Nitrogenase structural evolution across Earth's history

Bruno Cuevas-Zuviría[1,2†], Franka Detemple[3], Kaustubh Amritkar[1], Amanda K. Garcia[1], Lance C. Seefeldt[4], Oliver Einsle[3] and Betül Kaçar[1*]

1. Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, United States
2. Centro de Biotecnología y Genómica de Plantas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM)—Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria-CSIC (INIA/CSIC), Campus de Montegancedo, Madrid, Spain
3. Institute of Biochemistry, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
4. Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, United States

†Affiliation where research was conducted: Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, United States

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: betul.kacar@wisc.edu

Short title: Three billion years of nitrogenase diversity

ABSTRACT

Life on Earth is more than 3.5 billion years old—nearly as old as the age of the planet. Over this vast expanse of time, life and its biomolecules adapted to and triggered profound changes to the Earth's environment. Certain critical enzymes evolved early in the history of life and have persisted through planetary extremes. While sequence data is widely used to trace evolutionary trajectories, enzyme structure remains an underexplored resource for understanding how proteins evolve over long timescales. Here, we implement an integrated approach to study nitrogenase, an ancient, globally critical enzyme essential for nitrogen fixation. Despite the ecological diversity of its host microbes, nitrogenase has strict functional limitations, including extreme oxygen sensitivity, energy requirements and substrate availability. By combining phylogenetics, ancestral sequence reconstruction, protein crystallography and deep-learning based structural prediction, we resurrected three billion years of nitrogenase structural history. We present the first effort to predict all extant and ancestral structures along the evolutionary tree of an enzyme and present a total of ~5000 structures. Our approach lays the foundation for reconstructing key structural constraints that influence protein evolution and studying ancient enzyme evolution in the light of phylogenetic and environmental change.

INTRODUCTION

Over more than 3.5 billion years of Earth-life history, certain globally significant biogeochemical processes have shaped planetary surface conditions. To understand the history of life on our planet as environments changed, one needs to understand how enzyme activities evolved over time. Traditional approaches for reconstructing the history of life depend on the study of geological remains and the fossils that can be recovered from them. Today, many important evolutionary questions remain unanswered due to the rarity and degradation of fossils from the earliest stages of Earth's history (Kaçar, 2024). Genetic sequences, particularly those of proteins and enzymes, serve as an independent repository to access life's evolution over long time scales. A next frontier in the study of protein evolution is to wholly uncover the evolutionary history of key enzymes and metabolisms in the context of shifts in ecosystems and global biogeochemistry (Garcia and Kaçar, 2019). Such a goal necessitates a new interdisciplinary synthesis, leveraging state-of-the-art evolutionary models and computational tools to reconstruct extinct enzymes and study their behavior under changing environmental conditions. In addition, the ability to generate structural predictions by high-throughput, *ab initio* methods (e.g., AlphaFold, (Jumper et al., 2021)) now makes it possible to investigate a significantly broader swath of structural variation than has been achieved to date for ecologically critical protein families.

A prime example of an ancient, globally influential enzyme is nitrogenase. Nitrogenase provides access to bioessential nitrogen via the reduction of highly inert atmospheric dinitrogen (N_2) to ammonia (NH_3) (Rucker and Kaçar, 2024). In select microbes called diazotrophs, this pathway is exclusively performed by members of the nitrogenase metalloenzyme family that catalyze the cleavage of the strong N≡N bond. Age-calibrated, phylogenomic analyses of nitrogenase genes (Parsons et al., 2021) and nitrogen isotopic signatures preserved in the geologic record (Stüeken et al., 2015) both provide evidence for the existence of biological nitrogen fixation more than three billion years ago. Thus, as the sole biological means for fixing essential nitrogen, nitrogenases have underlaid the

productivity of the biosphere for most of Earth's history (Falkowski, 1997; Navarro-González

et al., 2001; Sánchez-Baracaldo et al., 2014).

Despite the antiquity and ecological importance of nitrogenases, nearly nothing is known

about how their enzymatic properties have varied in the past (Rucker and Kaçar, 2024),

particularly in response to ancient, global environmental transitions and the distribution of

nitrogenase genes across ecologically diverse diazotrophs (Garcia et al., 2023, 2020).

Notably, nitrogenases are extremely sensitive to oxygen, which degrades the bound

metalloclusters required for their enzymatic activity and multimeric structure (Robson and

Postgate, 1980). Nevertheless, these enzymes, which originated in anaerobic organisms

inhabiting an anoxic planet >3.2 billion years ago (Stüeken et al., 2015), evolved through the

progressive oxygenation of Earth's surface environment (beginning ~2.4 to 3 billion years ago

(Lyons et al., 2024, 2014) and genetic acquisition by aerobic organisms (Boyd et al., 2015).

Though several regulatory mechanisms and accessory proteins that today shield

nitrogenase from oxygen have been identified (Dixon and Kahn, 2004; Robson and Postgate,

1980; Schlesier et al., 2016; Takimoto et al., 2022), molecular features associated with

oxygen protection adaptation have not been investigated within the nitrogenase enzyme

itself. Another outcome of Earth surface oxygenation is the spaciotemporal shift in the

environmental bioavailability of redox-sensitive trace metals used by nitrogenases.

Specifically, molybdenum (Mo), vanadium (V), and iron (Fe) (Johnson et al., 2021; Moore et

al., 2017) are proposed to have shaped the diversification of the variably metal-dependent

Mo-, V-, and Fe-nitrogenase isozymes (Anbar and Knoll, 2002). The emergence of novel

features within the nitrogenase enzyme has been suggested as necessary for maintaining

biological nitrogen fixation amid global marine geochemical shifts (Cuevas-Zuviría et al.,

2024), yet little is known about the molecular changes and constraints that accompanied

their diversification and evolution across time. This knowledge gap limits our ability to link

molecular innovations to environmental transitions in nitrogenase evolution, obscuring the

principles behind an enzyme capable of catalyzing one of nature's most challenging

reactions.

A window into the evolution of nitrogenase function can be generated by probing the

historical protein structure space representative of this ancient enzyme family. In this study,

we present a combinatorial approach for reconstructing ancient nitrogenase structures and

tracking their evolution across Earth history. We draw from a phylogenetic dataset of extant

and inferred ancient nitrogenase proteins to reconstruct more than three billion years of

nitrogenase structural evolution. Our study combines ancestral sequence reconstruction

with massive machine-learning-enabled structure prediction of present-day and ancestral

nitrogenases, as well as protein crystallographic investigations of select, functionally

characterized ancestors. We thus present the first effort to predict all extant (770) and

ancestral (4,608) enzyme structures across the nitrogenase evolutionary tree, and interpret

key observations across an enzyme's structural history within the context of planetary

environmental change.

RESULTS

Structural prediction of ancient nitrogenase enzymes across time

We began our structural investigation of nitrogenase evolutionary history by embarking on a

massive structure prediction campaign to rapidly probe our phylogenetic dataset for notable

structural changes. Our dataset includes representatives of all three known nitrogenase

isozymes, which are differentiated by the metal composition of their active-site

metallocluster: Nif (encoded by *nifHDK* genes; incorporates an iron-molybdenum cofactor,

"FeMoco"), Vnf (encoded by *vnfHDGK* genes; incorporates an iron-vanadium cofactor,

"FeVco"), and Anf (encoded by *anfHDGK* genes; incorporates an iron-only cofactor,

"FeFeco"). H-, D-, and K-subunit sequences were analyzed for each nitrogenase homolog

(G-subunit sequences unique to Vnf and Anf were not included in the analysis; see **Materials**

and Methods). In all nitrogenase isozymes, H-subunits form a homodimeric reductase

component ("HH") that transiently associates with and transfers electrons to a catalytic

core (Einsle and Rees, 2020). This catalytic component is a heterotetramer composed of two

D- and two K-subunits ("DDKK" or $\alpha_2\beta_2$) and contains the active site metallocluster where N_2

is bound and reduced to NH_3.

The topology of the nitrogenase phylogenetic dataset (Garcia et al., 2023) used in the present

study reveals five major clades: Nif-I, Nif-II, Nif-III, Vnf, and Anf (**Figure 1A**). Both Vnf and Anf

homologs are nested within the Nif-III clade. Aside from sequence-level homology of their

constituent proteins, clades are also distinguished by associated features including metal

dependence of the nitrogenase enzyme, taxonomy, host ecology, and complexity of the

nitrogenase-associated gene network (Cuevas-Zuviría et al., 2024). In extant diazotrophs,

nitrogenases require a suite of associated genes for their regulation, assembly, and

maintenance (Campo et al., 2022). The content and complexity of this cellular network for

nitrogen fixation tends to be characteristic of the major clades and host ecologies of

nitrogenases. For example, Nif-I nitrogenases are primarily hosted by aerobic or facultatively

anaerobic diazotrophs that have among the largest number of nitrogenase-associated genes

(Boyd et al., 2015). By comparison, Nif-II and Nif-III nitrogenases are hosted nearly

exclusively by anaerobic diazotrophs and have comparatively smaller nitrogen fixation gene

networks (Cuevas-Zuviría et al., 2024; Garcia et al., 2020). Vnf and Anf isozymes, though

sharing certain associated genes with Nif, are also supported by distinct, dedicated gene

clusters (Garcia et al., 2022, 2020). We hypothesized that these differences would manifest

in correlated structural differences across the enzyme family.

154
155
Figure 1. Overview of the methodological pipeline for massive protein structure
prediction and experimental crystallographic analysis of extant and ancestral
nitrogenase enzymes. A) Nitrogenase phylogeny built from concatenated Nif/Vnf/AnfHDK
protein sequences. Clades are labeled according to the nomenclature used by Raymond et
al. 2004. (Nif-I: Group I Nif, Nif-II: Group II Nif, Nif-III: Group III Nif). Nif, Vnf, or Anf homologs
from select model organisms are labeled with dashed lines (*Avin*: *Azotobacter vinelandii*,
Cpas: *Clostridium pasteurianum*, *Kpne*: *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Mace*: *Methanosarcina*
acetivorans, *Rpal*: *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*). Anc1A/B and Anc2 ancestors targeted for
crystallographic analysis are labeled with stars. **B)** Graphical overview of the pipeline for
nitrogenase protein structure prediction and crystallization (see Materials and Methods for
further details). Colored rectangles correspond to protein sequences for H, D, and K
subunits. For each ancestral node, protein structures were predicted for the most likely
ancestral sequence ("ML") and five alternative sequences ("Alt") reconstructed based on the
site-wise posterior probability distributions in the ancestral sequence. Ancestors Anc1A and
Anc2 hybrid enzymes were crystallized containing an ancestral NifD subunit and WT NifH
and NifK subunits (WT subunits indicated by lighter color). All predicted structures are
publicly available at https://nsdb.bact.wisc.edu.

We employed a high-throughput, AlphaFold-based computational pipeline to generate

multimeric HH and DDKK structural predictions for each nitrogenase homolog in our dataset

(**Figure 1B**). For each of the 385 extant targets, a single structure was predicted. For each of

the 384 ancestral targets, six structures were predicted, sourced from the single most likely

ancestral protein sequence and five alternative ancestral sequences generated by

substitutions at ambiguously reconstructed sites (see **Materials and Methods**). In total,

2,689 unique extant and ancestral nitrogenase variants were targeted. To massively scale up

our structure predictions, we incorporated strategies that significantly reduced the

computational cost of multiple sequence alignment generation with no compromise on

alignment depth and negligible cost to prediction accuracy. All structures were generated in

approximately 805 h, which includes GPU computation and MMseqs2 alignment. HH and

DDKK structures were predicted independently, resulting in a total of 5378 individual

nitrogenase protein structures generated from our pipeline. For comparison, the Protein

Data Bank currently contains structures of nitrogenase homologs from seven different

organisms, spanning 15 HH and 24 DDKK 107 structures) (**Table S1**).

We found that all nitrogenase structures were predicted with high confidence, assessed by

the predicted Local Distance Difference Test (pLDDT) (Jumper et al., 2021; Mariani et al.,

2013). pLDDT scores ranged between ~82 and 98 (**Figure S1**). Within this range, confidence

was lowest for H-subunit structures (median pLDDT ≈ 88) and highest for K-subunit

structures (median pLDDT ≈ 98). Furthermore, we built a public web database, "Nitrogenase

Structural DB," (accessible at https://nsdb.bact.wisc.edu), to host all nitrogenase structures

predicted in this study. Structures are visualizable, downloadable (PDB format files), and can

be searched by sequence or node ID (annotated on the nitrogenase phylogeny in **Figure S2**),

NCBI taxon ID, and species name.

Ancient structural insertion events distinguish major nitrogenase clades

We observe that predicted nitrogenase structures have sufficient variations to resolve

phylogenetic relationships. To assess structural variation, we calculated the Root Mean

Squared Deviation (RMSD) using US-Align (Zhang et al., 2022). Although in this case, this metric indicates overall small differences (< 3 Å) (**Figure 2A**), it is still highly correlated with sequence identity (**Figure 2B**), which means that structural analysis could reproduce sequence-based phylogenetic variation. Hierarchical clustering of nitrogenase structures by pairwise RMSD largely reproduces the major clades (Nif-I, Nif-II, Nif-III, Vnf/Anf) (**Figure 2C**). As a complementary metric, we also obtained the TM-score (Zhang and Skolnick, 2004), which enables a global comparison of structural similarity ranging between 1 (identical) and 0 (no similarity). All DDKK structures have relatively high pairwise TM-scores (> .84); TM-score > 0.5 indicates comparable folding (Xu and Zhang, 2010) and hierarchical clustering of nitrogenase structures by pairwise TM-scores largely reproduces the major clades (Nif-I, Nif-II, Nif-III, Vnf/Anf) observed in the protein sequence phylogeny (**Figure S3**).

Upon finding a correlation between structure similarity and phylogenetic relationships, we developed a method to sample the inherent uncertainty of ASR outputs by generating sequences with alternative residues at ambiguous positions (see **Materials and Methods**). We predicted the resulting structures and compared the variability of these outputs against the maximum-likelihood sequence. **Figure S4A** shows the overall distribution of RMSDs for randomized variants against the maximum likelihood variant. RMSD for most divergent variants fall 2Å, indicating very high structural similarity. As shown, the RMSD does not correlate with the distance to the tree's root, indicating no systemic relationship between structural similarity and tree depth (**Figure S4B**). Inferred structures, even those derived from deep nodes within the phylogenetic tree, are robust against the statistical uncertainty of ancestral sequence inference. Finally, we computed the all-vs-all RMSD at the DDKK active sites (FeMoco and 8Fe7S; **Figures S5A** and **S5B**). The results indicate that the active sites have high structural conservation, especially around the 8Fe7S cluster (RMSD < 0.5Å, **Figure S5C**), which could be expected given the tight coordination of the metal cofactor with its surrounding cysteines. It is worth noting that AlphaFold2 does not use information about metal cofactors when building the model.

Figure 2. Global analyses of nitrogenase DDKK sequence and structural diversity. A) Root Mean Squared Deviation (RMSD) distribution on paired nitrogenase alignments across extant and ML-ancestor pairs. **B)** Sequence identity and structural similarity (quantified by root mean square deviation (RMSD) of aligned predicted structures) distribution of paired nitrogenase alignments. **C)** Hierarchical clustering of predicted nitrogenase structures based on structural similarity (RMSD). Each tile in the heatmap corresponds to the RMSD between two nitrogenase structures.

Our global comparisons of predicted nitrogenase structures suggest that, despite relatively high conservation, certain structural distinctions between major clades evolved early and persisted in the extant descendants. We examined our dataset to identify these distinctions and map them to the evolutionary trajectory on the nitrogenase family history.

The structural predictions identified three insertion events that distinguish nitrogenase

variants. These insertions likely contribute significantly to the phylogenetic signal encoded

within structural features (**Figure 3A**): a D-subunit C-terminus extension (~60 residues)

unique to Anf (**Figure 3B**), a K-subunit N-terminus extension (~8 to 40 residues) unique to

Nif-I (**Figure 3C**), and a D-subunit insertion (~55 residues) unique to Nif-II (**Figure 3D**). The

relationships between these insertion events and the major nitrogenase clades are also

associated with the significant differences in host ecology and nitrogen fixation genetics

across clades.

We found that the K-subunit N-terminus is predominantly found in oxygen-tolerant, aerobic

or facultatively anaerobic diazotrophs, whereas the D-subunit insertion of Nif-II is mostly

found in anaerobic diazotrophs. Our ancestral reconstructions constrain the initial

appearance of each insertion to the branch leading to the last common ancestor of the

clade. Both D-subunit insertions appear within a single branch and thereafter remain

relatively conserved in length. By contrast, we observed that the K-subunit N-terminus

insertion evolved progressively in length (**Figure 3B, 3D**) through several deep-time

ancestors of the Nif-I clade (**Figure 3C).** The elongation of this insertion recapitulates trends

in the K-subunit N-terminus lengths of extant Nif-I homologs, where early-diverged lineages

have short (~8 residue) extensions and later-diverged lineages have longer (~40 residue)

extensions. The extent to which the N-terminus of the K-subunit affects functional

importance in relation to oxygen demand warrants further empirical investigation. As a side

observation, our analysis detected no major deletion events in the evolutionary history of

nitrogenase. Oldest ancestors (including the last common ancestor of the entire nitrogenase

family) and Group III nitrogenases are among the shortest in sequence length and do not

contain any of the insertions described above.

Figure 3. Nitrogenase structure variation in a phylogenetic context. A) Nitrogenase protein phylogeny. Branches and ancestral nodes corresponding to structural insertion events, as well as representative extant variants conserving those insertions, are highlighted and/or labeled. Clade and node colors correspond to the subunit for which an insertion is observed (i.e., blue for the D subunit, red for the K subunit). **B)** Elongation of the NifD C-terminus coincident with the origin of the Anf clade. **C)** Progressive elongation of the NifK N-terminus through the early evolution of the Nif-I clade. **D)** Insertion within NifD coincident with the origin of the Nif-II clade. **B-D)** All visualized structures are predicted unless otherwise specified with the corresponding Protein Data Bank identifier. Bound G- and H-subunit structures were not predicted together with the NifDK structures and are thus indicated with an asterisk. The binding positions of the G- and H-subunit structures are inferred based on alignment with PDB 8BOQ (Trncik et al., 2023) and PDB 1M34 (Schmid et al., 2002), respectively.

The locations of these insertions within the nitrogenase structure and their encoding genes provide insight into their possible functional contributions. First, all insertions are exposed at the surface of the DDKK protein complex (**Figures 3B-D**), and all comprise varying proportions of helix or loop regions in both extant and ancestral structures. The position of

the D-subunit C-terminus extension (**Figure 3B**) and the K-subunit N-terminus extension

(**Figure 3C**) is similar. Both line the edges of the interface between D- and K- subunit proteins,

possibly stabilizing the complex. It is possible that the N-terminus of NifK wraps around the

entry point of small-molecules (Barney et al., 2009; Morrison et al., 2015), and help in

reducing access for oxygen in Nif-I hosted by aerobes. The D-subunit insertion in Nif-II

instead protrudes near the inferred HH binding site. Though no crystallographic structures

of a bound HH-DDKK nitrogenase complex are available for Nif-II homologs (and we did not

predict bound structures here), the Nif-II D-subunit insertion is likely capable of H-subunit

interactions based on alignment with the binding site in Nif-I nitrogenases (**Figure 3D**).

Phylogenetic trends of nitrogenase DDKK complex structures

Following the identification of structural innovations in the history of nitrogenases, we

investigated evolutionary trends in calculated structural attributes for DDKK structures,

including surface properties and intermolecular contacts (**Figure S6A**). Broadly, we found

that many structural features carry clade-specific trends and/or are associated with the

presence of the insertions described above. For example, total surface area (summed over

each protein subunit in the DDKK complex) is distinct between Nif-I, Nif-II, and Nif-

III/Vnf/Anf, and greatest in the Nif-II clade (**Figure S6B**). Trends in surface area are likely

driven in part by the presence and conformation of the surface-exposed insertions. We also

examined attributes related to protein-protein interactions within DDKK. In all cases, we

found that trends in these attributes were influenced most strongly by the presence of the K-

subunit N-terminus extension in the Nif-I clade. Nif-I homologs (and particularly those with

longer K-subunit extensions) have the largest number of intersubunit molecular contacts,

and intersubunit binding affinities (**Figure S6C, Figure 4B**). These patterns support our

hypothesis that the K-subunit N-terminal extension (**Figure 3C** and **4C**) contributes

positively to DDKK complex interaction strength and stability. By contrast, homologs with the

least number of intersubunit contacts and weakest binding affinities are Nif-III nitrogenases,

which notably contain none of the described insertions. We also observed an increase in the

total surface area of the nitrogenase complex within the Nif-II group (**Figure S6B**). The only

structural attribute that we found correlated with ancestor age was the proportion of charged

residues across DDKK proteins (**Figure S6E**). Accordingly, we observed a weak negative

correlation between phylogenetic distance from the root and proportion of charged residues

(Spearman R ≈ -0.261). We did not detect similar trends for other attributes. Rather, the

attributes of nitrogenase ancestors largely resemble those of their descendants in a clade-

specific manner. These results reinforce the idea that major structural distinctions were set

early in nitrogenase history and conserved in respective clades.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic patterns of nitrogenase structural attributes calculated across DDKK proteins. A) Node taxonomy overview. B) Binding affinity prediction for extant and ancestral nitrogenase nodes mapped to the nitrogenase phylogeny. C) Structure overview of the D and K subunit interactions around the N-terminal insertion in Nif-I nitrogenases. Structure corresponding to *Azotobacter vinelandii* NifDK (PDB code: 3U7Q). Note: We reduced the number of displayed phylogenetic nodes to mitigate visual overcrowding, refer to Supplementary Figure S6 for a complete visualization of all nodes.

Crystalized nitrogenase ancestors confirm evolutionary substitutions at the HH-DDKK interface

We continued our structural investigation of nitrogenase evolutionary history by crystallographic characterization of select nitrogenase ancestors. Three previously characterized ancestral variants were targeted from phylogenetic nodes within the evolutionary lineage of *A. vinelandii* Nif (wild-type, "WT") (**Figure 5A**) (Garcia et al., 2023): Anc1A, Anc1B and Anc2. Anc1A and Anc1B are the same age, whereas Anc2 is reconstructed from an older phylogenetic node. WT, Anc1, and Anc2 belong to a Mo-nitrogenase clade, formerly designated as 'Group I' by (Raymond et al., 2004). This clade includes homologs from various aerobic and facultatively anaerobic organisms, such as proteobacteria and cyanobacteria (Garcia et al., 2023). An estimated maximum age of approximately 2.5 billion years for Group I nitrogenases —and consequently for Anc1 and Anc2— is inferred from the timing of the GOE (Garcia et al., 2023; Lyons et al., 2014). As an additional distinction, Anc1A and Anc2 contain an ancestral D-subunit protein complexed with WT H- and K-subunit proteins. Anc1B, by contrast, is composed of ancestral H-, D-, and K-subunit proteins. Ancestral variants preferentially bind to the ATP cofactor (Harris et al., 2024) and exhibit the same general mechanism for N_2 binding and reduction conserved across all known nitrogenases to date, but with decreased activity for reduction of N_2 or other substrates (Garcia et al., 2023). Thus, the sequence differences between ancestors and WT are sufficient to generate phenotypic changes.

Ancestral nitrogenase DDKK proteins were isolated from genomically modified strains of *A. vinelandii* (Garcia et al., 2023), purified by chromatographic methods, and crystallized under anoxic conditions (**Figure S7**) (see **Materials and Methods**). We found that ancestral nitrogenases required different conditions for crystallization relative to that required for modern proteins. Specifically, all ancestors crystallized at pH 6.5, which is unusually acidic for nitrogenase (Peters et al., 1997; Spatzal et al., 2011). In addition, all ancestral proteins crystallized in space group $P\ 2_12_12_1$, which differs from the space groups for the same proteins in *A. vinelandii* NifDK (i.e., WT; space group $P\ 2_1$), *Clostridium pasteurianum* Nif

(space group $P\,2_1$), and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* NifDK (space group $C\,2$). We observed one

ancestral DDKK protein per asymmetric unit. All three ancestors showed anisotropic

diffraction: 2.65 to 2.93 Å for Anc1A, 1.82 to 2.8 Å for Anc1B, and 1.82 to 2.42 Å for Anc2. All

structures were solved by molecular replacement using WT *A. vinelandii* (PDB 3U7Q).

As in extant nitrogenase proteins, each ancestral D- or K-subunit structure exhibits three

Rossmann-fold domains (**Figure 5B**). The P-cluster, an 8Fe7S metallocluster that mediates

electron flow to the active site, is located on the pseudo-twofold axis relating the structurally

similar D- and K-subunits and was modeled in the all-ferrous P^N-state. Both the P-cluster and

the active-site cofactor, FeMoco, were modeled with full occupancy. Global structural

differences between the ancestors and WT *A. vinelandii* DDKK are relatively minor, and

within the range of variation for available extant structures. Among all ancestors, root mean

square deviations (RMSD) for all atom positions are largest between Anc1B and WT DDKK

(0.36 Å; compared to RMSD of 0.50 Å between *A. vinelandii* and *K. pneumoniae* DDKK). This

observation may be explained by the larger number of amino acid substitutions between the

fully ancestral Anc1B complex and WT, whereas only the D-subunit contains substitutions

in the Anc1A and Anc2 hybrid complexes (**Figure 5B**). Among the two hybrid ancestors, the

RMSD between Anc2 and WT (0.24 Å) is smaller than that between Anc1A and WT (0.31 Å).

Furthermore, we observe that the position of the twofold symmetry axis relating the two DK

heterodimers of a single MoFe protein shifts slightly between Anc1B and WT (**Figure S8**). The

same was previously observed in VFe protein (Sippel and Einsle, 2017) and FeFe protein

(Trncik et al., 2023) and may reflect slight differences in the interface between the two copies

of NifK.

Figure 5. Crystal structures of targeted ancestral nitrogenases. A) Nitrogenase protein

phylogeny from which nitrogenase ancestral proteins were reconstructed and crystallized

for structural characterization. Major clades are labeled following (Garcia et al., 2023). **B)**

Residue-level structural similarity (root mean square deviation, RMSD) between ancestral

and wild-type (*A. vinelandii*) NifDK/NifH structures. **C)** Spatial distribution of ancestral amino

acid substitutions relative to WT. Crystallized protein complexes for Anc1A and Anc2 contain

ancestral NifD and WT NifH and NifK. Therefore, ancestral substitutions are only in the NifD

subunit for these structures. **D)** Ancestral amino acid substitutions within the NifH-NifDK

interface of Anc1B. Bound positions of NifH are inferred by alignment with either the

nucleotide-free (PDB 2AFH (Tezcan et al., 2005)) or MgATP-bound (PDB 7UT8 (Rutledge et al.,

2022)) structures. **E-G)** Close views of specific, ancestral amino acid substitutions that are

inferred to impact NifH-NifDK interactions.

In our previous study, we found that ancestral nitrogenases had decreased N_2-reduction

activity *in vitro* relative to WT (Garcia et al., 2023). The generation of crystallographic

structures for these ancestors provide an opportunity to examine any structural features that

might be responsible for the decrease in activity. Given that most ancestral DDKK

substitutions were not close to metalloclusters, we hypothesized that those situated at the

DDKK-HH interface might have modified the activity of the ancestors by impeding protein-

protein interactions that are necessary for electron transfer to the nitrogenase active site, as

well as cofactor loading and complex maturation (Burén et al., 2020). Snapshots of crystal

structure interface, highlighting mutations and residue interactions are shown in **Figure 5E-**

G.

Notably, a non-conserved Nif-D α-helix (positions 165-174 in Anc1B) lies within interacting

distance of HH. This helix contains several substitutions across all ancestors, albeit the

positioning of polar amino acids differs among Anc1A, Anc1B, and Anc2. Herein, the D-

subunit S165A substitution is consistently present across all ancestors and may influence

interactions with HH S175 in the ATP-bound complex, potentially reducing stability (**Figure**

5E). Additionally, two unique single-point substitutions in the ancestral K-subunit—K211N

and G307N, specific to Anc1B—are likely to impact interactions with HH E111 in the ATP-

bound state (**Figure 5G**). Positioned centrally within the DDKK-HH interface, these

substitutions alter the electrostatic surface of HH. In Anc1B, the substitution HH S177G is

located near the interface with NifDK, with G307N in Anc1B NifK identified as a potential

interaction partner (**Figure 5F**).

Predictions for nitrogenase variants had high similarity (average RMSD < 1.4 Å) to
experimentally determined structures (**Figure S9**). This was also true for the ancestral nodes
selected for crystallographic analysis in this study, notably, none of which were included in
the structural database used for AlphaFold training. Beyond the limited number of
substitutions within the interfaces of Anc1A/B and Anc2, the changes in the ancestral
enzymes were distributed quite evenly across the protein subunits. Importantly, we did not
observe substitutions within regions considered important for nitrogenase catalysis (e.g.,
close to metalloclusters), nor were any sites overlapping with those previously targeted for
mutagenesis experiments.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we combined targeted experimental structural analysis of nitrogenase ancestral
enzymes with a massive, 3D structure prediction of the present-day and historical
nitrogenase diversity. Building on the recent rapid expansion of predicted structures for
extant proteins, this work marks a pioneering effort to apply these methods to explore the
ancestry of an entire enzyme family for the first time. The three crystallographic and 5,378
predicted structures generated in this study represent approximately a ~50-fold increase in
available nitrogenase complex structures, and importantly includes an extensive sampling
of historical structural variation.

Both crystallographic and predicted structure of nitrogenase ancestors underscore the long-
term evolutionary conservation of core structural features that accompanied the previously
characterized conservation of mechanistic aspects of nitrogenase catalysis (Garcia et al.,
2023). Nevertheless, historical structural variation sufficiently distinguishes major
nitrogenase clades independent of protein sequence information. This phylogenetic
variation is driven primarily by different insertion events that occurred early in nitrogenase
evolutionary history. An extension of the K-subunit N-terminus emerged during the early

diversification of Group I nitrogenases coinciding with the proliferation of nitrogenases into

oxygen-tolerant bacterial lineages and the planetary rise in atmospheric oxygen.

Nitrogenase structural features that might be associated with increased compatibility

between the oxygen-sensitive nitrogenase enzyme and oxygen-tolerant host organisms are

of significant interest given efforts to transfer N-fixation genes to crops (Vicente and Dean,

2017). Furthermore, if such structural features are in fact required for compatibility with

oxygen tolerant hosts, their emergence would represent a pivotal transition in Earth history

given the importance of aerobic N-fixers for present-day global nitrogen cycling. For

example, *Trichodesmium*, a single genus of oxygenic phototrophic cyanobacteria, today

contributes 60-80 Tg of the total 100-200 Tg fixed N produced annually in modern oceans

(Bergman et al., 2013). It was also proposed that the O_2 sensitivity of nitrogenase limited the

extent of oxygen production by primary producers (Mrnjavac et al., 2024).

The occurrence of the NifK N-terminus extension in oxygen tolerant diazotrophs, combined

with increased inter-subunit interactions and affinity predicted by our *in-silico* analyses,

points to a possible functional role in response to the planetary rise of oxygen. Aerobic

diazotrophs today utilize a variety of oxygen protection strategies for N-fixation. These

include physical separation of nitrogenases into anaerobic, differentiated cells, temporal

decoupling of N-fixation from oxygen production in cyanobacteria, and increased rates of

aerobic respiration (Mus et al., 2019; Robson and Postgate, 1980). Further, the Shethna

protein II was found to shield nitrogenase from temporary oxygen exposure by forming a

complex with nitrogenase proteins and rendering the enzyme inactive yet oxygen-tolerant

(Schlesier et al., 2016). Nevertheless, evolutionary modifications to the nitrogenase enzyme

itself that improve its compatibility in aerobic diazotrophs have not yet been identified. The

NifK N-terminus extension discussed here may play a direct role, by stabilizing oxygen-

sensitive, intersubunit protein interactions within the nitrogenase enzyme. Alternatively, it

might play an indirect role by shaping potential interaction sites with accessory proteins (like

the Shethna protein II) that improve oxygen protection. Targeted experimental studies aimed

at the NifK N-terminus, as well as other insertions that we identified in our work, in both

extant and ancestral nitrogenases could illuminate potential functional roles. Given the

dramatic environmental shifts brought by the Great Oxidation Event (GOE), it remains

uncertain to what extent these emergent changes were beneficial at the time of their

appearance. Within the framework of constructively neutral evolution, these changes may

have initially been neutral, only later acquiring adaptive value as environmental conditions

or biological contexts shifted (Brunet and Doolittle, 2018).

We identify major structural transitions in the history of nitrogenase enzymes that can serve

as a foundation for future experimental studies to probe the interplay between molecular

and ecological factors that shaped the evolution of this globally important enzyme. Yet, the

insights provided by structural prediction methods leveraged here carry inherent

uncertainty. For example, accurate active-site side chain prediction would require

incorporation of metal-cofactors into the active site, which is currently missing in our

AlphaFold2-based pipeline. Future versions of AlphaFold, with enhanced capacity to

capture finer-scale features (e.g., side chain structures), may enable more detailed

estimates and reveal subtle structural and historical variations.

Our study shows that despite a conservation of a core multimeric structure, nitrogenase

evolved novel structural features, coinciding with the key environmental transitions in

Earth's history. Subtle, modular structural adjustments away from the active site were key to

the evolution and persistence of nitrogenases over geologic time. These findings may also

indicate a prominent role for transient regulatory adaptations in response to planetary-scale

environmental transitions shaping the course of protein evolution. Reconstructing the lost

evolutionary histories of globally essential enzymes—including the structural changes they

underwent during key environmental transitions, as examined in this study—offers key

insights for understanding how enzymes persist through vast environmental shifts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nitrogenase ancestral sequence reconstruction, selection, and resurrection in *A. vinelandii*

All extant and ancestral nitrogenase protein sequences were drawn from a previous nitrogenase sequence dataset (Garcia et al., 2023). Briefly, 385 nitrogenase sequences and 385 outgroup sequences were curated from the NCBI nr database by BLASTp for phylogenetic tree building and ancestral sequence reconstruction. For ancestors Anc1A and Anc2, aligned sequences (MAFFT v7.450 (Katoh and Standley, 2013) were trimmed (trimAl v1.2 (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009)) and both tree reconstruction and ancestral sequence inference were performed by RAxML v8.2.10 (Stamatakis, 2014) with the LG + G + F evolutionary model. Model selection was performed by ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) in IQ-TREE v.1.6.12 (Nguyen et al., 2015). For ancestor Anc1B, the untrimmed sequence alignment was used for tree reconstruction by RAxML and ancestral sequence inference by PAML v4.9j (Yang, 2007) using the LG + G + F model. This second analysis was conducted due to concerns that RAxML v8.2 does not perform full, marginal ancestral sequence reconstructed as described by (Yang et al., 1995). Anc1A and Anc1B are equivalent in their set of descendants and, despite the difference in reconstruction methods, have NifD proteins with 95% identity (**Figure S10**).

In addition to the ancestral sequences described above, we probed the statistical uncertainty of ASR by generating five alternative variants for each ancestor in the nitrogenase phylogeny. These include: one variant that contains the second most probable residue at each ambiguous position (probability of most probable ancestral state < 0.7) ("*altall*") and another four variants selected by randomly sampling the ASR posterior probability distribution at each ambiguous site ("*alt2*," "*alt3*," "*alt4*," "*alt5*"). The *altall* and *alt2-5* sequences correspond to the alternative sequences considered in **Figure 1**.

Engineering of *A. vinelandii* strains was performed as previously described, replacing the

native WT *nifD* gene with an ancestral variant (Garcia et al., 2023). A. *vinelandi*i WT ('DJ'),

DJ2278 (ΔnifD::KanR), and DJ2102 (Strep-II-tagged WT NifD were generously provided by

Dennis Dean (Virginia Tech) for strain engineering (Santos, 2018). Following genomic

integration of ancestral nitrogenase genes into *A. vinelandii*, transformants were passaged

at least three times to ensure phenotypic stability prior to storage at –80 °C in phosphate

buffer containing 7% DMSO. Verification of the engineered strains was performed by Sanger

sequencing of the *nifD* region as well as whole genome sequencing.

Expression and purification of nitrogenase proteins *A. vinelandii*

Cells from DMSO stock were directly grown in a pre-culture using liquid Burk-medium

containing ammonium sulfate at 37 °C and 180 rpm until an optical density at 600 nm of at

least 1.5 was reached. Further a second pre-culture without ammonium-sulfate was grown

under the same conditions and used to inoculated 500 mL main cultures at 30 °C and 180

rpm. Cells were harvested when an optical density at 600 nm of 1.7-2.5 was reached.

Anc1A: Cells were grown in a 10 L Eppendorf BF120 bioreactor at 30 °C in Burk-medium

containing urea as the fixed N source. Dissolved oxygen (DO) was held at 20% using lab air

at a constant 10 SLPM and cascade control of agitation (100 – 500 RPM) and supplemental

O_2 (0 – 30%). At an optical density at 600 nm of ~8 the cells were pelleted by centrifugation

and resuspended in Burk-medium without a fixed N source to induce expression of

nitrogenase. Cells were allowed to express for 4 hours with DO held at 10% with the same air

flow and cascade control before harvesting.

Isolation of MoFe proteins: MoFe protein is oxygen sensitive therefore all consecutive steps

were conducted either in an anoxic chamber (Coy Laboratories) under 95% N_2 and 5% H_2

atmosphere or using modified Schlenk techniques under N_2 flow. Anc1 contains two

additional crystallographic forms, Anc1A and Anc1B; the two are the same age, and Anc1A

contains an ancestral D-subunit protein complexed with WT H- and K-subunit proteins,

Anc1B is by contrast is composed of ancestral H-, D-, and K-subunit proteins. They were

isolated as follows:

Anc1B (MoFe and Fe protein): Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl

at pH 7.4, 2.5 mM $Na_2S_2O_4$) and opened at 1500 bar in a SUP Maximator HPL-6 at 2 °C under

anoxic conditions. The cell free extract was centrifuged at 87,000 x g at 4 °C for 60 minutes,

filtered through a 0.45 μM syringe filter (Filtropur) and were loaded onto two equilibrated 5

mL HiTrap Q HP columns. After washing with 12.5 % elution buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl at pH

7.4, 1000 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM Na2S2O4), the amount of elution buffer was increased in 2.5 %

increments up to 35 %, followed by steps at 40 and 50 %. MoFe and Fe protein eluted after

300 mM NaCl and 325 mM NaCl respectively. Step elution with 40 % elution buffer was used

to concentrate. The proteins were loaded separately onto a size exclusion chromatography

(Superdex S200, GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM Tris/HCl at pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl,

2.5 mM $Na_2S_2O_4$, concentrated (Vivaspin 20, 50 or 30 kDa MWCO, Sartorius) and flash frozen

in liquid nitrogen. A second size exclusion chromatography step (Superdex 200 Increase

10/300 GL) was carried out with MoFe protein, which was subsequently concentrated, and

flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Anc1A: Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.9, 20% glycerol

(v/v), 500 mM NaCl, and 2 mM $Na_2S_2O_4$). Cells were lysed with a Nano DeBee 45-2 High

Pressure Homogenizer and then ultra-centrifugated to produce cell free lysate. Cell free

lysate was loaded onto an equilibrated (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl, and 2 mM

$Na_2S_2O_4$) 20 mL StrepTactinXT 4Flow column. The column was washed with 2 column

volumes of equilibration buffer, followed by elution of the protein in a single fraction with

elution buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM biotin, and 2 mM $Na_2S_2O_4$). Protein

was then loaded on an equilibrated (20 mM Tris/HCl at pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM

$Na_2S_2O_4$) size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL). Subsequently

it was concentrated, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Anc2: Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl at pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM $Na_2S_2O_4$) and opened, centrifuged and filtered following the Anc1B protocol. The cell free extract was loaded onto a with lysis buffer equilibrated Streptavidin XT 4 Flow column. Through addition of 50 mM Biotin to the lysis buffer Anc2 eluted. Size exclusion chromatography (Superdex S200, GE Healthcare) was equilibrated using lysis buffer and the protein loaded. Protein was concentrated (Vivaspin 20, 50 kDa MWCO, Sartorius), and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen until further use.

Crystallization and data collection

Protein crystallization was carried out in an anoxic chamber with less than 5 ppm of O_2. Solutions used for crystallization were degassed using modified Schlenck techniques and crystallization was carried out using the sitting-drop vapor diffusion technique in 96 well plates (Anc1A and Anc1B) (Swissci 96-well two-drop plate, Hampton Research) or 24 well plates (Anc2) (24-well crystallization Cryschem M plates, Hampton Research). Crystals which were used for microseeding were added to 50 µl reservoir solution and a PTFE seed bead (Hampton Research). The crystals were crushed by 6 times vortexing for 30 s and then diluted with reservoir solution. 7.5 mM of $Na_2S_2O_4$ were added to the protein prior to crystallization. 2 µl of 10 mg/ml protein solution (Anc2) were mixed with the same volume of reservoir solution containing 4.5% (w/v) of polyethylene glycol 2000, 3350, 4000 and polyethylene glycol mono methylether 5000, 0.1 M MES/NaOH at pH 6.5, 10% (v/v) of ethylene glycol and 0.15 M Mg acetate. 0.2 µl of 1:100 diluted seed were added.

For Anc1A, 0.3 µl of 9 mg/ml protein solution were mixed with 0.4 µl of reservoir solution containing 0.2 M $LiSO_4$ x 1 H_2O, 0.1 M bis-Tris/HCl at pH 6.5, 25%(w/v) of polyethylene glycol 3350. 0.1 µl of 1:400 diluted seed was added. 0.4 µl of 9 mg/ml protein solution (Anc1B) was mixed with 0.4 µl of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M MES/imidazole at pH 6.5, 12.5% of (w/v) of polyethylene glycol 1000, 3350 and (v/v) 2-methyl-2,4-pentandiol each and 0.02 M of 1,6-hexanediol, 1-butanol, 1,4-butanediol, (RS)-1,2-propanediol, 2-propanol, and 1,3-

propanediol, each with addition of 0.1 µl of 1:400 diluted seed. 0.8 µl of 8 mg/ml Anc1B-H

was mixed with 0.8 µl of reservoir containing 0.1 M MES/NaOH at pH 6.5, 7.5% of (w/v)

polyethylene glycol 6000, 8000, 10000 each, 10%(vol/vol) isopropanol. 0.2 µl of 1:400

diluted seed stock was added.

Crystals were harvested into nylon loops and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data

for Anc2 were collected at the Swiss Light Source (Paul Scherrer Institute) on beamline

X06SA using an EIGER 16M X detector at an X-ray wavelength of 1.0000 Å. Diffraction data

for Anc1A and B were collected at the ESRF on beamline ID30B using an EIGER2 X 9M at an

X-ray wavelength of 0.8856 A. Diffraction date for Anc1B-H was collected at the ESRF on

beamline ID23-1 using an EIGER2 16M CdTe detector at an X-ray wavelength of 0.8856 A.

Structure solution and refinement

The crystallographic phase problem was solved using the WT structure of MoFe or Fe

protein, respectively, from *A. vinelandii* (PDB:3U7Q, 1G1M). A single solution was obtained

for the mutants using MOLREP (Anc1B, Anc1B-NifH) (Vagin and Teplyakov, 2010) or

PhaserMR (Anc1A, Anc2) (McCoy et al., 2007). The obtained model was refined using

iterative rounds of BUSTER (Anc1A, Anc2) (Blanc et al., 2004) or REFMAC5 (all) (Murshudov

et al., 2011) and model building in COOT (Emsley et al., 2010). **Tables S2 to S5** show the

statistics of the refinement process.

Ancient and extant protein structure prediction

Structure predictions based on Alphafold-derived methods require two steps: generating

deep sequence alignments and predicting structures based on those alignments (Jumper et

al., 2021; Senior et al., 2020; Tunyasuvunakool et al., 2021). Additionally, structural

templates can be added to the process, but they are not mandatory. The first step depends

on searching large sequence databases. The optimization of this step requires significant

memory resources which are limiting in many cases. Colabfold provides a public MMSeqs2

server (Steinegger and Söding, 2017) that generates multiple-sequence alignments tailored

to Alphafold requirements to solve this issue (Mirdita et al., 2022). However, the public

nature of this server implies that users can only perform a limited number of queries per unit

of time. The second step consists of running forward predictions on a deep neural network.

This step is usually performed in high-performance graphical processing units (GPU). To

predict nitrogenase structures, we have considered a *bonafide* protocol and a recycling

protocol.

Bonafide protocol – In this protocol, we rely on the Colabfold methodology, which consists

of using MMSeqs2 to generate a deep sequence alignment covering the whole sequence of

the query and running forward predictions with 3 recycles using the Alphafold neural

network. In this step, we avoided using structural templates and optimizing side chains using

the AMBER force field.

Recycling protocol – To predict variant structures with a high level of sequence identity to

another reference sequence, we implemented a different pipeline based on recycling the

MSA generated by MMSeqs2. The main reason for this additional step is to avoid blocking a

public resource with thousands of similar requests. We re-aligned the corresponding

concatenation of sequences to the MSA generated on the structure prediction of the

maximum-likelihood ancestral sequence using MAFFT with its options "--keepLength" and

"--addResidue" (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Previous conversions from A3M to FASTA format

were carried out using HH-suite convert.pl script (Steinegger et al., 2019). The result of this

re-alignment was converted to A3M again, and the files were modified to include the headers

specifying the number of chains for each sequence and the length of each sequence in the

concatenated dataset. The rest of the protocol proceeded as the *bonafide* protocol, using 3

recycles without templates nor side-chain optimization.

Protein structure analysis pipeline

The final dataset includes a total of 5378 structures corresponding to extant and ancestral

structures. We utilized semi-automatic methods to analyze the high number of structures.

Our pipeline for the analysis of structures includes the following steps. (1) Aligning every

structure against a template using US-Align (Zhang et al., 2022). For the component-1

complexes (chains DDKK), Vnf nitrogenase (PDB: 5N6Y) was used as a template. For the

component-2, the NifH dimer was used as a template (PDB: 1G1M), both templates from A.

vinelandii. (2) Modifying the name of chains to match the reference structure, and (3)

Extracting the pLDDT, predicted local distance difference test, which is the standard

uncertainty predictor of Alphafold (Jumper et al., 2021) from the B-factor column of PDB files

and trimming regions of the structure with low prediction confidence.

The similarity of two protein structures is computed using US-Align (Zhang et al., 2022),

which extends the structural alignment capabilities of the TM-align (Zhang and Skolnick,

2005) method to proteins containing multiple chains. US-Align provides two metrics that

provide an assessment of the similarity between proteins: first, the Root Mean Square

Deviation (RMSD), which measures the mean distance in which a set of atoms deviates from

another; and the TM-score, which is a metric bound between 0 (no similarity) and 1 (absolute

similarity).

[FORMULA 1: RMSD]

$$RMSD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_i^N \left(x_i^{ref} - x_i \right)^2}$$

Where N is the number of atoms, x_i are the 3D coordinates of the aligned atoms, and x_i^{ref} are

the 3D coordinates of the reference atoms. In this work, we compute this function solely

based on the coordinates of α-carbons.

[FORMULA 2: TM-SCORE]

$$TMScore = \max\left(\frac{1}{L^{ref}} \sum_i^L \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{d_i}{d_0(L^{ref})}\right)^2} \right)$$

Where L^{ref} is the length of the reference protein, L is the number of aligned residues, d_i is the

distance between a par of aligned residues, and $d_0(L^{ref})$ is a normalization term to account

for the size of the proteins under alignment. We have combined different programs' outputs

to study the structural features among the different nitrogenases. The list of studied features

and the respective programs are summarized in **Table S6.**

Nitrogenase structure repository

We implemented a lightweight server using Streamlit framework in Python providing access

and the ability to download to all reconstructed structures using taxon IDs, gene names, or

species names available at https://nsdb.bact.wisc.edu.

Visualization

To visualize quantitative data, we employed the Seaborn Python library. To visualize protein

structures, we used ChimeraX-v1.5 (Goddard et al., 2018).

DATA AVAILABILITY

All codes are available at Github: http://github.com/kacarlab/nif-structures

All crystal structures will be available at the Protein Database upon publication: Anc1A

DDKK: 9HB9, Anc 1B HH: 9HAZ, Anc1B DDKK: 9HBN and Anc2 DDKK: 9HBC.

All structures are available at the nitrogenase structure repository at the UW-Madison
Department of Bacteriology hosted https://nsdb.bact.wisc.edu and at Zenodo
10.5281/zenodo.13351063.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization and study design: BCZ and BK

Data collection: BCZ, FD, KA, AKG

Writing (first draft): BCZ and BK

Writing (reviewing/editing): All authors

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

B.C.Z acknowledges the Margarita Salas Postdoctoral Fellowship, founded by the Unión
Europea - Next Generation EU (B.C.Z.; UP2021-035). This work was supported by the
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Interdisciplinary Consortium for
Astrobiology Research: Metal Utilization and Selection Across Eons, MUSE
[*80NSSC17K0296*] with additional support from the Human Frontier Science Program
(HFSP) [*RGY0072/2021*], the Hypothesis Fund and the NASA Exobiology Program
[*NNH23ZDA001N*]. O.E. acknowledges support from the European Research Council
(Horizon Europe, AdG 101141673). We thank the Center for High Throughput Computing
(CHTC), Dr. Derek Harris for assistance in nitrogenase protein purification, Dr. Janet
Newlands for the IT support, the Department of Bacteriology at the University of Wisconsin-
Madison for providing computing resources and the members of the Kaçar laboratory for the
valuable feedback.

REFERENCES

Anbar AD, Knoll AH. 2002. Proterozoic Ocean Chemistry and Evolution: A Bioinorganic Bridge? *Science* **297**:1137–1142. doi:10.1126/science.1069651

Barney BM, Yurth MG, Santos PCD, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC. 2009. A substrate channel in the nitrogenase MoFe protein. *JBIC J Biol Inorg Chem* **14**:1015–1022. doi:10.1007/s00775-009-0544-2

Bergman B, Sandh G, Lin S, Larsson J, Carpenter EJ. 2013. Trichodesmium – a widespread marine cyanobacterium with unusual nitrogen fixation properties. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **37**:286–302. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00352.x

Blanc E, Roversi P, Vonrhein C, Flensburg C, Lea SM, Bricogne G. 2004. Refinement of severely incomplete structures with maximum likelihood in BUSTER–TNT. *Acta Crystallogr Sect D: Biol Crystallogr* **60**:2210–2221. doi:10.1107/s0907444904016427

Boyd ES, Costas AMG, Hamilton TL, Mus F, Peters JW. 2015. Evolution of Molybdenum Nitrogenase during the Transition from Anaerobic to Aerobic Metabolism. *J Bacteriol* **197**:1690–1699. doi:10.1128/jb.02611-14

Brunet TDP, Doolittle WF. 2018. The generality of Constructive Neutral Evolution. *Biol Philos* **33**:2. doi:10.1007/s10539-018-9614-6

Burén S, Jiménez-Vicente E, Echavarri-Erasun C, Rubio LM. 2020. Biosynthesis of Nitrogenase Cofactors. *Chem Rev* **120**:4921–4968. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00489

Campo JSM del, Rigsbee J, Batista MB, Mus F, Rubio LM, Einsle O, Peters JW, Dixon R, Dean DR, Santos PCD. 2022. Overview of physiological, biochemical, and regulatory aspects of nitrogen fixation in Azotobacter vinelandii. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* **57**:492–538. doi:10.1080/10409238.2023.2181309

Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* **25**:1972–1973. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348

Cuevas-Zuviría B, Garcia AK, Rivier AJ, Rucker HR, Carruthers BM, Kaçar B. 2024. Emergence of an orphan nitrogenase protein following atmospheric oxygenation. *Mol Biol Evol* msae067. doi:10.1093/molbev/msae067

Dixon R, Kahn D. 2004. Genetic regulation of biological nitrogen fixation. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2**:621–631. doi:10.1038/nrmicro954

Einsle O, Rees DC. 2020. Structural Enzymology of Nitrogenase Enzymes. *Chem Rev*
120:4969–5004. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00067

Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of Coot. *Acta*
Crystallogr Sect D: Biol Crystallogr **66**:486–501. doi:10.1107/s0907444910007493

Falkowski PG. 1997. Evolution of the nitrogen cycle and its influence on the biological
sequestration of CO2 in the ocean. *Nature* **387**:272–275. doi:10.1038/387272a0

Garcia AK, Harris DF, Rivier AJ, Carruthers BM, Pinochet-Barros A, Seefeldt LC, Kaçar B.
2023. Nitrogenase resurrection and the evolution of a singular enzymatic mechanism. *eLife*
12:e85003. doi:10.7554/elife.85003

Garcia AK, Kaçar B. 2019. How to resurrect ancestral proteins as proxies for ancient
biogeochemistry. *Free Radic Biol Med* **140**:260–269.
doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.03.033

Garcia AK, Kolaczkowski B, Kaçar B. 2022. Reconstruction of Nitrogenase Predecessors
Suggests Origin from Maturase-Like Proteins. *Genome Biol Evol* **14**:evac031.
doi:10.1093/gbe/evac031

Garcia AK, McShea H, Kolaczkowski B, Kaçar B. 2020. Reconstructing the evolutionary
history of nitrogenases: Evidence for ancestral molybdenum-cofactor utilization.
Geobiology **18**:394–411. doi:10.1111/gbi.12381

Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Morris JH, Ferrin TE. 2018.
UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci*
27:14–25. doi:10.1002/pro.3235

Harris DF, Rucker HR, Garcia AK, Yang Z-Y, Chang SD, Feinsilber H, Kaçar B, Seefeldt LC.
2024. Ancient nitrogenases are ATP dependent. *mBio* **15**:e01271-24.
doi:10.1128/mbio.01271-24

Johnson AC, Ostrander CM, Romaniello SJ, Reinhard CT, Greaney AT, Lyons TW, Anbar AD.
2021. Reconciling evidence of oxidative weathering and atmospheric anoxia on Archean
Earth. *Sci Adv* **7**:eabj0108. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abj0108

Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K,
Bates R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A,
Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E,
Zielinski M, Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O,
Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure
prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**:583–589. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

Kaçar B. 2024. Reconstructing Early Microbial Life. *Annu Rev Microbiol*.
doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-041522-103400

Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, Haeseler A von, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder:
fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* **14**:587–589.
doi:10.1038/nmeth.4285

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**:772–780.
doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010

Lyons TW, Reinhard CT, Planavsky NJ. 2014. The rise of oxygen in Earth's early ocean and
atmosphere. *Nature* **506**:307–315. doi:10.1038/nature13068

Lyons TW, Tino CJ, Fournier GP, Anderson RE, Leavitt WD, Konhauser KO, Stüeken EE. 2024.
Co-evolution of early Earth environments and microbial life. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **22**:572–586.
doi:10.1038/s41579-024-01044-y

Mariani V, Biasini M, Barbato A, Schwede T. 2013. lDDT: a local superposition-free score for
comparing protein structures and models using distance difference tests. *Bioinformatics*
29:2722–2728. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt473

McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ. 2007. Phaser
crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* **40**:658–674. doi:10.1107/s0021889807021206

Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. 2022. ColabFold:
making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**:679–682. doi:10.1038/s41592-
022-01488-1

Moore EK, Jelen BI, Giovannelli D, Raanan H, Falkowski PG. 2017. Metal availability and the
expanding network of microbial metabolisms in the Archaean eon. *Nat Geosci* **10**:629–636.
doi:10.1038/ngeo3006

Morrison CN, Hoy JA, Zhang L, Einsle O, Rees DC. 2015. Substrate Pathways in the
Nitrogenase MoFe Protein by Experimental Identification of Small Molecule Binding Sites.
Biochemistry **54**:2052–2060. doi:10.1021/bi501313k

Mrnjavac N, Esposti MD, Mizrahi I, Martin WF, Allen JF. 2024. Three enzymes governed the
rise of O_2 on Earth. *Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) - Bioenerg* **1865**:149495.
doi:10.1016/j.bbabio.2024.149495

Murshudov GN, Skubák P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, Winn MD, Long
F, Vagin AA. 2011. REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta*
Crystallogr Sect D **67**:355–367. doi:10.1107/s0907444911001314

Mus F, Colman DR, Peters JW, Boyd ES. 2019. Geobiological feedbacks, oxygen, and the
evolution of nitrogenase. *Free Radic Biol Med* **140**:250–259.
doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.01.050

Navarro-González R, McKay CP, Mvondo DN. 2001. A possible nitrogen crisis for Archaean
life due to reduced nitrogen fixation by lightning. *Nature* **412**:61–64. doi:10.1038/35083537

Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, Haeseler A von, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective
Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol*
32:268–274. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300

Parsons C, Stüeken EE, Rosen CJ, Mateos K, Anderson RE. 2021. Radiation of nitrogen-
metabolizing enzymes across the tree of life tracks environmental transitions in Earth
history. *Geobiology* **19**:18–34. doi:10.1111/gbi.12419

Peters JW, Stowell MHB, Soltis SM, Finnegan MG, Johnson MK, Rees DC. 1997. Redox-
Dependent Structural Changes in the Nitrogenase P-Cluster † , ‡. *Biochemistry* **36**:1181–
1187. doi:10.1021/bi9626665

Raymond J, Siefert JL, Staples CR, Blankenship RE. 2004. The Natural History of Nitrogen
Fixation. *Mol Biol Evol* **21**:541–554. doi:10.1093/molbev/msh047

Robson RL, Postgate JR. 1980. Oxygen and Hydrogen in Biological Nitrogen Fixation. *Annu*
Rev Microbiol **34**:183–207. doi:10.1146/annurev.mi.34.100180.001151

Rucker HR, Kaçar B. 2024. Enigmatic evolution of microbial nitrogen fixation: insights from
Earth's past. *Trends Microbiol* **32**:554–564. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2023.03.011

Rutledge HL, Cook BD, Nguyen HPM, HerzikJr. MA, Tezcan FA. 2022. Structures of the
nitrogenase complex prepared under catalytic turnover conditions. *Science* **377**:865–869.
doi:10.1126/science.abq7641

Sánchez-Baracaldo P, Ridgwell A, Raven JA. 2014. A Neoproterozoic Transition in the
Marine Nitrogen Cycle. *Curr Biol* **24**:652–657. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.01.041

Santos PCD. 2018. Metalloproteins, Methods and Protocols. *Methods Mol Biol* **1876**:91–
109. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-8864-8_6

Schlesier J, Rohde M, Gerhardt S, Einsle O. 2016. A Conformational Switch Triggers
Nitrogenase Protection from Oxygen Damage by Shethna Protein II (FeSII). *J Am Chem Soc*
138:239–247. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b10341

Schmid B, Einsle O, Chiu H-J, Willing A, Yoshida M, Howard JB, Rees DC. 2002. Biochemical
and Structural Characterization of the Cross-Linked Complex of Nitrogenase: Comparison

to the ADP-AlF4 --Stabilized Structure † , ‡. *Biochemistry* **41**:15557–15565.
doi:10.1021/bi026642b

Senior AW, Evans R, Jumper J, Kirkpatrick J, Sifre L, Green T, Qin C, Žídek A, Nelson AWR,
Bridgland A, Penedones H, Petersen S, Simonyan K, Crossan S, Kohli P, Jones DT, Silver D,
Kavukcuoglu K, Hassabis D. 2020. Improved protein structure prediction using potentials
from deep learning. *Nature* **577**:706–710. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1923-7

Sippel D, Einsle O. 2017. The structure of vanadium nitrogenase reveals an unusual
bridging ligand. *Nat Chem Biol* **13**:956–960. doi:10.1038/nchembio.2428

Spatzal T, Aksoyoglu M, Zhang L, Andrade SLA, Schleicher E, Weber S, Rees DC, Einsle O.
2011. Evidence for Interstitial Carbon in Nitrogenase FeMo Cofactor. *Science* **334**:940–940.
doi:10.1126/science.1214025

Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**:1312–1313. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Steinegger M, Meier M, Mirdita M, Vöhringer H, Haunsberger SJ, Söding J. 2019. HH-suite3
for fast remote homology detection and deep protein annotation. *BMC Bioinform* **20**:473.
doi:10.1186/s12859-019-3019-7

Steinegger M, Söding J. 2017. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for
the analysis of massive data sets. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**:1026–1028. doi:10.1038/nbt.3988

Stüeken EE, Buick R, Guy BM, Koehler MC. 2015. Isotopic evidence for biological nitrogen
fixation by molybdenum-nitrogenase from 3.2 Gyr. *Nature* **520**:666–669.
doi:10.1038/nature14180

Takimoto R, Tatemichi Y, Aoki W, Kosaka Y, Minakuchi H, Ueda M, Kuroda K. 2022. A critical
role of an oxygen-responsive gene for aerobic nitrogenase activity in Azotobacter vinelandii
and its application to Escherichia coli. *Sci Rep-uk* **12**:4182. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-
08007-4

Tezcan FA, Kaiser JT, Mustafi D, Walton MY, Howard JB, Rees DC. 2005. Nitrogenase
Complexes: Multiple Docking Sites for a Nucleotide Switch Protein. *Science* **309**:1377–
1380. doi:10.1126/science.1115653

Trncik C, Detemple F, Einsle O. 2023. Iron-only Fe-nitrogenase underscores common
catalytic principles in biological nitrogen fixation. *Nat Catal* 1–10. doi:10.1038/s41929-023-
00952-1

Tunyasuvunakool K, Adler J, Wu Z, Green T, Zielinski M, Žídek A, Bridgland A, Cowie A,
Meyer C, Laydon A, Velankar S, Kleywegt GJ, Bateman A, Evans R, Pritzel A, Figurnov M,

Ronneberger O, Bates R, Kohl SAA, Potapenko A, Ballard AJ, Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S,
Jain R, Clancy E, Reiman D, Petersen S, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Birney E, Kohli P, Jumper
J, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction for the human proteome.
Nature **596**:590–596. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03828-1

Vagin A, Teplyakov A. 2010. Molecular replacement with MOLREP. *Acta Crystallogr Sect D:*
Biol Crystallogr **66**:22–25. doi:10.1107/s0907444909042589

Vicente EJ, Dean DR. 2017. Keeping the nitrogen-fixation dream alive. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
114:3009–3011. doi:10.1073/pnas.1701560114

Xu J, Zhang Y. 2010. How significant is a protein structure similarity with TM-score = 0.5?
Bioinformatics **26**:889–895. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq066

Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. *Mol Biol Evol*
24:1586–1591. doi:10.1093/molbev/msm088

Yang Z, Kumar S, Nei M. 1995. A new method of inference of ancestral nucleotide and
amino acid sequences. *Genetics* **141**:1641–1650. doi:10.1093/genetics/141.4.1641

Zhang C, Shine M, Pyle AM, Zhang Y. 2022. US-align: universal structure alignments of
proteins, nucleic acids, and macromolecular complexes. *Nat Methods* **19**:1109–1115.
doi:10.1038/s41592-022-01585-1

Zhang Y, Skolnick J. 2005. TM-align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based on the
TM-score. *Nucleic Acids Res* **33**:2302–2309. doi:10.1093/nar/gki524

Zhang Y, Skolnick J. 2004. Scoring function for automated assessment of protein structure
template quality. *Proteins: Struct, Funct, Bioinform* **57**:702–710. doi:10.1002/prot.20264