Altered basal forebrain regulation of intrinsic brain networks in depressive and anxiety

disorders

*Alec J. Jamieson [1], Trevor Steward [1,2], Christopher G Davey [1], Sevil Ince [1,2], James A

Agathos [1], Bradford A Moffat [3], Rebecca K Glarin [3], Kim L Felmingham [2], Ben J Harrison[1]

[1] Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia

[2] Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville,

Australia

[3] The Melbourne Brain Centre Imaging Unit, Department of Radiology, The University of

Melbourne, Parkville, Australia

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Alec Jamieson. Department of Psychiatry, The University of

Melbourne. Level 3, 161 Barry St. Carlton, VIC 3053. Phone: (+61) 449 501 556 |

Email: alec.jamieson@unimelb.edu.au

Keywords: Basal forebrain; Effective connectivity; Depressive disorders; Anxiety disorders;

Resting-state

Word count: 4061

Abstract

Altered connectivity both within and between the default mode and salience networks have

been observed across depressive and anxiety disorders. Recent work has highlighted the

importance of subcortical regions, including subdivisions of the basal forebrain, in

coordinating the activity of these networks. However, the precise influence of basal forebrain

subregions on intrinsic networks across these disorders remains unknown. Using ultra-high

field (7-Tesla) functional magnetic resonance imaging, we examined the resting-state

effective connectivity of three basal forebrain subregions in a transdiagnostic sample of 70

individuals with mental health conditions, predominantly depressive and anxiety disorders,

compared to 77 healthy controls. We explored connectivity between these subregions and

regions of the salience network (anterior insula and dorsal anterior cingulate) and default

mode network (ventromedial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, and inferior

parietal lobule). Clinical participants showed increased inhibitory connectivity from the

nucleus basalis of Meynert to regions of the default mode network and dorsal anterior

cingulate. Increased inhibitory connectivity was also observed from the ventral pallidum to

regions of the posterior default mode network. These transdiagnostic changes in the basal

forebrain's regulation of large-scale cortical networks across may suggest novel mechanistic

avenues for pharmacological treatments, including cholinergic system targeting.

Widespread alterations to intrinsic brain network activity have been noted across depressive

and anxiety disorders [1-3]. These mental health conditions have been specifically associated

with connectivity changes in the default mode (DMN) and salience networks [4,5]. Abnormal

DMN connectivity in major depressive disorder (MDD) has been associated with higher

levels of rumination and an increased duration of depressive episodes [6]. Similarly, altered

resting-state connectivity, both within the DMN and between the DMN and salience network,

has been observed across both social and generalized anxiety disorders [7] and associated with

anxiety symptom severity [8]. Abnormal functioning of these networks has been hypothesized

to relate to common symptoms across both conditions. For example, DMN alterations are

suggested to contribute to abnormal self-referential processing and rumination, whereas

dysfunction in the salience network may relate to abnormal processing of negative stimuli [9-

11]. Despite the possible transdiagnostic nature of these effects, reliance on categorical

diagnoses rather than symptoms, has limited investigations into the generalisability [12,13].

Although the DMN and salience networks are primarily identified as cortical in

nature, there is emerging evidence that their function is heavily influenced by subcortical

modulatory structures, including the basal forebrain [14-16]. The basal forebrain's influence over

the DMN has been hypothesized to reflect a mechanism for switching between internally and

externally directed attention states [15,17]. Activation of cholinergic neurons in the basal

forebrain of rodents has been shown to decrease DMN functional connectivity, highlighting a

potential role of the basal forebrain in regulating the activity of this network [18]. Notably, the

cholinergic component of the basal forebrain demonstrates distinct subdivisions with

heterogeneity demonstrated in both their histochemistry and function [19]. These subregions

include the medial septal nucleus (cholinergic group 1; Ch1), vertical nucleus of the diagonal

band (Ch2), horizontal nucleus of the diagonal band (Ch3), and nucleus basalis of Meynert

(Ch4) [19]. Notably, Ch4 demonstrates the highest concentration of cholinergic cells,

comprising approximately 90% of its neurons, and represents the major source of cholinergic

innervation for the cortical mantle [19]. These basal forebrain subdivisions are largely replicated

in resting-state fMRI functional connectivity studies, with Fritz and colleagues illustrating

two functional subcomponents congruent with Ch1-3 and Ch4 [20]. Importantly these

subregions demonstrate differential connectivity patterns with intrinsic cerebral networks,

with Ch1-3 exhibiting a strong functional connectivity with the anterior DMN, whereas Ch4

activity is correlated with the functioning of the salience and central executive networks [20-22].

In contrast, the ventral pallidum (VP), a basal forebrain subregion which is predominantly

GABAergic [23], has also demonstrated evidence for controlling transitions between internally

and externally guided behavior by regulating DMN activity [14,24,25]. This indicates that

different subregions of the basal forebrain may have distinct and overlapping roles in

coordinating the activity of different intrinsic brain networks.

The basal forebrain is implicated in functions that are known to be altered across

depression and anxiety, including the modulation of circadian rhythms, appetite, motivation,

and cognitive flexibility [26-28]. There is also consistent evidence that reductions in

acetylcholinesterase activity and increasing acetylcholine levels can induce depression and

anxiety symptoms in humans and rodents [29,30]. Rodent work suggests that both lesions in

cholinergic neurons and stress-induced hyperactivity of VP GABAergic neurons can produce

depression-like behaviors [31,32]. Importantly, these behaviors induced by cholinergic lesions

appear reversable with both ketamine and fluoxetine treatment [31]. Together this indicates that

basal forebrain disturbances may be present across anxiety and depressive disorders and

contribute to changes observed in the functioning of intrinsic networks. However, research on

the functioning of the basal forebrain and its subregions remains limited in humans due to the

technical limitations of conventional (3-Tesla) fMRI approaches [33].

To address this gap, we examined the role of basal forebrain subregions, specifically

Ch1-3, Ch4, and VP, in coordinating the activity of regions of the DMN and salience network

using ultra high field (7-Tesla) fMRI. We used spectral dynamic causal modeling (DCM) [34,35]

to examine alterations to effective connectivity (i.e., directional influences among brain

regions) in a transdiagnostic sample. We hypothesized that this clinical sample would be

characterized by greater influence from basal forebrain regions to the DMN, particularly from

Ch1-3 and the VP due to their greater association with the DMN activity [14,20,24,25]. We

additionally hypothesized that altered effective connectivity would be associated with both

depression and anxiety symptom severity.

Results

Demographic and Clinical Differences

As expected, clinical participants' scores were significantly greater than healthy controls on

the total DASS scale and across all three subscales (Table 2). The proportion of female

clinical participants was significantly higher than in the healthy control group ($X^2 = 12.26$, p

= .003). However, there were no significant differences in DASS total scores between male

and females within the healthy control ($t(75) = .84$, $p = .201$) or clinical groups ($t(28.86) =$

$.05$, $p = .479$). No other demographic differences were observed between the two groups

(Table 1).

Effective Connectivity Differences in Clinical Participants and Healthy Controls

Overall, healthy controls demonstrated an excitatory influence from Ch1-3 over the vmPFC

(0.20 Hz), PCC (0.12 Hz), left IPL (0.13 Hz) and VP (0.09 Hz) and an inhibitory influence

over the anterior insula (R: -0.40 Hz; L: -0.36 Hz) and dACC (-0.14 Hz). Ch4 illustrated an

excitatory influence over the anterior insula (R:0.28 Hz; L:0.26 Hz) and VP (0.17 Hz) and an

inhibitory influence over the vmPFC (-0.26 Hz), PCC (-0.54 Hz), and IPL (R: -0.55 Hz; L: -

0.59 Hz). Finally, VP showed an excitatory influence over Ch1-3 (0.07 Hz), Ch4 (0.26 Hz),

and the left anterior insula (0.11 Hz) and an inhibitory influence over the vmPFC (-0.20 Hz),

PCC (-0.27 Hz), IPL (R: -0.40 Hz; L: -0.47 Hz).

Compared with healthy controls, clinical participants demonstrated strong evidence

for greater inhibitory connectivity from Ch4 to the vmPFC (-0.30 Hz), dACC (-0.20 Hz),

PCC (-0.24 Hz), and IPL (R: -0.27; L: -0.29; Figure 2A). Clinical participants also

demonstrated greater excitatory connectivity from Ch4 to bilateral anterior insula (R: 0.13;

Hz; L: 0.12 Hz) and from the left anterior insula to Ch4 (0.07 Hz), as well as reduced

excitatory connectivity from Ch4 to VP (-0.12 Hz) and to Ch1-3 (-0.10 Hz).

Clinical participants were observed to have greater excitatory connectivity from the

VP to the right anterior insula (0.21 Hz), whereas greater inhibitory connectivity was

observed from the VP to the PCC (-0.14 Hz), and bilateral IPL (R: -0.27 Hz; L: -0.24 Hz).

Reduced excitatory connectivity from the vmPFC (-0.06 Hz) and greater connectivity from

the left anterior insula (-0.10 Hz) to the VP were also observed (Figure 2B).

Clinical participants additionally illustrated several notable effects involving Ch1-3,

specifically reduced excitatory connectivity to the right IPL (-0.16 Hz) as well as reduced

inhibitory connectivity to the right IPL (0.06 Hz). The vmPFC demonstrated greater self-

inhibition (.13), whereas the PCC was observed to have reduced self-inhibition (-.16). See

Supplementary Table S1 for a full list of connectivity parameters.

Leave-one-out Cross Validation

Given that connectivity alterations largely implicated Ch4 and VP, we then tested whether the

size of the effect of group on the connectivity between these regions and cortical regions was

sufficiently large to predict symptom severity. This analysis revealed that connectivity

alterations involving cortical midline regions were associated with overall negative emotional

state (vmPFC/dACC: $r = 0.25$, $p < 0.05$; PCC: 0.15, $p < 0.05$), whereas connectivity

involving lateralized regions such as the anterior insula ($r = -0.27$: $p = 0.99$) and IPL ($r = -$

0.02, $p = 0.58$) were not. Using the altered connectivity of the vmPFC, dACC, PCC and basal

forebrain regions together resulted in an overall correlation of $r = 0.31$, $p < 0.05$ (Figure 3).

This overall effect did not appear to be driven any of the DASS subscales, with depressive (r

$= 0.30$, $p < .05$), stress-tension ($r = 0.27$, $p < .05$), or anxiety symptoms ($r = 0.26$, $p < 0.05$)

demonstrating similar correlations.

Discussion

This study examined alterations in basal forebrain resting-state effective connectivity across

depressive and anxiety disorders. Our findings highlight the differential roles of Ch1-3, Ch4,

and VP in coordinating intrinsic brain networks. We identified that people with depressive

and anxiety disorders demonstrated altered regulation of the DMN and salience networks

stemming from Ch4 and VP. Notably, altered connections between the basal forebrain and

cortical midline regions were predictive of participants' negative mood state. Our results both

support the basal forebrain's role in the coordination of the DMN and salience network and

demonstrate that alterations in this circuitry relate to broad negative affective symptoms.

The basal forebrain contains a heterogeneous population of neurons, including

GABAergic, cholinergic, glutamatergic, and peptidergic neurons [36-38], which interact locally

in addition to projecting cortically [39]. Parvalbumin positive GABAergic neurons have

emerged as likely candidates for the anatomical substrate of the basal forebrain responsible

for DMN regulation [40,41]. These GABAergic neurons have been shown to project to major

DMN nodes, including the anterior cingulate and retrosplenial cortices [42,43]. Optogenetic

work has specifically identified causal evidence for the critical role of parvalbumin positive

neurons in triggering state switching by activating the DMN and inducing associated

behaviors [42]. These effects are also consistent with observations from VP GABAergic

neurons, with stimulation of these neurons being shown to automatize internally focused

behavior and impair attention directed towards external stimuli [25]. This function mirrors

increased ruminative thought observed across depressive and anxiety disorders [44]. Moreover,

local optogenetic inhibition of VP GABAergic neurons triggers transitions from quiet

wakefulness to exploratory behavior, with associated cortical activation being partially

dependent on cholinergic transmission [45]. Acetylcholine release and activity from cholinergic

neurons in the basal forebrain have also been shown to be associated with reductions in DMN

activity and connectivity [18,46]. Together this indicates that regulation of the DMN likely

occurs through both cholinergic and non-cholinergic mechanisms within the basal forebrain,

consistent with findings from our current study.

Previous research has reported greater negative connectivity from the anterior DMN

to regions of the salience and central executive networks in MDD [9], indicating an increased

influence of the DMN on these other networks [47]. These effects are congruent with research

identifying that MDD is characterized by greater time spent in a coactivation pattern

involving both activation of the DMN and deactivation of the frontoparietal network [48]. This

DMN dominance has been hypothesized to underlie a dysfunctional ability to shift attention

from self-directed thoughts to external, goal-related behavior in MDD [49,50]. Notably, the

results of the current study and others indicate that these effects are directly influenced by

changes in basal forebrain activity [14,16,25]. The fact that the largest effects were observed in

regards to Ch4 connectivity is notable given its high proportion of cholinergic neurons [19]. The

cholinergic basal forebrain system has been hypothesized to modulate information processing

and attention by enhancing functional segregation within the brain [51]. This is further

corroborated by more recent research which has shown that local inactivation of Ch4 leads to

a decrease in the energy barriers required for a state transition in cortical activity, thereby

increasing the likelihood of transitioning between different brain states [52,53]. Dysfunction of

the cholinergic system has been implicated in the development of cognitive impairments

consistent with depression and anxiety symptoms, including attentional deficits, memory

dysfunction, and negativity biases [54,55]. This association with negativity bias may, in part,

explain the relationship between Ch4 circuitry dysfunction and broad negative mood

symptoms highlighted above. As such, a combination of abnormal influences from both the

VP and Ch4 may result in altered DMN activity and an impaired ability to switch between

network states [14], which in turn contributes to the development of depression and anxiety

symptoms.

Dysfunctional Ch4 connectivity across these disorders may have direct implications

for treatment using medications that affect cholinergic transmission. Scopolamine is a

nonspecific muscarinic receptor antagonist which has demonstrated some evidence as a rapid

antidepressant and anxiolytic, with effects occurring as quickly as 3 days post-infusion [56-58].

However, there have been relatively few high-quality trials investigating scopolamine's

antidepressant effects and these studies have demonstrated inconsistent evidence for its

effectiveness due to differences in administration routes and dosage [57,59]. This observed

variability in response may also be indicative of a specific underlying biotype which

demonstrates sensitivity to this treatment. Relatively few investigations have been undertaken

to identify potential predictors of improved treatment response to scopolamine [60]. However,

growing evidence indicates that scopolamine's rapid antidepressant effects, akin to other

rapid antidepressant such as ketamine, occurs via inhibition of GABAergic interneurons in

the mPFC [61]. Given the highlighted role of the basal forebrain in coordinating the activity of

the DMN and changes in this circuitry in depressive and anxiety disorders, investigation into

the utility of these connectivity alterations in predicting response to scopolamine is

warranted.

Limitations

While the transdiagnostic nature of the clinical group under investigation is a strength of the

study and is representative of community samples, using discrete diagnostic categories to

investigate this circuitry and its relationship with specific symptoms may be more useful in

identifying specific clinical targets. Notably, 15 participants were excluded from our analysis

due in insufficient mapping of BOLD signal in one or more of the regions, which was largely

driven by signal dropout in basal forebrain subregions and potentially introduces a risk of

selection bias. While 7T fMRI has many advantages over 3T, it also has several notable

drawbacks including increased susceptibility artifacts which may have contributed to this

issue [62]. Given the role of the cholinergic system in modulating arousal and attention [63],

physiological measure such as eye tracking may have provided greater insight into the

discrete time periods in which this circuitry was most active and as such should be integrated

into future research. Using structural connectivity priors to constrain the inversion of resting-

state effective connectivity have been shown to increase model evidence for most intrinsic

networks, and thus may enhance the translational utility of these parameters by enhancing

both test-retest reliability and out-of-sample validity [64].

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine alterations in basal forebrain subregion

effective connectivity in people with depressive and anxiety disorders. This work supports the

notion that the basal forebrain is an important functional regulator of intrinsic brain networks

and that different subregions have unique relationships with these networks. We identified

widespread increased inhibition from Ch4 to regions of the DMN and the dACC. Similar

alterations were observed from the VP to regions of the posterior DMN. Dysfunction between

these basal forebrain subregions and cortical midline regions were observed to be predictive

of non-specific negative mood states. While a putative cholinergic basis of the

aforementioned dysfunction needs to be established through future research, this may have

implications for novel treatment targeting in depressive and anxiety disorders.

Methods

A transdiagnostic sample of 79 clinical participants were recruited to the study from The

University of Melbourne Psychology Clinic and via the Research Experience Program at the

Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences. All clinical participants underwent the

Diagnostic Interview for Anxiety, Mood, and OCD and Related Neuropsychiatric Disorders

(DIAMOND) [65], a semi-structured clinical interview based on DSM-5 diagnostic criteria, to

identify those who met criteria for a mood and/or anxiety disorder. Participants were also

included if they met criteria for stress and/or eating disorders due to the high level of

depressive and anxiety symptoms present in these conditions [66,67]. Participants who met

criteria for psychosis, bipolar disorder, paraphilic disorder, dissociative disorder, or conduct

disorder were excluded. Clinical participants were compared to 92 matched control

participants recruited via publicly accessible online advertisements. These participants had no

current or past diagnosis of a mental health disorder as assessed through the Mini-

International Neuropsychiatric Interview-7 [68]. All participants were between 18 to 40 years of

age, fluent English speakers, had no MRI contraindications including pregnancy, and had

normal or corrected-to-normal visual acuity. All participants provided written informed

consent prior to the study, following a complete description of the study protocol. This study

and its protocol were approved by the Melbourne Health Human Research and Ethics

Committee. All participants attended a single 7T scanning session at the Melbourne Brain

Imaging Unit (The University of Melbourne, Parkville). The Depression, Anxiety and Stress

Scale short-form (DASS-21) was administered prior to the session to provide an overall

measure of negative mood states, as well as sub-scales scores of depression, anxiety, and

stress-tension [69]. Of the original sample, three participants were excluded due to data quality

issues (three controls), five participants were excluded due to excessive head movement

during scanning (four controls and one clinical participant), and 16 due to insufficient activity

mapping across all regions necessary for DCM (11 controls and five clinical participants; for

further details see Times Series Extraction below). This resulted in 70 clinical participants

and 77 healthy controls being included in the primary analysis.

Image Acquisition

MRI acquisition was performed on a Siemens 7T Plus research scanner (Siemens Healthcare,

Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 32Rx/1Tx channel head coil (Nova Medical Inc.,

Wilmington MA, USA). Functional (T2*-weighted) images were obtained using a multi-band

and grappa accelerated gradient-echo planar imaging (GE-EPI) sequence [70] in the steady state

(multi-band factor, 6; parallel acceleration factor, 2; repetition time, 800 ms; echo time, 22.2

ms; flip angle, 45°) in a 20.8 cm field-of-view, with a 130×130-pixel matrix, a slice thickness

of 1.6mm (no gap) and in-plane voxel size=1.6×1.6 mm. Eighty-four interleaved slices were

acquired parallel to the anterior-posterior commissure line, covering the whole brain. The

resting-state sequence duration was 5 minutes and 20 seconds, corresponding to 400 whole-

brain echo-planar imaging volumes. Participants were instructed to keep their eyes closed but

not to fall asleep for the duration of the scan.

A high resolution structural T1-weighted image was obtained from each participant

using magnetization-prepared 2 rapid gradient echo sequence (MP2RAGE [71]) for co-

registration with the functional images (parallel reduction factor, 4; repetition time, 5

seconds; echo time, 2.04 ms; flip angle, 13°) in a 24 cm field of view, with a 330×330–pixel

matrix, in-plane voxel size = 0.75×0.75 mm, slice thickness = 0.75 mm with 224 sagittal

slices aligned parallel to the midline. To minimize head movement during scanning, foam

pads were inserted to the either side of participants' heads. Respiration and cardiac pulse were

also recorded during the session at 200 hertz (Hz) and 50 Hz, respectively, using a respiratory

belt and pulse-oximeter (Siemens, Germany) to be used for physiological noise correction.

Preprocessing

Imaging data were preprocessed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) 12 (v7771,

Welcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK) within a MATLAB 2023b

environment (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA) on Spartan High Performance Computer

hosted at The University of Melbourne [72]. Motion artifacts were corrected by realigning each

participant's timeseries to the mean image, and all images were resampled using 4th Degree

B-Spline interpolation. Participants were excluded if movement exceeded a mean total

displacement of 1.6mm (~1 native voxel) as assessed through the Motion Fingerprint toolbox

[73].

Each participant's anatomical images (denoised MP2RAGE [74]) were co-registered to

their respective mean functional image, segmented, and normalized to the International

Consortium of Brain Mapping template using the unified segmentation and the

Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration Through Exponentiated Lie Algebra approach [75].

Smoothing was applied with a 3.2-mm^3 full-width-at-half-maximum Gaussian kernel to

preserve spatial specificity. Physiological noise was modeled using PhysIO toolbox [76].

Cardiac and respiratory recordings were imported to the toolbox, in which physiological

noise models were applied to these recordings (i.e., the retrospective image-based correction

for a third order cardiac, fourth order respiratory, and first order interaction Fourier expansion

of cardiac and respiratory phase [77]; respiratory volume per time [78]; heart rate

variability response [79]). Normalized white matter and cerebrospinal fluid tissue segmentations

from each participant's structural image were used to construct noise regions of interest from

which mean timeseries and principal components were extracted as nuisance regressors using

CompCor [80]. These regressors were added to six movement regressors estimated through

realignment and taken to the first-level general linear model to remove variances of no-

interest.

Spectral Dynamic Causal Modeling

DCM is a technique used to infer directional influences among brain regions, or effective

connectivity, based on functional neuroimaging data [81,82]. While traditional deterministic and

stochastic DCM operates by analyzing the timeseries data directly, spectral DCM estimates

effective connectivity using the cross-spectral density (for further details see Supplementary

Methods). This is a second-order summary statistic of the timeseries data and thus allows the

estimation of effective connectivity parameters for resting-state data with a greatly increased

computational efficiency [83]. Effective connectivity between regions is measured in Hz, with

positive values being indicative of putative excitation between regions while negative values

represent putative inhibition. Self or within-region connections are represented by unitless log

scaling parameters that modify the default inhibitory connectivity of -0.5Hz. As such,

positive values for these parameters are indicative of greater inhibition whereas negative

values represent reduced inhibition.

Timeseries Extraction and Model Specification

The Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates for core regions of the DMN and salience

network were identified using previously reported peak coordinates from meta-analyses and

large-scale functional connectivity studies. The precise coordinates were as follows: vmPFC

[3, 39, -2] [84], PCC [2 -43 24] [84], IPL [44 -65 32; -40 -66 32] [85], anterior insula [36, 16, 4; -35,

14, 5] [86], dorsal anterior cingulate (dACC) [4, 30, 30] [87]. The timeseries from these VOIs

were extracted using the principal eigenvariate of all voxels in a sphere within a radius of 6

mm of the centroids for midline regions (vmPFC, PCC, dACC) and 4 mm for lateralized

regions (IPL and anterior insula; Supplementary Figure S1). To extract the basal forebrain

subregions, we used basal forebrain masks based on the stereotaxic probabilistic maps of the

magnocellular basal forebrain space by Zaborszky and colleagues [88] for Ch1-3 and 4, and the

subcortical mask from Pauli and colleagues [89] for the VP (Figure 1). Seed-to-whole brain

functional connectivity analyses were conducted to ensure that these masks accurately

captured the intended regions and their associated functional connectivity patterns

(Supplementary Methods and Results).

Our model space was specified using DCM 12.5. To address our hypotheses

concerning the distinct effects of basal forebrain subregions, we modeled bidirectional

connectivity to and from these subregions to all cortical regions highlighted above. Using the

spm_dcm_fmri_check function, we assessed the explained variance of the model. This

revealed an average explained variance of 86.9% across all subjects, indicating that the

specified model was capturing a large degree of the observed changes in BOLD signal over

time.

Parametric Empirical Bayes

To examine the impact of group differences on individual parameters, we employed

Parametric Empirical Bayes (PEB) [90]. Unlike comparisons using classical frequentist tests

which only use estimated mean values, PEB allows for the inclusion of estimated variance (in

addition to the estimated means) of each parameter when investigating between-group

effects. The posterior probability (PP) was calculated using the free energy (with vs. without)

option. This approach compares the evidence for all models in which a particular parameter is

switched on with the evidence for models in which it is switched off. A PP threshold of

greater than 0.99 was used to identify those parameters which had a *very strong* amount of

evidence [91]. We constructed a second level PEB model including four regressors. The first

regressor modeled the average connectivity observed across healthy control participants, with

subsequent regressors either increasing or decreasing this baseline connectivity. The

subsequent regressors included the difference between clinical participants and healthy

controls, as well as age and gender as covariates. Having estimated a group level PEB model,

we then searched over nested PEB models using Bayesian model reduction pruning

parameters that did not contribute to overall model evidence [82,92]. Bayesian model averaging

was performed on these models after the final iteration to determine the strength of

connections in the last Occam's window of 256 models.

Leave-one-out Cross-validation

To assess the predictive validity of parameters which demonstrated between-group

differences, we used leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) across all participants. In the

PEB framework, LOOCV aims to determine whether the size of between-group effects on

parameters is sufficiently large to predict a variable of interest [91]. In this case, this was

employed to determine whether identified parameters were related to symptom severity. A

group-level PEB model was estimated for all participants while excluding one participant,

then this PEB model was used to predict the left-out subject's DASS scores. These predicted

scores were then correlated with the observed symptom severity. A significant correlation

between the expected and observed values demonstrates that the effect size was sufficiently

large to predict the left-out subjects' symptom severity above chance (see [91] for further

details).

Declaration of Competing and Financial Interests

The authors declare no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Funding

This study was supported by National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia

(NHMRC) Project Grants (1161897) to BJH and (1073041) to KLF. TS is supported by

a NHMRC/MRFF Investigator Grant (MRF1193736), a BBRF Young Investigator Grant, and

a University of Melbourne McKenzie Fellowship. JA and SI are supported by Australian

Government Research Training Program Scholarships.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Braden Thai, Holly Carey and Amy Nielson for their contributions to data

collection. The authors also acknowledge the facilities and scientific and technical assistance

of the National Imaging Facility, a National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy

(NCRIS) capability, at the Melbourne Brain Centre Imaging Unit, University of Melbourne.

In addition, the authors are grateful to Siemens for providing the MP2RAGE sequence as a

"works in progress package" and CMRR (University of Minnesota) for sharing the multiband

EPI sequence.

References

Dutta, A., McKie, S. & Deakin, J. F. Resting state networks in major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res* **224**, 139-151 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2014.10.003

Bertocci, M. A. *et al.* Altered patterns of central executive, default mode and salience network activity and connectivity are associated with current and future depression risk in two independent young adult samples. *Mol Psychiatry* **28**, 1046-1056 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-022-01899-8

Zhang, X. *et al.* Large-scale brain functional network abnormalities in social anxiety disorder. *Psychol Med* **53**, 6194-6204 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291722003439

Mulders, P. C., van Eijndhoven, P. F., Schene, A. H., Beckmann, C. F. & Tendolkar, I. Resting-state functional connectivity in major depressive disorder: A review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **56**, 330-344 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.07.014

Tse, N. Y. *et al.* A mega-analysis of functional connectivity and network abnormalities in youth depression. *Nature Mental Health* **2**, 1169-1182 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s44220-024-00309-y

Mohan, A. *et al.* The Significance of the Default Mode Network (DMN) in Neurological and Neuropsychiatric Disorders: A Review. *Yale J Biol Med* **89**, 49-57 (2016).

Rabany, L. *et al.* Resting-State Functional Connectivity in Generalized Anxiety Disorder and Social Anxiety Disorder: Evidence for a Dimensional Approach. *Brain Connect* **7**, 289-298 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2017.0497

Li, R. *et al.* Dissociable salience and default mode network modulation in generalized anxiety disorder: a connectome-wide association study. *Cereb Cortex* **33**, 6354-6365 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac509

Jamieson, A. J., Harrison, B. J., Razi, A. & Davey, C. G. Rostral anterior cingulate network effective connectivity in depressed adolescents and associations with treatment response in a randomized controlled trial. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **47**, 1240-1248 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-021-01214-z

Jamieson, A. J. *et al.* A brain model of altered self-appraisal in social anxiety disorder. *Transl Psychiatry* **13**, 344 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-023-02644-7

Xu, L., Xu, H., Ding, H., Li, J. & Wang, C. Intrinsic Network Brain Dysfunction Correlates With Temporal Complexity in Generalized Anxiety Disorder and Panic Disorder. *Front Hum Neurosci* **15**, 647518 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2021.647518

Deif, R. & Salama, M. Depression From a Precision Mental Health Perspective: Utilizing Personalized Conceptualizations to Guide Personalized Treatments. *Front Psychiatry* **12**, 650318 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.650318

Dalgleish, T., Black, M., Johnston, D. & Bevan, A. Transdiagnostic approaches to mental health problems: Current status and future directions. *J Consult Clin Psychol* **88**, 179-195 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000482

Aguilar, D. D. & McNally, J. M. Subcortical control of the default mode network: Role of the basal forebrain and implications for neuropsychiatric disorders. *Brain Res Bull* **185**, 129-139 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2022.05.005

15   Nair, J. *et al.* Basal forebrain contributes to default mode network regulation. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **115**, 1352-1357 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1712431115
16   Alves, P. N. *et al.* An improved neuroanatomical model of the default-mode network
reconciles previous neuroimaging and neuropathological findings. *Commun Biol* **2**,
370 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0611-3
17   Harrison, B. J. *et al.* Dynamic subcortical modulators of human default mode network
function. *Cereb Cortex* **32**, 4345-4355 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhab487
18   Peeters, L. M. *et al.* Cholinergic Modulation of the Default Mode Like Network in
Rats. *iScience* **23**, 101455 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101455
19   Mesulam, M. M., Mufson, E. J., Levey, A. I. & Wainer, B. H. Cholinergic innervation of
cortex by the basal forebrain: cytochemistry and cortical connections of the septal
area, diagonal band nuclei, nucleus basalis (substantia innominata), and
hypothalamus in the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* **214**, 170-197 (1983).
https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902140206
20   Fritz, H. C. J. *et al.* The corticotopic organization of the human basal forebrain as
revealed by regionally selective functional connectivity profiles. *Human Brain*
Mapping **40**, 868-878 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24417
21   Yuan, R., Biswal, B. B. & Zaborszky, L. Functional Subdivisions of Magnocellular Cell
Groups in Human Basal Forebrain: Test-Retest Resting-State Study at Ultra-high Field,
and Meta-analysis. *Cereb Cortex* **29**, 2844-2858 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhy150
22   Li, C. S. *et al.* Resting state functional connectivity of the basal nucleus of Meynert in
humans: in comparison to the ventral striatum and the effects of age. *Neuroimage*
97, 321-332 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.04.019
23   Root, D. H., Melendez, R. I., Zaborszky, L. & Napier, T. C. The ventral pallidum:
Subregion-specific functional anatomy and roles in motivated behaviors. *Prog*
Neurobiol **130**, 29-70 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2015.03.005
24   Zhao, Y., Kirschenhofer, T., Harvey, M. & Rainer, G. Mediodorsal thalamus and ventral
pallidum contribute to subcortical regulation of the default mode network. *Commun*
Biol **7**, 891 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-06531-9
25   Klaassen, A.-L., Heiniger, A., Sánchez, P. V., Harvey, M. A. & Rainer, G. Ventral pallidum
regulates the default mode network, controlling transitions between internally and
externally guided behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America **118**, 1-10 (2021).
26   Yamakawa, G. R. *et al.* The cholinergic forebrain arousal system acts directly on the
circadian pacemaker. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 13498-13503 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1610342113
27   Cabrera, S. M., Chavez, C. M., Corley, S. R., Kitto, M. R. & Butt, A. E. Selective lesions
of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis impair cognitive flexibility. *Behav Neurosci* **120**,
298-306 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7044.120.2.298
28   Herman, A. M. *et al.* A cholinergic basal forebrain feeding circuit modulates appetite
suppression. *Nature* **538**, 253-256 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19789
29   Dulawa, S. C. & Janowsky, D. S. Cholinergic regulation of mood: from basic and
clinical studies to emerging therapeutics. *Mol Psychiatry* **24**, 694-709 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-018-0219-x

30   Mineur, Y. S. *et al.* Cholinergic signaling in the hippocampus regulates social stress
512   resilience and anxiety- and depression-like behavior. *Proceedings of the National*
513   *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **110**, 3573-3578 (2013).
514   31   Chen, L. *et al.* Fluoxetine and Ketamine Reverse the Depressive but Not Anxiety
515   Behavior Induced by Lesion of Cholinergic Neurons in the Horizontal Limb of the
516   Diagonal Band of Broca in Male Rat. *Front Behav Neurosci* **15**, 602708 (2021).
517   https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.602708
518   32   Knowland, D. *et al.* Distinct Ventral Pallidal Neural Populations Mediate Separate
519   Symptoms of Depression. *Cell* **170**, 284-297 e218 (2017).
520   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.015
521   33   Keuken, M. C., Isaacs, B. R., Trampel, R., van der Zwaag, W. & Forstmann, B. U.
522   Visualizing the Human Subcortex Using Ultra-high Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging.
523   *Brain Topogr* **31**, 513-545 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-018-0638-7
524   34   Razi, A., Kahan, J., Rees, G. & Friston, K. J. Construct validation of a DCM for resting
525   state fMRI. *Neuroimage* **106**, 1-14 (2015).
526   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.11.027
527   35   Friston, K. J., Kahan, J., Biswal, B. & Razi, A. A DCM for resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage*
528   **94**, 396-407 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.12.009
529   36   Zaborszky, L., Carlsen, J., Brashear, H. R. & Heimer, L. Cholinergic and GABAergic
530   afferents to the olfactory bulb in the rat with special emphasis on the projection
531   neurons in the nucleus of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band. *J Comp Neurol*
532   **243**, 488-509 (1986). https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902430405
533   37   Walker, L. C. *et al.* Peptidergic neurons in the basal forebrain magnocellular complex
534   of the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* **280**, 272-282 (1989).
535   https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902800208
536   38   Zaborszky, L., Pang, K., Somogyi, J., Nadasdy, Z. & Kallo, I. The basal forebrain
537   corticopetal system revisited. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **877**, 339-367 (1999).
538   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09276.x
539   39   Zaborszky, L. & Duque, A. Local synaptic connections of basal forebrain neurons.
540   *Behavioural Brain Research* **115**, 143-158 (2000).
541   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4328(00)00255-2
542   40   Zou, Y. *et al.* Cell-type-specific optogenetic fMRI on basal forebrain reveals functional
543   network basis of behavioral preference. *Neuron* (2024).
544   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2024.01.017
545   41   Kim, T. *et al.* Cortically projecting basal forebrain parvalbumin neurons regulate
546   cortical gamma band oscillations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 3535-3540 (2015).
547   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1413625112
548   42   Lozano-Montes, L. *et al.* Optogenetic Stimulation of Basal Forebrain Parvalbumin
549   Neurons Activates the Default Mode Network and Associated Behaviors. *Cell Rep* **33**,
550   108359 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108359
551   43   Do, J. P. *et al.* Cell type-specific long-range connections of basal forebrain circuit. *Elife*
552   **5** (2016). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.13214
553   44   McLaughlin, K. A. & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. Rumination as a transdiagnostic factor in
554   depression and anxiety. *Behav Res Ther* **49**, 186-193 (2011).
555   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2010.12.006

556   45   Espinosa, N. *et al.* Basal Forebrain Gating by Somatostatin Neurons Drives Prefrontal
557   Cortical Activity. *Cereb Cortex* **29**, 42-53 (2019).
558   https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhx302
559   46   Sanda, P. *et al.* Cholinergic modulation supports dynamic switching of resting state
560   networks through selective DMN suppression. *PLoS Comput Biol* **20**, e1012099
561   (2024). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012099
562   47   Liu, C. *et al.* Trait- and state-like co-activation pattern dynamics in current and
563   remitted major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord* **337**, 159-168 (2023).
564   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2023.05.074
565   48   Dong, D. *et al.* Sex-specific resting state brain network dynamics in patients with
566   major depressive disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **49**, 806-813 (2024).
567   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-024-01799-1
568   49   Wang, X., Ongur, D., Auerbach, R. P. & Yao, S. Q. Cognitive Vulnerability to Major
569   Depression: View from the Intrinsic Network and Cross-network Interactions.
570   *Harvard Rev Psychiat* **24**, 188-201 (2016).
571   https://doi.org/10.1097/Hrp.0000000000000081
572   50   Berman, M. G. *et al.* Neural and behavioral effects of interference resolution in
573   depression and rumination. *Cogn Affect Behav Ne* **11**, 85-96 (2011).
574   https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-010-0014-x
575   51   Shine, J. M. Neuromodulatory Influences on Integration and Segregation in the Brain.
576   *Trends Cogn Sci* **23**, 572-583 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.04.002
577   52   Taylor, N. L. *et al.* Causal evidence for cholinergic stabilization of attractor landscape
578   dynamics. *Cell Rep* **43**, 114359 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114359
579   53   Munn, B. R., Muller, E. J., Wainstein, G. & Shine, J. M. The ascending arousal system
580   shapes neural dynamics to mediate awareness of cognitive states. *Nat Commun* **12**,
581   6016 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26268-x
582   54   Shackman, A. J. *et al.* The neurobiology of dispositional negativity and attentional
583   biases to threat: Implications for understanding anxiety disorders in adults and
584   youth. *J Exp Psychopathol* **7**, 311-342 (2016). https://doi.org/10.5127/jep.054015
585   55   Dagyte, G., Den Boer, J. A. & Trentani, A. The cholinergic system and depression.
586   *Behav Brain Res* **221**, 574-582 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2010.02.023
587   56   Jaffe, R. J., Novakovic, V. & Peselow, E. D. Scopolamine as an Antidepressant: A
588   Systematic Review. *Clin Neuropharmacol* **36**, 24-26 (2013).
589   https://doi.org/10.1097/WNF.0b013e318278b703
590   57   Furey, M. L. & Drevets, W. C. Antidepressant efficacy of the antimuscarinic drug
591   scopolamine: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **63**,
592   1121-1129 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.63.10.1121
593   58   Furey, M. L., Khanna, A., Hoffman, E. M. & Drevets, W. C. Scopolamine produces
594   larger antidepressant and antianxiety effects in women than in men.
595   *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**, 2479-2488 (2010).
596   https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2010.131
597   59   Mocko, P., Sladowska, K., Kawalec, P., Babii, Y. & Pilc, A. The Potential of Scopolamine
598   as an Antidepressant in Major Depressive Disorder: A Systematic Review of
599   Randomized Controlled Trials. *Biomedicines* **11** (2023). https://doi.org/ARTN 2636
600   10.3390/biomedicines11102636
601   60   Drevets, W. C., Bhattacharya, A. & Furey, M. L. in *Advances in Pharmacology* Vol. 89
602   (eds Ronald S. Duman & John H. Krystal) 357-386 (Academic Press, 2020).

61   Fogaça, M. V. *et al.* Inhibition of GABA interneurons in the mPFC is sufficient and
necessary for rapid antidepressant responses. *Mol Psychiatry* **26**, 3277-3291 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-00916-y
62   Beisteiner, R. *et al.* Clinical fMRI: evidence for a 7T benefit over 3T. *Neuroimage* **57**,
1015-1021 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.05.010
63   Larsen, R. S. & Waters, J. Neuromodulatory Correlates of Pupil Dilation. *Front Neural*
Circuits **12**, 21 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2018.00021
64   Greaves, M. D., Novelli, L. & Razi, A. Structurally informed resting-state effective
connectivity recapitulates cortical hierarchy. *bioRxiv* (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.03.587831
65   Tolin, D. *et al.* Diagnostic Interview for anxiety, mood, and OCD and related
neuropsychiatric disorders (DIAMOND). *Hartford, CT: The Institute of Living, Hartford*
Healthcare Corporation (2016).
66   Swinbourne, J. *et al.* The comorbidity between eating disorders and anxiety
disorders: prevalence in an eating disorder sample and anxiety disorder sample. *Aust*
N Z J Psychiatry **46**, 118-131 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867411432071
67   Spinhoven, P., Penninx, B. W., van Hemert, A. M., de Rooij, M. & Elzinga, B. M.
Comorbidity of PTSD in anxiety and depressive disorders: prevalence and shared risk
factors. *Child Abuse Negl* **38**, 1320-1330 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2014.01.017
68   Sheehan, D. V. *et al.* The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): the
development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-
IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiat* **59**, 22-33 (1998).
69   Lovibond, S. H. Manual for the depression anxiety stress scales. *Sydney psychology*
foundation (1995).
70   Moeller, S. *et al.* Multiband multislice GE-EPI at 7 tesla, with 16-fold acceleration
using partial parallel imaging with application to high spatial and temporal whole-
brain fMRI. *Magn Reson Med* **63**, 1144-1153 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22361
71   Marques, J. P. *et al.* MP2RAGE, a self bias-field corrected sequence for improved
segmentation and T1-mapping at high field. *Neuroimage* **49**, 1271-1281 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.10.002
72   Lafayette, L., Sauter, G., Vu, L. & Meade, B. in *OpenStack Summit.*
73   Wilke, M. An alternative approach towards assessing and accounting for individual
motion in fMRI timeseries. *Neuroimage* **59**, 2062-2072 (2012).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.043
74   O'Brien, K. R. *et al.* Robust T1-weighted structural brain imaging and morphometry at
7T using MP2RAGE. *PLoS One* **9**, e99676 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099676
75   Ashburner, J. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. *Neuroimage* **38**, 95-
113 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.07.007
76   Kasper, L. *et al.* The PhysIO Toolbox for Modeling Physiological Noise in fMRI Data. *J*
Neurosci Meth **276**, 56-72 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2016.10.019
77   Glover, G. H., Li, T. Q. & Ress, D. Image-based method for retrospective correction of
physiological motion effects in fMRI: RETROICOR. *Magn Reson Med* **44**, 162-167
(2000). https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2594(200007)44:1<162::aid-mrm23>3.0.co;2-e

78   Birn, R. M., Smith, M. A., Jones, T. B. & Bandettini, P. A. The respiration response
function: the temporal dynamics of fMRI signal fluctuations related to changes in
respiration. *Neuroimage* **40**, 644-654 (2008).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.11.059
79   Chang, C., Cunningham, J. P. & Glover, G. H. Influence of heart rate on the BOLD
signal: the cardiac response function. *Neuroimage* **44**, 857-869 (2009).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.09.029
80   Behzadi, Y., Restom, K., Liau, J. & Liu, T. T. A component based noise correction
method (CompCor) for BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. *Neuroimage* **37**, 90-101
(2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.04.042
81   Friston, K. J., Harrison, L. & Penny, W. Dynamic causal modelling. *Neuroimage* **19**,
1273-1302 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/s1053-8119(03)00202-7
82   Friston, K. J. & Penny, W. Post hoc Bayesian model selection. *Neuroimage* **56**, 2089-
2099 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.03.062
83   Novelli, L., Friston, K. & Razi, A. Spectral dynamic causal modeling: A didactic
introduction and its relationship with functional connectivity. *Netw Neurosci* **8**, 178-
202 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1162/netn_a_00348
84   Fair, D. A. *et al.* Functional Brain Networks Develop from a "Local to Distributed"
Organization. *Plos Computational Biology* **5** (2009). https://doi.org/ARTN e1000381
10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000381
85   Yarkoni, T., Poldrack, R. A., Nichols, T. E., Van Essen, D. C. & Wager, T. D. Large-scale
automated synthesis of human functional neuroimaging data. *Nat Methods* **8**, 665-
670 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1635
86   Dosenbach, N. U. *et al.* Distinct brain networks for adaptive and stable task control in
humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 11073-11078 (2007).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704320104
87   Sridharan, D., Levitin, D. J. & Menon, V. A critical role for the right fronto-insular
cortex in switching between central-executive and default-mode networks.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
105, 12569-12574 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0800005105
88   Zaborszky, L. *et al.* Stereotaxic probabilistic maps of the magnocellular cell groups in
human basal forebrain. *Neuroimage* **42**, 1127-1141 (2008).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.05.055
89   Pauli, W. M., Nili, A. N. & Tyszka, J. M. A high-resolution probabilistic in vivo atlas of
human subcortical brain nuclei. *Sci Data* **5**, 180063 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.63
90   Friston, K. J. *et al.* Bayesian model reduction and empirical Bayes for group (DCM)
studies. *Neuroimage* **128**, 413-431 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.015
91   Zeidman, P. *et al.* A guide to group effective connectivity analysis, part 2: Second level
analysis with PEB. *Neuroimage* **200**, 12-25 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.06.032
92   Rosa, M. J., Friston, K. J. & Penny, W. Post-hoc selection of dynamic causal models. *J
Neurosci Meth* **208**, 66-78 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2012.04.013
93   Edlow, B. L. *et al.* 7 Tesla MRI of the ex vivo human brain at 100 micron resolution.
Scientific data **6**, 244 (2019).
695

696   Table 1.

697   *Comparison of Characteristics Between Healthy Controls and Clinical Participants*

Characteristics	Healthy Controls (N = 77)		Clinical Participants (N = 70)		Effect size (φ, Δ, or d)	p
	Mean or N	SD or Percentage	Mean or N	SD or Percentage		
Age (years)	22.78	4.1	22.29	5.3	.10	.264
Female	43	55.8	51	72.9	.28	.003
Education years)	13.8	1.3	13.5	1.2	.21	.098
DASS Total	7.62	6.0	27.16	11.2	-1.74	<.001
DASS Depression	2.40	2.2	10.14	5.5	-1.78	<.001
DASS Anxiety	1.78	1.9	7.06	4.2	-1.26	<.001
Depressive Disorder	-	-	41	58.6	-	-
MDD	-	-	29	41.4	-	-
PDD	-	-	12	17.1		
Anxiety Disorder	-	-	36	51.4	-	-
GAD	-	-	25	35.7	-	-
SAD	-	-	16	22.9	-	-
Specific Phobia	-	-	4	5.7	-	-
Panic Disorder	-	-	2	2.9	-	-
Stress Disorders			13	18.8		
PTSD	-	-	10	14.3	-	-

Adjustment Disorder	-	-	3	4.3		
Eating Disorders	-	-	7	10		
BED	-	-	3	4.3		
AN	-	-	2	2.9		
BN	-	-	2	2.9		
Antidepressant Use	-	-	14	19.7	-	-

698 *Abbreviations: Anorexia Nervosa (AN); Binge-eating Disorder (BED); Bulimia Nervosa*

699 *(BN); Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS); Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD); Major*

700 *Depressive Disorder (MDD); Persistent Depressive Disorder (PDD); Post-traumatic Stress*

701 *Disorder (PTSD); Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD).*

718   *Figure 1.* Basal forebrain masks used in the effective connectivity analysis. A) Render and B)

719   axial slices of the Ch1-3 (green), Ch4 (blue), and VP (red) masks on the

720   "Synthesized_FLASH25" (500 μm, MNI space) ex vivo template [93].

733   *Figure 2.* Differences in effective connectivity from the basal forebrain to cortical brain

734   regions in clinical participants compared with healthy controls. Results indicate effects

735   involving A) Ch4 (yellow) and B) ventral pallidum (black). Arrows have been weighted to

736   indicate the relative size of the effects. Image created with BioRender (www.biorender.com).

Figure 3. Leave-one-out cross-validation predicting DASS total scores for each participant.

Left: The out-of-samples estimated of the DASS total score (z-scored) with 90% credible

interval (shaded area). **Right:** The correlation between observed scores and the expected

values for each individual participant using altered connections. Healthy controls are shown

in green, clinical participants are shown in blue.

755