Title: *In silico* **analysis of the evolution of root phenotypes during maize**

domestication in Neolithic soils of Tehuacán

Ivan Lopez-Valdivia[1], Miguel Vallebueno-Estrada[2], Harini Rangarajan[1], Kelly Swarts[2],

Bruce F. Benz[3], Michael Blake[4], Jagdeep Singh Sidhu[1], Sergio Perez-Limon[1], Ruairidh J.

H. Sawers[1], Hannah Schneider[5], Jonathan P. Lynch[1]*

[1]Department of Plant Science, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park,

Pennsylvania, USA.

[2]Gregor Mendel Institute of Molecular Plant Biology GmbH: Vienna, Wien, AT.

[3]Biology Department, Texas Wesleyan University, TX, USA.

[4]Department of Anthropology, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC,

Canada.

[5]Department of Physiology and Cell Biology, Leibniz Institute for Plant Genetics and Crop

Plant Research (IPK), OT Gatersleben, 06466, Seeland Germany

*Corresponding author: Jonathan Lynch; jpl4@psu.edu

ORCID:

Ivan Lopez-Valdivia: 0000-0003-1955-4989

Miguel Vallebueno-Estrada: 0000-0001-8647-8758

Harini Rangarajan: 0000-0002-4575-9251

Kelly Swarts: 0000-0002-0578-7426

Bruce F. Benz: 0000-0003-0181-6899

Michael Blake: 0000-0001-6292-0927

Jagdeep Singh Sidhu: 0000-0002-4672-3701

Sergio Perez-Limon: 0000-0002-1893-4325

Ruairidh J. H. Sawers: 0000-0002-8945-3078

Hannah Schneider: 0000-0003-4655-6250

Jonathan P. Lynch: 0000-0002-7265-9790

Classification: Physiology & Development

This PDF file includes:

Main Text
Figures 1 to 4
Supplementary Figures

Summary

Roots are essential for plant adaptation to changing environments, yet the role of roots in

crop domestication remains unclear. This study examines the evolution of root phenotypes

from teosinte to maize, a transition resulting in reduced nodal root number (NRN),

multiseriate cortical sclerenchyma (MCS), and increased seminal root number (SRN). We

reconstructed the root phenotypes of maize and teosinte, as well as the environments of the

Tehuacan Valley over the last 18,000 years using a combination of ancient DNA,

paleobotany, and functional-structural modeling. Our models reveal that increasing

Holocene atmospheric CO_2 concentrations favored the appearance of reduced NRN and

MCS between 12000 to 8000 years before present (yBP), promoting deeper root systems.

The advent of irrigation by 6000 yBP switched nitrogen distribution from topsoil to subsoil

domains, a change which increased the utility of reduced NRN and MCS. Comparison of

allelic frequencies among ancient samples ranging from 5500 to 500 yBP suggest that

increased SRN may have appeared around 3500 yBP, coinciding with a period of increased

human population, agricultural intensification, and soil degradation. Our results suggest

that root phenotypes that enhance plant performance under nitrogen stress were important

for maize adaptation to changing agricultural practices in the Tehuacan Valley.

Keywords: ancient maize, multiseriate cortical sclerenchyma, nodal root number, root

domestication, root evolution, seminal root number, Tehuacan, teosinte.

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays ssp. mays*) was domesticated from teosinte (*Zea mays ssp. parviglumis*) in central Mexico around 9,000 years before present (yBP) (Matsuoka *et al*., 2002; Yang *et al*., 2023). While the importance of roots for environmental adaptation is well-established (Lynch *et al.,* 2022; McLaughlin *et al.,* 2024), their specific role in maize domestication remains poorly understood (Schmidt et al., 2016). Compared with teosinte, maize exhibits distinct root phenotypes, including greater seminal root number (SRN), reduced nodal root number (NRN), and the development of multiseriate cortical sclerenchyma (MCS) in nodal roots (Burton *et al*., 2021, Schneider *et al*., 2021). However, the functional utility of SRN, NRN, and MCS in the context of maize domestication remains unclear.

The domestication of maize coincided with the end of the Pleistocene, a period marked by rising atmospheric temperatures and CO_2 concentrations (Piperno *et al*., 2007; Ahn *et al*., 2004; Correa-Metrio *et al*., 2012). Experiments in controlled environments showed that teosinte grown under late Pleistocene and early Holocene atmospheres exhibited maize-like vegetative and reproductive phenotypes that presumably improved the harvesting effectiveness of teosinte, making it attractive to early cultivators (Piperno *et al*., 2015; Piperno *et al*., 2019). Eventually, those phenotypes were likely fixed by genetic assimilation in modern maize populations (Lorant *et al*., 2017). Although elevated CO_2 is known to promote root growth in maize (Hiltpold *et al*., 2020), the impact of these historical CO_2 fluctuations on root phenotypes of domesticated crops is unknown.

The emergence and intensification of agriculture in the Holocene also changed the soil environment. Soil cultivation without concomitant use of organic soil amendments degrades soil fertility by accelerating microbial oxidation of soil organic matter (*Sandor et al*., 1986a,b). Soil degradation associated with early Holocene agriculture is evident (McAuliffe *et al*., 2001), as is decreased plant nitrogen status following crop domestication (Sandor and Gersper, 1988; Araus *et al*., 2014). Furthermore, irrigation intensifies soil erosion as well as nitrogen leaching (Graham *et al*., 2022). The importance of these processes in early maize domestication environments is shown by the substantial soil

erosion (McAuliffe *et al*., 2001; Cook, 1949), and archeological evidence of ancient

irrigation systems (Dillehay *et al*., 2005; Neely *et al*., 2022; Borejsza *et al*., 2014; Cajigas

et al., 2020; Huckleberry *et al*., 2014; Doolittle *et al*., 2006). The use of ancient irrigation

systems would relieve plants of water stress but would decrease soil fertility due to soil

erosion and nitrogen leaching.

These soil and atmospheric changes likely influenced the evolution of maize root systems.

For example, increased SRN, which relies on seed reserves, improves shoot growth under

suboptimal nitrogen and phosphorus availability (Perkins and Lynch, 2021). Maize

genotypes with reduced NRN decreased interplant competition and improved nitrogen

capture at high plant densities (York *et al*., 2015; Gaudin *et al.,* 2011). Maize lines with

MCS have greater root lignification, which improves penetration ability, promoting greater

root depth and greater biomass in compacted soils (Schneider *et al*., 2021). Interestingly,

5000-year-old maize roots from the Tehuacan Valley show MCS and reduced NRN, but

not increased SRN (Lopez-Valdivia *et al*., 2022). This finding raises questions about the

evolutionary sequence of root phenotypes and the environmental pressures that drove these

changes. The Tehuacan Valley offers a unique window into this process, with its extensive

archaeological record documenting the transition from hunter-gathering to farming over

the last 10,000 years (MacNeish, 1967). This transition is described by a gradual increase

of consumption of ancestral crops species, followed by the intensification of agriculture by

the construction of ancient irrigation systems (Neely *et al., 2022*) and the consequent soil

degradation (McAuliffe *et al.,* 2001). Despite this detailed archeological record, it remains

unclear why reduced NRN and MCS appeared earlier than increased SRN, and what

specific environmental factors drove their emergence.

Atmospheric and soil dynamics may have influenced the fitness landscape of root

phenotypes, promoting the appearance of SRN, NRN, and MCS in modern maize. *In silico*

approaches to this problem are needed since Holocene agricultural environments and

transitional phenotypes no longer exist. *OpenSimRoot* is a functional-structural plant/soil

model that simulates root growth and soil resource capture by whole plants interacting

dynamically with soil and atmospheric environments (Postma *et al*., 2017). *OpenSimRoot*

simulates plant and soil responses to drought, nutrient availability, mechanical impedance,

atmospheric CO_2 and temperature, including dynamic interactions between local and

global plant responses to root water capture, soil water status, and soil mechanical

impedance, making it a suitable tool in this context (Schäfer *et al*., 2022; Strock *et al*.,

2022; Ajmera *et al*., 2022).

We hypothesize that root phenotypes adapted to anthropogenic changes in the soil

environment caused by the advent of agriculture in the Holocene (Figure 1). In this study,

we used ancient DNA, paleobotany, and functional-structural modeling to estimate the

approximate time of appearance of modern SRN, NRN, and MCS phenotypes. We

reconstructed soil and atmosphere environments of the Tehuacan Valley for the last 18,000

years using archeological and paleoclimatic data. We used *OpenSimRoot* and ancient DNA

to simulate the growth of teosinte, maize, and transitional phenotypes under Holocene

environments and evaluate their performance. Finally, we dissected the phenotypes and the

environments to determine what elements of the phenotype/environment interaction were

most important in shaping plant adaptation.

Materials and Methods

Root phenotype parameterization

Seminal root number and nodal root number

The phenotypes that distinguish modern maize root system from teosinte are greater

seminal root number (SRN), smaller nodal root number (NRN), and the presence of

multiseriate cortical sclerenchyma (MCS). We used *OpenSimRoot* to simulate the root

phenotypes of maize, teosinte, and ancient transitional phenotypes represented as the

combination of teosinte phenotypes plus modern maize phenotypes, for instance:

teosinte+SRN, teosinte+NRN, teosinte+MCS, teosinte+SRN+MCS, teosinte+NRN+SRN

and teosinte+NRN+MCS. To simulate seminal root number, we considered the mean SRN

for a diverse population of maize landraces and teosintes; 4 seminal roots for maize and 1

seminal root for teosinte (Burton *et al*., 2013). Maize populations have 4 fewer nodal roots

than teosinte populations at stage V6-V7 (Burton *et al.*, 2013). We simulated 20 and 24

nodal roots for the first 5 nodes for maize and teosinte, respectively.

Multiseriate cortical sclerenchyma

To simulate MCS we measured the carbon density in MCS and non-MCS maize roots.

Twelve IBM (intermated B73*MO17) recombinant inbred lines were grown at the Russell

E. Larson Agricultural Research Farm at Rock Springs, PA, USA (40°42'40.915" N,

77°,57'11.120" W) from June through September 2021. We employed a randomized

complete block design with four blocks. Within each block, all the 12 genotypes were

randomized. Before planting, all fields were fertilized to meet the nutrient requirements of

maize as determined by soil tests at the beginning of the season. Each genotype was planted

in a three-row plot with a length of 4.6 m long and 76 cm row spacing at a density of 73,300

plants ha^{-1}. As needed, irrigation was supplied throughout the season. At approx. 80 days

after planting (post-anthesis), one plant per plot was harvested following the shovelomics

protocol (Trachsel *et al.*, 2011). Root anatomy samples of the second and fourth node roots

(3 cm away from the base) were collected. Anatomy samples were immediately stored in

75% ethanol and later processed using laser ablation tomography (LAT) (Strock *et al.*,

2019). Samples were divided into MCS or no-MCS categories (Schneider *et al.*, 2021). In

total, 11 non-MCS and 19 MCS root samples were used. One cm long segment for each

sample was saved and dried at 60 C for 24 hours. The dried samples were weighed using

PerkinElmer Ultra micro balance AD-6 (C099-1141). Tissue density was calculated by

dividing tissue dry weight by tissue volume.

A greenhouse mesocosm experiment was conducted to measure root respiration in optimal

and water deficit conditions. Six IBM recombinant inbred lines (IBM146, IBM14, IBM86,

IBM284, IBM178, IBM284) were grown from April 14 to May 12 2020 in four replications

in a randomized complete block design. The greenhouse was located on the campus of

Pennsylvania State University in University Park (40° 489 N, 77° 519 W) and plants were

grown under constant conditions (14 h of day at 28°C/10 h of night at 24°C, 40%–70%

relative humidity). Two seeds were transplanted into individual mesocosms consisting of

polyvinyl chloride cylinders with an inner diameter of 15.5 cm and height of 1.54 m and

lined with transparent 6 mm high-density polyethylene film to aid with root sampling. Each

mesocosm was filled with a 30 L mixture consisting of 50% commercial grade medium

sand (Quikrete), 27% horticultural grade fine vermiculite (D3, Whittemore Companies

Inc.), 18% field soil (Hagerstown silt loam: fine, mixed, semi-active, mesic Typic

Hapludalf, air-dried, crushed, and sieved through a 4-mm mesh), and 5% horticultural

grade super-coarse perlite (Whittemore Companies Inc.), by volume. Mineral nutrients

were provided by mixing the medium with 70 g per column of Osmocote Plus fertilizer

consisting of 15% (w/w) N, 9% (w/w) P, 12% (w/w) potassium, 2.3% (w/w) sulfur, 0.02%

(w/w) boron, 0.05% (w/w) copper, 0.68% (w/w) iron, 0.06% (w/w) manganese, 0.02%

(w/w) molybdenum, and 0.05% (w/w) zinc (ScottsSierra Horticultural Products). A thin

surface layer (~1 cm) of perlite was added to each mesocosm to help retain moisture and

reduce compression of media. Two days before planting, each mesocosm was irrigated

with 4.5 L of tap water. In the first 4 d, plants received 100 mL of deionized water every

day. Then, 200 mL of deionized water was irrigated for the control treatment every 2 d,

and the water deficit treatment received no further irrigation. After one week, plants were

thinned in individual mesocosms to one seedling.

At harvest, the plastic liner was removed from the PVC mesocosm and cut open, and roots

were separated from the soil by vigorous rinsing at low pressure with water. Root

respiration of axial roots was measured. Three representative 10-cm root segments from

roots emerging from the fourth node were excised 5-8 cm from the base. Excised root

samples were patted dry and placed in a 40-mL custom chamber connected to the LI-6400

infrared gas analyzer (Li-Cor Biosciences) separately. The temperature of the chamber was

maintained at 26°C using a water bath while respiration was measured. Carbon dioxide

evolution from the root segments was recorded every 5 s for 180 s. Afterwards, root

samples were preserved in 70% EtOH for laser ablation tomography and MCS imaging

and phenotyping (as described above). For MCS we modeled a 22% greater penetration

ability in axial roots (Schneider *et al.*, 2021) represented as a reduction of the elongation

rate at 4000 kPa mechanical impedance with MCS and 1000 kPa for non-MCS phenotypes

as described by (Strock *et al.*, 2022).

Kernel weight model

Kernel weight is a phenotype that changed during domestication and is a key factor
determining the utility of SRN (Perkins *et al*., 2021). To determine the effect of kernel
weight on the selection of modern root phenotypes during the Holocene we constructed a
statistical model to estimate kernel weight over time based on the glume width and depth
of paleobotanical maize cobs of different ages. To build the model we measured the weight
of 50 seeds of 143 families of the Multiparent Advanced Generation Inter-Cross population
(MAGIC) created from 8 native maize varieties from Mexico. We measured 3 cobs per
family. In every cob, we measured the length and width of 3 glumes. Glumes were selected
from the apical, central, and basal regions. The average of the 3 glumes along the 3 sections
of the 3 cobs was considered a representative of the glume size per family (Data S1). We
measured the glume width and length of ancient cobs Pur26, Cox35, Cox31, Cox14, Pur78,
Pur19, SM6, SM8, SM7, SM9, SM10, Tehuacan162 from Tehuacan Valley and
Naquitz_D10, Naquitz_C9 from Guila Naquitz utilizing images available in (Torres-
Rodríguez *et al*., 2018; Ramos-Madrigal *et al*., 2016) and (Piperno *et al*., 2001),
respectively. We trained and tested a multiple linear regression model in R version 4.2.3
(R Core Team, 2019) utilizing the glume width and length of the MAGIC population to
predict seed weight (RMSE = 0.029 g). We used the model to predict the seed weight of
the ancient cobs based on the glume size (Data S2).

Environmental parameterization

To reconstruct the soil and atmospheric environment of the late Pleistocene and Holocene
periods in the Tehuacan Valley (18°27′42″N, 97°23′34″W) we integrated climatic and
paleoclimatic data into *OpenSimRoot*. To simulate the representative atmospheric patterns
of a regular growing season (June 19 – August 18) we obtained the precipitation, minimum
temperature, maximum temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity from 1980 to 2021
(Data S3) from POWER Data Access Viewer v2.0.0 (https://power.larc.nasa.gov/data-
access-viewer/ consulted on 2023/3/17) and used the average as the representative
atmosphere (Data S4). To simulate the atmosphere of the last 18,000 yBP we considered
intervals of 2,000 years and adjusted the average patterns of the Tehuacan Valley based on

223 the paleoclimatic events of precipitation (Hodell *et al*., 2008), temperature (Correa-Metrio

224 *et al*., 2012), and atmospheric CO_2 (Ahn *et al*., 2004). To simulate soil texture we used

225 core data from SoilGrids (Poggio *et al*., 2021), core coordinates -97.3340, 18.3759, core

226 number 215588, MX-INEGI dataset. We calculated the van Genuchten parameters (Data

227 S5) utilizing Rosetta3 (https://www.handbook60.org/rosetta/). We simulated irrigation

228 events starting at 6,000 yBP (Neely *et al*., 2022) and lasting until the present by increasing

229 the precipitation patterns by a factor of 1.25 for 6,000 yBP, 1.5 for 4,000-2,000 yBP, and

230 2 for the modern environment (Data S6). We represented soil degradation as a 50%

231 decrease in organic matter and 90% decrease in mineralization (Sandor *et al*., 1986b;

232 Sandor and Gersper, 1988). Today's environment was simulated using the actual

233 environmental conditions of Tehuacan Valley with and without irrigation (Figure S4). We

234 used today's environment with irrigation for the simulations across the article. The future

235 scenario was simulated based on CO_2, temperature, and precipitation projected in the Sixth

236 Assessment Report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Lee *et al*.,

237 2021). The compiled phenotypic, atmospheric, and soil data to parameterize the model with

238 transitional root phenotypes and Tehuacan Valley environment across time is available in

239 the  following  repository:  https://github.com/ilovaldivia/Lopez-

240 Valdivia_2023_RootEvolution.git.

241 ***OpenSimRoot runs***

242 To simulate the root growth of teosinte, maize, and transitional domesticates in late

243 Pleistocene and Holocene environments, we used the functional-structural model

244 *OpenSimRoot* available at https://rootmodels.gitlab.io/. We used the OpenSimRoot_v2

245 (Schäfer *et al*., 2022) with git version 9621150b6f due to its new capabilities to simulate

246 drought responses. We ran the simulations utilizing High-Performance Computing at

247 Pennsylvania State University. All the input files required to replicate our results are

248 available in the following repository: https://github.com/ilovaldivia/Lopez-

249 Valdivia_2023_RootEvolution.git. A detailed description of *OpenSimRoot* modules can be

250 found in Postma *et al*., (2017) and a manual on how to run simulations can be found in

251 Schäfer *et al*., (2022).

Extraction UDG library build and sequencing of ancient samples

Sample processing and DNA extraction were performed following all necessary

procedures to avoid human-related or cross-sample contamination in a clean laboratory

optimized for paleogenomics, as previously described (Vallebueno-Estrada *et al*., 2013;

Vallebueno-Estrada *et al*., 2023). Archeological maize specimens (Data S7) were

processed at the ancient DNA facilities of Vienna University, Austria. DNA extraction was

15 mg of the inner parenchyma tissues. Isolation of DNA was carried out in clean

laboratory facilities with dedicated reagents and equipment that are frequently sterilized,

and UV treated. To prevent cross-sample and human-related contamination, we used new

disposable plastic material and filtered pipette tips, and personnel wear protective gear such

as full bodysuits, masks, and doubled gloves. Work was conducted in laminar flow hoods.

Samples were ground with a mortar and pestle. DNA extraction was conducted using a

freshly prepared PTB lysis buffer (PTB 2.5mM, DTT 50mM, Proteinase K 0.4mg/mL, 1%

SDS, 10 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, 5mM NaCl) and purified using QIAgen DNEasy® Plant

Mini kit columns following an established protocol (Vallebueno-Estrada *et al*., 2023;

Swarts *et al*., 2017).

Uracil-DNA-glycosylase (UDG) libraries were constructed at the ancient DNA facilities

of Vienna University, Austria from 20 µL of ancient maize DNA following a published

protocol tested in maize (https://github.com/Vallebueno/AncientLabProtocols.git)

(Vallebueno-Estrada *et al*., 2023, Kentaro *et al*., 2013) with modifications as suggested in

(Meyer *et al*., 2012). Libraries were amplified for 10 cycles with unique combinations of

two indexing primers (Kircher *et al*., 2012). The quality of libraries was tested using Qubit

2.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher) and a High Sensitivity DNA Assay Chip Kit (Agilent,

Waldborn Germany) on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies). UDG double index

DNA Illumina libraries were sequenced using Novaseq S4 PE100 at Vienna Biocenter

Facilities, Austria.

Read processing, mapping, and genotyping

Double Index sequences of 8 nucleotides were used to tag libraries described above. Only

reads with the correct index combination were used in downstream analysis. All libraries

were filtered to remove adaptors and low-quality reads using Cutadapt (V1.13) (Marcel *et*

al., 2011) and keeping reads longer than 30 bp with a quality above 10 Phred score. To

remove possible molecular damage present in the UDG corrected libraries 2 bp of each

side of the reads were trimmed using Cutadapt (V1.13) (Marcel *et al.*, 2011). All samples

were mapped to the current maize reference genome B73_V5 (Hufford *et al.*, 2021) using

BWA-MEM v 0.7.17 (Li *et al.*, 2010).

Reads with multiple hits were removed using SAMtools map quality filters. As a clonal

removal strategy, sequence duplication in reads was filtered with the rmdup function of

SAMtools (V1.5) (Li *et al.*, 2009). To eliminate reads with low certainty assignments, reads

with a lower Phred score than 10 were removed using SAMtools (V1.5) (Li *et al.*, 2009).

Variation information was extracted and called using the mpileup and bcftools functions

of SAMtools (Li *et al.*, 2009). Genotype variants were then production called from the

mpileup files based on previously observed SNPs using a custom HapMap build

(Vallebueno-Estrada *et al.*, 2024) comparable to present in previously published HapMap

panels (Chia *et al.*, 2012; Grzybowski *et al.*, 2023; Bukowski *et al.*, 2018).

Gene diversity of ancient samples at genes with root phenotype

Based on the list of genes and coordinates of genes related to root phenotype (Data S8)

allelic variant frequencies were calculated per site for each group of *Zea mays ssp.*

parviglumis (n=70), *Zea mays ssp. mexicana* (n=81), *Zea mays ssp. mays* landraces (LR,

n=94), and *Zea mays ssp. mays* ancient samples from different sites of Tehuacan valley

(Coxcatlán cave, El Riego cave, San Marcos cave) from different ages (5,500 [n=7], 5,000

[n=2], 4,500 [n=1], 4,000 [n=2], 3,500 [n=3], 2,500 [n=6], 2,000 [n=6], 1,500 [n=5], 1,000

[n=2], 500 [n=9] calibrated years before present). All samples have been dated directly

using accelerator mass spectrometry (Data S7). Dates were calibrated using OxCal v 4.4.4

(Ramsey *et al.*, 1995), and corroborated with CALIB v 8.2 (Stuiver *et al.*, 1993), to adjust

the radiocarbon determinations to the IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere Radiocarbon Age

Calibration Curve (Reimer *et al.*, 2020). This adjustment was necessary to correct the dates

and estimated age ranges based on the fluctuating atmospheric C14 concentrations. Delta

C13 reflects that the material dated comes from a C4 plant as PEP carboxylase has a higher

affinity for 13C isotope than Rubisco, thus the C14 determination likely comes from maize.

Modern landrace samples (Data S9) were obtained from published Bioprojects:

PRJNA300309, PRJNA479960, PRJNA641489 and HapMap 2 (Chia *et al.*, 2012). Allelic

frequencies were normalized per group and site based on the number of individuals within

a group with non-missing information at a given position.

Model limitations

Our approach presents several limitations, for instance, ancient phenotypes as well as

ancient DNA might have been affected through time, and few ancient samples exist.

Functional-structural models are a simplification of reality and cannot include all factors.

For instance, teosinte in nature can grow in competition with other wild plants and can be

subject to biotic stresses that are not considered in our model, nor are microbial symbionts

such as mycorrhizas. We used extant teosintes to parameterize the model since ancient

teosinte samples do not exist. Direct phenotypic plasticity (i.e. programmed growth

responses to environmental stimuli rather than indirect plasticity from resource limitation

(Gaudin *et al.*, 2011; Hwang *et al.,* 2024) is not considered in our simulations. Soil O_2 and

soil temperature are not considered. Tillering was not simulated for teosinte. Simulations

are run for 40 days and do not consider flowering time. Increase of nitrogen levels due to

pre-Columbian fertilization was not considered due to a lack of archaeological evidence.

Due to the nature of the analysis, it is impossible to measure fitness as reproductive success,

instead, we are considering access to limiting resources (C, N, water) as a measure of

fitness (Allaby *et al.*, 2022). While simplification of the parameter space is an inevitable

aspect of heuristic modeling, despite such simplifications, in silico results from

OpenSimRoot and its predecessor *SimRoot* have shown excellent agreement with empirical

results in previous studies (Lynch *et al.*, 2023).

Results

Kernel weight increased by 6,000 yBP

Abundant seed reserves permit the development of increased SRN in maize and other

domesticated grasses (Perkins and Lynch, 2021). Teosinte has an average kernel weight of

0.05 g, limiting the development of seminal roots. To understand when the kernel weight

was sufficient to sustain increased SRN as kernel weight increased under domestication,

we constructed a regression model with kernel weight as the response variable and width

and length of the glume as predictors and used it to predict the kernel weight of several

ancient specimens. We predicted that kernel weight of all ancient groups was greater than

0.2 ± 0.029 g, therefore suggesting that kernel weight was not a restriction to produce an

increased seminal root number for ancient specimens since 6,000 yBP (Figure 2B). To test

model accuracy, we predicted the seed weight of 31 individuals from a Multiparent

Advanced Generation Inter-Cross maize population (Figure 2A). We obtained a significant

positive correlation between the real and predicted kernel weight (R^2=0.23, p = 0.0067).

This indicates that the kernel weight of maize 6000 yBP was similar to modern maize,

suggesting that 6000 yBP kernel weight was not a limitation to produce an increased SRN.

Seminal root number increased around 3,500 yBP

Ancient roots from the Tehuacan Valley showed that seminal roots were absent 5,000 yBP,

suggesting the late fixation of this phenotype (Lopez-Valdivia *et al*., 2022). Our results

showed that kernel weight was large enough and did not limit the production of seminal

roots 6,000 yBP. However, it still remains unclear when the SRN increased. To determine

when SRN increased in the last 6,000 years, we compared the allelic frequency of genes

associated with SRN (Zm00001d021572, BIGE1 [BIG EMBRYO 1], and RUM1

[ROOTLESS WITH UNDETECTABLE MERISTEMS 1] [Wang *et al*., 2023; Suzuki *et*

al., 2015; Salvi *et al*., 2016; Woll *et al*., 2005; Suzuki *et al*., 2015]) among populations of

teosinte parviglumis, *teosinte mexicana*, modern landraces and archeological maize

samples from 5,500, 5,000, 4,500, 4,000, 3,500, 2,500, 2,000, 1,500, 1,000, and 500 yBP.

We found a total 84, 172, and 165 SNPs for Zm00001d021572, BIGE1, and RUM1 in the

ancient samples (Data S10). To obtain a reference of the SNPs that changed trough

domestication we filtered the SNPs that had at least 40% difference in the allelic frequency

when comparing between maize and teosinte populations. We obtained 14, 4, and 1 SNPs

that showed a shift from teosinte to maize for Zm00001d021572, BIGE1, and RUM1,

respectively (Figure S1-S3). For Zm00001d021572, 8 of 14 SNPs showed an allelic

frequency similar to teosinte in ancient samples corresponding to 5,500 yBP, but then

switched to an allelic frequency similar to maize in samples from 3,500-500 yBP, while

the other 6 SNPs showed a modern allele in all ancient samples (Figure S1). BIGE1 showed

that 3 of 4 SNPs had a teosinte-like frequency in samples from 5,000 yBP, and maize-like

allelic frequency in samples from 3,500-500 yBP (Figure S2). RUM1 only showed 1 SNP

which was different in maize and teosinte populations and the ancient samples showed the

modern allele (Figure S3). While direct genotype-phenotype correlations remain elusive,

the transition from teosinte-like to maize-like allelic frequencies in Zm00001d021572 and

BIGE1 between 5,500 to 3,500 yBP suggests that the SRN might have increased between

5,500 to 3,500 yBP.

Reduced NRN and MCS appeared around 8,000 yBP

Tehuacan Valley maize roots showed reduced NRN and MCS by 5,000 yBP (Lopez-

Valdivia *et al*., 2022). However, the temporal order of appearance of reduced NRN and

MCS between 10,000 to 5,000 yBP is unknown. To find the most parsimonious scenario

of the appearance of reduced NRN and MCS, we used *OpenSimRoot* to simulate

phenotypic combinations of MCS and NRN in the environments corresponding to 8,000

and 6,000 yBP (Figure 3A). The scenario in which reduced NRN and MCS appeared by

8,000 yBP (scenario C) has the greatest shoot dry weight production compared with

teosinte (Figure 3B). *OpenSimRoot* is a heuristic tool designed to assess the potential

effects of root phenotypes on soil resource capture. If we assume that greater ability to

acquire limiting resources is associated with fitness (Allaby *et al*., 2022), we can suggest

that scenario C will have the maximum integral biomass over time. The appearance of

reduced NRN by 8,000 yBP and then MCS by 6,000 yBP (scenario A) is also possible

because it produces the second-greatest shoot dry weight compared with teosinte (Figure

3). The scenario where reduced NRN and MCS appeared by 6,000 yBP (scenario D)

produced a similar shoot biomass to teosinte, being the third most probable scenario. It is

unlikely that MCS appeared earlier than reduced NRN because the shoot biomass produced

by scenario B is less than teosinte, giving no advantage in the environment of 8,000 yBP

(Figure 3B). The appearance of reduced NRN and MCS by 8,000 yBP is the most likely

scenario; however, we cannot discard the possibility that reduced NRN appeared earlier

than MCS. Finally, the poor fitness of scenario B suggests it is unlikely that MCS appeared

earlier than reduced NRN.

Nitrogen availability shifted to deeper soil domains with the emergence of irrigation

Archeological evidence shows that irrigation systems were implemented in the Tehuacan

Valley by 6,000 yBP (Neely *et al.*, 2022). To understand the effect of irrigation on the

nitrogen dynamics in Tehuacan soils during the last 18,000 years, we simulated the soil in

2,000-year timesteps with *OpenSimRoot* considering the implementation of irrigation and

soil degradation. We found that nitrate distribution increased its depth from 0-20 cm to 30-

50 cm after the implementation of irrigation systems 6,000 yBP (Figure 4A). When we

simulated soil degradation by reducing epipedon depth and organic matter content

(McAuliffe *et al.*, 2021; Araus *et al.*, 2014; Cook, 1949) after 6000 yBP we observed that

nitrate concentration decreased from 8 mM to 1.5 mM. We also observed that during

simulated drought periods (16,000, 12,000, and 8,000 yBP) the soil domains with greater

nitrate concentration tend to be distributed between 0-10 cm, while in wet periods (14,000,

10,000 yBP) nitrate-rich regions are distributed between 10-20 cm. We also observed that

during simulated drought periods, root systems experienced greater penetration resistance

as they encountered dryer, harder soil domains compared with wet periods (Figure 4A).

Interestingly, we observed that soil domains with the greatest nitrogen availability switched

from 40-60 cm in modern environments to 0-20 cm in projected future environments. In

contrast, water availability, represented as the hydraulic head, is reduced by 200% and

located 40 cm deeper in future environments. Irrigation and fertilization in modern high-

input agriculture increased nitrogen concentration but shifted the nitrogen availability to

deeper soil domains.

Root phenotypes adapted to irrigation

We present a teosinte-to-maize root phenotypic transition, which condenses our previous results where reduced NRN and MCS likely appeared by 8,000 yBP, kernel weight was as large as maize by 6,000 yBP, and increased SRN appeared by 3,500 yBP (Figure 4B). To evaluate the role of the root phenotypic transition in the last 18,000 yBP, we simulated the interaction of the roots of teosinte, maize, and transitional phenotypes with environments of that period. Teosinte produced greater shoot biomass than maize between 18,000 to 10,000 yBP, while maize produced greater shoot biomass than teosinte from 8,000 yBP to present (Figure 4C). Transitional phenotypes had greater shoot biomass than maize in the late Pleistocene and greater shoot biomass than teosinte in Holocene environments. In general, the D_{90} [soil depth attained by 90% of root length] was 50-60 for teosinte and 65-80 cm for maize. Interestingly, the D_{90} of the transitional phenotype was 50-60 cm between 18,000 to 10,000 yBP and then increased to 65-80 cm after 8,000 yBP, following the distribution of nitrate over time. Maize outperformed teosinte in modern environments both with and without irrigation (Figure S4). In a simulated future environment, the maize phenotype produced 30 cm deeper roots, matching with the availability of water, and produced 28% greater biomass compared with the teosinte phenotype. The transitional phenotype increased root depth after 8,000 yBP, matching the deeper distribution of nitrate after the advent of irrigation.

Phenotypic dissection suggests an ordered appearance of root phenotypes

The teosinte-to-maize root phenotypic transition includes reduced NRN, MCS, and increased SRN, interacting with varying nitrogen availability, atmospheric CO_2 concentration, temperature, water availability (both precipitation and irrigation), and soil mechanical impedance. To understand phenotype-environment interactions during the transition of root phenotypes, we dissected root phenotypes and environments and simulated their individual and combined effects over 18,000 years. Our simulations showed that nitrogen had the greatest impact on the performance of maize over teosinte when compared with CO_2, temperature, and precipitation/irrigation (Figure 4D). Interestingly, the adaptive advantage of MCS increased linearly with atmospheric CO_2 concentration (Figure 4E). The combination of MCS with reduced NRN improved plant biomass as early

as 12,000 yBP (Figure 4E). While reduced NRN alone improved biomass during the late

Pleistocene and early Holocene, this benefit decreased by the late Holocene. However,

reduced NRN combined with increased SRN recovered utility by the late Holocene (Figure

4E), suggesting a crucial role of increased SRN in adapting to the conditions of the late

Holocene. Intriguingly, increased SRN alone showed utility as early as 18,000 yBP. This

finding contrasts with the absence of evidence for increased SRN in 5,000 yBP ancient

roots and the shift in allelic diversity of genes associated with seminal root number around

3500 yBP. This discrepancy highlights the potential lag between the theoretical advantage

of these phenotypes and its actual appearance in the archaeological record. In summary,

our findings indicate that nitrogen was the most important environmental factor that limited

the utility of modern maize root systems in the Holocene in Tehuacan Valley, while an

ordered appearance of reduced NRN, MCS, and increased SRN was necessary for the

adaptation of plant populations to the modern agricultural environment.

Discussion

We propose a model for the gradual transition of root phenotypes from teosinte to maize.

First, reduced NRN and MCS appeared between 12,000 to 8,000 yBP. Then, kernel weight

was similar to modern maize by around 6,000 yBP, and finally, increased SRN likely

appeared at around 3,500 yBP. This gradual transition reflects a dynamic interplay between

root phenotypes and the environment, especially nitrogen. Nitrogen-rich soil domains

shifted from shallow to deeper depths after the advent of irrigation. In turn, maize

phenotypes switched from a shallow to a deeper distribution of root length during

domestication, matching the distribution of soil nitrogen. Finally, an ordered appearance

of reduced NRN, MCS, and increased SRN was necessary for adaptation to Holocene

agriculture in the Tehuacan Valley, where nitrogen distribution was the main

environmental factor influencing adaptation.

We used functional-structural modeling to evaluate our hypotheses, because of the

inaccessibility of this topic to empirical research. Empirical evidence supports the

environmental transitions associated with maize domestication we model here of changing

precipitation, temperature, atmospheric CO_2, soil degradation, nitrogen bioavailability, tillage, and irrigation. However, it would not be feasible to recreate the array of environments needed to empirically dissect the isolated and interacting effects of these factors on plant fitness. Likewise, empirical data from ancient maize root specimens from the Tehuacan Valley is used to parameterize our models, however, it would not be feasible to recreate an array of root phenotypes varying in specific ways to empirically test the effects of these phenotypic transitions alone and in combination on plant fitness in contrasting environments. Furthermore, a very limited number of ancient root specimens exist that do not capture the entire domestication sequence. Analysis of a multidimensional decision space of phenotypes that do not currently exist (including hypothetical phenotypes that may never have existed) interacting with environments that no longer exist (and may never have existed, such as ancient soils with modern atmospheric CO_2) is ideally suited to *in silico* approaches. The tool we use is *OpenSimRoot*, a feature-rich, heuristic, functional-structural plant-soil model which has proved useful in understanding several aspects of root/soil interactions (*e.g.* Ajmera et al., 2022; Strock et al., 2022; Schafer et al., 2022; Lopez-Valdivia et al., 2023, Rangarajan and Lynch 2024) and the root phenome (*e.g.* Rangarajan and Lynch, 2021; Nielsen et al., 1997). Heuristic models are useful primarily for the insights they provide rather than for their ability to predict empirical outcomes (Wullschleger et al., 1994; Yin and Struik, 2008; Zhu et al., 2016), emphasizing the validity of underlying processes and parameters rather than agreement with empirical measurements (Dunbabin et al., 2013). The suitability of *OpenSimRoot* for this study lies in its ability to simulate the interaction of root growth and soil processes dynamically in time and space while considering the effects of plant resource availability and allocation and its link with water and nutrient capture. Specifically, *OpenSimRoot* explicitly models the interacting effects of the key variables relevant to our hypotheses, including the effects of atmospheric variables (*i.e.*, temperature, humidity, CO_2 concentration, light intensity and duration, and precipitation) on plant and soil processes, the effects of the soil environment (*i.e.*, soil texture, water content, mechanical impedance, organic matter content, and the transport and bioavailability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and water) on root growth and soil resource capture, and the effects of root architectural and anatomical

phenotypes on soil resource capture in response to the soil environment. Our results support

the hypothesis that the evolution of maize root phenotypes was driven by anthropogenic

changes in the soil environment, propose a sequence of evolutionary adaptations in root

phenotypes that may have optimized plant fitness, and indicate that nitrogen availability

was a key environmental factor shaping maize root evolution. These results provide a

conceptual framework for understanding root evolution during maize domestication, and

generate specific hypotheses that merit empirical validation.

Maize seedlings require at least 0.07 g of seed carbohydrate reserves to sustain increased

SRN (Perkins and Lynch, 2021). The limited seed carbohydrate reserves of teosinte (Flint-

Garcia, 2017; Flint-Garcia *et al*., 2009) presumably limit the production of seminal roots.

Interestingly, greater SRN is associated with nitrogen and phosphorus capture in maize

seedlings (Perkins and Lynch, 2021; Flint-Garcia *et al*., 2009) and drought stress tolerance

(Yu *et al.* 2024). Our results suggest that the kernel weight of early domesticates was as

large as modern maize by 6,000 yBP, matching temporally with the teosinte *mexicana*

introgression associated with modern maize kernel phenotypes (Yang *et al*., 2023). This

finding raises the question of: why increased SRN was absent at 5,000 yBP, even though

seed reserves were sufficient? Our results show that the allelic frequencies of genes

associated with SRN were similar to teosinte in ancient samples from 5,000 yBP but shifted

to maize-like frequencies in samples between 3,500-500 yBP. This suggests that SRN

increased around 3,500 yBP, potentially driven by increasing population pressure and the

intensification of agriculture in the Tehuacan Valley. The human population of the

Tehuacan Valley increased from 2,000 to 80,000 between 3,500-1,300 yBP accompanied

by an increased dependence of agricultural products in their diets (MacNeish, 1967).

Intensive irrigated agriculture (Neely et al., 2022) and consequent soil degradation

(McAuliffe et al., 2001) might have increased the selection pressure for root phenotypes

that improved adaptation to nitrogen stress. While our study focuses on the Tehuacan

Valley, similar population increases occurred in regions of Belize and Guatemala, where

increased maize consumption was likely driven by dry seasons during the late Holocene

(Ray et al., 2023). This suggests that the intensification of agriculture and the associated

selection pressures on maize roots may have been a widespread phenomenon. In summary,

our simulations show that the appearance of increased SRN by 3,500 yBP would have
improved plant growth in degraded soil with irrigation, allowing increased crop production
and the consequent demographic explosion.

Yu *et al.* (2024) proposed that seed size is independent of seminal root number (SRN) in
maize, based on evidence of traditional maize varieties and *su1* and *sh2* mutants, showing
no correlation between seed size and SRN. However, teosintes were not included in this
comparison. This is relevant because 95% of maize accessions have larger seed reserves
than most teosintes (Figure S5). The smallest seed reserves of maize landraces have the
potential to develop at least 4 seminal root number (Perkins and Lynch, 2021), the average
seminal root number reported for maize (Burton et al., 2013). Although a direct association
between seed size and increased SRN in maize remains unclear, the potential influence of
seed size on SRN, particularly during the evolutionary transition from teosinte to maize,
requires further investigation.

The gene *Teosinte Branched 1* (*Tb1*), associated with NRN (Gaudin *et al.*, 2014), presented
the allelic frequency of modern maize by 5,000 yBP (Vallebueno-Estrada *et al.*, 2016),
aligning with the reduced NRN phenotype observed in contemporary specimens (Lopez-
Valdivia *et al.*, 2022) and with the non-tiller ancient roots found in Tehuacan (MacNeish,
1967). Increased NRN represents a metabolic cost because roots are heterotrophic (Lynch,
2013). However, costly root phenotypes are selected in a competitive environment if they
confer greater access to limited resources (Allaby *et al.*, 2022, Henry *et al.*, 2010; Zhang
et al., 2014; Postma and Lynch, 2012; Rubio *et al.*, 2001; Rubio *et al.*, 2003). For instance,
maize genotypes with increased NRN have greater biomass under phosphorus stress (Sun
et al., 2018), while maize genotypes with less NRN produced greater biomass under
nitrogen (Saengwilai *et al.*, 2014) and drought stress (Gao *et al.*, 2016). The contrasting
utility of NRN hinges on the spatiotemporal coincidence between root foraging and
resource availability in the soil. Plants with reduced NRN invest resources in making fewer
but longer nodal root axes, which can reach deeper soil domains and capture leached
nitrogen and water (Saengwilai *et al.*, 2014; Gao *et al.*, 2016). In contrast, phosphorus is
an immobile resource and has greater bioavailability in the topsoil. Therefore, increased

NRN increases root length in the topsoil and improves phosphorus capture (Sun *et al*.,
2018). Reduced NRN reduces intraspecific competition and increases biomass in high-
density environments (York *et al*., 2015), which would be desirable in ancient polycultures
with bean and squash (Zhang *et al*., 2014; Postma and Lynch 2010) as prevalent in the
Tehuacan Valley (MacNeish, 1967).

MCS was present in ancient roots from the Tehuacan Valley 5,000 yBP (Lopez-Valdivia
et al., 2022). Our simulations show that the greater carbon cost of MCS formation becomes
more burdensome with low atmospheric CO_2 concentrations in the late Pleistocene and
early Holocene. With the advent of irrigation about 6,000 yBP, water deficit stress was
relieved at the cost of the loss of soil organic matter and greater nitrate leaching. In these
conditions, MCS becomes advantageous as it permits axial roots to penetrate deeper, harder
soil (Schneider *et al*., 2021), as would reduced NRN, which increases rooting depth and
nitrate capture (Saengwilai *et al*., 2014). It is unlikely that MCS preceded reduced NRN
because MCS combined with increased NRN becomes too metabolically costly. In
contrast, if reduced NRN preceded MCS, the metabolic cost of MCS would be
compensated by the reduced NRN. Reduced NRN combined with MCS was useful since
12,000 yBP (Figure 4E), suggesting they might be the earliest root phenotypes in maize
domestication. Surprisingly, MCS was absent in *Z. mays ssp. parviglumis* and *Z. mays ssp.*
mexicana (Schneider *et al*., 2021), possibly because of a role in interplant competition for
topsoil resources in native soil, thereby favoring increased NRN without MCS. Overall,
our results show how adaptation to a particular environment involves the interaction of
several phenotypes (Schäfer *et al*., 2022; Ajmera *et al*., 2022; Lynch *et al*., 2023).

In summary, the evolution of root phenotypes is fundamental to understanding crop
domestication. We provide support for the hypothesis that anthropogenic modification of
the soil environment interacted with anthropogenic selection to shape the evolution of root
phenotypes during maize domestication. Our results indicate that the appearance of root
phenotypes was important for the adaptation of crops to cultivated environments in
Holocene agriculture and provide guidance for the use of root phenotypes as breeding
targets for future environments.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the archeologists and sample curators at the Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia for facilitating access to ancient maize samples. We are also grateful to the High-Performance Computing Center as well as the IT service at Pennsylvania State University for facilitating the computational resources and technical support required for this investigation. We thank Kathleen Brown and Jesse Lasky for reviewing our manuscript and providing useful comments and suggestions. We also thank the Zeavolution online seminar for the insightful discussion and comments on this research. This project has received funding from the Foundation for Food and Agriculture Research under award number 602757 (ILV, HR, JSS, JPL), the United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture Federal Appropriations under Hatch Project PEN04732. (ILV, HR, JSS, JPL), the National Science Foundation award number 0091490 (BFB), the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (MB), and the European Union's Framework Programme for Research and Innovation Horizon 2020 (2014-2020) under the Marie Curie Skłodowska Grant Agreement Nr. 847548 (MV, KS). We acknowledge that access to the Tehuacán collections for the radiocarbon dated ancient DNA analyses was granted by J. Garcia-Barcena, Presidente, Consejo de Arqueología, Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia in oficio 401-36/786 to Bruce Benz.

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: JPL, ILV; methodology: ILV, HR, JSS, MVE, KS, BFB, MB, SPL, RJHS, HS, JPL; investigation: ILV, HR, JSS, MVE, HS, SPL, RJHS, JPL; visualization: ILV, JPL; funding acquisition: JPL; project administration: JPL; supervision: JPL; writing – original draft: ILV, JPL; writing – review and editing: ILV, HR, JSS, MVE, KS, SPL, RJHS, HS, JPL.

Data availability: All the input data required to replicate the simulations in *OpenSimRoot* is available in the following repository: https://github.com/ilovaldivia/Lopez-Valdivia_2023_RootEvolution.git and in supplementary materials.

References

Ahn J, Wahlen M, Deck BL, Brook EJ, Mayewski PA, Taylor KC, White JWC. 2004. A record of atmospheric CO_2 during the last 40,000 years from the Siple Dome, Antarctica ice core. Journal of Geophysical Research 109: 1–8.

Ajmera I, Henry A, Radanielson AM, Klein SP, Ianevski A, Bennett MJ, Band LR, Lynch JP. 2022. Integrated root phenotypes for improved rice performance under low nitrogen availability. Plant Cell and Environment 45: 805–822.

Allaby RG, Stevens CJ, Fuller DQ. 2022. A novel cost framework reveals evidence for competitive selection in the evolution of complex traits during plant domestication. Journal of Theoretical Biology 537: 1–9.

Araus JL, Ferrio JP, Voltas J, Aguilera M, Buxó R. 2014. Agronomic conditions and crop evolution in ancient near east agriculture. Nature Communications 5: 1–9.

Borejsza A, Frederick CD, Alatorre LM, Joyce AA. 2014. Alluvial stratigraphy and the search for preceramic open-air sites in highland Mesoamerica. Latin American Antiquity 25: 278–299.

Bukowski R, Guo X, Lu Y, Zou C, He B, Rong Z, Wang B, Xu D, Yang B, Xie C, Fan L, Gao S, Xu X, Zhang G, Li Y, Jiao Y, Doebley JF, Ross-Ibarra J, Lorant A, Buffalo V, Romay MC, Buckler ES, Ware D, Lai J, Sun Q, Xu Y. 2018. Construction of the third-generation Zea mays haplotype map. GigaScience 7: 1–12.

Burton AL, Brown KM, Lynch JP. 2013. Phenotypic diversity of root anatomical and architectural traits in Zea species. Crop Science 53: 1042–1055.

Cajigas R, Quade J, Rittenour T. 2020. Multitechnique dating of earthen irrigation canals at the La Playa site, Sonora, Mexico. Geoarchaeology 35: 834–855.

Chia JM, Song C, Bradbury PJ, Costich D, de Leon N, Doebley J, Elshire RJ, Gaut B,
Geller L, Glaubitz JC, Gore M, Guill KE, Holland J, Hufford MB, Lai J, Li M, Liu X, Lu
Y, McCombie R, Nelson R, Poland J, Prasanna BM, Pyhäjärvi T, Rong T, Sekhon RS, Sun
Q, Tenaillon MI, Tian F, Wang J, Xu X, Zhang Z, Kaeppler SM, Ross-Ibarra J, McMullen
MD, Buckler ES, Zhang G, Xu Y, Ware D. 2012. Maize HapMap2 identifies extant
variation from a genome in flux. Nature Genetics 44: 803–807.

Cook SF. 1949. Soil erosion and population in central Mexico. Ibero-Americana.

Correa-Metrio A, Bush MB, Cabrera KR, Sully S, Brenner M, Hodell DA, Escobar J,
Guilderson T. 2012. Rapid climate change and no-analog vegetation in lowland Central
America during the last 86,000 years. Quaternary Science Reviews 38: 63–75.

Dillehay TD, Eling HH, Rossen J. 2005. Preceramic irrigation canals in the Peruvian
Andes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
102: 17241–17244.

Doolittle WE, Mabry JB. 2006. Environmental mosaics, agricultural diversity, and the
evolutionary adoption of maize in the American Southwest. In *Histories of Maize.*
Multidisciplinary approaches to the prehistory, linguistics, biogeography, domestication,
and evolution of maize, Staller JE, Tykot RH, Benz BF, Eds. (Academic Press), pp. 109–
121.

Dunbabin, V.M., Postma, J.A., Schnepf, A., Pagès, L., Javaux, M., Wu, L., Leitner, D.,
Chen, Y.L., Rengel, Z. and Diggle, A.J., 2013. Modelling root–soil interactions using
three–dimensional models of root growth, architecture and function. *Plant and Soil*, *372*:
93-124.

Flint-Garcia SA, Bodnar AL, Scott MP. 2009. Wide variability in kernel composition, seed
characteristics, and zein profiles among diverse maize inbreds, landraces, and teosinte.
Theoretical and Applied Genetics 119: 1129–1142.

Flint-Garcia SA. 2017. Maize kernel evolution: From teosinte to maize. In *Maize kernel*
development (CAB International), pp. 1–15.

Fuller DQ, Denham T, Allaby R. 2023. Plant domestication and agricultural ecologies.
Current Biology 33: 636–649.

Gao Y, Lynch JP. 2016. Reduced crown root number improves water acquisition under
water deficit stress in maize (Zea mays L.). Journal of Experimental Botany 67: 4545–
4557.

Gaudin ACM, McClymont SA, Raizada MN. 2011. The nitrogen adaptation strategy of the
wild teosinte ancestor of modern maize, Zea mays subsp. parviglumis. Crop Science 51:
2780–2795.

Gaudin ACM, McClymont SA, Soliman SSM, Raizada MN. 2014. The effect of altered
dosage of a mutant allele of Teosinte branched 1 (tb1-ref) on the root system of modern
maize. BMC Genetics 15: 1-14.

Graham SL, Laubach J, Hunt JE, Mudge PL, Nuñez J, Rogers GND, Buxton RP, Carrick
S, Whitehead D. 2022. Irrigation and grazing management affect leaching losses and soil
nitrogen balance of lucerne. Agricultural Water Management 259: 1–9.

Grzybowski MW, Mural RV, Xu G, Turkus J, Yang J, Schnable JC. 2023. A common
resequencing-based genetic marker data set for global maize diversity. The Plant Journal:
For Cell and Molecular Biology 113: 1109–1121.

Henry A, Rosas JC, Beaver JS, Lynch JP. 2010. Multiple stress response and belowground
competition in multilines of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Field Crops Research
117: 209–218.

Hiltpold I, Moore BD, Johnson SN. 2020. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide
concentrations alter root morphology and reduce the effectiveness of entomopathogenic
nematodes. Plant Soil 447: 29–38.

Hodell DA, Anselmetti FS, Ariztegui D, Brenner M, Curtis JH, Gilli A, Grzesik DA,
Guilderson TJ, Müller AD, Bush MB, Correa-Metrio A, Escobar J, Kutterolf S. 2008. An
85-ka record of climate change in lowland Central America. Quaternary Science Reviews
27: 1152–1165.

Huckleberry G, Rittenour T. 2014. Combining radiocarbon and single-grain optically
stimulated luminescence methods to accurately date pre-ceramic irrigation canals, Tucson,
Arizona. Journal of Archaeological Science 41: 156–170.

Hufford MB, Seetharam AS, Woodhouse MR, Chougule KM, Ou S, Liu J, Ricci WA, Guo
T, Olson A, Qiu Y, Della Coletta R, Tittes S, Hudson AI, Marand AP, Wei S, Lu Z, Wang
B, Tello-Ruiz MK, Piri RD, Wang N, Kim DW, Zeng Y, O'Connor CH, Li X, Gilbert AM,
Baggs E, Krasileva KV, Portwood JL II, Cannon EKS, Andorf CM, Manchanda N,
Snodgrass SJ, Hufnagel DE, Jiang Q, Pedersen S, Syring ML, Kudrna DA, Llaca V,
Fengler K, Schmitz RJ, Ross-Ibarra J, Yu J, Gent JI, Hirsch CN, Ware D, Dawe RK. 2021.
De novo assembly, annotation, and comparative analysis of 26 diverse maize genomes.
Science 373: 655–662.

Hwang S, Machmuller MB, Gaudin ACM, et al. 2024. A teosinte and modern maize hybrid
use different carbon allocation strategies in response to cover crop residue nitrogen. Plant
Soil 500: 445–459.

Jay F, Prüfer K, Filippo C, Sudmant PH, Alkan C, Fu Q, Do R, Rohland N, Tandon A,
Siebauer M, Green RE, Bryc K, Briggs AW, Stenzel U, Dabney J, Shendure J, Kitzman J,
Hammer MF, Shunkov MV, Derevianko AP, Patterson N, Andrés AM, Eichler EE, Slatkin
M, Reich D, Kelso J, Pääbo S. 2012. A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic
Denisovan individual. Science 338: 222–226.

Kentaro Y, Schuenemann VJ, Cano LM, Pais M, Mishra B, Sharma R, Lanz C, Martin F,
Kamoun S, Krause J, Thines M, Weigel D, Burbano HA. 2013. The rise and fall of the
Phytophthora infestans lineage that triggered the Irish potato famine. eLife 2: 1–25.

Kircher M, Sawyer S, Meyer M. 2012. Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in
multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. Nucleic Acids Research 40: 1–8.

Lee JY, Marotzke J, Bala G, Cao L, Corti S, Dunne JP, Engelbrecht F, Fischer E, Fyfe JC,
Jones C, Maycock A, Mutemi J, Ndiaye O, Panickal S, Zhou T. 2021. Future Global
Climate: Scenario-Based Projections and Near-Term Information. In Climate change 2021:
The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the sixth assessment report
of the intergovernmental panel on climate change (Cambridge University Press), pp. 553–
672.

Li H, Durbin R. 2010. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
transform. Bioinformatics 26: 589–595.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin
R; 1000 genome project data processing subgroup. 2009. The sequence alignment/map
format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25: 2078–2079.

Lopez-Valdivia I, Perkins AC, Schneider HM, Vallebueno-Estrada M, Burridge JD,
González-Orozco E, Montufar A, Montiel R, Lynch JP, Vielle-Calzada JP. 2022. Gradual
domestication of root traits in the earliest maize from Tehuacan. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117: 1–10.

Lopez-Valdivia, I., Yang, X. and Lynch, J.P., 2023. Large root cortical cells and reduced
cortical cell files improve growth under suboptimal nitrogen in silico. Plant Physiology,
192(3): 2261-2275.

Lorant A, Pedersen S, Holst I, Hufford MB, Winter K, Piperno D, Ross-Ibarra J. 2017. The
potential role of genetic assimilation during maize domestication. PLoS ONE 12: 0–9.

Lynch JP, Galindo-Castañeda T, Schneider HM, Sidhu JS, Rangarajan H, York LM. 2023.
Root phenotypes for improved nitrogen capture. Plant and Soil.

Lynch JP, Mooney SJ, Strock CF, Schneider HM. 2022. Future roots for future soils. Plant
Cell and Environment 45: 620–636.

Lynch JP. 2013. Steep, cheap and deep: An ideotype to optimize water and N acquisition
by maize root systems. Annals of Botany 112: 347–357.

MacNeish RS. 1967. A summary of the subsistence. In *The prehistory of the Tehuacan*
Valley. Volume 1: Environment and subsistence (University of Texas Press), pp. 201–309.

MacNeish RS. 1967. Mesoamerican archaeology. In *Biennial Review of Anthropology* (Eve
Linda Siegel), pp. 306–331.

Marcel M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. EMBnet.journal 17: 10–12.

Matsuoka Y, Vigouroux Y, Goodman MM, Sanchez GJ, Buckler E, Doebley J. 2002. A
single domestication for maize shown by multilocus microsatellite genotyping.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 99:
6080–6084.

McAuliffe JR, Sundt PC, Valiente-Banuet A, Casas A, Viveros LJ. 2001. Pre-columbian
soil erosion, persistent ecological changes, and collapse of a subsistence agricultural
economy in the semi-arid Tehuacán Valley, Mexico's 'Cradle of Maize'. Journal of Arid
Environments 47: 47–75.

McLaughlin CM, Li M, Perryman M, Heymans A, Schneider H, Lasky JR, Sawers RJH.
2024. Evidence that variation in root anatomy contributes to local adaptation in Mexican
native maize. Evolutionary Applications 17.

Meyer M, Kircher M, Gansauge MT, Li H, Racimo F, Mallick S, Schraiber JG, Jay F,
Prüfer K, Filippo C, Sudmant PH, Alkan C, Fu Q, Do R, Rohland N, Tandon A, Siebauer
M, Green RE, Bryc K, Briggs AW, Stenzel U, Dabney J, Shendure J, Kitzman J, Hammer
MF, Shunkov MV, Derevianko AP, Patterson N, Andrés AM, Eichler EE, Slatkin M, Reich

D, Kelso J, Pääbo S. 2012. A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan
individual. Science 338: 222–226.

Meyer M, Kircher M. 2010. Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed
target capture and sequencing. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols 6: 1–2.

Neely JA, Aiuvalasit MJ, Winsborough BM. 2022. Relict canals of the Tehuacán Valley,
Mexico: A Middle- to Late-Holocene dryland socio-hydrological system. The Holocene
32: 1422–1436.

Nielsen, K.L., Lynch, J.P. and Weiss, H.N., 1997. Fractal geometry of bean root systems:
correlations between spatial and fractal dimension. American Journal of Botany, 84(1): 26-
33.

Perkins AC, Lynch JP. 2021. Increased seminal root number associated with domestication
improves nitrogen and phosphorus acquisition in maize seedlings. Annals of Botany 128:
453–468.

Piperno DR, Flannery K. 2001. The earliest archaeological maize (Zea mays L.) from
highland Mexico: New accelerator mass spectrometry dates and their implications.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 98:
2101–2103.

Piperno DR, Holst I, Moreno JE, Winter K. 2019. Experimenting with domestication:
Understanding macro- and micro-phenotypes and developmental plasticity in teosinte in
its ancestral Pleistocene and early Holocene environments. Journal of Archaeological
Science 108: 1–12.

Piperno DR, Holst I, Winter K, McMillan O. 2015. Teosinte before domestication:
Experimental study of growth and phenotypic variability in Late Pleistocene and early
Holocene environments. Quaternary International 363: 65–77.

Piperno DR, Moreno JE, Iriarte J, Holst I, Lachniet M, Jones JG, Ranere AJ, Castanzo R.
2007. Late Pleistocene and Holocene environmental history of the Iguala Valley, Central
Balsas Watershed of Mexico. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America 104: 11874–11881.

Poggio L, de Sousa LM, Batjes NH, Heuvelink GBM, Kempen B, Ribeiro E, Rossiter D.
2021. SoilGrids 2.0: Producing soil information for the globe with quantified spatial
uncertainty. Soil 7: 217–240.

Postma JA, Kuppe C, Owen MR, Mellor N, Griffiths M, Bennett MJ, Lynch JP, Watt M.
2017. OpenSimRoot: widening the scope and application of root architectural models. New
Phytologist 215: 1274–1286.

Postma JA, Lynch JP. 2012. Complementarity in root architecture for nutrient uptake in
ancient maize/bean and maize/bean/squash polycultures. Annals of Botany 110: 521–534.

R Core Team. 2019. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna
(Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Ramos-Madrigal J, Smith BD, Moreno-Mayar JV, Gopalakrishnan S, Ross-Ibarra J,
Gilbert MTP, Wales N. 2016. Genome Sequence of a 5,310-Year-Old Maize Cob Provides
Insights into the Early Stages of Maize Domestication. Current Biology, 26:3195–3201.

Ramsey CB. 1995. Radiocarbon calibration and analysis of stratigraphy: The OxCal
program. Radiocarbon 37: 425-430.Ray E, Neff NC, Lynch P, Mes J, Lachniet MS,
Kennett DJ, Prufer KM. 2024. The development of early farming diets and population
change in the Maya region and their climate context. Quaternary International 689: 66–78.

Rangarajan, H. and Lynch, J.P., 2021. A comparative analysis of quantitative metrics of
root architecture. Plant Phenomics,Vol 2021 DOI: 10.34133/2021/6953197

Rangarajan H, JP Lynch. 2024. Did crop domestication change the fitness landscape of
root response to soil mechanical impedance? An *in-silico* analysis. Annals of Botany, in
press

Reimer P, Austin WEN, Bard E, Bayliss A, Blackwell PG, Bronk C, Butzin M, Edwards
RL, Friedrich M, Grootes PM, Guilderson TP, Hajdas I, Heaton TJ, Hogg A, Kromer B,
Manning SW, Muscheler R, Palmer JG, Pearson C, van der Plicht J, Richards DAR, Scott
EM, Southon JR, Turney CSM, Wacker L, Adolphi F, Büntgen U, Fahrni S, Fogtmann-
Schulz A, Friedrich R, Köhler P, Kudsk S, Miyake F, Olsen J, Sakamoto M, Sookdeo A,
Talamo S. 2020. The IntCal20 northern hemisphere radiocarbon age calibration curve (0–
55 cal kB). Radiocarbon 62: 725–757.

Rubio G, Liao H, Yan X, Lynch JP. 2003. Topsoil foraging and its role in plant
competitiveness for phosphorus in common bean. Crop Science 43: 598–607.

Rubio G, Walk T, Ge Z, Yan X, Liao H, Lynch JP. 2001. Root gravitropism and below-
ground competition among neighbouring plants: A modelling approach. Annals of Botany
88: 929–940.

Saengwilai P, Tian X, Lynch JP. 2014. Low crown root number enhances nitrogen
acquisition from low-nitrogen soils in maize. Plant Physiology 166: 581–589.

Salvi S, Giuliani S, Ricciolini C, Carraro N, Maccaferri M, Presterl T, Ouzunova M,
Tuberosa R. 2016. Two major quantitative trait loci controlling the number of seminal roots
in maize co-map with the root developmental genes rtcs and rum1. Journal of Experimental
Botany 67: 1149–1159.

Sandor JA, Gersper PL, Hawley JW. 1986. Soils at prehistoric agricultural terracing sites
in New Mexico: I. Site placement, soil morphology, and classification. Soil Science Society
of America Journal 50: 166–173.

Sandor JA, Gersper PL, Hawley JW. 1986. Soils at prehistoric agricultural terracing sites
in New Mexico: II. Organic matter and bulk density changes. Soil Science Society of
America Journal 50: 173–177.

Sandor JA, Gersper PL. 1988. Evaluation of soil fertility in some prehistoric agricultural
terraces in New Mexico. Agronomy Journal 80: 846–850.

Schäfer ED, Ajmera I, Farcot E, Owen MR, Band LR, Lynch JP. 2022. In silico evidence
for the utility of parsimonious root phenotypes for improved vegetative growth and carbon
sequestration under drought. Frontiers in Plant Science 13: 1–30.

Schäfer ED, Owen MR, Postma JA, Kuppe C, Black CK, Lynch JP. 2022. Simulating crop
root systems using Opensimroot. In Plant systems biology (Springer), pp. 293–323.

Schmidt JE, Bowles TM, Gaudin ACM. 2016. Using ancient traits to convert soil health
into crop yield: Impact of selection on maize root and rhizosphere function. Frontiers in
Plant Science 7: 1–11.

Schneider HM, Strock CF, Hanlon MT, Vanhees DJ, Perkins AC, Ajmera IB, Sidhu JS,
Mooney SJ, Brown KM, Lynch JP. 2021. Multiseriate cortical sclerenchyma enhance root
penetration in compacted soils. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America 118: e2012087118.

Strock CF, Rangarajan H, Black CK, Schäfer ED, Lynch JP. 2022. Theoretical evidence
that root penetration ability interacts with soil compaction regimes to affect nitrate capture.
Annals of Botany 129: 315–330.

Strock CF, Schneider HM, Galindo-Castañeda T, Hall BT, van Gansbeke B, Mather DE,
Roth MG, Chilvers MI, Guo X, Brown K, Lynch JP. 2019. Laser ablation tomography for
visualization of root colonization by edaphic organisms. Journal of Experimental Botany
70: 5327–5342.

Stuiver M, Reimer PJ. 1993. CALIB rev. 8. Radiocarbon 35: 215–230.

Sun B, Gao Y, Lynch JP. 2018. Large crown root number improves topsoil foraging and
phosphorus acquisition. Plant Physiology 177: 90-104.

Suzuki M, Sato Y, Wu S, Kang BH, McCarty DR. 2015. Conserved functions of the MATE
transporter BIG EMBRYO1 in regulation of lateral organ size and initiation rate. Plant Cell
27: 2288–2300.

Swarts K, Gutaker RM, Benz B, Blake M, Bukowski R, Holland J, Kruse-Peeples M,
Lepak N, Prim L, Romay MC, Ross-Ibarra J, Sanchez-Gonzalez JJ, Schmidt C,
Schuenemann VJ, Krause J, Matson RG, Weigel D, Buckler ES, Burbano HA. 2017.
Genomic estimation of complex traits reveals ancient maize adaptation to temperate North
America. Science 357: 512–515.

Torres-Rodríguez E, Vallebueno-Estrada M, Martínez González J, García Cook A, Montiel
R, Vielle-Calzada JP. 2018. AMS dates of new maize specimens found in rock shelters of
the Tehuacan Valley. Radiocarbon 60: 975–987.

Trachsel S, Kaeppler SM, Brown KM, Lynch JP. 2011. Shovelomics: High throughput
phenotyping of maize (Zea mays L.) root architecture in the field. Plant and Soil 341: 75–
87.

Vallebueno-Estrada M, Hernández-Robles G, González-Orozco E, Lopez-Valdivia I,
Rosales Tham T, Vásquez Sánchez V, Swarts K, Dillehay TD, Vielle-Calzada JP, Montiel
R. 2023. Domestication and lowland adaptation of coastal preceramic maize from
Paredones, Peru. eLife 12: e83149.

Vallebueno-Estrada M, Rodríguez-Arévalo I, Rougon-Cardoso A, Martínez González J,
García Cook A, Montiel R, Vielle-Calzada JP. 2016. The earliest maize from San Marcos
Tehuacán is a partial domesticate with genomic evidence of inbreeding. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113: 14151–14156.

Vallebueno-Estrada M, Swarts K. 2023. Unified multi-caller ensemble (UME) generates
an unbiased maize haplotype map for variable coverage whole genome data. bioRxiv
[Preprint]. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.07.570552 (accessed 30 March 2024).

Vallebueno-Estrada M. 2014. Análisis de la diversidad genética de loci implicados en la
domesticación del maíz a partir de muestras paleobotánicas del valle de Tehuacán. Master's
thesis, CINVESTAV, Irapuato, Guanajuato, Mexico.

Wang K, Zhang Z, Sha XQ, Yu P, Li Y, Zhang D, Liu X, He G, Li Y, Wang T, Guo J,
Chen J, Li C. 2023. Identification of a new QTL underlying seminal root number in a
maize-teosinte population. Frontiers in Plant Science 14: 1–9.

Woll K, Borsuk LA, Stransky H, Nettleton D, Schnable PS, Hochholdinger F. 2005.
Isolation, characterization, and pericycle-specific transcriptome analyses of the novel
maize lateral and seminal root initiation mutant rum1. Plant Physiology 139: 1255–1267.

Wullschleger, S.D., Lynch, J.P. and Berntson, G.M., 1994. Modeling the belowground
response of plants and soil biota to edaphic and climatic change—What can we expect to
gain? *Plant and Soil*, *165*: 149-160.

Yang N, Wang Y, Liu X, Jin M, Vallebueno-Estrada M, Calfee E, Chen L, Dilkes BP, Gui
S, Fan X, Harper TK, Kennett DJ, Li W, Lu Y, Ding J, Chen Z, Luo J, Mambakkam S,
Menon M, Snodgrass S, Veller C, Wu S, Wu S, Zhuo L, Xiao Y, Yang X, Stitzer MC,
Runcie D, Yan J, Ross-Ibarra J. 2023. Two teosintes made modern maize. Science 382: 1–
8.

Yin, X. and Struik, P.C., 2008. Applying modelling experiences from the past to shape
crop systems biology: the need to converge crop physiology and functional genomics. New
Phytologist, 179(3): 629-642.

York LM, Galindo-Castañeda T, Schussler JR, Lynch JP. 2015. Evolution of US maize
(Zea mays L.) root architectural and anatomical phenes over the past 100 years corresponds
to increased tolerance of nitrogen stress. Journal of Experimental Botany 66: 2347–2358.

Yu P, Li C, Li M, et al. 2024. Seedling root system adaptation to water availability during
maize domestication and global expansion. Nature Genetics 56: 1245–1256.

Zhang C, Postma JA, York LM, Lynch JP. 2014. Root foraging elicits niche
complementarity-dependent yield advantage in the ancient "three sisters"
(maize/bean/squash) polyculture. Annals of Botany 114: 1719–1733.

Zhu J, Mickelson SM, Kaeppler SM, Lynch JP. 2006. Detection of quantitative trait loci
for seminal root traits in maize (Zea mays L.) seedlings grown under differential
phosphorus levels. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 113: 1–10.

Zhu, X.G., Lynch, J.P., LeBauer, D.S., Millar, A.J., Stitt, M. and Long, S.P., 2016. Plants
in silico: why, why now and what?—an integrative platform for plant systems biology
research. Plant, Cell and Environment, 39(5): 1049-1057.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Evolution of root phenotypic transition from teosinte to maize in the last 10,000 years. Simulated root phenotypes using the functional-structural model *OpenSimRoot*. The root phenotype of 5,000 yBP includes MCS, which increases root depth. Nitrogen depletion by leaching and root capture is shown as a gradient. Nitrogen concentration is shown in mmol of nitrate per l of soil solution. Dimensions of the soil matrix are 150 x 50 x 25 cm. Irrigation started by 6,000 yBP. Simulation of 40 days of growth. A video animation is provided in Supplementary Movie 1.

Figure 2. Prediction of kernel weight in ancient maize specimens from Tehuacan-Oaxaca
dating from 6500 to 300 yBP. (a) Validation of multiple linear regression model
constructed to predict kernel weight using glume width and length as predictors. (b)
Comparison of predictions of kernel weight of ancient cobs dating from 6500 to 300 yBP
with real kernel weight of teosinte and maize (Torres-Rodríguez *et al.,* 2018; Ramos-
Madrigal *et al*., 2016; Piperno and Flanery, 2001). Ancient samples were grouped based
on their antiquity in 4 groups with ranging from 6200 to 5000, 4300 to 4100, 2000 to 1200
and 600 to 300 yBP. ANOVA and Tukey tests were performed to evaluate differences

947   among groups. Significant differences in means between violin plots are represented as

948   different letters with $P \leq 0.05$.

a

Scenario	Time (yBP)		
	8,000	6,000	4,000
A	Reduced NRN	MCS	Increased SRN
B	MCS	Reduced NRN	Increased SRN
C	Reduced NRN + MCS		Increased SRN
D		Reduced NRN + MCS	Increased SRN

b

Figure 3. Scenarios for the root phenotypic transition from teosinte to maize. (A) Scenarios correspond to combinations of reduced NRN, MCS, and increased SRN through time. (B) Simulated shoot dry weight produced by scenarios A, B, C, D and teosinte in the environments corresponding to the last 18,000 yBP. The future scenario denoted by * corresponds to 2100 common era and was simulated based on climatic projections (61).

Figure 4. Simulations of root phenotypes and soil environments in the last 18000 years BP. (a) Soil environment matrix during the last 18,000 yBP represented as the hydraulic head (cm), nitrogen concentration (mM), and penetration resistance (kPa) at day 40. (b) Root phenotypes of teosinte, transitional phenotype (Scenario C), and maize simulated over the environments corresponding to the last 18,000 yBP. (c) Simulated D90 [soil depth at which 90% of the root length was above] and shoot dry weight of root phenotypes of teosinte, transitional phenotypes and maize in the environments of the last 18,000 yBP. (d) Environmental dissection into nitrogen availability, precipitation, atmospheric CO_2, and temperature. (e) Phenotypic dissection of MCS, SRN, and NRN and their interactions over time. The future scenario denoted by * corresponds to 2100 common era and was simulated based on climatic projections (Lee *et al.,* 2021).

Supporting Information

This section file includes:

Figures S1 to S4

Legends for Movies S1

Legends for Datasets S1 to S9

Other supporting materials for this manuscript include the following:

Movies S1

Datasets S1 to S10

Fig. S1. SNPs with at least a 40% difference in their allelic frequency when compared between maize and teosinte in the gene Zm00001d021572. Red represents the allele from the reference genome (B73) while blue represents an alternative allele. The absence of pie plots represents a lack of coverage in the ancient samples. Labels: mexicana = Zea mays ssp. mexicana (n=81), parviglumis = Zea mays ssp. parviglumis (n=70), LR = Zea mays ssp. mays (n=94), X5500 = ancient maize 5,585-4,975 yBP (n=7), X5000 = ancient maize 4,831-4,438 yBP (n=2), X4500 = ancient maize 4,871-3,581 yBP (n=1), X4000 = ancient

985   maize 3,820-3,451 yBP (n=2), X3500 = ancient maize 4,849-2,324 yBP (n=3), X2500 =

986   ancient maize 2,700-2,005 yBP (n=6), X2000 = ancient maize 2,051-1,410 yBP (n=6),

987   X1500 = ancient maize 1,532-1,316 yBP (n=5), X1000 = ancient maize 676-560 yBP

988   (n=2), X500 = ancient maize 529-157 yBP (n=9). All dates are expressed in calibrated yBP.

Fig. S2. SNPs with at least a 40% difference in their allelic frequency when compared between maize and teosinte in the gene BIGE1. Red represents the allele from the reference genome (B73) while blue represents an alternative allele. The absence of pie plots represents a lack of coverage in the ancient samples. Labels: mexicana = Zea mays ssp. mexicana (n=81), parviglumis = Zea mays ssp. parviglumis (n=70), LR = Zea mays ssp. mays (n=94), X5500 = ancient maize 5,585-4,975 yBP (n=7), X5000 = ancient maize 4,831-4,438 yBP (n=2), X4500 = ancient maize 4,871-3,581 yBP (n=1), X4000 = ancient maize 3,820-3,451 yBP (n=2), X3500 = ancient maize 4,849-2,324 yBP (n=3), X2500 = ancient maize 2,700-2,005 yBP (n=6), X2000 = ancient maize 2,051-1,410 yBP (n=6), X1500 = ancient maize 1,532-1,316 yBP (n=5), X1000 = ancient maize 676-560 yBP (n=2), X500 = ancient maize 529-157 yBP (n=9). All dates are expressed in calibrated yBP.

Fig. S3. SNPs with at least a 40% difference in their allelic frequency when compared between maize and teosinte in the gene RUM1. Red represents the allele from the reference genome (B73) while blue represents an alternative allele. The absence of pie plots represents a lack of coverage in the ancient samples. Labels: mexicana = Zea mays ssp. mexicana (n=81), parviglumis = Zea mays ssp. parviglumis (n=70), LR = Zea mays ssp. mays (n=94), X5500 = ancient maize 5,585-4,975 yBP (n=7), X5000 = ancient maize 4,831-4,438 yBP (n=2), X4500 = ancient maize 4,871-3,581 yBP (n=1), X4000 = ancient maize 3,820-3,451 yBP (n=2), X3500 = ancient maize 4,849-2,324 yBP (n=3), X2500 = ancient maize 2,700-2,005 yBP (n=6), X2000 = ancient maize 2,051-1,410 yBP (n=6), X1500 = ancient maize 1,532-1,316 yBP (n=5), X1000 = ancient maize 676-560 yBP (n=2), X500 = ancient maize 529-157 yBP (n=9). All dates are expressed in calibrated yBP.

1013

1014   **Fig. S4.** Simulation of the modern environment in Tehuacan Valley under irrigation and

1015   non-irrigation conditions.

1016

Fig. S5. Distribution of kernel weight in 99 maize landraces and 13 teosintes. Kernel weight was determined as the average weight of five kernels per accession from Burton *et al.,* 2013. Dashed red lines indicate the 5th and 95th percentiles of the kernel weight distribution in maize landraces. Black dashed line indicates the minimum kernel weight required to produce 4 seminal roots (Perkins and Lynch, 2022), reported as the average seminal root number for *Zea mays L. subsp. mays* (Burton *et al.,* 2013).

Movie S1 (separate file). Animation of Figure 1.

Dataset S1 (separate file). Glume width, glume length, and kernel weight for a portion

of the MAGIC maize population.

Dataset S2 (separate file). Kernel weight predictions for ancient maize samples from

Tehuacan Valley.

Dataset S3 (separate file). Raw atmospheric data for Tehuacan Valley (18°27′42″N,

97°23′34″W) corresponding to the precipitation, minimum temperature, maximum

temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity from 1980 to 2021.

Dataset S4 (separate file). Average atmosphere patterns for a rainy season (June 19 –

August 18) in Tehuacan Valley (18°27′42″N, 97°23′34″W) between 1980 and 2021. Data

correspond to precipitation, minimum temperature, maximum temperature, wind speed,

and relative humidity.

Dataset S5 (separate file). Soil texture and van Genuchten parameters from Tehuacan

Valley. Core coordinates -97.3340, 18.3759, core number 215588, MX-INEGI dataset,

SoilGrids (65).

Dataset S6 (separate file). Adjustments in soil and atmospheric parameters to simulate

different eras based on paleoclimate and archeologic data.

Dataset S7 (separate file). Ancient samples and dating by accelerator mass

spectrometry.

Dataset S8 (separate file). Root genes associated with seminal root number.

Dataset S9 (separate file). Maize samples genomic data

Dataset S10 (separate file). SNPs for genes Zm00001d021572, BIGE1, and RUM1 in

the landraces (LR), teosintes (mexicana and parviglumis) and ancient samples of different

ages (500 - 5500).