Pivoting Back to the In-Person Capstone Biochemistry Lab: Lessons Learned from the COVID-19 Online Modality to Improve the Course-based Undergraduate Research Experience

Alberto A. Rascón, Jr.*

School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, 85281, United States

*Corresponding Author E-mail: aarasco1@asu.edu

ABSTRACT

The restructuring of an upper division biochemistry lab capstone course intended for biochemistry students with a range of laboratory experience was explored. A goal of the course was to give students practice with necessary skills needed for an entry level general position in biotechnology. The immediate impact of the online capstone course mandated by the COVID-19 pandemic limited students on learning essential hands-on research skills but evolved during the transition back to in-person instruction to include more elements of in-person practice. This article highlights the restructuring of the online remote course back to an in-person CURE capstone lab, which includes changes in the way information was disseminated and modifications in student assessments. This article documents how course evolution resulted in a shift in pedagogical strategies that also resulted in building a community of biochemistry learners.

KEYWORDS

Upper-Division Undergraduate, Biochemistry, Curriculum, Multidisciplinary, Collaborative Learning, Communication, Writing, Distance Learning, Hands-On Learning, Inquiry-Based Learning, Multimedia-

Based Learning, Aedes aegypti mosquitoes, Molecular Biology, Microbiology, Recombinant Protein Expression

INTRODUCTION

Course transformation began prior to the pandemic when the existing Biochemistry Lab Capstone (Chem 131B) was among the few course offerings at San José State University that provided biochemistry seniors with hands-on research experience before graduating (a typical class size ranged anywhere from 16 to 20 students a semester). There was no formal structure in how the course was being taught. Faculty assigned to the course would teach the class based on their own research interests. However, students were not provided with any detailed experimental strategies or goals for the semester. Even the information in the syllabus was lacking any detail, with no expectations or learning outcomes. Taking over the class with minimal lab course teaching experience, was daunting, but careful review of the literature on biochemistry laboratories revealed that many students already do not receive formal laboratory research training as undergrads.[1,2] As a new assistant professor, it was important to involve students in the research of Aedes aegypti mosquito proteases,[3-5] but in such a way to nurture the undergraduate student research training experience.[6-8] Being at a PUI with minimal research infrastructure, faculty must find creative ways to start and maintain a research program that is inviting and nurturing to undergraduate students while helping them grow in their research development.[9] Therefore, incorporating a course-based undergraduate research experience (CURE) would not only fulfill the capstone requirements set by the University and department, it would also provide students with an authentic research experience[10] and allow for data collection for grants or manuscripts. Further, as a minority scientist, it is difficult to develop a scientific identity and maintain that identity while taking undergraduate science lecture and lab courses when there is no minority faculty representation.[2] By incorporating an “authentic” research experience in Chem 131B, students from diverse backgrounds

(academically and socially) would be trained and engaged in a lab setting similar to how professional scientists are trained to help them develop and maintain their own scientific identity.[11]

In developing the capstone course, the goal was to allow students to conduct independent research on an important topic that was relevant to not only the population in the area but would have global implications. Therefore, research on understanding the roles of digestive midgut enzymes (proteases) from the Aedes aegypti mosquito was used. The mosquito is a known vector of potentially deadly viruses to humans,[12] and a direct correlation between protease activity and egg production was found, but the exact role these proteases play in this process is still relatively unknown.[5,13,14] So, to engage students in an authentic research experience, student projects focused on the cloning and bacterial recombinant expression of these essential enzymes. In addition, the capstone course is a culmination of all biochemistry, molecular biology, and microbiology courses that serve as either pre- or corequisites for the course. Therefore, in structuring the class, it was essential to tie in all the different techniques and background information learned from previous classes into Chem 131B. More importantly, however, it was necessary to focus on developing essential laboratory techniques (such as pipetting, preparing solutions and buffers, lab safety, proper biohazard disposal, and maintaining a proper lab notebook) to then be able to have students learn the molecular biology techniques needed to clone the mosquito protease genes of interest into a bacterial expression vector (**Figure 1**).



Figure 1. Development of essential lab techniques taught throughout the semester in the Chem 131B Biochemistry Lab Capstone Course. The first two/three weeks are dedicated to building the foundation leading to mastering of experimental techniques, and by the end of the semester, also becoming proficient at writing and presenting scientific work.

Additionally, microbiological techniques were also taught to allow students to learn proper aseptic technique, transformation, recombinant protein expression, bacterial culture growths, and other important techniques (e.g., DNA and protein gel electrophoresis, DNA sequence analysis, to name a few) needed as check points to ensure proper cloning of the genes of interest. Many of the mosquito midgut enzymes used for the course have never been cloned or isolated, giving students an opportunity to be the first in doing so, and as an important incentive, students who were successful and highly contributed to the projects, would earn authorship on future manuscripts (see the acknowledgement section in Nguyen et al. 2018 where four former Chem 131B students earned co-authorship from work conducted in the course).[5] In addition to training students on research techniques, the course was also designed to enhance oral and written science communication. Students were instructed to select a journal article that was relevant to mosquitoes, viruses, or a closely related topic, and with guidance from the instructor (through one-on-one meetings and a rubric), present to the class. The goal was to help students learn to dissect and be critical of published articles, but also help students learn how to

properly search for relevant sources that would be needed for their final research paper. As a final component in their training, students were tasked with writing a formal research paper (in the ACS Biochemistry format style) on the work completed throughout the whole semester. A detailed rubric was given outlining important components required for a successful paper (please see Supporting Information), with drafts of each section due throughout the entirety of the semester. Along with the final research paper, students were tasked with presenting the data collected as a mini formal seminar. All of this was used to assess student understanding and progress throughout the semester. Furthermore, different assignments (e.g., primer design, DNA sequence analysis, drafts of the research paper) were given to be completed outside of class, physical lab notebook carbon copy entries were collected, and a midterm and a final exam were given. The whole approach in training and preparation was in line with the Department of Chemistry Program and Course Learning Outcomes and the University's General Education requirements (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Program, Course, and General Education Learning Outcomes Covered in the Biochemistry Lab Capstone Course (Chem 131B).

Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)	Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs)	GE Area R (Earth and Environment) Goals
Core Chemistry Ideas (Fundamentals)	Apply proper laboratory practices including safety, waste management, and record keeping (CLO 1).	Students apply knowledge of scientific theories and concepts as well as quantitative reasoning to explore the relationship between humans and the natural environment.
Students will be able to identify, formulate, and solve a range of chemistry problems (fundamental to complex) through application of mathematical, scientific, and chemical principles (PLO 1.1).	Use and understand modern biochemical techniques and instruments (CLO 2).	Students achieve an understanding of the role that science plays in addressing complex issues, as well as the potential limits of scientific endeavors and the value systems and ethics associated with scientific inquiry.
Students will be able to recognize, relate, and/or apply chemistry terms and concepts to propose and solve interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary real-world problems (PLO 1.2).	Plan, design, and execute experiments based on biochemical literature (CLO 3).	**GE Learning Outcomes (GELOs)**
Experimentation/Lab Practice	Interpret experimental results and draw reasonable conclusions (CLO 4).	Apply scientific principles and the scientific method to answer questions about earth, the environment, and sustainability while recognizing the limits of both the method and principles (GELO 1).
Students will be able to develop an experiment to address a hypothesis using literature and execute the planned experiment using standard chemistry techniques (PLO 2.1).	Communicate effectively through written and oral reports (CLO 5).	Apply mathematical or quantitative reasoning concepts to the analysis and generation of solutions to issues of earth, the environment, and sustainability (GELO 2).
Students will be able to acquire, record, and critically evaluate data through use of instrumentation and software, appropriate record keeping practices, figure preparation, and scrutiny of experimental results (PLO 2.2).		Communicate a scientific finding, assertion, or theory to a general audience with the integrity and rigor of the underlying science (GELO 3).
Students will be able to recognize and assess laboratory hazards, practice risk minimization, and conduct safe laboratory practices (PLO 2.3).		Explain ethical, social, and civic dimensions of scientific inquiry (GELO 4).
Community, Social, Societal Implications		
Students will be able to explore, critique, and reflect on how chemistry relates to society, culture, and issues of equity and ethics that shape their scientific beliefs and identities (PLO 3.1).		
Students will be able to identify as scientists within the scientific community through constructing peer reviews, engaging in collaborations, and participating in mentorship (PLO 3.2).		
Communication Skills		
Students will be able to design and deliver engaging presentations on diverse chemistry topics in a professional manner and with clear, concise organization that demonstrates mastery of the topic (PLO 4.1).		
Students will be able to integrate research findings into a concise original written report that either analyzes collected data and obtained results or reviews and reflects on published scientific work (PLO 4.2).		
Students will be able to identify an audience and construct a message tailored to that audience and act as a science ambassador by conveying the importance of the research or topic of study (PLO 4.3).		

COVID-19 ONLINE MODALITY

With the onset of the pandemic, the in-person hands on laboratory-based course had to be altered to a complete online experience, with many asking how can this course be given as an online class, but also, one that meets the Program and Course Learning Outcomes, as well as the General Education Learning Outcomes (GELO) (**Table 1**)? The biggest challenge was in maintaining the "authentic" research experience and allowing students to stay engaged and develop their sense of scientific identity. Even within a year of the pandemic and online courses, students were already fatigued with the strict online modality.15 Being one of the few fortunate faculty who was given permission to continue research and be on campus after the complete lockdown that started in Spring 2020, for the complete online Spring 2021 class, options were explored on how students from Chem 131B, working remotely, could still benefit from working on a midgut protease that no one had isolated or studied in vitro. In general (pre-pandemic), the first couple of days in Chem 131B, students were trained and tasked to design primers for the cloning of the mosquito protease gene into a bacterial expression vector, which then, based on their design and guidance, were sent for synthesis. For this approach to work for the online class, it was important to communicate to students that although they could not perform the hands-on experiments in the physical lab, all the work they conducted through video and online, would lead to the cloning and expression of their mosquito protease gene. To connect the online students to the experimentation and build awareness of the techniques employed in their work, video recordings of the exact experimental procedures were provided. For example, we developed an awareness and shared fundamental techniques such as pipetting and loading a gel as authentically performed during experimentation. These videos were made available through the Learning Management System (LMS) – CANVAS. In addition, several salient items were also made available through video. These included information on how to record research methods in a laboratory notebook, the process of experimentation to presentation, and from

experimentation to the final research paper. This was noteworthy because prior to the pandemic none of the material was recorded and no one ever thought about the kinds of resources students would need to build connections between the work they were doing in lab to construct the products that leads to communication of this work. These extra resources proved to be valuable for scaffolding the scientific practices students develop in a lab setting. Furthermore, because of the pandemic, quizzes were implemented as checkpoints to gage student learning and to address misunderstandings. This was important because studies show that students who receive quizzes perform much better than those who do not, showing a correlation between quiz scores and understanding.[16] This formative assessment approach helped identify steps to help improve student learning while being remote. Pre-pandemic no quizzes were given, so most student understanding was based on the content of their notebooks, especially since detailed information was asked to be included. For example, if the experiment was PCR, students were tasked with providing background information on the technique (i.e., the contents needed for successful PCR amplification: primers, DNA template, polymerase), then tie this information to the mosquito gene being amplified and why it is essential to have the proper primers and conditions needed for annealing. Unlike other lab courses, the structure of the notebook in Chem 131B is such that students were required to provide detailed Introduction/Background on the experiment, followed by providing context and tying this to the work being conducted in the lab. They were also required to provide detailed Materials and Methods (with company, catalog numbers of any reagent or biologicals used), Results (with a narrative, figures, and figure captions), a Discussion (with interpretation of the Results obtained), and Conclusion. This approach helped assess the groups that were lacking understanding or needed reinforcement, and although the notebook was utilized as a formative assessment tool, students pre-pandemic did not perform as well as expected on midterms or final exams (**Table 2**). It is noteworthy to indicate that with the video recorded resources available to the online class, and saving

the notebook online as a Google Doc (rather than carbon copies), the online students provided more detail and information in their notebooks compared to classes pre-pandemic. Additionally, the online students were engaged in much more meaningful discussions and performed just as well, if not better overall, in oral, writing, and summative assessments (**Table 2**). There were challenges with the online class, but mainly due to zoom/remote fatigue.[15] All students in class shared that they were tired of being at home, especially with taking all their classes online. Additionally, fellow faculty colleagues shared that many of their students were having similar sentiments about online remote learning. To help overcome this early in the semester, lectures were shortened (from ~1 hr 15 min to 30-45 min). Also, to entice students in keeping their cameras on during lectures, class discussions, and in-class assignments, questions were strategically asked (written prior to the online class meeting) to ensure individual participation. Students could earn anywhere from 1 to 3 points (depending on the difficulty of the question) that counted towards their final grade. Many of the resources that were developed for this online course had not been part of the teaching strategy used prior to the pandemic and the students benefited from having the extra resources to help them learn the material.

Table 2. Percentages Earned in Oral, Writing, and Summative Assessments in Chem 131B (before, during, and post-COVID 19 pandemic semesters).

	Pre-Pandemic (In-Person)				Start and During COVID-19			Post-Pandemic (In-Person)		
	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	Fall 2018	Spring 2019	Spring 2020*	Fall 2020+	Spring 2021^	Fall 2021	Fall 2022	Spring 2023
	N=13	N=18	N=15	N=15	N=15	N=16	N=17	N=12	N=22	N=20
Assignments										
Average	**78.2**	**93.1**	**98.8**	**80.1**	**81.4**	**79.6**	**82.6**	**84.2**	**87.5**	**101.3**
Median	77.5	96.0	98.5	78.3	82.5	78.5	90.5	86.0	85.8	100.8
Std. Dev.	13.7	20.3	13.2	12.3	11.6	11.8	18.3	6.0	17.1	8.5
Quizzes										
Average	Not Given	Not Given	Not Given	Not Given	**89.2**	**81.5**	**89.7**	**90.3**	**80.3**	**86.9**
Median	-	-	-	-	89.3	80.7	91.4	92.1	82.5	87.9
Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	8.2	10.8	6.9	4.7	11.2	6.8
Notebook/Instructor Eval.										
Average	**89.0**	**76.6**	**93.6**	**82.3**	**87.3**	**82.8**	**72.5**	**96.2**	**84.5**	**77.1**
Median	92.0	75.3	94.0	82.0	86.7	82.0	76.0	96.1	84.8	76.4
Std. Dev.	18.1	11.8	3.5	12.9	17.1	11.1	17.2	8.1	7.8	9.9
Presentations										
Average	**72.2**	**66.7**	**76.3**	**71.6**	**72.1**	**80.3**	**83.3**	**91.3**	**84.5**	Not Given
Median	74.0	73.0	84.0	68.0	72.0	86.0	92.0	94.0	88.0	-
Std. Dev.	17.3	27.5	25.0	16.6	21.7	16.4	18.1	11.1	15.1	-
Research Paper/Communication										
Average	**69.2**	**67.8**	**69.7**	**61.5**	**66.0**	**64.8**	**70.0**	**85.1**	**62.9**	**103.2**
Median	68.5	65.5	71.5	60.5	67.5	61.8	65.5	85.7	61.3	99.2
Std. Dev.	17.5	12.8	17.9	12.9	19.3	16.1	13.2	8.2	18.2	31.0
Midterm Exam										
Average	**68.7**	**82.2**	**76.2**	**67.5**	**87.4**	**59.4**	**71.3**	**69.7**	**81.1**	**91.3**
Median	70.0	82.5	76.5	73.5	87.5	63.8	74.0	68.0	84.5	92.5
Std. Dev.	19.4	9.9	13.5	17.5	7.7	13.2	12.9	18.6	12.3	7.8

*Semester began in-person, but changed to strictly online modality.

+Iniital attempt at a hybrid course (partly in-person and online).

^Strictly onlline modality.

CHANGES FROM COVID-19 ONLINE MODALITY: BACK TO IN-PERSON LAB AND BETTER STUDENT OUTCOMES

Teaching the online lab, led to the realization that the in-person lab could be greatly improved to better help students learn how techniques are used in the context of actual experimentation. This proved to be the most important, if not essential process, since many students (like the online Chem 131B class) were not exposed to or had minimal hands-on lab experience because of the pandemic.[17] In fact, many biotech companies were in search of newly graduated students who had hands on research experience but were unsuccessful due to the limitations imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, the approach to improving the in-person class changed keeping in mind the lessons learned from the online Chem 131B class (**Table 3**) and from reading the Chemical Education literature during the pandemic. Learning from Kolb's experimental learning cycle,[10,18] led to the realization that students from the online course understood the mosquito work better because of the transformative approach taken to deliver the material and exposed students to a real-time research experience, even though they were unable to conduct the experiments themselves. The pandemic forced changes in providing recorded material and extra resources, as well as the use of different assessment tools, and provide inventive ways to keep the class engaged. In returning to in-person lab after the mandate was lifted, the entire curriculum was restructured to help train students more efficiently and give them a better hands-on experience, by focusing more on their lab techniques, their written and oral communication, and shortening lecture times (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Changes in Assigned Material for Chem 131B. Shown are Chem 131B before, during, and after COVID-19 Pandemic

Chem 131B Before Pandemic (In-Person)	Chem 131B During Pandemic (Online)	Chem 131B After Pandemic (In-Person)
Assignments (Primer design report, DNA sequencing analysis report, report drafts). Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 1-3; and GELO 1-4).	**Assignments** (Primer design report, DNA sequencing analysis report, report drafts). Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 1-3; and GELO 1-4).	**Assignments** (Primer design report, DNA sequencing analysis report, report drafts). Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 1-3; and GELO 1-4).
Lab Notebook (physical carbon copies) and Instructor Evaluation. Meets PLO 2.1-2.3; CLO 1-4; and GE Area R writing requirement.	**Lab Notebook (online Google Doc) and Instructor Evaluation.** Meets PLO 2.1-2.3; CLO 1-4; and GE Area R writing requirement.	**Lab Notebook (online Google Doc) and Instructor Evaluation.** Meets PLO 2.1-2.3; CLO 1-4; and GE Area R writing requirement.
In-class Midterm Exam. Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 2, 4; and GELO 1-4.	**Online Midterm Exam.** Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 2, 4; and GELO 1-4.	**Take-home Midterm Exam.** Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 2, 4; and GELO 1-4.
Journal Article and Group Research Presentations. Meets PLO 4.1, 4.3; GELO 3-4.	**Journal Article and Group Research Presentations.** Meets PLO 4.1, 4.3; GELO 3-4.	**Research Communication (ACS Biochemistry style).** Meets PLO 3.1, 4.2-4.3; CLO 1-4; and GE Area R writing requirement
Full Research Paper (ACS Biochemistry style). Meets PLO 3.1, 4.2-4.3; CLO 1-4; and GE Area R writing requirement	**Research Communication (ACS Biochemistry style).** Meets PLO 3.1, 4.2-4.3; CLO 1-4; and GE Area R writing requirement	**Online Quizzes (8 total, lowest scored dropped).** Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 1-4.
In-class Final Exam. Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 1-3; and GELO 1-4).	**Online Quizzes (8 total, lowest scored dropped).** Meets PLO 1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.3, 3.1-3.2; CLO 1-4.	

The first major change was providing lecture slides and notes, the experimental procedure videos, and other relevant material a week before the actual experiment to activate background knowledge. For example, if PCR was the technique covered, the experimental videos showing how to perform PCR were provided, along with lecture slides and notes covering the material, while emphasizing that although the gene and information modeled in the videos was not of their mosquito protease gene, the experimental setup is nearly identical. This provided context and familiarity to students. In addition, during class, enough background information was provided on the technique that students were going to learn, that they themselves would be able to determine what aspects were different between the video and their own experimental setup. As an example, mosquito proteases genes contain different percentages of GC and AT content, and depending on the differences (for example, higher GC content than AT) the melting temperature of the designed primer would have to be adjusted, while also needing to adjust the annealing temperature conditions needed to successfully amplify the gene of interest. This video assisted approach served to prepare the class beforehand to allow more time to be spent on conducting hands-on experiments. Students were empowered to try the technique as covered in the video, while providing the flexibility in allowing students to make mistakes and encouraged to reflect on what went wrong and try again. This type of student is known as a Converger in Kolb's learning cycle.[18] Convergers flourish by doing and process information through application, and by providing the "doer" approach in class, these students are more engaged and excited about the work. Other students (known as Assimilators in Kolb's learning cycle), who rely on lecture slides and notes being available beforehand, as well as any other pertinent material that provides a logical structure (e.g., experimental videos and approaches) were provided with materials to also be fully engaged and successful in class.[18] With the importance of studying and focusing on the human viral vector the Ae. aegypti mosquito, provided the Divergers (those students who need to make connections to their own personal lives, interests, or experiences[18]) the motivation to learn and be engaged with the project. With the presence of the Ae. aegypti mosquito in the United States, and with the diverse background of many students from areas endemic with the mosquito, made the topic more appealing. Lastly, being the first ever student researchers to work on a never studied or isolated mosquito protease gave the Accommodators (students that

learn by applying their problem-solving skills in situations that can lead to self-discovery[18]) a chance to try different approaches, optimize a procedure, or contribute to changes in the experimental setup and improve lab experiments. Given that the structure of the course is designed for students to work in groups of four, in many instances all four types of learning styles would end up in the same group, leading to independence, working cohesively, motivating, and helping each other in the doing (Convergers), feeling (Divergers), reasoning (Assimilators), and discovery (Accommodators).[18] Along with this authentic CUREs approach, many students were able to develop and maintain their scientific identity.[11] They took ownership of the project, immersed themselves in different approaches when cloning was not working or when soluble expression was not achieved. Due to troubleshooting of experiments and delving more into the literature to find alternatives to an experimental approach, increased their overall understanding and experimental approach. The notebook entries were more detailed than pre-pandemic and during pandemic classes, their confidence in having meaningful discussions with the instructor and each other increased, and their quiz/midterm exams were some of the highest earned (**Table 2**), which led to better oral and written communication, and giving confidence to many non-native English speakers. The new approach and the tools provided for success brought by the new revamped curriculum, not only prepared students in providing hands-on lab research experience, the level of the work and project understanding increased. In comparing the back to in-person classes only (Fall 2021 to Spring 2023), the assignment scores went from an average of 84.2% to 101.3%, the research paper from 85.1% to 103.2%, and the midterm exam from 69.7% to 91.3% (**Table 2**). In other areas, like quizzes and notebooks scores, the opposite was observed where there was a decrease from Fall 2021 to Spring 2023, but in these instances, these formative assessment tools were used to modify and reenforce concepts not understood during class, which led to substantial improvement and understanding as shown in the summative assessment (the research paper).

COMMUNITY BUILDING

Revamping the in-person curriculum resulted in an unexpected outcome, a community was built that provided a safe and comfortable learning environment. Students have often commented on how scared and intimidated they were to ask questions at the start of the semester, especially given that many do not have a

formal research experience and felt inadequate to engage in scientific conversation. The fact was that students were unaware of the new approach of how Chem 131B was going to be taught and how they were going to be led into an independent research experience, so they would often acknowledge that the course was going to be difficult. Once students realized that the goal was to allow them the opportunity explore different techniques, optimize different approaches, but more importantly, allow them to make mistakes and be comfortable with failing, did more students buy in to the learning approach and the independent project. In Figure 2, are paraphrased student comments from Spring 2021, Fall 2022, and Spring 2023, highlighting the benefits and feelings of the students in class. This was very important because the pandemic revealed many inequities and struggles of many college students, which lead to hinderances in student learning and potentially delaying graduation,[19,20] but by allowing open communication, and importantly, also sharing my career struggles as a minority first generation student from a low-income socioeconomic background, students felt more comfortable in sharing their overall college and post-pandemic struggles. The goal of revamping Chem 131B was to provide the best CUREs for students not getting the hands-on experience needed before graduating (exacerbated by the pandemic), and in doing so, the unintended consequence was a safe learning and collaborative environment that allowed students to share their struggles with each other and the instructor. A major challenge faced by many students during the transition from in-person to online learning was finding a suitable substitute for the social aspect of learning and working together.[21] By making the course more engaging and leaning towards more collaborative work, students felt much more comfortable and confident in their scientific abilities. In addition, many students in Chem 131B are first-generation from low to middle socioeconomic backgrounds who normally come from nonacademic backgrounds and may not be familiar with the research environment, leading to feelings of isolation or intimidation of research and research advisors.[22,23] This isolation or fear of science keeps many students from pursuing higher degrees or prevents students from approaching research opportunities. Therefore, by taking this approach in revamping the CUREs course, helped students overcome their insecurities, allowed growth and positive, more student-centric changes to the curriculum, and in the future may lead to diversifying science.[22,23]



Figure 2. Paraphrased overall comments from Chem 131B students in Spring 2021, Fall 2022, and Spring 2023.

CONCLUSIONS

The COVID-19 pandemic allowed technology to shine, allowing educators to create new ways to incorporate high-impact learning online and adequate communication.[16,17,24-28] However, many students lost the opportunity to gain hands-on lab and research training, leading to difficulty in learning proper lab techniques. Here, the Chem 131B capstone lab course post-pandemic curriculum was revamped to provide students more in class time to not only help improve their overall hands-on lab experience but their overall understanding of the mosquito protease project. The CUREs approach helped students develop essential research skills in biochemistry. Additionally, providing recorded lecture and experimental procedures, as well as providing extra resources for better understanding of the material, led to students taking ownership of the in-class project, helping students develop and maintain their scientific identity, while giving them confidence in oral and written science communication. An unexpected consequence of the new revamped class was the creation of a community, where students felt safe and comfortable with failing. Students worked at their own pace, modifying, or optimizing steps that helped in cloning a mosquito protease gene into a bacterial expression vector. Taken together, learning from the COVID-19 online experience helped improve student learning and training post-pandemic, making the course-based undergraduate research experience more fruitful and engaging.

Supporting Information

Chem 131B Lab Research Communication Grading Rubric (PDF)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Resa Kelly (Dept. of Chemistry and Science Education, San José State University), Dr. Anita Nag (Division of Natural Sciences and Engineering, University of South Carolina Upstate), and Ms. Flor S. Cisneros (Substitute Teacher and California State Teach Credential Graduate) for their helpful feedback and suggestions. The *Aedes aegypti* mosquito work incorporated into the capstone course was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number SC3GM116681 to AAR while at San José State University. Special thanks go to all my former Chem 131B students, who without them, I would not have evolved to become a better teacher.

REFERENCES

1. Hernandez, P. R.; Woodcock, A.; Estrada, M.; Schultz, P. W., Undergraduate Research Experiences Broaden Diversity in the Scientific Workforce. BioScience 2018, 68 (3).

2. Kennedy, S. A.; Balija, A. M.; Bibeau, C.; Fuhrer, T. J.; Huston, L. A.; Jackson, M. S.; Lane, K. T.; Lau, J. K.; Liss, S.; Monceaux, C. J.; Stefaniak, K. R.; Phelps-Durr, T., Faculty Professional Development on Inclusive Pedagogy Yields Chemistry Curriculum Transformation, Equity Awareness, and Community. J. Chem. Educ. 2021, 99 (1), 291-300.

3. Isoe, J.; Rascón, A. A., Jr.; Kunz, S.; Miesfeld, R. L., Molecular genetic analysis of midgut serine proteases in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2009, 39 (12), 903-12.

4. Rascón, A. A., Jr.; Gearin, J.; Isoe, J.; Miesfeld, R. L., In vitro activation and enzyme kinetic analysis of recombinant midgut serine proteases from the Dengue vector mosquito Aedes aegypti. BMC Biochem. 2011, 12, 43.

5. Nguyen, J. T.; Fong, J.; Fong, D.; Fong, T.; Lucero, R. M.; Gallimore, J. M.; Burata, O. E.; Parungao, K.; Rascón, A. A., Jr., Soluble expression of recombinant midgut zymogen (native propeptide) proteases from the Aedes aegypti Mosquito Utilizing E. coli as a host. BMC Biochem. 2018, 19 (1), 12.

6. Werby, S. H.; Cegelski, L., Design and Implementation of a Six-Session CURE Module Using Biofilms to Explore the Chemistry–Biology Interface. J. Chem. Educ.2019, 96 (9), 2050-2054.

7. Wilson-Fetrow, M.; Svihla, V.; Burnside, B.; Datye, A., Course-Based Undergraduate Research Experiences in a Chemical Engineering Laboratory Promote Consequential Agency. J. Chem. Educ. 2023, 100 (10), 3752-3763.

8. Walker, J. P.; Allen, W. E.; Clevenger, L.; Hosbein, K. N.; Kennedy, A. M.; Vance-Chalcraft, H.; Whiting, B., Course-Based Undergraduate Research Experiences as a Community of Practice (CoP). J. Chem. Educ. 2023, 100 (7), 2520-2528.

9. Ball, N. D.; Gomez, M. A.; Rempel, B. P.; Farkas, E. R.; Makal, T. E.; Shields, G. C.; Parish, C. A.; Tresca, B. W.; McGinitie, E. G., Conducting research at primarily undergraduate institutions. Cell Reports Physical Science 2023, 4 (2).

10. Zewail-Foote, M., Pivoting an Upper-Level, Project-Based Biochemistry Laboratory Class to Online Learning During COVID-19: Enhancing Research Skills and Using Community Outreach to Engage Undergraduate Students. J. Chem. Educ. 2020, 97 (9), 2727-2732.

11. Mraz-Craig, J.A.; Daniel, K. L.; Bucklin, C. J.; Mishra, C.; Ali, L; Clase, K. L., Student Identities in Authentic Course-Based Undergraduate Research Experience. Journal of College Science Teaching 2018, 48 (1).

12. Isoe, J.; Simington, C. J.; Oscherwitz, M. E.; Peterson, A. J.; Rascón, A. A., Jr.; Massani, B. B.; Miesfeld, R. L.; Riehle, M. A., Characterization of essential eggshell proteins from Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. BMC Biol. 2023, 21 (1), 214.

13. Brackney, D. E.; Isoe, J.; th, W. C. B.; Zamora, J.; Foy, B. D.; Miesfeld, R. L.; Olson, K. E., Expression profiling and comparative analyses of seven midgut serine proteases from the yellow fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti. J Insect Physiol. 2010, 56 (7), 736-44.

14. He, Y. Z.; Ding, Y.; Wang, X.; Zou, Z.; Raikhel, A. S., E93 confers steroid hormone responsiveness of digestive enzymes to promote blood meal digestion in the midgut of the mosquito Aedes aegypti. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2021, 134, 103580.

15. Browning, M.; Larson, L. R.; Sharaievska, I.; Rigolon, A.; McAnirlin, O.; Mullenbach, L.; Cloutier, S.; Vu, T. M.; Thomsen, J.; Reigner, N.; Metcalf, E. C.; D'Antonio, A.; Helbich, M.; Bratman, G. N.;

Alvarez, H. O., Psychological impacts from COVID-19 among university students: Risk factors across seven states in the United States. PLoS One 2021, 16 (1), e0245327.

16. Crucho, C. I. C.; Avó, J.; Diniz, A. M.; Gomes, M. J. S., Challenges in Teaching Organic Chemistry Remotely. J. Chem. Educ. 2020, 97 (9), 3211-3216.

17. Nag, A., Insights Gained from an Inquiry-Driven Biochemistry Laboratory during the COVID-19 Pandemic. J. Chem. Educ. 2023, 100 (5), 2045-2049.

18. Towns, M. H., Kolb for Chemists: David A. Kolb and Experiential Learning Theory. J. Chem. Educ. 2001, 78.

19. Madrigal, L.; Blevins, A., “I hate it, it’s ruining my life”: College students’ early academic year experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic. Traumatology 2022, 28 (3), 325-335.

20. Hagedorn, R. L.; Wattick, R. A.; Olfert, M. D., "My Entire World Stopped": College Students' Psychosocial and Academic Frustrations during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Appl Res Qual Life 2022, 17 (2), 1069-1090.

21. Goodman, A. L., Can Group Oral Exams and Team Assignments Help Create a Supportive Student Community in a Biochemistry Course for Nonmajors? J. Chem. Educ. 2020, 97 (9), 3441-3445.

22. Balster, N.; Pfund, C.; Rediske, R.; Branchaw, J., Entering research: A course that creates community and structure for beginning undergraduate researchers in the STEM disciplines. CBE Life Sci Educ. 2010, 9 (2), 108-18.

23. Johanson, K. E.; DeFreece, C. B.; Morgan, K. M., Enhancing the Mentoring Experience for Underrepresented Students. J. Chem. Educ. 2022, 99 (1), 508-512.

24. Büdy, B., Change in Strategies to Enhance Student Engagement during the Spring 2020 COVID-19 Shutdown in a Nonmajor Chemistry Class. J. Chem. Educ. 2020, 97 (9), 3153-3157.

25. Fergus, S.; Botha, M.; Scott, M., Insights Gained During COVID-19: Refocusing Laboratory Assessments Online. J. Chem. Educ. 2020, 97 (9), 3106-3109.

26. Kollalpitiya, K. Y.; Partigianoni, C. M.; Adsmond, D. A., The Role of Communication in the Success/Failure of Remote Learning of Chemistry during COVID-19. J. Chem. Educ. 2020, 97 (9), 3386-3390.

27. Qiang, Z.; Obando, A. G.; Chen, Y.; Ye, C., Revisiting Distance Learning Resources for Undergraduate Research and Lab Activities during COVID-19 Pandemic. J. Chem. Educ. 2020, 97 (9), 3446-3449.

28. Scruggs, A. W.; Leamy, K. A.; Cravens, S. L.; Siegel, S. J., Adapting a Biochemistry Lab Course for Distance-Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic. J. Chem. Educ. 2020, 97 (9), 2981-2986.