Title

Newly found rat CD103- dendritic cells are the highly immunogenic conventional DC2

subpopulation, corresponding to the known DC subsets in mice and humans.

Authors

Yasushi Sawanobori[1], Tadayuki Ogawa[2], Hisashi Ueta[1], Yusuke Kitazawa[1], Nobuko

Tokuda[1]

Author affiliations

1.   Anatomy, Dokkyo Medical University

2.   Research Center for Advanced Medical Science, Dokkyo Medical University

Funding Information

This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K0679 and the

Research Grant Award 2021 of the Dokkyo International Medical Education and

Research Foundation.

Data Availability

Our microarray data are available on Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the accession number GSE281299. R codes are available on GitHub (https://github.com/yasuhisawanobori/Rat-CD103--cDC2s).

This research utilized published datasets: GSE181475, GSE198789, GSM5941846, and GSM4504590 from GEO, and E-MTAB-8028 from ArrayExpress. The data of PVM-infected lung DC were downloaded from http://bioit2.irc.ugent.be/cdc2/download.php.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval statement

Animal handling and care protocols were approved by Dokkyo Medical University's Regulations for Animal Experiments and adhered to Japanese Governmental Law (No. 105).

patient consent statement, permission to reproduce material from other sources, and clinical trial registration

Not applicable to this paper.

Abstract

Dendritic cells (DCs), the primary antigen-presenting cells, have traditionally been

identified by CD103 molecules in rats, whereas mouse and human DCs are identified by

CD11c molecules. However, this history does not preclude the existence of CD103$^-$ DCs

in rats. To explore this possibility, we examined MHCII$^+$ cells in rat spleen and thymus,

identifying a novel population of CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cells. These cells are

negative for CD103 and B cell marker CD45R, but positive for the type-2 conventional

DC (cDC2) marker CD172a. Transcriptomic analyses revealed that they represent a

subpopulation of cDC2. Comparison with previously published single-cell RNA-

sequencing datasets showed that the rat CD103$^-$ cDC2 subset expresses markers of

known mouse and human cDC2 subpopulations: cDC2a, cDC2b, inf-cDC2, and moDCs.

In contrast, the classic rat CD103$^+$ cDC2 subset expresses only cDC2a

markers. Additionally, gene set enrichment analysis predicted enhanced immunogenic

activities for this novel population compared to known rat cDC2s. Mixed leukocyte

reaction assays confirmed that the rat CD103$^-$ cDC2s induce T cell proliferation more

effectively than other DC subsets, suggesting enhanced immunogenic potential. In

reaggregated thymic organ culture assays, both the rat CD103$^-$ and CD103$^+$ cDC2

subsets suppressed the total number of generated thymocytes and skewed the

differentiation toward CD8 single-positive cells. These findings provide new insights into

DC subpopulations, particularly in species other than mice and humans, where much

remains to be uncovered.

Introduction

Dendritic cell (DC) subsets are well-known for their antigen-presenting, cytokine-

producing, and stimulatory ability to other immune cells (1). They consist of three main

subsets: conventional DC1 (cDC1), cDC2, and plasmacytoid DC. In both thymus and

peripheral lymphoid tissues, cDC1 and cDC2 exhibit different localizations and

expressions of pattern recognition molecules, playing distinct roles with some degree of

redundancy. For example, cDC1 subsets mainly induce cytotoxic T lymphocytes and

perform cross-presentation, while cDC2 subsets activate CD4$^+$ T cells. In the thymus,

although eradicating one of the cDC subsets does not simply lead to a failure of central

tolerance (2,3), tolerance against certain antigens may depend on specific cDC subsets

(4). Moreover, it has been reported that cDC2 subsets play a significant role in capturing

and presenting blood-borne antigens (5,6).

Regarding the cDC2 subset, more detailed subpopulations have been identified: cDC2a,

cDC2b, inflammatory cDC2 (inf-cDC2), and monocyte-derived DC (moDC) (7). These

subpopulations all share the expressions of CD11b, CD11c, CD172a, and MHCII. While

cDC2a and cDC2b can be distinguished as ESAM[hi] and ESAM[lo], respectively, cDC2b, inf-

cDC2, and moDC are easily confused because they share markers such as CCR2, CD64,

and Ly6C. Furthermore, cDC2b and moDC exhibit extreme similarities in the expression

of CD14, F4/80, CD115, CX3CR1, and other markers. Using tracing models exploiting

Ms4a3 or *Mafb*, it has been suggested that moDCs are derived from monocytes (8,9). The

origin of cDC2b remains unclear. Due to the high degree of similarity, cDC2b cells seem

to be synonymous with or may at least include moDCs. However, a fate-mapping model

suggested that ESAM^{lo} cDC2b can arise from DC precursors (10). On the other hand, inf-

cDC2 subpopulations are considered "*bona fide*" DC, characterized by their

CD26^{hi}CD64[neg-mid] phenotype (11,12).

As is often the case with other cell types, DC subsets have been extensively studied in

mice and humans, and it is well established that their primary marker is CD11c (13).

However, in rats, the monoclonal antibody OX-62, initially established against veiled

cells obtained from thoracic ducts of mesenteric lymphadenectomized rats, has been

employed as a conventional DC marker (14-19). Later, its epitope was identified as rat

CD103 molecules (20). However, this history does not guarantee that anti-CD103

antibodies can detect all rat conventional DC subsets. Confusingly, in mice, CD103 is

mainly expressed on cDC1 subsets and is absent from cDC2 subsets, except for intestinal

cDC2 (1), while our group has reported that rat CD103$^+$ DCs include both XCR1$^+$ (a cDC1

marker) cells and CD172a$^+$ (a cDC2 marker) cells (21,18).

Our previous study demonstrated the accumulation of CD103$^+$XCR1$^+$ cDC1 in

epithelium-containing areas of rat thymic medullas utilizing immunohistology of serial

sections (18). During this investigation, we considered the possibility that some CD103$^-$

MHCII$^+$ cells might be present in the rat thymus. To confirm this, we performed a flow

cytometric analysis on MHCII-enriched thymic cell suspensions and discovered a novel

CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cell subset. This population is commonly found in the

thymus and peripheral lymphoid organs.

In this study, we characterized the CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cells from the

thymus and spleen, utilizing flow cytometry, transcriptome analysis, and *in vitro*

functional assays. The results suggest the CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cells are a

subpopulation of cDC2. Moreover, comparative analyses revealed that the rat CD103$^-$

cDC2 subsets include cDC2b, inf-cDC2, and moDC, corresponding to their mouse and

human counterparts and exhibiting high immunogenic potency.

Materials & Methods

Animals

Inbred male Lewis rats, 8–12 weeks old, were purchased from SLC Co. (Shizuoka, Japan) and

reared under specific pathogen-free conditions. The exact ages of the rats used are indicated in

the methods or figure legends. As sources of T cells in the mixed leukocyte reactions (MLRs), 8–

9-week-old male ACI rats were also purchased from SLC Co. Animal handling and care protocols

were approved by Dokkyo Medical University's Regulations for Animal Experiments and

adhered to Japanese Governmental Law (No. 105).

Antibodies

The antibodies used for flow cytometric analysis and cell sorting are listed in *supplementary*

data and tables.xlsx. Some antibodies were purified from culture supernatants and conjugated in-

house.

Tissue digestion and cell preparation

Spleens and thymi were injected with an enzyme mixture containing 0.2% collagenase D (Roche

Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and 0.01% DNase I (Roche) in Hank's buffered saline

solution (HBSS) with 1.25 mM Ca^{2+}, 1.45% bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 2% fetal

calf serum (FCS). The tissues were then cut into 1–2 mm thick slices and incubated in the

enzyme mixture at 37°C for 25 minutes. During incubation, the tissues were pipetted every 5

minutes using truncated 1000 µl pipet tips, followed by Pasteur pipets, and finally, heat-tapered

tip Pasteur pipets. After the incubation, EDTA was added to a final concentration of 2.5 mM, and

the samples were incubated for an additional 5 minutes. The digested tissues were then filtered

using pieces of 50 µm nylon mesh. The resulting suspension was centrifuged and resuspended in

PBS(-).

For splenic DC analysis and isolation, cells from digested spleens were resuspended in 15%

OptiPrep (Axis-Shield, Oslo, Norway) in PBS(-) and placed in centrifuge tubes. Layers of 11.5%

OptiPrep/PBS(-) and PBS(-) were overlaid on the cell suspension. The tubes were centrifuged at

600 g for 25 minutes at room temperature with gentle acceleration and braking. Cells between the

15% and 11.5% OptiPrep layers were collected as DC-containing low-density cells and washed

with PBS(-).

For thymic DC analysis and isolation, cells from digested thymi were resuspended at 5×10^8

cells/ml in PBS, and anti-rat MHCII antibody (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) was added at a

concentration of 0.5 µg/ml. Cells were incubated at 4°C for 30 minutes and washed with 0.5%

BSA and 2 mM EDTA/PBS(-) (MACS buffer). Cells were then resuspended at 20×10^8 cells/ml

in MACS buffer, and 20% anti-mouse IgG microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech, North Rhine-

Westphalia, Germany) were added. The cells were incubated at 4°C for 15 minutes, washed twice

with MACS buffer, filtered through 50 μm nylon mesh pieces, and resuspended at 1.5×10^8

cells/ml in MACS buffer. The cells were isolated using the Possel program of autoMACS

(Miltenyi Biotech). To increase yield, the negative fraction was subjected to the Possel program

again. Later, this isolation method was substituted with a single run of the Posseld2 program.

For thymic and splenic macrophage isolation, cells from digested thymi or spleens were

resuspended at 5×10^8 cells/ml in PBS. Mouse gamma globulin (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West

Grove, PA, USA) was added at 20 μg/ml to block nonspecific binding, and the cells were

incubated at 4°C for 10 minutes. Biotinylated anti-CD11b/c antibody was added at 0.25 μg/ml,

and the cells were incubated at 4°C for 10 minutes, followed by washing with MACS buffer. Cells

were then resuspended at 1×10^9 cells/ml in MACS buffer, and 10% streptavidin microbeads

(Miltenyi Biotech) were added. After incubation at 4°C for 15 minutes, the cells were washed

twice with MACS buffer, filtered with 50 μm nylon mesh pieces, and resuspended at 1.5×10^8

cells/ml in MACS buffer. The cells were isolated using the Posselds program of autoMACS.

For T cell isolation for MLR assays, cells from digested lymph nodes were resuspended in 15%

OptiPrep in a centrifuge tube, and PBS(-) was overlaid on the cell suspension. The tube was

centrifuged at 600 g for 25 minutes at room temperature. Cells between the 15% OptiPrep and

PBS(-) layers were collected as lymphocytes, washed with PBS(-), and resuspended at 3×10^8

cells/ml in MACS buffer. PE-conjugated anti-rat CD45R antibody (BioLegend) and PE-

conjugated anti-CD11b/c antibody (eBioscience) were added at 2.5 μg/ml and 1.5 μg/ml,

respectively. The cells were incubated at 4°C for 30 minutes, washed with MACS buffer, and

resuspended in MACS buffer at 6×10^8 cells/ml. Anti-PE microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech) were

added at 20%, and the cells were incubated at 4°C for 15 minutes, washed twice with MACS

buffer, filtered with 50 μm nylon mesh pieces, and resuspended at 2×10^8 cells/ml in MACS

buffer. The cells were isolated using the Depl025 program of autoMACS. The purity of T cells

was confirmed to be over 95%.

Flow cytometry

Prepared cells were stained following conventional methods. Leucoperm (BIO-RAD, Hercules,

CA, USA) was used for CD68 staining. Stained cells were acquired with an Attune NxT flow

cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Dead cells were excluded using

propidium iodide (PI, Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) or 7AAD (IMMUNOSTEP, Salamanca,

Spain), following the manufacturer's protocols. Data were analyzed with FlowJo V10.10.0

(FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR).

Cell sorting

The prepared cells were stained following the standard flow cytometry protocol to

isolate DC subsets and macrophages. The stained cells were sorted using FACSAria™

III cell sorter (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and collected in HBSS supplemented with

1.25 mM Ca^{2+}, 1.45% BSA, and 2% FCS. The sorted cells were then subjected to further

experiments. Cytosmears were prepared for morphological observation of the sorted cells,

and May-Grünwald-Giemsa staining was performed following the standard procedures.

RNA microarray

Sorted cells from 8- to 11-week-old Lewis rats were dissolved into ISOGEN (NIPPON

GENE, Tokyo, Japan), and RNA was extracted following the manufacturer's protocol. To

ensure DNA removal, the RNA samples were treated with RNase-free DNase I (Takara

Bio, Shiga, Japan) following the manufacturer's protocol. RNA was precipitated with

Ethachinmate (NIPPON GENE) and dissolved in sterilized water. All cell types were

analyzed using two samples each. Some samples were pooled from multiple animals to

ensure sufficient RNA yields. Details of the RNA samples are listed in the

supplementary data and tables.xlsx.

The microarray was outsourced to Kurabo (Osaka, Japan) and performed using the

Clariom™ S Assay, rat (Thermo Fisher Scientific). At least 250 ng of RNA was delivered

for outsourcing. RNA integrity numbers were at least 7.9. The data were initially

analyzed with the Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC) v4.0.3 (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Marker genes of mouse and human DC subsets, as well as GSEA gene sets,

were applied using the import gene list function. For heatmap generation and

comparison with RNA-seq data, data normalized with the TAC were saved as a CSV file

and imported into R version 4.4.0.

Comparison of rat microarray and mouse RNA-seq datasets

The rat microarray expression data, normalized using the TAC, and the mouse bulk or

pseudobulk RNA-seq datasets were imported into R. For scRNA-seq data, pseudobulk

expression data were generated using the AggregateExpression function with the

settings normalization.method = "RC" and scale.factor = 1,000,000. If the expression

data were raw counts, they were log-transformed using a base of 2. Genes were converted

to rat orthologs using the orthogene package (version 1.9.1). The data were merged using

the merge function by row names (gene symbols) and normalized with the

removeBatchEffect function from the limma package (version 3.60.2), followed by

standardization using the scale function. Heatmaps of gene expressions were generated

using the pheatmap package (version 1.0.12).

To assess similarities between rat and mouse/human cell counterparts, population

standard deviations (SDs) for each gene between rat cDC1, CD103$^+$ cDC2, and CD103$^-$

cDC2 were calculated. When the comparison is focused on CD103$^+$ cDC2 and CD103$^-$

cDC2 subsets or human DC subsets, SDs were calculated only between these specific

subsets. Genes were ranked by SDs, and the top 1000 genes were considered

differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Pearson correlation coefficients were then

calculated between the DEGs of rat and mouse/human subsets, and the results were

displayed as heatmaps. In some cases, SDs between Pearson correlation coefficients were

calculated to identify corresponding subsets.

To examine marker gene expression within rat transcriptomes, markers of mouse or

human subpopulations were extracted from scRNA-seq datasets using the

FindAllMarkers function with the settings min.pct = 0.25 and logfc.threshold = 1. The

marker genes were converted to rat genes and saved as text files. These text files were

loaded into the TAC software as gene lists. Average fold changes and the "differential

scores" were calculated among genes in the lists. The differential scores are derived as

follows:

$$\frac{S_{CD103nDom} - S_{CD103pDom}}{S}$$

Where S is the total number of genes in the marker gene lists, $S_{CD103nDom}$ is the number

of genes dominantly (fold change > 2) expressed in the CD103$^-$ subset, and $S_{CD103pDom}$ is

the number of genes dominantly (fold change < -2) expressed in the CD103$^+$ subset.

Analyses of single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) datasets

Downloaded scRNA-seq expression matrices were analyzed using R and the Seurat

package (version 5.1.0). Low-quality cells, such as those that were outliers in

nFeature_RNA or had a high percentage of mitochondrial RNA, were removed. Clusters

and 2D projections were reproduced to match those in the original articles using the

reported marker expression. When clustering information or 2D projections were

available as metadata tables, they were used them directly.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

Logarithmic expression data of the microarrays were used to calculate log2 fold changes

(log2FCs) between subsets. The log2FC values were then compiled into numeric objects

labeled by gene names and subjected to GSEA using the gseGO function from the

clusterProfiler package (version 4.12.0). Results were visualized as tree plots and

running score plots using the treeplot and gseaplot2 functions, respectively.

Mixed leukocyte reaction (MLR)

Isolated T cells from 8–9-week-old ACI rats were stained with CellTrace™ Violet

(Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's protocol. A total of 1×10^5 stained T cells, along

with varying numbers of splenic DC subsets isolated from 9–-11-week-old Lewis rats, were

seeded into each well of a round-bottom 96-well plate in 200 µl of RPMI-1640 medium

(Invitrogen; pre-supplemented with L-glutamine, HEPES, and pyruvate), further supplemented

with $1\times$ non-essential amino acids, 50 µM of 2-mercaptoethanol, $1\times$ antibiotic-antimycotic, and

10% FCS. The MLRs were incubated at 37°C for five days. After the incubation, the plates were

centrifuged and analyzed following the standard flow cytometry protocol. 7AAD$^-$RT1Aa$^+$ cells

were considered responder T cells, and cells that had divided more than twice were considered

dividing.

Reaggregated thymic organ culture (RTOC)

For RTOCs, fetal thymi at embryonic day 18 were harvested following a previously

published method (22). Harvested thymi were kept in ice-cold HBSS supplemented with

1.25 mM Ca^{2+}, 1.45% BSA, and 2% FCS during dissection. Pooled fetal thymi were

digested in 0.1% collagenase/dispase (Roche) and 0.02% DNase I in HBSS at 37°C for 30

minutes, with pipetting every 10 minutes using 1000 μl and subsequently 200 μl pipet tips.

The dissolved thymi were filtered through 50 μm nylon mesh pieces, and the cells were washed

twice with PBS. A total of 6.5×10^5 fetal thymic cells and 6.5×10^3 sorted thymic DCs from 8–

12- week-old rats per culture were resuspended in DMEM medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific;

high glucose, GlutaMAX™ and HEPES supplemented), further supplemented with 1× non-

essential amino acids, 50 μM of 2-mercaptoethanol, 1× antibiotic-antimycotic, and 10% FCS, in

1.5 ml microtubes. The tubes were centrifuged at 350 g at 4°C for 5 minutes. After centrifugation,

the supernatant was completely removed by aspiration and pipetting, and the cell pellets were

disaggregated by vortexing. Sterilized 2 cm^2 cotton pads were placed in 6 cm dishes, and the

medium was poured to soak the pads. Hydrophilic polycarbonate membranes with 8.0 μm pore

size and 13 mm diameter (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) were placed on the soaked

pads. The cells were transferred with a 10 μl pipette tip and placed on the membranes in small

drops. The dishes were placed in Ziploc plastic containers (SC Johnson, Racine, WI, USA) with

10 ml of sterilized water, and RTOCs were cultured at 37°C for four days. Cultured RTOCs were

collected into 1.5 ml microtubes and digested in 100 μl of 0.2% collagenase D and 0.01% DNase

I (Roche) in HBSS at 37°C for 30 minutes, with pipetting every 10 minutes using 200 μl pipet

tips. After digestion, EDTA was added to a final concentration of 5 mM, and samples were

incubated for an additional 5 minutes. The cells were divided into two suspensions for surface

marker and intracellular Foxp3 analysis. The cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. 7AAD⁻

CD45$^+$ cells were considered generated thymocytes, and the ratios of CD4 or CD8 single-positive

cells within these cells were calculated. If the number of generated thymocytes was fewer than

3500, the RTOC was considered defective and excluded from analysis. Data from independent

experiments were pooled and presented as relative values to the control (23).

Statistical analyses

For *in vitro* assays, the Student's t-test was used to assess significance. The source data

for each figure are included in the *supplementary data and tables.xlsx*.

Result

Both the rat spleen and thymus contain CD103⁻MHCII⁺CD45R⁻CD172a⁺ cells.

To survey all antigen-presenting cells in the rat thymus, we used magnetic cell sorting

targeting rat MHCII molecules, achieving an approximately 80% concentration of

MHCII$^+$ cells (Fig. S1A). For the spleen, we applied a density gradient to enrich low-

density cells, as the high number of B cells in the MHCII-magnetically sorted fraction

complicated analysis. Due to the strong autofluorescence of PI^{dull} cells in the splenic low-

density fraction, we excluded these cells from further analyses (Fig. S1B). Both splenic

and thymic cells contained CD103$^+$ conventional rat DCs, which included CD172a$^-$ (and

XCR1$^+$, discussed later) cDC1 and CD172a$^+$ cDC2 subsets (Fig. 1A). Additionally, within

the CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$ fractions, some CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cells were observed. May-

Grünwald-Giemsa staining revealed that cDC1 cells were relatively large and displayed

delicate protrusions in both the thymus and spleen (Fig. 1B). In contrast, some cDC2s

and CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cells were small and exhibited pseudopod-like

protrusions. Regarding cell abundance, cDC2 cells were the most common in the spleen,

followed by cDC1 cells, while CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cells were the least

abundant (Fig. 1C). In the thymus, cDC1 was the most prevalent population, with cDC2

and CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cells being less abundant, with no significant

difference between the latter two.

Flow cytometric analysis was performed to investigate surface marker expression (Fig.

S1C). As anticipated, the cDC1 marker XCR1 was exclusively expressed on cDC1 cells.

CD26, which helps to distinguish moDCs from *bona fide* DCs through low expression

(11,12), was also low on CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ cells. CD161, known as a

marker for both NK cells and DCs in rats (24,25), was expressed on all three cell types

in both the spleen and thymus. However, some splenic CD103$^-$MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$

cells lacked CD161 expression, suggesting the need for further gating for the isolation.

Unlike in mice, where CD11b is considered a cDC2 marker (1,13), all rat DC subsets

partially expressed CD11b and CD11c. As noted in our previous reports (21,18), thymic

cDC1 and cDC2 displayed CD4^{dull}CD8a^{dull} and CD4^{+}CD8a^{dull} profiles, respectively, while

splenic cDC1 and cDC2 were CD4^{dull}CD8a^{-} and CD4^{+}CD8a^{-}. The CD103^{-}CD45R^{-}

CD172a^{+}MHCII^{+} cells exhibited a cDC2-like pattern of CD4 and CD8a expression.

Costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 showed similar expression patterns across all

subsets, except for higher CD80 expression on splenic cDC2. Although CD68, CD163,

and CD169 are traditionally used as macrophage markers in rats (26,27), CD68 was

expressed in all three cell subsets, whereas CD163 and CD169 were found in only a small

fraction. To confirm that CD103^{-}MHCII^{+}CD45R^{-}CD172a^{+} cells are exclusively

CD172a^{+}XCR1^{-}, we gated the CD103^{-}MHCII^{+}CD45R^{-}CD161^{+} cells (Fig. S1D). These cells

were indeed CD172a^{+}XCR1^{-} in both the spleen and thymus, while CD103^{+}MHCII^{+} cDCs

consisted of XCR1^{+} cDC1 and CD172a^{+} cDC2.

The transcriptome analysis revealed that CD103^{-}MHCII^{+}CD45R^{-}CD172a^{+} cells

represent novel subsets of cDC2.

To compare their transcriptomes with microarray analyses, cDC1, cDC2, and CD103^{-}

MHCII^{+}CD45R^{-}CD172a^{+} cells were purified using gating strategies similar to those in

Fig. 1A and Fig. S1A–B (Fig. S2A, B). For splenic DCs, CD161 gating was further applied

to ensure the exclusion of non-DC cells (Fig. S2A), given the CD161 expression on splenic

CD103⁻MHCII⁺CD45R⁻CD172a⁺ cells (Fig. S1C).

We also aimed to prepare macrophages for comparison. Two approaches were

considered for macrophage isolation: 1) expression of CD68, CD163, and CD169 (27,28),

and 2) use of the anti-rat macrophage antibody HIS36 (29-32). Since all DC subsets in

this study expressed CD68 (Fig. S1C), we excluded this molecule as a macrophage

marker. Among thymic and splenic low-density cells, CD163 was expressed on a small

percentage of both MHCII⁺ and MHCII⁻ cells, while CD169 expression was scarce (Fig.

S2C). Therefore, CD163 was chosen as the primary macrophage marker. As the epitope

of HIS36 has not yet been determined, we examined the relationship between CD163

expression and HIS36 staining (Fig. S2D). Anti-CD163 antibody (ED2) and HIS36

showed an identical staining pattern, suggesting that HIS36 targets CD163.

Consequently, we used HIS36⁺ (presumably CD163⁺) cells in CD11b/c-enriched samples

as standard macrophages (Fig. S2E).

All microarray runs were performed in duplicate, with some RNA samples derived from

multiple animals. The animals used for the RNA samples are summarized in the

supplementary data and tables.xlsx.

We first used principal component analysis (PCA) to summarize differences between

the transcriptomes (Fig. 2A). The same subsets from different organs were positioned

close to each other on the PCA plot, suggesting that intra-organ differences between cell

subsets are greater than inter-organ differences within the same cell subsets. This

finding was confirmed by sources of variation analyses (Fig. 2B). The distances between

cell subsets on the PCA charts corresponded to the number of differentially expressed

genes (Fig. 2C).

To explore similarities and differences between rat cDC subsets and their mouse

counterparts, we compared the rat microarray datasets with mouse bulk RNA-seq

datasets (GSE198789 and GSE181475) (33,34). For splenic cDC1, we used the

transcriptome of CD8^{hi} cDC1a, as the CD8^{lo} cDC1b subset showed poor similarity to any

rat cDCs. Since the original study divided mouse thymic cDC2s into CD301b^{+} and

CD301b^{-} fractions, we generated a composite transcriptome for total cDC2 based on the

ratio reported in the original paper. We calculated Pearson correlation coefficients to

assess the similarities between rat and mouse cDC subsets (Fig. 2D). The Pearson

coefficients for the rat CD103^{-}MHCII^{+}CD45R^{-}CD172a^{+} subsets and mouse cDC2 subsets

were higher than those for the rat classical cDC2 subsets and mouse cDC2 subsets,

suggesting that the rat CD103^{-}MHCII^{+}CD45R^{-}CD172a^{+} subsets are more similar to

mouse cDC2s. The rat cDC1 subsets from both the spleen and thymus showed high

correlations with mouse cDC1 subsets.

Based on the result of the similarity analysis, we examined the expression of cDC2 and

cDC1 markers in the three rat cDC subsets. *Itgam* (CD11b) was expressed in all rat

splenic cDC subsets, whereas in mice, it was restricted to cDC2 subsets (Fig. 2E). Other

cDC2 markers, such as *Clec4a2* (the ortholog of mouse *Clec4a4*), *Cx3cr1*, *Irf4*, *Klf4*,

Notch2, *Tbx21*, and *Esam* did not show notable differences between the rat CD103$^-$

MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD172a$^+$ subsets and cDC2 subsets, particularly in the spleen. Since

Notch2, *Esam*, and *Tbx21* are reported to be expressed in or required for differentiation

of cDC2a, while Klf4 is essential for cDC2b (7), these results suggest the need for further

characterization. The cDC1 markers *Clec9a*, *Xcr1*, *Irf8*, and *Batf3* were specifically

expressed in cDC1 subsets in both rat and mouse DCs. As expected, *Itgae* (CD103) was

expressed in both CD103$^+$ cDC2 and cDC1 subsets in rats, while in mice, it was specific

to cDC1 subsets.

To confirm that the three rat DC subsets are indeed DCs, we examined the expression

of dendritic cell markers. *Flt3*, *Itgax* (CD11c), *Zbtb46*, and *Klrb1a/Klrb1b*

(CD161a/CD161b) were moderately to highly expressed in all rat DC subsets but showed

low expression in macrophages (Fig. 2F). Considering the potential presence of an moDC

subset in rat DC subsets, we also examined the expression of macrophage/moDC marker

genes. Many macrophage/moDC markers, such as *Cd14*, *Mafb*, *C5ar1* (CD88), and *Csf1r*

(CD115), were expressed both in the CD103⁻MHCII⁺CD45R⁻CD172a⁺ subsets and the

macrophages. *Fcgr1a* (CD64), *Fcgr3a* (rat CD16), the ortholog of MAR-1 epitope *Fcgr4*

(35), as well as *Fcgr2a* and *Fcgr2b* (rat CD32), were also expressed in both the CD103⁻

cDC2 and the macrophages. *Nos2* was strongly expressed in the splenic CD103⁺ cDC2

subset and macrophages but not in the thymic counterparts. As expected, *Cd163* was

exclusively expressed in the macrophages.

Based on these results, we designated the rat CD103⁻MHCII⁺CD45R⁻CD172a⁺ subsets

as CD103⁻ cDC2 and the classical cDC2 subsets as CD103⁺ cDC2, respectively.

Gene set enrichment analysis revealed that the CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets are highly

immunologically potent cells.

We performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to examine the biological processes

of the CD103⁻ and CD103⁺ cDC2 subsets, analyzing the splenic and thymic subsets

separately. The full GSEA results are available in supplementary tables 1–4. To

summarize these findings, we used the treeplot function to group the 30 terms with the

lowest adjusted p-values (p.adjust) (Fig. 3A, C). In both the spleen and thymus, the

CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets exhibited groups indicating enhanced immune responses (e.g.,

"defense interleukin-1 II interferon" and "lipopolysaccharide molecule bacterial origin"),

with p.adjust values reaching saturation (1e-10), suggesting strong differences compared

to the CD103⁺ cDC2 subsets. Conversely, the CD103⁺ cDC2 subsets showed groups

primarily associated with the cell cycle (e.g., "cycle G2/M phase transition" and "spindle

microtubule cytoskeleton involved"), though with relatively higher p.adjust values,

ranging from 5.0e-06 to 3e-05.

To visualize gene set enrichments, we selected representative terms for the immune-

associated and cell cycle-associated gene sets and illustrated them in running score plots

(Fig. 3B, D). In both the thymus and spleen, immune-associated terms ("activation of

immune response", "phagocytosis", and "positive regulation of cytokine production") were

enriched in the CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets. Meanwhile, cell cycle-associated terms ("cell cycle

G2/M phase transition", "chromosome segregation", and "DNA replication") were

enriched in the CD103⁺ cDC2 subsets.

To further validate the GSEA results, we extracted genes from the immune-associated

and cell cycle-associated terms and applied them to the rat transcriptomes (Fig. S3).

Both the average fold changes (Fig. 3E) and the "differential scores" (see the Materials

& Methods, Fig. 3F) confirmed that immune-associated genes were enriched in the

CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets, while cell cycle-associated genes were enriched in the CD103⁺

cDC2 subsets. However, the absolute values for the cell cycle-associated gene set were

smaller than those of the immune-associated genes (0.59-0.65 vs. 1.8-3.4 in average fold

changes, 0.041-0.046 vs. 0.10-0.14 in differential scores), consistent with the lower

p.adjust values in the GSEAs.

The characteristics found in the transcriptome analyses were confirmed in *in vitro*

examinations.

To validate the enhanced immunologic potency of the CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets *in vitro*, we

performed mixed leukocyte reactions (MLRs) and reaggregated thymic organ cultures

(RTOCs) for the splenic and thymic CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets, respectively.

For the MLR assays, splenic cDC fractions were mixed with MHC-unmatched T cells

(Fig. S4A). The splenic CD103⁻ cDC2 subset induced significantly greater T cell

proliferation than the other two cDC subpopulations, as measured by both the

percentages and numbers of proliferating cells (Fig. 4A). Notably, we did not separate

CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells for the MLR assays, as it was uncertain which T cells would

proliferate primarily, and there might be interactions between these subsets via humoral

factors. As a result, all three cDC subsets primarily induced CD4⁺ T cell proliferation

(Fig. S4B), whereas only the cDC1 subset induced proliferation in a small portion of

TCR^{hi}CD8$^+$ T cells (data not shown).

In the RTOC experiments, a four-day culture of E18 rat fetal thymic cells effectively

facilitated reaggregation and generated single-positive thymocytes (Fig. S4C). Once the

experimental protocol was optimized, sorted thymic cDC fractions were added to RTOCs

at a 1% ratio. Both the CD103$^-$ cDC2 and CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets suppressed the overall

number of thymocytes within each RTOC, particularly reducing the counts of CD4

single-positive (CD4SP) cells in the cultures (Fig. 4B), suggesting enhanced negative

selection (23). The percentages of CD4SP cells within the thymocyte population

decreased in the CD103$^-$ cDC2- and CD103$^+$ cDC2-added RTOCs, while the percentages

of the CD8SP cells increased (Fig. 4C). Since CD62L is expressed on terminally

differentiated thymocytes (36,37), we analyzed CD62L expression to assess thymocyte

maturity (Fig. 4D). The cDC1 and CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets, but not the CD103$^-$ cDC2 subset,

increased CD62L positivity in the CD4SP population. Measurements of regulatory T

cells within the CD4SP population were also attempted, but the data were not

statistically significant and, therefore, are not shown.

The rat CD103$^-$ cDC2 subsets show similarities to mouse cDC2a, cDC2b, inf-cDC2, moDC,

and macrophages, while the CD103+ cDC2 subsets are similar only to cDC2a.

To determine which mouse cDC2 subpopulation the rat CD103- and CD103+ cDC2

subsets correspond to, we downloaded a gene expression matrix from a single-cell RNA-

seq study of mouse splenic CD11c+MHCII+ cells (38). The accession number is

GSM5941846. Clusters were reproduced following the distributed metadata (Fig. S5A).

Gene expression data were extracted from the scRNA-seq clusters for the similarity

analyses, and Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated between the rat DC

transcriptomes and the mouse expression data.

In line with the previous comparison using mouse bulk RNA-seq datasets (Fig. 2D), the

rat splenic CD103- cDC2 subset exhibited higher correlations with mouse cDC2 subsets

than the CD103+ cDC2 subset. Specifically, the CD103- cDC2 subset showed especially

high correlation coefficients with mature cDC2a, cDC2b, and monocytes, whereas the

CD103+ cDC2 subset only showed similarities with cDC2a subpopulations (Fig. 5A). To

further assess the differences in the correlation coefficients between the rat CD103- and

CD103+ cDC2 subsets, we calculated population standard deviations (SDs) between the

coefficients of the CD103- and CD103+ cDC2 subsets. SDs were notably large for

correlations with cDC2b subpopulations and monocytes.

At this point, we considered that the observed similarity of the rat CD103- cDC2 subset

to the monocyte subset might indicate that the rat CD103⁻ cDC2 cells possess an moDC

gene signature. To explore this possibility, we utilized an scRNA-seq dataset of

pneumonia virus of mice (PVM)-infected mouse lung DCs, which carefully distinguishes

cDC2, inf-cDC2, and moDC subsets (12). This dataset was downloaded from

http://bioit2.irc.ugent.be/cdc2/download.php, and clusters were reproduced following the

distributed metadata (Fig. S5B). As expected, the rat splenic CD103⁻ cDC2 subset

displayed a high correlation with the mouse lung moDC subset, while the rat splenic

CD103⁺ cDC2 subset exhibited a low correlation (Fig. 5B). Additionally, the rat splenic

CD103⁻ cDC2 subset showed a high correlation with inf-cDC2.

Finally, to confirm the high correlation between rat CD103⁻ cDC2 and mouse moDC in

the thymus, we referred to an scRNA-seq dataset of thymic DCs incorporating medullary

thymic epithelial cell (TEC)-derived TdTOMATO protein (39). The accession number is

E-MTAB-8028. The clusters were reproduced and visualized as similarly as possible to

the original research (Fig. S5C). Rat thymic CD103⁻ cDC2 strongly correlated with

mouse thymic moDC, while the rat thymic CD103⁺ cDC2 exhibited a much lower

correlation (Fig. 5C).

Markers of mouse cDC2b, inf-cDC2, and moDC are predominantly expressed in the

CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets.

To examine the expression of mouse subpopulation marker genes in the rat

transcriptomes, we extracted marker genes from the mouse scRNA-seq datasets using

the FindAllMarkers function and applied them to the rat microarray datasets (Fig. S5D–

F). Marker genes of mouse splenic cDC2b, splenic monocytes, lung inf-cDC2, lung moDC,

and thymic moDC displayed higher expression in the rat CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets. These

marker genes are listed in supplementary table 5. To assess the skewed expression

toward one of the cDC2 subsets, we calculated the average fold changes (Fig. 5D) and

"differential scores" (see Materials & Methods) (Fig. 5E). Both the average fold changes

and differential scores indicated that markers for splenic cDC2b, splenic monocytes, lung

inf-cDC2, lung moDC, and thymic moDC were predominantly expressed in the CD103⁻

cDC2 subsets.

Next, we analyzed the similarities between marker gene sets. Jaccard similarity

coefficients were calculated between the marker sets of mouse subpopulations

predominantly expressed in the rat CD103⁻ cDC2 subsets (Fig. S5G). Lung inf-cDC2

markers showed notably low similarities to those of cDC2b, monocyte, and lung moDC

subpopulations, indicating that the inf-cDC2 possesses unique marker genes distinct

from these other subsets. Thymic moDC subset markers showed similarities with splenic

cDC2b, lung inf-cDC2, and lung moDC subsets. These results suggest two key findings:

1) there are two marker set types—the cDC2b/moDC-type, which includes splenic cDC2b,

splenic monocytes, and lung moDC markers, and the inf-cDC2 type, which includes only

lung inf-cDC2; 2) thymic moDCs have both types of marker sets.

We further examined marker gene expressions using heatmaps, selecting the splenic

cDC2b marker, lung inf-cDC2 marker, and lung moDC marker sets as representatives

(Fig. 5F–H). The majority of the splenic cDC2b, lung inf-cDC2, and lung moDC markers

were predominantly expressed in the CD103$^-$ cDC2 subsets compared to the CD103$^+$

cDC2 subsets, in both the thymus and spleen. We also curated some genes from related

research (12,7) and reviewed their expression on the heatmaps. As expected, the cDC2b

markers and moDC markers shared many common genes, such as *Clec4a1*, *Clec4a3*,

Clec12a, *Fcgr2b*, *Fcer1g*, *Ccr2*, and *Csf1r*. On the other hand, within inf-cDC2 markers,

interferon-activated antiviral genes such as *Ifit2*, *Ifit3*, and *Rsad2*, along with

chemokines *Cxcl9* and *Cxcl10* and the differentiation marker *Mnda* (*Ifi205*), were

predominantly expressed in the rat CD103$^-$ cDC2 subsets. In the moDC-specific markers,

CD64 (*Fcgr1a*, *Fcgr1* in mouse), the MAR-1 epitope *Fcgr3a* (*Fcgr4* in mouse) (35), and

the monocyte lineage marker *Mafb* (9) were also detected.

The rat splenic CD103⁻ cDC2 subset includes counterparts of human CLEC10A⁺ cDC2

and CCR7⁺ cDC2 subpopulations.

To compare the rat cDC2 transcriptomes to human cDC2 subpopulations, we

downloaded scRNA-seq data from a published study on human splenic DCs (40),

accession number GSM4504590. Clusters and the tSNE projection were reproduced

based on the distributed metadata (Fig. S6A). For the comparison, Pearson correlation

coefficients were calculated as shown in Fig. 5A–C (Fig. 6A). The splenic rat CD103⁻

cDC2 subsets showed similarities to all human cDC2 subpopulations, whereas the

correlation coefficients for the CD103⁺ cDC2 subset were notably low when compared to

human CLEC10A⁺ cDC2b and CCR7⁺ cDC2 subpopulations, resulting in higher SDs.

Marker genes were identified and applied to the rat transcriptomes (Fig. S6B), and

average fold changes and differential scores were calculated (Fig. 6B, C). The marker

genes are listed in the supplementary table 5. As expected from the Pearson correlation

analysis, the average fold changes and differential scores were relatively high for

markers of the human CLEC10A⁺ cDC2b and CCR7⁺ cDC2 subpopulations. However,

their maximum values—2.9 and 0.34, respectively—were much lower than those

observed in the comparisons with mouse data (Fig. 5D, E), suggesting that the

similarities between rats and humans are lower than those within rodent species.

To provide an overview of the expression of CLEC10A^{+} cDC2b and CCR7^{+} cDC2

markers in the rat cDC2 subsets, we visualized the data using heatmaps (Fig. 6D, E).

Genes reported as markers for cDC2b and moDC — *Fcgr1a, Fcgr2b, Mnda, Cebpb, Csf1r,*

Csf3r, Clec10a, and *Clec12a* — were among the CLEC10A^{+} cDC2b markers and were

predominantly expressed in the rat CD103^{-} cDC2 subsets. For CCR7^{+} cDC2 markers,

complement genes *C1qa* and *C1qb*, along with chemokines *Cxcl9* and *Cxcl10,* showed

predominant expression in the CD103^{-} cDC2 subsets in both the rat spleen and thymus.

However, *Ccr7* and *Il4i1* expression was concentrated in the CD103^{+} cDC2 subset in the

rat thymus.

Discussion

In this report, we discovered the rat CD103^{-} DC subsets in the thymus and spleen. Both

flow cytometry and transcriptome analyses revealed that these CD103^{-} DC cells are

novel subsets of CD172a^{+} cDC2. Moreover, while the classic CD103^{+} cDC2 subsets

corresponded exclusively to cDC2a subpopulations, the novel rat CD103^{-} cDC2 subsets

contained the counterparts of mouse and human cDC2 subpopulations: cDC2a, cDC2b,

inf-cDC2, and moDC. Additionally, the splenic rat CD103^{-} cDC2 subset demonstrated

enhanced immunogenic activity *in vitro*, consistent with the *in silico* analysis.

Researchers working with rats, particularly in the field of immunology, should take

these discoveries into account.

Regarding splenic cDC subsets, utilizing *Tbx21[RFP-Cre]* mice, Brown *et al.* characterized

and designated T-bet+ and T-bet- cDC2s as cDC2a and cDC2b, respectively (40). They

also identified counterparts of these cDC2a and cDC2b subsets in human spleens. The

cDC2a subset is marked by high *Esam* expression, while the cDC2b subset expresses

Clec10a, Clec12a, Csf1r, Cx3cr1, Ccr2, Tlr8, and *Tlr9*. Characteristics of the cDC2b

subset align with the previously reported *Notch2*-independent cDC2s (41) and *KLF4*-

dependent cDC2s (42,43). Although *Mgl2* is a marker for non-mDC cDC2 (similar to

cDC2a in peripheral tissues) in the thymus (39), it is expressed on *KLF4*-dependent

cDC2s (presumably cDC2b) in the lung and liver, but not in lymph nodes (43), suggesting

that *Mgl2* could serve as another marker for peripheral cDC2b.

Despite observing the unique expression of many of the cDC2b/moDC signature genes

(Fig. 5F, H), we did not find significant differences in *Tbx21* and *Esam* expression

between the rat CD103- cDC2 and CD103+ cDC2 subsets (Fig. 2E), suggesting either

species differences or the need for more detailed gating. Additionally, both Klf4 and

Notch2 were highly expressed in both subsets, indicating that while they are essential

for differentiating cDC2a and cDC2b, their expression levels alone are insufficient as

markers. At this point, CD103 can serve as a reliable marker to distinguish cDC2

subpopulations in rats. Utilizing CD103 and established antibodies, we can identify the

rat cDC2 subsets that include cDC2b, inf-cDC2, and moDC counterparts.

Functionally, the cDC2b subset exhibits enhanced secretion of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10

upon TLR agonist or CpG stimulation (40,42). T cells primed by cDC2b produce higher

levels of IFN-γ and IL-17 and show increased proliferation. DC-specific *Klf4* knockout

mice have fewer Th17 cells in skin and skin-draining lymph nodes (42). Our rat splenic

CD103⁻ cDC2s showed enrichment of TNF-α and IL-6-associated gene sets in *in silico*

analyses (Fig. 3A and supplementary table 1) and induced greater T cell proliferation in

MLR assays (Fig. 4A). These findings are consistent with known functional attributes of

cDC2b.

However, the high potency of cDC2b does not imply that peripheral cDC2a subsets are

low-potency cells. Notch2-dependent DCs (presumably cDC2a) are crucial for responses

against xenogeneic red blood cells, heat-killed *Listeria monocytogenes* (44), and

Citrobacter rodentium (45). These functions are likely mediated through antigen

presentation via MHCII molecules. Since we could not assess the antigen-presenting

ability of rat DC subsets due to the lack of appropriate TCR transgenic animals, it

remains possible that the rat CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets play significant roles in adaptive immunity.

Inf-cDC2 is a relatively newly defined subpopulation, typically induced under inflammatory conditions (12,46). To our knowledge, no reports indicate the presence of this subset in healthy tissue, making the predominant expression of inf-cDC2 markers in the rat CD103$^-$ cDC2 subsets from healthy animals unexpected (Fig. 5G). Further analysis, such as scRNA-seq or fate mapping, is required to determine whether inf-cDC2 counterparts exist in healthy rat organs. Researchers should consider this possibility when studying rat DCs.

As mentioned in the introduction, distinguishing cDC2b from moDC is challenging. One study suggested that, in a steady state, there are no *Zbtb46$^+$* DCs with a history of *Mafb* expression in the spleen (9). However, our splenic CD103$^-$ cDC2 transcriptome exhibited significant expression of *Zbtb46* and *Mafb*, as well as other moDC markers such as *Cd14*, *Adgre1*, and *Csf1r* (Fig. 2F), suggesting that in rat spleens, some moDCs may be present even in a steady state.

Of the reported subsets of thymic cDC2 thus far, CD14$^+$ moDCs were first described by Vobořil *et al*. in 2020 (39). These moDC cells predominantly express

monocyte/macrophage gene signature molecules and some chemokine receptors such as

Cxcr2, Ccr1, Ccr5, Cxcr4, Cx3cr1, and *Ccr2.* It has been shown that chemokines secreted

from CpG-stimulated TECs recruit moDCs to the thymus, ultimately facilitating antigen

transfer from TECs to moDCs. Therefore, TEC-specific disruption of TLR signaling leads

to reduced moDC recruitment, impaired Treg development, and failure to prevent

experimental colitis. Additionally, moDCs are reported to incorporate TEC-derived

antigens more effectively than other cDC subpopulations, highlighting their essential

role as antigen-presenting cells in the negative selection process (47).

Although the term moDC was not used to describe them, CX3CR1[+] cDC2s have been

reported to localize trans-endothelially in the thymus, capturing blood-borne molecules

(5), and play a critical role in inducing gut commensal bacteria-specific T cells (6).

Our rat thymic CD103⁻ cDC2 subset showed a high similarity score to the mouse thymic

moDC subset (Fig. 5C), and mouse moDC markers were predominantly expressed in the

rat thymic CD103⁻ cDC2 subset (Fig. 5D, E). These results suggest that the rat thymic

CD103⁻ cDC2 subset is either the counterpart of the mouse moDC subset or includes it.

GSEA results further revealed that both the rat CD103⁻ cDC2 (Fig. 3C) and mouse

moDCs (data not shown) are enriched with various immune activation-associated terms.

However, non-moDC cDC2 cells are also crucial in the negative selection. Breed *et al.*

found that the CD301b+ (*Mgl2*, the non-moDC cDC2 marker) cDC2 subset exhibits

enhanced MHCII expression, H2-DM/H2-DO ratio, and I-A^b CLIP expression, suggesting

improved antigen presentation (33). Indeed, CD301b+ cell-eliminated mice display a

broader TCR repertoire, implying impaired negative selection.

In line with the reported roles of both moDC and non-moDC cDC2 subsets in negative

selection, our RTOC experiments demonstrated that both the rat CD103$^-$ and CD103$^+$

cDC2 subsets suppressed thymocyte generation and shifted their differentiation toward

CD8SP, indicating enhanced negative selection (Fig. 4B). It is difficult to conclude

definitively whether one subset is more significant in this process; their importance

likely varies depending of experimental context and antigen type. Notably, only the

CD103$^+$ cDC2 subset promoted the maturation of the generated CD4SP thymocytes (Fig.

4D), suggesting that negative selection and subsequent maturation may be regulated by

different cell populations and molecules.

In contrast to the immune-associated terms enriched in the CD103$^-$ cDC2 subsets, the

p.adjust values of cell cycle-associated terms enriched in the rat CD103$^+$ cDC2 were high

(Fig. 3A, C), and their absolute values for average fold changes and differential scores

were low (Fig. 3E, F). Additionally, we did not observe significant differences in

proliferative marker Ki-67 expression between the rat CD103$^-$ cDC2 and the rat CD103$^+$

cDC2 subsets by flow cytometry (data not shown). Supporting these findings, mitotic

DCs are a minor component of mouse and human cDC2 subsets (Fig. S5A, B, S6A).

Therefore, it is noteworthy that immune-associated terms in the rat CD103$^+$ cDC2

subsets were even less significant than these weak cell cycle-associated terms. This may

imply that the immunological activity of the rat CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets, if any, requires

a priming event(s) to become fully apparent.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the presence of novel CD103$^-$ conventional DC subsets

in the rat spleen and thymus. These are subpopulations of cDC2 and include

counterparts of mouse and human cDC2a, cDC2b, inf-DC2, and moDC subpopulations,

while the classic rat CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets correspond exclusively to cDC2a

subpopulations. Although identifying cDC2 subpopulations in mice and humans is

challenging, rat researchers can reliably identify these potent subsets using well-

established antibodies.

Author Contributions

Yasushi Sawanobori developed the concept, designed and performed all experiments

and analyses, and wrote the codes and manuscript. Tadayuki Ogawa, Yusuke Kitazawa,

Hisashi Ueta, and Nobuko Tokuda provided the research environment, contributed

materials, and supervised the research.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ms. Yasuko Nonaka for her expert operation of the cell

sorter. We are deeply grateful to Prof. Emer. Kenjiro Matsuno, for his insightful

comment years ago: "There would be CD103⁻ DCs in rat tissues." Lastly, we thank

ChatGPT, who greatly supports me in learning R and English writing.

Figure legends

Figure1. CD103⁻MHCII⁺CD45R⁻CD172a⁺ cells are found in rat lymphoid tissues.

(A) Digested rat spleens and thymi were subjected to flow cytometric analysis. In

addition to CD103⁺ cDCs, CD45R⁻CD172a⁺ cells were identified within the CD103⁻

MHCII⁺ subsets. Representative plots from an 8-week-old Lewis rat are shown.

(B) Images of May-Grünwald-Giemsa-stained sorted subsets. The cells were isolated

from 10-week-old Lewis rats.

(C) Percentages and absolute numbers of the three subsets. P-values from Student's t-

tests are displayed.

Figure 2. Transcriptomic analyses reveal that CD103⁻MHCII⁺CD45R⁻CD172a⁺ cells are

a highly potent subpopulation of cDC2.

(A) PCA of cDC1, classic cDC2, CD103⁻MHCII⁺CD45R⁻CD172a⁺ subsets, and HIS36⁺

macrophages. Each subset was derived from both spleens and thymi and includes two

samples. Cells were isolated from 8–11-week-old Lewis rats.

(B) Sources of variation values calculated by the TAC.

(C) Number of differentially expressed genes between indicated combinations. Genes

with a p-value < 0.05 and fold change > 10 or < -10 were considered differentially

expressed.

(D) Pearson correlation coefficients between rat and mouse cDC subsets displayed as a

heatmap. The mouse splenic cDC2 transcriptome was calculated from CD301b⁺ and

CD301b⁻ cDC2 subpopulations, which comprise 46% and 54% of the parent subset,

respectively.

(E) Expression of cDC2 and cDC1 markers in rat and mouse cDC subsets. For genes

converted from mouse to rat, the original mouse orthologs are shown in parentheses.

Data are normalized per row, with Z-scores representing relative expression levels.

(F) Expression of DC and macrophage/moDC markers in rat DC and macrophage subsets.

Mouse orthologs are indicated in parentheses. Data are normalized per row, with Z-

scores representing relative expression levels.

Figure 3. Gene set enrichment analyses of the rat CD103⁻ and CD103⁺ cDC2 subsets.

GSEAs were performed between rat splenic CD103⁻ cDC2 and CD103⁺ cDC2 subsets (A,

B) and thymic CD103⁻ cDC2 and CD103⁺ cDC2 subsets (C, D). The results are divided

into CD103⁻ cDC2-enriched and CD103⁺ cDC2-enriched terms and displayed as tree plots

(A, C). Representative gene sets for immune-associated terms (GO:0006909, GO:0002253,

GO:0001819) and cell cycle-associated terms (GO:0006260, GO:0007059, GO:0044839)

terms are shown as running plots (B, D).

(E, F) Marker gene sets were extracted from immune and cell cycle-associated terms.

The average fold changes (E) and differential scores (F) between the rat CD103⁻ and

CD103⁺ cDC2 subsets were calculated within each marker set.

Figure 4. *In vitro* analyses reveal that CD103⁻ cDC2s are highly potent in T cell priming

and negative selection.

(A) MLRs were performed using Lewis DCs and ACI T cells. The percentage of

proliferating (CellTrace-negative fraction) T cells and absolute numbers of T cells per

well are shown. The MLRs were performed in triplicates. Representative data from three

independent experiments are shown. *: p < 0.05.

(B–D) RTOCs were performed with fetal thymic cells mixed with 1% of thymic DCs

sorted from adult rats. The total number of thymocytes (7AAD$^-$CD45$^+$ cells), CD4SP, and

CD8SP subsets (B), as well as the percentages of CD4SP and CD8SP (C) and CD62L$^+$

cells (D), are displayed. Data from three independent experiments were pooled and

shown as relative values against the controls. *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.005, ***: p < 0.0005,

****: p < 0.00005.

Figure 5. Similarity analyses between rat cDC subsets and mouse cDC subpopulations.

(A–C) Pearson correlation coefficients between rat CD103$^-$ and CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets

and mouse DC subpopulations from different tissues: splenic DCs (A), PVM-infected lung

DCs (B), and thymic TdTOMATO-incorporating DCs (C). SDs were also calculated

between correlations of rat CD103$^-$ and CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets.

(D, E) Marker gene sets were generated from mouse scRNA-seq datasets. Mouse

subpopulations within the cDC2a and cDC2b subsets were merged to generate marker

sets. The average fold changes (D) and differential scores (E) between the rat CD103$^-$

and CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets were calculated within each marker set.

(F–H) Expression of mouse cDC2b markers (F), mouse lung inf-cDC2 markers (G), and

lung moDC markers (H) in the rat CD103$^-$ and CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets, displayed as

heatmaps. The indicated genes are manually curated. Original mouse orthologs are

shown in parentheses for genes converted from mouse to rat. Data are normalized per

row, with Z-scores representing relative expression levels.

Figure 6. Similarity analyses between rat cDC subsets and human cDC subpopulations.

(A) Pearson correlation coefficients between the rat CD103$^-$ and CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets

and human splenic DC subpopulations.

(B, C) Marker gene sets were generated from human scRNA-seq dataset. The average

fold changes (B) and differential scores (C) between the rat CD103$^-$ and CD103$^+$ cDC2

subsets were calculated within each marker set.

(E, E) Expression of human splenic CLEC10A$^+$ cDC2 markers (E) and CCR7$^+$ cDC2 lung

moDC markers (F) in the rat CD103$^-$ and CD103$^+$ cDC2 subsets, displayed as heatmaps.

The indicated genes are manually curated. Data are normalized per row, with Z-scores

representing relative expression levels.

Supplementary Figure 1. Gating strategy for rat DC analysis and surface molecule

expression of rat DC subsets.

(A) Gating strategy for the three rat DC subsets in the spleen and thymus.

(B) Autofluorescence of splenic PI^{dull} cells.

(C) Surface molecule expression of the three DC subsets in the thymus and spleen.

(D) CD172a and XCR1 expression on CD103$^+$MHCII$^+$ cDC subsets and the CD103$^-$

MHCII$^+$CD45R$^-$CD161$^+$ novel cDC subset in the thymus and spleen.

All data were collected from 8-week-old rats.

Supplementary Figure 2. Gating strategies for cell sorting.

(A, B) Gating strategies for cell sorting of splenic DCs (A) and thymic DCs (B).

(C) Expression of macrophage markers in low-density cells.

(D) Identical staining with anti-CD163 and HIS36 antibodies.

(E) Gating strategies for sorting of splenic and thymic macrophages.

Rats were 10 weeks old (A, B), 12 weeks old (C), 8 weeks old (D), and 9 weeks old (E).

Supplementary Figure 3. Immune-associated and cell cycle-associated gene sets applied

to scatter plots of rat transcriptomes.

Gene sets for immune-associated (GO:0006909, GO:0002253, GO:0001819) and cell

cycle-associated (GO:0006260, GO:0007059, GO:0044839) terms were extracted and

applied to scatter plots of rat CD103⁻ and CD103⁺ cDC2 transcriptomes.

Supplementary Figure 4. Gating strategies and representative results of MLR and

RTOC analyses.

(A) Gating strategy and a representative result of the MLR, showing an excerpt from

MLRs with 1000 CD103⁻ cDC2 cells.

(B) CD4–CD8 profiles of T cells in MLR experiments. T cells were stimulated by 1000

DCs.

(C) Gating strategy and a representative result of the RTOC, showing an excerpt from

no DC-RTOCs.

Supplementary Figure 5. 2D projections of mouse scRNA-seq and mouse cDC2

subpopulation markers applied to scatter plots of rat transcriptomes.

(A–C) Umap projections of mouse splenic DC (A), PVM-infected lung DC (B), and thymic

TdTOMATO-incorporating DC (C) subsets. For (A), clusters were taken from the original

metadata, but the projection was newly generated. For (B), both the clustering and

projection were taken from the original metadata. For (C), both the clustering and projection were newly generated to reproduce the original research.

(D–F) Gene marker sets from mouse splenic (D), lung (E), and thymic (F) cDC2 subpopulations were applied to the rat microarray data. Average fold changes and differential scores were then calculated.

(G) Jaccard similarity coefficients calculated between the mouse marker gene sets displayed as a heatmap.

Supplementary Figure 6. 2D projection of human scRNA-seq and human cDC2 subpopulation markers applied to rat transcriptomes.

(A) tSNE projections of human splenic DC subpopulations. The clustering and projection were taken from the original metadata.

(B) Gene marker sets from human splenic cDC2 subpopulations were applied to the rat microarray data. Then, average fold changes and differential scores were calculated.

Reference

(1) Cabeza-Cabrerizo M, Cardoso A, Minutti CM, Pereira da Costa M, Reis e Sousa C. Dendritic Cells Revisited. Annu Rev Immunol 2021 April 26;39:131–166.

(2) Atibalentja DF, Murphy KM, Unanue ER. Functional redundancy between thymic CD8alpha+ and Sirpalpha+ conventional dendritic cells in presentation of blood-derived lysozyme by MHC class II proteins. J Immunol 2011 February 01;186(3):1421–1431.

(3) Perry JSA, Lio CJ, Kau AL, Nutsch K, Yang Z, Gordon JI, et al. Distinct contributions of Aire and antigen-presenting-cell subsets to the generation of self-tolerance in the thymus. Immunity 2014 September 18;41(3):414–426.

(4) Pohar J, Simon Q, Fillatreau S. Antigen-Specificity in the Thymic Development and Peripheral Activity of CD4(+)FOXP3(+) T Regulatory Cells. Front Immunol 2018 July 23;9:1701.

(5) Vollmann EH, Rattay K, Barreiro O, Thiriot A, Fuhlbrigge RA, Vrbanac V, et al. Specialized transendothelial dendritic cells mediate thymic T-cell selection against blood-borne macromolecules. Nat Commun 2021 October 28;12(1):6230–x.

(6) Zegarra-Ruiz DF, Kim DV, Norwood K, Kim M, Wu WH, Saldana-Morales FB, et al. Thymic development of gut-microbiota-specific T cells. Nature 2021 June 01;594(7863):413–417.

(7) Backer RA, Probst HC, Clausen BE. Classical DC2 subsets and monocyte-derived DC: Delineating the developmental and functional relationship. Eur J Immunol 2023 March 01;53(3):e2149548.

(8) Liu Z, Gu Y, Chakarov S, Bleriot C, Kwok I, Chen X, et al. Fate Mapping via Ms4a3-Expression History Traces Monocyte-Derived Cells. Cell 2019 September 05;178(6):1509–1525.e19.

(9) Wu X, Briseno CG, Durai V, Albring JC, Haldar M, Bagadia P, et al. Mafb lineage tracing to distinguish macrophages from other immune lineages reveals dual identity of Langerhans cells. J Exp Med 2016 November 14;213(12):2553–2565.

(10) Schraml BU, van Blijswijk J, Zelenay S, Whitney PG, Filby A, Acton SE, et al. Genetic tracing via DNGR-1 expression history defines dendritic cells as a hematopoietic lineage. Cell 2013 August 15;154(4):843–858.

(11) Guilliams M, Dutertre C, Scott CL, McGovern N, Sichien D, Chakarov S, et al. Unsupervised High-Dimensional Analysis Aligns Dendritic Cells across Tissues and Species. Immunity 2016 September 20;45(3):669–684.

(12) Bosteels C, Neyt K, Vanheerswynghels M, van Helden MJ, Sichien D, Debeuf N, et al. Inflammatory Type 2 cDCs Acquire Features of cDC1s and Macrophages to Orchestrate Immunity to Respiratory Virus Infection. Immunity 2020 June 16;52(6):1039–1056.e9.

(13) Zanna MY, Yasmin AR, Omar AR, Arshad SS, Mariatulqabtiah AR, Nur-Fazila SH, et al. Review of Dendritic Cells, Their Role in Clinical Immunology, and Distribution in Various Animal Species. Int J Mol Sci 2021 July 28;22(15):8044. doi: 10.3390/ijms22158044.

(14) Brenan M, Puklavec M. The MRC OX-62 antigen: a useful marker in the purification of rat veiled cells with the biochemical properties of an integrin. J Exp Med 1992 June 01;175(6):1457–1465.

(15) Matsuno K, Kudo S, Ezaki T, Miyakawa K. Isolation of dendritic cells in the rat liver lymph. Transplantation 1995 October 15;60(7):765–768.

(16) Fujinaka H, Nameta M, Kovalenko P, Matsuki A, Kato N, Nishimoto G, et al. Periglomerular accumulation of dendritic cells in rat crescentic glomerulonephritis. J Nephrol 2007 June 01;20(3):357–363.

(17) de la Mata M, Riera CM, Iribarren P. Identification of a CD8alpha(+) dendritic cell subpopulation in rat spleen and evaluation of its OX-62 expression. Clin Immunol 2001 December 01;101(3):371–378.

(18) Sawanobori Y, Kitazawa Y, Ueta H, Matsuno K, Tokuda N. Selective involution of thymic medulla by cyclosporine A with a decrease of mature thymic epithelia, XCR1(+) dendritic cells, and epithelium-free areas containing Foxp3(+) thymic regulatory T cells. Histochem Cell Biol 2021 August 01;156(2):133–146.

(19) Ueta H, Xu X, Yu B, Kitazawa Y, Yu E, Hara Y, et al. Suppression of liver transplant rejection by anti-donor MHC antibodies via depletion of donor immunogenic dendritic cells. Int Immunol 2021 April 22;33(5):261–272.

(20) Brenan M, Rees DJ. Sequence analysis or rat integrin alphaE1 and alphaE2

subunits: tissue expression reveals phenotypic similarities between intraepithelial

lymphocytes and dendritic cells in lymph. Eur J Immunol 2000 June 01;30(6):1527–

1537.

(21) Kitazawa Y, Ueta H, Sawanobori Y, Katakai T, Yoneyama H, Ueha S, et al. Novel

Targeting to XCR1(+) Dendritic Cells Using Allogeneic T Cells for Polytopical Antibody

Responses in the Lymph Nodes. Front Immunol 2019 May 29;10:1195.

(22) Anderson G, Jenkinson EJ. Fetal thymus organ culture. CSH Protoc 2007 August

01;2007:pdb.prot4808.

(23) Guerri L, Peguillet I, Geraldo Y, Nabti S, Premel V, Lantz O. Analysis of APC

types involved in CD4 tolerance and regulatory T cell generation using reaggregated

thymic organ cultures. J Immunol 2013 March 01;190(5):2102–2110.

(24) Josien R, Heslan M, Soulillou JP, Cuturi MC. Rat spleen dendritic cells express

natural killer cell receptor protein 1 (NKR-P1) and have cytotoxic activity to select

targets via a Ca2+-dependent mechanism. J Exp Med 1997 August 04;186(3):467–472.

(25) Brissette-Storkus CS, Kettel JC, Whitham TF, Giezeman-Smits KM, Villa LA,

Potter DM, et al. Flt-3 ligand (FL) drives differentiation of rat bone marrow-derived

dendritic cells expressing OX62 and/or CD161 (NKR-P1). J Leukoc Biol 2002 June

01;71(6):941–949.

(26) Hume DA, Caruso M, Keshvari S, Patkar OL, Sehgal A, Bush SJ, et al. The

Mononuclear Phagocyte System of the Rat. J Immunol 2021 May 15;206(10):2251–

2263.

(27) Dijkstra CD, Dopp EA, Joling P, Kraal G. The heterogeneity of mononuclear

phagocytes in lymphoid organs: distinct macrophage subpopulations in the rat

recognized by monoclonal antibodies ED1, ED2 and ED3. Immunology 1985 March

01;54(3):589–599.

(28) Barnett-Vanes A, Sharrock A, Birrell MA, Rankin S. A Single 9-Colour Flow

Cytometric Method to Characterise Major Leukocyte Populations in the Rat: Validation

in a Model of LPS-Induced Pulmonary Inflammation. PLoS One 2016 January

14;11(1):e0142520.

(29) van Goor H, Harms G, Gerrits PO, Kroese FG, Poppema S, Grond J. Immunohistochemical antigen demonstration in plastic-embedded lymphoid tissue. J Histochem Cytochem 1988 January 01;36(1):115–120.

(30) Magnusson P, Dziendzikowska K, Oczkowski M, Ovrevik J, Eide DM, Brunborg G, et al. Lung effects of 7- and 28-day inhalation exposure of rats to emissions from 1st and 2nd generation biodiesel fuels with and without particle filter - The FuelHealth project. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 2019 April 01;67:8–20.

(31) Yellowhair TR, Noor S, Mares B, Jose C, Newville JC, Maxwell JR, et al. Chorioamnionitis in Rats Precipitates Extended Postnatal Inflammatory Lymphocyte Hyperreactivity. Dev Neurosci 2019 March 28:1–11.

(32) Bautista AP, Skrepnik N, Niesman MR, Bagby GJ. Elimination of macrophages by liposome-encapsulated dichloromethylene diphosphonate suppresses the endotoxin-induced priming of Kupffer cells. J Leukoc Biol 1994 March 01;55(3):321–327.

(33) Breed ER, Voboril M, Ashby KM, Martinez RJ, Qian L, Wang H, et al. Type 2 cytokines in the thymus activate Sirpalpha(+) dendritic cells to promote clonal deletion. Nat Immunol 2022 July 01;23(7):1042–1051.

(34) Hongo D, Zheng P, Dutt S, Pawar RD, Meyer E, Engleman EG, et al. Identification of Two Subsets of Murine DC1 Dendritic Cells That Differ by Surface Phenotype, Gene Expression, and Function. Front Immunol 2021 October 26;12:746469.

(35) Tang X, Jung JB, Allen CDC. A case of mistaken identity: The MAR-1 antibody to mouse FcεRIα cross-reacts with FcγRI and FcγRIV. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2020 -04-01;143(4):1643.

(36) Weinreich MA, Hogquist KA. Thymic emigration: when and how T cells leave home. J Immunol 2008 August 15;181(4):2265–2270.

(37) Steier Z, Aylard DA, McIntyre LL, Baldwin I, Kim EJY, Lutes LK, et al. Single-cell multiomic analysis of thymocyte development reveals drivers of CD4(+) T cell and CD8(+) T cell lineage commitment. Nat Immunol 2023 September 01;24(9):1579–1590.

(38) Lukowski SW, Rodahl I, Kelly S, Yu M, Gotley J, Zhou C, et al. Absence of Batf3 reveals a new dimension of cell state heterogeneity within conventional dendritic cells. iScience 2021 April 15;24(5):102402.

(39) Voboril M, Brabec T, Dobes J, Splichalova I, Brezina J, Cepkova A, et al. Toll-like receptor signaling in thymic epithelium controls monocyte-derived dendritic cell recruitment and Treg generation. Nat Commun 2020 May 12;11(1):2361–3.

(40) Brown CC, Gudjonson H, Pritykin Y, Deep D, Lavallee V, Mendoza A, et al. Transcriptional Basis of Mouse and Human Dendritic Cell Heterogeneity. Cell 2019 October 31;179(4):846–863.e24.

(41) Lewis KL, Caton ML, Bogunovic M, Greter M, Grajkowska LT, Ng D, et al. Notch2 receptor signaling controls functional differentiation of dendritic cells in the spleen and intestine. Immunity 2011 November 23;35(5):780–791.

(42) Rodrigues PF, Kouklas A, Cvijetic G, Bouladoux N, Mitrovic M, Desai JV, et al. pDC-like cells are pre-DC2 and require KLF4 to control homeostatic CD4 T cells. Sci Immunol 2023 February 24;8(80):eadd4132.

(43) Tussiwand R, Everts B, Grajales-Reyes GE, Kretzer NM, Iwata A, Bagaitkar J, et al. Klf4 expression in conventional dendritic cells is required for T helper 2 cell responses. Immunity 2015 May 19;42(5):916–928.

(44) Briseno CG, Satpathy AT, Davidson JT4, Ferris ST, Durai V, Bagadia P, et al. Notch2-dependent DC2s mediate splenic germinal center responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2018 October 16;115(42):10726–10731.

(45) Satpathy AT, Briseno CG, Lee JS, Ng D, Manieri NA, Kc W, et al. Notch2-dependent classical dendritic cells orchestrate intestinal immunity to attaching-and-effacing bacterial pathogens. Nat Immunol 2013 September 01;14(9):937–948.

(46) Izumi G, Nakano H, Nakano K, Whitehead GS, Grimm SA, Fessler MB, et al. CD11b(+) lung dendritic cells at different stages of maturation induce Th17 or Th2 differentiation. Nat Commun 2021 August 19;12(1):5029–x.

(47) Voboril M, Brezina J, Brabec T, Dobes J, Ballek O, Dobesova M, et al. A model of preferential pairing between epithelial and dendritic cells in thymic antigen transfer. Elife 2022 January 31;11:10.7554/eLife.71578.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 6

A Human splenic Lin⁻CD14⁻CD11c⁺MHCII⁺ (Brown *et al.*)

B Average Fold Change of Markers

C Differential score

D Human CLEC10A⁺ cDC2 markers

E Human CCR7⁺ cDC2 markers

Supplementary Figure 3

Supplementary Figure 4

A

B

C

Supplementary Figure 5

Supplementary Figure 6

A

Human splenic Lin⁻CD14⁻CD11c⁺MHCII⁺ cells
(Brown *et al.*)

B