1 **Geometric tortuosity at invaginating rod synapses slows glutamate diffusion and shapes synaptic**
2 **responses: insights from anatomically realistic Monte Carlo simulations**
3

Wallace B. Thoreson[1,2], Thomas M. Bartol[3], Nicholas H. Conoan[4], Jeffrey S. Diamond[5]
[1]Truhlsen Eye Institute and Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, [2]-Pharmacology and
Experimental Neuroscience, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68106, USA,
[3]Computational Neurobiology Laboratory, The Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037
[4]Electron Microscopy Core, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, 68106
[5]Synaptic Physiology Section, Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Neurological Diseases
and Strokes, Bethesda, MD 20892
11
12 Correspondence:
13 Wallace Thoreson, wbthores@unmc.edu
14 Thomas Bartol, bartol@salk.edu
15 Jeffrey Diamond, diamondj@nih.gov
16

Abstract
At the first synapse in the vertebrate retina, rod photoreceptor terminals form deep invaginations
occupied by multiple second-order rod bipolar and horizontal cell (RBP and HC) dendrites. Synaptic
vesicles are released into this invagination at multiple sites beneath an elongated presynaptic ribbon.
We investigated the impact of this complex architecture on the diffusion of synaptic glutamate and
activity of postsynaptic receptors. We obtained serial electron micrographs of mouse retina and
reconstructed four rod terminals along with their postsynaptic RBP and HC dendrites. We incorporated
these structures into an anatomically realistic Monte Carlo simulation of neurotransmitter diffusion and
receptor activation. We compared passive diffusion of glutamate in these realistic structures to existing,
geometrically simplified models of the synapse and found that glutamate exits anatomically realistic
synapses ten times more slowly than previously predicted. By comparing simulations with
electrophysiological recordings, we modeled synaptic activation of EAAT5 glutamate transporters in
rods, AMPA receptors on HC dendrites, and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR6) on RRBP
dendrites. Our simulations suggested that ~3,000 EAAT5 transporters populate the rod presynaptic
membrane and that, while uptake by surrounding glial Müller cells retrieves much of the glutamate
released by rods, binding and uptake by EAAT5 influences RBP response kinetics. The long lifetime of
glutamate within the cleft allows mGluR6 on RBP dendrites to temporally integrate the steady stream of
vesicles released at this synapse in darkness. Glutamate's tortuous diffusional path through realistic
synaptic geometry confers quantal variability, as release from nearby ribbon sites exerts larger effects
on RBP and HC receptors than release from more distant sites. While greater integration may allow
slower sustained release rates, added quantal variability complicates the challenging task of detecting
brief decreases in release produced by rod light responses at scotopic threshold.
39

Introduction
Rod photoreceptor synaptic terminals, termed spherules due to their bulbous shape, are structurally
distinct from most other central synapses. The presynaptic active zone, which contains a plate-like
ribbon structure tethering dozens of synaptic vesicles, apposes postsynaptic dendrites of multiple
second-order neurons that terminate deep within an invagination into the rod spherule (Fig. 1A). Each
synapse is typically occupied by two RBP dendrites and two HC dendrites that extend further into the
invagination to flank the synaptic ridge.   Absorption of even just a single photon by rhodopsin causes
rods to hyperpolarize, temporarily decreasing the rate at which glutamate-filled vesicles are released at
sites along the base of the ribbon (Moser et al., 2020; Thoreson, 2021). The resulting decrease in
glutamate levels in the synaptic cleft alters the activity of glutamate receptors on RBP and HC dendrites.
Here, we investigated how the complex architecture of this invaginating synapse influences the
dynamics of glutamate diffusion following its release and, consequently, the synaptic responses of
postsynaptic neurons.
Our understanding of glutamate dynamics at rod spherules has been shaped by work in which the
dimensions of the rod synapse were measured and incorporated into geometrically simplified analytical
diffusion models (Rao-Mirotznik et al., 1998; Rao-Mirotznik et al., 1995). The resulting analysis argued
that glutamate is cleared from the synaptic cleft in only a few milliseconds, suggesting that vesicles act
independently on post-synaptic dendrites as discrete quanta rather than being integrated over time in
the cleft. It also suggested that postsynaptic RBP and HC dendrites all experience a similar rapid change
in glutamate concentration following release of a synaptic vesicle by the rod. Here, we have tested
whether these results hold in a diffusion model incorporating realistic synaptic architecture. We
obtained block face scanning electron micrographs (SBFSEM) of mouse retina and reconstructed four
rod spherules along with their postsynaptic contacts. We imported these realistic structures into MCell4,
a Monte Carlo simulation program that represents neurotransmitter diffusion and receptor/transporter
kinetics as stochastic processes (Franks et al., 2002; Garcia et al., 2022; Husar, 2022; Kerr et al., 2008;

Stiles et al., 1996). We used MCell4 to evaluate effects of synaptic geometry on passive glutamate
diffusion, glutamate uptake by transporters, and the activation of postsynaptic glutamate receptors.
Simulated rod membranes were populated with type 5 excitatory amino acid transporters (EAAT5)
(Arriza et al., 1997; Eliasof et al., 1998; Gehlen et al., 2021; Pow and Barnett, 2000). EAAT5 retrieves a
fraction of synaptically released glutamate (Hasegawa et al., 2006), although most uptake appears to be
accomplished by EAAT1 in Müller glial membranes (Barnett and Pow, 2000; Derouiche, 1996; Eliasof et
al., 1998; Fyk-Kolodziej et al., 2004; Harada et al., 1998; Pow et al., 2000; Rauen et al., 1996; Rauen et
al., 1998; Sarthy et al., 2005). The EAAT5 transport cycle activates a large anion current ($I_{A(glu)}$) (Arriza et
al., 1997; Grant and Werblin, 1996; Schneider et al., 2014) that can be used as a reporter for presynaptic
glutamate release (Hays et al., 2020; Szmajda and Devries, 2011). An existing kinetic model of EAAT2
(Kolen et al., 2020) was modified to represent EAAT5 and reproduce $I_{A(glu)}$ recorded from rods in
response to single vesicle release events (Kolen et al., 2020). Simulated HC membranes were populated
with AMPA receptors (Bartol et al., 2015; Jonas et al., 1993; Lu et al., 2009), modified to reproduce
miniature excitatory post-synaptic currents recorded in HCs (mEPSCs). RBP membranes were populated
with mGluR6, which closes TRPM1 cation channels via a G_o-type G protein-mediated intracellular
signaling pathway (Koike et al., 2010a; Schneider et al., 2015), so that a reduction in glutamate release
depolarizes RBPs.
Our simulations revealed that glutamate takes ten times longer to exit an anatomically realistic
synaptic cleft than suggested previously by a geometrically simplified model (Rao-Mirotznik et al., 1998).
This longer lifetime at postsynaptic receptors enhances temporal integration in the RBP and suggests
that slower rod release rates than previously predicted can maintain the RBP membrane potential in
darkness. Slower diffusion kinetics also leads to greater variability in glutamate concentration transients
and receptor activation that depends strongly on the relative locations of the released vesicles along the
ribbon and the post-synaptic dendrites in the cleft. These additional sources of variability complicate
the challenging task facing RBPs of discriminating small rod light responses from synaptic noise, inviting
a reconsideration of optimal strategies for detection at scotopic threshold.
91
Methods
Anatomical reconstructions and MCell simulations
Serial block face images were obtained using an Apreo VS scanning electron microscope (SEM;
Thermo Scientific). The tissue was fixed by immersion with standard EM fixative (2% Glutaraldehyde, 2%
Paraformaldehyde, 0.1M Phosphate Buffer). We sectioned a volume of 14.3 × 20.6 × 24 μm. Voxel
dimensions are 6 × 6 × 40 nm and each image consisted of 3435 × 4000 pixels.
Three dimensional reconstructions were performed using Amira software. Reconstructed cell
membranes were converted to 3-D triangular mesh structures using the CellBlender add-on to the 3D
computer graphics program Blender (Fig. 1). The volume, surface area, and faces of the mesh structures
for each of the 4 rods and their post-synaptic partners are provided in Table 1. Simulations were
performed with these reconstructions using Monte Carlo simulation software (MCell) (Husar et al.,
2024) that simulates molecular diffusion as a pseudo-random walk according to an assigned diffusion
constant. Glutamate molecules diffuse in three dimensions in extracellular space and can interact
stochastically with immobile receptors and transporters located on membrane surfaces. The behavior of
each individual glutamate transporter and receptor was represented by stochastic transitions between
states within an individual Monte Carlo model.
To simulate EAAT5 glutamate transporters, we adjusted the kinetics, density, and location of
simulated transporters to reproduce the amplitude and time course of $I_{A(glu)}$ recorded in rods in response
to single vesicle release events. Simulated rod membranes were densely populated with EAAT5
(10,000/μm^2 (Hasegawa et al., 2006) and represented by a simplified model for EAAT2 (Kolen et al.,
2020). Glutamate binding and unbinding rates were adjusted to match the rising phase of averaged

single-vesicle $I_{A(glu)}$ events (Fig. S1A) and maintain the 10 µM EC_{50} measured for EAAT5 in mouse rods

(Thoreson and Chhunchha, 2023). We tested ON-rates from $1x10^6$ M/s to $1x10^9$ M/s. ON-rates of $1x10^8$

M/s and $1x10^9$ M/s produced reasonable fits to the initial inward deflection in $I_{A(glu)}$ (Fig. S1A). However,

simulations with an ON rate of $1x10^9$ M/s were more sensitive to EAAT5 placement than fits with $1x10^8$

M/s (compare panels D and E in Fig. S2) and yielded fewer channel openings than predicted from single

vesicle currents. To match the single-vesicle $I_{A(glu)}$ decay when using an ON-rate of $1x10^8$, we increased

by threefold the transition rate constant of T_{out}*Glu $\rightarrow$ T_{int}*Glu.

We examined effects of EAAT5 location on $I_{A(glu)}$ kinetics by placing EAAT5 in four different regions:

rod membrane deep within the invagination adjacent to RBP tips, the neck of invagination (Neck),

surrounding the exit of the invagination (Exit), and distributed throughout the entire cleft (Cleft) (Fig.

S1C). With an ON rate of $1x10^8$ M/s, placing EAAT5 adjacent to RBP dendritic tips (turquoise) or

distributing transporters throughout the cleft (purple) both produced similar responses that provided a

reasonable match to actual $I_{A(glu)}$ events. Placing EAAT5 only in the neck of the invagination (green trace)

or outside the cleft (deep blue) yielded decay kinetics that were faster than actual $I_{A(glu)}$ events, as well as

yielding fewer channel openings. Since distributing receptors throughout the cleft yielded comparable

results to placing them deep within the invagination, we chose the former for subsequent simulations.

To estimate the number of EAAT5 transporters in rods, we began with evidence that single vesicle

$I_{A(glu)}$ events average 4.5 pA (Thoreson and Chhunchha, 2023). We calculated the anion driving force by

plotting voltage-dependent changes in the average amplitude of single vesicle $I_{A(glu)}$ events, fitting these

data with a straight line. The reversal potential predicted from these measurements averaged -8.2 $\pm$

0.62 mV (n=6 rods). The holding potential of -70 mV therefore generates a driving force of 62 mV. The

single channel conductance of EAAT5 measured with nitrate as a charge carrier was found to be 0.6 pS

(Schneider et al., 2014) and glutamate transporters in salamander rods show a single channel

conductance with chloride as the charge carrier of 0.7 pS (Larsson et al., 1996). SCN^- is more permeable

than chloride or nitrate, so we assumed a larger single channel conductance of ~1 pS. These values

suggest that ~72 anion channels are open at the peak of a single vesicle release event.

The maximum open probability of EAAT2 anion channels has been estimated at ~0.06 (Kolen et al.,

2020). To calculate the open probability for anion channels achieved in our simulations, we divided the

number of open anion channels by the total number of glutamate-bound states. We used the same rate

for entry into the open state from T_{int}*Glu as in the earlier model for EAAT2 (9,566/s) (Kolen et al.,

2020). Assuming that channels are equally likely to exit the open state (i.e., open state $\rightarrow$ T_{int}*Glu =

9566/s), we obtain an open probability of 0.077. This seems reasonable given that EAAT5 anion currents

are thought to have a larger open probability than EAAT2.

Like other metabotropic glutamate receptors, mGluR6 forms a homodimer and both members need

to bind glutamate for G-protein activation (Levitz et al., 2016; Pin and Acher, 2002). We therefore

modeled mGluR6 activation as two sequential glutamate-binding steps, considering the doubly bound

mGluR6 dimer to be the activated receptor (Fig. S2). We assumed that the decay in the number of

active mGluR6 receptors (i.e., decay of the doubly bound state) following release of a single vesicle

should be at least as fast as the inward current evoked in RBPs by a saturating light flash (20-80%

increase: 25.4 $\pm$ 1.9 ms; SEM, n=19; J. Pahlberg, unpublished). As illustrated in Fig. S2, we tested

different rate constants and achieved a similar rate of decay in the doubly bound state using an OFF rate

for glutamate unbinding of 500/s (20-80%: rod 1, 27 ms; rod 2, 50 ms; rod 3, 36 ms; rod 4, 28 ms).

Combining this OFF rate with an ON rate for the initial glutamate binding step of $1e^8$ M/s yielded a

steady state EC_{50} of 14 µM (Fig. S2), matching that measured by the displacement of glutamate from

mGluR6 (12.3 µM; (Pin and Acher, 2002)). These parameters also yielded a slope factor of 1.4, similar to

changes in mGluR6-mediated responses as a function of light intensity in dark-adapted RBPs (Berntson

et al., 2004; Sampath and Rieke, 2004).

To simulate HC AMPA receptors, we used a kinetic model of AMPARs empirically derived from
hippocampal neurons (Jonas et al., 1993). The original parameters were developed to fit data obtained
at room temperature and later modified for a temperature of 34°C (Bartol et al., 2015).
Release from photoreceptors varies linearly with I_{Ca} (Thoreson et al., 2004) and so we estimated
voltage-dependence of release rates in rods from changes in I_{Ca}. For the voltage-dependence of I_{Ca}, we
used a Boltzmann function modified for driving force with an activation midpoint (V_{50}) obtained from a
sample of mouse rods (-31.5 mV; n=8) along with a reversal potential of +41 mV (Grassmeyer and
Thoreson, 2017) and slope factor of 9 (Haeseleer et al., 2016).
Other analysis and data visualization procedures were performed using Clampfit 10, GraphPad
Prism 9, Adobe Illustrator, and Adobe Photoshop software.
170
Mice
For electrophysiology studies, we used mice of both sexes aged between 4-8 weeks. Euthanasia was
performed by CO_2 asphyxiation followed by cervical dislocation in accordance with AVMA Guidelines for
the Euthanasia of Animals. Animal care and handling protocols were approved by the University of
Nebraska Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Experiments were conducted
using C57BL/6J mice.
177
Whole cell recordings
Whole cell recordings of rods were obtained using flatmount preparations of isolated retina. Eyes
were enucleated after euthanizing the mouse and placed in Ames' medium (US Biological;
RRID:SCR_013653) bubbled with 95% O2/5% CO2. The cornea was punctured with a scalpel and the
anterior segment removed. The retina was isolated after cutting optic nerve attachments. We then
made three or four fine cuts at opposite poles and flattened the retina onto a glass slide in the perfusion
chamber with photoreceptors facing up. The retina was anchored in place with a brain slice harp
(Warner Instruments, cat. no. 64-0250). To expose rod inner segments in flatmount retina, we gently
touched the photoreceptors with a piece of nitrocellulose filter paper and then removed it to pull away
adherent outer segments. The perfusion chamber was placed on an upright fixed-stage microscope
(Nikon E600FN) equipped with a 60x water-immersion, long-working distance objective (1.0 NA). The
tissue was superfused with room temperature Ames' solution bubbled with 95% O_2/5% CO_2 at ~1 mL
/min.
Whole cell recordings were performed using either an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices)
with signals digitized by a DigiData 1550 interface (Molecular Devices) using PClamp 10 software or Heka
EPC-10 amplifier and Patchmaster software (Lambrecht, Pfalz, Germany). Currents were acquired with
filtering at 3 kHz.
Patch recording electrodes were pulled on a Narishige (Amityville, NY) PP-830 vertical puller using
borosilicate glass pipettes (1.2 mm outer diameter, 0.9 mm inner diameter with internal filament; World
Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). Pipettes had tip diameters of 1–2 μm and resistances of 10–15 MΩ.
Rod inner segments were targeted with positive pressure using recording electrodes mounted on
Huxley-Wall or motorized micromanipulators (Sutter Instruments, MP225).
Rod ribbons are surrounded by the glutamate transporter EAAT5 (Arriza et al. 1997; Eliasof et al.
1998; Hasegawa et al. 2006) and glutamate reuptake into rods by these transporters activates a large,
anion current ($I_{A(glu)}$) (Arriza et al., 1997; Grant and Werblin, 1996; Schneider et al., 2014). $I_{A(glu)}$ is
thermodynamically uncoupled from the transport process (Machtens et al., 2015). Glutamate
transporter anion currents can be observed in rods using Cl⁻ as the principal anion (Hays et al., 2020),
but are enhanced by replacing Cl⁻ with a more permeable anion like thiocyanate (SCN⁻) in the patch
pipette (Eliasof and Jahr, 1996). The intracellular pipette solution for these experiments contained (in

mM): 120 KSCN, 10 TEA-Cl, 10 HEPES, 1 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 0.5 Na-GTP, 5 Mg-ATP, 5 EGTA, 5 phospho-
creatine, pH 7.3. Voltages were not corrected for a liquid junction potential of 3.9 mV.
To record mEPSCs from HCs, horizontal slices of retina were prepared as described elsewhere
(Feigenspan and Babai, 2017). Briefly, retinas were isolated and then embedded in 1.8% low gelling
agarose (Sigma-Aldrich). Horizontal slices (200 μm thick) were cut parallel to the plane of the retina
using a vibratome (Leica Microsystems) at room temperature.
For HC recordings, we used a pipette solution containing (in mM): 120 KGluconate, 10 TEACl, 10
HEPES, 5 EGTA, 1 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 0.5 NaGTP, 5 MgATP, 5 phosphocreatine, pH 7.2-7.3.   HCs were
identified visually and confirmed physiologically by the characteristic voltage-dependent currents,
particularly prominent A-type K^+ currents (Feigenspan and Babai, 2017). In our initial recordings, HC
identity was confirmed anatomically by loading the cell with the fluorescent dye Alexa 488 (Invitrogen,
Waltham, MA) through the patch pipette. Chemical reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless
otherwise indicated.
220
Results:
Model construction
To create an anatomically detailed diffusion model of rod photoreceptor synapses, we first obtained
a series of serial block face scanning electron microscope (SBSFSEM) images from the outer retina of a
C57Bl6J mouse (Fig. 1A, B). By viewing consecutive sections at high magnification, we reconstructed four
rod spherules along with their postsynaptic HC and RBP dendrites (Fig. 1C). We then rendered the
reconstructed synapses as a collection of 3D surfaces (Fig. 1D) and imported them into MCell. The four
reconstructed terminals had the same general structure but exhibited significant variability in geometric
dimensions (Fig. 1E-G; Table 1).
Rao-Mirotznik et al. (1998) modeled the cat rod synapse as a sphere with a narrow neck for the exit.
We created similar models in MCell, configuring spheres with volumes to match the clefts of mouse rods
1 and 2 (Fig. 2A, sphere models shown at the same scale as reconstructed terminals). Like the earlier
model, these spheres emptied through a narrow neck (r= 0.12 μm, length = 0.1 μm).
Estimates of the number of glutamate molecules filling each synaptic vesicle vary widely (Orrego
and Villanueva, 1993; Takamori et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2019), so we chose a moderate value of 2,000
glutamate molecules. As mouse rod synaptic vesicles have an inner diameter of about 30 nm (Fuchs et
al., 2014), 2000 glutamate molecules would constitute approximately 250 mM, close to biochemical
measurements of purified synaptic vesicles from cortex (210 mM; (Riveros et al., 1986).

Effects of synaptic geometry on passive diffusion of glutamate
We first simulated the release of 2,000 glutamate molecules from points at the apexes of the two
spheres containing the cleft volumes of rods 1 and 2. Using a diffusion coefficient (D) describing free
diffusion of glutamate in saline (D = 8 x 10^{-6} cm^2/s; Rao-Mirotznik et al., 1998), our Monte Carlo
simulations predicted rapid clearance from the synaptic cleft (τ = 0.88 and 0.46 ms for spheres 1 and 2,
respectively; Figs. 2B,C). Using a larger sphere that matched the cleft volume of a cat rod spherule (0.21
μm³; Rao-Mirotznik, et al., 1995), Monte Carlo simulations yielded a 1.6 ms time constant for glutamate
clearance, close to the value of 1.7 ms predicted analytically by Rao-Mirotznik et al. (1998).
Work at other central synapses indicates that glutamate diffusion in extracellular space at synapses
is slower than in free solution (Nicholson and Hrabetova, 2017; Nicholson et al., 1979; Nielsen et al.,
2004; Rusakov and Kullmann, 1998; Sykova, 2004). Lowering D to account for the viscous tortuosity of
extracellular space from 8 to 2 x 10^{-6} cm^2/s (Franks et al., 2002) slowed diffusion and consequently
glutamate clearance several fold (Figs. 2B, C).

We next analyzed the impact of realistic synaptic geometry by comparing results of the two sphere
models to simulations of single vesicle release in the reconstructed rod synapses. Within the realistic
geometry, we positioned the vesicle release site just beneath the ribbon at the center of the
invagination. These simulations produced even slower glutamate clearance time constants (Fig. 2B,C).
Notably, the cross-sectional area of extracellular space at the neck of the synaptic invaginations closely
approximated that of the exit from the simplified spherical models, indicating that slower clearance
from the reconstructed synapses was not due to greater constriction at the neck.
Given the larger extracellular volume fraction (α) of rod 1 compared to rod 2 (Table 1), we tested
the effects of this parameter on our results. To do so, we shrank the post-synaptic dendrites in rod 2 to
increase α from 0.11 to 0.2 and 0.3 and found that the time constant of glutamate decay remained the
same (Fig. 2C). Taken together, these results show that the geometric tortuosity introduced by the
complicated anatomy of the invaginating rod synapse dictates the dynamics of neurotransmitter
diffusion (Nicholson and Hrabetova, 2017; Nielsen et al., 2004; Rusakov and Kullmann, 1998).
Müller glial cell processes envelope rod synapses and retrieve glutamate molecules that escape the
cleft (Attwell et al., 1989; Rauen et al., 1998; Sarantis and Mobbs, 1992). To simulate avid Müller cell
uptake, we wrapped the entire rod terminal with an absorptive mesh to remove any glutamate
molecule that exited the synaptic cleft immediately. This absorptive perimeter had no effect on the
time course of glutamate clearance compared to simulations in which escaping glutamate entered a
large open volume (Fig. 2C). This suggests that, while Müller cell uptake is likely important for
maintaining a steep glutamate diffusion gradient at the mouth of the synapse, it does not directly
regulate the rate of glutamate clearance from the cleft.
We also examined passive glutamate diffusion at the other two reconstructed rod synapses. Rods 3
and 4 had similar cleft volumes and α compared to rod 2 (Table 1). As observed with rod 2, increasing α
of rods 3 and 4 did not substantially affect the rate of glutamate clearance. Although rods 2, 3 and 4 all
had similar cleft volumes, the rates of glutamate clearance ranged from 7.4 ms to 14 ms among them
(Fig. 2D). Interestingly, rods 1 and 4 exhibited similar simulated glutamate clearance rates, even though
rod 1 has twice the extracellular volume (Fig. 2D). These rod-to-rod differences further demonstrate the
powerful influence of realistic geometric tortuosity on the kinetics of glutamate diffusion within
invaginating rod synapses (Nicholson and Hrabetova, 2017; Rusakov and Kullmann, 1998). The combined
effects of viscous and geometric tortuosity slow glutamate clearance from rod synapses tenfold
compared to exit from a saline-filled sphere. The unexpectedly prolonged presence of glutamate in the
cleft prompted us to simulate its interaction with synaptic glutamate transporters and receptors.
To predict glutamate concentrations achieved at RBP dendrites, Rao-Mirotznik et al. modeled the
invaginating rod synapse as three slabs intersecting at 120 degrees, with a vesicle release site positioned
at the confluence of the slabs. Fig. 3A shows a schematic of the invaginating synapse with a rod bipolar
cell (RBP) dendrite terminating some distance below the ribbon release site while the two HC dendrites
flank the synaptic ridge. The model simulates this structure by using two slabs to represent the
extracellular space between the rod and HC membranes while the third slab represents the extracellular
space above a RBP terminal (Fig. 3A). We recreated this arrangement in MCell with each slab consisting
of two planes separated by 16 nm, then simulated release of 2000 glutamate molecules at the vertex of
this narrow cleft. We measured the number of glutamate molecules that entered a small measurement
box (15 x 100 x 200 nm) placed 70 nm from the release site (Fig. 3A). As predicted by Rao-Mirotznik et
al., Monte Carlo simulations of release of 2,000 molecules showed an abrupt rise and rapid decline of
glutamate within this region (Fig. 3C). We compared this model with the four reconstructed synapses by
placing a measurement box (15 x 100 x 200 nm) just above RBP dendrites (e.g., Fig. 3B). The proximity
of the measurement box to the release site minimized effects of tortuosity and so we saw a similarly

rapid rise and fast decay in the rod synapses (τ_{fast} = 0.114 $\pm$ 0.0217 ms; τ_{slow} = 0.589 $\pm$ 0.1394 ms; Fig. 4C)
and the planar model (τ_{fast} = 0.081 ms; τ_{slow} = 0.558 ms). We converted the number of molecules in the
measurement regions to concentration (Fig. 3C). The peak concentration attained in the planar model
was slightly lower than that attained in synapses (0.775 $\pm$ 0.3325 mM) but both are consistent with
estimates of synaptic glutamate levels at rod synapses obtained by use of low affinity antagonists
(Cadetti et al., 2008; Kim and Miller, 1993).

Simulations of the glutamate transporter, EAAT5
We next examined the influence of EAAT5 glutamate transporters, the principal glutamate
transporters in rods (Arriza et al., 1997; Eliasof et al., 1998) on glutamate lifetime in the synaptic cleft
(Fig. 4). Modifying a model for EAAT2 (Kolen et al., 2020), we adjusted the kinetics, density, and location
of simulated transporters to reproduce the amplitude and time course of $I_{A(glu)}$ recorded in rods in
response to single vesicle release events (Fig. 4; see Methods). The best fit to recorded EAAT5 currents
was obtained by placing EAAT5 in the rod membrane within the synaptic cleft (see Methods), consistent
with immunohistochemical localization of this protein (Gehlen et al., 2021).
Rod $I_{A(glu)}$ responses evoked by photolytic uncaging of glutamate or strong depolarizing voltage steps
reach a maximum of 12-13 pA (Mesnard et al., 2022b; Thoreson and Chhunchha, 2023), only three times
larger than single vesicle events, suggesting that EAAT5 transporters can be saturated by simultaneous
release of as few as 3 vesicles. With this constraint in mind, we simulated release of 10 vesicles and
progressively reduced the number of EAAT5 transporters until we achieved a state where responses
showed saturating responses equivalent to 3-4 vesicles. With 3,000 EAAT5 transporters distributed
throughout the synaptic cleft, a single vesicle stimulated ~60 open anion channel openings while
simultaneous release of 10 vesicles opened ~190 channels, showing saturation after release of slightly
more than 3 vesicles (Fig. 4D). We therefore proceeded with simulations containing 3,000 EAAT5
transporters in the rod membrane.
Fig. 4E shows the impact on synaptic glutamate levels of uptake by 3,000 EAAT5 transporters in rod
2. Following release of a single vesicle, the simulations show that rapid binding of glutamate to EAAT5
speeds the initial decline in free glutamate. This is followed by a slower decline dictated by the rate of
diffusion out of the synaptic cleft (τ_{fast} = 1.8 ms; τ_{slow} = 15 ms; n=12 trials). For a single vesicle release
event, the fast component accounted for 73% of the total decline in glutamate, consistent with binding
of 1,460 glutamate molecules by 3,000 transporters. Rods are capable of multivesicular release events
consisting of 10 or more vesicles (Hays et al., 2021). The presence of glutamate uptake by EAAT5 had
only small effects on the kinetics of glutamate reaching RBP dendrites. Including 3,000 EAAT5 slightly
accelerated glutamate decay in the measurement region placed just above RBP dendrite in the previous
figure (Fig. 4C; τ_{fast} = 0.12 ms; τ_{slow} = 0.56 ms). When we simulated simultaneous release of 10 vesicles,
the fast component corresponding to glutamate binding of EAAT5 constituted a much smaller
proportion of the total decline since the number of glutamate molecules (20,000) was much greater
than the number of transporters (Fig. 4F)
To measure the maximum rate of glutamate uptake by 3,000 EAAT5 transporters, we simulated
release of 10 vesicles inside a closed synaptic cleft (rod 2; Fig. 4F). The only exit available to glutamate
in this simulation was uptake by EAAT5. Uptake settled to a constant rate of 63,390 glutamate
molecules/s or 32 vesicles/s. Performing the same simulation in a closed sphere with the volume of rod
2 yielded the same uptake rate. While EAAT5 can retrieve glutamate at rates up to 32 vesicles/s,
glutamate declines much more rapidly when the synapse remains open, indicating that most of the
glutamate diffuses out of the synaptic cleft, to be retrieved by Müller glia. Thus, our evidence suggests
that EAAT5 in rods can take up functionally significant amounts of glutamate, as proposed by (Hasegawa
et al., 2006), but most of it is likely to be retrieved by extra-synaptic Müller cells, as suggested by others
(Harada et al., 1998; Niklaus et al., 2017; Pow et al., 2000; Rauen et al., 1998; Sarthy et al., 2005).

Simulations of mGluR6 receptors on RBPs
Glutamate released from rods acts at mGluR6 receptors on RBP dendrites (Nomura et al., 1994).
Activating these receptors triggers a signaling cascade that leads to closing of TRPM1 cation channels
(Koike et al., 2010b; Morgans et al., 2010). The signaling cascade is not understood in sufficient detail
for a complete model so we limited our model to the binding of glutamate to mGluR6. Class 3
metabotropic glutamate receptors--including mGluR6—form obligate homodimers in which ligand
binding to both members is needed to activate the G protein cascade (Levitz et al., 2016; Pin and Bettler,
2016). We placed 200 receptors at the tips of each of the two bipolar cell dendrites and modeled
receptor activation as two sequential glutamate-binding steps, considering the doubly bound mGluR6
dimer to be the activated receptor (Fig. S2).
We compared simulations of mGluR6 activity in reconstructed rods to mGluR6 activity in the
corresponding sphere model (Fig. 5). We placed 400 receptors in a transparent plane adjacent to a
release site at the apex of the sphere matching the cleft volume of rod 2 (Fig. 5A). This sphere is also
close to cleft volumes of rods 3 and 4 (Table 1). We tested mGluR6 binding with and without 3,000
EAAT5 transporters placed on the inner surface of the sphere. Nearly all of the mGluR6 receptors in the
sphere were rapidly bound following the release of a single glutamate-filled vesicle, and mGluR6
activation then decayed with a single time constant (10.1 ms; Fig. 5C, Table 2) that actually became
faster when EAAT5 was removed (6.6 ms; Fig. 5D, Table 2). The slower decay in the presence of EAAT5
suggests that the transporters buffer glutamate, delaying its escape from the cleft and prolonging its
interaction with mGluR6.
We compared these results to the kinetics and peak percentage of mGluR6 activated by a single
vesicle in the four reconstructed rod spherules (Fig. 5C). The peak percentage of receptors activated in
the two post-synaptic bipolar cells by a single vesicle ranged from 39 to 54%. For these simulations, we
averaged the responses of both RBPs together. When we examined each of the two bipolar cells
individually, we saw a greater range in the peak level of mGluR6 activation that spanned 19 to 56%, with
an average of 47%. In the presence of EAAT5, fitting the decay in mGluR6 activation in rods required two
exponentials, with slow time constants ranging from 24 to 40 ms (Fig. 5C, Table 2). In the absence of
EAAT5, the decay in mGluR6 activity was well fit with a single exponential. Without EAAT5, mGluR6
activity following release of a single vesicle attained a higher peak value and the response decayed with
time constants ranging from 20 to 43 ms (Fig. 5D; Table 2). Rod-to-rod differences and the slower decay
of mGluR6 activation in reconstructed spherules compared to a simple sphere illustrate further the
influence of synaptic geometry on response amplitude and kinetics.

Simulations of HC AMPA Receptors
HC dendrites express AMPA receptors (AMPARs) consisting of GluA2 and GluA4 subunits (Hack et al.,
2001; Stroh et al., 2018). These two types show similar binding kinetics (Grosskreutz et al., 2003). We
simulated HC AMPA receptors using an existing kinetic model for AMPARs (Bartol et al., 2015; Jonas et
al., 1993)(Fig. 6A). To assess AMPA receptor kinetics in HCs, we averaged miniature excitatory post-
synaptic currents (mEPSCS) recorded from 6 mouse HCs (>50 events per cell). These mEPSCs exhibited
rapid rise and decay phases (20-80% rise time = 0.6 ms, τ_{decay} = 0.81 ms; 95% confidence interval: 0.68 to
0.98 ms), as reported previously (Feigenspan and Babai, 2015). We placed 200 AMPA receptors on each
of the dendritic tips of the two HCs and simulated the release of a single vesicle containing 2,000
glutamate molecules beneath the ribbon center (Fig. 6B). Simulations in all four reconstructed synapses
produced a good match to the actual decay of HC mEPSCs (Fig. 6C). The rise times of simulated mEPSCs
were faster than actual mEPSCs, possibly due to imperfect voltage clamp of gap-junctionally coupled
HCs (R_s = 21.4 $\pm$ 5.75 MΩ, R_m = 245 $\pm$ 201 MΩ, C_m = 10.7 $\pm$ 8.6 pF, n=16).
As with mGluR6, we compared simulated AMPAR activation in the reconstructed rod synapses and
in the sphere model (Fig. 6D). Fig. 6D illustrates four individual simulations of mGluR6 activity in rod 3

obtained using different seed values. Superimposed on these four colored traces is the average AMPA
receptor activity in the corresponding sphere model with 200 AMPA receptors placed in a transparent
disc below the apical release site (n=12 seeds; gray trace, Fig. 6D). It is worth noting that unlike mGluR6
where almost half of the receptors are activated by a single vesicle, a much smaller percentage of AMPA
receptors is activated. Also, in contrast to mGluR6 activity that showed significantly different kinetics
between the sphere and realistic synaptic models, AMPA receptors showed the same kinetics in the
sphere and realistic synapse. Synaptic geometry thus has much less impact than intrinsic receptor
kinetics on AMPA receptor activity.

Release site location and dendritic anatomy
Synaptic vesicles can be released at many separate locations along the base of the presynaptic
ribbon, suggesting that postsynaptic receptors may encounter widely varying glutamate concentration
waveforms depending on their location relative to each released vesicle. We examined the effects of
varying release site location on EAAT5 anion channel activity by simulating release at 3 different sites
along the ribbon: near the center and at both ends of the length of the ribbon. Within each rod, release
at all three sites evoked similar changes in EAAT5 anion channel activity (Fig. 7), suggesting that the
observed variability in single vesicle $I_{A(glu)}$ events recorded in individual rods arises primarily from
differences in the amount of glutamate released from each vesicle.
We next examined this issue from the standpoint of postsynaptic receptors in RBPs. We examined
mGluR6 activity in both post-synaptic RBPs individually and compared six release sites, with three sites
on each face of the ribbon (arrows, Fig. 8). Activation of mGluR6 in the two rods varied with release site
location. For example, release indicated by the magenta arrow in rod 1 evoked a much smaller response
(magenta traces) in bipolar cell 1 (BP1) than release at any other site. Large site-to-site differences
remained evident even after we increased α of rod 2 from 0.11 to 0.3 (Fig. 8, insets beneath rod 2).
These simulations show that differences in mGluR6 activation arise from geometric tortuosity and are
not due to a more tightly confined extracellular space. Some synapses exhibited smaller differences
between release sites. For both RBPs contacting rod 4 and BP2 beneath rod 2, mGluR6 activity was
similar regardless of release site location. Overall, simulations of single vesicle release events activated
87.4 $\pm$ 37.1 mGluR6 receptors on each bipolar cell or 43.7 $\pm$ 18.5% (median: 46.1%) of the 500 receptors
placed on each cell (n=48 sites, responses at each site averaged from 25 seeds).
AMPA receptor activity in HC dendrites was even more sensitive to release site location (Fig. 9). HCs
typically showed stronger responses to release sites placed on the ribbon face nearest to their
dendrites. Like bipolar cells, similar site-to-site differences in AMPA receptor activation remained after
we increased the volume fraction of rod 2 from 0.11 to 0.3, although the number of active AMPA
receptors diminished due to the greater glutamate dilution (Fig. 9B). On average, a single vesicle
activated 4.7 $\pm$ 4.0 % of the receptors (median: 3.7%; n=48 release sites), with a range from 0.14% to
16.5% (Fig. 9F). Fig. 9E shows a histogram of the peak percentage of HC AMPA receptors and RBP
mGluR6 activated by release of individual vesicles at different ribbon sites. While single vesicle release
events activated a smaller percentage of AMPA receptors than mGluR6, the c.v. for AMPA receptors as a
function release site location was larger (0.85) than the c.v. for mGluR6 (0.42).
Together, these results show that for glutamate receptors on both RBPs and HCs, release at one
ribbon site may have a large effect on one of the two post-synaptic cells and a small effect on the other,
while release at a different site may have the opposite pattern. Thus, release site location and dendritic
anatomy both have the potential to introduce significant sources of quantal variability at rod synapses.
435

Discussion:
In this study, we investigated various aspects of synaptic function at the first synapse in the visual
pathway using anatomically realistic Monte Carlo simulations of rod spherules. Our results show that

the combination of viscous and geometric tortuosity substantially delays glutamate's escape from the
synaptic cleft of the invaginating rod synapse. While glutamate binding and uptake by EAAT5
transporters help to lower glutamate levels, the persistence of glutamate in the invaginating synapse
prolongs RBP mGluR6 receptor activity following vesicle release. The simulations also showed the
significant impact of differences in cellular architecture and release site location on the amplitude and
kinetics of synaptic responses at RBP and HC dendrites.

Effects of synaptic geometry
For simplicity, Rao-Mirotznik et al. represented the invaginating rod synapse as a sphere with a
narrow cylindrical exit (Rao-Mirotznik et al., 1998). Our Monte Carlo simulations of diffusion within that
simplified geometry replicated their analytical results showing that glutamate exits such a sphere very
rapidly. With smaller mouse rods, glutamate departs a sphere even more quickly, with time constants of
less than a millisecond. Replacing the diffusion coefficient for glutamate in saline with a diffusion
coefficient that accounts for viscous tortuosity in the extracellular space (Nicholson and Hrabetova,
2017; Nicholson et al., 1979; Nielsen et al., 2004; Rusakov and Kullmann, 1998; Sykova, 2004) slowed
diffusion several fold. Replacing the sphere with a realistic synapse incorporating the geometric
tortuosity between cells slowed diffusion even further, yielding time constants for passive glutamate
diffusion of ~10 ms.   This slowing was not due to constriction at the neck or an excessively small
extracellular volume fraction in the reconstructed rod spherules. The impact of geometric tortuosity was
evinced further by the twofold differences in the rate of glutamate exit among rods with similar cleft
dimensions. While most rod spherules are outwardly similar to one another, they can differ significantly
in the patterns of their dendritic invaginations (Tsukamoto and Omi, 2022). Our results show that these
anatomic differences can have profound effects on glutamate diffusion kinetics.

mGluR6
To assess the effects of glutamate persistence on RBP responses, we modeled glutamate binding to
mGluR6 receptors.   Like other class C GPCRs, mGluR6 forms dimers in which agonists must bind both
members for full G protein activation (Levitz et al., 2016; Pin and Bettler, 2016). We therefore
considered mGluR6 active when bound to two glutamate molecules. Our simulations suggested that
release of glutamate from a single vesicle activates nearly half of the mGluR6 receptors on individual
RBPs, i.e., at the steepest part of their concentration-response curve, thereby maximizing sensitivity to
changes in glutamate release.
Our model did not incorporate downstream signaling pathways engaged by mGluR6 in which
glutamate binding triggers the closing of TRPM1 cation channels via interactions involving alpha and
beta/gamma G protein subunits (Shen et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2016). Non-linearities in the cascade could
also influence response amplitude and kinetics. RBPs employ a non-linear thresholding mechanism in
which slight changes in glutamate release cause only slight changes in TRPM1 activation whereas larger
changes in release cause disproportionately larger changes in TRPM1 activity (Field and Rieke, 2002;
Sampath and Rieke, 2004). This thresholding mechanism filters out small random changes in glutamate
release to improve detection of genuine light-evoked changes in release. The threshold for this non-
linearity arises from saturation of the intracellular signaling cascade, which was not included in our
model. However, our results suggesting that glutamate released from individual vesicles does not
saturate mGluR6 are consistent with these previous results.

AMPA receptors
We found that model parameters developed to fit AMPA receptors in hippocampal pyramidal
neurons yielded good fits to decay kinetics of single vesicle mEPSCs in retinal HCs (Jonas et al., 1993).
Both types of neurons possess GluA2 receptors (Hack et al., 2001; Stroh et al., 2018). Our simulations

predicted a faster rise time than observed in actual mEPSCs, but this could result from limitations in
voltage clamp speed of HCs that are strongly coupled to their neighbors.
Unitary mEPSCs in mouse HCs average 3.5 pA in amplitude (Feigenspan and Babai, 2015) and can be
generated by opening only 3-5 AMPA receptor channels (Hansen et al., 2021). We achieved a similar
number of channel openings per vesicle release event when we placed 200 receptors on each HC
dendrite. Comparisons of reconstructed synapses with a sphere model showed that AMPA receptor
kinetics are dominated by intrinsic receptor kinetics. The low affinity of AMPA receptors leads to rapid
de-activation and this is enhanced by rapid receptor desensitization (Hansen et al., 2021). Kinetic
differences among simulated mEPSCs were seen in only a few cases with exceedingly small responses
evoked by release at sites distant from individual HC dendrites. Rapid de-activation promotes temporal
independence of quanta that promotes linear summation of individual events, contributing to relatively
linear contrast-response curves in HCs (Burkhardt et al., 2004). This differs from the steep contrast-
response curves in most bipolar cells (Burkhardt et al., 2004). By providing a linear readout of rod and
cone membrane voltage, these mechanisms promote linear regulation of photoreceptor output via
inhibitory feedback from HCs (Thoreson and Mangel, 2012).

EAAT5
Studies by Hasegawa et al. (Hasegawa et al., 2006) suggested that presynaptic EAAT5 transporters
may retrieve much of the glutamate released by rods. Consistent with a role for this transporter at
photoreceptor synapses, genetic elimination of EAAT5 impairs the frequency responses of downstream
neurons (Gehlen et al., 2021). Heterologous expression of EAAT5 suggested that uptake by this
transporter may be too slow to contribute significantly at rod synapses, but EAAT5 expressed in rods
shows fast kinetics suitable for retrieval (Schneider et al., 2014; Thoreson and Chhunchha, 2023). Müller
cells whose processes envelope rod terminals (Sarantis and Mobbs, 1992) are also capable of significant
glutamate uptake mediated by EAAT1 transporters (Pow et al., 2000; Sarthy et al., 2005). Furthermore,
pharmacological inhibition and genetic elimination of EAAT1 impair ERG b-waves that arise from the
actions of photoreceptor glutamate release on bipolar cells (Harada et al., 1998; Tse et al., 2014). We
used our model to assess the contributions of EAAT5 vs. Müller cell uptake. To do so, we adapted an
existing model for EAAT2 to describe the kinetics of EAAT5 anion currents evoked by single vesicle
release events in rods (Kolen et al., 2020). Based on the amplitude of evoked and single vesicle $I_{A(glu)}$
events in rods, we concluded that there are ~3,000 EAAT5 at each rod spherule. Following glutamate
release, these transporters can rapidly bind up to 3,000 glutamate molecules. Glutamate transport into
the rod is slow but nevertheless capable of maintaining glutamate uptake at rates equivalent to 32
vesicle/s. This is close to the peak rate of sustained release by rods of 36 vesicles/s estimated from the
size of the readily releasable pool (90 vesicles) and the rate at which that pool can be replenished (2.5/s)
(Grabner et al., 2023; Grabner and Moser, 2021; Mesnard et al., 2022a; Mesnard et al., 2022b). While
EAAT5 may be able to keep up with much of the release in darkness, glutamate levels nevertheless
decline much more rapidly when the synapse remains open and Müller cell uptake is present. The higher
glutamate affinity of EAAT1 (2 μM) compared to EAAT5 (10-20 μM) can help to maintain a steep
gradient for glutamate diffusion out of the cleft. EAAT2 is concentrated in cones but is also found in
rods, where it might contribute to glutamate retrieval (Arriza et al., 1997; Eliasof et al., 1998; Gehlen et
al., 2021; Pow and Barnett, 2000; Tang et al., 2022). However, genetic elimination and pharmacological
inhibition of EAAT2 have only small effects on dark-adapted ERG b-waves (Harada et al., 1998; Tse et al.,
2014) suggesting minor contributions to uptake. Pharmacological inhibition of EAAT2 also has no effect
on $I_{A(glu)}$ in rods (Thoreson and Chhunchha, 2023). Our results therefore support the idea that Müller cell
uptake retrieves most of the glutamate released at rod spherules but binding of glutamate to EAAT5
helps speed the decline in cleft glutamate levels.
In addition to controlling glutamate levels in the cleft, anion currents activated by glutamate binding

to EAAT5 can also modify rod responses directly by altering rod membrane voltage, input resistance,
and Ca^{2+} channel activity. The chloride equilibrium potential in rods is ~-20 mV (Thoreson et al., 2003)
and so $I_{A(glu)}$ activity in darkness should have a depolarizing effect on rods. However, the stimulatory
effects of depolarization are opposed by direct effects of chloride efflux that reduce the open probability
of L-type Ca^{2+} channels via actions at specific anion-binding sites (Babai et al., 2010; Rabl et al., 2003;
Thoreson et al., 1997, 2000). This inhibitory effect of chloride efflux helps to limit regenerative activation
of Ca^{2+} channels and stabilize membrane potential in rods depolarized in darkness. We did not
incorporate these and other presynaptic effects into our model.

Sources of synaptic variability
The earlier conclusion that glutamate diffused rapidly through the invaginating synapse suggested
that it equilibrated rapidly throughout the cleft and that post-synaptic dendrites of rod bipolar and HC
dendrites all experienced similar glutamate transients in response to individual release events (Rao-
Mirotznik et al., 1998). However, our evidence that glutamate exits the invaginating rod synapse more
slowly suggests otherwise. Rod-to-rod differences in synaptic architecture led to distinct levels of
synaptic activity at both RBPs and HCs. We also observed differences within individual rods in the
activity of post-synaptic receptors on RBP and HC dendrites. This variability arises from the fact that,
given the same number of glutamate receptors, a more distant RBP or HC will exhibit a smaller
response. We also saw differences in response amplitude in individual RBPs or HCs as a function of
release site location. Depending on the anatomical arrangement, release at different sites along a
ribbon can sometimes produce large differences in mGluR6 or AMPA receptor activity in the same post-
synaptic cell. The lower affinity of AMPA receptors made them even more sensitive to differences in
release site location than mGluR6. We distributed receptors widely over the tips of RBP and HC
dendrites for our simulations. Confining receptor distribution to smaller regions would be expected to
produce even more pronounced effects of release site location on receptor activity.
While we saw that mGluR6 and AMPA receptor activity varied with release site location, differences
in release site location did not significantly affect the number of EAAT5 anion channel openings. This
suggests that much of the variability in single vesicle $I_{A(glu)}$ events in rods arises from variability in the
amount of glutamate contained within vesicles. Consistent with this, the range of volumes predicted
from vesicle diameters measured in electron micrographs (Fuchs et al., 2014) yields coefficients of
variation ranging from 0.28 to 0.44, close to the average coefficient of variation (c.v.) for single vesicle
$I_{A(glu)}$ events (0.39) (Thoreson and Chhunchha, 2023). This further implies that much of the variation in
the number of molecules per vesicle is explained by variations in diameter, implying that each vesicle
contains a similar concentration of glutamate.

Other invaginating synapses
Many other vertebrate and invertebrate neurons make invaginating synapses, which are particularly
abundant in Drosophila (Petralia et al., 2021). However, the invaginating spines and calyceal synapses in
other regions of the mammalian brain have a simpler architecture that likely limits effects of geometric
tortuosity. At the other end of the spectrum, invaginating synapses of cone photoreceptor cells have an
even more complex post-synaptic structure than rods (Sterling and Matthews, 2005) with more than a
dozen types of bipolar cells contacting the cone terminal at different sites (Euler et al., 2014).
Furthermore, HCs beneath cone terminals have glutamate receptors at both dendrites within the
invaginating synapse and on primary dendrites more than 1 micron away from ribbon release sites
(Haverkamp et al., 2000). The complex architecture of cone synapses helps to shape response kinetics,
with nearby bipolar cell contacts experiencing rapid glutamate changes and distant bipolar cell contacts
experiencing slower, smoother fluctuations (DeVries et al., 2006). Along with glutamate receptor
properties, the unique architecture of this synapse plays a significant role in the initial filtering and

segregation of visual responses into different functionally-specialized, parallel bipolar cell pathways
(Grabner et al., 2023).

Implications for rod release rates and detection of dim light by RBPs
The persistence of glutamate in the invaginating synapse allows greater integration between
synaptic vesicle release events. For RBPs, our simulations suggest that mGluR6 receptors may remain
active for more than 100 ms after release of a single vesicle. Rao et al. (Rao et al., 1994) suggested that
release from rods in darkness must be fast enough so that release events are consistently separated by
time intervals shorter than a single vesicle response. Given that single vesicle events last more than 100
ms and assuming Poisson rates of release, this constraint can be achieved with rates of less than 25
quanta/s. However, Rao-Mirotznik et al. (1998) noted that release must also be fast enough to minimize
the possibility that a random interval might be mistaken for a genuine slowing of release produced by
capture of a single photon. They concluded this required release rates of 100 vesicles/s or more. This
exceeds the upper limit on rod release rates of 36 vesicles/s placed by the size of the readily releasable
pool and the rate at which that pool can be replenished (Grabner et al., 2023). One possible solution to
this apparent dilemma is that release may occur at more regular intervals than predicted by Poisson
statistics, thus allowing detection at lower release rates (Schein and Ahmad, 2005). Consistent with this
possibility, measurements from rods held at the typical membrane potential in darkness of –40 mV
suggest that they release vesicles in multivesicular bursts at regular intervals (Hays et al., 2021; Hays et
al., 2020).
Each RBP receives synaptic input from an average of 25 rods (Tsukamoto et al., 2001; Tsukamoto
and Omi, 2013). When detecting a single photon event, RBPs must distinguish a small reduction in
glutamate release occurring in only one of these 25 rods. Our results suggest several additional sources
of synaptic variability that may complicate this already challenging task. These include differences in rod
inputs arising from differences in vesicular glutamate content, geometric tortuosity of rod synapses,
anatomy of RBP dendrites, location of release sites along the ribbon, location of mGluR6 receptors, and
numbers of receptors. How are single photon responses extracted from noise in the face of this
variability? In addition to the possibility of regular release, RBPs employ a non-linear thresholding
mechanism to extract larger single photon responses from noise (Field and Rieke, 2002; Sampath and
Rieke, 2004). It is also possible that retinas will compensate during development for different input
strengths by adjusting receptor numbers and/or location to ensure that all the inputs into a RBP are
similar. Detailed models of rod spherules offer an opportunity to explore the limitations of these and
other potential mechanisms that might be employed for detection of single photon responses by RBPs.
Our simulations revealed surprisingly slow glutamate kinetics at invaginating rod synapses. Slow
kinetics allows greater integration of release events at RBP synapses that in turn allows lower release
rates to sustain post-synaptic activity in darkness. However, the slow kinetics of glutamate removal
introduces additional potential sources of quantal variability by exposing different dendrites to different
changes in glutamate. The mechanisms employed by rods to overcome these and other sources of
quantal variability and detect light-evoked changes in glutamate release remain to be explored fully.
615

Acknowledgments
This work was supported by the NINDS Intramural Research Program (NS003039 to JSD), NIH
EY10542 (WBT), EY32396 (WBT), NIH MMBioS P41-GM103712 (TMB), NIH CRCNS R01-MH115556 (TMB),
NIH CRCNS R01-MH129066 (TMB), NSF NeuroNex DBI-1707356 (TMB), and NSF NeuroNex DBI-2014862
(TMB). The authors would like to thank Tom Bargar of the Electron Microscopy Core Facility (EMCF) at the
University of Nebraska Medical Center for technical assistance. The EMCF is supported by NIH grant
1S10OD026790-01, state funds from the Nebraska Research Initiative (NRI), the University of Nebraska
Foundation, and institutionally by the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research. The authors declare no

References:

Arriza, J.L., Eliasof, S., Kavanaugh, M.P., and Amara, S.G. (1997). Excitatory amino acid transporter 5, a
retinal glutamate transporter coupled to a chloride conductance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *94*, 4155-
4160.
Attwell, D., Sarantis, M., Barbour, B., and Brew, H. (1989). Electrogenic glutamate uptake in amphibian
and mammalian retinal glial cells. Acta Physiol Scand Suppl *582*, 44.
Babai, N., Kanevsky, N., Dascal, N., Rozanski, G.J., Singh, D.P., Fatma, N., and Thoreson, W.B. (2010).
Anion-sensitive regions of L-type CaV1.2 calcium channels expressed in HEK293 cells. PLoS One *5*, e8602.
Barnett, N.L., and Pow, D.V. (2000). Antisense knockdown of GLAST, a glial glutamate transporter,
compromises retinal function. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci *41*, 585-591.
Bartol, T.M., Keller, D.X., Kinney, J.P., Bajaj, C.L., Harris, K.M., Sejnowski, T.J., and Kennedy, M.B. (2015).
Computational reconstitution of spine calcium transients from individual proteins. Front Synaptic
Neurosci *7*, 17.
Berntson, A., Smith, R.G., and Taylor, W.R. (2004). Transmission of single photon signals through a
binary synapse in the mammalian retina. Vis Neurosci *21*, 693-702.
Burkhardt, D.A., Fahey, P.K., and Sikora, M.A. (2004). Retinal bipolar cells: contrast encoding for
sinusoidal modulation and steps of luminance contrast. Vis Neurosci *21*, 883-893.
Cadetti, L., Bartoletti, T.M., and Thoreson, W.B. (2008). Quantal mEPSCs and residual glutamate: how
horizontal cell responses are shaped at the photoreceptor ribbon synapse. Eur J Neurosci *27*, 2575-2586.
Derouiche, A. (1996). Possible role of the Muller cell in uptake and metabolism of glutamate in the
mammalian outer retina. Vision Res *36*, 3875-3878.
DeVries, S.H., Li, W., and Saszik, S. (2006). Parallel processing in two transmitter microenvironments at
the cone photoreceptor synapse. Neuron *50*, 735-748.
Eliasof, S., Arriza, J.L., Leighton, B.H., Kavanaugh, M.P., and Amara, S.G. (1998). Excitatory amino acid
transporters of the salamander retina: identification, localization, and function. J Neurosci *18*, 698-712.
Eliasof, S., and Jahr, C.E. (1996). Retinal glial cell glutamate transporter is coupled to an anionic
conductance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *93*, 4153-4158.
Euler, T., Haverkamp, S., Schubert, T., and Baden, T. (2014). Retinal bipolar cells: elementary building
blocks of vision. Nat Rev Neurosci *15*, 507-519.
Feigenspan, A., and Babai, N. (2015). Functional properties of spontaneous excitatory currents and
encoding of light/dark transitions in horizontal cells of the mouse retina. Eur J Neurosci *42*, 2615-2632.
Feigenspan, A., and Babai, N.Z. (2017). Preparation of Horizontal Slices of Adult Mouse Retina for
Electrophysiological Studies. J Vis Exp *119*, 55173.
Field, G.D., and Rieke, F. (2002). Nonlinear signal transfer from mouse rods to bipolar cells and
implications for visual sensitivity. Neuron *34*, 773-785.
Franks, K.M., Bartol, T.M., Jr., and Sejnowski, T.J. (2002). A Monte Carlo model reveals independent
signaling at central glutamatergic synapses. Biophys J *83*, 2333-2348.
Fuchs, M., Brandstatter, J.H., and Regus-Leidig, H. (2014). Evidence for a Clathrin-independent mode of
endocytosis at a continuously active sensory synapse. Front Cell Neurosci *8*, 60.
Fyk-Kolodziej, B., Qin, P., Dzhagaryan, A., and Pourcho, R.G. (2004). Differential cellular and subcellular
distribution of glutamate transporters in the cat retina. Vis Neurosci *21*, 551-565.
Garcia, J.W., Bartol, T.M., and Sejnowski, T.J. (2022). Multiscale modeling of presynaptic dynamics from
molecular to mesoscale. PLoS Comput Biol *18*, e1010068.

Gehlen, J., Aretzweiler, C., Mataruga, A., Fahlke, C., and Muller, F. (2021). Excitatory Amino Acid
Transporter EAAT5 Improves Temporal Resolution in the Retina. eNeuro *8*, ENEURO.0406-0421.2021.
Grabner, C.P., Futagi, D., Shi, J., Bindokas, V., Kitano, K., Schwartz, E.A., and DeVries, S.H. (2023).
Mechanisms of simultaneous linear and nonlinear computations at the mammalian cone photoreceptor
synapse. Nat Commun *14*, 3486.
Grabner, C.P., and Moser, T. (2021). The mammalian rod synaptic ribbon is essential for Cav channel
facilitation and ultrafast synaptic vesicle fusion. Elife *10*, e63844.
Grant, G.B., and Werblin, F.S. (1996). A glutamate-elicited chloride current with transporter-like
properties in rod photoreceptors of the tiger salamander. Vis Neurosci *13*, 135-144.
Grassmeyer, J.J., and Thoreson, W.B. (2017). Synaptic Ribbon Active Zones in Cone Photoreceptors
Operate Independently from One Another. Front Cell Neurosci *11*, 198.
Grosskreutz, J., Zoerner, A., Schlesinger, F., Krampfl, K., Dengler, R., and Bufler, J. (2003). Kinetic
properties of human AMPA-type glutamate receptors expressed in HEK293 cells. Eur J Neurosci *17*,
1173-1178.
Hack, I., Frech, M., Dick, O., Peichl, L., and Brandstatter, J.H. (2001). Heterogeneous distribution of
AMPA glutamate receptor subunits at the photoreceptor synapses of rodent retina. Eur J Neurosci *13*,
15-24.
Haeseleer, F., Williams, B., and Lee, A. (2016). Characterization of C-terminal Splice Variants of Cav1.4
Ca2+ Channels in Human Retina. J Biol Chem *291*, 15663-15673.
Hansen, K.B., Wollmuth, L.P., Bowie, D., Furukawa, H., Menniti, F.S., Sobolevsky, A.I., Swanson, G.T.,
Swanger, S.A., Greger, I.H., Nakagawa, T.*, et al.* (2021). Structure, Function, and Pharmacology of
Glutamate Receptor Ion Channels. Pharmacol Rev *73*, 298-487.
Harada, T., Harada, C., Watanabe, M., Inoue, Y., Sakagawa, T., Nakayama, N., Sasaki, S., Okuyama, S.,
Watase, K., Wada, K.*, et al.* (1998). Functions of the two glutamate transporters GLAST and GLT-1 in the
retina. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *95*, 4663-4666.
Hasegawa, J., Obara, T., Tanaka, K., and Tachibana, M. (2006). High-density presynaptic transporters are
required for glutamate removal from the first visual synapse. Neuron *50*, 63-74.
Haverkamp, S., Grunert, U., and Wassle, H. (2000). The cone pedicle, a complex synapse in the retina.
Neuron *27*, 85-95.
Hays, C.L., Sladek, A.L., Field, G.D., and Thoreson, W.B. (2021). Properties of multivesicular release from
mouse rod photoreceptors support transmission of single-photon responses. Elife *10*, e67446.
Hays, C.L., Sladek, A.L., and Thoreson, W.B. (2020). Resting and stimulated mouse rod photoreceptors
show distinct patterns of vesicle release at ribbon synapses. J Gen Physiol *152*, e202012716.
Husar, A., Ordyan, M., Garcia, G.C., Yancey, J.G., Saglam, A.S., Faeder, J.R., Bartol, T.M., Kennedy, M.B.,
and Sejnowski, T.J. (2024). MCell4 with BioNetGen: A Monte Carlo simulator of rule-based reaction-
diffusion systems with Python interface. PLoS Comput Biol *20*, e1011800.
Husar, A., Ordyan, M., Garcia, G.C., Yancey, J.G., Saglam, A.S., Faeder, J.R., Bartol, T.M., Sejnowski, T.J.
(2022). MCell4 with BioNetGen: A Monte Carlo Simulator of Rule-Based Reaction-Diffusion Systems with
Python Interface. . bioRxiv.
Jonas, P., Major, G., and Sakmann, B. (1993). Quantal components of unitary EPSCs at the mossy fibre
synapse on CA3 pyramidal cells of rat hippocampus. J Physiol *472*, 615-663.
Kerr, R.A., Bartol, T.M., Kaminsky, B., Dittrich, M., Chang, J.C., Baden, S.B., Sejnowski, T.J., and Stiles, J.R.
(2008). Fast Monte Carlo Simulation Methods for Biological Reaction-Diffusion Systems in Solution and
on Surfaces. SIAM J Sci Comput *30*, 3126.
Kim, H.G., and Miller, R.F. (1993). Properties of synaptic transmission from photoreceptors to bipolar
cells in the mudpuppy retina. J Neurophysiol *69*, 352-360.
Koike, C., Numata, T., Ueda, H., Mori, Y., and Furukawa, T. (2010a). TRPM1: a vertebrate TRP channel
responsible for retinal ON bipolar function. Cell Calcium *48*, 95-101.

Koike, C., Obara, T., Uriu, Y., Numata, T., Sanuki, R., Miyata, K., Koyasu, T., Ueno, S., Funabiki, K., Tani, A.,
et al. (2010b). TRPM1 is a component of the retinal ON bipolar cell transduction channel in the mGluR6
cascade. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *107*, 332-337.
Kolen, B., Kortzak, D., Franzen, A., and Fahlke, C. (2020). An amino-terminal point mutation increases
EAAT2 anion currents without affecting glutamate transport rates. J Biol Chem *295*, 14936-14947.
Larsson, H.P., Picaud, S.A., Werblin, F.S., and Lecar, H. (1996). Noise analysis of the glutamate-activated
current in photoreceptors. Biophys J *70*, 733-742.
Levitz, J., Habrian, C., Bharill, S., Fu, Z., Vafabakhsh, R., and Isacoff, E.Y. (2016). Mechanism of Assembly
and Cooperativity of Homomeric and Heteromeric Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors. Neuron *92*, 143-
159.
Lu, W., Shi, Y., Jackson, A.C., Bjorgan, K., During, M.J., Sprengel, R., Seeburg, P.H., and Nicoll, R.A. (2009).
Subunit composition of synaptic AMPA receptors revealed by a single-cell genetic approach. Neuron *62*,
254-268.
Machtens, J.P., Kortzak, D., Lansche, C., Leinenweber, A., Kilian, P., Begemann, B., Zachariae, U., Ewers,
D., de Groot, B.L., Briones, R., et al. (2015). Mechanisms of anion conduction by coupled glutamate
transporters. Cell *160*, 542-553.
Mesnard, C.S., Barta, C.L., Sladek, A.L., Zenisek, D., and Thoreson, W.B. (2022a). Eliminating Synaptic
Ribbons from Rods and Cones Halves the Releasable Vesicle Pool and Slows Down Replenishment. Int J
Mol Sci *23*, 6429.
Mesnard, C.S., Hays, C.L., Barta, C.L., Sladek, A.L., Grassmeyer, J.J., Hinz, K.K., Quadros, R.M.,
Gurumurthy, C.B., and Thoreson, W.B. (2022b). Synaptotagmins 1 and 7 in vesicle release from rods of
mouse retina. Exp Eye Res *225*, 109279.
Morgans, C.W., Brown, R.L., and Duvoisin, R.M. (2010). TRPM1: the endpoint of the mGluR6 signal
transduction cascade in retinal ON-bipolar cells. Bioessays *32*, 609-614.
Moser, T., Grabner, C.P., and Schmitz, F. (2020). Sensory Processing at Ribbon Synapses in the Retina
and the Cochlea. Physiol Rev *100*, 103-144.
Nicholson, C., and Hrabetova, S. (2017). Brain Extracellular Space: The Final Frontier of Neuroscience.
Biophys J *113*, 2133-2142.
Nicholson, C., Phillips, J.M., and Gardner-Medwin, A.R. (1979). Diffusion from an iontophoretic point
source in the brain: role of tortuosity and volume fraction. Brain Res *169*, 580-584.
Nielsen, T.A., DiGregorio, D.A., and Silver, R.A. (2004). Modulation of glutamate mobility reveals the
mechanism underlying slow-rising AMPAR EPSCs and the diffusion coefficient in the synaptic cleft.
Neuron *42*, 757-771.
Niklaus, S., Cadetti, L., Vom Berg-Maurer, C.M., Lehnherr, A., Hotz, A.L., Forster, I.C., Gesemann, M., and
Neuhauss, S.C.F. (2017). Shaping of Signal Transmission at the Photoreceptor Synapse by EAAT2
Glutamate Transporters. eNeuro *4*, ENEURO.0339-0316.2017.
Nomura, A., Shigemoto, R., Nakamura, Y., Okamoto, N., Mizuno, N., and Nakanishi, S. (1994).
Developmentally regulated postsynaptic localization of a metabotropic glutamate receptor in rat rod
bipolar cells. Cell *77*, 361-369.
Orrego, F., and Villanueva, S. (1993). The chemical nature of the main central excitatory transmitter: a
critical appraisal based upon release studies and synaptic vesicle localization. Neuroscience *56*, 539-555.
Petralia, R.S., Yao, P.J., Kapogiannis, D., and Wang, Y.X. (2021). Invaginating Structures in Synapses -
Perspective. Front Synaptic Neurosci *13*, 685052.
Pin, J.P., and Acher, F. (2002). The metabotropic glutamate receptors: structure, activation mechanism
and pharmacology. Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord *1*, 297-317.
Pin, J.P., and Bettler, B. (2016). Organization and functions of mGlu and GABA(B) receptor complexes.
Nature *540*, 60-68.

Pow, D.V., and Barnett, N.L. (2000). Developmental expression of excitatory amino acid transporter 5: a
photoreceptor and bipolar cell glutamate transporter in rat retina. Neurosci Lett *280*, 21-24.
Pow, D.V., Barnett, N.L., and Penfold, P. (2000). Are neuronal transporters relevant in retinal glutamate
homeostasis? Neurochem Int *37*, 191-198.
Rabl, K., Bryson, E.J., and Thoreson, W.B. (2003). Activation of glutamate transporters in rods inhibits
presynaptic calcium currents. Vis Neurosci *20*, 557-566.
Rao-Mirotznik, R., Buchsbaum, G., and Sterling, P. (1998). Transmitter concentration at a three-
dimensional synapse. J Neurophysiol *80*, 3163-3172.
Rao-Mirotznik, R., Harkins, A.B., Buchsbaum, G., and Sterling, P. (1995). Mammalian rod terminal:
architecture of a binary synapse. Neuron *14*, 561-569.
Rao, R., Buchsbaum, G., and Sterling, P. (1994). Rate of quantal transmitter release at the mammalian
rod synapse. Biophys J *67*, 57-63.
Rauen, T., Rothstein, J.D., and Wassle, H. (1996). Differential expression of three glutamate transporter
subtypes in the rat retina. Cell Tissue Res *286*, 325-336.
Rauen, T., Taylor, W.R., Kuhlbrodt, K., and Wiessner, M. (1998). High-affinity glutamate transporters in
the rat retina: a major role of the glial glutamate transporter GLAST-1 in transmitter clearance. Cell
Tissue Res *291*, 19-31.
Riveros, N., Fiedler, J., Lagos, N., Munoz, C., and Orrego, F. (1986). Glutamate in rat brain cortex synaptic
vesicles: influence of the vesicle isolation procedure. Brain Res *386*, 405-408.
Rusakov, D.A., and Kullmann, D.M. (1998). Geometric and viscous components of the tortuosity of the
extracellular space in the brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *95*, 8975-8980.
Sampath, A.P., and Rieke, F. (2004). Selective transmission of single photon responses by saturation at
the rod-to-rod bipolar synapse. Neuron *41*, 431-443.
Sarantis, M., and Mobbs, P. (1992). The spatial relationship between Muller cell processes and the
photoreceptor output synapse. Brain Res *584*, 299-304.
Sarthy, V.P., Pignataro, L., Pannicke, T., Weick, M., Reichenbach, A., Harada, T., Tanaka, K., and Marc, R.
(2005). Glutamate transport by retinal Muller cells in glutamate/aspartate transporter-knockout mice.
Glia *49*, 184-196.
Schein, S., and Ahmad, K.M. (2005). A clockwork hypothesis: synaptic release by rod photoreceptors
must be regular. Biophys J *89*, 3931-3949.
Schneider, F.M., Mohr, F., Behrendt, M., and Oberwinkler, J. (2015). Properties and functions of TRPM1
channels in the dendritic tips of retinal ON-bipolar cells. Eur J Cell Biol *94*, 420-427.
Schneider, N., Cordeiro, S., Machtens, J.P., Braams, S., Rauen, T., and Fahlke, C. (2014). Functional
properties of the retinal glutamate transporters GLT-1c and EAAT5. J Biol Chem *289*, 1815-1824.
Shen, Y., Rampino, M.A., Carroll, R.C., and Nawy, S. (2012). G-protein-mediated inhibition of the Trp
channel TRPM1 requires the Gbetagamma dimer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *109*, 8752-8757.
Sterling, P., and Matthews, G. (2005). Structure and function of ribbon synapses. Trends Neurosci *28*, 20-
29.
Stiles, J.R., Van Helden, D., Bartol, T.M., Jr., Salpeter, E.E., and Salpeter, M.M. (1996). Miniature endplate
current rise times less than 100 microseconds from improved dual recordings can be modeled with
passive acetylcholine diffusion from a synaptic vesicle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *93*, 5747-5752.
Stroh, S., Puller, C., Swirski, S., Holzel, M.B., van der Linde, L.I.S., Segelken, J., Schultz, K., Block, C.,
Monyer, H., Willecke, K*., et al.* (2018). Eliminating Glutamatergic Input onto Horizontal Cells Changes the
Dynamic Range and Receptive Field Organization of Mouse Retinal Ganglion Cells. J Neurosci *38*, 2015-
2028.
Sykova, E. (2004). Diffusion properties of the brain in health and disease. Neurochem Int *45*, 453-466.
Szmajda, B.A., and Devries, S.H. (2011). Glutamate spillover between mammalian cone photoreceptors. J
Neurosci *31*, 13431-13441.

Takamori, S., Holt, M., Stenius, K., Lemke, E.A., Gronborg, M., Riedel, D., Urlaub, H., Schenck, S., Brugger,
B., Ringler, P*., et al.* (2006). Molecular anatomy of a trafficking organelle. Cell *127*, 831-846.
Tang, F.S., Yuan, H.L., Liu, J.B., Zhang, G., Chen, S.Y., and Ke, J.B. (2022). Glutamate Transporters EAAT2
and EAAT5 Differentially Shape Synaptic Transmission from Rod Bipolar Cell Terminals. eNeuro *9*,
ENEURO.0074-0022.2022.
Thoreson, W.B. (2021). Transmission at rod and cone ribbon synapses in the retina. Pflugers Arch *473*,
1469-1491.
Thoreson, W.B., Bryson, E.J., and Rabl, K. (2003). Reciprocal interactions between calcium and chloride
in rod photoreceptors. J Neurophysiol *90*, 1747-1753.
Thoreson, W.B., and Chhunchha, B. (2023). EAAT5 glutamate transporter rapidly binds glutamate with
micromolar affinity in mouse rods. J Gen Physiol *155*.
Thoreson, W.B., and Mangel, S.C. (2012). Lateral interactions in the outer retina. Prog Retin Eye Res *31*,
407-441.
Thoreson, W.B., Nitzan, R., and Miller, R.F. (1997). Reducing extracellular Cl- suppresses
dihydropyridine-sensitive Ca2+ currents and synaptic transmission in amphibian photoreceptors. J
Neurophysiol *77*, 2175-2190.
Thoreson, W.B., Nitzan, R., and Miller, R.F. (2000). Chloride efflux inhibits single calcium channel open
probability in vertebrate photoreceptors: chloride imaging and cell-attached patch-clamp recordings. Vis
Neurosci *17*, 197-206.
Thoreson, W.B., Rabl, K., Townes-Anderson, E., and Heidelberger, R. (2004). A highly Ca2+-sensitive pool
of vesicles contributes to linearity at the rod photoreceptor ribbon synapse. Neuron *42*, 595-605.
Tse, D.Y., Chung, I., and Wu, S.M. (2014). Pharmacological inhibitions of glutamate transporters EAAT1
and EAAT2 compromise glutamate transport in photoreceptor to ON-bipolar cell synapses. Vision Res
103, 49-62.
Tsukamoto, Y., Morigiwa, K., Ueda, M., and Sterling, P. (2001). Microcircuits for night vision in mouse
retina. J Neurosci *21*, 8616-8623.
Tsukamoto, Y., and Omi, N. (2013). Functional allocation of synaptic contacts in microcircuits from rods
via rod bipolar to AII amacrine cells in the mouse retina. J Comp Neurol *521*, 3541-3555.
Tsukamoto, Y., and Omi, N. (2022). Multiple Invagination Patterns and Synaptic Efficacy in Primate and
Mouse Rod Synaptic Terminals. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci *63*, 11.
Wang, Y., Fathali, H., Mishra, D., Olsson, T., Keighron, J.D., Skibicka, K.P., and Cans, A.S. (2019). Counting
the Number of Glutamate Molecules in Single Synaptic Vesicles. J Am Chem Soc *141*, 17507-17511.
Xu, Y., Orlandi, C., Cao, Y., Yang, S., Choi, C.I., Pagadala, V., Birnbaumer, L., Martemyanov, K.A., and
Vardi, N. (2016). The TRPM1 channel in ON-bipolar cells is gated by both the alpha and the betagamma
subunits of the G-protein Go. Sci Rep *6*, 20940.

Figures:

Fig. 1. Model construction. A. Example serial block face scanning electron micrograph. Rod terminals colored in red; cone terminal colored in blue. Rod 1 used for reconstruction is shown in brighter red. B. Diagram of an invaginating rod synapse. Vesicles surround the synaptic ribbon. Rod bipolar cell (RBP) dendrites are magenta and HC dendrites are turquoise. Modified under a Creative Commons License from Webvision (http://webvision.med.utah.edu/) C. Magnified image of rod 1. Post-synaptic HC dendrites are turquoise and RBP dendrites magenta. D. Reconstructed rod 1 terminal along with HC and RBP dendrites. The synaptic ribbon (dark blue) and mitochondrion (green) are also shown. E. Mesh

structures of the same cells. F. Illustration of four reconstructed rod spherules (rods 1-4) with two RBPs

(blue and yellow). G. Mesh structures of rods 2-4 along with the post-synaptic HC and RBP dendrites.

Details of these mesh structures are provided in Table 1.

Fig. 2. Simulations of passive glutamate diffusion comparing reconstructed rod spherules with simplified

models that represented the extracellular synaptic volume as a sphere with a narrow neck for an exit. A.

Illustration of reconstructed rod spherules 1 and 2. HC dendrites are yellow and green, bipolar cell

dendrites are red and magenta, and ribbons are blue. Below each spherule is the corresponding sphere

model containing the same extracellular volume shown at the same scale. B. Plot of the number of

glutamate molecules that remained after release of a vesicle in the synaptic cleft of rod 1 (D = 2 x 10^{-6}

cm^2/s, black line) or a sphere with the same extracellular volume (D = 8 x 10^{-6} cm^2/s, blue line; D = 2 x 10^{-6}

^{6} cm^2/s, dashed red line). We simulated release in rod 1 at a site just beneath the center of the ribbon.

C. Graph of the glutamate decline in a sphere with the same extracellular volume as the invaginating

synapse of rod 2 (D = 8 x 10^{-6} cm^2/s, blue line; D = 2 x 10^{-6} cm^2/s, dashed red line). This graph also shows

the decline in glutamate following release of a vesicle beneath the center of the ribbon in rod 2 with

volume fractions of 0.11 (D = 2 x 10^{-6} cm^2/s, black line), 0.2 (turquoise line), and 0.3 (purple line).

Eliminating an absorptive perimeter that simulates avid Muller cell uptake had no effect on the time

course of decay (gray trace). D. Comparison of passive glutamate decline in the four rod spherules.

Fitting the decays with single exponentials yielded the following time constants: rod 1: 10.64 ms, rod 2:

14.10 ms, rod3: 7.45 ms, rod 10: 8.89 ms. Each trace is an average of 12 simulations run using different

seed values.

Fig. 3. Comparing glutamate kinetics between a planar model and reconstructed rod spherules. A.
Schematic representation of an invaginating rod synapse that shows two horizontal cell (HC) dendrites
flanking the synaptic ridge (upper left) and a rod bipolar cell dendrite (RBP) terminating beneath the
ribbon release site. The image at the lower right shows a simplified planar model of this synaptic
arrangement that consists of three slabs intersecting at an angle of 120 degrees. Each slab involves two
planes separated by 16 nm to simulate a synaptic cleft. We simulated release of 2000 glutamate
molecules at the vertex of this narrow cleft. We measured the number of glutamate molecules that
entered a small measurement region (gray box, 15 x 100 x 200 nm) with the leading edge 70 nm from
the release site. B. Illustration of a reconstructed synapse (rod 1) with a measurement region (gray box,
15 x 100 x 200 nm) placed just above a RBP dendrite (purple). HC dendrites are shown in yellow and
green. The ribbon is dark blue and glutamate molecules are sky blue. C. Monte Carlo simulations of
single vesicle release showed an abrupt rise and rapid decline of glutamate in measurement regions in
the planar model (blue trace; average of 12 seeds) and four reconstructed synapses (purple trace,
average of four rods, 12 seeds apiece). Red trace shows the change in glutamate observed when the rod
models included active uptake by 3,000 EAAT5 distributed throughout the synaptic cleft. The
measurement regions attained a peak concentration of 0.4 mM in the planar model and an average of
0.775 $\pm$ 0.3325 mM in the four rod synapses. Decay kinetics were fit with two exponentials. Planar
model: τ_{fast} = 0.081 ms; τ_{slow} = 0.558 ms. Passive glutamate decay in the four rod synapses: τ_{fast} = 0.114 $\pm$
0.0217 ms; τ_{slow} = 0.589 $\pm$ 0.1394 ms. Decay in the rod synapses with active uptake by 3,000 EAAT5: τ_{fast}
= 0.116 $\pm$ 0.0238 ms; τ_{slow} = 0.644 $\pm$ 0.1943 ms.

Fig. 4. Simulations of EAAT5 anion channel activity. A. Example of EAAT5 anion currents evoked by
single vesicle release events in a rod. B. Reaction scheme for EAAT5 (modified from a model for
EAAT2)(Kolen et al., 2020). C. Colored traces show the average simulated EAAT5 anion channel activity
in four rods following release of a single vesicle. Black trace shows the average change in $I_{A(glu)}$ ($\pm$ S.D.)
evoked by single vesicle release events in 15 rods (7-72 events/rod). D. EAAT5 anion channel activity
evoked in four rods by simulating simultaneous release of 10 vesicles. E. Comparison of the decline in
glutamate molecules following simulated release of a single vesicle with (blue trace) and without (black
trace) 3,000 EAAT5 transporters in rod 2. F. Graph of the decline in glutamate following simultaneous
release of 10 vesicles in rod 2 with open (red trace) or closed (black trace) exits at the mouth of the
synapse. The decline in a closed sphere with the same volume as rod 2 is plotted for comparison (blue
trace). All simulations are the average of 12 runs with different seed values.

Fig. 5. Activation of mGluR6 declines more slowly in rod spherules than a similar size sphere with narrow neck. A. Illustration of the sphere model for rod 2 showing 200 mGluR6 (yellow spheres) in a transparent plane just beneath a release site at the apex. Glutamate molecules following release of a vesicle are shown in white. B. Illustration of rod 1 with mGluR6 (yellow spheres) on bipolar cell (BP) dendrites (purple and red). Glutamate molecules are white when in front of the semi-transparent ribbon and shaded when behind the ribbon. C. Activation of mGluR6 in the sphere and the four rod spherules in the presence of 3,000 EAAT5. The decay time courses in reconstructed rods were fit with two exponentials. Decay in the sphere was fit with a single exponential. Best fit time constants are given in Table 2. D. Activation of mGluR6 in the sphere and four rod spherules without EAAT5. All simulations in this figure are the average of 25 runs using different seed values ($\pm$ S.D.).

Fig. 6. Simulated AMPA receptor activity using a model for GluR2. A. Reaction scheme for AMPA
receptor activation from Bartol et al. (2015). B. Illustration of rod 1 spherule with bipolar cells (BP,
purple and red), HCs (green and turquoise) and ribbon (semitransparent blue). AMPA receptors are
shown as small white spheres. C. Simulated single vesicle AMPA receptor channel openings in four rod
spherules compared with the average miniature excitatory post-synaptic current (mEPSC) recorded from
mouse HCs (black trace $\pm$ S.D.). D. Individual simulations run with 4 different seed values in rod 3
(colored traces). Superimposed on these traces is the average AMPA receptor activity observed with
simulations in the corresponding sphere model (n=25 seeds; black trace).

Fig. 7. Differences in release site location have an insignificant effect on EAAT5 anion channel activity.
In all 4 rods, release was simulated at 3 different sites along each ribbon, one near the center (purple)
and at two opposite edges (red and blue). Each trace shows the average $\pm$ S.D. of 25 simulations run
with different seed values.

Fig. 8. Differences in release site location influence mGluR6 activity. A. Illustrations of rods 1-4 with
their post-synaptic cells. Bipolar cells 1 and 2 are shown in magenta (BP1) and red (BP2), respectively.
Ribbons are shown in transparent blue. Rod membranes have been removed for easier visualization.
Visible release sites are indicated by arrows. The release site corresponding to the magenta trace in BP1

of rod 1 (panel B) is shown with a magenta arrow. B. Plot of the time course of mGluR6 activated by
release at six sites, 3 on each face of the ribbon. Responses of the two RPBs beneath each rod terminal
(BP1 and BP2) are plotted separately. Insets beneath Rod 2 show the effect on mGluR6 activity of
increasing the extracellular volume fraction (ECV) of the synaptic cleft from 0.11 to 0.3. All graphs are
the mean $\pm$ S.D. of 25 simulations.

Fig. 9. Differences in release site location influence AMPA receptor activity. A. Rod 1 along with its post-
synaptic partners. HCs 1 and 2 are shown in yellow (HC1) and green (HC2), respectively. Ribbons are a
transparent blue. Rod membranes have been removed for easier visualization. Visible release sites are
denoted by arrows. Graphs plot time-dependent activation of AMPA receptors by release at six different
sites, 3 on each face of the ribbon. Responses of the two HCs beneath each rod terminal (HC1 and HC2)
are plotted separately. B. Illustration of rod 2 with graphs of AMPA receptor activity in HC1 and HC2.
Site-to-site variability remained after increasing the extracellular volume fraction (ECV) from 0.11 to 0.3.
C. Illustration of rod 3 with graphs of AMPA receptor activity in HC1 and HC2. D. Illustration of rod 4
with graphs of AMPA receptor activity in HC1 and HC2. E. Frequency histogram of the peak percentage
of activated HC AMPA (gray) and RBP mGluR6 receptors (black) produced by release at different ribbon
sites. For these simulations, we increased the number of AMPA receptors on each HC from 200 to 500.
Graphs plot the mean $\pm$ S.D. of 25 simulations.

	Rod 1	Rod 2	Rod 3	Rod 4
Surface Area (μm^2)	29.15	27.67	32.29	26.13
Volume (μm^3)	5.94	5.024	6.576	4.771
Faces	6,580	14,498	57,802	30,384
Cleft Volume (μm^3)	0.564	0.572	0.6056	0.448
Extracellular cleft volume (μm^3)	0.1125	0.06	0.074	0.057
Extracellular volume fraction	0.2	0.11	0.12	0.13
Horizontal cell dendrite 1				
Surface Area (μm^2)	2.758	3.272	2.726	2.382
Volume (μm^3)	0.1674	0.1945	0.1763	0.114
Faces	2040	4754	2388	1914
Horizontal cell dendrite 2				
Surface Area (μm^2)	2.827	3.123	3.213	2.272
Volume (μm^3)	0.1894	0.1758	0.2228	0.1337
Faces	1088	7020	5530	2418
Bipolar cell dendrite 1				
Surface Area (μm^2)	0.7964	1.2167	1.0288	1.1962
Volume (μm^3)	0.03475	0.07234	0.06593	0.07867
Faces	662	3084	5038	602
Bipolar cell dendrite 2				
Surface Area (μm^2)	0.6539	1.041	1.0622	1.17
Volume (μm^3)	0.03208	0.069	0.06686	0.06456
Faces	1422	714	4832	568
Bipolar cell dendrite 2b				
Surface Area (μm^2)	0.6792			
Volume (μm^3)	0.0276			
Faces	1732			

Table 1. Details of mesh structures of rods, HC dendrites, and RBP dendrites used for simulations.

Activated mGluR6

	With 3,000 EAAT5				No EAAT5	
	Peak % bound	τ_{fast} (ms)	% fast	τ_{slow} (ms)	Peak % bound	τ (ms)
Rod 1	42.1	4.8	33	24	53.9	20.4
Rod 2	40.6	4	29	40.1	58.3	42.9
Rod 3	39.3	7.2	18	30	50.8	26.7
Rod 4	53.5	5.4	48	28.2	56.4	26.2
Sphere	98.6			10.1	99	6.6

963 (volume of rods 2-4)

964 Table 2. Best fit parameters from Fig. 6 plotting mGluR6 activity evoked by single vesicle release events
965 in rods 1 to 4, as well as a sphere model.

966
Supplemental Fig. 1. EAAT5 parameters and placement. Comparison of ON rates for glutamate binding
to EAAT5 (1 x10^6 M/s to 1 x 10^9 M/s). Black trace shows the average $\pm$ S.D. single vesicle $I_{A(glu)}$ in rods. B.
Illustration of EAAT5 (yellow puncta) placement in four different regions of the synaptic invagination:
throughout the cleft (Cleft), adjacent to bipolar cell dendritic tips (BP tips), the neck of the invagination
(Neck), and just outside the mouth of the invaginating synapse (Mouth). Ribbon is colored dark blue.
HCs are green and turquoise. RBPs are red and purple. C. Simulated EAAT5 anion channel activity after
placing EAAT5 in the different regions shown in B with a glutamate ON-binding rate 1 x 10^8 M/s. D.
Simulated EAAT5 anion channel activity with placement in various locations using an ON rate of 1 x 10^9
M/S. Traces show the average of 12 simulations run with different seed values.

976
Supplemental Fig. 2. Kinetics of glutamate binding to mGluR6. A. Kinetic scheme for glutamate binding
to mGluR6. The activated receptor was considered the doubly bound state (mGluR6*2Glu). B. Kinetics
of mGluR6 activation (i.e., doubly bound mGluR6) are shown for different glutamate binding rates. For 1
x 10^9, 1×10^8, and 1×10^7 M/s, the corresponding OFF rates for unbinding of the last glutamate molecule
were 2500/s, 250/s, and 25/s, respectively. The later portion of the decay was fit with a single
exponential: 1×10^9 M/s, 11.0 ms; 1×10^8 M/s, 26.7 ms; 1×10^7 M/s, 46.7 ms. Simulations show the
average of 12 seed values run in rod 3 in the presence of 3,000 EAAT5. C. Plot of steady state mGluR6
activation as a function of glutamate concentration using the model parameters in A. Data were fit with
a sigmoidal Hill function: EC_{50} = 14 µM. Hill slope = 1.4.