Jade1 and the HBO1 complex are spatial-selective cofactors of Oct4

Yifan Wu,[1,2,¶] Asit K. Manna,[1,2,¶] Li Li,[2,3] Hiroshi Handa,[4] Mahesh B. Chandrasekharan,[2,5] Dean

Tantin[1,2,*]

[1]Department of Pathology, University of Utah, School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

[2]Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

[3]Department of Oncological Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

[4]Center for Future Medical Research, Institute of Medical Science, Tokyo Medical University,

Shinjuku, Shinjuku-Ku, Tokyo, 160-8402, Japan

[5]Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Utah, School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112,

USA

*Correspondence: dean.tantin@path.utah.edu

[¶]Co-first authors

Running Title: Spatiospecific Oct4 cofactors

Words in Abstract: 239

Manuscript length (including spaces): 66,728

Total Figures: 6

Total Supplemental Figures: 7

Total Supplemental Tables: 3

Abstract

Oct4 is a master regulator of pluripotency. Potential Oct4 interactors have been cataloged extensively but the manner and significance of these interactions are incompletely defined. Like other POU domain proteins, Oct4 is capable of binding to DNA in multiple configurations, however the relationship between these configurations and cofactor recruitment (and hence transcription output) are unknown. Here, we show that Oct4 interacts with common and unique proteins when bound to DNA in different configurations. One of these proteins is Jade1, a component of the HBO histone acetyltransferase complex. Jade1 preferentially associates with Oct4 when bound to More palindromic Octamer-Related Element (MORE) DNA sequences that bind Oct4 dimers and are associated with strong gene expression. Surprisingly, we find that the Oct4 N-terminal activation domain, rather than facilitating Jade1 binding, serves as an autoinhibitory domain that dampens the interaction. ChIP-seq using HBO1, the enzymatic component of the complex, identifies a preference for binding adjacent to Oct4 at MORE sites. Using purified recombinant proteins and nucleosome complexes, we show that the HBO1 complex acetylates histone H3K9 within nucleosomes more efficiently when Oct4 is co-bound to a MORE site. Histone acetylation is further increased when Oct4 is mutated to favor dimeric MORE binding. Cryo-electron microscopy reveals that Oct4 bound to a MORE near the nucleosome entry/exit site partially unwinds DNA from nucleosome core particles, and identifies additional mass associated with the HBO1 complex. These results identify a novel mechanism of transcriptional regulation by Oct4.

Keywords Oct4 (Pou5f1); transcription factors; HBO1/KAT7; Jade1; histone acetyltransferase

Introduction

Gene transcription is regulated by the expression and activity of sequence specific DNA binding transcription factors(Stadhouders et al. 2018). Transcription factors differentially regulate different target genes through recruitment of transcription cofactors and action on local chromatin. For example, chromatin modifying enzymes such as histone acetyltransferases (Ogryzko et al. 1996; Wade and Wolffe 1997; Marmorstein and Roth 2001; Utley and Côté 2003) can interact with transcription factors, enhance histone acetylation at local chromatin and favor transcription. Although transcription factor binding specificity in the genome is known to be modulated by interactions with co-expressed transcription factors(Nair et al. 2023), whether the configuration of transcription factor interactions with DNA can influence their interactions with cofactors and hence transcription output is poorly explored.

Oct/POU proteins are a group of extended-family homeodomain proteins that play varied roles in development and reprogramming, stress response, somatic stem cell function and the immune response(Tantin 2013; Kim et al. 2021). The canonical monomeric binding site used by class II and class V POU proteins (including Oct4) is the octamer motif, 5'ATGCAAAT(Herr et al. 1988). Variant DNA binding sites have also been described, including two dimeric binding sites known as a PORE (palindromic octamer related element) and a MORE. Structures of the POU proteins Oct1 and Oct4 bound to DNA in different configurations, including bound to canonical octamer motifs, MOREs and POREs, have been determined by X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy (cryoEM) (Klemm et al. 1994; Remenyi et al. 2001; Michael et al. 2020; Sinha et al. 2023a). These studies reveal that while Oct proteins bound to a PORE resemble a dimeric arrangement of two adjacent proteins, binding to a MORE induces a rearrangement of the two DNA binding subdomains (termed POU_S and POU_H) such that both subdomains of the same protein bind to adjacent major grooves on one side the DNA helix, rather than spanning the DNA as in a canonical octamer and a PORE. This unique binding configuration generates unique chemical surfaces of the DNA binding domains and presumably orientations of the N- and C-termini, with the potential to interact with distinct transcriptional regulators. Oct1 and Oct4 binding to genes with MORE sites can be induced by oxidative stress through phosphorylation of the POU_H DNA binding subdomain, which favors intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the DNA binding subdomains to favor MORE binding(Kang et al. 2009). The stabilization can be mimicked with a phospho-mimetic mutation(Kang et al. 2009). This same study also associated MOREs with promoter regions of widely expressed genes such as *Ell*, *Polr2a*, *Rras* and *Rras2*.

Here, we identify Jade1 as a selective interactor of Oct4 bound to MORE-containing but not octamer-containing DNA. Jade1 is a component of histone acetyltransferase complexes that contain the MYST family HAT HBO1/KAT7 as the catalytic subunit. Deleting of Oct4 N-terminal activation

domain augments the interaction with Jade1. We show that HBO1 and Oct1 are preferentially co-

enriched at MORE-containing genes and that Jade1/HBO1 complexes more robustly acetylate H3K9

when Oct4 is suppled to nucleosomes containing MORE but not simple octamer DNA near the

entry/exit site. An Oct4 mutant with augmented ability to interact with MORE sequences also

augments binding to MORE-containing nucleosomes and H3K9 acetylation. ChIPseq experiments

with the catalytic HBO1 subunit identify enrichment adjacent to MORE-containing Oct4 target genes.

Finally, we use cryoEM to show that Oct4 bound to MOREs near the nucleosome entry/exit site are

associated with DNA unwinding, and identify additional densities associated with the HBO1/Jade1L

complex. These studies identify Jade1 as a spatioselective cofactor of Oct4.

Results

Oct4 and its cofactors can be enriched by immobilized beads coupled to simple octamer or MORE DNA

To identify interacting proteins with potential selectivity for Oct4 bound to DNA in different configurations, we used magnetic FG beads covalently attached to double-stranded DNA sequences containing multimerized octamer or MORE sequences. In both cases, point mutant DNA was used as a control (Fig. 1A). To reduce the effect of Oct1, an Oct4 paralog co-expressed in ESCs (Shen et al. 2017), we enriched Oct4 from Oct1 deficient ESC extracts. Undifferentiated Oct1-deficient ESCs are hypersensitive to oxidative stress but otherwise normal in terms of gene expression, morphology, and immortality (Shen et al. 2017; Perovanovic et al. 2023). Oct4 bound to wild-type but not mutant DNA sequences (Fig. 1B). The co-enriched materials were then analyzed by ultra-high mass range hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometry (Supplemental Table S1). Comparing material enriched using wild-type vs. mutant octamer DNA ($\geq$2-fold change, $p<0.05$), there were 58 proteins significantly enriched using wild-type DNA, while only 2 proteins were enriched with mutant (Fig. 1C). Proteins enriched using wild-type DNA included Pou5f1 (Oct4), Pou2f3 (Oct11) and Sox2, the latter of which is known to combine with Oct4 at Oct-Sox motifs(Michael et al. 2020). 15 other proteins were previously identified in different reports of Oct4 cofactors (Fig. 1C, black text). Similarly, comparing material enriched using beads coupled to wild-type vs. mutant MORE DNA, there were 27 proteins enriched using wild-type DNA pull-down vs. 6 enriched with point mutant MORE DNA. Proteins enriched using wild-type MORE DNA included once again Pou5f1 (Oct4) and 7 other proteins known to interact with Oct4 (Fig. 1D, black text). These results support the reliability of the mass spectrometry findings.

Comparing the wild-type octamer and MORE enriched proteins, 89.5% (51 out of 57) and 76.9% (20 out of 26) of Oct4 cofactors were specific to either the octamer or MORE sequence DNA. Other than Oct4 itself only six proteins overlap (Supplemental Fig. S1A). This finding is consistent with a hypothesis that different Oct4 configurations preferentially recruit different cofactors. Comparing the enriched proteins with three prior reports on Oct4 interaction partners(Pardo et al. 2010; van den Berg et al. 2010; Ding et al. 2012), we identified 16 overlapping proteins (Supplemental Fig. S1B).

Jade1 associates with Oct4 bound specifically to MORE-containing DNA

One protein strongly co-enriched with Oct4 using beads coupled to wild-type MORE DNA was Jade1, a PHD finger-containing protein thought to act as an adapter subunit of the HBO1 HAT complex that contacts histone H3 and H4 and facilitates its binding to chromatin (Foy et al. 2008; Han et al. 2018; Gaurav et al. 2024). This complex is reported to acetylate histones H3 and H4 with little specificity to activate transcription (Xiao et al. 2021). To test if Jade1 acts as an Oct4 cofactor specifically at MORE

sequences, we directly tested Jade1 enrichment using extracts from Oct1 deficient mouse ESCs and

FG beads coupled to the four types of DNA sequences (wild-type or mutant octamer or MORE) by

Western blotting. Only material enriched using wild-type MORE-coupled beads showed a strong

enrichment for Jade1 (Fig. 2A). A replicate experiment confirmed the specificity (Supplemental Fig.

S2A). We performed co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) experiments using antibodies against Oct4 and

Jade1, and Oct1 deficient ESC extracts. Both co-IP using Oct4 antibodies and Jade1 Western blot,

and reciprocal Jade1 co-IP with Oct4 Western blot identified specific bands (Fig. 2B and C). This

interaction was confirmed using extracts from wild-type ESCs (Supplemental Fig. S2B).

The Oct4 N-terminus dampens Jade1 recruitment to MORE-containing DNA

Oct4's N-terminus has been shown to harbor an activation domain (Boija et al. 2018). To test if this

domain is required for the interaction with Jade1, we deleted the N-terminal 130 amino acids. Prior

work has also shown that the Oct4 DNA binding domain contains a nuclear localization sequence

(Oka et al. 2013), suggesting that an N-terminally truncated protein retaining the DNA binding domain

should still localize to nuclei. We confirmed this prediction using immunofluorescence in 3T3

fibroblasts and antibodies against Oct4 (Fig. 2D). We then performed FG bead MORE DNA affinity

purification using Expi293F cells transfected with plasmid constructs expressing either full-length or N-

terminally deleted Oct4, as well as Jade1. All constructs contained twin-strep tags for efficient

detection. N-terminally truncated Oct4 purified from Expi293F cells was selectively degraded during

incubation with FG beads, but this could be blocked by including the proteasome MG-132 in the

lysates (not shown). This experiment was therefore conducted using 20 μg/mL MG-132 in all lysates.

Full-length and N-terminally truncated Oct4 were equivalently expressed (Fig. 2E, lanes 3-4) and

associated with MORE-coupled beads equivalently (lanes 7-8). Interestingly, Oct4 lacking its N-

terminus more efficiency copurified Jade1 compared to wild-type Oct4 (compare lane 8 to lanes 6 and

7). These results indicate that Oct4's N-terminus inhibits the interaction with Jade1.

HBO1 preferentially associates near MOREs in ESCs

We attempted to determine Jade1 binding preferences genome-wide using Jade1 ChIP-seq

(chromatin immunoprecipitation and sequencing) with an anti-Jade1 antibody without success. We

then turned to ChIP using antibodies against the HBO1 subunit of the Jade1/HBO1 complex, HBO1,

which has been used successfully in ChIP-seq with HeLa cells (Xiao et al. 2021). Approximately

13,800 peaks were identified with high confidence (Supplemental Table S2). HBO1 showed a

preference for promoter binding, with 37% of the HBO1 ChIP peaks within $\pm$1 kb of the transcription

start site (TSS, Supplemental Fig. S3A), broadly consistent with findings from HeLa cells (Xiao et al.

2021). By aligning peaks with TSSs, we found that HBO1 is most highly enriched on either side of the

TSS (Supplemental Fig. S3B). We then compared the HBO1 enrichments to Oct4 ChIP-seq data also

generated using mouse ESCs(King and Klose 2017). A significant fraction of HBO1 ChIP-seq peaks

(1744) overlapped (<200 bp) with Oct4 peaks (Fig. 3A). Studying individual genome tracks, we found

that HBO1 ChIP peaks either overlapped with or were immediately adjacent to MORE containing

genes such as *Polr2a*, *Zmiz2* and *Rras2*, but were not identified within 2 kb of octamer containing

genes such as *Pou5f1* (Fig. 3B). To quantify this more precisely, we calculated the average distance

between Oct4 and the nearest HBO1 peak in the total dataset vs. the distance between Oct4 bound at

a MORE site and the nearest HBO1. The distance shifted from >10 kb to <200 bp (Supplemental Fig.

S3C, Supplemental Table S3).

We used MEME-ChIP to directly identify sequences enriched in Oct4 peaks alone compared

to overlapping Oct4/HBO1 peaks. Oct4/HBO1 co-enriched targets identified by ChIP-seq preferential

enrichment of the MORE over the octamer motif, while Oct4 peaks in isolation, though more

abundant, enriched octamer motifs more robustly than MOREs (Fig. 3C). These data indicate that the

HBO1/Jade1 complex is recruited by Oct4 more frequently to the MOREs compared to octamer sites.

Purified HBO1/Jade1L complexes acetylate histone lysines within nucleosomes

We obtained mammalian expression constructs for recombinant twin-strep-tagged Jade1L (Zhou et al.

2002) and purified the protein from Expi293F cells using similar methods as for Oct4. Analysis of the

purified Jade1L protein by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining revealed the presence of Jade1L at

~100 kDa, as well as several additional bands (Supplemental Fig. S4A), which were identified by

Western blotting as HBO-1 (~75 kDa), ING4 (~35 kDa) and hEaf6 (~25 kDa, Supplemental Fig. S4B).

Because the purified Jade1L complex contains the HAT enzymatic activity HBO1 and other

associated components, we hypothesized that the purified material would acetylate one or more

histone lysines within nucleosomes in vitro. The Jade1L/HBO1 complex is known to acetylate histone

H4 and the BRPF1/HBO1 complex is known to acetylate histone H3K14(Lalonde et al. 2013; Han et

al. 2018). We confirmed that purified Jade1L complex can acetylate histone H4 within nucleosomes

(Fig. 4A, lane 2). Blotting with specific antibodies indicates that the purified material can acetylate

H4K5, H4K8 and H4K12 but not H4K16 (Fig. 4B, lane 2). Immunoblotting using antibodies specific to

H3 acetylation revealed surprisingly no acetylation on H3K14, but robust acetylation at H3K9. H3K18

and H3K27 were also not acetylated by purified Jade1L/HBO1 complex (Fig. 4B, lane 2).

Oct4 bound to a MORE augments histone H3K9 acetylation by Jade1L/HBO1

We tested the effect of Oct4 bound to MORE DNA on Jade1L/HBO1-mediated in vitro HAT activity

using purified nucleosomes as a substrate. Surprisingly, acetylation at all histone H4 lysines was

reduced to different extents upon inclusion of purified Oct4, whereas the acetylation on lysine 9 on

histone H3 was increased (Fig. 4B, lane 3). To test if there is any effect on Oct4 binding stoichiometry

in presence of Jade1L, we tested Oct4 binding to MORE containing DNA in the presence and

absence of Jade1L. Interestingly the extent of the dimeric form of Oct4 bound to DNA increased in

presence of Jade1L (Fig. 5A). Oct1 can also bind to MOREs(Remenyi et al. 2001), and point mutation

of Oct1 at S385D on a loop region of POU_H that allows for intramolecular hydrogen bonding with

POU_S to stabilize the MORE-bound configuration increases the dimeric compared to monomeric

form(Kang et al. 2009). Because this region is highly conserved between Oct1 and Oct4, we were

able to generate the analogous mutation in the Oct4 DNA binding domain, Oct4^{S229D}, in the context of

the Oct4 expression construct (Supplemental Fig. S4C). As expected, Oct4^{S229D} bound more

efficiently to the MORE compared to Oct4. Interestingly the Oct4^{S229D} protein that bound more strongly

to MOREs also augmented H3K9 acetylation by the Jade1L/complex compared to wild-type Oct4 (Fig.

5C).

CryoEM structure of the HBO1/Jade1/ING4/hEaf6 complex and Oct4^{S229D} in the presence of a

nucleosome

We reconstituted the HBO1/Jade1/ING4/hEaf6 nucleosome complex in the presence of Oct4^{S229D}

using purified recombinant proteins (Supplemental Fig. S5-B) incubated at equimolar ratios for 30 min

over ice. We processed 6200 dose-fractioned cryoEM images (Fig. 6B and Supplemental Fig. S6A)

to elucidate the structure of the complex. The best reconstructed and refined 3D volume with 7.2 Å

resolution and a B Factor 322 (Fig. 6D and Supplemental Fig. S6A, center) showed an extension of

DNA and extra volume surrounding the nucleosome core resulting in a more spherical structure (Fig.

6D), consistent with a nucleosome/HAT complex. The structural resolution was insufficient to model

the position of HBO1, Jade1, ING4 or hEaf6, however the dimeric Oct4 POU_S and POU_H domains

(PDB ID: 7XRC) could be manually fitted into the extended volume (Fig. 6E, left and right). A

nucleosome core structure (PDB ID: 6TEM) could also be fitted into the 3D volume, with the 5' part of

the DNA sequence removed and replaced with linear B-form DNA to complete the volume (Fig. 6F left

and right). This result is consistent with an interpretation in which dimeric Oct4 bound near the

nucleosome entry/exit site in the presence of the HBO1 HAT complex partially unwinds DNA from

core nucleosomes.

Discussion

The ability of transcription factors bound to DNA in different configurations to interact with distinct cofactors is incompletely understood. Nevertheless, different specificities can be predicted because proteins associated with DNA in different configurations necessarily present different chemical docking surfaces. For example, the Oct4 paralog Oct1 can associate with its B and T cell-specific coactivator OCA-B (OBF-1, Bob.1) when bound to a simple octamer or a PORE but not when bound to a MORE due to occlusion of the docking site in the MORE configuration (Tomilin et al. 2000). It has been speculated that perhaps there are many such examples with POU proteins which can rearrange their DNA binding domains based on the orientation and spacing of their cognate DNA half-sites (Pesce and Schöler 2001). As a second example, FoxP3 binds to DNA as a dimer in a head-to-head conformation, forming a hydrophobic pocket for Runx1 binding in normal regulatory T cells and leading to immune tolerance. However, some human immunodysregulation polyendocrinopathy enteropathy X-linked (IPEX) patients harbor a *FOXP3* mutation that encodes a protein that binds to DNA in a "forkhead-swapped" configuration in which the DNA binding domains bind DNA in a different configuration resulting in no Runx1 binding pocket(Bekere and de Oliveira Mann 2022). Here, we show that the pluripotency master regulator Oct4, bound to DNA in different monomeric and dimeric configurations, selectively associates with distinct cofactors. One of these, the Jade1 component of the HBO1 complex, associates with Oct4 bound as a homodimer bound to DNA sites known as MOREs (Fig. 7).

Prior studies have investigated potential Oct4 and other interactions partners(Pardo et al. 2010; van den Berg et al. 2010; Ding et al. 2012), however, these studies did not specifically analyze interactions with DNA-bound Oct4. Moreover, within the genome, Oct4 sites are known to be complex, frequently comprising different octamer half-sites with variable numbers, spacing and orientation (Tantin et al. 2008; Ferraris et al. 2011) and frequently closely associated with binding sites for other transcription factors. For example, in pluripotent stem cells a single molecule of Oct4 binds to an Oct:Sox element approximately 2 kb upstream of the *Pou5f1* gene as a heterodimer with Sox2(Chew et al. 2005), while four Oct4 molecules are capable of binding upstream of *Polr2a* at two consecutive MOREs(Kang et al. 2009). We used canonical octamer element or MORE DNA to enrich Oct4 and co-bound proteins from mouse embryonic stem cell extracts. We opted to use ESCs deficient in the Oct4 paralog Oct4 rather than wild-type ESCs (Shen et al. 2017; Perovanovic et al. 2023) to avoid confounding unique proteins bound by this protein, though we validated the findings using wild-type ESCs. Analyzing the bound proteins using mass spectroscopy, we identified several proteins consistent with prior reports, including Sox2 and Mta1(Pardo et al. 2010; van den Berg et al. 2010). Proteins associated with Oct4 bound to canonical octamer sites tended to be associated with transcriptional repression, e.g. Macroh2a1 (Campos and Reinberg 2009; Martire and Banaszynski

2020), Ctbp2 (Chinnadurai 2009), Mta1 (Liang et al. 2008), Kdm2b (Isshiki and Iwama 2018). In

contrast, many MORE-associated proteins are associated with transcription activation, e.g. H3.3

(Campos and Reinberg 2009; Martire and Banaszynski 2020) and Jade1 itself. This may reflect that

fact that Oct4 operating through canonical octamer DNA has been associated with both activation and

repression (Ambrosetti et al. 1997; Liang et al. 2008), while MORE-containing genes such as *Polr2a*,

Ahcy, *Rras*, *Ell* and *Taf12* tend to be broadly and strongly expressed. Reporter assays have shown

that dimeric Oct4 activates gene expression far more strongly than monomeric Oct4 (Botquin et al.

1998).

Proteins specifically enriched using MORE-containing DNA included Jade1, a PHD finger-

containing scaffolding protein that recognizes histone H3 and helps localize HBO1-contaning HAT

complexes to chromatin(Gaurav et al. 2024). In human ESCs, HBO1 deficiency is associated with

loss of pluripotency and spontaneous neural differentiation, and a defect in mesendoderm

specification following TGFβ treatment(Zhang et al. 2024). We confirmed the Oct4-Jade1 interaction

using co-IP. Because the Oct4 N-terminus has been associated with activation (Boija et al. 2018), we

tested whether the N-terminus was important for this interaction. Oct4 contains a nuclear localization

sequence in its homeodomain (Oka et al. 2013), preserving nuclear localization in the N-terminally

truncated Oct4 construct. Surprisingly, Oct4 lacking its N-terminus showed superior interactions with

Jade1. We propose that Oct4 binding to a MORE orients Oct4 molecules to relieve occlusion of Jade1

by the Oct4 N-termini, whereas Oct4 binding to a canonical site allows for the recruitment of other

coregulators, via the N-terminus, to regulate gene expression at pluripotency-associated targets,

developmentally poised targets, and other targets lacking MOREs (Supplemental Fig. S7). The Oct4

N-terminus is a site of post-translational modification (Nishi et al. 2011; Brumbaugh et al. 2012),

potentially adding another layer of regulation.

HBO1 ChIP-seq studies using Oct1 deficient mouse ESCs identify ~12,000 binding events and

reveal a preference for promoter binding, similar to findings using HBO1 ChIP-seq in HeLa cells (Xiao

et al. 2021). Intersecting these peaks with known Oct4 binding sites identifies ~1,700 co-binding

events preferentially enriched for MOREs, which are otherwise rare in the genome compared to

canonical octamer elements. In ESCs, HBO1 preferentially associates with DNA adjacent to MOREs

at target genes.

Purified recombinant Oct4 bound to MOREs adjacent to nucleosomes catalyzes increased

acetylation of H3K9 but not other lysines by HBO1/Jade1 complexes in a reconstituted system.

Lysines in H4 for example were efficiently acetylated by reconstituted HBO1/Jade1-containing

complexes, however only histone H3K9 showed an augmentation in acetylation in the presence of

Oct4 and MORE DNA. Moreover, we showed that conditions that augment Oct4 binding also augment

H3K9 acetylation. Prior work showed that Oct4 can regulate transcription through H3K9 methylation

via the recruitment of Jmjd1c (Shakya et al. 2015b). Prior work showed that MORE binding by the

Oct4 paralog Oct1 is inducible through a specific serine residue that when phosphorylated stabilizes a

MORE-bound DNA binding configuration, and that a phospho-mimetic mutation augments MORE

binding(Kang et al. 2009). We found that the analogous mutation in Oct4 (Oct4^{S229D}) also

preferentially bound to MORE DNA. The increase in Oct4 binding was associated with increased

HBO1-mediated H3K9 acetylation. The lack of augmentation of H4 acetylation is surprising, however

the Oct4 N-terminus is known to bind to the H4 tail (Sinha et al. 2023b) and may occlude additional

acetylation via this mechanism. Oct4 is known to recruit cofactors that act on H3K9 by removing

K9me2 marks (Shakya et al. 2015a).

Using the same recombinant proteins used in the HAT assay, we also determined the cryoEM

structure of the complete complex at ~7 Ä resolution, revealing that the complex surrounds both sides

of the nucleosome to form a more spherical structure, and revealing that the DNA partially unwinds

from the nucleosome core to generate an extension containing the MORE and Oct4 homodimer.

Oct4 binding to DNA, particularly through multiple binding sites, is known to induce changes in

nucleosome structure, including repositioning of DNA and altering the location of the H3 and H4 tails

(Sinha et al. 2023b). Cumulatively, these results highlight Oct4 as a prime example of a

spatioselective transcription factor that can interact with difference coregulatory proteins when bound

to DNA in different configurations.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) were cultured on mitomycin C-arrested feeder MEFs in ES medium as described in (Shakya et al. 2015b) in the presence supplemented LIF and 2i conditions (3 µM CHIR99021 and 1 µM PD0325901, Selleck Chemicals) at 37°C. One passage prior to experiments, the feeders were depleted by culturing on gelatin coated plates overnight. 293T cells were cultured with DMEM medium with 10% FBS and 1x GlutaMAX supplement (ThermoFisher). Expi293F cells were grown in Expi293 expression media (ThermoFisher #A1435101) in shaker flasks at 37°C in a humidified incubator supplied with 8% CO_2 equipped with a shaker set to125 rpm. For transfection, Expi293F cells were seeded in 30 mL of Expi293 expression media at a density of 1.25×10^6 cells/mL in 125 mL flasks. After 24 hr, cells were transfected with wild-type Oct4 expression plasmids (Shen et al. 2024), Oct4S^{229D} mutant plasmids or Jade1L (Zhou et al. 2002) using an ExpiFectamine 293 Transfection Kit (ThermoFisher) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Immobilization of dsDNA on FG beads

Double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) was covalently fixed to FG magnetic beads (Tamagawa-Seiki) using the manufacturer protocol. Briefly, 150 µg of sense and antisense 5' phosphorylated single-stranded DNA (WT octamer sense: 5'-ACGTATGCAAATAGTGGGGG-3', WT octamer antisense: 5'-ACTATTTGCATACGTCCCCC-3'; mutant octamer sense: 5'-ACGTAAACTACTAGTGGGGG-3', mutant octamer antisense: 5'-ACTAGTAGTTTACGTCCCCC-3'; WT MORE sense: 5'-CATGCATATGCATGCGGGGG-3', WT MORE antisense: 5'-GCATGCATATCGATGCCCCC-3'; and mutant MORE sense: 5'-CACACTGTCGTGTGCGGGGG-3', mutant MORE antisense: 5'-GCACACGACAGTGTGCCCCC-3' where underlined text indicates the mutation) dissolved in ultrapure water at 1 µg/µL were annealed at 98°C for 10 min and gradually cooled to the room temperature. The annealed dsDNAs were ligated using 700 units of T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs) on a rocker platform at 37°C overnight. Ligated DNA was size-selected using a NICK column (GE Healthcare, 200bp-400bp) and eluted in 400 mL ultrapure water. 400 µL DNA (approximately 250 µg/mL) were immobilized to 10 mg of ultrapure water-washed FG beads at 50°C for 24 hr. The beads were then washed with 2.5 M KCl twice, ultrapure water twice and TES buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.3 M KCl, 1 mM EDTA and 0.02% NaN$_3$) three times. Immobilized beads were dispersed in 400 mL of TES buffer and stored at 4°C. Beads contained at least 2 µg immobilized DNA per mg.

Oct4 affinity purification

ESCs deficient for the transcription factor Oct1 (Shen et al. 2017; Perovanovic et al. 2023) were

pelleted and resuspended in NP-40 lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 50 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0)

containing cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail

(Roche). Cells were lysed by rotating in the buffer and clarified by centrifugation at 13,000×g at 4°C.

Protein concentrations were normalized using Bradford assays (Bio-Rad) and diluted (>5-fold) to 1

mg/mL using 100 mM KCl buffer (100 mM KCl, 10% glycerol (v/v), 20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 2 mM

MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40, 1 mM dithiothreitol) with protease and

phosphatase inhibitors. DNA-immobilized FG beads were washed with 100 mM KCl buffer, and 0.5

mg of the beads were added to the diluted cell lysates and rotated at 4°C for 4 hr. The beads were

sequentially washed 3 times with 100, 200 and 300 mM KCl buffer. Bound proteins were eluted with

300 mM KCl buffer containing 30 µM of the corresponding free DNA oligomers for 1 hr at 4°C on a

rotating platform. For experiments testing Oct4 lacking its N-terminus, lysates contained 20 µg/mL

MG-132 (Sigma) and post-binding to MORE DNA-conjugated FG beads were washed three times

with 100 mM KCl buffer.

Western blotting

Jade1L, HBO1, ING4 and hEaf6 proteins were detected using antibodies from Novus (NBP1-83085),

Abcam (ab124993), Abcam (ab108621) and Novus (NBP1-91523) respectively. Recombinant Oct4

and Oct4 S229D were detected using an antibody from Santa Cruz (sc-5279). Twin-strep-tagged

proteins were detected using HRP conjugated anti-StrepII antibody (Genscript). Acetylated Histone

H4 was detected by Abcam H4 antibody cat no ab177790. Histone H3 was detected using an

antibody from Cell Signaling Technology (CST, 4499T). H4K5, H4K8, H4K12, H4K16, H3K9, K3K14,

H3K18 and H3K27 acetylation were detected using monoclonal antibodies from CST (8647T, 2594T,

13944T, 13534, 96495, 7627T, 13998T and 8173T respectively).

Mass spectrometry and analysis

Proteins eluted from DNA-bound FG beads above were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE approximately 2

cm unto the running gel and stained with Colloidal Blue (Invitrogen). The gel slabs were excised and

destained until they were clear. Proteins in the gel slabs were reduced with 25 mM DTT at 37°C for 30

min, followed by alkylation with 50 mM IAA for 45 min at room temperature. Proteins were then

digested with 1.0 µg of trypsin overnight at 37°C. The peptides were extracted from the gel, dried

completely, desalted and suspended in 20 µL of 0.1% formic acid for LC-MS/MS analysis. Reverse-

phase nano-LC/MS/MS was performed on an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system (Dionex) coupled to a

ThermoScientific Q Exactive-HF mass spectrometer equipped with a nanoelectrospray source.

Peptides were diluted to 0.2 µg of sample in 0.1% formic acid in water. 5 µL of the samples were

injected onto the liquid chromatograph. A 50-cm long/100 µm inner diameter reverse-phase BEH C18

3.0 µm nanocolumn (ThermoFisher) was employed for chromatographic separation. A gradient of

buffers (Buffer A: 0.1 % formic acid in water; Buffer B: 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at a flow rate of

200 µL/min at 60°C was used. The LC run lasted for 83 min with a starting concentration of 5% buffer

B increasing to 55% over the initial 53 min and a further increase in concentration to 95% over 63 min.

MS/MS data was acquired using an auto-MS/MS method selecting the 20 most abundant precursor

ions for fragmentation. The mass-to-charge range was set to 350–1800. For these samples, the

Uniprot database was searched with the *Mus musculus* taxonomy selected. An allowance was made

for 2 missed cleavages following trypsin/Lys-C digestion. The fixed modification was cysteine

carbamidomethylation. The variable modifications were methionine oxidation, and N-terminal

deamidation. The search was performed with a mass tolerance of 15 ppm for precursor ions and a

mass tolerance of 10 ppm for fragment ions. Protein enrichment was analyzed by MASCOT using

N=3 biological replicates. The raw data were processed by MetaboAnalyst(Pang et al. 2021), and the

missing values were estimated by KNN. Raw data were processed with log transformation and Pareto

scaling. The threshold was set as 2-fold enrichment and $p<0.05$.

Oct4 N-terminal deletion

Oct4 fused with C-terminal twin-strep and FLAG tags cloned into the pACE-MAM2 vector (Geneva

Biotech) for mammalian cell expression was described previously (Shen et al. 2024). The N-terminal

Oct4 truncation lacking the first 130 amino acids was generated by PCR amplification with primers 5'-

ctcaatcCATGGACATGAAAGCCCTGCAG-3' and 5'-GAATGCATCAGCTGGTTTGAATGCATGGG-3'

where the lower-case letters indicate divergence from the parent vector. The wild-type and N-terminal-

truncated Oct4 PCR fragments were digested with *Nco*I and *Pvu*II and ligated back into the pACE-

MAM2 vector digested with *Nco*I and *Pvu*II to generate the truncated Oct4 vector. All constructs were

sequenced to confirm veracity.

Co-IP

ESCs and 3T3 cells transfected with the Oct4 or Oct4ΔN plasmids described above were lysed with

RIPA buffer (150mM NaCl, 50mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1%

SDS) containing cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) by rotating at 4°C for 30 min. Lysates

were clarified by centrifugation (13,000xg) for 10 min. 2 µg of primary antibodies were added to the

lysates, which were rotated at 4°C overnight. Antibodies used were as follows: anti-Oct4 (Santa Cruz

sc-5279), anti-Jade1 (Proteintech 15032-1-AP), anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Aldrich F1084). Isotype control

IgG was purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch. 20 µL RIPA buffer-washed Protein G Dynabeads

(ThermoFisher 10004D) were added to the cell lysis-antibody mix, which were rotated at 4°C for an

additional 5 hr. Protein-bound Dynabeads were then washed with 500 µl RIPA buffer for 4 times, and

proteins were eluted with 50 µL 2x SDS loading buffer (4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 0.2% bromophenol

blue, and 200 mM DTT) by heating at 98°C for 5 min.

ChIP-seq

ChIP-seq was performed as previously described (Perovanovic, Wu et al. 2023). Briefly, Oct1

deficient ESCs were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde, quenched with 2.5 M glycine, and crude

nuclei were extracted using Farnham lysis buffer (5 mM PIPES pH 8.0, 85 mM KCl, 0.5% NP-40), and

further lysed with RIPA lysis buffer. Chromatin was sheared on ice using EpiShear probe sonicator

(Active Motif) set to amplitude=40, 30 sec on/30 sec off for 5 min. 4 µg of rabbit anti-HBO1 antibody

(Cell Signaling #58418S) was applied to the sheared chromatin and the mixture incubated at 4°C

overnight. 1.5 mg of protein G Dynabeads (ThermoFisher) were applied to samples, which were

rotated at 4°C for 5-6 hr to allow binding, and the beads were collected and washed with Low Salt

buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100), High Salt

buffer (identical but with 500 mM NaCl), Low Salt buffer, LiCl buffer, and TE buffer (Tris-EDTA pH 8.0

plus 1 mM EDTA) for 5 washes in total, each with 10 min rotating at 4°C. Chromatin was eluted from

the beads using IP Elution buffer (1% SDS and 0.1M NaHCO$_3$) and incubated at 65°C overnight to

reverse the formaldehyde crosslinks. Before IP, 2% Input was reserved from the samples. DNA was

purified from both IP samples and input using phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol extraction followed

by QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). N=2 biological repeats were performed per condition. ChIP

materials were sequenced using a NovaSeq instrument (Illumina).

ChIP-seq analysis

Between 16 and 25 million paired-end reads were aligned to the mouse Mm10 reference genome

using Novoalign v4.03.01. HBO1 and Oct4 ChIP-seq data was analyzed using Macs2 (Zhang, Liu et

al. 2008), with parameters of q 0.005, max-gap 100 and q 0.005, max-gap 100, min-length 200

respectively. HBO1 and Oct4 ChIP peak summits within 100 bp were defined as overlapping peaks.

Oct4 peaks and overlapping peaks were analyzed with MEME-chip CentriMo (Bailey and Machanick

2012) for motif enrichment. The raw and processed ChIP-seq data have been deposited with the gene

expression omnibus (GEO, series record GSE279191).

Recombinant Oct4 and Jade1 purification

Wild-type Oct4 expression plasmids (Shen et al. 2024) were transfected into Expi293F cells

(ThermoFisher) using the ExpiFectamine 293 Transfection Kit (ThermoFisher) following the

manufacturer instructions. 48 hr post-transfection, cells were harvested and washed with ice-cold

PBS. Cells were resuspended in Buffer A (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM KCl, 0.25%

NP-40, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), incubated for 10 min on

ice, and homogenized using a Dounce homogenizer (Wheaton). The homogenate was centrifuged at

4°C for 5 min at 3800×*g* to pellet nuclei, which were resuspended in Buffer C (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0,

25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 420 mM KCl, 0.25% NP-40, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol) with

protease inhibitors and again Dounce homogenized. Nuclei were extracted for 30 min at 4°C with end-

to-end rotation, then centrifuged at 4°C for 30 min at 20,000×*g*. The nuclear extract was then

immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG (M2)-agarose beads (Sigma). Bead-bound proteins were eluted

with 200 ng/µL 3′FLAG peptide (Sigma) by incubating at 4°C for 30 min. To generate an Oct4 point

mutation corresponding to Oct1 S385D (Kang et al. 2009), Oct4 S229 was mutated by overlap

extension PCR using as middle primers 5'-GAGAAAGCGAACTGATATTGAGAACCG-3', 5'-

CGGTTCTCAATATCAGTTCGCTTTCTC-3', and as end primers 5'-GGATCTCGAGCCATGGCTGG-

3', 5'-GAATTAGGTACCTTATTTGTCATCATCG-3'. For Jade1 purification, 60 µg of full-length Jade1

expression plasmid (Zhou et al. 2002) (a gift from Dr. Jacques Côté) was used and purified similarly.

All proteins were pure and monodisperse as verified using SDS-PAGE/Coomassie blue staining and

size exclusion chromatography.

Baculovirus expression for cryoEM

The pFastBac-1 vector was digested with *BamHI* and *EcoRI* and ligated with annealed oligos 5'-

GATCCATGTCGCATCACCATCACCATCACAGGCTCGAGGCCATGGTG-3' and 5'-

AATTCACCATGGCCTCGAGCCTGTGATGGTGATGGTGATGCGACATG-3' to incorporate a 6-His

tag as well as *Xho*I and *Nco*1 sites. A single base frameshifted version of pFastBac-1 was also

generated using the oligos 5'-

GATCCATGTCGCATCACCATCACCATCACGAGGCTCGAGGCCATGGTG-3' and 5'-

AATTCACCATGGCCTCGAGCCTCGTGATGGTGATGGTGATGCGACATG-3'. cDNAs encoding wild-

type or S229D Oct4 with C-terminal twin-strep and FLAG-tags were then subcloned into the modified

pFastBac-1 vector between the *Nco*I and *Kpn*I sites. The *Ing4* cDNA was amplified using PCR from a

plasmid template (Addgene #13289) using 5'-AGGCTCGAGATGGCTGCGGGGATG-3' and 5'-

AGAATGCATTTTCTTCTTCCGTTCTTGG-3' primers and was cloned into the *Xho*I and *Nsi*I sites

using the Oct4/pFastBac-1 vector by first excising the Oct4 cDNA using *Xho*I and *Nsi*I, preserving the

N-terminal His-tag and C-terminal twin-strep tags. HBO1 and hEaf6 gene blocks containing C-terminal

twin-strep-tag were synthesized from IDT and cloned into the modified single-base frameshifted

pFastBac1 vector using the *Xho*I and *Kpn*I sites. Full-length Jade1L was cloned in the backbone of

the Oct4 expression plasmids (Shen et al. 2024) using the *Xho*I and *Nsi*I sites to replace Oct4, then

Jade1L with a C-terminal twin-strep tag was amplified by PCR from the Jade1L mammalian cell

expression plasmid using the primers sets 5'-GATCTCGAGCCATGAAACGAGGTCGCCTTCC-3' and

5'-TATGCATGCTTATTTGTCATCATCG-3' and cloned into the *Xho*I and *Sph*I sites of the modified

single-base frameshifted pFastBac-1 vector. Constructs were transposed into bacmids using
DH10Bac competent cells (ThermoFisher #10361012). Transposed recombinant bacmids were
purified using a PureLink plasmid isolation kit (ThermoFisher #K210004). Transposition was
confirmed by PCR. Recombinant bacmids were transfected into Sf9 cells to generate recombinant
virus and amplified for large scale protein purification by growth to $3\text{-}4\times10^6$ cells/mL in Sf-900 II SFM
media (ThermoFisher #10902088) in a humidified 28°C incubator shaking at 125 rpm. Cells were
collected, added to fresh media at 2×10^6 cells/mL, and infected with recombinant baculovirus. Cells
were grown for 48 hr, harvested by centrifugation and lysed in lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0,
300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.5mM DTT) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) using an
Active Motif probe sonicator. The lysate was centrifuged at $22700\times g$ for 30 min. The supernatant was
loaded onto a 1 mL prepacked Strep-tactin XT column (Cytiva #29401317), washed with 10 column
volumes of wash buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT), and eluted
with wash buffer containing 50 mM biotin (Sigma). Purity was confirmed by 12% SDS-PAGE and
Coomassie staining.
509
Nucleosome preparation
153 bp DNA constructs containing either MORE
(ATCCTGGAGAAATGCATATGCATGGCCGCTCAATTGGTCGTAGACAGCTCTAGCACCGCTTAAA
CGCACGTACGCGCTGTCCCCCGCGTTTTAACCGCCAAGGGGATTACTCCCTAGTCTCCAGGCAC
GTGTCAGATATATACATCCTGTGAT) or octamer sequence
(ATCCTGGAGAATCCCGGATGCAAATCCGCTCAATTGGTCGTAGACAGCTCTAGCACCGCTTAAA
CGCACGTACGCGCTGTCCCCCGCGTTTTAACCGCCAAGGGGATTACTCCCTAGTCTCCAGGCAC
GTGTCAGATATATACATCCTGTGAT) were designed based on the octamer binding site as
previously described (Michael et al. 2020). 16 copies of the DNA were cloned into the pUC19 vector
using published methods(Wu et al. 2016). The plasmid containing 16 copy of desired DNA fragment
was prepared by large scale plasmid purification followed by *Eco*RV-HF digestion. The final fragment
was purified by PEG8000 precipitation(Lis and Schleif 1975). Recombinant human histones H2A,
H2B, H3.3 and H4 were expressed from transfected plasmids (Ye et al. 2019) (a gift from Dr. Brad
Cairns) and individually purified from Rosetta2 DE3 cells (Sigma-Aldrich #71403) and histone octamer
was reconstituted and purified using published protocols (Luger et al. 1999a). Reconstituted octamers
were purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column
(Cytiva #28990944). Nucleosomes were reconstituted using a 1:1.1 molar ratio of histone octamer to
DNA and purified by ion exchange chromatography following the protocol described (Luger et al.
1999b), then dialyzed into 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 with 50 mM KCl.
529

HAT assay

Histone acetyltransferase assays were performed in 20 μL reactions containing 225 nM purified

nucleosomes, 200 ng purified Jade1L/HBO1 complex, and 200 μM acetyl-CoA (Sigma #A2181)

±250ng of Oct4 in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 40 mM KCl and 5mM $MgCl_2$. Reactions were incubated at 37°C

for 30 min. Reactions were quenched by the addition of 5.5 mL of 5X SDS-PAGE sample buffer.

Samples were boiled at 98°C for 10 min and analyzed by Western blot using specific antibodies. The

extent of acetylation was quantified by ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

CryoEM and image processing

The HBO1 complex was reconstituted at 1.5 μM final concentration in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4,

50 μM KCl and 1 mM DTT using individually purified HBO1, Jade1L, ING4 and hEaf6 from Sf9 cells

(ATCC #CRL-1711) and incubated over ice for 15 min. 1.5 μM of purified Oct4^{S229D} was separately

combined with an equimolar amount of purified nucleosome core particles and incubated over ice for

15 min. The reconstituted HBO complex was then added to the Oct4/nucleosome complex and

incubated over ice for an additional 30 min. The reconstituted complex was then lightly crosslinked

using 0.01% (v/v) glutaraldehyde (Sigma) for 30 sec. Excess crosslinker was quenched using Tris-Cl

pH 7.5. The final complex was concentrated to ~0.5 mg/mL using a 0.5ml Amicon ultra-centrifugal

filter with a cutoff 10 kD (Sigma Millipore). 3.5 μL of concentrated complex was added to a glow-

discharged UltraAufoil Gold Holey Films (R1.2/1.3 300-mesh Au, EMS #Q350AR13A). After blotting

the grids for 4 sec at 4°C and 95% relative humidity, the samples was plunged into liquid ethane using

a Vitrobot Mark IV (ThermoFisher). CryoEM images were recorded using a Titan Krios 300 kV

microscope equipped with a Gutan K3 camera at 80000X magnification for a final pixel size 1.06

Å/pixel with a defocused range -0.8 to -1.8 μm using EPU data acquisition software (ThermoFisher).

Images were recorded under low-dose conditions with a total dose of ~ 60 electrons per Å^2 over 60

frames. A total of 6200 dose-fractionated image stacks were processed using CryoSPARC

4.0(Punjani et al. 2017). Images were corrected by Patch Motion Correction, then contrast transfer

function (CTF) was estimated by Patch CTF Estimation. The dose-fractionated image stacks were the

manually curated to improve the quality of data. Particles were then manually picked, and templates

were created for template-based particle picking using box size 380 Å^3. A total of 2×10^6 particles were

parsed into 2D classes. 100782 particles were selected from the 2D classes for *ab initio*

reconstruction, and a heterogeneous refinement was performed using the reconstructed 3D volume.

Each group of particles from heterogeneous refinement was taken for non-uniform (NU) refinement.

The best resolution obtained (gold-standard Fourier shell correlation, GSFSC) was 7.2 Å with a B

factor of 322. The volume map from the best resolution was smoothened using UCSF chimera at a

standard deviation of 1.5. The crystal structure of the Oct4 DNA binding domain bound to a MORE

(PDB ID: 7XRC) (Tan et al. 2023) and part of the nucleosome crystal structure (PDB ID: 6TEM) was

manually fitted using UCSF chimera. Part of the DNA from the same nucleosome was removed and

replaced with B-form DNA of the correct sequence generated separately using UCSF Chimera X. The

processed data have been uploaded to the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB, deposition code

EMD-47299).

Competing interest statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jacques Cotê for the gift of the Jade1L expression construct. We thank J. Cox and the

Proteomics core facility for assistance with mass spectrometry. We thank B. Dalley and the High-

Throughput Sequencing Core facility, and T. Parnell and the Bioinformatics core facility for assistance

with ChIP-seq and analysis. We thank D. Belnap, B. Ganser-Pornillos and the Electron Microscopy

Core facility for assistance with cryoEM. We thank B. Cairns and members of his laboratory for the gift

of recombinant histone plasmid constructs. This work was supported by a grant from the NIH

(1R03TR004568) to DT.

Author contributions: D.T. conceived the study. Y.W., A.M. and D.T. designed experiments. Y.W.,

A.M., H.H. and M.B.C. generated new reagents for the study. Y.W., A.M., L.L. and M.B.C. performed

experiments and/or analyzed data. Y.W., A.M. and M.B.C. generated figures. All authors contributed

to the writing of the manuscript and read and approved the final version of the manuscript prior to

submission for publication.

   Figure Legends

Figure 1. Oct4 DNA affinity purification identifies Jade1 as a spatioselective interaction partner. (*A*)

Bead affinity capture workflow. Oct1 deficient ES cell lysates were incubated wild-type or mutant

octamer or MORE DNA sequences immobilized on FG beads. Proteins were eluted using high salt

and free excess DNA, and the eluates are then verified by Western Blot and analyzed by mass

spectrometry. (*B*) Material eluted from (A) was immunoblotted using Oct4 antibodies as a quality

control. (*C*) MS-Mascot output showing the 58 proteins enriched using wild-type octamer relative to

mutant DNA. Average fold enrichment vs. negative inverse log10 *p*-value is shown based on N=3

independent experiments. Threshold lines show 2-fold enrichment and *p*=.05. Black text indicates

proteins identified in prior studies. Red text shows POU proteins. Octamer-binding POU transcription

factor proteins are shown in red. (*D*) Similar analysis using MORE DNA. 27 proteins were significantly

enriched.

Figure 2. Oct4 interacts with Jade1. (*A*) Oct4 and its cofactors in Oct1 deficient ESCs were

precipitated using FG beads immobilized with wild-type or mutant octamer and MORE DNA

sequences. The isolated material was immunoblotted using Oct4 and Jade1 antibodies. (*B*) Extracts

from Oct1 deficient ESCs were used for immunoprecipitation with Jade1 antibody or corresponding

rabbit IgG as negative control and immunoblotted with Oct4 antibody. (*C*) Oct1 deficient ESCs were

immunoprecipitated with Oct4 antibody and corresponding mouse IgG as negative control and

immunoblotted with Jade1 antibodies. (*D*) 3T3 cells were transfected with plasmids encoding FLAG-

and twin-strep-tagged Oct4 or ΔN Oct4 using Lipofectamine 3000. After 48 hr, cells fixed and stained

with antibodies against Oct4 (Donkey anti-mouse Oct4-Alexa 488) and imaged using an EVOS

M7000 microscope. (*E*) Expi293F cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding FLAG-

and twin-strep-tagged Oct4 or ΔN Oct4, and twin-strep-tagged Jade1L. After 48 hr, lysates were

prepared in the presence of MG-132 and incubated with MORE DNA-coupled FG beads, washed,

resolved using SDS-PAGE and probed with anti-StrepII antibodies-HRP.

Figure 3. Jade1/HBO1 complex prefer binding at MORE sites compared to Octamer sites. (*A*) Venn

diagram showing Oct4 ChIP peaks and HBO1 ChIP peaks and overlapping peaks. (*B*) HBO1 ChIP

peaks and Oct4 ChIP peaks at example MOREs (*Polr2a*, *Zmiz2* and *Rras2*) and the octamer site

(*Pou5f1*). (*C*) Motif identification using MEME-ChIP at Oct4 and HBO1 overlapping ChIP peaks.

Figure 4. Oct4 augments acetylation of histone H3K9 by the HBO1/Jade1L complex. (*A*) Histone

Acetyl Transferase (HAT) assay using nucleosome core particles (NCPs) containing a MORE near

the entry/exit site. NCPs were treated with purified Jade1L complex in the presence or absence of

Oct4. NCP alone was included as a control (lane 1). Reactions were immunoblotted with antibodies

against acetylated histone H4. Pan-H3 antibodies were included as a loading control. (*B*) Acetylation

of specific histone H4 and H3 tail lysines was detected by immunoblotting. (*C*) Quantitation of

acetylation of specific histone lysines by the HBO1/Jade1L complex in the absence or presence of

Oct4. Quantitation was performed using Image J software from N=3 independent experiments. Error

bars indicate standard deviation. Data were plotted using GraphPad Prism software.

Figure 5. An Oct4 mutant that more efficiently binds to MOREs more efficiently acetylates

nucleosomes in the presence of the HBO1/Jade1L complex. (*A*) EMSA showing Oct4 protein binding

to Cy5-labeled MORE DNA probe with or without the Jade1L/HBO1 HAT complex. Monomeric and

dimeric interactions with the DNA are indicated by the arrows. (*B*) Comparison of increasing

concentrations of wild-type and S229D Oct4 binding to a MORE DNA probe. Monomeric and dimeric

interactions bands are also shown by the arrow. (*C*) HAT assay using MORE-containing nucleosome

core particles (NCPs) treated with 200 ng purified Jade1L complex in the presence of increasing

amounts of purified Oct4 wild-type and mutant proteins. The extent of histone H3K9 acetylation was

detected by Western blotting.

Figure 6. Structural analysis of Oct4 bound to a MORE complexed with HBO1/Jade1 HAT and

nucleosome. (*A*) 153-bp DNA sequence used for nucleosome preparation. The position of the MORE

is indicated in red. (*B*) Representative micrograph collected by cryoEM. (*C*) Selected 2D classes are

shown. (*D*) 3D-reconstructed and refined volume for the HBO1/Jade1/ING4/hEaaf6/Oct4/nucleosome

complex is shown in two projections rotated 90°. (*E*) Two copies of the Oct4 DNA binding domains

(POU$_S$ and POU$_H$, PDB ID: 7XRC) were fitted in the extended volume. Positions of the two DBD N-

and C-termini are highlighted. (*F*) Partial structure of the nucleosome (PDB ID: 6TEM) fitted within the

volume. Part of the DNA was opened and fitted into the extended volume where the predicted location

of the MORE is shown in red. Left and right panels were rotated 90°.

Supplemental Figure Legends

Supplemental Figure S1. (*A*) Venn diagram comparing unique and common identified proteins

enriched using affinity purification with octamer compared to MORE DNA. Percentage of protein hits

that are unique to the two conditions are shown. Example proteins from each of the three groups are

shown. (*B*) The union of all identified proteins enriched using octamer or MORE affinity purification (78

total proteins) was compared with proteins identified from three prior studies (Pardo et al. 2010; van

den Berg et al. 2010; Ding et al. 2012). Proteins previously identified in the different studies are

shown. Bold text indicates proteins selectively enriched using MORE DNA in (A). Figure adapted from
Ding et al., 2011.

Supplemental Figure S2. Further validation of the selective interaction of Jade1L and Oct4 on MORE-
containing DNA. (*A*) Oct4 and its cofactors in Oct1 deficient ESCs were precipitated using FG beads
immobilized with wild-type or mutant octamer and MORE DNA sequences was immunoblotted using
Oct4 and Jade1 antibodies in a second biological repeat of the data shown in Figure 2A. The upper
panel shows a strong exposure, and the lower panel shows a light exposure. (*B*) Wild-type ESCs
were immunoprecipitated with an Oct4 antibody and corresponding mouse IgG as negative control
and immunoblotted with Jade1 antibodies.

Supplemental Figure S3. Characterization of HBO1 binding events in ESCs. (*A*) Analysis of HBO1
ChIP peak genomic locations. (*B*) HBO1 ChIP peaks intensities were aligned based on transcription
start site (TSS) and plotted based on $\pm$distance from TSS. (*C*) The distance between Oct4 and HBO1
ChIP-seq peak centers was calculated for all common genes, and for MORE-containing genes
(*Polr2a*, *Zmiz*, two peaks in *Blacap*, and *Rras2*). The average internal is plotted with mean and $\pm$SEM.

Supplemental Figure S4. Purification of recombinant components of the HBO1 complex. (*A*) Individual
proteins were overexpressed in Expi293F cells and purified using their FLAG tags. The purified
proteins were analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining. Arrow indicates the position of
the specific protein. (*B*) Proteins copurifying with Jade1L were confirmed by Western blot using the
corresponding antibodies. (*C*) Sequence alignment of human Oct1 (accession number: AAH52274.1)
and Oct4 (accession number: NP_038661.2) is shown. Red rectangle indicates S385 in Oct1, which
corresponds to position S229 in Oct4.

Supplemental Figure S5. Recombinant protein purification of Jade1L, HBO1, ING4 and hEaf6. (*A*)
Jade1L and HBO1 were independently purified from sf9 cells using their twin-strep tags. Purified
proteins were analyzed on 12% SDS-PAGE gel. Arrows indicate the position of the specific protein in
the Coomassie stained gels. (*B*) Similar purification of ING4 and hEaf6. Oct4^{S229D} used in cryoEM was
overexpressed and purified from Expi293F cells using FLAG tags.

Supplemental Figure S6. Workflow for cyro-EM analysis. (*A*) Schematics for cryoEM data analysis
using CryoSPARC. The number of the particles and resolution are shown under each group. (*B*) Real
space slices are shown for the corresponding NU refinement. (*C*) GSFSC resolution plots are shown

for the corresponding NU refinement. (*D*) viewing direction distributions are shown for the

corresponding NU refinement.

Supplemental Figure S7. Model for spatioselective recruitment of Jade1 and HBO1 by multimeric

Oct4 at nucleosomes to acetylate H3K9. (*A*) At typical binding sides, Oct4 recruits more generic

cofactors (X and Y) to regulate transcription either positively or negatively. (*B*) At MORE sites, dimeric

Oct4 associates with Jade1 and the HBO1 HAT complex to acetylate H3K9 at local nucleosomes.

Other components of the complex such as Eaf6 and ING4 are also shown.

References

Ambrosetti DC, Basilico C, Dailey L. 1997. Synergistic activation of the fibroblast growth factor 4
enhancer by Sox2 and Oct-3 depends on protein-protein interactions facilitated by a specific
spatial arrangement of factor binding sites. *Mol Cell Biol* **17**: 6321-6329.
Bekere I, de Oliveira Mann CC. 2022. FoxP3 forkhead dimer: Don't swap me now. *Immunity* **55**: 1329-
1331.
Boija A, Klein IA, Sabari BR, Dall'Agnese A, Coffey EL, Zamudio AV, Li CH, Shrinivas K, Manteiga
JC, Hannett NM et al. 2018. Transcription Factors Activate Genes through the Phase-
Separation Capacity of Their Activation Domains. *Cell* **175**: 1842-1855.e1816.
Botquin V, Hess H, Fuhrmann G, Anastassiadis C, Gross MK, Vriend G, Schöler HR. 1998. New POU
dimer configuration mediates antagonistic control of an osteopontin preimplantation enhancer
by Oct-4 and Sox-2. *Genes Dev* **12**: 2073-2090.
Brumbaugh J, Hou Z, Russell JD, Howden SE, Yu P, Ledvina AR, Coon JJ, Thomson JA. 2012.
Phosphorylation regulates human OCT4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**: 7162-7168.
Campos EI, Reinberg D. 2009. Histones: annotating chromatin. *Annu Rev Genet* **43**: 559-599.
Chew JL, Loh YH, Zhang W, Chen X, Tam WL, Yeap LS, Li P, Ang YS, Lim B, Robson P et al. 2005.
Reciprocal transcriptional regulation of Pou5f1 and Sox2 via the Oct4/Sox2 complex in
embryonic stem cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **25**: 6031-6046.
Chinnadurai G. 2009. The transcriptional corepressor CtBP: a foe of multiple tumor suppressors.
Cancer Res **69**: 731-734.
Ding J, Xu H, Faiola F, Ma'ayan A, Wang J. 2012. Oct4 links multiple epigenetic pathways to the
pluripotency network. *Cell Res* **22**: 155-167.
Ferraris L, Stewart AP, Kang J, DeSimone AM, Gemberling M, Tantin D, Fairbrother WG. 2011.
Combinatorial binding of transcription factors in the pluripotency control regions of the
genome. *Genome Res* **21**: 1055-1064.
Foy RL, Song IY, Chitalia VC, Cohen HT, Saksouk N, Cayrou C, Vaziri C, Cote J, Panchenko MV.
2008. Role of Jade-1 in the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) HBO1 complex. *J Biol Chem* **283**:
28817-28826.
Gaurav N, Kanai A, Lachance C, Cox KL, Liu J, Grzybowski AT, Saksouk N, Klein BJ, Komata Y,
Asada S et al. 2024. Guiding the HBO1 complex function through the JADE subunit. *Nat Struct*
Mol Biol **31**: 1039-1049.
Han J, Lachance C, Ricketts MD, McCullough CE, Gerace M, Black BE, Cote J, Marmorstein R. 2018.
The scaffolding protein JADE1 physically links the acetyltransferase subunit HBO1 with its
histone H3-H4 substrate. *J Biol Chem* **293**: 4498-4509.
Herr W, Sturm RA, Clerc RG, Corcoran LM, Baltimore D, Sharp PA, Ingraham HA, Rosenfeld MG,
Finney M, Ruvkun G. 1988. The POU domain: a large conserved region in the mammalian pit-
1, oct-1, oct-2, and Caenorhabditis elegans unc-86 gene products. *Genes Dev* **2**: 1513-1516.
Isshiki Y, Iwama A. 2018. Emerging role of noncanonical polycomb repressive complexes in normal
and malignant hematopoiesis. *Exp Hematol* **68**: 10-14.
Kang J, Gemberling M, Nakamura M, Whitby FG, Handa H, Fairbrother WG, Tantin D. 2009. A
general mechanism for transcription regulation by Oct1 and Oct4 in response to genotoxic and
oxidative stress. *Genes Dev* **23**: 208-222.
Kim KP, Han DW, Kim J, Scholer HR. 2021. Biological importance of OCT transcription factors in
reprogramming and development. *Exp Mol Med* **53**: 1018-1028.
King HW, Klose RJ. 2017. The pioneer factor OCT4 requires the chromatin remodeller BRG1 to
support gene regulatory element function in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Elife* **6**.
Klemm JD, Rould MA, Aurora R, Herr W, Pabo CO. 1994. Crystal structure of the Oct-1 POU domain
bound to an octamer site: DNA recognition with tethered DNA-binding modules. *Cell* **77**: 21-
32.
Lalonde ME, Avvakumov N, Glass KC, Joncas FH, Saksouk N, Holliday M, Paquet E, Yan K, Tong Q,
Klein BJ et al. 2013. Exchange of associated factors directs a switch in HBO1
acetyltransferase histone tail specificity. *Genes Dev* **27**: 2009-2024.

Liang J, Wan M, Zhang Y, Gu P, Xin H, Jung SY, Qin J, Wong J, Cooney AJ, Liu D et al. 2008. Nanog and Oct4 associate with unique transcriptional repression complexes in embryonic stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol* **10**: 731-739.

Lis JT, Schleif R. 1975. Size fractionation of double-stranded DNA by precipitation with polyethylene glycol. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2**: 383-389.

Luger K, Rechsteiner TJ, Richmond TJ. 1999a. Expression and purification of recombinant histones and nucleosome reconstitution. *Methods Mol Biol* **119**: 1-16.

-. 1999b. Preparation of nucleosome core particle from recombinant histones. *Methods Enzymol* **304**: 3-19.

Marmorstein R, Roth SY. 2001. Histone acetyltransferases: function, structure, and catalysis. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **11**: 155-161.

Martire S, Banaszynski LA. 2020. The roles of histone variants in fine-tuning chromatin organization and function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **21**: 522-541.

Michael AK, Grand RS, Isbel L, Cavadini S, Kozicka Z, Kempf G, Bunker RD, Schenk AD, Graff-Meyer A, Pathare GR et al. 2020. Mechanisms of OCT4-SOX2 motif readout on nucleosomes. *Science* **368**: 1460-1465.

Nair S, Ameen M, Sundaram L, Pampari A, Schreiber J, Balsubramani A, Wang YX, Burns D, Blau HM, Karakikes I et al. 2023. Transcription factor stoichiometry, motif affinity and syntax regulate single-cell chromatin dynamics during fibroblast reprogramming to pluripotency. *bioRxiv*.

Nishi M, Akutsu H, Masui S, Kondo A, Nagashima Y, Kimura H, Perrem K, Shigeri Y, Toyoda M, Okayama A et al. 2011. A distinct role for Pin1 in the induction and maintenance of pluripotency. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 11593-11603.

Ogryzko VV, Schiltz RL, Russanova V, Howard BH, Nakatani Y. 1996. The transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBP are histone acetyltransferases. *Cell* **87**: 953-959.

Oka M, Moriyama T, Asally M, Kawakami K, Yoneda Y. 2013. Differential role for transcription factor Oct4 nucleocytoplasmic dynamics in somatic cell reprogramming and self-renewal of embryonic stem cells. *J Biol Chem* **288**: 15085-15097.

Pang Z, Chong J, Zhou G, de Lima Morais DA, Chang L, Barrette M, Gauthier C, Jacques P, Li S, Xia J. 2021. MetaboAnalyst 5.0: narrowing the gap between raw spectra and functional insights. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**: W388-W396.

Pardo M, Lang B, Yu L, Prosser H, Bradley A, Babu MM, Choudhary J. 2010. An expanded Oct4 interaction network: implications for stem cell biology, development, and disease. *Cell Stem Cell* **6**: 382-395.

Perovanovic J, Wu Y, Abewe H, Shen Z, Hughes EP, Gertz J, Chandrasekharan MB, Tantin D. 2023. Oct1 cooperates with the Smad family of transcription factors to promote mesodermal lineage specification. *Sci Signal* **16**: eadd5750.

Pesce M, Schöler HR. 2001. Oct-4: gatekeeper in the beginnings of mammalian development. *Stem Cells* **19**: 271-278.

Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ, Brubaker MA. 2017. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**: 290-296.

Remenyi A, Tomilin A, Pohl E, Lins K, Philippsen A, Reinbold R, Scholer HR, Wilmanns M. 2001. Differential dimer activities of the transcription factor Oct-1 by DNA-induced interface swapping. *Mol Cell* **8**: 569-580.

Shakya A, Callister C, Goren A, Yosef N, Garg N, Khoddami V, Nix D, Regev A, Tantin D. 2015a. Pluripotency transcription factor Oct4 mediates stepwise nucleosome demethylation and depletion. *Mol Cell Biol* **35**: 1014-1025.

Shakya A, Goren A, Shalek A, German CN, Snook J, Kuchroo VK, Yosef N, Chan RC, Regev A, Williams MA et al. 2015b. Oct1 and OCA-B are selectively required for CD4 memory T cell function. *J Exp Med* **212**: 2115-2131.

Shen Z, Kang J, Shakya A, Tabaka M, Jarboe EA, Regev A, Tantin D. 2017. Enforcement of developmental lineage specificity by transcription factor Oct1. *Elife* **6**.

Shen Z, Wu Y, Manna A, Yi C, Cairns BR, Evason KJ, Chandrasekharan MB, Tantin D. 2024. Oct4
redox sensitivity potentiates reprogramming and differentiation. *Genes Dev* **38**: 308-321.
Sinha K, Bilokapic S, Du Y, Malik D, Halic M. 2023a. Histone modifications regulate pioneer
transcription factor binding and cooperativity. *bioRxiv*.
Sinha KK, Bilokapic S, Du Y, Malik D, Halic M. 2023b. Histone modifications regulate pioneer
transcription factor cooperativity. *Nature* **619**: 378-384.
Stadhouders R, Vidal E, Serra F, Di Stefano B, Le Dily F, Quilez J, Gomez A, Collombet S, Berenguer
C, Cuartero Y et al. 2018. Transcription factors orchestrate dynamic interplay between
genome topology and gene regulation during cell reprogramming. *Nat Genet* **50**: 238-249.
Tan DS, Cheung SL, Gao Y, Weinbuch M, Hu H, Shi L, Ti SC, Hutchins AP, Cojocaru V, Jauch R.
2023. The homeodomain of Oct4 is a dimeric binder of methylated CpG elements. *Nucleic*
Acids Res **51**: 1120-1138.
Tantin D. 2013. Oct transcription factors in development and stem cells: insights and mechanisms.
Development **140**: 2857-2866.
Tantin D, Gemberling M, Callister C, Fairbrother WG. 2008. High-throughput biochemical analysis of
in vivo location data reveals novel distinct classes of POU5F1(Oct4)/DNA complexes. *Genome*
Res **18**: 631-639.
Tomilin A, Reményi A, Lins K, Bak H, Leidel S, Vriend G, Wilmanns M, Schöler HR. 2000. Synergism
with the coactivator OBF-1 (OCA-B, BOB-1) is mediated by a specific POU dimer
configuration. *Cell* **103**: 853-864.
Utley RT, Côté J. 2003. The MYST family of histone acetyltransferases. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*
274: 203-236.
van den Berg DL, Snoek T, Mullin NP, Yates A, Bezstarosti K, Demmers J, Chambers I, Poot RA.
2010. An Oct4-centered protein interaction network in embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **6**:
369-381.
Wade PA, Wolffe AP. 1997. Histone acetyltransferases in control. *Curr Biol* **7**: R82-84.
Wu C, Read C, McGeehan J, Crane-Robinson C. 2016. The construction of customized nucleosomal
arrays. *Anal Biochem* **496**: 71-75.
Xiao Y, Li W, Yang H, Pan L, Zhang L, Lu L, Chen J, Wei W, Ye J, Li J et al. 2021. HBO1 is a
versatile histone acyltransferase critical for promoter histone acylations. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**:
8037-8059.
Ye Y, Wu H, Chen K, Clapier CR, Verma N, Zhang W, Deng H, Cairns BR, Gao N, Chen Z. 2019.
Structure of the RSC complex bound to the nucleosome. *Science* **366**: 838-843.
Zhang C, Shan Y, Lin H, Zhang Y, Xing Q, Zhu J, Zhou T, Lin A, Chen Q, Wang J et al. 2024. HBO1
determines SMAD action in pluripotency and mesendoderm specification. *Nucleic Acids Res*
52: 4935-4949.
Zhou MI, Wang H, Ross JJ, Kuzmin I, Xu C, Cohen HT. 2002. The von Hippel-Lindau tumor
suppressor stabilizes novel plant homeodomain protein Jade-1. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 39887-
39898.
842

A

Oct4 ChIP
(26166)

1744

HBO1 ChIP
(12079)

B

Polr2a (MORE)

HBO1 ChIP

Oct4 ChIP

MORE motif

2 kb

Polr2a   *Slc35g3*

Zmiz2 (MORE)

HBO1 ChIP

Oct4 ChIP

MORE motif

2 kb

Zmiz2

Rras2 (MORE)

HBO1 ChIP

Oct4 ChIP

MORE motif

2 kb

Rras2

Pou5f1 (Oct-Sox)

HBO1 ChIP

Oct4 ChIP

MORE motif

2 kb

Gm32362   *Pou5f1*

C Top motifs identified in Oct4/HBO1 peaks:

de novo motifs	Oct4/HBO1 (1744 peaks)
1. (MORE)	E=6e-10
2. (octamer)	E=3.2e-9

Top motifs identified in Oct4 peaks alone:

de novo motifs	Oct4 (12079 peaks)
1. (octamer)	E=6.5e-447
2. (MORE)	E=1.4e-237

A

MORE

5'ATCCTGGAGAA**ATGCATATGCAT**GGCCGCTCAATTGGTCGTAGACAGCTCT
3'TAGGACCTCTT**TACGTATACGTA**CCGGCGAGTTAACCAGCATCTGTCGAGA
          10   20   30   40   50

AGCACCGCTTAAACGCACGTACGCGCTGTCCCCGCGTTTTAACCGCCAAG
TCGTGGCGAATTTGCGTGCATGCGCGACAGGGGGCGCAAAATTGGCGGTTC
        60   70   80   90   100

GGGATTACTCCCTAGTCTCCAGGCACGTGTCAGATATATACATCCTGTGAT3'
CCCTAATGAGGGATCAGAGGTCCGTGCACAGTCTATATATGTAGGACACTA5'
       110   120   130   140   150