Assessment of antimicrobial resistance genes in Caribbean corals, including those treated with amoxicillin

Karen L. Neely[1]*, Christina A. Kellogg[2], Julie J. Voelschow[2], Allison R. Cauvin[3], Sydney A.
M. Reed[4], Ewelina Rubin[4], Julie L. Meyer[4]

[1] National Coral Reef Institute, Nova Southeastern University, Dania Beach FL, USA

[2] U.S. Geological Survey, St. Petersburg Coastal and Marine Science Center, St. Petersburg FL,
USA

[3] School of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

[4] Soil, Water, and Ecosystem Sciences Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

* Correspondence
Karen L. Neely
kneely0@nova.edu

Running Page Head: ARGs in corals
12

Abstract
The decimation of reefs caused by stony coral tissue loss disease prompted the use of a topical
amoxicillin treatment to prevent coral mortality. Application of this treatment led to concerns
about unintentional impacts such as potential alteration of the coral microbiome and possible
spread of antibiotic resistance. We used two different methodologies – microbial RNA
sequencing and microbial qPCR array – to assess these concerns and to establish a baseline of
antimicrobial resistance genes in coral microbes. RNA sequencing was conducted on coral
mucus samples collected before and 24 hours after amoxicillin application on wild *Montastraea*
cavernosa. While diverse antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) were expressed, no differences
were detected in ARG expression after amoxicillin treatment. Additionally, there were no
notable changes in the microbial communities between the before and after samples. Microbial
qPCR array was used to assess differences in ARGs over longer timescales in wild *Colpophyllia*
natans, comparing never-treated corals with ones treated a single time seven months prior and
with those treated multiple times seven months and more prior. No clinically relevant ARGs
represented in the arrays were detected across any samples. A small number of above-detection
reads (4 in the never-treated corals, 2 in the once-treated corals, and 0 in the multi-treated corals)
may indicate weak amplification of similar environmental (non-anthropogenic) ARGs in the
corals. Results indicate that the localized topical application of amoxicillin to prevent mortality
of SCTLD-affected corals is neither immediately disrupting the microbiome of treated corals at
the colony level nor driving the development of ARGs over immediate or longer-term time
scales.
34

35 **Keywords:** antibiotic resistance genes, ARG, AMR, amoxicillin, stony coral tissue loss disease,
36 microarray, metatranscriptomics, RNAseq, coral reef, Florida

1. Introduction

The outbreak of stony coral tissue loss disease (SCTLD) has caused unprecedented mortality
across the majority of stony coral species in the Caribbean, including more than 20 of the
approximately 45 species of reef-builders and 5 of the U.S. Endangered Species-listed Caribbean
coral species (Florida Coral Disease Response Research & Epidemiology Team 2018). The
disease originated in South Florida in 2014 (Precht et al. 2016), but since 2017 has spread
throughout much of the greater Caribbean region (Papke et al. 2024).

Mortality rates of 67-100% of some species (Precht et al. 2016), along with significant losses to
coral cover, diversity, and ecosystem function (Alvarez-Filip et al. 2022, Hayes et al. 2022),
triggered unprecedented efforts to save individual colonies using novel methods. Antibiotics
added to an aquarium with SCTLD-affected corals halted disease progression (Miller et al.
2020), and a topical paste was subsequently developed specifically for delivering amoxicillin to
diseased coral tissues. The paste, termed amoxicillin+Base2b, was tested in the field on various
species and found to be 67–100% effective at halting SCTLD lesions (Neely et al. 2020, Neely et
al. 2021, Shilling et al. 2021, Walker et al. 2021). Though in some instances new lesions can
appear on previously treated corals, sites that are regularly visited can address these, leading to
high survival of corals (Neely 2023). The application of this antibiotic paste has since become
the standard for treatment of SCTLD throughout the Caribbean. As of May 2025, over 30,000
corals have been treated with this method on Florida's Coral Reef (Florida Fish and Wildlife
Research Institute 2024).

Though these successes are encouraging, there are management concerns about the deployment
of antibiotics onto coral reefs. These include the short- and/or long-term disruption of the coral
microbiome, as well as the potential short- and/or long-term development of antibiotic resistance
within the corals and/or the surrounding environment. Assessments of these potential unintended
consequences were identified by the four leading state and federal agencies as research priorities
for Florida's Coral Disease Response in 2021, 2022, and 2023. The concerns also delayed or
prohibited use of these treatments in some Caribbean jurisdictions (Lee Hing et al. 2022).

The widespread usage of antibiotics in medical and agricultural fields has prompted great
concerns over the development of antibiotic resistance in our environment (Kümmerer 2003,
Zhang et al. 2022). While the appearance of resistant microbes over the course of more than 80
years of human use has doubtless been exacerbated by the development and use of antibiotics,
studies have also revealed that antibiotic resistance is ancient (Waglechner et al. 2021), detecting
a variety of antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs, also known as AMRs) in 30,000-year-old
permafrost and deep within caves that have been isolated for millions of years (D'Costa et al.
2011, Bhullar et al. 2012). The likely ubiquitous presence of ARGs in natural systems relates to
their extensive role in common competitive microbe-microbe interactions (Granato et al. 2019)
as well as additional physiological functions outside of their protective role (e.g., encoding efflux
pumps or signal trafficking; Martínez (2008)). Nevertheless, anthropogenic antibiotic use
strongly influences microbial genetic selection which can result in clinically relevant antibiotic
resistance.

Antibiotics are commonly used in the treatment of human ailments as well as illnesses of pets
and livestock. Additionally, they are frequently used prophylactically in agriculture and
aquaculture or as growth promoters (Kümmerer 2003, Cabello 2006, Kümmerer 2009a).
Estimates from the late 1990s and early 2000s placed worldwide consumption of antibiotics at
100,000 to 200,000 tons per year, with as little as 10% being for human clinical use (Kümmerer
2009b). Due to concerns that overuse of antibiotics in animal feeds could compromise their use
in human medicine, their use as growth promoters has been banned in a number of European
countries (Kümmerer 2009b). Given that a bacterium under optimal conditions can divide as
rapidly as every 20 to 30 min, it only takes about 20 generations to reach over 1 million cells; so
theoretically a cell containing an ARG could become dominant in a population within as little as
10 hours (Pray 2008). Use of antibiotics for treatment of wildlife diseases, however, is
uncommon. In general, disease outbreaks in free-ranging wildlife are considered natural and left
to run their course. Exceptions include when those outbreaks may also affect human, pet, or
agricultural health, or are impacting highly endangered or managed species (as reviewed in
Neely et al. (2021)).

It is currently unknown what sort of selective effects the use of topical amoxicillin may have on
coral microbiomes or ARGs (Saldaña and Woodley 2024), nor what kind of background levels
and diversity of these genes might already exist in corals. Here we tested whether the application
of amoxicillin+Base2b on coral disease lesions caused a change in the coral microbiome in
tissues adjacent to the lesion 24 hours after application. We also conducted two different
experiments using two different methods – metatranscriptomics and quantitative polymerase
chain reaction (qPCR) microarrays – to assess whether there was an increase in the abundance or
diversity of ARGs as a result of these small, periodic treatments. These experiments provide
baseline information on the *in situ* presence and diversity of ARGs associated with corals in
Florida and can inform future investigations of antibiotic applications in the marine environment.

103
2. Methods:
2.1 Sample Sites and Collections
Coral tissue and mucus samples were taken from two patch reefs within the Upper Keys section
of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary: Hen and Chickens reef, and Cheeca Rocks. The
two reefs are 7.5 km from each other (Figure 1a-c). Both lie 2-3 km from the nearest point of
land, range in depth from 1.5 – 6.0 meters, and contain relatively high coral cover and coral
species diversity compared to other Florida reefs. Here we compare the results of two
independently designed and executed experiments, one from Hen and Chickens reef and one
from Cheeca Rocks, to assess the in situ selective pressure for ARGs during antibiotic treatments
for SCTLD.
114
At Hen and Chickens reef, sampling was designed to assess the baseline levels of ARGs and
whether there were immediate changes in microbial community structure or in the expression of
ARGs in coral tissues treated with antibiotics. Ten SCTLD-affected colonies of *Montastraea*
cavernosa, all of which had never previously been observed with SCTLD and which had never
been treated, were sampled on July 19, 2022. Mucus-tissue slurry samples were collected by
aspiration with a sterile needle-less 20-ml syringe using gentle agitation of the surface mucus
layer from apparently healthy tissue at least 30 cm from active SCTLD lesions. The surface
mucus layer was chosen as the sample type since it is the interface between the coral holobiont

and the environment and contains the highest density of prokaryotic cells (Garren and Azam
2010), thus providing the most active microbial community for detection of short-term changes
in microbiome composition and gene expression. The sample was collected away from the active
lesion in order to have a baseline reference for the coral microbiome that was unaffected by the
disease lesion community. After sampling, any SCTLD lesions on the coral were treated with the
standard amoxicillin+Base2b mixture (1:8 ratio by weight). The compound was topically applied
directly to active disease lesions, overlapping the live-dead tissue margin and adhering to the
skeleton and tissue. Twenty-four hours later, the same corals were revisited and sampled again,
at a distance of three coral polyps from the treatment margin. The distance of three coral polyps
(approximately 2 cm) was chosen as a representative distance for impacts to the coral
microbiome directly outside of the treatment area. This sampling time frame was chosen because
the treatment was designed to deliver a quick burst of antibiotic on the first day, followed by
slower release over the next two days. Lab experiments show that more than half of the
amoxicillin in the Base2b paste is delivered within 24 hours and all of the amoxicillin is
delivered within 72 hours of application (Favero and Curtis 2020). In addition, 24 hours was
hypothesized to be sufficient time for changes to be detectable in both microbiome composition
and gene expression but not so long that the bacterial community recovered; Sweet et al. (2011)
found orders of magnitude reduction in the bacterial population of corals following antibiotic
water dosing, but substantial recovery between 48 and 96 hours. For both the before and after-
treatment samples, syringes were immediately stored in a highly insulated cooler with dry ice.
While this method keeps the samples very cold, the saltwater typically does not freeze. On shore,
syringes with mucus-tissue slurries were set upright inside the cooler to allow settlement from
concurrently sampled seawater. Excess seawater was decanted from the syringes, and the
remaining mucus-tissue slurries were transferred to sterile conical tubes with Zymo DNA/RNA
Shield. Samples were transferred frozen to the University of Florida and stored at -80°C until
processing.
149
In a separate experiment at Cheeca Rocks, sampling was designed to assess whether antibiotic
treatments were creating long-term selective pressure that would result in detectable antibiotic
resistance genes, and whether single versus multiple treatments through time would affect this.
Samples were taken from corals at Cheeca Rocks on November 17, 2022. The experimental
design included sampling four colonies (biological replicates) within each of three categories: (i)
never treated with amoxicillin+Base2b, (ii) treated a single time seven months prior to sampling,
and (iii) treated at three sample times, at least seven months prior. Within humans, the rise of
resistant pathogens can be delayed from 0 to 6 months after antibiotic treatments (Poku et al.
2023), and so the rationale for sampling several months after treatment in corals was to assess
whether lasting resistance developed, particularly in the case of corals that had been treated
multiple times.
161
162 A total of 12 samples from *Colpophyllia natans* were taken. Samples were also taken from 12
163 *Montastraea cavernosa* colonies following the same procedures, but the *M. cavernosa* samples
164 were not able to be analyzed due to DNA extractions yielding largely coral host DNA rather than
165 microbial DNA and so are not reported on further.
166
Samples were collected between a depth of 2.7 – 3.9 meters. Samples were collected using a 2
cm hole-punch hammered through the live tissue. For corals that had been previously treated,

samples were taken within 5 cm of the tissue margin which had previously been treated for
SCTLD (Figure 1d-e). All cores were taken on angled slopes of the colonies rather than the tops
or vertical sides. The hole-punch was cleaned between colonies using a disinfecting wipe. Each
extracted core sample was placed into a sterile plastic bag. Upon reaching the surface, seawater
was poured out of each bag and replaced with RNAlater. Bags were sealed and kept on ice back
to land, then placed in a refrigerator for 24 hours to allow fixative to infiltrate the tissue, then
placed in a -20°C freezer until shipment. Preserved samples were shipped frozen with cold packs
to the U.S. Geological Survey's Coral Microbial Ecology laboratory, arriving November 23,
2022, and stored in a -20°C freezer until analysis.
178
179 *2.2 Processing and Analyses: Microbial RNAseq and 16S rRNA amplicons (Hen and Chickens*
180 *Reef)*
181
182 2.2.1 Co-extraction of nucleic acids
183 Mucus-tissue slurries were homogenized using 0.1- and 0.5-mm Bashing Beads lysis tubes
184 (Zymo Research) and vortexed horizontally for 5 min at 2500 rpm on a multi-tube vortex. DNA
185 and RNA were co-extracted from the homogenized slurry with a ZymoBIOMICS DNA/RNA
186 Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer instructions.
187
2.2.2 Processing of RNAseq libraries
After extraction, total RNA and DNA were quantified spectrophotometrically using the DS-11
spectrophotometer-fluorometer tool (DeNovix). RNA integrity numbers were determined before
cDNA library construction using Tape Station (Agilent). Barcoded metatranscriptomic libraries
were prepared using Universal Prokaryotic RNA-seq, Any-Deplete kit (NuGen) and sequenced
on two lanes of the Illumina NovaSeq6000 SP-PE-150-bp flow cell at the University of Florida's
Interdisciplinary Center for Biotechnology Research (RRID:SCR_019152). The quality of raw
and filtered sequencing reads was assessed with FastQC v. 0.11.5 (Andrews 2017). Illumina
adapters and quality trimming were completed using Trimmomatic v. 0.36 (Bolger et al. 2014)
with the following settings: 1) a sliding window of 4 nucleotides averaging to a Phred score of
20, 2) leading and trailing sequence thresholds set to 3 nucleotides, and 3) a minimum of 50 bp
in length. Ribosomal RNA sequences were removed from trimmed sequencing reads using
SortMeRNA v. 4.0 (Kopylova et al. 2012). Non-ribosomal RNA sequence reads were then co-
assembled with Megahit v. 1.1.4 (Li et al. 2016). Protein-coding sequences for the assembled
transcripts were predicted with Prodigal v. 2.6.3 (Hyatt et al. 2010). The translated protein-
coding sequences were queried against NCBI's non-redundant protein database (downloaded in
November 2022) using the Diamond blastp tool v. 2.0.14 (Buchfink et al. 2015). The top
Diamond matches (highest bit score) were used for taxonomic classification with TaxonKit v.
0.9.0 (Shen and Xiong 2019). Proteins from metatranscriptomes were grouped into six
taxonomic groups (Bacteria, Viruses, Archaea, Cnidaria, Symbiodiniaceae, other Eukaryotes).
Antimicrobial resistance genes in proteins assigned to Bacteria were identified with RGI v. 6.0.2
with the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (Alcock et al. 2023). Mapping to all
contigs was done using Bowtie2 v. 2.4.5 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012) mapper and the
transcript quantification (TPMs – transcript per million) was retrieved using Salmon v. 1.8.0
(Patro et al. 2017). The transcript quantification table was filtered to include only bacterial
transcripts, and differential gene expression analysis was determined between untreated and
treated samples using DESeq2 v. 1.42.1 (Love et al. 2014). The R script for analysis and plotting

of the differential gene expression is available at
https://github.com/meyermicrobiolab/AMR_deseq.
217
2.2.3 Processing of 16S rRNA amplicon libraries
The bacterial community composition of both RNA and DNA fractions of each sample was
characterized by amplicon sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene. Extracted RNA
was converted to cDNA with a ProtoScript II First strand cDNA synthesis kit (New England
Biolabs) before use as a template for PCR. Amplicon libraries were generated as previously
described (Meyer et al. 2019) using the 515F (Parada et al. 2016) and 806RB (Apprill et al.
2015) Earth Microbiome primers. Amplification was performed in triplicate 25-μl reactions for
each sample with Phusion High-Fidelity Master Mix (New England Biolabs) with 3% dimethyl
sulfoxide, 0.25μM of each primer, and 2μl template. Triplicate reactions were cleaned with a
Qiagen MinElute PCR purification kit and quantified with a DeNovix DS-11 spectrophotometer.
One amplicon pool was generated with 240 ng of each cleaned, barcoded PCR product for
sequencing at the University of Florida's Interdisciplinary Center for Biotechnology Research
(RRID:SCR_019152) on an Illumina MiSeq with 2 x 150bp v. 2 cycle format. Illumina adapters
and primers were removed from raw sequencing reads with cutadapt v. 1.8.1 (Martin 2011) and
remaining analyses were completed in RStudio v. 2023.12.1+402 with R version 4.3.2.
Amplicon sequence variants were determined with DADA2 v. 1.30.0 (Callahan et al. 2016).
Taxonomy was assigned with the Silva nr99 v. 138.1 database (Yilmaz et al. 2013). Low
abundance amplicon sequence variants with a mean read count across all samples of less than
five were removed from the analysis. Sequencing read count data were transformed by center-
log-ratio (CLR) and the Aitchison distance of CLR-transformed data was determined with
CoDaSeq (Gloor et al. 2017) and microbial communities were analyzed with phyloseq v. 1.46.0
(McMurdie and Holmes 2013) and vegan v. 2.6-4 (Dixon 2003). The full reproducible R script is
available at https://github.com/meyermicrobiolab/AMR-16S-rRNA.
241
242 *2.3 Processing and Analyses: Microbial qPCR Array (Cheeca Rocks)*
243
Using sterile technique, preserved coral biopsies were thawed and crushed using a sterile
hammer, and approximately 0.2g of each sample was transferred to a bead-beating tube. DNA
extractions were conducted using Qiagen DNeasy PowerBiofilm kits following the
manufacturer's protocol. This methodology has been previously used in similar assessments in
mariculture (Zhao et al. 2017) and urban rivers (Yitayew et al. 2022). DNA concentrations were
quantified using the dsDNA Broad Range Qubit 3.0 fluorometric assay. Samples that yielded
DNA concentrations below 10 ng/μL were re-extracted and quantified.
251
DNA samples were screened for antibiotic resistance genes using Qiagen Microbial DNA qPCR
array for Antibiotic Resistance Genes (ARGs). The assays in each of the 96-wells of the
microarray contain predispensed PCR primers and 5'-hydrolysis probe sets that enable
quantitative real-time PCR. Each microarray plate contains assays for 87 antibiotic resistance
genes belonging to aminoglycoside, beta-lactam, erythromycin, fluoroquinolone, macrolide-
lincosamide-streptogramin B, tetracycline, vancomycin, and multidrug resistance classifications
(Table S1). Beta-lactam resistance genes protect bacteria by hydrolyzing the beta-lactam ring of
various compounds, including amoxicillin. The array contains reactions for 57 beta-lactam
resistance genes of different classes based on functions and sequence similarity. Additionally,

each plate includes positive control wells for the presence of bacterial DNA and positive PCR
controls to test for the presence of inhibitors and confirm the efficiency of the reaction. For each
array, a reaction mixture was made consisting of 1,275 µL of Qiagen Microbial qPCR
Mastermix, 94 µL of sample DNA, and 1,181 µL of Microbial DNA-Free Water, for a total
volume of 2,550 µL which allows 25 µL of reaction mix to be added to each well using a
multichannel pipet and reagent reservoir. The manufacturer recommends using a minimum of
500 ng genomic DNA from metagenomic samples.
268
Given that it is not possible to determine how much of the sample DNA concentration was coral
host DNA versus bacterial microbiome DNA, we applied the maximum concentration available
from each sample (range: 1,100–10,246 ng/µL; median: 2,634 ng/µL). The microarrays were run
on an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus running software version 2.2.2, with baseline set as 8–20
cycles and a threshold setting of 0.2. The cycling conditions consisted of a single initial PCR
activation step of 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec denaturation and
60°C for 2 min annealing and extension. Raw C_t values were exported to the Microbial qPCR
analysis Excel template to detect the presence of ARGs. The identification criteria were C_T <32
= positive, C_t >37 = negative, and C_t >32–<37 = inconclusive (Zhao et al. 2017). Quality
controls included that the six PanBacteria wells had C_t <37 (confirming there was sufficient
bacterial DNA in the sample to detect ARGs if present in sufficient gene copy numbers) and the
positive PCR control (PPC) wells needed a C_t = 22±2 to confirm the instrument and mastermix
performed correctly and that there were no PCR inhibitors in the sample. Per the manufacturer's
documentation, most of the ARGs assays had a sensitivity of 20–50 gene copies per target, with
a small number of targets requiring 100–200 gene copies for detection (Table S1). Exact sample
DNA concentration values, raw fluorescence values, and raw threshold cycle (C_t) values are
available for each sample in the U.S. Geological Survey data release (Kellogg and Voelschow
2024).
287
288 **3. Results:**
289
3.1 Microbial RNAseq and 16S rRNA amplicons (Hen and Chickens Reef)
Nucleic acids were extracted from a total of twenty mucus-slurries that were collected from ten
M. cavernosa colonies before and 24 hours after the application of an antibiotic treatment.
RNAseq libraries were successfully generated from 13 out of the 20 samples with RNA integrity
numbers > 0.8. A total of 514,841,463 sequencing reads were generated for the 13 RNAseq
libraries, with an average of 34,838,281 reads per sample after quality-filtering. Assembled
RNAseq libraries including genetic sequences with gene annotations are publicly available and
searchable in the Joint Genome Institute's Integrated Microbial Genomes and Microbiomes
database under the accession numbers listed in Table S2. Amplicon libraries were successfully
generated from 19 out of 20 DNA fractions and 18 out of 20 RNA fractions. A total of 1,428,607
sequencing reads were generated for the 37 amplicon libraries, with an average of 15,409 reads
per sample after quality-filtering. All raw sequencing reads are available in NCBI under
Bioproject PRNJA931253.
303
A total of 42,770 bacterial genes encoding antimicrobial resistance mechanisms were expressed.
The most commonly expressed resistance mechanisms were antibiotic efflux and antibiotic
inactivation (Figure 2). A total of 12,824 transcripts for antibiotic efflux genes were detected,

which are used to actively pump out a broad range of antimicrobial compounds. A total of
17,901 transcripts for antibiotic inactivation genes were detected, which act upon specific classes
of antimicrobial compounds. The third most commonly expressed resistance mechanism was
antibiotic target alteration. Of the 6,726 transcripts annotated as antibiotic target alteration, 57
transcripts were annotated as penicillin-binding protein mutations conferring resistance to beta-
lactam antibiotics. A total of 261 gene families for antibiotic inactivation were expressed, of
which 202 gene families were beta-lactamases that can inactivate beta-lactam antibiotics like
amoxicillin. Expressed antimicrobial inactivation gene families were very diverse and the most
common included OXA beta-lactamase and nitroimidazole reductase (Figure 3).
316
To determine what background ARG levels were, and if the amoxicillin treatments induced
short-term changes in the expression of ARGs, we tested differential expression between
samples collected before the treatment and those collected one day later. No differentially
expressed ARGs were detected. Furthermore, when ARGs were grouped by drug class,
resistance mechanism, or resistance gene family, no differentially expressed classes,
mechanisms, or families were detected.
323
In addition to determining if ARG expression was impacted by amoxicillin treatment, we also
examined the microbiome composition based on both DNA and RNA fractions before and after
amoxicillin treatment. Overall, 11% of the variation in the microbial community structure was
explained by the nucleic acid fraction (PERMANOVA $R^2 = 0.11$, p = 0.002) while only 7% of
the variation was explained by when the sample was taken (before or after treatment)
(PERMANOVA $R^2 = 0.07$, p = 0.018) (Figure 4). Thus, 82% of the community variation was not
explained by either factor.
331
In both the RNA and DNA fractions, *Montastraea cavernosa* microbial communities at Hen and
Chickens reef were composed primarily of Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria
(Figure S1). Microbial communities in the DNA fraction were composed predominantly of
members of the SAR11 clade, Flavobacteriales, and Blastocatellales, while the RNA fraction
was predominantly composed of Pseudomonadales, Sphingomonadales, and an unclassified
order of Proteobacteria (Figure 5).
338
3.2 Microbial qPCR Array (Cheeca Rocks):
All array plates that were run met the quality requirement that the three positive PCR control
(PPC) replicate assays reached a $C_t = 22\pm2$ to confirm the instrument and mastermix performed
correctly and that there were no PCR inhibitors in the samples. However, the *M. cavernosa* DNA
samples did not meet the criteria of $C_t < 37$ for the triplicate assays for the PanBacteria 1 and
PanBacteria 3 controls and therefore the *M. cavernosa* data were not considered valid. Note that
this is likely due to *M. cavernosa* having large polyps which generate a lot of host DNA that can
swamp the microbial DNA signal. Conversely, the *C. natans* DNA samples did meet the criteria
for $C_t < 37$ in the triplicate assays for the PanBacteria 1 and PanBacteria 3 controls, confirming
there was sufficient bacterial DNA in the samples for ARGs detection: PanBacteria1 wells had a
range of 24.18–31.6, with a median value of 28.41 and PanBacteria 3 wells had a range of
25.58–33.38, with a median value of 30.49. Based on the stated identification criteria of $C_t < 32 =$
positive, $C_t > 37$ = negative, and $C_t > 32 - < 37$ = inconclusive, there were zero ARGs detected in
the 12 *C. natans* samples. However, there were a small number of above-threshold detections of

three genes, *ermB* (20 copy sensitivity), *mefA* (100 copy sensitivity), and *msrA* (100 copy sensitivity) all of which belong to the classification macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin_b (MLS) (Figure 6). Since these assays had extremely high C_t values (38.32–39.51) they may have resulted from non-specific amplification. It is, however, interesting to note that of the six times they occurred, four were in samples from corals that had never been treated, and the remaining two were in corals that had been treated once; none occurred in corals that had been treated multiple times.

4. Discussion

These two independently designed experiments were intended to assess the real-world impacts of topical antibiotic applications on ARGs in wild SCTLD-affected corals. We specifically targeted two distinct time points - 24 hours after application and seven months after application - to address both immediate and long-term potential for the development of antibiotic resistance and impacts of intervention efforts on the coral microbiome.

There are several common analytical methods used to investigate ARGs. In clinical settings it is common to first isolate candidate microbes and then screen them for resistance to specific antibiotics. While this technique can be applied to coral-associated bacteria (e.g., Galkiewicz et al. (2011)), it is limited to those bacterial taxa that are amenable to cultivation. For environmental assessments, molecular techniques including targeted quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) and metagenomics are the preferred methods (Scott et al. 2020, Davis et al. 2023). While molecular techniques cannot assess bacterial viability, they can be scaled to enable high-throughput of larger sample sizes. Targeted genomic analysis via the commercial qPCR microarray used in this study allows for rapid screening of more than 80 clinically relevant ARGs at detection limits ranging from 20–100 gene copies (Table S1). Running a sample takes approximately two hours, followed by minimal analysis using a spreadsheet. However, this system relies on having prior sequence knowledge of the target ARGs and can only detect the specifically chosen ARGs on the array, thus limiting the scope of detection to these clinically relevant ARGs. In contrast, metagenomic analysis is an open-ended tool that can reveal previously undiscovered diversity of ARGs in an environmental microbial community. However, the post-sequencing bioinformatic analysis is much more time- and computation-intensive. In addition, metagenomic studies examining bacterial genes remain technically challenging to conduct with coral microbiota because of the overwhelming genetic signature of the host (Messer et al. 2024). Therefore, we used a metatranscriptomic approach which more effectively targets the bacterial RNA fraction and provides a snapshot of actively transcribed genes. While this approach revealed the highly diverse ARG repertoire of coral microbes, successful recovery of high-quality RNA libraries was challenging and resulted in lowered statistical power. Therefore, we recommend that future efforts include multiple sample replicates from the same colony if permitting allows.

The rationale for using a microarray with 87 target ARGs, of which 57 are beta-lactamase genes (relevant to amoxicillin; inclusive of classes A, B, C, and D) was to determine if: 1) there was an increase in abundance or diversity of ARGs directly linked to amoxicillin resistance and 2) whether, due to multiple ARGs being carried on plasmids, this led to an increase in ARGs to other classes of antibiotics. Instead, there were zero verified detections for clinical ARGs based

on microarrays. We did observe late amplification (past the cut off criteria of $C_t < 37$; Figure 6)
in five samples for genes (*ermB*, *mefA*, *msrA*) within the macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin
(MLS) group of resistance genes. These only occurred in the never-treated or treated-once corals.
This might be non-specific amplification, but it is worth noting that this group of genes is
extremely common in environmental bacteria (defined as genera and species primarily found
outside of humans and animals) (Roberts 2011). We surmise that these late amplifications could
be instances of array primers picking up environmental genes that are similar but not exact
matches to their optimized targets (Aminov 2009). Persistence of certain bacteria during
laboratory experiments involving antibiotic treatment of corals implied the presence of some
naturally antibiotic-resistant bacteria in coral microbiomes (Sweet et al. 2011, Connelly et al.
2022, Connelly et al. 2023).
410
To date, only a handful of studies have examined ARGs associated with corals or coral reef
ecosystems, but these all suggest that the presence of ARGs in marine bacteria is likely common
and widespread. For example, isolates of the coral pathogen *Vibrio coralliilyticus* have
demonstrated antimicrobial resistance to most of the 26 clinically relevant antibiotics, including
amoxicillin (Vizcaino et al. 2010). In addition, ARGs for eight clinically relevant antibiotics
were detected by quantitative PCR in microorganisms from both seawater (Liu et al. 2020) and
microplastics (Zhou et al. 2024) from coral reefs in China. Here, even with fewer than 20
metatranscriptomic libraries, we detected the expression of over 42,000 unique bacterial ARGs.
The most widespread resistance to beta-lactams is through beta-lactamases (Williams 1999),
which were discovered in 1940 (Abraham and Chain 1940) as soon as penicillin was introduced
to clinical practice. Given the widespread and well-studied nature of beta-lactamases, it is not
surprising that we found genes for resistance to amoxicillin, even in untreated corals. These
findings suggest that expressed ARGs are extremely diverse and common in the environment,
regardless of small-scale, localized treatments. Furthermore, this study provides an extensive
dataset of these ARGs that may serve as a resource for future studies. Specifically, the untargeted
RNAseq approach provided the genetic sequences of ARGs that are present in Florida corals
which can be used to expand the scope of detection in future qPCR assays.
428
Overuse and misuse of antibiotics in human and veterinary medicine, agriculture, and
aquaculture are considered the main cause of the rise in antimicrobial-resistant pathogens and
development of environmental reservoirs of clinically relevant ARGs (Thanner et al. 2016,
Dadgostar 2019). The contributions of topical antimicrobials to this rise are largely unknown due
to insufficient data (Blackburn et al. 2023). Beta-lactam antibiotics like amoxicillin make up the
largest share of human-use antibiotics in most countries, accounting for more than half of total
antibiotic use (Kümmerer 2009a). Beta-lactam ARGs are the most commonly detected resistance
genes in the marine environment, based on a meta-analysis of metagenomic data from different
biomes (Zhang et al. 2022). However, high amounts of clinically relevant ARGs are primarily
detected in areas of high human interaction, such as hospitals, wastewater, and areas directly
impacted by large scale farming (Zhang et al. 2022). The amount of selective pressure being
exerted by a spatially limited dose of antibiotic paste, compared to these sources, or to an
aquaculture situation where higher doses of antibiotics are being consistently employed either in
medicated feed or via bath immersion (Chen et al. 2018), is a comparatively negligible addition
to the environment.

The reflexive expectation that treating corals with antibiotic paste would result in selective
pressure favoring antibiotic-resistant bacteria and promotion of horizontal gene transfer of ARGs
via plasmids needs to be examined. We show here that the small, focused treatments used to treat
SCTLD-affected corals are not increasing the diversity or abundance of ARGs at either
immediate (24 hour) nor longer-term (7+ month) time frames. One potential consideration for the
absence of change to the microbiome or increase in ARGs in the samples taken 24 hours after
treatment is that the 2 cm distance between the treatment and the sample was too far for effects
to be seen. However, we think this is unlikely based on the interconnectedness of coral polyps.
Though distinct from scleractinian corals, Gateno et al. (1998) found material translocation
through the gastrovascular system of octocorals across 4 cm of tissue in 24 hours. Neely and
Lewis (2018) also observed a progressing SCTLD lesion on the scleractinian coral *Dendrogyra*
cylindrus halting 2-5 cm from an amoxicillin-packed trench, suggesting effective dosages
reached that distance from the treatment line.

As elevated ARGs are not found even in the treatment-adjacent coral tissues, it is extremely
unlikely that they could be dispersing farther afield into surrounding organisms, sediment, or the
water column. Beta-lactams are typically only detected in low concentrations in the environment
and are easily hydrolyzed at ambient temperature (Längin et al. 2009, Bergheim et al. 2010). In
addition, the unstable beta-lactam ring is inactivated by both heat and UV radiation (Kovalakova
et al. 2020). This suggests that any amoxicillin that leaches out of the amoxicillin+Base2b paste
is not only rapidly diluted by seawater but also chemically broken down.

There is evidence from a variety of studies that the coral-associated microbial community can be
resilient to environmental stressors and can return to the original community composition after
disturbance (Garren et al. 2009, Ziegler et al. 2019, Strudwick et al. 2022). After deliberate
removal of more than 99% of the coral-associated bacteria via a 6-day immersion treatment of
ciprofloxacin, Sweet et al. (2011) identified substantial recovery of the bacterial community by
day 4 (the end of the experimental monitoring). In another experiment that treated corals in
aquaria with an antibiotic mixture for 8 days and then returned them to the reef, most of the
treated corals succumbed to bleaching or mortality, but the few survivors regained a mucus
microbiome similar to pretreatment by 28 days (Glasl et al. 2016). A similar experiment that
exposed corals to an antibiotic cocktail for a week found that the mucus microbiome recovered
to its initial state within 2 weeks (Bent et al. 2021). Our results suggest a negligible effect of
treatments on the microbiome 24 hours after treatment, probably due to the topical nature of
application. The use of a slow-release antibiotic paste applied only to lesions, rather than full
colony immersion in liquid antibiotics for 3 to 7 days, did not result in the dramatic reduction in
bacterial diversity seen in prior laboratory experiments (Sweet et al. 2011, Gilbert et al. 2012,
Sweet et al. 2014, Glasl et al. 2016, Connelly et al. 2022, Connelly et al. 2023). The effects of
antibiotics on coral health via disruption of the microbiome observed in prior tank experiments
appear to be a direct consequence of both the longer antibiotic exposure times and the choice to
uniformly expose the entire coral fragment.

SCTLD is known to be extremely lethal to affected corals (Precht et al. 2016, Aeby et al. 2019,
Thome et al. 2021), and in-water topical antibiotic intervention offers the only known tool for
preventing that mortality. As managers are considering the use of this tool and weighing the pros
with the potential cons of its use, the spread of antibiotic resistance may be a topic of discussion.
This study identifies that within the parameters (location, species, and timeframes) we tested,

development of antibiotic resistance through these treatments is unlikely to be a factor of
concern.

5. Acknowledgments
We are grateful for additional field assistance by Sydney Gallagher and Michelle Dobler. This
work was supported by the U.S. Geological Survey's Ecosystems Biological Threats and
Invasive Species Research Program (CAK). Funding support was also provided by Florida
Department of Environmental Protection Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection – Southeast
Region (JM). Collections were conducted opportunistically under a Florida DEP grant (KLN).
Additional time was donated by the author (KLN). All work was conducted under Florida Keys
National Marine Sanctuary permits FKNMS-2022-066-A1 and FKNMS-2019-177-A3. Any use
of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement
by the U.S. Government.

6. Data Availability Statement
The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors,
without undue reservation. Raw sequencing reads from the RNAseq and 16S rRNA amplicon
studies are publicly available at NCBI under BioProject PRJNA931253. Assembled RNAseq
libraries including genetic sequences with gene annotations are publicly available and searchable
in the Joint Genome Institute's Integrated Microbial Genomes and Microbiomes database. The R
scripts for analysis and plotting of the differential gene expression is available at
https://github.com/meyermicrobiolab/AMR_deseq. The R scripts for analysis of microbiome
composition is available at https://github.com/meyermicrobiolab/AMR-16S-rRNA. Raw
fluorescence data and cycle threshold data from microarray study is publicly available via USGS
data release https://doi.org/10.5066/P9AST28V.
511
512

7. References
Abraham, E. P., and E. Chain. 1940. An enzyme from bacteria able to destroy penicillin. Nature
146:837-837.
Aeby, G., B. Ushijima, J. E. Campbell, S. Jones, G. Williams, J. L. Meyer, C. Hase, and V. Paul.
2019. Pathogenesis of a tissue loss disease affecting multiple species of corals along the
Florida Reef Tract. Frontiers in Marine Science **6**.
Alcock, B. P., W. Huynh, R. Chalil, K. W. Smith, A. R. Raphenya, M. A. Wlodarski, A.
Edaltmand, A. Petkau, S. A. Syed, and K. K. Tsang. 2023. CARD 2023: expanded
curation, support for machine learning, and resistome prediction at the Comprehensive
Antibiotic Resistance Database. Nucleic acids research **51**:D690-D699.
Alvarez-Filip, L., F. J. González-Barrios, E. Pérez-Cervantes, A. Molina-Hernández, and N.
Estrada-Saldívar. 2022. Stony coral tissue loss disease decimated Caribbean coral
populations and reshaped reef functionality. Communications Biology **5**:440.
Aminov, R. I. 2009. The role of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in nature. Environmental
Microbiology **11**:2970-2988.
Andrews, S. 2017. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 2010.
http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
Apprill, A., S. McNally, R. Parsons, and L. Weber. 2015. Minor revision to V4 region SSU
rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. Aquatic
Microbial Ecology **75**:129-137.

Bent, S. M., C. A. Miller, K. H. Sharp, C. M. Hansel, and A. Apprill. 2021. Differential patterns
of microbiota recovery in symbiotic and aposymbiotic corals following antibiotic
disturbance. Msystems **6**:10.1128/msystems. 01086-01020.
Bergheim, M., T. Helland, R. Kallenborn, and K. Kümmerer. 2010. Benzyl-penicillin (penicillin
G) transformation in aqueous solution at low temperature under controlled laboratory
conditions. Chemosphere **81**:1477-1485.
Bhullar, K., N. Waglechner, A. Pawlowski, K. Koteva, E. D. Banks, M. D. Johnston, H. A.
Barton, and G. D. Wright. 2012. Antibiotic resistance is prevalent in an isolated cave
microbiome. Plos One **7**:e34953.
Blackburn, J., K. Ousey, D. Patton, Z. Moore, and P. Avsar. 2023. What is the evidence that
there is antimicrobial resistance associated with the use of topical antimicrobial
preparations? Wound Practice and Research **31**:40-48.
Bolger, A. M., M. Lohse, and B. Usadel. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics **30**:2114-2120.
Buchfink, B., C. Xie, and D. H. Huson. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. Nature methods **12**:59-60.
Cabello, F. C. 2006. Heavy use of prophylactic antibiotics in aquaculture: a growing problem for
human and animal health and for the environment. Environmental Microbiology **8**:1137-
1144.
Callahan, B., P. McMurdie, M. Rosen, A. Han, A. Johnson, and S. H. Dada. 2016. DADA2:
High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nature methods **13**:581-
583.
Chen, B., L. Lin, L. Fang, Y. Yang, E. Chen, K. Yuan, S. Zou, X. Wang, and T. Luan. 2018.
Complex pollution of antibiotic resistance genes due to beta-lactam and aminoglycoside
use in aquaculture farming. Water research **134**:200-208.
Connelly, M. T., C. J. McRae, P.-J. Liu, C. E. Martin, and N. Traylor-Knowles. 2022.
Antibiotics Alter *Pocillopora* Coral-Symbiodiniaceae-Bacteria Interactions and Cause
Microbial Dysbiosis During Heat Stress. Frontiers in Marine Science **8**.
Connelly, M. T., G. Snyder, A. M. Palacio-Castro, P. R. Gillette, A. C. Baker, and N. Traylor-
Knowles. 2023. Antibiotics reduce *Pocillopora* coral-associated bacteria diversity,
decrease holobiont oxygen consumption and activate immune gene expression. Molecular
Ecology **32**:4677-4694.
D'Costa, V. M., C. E. King, L. Kalan, M. Morar, W. W. Sung, C. Schwarz, D. Froese, G. Zazula,
F. Calmels, and R. Debruyne. 2011. Antibiotic resistance is ancient. Nature **477**:457-461.
Dadgostar, P. 2019. Antimicrobial resistance: implications and costs. Infection and drug
resistance **12**:3903-3910.
Davis, B. C., C. Brown, S. Gupta, J. Calarco, K. Liguori, E. Milligan, V. J. Harwood, A. Pruden,
and I. Keenum. 2023. Recommendations for the use of metagenomics for routine
monitoring of antibiotic resistance in wastewater and impacted aquatic environments.
Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology **53**:1731-1756.
Dixon, P. 2003. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. Journal of
vegetation science **14**:927-930.
Favero, M., and K. Curtis. 2020. Creation and Release Profiles of Novel Biodegradable
Ointments for Lesion Based and Whole Colony Treatment Methods to be Utilized in the
Response to Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease (SCTLD). Florida DEP, Miami, FL.

Florida Coral Disease Response Research & Epidemiology Team. 2018. Case Definition: Stony
579   Coral Tissue Loss Disease.
580   https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/Copy%20of%20StonyCoralTissueLossDisease_
581   CaseDefinition%20final%2010022018.pdf.
582   Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute. 2024. Coral Disease Intervention Dashboard.
583   https://arcg.is/0L1LWX.
584   Galkiewicz, J. P., Z. A. Pratte, M. A. Gray, and C. A. Kellogg. 2011. Characterization of
585   culturable bacteria isolated from the cold-water coral *Lophelia pertusa*. FEMS
586   microbiology ecology **77**:333-346.
587   Garren, M., and F. Azam. 2010. New Method for Counting Bacteria Associated with Coral
588   Mucus. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **76**:6128-6133.
589   Garren, M., L. Raymundo, J. Guest, C. D. Harvell, and F. Azam. 2009. Resilience of coral-
590   associated bacterial communities exposed to fish farm effluent. Plos One **4**:e7319.
591   Gateno, D., A. Israel, Y. Barki, and B. Rinkevich. 1998. Gastrovascular circulation in an
592   octocoral: evidence of significant transport of coral and symbiont cells. The Biological
593   Bulletin **194**:178-186.
594   Gilbert, J. A., R. Hill, M. A. Doblin, and P. J. Ralph. 2012. Microbial consortia increase thermal
595   tolerance of corals. Marine Biology **159**:1763-1771.
596   Glasl, B., G. J. Herndl, and P. R. Frade. 2016. The microbiome of coral surface mucus has a key
597   role in mediating holobiont health and survival upon disturbance. The ISME journal
598   **10**:2280-2292.
599   Gloor, G. B., J. M. Macklaim, V. Pawlowsky-Glahn, and J. J. Egozcue. 2017. Microbiome
600   datasets are compositional: and this is not optional. Frontiers in Microbiology **8**:294209.
601   Granato, E. T., T. A. Meiller-Legrand, and K. R. Foster. 2019. The Evolution and Ecology of
602   Bacterial Warfare. Current Biology **29**:R521-R537.
603   Hayes, N. K., C. J. Walton, and D. S. Gilliam. 2022. Tissue loss disease outbreak significantly
604   alters the Southeast Florida stony coral assemblage. Frontiers in Marine Science
605   **9**:975894.
606   Hyatt, D., G.-L. Chen, P. F. LoCascio, M. L. Land, F. W. Larimer, and L. J. Hauser. 2010.
607   Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC
608   Bioinformatics **11**:119.
609   Kellogg, C. A., and J. J. Voelschow. 2025. Screening coral tissue samples (*Colpophyllia natans*)
610   collected from the Florida Keys using a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)
611   antibiotic resistance gene microarray - raw data. U.S. Geological Survey data release,
612   https://doi.org/10.5066.P9AST28V.
613   Kopylova, E., L. Noé, and H. Touzet. 2012. SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal
614   RNAs in metatranscriptomic data. Bioinformatics **28**:3211-3217.
615   Kovalakova, P., L. Cizmas, T. J. McDonald, B. Marsalek, M. Feng, and V. K. Sharma. 2020.
616   Occurrence and toxicity of antibiotics in the aquatic environment: A review.
617   Chemosphere **251**:126351.
618   Kümmerer, K. 2003. Significance of antibiotics in the environment. Journal of Antimicrobial
619   Chemotherapy **52**:5-7.
620   Kümmerer, K. 2009a. Antibiotics in the aquatic environment–a review–part I. Chemosphere
621   **75**:417-434.
622   Kümmerer, K. 2009b. Antibiotics in the aquatic environment–a review–part II. Chemosphere
623   **75**:435-441.

Längin, A., R. Alexy, A. König, and K. Kümmerer. 2009. Deactivation and transformation
products in biodegradability testing of ß-lactams amoxicillin and piperacillin.
Chemosphere **75**:347-354.
Langmead, B., and S. L. Salzberg. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature
methods **9**:357-359.
Lee Hing, C., Z. Guifarro, D. Dueñas, G. Ochoa, A. Nunez, K. Forman, N. Craig, and M.
McField. 2022. Management responses in Belize and Honduras, as stony coral tissue loss
disease expands its prevalence in the Mesoamerican Reef. Frontiers in Marine Science
9:883062.
Li, D., R. Luo, C.-M. Liu, C.-M. Leung, H.-F. Ting, K. Sadakane, H. Yamashita, and T.-W.
Lam. 2016. MEGAHIT v1. 0: a fast and scalable metagenome assembler driven by
advanced methodologies and community practices. Methods **102**:3-11.
Liu, S., H. Su, Y.-F. Pan, and X.-R. Xu. 2020. Spatial and seasonal variations of antibiotics and
antibiotic resistance genes and ecological risks in the coral reef regions adjacent to two
typical islands in South China Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin **158**:111424.
Love, M. I., W. Huber, and S. Anders. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome biology **15**:1-21.
Martin, M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet.journal **17**:3.
Martínez, J. L. 2008. Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in natural environments. Science
321:365-367.
McMurdie, P., and S. Holmes. 2013. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive
analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. Plos One **8**:e61217.
Messer, L. F., D. G. Bourne, S. J. Robbins, M. Clay, S. C. Bell, S. J. McIlroy, and G. W. Tyson.
2024. A genome-centric view of the role of the *Acropora kenti* microbiome in coral
health and resilience. Nature Communications **15**:2902.
Meyer, J. L., J. Castellanos-Gell, G. S. Aeby, C. Häse, B. Ushijima, and V. J. Paul. 2019.
Microbial community shifts associated with the ongoing stony coral tissue loss disease
outbreak on the Florida Reef Tract. bioRxiv:626408.
Miller, C., L. May, Z. Moffitt, and C. Woodley. 2020. Exploratory treatments for stony coral
tissue loss disease: pillar coral (*Dendrogyra cylindrus*). NOAA Technical Memorandum
NOS NCCOS 245 and CRCP 37, Charleston, SC.
Neely, K. 2023. Florida Keys Coral Disease Intervention Strike Teams: Final Report. Florida
DEP, Miami, FL.
Neely, K. L., and C. L. Lewis. 2018. In-situ disease intervention. Final summary report. Miami,
FL.
Neely, K. L., K. A. Macaulay, E. K. Hower, and M. A. Dobler. 2020. Effectiveness of topical
antibiotics in treating corals affected by Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease. Peerj **8**:e9289.
Neely, K. L., C. P. Shea, K. A. Macaulay, E. K. Hower, and M. A. Dobler. 2021. Short- and
Long-Term Effectiveness of Coral Disease Treatments. Frontiers in Marine Science **8**.
Papke, E., A. Carreiro, C. Dennison, J. M. Deutsch, L. M. Isma, S. S. Meiling, A. M. Rossin, A.
C. Baker, M. E. Brandt, and N. Garg. 2024. Stony coral tissue loss disease: a review of
emergence, impacts, etiology, diagnostics, and intervention. Frontiers in Marine Science
10:1321271.

Parada, A. E., D. M. Needham, and J. A. Fuhrman. 2016. Every base matters: assessing small
669   subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and
670   global field samples. Environmental Microbiology **18**:1403-1414.
671   Patro, R., G. Duggal, M. I. Love, R. A. Irizarry, and C. Kingsford. 2017. Salmon provides fast
672   and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nature methods **14**:417-419.
673   Poku, E., K. Cooper, A. Cantrell, S. Harnan, M. A. Sin, A. Zanuzdana, and A. Hoffmann. 2023.
674   Systematic review of time lag between antibiotic use and rise of resistant pathogens
675   among hospitalized adults in Europe. JAC-Antimicrobial Resistance **5**:dlad001.
676   Pray, L. 2008. Antibiotic resistance, mutation rates and MRSA. Nature Education **1**:30.
677   Precht, W. F., B. E. Gintert, M. L. Robbart, R. Fura, and R. van Woesik. 2016. Unprecedented
678   Disease-Related Coral Mortality in Southeastern Florida. Scientific Reports **6:31374**.
679   Roberts, M. C. 2011. Environmental macrolide–lincosamide–streptogramin and tetracycline
680   resistant bacteria. Frontiers in Microbiology **2**:9102.
681   Saldaña, C. M., and C. M. Woodley. 2024. Amoxicillin Occurrence and Toxicity in the Aquatic
682   Environment and Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance: A Review.
683   Scott, L. C., N. Lee, and T. G. Aw. 2020. Antibiotic resistance in minimally human-impacted
684   environments. International journal of environmental research and public health **17**:3939.
685   Shen, W., and J. Xiong. 2019. TaxonKit: a cross-platform and efficient NCBI taxonomy toolkit.
686   bioRxiv **513523**.
687   Shilling, E. N., I. R. Combs, and J. D. Voss. 2021. Assessing the effectiveness of two
688   intervention methods for stony coral tissue loss disease on *Montastraea cavernosa*.
689   Scientific Reports **11**:1-11.
690   Strudwick, P., J. Seymour, E. F. Camp, J. Edmondson, T. Haydon, L. Howlett, N. Le Reun, N.
691   Siboni, and D. J. Suggett. 2022. Impacts of nursery-based propagation and out-planting
692   on coral-associated bacterial communities. Coral Reefs:1-18.
693   Sweet, M., A. Croquer, and J. Bythell. 2011. Dynamics of bacterial community development in
694   the reef coral Acropora muricata following experimental antibiotic treatment. Coral Reefs
695   **30**:1121-1133.
696   Sweet, M. J., A. Croquer, and J. C. Bythell. 2014. Experimental antibiotic treatment identifies
697   potential pathogens of white band disease in the endangered Caribbean coral *Acropora*
698   *cervicornis*. Proceedings of the Royal Society B - Biological Sciences **281**.
699   Thanner, S., D. Drissner, and F. Walsh. 2016. Antimicrobial Resistance in Agriculture. mBio
700   **7**:10.1128/mbio.02227-02215.
701   Thome, P. E., J. Rivera-Ortega, J. C. Rodríguez-Villalobos, D. Cerqueda-García, E. O. Guzmán-
702   Urieta, J. Q. García-Maldonado, N. Carabantes, and E. Jordán-Dahlgren. 2021. Local
703   dynamics of a white syndrome outbreak and changes in the microbial community
704   associated with colonies of the scleractinian brain coral *Pseudodiploria strigosa*. Peerj
705   **9**:e10695.
706   Vizcaino, M. I., W. R. Johnson, N. E. Kimes, K. Williams, M. Torralba, K. E. Nelson, G. W.
707   Smith, E. Weil, P. D. Moeller, and P. J. Morris. 2010. Antimicrobial resistance of the
708   coral pathogen *Vibrio coralliilyticus* and Caribbean sister phylotypes isolated from a
709   diseased octocoral. Microbial ecology **59**:646-657.
710   Waglechner, N., E. J. Culp, and G. D. Wright. 2021. Ancient antibiotics, ancient resistance.
711   EcoSal Plus **9**:eESP-0027-2020.

Walker, B. K., H. Noren, S. Buckley, and K. Pitts. 2021. Optimizing stony coral tissue loss disease (SCTLD) intervention treatments on *Montastraea cavernosa* in an endemic zone. Frontiers in Marine Science **8**:746.

Williams, J. 1999. β-lactamases and β-lactamase inhibitors. International journal of antimicrobial agents **12**:S3-S7.

Yilmaz, P., L. W. Parfrey, P. Yarza, J. Gerken, E. Pruesse, C. Quast, T. Schweer, J. Peplies, W. Ludwig, and F. O. Glöckner. 2013. The SILVA and "All-species Living Tree Project (LTP)" taxonomic frameworks. Nucleic acids research **42**:D643-D648.

Yitayew, B., Y. Woldeamanuel, D. Asrat, A. Rahman, A. Mihret, A. Aseffa, P.-E. Olsson, and J. Jass. 2022. Antimicrobial resistance genes in microbiota associated with sediments and water from the Akaki river in Ethiopia. Environmental Science and Pollution Research **29**:70040-70055.

Zhang, Z., Q. Zhang, T. Wang, N. Xu, T. Lu, W. Hong, J. Penuelas, M. Gillings, M. Wang, and W. Gao. 2022. Assessment of global health risk of antibiotic resistance genes. Nature Communications **13**:1553.

Zhao, Z., J. Wang, Y. Han, J. Chen, G. Liu, H. Lu, B. Yan, and S. Chen. 2017. Nutrients, heavy metals and microbial communities co-driven distribution of antibiotic resistance genes in adjacent environment of mariculture. Environmental Pollution **220**:909-918.

Zhou, Z., J. Tang, K. Tang, M. An, Z. Liu, Z. Wu, X. Cao, and C. He. 2024. Selective enrichment of bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes in microplastic biofilms and their potential hazards in coral reef ecosystems. Chemosphere **352**:141309.

Ziegler, M., C. G. Grupstra, M. M. Barreto, M. Eaton, J. BaOmar, K. Zubier, A. Al-Sofyani, A. J. Turki, R. Ormond, and C. R. Voolstra. 2019. Coral bacterial community structure responds to environmental change in a host-specific manner. Nature Communications **10**:3092.

8. Figures

Figure 1. Map of sampling sites within the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (a-c). Cores for microbial qPCR array were taken using a hole-punch (d) to remove a small area of tissue near previously treated stony coral tissue loss disease lesions (e).

Figure 2. Proportion of expressed antimicrobial resistance genes per resistance mechanism for *Montastraea cavernosa* colonies before and 24 hours after treatment.

Figure 3. Proportion of expressed antimicrobial inactivation gene families in *Montastraea cavernosa* colonies before and 24 hours after treatment. The ten most abundant gene families by gene count are shown; the remaining 203 antimicrobial inactivation gene families are included as "other".

Figure 4. Principal component analysis of microbial communities in *Montastraea cavernosa* corals before and after amoxicillin treatment, faceted by nucleic acid fraction. Half of the variation among communities is shown by the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2).

Figure 5. Relative abundance of amplicon sequence variants, colored by Order or lowest taxonomic classification possible, in *Montastraea cavernosa* samples before and after amoxicillin treatment. Community composition of the DNA fraction is shown on top while composition of the RNA fraction is shown below for each colony (designated by capital letters).

Figure 6. Treatment history and above-threshold ARGs detections for *Colpophyllia natans* coral colonies sampled for microbial qPCR array. Shaded boxes with an x indicate date (year-month) when a coral was treated prior to November 2022 sampling. Genes observed outside the acceptable identification criteria ($C_t >37$) are listed with their C_t values.

Treatment History	Sample	2021-05	2021-06	2021-07	2021-08	2021-09	2021-10	2021-11	2021-12	2022-01	2022-02	2022-03	2022-04	2022-05	2022-06	2022-07	2022-08	2022-09	2022-10	2022-11	ermB	mefA	msrA
Never treated	2																				39.51	38.41	-
Never treated	8																				-	-	39.31
Never treated	13																				-	-	-
Never treated	23																				-	39.44	-
Treated Once	7												x							Sampled	39.45	-	-
Treated Once	9												x								-	-	-
Treated Once	14												x								-	-	38.32
Treated Once	17												x								-	-	-
Treated Many	11	x		x									x								-	-	-
Treated Many	12	x		x									x								-	-	-
Treated Many	21			x		x							x								-	-	-
Treated Many	24								x		x		x								-	-	-

Figure S1. Relative abundance of amplicon sequence variants, colored by Class, in *Montastraea cavernosa* corals before and 24 hours after amoxicillin treatment. Community composition of the DNA fraction is shown on top while composition of the RNA fraction is shown below for each colony.

Table S1. List of assays in the microbial qPCR array. Well is the position in the 96-well plate, Species/Gene is the target of the assay, and Sensitivity is the minimum number of gene copies required for detection. Pan Bacteria 1 and 3 are internal controls for detection of bacterial DNA and PPC is the positive PCR control that confirms no inhibition of amplification.

Well	Species/Gene	Antibiotic classification	Sensitivity
A1	AAC(6)-lb-cr	Fluoroquinolone resistance	100
A2	aacC1	Aminoglycoside resistance	50
A3	aacC2	Aminoglycoside resistance	30
A4	aacC4	Aminoglycoside resistance	20
A5	aadA1	Aminoglycoside resistance	200
A6	aphA6	Aminoglycoside resistance	40
A7	BES-1	Class A beta-lactamase	20
A8	BIC-1	Class A beta-lactamase	100
A9	CTX-M-1 Group	Class A beta-lactamase	50
A10	CTX-M-8 Group	Class A beta-lactamase	40
A11	CTX-M-9 Group	Class A beta-lactamase	30
A12	GES	Class A beta-lactamase	20
B1	IMI & NMC-A	Class A beta-lactamase	30
B2	KPC	Class A beta-lactamase	40
B3	Per-1 group	Class A beta-lactamase	30
B4	Per-2 group	Class A beta-lactamase	50
B5	SFC-1	Class A beta-lactamase	50
B6	SFO-1	Class A beta-lactamase	20
B7	SHV	Class A beta-lactamase	200
B8	SHV(156D)	Class A beta-lactamase	100
B9	SHV(156G)	Class A beta-lactamase	50
B10	SHV(238G240E)	Class A beta-lactamase	30
B11	SHV(238G240K)	Class A beta-lactamase	40
B12	SHV(238S240E)	Class A beta-lactamase	50
C1	SHV(238S240K)	Class A beta-lactamase	30
C2	SME	Class A beta-lactamase	30
C3	TLA-1	Class A beta-lactamase	50
C4	VEB	Class A beta-lactamase	20
C5	ccrA	Class B beta-lactamase	30
C6	IMP-1 group	Class B beta-lactamase	50
C7	IMP-12 group	Class B beta-lactamase	200
C8	IMP-2 group	Class B beta-lactamase	30
C9	IMP-5 group	Class B beta-lactamase	200
C10	NDM	Class B beta-lactamase	50

C11	VIM-1 group	Class B beta-lactamase	50
C12	VIM-13	Class B beta-lactamase	20
D1	VIM-7	Class B beta-lactamase	40
D2	ACC-1 group	Class C beta-lactamase	100
D3	ACC-3	Class C beta-lactamase	30
D4	ACT 5/7 group	Class C beta-lactamase	30
D5	ACT-1 group	Class C beta-lactamase	100
D6	CFE-1	Class C beta-lactamase	50
D7	CMY-10 Group	Class C beta-lactamase	30
D8	DHA	Class C beta-lactamase	20
D9	FOX	Class C beta-lactamase	100
D10	LAT	Class C beta-lactamase	100
D11	MIR	Class C beta-lactamase	30
D12	MOX	Class C beta-lactamase	30
E1	OXA-10 Group	Class D beta-lactamase	20
E2	OXA-18	Class D beta-lactamase	30
E3	OXA-2 Group	Class D beta-lactamase	40
E4	OXA-23 Group	Class D beta-lactamase	50
E5	OXA-24 Group	Class D beta-lactamase	20
E6	OXA-45	Class D beta-lactamase	100
E7	OXA-48 Group	Class D beta-lactamase	50
E8	OXA-50 Group	Class D beta-lactamase	20
E9	OXA-51 Group	Class D beta-lactamase	100
E10	OXA-54	Class D beta-lactamase	20
E11	OXA-55	Class D beta-lactamase	30
E12	OXA-58 Group	Class D beta-lactamase	20
F1	OXA-60	Class D beta-lactamase	30
F2	ereB	Erythromycin resistance	20
F3	QepA	Fluoroquinolone resistance	50
F4	QnrA	Fluoroquinolone resistance	40
F5	QnrB-1 group	Fluoroquinolone resistance	20
F6	QnrB-31 group	Fluoroquinolone resistance	20
F7	QnrB-4 group	Fluoroquinolone resistance	30
F8	QnrB-5 group	Fluoroquinolone resistance	40
F9	QnrB-8 group	Fluoroquinolone resistance	20
F10	QnrC	Fluoroquinolone resistance	30
F11	QnrD	Fluoroquinolone resistance	40
F12	QnrS	Fluoroquinolone resistance	40
G1	ermA	Macrolide Lincosamide Streptogramin_b	100
G2	ermB	Macrolide Lincosamide Streptogramin_b	20

G3	ermC	Macrolide Lincosamide Streptogramin_b	100
G4	mefA	Macrolide Lincosamide Streptogramin_b	100
G5	msrA	Macrolide Lincosamide Streptogramin_b	100
G6	oprj	Multidrug resistance efflux pump	50
G7	oprm	Multidrug resistance efflux pump	20
G8	tetA	Tetracycline efflux pump	40
G9	tetB	Tetracycline efflux pump	30
G10	vanB	Vancomycin resistance	100
G11	vanC	Vancomycin resistance	30
G12	*Staphylococcus aureus*		100
H1	mecA	Beta-lactam resistance	40
H2	lukF	Panton Valentine leukocidin chain F precursor	20
H3	spa	Immunoglobulin G binding protein A precursor	200
H4	Pan Bacteria 1	n/a	n/a
H5	Pan Bacteria 1	n/a	n/a
H6	Pan Bacteria 1	n/a	n/a
H7	Pan Bacteria 3	n/a	n/a
H8	Pan Bacteria 3	n/a	n/a
H9	Pan Bacteria 3	n/a	n/a
H10	PPC	n/a	n/a
H11	PPC	n/a	n/a
H12	PPC	n/a	n/a

798
799
800 Table S2. Assembled RNAseq libraries from this study that are publicly available in the Joint
801 Genome Institute's Integrated Microbial Genomes and Microbiomes database (img.jgi.doe.gov)
802

Sample Name	Sample Type	Coral Colony	IMG Genome ID	Assembled Metatranscriptome Size (basepairs)	Assembled Gene Count
AMR1A	after	A	3300060474	305,154,946	435,953
AMR1H	before	A	3300060496	231,109,608	382,694
AMR2A	after	B	3300060495	337,157,778	468,731
AMR3A	after	C	3300060492	368,657,194	461,879
AMR3H	before	C	3300060491	388,940,867	482,516
AMR4A	after	D	3300060490	311,695,513	449,772
AMR5A	after	E	3300060489	352,482,329	480,020
AMR5H	before	E	3300060487	352,482,329	480,020
AMR6A	after	F	3300060486	431,256,205	557,394

AMR7A	after	G	3300060493	397,432,281	488,278
AMR7H	before	G	3300060484	256,716,868	415,397
AMR9H	before	H	3300060481	405,466,799	502,190
AMR10A	after	I	3300060476	450,682,148	624,457