High-throughput measurement of adipocyte size with open-source software using whole-slide adipose tissue images

Authors:

Ramalho, Alan[1,2]; Gauthier, Marie-Frédérique[1]; Maltais-Payette, Ina[1]; Ostinelli, Giada[1]; Hould,

Frédéric[1]; Biertho, Laurent[1]; Tchernof, André[1,2]

Author's affiliations :

[1]Institut universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec-Université Laval, Québec,

Québec, Canada

[2]École de Nutrition, Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada

Corresponding author

André Tchernof, PhD,

Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et Pneumologie de Québec (IUCPQ), Université Laval,

2725 Chemin Sainte-Foy, Québec, QC, G1V 4G5, Canada.

Email : andre.tchernof@criucpq.ulaval.ca

ORCID

André Tchernof http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2587-1000

Author's contribution

Conceptualization: MFG, AR and AT. Data Curation: IMP, GO, and MFG. Formal analysis: AR,

IMP and MFG. Funding acquisition: AT. Methodology: MFG. Supervision: AT. Validation: AR,

IMP, MFG, and AT. Writing - Original Draft: AR, MFG, and AT. Writing - Review & Editing:

AR, MFG, IMP, GO, and AT.

Funding information and sponsorship

This study was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada,

Grant/Award Numbers: 2017-05825. AR is a recipient of the Doctoral Training Scholarship from

the *Fonds de Recherche du Québec (FRQ)*. IMP and GO are recipients of postdoctoral scholarships

from the FRQ.

Disclosures

AT receives research funding from Johnson & Johnson, Medtronic, GI Windows and BioTwin for

studies on obesity and bariatric surgery, and acted as a consultant for Bausch Health, Novo Nordisk

and BioTwin.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding

author, AT. The data are not publicly available due to restrictions related to privacy of research

participants.

Keywords

Adipocytes, Adipose tissue, cell size, ImageJ

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to create and validate a high-throughput method based on open-source

software for the measurement of adipocyte diameter in white adipose tissue histological sections.

Human omental and subcutaneous adipose tissue samples collected during bariatric surgery were

used to prepare hematoxylin and eosin-stained histological slides. Digital images were acquired.

Adipocyte diameters were measured both manually and with an automated procedure created using

ImageJ. Comparative analysis of our automated method with the manual measurement and

associations of the mean adipocyte diameters with cardiometabolic markers were used to validate

our method. A total of 374 adipose samples (190 participants) were included in the analysis.

Pearson correlation of mean adipocyte diameter shows a strong linear relationship between

methods (r=0.87, p<0.0001). The average diameter measured with the automated method was

significantly smaller (5.4±5.4µm difference, p<0.0001) compared to the manual method, likely

reflecting bias in selecting the cells measured with the manual approach. Pearson correlation

analyses between mean omental adipocyte diameters and markers of cardiometabolic risk show

that the diameters of both methods are significantly associated with the same parameters (fasting

concentrations of TG, HDL-Chol, homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance, and visceral

adiposity index values) with no significant differences between methods. There were also no

significant differences between the manual and automated method regarding the correlations

between mean subcutaneous adipocyte diameters and anthropometric or metabolic markers. In

conclusion, we have created and validated a rapid, automated method based on open-source

software to measure adipocyte diameters from whole-slide adipose tissue images.

1- INTRODUCTION

The body mass index (BMI) is a poor indicator of cardiometabolic risk, and other metrics that

better estimate individual cardiometabolic risk are increasingly favored in clinical practice and in

research[1]. In this regard, adipose tissue dysfunction is a major driver of obesity-related

cardiometabolic dysregulation[2–5]. Dysfunctional adipose tissue is characterized by reduced

adipogenesis, low free fatty acid uptake, impaired triglyceride synthesis, impaired lipid storage,

insulin resistance, fibrosis, immune cell infiltration, increased proinflammatory cytokine secretion,

and adipocyte hypertrophy[2,4]. It is also strongly associated with visceral obesity, that is, excessive

accumulation of fat in the abdominal depots surrounding the internal organs such as the omental

and mesenteric fat depots[2,4], consistent with the notion that visceral obesity is an important risk

factor for the development of cardiometabolic dysregulation and cardiometabolic diseases [2,4].

Adipose tissue expands via two main mechanisms: adipocyte hyperplasia where preadipocytes are

recruited and develop into small new mature adipocytes through adipogenesis, and adipocyte

hypertrophy where existing adipocytes increase in size to accommodate the storage of more

lipids[2–5]. Studies have shown that expansion via hyperplasia, typical of subcutaneous depots,

seems to favor the preservation of cardiometabolic health[2,3]. Conversely, expansion via

hypertrophy is an important indicator of adipose tissue dysfunction and increased cardiometabolic

risk[2,3]. Indeed, adipocyte hypertrophy is often implicated in visceral obesity and has been shown

to be associated with an increased secretion of proinflammatory factors as well as with altered

lipid metabolism, impaired glucose-insulin homeostasis, ectopic fat accumulation, and

cardiovascular disorders, independently of BMI[2–4,6].

Consequently, measuring adipocyte size can provide indirect information on adipose tissue

function, fatty acid and glucose metabolism, and cardiometabolic pathophysiology[4,6,7]. Analysis

of adipocyte size can also help better understand the pathophysiological processes of obesity and

cardiometabolic diseases as well as to characterize treatment responses to dietary interventions,

nutraceuticals, bioactive compounds, and medication[8,9].

Currently, there is no gold standard method to measure adipocyte size[4,6]. There are three main

methods utilized in research: imaging of collagenase digestion-isolated adipocytes, sizing of

osmium tetroxide-fixed cells, and histomorphometry[3,4]. Histomorphometry (the analysis of

histological tissue slides) involves analyzing hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) -stained histological

slides of adipose tissue using an optical microscope to measure the diameter or cross-sectional area

of the adipocytes[4]. This method is considered to be the most reliable, but it still has drawbacks[8].

First, there are several inherent assumptions including a uniform cell size distribution and that cells

are showing the largest diameter or cross-sectional area[4]. Furthermore, fixation of the tissue

reduces volume of the cells by approximately 15-20%, measuring the diameter is not reliable for

irregularly shaped cells, distortion from poor fixation can occur, crush artifacts can be introduced

during processing, and the quality of the stain can vary between laboratories[4,6,8]. Differences can

also exist between labs regarding specificities in cell sizing protocols which may influence the

final results and the ability to compare results between laboratories[10,11]. The most important

drawback, however, is that this method is very labor-intensive, time consuming, and has a high

risk of measurement and observer bias[7,8,12]. Due to the time required, only 100 to 300 cells per

sample are typically measured, decreasing measurement accuracy[12,13]. These are inherent issues

of manual histomorphometry as it requires the measurer to visually identify each adipocyte in the

tissue section and then measure their diameter or cross-sectional surface area. With the advent of

biological image analysis software, such as ImageJ, the measurement of adipocyte surface area

became easier. ImageJ itself is a free, easily accessible open-source scientific image processing

and analysis software platform originally created by the National Institute of Health of the United

States[14]. ImageJ is relatively easy to use and has been widely adopted by the scientific community.

However, these software tools were limited by restrictions in file size, necessitating the use of

photomicrographs for cell size analyses[11,14]. Recent advancements in both file size capacity of

software tools and the affordability of slide scanners for the acquisition of high-quality whole-slide

images have opened the door for significant progress[12].

To address the main drawbacks of the histomorphometric method, several teams have developed

automated programs or protocols to detect and measure adipocyte size using histological slides[7–

9,11,12,15–18]. However, many of these automated solutions have issues such as adaptability, cost,

reliability, accessibility (both short- and long-term), and usability. Many tools cannot be adapted

sufficiently to account for considerable procedural differences such as sample quality preservation,

paraffin inclusion, microtomy, staining, and even imaging[10]. The cost of paid software solutions

as well at the costs of the equipment required can be prohibitory[12]. Some software tools have been

discontinued or are not accessible through secure channels[7,9,17,18].

Many of the current software solutions typically analyze digital photomicrographs of the tissue

sample, limiting the number of adipocytes measured[9,12,13]. Photomicrographs are used for manual

analysis as manually measuring each adipocyte in a complete section would require an exorbitant

amount of time. Cell sizing software tools should be capable of analyzing whole-slide images of

the tissue samples to maximize the number of adipocytes measured[12]. However, most of the free

software options were not designed to do this due to file size restrictions.

Ultimately, there are important issues with the current histomorphometric methods of fat cell

sizing. The aim of this study was to develop and validate an easy-to-use method to measure

adipocyte size in whole tissue images. We hypothesized that measurements can be made

automatically and that average adipocyte diameter measurements obtained with the automated

method are consistent with those obtained with the manual method, while requiring significantly

less time and measuring a larger number of cells per sample. Additionally, we hypothesized that

the results obtained with the automated method are equivalent or superior to those of the manual

method for the prediction of cardiometabolic risk markers.

2- RESULTS

2.1 Manual and automated cell size measurements

First, the results between the two methods for initial validation with 16 samples were compared

using Spearman correlations. We obtained an r= 0.91 with a significant p value of <0.0001. Paired

t-tests were also performed, and the results were not significant (data not shown), indicating that

cell sizes obtained with the two methods were identical.

For the validation study, a total of 378 and 374 samples (manual and automated method

respectively) were included in the analysis (**Table 1**). As shown in **Table 2**, the average adipocyte

cell size was $74.6 \pm 10.5 \mu m$ and $69.1 \pm 10.5 \mu m$ for the manual and automated method, respectively

(p<0.0001). The average time dedicated to the manual analysis was 10 minutes per tissue

compared to 0.8 minutes per tissue for the automated method (based on a subgroup of 300

samples). The automated method detected an average of 878 ± 511 cells compared to 116 ± 13

cells for the manual analysis (p<0.0001).

2.2 Comparisons between manual and automated cell size measurements

A Pearson correlation analysis was performed between the manual and automated cell size (for the

two depots) and is presented in **Figure 2a**. The Pearson coefficient of r=0.87 indicates that the two

methods are strongly consistent. We observed in the Bland Altman plot in **Figure 2b** an average

difference of 5.43±5.43µm between the mean automated and manual cell diameters, as well as

limits of agreement of 5.22 and -16.08. Most of the data points are within the limits of agreement,

indicating good agreement between methods. The magnitude of the difference does not appear to

vary based on the average diameter, suggesting the absence of systematic bias related to the

magnitude of the measurement[19]. **Figures 2c and 2d** show that the average cell size measured with

the automated method is significantly smaller compared to the manual method in both the visceral

and subcutaneous depots (-7.19µm, p<0.0001 and -3.66µm, p<0.0001, respectively) and this is

true for the majority of individual samples.

2.3 Associations with metabolic markers

The participants for this study were on average 38.0 ± 8.6 years old, including 46 men and 144

women with an average BMI of 49.0 ± 7.4 kg/m^2 and an average waist circumference of 135 ± 14

cm (**Table 1**). Pearson correlation analyses were performed to evaluate the association between

cardiometabolic health markers and the mean adipocyte diameter obtained with each measurement

method (i.e. manual and automated) for each adipose tissue depot. The results are presented in

Figure 3. The automated cell size method was not significantly different from the manual method

for any of the cardiometabolic health markers for either depot, as determined using Meng's Z-test

(data not shown).

Regarding the omental depot, we observed in **Figure 3a,** although not significantly different, a

slightly stronger correlation and lower p-value with the automated method with triglyceride levels

(r=0.28, p<0.0001 vs r=0.21, p=0.0036), HDL-Chol (r=-0.34, p<0.0001 vs r=0.32, p<0.001)

HOMA-IR (r=0.44, p<0.0001 vs r=0.41, p<0.0001) and VAI (r=0.28, p=0.0001 vs

r=0.23,p=0.0021) compared to the manual method.

Regarding the subcutaneous depot (**figure 3b**), although still not significantly different, a slightly

higher correlation strength was observed with the automated method for the association with HDL-

Chol (r=-0.16, p=0.03 vs r=-0.09, p=0.23), HOMA-IR (r=0.21, p=0.01 vs r=0.14, p=0.09) and VAI

(r=0.18, p=0.02 vs r=0.13, p=0.09) compared to the manual method. Notably, these associations

became significant with the automated method.

No significant associations were observed with total Chol, LDL-Chol, and apoB with either

method in either depot.

3- DISCUSSION

Our analysis shows that our automated method for measuring adipocyte size is rapid, valid, and

reliable. Pearson correlation analysis shows a strong agreement between both methods for the

determination of mean adipocyte diameters. Pearson correlation analyses and Meng's Z-test also

demonstrate that the associations between different cardiometabolic health markers and mean

adipocyte diameters measured using the automated method were equivalent to the associations

with the manual method. Adipocyte size is often used in research as a marker of adipose tissue

function and cardiometabolic health. As such, the significant associations between other

cardiometabolic health markers and the automated mean adipocyte diameter and their agreement

with the associations with the manual mean adipocyte diameters clearly demonstrate the validity

of our method. It should be noted that the weight status of the participants in this study likely

influenced these associations. Expansion through hypertrophy has its limitations as adipocytes can

only grow to a certain size[2,4]. Mean fat cell size eventually plateaus in individuals with large

adiposity excess as can be the case with candidates of bariatric surgery[2,4,11]. This plateauing

weakens the ability to detect significant associations with other variables[4]. This may explain the

lack of significant associations with some of the cardiometabolic risk markers and why the

associations observed with BMI and WC were weaker than expected based on data from other

studies[3,13].

Despite the strong association between methods, the paired t-test, grouped violin plots, and Bland-

Altman plot demonstrate that the automated mean adipocyte diameters are on average significantly

smaller than those of the manual method. The average difference between the two methods is 5.4

μm. Because there is no gold standard method for cell size measurement, it is possible that this

difference reflects better estimation of average cell size by our automated method compared to the

manual method. The manual method is dependent on the judgement of the user in both the selection

of the tissue section subregions to use and the identification of adipocytes to measure. The selection

of subregions is ideally completely randomized, but many factors such as section edges, damaged

subregions, and fibrotic subregions can influence this selection. This may result in preferentially

identifying better-looking subsections. Large adipocytes may be easier to notice and identify

visually than smaller ones, which could result in an overestimation of average size with the manual

approach. The automated method targets the entire section, thereby eliminating such biases.

Analyzing the entire histological section instead of a few subregions also greatly increases the

number of cells measured for each sample. Increasing the number of cells measured increases the

likelihood that the estimation of average cell size is accurate[12]. Other teams have obtained similar

differences in mean adipocyte size. Maguire et al (2020) and Palomäki et al (2022), both observed

that mean cell size was smaller when using an automated method with whole-slide images

compared to methods that used photomicrographs of tissue sections[11,12]. Interestingly, Maguire et

al (2020) only observed this in high-fat diet mice, and the opposite was true for chow-fed mice[12].

Like us, Palomäki et al (2022) used adipose tissue samples from patients with obesity, but the

difference they observed between sizing methods was much smaller at -0.8 µm[11]. This may be due

to methodological differences between their study and ours as they measured approximately 300

cells per sample using photomicrographs while also using a smaller number of tissue samples[11].

Due to these reasons and the significant correlations with cardiometabolic risk markers previously

discussed, it is possible that our automated method produces a more reliable estimation of mean

adipocyte size compared to the manual method.

Our method has several advantages compared to the manual method. As discussed, our automated

method is significantly faster and uses whole-slide images of H&E-stained tissue sections,

significantly increasing the number of adipocytes measured. The automated detection of

adipocytes reduces the potential of observer and measurement bias. Our method is also very easy

to implement as its main component is a macro for ImageJ, that we provide here and does not need

to be downloaded, making it very accessible. The only software needed is ImageJ, which is free,

easily accessible, and can be run on low-powered computers. The fact that ImageJ was developed

by the NIH and that it has a dedicated userbase provides good assurance that it should be

maintained for the foreseeable future. ImageJ is also easy to learn, especially for this application.

As mentioned, other teams have developed automated protocols using software, but many of these

solutions have issues including software cost, short- and long-term accessibility, and the inability

to use whole-slide images. Notable exceptions to most of these issues are Adiposoft, a plugin

created for ImageJ, and QuPath, another free open-source software for the analysis of digital

biological images[8,12,20]. Adiposoft was created and validated to analyze the number and size of

white adipocytes from adipose tissue histological sections [8,21]. Originally, it only analyzed

photomicrographs but is now capable of analyzing whole-slide images. Indeed, Adiposoft is a

capable program solution, but we encountered issues when attempting to use it with our samples.

While Adiposoft analyzed many of our samples without issue, there were significant problems

with some samples such as adipocytes not being detected and the identification of large empty

areas as adipocytes. Our experience suggests that it may be difficult to adapt Adiposoft, using its

current settings options, to certain experimental conditions. Adiposoft also outputs a unique

spreadsheet for each image, complicating data management when analyzing many samples.

QuPath is a powerful tool for the analysis of whole-slide images and large 2D data[20]. Maguire et

al (2020) developed an interactive plugin for QuPath for the measurement of adipocytes[12]. Their

results show that their method reliably measures adipocyte number and size from whole-slide

images [12]. However, their method involves several steps that require both QuPath and ImageJ. The

adaptability of their method to different experimental conditions is also uncertain. The QuPath

method developed by Palomäki et al (2022) only uses tools that are already built into QuPath and

no other analysis software is required. Their analysis shows that their method is valid for good and

average quality whole-slide images and photomicrographs[11]. However, the method requires

training a pixel classifier with good quality histology sections of adipose tissue[11]. This step

increases the complexity and requires technical knowledge, which may hinder other teams from

adopting the method. Ultimately, even with free software solutions capable of analyzing whole-

slide images, there are issues that hinder their adoption. In contrast, our method is more

straightforward and simpler to implement. It requires only ImageJ and our macro, which is

arguably easier. Our macro uses standard image processing methods, which should allow other

teams to easily understand it and adapt it to their experimental conditions. Furthermore, the macro

does not need to be downloaded, maximizing its accessibility.

Our study has several strengths. First, to validate our automated method, we used both correlation

analyses and Bland-Altman plots to evaluate the strength of the linear relationship and agreement

between the methods, respectively. While a strong correlation between methods of measurement

of the same variable is expected, it does not indicate that there is good agreement between

methods[19]. Bland-Altman plots evaluate the differences between the methods, allowing for a better

determination of comparability between methods[19]. Second, 374 white adipose tissue samples

obtained from male and female human participants were analyzed by both methods. Such a large

sample size greatly increases the validity of our analysis and is much larger than samples used by

other teams in the validation of their cell-sizing tools[12,15,16]. Furthermore, we used both

subcutaneous and omental adipose tissue samples from patients from two different cohorts, which

indicates how our automated method can be applied to different scenarios. Third, we evaluated

how the methods influence the associations between cardiometabolic health markers and mean cell

diameters to establish the validity and usefulness of our automated method.

This study does have some limitations. First, all the samples came from participants with obesity

undergoing bariatric surgery. As such, it cannot be stated for certain that our method would

adequately perform in populations without obesity. This is unlikely to be an issue due to obesity

being a very heterogeneous disease and that we used a very large sample size which included all

types of cellular morphologies. Rodent white adipose tissue is similar to that of humans regarding

morphology and gross anatomical regions, indicating rodent tissues could likely be analyzed with

our method[17]. Second, all the histological slides were prepared by the histology department at our

Institute by highly trained technicians using high-quality equipment. The slides were typically of

high quality. As such, our method may not function well with lower quality slides. However, poor

quality slides are an impediment to all sizing methods, and, as mentioned, our macro can be

adapted to optimize its performance to different experimental conditions. Our method also requires

the use of whole-slide images with uniform illumination, but the recent decrease in the cost of slide

scanners alleviates this issue[12]. Third, only mean adipocyte diameter was considered and not

adipocyte size distribution in each sample. As only approximately 100 adipocytes were measured

per sample, it is possible that some size subgroups would be underrepresented with the manual

method, resulting in the comparison of the two methods in this regard to be of questionable

relevance.

In conclusion, we have successfully developed a rapid, automated method for the measurement of

adipocytes in H&E-stained histological sections of white adipose tissue. This automated method

consists of a straightforward macro for ImageJ that analyzes whole-slide images of histological

white adipose tissue sections. We have shown that this method is valid and reliable. Compared to

the usual manual method, our automated method allows for the measurement of a much greater

number of cells while requiring considerably less time. Furthermore, our method can easily be

adopted and adapted by other teams, which could increase the uniformity between laboratories.

4- METHODS

4.1 Participants characteristics and tissue sampling

Participants are patients who underwent bariatric surgery and were recruited through the Biobank

infrastructure at the *Insitiut universitaire de cardiologie et de pneumologie de Québec –*

Université Laval. All participants provided written, informed consent. This study was approved

by the medical ethics committees of Laval University (approval numbers 2017-2710, 2021-3398

and 2019-3218). Clinical and anthropometric characteristics such as age, sex, height, weight, BMI,

and waist circumference were recorded during the pre-surgery consultation or on the morning of

surgery. Fasting blood samples were obtained and lipid profiles (total cholesterol (Chol), HDL-

Chol, LDL-Chol, triglycerides (TG), and apolipoprotein B (apoB) levels) and hematological

parameters (fasting insulin, fasting glucose, and HbA1c) were measured by the biochemistry

department of the Institute. Omental (OM) and subcutaneous (SC) adipose tissue samples were

collected from the greater omentum and the abdominal SC adipose tissue compartment,

respectively, during surgery and immediately frozen at -80°C. Approximately 60 to 80mg of frozen

adipose tissue was fixed in 10% formalin overnight at 4°C and embedded in paraffin the following

day in accordance with our validated protocol[10]. Five µm sections were mounted on slides, stained

with H&E and used for the manual and automated measurements of cell size. The homeostatic

model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated according to the equation:

fasting insulin (µU/mL) * fasting glucose (mmol/L) /22.5[22]. Visceral adiposity index (VAI) was

calculated using validated sex-specific equations[23]. The equations are as follows: males: $VAI =$

$\left(\frac{Waist\ Circumference}{39.68+(1.88 X BMI)}\right) * \left(\frac{TG}{1.03}\right) * (\frac{1.31}{HDL})$ females: $VAI = \left(\frac{Waist\ Circumference}{36.58+(1.89 X BMI)}\right) * \left(\frac{TG}{0.81}\right) * (\frac{1.52}{HDL})$[23].

Initially, a small group of 16 samples were selected for the elaboration of the automated method

and an initial validation. The samples were obtained from four participants (two men and two

women, 45 ± 9.7 years old, BMI 48 ± 6.6 kg/m^2 at the time of bariatric surgery). This group

allowed us to determine the parameters for the automated measurements in a variety of cell size

ranges using adipose tissue samples from two depots.

Subsequently, validation of the method was performed with a larger group composed of 190

participants who had undergone bariatric surgery and were included in this study.

4.2 Manual adipose cell size measurements

Briefly, five subregions with minimal damage and artifacts were randomly acquired from each

histological adipose tissue section using a Leica DMLB microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany)

coupled with a MotiCam580 (Motic, British Columbia, Canada) at 5x magnification for each

sample. Manual histomorphometric analyses were performed blinded to patient identity or type of

depot according to the method described by Laforest et al (2018)[10]. The digital photomicrographs

were converted to 8-bit, the background was subtracted, background noise was reduced using a

median filter, and a manual threshold was applied to segment adipocytes using ImageJ (National

Institutes of Health, USA). These photomicrographs were then converted to binary images and the

cross-sectional area of each adipocyte was manually measured using the wand tool on each image.

Incomplete cells on the edge of the images were excluded. The paintbrush tool was used to smooth

the cell surface or fill-in membranes when needed. A minimum of 100 cells per tissue were

measured. The cross-sectional areas were used to calculate diameters, expressed in µm.

4.3 Automated adipose cell size measurements

Whole-slide images were acquired with an Axio Scan Z1 slide scanner (Zeiss, Oberkochen,

Germany) at 20x magnification. Whole-slide digital images were first converted to black and white

and the thresholding method was selected based on the best segmentation of the adipocytes.

Multiple thresholding methods were tested and triangle thresholding produced the best result for

our images. The automatic thresholding compensates for differences in light intensity during

acquisition of H&E staining variation within samples. The threshold is adjusted and optimized

automatically on each image. Other parameters such as removing outliers, eroding and despeckling

were tested to reduce background noise.

Area analysis was performed through a particle analysis component in image J (National Institutes

of Health, USA). The particle analysis is based on the size and the circularity of objects. Minimum

and maximum size cut-offs of 315 and 40000 μm^2 (equivalent to a diameter of 20 and 225 µm),

respectively, were applied so all objects inferior to 20 µm and superior to 225 µm in diameter were

excluded. The minimum cut-off allowed us to exclude objects that might not be adipocytes,

particularly under 20 µm in diameter. The maximum cut-off is important to control for potentially

damaged tissues. Objects larger than 225 µm in diameter likely represent two or more cells where

the cell membrane collapsed or was damaged during tissue processing. This would result in an

overestimation of cell size. The roundness of mature adipocytes allows us to use circularity as an

important component of this analysis. Different circularity value cut-offs were tested during the

initial elaboration and validation phase. The circularity cut-off values have been selected to

maximize the number of detected cells while having the best fit with the manual cell sizes without

including false detections due to poor tissue quality (cell damage). Once the steps of the procedure

were established, the different parameters were incorporated into a macro file in ImageJ to perform

automatic fast-paced analysis on all images. Mean surface areas were extracted and converted to

diameters expressed in µm. For quality assurance, when the number of detected cells was less than

200, the whole-slide image was visually inspected to determine why the count was low. If there

was an issue in cell detection, the threshold was manually adjusted to improve segmentation and

the image was reanalyzed. If the detection of cells appeared accurate, the initial results were kept

if the total number of cells detected was greater than 100. If less than 100 cells were detected, this

was considered as an insufficient number and the results were omitted from subsequent analyses.

A simplified schematic overview of the two methods is presented in **Figure 1**.

4.4 Statistical analyses

Spearman correlations and paired t-tests were used for the initial validation with 16 adipose tissue

samples with GraphPad Prism 10.0.3 (GraphPad Software, LLC, San Diego, Calif, USA).

For the final validation of the automated method using 380 adipose tissue samples from 190

patients, the strength of association between the mean adipocyte diameters from each method was

analyzed using paired t-tests and Pearson correlations. Disagreement between the mean adipocyte

diameters from each method was evaluated using Bland-Altman plots. Pearson correlations were

used to analyze the association between mean adipocyte diameters from each method with

different markers of cardiometabolic health (BMI, WC, total Chol, HDL-Chol, LDL-Chol, TG,

apoB, HOMA-IR, and VAI). Meng's Z-test for correlated correlation coefficients was used to

determine if the correlations differed significantly based on the method used. Statistical analysis

was performed using RStudio® 2024.04.2 Build 764 (Posit Software, PBC, Boston, Mass. USA)

with R version 4.3.3 and with GraphPad Prism® Version 10.3.1 (509) (GraphPad Software, LLC,

San Diego, Calif, USA).

5- TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1: Participants' anthropometric characteristics and metabolic markers levels. Data are
expressed as the mean ± SD and minimum and maximum values.

	Mean ± SD	Min - Max
n	190	
Sex (men/women)	46:144	
Age (years)	38.0 ± 8.6	19 - 59
BMI (kg/m^2)	49.0 ± 7.3	35.6 – 74.8
Visceral adiposity index (VAI)	2.65 ± 1.62	0.69 – 11.26
Waist circumference (cm)	135 ± 14	106 – 184
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.64 ± 0.76	0.45 – 5.20
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.18 ± 0.27	0.69 – 2.06
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.73 ± 0.73	1.13 – 6.25
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.63 ± 0.88	2.54 – 9.47
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.4 ± 0.4	4.2 – 7.7
HOMA-IR	6.2 ± 3.3	0.9 – 17.3
Apo B (g/L)	0.96 ± 0.21	0.52 – 1.96

400 Table 2: Summary results of all adipocytes diameter (OM and SC) with the manual and automated
401 method. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD for the measured cell number and cell diameter. Time
402 required for analysis includes file processing and handling.

	Manual method	Automated method
n	378	374
Time required for analysis	~ 10 minutes/section	~ 0.8 minutes/section
Average number of cells measured ±SD	116 ± 13 cells	878 ± 511 cells
Average cell diameter ± SD	74.6 ± 10.5 µm	69.1 ± 10.5 µm

Figure 1: Schematic overview of the manual **(a)** and the automated **(b)** adipocyte diameter measurements in image J. Descriptive steps with disadvantages and advantages of each method are provided.

Figure 2: Comparison of adipocyte diameters measured by the two methods. Pearson correlation in all cell diameter (OM and SC) between the manual and automated method **(a)** and Bland-Atlman plot with size differences **(b)**. Paired t-test between the two methods in omental **(c)** and subcutaneous **(d)** adipose tissues.

471 **Figure 3:** Comparison in association with anthropometrics and metabolic markers. Pearson correlation
472 between mean adipocyte diameter with BMI, WC and cardiometabolic markers (TG, HDL-Chol, HOMA-
473 IR and VAI) between the two methods in omental **(a)** and subcutaneous depot **(b)**.

6- REFRENCES

(1) Wharton, S.; Lau, D. C. W.; Vallis, M.; Sharma, A. M.; Biertho, L.; Campbell-Scherer, D.; Adamo,
K.; Alberga, A.; Bell, R.; Boulé, N.; Boyling, E.; Brown, J.; Calam, B.; Clarke, C.; Crowshoe, L.;
Divalentino, D.; Forhan, M.; Freedhoff, Y.; Gagner, M.; Glazer, S.; Grand, C.; Green, M.; Hahn,
M.; Hawa, R.; Henderson, R.; Hong, D.; Hung, P.; Janssen, I.; Jacklin, K.; Johnson-Stoklossa, C.;
Kemp, A.; Kirk, S.; Kuk, J.; Langlois, M.-F.; Lear, S.; McInnes, A.; Macklin, D.; Naji, L.; Manjoo,
P.; Morin, M.-P.; Nerenberg, K.; Patton, I.; Pedersen, S.; Pereira, L.; Piccinini-Vallis, H.; Poddar,
M.; Poirier, P.; Prud'homme, D.; Salas, X. R.; Rueda-Clausen, C.; Russell-Mayhew, S.; Shiau, J.;
Sherifali, D.; Sievenpiper, J.; Sockalingam, S.; Taylor, V.; Toth, E.; Twells, L.; Tytus, R.; Walji, S.;
Walker, L.; Wicklum, S. Obesity in Adults: A Clinical Practice Guideline. *CMAJ* **2020**, *192* (31),
E875–E891. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.191707.

(2) Tchernof, A.; Després, J.-P. Pathophysiology of Human Visceral Obesity: An Update. *Physiol. Rev.*
2013, *93* (1), 359–404. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00033.2011.

(3) Ye, R. Z.; Richard, G.; Gévry, N.; Tchernof, A.; Carpentier, A. C. Fat Cell Size: Measurement
Methods, Pathophysiological Origins, and Relationships With Metabolic Dysregulations. *Endocr.*
Rev. **2022**, *43* (1), 35–60. https://doi.org/10.1210/endrev/bnab018.

(4) Laforest, S.; Labrecque, J.; Michaud, A.; Cianflone, K.; Tchernof, A. Adipocyte Size as a
Determinant of Metabolic Disease and Adipose Tissue Dysfunction. *Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci.* **2015**,
52 (6), 301–313. https://doi.org/10.3109/10408363.2015.1041582.

(5) Lessard, J.; Laforest, S.; Pelletier, M.; Leboeuf, M.; Blackburn, L.; Tchernof, A. Low Abdominal
Subcutaneous Preadipocyte Adipogenesis Is Associated with Visceral Obesity, Visceral Adipocyte
Hypertrophy, and a Dysmetabolic State. *Adipocyte* **2014**, *3* (3), 197–205.
https://doi.org/10.4161/adip.29385.

(6) Chen, H. C.; Farese, R. V. Determination of Adipocyte Size by Computer Image Analysis. *J. Lipid*
Res. **2002**, *43* (6), 986–989.

(7) Okamoto, Y.; Higashiyama, H.; Inoue, H.; Kanematsu, M.; Kinoshita, M.; Asano, S. Quantitative
Image Analysis in Adipose Tissue Using an Automated Image Analysis System: Differential Effects
of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor-Alpha and -Gamma Agonist on White and Brown
Adipose Tissue Morphology in AKR Obese and Db/Db Diabetic Mice. *Pathol. Int.* **2007**, *57* (6),
369–377. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1827.2007.02109.x.

(8) Galarraga, M.; Campión, J.; Muñoz-Barrutia, A.; Boqué, N.; Moreno, H.; Martínez, J. A.; Milagro,
F.; Ortiz-de-Solórzano, C. Adiposoft: Automated Software for the Analysis of White Adipose
Tissue Cellularity in Histological Sections. *J. Lipid Res.* **2012**, *53* (12), 2791–2796.
https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.D023788.

(9) Yosofvand, M.; Liyanage, S.; Kalupahana, N. S.; Scoggin, S.; Moustaid-Moussa, N.; Moussa, H.
AdipoGauge Software for Analysis of Biological Microscopic Images. *Adipocyte* **2020**, *9* (1), 360–
373. https://doi.org/10.1080/21623945.2020.1787583.

(10) Laforest, S.; Pelletier, M.; Michaud, A.; Daris, M.; Descamps, J.; Soulet, D.; Jensen, M. D.;
Tchernof, A. Histomorphometric Analyses of Human Adipose Tissues Using Intact, Flash-Frozen
Samples. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **2018**, *149* (3), 209–218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-018-1635-3.

(11) Palomäki, V. A.; Koivukangas, V.; Meriläinen, S.; Lehenkari, P.; Karttunen, T. J. A Straightforward
Method for Adipocyte Size and Count Analysis Using Open-Source Software QuPath. *Adipocyte*
2022, *11* (1), 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/21623945.2022.2027610.

(12) Maguire, A. S.; Woodie, L. N.; Judd, R. L.; Martin, D. R.; Greene, M. W.; Graff, E. C. Whole-Slide Image Analysis Outperforms Micrograph Acquisition for Adipocyte Size Quantification. *Adipocyte* **2020**, *9* (1), 567–575. https://doi.org/10.1080/21623945.2020.1823139.

(13) Laforest, S.; Michaud, A.; Paris, G.; Pelletier, M.; Vidal, H.; Géloën, A.; Tchernof, A. Comparative Analysis of Three Human Adipocyte Size Measurement Methods and Their Relevance for Cardiometabolic Risk. *Obes. Silver Spring Md* **2017**, *25* (1), 122–131. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21697.

(14) Schneider, C. A.; Rasband, W. S.; Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 Years of Image Analysis. *Nat. Methods* **2012**, *9* (7), 671–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089.

(15) Hu, Y.; Yu, J.; Cui, X.; Zhang, Z.; Li, Q.; Guo, W.; Zhao, C.; Chen, X.; Meng, M.; Li, Y.; Guo, M.; Qiu, J.; Shen, F.; Wang, D.; Ma, X.; Xu, L.; Shen, F.; Gu, X. Combination Usage of AdipoCount and Image-Pro Plus/ImageJ Software for Quantification of Adipocyte Sizes. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2021**, *12*, 642000. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021.642000.

(16) Osman, O. S.; Selway, J. L.; Kępczyńska, M. A.; Stocker, C. J.; O'Dowd, J. F.; Cawthorne, M. A.; Arch, J. R.; Jassim, S.; Langlands, K. A Novel Automated Image Analysis Method for Accurate Adipocyte Quantification. *Adipocyte* **2013**, *2* (3), 160–164. https://doi.org/10.4161/adip.24652.

(17) Parlee, S. D.; Lentz, S. I.; Mori, H.; MacDougald, O. A. Quantifying Size and Number of Adipocytes in Adipose Tissue. *Methods Enzymol.* **2014**, *537*, 93–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-411619-1.00006-9.

(18) Zhi, X.; Wang, J.; Lu, P.; Jia, J.; Shen, H.-B.; Ning, G. AdipoCount: A New Software for Automatic Adipocyte Counting. *Front. Physiol.* **2018**, *9*, 85. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00085.

(19) Giavarina, D. Understanding Bland Altman Analysis. *Biochem. Medica* **2015**, *25* (2), 141. https://doi.org/10.11613/BM.2015.015.

(20) Bankhead, P.; Loughrey, M. B.; Fernández, J. A.; Dombrowski, Y.; McArt, D. G.; Dunne, P. D.; McQuaid, S.; Gray, R. T.; Murray, L. J.; Coleman, H. G.; James, J. A.; Salto-Tellez, M.; Hamilton, P. W. QuPath: Open Source Software for Digital Pathology Image Analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1), 16878. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17204-5.

(21) *Adiposoft*. ImageJ Wiki. https://imagej.github.io/plugins/adiposoft (accessed 2024-10-01).

(22) Matthews, D. R.; Hosker, J. P.; Rudenski, A. S.; Naylor, B. A.; Treacher, D. F.; Turner, R. C. Homeostasis Model Assessment: Insulin Resistance and Beta-Cell Function from Fasting Plasma Glucose and Insulin Concentrations in Man. *Diabetologia* **1985**, *28* (7), 412–419. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00280883.

(23) Amato, M. C.; Giordano, C.; Galia, M.; Criscimanna, A.; Vitabile, S.; Midiri, M.; Galluzzo, A.; AlkaMeSy Study Group. Visceral Adiposity Index: A Reliable Indicator of Visceral Fat Function Associated with Cardiometabolic Risk. *Diabetes Care* **2010**, *33* (4), 920–922. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1825.

a)

Manual Measurement of Cell Size

Method

Five photomicrographs of each H&E-stained slide are acquired in bright-field at 5x magnification.

The photomicrographs are imported into ImageJ.

Disadvantages

-Difficult to analyze low-quality images

-Cannot use whole slide images due to file size and time constraints

Method

Manual threshold is applied to segment counterstained adipocytes.

Images are manually processed to reduce background signal.

Disadvantages

- Residual background signal

Method

At least 100 cells are counted using the magic wand tool.

Surface areas are extracted from each counted adipocyte.

Disadvantages

-Time consuming

- Poor representation of whole tissue

-Measurement and observer bias

b)

Automated Measurement of Cell Size

Method

Whole slide images of the H&E-stained tissue sections acquired at 20x magnification.

Whole slide images are imported into ImageJ.

Advantages

-High-quality images of each section

-Automated acquisition of whole slide images

Method

Automatic thresholding is applied.

Automatic adjustment to reduce background signal.

Advantages

-Possibility of batch processing

-Reproducible results

Method

Automated analysis of particles with cut-offs for size (20-225µm) and circularity (0.63-1.00).

Advantages

-Rapid and high-capacity measurement of adipocytes