn-Sdc* pathway in the apical region HC or SGNs at P8 stage. Our study provides an open-access spatial database and reveals the morphological and molecular foundations underlying cochlear tonotopic organization, linking molecular and developmental mechanisms to auditory pathophysiology.

Introduction

The inner ear is one of the most structurally and functionally complex organs in vertebrates, comprising the cochlea and vestibular apparatus [1]. The cochlea is responsible for converting sound waves into neural signals, while the vestibular system aids in maintaining equilibrium [2, 3]. Development of inner ear is a highly coordinated process involving gene expression regulation, cellular differentiation, morphogenesis, and the activation of signaling pathways [3, 4]. The intricate architecture of inner ear is crucial for its sensory functions, and most genetic variations affecting its structure will cause sensory impairments. Cochlear and vestibular dysfunctions cause auditory and balance disorders, including congenital hearing loss,

age-related hearing degeneration, and vestibular impairments, highlighting the need to

elucidate inner ear development and function [3, 5]. Sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) is one

of the most prevalent chronic diseases, which typically affects high-frequency regions, though

low and mid-frequency regions may also be involved depending on the etiology [6-8]. The

cochlea exhibits a tonotopic gradient to sound frequencies along its longitudinal axis, from the

base to the apex [9]. Hair cell (HC) loss or spiral ganglion neuron (SGN) damages are more

predominant in the basal region under stresses, ototoxic drug exposure, or during aging [10-12].

However, the molecular basis underlying tonotopic organization and frequency-specific

damage remain unclear, in part due to the complexity and inaccessibility of this deeply

embedded organ [10, 13-15].

Single-cell transcriptomics have revolutionized our understanding of inner ear biology,

including developmental processes [13, 16-18], age-related hearing loss (ARHL) [19],

noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) [20], and hair cell death/regeneration [21, 22]. This

technology provides high-resolution insights into cell population heterogeneity within the

inner ear, enabling the identification of novel cell types and constructions of developmental

trajectories that were usually neglected in traditional bulk RNA sequencing approaches. For

example, single-cell transcriptomics has identified rare cell types and transitional cell states in

hair cell regeneration [22, 23] and novel SGNs subtypes in the cochlea [24-26]. These insights

are invaluable for elucidating cellular contributions to inner ear structure and function, and for

identifying potential therapeutic targets. However, single-cell transcriptomics has certain

limitations [27], notably the loss of spatial context, which is essential for understanding inner

ear function. For instance, single-cell transcriptomic studies have uncovered different SGNs

subtypes [24, 26], however, their spatial distribution along the tonotopic axis remains unclear.

Recent immunostaining studies have shown that type I SGNs exhibit differential distribution

across cochlear regions [10], but the molecular mechanisms governing this phenomenon

remain elusive, partly due to the loss of spatial information in single-cell RNA sequencing

(scRNA-seq) data.

Spatial transcriptomics has emerged as a transformative approach, maintaining the spatial

organization of cells while providing transcriptomic data [27]. Recent advances have enabled

researchers to explore the spatial and temporal dynamics of gene expression in complex tissues

like the heart [28, 29] and brain [30]. In the context of the inner ear, spatial transcriptomics
allows for precise localization of gene expression, facilitating the study of tonotopic
organization, development, and pathology. It also enables the integration of transcriptomic data
with morphological findings, helping validate cellular identities in relation to specific tissue
structures [29, 31]. Additionally, spatial transcriptomics offers insights into
microenvironments and cell-cell interactions that drive organ development and function [32].

In this study, we aimed to establish the single-cell resolution spatial transcriptomic profile
and decipher the molecular basis of the intricate architecture of cochlea, especially its
tonotopic organization. By integrating spatial, single-cell transcriptomics, and RNA *in situ*
validations, we found that a number of hair cell marker genes like *Myo7a* and neuronal marker
genes like *Nefh* appeared in a gradient expression pattern along the cochlear axis. The gradient
expression patterns of hair cell markers such as Myo7a, vGlut3 and Calb2 revealed the
existences of HC subtypes and their finely tuned spatial arrangements. Furthermore, spatial
differential gene expression analyses revealed regional heterogeneity of cell communication
intensity between HCs and SGNs, with the weakest in the apex, aligning this region for
detection of low-frequency sound. The differential signaling hubs were identified across
different regions, such Ptn-sdc in the apical HCs and Fgf-Fgfr signaling pathway in the basal
SGNs. These gradient patterns of critical marker genes, the regionalized heterogeneity of HCs
or SGN subtypes, and spatially divergent cell communications are mirrored with the tonotopic
organization along the cochlear axis. Notably, many gradient genes (e.g., *Myo7a*, *Fgf10*) are
associated with deafness, implying their functional disruption directly impacts hearing. These
findings offer valuable insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying the tonotopic
organization of auditory sensitivity along the cochlear axis.

Results

Spatiotemporal characterization of cochlear cell types at different
stages

To elucidate the molecular mechanisms of cochlear morphogenesis and its functional

establishment during development, we conducted spatial transcriptomic analyses of the

cochlea across three different important stages, including embryonic stage 17.5 (E17.5),

postnatal stage day 8 (P8), and adult stage (2 months, 2M), respectively (Fig 1A). During

development, the structures of the inner ear undergo dynamic changes, ultimately forming a

complex and highly specialized architecture in adult stage (Fig 1A). Notably, the cochlea is a

spiral structure rich in chambers, formed by various cell types that are intricately and spatially

organized in an ordered manner (Fig. 1A) [1]. The spatiotemporal single-cell atlases were first

established from single E17.5, P8 and 2M mouse cochlea section samples, respectively (Fig 1

and S1-S3 Fig).

In general, the spatial transcriptomic data have lower resolution and relatively low quality

of cell clustering, compared to routine scRNA-seq data [27]. Integration of scRNA-seq and

spatial RNA-seq will facilitate the spatial data analyses and enhance the powers of each other.

Therefore, the E17.5 and P8 cochlear scRNA-seq data from previous publications [17], and the

single-nucleus RNA-seq (snRNA-seq) data of the adult cochlear from our previous publication

[33] were re-analyzed and compared with the spatial transcriptomic data. The gene markers

from previous scRNA-seq studies [17, 24, 26, 33] were used for spatial clustering and cell type

identification (S1 File). Totally, 23 cell types were annotated in E17.5 cochlea (S1-2A Fig), 28

cell types in P8 cochlea (Fig 1B), and 21 cell types in 2M cochlea (S1-3A Fig), respectively.

Correlation analysis also revealed a strong consistency between our spatial scRNA datasets

and previously published related scRNA datasets (Fig 1D, S1-2C and S1-3C Fig).

According to the spatial distribution, all cell types from each dataset were briefly split into

8 groups including surrounding structure, fibrocyte, neuron, Schwann cell, Reissner's

membrane, stria, spiral prominence, and organ of Corti (OC) (Fig 1B, S1-2A and S1-3A Fig).

Neither spiral prominence was identified in the dataset of E17.5 cochlea, but they were clearly

identified in P8 and adult cochlea single-cell atlases with markers *Aqp5* and *Bmp6*, suggesting

these cells were differentiated cell type in postnatal stage (S1-1A, S1-2B and S1-3B Fig). The

surrounding structure of P8 cochlea mainly contained outer structure, identified with *Acp5* and

Mmp9 gene markers, Neutrophils with *S100a8* and *S100a8* gene markers, chondrocyte and

pericytes with *Penk*, *Igfbp6*, *Acta2* and *Tagln* gene markers, and Osteoblast with *Ifitm5* and

Sgms2 gene markers (S1-1A Fig). Fibrocytes of cochlea mainly distributed in the spiral

ligament (SLg) and limbus (SLb) [33, 34], and were discovered in all three datasets, identified

by *Car3* and *Otor* in P8 dataset (S1-1 Fig). The neuron cells were found mainly existed in the

three regions near the cochlea duct with the known neuron markers (*Nefh*, *Snap25*, *Nefl* and

Meg3) (S1-1, S1-2B and D, S1-3B and D Fig and S1 File) [35-37]. Spatial expression and

RNA *in situ* detections supported *Cend1* could also be one of the markers to identify SGNs

cluster. Spatial analyses also identified Schwann cells and their subtypes, which serves as the

supporting cells of SGNs (Fig 1B and S1 File), identified by *Prx*, and *mpz* (Fig 1C, S1-1 Fig),

suggesting their role in myelination processes [38]. Distinct cell types identified in the OC

revealed the high cellular heterogeneity during hearing establishment and maturation, such as 7,

9 and 6 cell types in E17.5, P8 and adult samples (Fig 1B, S1-2A and S1-3A Fig), respectively.

In the OC of P8, interdental cell with gene marker *Otoa* and *Rspo2*, inner sulcus cell with

markers *Clic6*, inner phalangeal cell with markers *Tectb* and *Tecta*, Hensen's cell and outer

sulcus cell with markers *Frzb*, *Npnt* and *Bmp4*, outer hair cell (OHC) and inner hair cell (IHC)

with markers *Otof*, and Deiter cell/pillar cell with markers *Fgfr3* were observed (S1-1 Fig).

After brief identification of cochlear cell types, several specific cochlear structures and

cell types were further spatially analyzed in details to validate the spatial scRNA atlas. The

lateral wall, an essential component of the scala media, includes the stria vascularis, which

plays a crucial role in balancing the endocochlear potential, and the spiral ligament, which

provides structural support and participates in ion homeostasis (Fig 1B) [34]. There were three

cell types in the location of stria vascularis from E17.5 and P8 samples (Fig 1B and S1-1A Fig),

including basal stria, intermediate stria and marginal stria that were identified by marker genes

Dnase1, *Tyr* and *Kcne1*, respectively (Fig 1C, S1-1A and S1-2B Fig). *Dnase1* was specifically

expressed in the stria vascularis (Fig 1C), which was consistent with their expression profiles

revealed by spatial data analyses. But only basal and marginal cells were annotated in the adult

dataset, using *Dct*, *Enpep* and *Kcne1* markers (S1-3B Fig). The intermediate cells were not

identified, probably due to ossified structures in adult cochlea which hinders the tissue sections

and detection of mRNA. Spiral prominence cells were identified between outer sulcus cell and

stria vascularis with specific high expression of *Aqp5* (S1-1 Fig), which was consistent with

previous cochlear snRNA-seq study [33]. In addition, four types of fibrocytes were identified

in the lateral wall, based on spatial location and known markers (*Car3*, *Otor*) (Fig 1B, S1-1A-B

Fig and S1 File) [20]. Above results revealed a strong correspondence between the spatial data

and the single-cell level RNA-seq data, supporting the accuracy and high resolution of our

spatial single-cell atlas. Furthermore, our spatial transcriptomic datasets enabled better

identification of diverse cell types within complex cochlear structure and provided insights

into the spatial transcriptional changes.

Spatiotemporal dynamics and gradients of spiral ganglion neuron subtypes

Spatial analyses further identified SGNs the primary neurons transmitting signals from

HCs (Fig 2A) in their exact anatomical regions. To explore the molecular changes that occur

within the neuronal differentiation and maturation, we fitted a principal tree in diffusion space

to show the temporal dynamics of developing SGNs (E17.5, P8, and adult) (Fig 2A and B).

The tree was represented into a dendrogram recapitulating a branched trajectory based on the

transcriptional similarity of pseudotime-ordered cells (Fig 2B). We further used differential

gene expression (DEG) approaches that characterize pseudotime-ordered molecular

trajectories (Fig 2C). Focusing on genes with significant variations (Fig 2C), we conducted

gene ontology analysis to uncover different biology processes during SGNs development and

maturation (Fig 2D). we observed that the shared, unspecialized neurons' trajectory was

characterized by rapid downregulation of genes *Ina*, *Fabp7* and *Tubb2b* commonly associated

with early neuronal differentiation processes, and upregulated of genes *Calb2*, *Snap25* and

Spp1 that are involved in specification events in cochlea and synapse function (Fig 2D and E,

S2A Fig). Also, branched expression analysis modeling (BEAM) was conducted to identify

genes showing significant expression differences at cell fate branch points (S2B Fig), and GO

analysis was further employed to illustrate the related function (S2C Fig). The bubble

diagram, pseudotime gene expression plots and bifurcation gene expression plots more

visually illustrated the dynamics of these genes during development (Fig 2E, S2A and D Fig).

The differential marker gene expression analyses revealed the relatively higher expression of

Calb2, *Snap25*, *Spp1* and *Nefm* in adult neurons, while genes such as *Fabp7*, *Tubb2b*, *Ina* and

Tubb3 showed relatively higher expression at earlier stages (Fig 3E, S2A Fig), highly related

to neuron system development, axon formation and cytoskeleton organization (S2C Fig). This

temporal dynamic gene expression pattern of SGN was further validated by immunostaining

with Calb2 and Calb1 (Fig 2F). At E17.5 stage, the highest expression level of Calb2 was

detected at the apical region, medium at the middle region, and weak at the basal region (Fig

2F). This pattern was gradually changed at P8 stage (Fig 3F), and finally reversed in the

adulthood, with the highest expression level of Calb2 in the basal SGNs (Fig 2F). These

spatiotemporal dynamics of gene expressions reflected the molecular mechanism of

development and maturation of SGNs from E17.5 to adulthood.

To further comprehensively investigate the spatial differences of SGNs, we performed

local single-cell analyses from apical to basal regions of cochlea. Neuron cells from three

distinct regions (apical, middle, and basal) were isolated according to cell identifications and

their spatial coordinates from the total spatial single-cell atlas (Fig 3A and S3-1A, B Fig, left).

After pairwise comparisons among apical, middle, and basal SGNs (Fig 3B and S3-1A, B Fig,

right), the obtained differentially expression genes (DEGs) were intersected with the

neuron-specific gene list from snRNA-seq dataset and spatial dataset (S1 File). Finally, 323,

470 and 1587 genes were identified from E17.5, P8, and adult datasets, respectively (S2 File).

For E17.5 spatial dataset, *Nefm*, *Nefl*, *Trim2*, and *Sema3a* genes were highly expressed at

the apical SGNs and relatively lower in the basal SGNs (S3-1C and E Fig). *Fgf11* and *Abr*

genes showed opposite expression pattern (S3-1 C and E Fig). Two obvious contrary

expression patterns were maintained at P8 stage (Fig 3B, D and S2 File). Genes like *Nefh*,

Calb2, and *Fgf10* were expressed higher at basal region, but *Myo16*, *Cdh9*, *Alpk2* and *Tectb*

were expressed higher at the apical region (Fig 3D and E). GO analysis showed that the

apex-high genes at E17.5 and P8 were related with protein binding, molecule transporter

activity while the base-high genes mainly were involved in Neuron development and synapse

formation (Fig 3C and S3-1F Fig). Expectedly, the apex-high genes at adult were associated

with neuron function and the base-high genes were related to the function of Neuron, synapse

and cykeleton organization. RNA *in situ* and immunostaining with these gradient markers *Nefh*

and Calb2 were performed to validate the gradient expression pattern (Fig 3F). *Nefh* mRNAs

are most abundantly detected at the basal region (Fig 3F, bottom), and weak at the apical region

(Fig 3F, middle). Calb2 antibody immunostaining also displayed similar pattern, but the

variation of gradient was not as sharp as *Nefh* (Fig 3F) at P8 stage. Note that both *Nefh* and

Calb2 expression patterns were same at E17.5 and P8 stage (S3-1D Fig and Fig 3D, E).

However, *Nefh* and *Calb2* were expressed at a higher level in the apical SGNs and lower in

the basal region at the adult stage (S3-1D Fig and G Fig). Furthermore, according to SGN

markers from the published data [24, 26, 33], *Nefh* was commonly expressed in all SGN

subtypes but with higher expression level in subtype III [33]. The relative higher expression of

Nefh in basal region suggested that SGN subtype III may be predominantly located at the basal

region. The expression of other gene markers suggested that SGN subtypes may exhibit a

similar gradient distribution along the cochlear axis, based on their marker gene expressions

(Fig 3B and D, and S3-1 Fig). These spatiotemporal dynamics of neuronal gene expression

gradients and distributions of SGN subtypes revealed the progress of SGN development and

differentiation along the cochlear axis (Fig 3C and S3-1F Fig).

For adult cochlea, Type I and type II are two main subtypes, constituting of 90-95% and

5%-10% in the SGNs, respectively. Type I SGNs were further divided into subtype Ia, Ib, and

Ic [35, 37]. An additional subtype, type III was discovered in our recent study (S1-3B Fig) [33].

Due to close similarity of each SGN subtype, it is challenging to further identify each SGN

subtype from these spatial sequencing data. Therefore, immunostaining and RNA *in situ*

detections with several SGN markers were performed to identify each SGN subtype (Fig.

3C-E). A gradient labeling of Calb1$^+$ type 1b SGNs was observed in whole amount adult

cochlea or sections (S3-1E and S3-2A Fig). The Calb1 was relatively higher expressed in the

apical SGNs. For Pou4f1$^+$ type Ic SGNs, relatively more cells were found in the apical and

middle regions, less in the basal region (S3-2D Fig). The Calb2 was dominantly expressed in

type III SGNs [10] and its expression exhibited a basal-to-apical gradient in the whole amount

and section cochlea (S3-1G and S3-2B Fig). The type II SGN marker genes *Cilp* exhibited

relative stronger expression levels in the middle or basal adult SGNs in adult cochlea (S3-2C

Fig). These results confirmed the diversity and spatial dynamics of SGN subtypes in adult

cochlea.

Spatiotemporal profiling of the organ of Corti development

The OC is embedded in the cochlear duct and rests on the basilar membrane (Fig 5A, left), allowing it to be finely tuned to different frequencies of sound [40]. Spatial visualization demonstrated distinct OC cell types at adult, including inner and outer hair cells (IHCs and OHCs), Deiter cells/Pillar cells, Hensen's cells, tympanic border cells, and interdental cell (Fig 5A, right). The expression profiling of key marker genes across various identified cell clusters in the snRNA-seq dataset (S1-3B Fig, right), consistent with the spatial transcriptomics findings (Fig 5A and S1-3B Fig), reinforcing the identification of these cell types. For example, *Slc17a8* was predominantly expressed in IHCs, while *Ocm* was highly expressed in the OHCs (Fig 5B). Overall, the consistent patterns observed between spatial transcriptomics and scRNA-seq data confirmed the accuracy of cell type identification and their respective marker genes, providing a comprehensive view of cochlear cell diversity.

To further understand the changes of expression pattern occurring in the process of hair cell maturing, we fitted a master tree for hair cells from the three stages in diffusion space. The tree was then represented as a dendrogram that reproduced a branching trajectory based on the transcriptional similarity of the proposed time-ordered cells (Fig 4A). The generated tree accurately reflected the developmental stage branching characteristics. Dynamic gene expression changes across the three stages were demonstrated by heatmaps (Fig 4B), with genes such as *Ocm* and *Tgfb2*, displaying distinct temporal expression patterns (Fig 4D). Genes such as *Rpl26*, *Tectb*, *Otog*, *Npy*, and *Calm2* exhibited dynamic expression from E17.5 to adulthood, confirming transcriptional changes during cochlear development (Fig 4D). The proposed temporal trajectories of key genes were demonstrated, illustrating the dynamics of cellular expression during developmental stages (Fig 4E). *Rpl26*, *Tgfb2*, *Ocm*, and *Gsn* exhibited stage-specific expression peaks during hair cell maturation (Fig 4E). The GO analysis further revealed the functional shift underlying hair cell development (Fig 4C). Overall, this analysis revealed the key spatiotemporal gene expression features within the HC that accompany the maturation of cochlear cell types and supporting structures critical for hearing function.

Spatiotemporal gradient patterning of hair cell markers reveals the existence of IHC and OHC subtypes

296
297

The hair cells (HCs) were defined around E16.5 stage following a basal-to-apical
gradient [41]. All the HCs were well differentiated at E17.5, including the apical regions with
relative weaker expressed marker *Atoh1* and *Pou4f3* (S1-2B Fig, right). No significant
differences of Myo7a signals were observed between IHCs and OHCs from apex-to-base. At
P7 stage, the Myo7a expression in apical region was clear and became stronger compared to
E17.5 cochlea, revealing further differentiation of hair cells in the apex. The expression of
Myo7a in the middle region was relatively stronger than that in the apical and basal regions
(S4B Fig). In adulthood, the Myo7a expressions in IHCs display an averaged pattern from
apex-to-base, relatively slightly higher in the middle region (S4C and D Fig). While the
Myo7a expression in OHCs showed a huge divergence from apex-to-base (S4C and D Fig).
The basal OHCs expressed very low level of Myo7a (S4C and D Fig). It's worth noting that
the sharp contrast of Myo7a signals between IHCs and OHCs in the basal region (S4 D Fig).
At the middle region, the expression of Myo7a was gradually increased in OHCs following a
basal-to-apical gradient, basal Myo7a$^+$ OHCs vs apical Myo7a^{+++} OHCs (S4 D Fig). The
Myo7a signals of OHCs and IHCs showed less divergence along the gradient and became no
obvious divergence at the apex (S4 D Fig).
To further validate this expression gradient in Corti, a number of spatial differentially
expressed genes (DEGs) were isolated from E17.5 (S5-2A Fig, left), P8 (S5-2A Fig, right),
and adulthood (Fig 5C). Simultaneously, GO analysis demonstrated that the apex-high genes
(like *Cyp26a1*, *Nr2f2*, *Fst*, *Sox10*, and *Six4*) in E17.5 and P8 OC both played a critical role in
Wnt, BMP, and RA signaling pathways, while the base-high genes at E17.5 mainly were
enriched in development related GO terms like inner ear development and connective tissue
development (S5-2B and C Fig). However, the base-high genes at P8 were involved in the
function of ion channel activity and cell differentiation (S5-2C Fig). At adult, the genes (like
Ptn, *Cav1*, *Rps14*, and Emilin2) apically enriched in OC largely involved in the synapse
function specialization and the base-high genes were associated with the function of energy

metabolic process (Fig 5D, E). The above results revealed the OC development involved

coordinated processes of structural and functional maturation. After filtering and intersecting

with a dataset of HC-specific genes (S1 File), 184, 265, and 205 DEGs were retained for the

three datasets, respectively (S3 File). There are two spatial patterns of gene expression

gradients for these spatial DEGs. In adulthood HCs, genes like *Myo7a*, and *Tmc1* displayed a

decreasing gradient from apical to basal pattern, whereas genes *Myo1h*, *Eya4*, *Clrn1*, *Prkn*,

and *Kcnab1* showed a reversed gradient in HCs (Fig 5 F and G). The relatively higher

expression of damage protection-related genes *Hspa4l*, *Clrn1*, *Prkn*, and *Kcnab1* in the basal

HCs in contrast to the apical HCs suggests a greater need for protection from damage,

explaining the vulnerability of basal HCs in high-frequency hearing loss.

These spatial DEG data, particularly the *Myo7a* gene, were well matched with Myo7a

immunostaining results in the whole amount (Fig 5G and S4C Fig) of adult cochlea. Apical

OHCs exhibited comparable Myo7a expression levels to IHCs, while basal OHCs showed

lower expression (S4D Fig). This gradient, developmentally dynamic at the E17.5 (S4A Fig)

and P8 stages (S4B Fig), stabilized in adulthood. Similar or reversed gene expression

gradients were also found in the E17.5 and P8 cochlear HCs, including *Calb1*, *Tmc1*, *Eya1*,

and *Otof* genes (S5-3A-D Fig and S3 File). The spatial DEG analyses and the validation by

immunostaining both provided compelling evidence that the adult HCs from apex to base are

spatially distinct subtypes, as revealed by their different or even reversed gradient patterns of

HC marker gene expressions. The Calb1 positive HCs are only found at the apical region

(S5-1A Fig). In contrast, vGlut3 (Slc17a8) showed higher expression in the apical and middle

IHCs (Fig 5H). The transcription factor Foxp1 was strongly expressed in the middle OHCs,

less in the basal, and few or none in the apical OHCs (S5-1B and C Fig). Immunostaining

with Prestin (Slc26a5, the specific known marker of the OHCs) antibody revealed that its

expression exhibits a relatively averaged expression level in adult OHCs across the cochlear

axis (S5-1D Fig), while an increasing expression from apex to base in P8 OHCs (S5-3E and F

Fig). Based on these validated gradient markers, we defined the OHCs and IHCs as at least

four distinct subtypes: the $Myo7a^+/Calb1^{\square}$ basal OHC subtype, the $Myo7a^{+++}/Calb1^{+++}$ apical

OHC subtype, the $vGlut3^+/Calb2^{+++}$ basal IHC subtype, and the $vGlut3^{+++}/Calb2^+$ apical IHC

subtype, respectively. These gradient patterns of OHC and IHC subtypes with differential
motor or ion channel proteins are likely coordinating the tonotopic sensory of sound along the
cochlear axis.

Spatiotemporal dynamics of communication between hair cells and SGNs reveal the tonotopic organization along cochlear axis

To understand how HCs cooperate with SGNs to sense and convey different-frequency
sound signals, we interrogated secreted signaling events among cochlear epithelium and SGN
for the three spatial datasets. At E17.5 stage, the maximum number of interactions is found
between SGNs and HCs, when the SGNs were the hub (S6-1A and B Fig). This result was
consistent with core function of SGNs for receiving the signals from HCs. Whereas, the
stronger communications were observed between supporting cell subtypes and HCs when the
HCs were the hubs (S6-1B, right). These results revealed that HCs were the center of cell
connections in cochlea, communicating with nearby supporting cell types to coordinate its
sensory function, not only just connecting with SGNs. At P8 stage, the number of interactions
increased, either between SGNs and HCs or other cell types (Fig 6A, B). As for HCs, the
strength of interaction with neighbor cells like inner sulcus cell and interdental cell (lateral
Kolliker's organ), as well as Hensen's cell became stronger (Fig 6B and S6-1B Fig).

Next, all pathways were analyzed and ranked according to their communication intensity.
The interaction strength of Ptn-Sdc, Mdk-sdc, and Fgf pathways were among the top 3 of all
the interactions (S6-2 Fig). Fgf signaling pathway plays crucial roles in inner ear development
and were further analyzed between these cell types of OC [42]. When neurons acted as the
signaling source, it interacted with cells from the OC mainly by Fgf10-Fgfr2 signaling both at
E17.5 and P8 stages (Fig 6C and S6-1C Fig). When HCs acted as the signaling source at P8, it
mainly interacted with other cells from the OC by Fgf8-Fgfr2 signaling, especially with Deiter
cell/pillar cell (Fig 6C). While Neurons as the signaling source mainly interacted with other
cells by Fgf10-Fgfr2 (Fig 6C). With RNA *in situ* assay, the expression location of The *Fgf8*
were confirmed, which were exclusively expressed in IHCs [43] and SGNs (Fig 6D and E).
Strong expressions of *Fgf10* and *Fgfr2* were detected in OC and SGN regions (Fig 6D, E and

S6-1 Fig). Interestingly, their expressions exhibited a gradient pattern in SGNs, with relatively

stronger expression of *Fgf10* in the middle/basal SGNs and stronger *Fgfr2* in the apical SGNs

(Fig 6D, E and S6-1, S6-2 Fig), suggesting spatial differences of pathway components to

coordinate cell communications across the cochlear axis.

Next, regionalized cell communications were further analyzed across the cochlear axis.

The OC cell types from apical, middle, and basal regions were extracted from the whole spatial

single-cell atlas, and were subjected to differential cell communication studies. The overall cell

communications were weak at the apical region, but relatively stronger in the middle and basal

regions (Fig 7A-C). The strongest communications are found in the middle region (Fig 7B),

which may serve as the hub regions in the cochlea. These regionalized heterogeneities of the

connection intensity between SGNs and HCs (Fig 7A-C) were confirmed by the

immunostaining assay with Tuj1 (also known as βIII-tubulin), which is a widely recognized

marker for neuronal cells [44] and is often used for detecting the neuronal projections of SGNs

in the inner ear [45, 46]. The immunostaining of Tuj1 revealed the strongest signal intensity of

Tuj1 in the middle region rather than the apical and basal regions (Fig 7D, E), suggesting their

strong connections in the middle region. These projection features of SGNs revealed by

immunostaining (Fig 7A-C) were consistent with the differential communication studies in

three different regions (Fig 7D, E). The counting of ligands and receptors along the

apical-to-basal axis demonstrated that the Ptn-Sdc4 signaling pathway is the most dominant

pathway in the apical SGNs or HCs, whereas the *Fgf10-Fgfr2* is the most dominant signaling

hub in the basal SGNs (Fig 7-2 and S7-1, S7-3 Fig). This regional heterogeneity of cell

signaling is likely dependent on the differential gradient expression of components of each

signaling pathway (Fig 6 and S7-3 Fig), coordinating the tonotopic organization in the cochlea.

Discussion

In this study, the spatiotemporal transcriptomic analyses in cochlea revealed the

developmental dynamics of HCs and SGNs and demonstrated their gradient gene expression

patterns from apex-to-base, and their regionalized communications as well. These

spatiotemporal data provided deeper insights into the molecular and cellular mechanisms

underlying the tonotopic organization along cochlear axis. According to these results, a model

was proposed to illustrate the underlying mechanism for tonotopic organization (Fig 7F and

S7-1C Fig). The cochlea organizes its cell types in a spatially specific manner. The HCs and

SGNs expressed a number of genes, like *Myo7a*/*Ocm* in OHCs, *vGlut3*/*Calb2* in IHCs, and

Calb1/*Calb2*/*Nefh* in SGNs, in a gradient pattern from apex to basal, either in a decreased or

increased manner, together finely regulating cochlear mechanical tuning (Fig 7F and S7-1C

Fig). Accordingly, their communications are toned into a spatially regionalized pattern with

divergent connection intensity from apical to basal regions. These divergent gradients patterns

are mirrored by gradient features of sound frequency sensitivity along cochlear axis, which

may be the molecular fundamentals for establishing the tonotopic organization (Fig 7F and

S7-1C Fig).

We identified distinct spatial expression patterns of SGNs subtype marker genes,

suggesting the spatial differentiation of SGN subtypes along the cochlear axis. Our previous

work newly identified an additional SGN subtype, type III [33], which is very similar with type

I SGNs according to their commonly expressed marker genes. Our results showed that the type

III marker gene *Nefh* displayed a gradient pattern with high expression level predominantly in

basal regions (Fig 3), suggesting that the type III SGNs dominantly located in the basal region.

A recent study using conventional immunostaining demonstrated a differential distribution of

SGN subtypes along the cochlear axis, with type Ia and Ib neurons primarily in apical and

middle regions, while type Ic was more concentrated in the base [10]. However, the gene

markers used in their study for distinguishing each SGN subtypes are different from ours,

which may make certain inconsistent conclusions. They used Calb2 to label type Ia SGNs,

Calb1$^{\square}$ to label type Ib SGNs, and double negative Calb1$^{\square}$/Calb2$^{\square}$ to label type Ic SGNs [10];

while *Calb2* is dominantly expressed in type III and Ia according to our snRNA-seq data

(S1-3B Fig). But the same conclusion is that the SGN subtypes exhibit a divergent distribution

along apical-basal axis.

Interestingly, our results showed the gradient patterns of those marker genes for each SGN

subtype were temporally dynamic, such as that the gradient expression of *Nefh* and *Calb2* in

SGNs at embryonic stage was reversed in adulthood. These spatiotemporally dynamic patterns

but similar molecular features among subtype Ia, Ib, Ic, and III suggested that each SGN

subtype represented a cell state of type I SGNs across different cochlea regions or across

different stages. Type III SGNs may represent a new subtype of type I SGNs [10]. The type III

SGNs were not identified in previous studies likely due to different approaches (scRNA-seq or

snRNA-seq) and different developmental stages (developmental or adult stages) used in these

studies [13, 24, 26]. The loss of spatial information might be an additional reason. Traditional

histological and immunostaining methods are limited in their ability to achieve comprehensive

large-scale molecular characterization and differential expression analysis of SGN subtypes

[10]. The close molecular characteristics of type I and III SGNs complicate their distinguishing.

Our spatial data indicate that these subtypes may represent the same cell type (type I)

exhibiting subtle molecular differences based on their spatial location along the cochlear axis,

contributing to tonotopic organization [10, 33].

In addition to SGNs, HCs also exhibit spatial gradient heterogeneity along the cochlear

axis. Our findings reveal notable differences between OHCs or IHCs located in the basal and

apical regions. Classic markers like Myo7a show a pronounced gradient from apex to base in

OHCs rather than IHCs, indicating distinct molecular identities. The IHC marker Slc17a8

displayed a similar gradient pattern in adult cochlea, with the weakest expression in the basal

region (Fig 5H). Other markers, including Calb1 (S5-1A Fig), Kcnq4, BK channels, and

Prestin, were shown in this study or were reported in previous publications to display gradient

distributions in inner ear [9]. Motor proteins and ion channels are essential for sensory function

[47] and are supposed to coordinate the tonotopic organization of the cochlea, playing crucial

roles in frequency tuning. Despite some inconsistences due to limited hair cell numbers in our

spatial dataset, many reported gradient genes were confirmed by our spatial data, confirming

obvious spatial heterogeneity of HCs. Currently, no single-cell transcriptomic studies have

reported the subtypes of OHCs and IHCs in mice due to very limited number of HCs captured.

Our spatial data and immunostaining results provided clear evidences for the existence of

distinct OHC and IHCS subtypes. Moreover, these findings suggested that HCs may also be

spatiotemporally subdivided into distinct subtypes, very similar to the SGN subtypes. These

HC or SGN subtypes, as marked by differential gradient expression of neural sensation related

motors or ion channels, together regulate the frequency tuning of cochlear.

Importantly, our analysis uncovered numerous genes exhibiting gradient expression
patterns along the cochlear axis, indicating that these gradients may contribute to the regional
specialization or patterning of frequency sensitivity in the cochlea. Many gradient genes
identified from earlier developing cochlea in previous publications were associated with the
development [13, 24, 26]. They also constructed 3D spatiotemporal maps of the cochlea during
the development, using scRNA-seq techniques [13]. However, it is likely that these gradient
expression patterns were transient, subject to be reversed, or may have even disappeared in the
later stages, based on our study. In contrast, the gradient genes identified in this study from the
adult stage were primarily associated with energy metabolism and neural activity (Fig 5D).
This association highlights the ultimate forms of cochlear organization and their sensory
functions. Therefore, gradients from the adult stage, rather than the developmental stage, more
likely align with the frequency discrimination patterns in the cochlea, highlighting the
significance of the identifying gradient genes from the adult stage in this study. Furthermore,
our data revealed regionalized differences in cell-cell communication within the cochlea,
emphasizing the importance of regionalization in the inner ear's functional organization.
Understanding these localized interactions is crucial for uncovering the mechanisms governing
cochlear development and its ability to perceive sound frequencies with high precision. Overall,
this study highlights the temporal and regionalized gene expression patterns, along with the
specialized organization and communication among cell types, including hair cells, SGNs, and
supporting cells. This coordinated mechanism is essential for establishing tonotopic patterning
during development and achieving precise sensory function.

Given the structural complexity of the inner ear, spatial organization is crucial for auditory
function. Genetic variations disrupting this architecture often cause hearing defect [48, 49].
While single-cell RNA-seq has been widely used in inner ear development research and has
yielded a wealth of insights into inner ear development, it lacks the crucial spatial context
essential for understanding developmental and genetic mechanisms. In contrast, our spatial
transcriptomic analysis preserves this spatial context, providing significant advantages for
studying inner ear development and architecture. Our spatial transcriptomic analysis offers
invaluable insights that address these challenges and will undoubtedly have significant
implications for the field. We mapped the expression patterns of known deafness-associated

genes on inner ear tissue, revealing spatial expression hotspots that identify structures most

vulnerable to mutations causing hearing loss (S8 Fig). Many of gradient genes identified in this

study are associated with deafness or hearing defects, such as *Myo7a*, *Calb2*, *Fgf10*, *Eya1*, and

Gata3, whose mutation were reported to cause morphological abnormality of inner ear or

hearing defects [50]. These spatial data offer important resources for the discovery of

deafness-causing genes and investigation of the pathogenic mechanisms underlying hearing

defects.

Limitations of the study

Due to the significant value of the spatial transcriptomic data, our study has led to many

interesting findings. However, there are still some challenges and limitations associated with

the application of spatial transcriptomics technology in the context of the inner ear. The

architecture of the cochlea is highly specialized and intricately organized, with each section

differing from the others. A comprehensive analysis of all serial sections and 3D-construction

may be required for a more complete characterization of inner ear architecture, as well as in

combination with other cutting-edge technology, such as multiplexed error-robust *in situ*

hybridization (MERFISH) [51]. Due to the inherent limitations of the spatial technology itself,

spatial transcriptomics still has some intrinsic issues that are difficult to resolve [27, 52]. The

spatial stacking between cells is unavoidable and the thickness of cell bodies left in a tissue

section is also spatially dynamic, which can all compromise the resolution and accuracy of

differential expressing gene studies. Moreover, we analyzed the spatial transcriptomic data at a

resolution of 10 μm. This resolution may present challenges in accurate identification of

densely packed cell types with small size. Even though our spatial transcriptomic data can be

adjusted to a maximum resolution of 5 μm, this enhancement could simultaneously limit the

number of detectable genes. Despite less resolution compared to previous inner ear scRNA-seq

or snRNA-seq datasets, the spatial single-cell atlas established in this study is valuable for

single-cell level transcriptomic analyses. The spatial datasets served as a valuable resource for

researchers investigating inner ear development and auditory function, allowing for the

exploration of spatiotemporal gene expression patterns of any gene of interest, or any particular

region of interest.

Materials and methods

Experimental model

529 Wild-type C57BL/6 mice were bred in the laboratory. All animal experiments were
530 conducted with approval from the Animal Research and Ethics Committee of the Chengdu
531 Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Approval No. CIBDWLL2018030). Mice
532 were provided clean bedding, ad libitum water and food, and nestlets with 12 h light/dark
533 cycles in ventilated cages. Sex of mouse samples in this study was not characterized.

Tissue collection

For E17.5 cochlear tissue, a pregnant female was euthanized and inner ears were dissected
from pups. For postnatal time points, P8 and adult mouse (two-month-old) were euthanized
and inner ears were dissected. Cochlea were separated from the inner ears under the stereo
microscope (Olympus, SZ61) and washed by ice-cold DPBS. After wiping the liquid by
dust-free paper, cochleae were rinsed with ice-cold OCT, and next moved into Cassettes with
ice-cold OCT. In order to gain better longitudinal section with at least 4 cochlea duct regions,
the tissue position in the cassette was fine-tuned under the stereo microscope again. Then the
cassettes were quickly put on the dry ice to ensure the tissues were froze immediately. All
samples were sent to Beijing Biomarker Technologies Co. the same day.

Tissue cryosection and processing for spatial-seq

The spatial-seq was performed with the BMKMANU S1000 Gene Expression kit
(BMKMANU, ST03002). Tissue sectioning, RNA quality, HE staining, imaging and tissue
optimization were followed by the BMKMANU S1000 Tissue Optimization kits user guide
(BMKMANU, ST03003). The Cryosections were cut at a thickness of 10 μm and every section
would be checked with HE staining before target structure section appearing. When 4 cochlea

duct holes were observed, the next section would be moved to slide for later sequencing.

Library preparation and sequencing

Library constructions were also performed according to the BMKMANU S1000 Library
Construction Kit User Guide. The Illumina library was sequenced with Illumina NavoSeq 6000.
The raw data from Illumina and Nanopore were mapped to the mouse reference genome
(GRCm38, ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-74/fasta/mus_musculus/) by BSTMatrix v2.4
(http://www.bmkmanu.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/BSTMatrix_v2.4.f.1.zip)   and
BSTMatrix-ONT   v1.2
(http://www.bmkmanu.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/BSTMatrix-ONT_v1.2.zip),
respectively, using default parameters. The image adjusted by BSTViewer V4.7
(http://www.bmkmanu.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/BSTViewer-V4.7.4.1.rar)   and
corresponding level 2 (10 μm) matrix were used for downstream analysis. A total of 5,545,
10,214 and 7,414 spots were obtained from single E17.5, P8 and 2M mouse cochlea section
samples at a resolution of 10 μm, respectively.

Cell clustering and cell-type identification

Downstream analysis of BSTViewer output data was performed using the R package
Seurat (v5.1.0) (https://github.com/satijalab/seurat) [53]. HE images from the same sample
were pooled together as a data set for analysis. First, for all samples, data was preliminary
filtered with min.cell at 5 and min.fearture at 100, when imported into R. Then all datasets were
normalized (scale.factor = 10000) and scaled using all genes. Principal component analysis
(PCA) focusing on the most variable features was performed and for all data, the first 30
dimensions obtained by RunPCA were applied to the analysis of RunUMAP, FindNeighbors,
and FindClusters to obtain UMAP and cluster information. Cluster specific genes were
identified by FindAllMarkers differential expression analysis. Combining cell-type specific
markers identified by Seurat and previously reported markers, spot' ident was annotated.

Cell communication analysis of hair cells and supporting cells

Cellchat (v1.6.1)[54] package was applied to explore the potential interaction pattern of cell types from OC and SGN. Based on the normalized gene-expression matrix of our datasets, the database of mouse ligand-receptor interactions was used to identify overexpressed genes and interactions with the method of "trimean", compute the communications and related pathways. All analysis steps were followed by the suggested workflow of CellChat with the default parameters.

Pseudotime trajectory analysis of HC and SGN groups

The newCellDataSet function of Monocle2 (v2.32.0) [55] was utilized to create a CellDataSet object, and the estimateSizeFactors and estimateDispersions functions were applied for the estimation of size factors and dispersions, respectively. By employing the detectGenes function, genes expressed in at least 10 cells were identified, and key genes for trajectory inference were set using the setOrderingFilter function. Subsequently, the reduceDimension and orderCells functions were applied for dimensionality reduction and cell ordering to construct the pseudotime trajectory of cells. The plot_cell_trajectory function was used to visualize the cellular trajectories, which were colored according to cell states. Additionally, genes with expression changes during cell development and genes that regulate the branching points of cellular differentiation were identified using the differentialGeneTest and BEAM functions. Finally, a heatmap of pseudotime-associated genes was generated using the plot_pseudotime_heatmap function to display the expression patterns of these genes across different cellular states.

Gene expression pattern analysis from apex to basal part

To explore regional gene-expression characteristics along the cochlea duct, the expression matrixes of SGN and organ of Corti cell types from apex, middle-basal and basal reagions (Fig. 4a; Fig S4a and d) were extracted separately for DEG analysis, with the method of wilcoxon

600 test. To better reveal the expression pattern of differential genes, plot_density method from
601 Nebulosa (v1.14) package[56] was used to depict spatial expression.

Immunofluorescence

Cochleae from E17.5, P8 and Adult mice were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde diluted in
1×PBS for 2 hours at 4 □, washed in 1×PBS for three times, dehydrated by 30% sucrose
solution, then embedded in OCT Compound for cryosectioning at 10 μm thickness. According
to previous publications [43], the immunofluorescence was performed as following steps.
Briefly, sections were blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Biofroxx, 1110GR500)/10%
horse serum albumin (HSA, Sangon Biotech, E510006-0100) / 1% Triton-X/1×PBS for 8~10
hours at 4 □ and primary antibodies were applied overnight at 4 □ in 5% BSA/10% HSA/0.5%
Triton-X/1×PBS. Secondary antibodies were applied in 5% BSA/10% HSA/0.5%
Triton-X/1×PBS for 6~8 hours at 4 □ followed by DAPI nuclear staining. All washes
following primary and secondary antibody application were performed with 1%
Triton-X/1×PBS. All fluorescent images were acquired using fluorescent microscope system
(Nikon, Eclipse 55i). All antibodies used in this study were listed in S4 File.

RNA *in situ* hybridization

The fragments of target genes (S4 File) were prepared by PCR amplification of cDNA
from cochleae RNA, with reverse primers containing a T7 RNA polymerase promoter
sequence. Next the DIG-labeled RNA probes were synthesized by the transcription reaction
using Riboprobe Systerm-T7 kit (Promega, P1440) and DIG labeled UTP (Roche,
11277073910). The slides for RNA *in situ* hybridization (RNA-ISH) were prepared with the
same steps of immunofluorescence. Then RNA-ISH was conducted as following steps,
according to previous publications [33, 57]. Firstly, slides were fixed by 4% PFA for 5 min at
RT and washed in 1×PBS for 3 min and in distilled water for 1 min. Next for permeabilizing,
slides were immersed in 0.2 M HCl for 15 min and 0.1 M triethanolamine-HCl for 10 min.
After being washed in 1×PBS for 5 min, slides were dehydrated by washing for 20 min per

wash in 75%, 85% and 100% ethanol. And then slides were treated by hybridization buffer
containing DIG-labeled RNA probes overnight at 65 □. To remove non-specific and repetitive
RNA hybridization, slides were washed in 5×saline sodium citrate (SSC) for 5 min at RT and
2×SSC for 30 min at 60 □. After being treated in 0.3% H_2O_2 for 1 h at RT, slides were blocked
for 2 h at RT and next incubated with anti-DIG antibody overnight at 4 □. Finally, the signal
was detected by the TSA® Plus Cyanine 3.5 (Cy3.5) detection kit (Akoya, NEL763001KT)
and slides were sealed by diluted DAPI with glycerin. The images were captured by fluorescent
microscope.

Quantificatin and statistical analysis

635 All statistical analyses were conducted by R and RStudio. And as for the results of
636 wilcoxon-test, which were used to uncover the spatial differential expression genes for neuron
637 cells and OHCs, P-value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.
82300142, 82301316, 32370882, and 32370536), and the Central Government Guides Local
Science and Technology Development Funds in Sichuan Provincial (2022ZYD0131), and the
Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (BMU2021YJ064). We gratefully
acknowledge the High-performance Computing Platform of Peking University for conducting
the bioinformatics analysis.

Author contributions

646 J. Li, Y. Zhao, and H. Wu designed the work; M. Yan, P. Zhang, H. Wang, J.H. Li, Y. Wang,
647 X. Zeng, and Y. Gao acquired the data; M. Yan, H. Wang, Y. Wang, B. Zhu, D. Deng, F. Deng,
648 X. Guo, L. Ma and Y. Feng analyzed the data; M. Yan, Y. Wang, and H. Wang made the figures;
649 J. Li, Y. Zhao, and H. Wu wrote the paper.

References

1. Groves AK, Fekete DM. Shaping sound in space: the regulation of inner ear patterning. Development. 2012;139(2):245-57.

2. Torres M, Giráldez F. The development of the vertebrate inner ear. Mechanisms of development. 1998;71(1-2):5-21.

3. Wu DK, Kelley MW. Molecular mechanisms of inner ear development. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2012;4(8):a008409.

4. Whitfield TT. Development of the inner ear. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2015;32:112-8. Epub 2015/03/22. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2015.02.006. PubMed PMID: 25796080.

5. Roccio M, Senn P, Heller S. Novel insights into inner ear development and regeneration for targeted hearing loss therapies. Hearing research. 2020;397:107859.

6. Toppila E, Pyykkö I, Starck J, Kaksonen R, Ishizaki H. Individual risk factors in the development of noise-induced hearing loss. Noise and Health. 2000;2(8):59-70.

7. Kujawa SG, Liberman MC. Translating animal models to human therapeutics in noise-induced and age-related hearing loss. Hearing research. 2019;377:44-52.

8. Meghji S, Phillips J. The significance of audiometric notching in individuals with a history of noise exposure: a systematic review. Ear and Hearing. 2021;42(5):1109-18.

9. Mann ZF, Kelley MW. Development of tonotopy in the auditory periphery. Hearing research. 2011;276(1-2):2-15.

10. Wang M, Lin S, Xie R. Apical-basal distribution of different subtypes of spiral ganglion neurons in the cochlea and the changes during aging. Plos one. 2023;18(10):e0292676.

11. Atkinson PJ, Najarro EH, Sayyid ZN, Cheng AG. Sensory hair cell development and regeneration: similarities and differences. Development (Cambridge, England). 2015;142(9):1561.

12. Ding D, Jiang H, Salvi RJ. Mechanisms of rapid sensory hair-cell death following co-administration of gentamicin and ethacrynic acid. Hearing research. 2010;259(1-2):16-23.

13. Wang S, Chakraborty S, Fu Y, Lee MP, Liu J, Waldhaus J. 3D reconstruction of the mouse cochlea from scRNA-seq data suggests morphogen-based principles in apex-to-base

specification. Dev Cell. 2024;59(12):1538-52 e6. Epub 2024/04/10. doi:
10.1016/j.devcel.2024.03.028. PubMed PMID: 38593801; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC11187690.

14. Tobin M, Chaiyasitdhi A, Michel V, Michalski N, Martin P. Stiffness and tension
gradients of the hair cell's tip-link complex in the mammalian cochlea. eLife.
2019;8:e43473.

15. Ruan Q, Chen D, Wang Z, Chi F, Yin S, Wang J. Topological and developmental
expression gradients of Kir2. 1, an inward rectifier K+ channel, in spiral ganglion and
cochlear hair cells of mouse inner ear. Developmental neuroscience. 2009;30(6):374-88.

16. Shi T, Beaulieu MO, Saunders LM, Fabian P, Trapnell C, Segil N, et al. Single-cell
transcriptomic profiling of the zebrafish inner ear reveals molecularly distinct hair cell
and supporting cell subtypes. Elife. 2023;12:e82978.

17. Jean P, Wong Jun Tai F, Singh-Estivalet A, Lelli A, Scandola C, Megharba S, et al.
Single-cell transcriptomic profiling of the mouse cochlea: An atlas for targeted therapies.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2023;120(26):e2221744120.

18. Kolla L, Kelly MC, Mann ZF, Anaya-Rocha A, Ellis K, Lemons A, et al. Characterization
of the development of the mouse cochlear epithelium at the single cell level. Nature
communications. 2020;11(1):2389.

19. Sun G, Zheng Y, Fu X, Zhang W, Ren J, Ma S, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic atlas of
mouse cochlear aging. Protein & Cell. 2023;14(3):180-201.

20. Milon B, Shulman ED, So KS, Cederroth CR, Lipford EL, Sperber M, et al. A
cell-type-specific atlas of the inner ear transcriptional response to acoustic trauma. Cell
reports. 2021;36(13).

21. Benkafadar N, Janesick A, Scheibinger M, Ling AH, Jan TA, Heller S. Transcriptomic
characterization of dying hair cells in the avian cochlea. Cell reports. 2021;34(12).

22. Janesick AS, Scheibinger M, Benkafadar N, Kirti S, Heller S. Avian auditory hair cell
regeneration is accompanied by JAK/STAT-dependent expression of immune-related
genes in supporting cells. Development. 2022;149(8). Epub 2022/04/15. doi:
10.1242/dev.200113. PubMed PMID: 35420675.

23. Baek S, Tran NT, Diaz DC, Tsai Y-Y, Acedo JN, Lush ME, et al. Single-cell transcriptome

analysis reveals three sequential phases of gene expression during zebrafish sensory hair

cell regeneration. Developmental cell. 2022;57(6):799-819. e6.

24. Shrestha BR, Chia C, Wu L, Kujawa SG, Liberman MC, Goodrich LV. Sensory Neuron

Diversity in the Inner Ear Is Shaped by Activity. Cell. 2018;174(5):1229-46 e17. Epub

2018/08/07. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.007. PubMed PMID: 30078709; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC6150604.

25. Petitpré C, Faure L, Uhl P, Fontanet P, Filova I, Pavlinkova G, et al. Single-cell

RNA-sequencing analysis of the developing mouse inner ear identifies molecular logic of

auditory neuron diversification. Nature communications. 2022;13(1):3878.

26. Sun S, Babola T, Pregernig G, So KS, Nguyen M, Su S-SM, et al. Hair cell

mechanotransduction regulates spontaneous activity and spiral ganglion subtype

specification in the auditory system. Cell. 2018;174(5):1247-63. e15.

27. Longo SK, Guo MG, Ji AL, Khavari PA. Integrating single-cell and spatial

transcriptomics to elucidate intercellular tissue dynamics. Nature Reviews Genetics.

2021;22(10):627-44.

28. Asp M, Giacomello S, Larsson L, Wu C, Fürth D, Qian X, et al. A spatiotemporal

organ-wide gene expression and cell atlas of the developing human heart. Cell.

2019;179(7):1647-60. e19.

29. Farah EN, Hu RK, Kern C, Zhang Q, Lu T-Y, Ma Q, et al. Spatially organized cellular

communities form the developing human heart. Nature. 2024;627(8005):854-64.

30. Yao Z, van Velthoven CT, Kunst M, Zhang M, McMillen D, Lee C, et al. A

high-resolution transcriptomic and spatial atlas of cell types in the whole mouse brain.

Nature. 2023;624(7991):317-32.

31. Piwecka M, Rajewsky N, Rybak-Wolf A. Single-cell and spatial transcriptomics:

deciphering brain complexity in health and disease. Nature Reviews Neurology.

2023;19(6):346-62.

32. Kanemaru K, Cranley J, Muraro D, Miranda AM, Ho SY, Wilbrey-Clark A, et al. Spatially

resolved multiomics of human cardiac niches. Nature. 2023;619(7971):801-10.

33. Wang Y, Wang H, Zhang P, Zhu B, Li W, Zhao X, et al. Single-cell atlas comparison

across vertebrates reveals auditory cell evolution and mechanisms for hair cell

regeneration. Communications Biology. 2024;7(1):1648.

34. Peeleman N, Verdoodt D, Ponsaerts P, Van Rompaey V. On the Role of Fibrocytes and the

Extracellular Matrix in the Physiology and Pathophysiology of the Spiral Ligament.

Frontiers in Neurology. 2020;11. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.580639.

35. Petitpre C, Faure L, Uhl P, Fontanet P, Filova I, Pavlinkova G, et al. Single-cell

RNA-sequencing analysis of the developing mouse inner ear identifies molecular logic of

auditory neuron diversification. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):3878. Epub 2022/07/06. doi:

10.1038/s41467-022-31580-1. PubMed PMID: 35790771; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC9256748.

36. Sun G, Zheng Y, Fu X, Zhang W, Ren J, Ma S, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic atlas of

mouse cochlear aging. Protein Cell. 2023;14(3):180-201. Epub 2023/03/19. doi:

10.1093/procel/pwac058. PubMed PMID: 36933008; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC10098046.

37. Petitpré C, Wu H, Sharma A, Tokarska A, Fontanet P, Wang Y, et al. Neuronal

heterogeneity and stereotyped connectivity in the auditory afferent system. Nature

Communications. 2018;9(1). doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06033-3.

38. Harlow DE, Saul KE, Culp CM, Vesely EM, Macklin WB. Expression of proteolipid

protein gene in spinal cord stem cells and early oligodendrocyte progenitor cells is

dispensable for normal cell migration and myelination. Journal of Neuroscience.

2014;34(4):1333-43.

39. Chew H, Solomon VA, Fonteh AN. Involvement of lipids in Alzheimer's disease

pathology and potential therapies. Frontiers in physiology. 2020;11:598.

40. Rask☐Andersen H, Liu W, Erixon E, Kinnefors A, Pfaller K, Schrott☐Fischer A, et al.

Human cochlea: anatomical characteristics and their relevance for cochlear implantation.

The Anatomical Record: Advances in Integrative Anatomy and Evolutionary Biology.

2012;295(11):1791-811.

41. Chonko KT, Jahan I, Stone J, Wright MC, Fujiyama T, Hoshino M, et al. Atoh1 directs

hair cell differentiation and survival in the late embryonic mouse inner ear.

Developmental biology. 2013;381(2):401-10.

42. Pirvola U, Spencer-Dene B, Xing-Qun L, Kettunen P, Thesleff I, Fritzsch B, et al.

FGF/FGFR-2 (IIIb) signaling is essential for inner ear morphogenesis. Journal of

Neuroscience. 2000;20(16):6125-34.

43. Li J, Zhang T, Ramakrishnan A, Fritzsch B, Xu J, Wong EY, et al. Dynamic changes in

cis-regulatory occupancy by Six1 and its cooperative interactions with distinct cofactors

drive lineage-specific gene expression programs during progressive differentiation of the

auditory sensory epithelium. Nucleic acids research. 2020;48(6):2880-96.

44. Guo J, Walss□Bass C, Ludueña RF. The β isotypes of tubulin in neuronal differentiation.

Cytoskeleton. 2010;67(7):431-41.

45. Ahmed M, Xu J, Xu P-X. EYA1 and SIX1 drive the neuronal developmental program in

cooperation with the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex and SOX2 in the

mammalian inner ear. Development. 2012;139(11):1965-77.

46. Breuskin I, Bodson M, Thelen N, Thiry M, Borgs L, Nguyen L, et al. Glial but not

neuronal development in the cochleo□vestibular ganglion requires Sox10. Journal of

neurochemistry. 2010;114(6):1827-39.

47. Corey DP. What is the hair cell transduction channel? The Journal of physiology.

2006;576(1):23-8.

48. Jiang L, Wang D, He Y, Shu Y. Advances in gene therapy hold promise for treating

hereditary hearing loss. Molecular Therapy. 2023;31(4):934-50.

49. Sennaroğlu L, Bajin MD. Classification and current management of inner ear

malformations. Balkan medical journal. 2017;34(5):397-411.

50. Huang S, Zhao G, Wu J, Li K, Wang Q, Fu Y, et al. Gene4HL: An Integrated Genetic

Database for Hearing Loss. Frontiers in Genetics. 2021;12. doi:

10.3389/fgene.2021.773009.

51. Moffitt JR, Zhuang X. RNA imaging with multiplexed error-robust fluorescence in situ

hybridization (MERFISH).   Methods in enzymology. 572: Elsevier; 2016. p. 1-49.

52. Gulati GS, D'Silva JP, Liu Y, Wang L, Newman AM. Profiling cell identity and tissue

architecture with single-cell and spatial transcriptomics. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell

Biology. 2024:1-21.

53. Hao Y, Stuart TA-O, Kowalski MH, Choudhary SA-O, Hoffman PA-O, Hartman A, et al.

Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. Nat

Biotechnol. 2024;42(2):293-304.

54.   Jin S, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Zhang L, Chang I, Ramos R, Kuan C-H, et al. Inference and

analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. Nature Communications. 2021;12(1).

doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21246-9.

55.   Qiu X, Mao Q, Tang Y, Wang L, Chawla R, Pliner HA, et al. Reversed graph embedding

resolves complex single-cell trajectories. Nature Methods. 2017;14(10):979-82. doi:

10.1038/nmeth.4402.

56.   Alquicira-Hernandez J, Powell JA-O. Nebulosa recovers single-cell gene expression

signals by kernel density estimation. Bioinformatics. 2021;37(16):2485-7.

57.   Sun Y, Wang L, Zhu T, Wu B, Wang G, Luo Z, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic landscapes

of the otic neuronal lineage at multiple early embryonic ages. Cell Reports. 2022;38(12).

58.   Chen T, Chen X, Zhang S, Zhu J, Tang B, Wang A, et al. The genome sequence archive

family: toward explosive data growth and diverse data types. Genomics, Proteomics and

Bioinformatics. 2021;19(4):578-83.

59.   Database resources of the national genomics data center, China national center for

bioinformation in 2022. Nucleic Acids Research. 2022;50(D1):D27-D38.

Figure legends

Fig 1. Spatial single-cell atlas of developing and adult cochlea. (A) The workflow of

spatial transcriptomic assay for mouse cochlea at three stages (E17.5, P8, and 2M). **(B)**

Spatial cross-section showing cell types localized in the P8 cochlea. Eight major groups of

cell types were shown, respectively. **(C)** Spatial expression and RNA *in situ* detection of

Cend1, *Prx* and *Dnase1* on the P8 cochlea cross-section. **(D)** Correlation heatmap showing

the correspondence of cell annotation between spatial cells from P8 cochlea and the reported

scRNA-seq dataset from P7 cochlea. OS: Out structure, NeuC: Neutrophils, ChC:

Chondrocyte, PeC: Pericytes, OsC: Osteoblasts, SLg_FC1: Spiral ligament fibrocyte 1,

SLg_FC2: Spiral ligament fibrocyte 2, SLg_FC3: Spiral ligament fibrocyte 3, SLg_FC4:

Spiral ligament fibrocyte 4, SLb_FC: Spiral limbus fibrocyte, SGN: Spiral ganglion neuron,

I_Sch: Type I schwann cell, II_Sch: Type II schwann cell, III_Sch: Type III schwann cell,

RMC: Reissner's membrane cell, BS: Basal stria, IS: Intermediate stria, MS: Marginal stria,

SP: Spial prominence, IdC: Interdental cell, ISC: Inner sulcus cell, HeC: Hensen cell,

CC/OSC: Claudius cell/Outer sulcus cell, TBC: Tympanic border cell, OHC: Outer hair cell,

IHC: Inner hair cell, DC/PC: Deiter cell/Pillar cell, IPhC/IBC: Inner phalangeal cell/Inner

border cell, M.KO:, Medial kölliker's organ cell, L.KO: Lateral kölliker's organ cell,

M.L.KO: Medial-lateral kölliker's organ cell, IPC/OPC: Inner pillar cell/Outer pillar cell.

Fig 2. Spatiotemporal dynamics of gene expression in spiral ganglion neurons. (A) Upper,

the diagram of neuronal system in cross-section of cochlea. Bottom, the umap spot showing

the neuron cells extracted from E17.5, P8 and adult cochlea. **(B)** Plots recapitulating the

branched trajectory of the developing SGNs (E17.5, P8, and adult) based on the

transcriptional similarity of pseudotime-ordered cells. **(C)** Heatmap showing the pseudotime

analysis for neuron cells from E17.5, P8 and adult dataset, with the top 10 upregulated genes

along the differentiation of each branch. **(D)** Gene ontology analysis of differential genes

from **(C)**. **(E)** Bubble plot showing the differential expression of a set of genes in neuron cells

at different development stages. The size of the dots represents the percentage of cells in the

clusters expressing the genes and the color intensity represents the average expression levels

of the gene in that cluster. **(F)** Immunostaining of Calb1 and Calb2 (green) on the E17.5 and

P8 cochlea cross-sections. DAPI is labeled in blue. A, apex; B, base. Scale bar equals to 200

μm.

Fig 3. Spatial differential analysis reveals gradients of neuronal gene expression from

apical to basal SGNs. (A) Upper, spatial painting of spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) at P8

cochlea. The rectangular boxes with different colors indicate three different regions. A, apical;

M, middle; B, basal. Bottom, UMAP plot showing the apical middle and basal neurons

extracted from P8 cochlea. **(B)** Heatmap showing the expression level of the gradient genes

representing two patterns in P8 SGNs (decreasing or increasing expression pattern from

apical to basal regions). **(C)** Gene ontology analysis of differential genes from **(B). (D)**

Density plots showing the spatial expression patterns of *Myo16*, *Cdh9*, *Alpk2*, *Nefh*, *Calb2*,

and *Fgf10* on P8 cochlea cross section. **(E)** Heatmap showing two expression patterns of the

21 functional gradient genes in SGNs (decreasing or increasing expression pattern from

apical to basal regions). The genes which were confirmed by RNA *in situ* or

immunofluorescent staining assay were labeled in red. **(F)** Immunostaining of Calb2 (green)

and RNA *in situ* detection of *Nefh* mRNA (red) on P8 cochlea cross-section. DAPI is labeled

in blue. Magnified views of stained neuron cell in the apex region and basal regions were

shown in the middle and bottom rows, respectively. A, apical; B, basal. All scale bar equals to

200 μm.

Fig 4. Spatiotemporal analysis for the hair cells. (A) Upper, the umap spot showing the

hair cells (HC) extracted from E17.5, P8 and adult cochlea. Bottom, pseudotime trajectory

plot showing the developing HC (E17.5, P8, and adult) based on the transcriptional similarity

of pseudotime-ordered cells. **(B)** Heatmap showing the transcriptional changes for hair cells

from E17.5, P8 and adult dataset along pseudotime. **(C)** Gene ontology analysis of

differential genes from clusters in **(B)**. **(D)** Bubble plot showing the differential expression of

12 functional genes in HC at different development stages. The size of the dots represents the

percentage of cells in the clusters expressing the genes and the color intensity represents the

average expression levels of the gene in that cluster. **(E)** Curve plots showing the expression

changes of the functional genes in developing HC along pseudotime.

Fig 5. Spatial differential analysis reveals gene expression gradients of Corti organ from

apex to base. (A) Left, the diagram of cochlea cross-section and magnified view of the cells

from the organ of Corti (OC). Right, spatial painting of cell clusters from OC identified in

adult cochlea and the magnified view to show the cells in OC. **(B)** Density plot showing the

spatial expression of several cell-type marker genes of OC. **(C)** Heatmap showing the

expression patterns of the gradient genes representing two patterns in P8 SGNs (decreasing or

increasing expression pattern from apical to basal regions). **(D)** Gene ontology analysis of

differential genes from **(C). (E)** Network plot showing several significant GO terms and its

related genes enriched in the apical adult OC. **(F)** Umap spot showing the HCs extracted from

different regions in the adult cochlea spatial section. **(G)** Bubble plot showing the gradient

expression patterns of 20 functional genes in HCs at different regions. The size of the dots

represents the percentage of cells in the clusters expressing the genes and the color intensity

represents the average expression levels of the gene in that cluster. **(H)** Whole-mount

immunostaining of Slc17a8 (green) and phalloidin (red) in adult cochlea. DAPI is labeled in

blue. Scale bars equal to 200 μm.

Fig 6. Developmental dynamics of cell-cell communication network between cell clusters

from the organ of Corti. (A) Heatmap showing the number of ligand-receptor pairs

evaluated by cellchat for neuron cell and cell clusters from organ of Corti (OC) at P8. **(B)**

Left, number of significant ligand-receptor pairs between cell clusters from OC at P8, with

the neuron cell as a hub. Right, number of significant ligand-receptor pairs between cell

clusters from OC at P8, with hair cells as a hub. The edge width is proportional to the

indicated number of ligand-receptor pairs. **(C)** Bubble plots showing ligand-receptor pairs of

FGF signaling pathway between neuron cell and other cell clusters from OC. The size of dots

represents the significance and the color represents the communication probability. **(D)**

Spatial dense plots showing the expression level of *Fgf10*, *Fgfr2*, *Fgf1*, and *Fgf8* at P8

cochlea section. **(E)** RNA *in situ* validating the expression of *Fgf1*, *Fgf8*, *Fgf10*, and *Fgfr2*

mRNA on P8 cochlea sections. Scale bar equals to 200 μm. A, apical; B, basal.

Fig 7. Spatial organization of hair cells, neuronal cells, and their communication

network reveals the cochlear tonotopic organization. (A-C) Number of significant

ligand-receptor pairs between neuron cell and other cell clusters from OC located in the

apical, middle and basal regions at P8, respectively. The edge width is proportional to the

indicated number of ligand-receptor pairs. **(D)** The whole-mount immunostaining of Tuj1

(green) and phalloidin (red) on P8 cochlea. DAPI, blue. Scale bar equals to 200 μm. **(E)**

Magnified view of **(D)** to show neuronal connections and projections in apical (upper),

middle (middle), and basal (bottom) regions, respectively. **(F)** Schematic representation

illustrating the gradient patterning observed in adult hair cells and SGNs, highlighting a

potential molecular mechanism underlying the tonotopic organization along the cochlear axis.

A, apical; M, middle; B, basal.

Supporting information

S1-1 Fig. Spatial single-cell atlas of P8 cochlea. **(A)** Bubble plot analysis of the top differentially expressed genes for each cell types in the P7 cochlea (left, scRNA data from reported assay) and P8 cochlea (right, spatial scRNA data). The size of the dots represents the percentage of cells in the clusters expressing the gene and the color intensity represents the average expression levels of the gene in that cluster. **(B)** Density plots showing the spatial expression pattern of several cell-type markers in the P8 cochlea section chip.

S1-2 Fig. Spatial single-cell atlas of E17.5 cochlea. **(A)** Spatial single cell types on the E17.5 cochlea cross-section. Seven major groups of cell types were shown, respectively. **(B)** Bubble plot analysis of the top differentially expressed genes for each cell types in the E16 cochlea (left, scRNA data from reported assay) and E17.5 cochlea (right, spatial scRNA data). The size of the dots represents the percentage of cells in the clusters expressing the gene and the color intensity represents the average expression levels of the gene in that cluster. **(C)** Correlation heatmap showing the correspondence of cell annotation between spatial cells from E17.5 cochlea and the reported scRNA-seq dataset from E16 cochlea. OS: Out structure, EryC: Erythrocytes, MaC: Macrophages, EnC: Endothelial cell, NeC: Neutrophils cell, FC: Spiral ligament fibrocyte, SLg_FC: Spiral ligament fibrocyte, SLb_FC: Spiral limbus fibrocyte, TBC: Tympanic border cell, Sch: Schwann cell, RMC: Reissner's membrane cell, BS: Basal stria, MS: Marginal stria, IS: Intermediate stria, IdC: Interdental cell, KO:, Kölliker's organ cell, CC/OSC: Claudius cell/Outer sulcus cell, SGN: Spiral ganglion neuron, HC: Hair cell, DC/PC: Deiter cell/Pillar cell, SV: Stria vascularis, IdC/ISC: Interdental cell/Inner sulcus cell, L.KO: Lateral kölliker's organ cell, IPhC: Inner phalangeal cell, HeC: Hensen cell, LER: Lesser epithelial ridge cell, IPC: Inner pillar cell. **(D)** Density plots showing the spatial expression pattern of several cell-type markers in the E17.5 cochlea cross section.

S1-3 Fig. Spatial single-cell atlas of adult cochlea. **(A)** Spatial single cell types on the adult cochlea cross-section. Eight major groups of cell types were shown, respectively. **(B)** Bubble

plot analysis of the top differentially expressed genes for each cell types in the adult cochlea

(left, scRNA data from reported assay; right, spatial scRNA data). The size of the dots

represents the percentage of cells in the clusters expressing the gene and the color intensity

represents the average expression levels of the gene in that cluster. **(C)** Correlation heatmap

showing the correspondence of cell annotation between spatial dataset and the reported

scRNA-seq dataset for adult cochlea. PeC: Pericytes, MaC: Macrophages, EnC: Endothelial

cell, FC1: Fibrocyte 1, FC1: Fibrocyte 2, Ia_SGNs: Type Ia Spiral ganglion neuron, Ib_SGNs:

Type Ib Spiral ganglion neuron, Ic_SGNs: Type Ic Spiral ganglion neuron, II_SGNs: Type II

Spiral ganglion neuron, III_SGNs: Type III Spiral ganglion neuron, I_Sch: Type I schwann

cell, II_Sch: Type II schwann cell, III_Sch: Type III schwann cell, RMC: Reissner's

membrane cell, BS: Basal stria, MS: Marginal stria, IS: Intermediate stria, CC/OSC: Claudius

cell/Outer sulcus cell, IPhC/HeC: Inner phalangeal cell/Hensen cell, TBC: Tympanic border

cell, OHC: Outer hair cell, IHC: Inner hair cell, PC: Pillar cell, OS:, NeC:, OsC:, SLg_FC:

Spiral ligament fibrocyte, SLb_FC: Spiral limbus fibrocyte, SGN: Spiral ganglion neuron,

SchC: Schwann cell, IdC: Interdental cell, DC/PC: Deiter cell/Pillar cell. **(D)** Density plots

showing the spatial expression pattern of several cell-type markers in the adult cochlea cross

section.

S2 Fig. Psudotime analysis of developing spiral ganglion neurons. (A) Plots showing

different marker genes delineating different-stage neuron trajectories. **(B)** Heatmap showing

dynamic expression changes of genes. **(C)** Related GO terms of genes from neurons at

different clusters in **(B)**. **(D)** Curve plots showing the expression changes of the functional

genes in developing neurons along pseudotime.

S3-1 Fig. Spatial differential analyses of neuronal expressing genes from apex to base at

E17.5 and adult stages. (A) Upper left, spatial distribution of neuron cells at E17.5 cochlea

cross section, divided by rectangular boxes with different colors (three regions: A, apex; M,

middle; B, base). Bottom left, UMAP plot showing the apical, middle and basal neurons

extracted from E17.5 cochlea as shown on the left, as indicated by different colors. Right,

heatmap showing the expression level of the gradient genes representing two patterns in

E17.5 SGNs (decreasing or increasing expression pattern from apical to basal regions). **(B)**

Upper left, spatial distribution of neuron cells at adult cochlea cross section, divided by

rectangular boxes with different colors (three regions: A, apex; M, middle; B, base). Bottom

left, UMAP plot showing the apical, middle and basal neurons extracted from adult cochlea

as shown on the left, as indicated by different colors. Right, heatmap showing the expression

level of the gradient genes representing two patterns in adult SGNs (decreasing or increasing

expression pattern from apical to basal regions). **(C)** Volcano plots showing the differential

analysis of spatial expression in SGNs from E17.5, P8 and adult cochlea. **(D)** Density plots

showing the spatial expression patterns in E17.5 and adult SGNs on cochlea cross section,

representing two gradient patterns (apex-to-base decreasing and increasing expression). **(E, F)**

The whole amount view showing the immunostaining of Calb1 **(F)** and Calb2 **(G)** in adult

cochlea. A, apex; M, middle; B, base. **(H)** Spatial expression and immunostaining of Pou4f1

in adult cochlea cross section. **(G)** Gene ontology analysis of spatial gradient genes from

E17.5 and adult SGNs**.**

S3-2 Fig. Spatial dynamics of spiral ganglion neurons subtypes along the cochlear axis.

(A-E) Immunostaining of Calb1 **(A)**, Calb2 **(B)**, Pou4f1**(D)** and RNA in situ detection of *Cilp*

mRNA **(C)** on the adult cochlea cross-sections. DAPI is labeled in blue. A, apex; B, base.

Scale bar equals to 200 μm.

S4 Fig. Spatiotemporal expression changes of hair cell markers. (A, B, C) Whole-mount

immunostaining of Myo7a in cochlear hair cells at E17.5 **(A)**, P8 **(B)** and adult **(C)**. Myo7a is

labeled in green; phalloidin, red; DAPI, blue. Scale bars equal to 200 μm. **(D)** Magnified

view of **(C)** showing apical (upper), middle (middle), and basal (bottom) regions of adult

cochlea, respectively. A, apical; M, middle; B, basal. Scale bars equal to 100 μm.

S5-1 Fig. Spatial differential analysis of hair cell markers from apex to base at adult. (A)

Whole-mount immunostaining and magnified view of Calb1 (green) in the cochlear hair cells.

(B, C) Whole-mount immunostaining and magnified view of Foxp1 (green) in the adult

cochlea. DAPI is labeled in blue. Scale bars equal to 200 μm.

S5-2 Fig. Spatial differential analysis of OC from apex to base at E17.5 and P8 stages.

(**A**) Upper, spatial distribution showing cell clusters from OC identified in E17.5 and adult

cochlea cross section, divided by rectangular boxes with different colors (three regions: A,

apex; M, middle; B, base). Bottom, heatmap showing the expression level of the gradient

genes representing two patterns in E17.5 and P8 OC (decreasing or increasing expression

pattern from apical to basal regions), respectively. (**B**) Density plots showing the spatial

expression patterns in E17.5 and adult OC on cochlea cross section, representing two gradient

patterns (apex-to-base decreasing and increasing expression). (**C**) Bar plot showing GO

analysis of spatial gradient genes from E17.5 and adult OC**.** (**D**) Bubble plot showing the

expression levels of a set of genes representing two gradient patterns (apex-to-base

decreasing and increasing expression) in OHCs on P8 cochlea sections. (**E**) Network plot

showing several significant GO terms and its related genes enriched in the apical OC of

E17.5 and P8 cochlea, respectively.

S5-3 Fig. Spatial differential analysis of HCs from apex to base at E17.5 and P8. (**A**)

UMAP plot showing HCs extracted from apical, middle and basal regions of E17.5 cochlea.

(**B**) Bubble plot showing the expression levels of a set of genes representing two gradient

patterns (apex-to-base decreasing and increasing expression) in HCs from E17.5 cochlea. The

size of the dots represents the percentage of cells in the clusters expressing the genes and the

color intensity represents the average expression levels of the gene in that cluster. (**C**) UMAP

plot showing HCs extracted from apical, middle and basal regions of P8 cochlea. (**D**) Bubble

plot showing the expression levels of a set of genes representing two gradient patterns

(apex-to-base decreasing and increasing expression) in HCs from P8 cochlea. (**E**)

Immunostaining of Slc26a5 (green), and phalloidin (red) in the P8 whole amount cochlea.

DAPI is labeled in blue. A, apical; M, middle; B, basal. Scale bars equal to 200 μm. (**F**)

Magnified view of (**E**) to show the variant expression level of Slc26a5 in HCs from apical

(upper), middle (middle) and basal (bottom) regions, respectively. Scale bars equal to 100

μm.

S6-1 Fig. Cell-cell communication network among cell clusters from the organ of Corti.

(A) Heatmap showing the number of ligand-receptor pairs evaluated by cellchat for neuron

cell and cell clusters from OC at E17.5 **(B)** Left, number of significant ligand-receptor pairs

of neuron cells (hub) interacted with cell clusters from OC at E17.5. Right, number of

significant ligand-receptor pairs between hair cells (hub) and neuron cells as well as other cell

clusters from OC at E17.5. The edge width is proportional to the indicated number of

ligand-receptor pairs. **(C)** Bubble plots showing ligand-receptor pairs of FGF signaling

pathway between neuron cells and cell clusters from OC at E17.5. The size of dots represents

the significance and the color represents the communication probability. **(D)** RNA *in situ*

detection of *Fgf10* and *Fgfr2* mRNA in the apical region of P8 cochlea sections.

S6-2 Fig. Cell-cell communication network among neuron cells and cell clusters from

the organ of Corti. Bubble plots showing ligand-receptor pairs between neuron cells and

other cell clusters from the organ of Corti for the P8 spatial data. The size of dots represents

the significance and the color represents the communication probability.

S7-1 Fig. Spatial organization of hair cells, neuronal cells, and their communication

network reveals the cochlear tonotopic organization. (A, B) Bubble plots showing

ligand-receptor pairs of signaling pathway in the apical **(A)** and basal SGNs **(B)** at P8 stage.

The size of dots represents the significance and the color represents the communication

probability. **(C)** Schematic representation illustrating the gradient patterning observed in hair

cells and SGNs (adult and P8 stage), highlighting a potential molecular mechanism

underlying the tonotopic organization along the cochlear axis.

S7-2 Fig. Spatial cell-cell communication network in hair cells. (A, B) Bubble plots

showing ligand-receptor pairs of signaling pathways which apical **(A)** and basal **(B)** HCs sent

to other cell types in the organ of Corti (OC), respectively. **(C, D)** Bubble plots showing

ligand-receptor pairs of signaling pathways which apical **(C)** and basal **(D)** HCs received

from other cell types in OC, respectively. The size of dots represents the significance and the

color represents the communication probability.

S7-3 Fig. Spatial cell-cell communication network among neuron cells and cell clusters

from the organ of Corti. (A-C) Number of significant ligand-receptor pairs between neuron

cells and cell clusters from the organ of Corti (OC) distributed in the apical **(A)**, middle **(B)**,

and basal **(C)** regions at E17.5 stage, respectively. Up, the neurons are the hub; bottom, the

hair cells are the hub. The edge width is proportional to the indicated number of

ligand-receptor pairs. **(D, E)** Stack diagram comparing the weight **(D)** and number **(E)** of

interactions every signaling pathway predicted between neuron cells and cell clusters from

OC distributed in the apical, middle and basal regions at E17.5 stage, respectively. **(F)** Bubble

plots showing that neuron cells and hair cells are the source sending information to other cell

clusters from OC in the different regions at E17.5 stage. The size of dots represents the

significance and the color represents the communication probability. **(G)** Bubble plots

showing the ligand-receptor pairs that neuron cells and hair cells are the targets to receive

information from other cell clusters from OC in the different regions at E17.5 stage. The size

of dots represents the significance and the color represents the communication probability.

S8 Fig. Spatiotemporal expression pattern of known deafness genes (A) Density plots

showing the spatial expression of all known deafness genes, on E17.5, P8 and Adult cochlea

section. Strong densities were found at the organ of Corti (OC) region on E17.5 section, at

OC and SGN region on P8 section, and at the central modiolus region on adult section. **(B)**

Hierarchical clustering of cochlear cell types and deafness genes in spatiotemporal

transcriptomic data. OC: the organ of Corti, FB: fibrocytes, LW: lateral wall, SGNs: spiral

ganglion neurons, RM: reissner's membrane, SC: Schwann cell, SS: surrounding structures.

S1 File. All celltype markers of the three datasets, related to Fig 1 and S1 Fig.

S2 File. Gradient expression genes of Neurons in the three datasets, related to Fig 3 and
S3 Fig.

S3 File. Gradient expression genes of HCs in the three datasets, related to Fig 5 and S5
Fig.

S4 File. Primers for *in situ* hybridization and antibodies for immunofluorescence.

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

A

P8

A
M
B

Basal_Neuron
Apical_Neuron
Middle_Neuron

umap_2
umap_1

B

Region
Apex
Middle
Base

Exp. level
High
Low

C Enrich GO terms

Apex
Base

Cell adhesion molecule binding
Extracellular matrix structural constituent
Glucose transmembrane transporter activity
Sugar transmembrane transporter activity
Carbohydrate transmembrane transporter activity
Ion channel regulator activity
Wnt signaling pathway
Notch signaling pathway
Regulation of canonical Wnt signaling pathway
Regulation of Wnt signaling pathway
Positive regulation of synaptic vesicle endocytosis
Synaptic vesicle budding
Positive regulation of synaptic vesicle recycling
Regulation of neuron projection regeneration
Synaptic vesicle priming
Central nervous system neuron differentiation
Neuron projection extension

$-\log 10$(qvalue)

D

Expression Level
min max

Myo16 *Cdh9* *Alpk2* *Nefh* *Calb2* *Fgf10*

E

Apex Middle Base

Abhd3
Pias3
Alpk2
Myo16
Pde4b
Matn2
Egfl7
Tectb
Spock3
Fgf14
Cdh9
Slc5a3
Fgf10
Snap25
Calb2
Cend1
Pvalb
Tubb3
Chgb
Nefh
Fgf1

Ave. Exp.
Low High

F

DAPI *Nefh* mRNA Calb2 merge P8
A
B

DAPI *Nefh* mRNA Calb2 merge

DAPI *Nefh* mRNA Calb2 merge

Fig 4

Fig 5

Fig 6

Fig 7

A Apical

Inner border cell/
Inner phalangeal cell   Inner sulcus cell

Interdental cell

Hensen cell

Neuron

Outer sulcus cell

Tympanic border cell   Deiter cell_
Pillar cell

Hair cell

B Middle

Inner border cell/
Inner phalangeal cell   Inner sulcus cell

Interdental cell

Hensen cell

Neuron

Outer sulcus cell

Tympanic border cell   Deiter cell_
Pillar cell

Hair cell

C Basal

Inner border cell/
Inner phalangeal cell   Inner sulcus cell

Interdental cell

Hensen cell

Neuron

Outer sulcus cell

Tympanic border cell   Deiter cell_
Pillar cell

Hair cell

D   DAPI   Tuj1   Phalloidin   merge

P8

E   Apical   Middle   Basal

F   Hair cell   SGN

Apical   Basal

Myo7a
Calb1

Adult

Slc17a8
Hspa4l
Clrn1
Prkn
Kcnab1

Apical   Basal

Calb1
Nefh

Adult

Calb2
Fgf10
Cilp

Basal

Apical