A high-throughput protein tagging toolkit that retains endogenous UTRs for studying gene

regulation in Kinetoplastids.

Carla Gilabert Carbajo[1], Xiaoyang Han[1], Bhairavi Savur[1], Arushi Upadhyaya[1], Fatima Taha[1],

Michele Tinti[2], Richard J Wheeler[3,4], Phillip A. Yates[5#], & Calvin Tiengwe[1#]

[1]Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, United Kingdom

[2]Wellcome Trust Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, University of Dundee, United Kingdom

[3]Peter Medawar Building for Pathogen Research, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

[4]Institute of Immunology and Infection Research, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

[5]Department of Chemical Physiology and Biochemistry, Oregon Health & Science University,

Portland OR, United States of America.

[#]Co-corresponding authors: yatesp@ohsu.edu and c.tiengwe@imperial.ac.uk

Running title: An endogenous tagging toolkit with UTR retention in Kinetoplastids

Key words: Kinetoplastids, gene regulation, CRISPR/Cas9, molecular tools, T2A peptide,

endogenous tagging, untranslated regions (UTRs), differentiation, *Trypanosoma brucei*

Abstract

Kinetoplastid parasites cause diseases that threaten human and animal health. To survive transitions between vertebrate hosts and insect vectors, these parasites rely on precise regulation of gene expression to adapt to environmental changes. Since gene regulation in Kinetoplastids is primarily post-transcriptional, developing efficient genetic tools for modifying genes at their endogenous loci while preserving regulatory mRNA elements is crucial for studying their complex biology.

We present a high-throughput CRISPR/Cas9-based tagging system that preserves untranslated regulatory elements and uses a viral 2A peptide from *Thosea asigna* (T2A) to co-express a drug-selectable marker and a tagged protein from the same transcript. This dual-function design enables discrimination between transcriptional and post-translational regulation while maintaining native control elements.

We validate the system by tagging six *Trypanosoma brucei* proteins with fluorescent proteins and epitope tags and demonstrate: (i) high-efficiency positive selection and separation of drug-selectable marker and target protein, (ii) preservation of regulated expression responding to environmental cues like heat shock and iron availability, and (iii) maintenance of stage-specific regulation during developmental transitions. This versatile toolkit is applicable to all kinetoplastids amenable to CRISPR/Cas9 editing, providing a powerful reverse genetic tool for studying post-transcriptional regulation and protein function in organisms where post-transcriptional control is dominant.

Introduction

Kinetoplastid parasites, including *Trypanosoma brucei*, *T. cruzi*, and *Leishmania species*, cause significant vector-borne diseases in humans and animals. These parasites undergo complex developmental transitions as they switch between vertebrates and insect vectors, adapting to drastically different environments. Unlike most eukaryotes, kinetoplastids rely primarily on post-transcriptional gene regulation, with untranslated regions (UTRs) playing a crucial role in controlling mRNA fate and translation efficiency (1-3). Most protein-coding genes are transcribed by RNA Pol II in polycistronic units, with their expression controlled through mRNA stability and translation, as seen with heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) regulated by 3′-UTR elements during stress response (4-6), and the calpain-related proteins CAP5.5V and CAP5.5 during life cycle transitions (7, 8). Only a small subset of genes, including expression site-associated genes (ESAGs), undergo transcriptional regulation by RNA Pol I (9).

A prime example of UTR-mediated regulation is seen in ESAG6 and ESAG7, which encode subunits of the iron transporter, the transferrin receptor (TfR), in *T. brucei.* Their 3' UTRs contain iron-responsive elements that enhance TfR mRNA stability in response to iron starvation (10, 11). Other important examples involve VSG and procyclin, major surface proteins in bloodstream and procyclic forms respectively. The VSG mRNA 3' UTR contains a 16-mer sequence that enhances its stability and translation (12, 13), while the procyclin 3' UTR contains a conserved stem-loop structure that regulates its stage-specific expression (14). A recent genome-wide analysis has predicted numerous UTR motifs associated with stage-specific regulation, identifying thousands of 3' UTRs likely to influence mRNA abundance across different life cycle stages (15). This highlights the critical role of UTRs in enabling parasite adaptation.

Preserving UTRs is essential for studying gene function in kinetoplastids, as their disruption can alter expression patterns (10, 11). Several tagging methods have been developed for kinetoplastids, each with trade-offs between efficiency and preservation of regulatory elements. Early approaches, like long primer PCR (16, 17), were efficient, while others required cloning gene fragments for homologous recombination (18). PCR-only tagging in *T. brucei* and similar methods in *Leishmania* enabled scalable tagging but disrupt native UTRs when combined with drug-selectable markers (19). The TrypTag project, which tagged nearly 90% of the *T. brucei* proteome, has been a valuable resource for localisation studies, albeit with the recognised caveat that it replaces UTRs (20). CRISPR/Cas9 improves efficiency and precision but often introduces

selection markers that interfere with endogenous regulation (21). Two CRISPR-based marker-
free methods have been reported which preserve UTRs but require extensive subcloning by
limiting dilution (22, 23). While these methods have advanced functional genomics, most still
compromise regulatory elements, highlighting the need for tools that preserve UTR integrity while
enabling efficient, high-throughput protein tagging and functional analysis.

First discovered in the Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus (FMDV), the viral 2A peptide has become
a powerful tool for expressing multiple proteins from a single open reading frame (ORF) (24, 25).
Several versions of the 2A peptide have been identified across different viruses, each with similar
functionality. These include FMDV (F2A), porcine teschovirus-1 (P2A), and *Thosea asigna* virus
(T2A) (26). Interestingly, 2A-like sequences (L2A) have also been found in the L1Tc non-LTR
retrotransposons of *T. cruzi*, *T. brucei*, *T. vivax* and *T. congolense,* which represents a rare
example of these sequences occurring outside of a non-viral context (27). In general, the T2A
peptide, with the sequence -EGRGSLLTCGDVEENPG↓P-, facilitates a mechanism known as
"ribosomal skipping," where the glycine-proline bond fails to form during translation, resulting in
the production of two distinct proteins from the same transcript (24). This process, often called
CHYSEL (cis-acting hydrolase element), "stop-and-go", "self-processing", or "co-translational
cleavage", will hereafter be referred to as "cleavage" for simplicity, with instances where the
process fails being referred to as "uncleaved" proteins.

In Kinetoplastids, the T2A peptide has been shown to be highly efficient for co-expressing proteins
under endogenous UTRs, enabling the study of post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms.
Some examples include the *L. mexicana* glucose transporter (LmxGT1) (28), the cortical
cytoskeletal protein (KHARON1) (29) and the nucleotide transporter NT3 in *L. donovani* (30). The
T2A system has also been applied for ectopic expression in *T. cruzi*, improving positive selection
of transgenic parasites (31). These applications demonstrate the versatility of the T2A peptide
system for studying protein localisation and regulatory mechanisms in kinetoplastids.

In this study, we combined CRISPR/Cas9 editing with the T2A peptide-based system to test its
applicability in *T. brucei* by tagging two secretory proteins (ESAG3, ESAG7) which are under
transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation, and cytosolic Hsp70, part of a multi-gene array
whose expression is regulated by its 3' UTR in response to heat shock. We demonstrate efficient
T2A peptide cleavage and regulation of tagged mRNA and/or proteins in their native loci. We also
constructed new vectors with primer binding sites compatible with the plasmids for PCR-only

tagging (pPOT series) (19) and designed an automated primer design tool to facilitate high-
throughput tagging while preserving native UTRs. We validated the new vectors on three well-
characterised proteins: glycosylphosphatidylinositol phospholipase C (GPI-PLC) (32) and two
calpain-related proteins (CAP5.5V and CAP5.5) (7, 8), which show stage-specific expression and
confirmed that this differential expression was maintained in the tagged versions. Together, we
provide a versatile tool that can be used at scale to study post-transcriptional and post-
translational regulatory mechanisms in Kinetoplastids.
119
120   **Results**
121
122   **Development of a CRISPR/Cas9-compatible T2A peptide tagging system for *T. brucei***
123
Our primary goal was to exploit the high efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing to insert a
"T2A peptide cassette" between the open reading frame (ORF) of a target gene and its native 5'
or 3' UTR in *T. brucei*. The cassette contains a drug-selectable marker (drug^R), a 2A peptide
sequence (T2A), and a fluorescent protein or an epitope tag (Tag) (**Fig. 1**). We initially constructed
donor plasmids in two configurations: drug^R::T2A::Tag for insertion at the 5' end (**Fig. 1A**), and
Tag::T2A::drug^R for insertion at the 3' end of the target gene (**Fig. 1B**). This design allowed for
positive selection of transgenic parasites while preserving native mRNA processing signals within
the UTRs, thus maintaining natural regulation. Available plasmids with fluorescent tags:
mNeonGreen (mNG) or mScarlet (mSc), and drug-selectable markers: blasticidin (BSD), or
puromycin (PAC) are shown in **Fig. S1**.
134
For 5'-terminal tagging, the donor repair template drug^R::T2A::Tag was amplified by PCR using
primers containing 25-40 bp homology arms, targeting a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) site
near the start of the ORF. The ATG start codon was removed, but the 5' UTR remained intact.
For 3'-terminal tagging, the Tag::T2A::drug^R donor template was amplified using primers with
homology arms to integrate the cassette before the stop codon, targeting a PAM site near the end
of the ORF but ensuring the 3' UTR remained intact. In both cases the single-guide RNA (sgRNA)
was generated by combining a gene-specific primer encoding a T7 promoter upstream, a 20-bp
targeting sequence specifying the target site, and a 3'-end complementary to the sgRNA scaffold,
as described in (33).
144
Both sgRNA and donor T2A cassettes were amplified by PCR using well-established protocols

described by Beneke et al. (21). The PCR products were pooled for transfection into bloodstream

form (BF) *T. brucei*, which constitutively express T7 RNA polymerase and Cas9. Cas9 introduces

a double strand break at the target site, guided by the sgRNA designed near the PAM site at

either the 5' or 3' end of the target gene. We incorporated mutated PAM sites into the repair

template to prevent further Cas9 edits post-integration. Once integrated, the T2A peptide

sequence mediates co-translational cleavage, allowing for independent expression of the drug

resistance marker and the tagged protein of interest in equal amounts (34).

The donor plasmids described here were originally constructed for *Leishmania*, where we

determined that T2A peptide conferred the highest cleavage efficiency among the various 2A

peptides tested (**Fig S2**).

Test case I: ESAG3 endogenous tagging shows efficient T2A cleavage and robust positive

selection

To assess the efficiency of T2A peptide cleavage in *T. brucei* and demonstrate its utility for protein

localisation studies, we targeted the expression site associated gene 3 (ESAG3, gene IDs:

Tb427.BES40.10, Tb427.BES40.16). ESAG3 encodes an N-terminal putative ER targeting signal

sequence with a predicted cleavage site between Ala22/Leu23 (SignalP v5.0). A two-component

PCR amplicon mix was transfected into *T. brucei* T7/Cas9-expressing cells, consisting of a 20-bp

sgRNA targeting adjacent to the PAM site near the stop codon and a donor mNG::T2A::PAC

cassette with 40/30 bp homology arms. The stop codon was excluded from repair primers to allow

in-frame fusion of mNG at the C-terminus, while synonymous mutations in the PAM site prevented

further Cas9 edits.

Seven days post-transfection, genomic DNA PCR confirmed in-frame fusion of *ESAG3* ORF with

mNG (expected size 594 bp), *PAC* with *ESAG3* 3'UTR (211 bp), *ESAG3* ORF with its native UTR

(2.5 Kb) (**Fig 2A**). No PCR product was generated using genomic DNA from parental cells

confirming specificity. To compare the relative mobility of the ESAG3::mT2A protein, we

generated independent cell lines with C-terminally mNG-tagged ESAG3 fused with 6xTy

(ESAG3::mTy) using the PCR-only tagging method (33) (**Fig 2B**). Western blot analysis revealed

that the ESAG3::mT2A protein (69 kDa) migrated faster than ESAG3::mTy (76 kDa), indicative of

efficient T2A cleavage. The expected MW of uncleaved ESAG3::mNG::T2A::PAC is 90 kDa.

Since there are two copies of ESAG3 in the active expression site (BES1, Tb427_telo40_v2) in

the Lister427 cell line (35), native anti-ESAG3 antibody immunoblots confirmed that tagging was

single copy for most clones, as the untagged copy was detectable at the expected MW of ~43

kDa (**Fig 2B**). Flow cytometry showed that 93-95% of cells in the drug-selected clonal population

were mNG-positive, confirming robust positive selection of correctly tagged cells (**Fig 2C**).

Immunofluorescence microscopy showed a typical ER localisation pattern for ESAG3::mT2A,

confirming proper targeting to the secretory pathway (**Fig 2D**).

These results demonstrate precise successful insertion of the mNG::T2A::PAC cassette at the 3'

end of *ESAG3*, with highly efficient positive selection of tagged cells, and successful co-

translational T2A cleavage in *T. brucei*. Furthermore, this tagging system enabled us to localise

ESAG3 in BF trypanosomes, for the first time.

Test case II: Endogenous T2A tagging of Hsp70 retains mRNA-level heat shock regulation

To further demonstrate the robustness of the T2A system, we targeted the cytosolic heat shock

protein Hsp70. In *T. brucei*, Hsp70 is encoded by a tandem array of eight nearly identical genes

(IDs: Tb427_110128800, Tb427_110128900 … Tb427_110129500) on chromosome 11 (36). In

the Tb927 genome assembly, this array is collapsed into a single gene (Tb927.11.11330) (4, 37).

Hsp70 mRNA stability is regulated by heat response elements in the 3′-UTR during heat shock

(4-6), but corresponding changes at the protein level have not been demonstrated. Our goals

were to investigate the behaviour of endogenously T2A-tagged Hsp70 following heat shock and

to assess whether our system facilitated single-copy integration within a multi-gene array.

We designed primers based on the Tb927 genome copy to tag Hsp70 at both the N- and C-termini

with mScarlet (mSc) and mNeonGreen (mNG), respectively, under puromycin selection (**Fig. 3A**).

The design of sgRNA and donor repair templates followed the strategy used for ESAG3. A GSGS

linker was added between the mSc tag and the *Hsp70* ORF for N-terminal tagging, and 25 bp

homology arms were used in both repair templates. Post-transfection, locus-specific PCR

genotyping of two clones produced products of sizes consistent with the predicted fusions: *Hsp70*

ORF with mNG (409 bp), *PAC* with the *Hsp70* 3' UTR (304 bp), and *Hsp70* 5' UTR with *PAC* (339

bp) (**Fig. 3A**). No products were generated from genomic DNA purified from parental cells,

confirming locus-specific modification.

Western blot analysis with anti-Hsp70 antibodies showed two bands in all selected clones: one at

~70 kDa (untagged endogenous Hsp70) and another at ~100 kDa, (tagged Hsp70: 101 kDa for
Hsp70::mT2A and 98 kDa for T2A-mSc::H). The higher MW bands were absent in parental cells
(**Fig. 3B**), indicating successful tagging. Flow cytometry revealed that ~99% of cells were positive
for either mNG or mSc (**Fig 3C**), and live-cell microscopy confirmed the characteristic cytosolic
localisation of Hsp70::mT2A (**Fig. 3D**), indicating that T2A tagging did not cause Hsp70 mis-
localisation.
220
To assess whether expression of mT2A-tagged Hsp70 tracked with the untagged allele following
heat shock, we performed immunoblotting with anti-mScarlet, anti-mNG, and anti-Hsp70
antibodies. We observed a slight reduction in both tagged and endogenous Hsp70 protein levels
after heat shock (**Fig. 3E**). However, qRT-PCR analysis showed a 2-fold upregulation of Hsp70
mRNA (**Fig. 3F**), suggesting a typical heat shock response at the mRNA level (6). We attribute
the reduction in protein levels to either global translational arrest or cell stress resulting from the
heat shock treatment (38).
228
Overall, our results confirmed UTR-dependent *Hsp70* mRNA regulation after heat shock, but not
at the protein level - a finding that suggests complex post-transcriptional regulation consistent
with observations in *Leishmania* (38). We successfully achieved efficient single-copy tagging of
cytosolic Hsp70 at both the N- and C-termini within a complex multi-gene array. The apparent
lower expression of tagged Hsp70 compared to the wild type likely indicates that only one of the
eight nearly identical gene copies was tagged, accounting for approximately 1/16th of the total
signal in Western blots of a diploid genome. This was further confirmed by whole genome
sequencing (see below).
237
238 **Test case III: T2A-tagged ESAG7 preserves iron-dependent regulation and function**
239
In functional studies, it is essential that tagging does not disrupt protein function or its regulatory
elements at the RNA level. In *T. brucei,* ESAG7 (E7) exemplifies this dual requirement: at the
protein level, it forms a 1:1 stoichiometric complex with ESAG6 to create a functional transferrin
receptor (TfR) for iron uptake (39). At the RNA level, its expression is regulated by iron-responsive
elements in its 3' UTR that control mRNA stability in response to iron availability (40). Tagging
approaches that replace the native 3' UTR can disrupt this iron-dependent regulation, potentially
altering the expression levels and stoichiometry of ESAG6 and ESAG7, thereby interfering with
TfR function (10, 11).

To investigate regulation and function of ESAG7, we generated mNG::T2A- and mNG::6xTy-
tagged ESAG7 cell lines (E7::mT2A and E7::mTy, respectively) (**Fig 4A**). E7::mT2A tagging
retained the native *E7* 3' UTR, while the E7::mTy tagging replaced the 3' UTR with the *PFR2*
intergenic region. Hsp70 (H::mT2A) was also tagged as a control. All analyses were performed
after treatment with the iron chelator Deferoxamine (DFO), which induces iron starvation. qRT-
PCR analysis showed 2-fold increased mNG transcript levels in E7::mT2A cells following DFO
treatment, while E7::mTy showed a reduction, and H::mT2A cells remained unchanged (**Fig 4B**).
This pattern was reflected at the protein level, as Western blotting confirmed increased E7::mT2A
protein abundance, with E7::mTy and H::mT2A remaining unaffected (**Fig 4C**). Flow cytometry
further supported these findings, showing a 3-fold increase in mNG expression in E7::mT2A cells,
while no significant changes were observed in E7::mTy or H::mT2A (**Fig 4D**).

The functional implications of these findings were assessed by analysing native TfR levels and
transferrin (Tf) uptake. Both qRT-PCR and Western blot analyses revealed increased TfR levels
in parental, H::mT2A, and E7::mT2A cells after DFO treatment, but showed a significant reduction
in E7::mTy cells (**Fig 4E and 4F**). Tf uptake assays demonstrated significant increased uptake in
E7::mT2A cells, confirming functional TfR activity, whereas E7::mTy cells showed no Tf uptake,
indicating a disruption of iron-dependent regulation and receptor function (**Fig 4G**).

Collectively, these data demonstrate that mNG::T2A-tagged ESAG7, when retaining its native 3'
UTR, maintains iron-dependent regulation and function. In contrast, replacing the 3' UTR with the
PFR2 intergenic region disrupts both iron-responsive regulation and TfR function. This validates
our T2A-tagging approach as an effective tool for studying post-transcriptional iron regulation and
hence likely other regulatory systems in *T. brucei*.

Confirmation of site-specific integration and in-frame fusion of the T2A gene tagging by
whole genome sequencing

Finally, to confirm site-specific integration and in-frame fusion of mNG::T2A with the target ORFs,
we performed paired-end whole genome sequencing (WGS) of the five tagged cell lines at 30X
genome coverage. The reads were mapped to either the wild-type genomic locus or a manually
reconstructed locus containing the mNG::T2A or mNG::6xTy tagging cassettes fused to the target
genes (**Fig. S3**). In all cases, WGS confirmed that the donor repair cassettes were inserted in-

frame with the target gene in tagged cells, with no frameshifts or premature stop codons observed, confirming site-specific in-frame fusion. Collectively, combining data from WGS, integration PCRs, and Western blot analyses, we conclude that mNG::T2A fused to target ORFs predominantly as single-copy integrations, and that T2A peptide-mediated cleavage occurs with high efficiency. Collectively these results show that this approach is highly suitable for epitope-tagging secretory and cytosolic proteins at their endogenous loci in *T. brucei*.

Dedicated modular plasmid templates for high-throughput CRISPR/Cas9 T2A tagging: The pRExT2A system

To enhance the efficiency and versatility of T2A tagging in high-throughput applications, we developed the pRExT2A system - plasmids for *R*egulated co-*Ex*pression mediated by *T2A* peptide) - a set of dedicated plasmid templates optimised for *T. brucei*. This new design addresses two key limitations of the initial T2A tagging system where: (i) the coding sequences for *mNG*, *mSc*, and *drug^R* were optimised for *Leishmania* codon usage, which differs from *T. brucei* and could lead to inefficient translation and reduced expression levels; and (ii) the addition of 57 bp to the 3' UTR during C-terminal tagging, which could potentially disrupt native mRNA processing signals and affect gene regulation.

To overcome these issues, we designed pRExT2A to be fully compatible with the pPOT (plasmids for PCR-only tagging) platform by incorporating the coding sequences for drug resistance markers and fluorescent proteins from pPOT into our new plasmids (19). Our goal was to integrate the efficiency, modularity, and cloning-free advantages of pPOT while ensuring that primer usage is compatible across both systems.

Features and primer design of the pRExT2A system: The pRExT2A system features modular N- and C-terminal tagging donor plasmids encoding either a 3xTy-tagged fluorescent protein or a 3xTy epitope tag, linked via the T2A peptide to a 3xTy-tagged drug^R (**Fig. 5**). For N-terminal tagging, the constructs follow the format: drug^R::3xTy::T2A::3xTy::FP or drug^R::3xTy::T2A::3xTy (**Fig. 5A**), while for C-terminal tagging the orientation was as follows: FP::3xTy::T2A::drugR::3xTy or 3xTy::T2A::drug^R::3xTy (**Fig. 5B**).

The primer design workflow remains consistent with our previous protocol (**Fig. 1**), but we modified the primer binding sites to match the pPOT system. This allows users to use identical

primer pairs and sgRNAs across both systems. Although an improvement over the original T2A
tagging cassettes, this design introduces a short 20 bp scar into the 5' or 3' UTR after integration
- depending on whether N- or C-terminal tagging is used (**Fig. 5A** and **5B**). To facilitate high-
throughput applications, we developed an automated primer design tool
[https://github.com/zephyris/scarlesstagging] which generates primers compatible with both
pRExT2A and pPOT systems. This tool significantly simplifies experimental setup and minimises
design errors. To facilitate adoption, we provide pre-designed primers (including scarless options)
for all *T. brucei* genes in TREU927 and Lister 427 strains, and related Kinetoplastid species.

Dual functionality of the 3xTy-tagged drug^R: A key feature of the pRExT2A system is the
inclusion of a 3xTy-tagged drug^R which serves two functions: as a selection marker controlled by
the endogenous UTRs of the target gene and a reporter for studying mRNA/translational
regulation and post-translational regulation (**Fig. 5C)**. Since the drug^R and the target protein are
translated from the same mRNA but yield distinct proteins, any changes at the mRNA or
translational level affect both proteins equally. However, post-translational regulation only impacts
the target protein (**Fig. 5C** and **5D**). This design enables researchers to determine the level of
regulation by comparing the relative abundance of the mRNA (using qRT-PCR) and both proteins
by Western blotting, or flow cytometry, all performed within the framework of a single experiment.
A protein/drug^R ratio of 1 indicates regulation at the mRNA or translational level, while ratios
deviating from 1 suggest post-translational regulation of the target protein (**Fig 5D**).

Modularity of the pRExT2A System: We developed plasmid versions with various drug-
selectable markers, including blasticidin (BSD), hygromycin (HYG), and puromycin (PAC), as well
as two fluorescent proteins, mNeonGreen (mNG) and mScarlet (mSc) (**Table S1**). This modular
design allows for easy swapping of tags and drug^R markers, providing flexibility to accommodate
different experimental needs.

The pRExT2A system retains life cycle stage-specific gene regulation and confirms UTR-
mediated control

To evaluate the ability of the pRExT2A system to preserve critical regulatory elements, we tested
three developmentally regulated genes in *T. brucei*: glycosylphosphatidylinositol phospholipase
C (GPI-PLC), which is expressed exclusively in bloodstream forms (BF) via 3' UTR regulatory
elements, and two paralogous calpain-related proteins, CAP5.5V (BF-specific) and CAP5.5

(procyclic form, PF-specific) (7, 8, 41). These genes provide ideal test cases for assessing the

system's ability to maintain post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms during *in vitro*

differentiation.

GPI-PLC example: GPI-PLC (Tb927.2.6000) is expressed exclusively in BF via regulatory

elements in its 3' UTR, and it is enzymatic activity is essential for VSG shedding during the early

stages of differentiation to PF (41, 42). To track its expression during BF to PF differentiation, we

generated C-terminal mNG::3xTy fusions (GPI-PLC::mT2A::3xTy) using the pRExT2A system,

under hygromycin selection (**Fig. 6A**). Live imaging showed GPI-PLC::mT2A::3xTy predominantly

localised to the flagella membrane (**Fig. 6B**), consistent with native GPI-PLC antibody staining

(43) and GPI-PLC-eYFP localisation (44). This pattern differs from the dual flagella/cell body

distribution seen with pPOT tagging where the 3'-UTR was replaced (21). Western blot analysis

using validated anti-GPI-PLC antibodies (43), showed expression of both native GPI-PLC (~41

kDa) and GPI-PLC::mT2A::3xTy (G::mT2A; ~73 kDa) specifically in BF cells (**Fig 6C, left**). The

stoichiometric ratio between tagged and wild-type alleles indicated single-copy integration in the

diploid genome, and efficient T2A cleavage was confirmed by detection of separate 3xTy::HYG

product (42 kDa) in anti-Ty Western blots (**Fig 6C, right**). Importantly, flow cytometry showed that

GPI-PLC::mT2A expression dropped to near-background levels after differentiation to PF (**Fig**

6D, 6E), indicating that the pRExT2A system preserves the native UTR-mediated regulation of

GPI-PLC expression and localisation. The distinct localisation patterns between our UTR-

preserved and UTR-replaced constructs (21) highlight the importance of maintaining endogenous

UTRs for proper protein expression and localisation.

CAP5.5V and CAP5.5 examples: CAP5.5V (Tb927.8.8330) and CAP5.5 (Tb927.4.3950) play

crucial roles in maintaining cell morphology by organising the subpellicular cytoskeleton in BF and

PF, respectively (8). In the TrypTag project, CAP5.5V showed no expression in PF when tagged

at the N-terminus, but C-terminal tagging, which replaced the native 3' UTR, led to inappropriate

expression in PF forms, demonstrating the critical role of UTR-mediated regulation (45). To

prevent such dysregulation, we preserved the native UTRs while tagging CAP5.5V and CAP5.5

at their C-termini using the pRExT2A system (**Fig. 7A**).

Live-cell microscopy confirmed the characteristic cytoskeletal localisation of CAP5.5V across the

cell body (excluding the flagellum) in BF cells (**Fig. 7B**), while CAP5.5 expression was not

detectable above background in BF, consistent with its known stage-specific expression. Anti-Ty

immunoblots revealed stoichiometric expression of the tagged proteins: CAP5.5V::3xTy::T2A
(104 kDa), CAP5.5V::mNG::3xTy::T2A (131 kDa), CAP5.5::3xTy::T2A (100 kDa), and
CAP5.5::mNG::3xTy::T2A (127 kDa), as well as the cleaved 3xTy::PAC product (~25 kDa),
indicating efficient T2A processing (**Fig. 7C**). After differentiation to PF, flow cytometry showed
the expected stage-specific regulation: CAP5.5V fluorescence decreased, while CAP5.5 signal
increased (**Fig. 7D** and **7E**), corresponding to the previously reported 19-fold upregulation of
CAP5.5V mRNA in BF and 5-fold enrichment of CAP5.5 mRNA in PF (8). This differential
expression of the two paralogs during differentiation validates the ability of the pRExT2A system
to preserve complex stage-specific gene expression patterns mediated by endogenous UTRs.
393

Discussion

Kinetoplastids, such as *T. brucei,* serve as powerful models for understanding fundamental
biological processes, particularly the diversity and evolution of eukaryotic gene control (1, 3). The
genetic toolbox for *T. brucei* is extensive, with existing tools for genome-wide conditional
expression and localisation screens (20, 46, 47). However, efficiently tagging proteins in their
native regulatory context, with positive selection for high throughput studies, remains challenging.
401

Our new CRISPR/Cas9-T2A system using the pRExT2A plasmids adds to this toolbox, enabling
precise, efficient, scalable, stage-specific expression while retaining native mRNA processing
signals, specifically native spliced leader addition sites (SLAS), native polyadenylation sites
(PAS), native untranslated regions (UTRs), and any regulatory elements contained within these
regions (1, 15). Importantly, the tagged proteins tested in this system retain correct localisation
and differential expression in the tested life cycle stages. We demonstrate the versatility of this
system by tagging proteins involved in key pathways, including GPI-PLC - which is critical for
membrane lipid remodelling (48), CAP5.5V and CAP5.5, which are involved in cytoskeletal
organisation (7, 8), and ESAG7, a subunit of the transferrin receptor responsible for iron uptake
(49). The high efficiency allows for tagging up to 10 proteins per week in BF parasites, as the
protocols for generating repair templates are well-established (21). A beginner's guide for using
this toolkit is outlined in **Fig. 8**.
414

A key innovation of our system is the dual functionality of the drug resistance marker as both a
selection tool and an expression control (**Fig. 5**). This feature allows users to distinguish between
transcriptional/translational regulation and post-translational effects by comparing the levels of

the resistance marker to the tagged protein of interest. This approach has proven valuable in

related kinetoplastids, such as *L. mexicana*, where T2A-based tagging showed that life cycle-

dependent expression of LmxGT1 is controlled by changes in protein stability rather than mRNA

levels (28). In contrast, in *L. donovani*, T2A applications showed that upregulation of LdNT3 is

driven by increased transcript stability and translational efficiency, not changes in protein turnover

(30).

Using this system in *T. brucei*, we can systematically study proteins involved in nutrient transport

and their regulation across different stages of the life cycle. For example, this system can enable

investigation into the multi-layered control of iron response genes by examining multiple proteins

identified from transcriptomics and proteomics screens (10). This approach can help distinguish

between transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and post-translational regulatory events (**Fig. 5D**),

while characterising iron response elements (IREs) in a high-throughput format. Furthermore,

combining our system with single-molecule RNA FISH enables simultaneous analysis of RNA

processing dynamics, offering insights into gene regulation at all levels in a single experiment.

Another advantage of this system is its compatibility with the pPOT platform, enabling seamless

integration into existing workflows and allowing for direct comparisons with protein expression

levels where exogenous UTRs from the pPOT system serve as controls (21). For instance, we

show that tagging ESAG7 by swapping UTRs diminished native regulation and function (**Fig. 4**).

The modular architecture of the pRExT2A offers flexibility for easy swapping of tags, drug

resistance markers, or regulatory elements without the need for extensive re-cloning. This

adaptability facilitates rapid development of new constructs for diverse experimental applications.

A key strength of this system is its ability to track stage-specific protein expression during

differentiation, as demonstrated for the dynamic regulation of cytoskeletal proteins CAP5.5V, and

CAP5.5 during life cycle transitions. By tagging multiple components with different fluorophores,

we can visualise complex assembly and disassembly in real-time during differentiation, revealing

both the timing of protein interactions and factors affecting complex formation. For example,

tagging components of mitochondrial respiratory complexes alongside iron-sulfur cluster

assembly proteins could allow tracking of respiratory complex assembly relative to transferrin

receptor (TfR) downregulation. Such experiments could reveal how iron is mobilised from storage

proteins to newly synthesised mitochondrial complexes and how iron availability affects these

metabolic transitions.

Our T2A system has several technical considerations. First, CRISPR-based integration leaves a

small (20 bp) scar sequence that could theoretically affect gene expression, though we observed

no impacts during validation experiments. To address this potential limitation, we provide pre-

designed primers for scarless integration, although these remain to be tested. Second, the system

predominantly tags one gene copy, which can be challenging when targeting genes within

multigene arrays that share identical UTRs, such as *Hsp70*. For such cases, specific targeting

can be achieved by manually designing primers to regions that are not identical within the UTRs.

For protein detection, the shared 3xTy epitope tag between the drug resistance marker and target

protein creates specific constraints. While Western blotting can distinguish these proteins by size,

immunofluorescence cannot separate their signals. We recommend either live imaging or using

anti-mNeonGreen antibodies for localisation studies and choosing drug resistance markers of a

different molecular to your target protein. Additionally, the limited dynamic range of mNeonGreen

may not detect subtle expression changes, such as responses to nutrient starvation. To overcome

this, we are developing luciferase-based vectors that offer enhanced sensitivity for monitoring

dynamic responses to environmental signals.

Our T2A system has the potential to offer new insights into the complex biology of these parasites

and contribute to our broader understanding of eukaryotic gene regulation, particularly in systems

where post-transcriptional control plays a dominant role. By preserving native regulatory contexts,

this approach could accelerate our understanding of molecular mechanisms underlying parasite

adaptation and survival.

Materials and methods

***T. brucei* cell culture and generation of constitutive Cas9 expressing cells**

A tetracycline inducible single-marker bloodstream form Lister 427 strain *T. brucei brucei* was

used for all experiments (50). The cells were transfected with a humanised *Streptococcus*

pyogenes Cas9 plasmid (pTB011) that integrates upstream of the first β-tubulin gene in the tubulin

array and is under Pol II transcription (33). Cas9 expression was confirmed by Western blot using

anti-Cas9 (Abcam). The cells were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO_2 in HMI-9 medium supplemented

with 20% heat inactivated Foetal Bovine Serum, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin

(Gibco). Cell lines were propagated with the appropriate selection drugs where necessary: 2.5

µg/ml G418 (parental) and 10 µg/ml Blasticidin for Cas-expressing cells and 0.2 µg/ml Puromycin
for reporter or epitope-tagged cell lines.

Construction of T2A peptide-based plasmid templates for endogenous tagging

The "donor vectors" for T2A peptide-based tagging were originally designed as "SfiI cassettes" to
fit into our multi-fragment assembly method for generating targeting constructs for integration via
homologous recombination (51). The PAC::T2A::mNG and mNG::T2A::PAC tagging cassettes
were synthesised in full by GenScript. The PAC and mNeon genes were encoded with a
Leishmania donovani codon bias (i.e., predominantly G or C in the wobble position), and a nine
amino acid flexible linker was included upstream of the T2A peptide sequence to facilitate efficient
co-translational cleavage (34) The mScarlet (52) gene was PCR amplified from
pCytERM_mScarlet_N1 (Addgene_85066) and inserted into N-terminal and C-terminal "Empty
T2A::Drug^R cassettes as described in the **Fig. S1**. All pRExT2A plasmids were synthesised by
Genscript using sequences from the pPOT series which have been optimised for *T. brucei* (21).

sgRNA and repair template design and assembly

All oligonucleotides for generation of donor DNA repair templates and for analyses of edited
genomic loci were synthesised by Life Technologies (Invitrogen, UK) and presented below or in
the supplementary material. sgRNA primers targeting specific genomic loci were selected by
choosing a 20 bp sequence adjacent to a Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM, 5'-NGG) site that is
either close to the start codon (for 3' targeting) or close to the STOP codon (for 5' targeting).
SgRNA primers were cross-checked for any off-target binding. PCR amplification of all sgRNAs
followed the protocol published Beneke et al using 2 µM of primer G00 (sgRNA scaffold) as
template and a gene-specific sgRNA primer (33). The resulting sgRNA PCR product and
matching PCR-amplified repair template were pooled and transfected into cells that express T7
polymerase for T7 RNAP-driven transcription (50).

The repair template primers were designed to amplify the fluorescent TAG::T2A::drug^R with 25 -
40 bp homology arms targeting the gene of interest and the adjacent UTR (Fig 1). The homology
arms were selected such that full length endogenous 5' and 3' UTR sequences remained intact
following repair. The STOP and START codons were omitted for either C-terminus or N-terminus
tagging, respectively. To disrupt the PAM sequence or sgRNA binding regions within the repair
templates synonymous mutations or an *Xba*I site was introduced in the repair template primer,

highlighted in bold in the primer sequences (22). For PCR amplification of repair templates, 30 ng

of plasmid was used with gene-specific forward and reverse primers (**Table 1**).

PCR of repair templates and analyses of genomic integrations

All PCR assays for amplification of repair templates were performed in a 50 μl reaction volume

using Q5 High-Fidelity 2X MasterMix (New England Biolabs NEB Cat #M0515) according to

manufacturer's instructions. The reagent components were assembled on ice and transferred to

a preheated thermal cycler at 98.0°C. The annealing temperature for each primer pair was

calculated using the NEB Tm calculator online tool. To validate correct integration of tags at the

target loci, DNA was extracted from wild type and transfected cells using a DNeasy blood and

tissue Kit (Qiagen). All PCR assays for genomic DNA analysis of edited loci were performed in a

25 μl reaction volume using Hot Start Taq 2X Master Mix (NEB, Cat #M0496). Five microlitres of

all PCR reactions were resolved on a 1% agarose gel to verify the presence of correct size PCR

products. For high-throughput applications, we recommend well-established workflows published

by Beneke et al 2017 (21).

Table 1: Primer sequences used for PCR amplification of sgRNA and DNA repair templates. ReF;
forward repair and ReR; reverse repair. Primer binding sites corresponding to regions in the
plasmid are shown in italics. Bold indicate synonymous mutations to prevent further Cas9 edits.
538

Primer description	Sequence
G00 sgRNA	aaaagcaccgactcggtgccactttttcaagttgataacggactagccttattttaacttgctatttctagctctaaaac
E3	
3'-sgRNA E3::m2A	gaaattaatacgactcactatagg*TTAAAGCAAAGAAACTGTAA*gttttagagctagaaatagc
E3::m2A-ReF	CGCGGTCAAGGAGAATGACTTGTTTAAAGCAAAGAA**G**CT**C***ggcggtGTGTCCAAGGGC*
E3::m2A-ReR	GCCGCAAGAAAATCTTTAGTTTTGTAT**G**GC*gccttcacacaggaaacagctatgac*
E3::mTy-ReF	GAGAATGACTTGTTTAAAGCAAAGAAACTG*ggttctggtagtggttccgg*
E3::mTy-ReR	TTTTCATGTCAACATAGACTTGTGCGGCCA*ccaatttgagagacctgtgc*
3'-sgRNA E3::mTy	gaaattaatacgactcactatagg*ACCAACACGCATGGCCAAGA*gttttagagctagaaatagc
Hsp70	
5'-sgRNA 2AmSc::H	gaaattaatacgactcactatagg*CTTTGAAGGATGACATACGA*gttttagagctagaaatagc
2AmSc::H-ReF	GGAATATCTGCCTCTTTGAAGGATG**TCTAGA**gcc*ATGACGGAGTACAAACC*
2AmSc::H-ReR	CGATACCAATGGCGCCTTCGTATGT*gctacccgagcc*CTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC
3'-sgRNA H::m2A	gaaattaatacgactcactatagg*ATCGTCCGGGCCTAAAGTCG*gttttagagctagaaatagc
H::m2A-ReF	CGCTGCGGCATCGTCCGGGCCTAAAGTCGA**A**GA**A**GTTGAC*ggcggtGTGTCCAAGGGC*
H::m2A-ReR	GCAGCACCGGTCCGAAATACACCTGGGAAC *gccttcacacaggaaacagctatgac*
E7	
3'-sgRNA E7::m2A	gaaattaatacgactcactatagg*AGGCAATACAAAAGTACCTA gttttagagctagaaatagc*
E7::mTy-ReF	ACGAAGAATTCGAGGCAATACAAAAGTACCTAGGGTCTCTG*ggttctggtagtggttccgg*
E7::mTy-ReR	CAGTATAGTTGAATTCGCCTTTACTCCATT*ccaatttgagagacctgtgc*
E7::m2A-ReF	ACGAAGAATTCGAGGCAATACAAAAGTACCTAGGGTCTCTG*ggcggtGTGTCCAAGGGC*
E7::m2A-ReR	CAGTATAGTTGAATTCGCCTTTACTCCATT*gccttcacacaggaaacagctatgac*

539
540

Transfection of pooled PCR-amplified sgRNA and donor repair templates. 1-3 x 10^7 Lister
427 bloodstream cells were harvested and resuspended in 1x TbBSF Roditi buffer premixed with
50 µL of pooled PCR reactions (25 µl repair template fragment + 25 µl sgRNA; ~25 µg total DNA
each) without purification. The cell suspension in a final volume of 200 µl was electroporated
using an Amaxa Nucleofector IIb (Lonza), program X-001. Cells were recovered for 6h at 37
^{0}C/5% CO_2 before selection with appropriate antibiotics and distributed in 24 well plates (2 ml in
each well). Positive clones were selected after 5 – 7 days and analysed by flow cytometry and

Western blots. Correct integration of repair templates at target loci were confirmed by locus-
specific PCR and genotyped by performing whole genome sequencing at
BGI technologies (China). Locus-specific PCR primers are detailed in **Table S2**.
551
Western blotting and antibodies. Total protein was extracted from wild type and transgenic
cells, washed in 1x Phosphate buffered saline, lysed in 1x SDS loading buffer, separated by SDS
PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes and immunoblotted with appropriate antibodies for 1 hr
at room temperature: anti-ESAG3 (rabbit, 1:2500), anti-TfR (rabbit, 1:2500), anti-Hsp70 (rabbit,
1:5000) (53), anti-mNG (Mouse monoclonal antibody [32F6], ChromoTek 1:0000), anti-RFP
(Mouse monoclonal antibody [6G6], ChromoTek 1:1000) and anti-2A (monoclonal clone 3H4,
Merck, 1:1000,). The membranes were blocked in 5% milk, washed 3x in 1xPSBT after antibody
incubation and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature with the appropriate horseradish
peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (anti-mouse IgG, 1:5000; and anti-rabbit IgG,
1:5000 dilution), developed after 1 min incubation with Super Signal ECL reagent (Thermo Fisher
Scientific), and imaged using a ChemiDoc Gel Imaging MP System (Bio-Rad)**.**
563
Quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR). All qRT-PCR analyses used ~1–5 x 10^7 cells total, and
RNA was extracted from cell pellets using Qiagen RNeasy Extraction Kit. qRT-PCR from total
RNA was performed using Luna Universal One-step kit (NEB) per manufacturer's instructions.
All reactions were performed in technical duplicates and specificity of each reaction was checked
by ensuring single peaks from melt curves. Fold change was calculated by comparative C_T
method using the Applied Biosciences software. Relative transcript levels were compared to
endogenous control, *ZFP* (Tb927.3.720, nts 241-301) relative to untreated controls.   Primer
sequences are available in supplementary material.
572
573   **Heat shock and iron starvation inductions**.Iron starvation was induced for 5 hr at cell densities
574   £ $5x10^5$ cells/ml with 25 mM Deferoxamine (Sigma Aldrich) in HMI-9 medium. For heat shock,
575   Hsp70 tagged cells were maintained at 37 °C or transferred to 42 °C for one hour in HMI-9 medium
576   at same cell densities £ $5x10^5$ cells/ml. In both cases, cells were harvested and analysed by qRT-
577   PCR, flow cytometry or Western blots.
578
Immunofluorescence microscopy and DAPI staining. Immunofluorescence assays were
performed as previously described in (54). αBiP (Rabbit) antibody dilution was 1:2000, anti-mNG
(Mouse monoclonal antibody [32F6], ChromoTek 1:100). Slides were washed with 1x PBS then

incubated with secondary antibody for 1 hr at room-temperature: Alexa-488 conjugated a-mouse
(1:500) and Alexa-594 conjugated a-rabbit (1:500) (Molecular Probes, USA). Slides were washed
in 1x PBS and mounted in VectaShield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) containing 4′,6-
diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), sealed with coverslips and imaged on a Zeiss AxioImager M2
with an ORCA Flash 4 camera.

Flow cytometry. Live cells were harvested, washed in 1x PBSG, resuspended in 1 mL 1x PBSG,
and analysed with an LSR Fortessa cell analyser using BD FACS Diva software (BD Biosciences).
For uptake of Alexa-647 transferrin (Tf-647), the cells were pre-incubated with serum-free HMI9
supplemented with 0.5 μg/mL BSA at 37 ^{0}C for 10 minutes. Tf-647 was added at 50 μg/mL and
incubated for 30 minutes. The cells were washed twice in 1x PBSG and analysed by flow
cytometry. Post-acquisition analysis of flow cytometry data was performed with FlowJo v10
software (BD Biosciences).

Development of an automated primer design tool. To facilitate use of this tool, we developed
code for automatic design of primers. This was implemented as a Python module, using sequence
and metadata retrieval from TriTrypDB (55) to allow design of primers from a gene ID or list of
gene IDs as found in TriTrypDB. For ease of use, we also wrote an iPython/Jupyter Notebook
that runs in Google Collaboratory. Anyone can visit this notebook (available at:
https://github.com/zephyris/scarlesstagging) and design primers without any need for coding
ability or installing software on a computer.

The automated primer design attempts to design primers which make absolutely no change to
the 5` (for N terminal tagging) or 3` (for C terminal tagging) UTRs. Without a CRISPR- mediated
double strand break, this could be done by providing homology arms for the homologous repair
construct which are, for N terminal tagging, the end of the 5` UTR and start of the ORF or, for C
terminal tagging, the end of the ORF (without the stop codon) and the start of the 3` UTR. For
CRISPR-assisted homologous recombination, a double strand cut site defined by a protospacer
adjacent motif (PAM) site of GG must be selected near the start (for N) or end (for C) of the ORF.
However, any such site would also cut the repair construct. Therefore, we search for protospacer
adjacent motif (PAM) sites within the ORF which can be modified to remove the PAM without
changing the encoded amino acid. This search range is defined by the number of bases typically
available for primer synthesis (100 bases) minus the length of the template plasmid annealing
site (template dependent, around 18 bases) and the length of homology (25 bases), searching

within the start or end of the ORF for N or C terminal tagging respectively. The PAM site closest

to the start (for N terminal) or end (for C) of the ORF is selected. The final primer sequences for

the generation of the sgDNA are then constructed from for the automatically selected PAM site,

and the forward and reverse primer sequences for the homologous repair construct constructed

for the user-selected template plasmid and automatically selected PAM site. The reverse (for N

terminal) and forward (for C) primers contain a single point mutation in the ORF which removes

the PAM site and so prevents CRISPR-mediated cutting.

Code availability: The automated primer design tool for our tagging system is freely available at

https://github.com/zephyris/scarlesstagging, along with pre-designed primers for *T. brucei*

(TREU927, Lister 427).

Acknowledgements: pCytERM_mScarlet_N1 was a gift from Dorus Gadella (Addgene plasmid

85066; http://n2t.net/addgene:85066 ; RRID:Addgene_85066). The authors are grateful to

Professor Jay Bangs (University at Buffalo, USA) for generous anti-TfR and anti-Hsp70

antibodies, and Professor Keith Gull, FRS for his insightful discussions and advice on gene choice

for developmental regulation and experimental design.

Funding: This work was funded by a Wellcome Trust and Royal Society Sir Henry Dale

Fellowship (208780/Z/17/Z) to CT, and a NIAID, National Institutes of Health Grant R03AI137636

to PAY. Richard Wheeler is supported by a Wellcome Trust Sir Henry Dale Fellowship

(211075/Z/18/Z)

References

639
640
1. Clayton C. Regulation of gene expression in trypanosomatids: living with polycistronic
transcription. Open biology. 2019;9(6):190072.

2. Fadda A, Ryten M, Droll D, Rojas F, Färber V, Haanstra JR, et al. Transcriptome-wide
analysis of trypanosome mRNA decay reveals complex degradation kinetics and suggests a
role for co-transcriptional degradation in determining mRNA levels. Mol Microbiol.
2014;94(2):307-26.

3. Luzak V, Osses E, Danese A, Odendaal C, Stricker SH, Haanstra JR, et al. SLAM-seq
reveals independent contributions of RNA processing and stability to gene expression in African
trypanosomes. bioRxiv. 2024:2024.06.18.599538.

4. Lee MG, Polevere RI, Van der Ploeg LHT. Evidence for segmental gene conversion between
a cognate hsp70 gene and the temperature-sensitively transcribed hsp70 genes of
Trypanosoma brucei. Mole Biochem Parasitol. 1990;41:213-20.

5. Häusler T, Clayton C. Post-transcriptional control of hsp70 mRNA in Trypanosoma brucei.
Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1996;76(1-2):57-71.

6. Droll D, Minia I, Fadda A, Singh A, Stewart M, Queiroz R, et al. Post-transcriptional
regulation of the trypanosome heat shock response by a zinc finger protein. PLoS Pathog.
2013;9(4):e1003286.

7. Hertz-Fowler C, Ersfeld K, Gull K. CAP5.5, a life-cycle-regulated, cytoskeleton-associated
protein is a member of a novel family of calpain-related proteins in *Trypanosoma brucei*. Mol
Biochem Parasitol. 2001;116:25-34.

8. Olego-Fernandez S, Vaughan S, Shaw MK, Gull K, Ginger ML. Cell morphogenesis of
Trypanosoma brucei requires the paralogous, differentially expressed calpain-related proteins
CAP5.5 and CAP5.5V. Protist. 2009;160(4):576-90.

9. Günzl A, Kirkham JK, Nguyen TN, Badjatia N, Park SH. Mono-allelic VSG expression by
RNA polymerase I in Trypanosoma brucei: expression site control from both ends? Gene.
2015;556(1):68-73.

10. Gilabert Carbajo C, Cornell LJ, Madbouly Y, Lai Z, Yates PA, Tinti M, et al. Novel aspects
of iron homeostasis in pathogenic bloodstream form Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS Pathog.
2021;17(6):e1009696.

11. Benz C, Lo W, Fathallah N, Connor-Guscott A, Benns HJ, Urbaniak MD. Dynamic
regulation of the Trypanosoma brucei transferrin receptor in response to iron starvation is
mediated via the 3'UTR. PLoS one. 2018;13(12):e0206332.

12. Berberof M, Vanhamme L, Tebabi P, Pays A, Jefferies D, Welburn S, et al. The 3'-terminal
region of the mRNAs for VSG and procyclin can confer stage specificity to gene expression in
Trypansoma brucei. EMBO J. 1995;14:2925-34.

13. Ridewood S, Ooi CP, Hall B, Trenaman A, Wand NV, Sioutas G, et al. The role of genomic
location and flanking 3′ UTR in the generation of functional levels of variant surface glycoprotein
in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Microbiol. 2017;106(4):614-34.

14. Hehl A, Vassella E, Braun R, Roditi I. A conserved stem-loop structure in the 3'
untranslated region of procyclin mRNAs regulates expression in Trypanosoma brucei. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91(1):370-4.

15. Trenaman A, Tinti M, Wall RJ, Horn D. Post-transcriptional reprogramming by thousands of
mRNA untranslated regions in trypanosomes. Nature Communications. 2024;15(1):8113.

16. Shen S, Arhin GK, Ullu E, Tschudi C. In vivo epitope tagging of *Trypanosoma brucei* genes
using a one step PCR strategy. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2001;113:171-3.

17. Oberholzer M, Morand S, Kunz S, Seebeck T. A vector series for rapid PCR-mediated C-
terminal in situ tagging of Trypanosoma brucei genes. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2006;145(1):117-
20.

18. Kelly S, Reed J, Kramer S, Ellis L, Webb H, Sunter J, et al. Functional genomics in
Trypanosoma brucei: a collection of vectors for the expression of tagged proteins from
endogenous and ectopic gene loci. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2007;154(1):103-9.

19. Dean S, Sunter J, Wheeler RJ, Hodkinson I, Gluenz E, Gull K. A toolkit enabling efficient,
scalable and reproducible gene tagging in trypanosomatids. Open Biol. 2015;5(1):140197.

20. Billington K, Halliday C, Madden R, Dyer P, Barker AR, Moreira-Leite FF, et al. Genome-
wide subcellular protein map for the flagellate parasite Trypanosoma brucei. Nat Microbiol.
2023;8(3):533-47.

21. Beneke T, Madden R, Makin L, Valli J, Sunter J, Gluenz E. A CRISPR Cas9 high-
throughput genome editing toolkit for kinetoplastids. Royal Society open science.
2017;4(5):170095.

22. Kovarova J, Novotna M, Faria J, Rico E, Wallace C, Zoltner M, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-based
precision tagging of essential genes in bloodstream form African trypanosomes. Mol Biochem
Parasitol. 2022;249:111476.

23. Vasquez J-J, Wedel C, Cosentino RO, Siegel TN. Exploiting CRISPR–Cas9 technology to
investigate individual histone modifications. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(18):e106-e.

24. Donnelly ML, Luke G, Mehrotra A, Li X, Hughes LE, Gani D, et al. Analysis of the
aphthovirus 2A/2B polyprotein 'cleavage'mechanism indicates not a proteolytic reaction, but a
novel translational effect: a putative ribosomal 'skip'. Journal of General Virology.
2001;82(5):1013-25.

25. Ryan MD, King AM, Thomas GP. Cleavage of foot-and-mouth disease virus polyprotein is
mediated by residues located within a 19 amino acid sequence. Journal of General Virology.
1991;72(11):2727-32.

26. Garry AL, Martin DR. The 2A Story: The End of the Beginning. In: Ph DMS, editor. Beyond
the Blueprint - Decoding the Elegance of Gene Expression. Rijeka: IntechOpen; 2024. p. Ch. 7.

27. Heras S, Thomas M, Garcia-Canadas M, Felipe Pd, Garcia-Perez J, Ryan MD, et al. L1Tc
non-LTR retrotransposons from Trypanosoma cruzi contain a functional viral-like self-cleaving
2A sequence in frame with the active proteins they encode. Cellular and Molecular Life
Sciences CMLS. 2006;63:1449-60.

28.   Rodriguez-Contreras D, Aslan H, Feng X, Tran K, Yates PA, Kamhawi S, et al. Regulation
and biological function of a flagellar glucose transporter in Leishmania mexicana: a potential
glucose sensor. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for
Experimental Biology. 2015;29(1):11-24.

29.   Tran KD, Rodriguez-Contreras D, Vieira DP, Yates PA, David L, Beatty W, et al. KHARON1
mediates flagellar targeting of a glucose transporter in Leishmania mexicana and is critical for
viability of infectious intracellular amastigotes. J Biol Chem. 2013;288(31):22721-33.

30.   Licon MH, Yates PA. Purine-responsive expression of the Leishmania donovani NT3 purine
nucleobase transporter is mediated by a conserved RNA stem–loop. J Biol Chem.
2020;295(25):8449-59.

31.   Niemirowicz GT, Carlevaro G, Campetella O, Bouvier LA, Mucci J. A versatile 2A peptide-
based strategy for ectopic expression and endogenous gene tagging in Trypanosoma cruzi.
Heliyon. 2024;10(2):e24595.

32.   Webb H, Burns R, Ellis L, Kimblin N, Carrington M. Developmentally regulated instability of
the *GPI-PLC* mRNA is dependent on a short-lived protein factor. Nucleic Acids Res.
2005;33:1503-12.

33.   Beneke T, Madden R, Makin L, Valli J, Sunter J, Gluenz E. A CRISPR Cas9 high-
throughput genome editing toolkit for kinetoplastids. R Soc Open Sci. 2017;4(5):170095.

34.   de Felipe P, Luke GA, Hughes LE, Gani D, Halpin C, Ryan MD. E unum pluribus: multiple
proteins from a self-processing polyprotein. Trends Biotechnol. 2006;24(2):68-75.

35.   Hertz-Fowler C FLM, Quail MA, Becker M, Jackson A, Bason N, Brooks K, Churcher C,
Fahkro S, Goodhead I, Heath P, Kartvelishvili M, Mungall K, Harris D, Hauser H, Sanders M,
Saunders D, Seeger K, Sharp S, Taylor JE, Walker D, White B, Young R, Cross G A M,
Rudenko G, Barry J D, Louis E J, Berriman M. Telomeric expression sites are highly conserved
in *Trypanosoma brucei*. PLoS one. 2008;3:e3527.

36.   Müller LSM, Cosentino RO, Förstner KU, Guizetti J, Wedel C, Kaplan N, et al. Genome
organization and DNA accessibility control antigenic variation in trypanosomes. Nature.
2018;563(7729):121-5.

37.   Huang J, Van Der Ploeg LH. Maturation of polycistronic pre-mRNA in Trypanosoma brucei:
analysis of trans splicing and poly (A) addition at nascent RNA transcripts from the hsp70 locus.
Mol Cell Biol. 1991.

38.   Brandau S, Dresel A, Clos J. High constitutive levels of heat-shock proteins in human-
pathogenic parasites of the genus Leishmania. Biochem J. 1995;310 (Pt 1)(Pt 1):225-32.

39.   Trevor CE, Gonzalez-Munoz AL, Macleod OJS, Woodcock PG, Rust S, Vaughan TJ, et al.
Structure of the trypanosome transferrin receptor reveals mechanisms of ligand recognition and
immune evasion. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4(12):2074-81.

40.   Tiengwe C, Muratore KA, Bangs JD. Surface proteins, ERAD and antigenic variation in
Trypanosoma brucei. Cell Microbiol. 2016.

41. Webb HR, Burns R, Kimblin N, Ellis L, Carrington M. A novel strategy to identify the location
of necessary and sufficient *cis*-acting regulatory elements in trypanosomes. RNA.
2005;11:1108-16.

42. Ferguson MAJ, Low MG, Cross GAM. Glycosyl-sn-1,2-dimyristlyphosphatidly-inositol is
covalently linked to *Trypanosoma brucei* variant surface glycoprotein. J Biol Chem.
1985;260:14547-55.

43. Hanrahan O, Webb H, O'Byrne R, Brabazon E, Treumann A, Sunter JD, et al. The
Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-PLC in Trypanosoma brucei Forms a Linear Array on the Exterior
of the Flagellar Membrane Before and After Activation. PLoS pathogens. 2009;5(6):e1000468.

44. Sunter J, Webb H, Carrington M. Determinants of GPI-PLC localisation to the flagellum and
access to GPI-anchored substrates in trypanosomes. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(8):e1003566.

45. Halliday C, Dean S, Sunter JD, Wheeler RJ. Subcellular protein localisation of
Trypanosoma brucei bloodstream form-upregulated proteins maps stage-specific adaptations.
Wellcome open research. 2023;8:46.

46. Alsford S, Turner DJ, Obado SO, Sanchez-Flores A, Glover L, Berriman M, et al. High-
throughput phenotyping using parallel sequencing of RNA interference targets in the African
trypanosome. Genome Res. 2011;21(6):915-24.

47. Carter M, Gomez S, Gritz S, Larson S, Silva-Herzog E, Kim HS, et al. A Trypanosoma
brucei ORFeome-Based Gain-of-Function Library Identifies Genes That Promote Survival
during Melarsoprol Treatment. mSphere. 2020;5(5).

48. Gruszynski AE, DeMaster A, Hooper NM, Bangs JD. Surface coat remodeling during
differentiation of *Trypanosoma brucei*. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:24665-72.

49. Steverding D, Stierhof Y-D, Chaudri M, Ligtenberg M, Schell D, Beck-Sickinger AG, et al.
ESAG 6 and 7 products of *Trypanosoma brucei* form a transferrin binding protein complex. Eur
J Cell Biol. 1994;64:78-87.

50. Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross G. A tightly regulated inducible expression system for
conditional gene knockouts and dominant-negative genetics in *Trypanosoma brucei*. Mol
Biochem Parasitol. 1999;99:89-101.

51. Fulwiler AL, Soysa DR, Ullman B, Yates PA. A rapid, efficient and economical method for
generating leishmanial gene targeting constructs. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2011;175(2):209-12.

52. Bindels DS, Haarbosch L, van Weeren L, Postma M, Wiese KE, Mastop M, et al. mScarlet:
a bright monomeric red fluorescent protein for cellular imaging. Nat Methods. 2017;14(1):53-6.

53. McDowell MA, Ransom DA, Bangs JD. Glycosyl phosphatidylinositol-dependent secretory
transport in *Trypanosoma brucei.* Biochem J. 1998;335:681-9.

54. Tiengwe C, Bush PJ, Bangs JD. Controlling transferrin receptor trafficking with GPI-valence
in bloodstream stage African trypanosomes. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(5):e1006366.

55. Aslett M, Aurrecoechea C, Berriman M, Brestelli J, Brunk BP, Carrington M, et al.
TriTrypDB: a functional genomic resource for the Trypanosomatidae. Nucleic Acids Res.
2010;38(Database issue):D457-62.
795
796

Figures

798
799
800

801
802
803
Fig. 1 Strategy for endogenous T2A peptide-based protein tagging
804
The T2A peptide-based tagging system relies on CRISPR/Cas9-mediated insertion of a repair
template either on the 5' end (**A**) or the 3'end (**B**) of the open reading frame of a target gene. The
repair template consists of a drug-selectable marker (Drug^R) linked by a *Thosea asigna* T2A
peptide sequence to a fluorescent protein or an epitope tag (TAG), placed at the N- or C-terminus
of a protein of interest (POI). PCR amplification of donor repair templates uses primers containing
~25 - 40 bp of homology arms (orange and purple lines) flanking the Drug^R::T2A::TAG cassette.
The single guide RNA (sgRNA) directs Cas9 to introduce a double-stranded break adjacent to
the protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM), and mutated PAM sites from the repair template prevent
further edits. Following correct integration, the T2A peptide causes co-translational cleavage,
resulting in expression of both the Drug^R and POI in equal amounts. In both configurations, the
sgRNA and repair template primers are designed so that the full-length endogenous 5' and 3'
UTR sequences remain intact and drive expression of the Drug^R protein and POI.
817
818

A. gDNA PCR

B. Western blot

C. Flow cytometry

D. Microscopy

820
821

Fig. 2: High-efficiency T2A cleavage in *T. brucei* and localisation of ESAG3::mNG::T2A.

A. PCR validation of *ESAG3::mT2A* tagged genomic locus. Diagram shows the *ESAG3* gene
locus after insertion of a repair cassette containing mNeonGreen (mNG), T2A peptide sequence
(T2A), and puromycin (PAC) resistance gene for selection. PCR was performed using genomic
DNA from parental cells (Par) and two transfected ESAG3::mT2A clones (1, 2). Coloured lines
and arrows indicate positions of PCR primers to verify *ESAG3* locus-specific integration, with
corresponding size fragments shown on the right of the agarose gel image. Molecular weight
markers (M) are shown on the left. All diagrams are not drawn to scale.
B. Validation of tagged ESAG3::mT2A protein. Top panel: Diagram shows predicted molecular
weights (MW) without (90 kDa) and with (69 kDa) T2A cleavage during translation of
ESAG3::mT2A::PAC (mT2A) mRNA. Independent cell lines were generated by CRISPR/Cas9

mediated fusion of ESAG3 with mNG with 6xTy (ESAG3::mTy, mTy, predicted MW 76 kDa)
without incorporating a T2A peptide sequence. The ESAG3::mTy protein served as an internal
control for SDS-PAGE migration analysis. Bottom panel: Western blot analyses using anti-mNG
(αmNG, top) and anti-ESAG3 peptide (αESAG3, bottom) antibodies. Asterisks indicate αESAG3
non-specific cross-reacting polypeptide.
C. Evaluation of mNG-positive cells by flow cytometry. Parental cells (Par, gray histogram) and
ESAG3::mNG::T2A-tagged cells (ESAG3::mT2A, clones 1 and 2, green histograms) are shown.
Inset: percentage of mNG-positive cells.
D. Localisation of ESAG3::mT2A protein visualised by live-cell epifluorescence microscopy
(green), co-stained with Hoechst 33342 (magenta; DNA). Scale bar = 5 μM.
843

Fig. 3: Effect of heat shock on T2A-tagged Hsp70 mRNA and protein following heat shock.
A. PCR validation of *Hsp70* tagged loci. Top panel shows schematic of the locus after insertion of a mNG::2A::drug repair cassette. Bottom panel shows agarose gel image of PCR products using genomic DNA extracted from parental (Par) cells or clonal cells transfected with repair templates for Hsp70 tagged either at the C-terminus with mNeonGreen (mNG, Hsp70::mT2A) or

at the N-terminus with mScarlet (mSc, T2A-mS::Hsp70). Coloured lines indicate positions of PCR
primers with corresponding size fragments shown above each lane on the agarose gel image. M:
molecular markers, two clones for mNG (1, 2) and mSc (3, 4).
B. Top panel: Diagram shows the predicted MWs of tagged Hsp70 protein before (122 kDa) or
after (101 kDa) 2A-mediated co-translational intra-ribosome skipping. Bottom panel: Western blot
analyses of tagged and untagged (WT) Hsp70 protein with native anti-Hsp70 (αHsp70) antibody.
C. Flow cytometry analysis of tagged Hsp70 cells. Parental cells (Par, gray) and cells in which
Hsp70 was either mNG-tagged (left) or mSc-tagged (right). Inset shows percentage of positive
fluorescent cells.
D. Localisation of Hsp70::mT2A protein visualised by live-cell epifluorescence microscopy
(green), co-stained with Hoechst 33342 (magenta; DNA). Scale bar = 5 μM.
E. Regulation of tagged Hsp70 protein expression after heat shock. Cell cultures were maintained
at 37 °C (-) or transferred to 42 °C (+) for one hour. Total protein extracts were analysed by
western blot with anti-mSc (αmSc), anti-mNG (αmNG) and anti-Hsp70 (αHsp70) antibodies. Two
clones are shown for parental (Par) and each tagged cell line. Note: The same membrane was
sequentially probed without stripping, starting with αmSc, followed by αmNG, and finally αHsp70.
F. Regulation of *Hsp70* mRNA expression after heat shock. Cell cultures were maintained at 37
°C (Control, Con) or transferred to 42 °C (Heat shock, HS) for one hour and mRNA levels
quantified by qRT-PCR using primers specific to endogenous Hsp70. Fold change is calculated
relative to 37 °C controls with *ZFP3* as endogenous control. Error bars represent standard
deviation from two clonal cell populations with three technical duplicates for each clone.
872
873
874

A. Tagged gDNA loci: ESAG7 (E7) and Hsp70 (H)

E7::mT2A | E7 5' UTR | E7 | mNG | T2A | PAC | E7 3' UTR
E7::mTy | E7 5' UTR | E7 | mNG | Ty | PFR2 IGR | PAC | ALD 3' UTR
H::mT2A | Hsp 5' UTR | Hsp | mNG | T2A | PAC | Hsp 3' UTR

B. qRT-PCR (mNG)

Fold Change iron starved/replete

Par, E7::mT2A, E7::mTy, H::mT2A

C. α-mNG Western blot

Par | E7::mT2A | E7::mTy | H::mT2A
DFO: - + - + - + - + | kDa
H::mT2A
E7::mTy
E7::mT2A
-100
-75
-50
-37
αmNG:
Ponceau stain

D. mNG expression

DFO
Par – / +
E7::mT2A – / +
E7::mTy – / +
H::mT2A – / +

E. qRT-PCR (E7)

Fold Change iron starved/replete

Par, E7::mT2A, E7::mTy, H::mT2A

F. α-TfR Western blot

Par | E7::mT2A | E7::mTy | H::mT2A
DFO: - + - + - + - + | kDa
E7::mTy
E7::mT2A
E6::WT
E7::WT
-100
-75
-50
-37
αTfR:
Ponceau stain

G. Transferrin uptake

DFO
Par – / +
E7::mT2A – / +
E7::mTy – / +
H::mT2A – / +
Transferrin uptake

Fig. 4: Endogenous T2A tagging of ESAG7 maintains iron-dependent regulation.

A. Schematic shows the modified *ESAG7* (*E7*) and *Hsp70* genomic DNA locus after insertion of
the mNG::2A::PAC repair cassette between the ORF and their native 3' UTRs (E7::mT2A and
H::mT2A) or insertion of mNG::6xTy with *paraflagellar rod protein 2* intergenic region *(*PFR2 IGR;
E7::mTy). E7::mT2A and E7::mTy tagging preserve endogenous 5' UTRs. Diagrams not drawn
to scale.

B. qRT-PCR analysis of *mNG* transcript abundance in cells expressing E7::mT2A, E7::mTy, or
H::mT2A following treatment with the iron chelator Deferoxamine (DFO). Transcript levels were
normalised to *ZFP3* signal and expressed as a ratio to control treatment (iron-replete) values.
Data are presented as means ± SD, n = 3 independent experiments from a single clone, with 2
technical replicates for each n.

C. Western blot analysis of E7::mT2A protein levels using α-mNG antibody in different cell lines
under iron-replete (DFO, -) and iron-starved (DFO, +) conditions. Ponceau staining indicates
protein loading.

D. Flow cytometry analysis of mNG expression in different cell lines under iron-replete (DFO, -)
and iron-starved (DFO, +) conditions. Histograms show fluorescence intensity indicating mNG
expression levels.
E. qRT-PCR analysis of *E7* transcript levels in parental (Par) cells or cells expressing E7::mT2A,
E7::mTy, or H::mT2A in iron-starved (DFO, +) conditions expressed as a ratio to control treatment
(iron-replete) values and normalised to *ZFP3* signal.
F. Western blot analysis of total protein using α-TfR antibody to detect total TfR expression in
whole cell extracts. TfR is a heterodimer of ESAG6 (E6) and ESAG7 (E7). In parental (Par) and
H::mT2A lanes, the predicted MW of endogenous E7 (E7::WT) is 37 kDa, while E6 (E6::WT)
migrates as two species: ~44 kDa (un-glycosylated) and >50 kDa (fully glycosylated) – see lanes
1 and 2 (par) and Lanes 7 and 8 (H::mT2A). The predicted MW of "cleaved" E7::mT2A, E7::mTy,
H::mT2A are 67 kDa, 74 kDa, and 110 kDa respectively (lanes 3, 4, 5, and 6). Par and H::mT2A
tagged cells serve as a control for DFO treatment. Ponceau-stained membrane shows loading
control. The blot is representative of n = 3 independent experiments from one clone.
G. Flow cytometry analysis of transferrin uptake in different cell lines under iron-replete (DFO, -)
and iron-starved (DFO, +) conditions. Histograms show fluorescence intensity indicating
transferrin uptake levels.
907

A. N-terminal plasmid templates

mutated PAM

30 bp

20 bp Drug^R 3xTy T2A 3xTy FP 20 bp

30 bp

EcoRI NcoI KpnI HindIII BamHI

drug^R markers

blasticidin (BSD)
puromycin (PAC)
hygromycin (HYG)

FP

mNeonGreen (mNG)
mScarlet (mSc)
3xTy (without FP)

B. C-terminal plasmid templates

30 bp

20 bp FP 3xTy T2A Drug^R 3xTy 20 bp

mutated PAM

30 bp

HindIII BamHI SacI NheI XhoI PstI

FP

mNeonGreen (mNG)
mScarlet (mSc)
3xTy (without FP)

drug^R markers

blasticidin (BSD)
puromycin (PAC)
hygromycin (HYG)

C. N-terminal tagging

Wild type allele

ORF (WT)

Tagged allele

Drug^R 3xTy T2A 3xTy FP ORF

↓ Translation

mRNA

ORF (WT)

Drug^R 3xTy T2A 3xTy FP ORF

↓ co-translational 2A "cleavage"

protein

Drug^R 3xTy T2A 3xTy FP Protein

separate polypeptides
independent protein stability

D. Translational/post-translational Reporter analyses

Level of regulation	Output
mRNA	qRT-PCR
Translation	Flow cytometry Western blot Micrsocopy
Post translational	ratio: $\dfrac{\text{3xTy::protein}}{\text{3xTy::drug}^R}$
No effect = 1 protein stabilised >1 protein destabilised <1	

908
909
Fig. 5. Map of pRExT2A modular template plasmids and strategy for studying post-
transcriptional and post translational gene regulation
The schematic illustrates the design of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated modular donor vectors for N-
terminal (**A**) and C-terminal (**B**) T2A tagging of target genes in *T. brucei*. The repair cassette
includes a drug resistance marker (drug^R, BSD, PAC, HYG), a 3xTy epitope, a T2A peptide, and
a fluorescent protein tag (FP), such as mNeonGreen (mNG) or mScarlet (mSc). 3xTy epitope
tags, drug^R, and FP are flanked by unique restriction sites (EcoRI, NcoI, HindIII, etc.) to facilitate
cloning and customisation. Arrows indicate the positions of forward and reverse primers used for
the amplification of repair templates. The forward and reverse primers contain a 20 bp binding
site to donor plasmid and 30 bp homology arms matching the target sequence containing a
mutated PAM site, as described in Fig.1. The plasmid backbone is pUC57-simple (GenScript),
and the full list of sequences is deposited in Addgene.
C. The process of N-terminal tagging is depicted, where CRISPR/Cas9-mediated insertion of the
repair cassette replaces one wild-type (WT) allele with a tagged allele at the 5' end of the target

gene. During translation, the T2A sequence facilitates co-translational cleavage, producing two
distinct polypeptides: one containing the 3xTy-tagged drug^R marker and another containing the
3xTy-tagged FP-tagged protein. This strategy ensures that both the drug^R and FP-tagged proteins
are independently stable, allowing for accurate analysis of gene regulatory mechanisms.
D. The table outlines the different levels of regulation that can be studied using this system. The
mRNA level can be analysed using qRT-PCR, allowing users to assess changes in mRNA
stability. At the translation level, protein expression can be monitored using flow cytometry or
Western blot to detect 3xTy-tagged FP-tagged protein and the 3xTy-tagged drug^R marker. Post-
translational regulation is assessed by determining the ratio of 3xTy-tagged protein to the 3x-
tagged drug^R marker. A ratio of 1 indicates no effect on stability, a ratio greater than 1 suggests
protein stabilisation, and a ratio less than 1 suggests protein destabilisation.
935

Fig. 6: Tagging of GPI-PLC preserves life cycle-dependent expression patterns during BF
to PF differentiation.
A. Schematic of the GPI-PLC protein tagged with mNG::3xTy, fused via the T2A peptide to a
puromycin resistance protein (3xTy::PAC) at its C-terminus. The approximate MW (in kDa) of the
tagged components are indicated above the schematic.
B. Western blot analysis of GPI-PLC expression in parental (Par) and GPI-PLC::mT2A-tagged
cells in BF and PF cells. α-Ty antibody (left) detected GPI-PLC::mT2A (G::mT2A) and 3xTy::PAC
(PAC) proteins in BF cells, with signals at the expected size (~73 kDa). No signal was observed
in PF cells. The α-GPI-PLC antibody confirms BF-specific GPI-PLC expression showing
stoichiometric expression of endogenous (GPI-PLC) and tagged GPI-PLC (G:mT2A). Ponceau
staining showing a band corresponding to the MW of variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) in BF
but not in PF.
C. Localisation of GPI-PLC::mT2A protein visualised by live-cell epifluorescence microscopy
(green), co-stained with Hoechst 33342 (magenta; DNA). Scale bar = 5 μM.
D. Flow cytometry analysis of mNG fluorescence in GPI-PLC::mT2A-tagged cells during in vitro
differentiation of BF to PF stages. BF cells display high fluorescence, confirming GPI-PLC
expression, whereas PF cells show minimal fluorescence. Parental cells (Par) serve as a negative
control.
E. Quantification of flow cytometry data in D, showing median fluorescence intensity for mNG in
BF and PF stages.
959

A. Schematic

C. Microscopy

B. α-Ty Western blot

D. FACS - protein expression

E. FACS - quantification

Fig. 7: Tagging of CAP5.5V and CAP5.5 confirms stage-specific expression during BF to PF differentiation.

A. Schematic of CAP5.5V and CAP5.5 proteins tagged with mNG::3xTy::2A::3xTy::PAC or 3xTy::2A::3xTy::PAC at their C-termini. The predicted MW of the tagged protein variants are indicated.

B. Western blot analysis using α-Ty antibody to detect tagged CAP5.5V (left) and CAP5.5 (right) proteins in bloodstream form (BF) cells. The α-Ty blot shows expression of both mT2A::3xTy and 3xTy – tagged variants and T2A-mediated release of 3xTy-tagged puromycin (3xTy::PAC) protein. Parental cells (Par) serve as negative control.

C. Localisation of CAP5.5V::mT2A (CAP5.5V::mNG) and CAP5.5::mT2A (CAP5.5::mNG) in BF stages visualised by live-cell epifluorescence microscopy (green), co-stained with Hoechst 33342 (magenta; DNA). CAP5.5 is below detection limit in BF stage cells. Arrowhead indicates no staining of the flagellum. Scale bar = 5 μM.

D. Flow cytometry analysis of mNG fluorescence in CAP5.5V and CAP5.5-tagged cells during differentiation from BF to PF life cycle stages.

E. Quantification of flow cytometry results in D, showing median fluorescence intensity for mNG in BF and PF stages.

983
984
Fig. 8: Beginner's guide to using the pRExT2A tagging system: Start by selecting your target
gene and deciding whether to tag it at the N- or C-terminus, or both. Next, choose the appropriate
plasmid containing the desired fluorescent or epitope tag, along with a compatible drug-resistance
marker (e.g., Blasticidin or Puromycin). Design primers using the automated tool to generate PCR
amplicons for both the donor repair template and the sgRNA. Once the donor template and
sgRNAs are ready, mix and transfect into *T. brucei* cells expressing Cas9. After transfection, apply
drug selection to isolate successfully tagged cells. Validate the transgenic cells by performing
PCR and Sanger sequencing to confirm correct integration. Finally, verify protein expression and
localisation using Western blot, flow cytometry, or microscopy.
994