Title page

Structural variations contribute to subspeciation and heterosis in rice

Zhiwu Dan[1,2], Yunping Chen[1,2], Wenchao Huang[1]✉

[1]State Key Laboratory of Hybrid Rice, Key Laboratory for Research and Utilization of

Heterosis in Indica Rice, the Ministry of Agriculture, The Yangtze River Valley Hybrid

Rice Collaboration & Innovation Center, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University,

Wuhan, China. [2]These authors contributed equally: Zhiwu Dan and Yunping Chen. ✉

e-mail: wenchaoh@whu.edu.cn

Abstract

The exploitation of heterosis in rice, namely hybrid rice, has significantly improved global food production. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying rice heterosis, especially in heterosis from inter-subspecies, remain poorly understood. Here, we assemble high-quality genomes for 12 representative *indica* and *japonica* rice. Comparative genomic analyses reveal abundant genetic variations and highlight pivotal roles of structural variants (SVs) in rice subspeciation. The numbers of SVs between parental lines are significantly correlated with heterosis across 17 agronomic traits, with distinct correlation patterns for inter- and intra-subspecific F_1 hybrids. We detect SVs in *S5-ORF5*/*OsBZR1* and validate their roles in heterosis for seed setting rate and yield heterosis, respectively, underscoring the importance of SVs in breeding inter-subspecific hybrids. The SVs, especially inversions that are mostly located in exonic regions, drive gene expression changes that account for heterosis variation. Our findings demonstrate the contributions of SVs to subspeciation and gene expression for harnessing rice heterosis.

Keywords: Structural variant, Heterosis, Rice, Subspeciation, Gene expression

Introduction

Heterosis refers to the phenomenon where heterozygous F_1 hybrids exhibit superior performance compared to their parental lines[1], significantly enhancing crop yield and contributing to global food security[2]. Though several well-known genetic models—including dominance (complementation of loci)[3-6], over-dominance (heterozygosity of loci)[7-9], and epistasis (interaction of loci)[10,11]—have been proposed to explain heterosis, the molecular mechanisms underlying crop heterosis remain largely unknown. Currently, breeding elite hybrid crops relies on labor-intensive and time-consuming field practices. To meet rising food demands driven by population growth and environmental changes, it is essential to further elucidate the mechanisms of crop heterosis and improve the efficiency of hybrid breeding.

The successful breeding and widespread cultivation of hybrid rice have dramatically increased grain yield in China and other Asia countries[12]. To study the molecular mechanisms of yield heterosis in rice, researchers have constructed diverse hybrid populations and performed extensive sequencing and phenotyping analyses. While the contributions of small genomic variants, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions and deletions (InDels), have been well studied[6,13-16], the roles of larger structural variants (SVs, > 50 bp) in heterosis, especially the strong heterosis observed in inter-subspecific F_1 hybrids, remains poorly understood. A major limitation in studying SVs in rice heterosis has been the lack of high-quality genome assemblies of parental inbred lines for accurate variant detection.

Recent advances in sequencing technologies, including PacBio, BioNano, and Hi-

C platforms, have enabled the assembly of high-quality genomes. Over the past five

years, the completeness and accuracy of genome assemblies have significantly

improved, leading to the release of multiple rice genomes (including both *indica* and

japonica subspecies)[17-20]. Given that SVs have been shown to play important roles in

tomato improvement and maize heterosis[5,21], they are likely also critical for rice

heterosis.

In this study, we selected 12 representative *indica* and *japonica* inbred lines and

assembled their genomes for comparative analysis. We identified genomic variants,

particularly SVs, and assessed their functional implications in rice sub-speciation.

Using these inbred lines as parents, we constructed a diallel cross population and

collected phenotypic data for 17 field traits. In combination with gene expression data,

we further investigated the contributions of SVs to rice heterosis.

Results

Selection of 12 representative rice inbred lines

To investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying rice heterosis, we selected 12

representative *indica* and *japonica* accessions from 223 inbred lines (ILs) based on

previous genotyping data[22] (Supplementary Fig. S1). Meanwhile, these 12 ILs were

clustered into distinct *indica* and *japonica* groups (Fig. 1a), with different types of

genomic variants analyzed from the reported Illumina sequencing data[22]. Among the

selected ILs, six—Yuetai B (YB), Chenghui9348 (9311K), 610234, C418, Wuyunjing8,

and Balilla—are well-established cultivars known for high grain yields or their

widespread use in breeding elite inbred or hybrid rice (https://www.ricedata.cn). These

ILs represent phenotypically and geographically diverse varieties originating from

China, India, and Italy (Fig. 1b).

Using a complete diallel cross design, we generated F_1 hybrids from the 12 ILs. We

observed that F_1 hybrids derived from inter-subspecies exhibited significantly reduced

seed setting rate and increased plant height compared to those of intra-subspecific

hybrids (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. S2), which is consistent with traditional hybrid

breeding observations.

Genome assembly and annotation of 12 inbred lines

To generate genome assemblies, we obtained genomic data using single-molecule real-

time (SMRT) technology on the PacBio Revio platform for the 12 ILs, achieving an

average sequencing depth at 30.46× (Supplementary Tables S1-S2). De novo genome

assembly for two representative ILs, YB (*indica*) and Balilla (*japonica*), were

performed with the assistance of Hi-C data, yielding an average sequencing depth at

127.80× (Supplementary Fig. S3 and Supplementary Table S3). The genomes at the

chromosome level of the remaining ten ILs were assembled using YB and Balilla as

reference genomes for *indica* and *japonica* subgroups, respectively. The average contig

N50, scaffold N50, and total assembled genome sizes for final genome assemblies of

the 12 ILs were 28.74 Mb, 31.75 Mb, and 396.36 Mb, respectively, with an average

anchor ratio of 98.18%. The high-quality and completeness of these genome assemblies

were further confirmed by metrics such as average quality value of bases (63.06), re-

mapping rate of HiFi reads (99.51%), Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs

(BUSCO) (98.61%), and long terminal repeats (LTRs) assembly index (22.13)

(Supplementary Fig. S4).

We annotated repetitive sequences (tandem repeats and transposable elements),

protein-coding genes, and non-coding RNAs for the genomes of YB and Balilla

(Supplementary Table S4). Repetitive sequences constituted 57.35% (YB) and 55.44%

(Balilla) of their genomes, with transposable elements (TEs) comprising 55.09% and

53.10%, respectively. Among these TEs, DNA transposons and LTRs were the

predominant types, accounting for 24.26-25.67% and 24.9-28.64% of the genomes,

respectively. Three strategies, including homology-based (using five previously

reported genomes), *de novo*, and transcriptome-based (full-length RNA sequencing)

predictions, were integrated for the annotation of protein-coding genes. This resulted in

YB containing 44,332 genes and Balilla containing 43,706 genes. We revealed that 94.4%

of the annotated genes in YB and 95.35% in Balilla have assigned functions in one of

the following six databases: InterPro, Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of

Genes and Genomes (KEGG), Swiss-Prot, TrEMBL, and Non-Redundant. Compared

to the five established genome assemblies, including Nipponbare, 9311, Minghui63,

Zhenshan97, and R498, these newly assembled genomes were significantly different in

the lengths of genes and coding sequences, highlighting the value of these new

assemblies to current rice genomic resources (Supplementary Fig. S5). Moreover,

miRNA and rRNA were the two predominant types of non-coding RNAs in the genome

assemblies of both YB and Balilla, which accounted for 0.26-0.28% and 0.34-0.96% of

the genomes, respectively.

Validation of genome assemblies using *Phr1*

To further validate accuracy of the 12 newly assembled genomes, we analyzed *Phr1*

(Fig. 1d), a gene underlies the phenol reaction phenotype of *indica* and *japonica*[23].

Phylogenetic result of *Phr1* sequences from the 12 ILs, along with 9311 and

Nipponbare, confirmed their expected clustering patterns of subspecies. Compared to

9311, *Phr1* contained 24 SNPs and six InDels. Among these InDels, there existed three

insertions (1-2, 6, and 7 or 14 bp) and three deletions (2, 18, and 29 bp), with only the

18 and 29 bp deletions located in exons. The result of phenol reaction experiment

revealed that hulls of five ILs lacking the 18/29 bp deletions turned black within one

day, while the remaining nine remained yellow after seven days (Fig. 1e). These results

validated the assembly accuracy of these new genomes and implicated importance of

the 18/29 bp deletions in outcomes of subspecies-specific phenol reaction.

Pan-genome assembly of 12 inbred lines

A pan genome of the 12 ILs was constructed, comprising 47,490 gene families (Fig. 1f).

Among the whole gene families, 26,556 (with 345,960 genes) were identified in all 12

ILs and defined as core-gene families (55.92% of gene families and 70.70% of genes),

2,037 (with 24,040 genes) identified in 11 ILs were defined as softcore gene families

(4.29% of gene families and 4.91% of genes), 18,850 (with 118,436 genes) identified

in 2-10 ILs were defined as dispensable families (39.69% of gene families and 24.20%

of genes), and 47 (with 892 genes) identified in only one IL were defined as private

gene families (0.10% of gene families and 0.18% of genes). The numbers of gene

family increased with the addition of genomes, reaching a plateau at $n = 10$, indicating

the representativeness of the selected ILs (Fig. 1f). Unlike the trend observed in pan

genome, the numbers of core gene family decreased as the number increased, with the

lowest point reached at $n = 12$, underscoring the specificity of each IL (Fig. 1f). For the

numbers of non-core gene families among these ILs, YB and Qianlijing had the highest

and lowest amount, respectively, showing functional variations between ILs (Fig. 1g).

Furthermore, we analyzed the proportion of genes with annotated functions in GO,

KEGG, and protein domain annotation (Pfam). The result indicated that the annotation

ratios decreased from core to softcore to dispensable genes (Pfam: 63.23%, 43.05%,

and 35.77%; GO: 69.55%, 56.17%, and 51.02%; and KEGG: 27.97%, 13.12%, and

10.26%), demonstrating the conservation of core genes amidst diversification (Fig. 1h).

Pan-structural variants in the 12 inbred lines

Genomic variants—especially SVs (presence/absence variants, copy number variants,

translocations, and inversions)—were identified through comparing YB and the other

11 ILs. Consistent with the patterns observed for SNPs and InDels (Fig. 2a), both the

number and size (about 57-168 Mb) of SVs gradually increased from *indica* to *japonica*

(Fig. 2b-c). And the *japonica* ILs all harbored more SVs than the *indica*. Notably, two

large inversions were detected on chromosome 6 (inversion_6: 5.83 Mb) and 8

(inversion_8: 5.90 Mb) (Fig. 2d), which were between the six *indica* and six *japonica*

or exclusive to JR2 (Supplementary Fig. S6-S10), respectively, indicating that

inversions contribute to the evolutionary divergence of *indica* and *japonica*.

Unlike the changing trend of pan-genome, the numbers of pan-SVs did not plateau

even at $n = 11$, underscoring the necessity of including more accessions for a

comprehensive exploration of SVs (Fig. 2e). Core, softcore, dispensable, and private

SVs were assigned using the same criteria as the pan-genome. Among the total SVs, 878 (0.73%) identified in 11 ILs were classified as core SVs, while 1,640 (1.36%) detected in 10 ILs were categorized as softcore SVs (Fig. 2f). Additionally, 66,655 (55.28%) SVs identified in 2-9 ILs were classified as dispensable SVs, and 51,413 (42.64%) identified in only one IL were considered private SVs. Different from the trends of core, softcore, and private SVs, the numbers of dispensable SVs increased from *indica* to *japonica* (Fig. 2g), suggesting the roles of these dispensable SVs played in the subspeciation of rice. The lengths of different SV types varied (Fig. 2h), with three large absence variants (AVs) (0.73-1.16 Mb) detected (Fig. 2i). Since repetitive sequences accounted for more than 50% of the genomes, we analyzed the distributions of SVs and found that these SVs were predominantly detected in DNA transposons and LTRs (Fig. 2j), suggesting regulatory functions of SVs in non-coding regions of the genomes.

Structural variants and heterosis of 17 agronomic traits

We performed paired genomic comparisons and identified both unique and common genomic variants for each pair of ILs, representing different and shared variants, respectively (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. S11 and Supplementary Table S5). On average, unique genomic variants comprised 2,018,539 SNPs, 391,298 InDels, and 40,082 SVs (17,690 AVs, 11,975 PVs, 6,327 CNVs, 3,941 translocations, and 149 inversions). These unique variants were highly interconnected (Fig. 3b), with approximately half located in intergenic regions (57.45% of SNPs, 50.42% of InDels, and 50.56% of SVs), emphasizing the significance of these regions in the differentiation

of ILs. In contrast to other SV types, the majority (77.94%) of unique inversions

occurred in exonic regions, suggesting a link between inversions and changes in gene

expression levels. Furthermore, genetic relatedness of the 12 ILs assessed using unique

SVs, particularly translocations, showed slight variations compared to analyses based

on unique SNPs or InDels, demonstrating the distinct functional contributions of

different variant types to genomic divergence (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. S12).

Correlation analysis between the numbers of genomic variants between the

parental lines and heterosis of the 17 traits revealed divergent patterns for unique versus

common variants (Fig. 3d). Importantly, the unique variants exhibited stronger

associations with heterosis than common variants (Fig. 3e). Meanwhile, no notable

change in correlation patterns was observed between stop-gain and stop-loss variants

(in SNPs and InDels), synonymous and nonsynonymous SNPs, or frameshift and

nonframeshift InDels. These findings emphasized the importance of genomic variations

between the two parents in determining heterosis.

Though unique SVs accounted for only 1.99% and 10.24% of the total SNPs and

InDels, respectively, their correlations with heterosis were comparable. Among the five

types of SVs, inversions—though fewer in number than translocations—were larger in

size and showed stronger associations with heterosis, suggesting a size-dependent

influence. Different from correlation patterns of heterosis for yield and other yield-

related traits, the number of unique variants showed negative correlations with heterosis

for seed setting rate. Notably, we observed that an increase in unique SVs corresponded

with a slight rise in heterosis for seed setting rate of intra-subspecific F_1 hybrids, but a

dramatic decrease in *indica-japonica* F$_1$ hybrids (Fig. 3f and Supplementary Fig. S13).

The inter-subspecific hybrids possessed significantly more unique SVs in their parents

than their intra-subspecific counterparts (Fig. 3g and Supplementary Fig. S13),

emphasizing the roles of these SVs in heterosis of inter-subspecies. The unique SVs

between the parental lines also exhibited stronger correlations with heterosis for tiller

number in inter-subspecific F$_1$ hybrids. However, the numbers of unique SVs displayed

weak or no correlations with yield heterosis in inter-subspecific F$_1$ hybrids, likely due

to reproductive isolation-driven reductions in seed setting rate.

Absent variant of *S5-ORF5* contributes to heterosis for seed setting rate

Given the established role of the *S5* loci in embryo-sac fertility of inter-subspecific F$_1$

hybrids[24,25], we performed both phylogenetic and genotyping analyses for sequences of

three genes (*S5-ORF3*, *S5-ORF4*, and *S5-ORF5*) in the 12 ILs (Fig. 4a). Unexpectedly,

two ILs—YB and Qianlijing—clustered together and were identified as wide

compatibility varieties (WCVs). With gene sequence of Balilla as the reference, we

detected eight SNPs, three deletions (1, 10, and 42 bp), and one AV (94 bp) in *S5-ORF5*

(Fig. 4a), which acts as the "killer" gene. The 94 bp AV was exclusive to the two WCVs,

potentially rescuing embryo-sac viability in their subspecific F$_1$ hybrids.

To investigate contributions of the 94 bp AV to heterosis, we first compared seed

setting rate between intra- and inter-subspecific F$_1$ hybrids lacking the WCV parentage.

The seed setting rate of *indica-indica* and *japonica-japonica* F$_1$ hybrids were similar,

both significantly higher than those of *indica-japonica* F$_1$ hybrids (Fig. 4b). The

heterosis for seed setting rate was also lower in inter-subspecific F$_1$ hybrids (Fig. 4c).

However, when YB or Qianlijing was used as a parent, the *indica-japonica* F$_1$ hybrids

achieved seed setting rate as high as the *indica-indica* F$_1$ hybrids (Fig. 4d-g).

Importantly, heterosis for seed setting rate were comparable between the inter- and

intra-subspecific F$_1$ hybrids, confirming the roles of AV in heterosis.

Structural variants of *OsBZR1* contribute to yield heterosis

As a positive regulator of the brassinosteroid signaling pathway[26], *OsBZR1* regulates

phenotypic differentiation between *indica* and *japonica* subspecies[27], including yield

per plant, tiller number, grain number, thousand-grain weight, panicle length, and plant

height. Given that SVs in the *OsBZR1* promoter region—particularly a 4,808 bp

retrotransposon—are critical for its function, we extracted and compared these

sequences. Phylogenetic analysis of *OsBZR1* revealed that 11 of the 12 ILs clustered

into distinct *indica* and *japonica* groups, with JR2 as the exception (Fig. 5a). We then

classified the ILs into three haplotypes: haplotype_i (*indica*), haplotype_j (*japonica*),

and haplotype_JR2 (divergent).

Using the Balilla as reference, we identified 82 SNPs, four insertions (1, 4, 9, and

12 bp), four deletions (1, 2, 2, and 8 bp), one PV (266 bp), and six AVs (356, 523, 1,008,

1,486, 1,743, and 4,808 bp) (Fig. 5b). The 266 bp PV was exclusive to the six *japonica*

accessions, while the 4,808 bp AV was present in the six *indica* lines, indicating

contributes of these SVs to subspeciation. The remaining five AVs were unique to JR2.

Moreover, clustering of the 12 ILs based on their phenotypic data of seven traits further

supported *OsBZR1*'s role in the differentiation of subspecies (Fig. 5c).

To assess the impact of SVs in *OsBZR1* on heterosis, we compared heterosis of the

seven agronomic traits in F_1 hybrids derived from ILs carrying different haplotypes (Fig.

5d-j). Except for seed setting rate and plant height, heterosis of haplotype_i/haplotype_j

heterozygous F_1 hybrids did not significantly differ from the average of their

homozygous counterparts (haplotype_i and haplotype_j). Strikingly, F_1 hybrids with the

haplotype_i/haplotype_JR2 exhibited significantly stronger heterosis than the

haplotype_i homozygotes for most traits, underscoring *OsBZR1*'s role in yield heterosis.

In contrast, hybrids combining haplotype_j and haplotype_JR2 showed no significant

heterosis advantage over haplotype_j homozygotes, highlighting the importance of the

haplotype_i–haplotype_JR2 combination for robust yield heterosis.

Effects of structural variants on gene expression changes

To further explore the impacts of SVs on gene expression changes, we performed RNA-

sequencing and differential expression analyses on the 12 ILs (Fig. 6a and

Supplementary Fig. S14). Drawing from previously reported inheritance patterns of

metabolite levels in rice F_1 hybrids[22], we demonstrated that transcriptomic additive and

partially dominant effects played significant roles in heterosis across the 17 traits,

reinforcing contributions of the two main effects to heterosis across different genetic

levels (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Fig. S14).

We next focused on genes within the two large inversions: inversion_6 (304 genes)

and inversion_8 (331 genes) (Fig. 6c). Consistent with the presence pattern of

inversion_6, differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified and these genes

accurately classified the 12 ILs into two groups (Fig. 6d-e and Supplementary Fig. S15).

Unexpectedly, genes in inversion_6 with additive/partially dominant effects were

predictive of heterosis (Supplementary Fig. S15). Based on genes in inversion_8, JR2

was found to be closer to *indica* than to *japonica* (Supplementary Fig. S15). Though

only a limited number of genes differed between the *indica* and *japonica*, the genes in

inversion_8 well explained the variance in heterosis (Supplementary Fig. S15). Among

the three large AVs, the genes in AV_8 (22 genes) and AV_11 (22 genes) were seldomly

expressed in seedling samples of the 12 ILs. For AV_6 (34 genes), most genes were

expressed and effectively divided these ILs into two groups (Fig. 6f-g). The genes in

AV_6 also demonstrated comparable predictability for heterosis with those of all DEGs

of 12 ILs and the two inversions (Fig. 6h). These results confirmed the direct impact of

SVs on changes in gene expression levels, which in turn explained the variance in

heterosis.

Discussion

In this study, we assembled 12 high-quality rice genomes and demonstrated the

contributions of genomic variants to rice sub-speciation. Trough comparative genomic

analysis, we found that the numbers of genomic variants between the parental lines

significantly correlate with heterosis across 17 agronomic traits. The detailed

examination of the *S5* loci and *OsBZR1* validate the functional roles of SVs in heterosis

for seed setting rate and yield, respectively. We propose that the SVs distinguishing

indica from *japonica* might be precisely engineered to harness the strong inter-

subspecific heterosis. Furthermore, genomic SVs, especially the inversions, drive

changes in gene expression levels and the differentially expressed genes in parental

lines well explain the variance in heterosis, which all highlight the application potential

of SVs in genomic prediciton.

Though the precise modulation of genomic SVs remains challenging in crops, we

believe that the advances in genome editing technologies, such as DualPE[28], will

provide concrete evidence for the function roles of specific SVs in crop heterosis.

Before the establishment of precise editing methods for SVs in crops, including maize

and rice, a population genetic strategy could validate the effects of specific SVs on

heterosis of agronomic traits. Firstly, F_1 hybrids carrying targeted SVs in their parents

can be used to generate recombinant inbred lines. These recombinant inbred lines—

typically requiring at least five generations of self-crossing to achieve phenotypic

uniformity—can then be crossed with a male-sterile line to produce F_1 hybrids for

heterosis quantification. Genotyping of the recombinant inbred lines and the sterile line

can be implemented using standard PCR assays targeting the SVs. Finally, by

integrating genotypes of test-cross F_1 hybrid with heterosis measurements, the

functional impact of SVs can be assessed.

The establishment of causal links between specific SVs and heterosis is another

critical issue in the functional analyses of SVs. Gene expression data collected from

tissues like young panicles of the above prepared recombinant inbred lines can be

adopted to perform correlation analysis or expression GWAS with the assistance of

genome sequencing results. Genes associated with heterosis can be identified and SV-

associated genes may be further filtered through combining comparative genomic result

of the two parents. Since SVs often encompass multiple genes, a single SV may drive

gene expression changes across different pathways, thereby regulating heterosis of

multiple traits. Thus, it is important to record comprehensive phenotypic data in heterosis analysis.

Based on these findings of SVs, we propose that the over-dominance model may serve as the genetic basis for rice heterosis. The PAVs and CNVs between the parents can be regarded as extreme forms of heterozygosity. The translocations can be understood as heterozygosity of location. And the inversions are heterozygosity of direction.

Methods

Plant materials

Twelve rice inbred lines (ILs) were selected from accessions reported in previous studies[16,22,29,30]. Based on clustering results from metabolite profiles generated using GC- or LC-MS platforms[29,30], low-density SSR markers[16], and high-density SNPs or InDels[22], these 12 inbred lines are representatives of *indica* and *japonica* accessions. Plants of the selected inbred lines for genome sequencing were grown under long-day conditions, maintaining a 16-hour light/8-hour dark photoperiod at 30 °C in a plant culture room. The seedlings were sown in 96-well PCR plates (without bottoms) and placed in a plastic basin filled with Yoshida nutrient solution (pH = 5.5). After 20 days of growth, leaves from each genotype were harvested for experimentation. For full-length RNA sequencing of YB and Balilla, the plants were divided into four tissue parts: root, middle leaf (the youngest leaf), leaf without middle leaf, and stem.

Additionally, seedlings of the 12 rice inbred lines were placed in an intelligent breeding room for RNA sequencing. The environmental conditions were set to 28 °C

with a 16-hour light/8-hour dark photoperiod and controlled humidity. Each inbred line

occupied half of a PCR plate and was maintained in a single basin containing four liters

of nutrient solution, which was changed every three days. Fifteen-day-old seedings

(without root) were harvested and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The middle five plants from

each row were pooled to create one biological replicate, with three biological replicates

established for each genotype.

The 12 inbred lines were crossed using a complete diallel design. As previously

detailed[22], a total of 17 agronomic traits were recorded for both the inbred lines and

their corresponding F_1 hybrids. These traits included grain yield per plant (YPP), grain

number per panicle (GNP), seed setting rate (SSR), thousand-grain weight (TGW),

plant height/tiller number (at seedling, elongation, flowering and maturation stages)

(SSPH, ESPH, FSPH, MSPH and SSTN, ESTN, FSTN, MSTN), heading date (HD),

tiller number per plant (TPP), panicle length (PL), and the number of primary and

secondary branches per panicle (PBN and SBN). Better-parent heterosis was calculated

using the equation: $BPH = (F_1 - P_{high})/P_{high}$, where P_{high} represents the value of the high

parent. For the heading date, parents with shorter heading dates were designated as P_{high},

and the calculated values were subsequently multiplied by -1.

Genomic sequencing

SMRTbell libraries (~17 kb) for each rice inbred line were constructed using the

SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit 3.0 and sequenced on the Pacbio Revio platform

(Pacific Biosciences) at Frasergen Bioinformatics (Wuhan, China). The SMRTbell

libraries were size-selected using the BluePippin system (Sage Science) and purified

with a 1× concentration of SMRTbell cleanup beads. Library quality was assessed using

Femto pulse and Qubit 3.0 (Life Technologies), resulting in an average of 12.31 Gb of

HiFi reads (approximately 30.46× coverage of the genome) obtained for each inbred

line.

Hi-C libraries for YB and Balilla were prepared and sequenced using the BGI

PE150 platform, generating a total of 51.81 Gb and 49.27 Gb of reads (approximately

127.80× coverage of the genome) for the two samples, respectively. The raw data were

filtered using Trimmomatic (v0.40)[31] with parameters LEADING:3 TRAILING:3

SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:15. The quality of the clean data was evaluated

with default parameters using FastQC[32].

RNA-sequencing

Full-length RNA sequencing technology was employed to aid in the annotation of genes

in YB and Balilla. Total RNA was extracted from four tissues of the seedling samples,

and equal amounts of RNA were pooled before constructing SMRTbell libraries. Full-

length cDNA was synthesized using the SMARTer PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit

(Clonetech) with the primer sequences

"AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGTACATGGGG" and

"AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGTAC". The products were purified and size-

filtered (>1 kb) prior to sequencing. The PacBio Sequel II platform at Frasergen

Bioinformatics was used for sequence analysis, with raw data (an average of 36.14 Gb)

filtered and preprocessed using SMRTlink (v10.1, --minLength=50). Iso-seq analysis

was performed to obtain full-length and non-concatemer (FLNC) sequences. These

FLNC sequences were mapped to reference genomes using GMAP (V19.06.10)[33],

retaining those with the highest PID to determine gene structure.

To investigate the impact of structural variants on gene expression changes, RNA

sequencing was also conducted to seedlings of the 12 rice inbred lines (with three

biological replicates per genotype). Total RNA was extracted for each sample to

construct a DNA library. The Illumina Hiseq PE150 platform at Frasergen

Bioinformatics was selected to generate 150 bp paired-end reads, with more than 6 Gb

of raw data per sample. The raw data were filtered using SOAPnuke (v2.1.0, -

lowQual=20, -nRate=0.005, -qualRate=0.5)[34]. Clean reads were aligned to the YB

genome using Hisat2 (v2.2.1, --no-unal)[35]. Transcripts were assembled using

StringTie[36], and clean reads were mapped to reference transcripts using bowtie2 (v2.3.5,

--sensitive -k 50 --no-mixed --no-discordant)[37]. The read count of each transcript was

calculated using RSEM (v1.3.3)[38].

Genome assembly

Draft genomes of the 12 rice inbred lines were assembled using HiFi reads with

parameter -l3 via HiFiasm (v0.19.5)[39]. The clean Hi-C reads for YB and Balilla were

aligned to contigs using Juicer (v1.6)[40]. Contact matrices were generated with 3D-DNA

(v180922, -r0)[41], and corrections were applied using JuiceBox (v1.11.08). The genomes

of the remained ten ILs were assembled based on the genomes of YB and Balilla using

Ragtag (v2.1.0, scaffold)[42]. We assessed the accuracy, identity, and completeness of the

12 assemblies. The completeness of the assemblies was assessed using Benchmarking

Universal Single-Copy Orthologue (v3.0.2, embryophyta_odb10) analysis[43]. The long

terminal repeats (LTR) assembly index (LAI) was calculated for each chromosome

using LTR tools[44], including LTR_retriever (v2.9.0, -infinder ltrfinder.scn -inharvest

ltrharvest.scn), LTR_FINDER (v1.0.7, -D 15000 -L 7000 -C -M 0.7), and GenomeTools

(v1.6.2, ltrharvest -similar 70 -vic 10 -seed 20 -seqids yes -maxlenltr 7000 -maxtsd 6).

HiFi reads were re-mapped to the assemblies with parameters "-ax map-hifi" using

Minimap2 (v2.21)[45]. Additionally, consensus quality values and completeness of the

assemblies were scored using a k-mer-based method with the Merqury pipeline (v1.3)[46].

Genome annotation

Repetitive sequences (including tandem repeats and transposable elements), protein-

coding genes, and non-coding RNAs were annotated for the genome seeemblies of YB

and Balilla. Tandem repeats were identified using the Tandem Repeats Finder (TRF,

v4.09.1, 2 7 7 80 10 50 2000 -d -h)[47]. Transposable elements were annotated through

an integrated approach combining do novo and homology-based (Repbase,

www.girinst.org/repbase[48]) strategies. The de novo repeat library was constructed using

LTR_FINDER (v1.0.7, -w 2 -C)[49] and RepeatModeler (v2.0.1)[50]. Transposable

elements were detected using RepeatMasker (v4.1.2)[51] with parameters "-nolow -no_is

-norna -parallel 2", searching against both the Repbase[48] and de novo repeat library.

Additionally, RepeatProteinMask (1.36)[51] with parameters "-engine ncbi -

noLowSimple -pvalue 0.0001" was utilized to identify transposable elements in

Repbase[48].

To annotate gene structure and function, we integrated three strategies: homology-

based (using five genomes), de novo, and transcriptome-based (full-length RNA

sequencing) predictions. In the homology-based approach, protein-coding sequences

from the genomes of 9311[52], Minghui63[53], Zhenshan97[53], R498[54], and Nipponbare[19]

were compared to the YB and Balilla genomes using tblastn (v2.11.0+, -evalue 1e-05)[55].

Gene structures of the aligned sequences were predicted using Exonerate (v2.4.0, --

model protein2genome)[56]. De novo annotation was conducted using Augustus (v3.4.0,

--noInFrameStop=true --strand=both)[57], GlimmerHMM (v3.0.4)[58], and GeneMark

(v4.65)[59]. The annotated gene sets were integrated to non-redundant sets using MAKER

(v3.01.03)[60], and further polished by incorporating full-length RNA sequencing results

using PASA (v2.4.1)[61]. RNA-sequencing results were aligned using HiSat2 (v.2.2.1)[35],

and transcripts were assembled with StringTie (v.2.1.7)[62]. Additionally, transcripts were

de novo assembled using Trinity (v2.8.5)[63]. Gene functional analysis was conducted

with Diamond (v2.0.7, -c 1 -f 5 -e 1e-5 --very-sensitive -k 10)[64] against five databases:

Non-Redundant   (NR,   ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/FASTA/nr.gz),   Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)[65], Gene Ontology (GO)[66], TrEMBL[67],

and Swiss-Prot[67]. Protein domains were annotated using InterProScan (v5.50-84.0, --

applications Pfam)[68] based on the InterPro database[69].

Non-coding RNAs, including rRNA, tRNA, snRNA, and miRNA, were also

annotated for the two genomes. tRNA was identified using tRNAscan-SE (v2.0.9)[70],

while rRNA was detected using RNAmmer (v1.2, -S euk -multi -m lsu,ssu,tsu)[71].

miRNA and snRNA were annotated with Infernal (v1.1.4)[72] using Rfam (v14.6)[73].

miRNA and rRNA were present in higher percentages within the genomes. The

completeness of the genomic annotations was further assessed using BUSCO (v5.2.2,

embryophyta_odb10)[43]. Here, 98.5% and 98.6% of the gene sets in the two genomes

contained complete BUSCOs in embryophyta.

For the annotation of the remaining ten genomes, Liftoff [74] was employed. The YB

genome was chosen as the reference genome for five *indica* accessions: R465,

Mianhui725, 9311K, 610234, and Qianlijing. The Balilla genome served as the

reference for five *japonica* accessions: R4115, C418, 110080, Wuyunjing8, and JR2.

Pan-genome analysis

Protein coding genes of the 12 inbred lines were initially aligned using BLASTp (-

evalue 1e-5)[75]. The BLAST results were processed with OrthoFinder (v2.3.12)[76] to

classify gene families. Gene families shared among all 12 inbred lines were defined as

core gene families, while those identified in more than 90% of inbred lines were termed

softcore gene families. Gene families specific to a single inbred line were classified as

private gene families, and the remaining gene families were considered dispensable.

Genes in the four types of gene families were further analyzed in the Pfam[77], GO[66], and

KEGG[65] databases to determine their conservation.

Identification of genomic variants

Genomic variants, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions and

deletions (InDels) (2-50 bp), and structural variants (SVs), were detected in the 12 rice

inbred lines, with the genome of YB selected as the reference genome and the remained

11 as query genomes. SNPs and InDels were detected using MUMmer4 (Nucmer: -c

40 -l 90, Delta-filter: -1, Show-coords: -THrd, Show-SNPs: -ClrTH)[78]. SVs, including

presence-absence variants (PAVs), inversions, translocations, and copy number variants

(CNVs), were detected with the assistance of SyRI (v1.4)[79]. The distribution of SNPs,

InDels, and SVs was analyzed across various genomic regions or functions, including:

1. Upstream (1 kb regions before the gene start codon); 2. Downstream (1 kb regions

after the gene stop codon); 3. Intergenic regions; 4. UTR3 (3' untranslated regions); 5.

UTR5 (5' untranslated regions); 6. Splicing junction regions (< 10 bp); 7. Intronic

regions; 8. Exonic regions; 9. Synonymous or nonsynonymous mutants; 10. Frameshift

or nonframeshift insertions/deletions; 11. Stopgain (gain of stop codons); 12. Stoploss

(loss of stop codons). Variants simultaneously located in two regions, such as UTR5

and UTR3, were showed as Region 1;Region 2. The functions of SNPs, InDels, and

SVs was annotated with ANNOVAR[80].

Pan-SV analysis

A pan-SV analysis was conducted for SVs of the 11 query genomes and the results were

further used for constructing graph-based genome using Vg (v1.43)[81]. For SVs

excluding PVs, an SV was assigned when the ratio of overlapping to total locations in

two inbred lines exceeded 50%. For PVs, a PV was designated when the distance

between PV locations in two inbred lines was less than 5 bp and the length ratio between

the shorter and longer samples was greater than 50%. Circles illustrating genomic

variants on chromosomes were generated using Circos[82]. Genetic relatedness among

the 12 inbred lines was visualized using Cytoscape[83].

Construction of phylogenetic trees

A phylogenetic tree for 223 rice inbred lines was constructed using genotypic data based

on 33 pairs of single sequence repeat markers from a previous study[22]. Phylogenetic

trees based on the number of differential SNPs, InDels, and CNVs among the 12 rice

inbred lines were built using the neighbour-joining method with MEGA (v10.2.6)[84].

The number of SNPs, InDels, and CNVs of the inbred lines were retrieved from a

previous report[22].

For phylogenetic analysis of *Phr1* and the *S5* loci, gene sequences from reference

inbred lines were obtained from the GenBank database. The *Phr1* sequences of

Nipponbare and 9311 corresponded to accession numbers DQ532376 and DQ532391,

respectively[23]. For *S5-ORF3-5*[24,25], the Balilla sequences were retrieved under

accession numbers JX138498, JX138502, EU889294. Gene sequences from the 12

newly assembled inbred lines were extracted and aligned using Jalview (version

2.11.4.1) with default clustal parameters[85]. Phylogenetic trees were constructed with

the neighbour-joining method. Genotypes of inbred lines were assigned based on

previous reports[23-25]. Gene structures were visualized using the exon-intron graphic

maker (http://wormweb.org/exonintron).

To investigate genomic variants of *OsBZR1*, especially SVs in promoter region,

sequences (including 6,345 bp before the ATG) of the 12 ILs were used for analysis. In

sequence alignment, the mafft combined with E-INS method was chosen. The

dendrogram tree of the 12 ILs based on phenotypic data of 7 traits were implemented

using MetaboAnalyst 6.0[86], with Spearman and ward as the distance measure and

clustering algorithm, respectively.

Phenol reaction experiment

Seeds from 14 inbred lines were harvested at maturity and stored at -20 ºC. For each

inbred line, three seeds were chosen and treated with a 2% (volume/volume) phenol

solution at 37 ºC. Changes in hull colour of the seeds were recorded after 1-, 2-, 3-, 5-,

and 7 days of treatment.

Normalization and differential analysis of transcriptomics data

Read counts of 53,564 genes in each experimental sample were used for data

normalization and differential analyses through MetaboAnalyst 5.0[86]. The interquantile

range was selected to filter genes, with a threshold set at 40%. Sum, none, and auto-

scaling were chosen for sample normalization, data transformation, and data scaling,

respectively. Differential analysis among the 12 inbred lines was implemented using

the ANOVA module in MetaboAnalyst 5.0[86], with a raw *P*-value cutoff set at 0.001 for

post-hoc analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) and heatmap results for the 12

inbred lines were obtained through corresponding modules on the website.

PERMANOVA was conducted in PCA and the permutation number was 999. Euclidean

for distance measure and ward for clustering method were set in heatmaps. Differential

genes were selected from the original read count table and averaged across the three

biological replicates. The averaged data were further filtered and normalized for each

genotype with the above parameters. Due to the limited number of genes in inversions

and absence variants, no filtering was applied to these genes. The genes in three large

AVs, including AV_6, AV_8, and AV_11, were analyzed. Despite AV_8 and AV_11

being larger in size, the genes in these AVs were rarely expressed in the seedling

samples. Only genes in AV_6 was further analyzed.

Partial least square (PLS) analysis

PLS analysis was conducted to investigate the relationship between various inheritance patterns at the transcriptomic level and better-parent heterosis of the 17 agronomic traits using SPSS 20.0. The maximum number of latent factors was set to 50. The inheritance patterns were calculated based on normalized gene expression levels using equations outlines in a previously study[22]. The genetic effects included: 1. Additive effect: average levels of the female (P_f) and male (P_m) parents; 2. Partially dominant effects (PD): partially dominant _0.3 (PD_0.3) = $P_m+0.3\times(P_f-P_m)$ and partially dominant _0.7 (PD_0.7) = $P_m+0.7\times(P_f-P_m)$; 3. Dominant effects: transcript levels of the female (dominant_female) and male (dominant_ male) parents.

Statistical analysis

Boxplots were created using BoxPlotR[87]. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the least significant difference for post hoc comparisons was performed using SPSS 20.0. This analysis compared the following parameters: lengths of genes, lengths of coding sequences, lengths of exons, lengths of introns, GC content of genes, GC content of coding sequences, number of exons, and number of introns across seven genomes (including two newly assembled genomes, YB and Balilla, along with five representative rice genomes). Additionally, we assessed the number of genomic variants and the degrees of better-parent heterosis for 17 traits in F_1 hybrids from both intra- and inter-subspecies. The adjusted R^2 values of partial least square models for heterosis across traits were also compared. An independent samples *t*-test (weighted) was conducted to evaluate the number of correlations between unique and common genomic variants and heterosis. SPSS was also employed to conduct Spearman correlation to

investigate the relationships between different types of unique genomic variants and

between genomic variants and heterosis.

Data and code availability

Raw reads of PacBio SMRT sequencing and RNA-sequencing of the 12 rice inbred

lines have been deposited in the NCBI database under BioProject accession

PRJNA1178436. Genome assemblies of the 12 rice inbred lines are available from

Figshare repository with DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.28397960. Source data are

available with this paper.

References

1. Shull, G.H. What is "heterosis"? *Genetics* **33**, 439-446 (1948).
2. Hochholdinger, F. & Yu, P. Molecular concepts to explain heterosis in crops. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 10.1016/j.tplants.2024.07.018 (2024).
3. Bruce, A.B. The Mendelian theory of heredity and the augmentation of vigor. *Science* **32**, 627-628 (1910).
4. Jones, D.F. Dominance of linked factors as a means of accounting for heterosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **3**, 310-312 (1917).
5. Wang, B. *et al.* De novo genome assembly and analyses of 12 founder inbred lines provide insights into maize heterosis. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 312-323 (2023).
6. Gu, Z. *et al.* Structure and function of rice hybrid genomes reveal genetic basis and optimal performance of heterosis. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 1745-1756 (2023).
7. Krieger, U., Lippman, Z.B. & Zamir, D. The flowering gene *SINGLE FLOWER TRUSS* drives heterosis for yield in tomato. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 459-463 (2010).
8. Semel, Y. *et al.* Overdominant quantitative trait loci for yield and fitness in tomato. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 12981-12986 (2006).
9. East, E.M. Heterosis. *Genetics* **21**, 375-397 (1936).
10. Jiang, Y., Schmidt, R.H., Zhao, Y. & Reif, J.C. A quantitative genetic framework highlights the role of epistatic effects for grain-yield heterosis in bread wheat. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 1741-1746 (2017).
11. Powers, L. An expansion of Jones's theory for the explanation of heterosis. *Am. Nat.* **78**, 275-280 (1944).
12. He, Q. *et al.* Hybrid rice. *Engineering* **6**, 967-973 (2020).
13. Huang, X. *et al.* Genomic architecture of heterosis for yield traits in rice. *Nature* **537**, 629-633 (2016).
14. Lv, Q. *et al.* Resequencing of 1,143 indica rice accessions reveals important genetic variations and different heterosis patterns. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4778 (2020).
15. Zhou, G. *et al.* Genetic composition of yield heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*

S A **109**, 15847-52 (2012).

16. Dan, Z. *et al.* Balance between a higher degree of heterosis and increased reproductive isolation: a
strategic design for breeding inter-subspecific hybrid rice. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e93122 (2014).

17. Qin, P. *et al.* Pan-genome analysis of 33 genetically diverse rice accessions reveals hidden genomic
variations. *Cell* **184**, 3542-3558 e16 (2021).

18. Shang, L. *et al.* A super pan-genomic landscape of rice. *Cell Res.* **32**, 878-896 (2022).

19. Shang, L. *et al.* A complete assembly of the rice Nipponbare reference genome. *Mol. Plant* **16**,
1232-1236 (2023).

20. Sun, Z. *et al.* Dissecting the genetic basis of heterosis in elite super-hybrid rice. *Plant Physiol.* **192**,
307-325 (2023).

21. Alonge, M. *et al.* Major impacts of widespread structural variation on gene expression and crop
improvement in tomato. *Cell* **182**, 145-161 (2020).

22. Dan, Z. *et al.* Additive and partially dominant effects from genomic variation contribute to rice
heterosis. *bioRxiv*, 10.1101/2024.07.16.603817 (2024).

23. Yu, Y. *et al.* Independent losses of function in a polyphenol oxidase in rice: differentiation in grain
discoloration between subspecies and the role of positive selection under domestication. *Plant Cell*
20, 2946-59 (2008).

24. Chen, J. *et al.* A triallelic system of S5 is a major regulator of the reproductive barrier and
compatibility of indica-japonica hybrids in rice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 11436-41 (2008).

25. Yang, J. *et al.* A killer-protector system regulates both hybrid sterility and segregation distortion in
rice. *Science* **337**, 1336-40 (2012).

26. He, J.X., Gendron, J.M., Yang, Y., Li, J. & Wang, Z.Y. The GSK3-like kinase BIN2 phosphorylates
and destabilizes BZR1, a positive regulator of the brassinosteroid signaling pathway in
Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**, 10185-90 (2002).

27. Yang, X. *et al.* BR signalling haplotypes contribute to indica-japonica differentiation for grain yield
and quality in rice. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* (2025).

28. Zhao, Y. *et al.* Precise deletion, replacement and inversion of large DNA fragments in plants using
dual prime editing. *Nat. Plants* **11**, 191-205 (2025).

29. Dan, Z. *et al.* Metabolic prediction of important agronomic traits in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).
Sci. Rep. **6**, 21732 (2016).

30. Dan, Z., Chen, Y., Zhao, W., Wang, Q. & Huang, W. Metabolome-based prediction of yield
heterosis contributes to the breeding of elite rice. *Life Sci. Alliance* **3**, e201900551 (2020).

31. Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-20 (2014).

32. Wingett, S.W. & Andrews, S. FastQ Screen: A tool for multi-genome mapping and quality control.
F1000Res **7**, 1338 (2018).

33. Wu, T.D. & Watanabe, C.K. GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and
EST sequences. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 1859-75 (2005).

34. Chen, Y. *et al.* SOAPnuke: a MapReduce acceleration-supported software for integrated quality
control and preprocessing of high-throughput sequencing data. *Gigascience* **7**, 1-6 (2018).

35. Kim, D., Paggi, J.M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S.L. Graph-based genome alignment and
genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 907-915 (2019).

36. Pertea, M. *et al.* StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq
reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 290-5 (2015).

37. Langmead, B. Aligning short sequencing reads with Bowtie. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* **Chapter**
11, Unit 11 7 (2010).
38. Li, B. & Dewey, C.N. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or
without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 323 (2011).
39. Cheng, H. *et al.* Haplotype-resolved assembly of diploid genomes without parental data. *Nat.*
Biotechnol. **40**, 1332-1335 (2022).
40. Durand, N.C. *et al.* Juicer provides a one-click system for analyzing loop-resolution Hi-C
experiments. *Cell Syst* **3**, 95-8 (2016).
41. Dudchenko, O. *et al.* De novo assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-C yields
chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science* **356**, 92-95 (2017).
42. Alonge, M. *et al.* Automated assembly scaffolding using RagTag elevates a new tomato system for
high-throughput genome editing. *Genome Biol.* **23**, 258 (2022).
43. Simao, F.A., Waterhouse, R.M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E.V. & Zdobnov, E.M. BUSCO:
assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.
Bioinformatics **31**, 3210-2 (2015).
44. Ou, S., Chen, J. & Jiang, N. Assessing genome assembly quality using the LTR Assembly Index
(LAI). *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, e126 (2018).
45. Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 3094-3100
(2018).
46. Rhie, A., Walenz, B.P., Koren, S. & Phillippy, A.M. Merqury: reference-free quality, completeness,
and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 245 (2020).
47. Benson, G. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**,
573-580 (1999).
48. Bao, W., Kojima, K.K. & Kohany, O. Repbase Update, a database of repetitive elements in
eukaryotic genomes. *Mob DNA* **6**, 11 (2015).
49. Xu, Z. & Wang, H. LTR_FINDER: an efficient tool for the prediction of full-length LTR
retrotransposons. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, W265-8 (2007).
50. Flynn, J.M. *et al.* RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of transposable element
families. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 9451-9457 (2020).
51. Tarailo-Graovac, M. & Chen, N. Using RepeatMasker to identify repetitive elements in genomic
sequences. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* **Chapter 4**, 4 10 1-4 10 14 (2009).
52. Yu, J. *et al.* A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *indica*). *Science* **296**, 79-92
(2002).
53. Zhang, J. *et al.* Extensive sequence divergence between the reference genomes of two elite *indica*
rice varieties Zhenshan 97 and Minghui 63. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, E5163-E5171 (2016).
54. Du, H. *et al.* Sequencing and de novo assembly of a near complete indica rice genome. *Nat.*
Commun. **8**, 15324 (2017).
55. Gertz, E.M., Yu, Y.K., Agarwala, R., Schaffer, A.A. & Altschul, S.F. Composition-based statistics
and translated nucleotide searches: improving the TBLASTN module of BLAST. *BMC Biol.* **4**, 41
(2006).
56. Slater, G.S. & Birney, E. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison.
BMC Bioinformatics **6**, 31 (2005).
57. Stanke, M. *et al.* AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**,
W435-9 (2006).

58. Majoros, W.H., Pertea, M. & Salzberg, S.L. TigrScan and GlimmerHMM: two open source ab
initio eukaryotic gene-finders. *Bioinformatics* **20**, 2878-9 (2004).

59. Borodovsky, M. & Mcininch, J. GENMARK: Parallel gene recognition for both DNA strands.
Comput. Chem. **17**, 123-133 (1993).

60. Holt, C. & Yandell, M. MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database management tool
for second-generation genome projects. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 491 (2011).

61. Haas, B.J. *et al.* Improving the Arabidopsis genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment
assemblies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 5654-66 (2003).

62. Kovaka, S. *et al.* Transcriptome assembly from long-read RNA-seq alignments with StringTie2.
Genome Biol. **20**, 278 (2019).

63. Grabherr, M.G. *et al.* Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference
genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 644-652 (2011).

64. Buchfink, B., Reuter, K. & Drost, H.G. Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale using
DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 366-368 (2021).

65. Kanehisa, M. *et al.* Data, information, knowledge and principle: back to metabolism in KEGG.
Nucleic Acids Res. **42**, D199-205 (2014).

66. Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat. Genet.* **25**, 25-29
(2000).

67. Boeckmann, B. *et al.* The SWISS-PROT protein knowledgebase and its supplement TrEMBL in
2003. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 365-70 (2003).

68. Jones, P. *et al.* InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**,
1236-40 (2014).

69. Mitchell, A. *et al.* The InterPro protein families database: the classification resource after 15 years.
Nucleic Acids Res. **43**, D213-21 (2015).

70. Lowe, T.M. & Eddy, S.R. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes
in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 955-964 (1997).

71. Lagesen, K. *et al.* RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. *Nucleic*
Acids Res. **35**, 3100-8 (2007).

72. Nawrocki, E.P. & Eddy, S.R. Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches. *Bioinformatics*
29, 2933-5 (2013).

73. Griffiths-Jones, S. *et al.* Rfam: annotating non-coding RNAs in complete genomes. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. **33**, D121-4 (2005).

74. Shumate, A. & Salzberg, S.L. Liftoff: accurate mapping of gene annotations. *Bioinformatics* **37**,
1639-1643 (2021).

75. Altschul, S.F. *et al.* Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search
programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 3389-3402 (1997).

76. Emms, D.M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative
genomics. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 238 (2019).

77. Mistry, J. *et al.* Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D412-D419
(2021).

78. Marcais, G. *et al.* MUMmer4: A fast and versatile genome alignment system. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*
14, e1005944 (2018).

79. Goel, M., Sun, H., Jiao, W.B. & Schneeberger, K. SyRI: finding genomic rearrangements and local
sequence differences from whole-genome assemblies. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 277 (2019).

80. Wang, K., Li, M. & Hakonarson, H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **38**, e164 (2010).

81. Garrison, E. *et al.* Variation graph toolkit improves read mapping by representing genetic variation in the reference. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 875-879 (2018).

82. Krzywinski, M. *et al.* Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1639-45 (2009).

83. Reimand, J. *et al.* Pathway enrichment analysis and visualization of omics data using g:Profiler, GSEA, Cytoscape and EnrichmentMap. *Nat. Protoc.* **14**, 482-517 (2019).

84. Stecher, G., Tamura, K. & Kumar, S. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) for macOS. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**, 1237-1239 (2020).

85. Waterhouse, A.M., Procter, J.B., Martin, D.M., Clamp, M. & Barton, G.J. Jalview Version 2-a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1189-91 (2009).

86. Pang, Z. *et al.* Using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 for LC-HRMS spectra processing, multi-omics integration and covariate adjustment of global metabolomics data. *Nat. Protoc.* (2022).

87. Spitzer, M., Wildenhain, J., Rappsilber, J. & Tyers, M. BoxPlotR: a web tool for generation of box plots. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 121-2 (2014).

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Zhu for funding of our rice research. We thank J. Bao, L. Rong, and S. Zheng from the Frasergen Bioinformatics for their help in genomics and transcriptomics analyses. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31801439 to Z.D., 32472185 to W.H., and 32101667 to Y.C.), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019M660186 to Z.D., and 2022T150500 to Z.D., and 2023T160497 to Y.C.), the Key Research and Development Program of Hubei Province (2022BFE003 to W.H.), the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFD0100400 to W.H.) and the Hubei Agriculture Science and Technology Innovation Center Program to W.H.

Author contributions

Z.D. conceived and designed the project. Z.D. and Y.C. prepared rice seedling samples, conducted genomic, transcriptomic, phenotypic, and statistical analyses, and interpreted the results. W.H. collected the rice accessions. Z.D. and W.H. constructed

771 the diallel cross population and recorded the phenotypes. Z.D., Y.C., and W.H. wrote

772 the manuscript.

Competing interests

774 The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Fig. S1 Neighbour-joining tree for 223 rice accessions.

Supplementary Fig. S2 Heterosis of 16 agronomic traits for F_1 hybrids from intra- or

inter-subspecies.

Supplementary Fig. S3 Contact matrices and collinearity diagrams for genomes of YB

and Balilla.

Supplementary Fig. S4 Long terminal repeat assembly index (LAI) for 12

chromosomes of 12 rice accessions.

Supplementary Fig. S5 Comparison of two newly assembled genomes with five

representative rice genomes.

Supplementary Fig. S6 Genomic comparisons between Mianhui725, 610234, and YB.

Supplementary Fig. S7 Genomic comparisons between 9311K, R465, and YB.

Supplementary Fig. S8 Genomic comparisons between Qianlijing, C418, and YB.

Supplementary Fig. S9 Genomic comparisons between R4115, Wuyunjing8, and YB.

Supplementary Fig. S10 Genomic comparisons between 110080, JR2, and YB.

Supplementary Fig. S11 Genomic variants displayed on the genome of YB.

Supplementary Fig. S12 Genetic relatedness of the 12 rice inbred lines based on

genomic variants.

Supplementary Fig. S13 Number of genomic variants and correlation between structural variants and heterosis of intra- and inter-subspecific F_1 hybrids.

Supplementary Fig. S14 Transcriptomic additive and partially dominant effects contribute to heterosis of 17 traits.

Supplementary Fig. S15 Expression levels of genes in two inversions and three absence variants and their relationship with heterosis.

Supplementary Table S1 Genome assembly profile of 12 rice inbred lines.

Supplementary Table S2 Consensus quality values and completeness of the 12 assemblies.

Supplementary Table S3 Hi-C profile of two rice genomes.

Supplementary Table S4 Annotation of two rice genomes.

Supplementary Table S5 Genomic variants of each pair of inbred lines and heterosis of their F_1 hybrids.

Figure and figure legends

Fig. 1 Genome assemblies and pan-genome of 12 rice inbred lines. a, Neighbour-joining trees of 12 representative rice inbred line (ILs) based on genomic SNPs (left side), InDels (middle), and CNVs (right side) analyzed from previously reported Illumina sequencing results. **b,** Geographic origins of the 12 ILs. **c,** Better-parent heterosis for seed setting rate (BPH_SSR) of intra- and inter-subspecific F_1 hybrids. ANOVA with LSD in post-hoc test (P-value < 0.05) was performed. The numbers of F_1 hybrids are indicated. **d,** Gene structures and neighbour-joining tree of the 12 ILs based on gene sequences of *Phr1*. Nipponbare (*japonica*) and 9311 (*indica*) served as reference accessions. Red regions denote genomic variants, and dashed lines represent deletions. **e,** Phenol reaction phenotypes of 14 ILs. The bar represents 1 cm. **f,** Variation of gene family sizes in the pan- and core-genome. **g,** Core and non-core gene families across the 12 ILs. **h,** Gene annotation ratios cross three databases. Three databases, including Pfam, GO, and KEGG, were selected for annotation analysis.

831
Fig. 2 Genomic variants of 12 ILs. a-c, Number and size distribution of genomic
variants in 11 ILs with genome assembly of YB as the reference. **d,** Comparison of
genomic profiles between YB and Balilla. **e,** Variation of SVs in the pan- and core-SVs
with varied number of ILs. **f,** Percentages of core, softcore, private, and dispensable
SVs. **g,** Number of core, softcore, private, and dispensable SVs in 11 ILs. **h-i,** Number
and size of SVs. **j,** Percentages of different types of repeats in SVs. The number and
size are analyzed.
839

Fig. 3 Connections between genomic variants and heterosis of 17 agronomic traits.
a, Genomic comparisons of YB against the other 11 ILs. **b,** Heatmap for the correlations between different types of unique genomic variants. **c,** Genetic relatedness of 12 ILs based on unique SVs. **d,** Correlation patterns between genomic variants and heterosis of 17 traits. The genomic variants of each type were divided into different parts according to their locations or functions. Spearman correlation analysis was performed with better-parent heterosis (BPH) of the following traits: grain number per panicle (GNP), tiller number per plant (TPP), seed setting rate (SSR), thousand-grain weight (TGW), seedling stage tiller number (SSTN), elongation stage tiller number (ESTN), flowering stage tiller number (FSTN), maturation stage tiller number (MSTN), yield per plant (YPP), seedling stage plant height (SSPH), elongation stage plant height (ESPH), flowering stage plant height (FSPH), maturation stage plant height (MSPH), panicle length (PL), primary branch number (PBN), secondary branch number (SBN), and heading date (HD). The number besides each row indicates the number of significant correlations (*P*-value < 0.05). **e,** Comparison of correlation frequencies between unique and common variants. *P*-value indicates independent *t*-test (weighted). **f,** Correlations between the number of unique SVs and heterosis for seed setting rate in intra- and inter-subspecific F₁ hybrids. Mature seeds with black and green hulls are full

and empty seeds, respectively. *P*-values indicate Spearman correlation. **g,** The number of SVs in intra- and inter-subspecific F_1 hybrids. ANOVA with LSD in post-hoc test (*P*-value < 0.05) was performed.

Fig. 4 Contribution of AV in *S5-ORF5* to heterosis for seed setting rate. a,
Neighbour-joining tree of 12 ILs based on *S5* and gene structure of *S5-ORF5*.
Genotypes of *S5-ORF3*, *S5-ORF4*, *S5-ORF5* for 12 ILs were assigned with previously
reported rules. The "n" represents wide compatibility varieties. The sequence of Balilla
serves as the reference and sequence variants are indicated in red. **b-c,** Comparisons of
seed setting rate and heterosis for seed setting rate in intra- and inter-subspecific F_1
hybrids. Two wide compatibility varieties, namely YB and Qianlijing, were excluded
for analysis. ANOVA with LSD in post-hoc test (*P*-value < 0.05) was performed. **d-e,**
Comparisons of seed setting rate and heterosis for seed setting rate between intra- and
inter-subspecific F_1 hybrids with YB as a parent. **f-g,** Comparisons of seed setting rate
and heterosis for seed setting rate between intra- and inter-subspecific F_1 hybrids with
Qianlijing as a parent. *P* values in **d-g** indicate independent sample *t*-test.

Fig. 5 Contributions of SVs in *OsBZR1* to yield heterosis. a, Neighbour-joining tree
of 12 ILs based on sequences *OsBZR1*. The 12 ILs were divided into three haplotypes.
b, Structure variations of *OsBZR1* across 12 ILs. The sequence of Balilla serves as the
reference. **c,** Dendrogram of the 12 ILs based on phenotypic data of seven agronomic
traits: yield per plant (YPP), tiller number per plant (TPP), grain number per panicle
(GNP), thousand-grain weight (TGW), panicle length (PL), maturation stage plant
height (MSPH), and seed setting rate (SSR). **d-j,** Comparisons of better-parent heterosis
(BPH) for the seven traits between heterozygous and corresponding homozygous
haplotypes. *P* values in **d-j** are for independent sample *t*-test.

Fig. 6 Relationships among SVs, gene expression, and heterosis. a, Transcriptomic profiles of 12 ILs. Gene expression data were obtained from 15-day-old seedlings. **b,** Changes in R^2 (adjusted) for heterosis of 17 traits. Different inheritance patterns, including dominant (female and male), additive, and partially dominant (0.3 and 0.7) effects, of gene expression levels were used as predictive variables to perform partial least square regression analysis on better-parent heterosis of 17 agronomic traits. The best regression model (with highest adjusted R^2) was selected as the predictive model for heterosis of each trait. Analysis of variance was performed to compare the differences. **c,** Genomic structures of two inversions and three AVs in 12 ILs. Genotypes of YB is as the reference. **d-g,** Heatmaps and PCA plots of 12 ILs based on expression levels of genes within Inversion_6 and AV_6. *P*-values indicate PERMANOVA. **h,** Comparisons of R^2 (adjusted) for predicting heterosis of 17 traits with differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of 12 ILs, genes in Inversion_6, genes in Inversion_8, and genes in AV_6 as predictive variables. ANOVA with LSD in post-hoc test (*P*-value < 0.05) was performed in **b** and **h**.