Logic-based machine learning predicts how escitalopram attenuates cardiomyocyte hypertrophy

Taylor G. Eggertsen, Joshua G. Travers, Elizabeth J. Hardy, Matthew J. Wolf, Timothy A. McKinsey, and

Jeffrey J. Saucerman

Contact: jsaucerman@virginia.edu

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Cardiomyocyte hypertrophy is a key clinical predictor of heart failure. High-throughput and AI-driven

screens have potential to identify drugs and downstream pathways that modulate cardiomyocyte

hypertrophy.

Methods

Here we developed LogiRx, a logic-based mechanistic machine learning method that predicts drug-

induced pathways. We applied LogiRx to discover how drugs discovered in a previous compound screen

attenuate cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. We experimentally validated LogiRx predictions in neonatal

cardiomyocytes, adult mice, and two patient databases.

Results

Using LogiRx, we predicted anti-hypertrophic pathways for 7 drugs currently used to treat non-cardiac

disease. We experimentally validated that escitalopram (Lexapro) and mifepristone inhibit hypertrophy

of cultured cardiomyocytes in two contexts. The LogiRx model predicted that escitalopram prevents

hypertrophy through an "off-target" serotonin receptor/PI3Kγ pathway, mechanistically validated using

additional investigational drugs. Further, escitalopram reduced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy in a mouse

model of hypertrophy and fibrosis. Finally, mining of both FDA and University of Virginia databases

showed that patients with depression on escitalopram have a lower incidence of cardiac hypertrophy

than those prescribed other serotonin reuptake inhibitors that do not target the serotonin receptor.

Conclusion

Mechanistic machine learning by LogiRx discovers drug pathways that perturb cell states, which may

enable repurposing of escitalopram and other drugs to limit cardiac remodeling through "off-target"

pathways.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac hypertrophy is a key clinical predictor of heart failure[1-5]. Hypertrophy is a response to various physiological stressors, such as pressure overload, drug toxicity, or gene mutations[6]. Several drugs prescribed for heart failure also affect cardiac hypertrophy, such as angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, beta blockers, and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs)[7]. But heart failure rates are still rising, with a five year mortality rate > 40%[8]. There has been increasing effort towards drug repurposing for cardiovascular indications to accelerate translation and reduce cost[9,10]. One such success is the repurposing of SGLT2 inhibitors from diabetes to cardiovascular disease[11].

Numerous medications not initially designed for cardiac treatment impact the progression of cardiac hypertrophy[12-15], although their mechanisms are largely unknown. Experimental approaches to prioritize drugs from large screens and investigate their mechanisms are time intensive and costly. Drug development is further hindered by the complexity of hypertrophic signaling, with a high degree of cross-talk between multiple molecular pathways[7,16]. Protein interaction databases and gene regulatory networks have been used previously to explore drug target interactions[17,18], however these studies have primarily examined network topology rather than simulating network response to drugs.

To address the challenges of mapping and mechanistically understanding drug responses from phenotypic screens, we developed a logic-based predictor of drug pathways (LogiRx). LogiRx maps from drug-target and protein interaction databases to curated logic-based network models of cell phenotype. We used LogiRx to prioritize seven anti-hypertrophic drugs identified in a previous cell-based screen[12], providing mechanistic insight to how two drugs attenuate hypertrophy via "off-target" pathways. LogiRx predictions were experimentally validated in cultured cardiomyocytes, mice, and in two patient databases of electronic health records. Together, these studies validate the LogiRx method and provide mechanistic insights into how escitalopram (Lexapro) and other drugs modulate cardiomyocyte hypertrophy.

METHODS

Linking drug targets to logic-based signaling network using LogiRx

We used a published logic-based differential equation model of the cardiomyocyte hypertrophy signaling network[19]. This literature-based network of proteins and genes is composed of 107 nodes (proteins or mRNAs) and 193 edges, validated against 450 experiments across the literature with 77% accuracy[20]. The model correctly predicted the anti-hypertrophic effect of 28 out of 32 drug responses from our previous experimental screen[12].

Linking drug targets to logic-based signaling network using LogiRx

We first identified hits from a previous screen for compounds that inhibit cardiomyocyte hypertrophy[12], identifying protein targets using the drug-target database DrugBank[21] (**Figure 1A**). LogiRx identified top scoring directed pathways from drug targets to the hypertrophy signaling network nodes through Omnipath directed interactions[22,23] using the PathLinker algorithm[24,25] in Cytoscape[26] (**Figure 1B**). Top scoring candidate drug pathways were added to a LogiRx-expanded logic-based network model using Netflux.

Literature validation of LogiRx-expanded models

The LogiRx-expanded logic-based differential equation model was simulated to test the functional impact of the drug pathways on cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Each pathway was individually added to the signaling network model to create expanded logic-based differential equation model variants. Each drug-pathway-expanded model was simulated to predict the semi-quantitative network dynamics in response to drug. To assess the functional validity of the LogiRx-identified drug pathways, we validated their performance against 450 literature experiments previously used to validate the hypertrophy signaling model[20] (**Figure 1C**).

Simulating drug mechanisms of action

Drug mechanisms of action were implemented using logic-based equations as described

previously[27,28], accounting for mechanisms of drug action (agonist or antagonist) and drug binding

(competitive or non-competitive). The binding properties determine how the upstream signal is shifted

by the drug dose, while the drug action determines whether the target node is upregulated (agonist) or

downregulated (antagonist). Each simulation was run first without drug input to establish baseline

activity, and then with the appropriate drug to identify steady-state changes in drug response.

Mechanistic subnetwork analysis

To identify pathways mediating drug response, we utilized the mechanistic subnetwork

method[27–29]. We simulated the global response of the network to the drug in the presence of

hypertrophic stimulus to identify "drug-responsive nodes". We then simulated knockdown of each node

and measured that node's influence on drug-induced change in cardiomyocyte hypertrophy, referring to

these nodes as "regulating nodes". The intersection of the regulating nodes with responsive nodes

results in a mechanistic subnetwork that maps the pathways mediating drug activity. Thus, LogiRx

combines drug-target and protein interaction databases, path optimization, and logic-based network

simulations to obtain mechanistic subnetworks that explain drug response.

Experimental validation in cardiomyocytes

We isolated neonatal cardiomyocytes using the NeoMyts kit from Cellutron as described

previously[28]. Cardiomyocytes were cultured with serum for 24 hr in 96-well microplates, followed by a

24 hr serum starve. Cardiomyocytes were then treated with one of two hypertrophic stimuli (10 µM

phenylephrine, 5 ng/ml transforming growth factor β), 10% FBS (positive control), or serum-free media

alone (negative control). At the same time, cells were treated with specified concentrations of the

appropriate compound (escitalopram, mifepristone, paroxetine, sarpogrelate hydrochloride, or

AL082D06) for 48 hrs.

To prepare for immunofluorescent imaging, cardiomyocytes were first fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde for 20 min, and then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X for 15 min. Cardiomyocytes

were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 1 hr, then treated with mouse anti-α-actinin

primary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich Cat#A7811, RRID:AB_476766) at a concentration of 1:200 overnight.

Cardiomyocytes were blocked with 5% goat serum in PBS for 1 hr, then Alexa Fluor-568-conjugated goat

anti-mouse secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat#A11031, RRID:AB_144696) at a

concentration of 1:200 was applied for 1 hr. The cells were stained with DAPI prior to imaging.

High-content imaging was performed on the stained cardiomyocytes using an Operetta CLS High

Content Analysis System. These images were processed using CellProfiler[30] using a cellular segmentation

algorithm developed previously and validated to within 5% of two independent manual

segmentations[31]. Median cell area was used as a representative measure of the cell population in each

well, and cells with undetectable cytoplasm were not counted.

Total protein was isolated from neonatal rat ventricular myocytes (NRVM) using RIPA lysis buffer

(25 mM Tris pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate and 0.1% SDS) supplemented

with Halt™ protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (ThermoFisher 78442). Proteins were separated

using SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 μm, Thermo Scientific 88018).

Total protein was first evaluated using LI-COR Revert™ 520 Total Protein Stain (926-10021), followed by

blotting with antibodies directed against phosphorylated protein kinase D (1:1,000; CST 2051) and

glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (1:5,000; Proteintech 60004-1-Ig) overnight at 4°C in

intercept® (TBS) Blocking Buffer (LI-COR 927-60001) containing 0.2% Tween-20. Following incubation

with primary antibodies, blots were rinsed and probed with appropriate IRDye® secondary antibodies

(LI-COR). Protein bands were visualized using a LI-COR Odyssey XF scanner.

Angiotensin II / Phenylephrine Infusion in Mice

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus. Ten-week-old male C57BL/6J were

purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Strain # 000664). Alzet mini-osmotic pumps (Model 2004), or

mock pumps for Sham animals, were implanted in a subcutaneous pocket created at the suprascapular

region under isoflurane anesthesia. Osmotic pumps were prepared to deliver 1.5 µg/kg/day Angiotensin

II (Bachem H-1705.0100) and 50 µg/g/day (R)-(-)-Phenylephrine hydrochloride (Sigma P6126) to induce

systemic hypertension, cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis. Beginning 24 hours post-osmotic pump

implantation, animals were randomly divided into groups receiving 10 mg/kg/day escitalopram oxalate

(Selleckchem S4064) in 10% DMSO dissolved in 10% (2-hydroxypropyl) β-cyclodextrin (Sigma H107) in

water, or vehicle control, for 14 days.

Echocardiographic Assessment of Cardiac Structure and Function

Serial transthoracic echocardiographic and Doppler analysis were performed using the

VisualSonics Vevo F2 instrument. Animals were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane, hair on the chest was

removed using a chemical depilatory, and body temperature maintained at 37°C. Isoflurane was

maintained at 1.5% throughout the procedure to ensure a consistent plane of anesthesia across

subjects. Parasternal long axis (PSLAX) and parasternal short axis (SAX) views of the left ventricle were

obtained; SAX two-dimensional views of the LV at the level of the papillary muscle were used to acquire

M-mode images. Anterior and posterior LV wall thickness and internal diameters were measured in

systole and diastole using these M-mode images. Mitral inflow Doppler signals and myocardial tissue

movement of the mitral annulus were obtained to calculate the ratio of early and active filling waves of

blood flow through the mitral valve and ventricular tissue velocity to assess cardiac diastolic function.

Speckle-tracking strain analyses were acquired from PSLAX B-mode videos. All echocardiographic

measurements and analyses were averaged from at least four cardiac cycles and analyses were

performed in a blinded manner by a dedicated small animal echocardiography team.

Tissue Procurement

At study endpoint, animals were sacrificed by exsanguination and hearts excised and placed in

ice-cold saline. Right ventricular (RV) tissue was dissected from the LV by cutting along the septum and

the outer wall of the LV, and all parts weighed. 50 mg base and apical biopsies of the LV were flash-

frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent biochemical analysis. A cross-section of the LV at the level of the

papillary muscles was fixed in 4% PFA in PBS overnight at $4^{\circ}C$ followed by preservation in a 30% sucrose

solution and embedding in OCT.

Histological Analyses

Frozen tissue sections were cut at a thickness of 10 μm. To evaluate total ventricular fibrotic

area, cardiac sections were stained with Picrosirius red dye (0.1% Direct Red 80 in saturated picric acid

solution) for 1 hour at room temperature. Whole-heart images were acquired using the image stitching

feature on a Keyence BZ-X710 All-in-One Fluorescence Microscope. Fibrotic area was determined by

quantifying the ratio of positively stained (red) pixels to the total pixel number of each section (reported

as percent collagen content) using ImageJ. In addition, individual cardiomyocyte hypertrophy was

assessed by staining cardiac sections with Alexa Fluor 647 wheat germ agglutinin (ThermoFisher

W32466) according to manufacturer instructions. Briefly, sections were incubated in a 5.0 μg/mL WGA

conjugate solution in HBSS for 10 minutes at room temperature, followed by mounting in ProLong™

Glass Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher P36980). Images were acquired at 40x magnification with the

left ventricular free wall using the Keyence BZ-X710 microscope, and individual cardiomyocyte area was

quantified using ImageJ.

Patient outcomes associated with drug treatments

To examine whether drugs were correlated with a reduction in cardiac adverse events

associated with hypertrophy, we analyzed data from two separate clinical databases. As the first patient

cohort, data was collected on November 30th, 2023 from the FDA's Adverse Event Reporting System

using the AERSMine tool[32]. The Adverse Event Reporting System is a multi-cohort database containing

20 million reports of adverse events from healthcare providers and consumers across the United States.

As a second patient cohort, on January 2nd, 2024 we collected data from the University of Virginia Health

System Network using the TriNetX tool, which provided access to electronic medical records from

approximately 1.7 million patients.

This retrospective study is exempt from informed consent. The data reviewed is a secondary

analysis of existing data, does not involve intervention or interaction with human subjects, and is de-

identified per the de-identification standard defined in Section §164.514(a) of the HIPAA Privacy Rule.

The process by which the data is de-identified is attested to through a formal determination by a

qualified expert as defined in Section §164.514(b)(1) of the HIPAA Privacy Rule. This formal

determination by a qualified expert refreshed on December 2020.

Statistics

All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SEM. Analysis of experimental conditions considered two

distinct cardiomyocyte isolations and multiple conditions, with multiple wells in each experiment. For

this reason, a two-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test for multiple comparisons was selected for

statistical calculation. In vivo data were analyzed by ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's

multiple comparisons test. GraphPad Prism 9 was used for statistical analysis. For all analyses, a p-value

<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Identified drug pathways driving cardiomyocyte hypertrophy

Previously, we performed a microscopy-based screen for drugs that decreased hypertrophy of

cardiomyocytes in the presence of phenylephrine[12]. In that study, 94 of 3241 small molecule compounds

decreased both cell area and ANP protein expression by at least 70% and did not exhibit cardiotoxicity.

In a previous study, we identified that the hypertrophy signaling model was directly targeted by 32 of

these 94 drugs, and that the model could mechanistically predict reduction in hypertrophy with 88% (28

of 32) prediction accuracy [28]. The remaining 62 of 94 compounds do not target this previous

hypertrophy model and presumably inhibit cardiomyocyte hypertrophy through less-characterized

mechanisms. Therefore, in this study we sought to identify novel pathways linking the remaining 62

compounds to hypertrophy. To identify new drug pathways, we developed a logic-based network

predictor of drug pathways (LogiRx) that identifies and simulates molecular pathways that mediate how

drugs regulate cell phenotype.

As a first application, we employed LogiRx to identify proteins that are directly targeted by the

62 anti-hypertrophic compounds from our prior screen[12]. LogiRx analysis mapped 28 of the 62

compounds to 79 protein targets in the OmniPath protein interaction database (**Figure 1A**). 11 of 28

compounds were successfully mapped to the hypertrophy network via 214 candidate drug pathways

(**Figure 1B**). These pathways link 11 drugs and their drug targets to 89 proteins in the network, covering

42% of the network. Most of the candidate drug pathways (76%) connect the drug target to the

network via an intermediate protein that is not in the original network model, demonstrating the value

of pathway inference. Together, the 214 candidate drug-target-network pathways predict mechanisms

that may help explain the experimentally-demonstrated anti-hypertrophic effect of these 11

compounds.

A LogiRx

map drugs to protein targets → expand signaling network to drug targets → validate expanded network → simulate drug responses → mechanistic sub-network

B Map

62 drugs that inhibit cardiomyocyte hypertrophy → DRUGBANK OmniPath → 28 drugs that target proteins in Omnipath → 79 proteins targeted by 28 drugs

C Expand

79 drug targets mapped to hypertrophy signaling network (212 proteins) — PathLinker → 226 mechanistic pathways from drug targets to hypertrophy network

Drug
Target
Intermediate Nodes
Network Nodes

D Validate

Validating mechanistic pathways → Validated pathways → LogiRx expanded network

Figure 1. LogiRx discovers pathways from anti-hypertrophic drugs to the cardiomyocyte hypertrophy

signaling network. A) Overview of the LogiRx workflow, from drugs to mechanistic predictions. B) Drug

targets are identified from DrugBank and filtered by annotations in the protein-interaction database

Omnipath. C) Drugs and their protein targets are mapped to the hypertrophy network through LogiRx.

D) Drug pathways are individually simulated using Netflux and validated against prior experimental

literature. Drug pathways that maintain model validation accuracy are used to expand the hypertrophy

network for drug predictions.

Logic-based network expansion to predict how drugs regulate hypertrophy

To predict the impact of these drug pathways on cardiomyocyte hypertrophy, logic-based

differential equation model variants were created from LogiRx that each simulate the effect of

incorporating individual pathway (**Figure 1C**). Each model variant was tested by simulating inhibition of

the drug target in the presence of hypertrophic agonist phenylephrine. Most drug pathways were

predicted to strongly inhibit hypertrophy (**Figure 2A**). However, some drug pathways reduced the model

accuracy when tested against a previous set of 450 experiments, indicating that they are not fully

compatible with the mechanisms established in the validated network model (**Figure 2A**).

Drug pathways corresponding to 8 of the 11 drugs were predicted to inhibit phenylephrine-

induced hypertrophy while maintaining model accuracy above 78%. New LogiRx-expanded drug models

were developed combining all relevant validated pathways for each of the 8 drugs. The hypertrophic

effect of each drug was simulated using a pharmacological inhibition model based on known drug-target

binding mechanisms[27]. Seven of these eight drugs were predicted to be anti-hypertrophic, with context-

dependent efficacy depending on the hypertrophic stimulus (**Figure 2B**). 7 of 8 drugs regulated

hypertrophy via multiple protein targets.

Figure 2. LogiRx-based network model expansion predicts pathways by which drugs affect cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. A) Hypertrophy network variants expanded by individual drug pathways were simulated for their effect on five hypertrophic outputs, as well as validated against 450 experiments from prior literature. Drug pathway annotation is provided in **Supplementary Figure S1**. B) 8 drugs were predicted to affect cardiomyocyte hypertrophy with varying efficacy across 17 biochemical environments. C) Drugs were predicted to inhibit hypertrophy via distinct regional modulation of the hypertrophy signaling network, indicating distinct inhibitory mechanisms.

Seven drugs were predicted by the LogiRx-expanded network model to inhibit hypertrophy –

OSI-930, crizotinib, escitalopram, tirbanibulin, rifabutin, mifepristone, and hesperidin, representing a

variety of drug targets and clinical applications. OSI-930 is an FLT1 and KIT inhibitor that is designed to

target cancer cell proliferation in solid tumors[33]. Crizotinib, an ALK and MET inhibitor, is used to treat

non-small cell lung cancer but has known cardiac toxicity[34,35]. Escitalopram targets SERT and is used to

treat depression and anxiety[36,37]. Tirbanibulin targets TUBB and SRC to treat actinic keratosis, and is

being investigated as a potential treatment for acute myeloid leukemia[38]. Rifabutin inhibits HSP90AA1

and is an antibiotic preventing mycobacterium avium complex in HIV patients[39]. Mifepristone, which

targets both PGR and NR3C1, is commonly used as an abortifacient, but is additionally used to treat

diabetic patients with Cushing's syndrome[40]. Hesperidin is a flavonoid and natural supplement currently

investigated for anti-inflammatory benefits[41]. Similar to their target diversity, these 8 drugs were

predicted to inhibit cardiomyocyte hypertrophy through distinct areas of the hypertrophy network

(**Figure 2C**).

Experiments in cardiomyocytes validate that escitalopram and mifepristone inhibit hypertrophy

We further prioritized escitalopram, tirbanibulin, mifepristone, OSI-930, and rifabutin for

experimental validation based on their relative cardiac safety[33,42–47] and approval status. Neonatal rat

cardiomyocytes were treated with PE along with each of these five drugs for 48 h. Consistent with the

LogiRx model predictions, tirbanibulin, escitalopram, and mifepristone inhibited PE-induced

cardiomyocyte hypertrophy (**Figure 3A-B**). In contrast, OSI-930 and rifabutin did not inhibit hypertrophy

even at increased concentrations.

While the network analysis in **Figure 2C** predicted that escitalopram would work via

downstream hypertrophic pathways that are relatively context-independent, an alternative hypothesis

may suggest that escitalopram inhibits alpha-1 adrenergic receptor (α1AR) directly in response to

phenylephrine. We tested this hypothesis by introducing an alternative hypertrophic stimulus TGFβ, as

well as directly measuring phosphorylation of protein kinase D (PKD), which is proximal to the α1

adrenergic receptor in cardiomyocytes. TGFβ-induced hypertrophy was inhibited by both escitalopram

and mifepristone (**Figure 3C-D**). Further, while we observed a robust increase in phospho-PKD levels in

cardiomyocytes treated with phenylephrine stimulation, no reduction in PKD phosphorylation was

observed in cardiomyocytes co-treated with escitalopram (**Figure 3E**). Together, these data indicate that

escitalopram antagonizes hypertrophy through downstream mechanisms distinct from blockade of the

α1AR.

To identify how mifepristone inhibits cardiomyocyte hypertrophy, we performed mechanistic

subnetwork analysis. Although the LogiRx network model included drug pathways for mifepristone via

both progesterone receptors and glucocorticoid receptors, LogiRx predicted that mifepristone inhibits

cardiomyocyte hypertrophy predominantly via inhibition of the glucocorticoid receptor via LCK/ERK and

CEBPβ (**Supplementary Figure S2A-B**). We experimentally validated that the glucocorticoid receptor

antagonist AL082D06 phenocopied the effects of mifepristone by preventing hypertrophy with PHE or

TGFβ (**Supplementary Figure S2C-D**).

DAPI α-Actinin

Figure 3. Escitalopram and mifepristone inhibit cardiomyocyte hypertrophy induced by either

phenylephrine or TGFβ. The effect of five drugs on PE-induced hypertrophy of neonatal rat

cardiomyocytes is shown in A) representative images or B) quantification of automated segmentation.

Escitalopram (20 µM), mifepristone (6 µM), and tirbanibulin (6 µM) significantly prevented PE-induced

cell area increase over a 48-hour period following treatment. The effect of these five drugs on TGFβ-

induced hypertrophy is shown as C) representative images or D) quantification of cardiomyocyte cell

area by automated segmentation. Escitalopram (20 µM) and mifepristone (6 µM) significantly prevented

TGFβ-induced increase in cell area. E) Western blot of total protein and phospho-PKD in response to PE

and/or escitalopram. Two cell isolations were used for each experiment, and a two-way ANOVA was

performed followed by a Dunnett's post-hoc test. Error bar indicates SEM, * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, ***

$p<0.001$.

Escitalopram inhibits hypertrophy through serotonin receptor and not transporter

As the last stage of LogiRx, we performed mechanistic subnetwork analysis to identify pathways

that mediate escitalopram inhibition of cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. We simulated the network-wide

response to escitalopram, followed by simulations of network-wide knockdown of each network node in

the presence and absence of escitalopram. The intersection of these two analyses resulted in a

mechanistic subnetwork that predicted how escitalopram inhibits cardiomyocyte hypertrophy (**Figure**

4A). This subnetwork predicted that escitalopram inhibits hypertrophy through the serotonin receptor

HT2RA, a non-canonical target of escitalopram, rather than as a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor

(SSRI) via the transporter SERT (or SLC6A4). Further, the LogiRx model predicted that the escitalopram-

HTR2A pathway acts by inhibiting G-protein signaling to PI3Kγ (**Figure 4A-B**). The model also correctly

predicted how αMHC and βMHC gene expression respond to escitalopram (**Supplementary Figure S3**).

To experimentally validate these LogiRx predictions, we used sarpogrelate hydrochloride which

selectively inhibits HTR2A but not SERT. Prior literature[48,49] demonstrates that sarpogrelate inhibits PE-

induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy both in vitro and in vivo. Our studies confirm that sarpogrelate

prevents both PE- and further demonstrates its efficacy against TGFβ-induced cardiomyocyte

hypertrophy (**Figure 4C-D**). Downstream of HTR2A, our mechanistic subnetwork predicted Gβγ and PI3K

to mediate anti-hypertrophic escitalopram activity. Gβγ directly binds and activates PI3Kγ[50]. To

experimentally validate this prediction, we selectively inhibited PI3Kγ with the antitumor drug

eganelisib. Eganelisib prevented both PE- and TGFβ-induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy (**Figure 4E-F**),

further validating the non-canonical escitalopram-HTR2A-PI3Kγ pathway predicted by LogiRx.

Figure 4. Escitalopram prevents cardiomyocyte hypertrophy by inhibiting serotonin receptors. A)

Mechanistic subnetwork analysis to predict how escitalopram suppresses cardiomyocyte hypertrophy.

B) Simulations of PE-induced hypertrophy and modulation by escitalopram, Gβγ inhibition, or PI3Kγ

inhibition. Serotonin receptor inhibitor sarpogrelate hydrochloride (5 µM) significantly prevents C) PE-

and D) TGFβ-induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy 48 hours post treatment, as shown by representative

images and quantification of automated segmentation. PI3Kγ inhibitor eganelisib (20 µM) significantly

prevents E) PE- and F) TGFβ-induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy 48 hours post treatment, as shown by

representative images and quantification of automated segmentation. Two cell isolations were used for

each experiment, and a two-way ANOVA was performed followed by a Dunnett's post-hoc test. Error

bar indicates SEM, * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$.

Escitalopram inhibits cardiomyocyte hypertrophy *in vivo*

To test whether escitalopram also reduces cardiomyocyte hypertrophy in vivo, escitalopram was

delivered to mice subjected to the angiotensin II and phenylephrine (AngII/PE) as a mouse model of

cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis. Angiotensin II (1.5 mg/kg/day) and phenylephrine (50 mg/kg/day)

were delivered continuously for 14 days through an osmotic minipump as previously described[51]; daily

escitalopram was administered via intraperitoneal injection at 10 mg/kg/day beginning the day after

pump implantation (**Figure 5A**). Mice subjected to AngII/PE for 14 days exhibited significant global

cardiac hypertrophy, as indicated by heart weight to body weight ratio (HW:BW), as well as a significant

increase in LV mass in particular (LV:TL) (**Figure 5B-C**). Furthermore, AngII/PE challenge induced

dramatic fibrosis in the LV free wall, which likely also contributed to the increase in overall LV mass

(**Figure 5D-E**). Cardiac structure and systolic and diastolic function were evaluated by echocardiography,

revealing an increase in LV wall thickness in diastole, which is consistent with the increased HW:BW

ratio (**Figure 5F**). Furthermore, AngII/PE mice demonstrated trends towards increases in systolic

function, measured by ejection fraction, as well as increases in the E/E' ratio, which is indicative of

impaired LV relaxation or diastolic dysfunction (**Figure 5G-H**).

Some mice treated with AngII/PE also received daily administration of escitalopram delivered via

intraperitoneal injection at 10 mg/kg/day. These animals showed no change in overall collagen content

in the LV, suggesting a lack of an effect on the cardiac fibroblast population (**Figure 5D-E**). There was

also no significant effect of escitalopram on global cardiac hypertrophy or any functional parameters

assessed by echocardiography (**Figure 5B-C,F-H**). However, imaging of cardiomyocyte sections stained

with WGA revealed a modest but significant reduction in individual cardiomyocyte hypertrophy in

response to treatment with escitalopram (**Figure 5I-J**). In summary, while escitalopram did not offer

significant protection against global cardiac hypertrophy or dysfunction in this severe injury model,

escitalopram attenuated cardiomyocyte hypertrophy in vivo, consistent with the antihypertrophic effect

of escitalopram predicted by LogiRx and measured in cultured cardiomyocytes.

Figure 5. Escitalopram Attenuates Cardiomyocyte Hypertrophy in AngII/PE Mice

A. Schematic of cardiac injury model and escitalopram administration. Mice were continuously delivered

AngII/PE through an osmotic minipump to induce cardiac injury and fibrosis, and injected with 10

mg/kg/day escitalopram or vehicle control for 14 days. Quantification of HW:BW (B) and LV:BW (C)

ratios. D. Representative picrosirius red staining of heart cross-sectional tissues in sham mice and

AngII/PE mice treated with vehicle or escitalopram, and quantification of percent collagen content (E).

Results of echocardiographic analyses of AngII/PE mice, showing the left ventricular wall thickness in

diastole (F), percent ejection fraction derived from strain analysis (G), and the ratio of early mitral valve

flow velocity to mitral annulus velocity by tissue Doppler (E/e') indicating diastolic function. I. Wheat

germ agglutinin staining of tissue sections, with quantification of cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area (J).

Statistical analyses performed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc multiple comparisons test.

Cardiac outcomes for patients prescribed escitalopram

Based on consistent anti-hypertrophic roles of escitalopram shown by LogiRx, cultured rat

cardiomyocytes, and mouse cardiomyocytes in vivo, we asked whether patients prescribed escitalopram

may also exhibit a reduced incidence of cardiac hypertrophy. We first mined patient reports from the

FDA Adverse Event Reporting System for incidence of cardiac hypertrophy or failure among patients

prescribed escitalopram or related SSRIs that are not known to target HTR2A. Among those diagnosed

with depression, patients prescribed escitalopram (which inhibits both SERT and HTR2A) had a lower

incidence of left ventricular or ventricular hypertrophy when compared to treatment with other SSRIs

sertraline, venlafaxine, and paroxetine that inhibit SERT but not HTR2A (**Figure 6A**). An exception is

patients treated with fluoxetine, who had lower incidence of cardiac failure compared to escitalopram

treatment.

To validate these findings in an independent patient population with more structured data

collection, we mined electronic health records from the University of Virginia (UVA) Health System.

Among UVA patients diagnosed with depression, those prescribed escitalopram exhibited a statistically

lower incidence of left ventricular hypertrophy than those prescribed SSRI paroxetine as well as the

serotonin receptor agonist buspirone (**Figure 6B**). To verify the hypertrophy annotation from this

analysis, we examined echocardiograms from de-identified patients using the same drug and diagnosis

queries. These confirm qualitatively larger interventricular septum thickness (IVSD) and left ventricular

posterior wall end diastole (LVPWD) in a patient prescribed paroxetene and diagnosed with hypertrophy

than echocardiograms from patients prescribed escitalopram or other SSRIs not diagnosed with

hypertrophy (**Figure 6C**). Stratifying patients in the FDA (**Figure 6D**) and UVA (**Figure 6E**) databases by

sex, both male and female patients with depression prescribed escitalopram exhibit less hypertrophy

than that seen with two or more SSRIs. A reduced rate of hypertrophy was also seen in patients in the

FDA database with anxiety on escitalopram compared with other SSRIs (**Supplementary Figure S4**).

Figure 6. In two patient cohorts with depression, those prescribed escitalopram exhibit a lower

incidence of cardiac hypertrophy than those prescribed other SSRIs. A) Reports of left ventricular

hypertrophy (left) or ventricular hypertrophy (right) per 1000 patients are shown for patients with

depression taking either escitalopram or another SSRI (fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, or venlafaxine)

in the FDA Adverse Events Reporting System. B) Diagnoses of left ventricular hypertrophy per 1000

patients are shown for patients with depression taking either escitalopram or another SSRI in the U.

Virginia Health System. C) Verification of echocardiograms from patients in the UVA Health System with

hypertrophy (prescribed paroxetine) or without hypertrophy (prescribed escitalopram, fluoxetine,

sertraline, or venlafaxine). D) FDA adverse event reports of left ventricular hypertrophy (left) or

ventricular hypertrophy (right) per 1000 patients are further divided into incidence by sex. E) Diagnoses

of left ventricular hypertrophy in the UVA Health System, divided into incidence by sex. In the UVA

Health System, no female patients prescribed paroxetine and no male patients prescribed busipirone

exhibited left ventricular hypertrophy. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001.

Discussion

410   Despite a strong association between heart failure and hypertrophy, drugs that directly treat

hypertrophy are limited[52]. As seen with sildenafil, drug repurposing may provide a rapid translational

avenue to identify new therapeutic strategies for cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure[53,54]. Drug

repurposing requires sophisticated screening for efficacy but also methods to identify how effective

drugs work. In this study we developed a new mechanistic machine learning method, LogiRx, that

predicts new pathways that map from drugs to a mechanistic logic-based model of a signaling network.

We applied LogiRx to hits from our previous experimental compound screen[12] and predicted the

pathways by which 7 of these drugs modulate cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Experiments in cultured

cardiomyocytes validated the context-independent antihypertrophic role of two drugs, escitalopram and

mifepristone predicted by LogiRx. Pathways that mediate the effects of escitalopram and mifepristone

were predicted by subnetwork analysis, and these predictions were further experimentally validated

(escitalopram: serotonin receptors and Gβγ; mifepristone: glucocorticoid receptor). Analysis of

electronic health records validate these in silico and in vitro results findings, demonstrating that

escitalopram is associated with a lower incidence of cardiac hypertrophy in depression or anxiety

patients compared to multiple SSRIs in two large independent populations. Together, these results

suggest potential repurposing avenues for escitalopram and mifepristone in cardiac hypertrophy.

LogiRx identifies regulatory signaling pathways and dynamic mechanistic predictions that map

from drugs to an established signaling network. Several other computational methods construct

signaling pathways from both protein-interaction and/or gene expression data[55–57]. Notably, CARNIVAL

combines gene expression with protein interaction data to predict upstream signaling pathways that

drive changes gene expression changes[58]. LogiRx provides additional benefits of its logic-based

differential equation framework that is highly validated for cardiomyocyte hypertrophy[19,20,28,59] to

provide dynamic, mechanistic simulations of perturbation experiments (**Figure 2, 4**). The performance of

LogiRx depends on the accuracy and completeness of drug, target, and network model resources, as

well as the characteristics of the validation experiments. These limitations and potential improvements

are described in **Supplementary Table S1**. LogiRx may be most readily applied to settings where

annotated drugs have been used to screen cellular phenotype or gene expression, and there is also a

validated mechanistic network model that predicts regulation of that cell response. For example, LogiRx

may be amenable for integrating drug screens and mechanistic network models in areas such as

myofibroblast transformation for fibrosis[60,61] and proliferation for breast cancer[62,63]. Challenges to this

approach arise when there is insufficient characterization of drug targets, inadequate relevance of the

drug targets to the network model, or an inadequately validated mechanistic network model.

Escitalopram (Lexapro) is a commonly prescribed SSRI (>30M prescriptions in the US) with a

well-known safety profile[36,37]. Less well understood is the role of SSRIs in cardiac disease. Escitalopram

was shown to prevent a diabetic model of cardiac hypertrophy, however the mechanisms remain poorly

understood[64]. Our LogiRx model predicts that escitalopram inhibits cardiomyocyte hypertrophy through

its off-target HTR2A. This predicted mechanism is supported by our understanding of the role of

serotonin receptors HTR2A and HTR2B[65–67] in cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Escitalopram decreased

cardiomyocyte size in cell culture, mice, and was associated with lower incidence of ventricular

hypertrophy in patients, but escitalopram did not reduce global heart weight in the mice. We

hypothesize that this difference may be due marked fibrosis in this mouse model and partial attenuation

of cardiomyocyte hypertrophy by escitalopram (**Figure 5D**).

Paroxetine, another SSRI that more specifically targets SERT, was previously shown to prevent

left ventricular dysfunction following myocardial infarction through an off-target GRK2 mechanism[68].

GRK2 is unlikely to mediate the increased incidence of hypertrophy we found associated with patients

prescribed paroxetine, because the clinical concentration is below the IC50 for GRK2[69,70]. Escitalopram

off-target of HT2A and paroxetine off-target of GRK2 indicates that other SSRIs may have off-targets that

contribute to the differential association with cardiac hypertrophy and failure we observed in patients

with depression or anxiety. Further, paroxetine derivatives with enhanced affinity for GRK2 demonstrate

the potential for selective repurposing towards "off targets"[71,72].

While mifepristone is best known as a progesterone inhibitor, it was originally discovered in a

search for glucocorticoid receptor antagonists and is used in Cushing's syndrome with hyperglycemia[40].

Glucocorticoids and their receptors have a complex role in cardiovascular health. Glucocorticoid

stimulation induces hypertrophy in primary rat cardiomyocytes[73,74], yet mice with cardiomyocyte-

specific deletion of glucocorticoid receptor have increased cardiac hypertrophy[75] and direct

glucocorticoid receptor inhibition can enhance cardiomyocyte proliferation[76]. LogiRx predicted that

mifepristone inhibits cardiomyocyte hypertrophy through a glucocorticoid receptor - LCK – ERK

pathway, which we validated in two contexts in cultured cardiomyocytes. The implications of

mifepristone for repurposing against cardiac hypertrophy warrant further investigation.

Machine learning methods that not only predict efficacy but also pathway mechanisms are

greatly needed. Here, we developed a mechanistic machine learning method LogiRx to discover

pathways by which escitalopram and mifepristone inhibit cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. These pathways

were validated in cultured cells in two contexts. Escitalopram decreased cardiomyocyte hypertrophy in vivo and was associated with a lower incidence of cardiac hypertrophy in two patient cohorts. Together, these studies demonstrate the ability of LogiRx to predict drug efficacy and mechanisms that guide new experiments.

Acknowledgments

We thank Mohammad Fallahi-Sichani for use of his Perkin Elmer Operetta, statistical consulting from Marieke Jones, manuscript feedback from Kevin Janes and Jason Papin, and Malcolm O'Malley for naming LogiRx.

References

1. Frey, N., Katus, H. A., Olson, E. N. & Hill, J. A. Hypertrophy of the Heart. *Circulation* **109**, 1580–1589 (2004).

2. Samak, M. *et al.* Cardiac Hypertrophy: An Introduction to Molecular and Cellular Basis. *Med. Sci. Monit. Basic Res.* **22**, 75–79 (2016).

3. Nomura, S. *et al.* Cardiomyocyte gene programs encoding morphological and functional signatures in cardiac hypertrophy and failure. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4435 (2018).

4. Marian, A. J. 23 - Heart Failure as a Consequence of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. in *Heart Failure: a Companion to Braunwald's Heart Disease (Fourth Edition)* (eds. Felker, G. M. & Mann, D. L.) 311-321.e6 (Elsevier, Philadelphia, 2020). doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-60987-6.00023-5.

5. Bazgir, F. *et al.* The Microenvironment of the Pathogenesis of Cardiac Hypertrophy. *Cells* **12**, 1780 (2023).

6. Xie, M., Burchfield, J. S. & Hill, J. A. Pathological Ventricular Remodeling: Mechanisms: Part 1 of 2. *Circulation* **128**, 388–400 (2013).

7. Tham, Y. K., Bernardo, B. C., Ooi, J. Y. Y., Weeks, K. L. & McMullen, J. R. Pathophysiology of cardiac

hypertrophy and heart failure: signaling pathways and novel therapeutic targets. *Arch. Toxicol.* **89**,

1401–1438 (2015).

8. Tsao, C. W. *et al.* Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2023 Update: A Report From the American Heart

Association. *Circulation* **147**, e93–e621 (2023).

9. Schubert, M., Hansen, S., Leefmann, J. & Guan, K. Repurposing Antidiabetic Drugs for Cardiovascular

Disease. *Front. Physiol.* **11**, 568632 (2020).

10.   Onódi, Z., Koch, S., Rubinstein, J., Ferdinandy, P. & Varga, Z. V. Drug repurposing for

cardiovascular diseases: New targets and indications for probenecid. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **180**, 685–700

(2023).

11.   Xie, Y. *et al.* Mechanisms of SGLT2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure and Their Clinical Value. *J.*

Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. **81**, 4–14 (2023).

12.   Reid, B. G. *et al.* Discovery of novel small molecule inhibitors of cardiac hypertrophy using high

throughput, high content imaging. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **97**, 106–113 (2016).

13.   Dukinfield, M. *et al.* Repurposing an anti-cancer agent for the treatment of hypertrophic heart

disease. *J. Pathol.* **249**, 523–535 (2019).

14.   Escudero, D. S. *et al.* PDE5 inhibition improves cardiac morphology and function in SHR by

reducing NHE1 activity: Repurposing Sildenafil for the treatment of hypertensive cardiac hypertrophy.

Eur. J. Pharmacol. **891**, 173724 (2021).

15.   Wang, Z. *et al.* A high-throughput drug screening identifies luteolin as a therapeutic candidate

for pathological cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **10**, 1130635 (2023).

16.   Nakamura, M. & Sadoshima, J. Mechanisms of physiological and pathological cardiac

hypertrophy. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **15**, 387–407 (2018).

17.   Sun, J., Yang, J., Chi, J., Ding, X. & Lv, N. Identification of drug repurposing candidates based on a

miRNA-mediated drug and pathway network for cardiac hypertrophy and acute myocardial

infarction. *Hum. Genomics* **12**, 52 (2018).

18.   Rai, A., Kumar, V., Jerath, G., Kartha, C. C. & Ramakrishnan, V. Mapping drug-target interactions

and synergy in multi-molecular therapeutics for pressure-overload cardiac hypertrophy. *NPJ Syst.*

Biol. Appl. **7**, 11 (2021).

19.   Ryall, K. *et al.* Network reconstruction and systems analysis of cardiac myocyte hypertrophy

signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 42259–42268 (2012).

20.   Khalilimeybodi, A., Paap, A. M., Christiansen, S. L. M. & Saucerman, J. J. Context-specific network

modeling identifies new crosstalk in β-adrenergic cardiac hypertrophy. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **16**, (2020).

21.   Wishart, D. S. *et al.* DrugBank 5.0: a major update to the DrugBank database for 2018. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **46**, D1074–D1082 (2018).

22.   Türei, D., Korcsmáros, T. & Saez-Rodriguez, J. OmniPath: guidelines and gateway for literature-

curated signaling pathway resources. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 966–967 (2016).

23.   Ceccarelli, F., Turei, D., Gabor, A. & Saez-Rodriguez, J. Bringing data from curated pathway

resources to Cytoscape with OmniPath. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 2632–2633 (2020).

24.   Gil, D. P., Law, J. N. & Murali, T. M. The PathLinker app: Connect the dots in protein interaction

networks. *F1000Research* **6**, 58 (2017).

25.   Ritz, A. *et al.* Pathways on demand: automated reconstruction of human signaling networks. *NPJ*

Syst. Biol. Appl. **2**, 16002 (2016).

26.   Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular

Interaction Networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498–2504 (2003).

27.   Zeigler, A. C. *et al.* Network model-based screen for FDA-approved drugs affecting cardiac

fibrosis. *CPT Pharmacomet. Syst. Pharmacol.* **10**, 377–388 (2021).

28.   Eggertsen, T. G. & Saucerman, J. J. Virtual drug screen reveals context-dependent inhibition of

cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **180**, 2721–2735 (2023).

29.   Van de Graaf, M. W., Eggertsen, T. G., Zeigler, A. C., Tan, P. M. & Saucerman, J. J. Benchmarking

of protein interaction databases for integration with manually reconstructed signalling network

models. *J. Physiol.* (2023) doi:10.1113/JP284616.

30.   Stirling, D. R. *et al.* CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. *BMC*

Bioinformatics **22**, 433 (2021).

31.   Bass, G. T. *et al.* Automated image analysis identifies signaling pathways regulating distinct

signatures of cardiac myocyte hypertrophy. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **52**, 923–930 (2012).

32.   Sarangdhar, M. *et al.* Data mining differential clinical outcomes associated with drug regimens

using adverse event reporting data. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 697–700 (2016).

33.   Yap, T. A. *et al.* First-in-Human Phase I Trial of Two Schedules of OSI-930, a Novel Multikinase

Inhibitor, Incorporating Translational Proof-of-Mechanism Studies. *Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc.*

Cancer Res. **19**, 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12–2258 (2013).

34.   Chan, S. H. Y., Khatib, Y., Webley, S., Layton, D. & Salek, S. Identification of cardiotoxicity related

to non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treatments: A systematic review. *Front. Pharmacol.* **14**,

1137983 (2023).

35.   Xu, Z. *et al.* Inhibition of PRKAA/AMPK (Ser485/491) phosphorylation by crizotinib induces

cardiotoxicity via perturbing autophagosome-lysosome fusion. *Autophagy* **20**, 416–436.

36.   Kasper, S. *et al.* Differences in the dynamics of serotonin reuptake transporter occupancy may

explain superior clinical efficacy of escitalopram versus citalopram. *Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* **24**,

119–125 (2009).

37.   Sanchez, C., Reines, E. H. & Montgomery, S. A. A comparative review of escitalopram,

paroxetine, and sertraline: are they all alike? *Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* **29**, 185 (2014).

38.   Kasner, M. T. *et al.* A phase Ib dose escalation study of oral monotherapy with KX2-391 in elderly

patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Invest. New Drugs* **40**, 773–781 (2022).

39.   Crabol, Y., Catherinot, E., Veziris, N., Jullien, V. & Lortholary, O. Rifabutin: where do we stand in

2016? *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **71**, 1759–1771 (2016).

40.   Pivonello, R., De Leo, M., Cozzolino, A. & Colao, A. The Treatment of Cushing's Disease. *Endocr.*

Rev. **36**, 385–486 (2015).

41.   Hajialyani, M. *et al.* Hesperidin as a Neuroprotective Agent: A Review of Animal and Clinical

Evidence. *Mol. Basel Switz.* **24**, 648 (2019).

42.   Thase, M. E., Larsen, K. G., Reines, E. & Kennedy, S. H. The cardiovascular safety profile of

escitalopram. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol. J. Eur. Coll. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **23**, 1391–1400

(2013).

43.   Kimura, K. *et al.* Cardiovascular adverse reactions associated with escitalopram in patients with

underlying cardiovascular diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* **14**,

1248397 (2023).

44.   Heppt, M. V. *et al.* Comparative Efficacy and Safety of Tirbanibulin for Actinic Keratosis of the

Face and Scalp in Europe: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled

Trials. *J. Clin. Med.* **11**, 1654 (2022).

45.   Darpo, B., Bullingham, R., Combs, D. L., Ferber, G. & Hafez, K. Assessment of the cardiac safety

and pharmacokinetics of a short course, twice daily dose of orally-administered mifepristone in

healthy male subjects. *Cardiol. J.* **20**, 152–160 (2013).

46.   Mathew, S., Ticsa, M. S., Qadir, S., Rezene, A. & Khanna, D. Multiple Clinical Indications of

Mifepristone: A Systematic Review. *Cureus* **15**, e48372.

47.   Phillips, M. C., Wald-Dickler, N., Loomis, K., Luna, B. M. & Spellberg, B. Pharmacology, Dosing,

and Side Effects of Rifabutin as a Possible Therapy for Antibiotic-Resistant Acinetobacter Infections.

Open Forum Infect. Dis. **7**, ofaa460 (2020).

48.   Ikeda, K. *et al.* The effects of sarpogrelate on cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. *Life Sci.* **67**, 2991–

2996 (2000).

49.   Shimizu, K. *et al.* The Selective Serotonin 2A Receptor Antagonist Sarpogrelate Prevents Cardiac

Hypertrophy and Systolic Dysfunction via Inhibition of the ERK1/2–GATA4 Signaling Pathway.

Pharmaceuticals **14**, 1268 (2021).

50.   Vadas, O. *et al.* Molecular determinants of PI3Kγ-mediated activation downstream of G-protein–

coupled receptors (GPCRs). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 18862–18867 (2013).

51.   Aghajanian, H. *et al.* Targeting Cardiac Fibrosis with Engineered T cells. *Nature* **573**, 430–433

(2019).

52.   Palandri, C. *et al.* Pharmacological Management of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: From Bench

to Bedside. *Drugs* **82**, 889–912 (2022).

53.   Sapna, F. *et al.* Advancements in Heart Failure Management: A Comprehensive Narrative Review

of Emerging Therapies. *Cureus* **15**, e46486.

54.   Abdelsayed, M., Kort, E. J., Jovinge, S. & Mercola, M. Repurposing drugs to treat cardiovascular

disease in the era of precision medicine. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **19**, 751–764 (2022).

55.   Kirouac, D. *et al.* Creating and analyzing pathway and protein interaction compendia for

modelling signal transduction networks. *BMC Syst. Biol.* **6**, 29 (2012).

56.   Chen, B., Fan, W., Liu, J. & Wu, F.-X. Identifying protein complexes and functional modules--from

static PPI networks to dynamic PPI networks. *Brief. Bioinform.* **15**, 177–194 (2014).

57.   Kabir, M. H., Patrick, R., Ho, J. W. K. & O'Connor, M. D. Identification of active signaling

pathways by integrating gene expression and protein interaction data. *BMC Syst. Biol.* **12**, 120 (2018).

58.   Liu, A. *et al.* From expression footprints to causal pathways: contextualizing large signaling

networks with CARNIVAL. *NPJ Syst. Biol. Appl.* **5**, 40 (2019).

59.   Frank, D. U., Sutcliffe, M. D. & Saucerman, J. J. Network-based predictions of in vivo cardiac

hypertrophy. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **121**, 180–189 (2018).

60.   Zeigler, A. C., Richardson, W. J., Holmes, J. W. & Saucerman, J. J. A computational model of

cardiac fibroblast signaling predicts context-dependent drivers of myofibroblast differentiation. *J.*

Mol. Cell. Cardiol. **94**, 72–81 (2016).

61.   Nelson, A. R., Christiansen, S. L., Naegle, K. M. & Saucerman, J. J. Logic-based mechanistic

machine learning on high-content images reveals how drugs differentially regulate cardiac

fibroblasts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **121**, e2303513121 (2024).

62.   Bouhaddou, M. *et al.* A mechanistic pan-cancer pathway model informed by multi-omics data

interprets stochastic cell fate responses to drugs and mitogens. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **14**, e1005985

(2018).

63.   Keenan, A. B. *et al.* The Library of Integrated Network-Based Cellular Signatures NIH Program:

System-Level Cataloging of Human Cells Response to Perturbations. *Cell Syst.* **6**, 13–24 (2018).

64.   Ahmed, L. A., Shiha, N. A. & Attia, A. S. Escitalopram Ameliorates Cardiomyopathy in Type 2

Diabetic Rats via Modulation of Receptor for Advanced Glycation End Products and Its Downstream

Signaling Cascades. *Front. Pharmacol.* **11**, 579206 (2020).

65.   Bush, E. *et al.* A small molecular activator of cardiac hypertrophy uncovered in a chemical screen

for modifiers of the calcineurin signaling pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**, 2870–2875

(2004).

66.   Mialet-Perez, J. *et al.* Serotonin 5-HT2A receptor-mediated hypertrophy is negatively regulated

by caveolin-3 in cardiomyoblasts and neonatal cardiomyocytes. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **52**, 502–510

(2012).

67. Gao, W. *et al.* HTR2A promotes the development of cardiac hypertrophy by activating PI3K-

PDK1-AKT-mTOR signaling. *Cell Stress Chaperones* **25**, 899–908 (2020).

68. Schumacher, S. M. *et al.* Paroxetine-mediated GRK2 inhibition reverses cardiac dysfunction and

remodeling after myocardial infarction. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **7**, 277ra31 (2015).

69. Thal, D. M. *et al.* Paroxetine is a direct inhibitor of g protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 and

increases myocardial contractility. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **7**, 1830–1839 (2012).

70. Sun, X. *et al.* Paroxetine Attenuates Cardiac Hypertrophy Via Blocking GRK2 and ADRB1

Interaction in Hypertension. *J. Am. Heart Assoc. Cardiovasc. Cerebrovasc. Dis.* **10**, e016364 (2020).

71. Bouley, R. *et al.* Structural Determinants Influencing the Potency and Selectivity of Indazole-

Paroxetine Hybrid G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase 2 Inhibitors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **92**, 707–717

(2017).

72. Ferrero, K. M. & Koch, W. J. GRK2 in cardiovascular disease and its potential as a therapeutic

target. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **172**, 14–23 (2022).

73. Ren, R., Oakley, R. H., Cruz-Topete, D. & Cidlowski, J. A. Dual Role for Glucocorticoids in

Cardiomyocyte Hypertrophy and Apoptosis. *Endocrinology* **153**, 5346–5360 (2012).

74. Severinova, E. *et al.* Glucocorticoid Receptor-Binding and Transcriptome Signature in

Cardiomyocytes. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **8**, e011484 (2019).

75. Oakley, R. H. *et al.* Essential role of stress hormone signaling in cardiomyocytes for the

prevention of heart disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 17035–17040 (2013).

76. Pianca, N. *et al.* Glucocorticoid receptor antagonization propels endogenous cardiomyocyte

proliferation and cardiac regeneration. *Nat. Cardiovasc. Res.* **1**, 617–633 (2022).

659