Identification and characterization of a ubiquitin E3 RING ligase of the *Chlamydia*-like bacterium *Simkania negevensis*

Eva-Maria Hörner[1], Vanessa Boll[2], Thomas Hermanns[2], Adriana Moldovan[1], Kay Hofmann[2], Vera Kozjak-Pavlovic[1, *]

(1) Chair of Microbiology, Biocenter, Julius-Maximilian University Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany.

(2) Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne, Zülpicher Straße 47a, D-50674 Cologne, Germany

* Corresponding author: Vera Kozjak-Pavlovic; Biocenter, Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany; vera.kozjak@uni-wuerzburg.de

Running title: E3 RING ligase from *Simkania negevensis*

Keywords: RING ligase, Simkania negevensis, Chlamydia, ubiquitin, intracellular bacteria, infection

Abstract

In the arms race between a pathogen and the host, many bacteria have acquired a sizable armory to counteract or change the defense mechanisms of the host cell, including the eukaryotic ubiquitin system. *Simkania negevensis*, an obligate intracellular *Chlamydia*-like bacterium, is one such example. *S. negevensis* has a biphasic developmental cycle, similar to other members of the *Chlamydiales* order. The bacterium develops inside a tubular membranous compartment called *Simkania*-containing vacuole, which is in close contact with the host endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondria. It can infect a wide range of hosts, with a long infection cycle lasting up to 15 days, and is associated with respiratory tract diseases. Recently, *S. negevensis* has been discovered to possess an unusually large number of deubiquitinating enzymes, but not much is known about the variety of other ubiquitin-modifying enzymes in this bacterium. Our study provides an initial description of the activity of a so far uncharacterized *S. negevensis* ubiquitin E3 RING-ligase (SNE_A12920 or SneRING). We report that SneRING primarily generates K63- and K-11 linked ubiquitin chains and preferentially interacts with UbcH5b and UBE2T E2 ubiquitin ligases among the ones we tested. Bacteria express SneRING upon infection of various human cell lines, as well as amoeba. In addition, we demonstrate that a portion of the expressed SneRING co-localizes with mitochondria and ER. Mass spectrometry studies of the SneRING interactome show enrichment in mitochondrial and ER proteins containing a prohibitin domain and involved in organelle morphology, respiration, and stress response. Our work offers the first insights into the function of an *S. negevensis* RING ligase, an enzyme potentially involved in organelle remodeling to accommodate the unique lifestyle of this intracellular bacterium.

Introduction

Simkania negevensis (Sne) is an obligate intracellular *Chlamydia-like* bacterium, first described in 1993 as a cell culture contaminant of unknown origin (Kahane *et al*, 1993). Based on sequence comparison of the ribosomal DNA (rDNA), it has been classified as a member of the family *Simkaniaceae* within the order *Chlamydiales* (Everett *et al*, 1999). The bacterium survives and replicates in a great variety of hosts ranging from different eukaryotic cells including immune cells such as macrophages (Kahane *et al*, 2008; Kahane *et al*, 2007) to arthropods (Croxatto *et al*, 2014) and amoeba (Kahane *et al*, 2001). However, its natural host is unknown. Sne has been associated with different infections of the respiratory tract, including acute bronchiolitis in infants (Kahane *et al*, 1998) and community-acquired pneumonia (Lieberman *et al*, 1997). Furthermore, patients positive for Sne had a higher risk of transplanted lung rejection (Husain *et al*, 2007; Jamal *et al*, 2015). In addition, Sne has been also connected to Crohn's disease (Scaioli *et al*, 2019). However, recent studies dispute the link between Sne and respiratory tract infections (Al-Younes *et al*, 2017). While

some of the closely related *Chlamydia*-species, such as *Chlamydia trachomatis*, the causative agent of

sexually transmitted disease and trachoma (Newman *et al*, 2015), or *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, which

causes acute respiratory diseases (Kuo *et al*, 1986), are highly pathogenic, it remains unclear to what

extend Sne can cause infections in immunocompetent individuals.

Like other members of the *Chlamydiales*, Sne exhibits a biphasic developmental cycle, which is

characterized by the alternating differentiation into two forms. While Reticulate Bodies (RB)

represent the large, replicative, metabolically active intracellular form, which is stained

homogeneously, the infective smaller and electron-dense form resembles the environmental

Elementary Bodies (EB) of *Chlamydia* (Kahane *et al.*, 1993; Kahane *et al*, 2002). Inside the host cell,

Sne replicates within a *Simkania*-containing vacuole (SnCV). The SnCV is a membranous, tubular

system that is associated with mitochondria and forms extensive contact sites with the endoplasmic

reticulum (ER) of the host cell (Mehlitz *et al*, 2014). Sne exhibits a significantly longer life cycle than *C.*

trachomatis. SnCV development and number of RBs plateau on day 3 post-infection (pi). An increased

release of Sne infectious particles from infected HeLa or differentiated THP-1 cells starts on day 4 or

day 5 pi, respectively (Koch *et al*, 2020), which is longer than the usual 2-3 days for *C. trachomatis*

(Jury *et al*, 2023). In addition, Sne-infected cells can be observed in culture for up to 15 days (Kahane

et al., 2002).

Given that bacteria proliferating within the host cell are exposed to the host cytoplasm, they risk

being targeted by the cell-autonomous immunity. This includes the ubiquitination of free-living

bacteria as well as bacteria that live in specialized vacuoles (Hermanns & Hofmann, 2019).

Ubiquitination is a dynamic, versatile protein modification that regulates various cellular aspects in

eukaryotes. The covalent attachment of ubiquitin to the targeted protein occurs through a cascade

with three different enzymes: the E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme, the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating

enzyme, and the E3 ubiquitin-ligating enzyme. During ubiquitination, a single ubiquitin molecule is

attached to a lysine residue of a specific substrate through an isopeptide bond. The complexity of

ubiquitination is further increased by the property of ubiquitin itself to become ubiquitinated at one

or more of its seven lysine residues, giving rise to ubiquitin chains. In addition, also the N-terminus of

ubiquitin can be modified, which results in the possibility of forming eight different linkage types

(Swatek & Komander, 2016).

Depending on the type of the ubiquitin chain, the outcome for the modified substrate differs. The

prototypical ubiquitin chains are linked by lysine (K) 48 or 63 of the ubiquitin moieties. While K48-

linked ubiquitin chains target their substrate for proteasomal degradation, K63-linked ubiquitin chains

regulate NF-kB transcription factor activation, responses of the immune system, repair of DNA,

sorting of proteins, clearance of damaged mitochondria and they can also control the assembly of

large protein complexes that drive translation or splicing of mRNA. Ubiquitin chains, connected via
the free N-terminus or the remaining five lysine residues are said to be atypical conjugates. These
include K11-, K6-, and M1-linked ubiquitin chains. K-11 linked chains play a role during cell division by
targeting cell cycle regulators for degradation. Interestingly, K11-conjugates are also associated with
innate immune response against viruses (Yau & Rape, 2016). Although not much is known about the
cellular function of K6-linked ubiquitin chains, they have been observed to accumulate upon
depolarization of mitochondria and after UV radiation. Ubiquitin moieties linked via their free N-
terminus, also referred to as linear or M1-linked ubiquitin chains, are involved in the regulation of
immune response and inflammation through regulating the activation of the NF-kB transcription
factor (Akutsu *et al*, 2016).

A recent discovery of branched and mixed ubiquitin chains forms another level of ubiquitination
diversity. Determining the function of branched polymers is challenging but gives new insights into
the variety of information that can be transported by ubiquitin signals. K11/K48 heterotypic ubiquitin
chains are assembled during mitosis and on misfolded proteins in the ER or cytoplasm. They have
been shown to play a role in cell cycle control, enhance substrate recognition, and accelerate
proteasomal degradation. In contrast, branched K48/K63 conjugates are synthesized in response to
the activation of the transcription factor NF-kB. Additionally, modification of substrates during
apoptotic response with this mixed chain type results in their degradation by the proteasome (French
et al, 2021; Haakonsen & Rape, 2019). For mixed K11/K63-linked polymers, a non-proteolytic function
during endocytosis and signaling via the transcription factor NF-kB has been determined (Wickliffe *et*
al, 2011). Even though K6/K11 and K6/K48 branched ubiquitin chains have already been identified,
their functions remain unclear (Haakonsen & Rape, 2019).

Based on their structure and mechanism of ubiquitin transfer, E3 ubiquitin ligases are usually divided
into three classes: RING (really interesting new gene), RBR (RING-between-RING), and HECT
(homologous to the E6/AP carboxyl terminus) ligases. While RBR and HECT E3s form a catalytic
intermediate with ubiquitin by binding the ubiquitin from the loaded E2 enzyme to themselves
before conjugating it to the target protein, RING ligases directly transfer ubiquitin from the E2
enzyme to the substrate (Toma-Fukai & Shimizu, 2021). With more than 600 RING ligases encoded by
the human genome, this group is the largest. RING E3s are characterized by a RING domain that
directly binds the substrate and the ubiquitin-loaded E2 enzyme. By bringing both components into
proximity, RING ligases catalyze ubiquitin transfer from the conjugating enzyme to the target protein
(Deshaies & Joazeiro, 2009; Zheng & Shabek, 2017).

Many pathogenic bacteria have acquired a sophisticated range of effectors, including ubiquitin E3
ligases, to exploit the host cell's ubiquitin system for their benefit. Examples include SopA, a HECT-like

E3 ligase from *Salmonella*, LubX, a RING-like E3 ligase from *Legionella*, as well as several novel E3
ligases from *Shigella* (Maculins *et al*, 2016). By ubiquitinating the substrates, they modify the
ubiquitin code to form a niche that allows them to survive and multiply within the host cell (Dikic &
Schulman, 2023).

Since Sne is capable of long infections and intracellular growth while simultaneously efficiently
suppressing the host defense system, it is of great interest how the bacterium accomplishes this.
Recent studies showed that Sne possesses an unusually high number of deubiquitinating enzymes
(DUBs) with sometimes interesting cleavage specificities. Furthermore, these DUBs are from diverse
classes, of which some have never been described in bacteria (Boll *et al*, 2023).

In this work, we focused on identifying and characterizing putative ubiquitin (E3) RING ligases of Sne.
We focused on a RING ligase Sne_A12920 (SneRING), a 25.4 kDa protein containing an unusual RING
finger when compared to other bacterial RING ligases. We purified the enzyme and determined its
ubiquitinating activity, as well as detected the region of interaction with the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating
enzyme. Additionally, we demonstrated that the SneRING shows different ubiquitination activity
depending on the E2 conjugating enzyme it interacts with. Finally, we determined that the ligase
generates specific types of ubiquitin chains, rather than producing all chain types. SneRING is
expressed in different human cell lines as well as in amoeba during infection. After expression in
human cells, a portion of the protein is associated with the ER and mitochondria. The possible
interaction partners of SneRING include mitochondrial and ER proteins that play a role in morphology,
energetics, and transport, indicating the potential mode of action of this bacterial enzyme. Taken
together, our data provide first insights into the previously unknown world of ubiquitin ligases in
Simkania negevensis.

Results

Identification of RING ligases in *Simkania negevensis*

To identify ubiquitin ligase candidates in the sequenced genome of Sne (Collingro *et al*, 2011), the Sne
subset of UniProt (UniProtConsortium, 2023) was searched with generalized profiles (Bucher *et al*,
1996) representing classical RING finger domains and several RING finger variants. When using a
profile derived from a multiple alignment of established RING domains, only a single Sne ORF,
Sne_A12920 (SneRING), reached a significant score of p<0.01. To validate this finding, BLAST and
profile searches were used to find other bacterial proteins related to SneRING. A small family was
identified, of which representative members include Sim-KFB93 and Sim-QNJ27 from bacteria
belonging to the *Simkaniaceae* family, and Chl-HKST.2, belonging to an unclassified *Chlamydiia* (Fig

1A). An Alphafold (Jumper *et al*, 2021) model of SneRING shows a two-domain architecture with a

RING-like fold forming the first domain (residues 14-72), followed by a linker region and a C-terminal

α/β-fold domain without informative sequence or structural similarities (Fig 1B). Like canonical RING

fingers, the RING-like domain of SneRING is predicted to coordinate two Zn^{2+} ions, albeit using

somewhat atypical ligand residues. In the model, the first Zn^{2+} ion is coordinated by Cys-17, Cys-20,

His-40, and Cys43, while the second Zn^{2+} ion is contacted by Asn-32, Cys-35, Cys-54, and Cys57.

A DALI (Holm, 2022) search using the RING-like region of SneRING identified as significant top-hits the

established RING fingers of TRIM37 (pdb:3LRQ, Z-score 9.1) and RING2 (pdb:6WI7, Z-score 8.9).

RING2 possesses a typical RING finger, where Zn_1 is coordinated by two CxxC dyads and Zn_2 is bound

by a CxH and a CxxC dyad. In SneRING, both coordination spheres are slightly altered: His-40 replaces

Cys as the 3rd ligand of Zn_1, while the first two ligands of Zn_2 are NxxC rather than the canonical CxH

(Fig 1A). Functionally, these changes might be inconsequential, as Alphafold model of the

Sne_A12920 RING domain in contact with UbcH5 predicts SneRING to form a complex with E2

enzymes resembling that of other RING ligases (Fig 1C). As with other RING fingers, the most

important contacts are made by the region surrounding the first Zn-binding dyad. While most other

RING domains contact E2s by a conserved hydrophobic residue before the 2nd Zn-binding cysteine,

SneRING carries the atypical Ser-19 at this position, which does not form a specific E2 interaction in

the model. Instead, Glu-22 forms short-distance salt bridges to Lys-4 and Lys-8 of UbcH5 (Fig 1C). We

reasoned that a mutation of Glu-22 combined with a Ser-19 mutant might abrogate E2-binding.

Indeed, when subjecting an S19R_E22R variant of SneRING to Alphafold modeling with UbcH5, no

productive complex was formed.

Besides the significantly-scoring SneRING, three further RING-like domains could be identified

bioinformatically. A closer inspection of the borderline-significant matches identified the

uncharacterized ORF Sne_A08700 and its close relative (and genomic neighbor) Sne_A08690 to have

a conspicuous arrangement of cysteine and histidine residues. The assumed RING-relationship of

these two proteins is supported by the conservation pattern of their sequence family and by

Alphafold modeling: Sne_A08700 is predicted to use eight canonical ligands for coordinating two Zn^{2+}

ions (Fig S1A); the best DALI match to an established RING-finger was RNF125 (pdb:5DKA, Z-score

5.6). Sequence conservation between RNF125 and the Sne_A08700 family shows a big insertion after

the 3rd Zn ligand (Fig S1B), which explains the poor score in the initial profile search. The closely

related protein Sne_A08690 has lost three ligands for the first Zn^{2+} ion and probably coordinates only

a single ion. A 4th RING candidate (Sne_A19470) was picked up in profile searches using the SP-RING

family, a subgroup of RING-like domains usually involved in SUMO conjugation (Hochstrasser, 2001).

However, a closer inspection suggested that Sne_A19470 does not contain an SP-RING domain but

rather a (degenerate) conventional RING that has lost its ligands for Zn_1 coordination (Fig S1C). The

best DALI matches were TRIM69 (pdb:6YXE, Z-score 8.5) and TRIM2 (pdb:8A38, Z-score 8.3), which

both contain a structurally similar RING domain, but with a complete set of eight ligand residues (Fig

S1D).

Considering that SneRING was the only protein with a RING-like domain that has been identified in a

previously published proteomics analysis of the ER/SnCV membrane of infected HeLa229 cells on day

3 pi (Herweg *et al*, 2016), we focused on further characterization of this enzyme.

205   SneRING is an active E3 RING ligase with an E2 enzyme-interacting region within its predicted RING

206   domain.

Our bioinformatic analysis only predicts putative RING ligases without hinting at the protein function.

Therefore, we first tested the activity of the SneRING by analyzing ubiquitin chain assembly during an

in vitro autoubiquitination assay. To do so, we purified SneRING and incubated it with a ubiquitin-

activating (E1) and a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2) as well as free ubiquitin and ATP to allow the

enzyme cascade to covalently attach ubiquitin to SneRING. Already after 30 min of incubation, we

could detect a strong ubiquitin signal that increased over time, while in the negative control without

SneRING, only monoubiquitin could be detected (Fig 2A). This indicates that the SneRING is an active

ubiquitin ligase.

Since Alphafold modeling indicated the importance of Glu-22 and Ser-19 for the interaction with an

E2 enzyme, we replaced these two amino acids with arginine to generate a catalytically inactive form

and performed an *in vitro* autoubiquitination assay with the purified mutated enzyme for 5 h. While

in the presence of the wild-type SneRING a strong ubiquitin signal could be detected, no ubiquitin

chains were formed in the reaction containing SneRING S19R_E22R (Fig 2B). This confirms that the N-

terminal domain of the SneRING interacts with the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme and is necessary

for the enzyme function.

To test whether SneRING can generate ubiquitin chains when combined with other E2 enzymes

besides UbcH5b, we performed an *in vitro* autoubiquitination assay for 5 h using selected ubiquitin-

conjugating enzymes. Only UbcH5b- and, to a lesser extent, UBE2T-containing reactions showed the

presence of the poly-ubiquitin signals, while no ubiquitin chain assembly occurred with the addition

of the E2 enzymes UbcH7, UBE2A, and UBE2W (Fig 2C). The time course analysis of the

autoubiquitination reaction in combination with UbcH5b showed strong ubiquitination after 30 min

of incubation, increasing over time (Fig 2A,D). In contrast, in the presence of UBE2T nearly no

reaction was observed after 30 min, while 2 h and 5 h later, some poly-ubiquitin signal was

detectable (Fig 2D). Among the tested E2 enzymes, SneRING appears to be most active in

combination with UbcH5b, while a moderate reaction also occurs together with the ubiquitin-

conjugating enzyme UBE2T.

234   Ubiquitin chains generated by SneRING are cleaved by deubiquitinating enzymes specific for the

235   K11/K63 linkage type.

To characterize the type of ubiquitin chains formed by SneRING, we performed linkage-specific chain-

cleaving assays (UbiCRest assays) (Hospenthal *et al*, 2015). Ubiquitin chains generated by SneRING

after *in vitro* autoubiquitination for 5 h were incubated with a panel of different DUBs that either

process any chain type, such as ubiquitin-specific peptidase 21 (USP21) (Ye *et al*, 2011), or have

specificity against certain ubiquitin chain linkages. When incubating the reaction with the USP21, no

remaining polyubiquitin signal could be detected. Treatment with the K11-specific DUB Cezanne

(Bremm *et al*, 2010) or with the K63-specific DUB AMSH (associated molecule with an Src homology 3

domain of signal transducing adaptor molecule, STAM) (Komander *et al*, 2009), strongly reduced the

polyubiquitin signal. In contrast, no cleavage was observed upon incubation with the DUB SneOTU

that specifically cleaves linear M1 ubiquitin chains or SneVTD that shows cleavage specificity against

K6-linked ubiquitin chains (Boll *et al.*, 2023). Furthermore, also the K48-specific DUB OTUB1 (Wang *et*

al, 2009) did not visibly reduce the ubiquitin signal (Fig 3A).

To test whether the ligase only generates these two linkage types, or if other ubiquitin chain types are

produced at a lower level, a di-UbiCRest assay was performed. To do so, next to incubating an *in vitro*

SneRING autoubiquitination reaction with the K11- specific DUB Cezanne or with the K63- specific

DUB AMSH, the reaction was also incubated with both enzymes simultaneously. As already observed,

Cezanne and AMSH led to a reduction of the ubiquitin signal, demonstrating the presence of K11- and

K63-linked ubiquitin chains. However, the combined activity of both DUBs did not completely

degrade the ubiquitin chains generated by the SneRING (Fig 3B), indicating that other linkage types

are formed by the SneRING in addition to K11- and K63-linked ubiquitin chains. Mass spectrometry of

the *in vitro* SneRING autoubiquitination reaction in the presence of UbcH5b (UBE2D2) mainly

detected K11- and K63-linked GlyGly-modified peptides, with some signals for K6- and K48-modified

peptides also visible. Similar results with overall weaker signal strength were obtained for the

reaction containing the E2 enzyme UBE2T (Fig 3C). In conclusion, SneRING forms *in vitro* mostly K11-

and K63-, in addition to K6- and K48-linked ubiquitin chains.

To further validate this finding, *in vitro* autoubiquitination assays performed with UbcH5b were

tested by immunoblot analysis for the presence of specific ubiquitin chains. We detected strong

signals using antibodies against K11- (Fig S2A) and K63-linked chains (Fig S2B). For K6- and K48-linked

264 chains we used native linked di-Ubiquitin conjugates as positive controls (UbiQ). The antibody

265 against K48-linked ubiquitin chains produced a signal in the control sample, as well as in the ubiquitin

266 chains generated by SneRING (Fig S2C). Similar observations were made when using an antibody

267 against K6-linked ubiquitin conjugates (Fig S2D). However, when we used an antibody against M1-

268 linkages, which were not detected by UbiCRest assay and mass spectrometry, we only saw a signal in

269 the positive control, but not in the SneRING reaction, confirming the previous results (Fig S2E).

271 **SneRING is expressed during infection of human cells and its possible natural host *Acanthamoeba***

272 ***castellanii***

273 We next analyzed the expression pattern of SneRING during infection. Since Sne can infect and grow

274 in a variety of cells (Vouga *et al*, 2017), as well as survive and multiply within *Acanthamoeba*

275 *castellanii*, we measured the SneRING mRNA levels during the infection cycle of various cell lines and

276 amoeba by RT-qPCR analysis and normalized it against the mRNA level of the bacterial 5S RNA. In

277 comparison, we measured the mRNA levels of a highly-expressed gene, Sne chaperone SnGroEL.

278 SneRING was expressed in all host cells we tested, though to a lesser extent than SnGroEL (Fig S3).

279 Expression intensities of SneRING in different human cell lines were comparable. In HeLa229 and

280 U2OS cells we observed somewhat higher SneRING expression on day 2 pi compared to day 4 pi (Fig

281 S3A,B).

282 Sne can infect and grow in macrophages (Kahane *et al.*, 2008), as well as in the THP-1 cell line that

283 mimics the macrophage-like state after differentiation by PMA (Chanput *et al*, 2014), in contrast to *C.*

284 *trachomatis* (Herweg & Rudel, 2016). We analyzed and compared the expression of SneRING in the

285 THP-1 cell line and primary human M2-like macrophages. Based on the observation that infective Sne

286 particles can be detected on day 6 pi in macrophages (Kahane *et al.*, 2008), we included this time

287 point in our experimental setup. RT-qPCR analysis showed slightly higher expression of SneRING in

288 THP-1 cells than in M2-like macrophages. There were no notable differences in the mRNA levels

289 between different days pi (Fig S3C,D).

290 By taking up a high number of bacteria, many free-living protozoa mimic the role of professional

291 phagocytes (Fritsche *et al*, 2000). Sne can survive and grow within amoeba (Kahane *et al.*, 2001).

292 Since Sne establishes a long-term infection in amoeba, we measured the mRNA level of SneRING only

293 on day 4 pi. *A. castellanii* culture was cultivated at 30 °C instead of 37 °C that we used for cultivation

294 of human cell lines (or 35 °C for Sne infected). mRNA of SneRING was detectable, but the levels varied

295 strongly between the samples both for SneRING and SnGroEL (Fig S3E).

SneRING localizes to host cell cytoplasm, mitochondria, and ER after overexpression, associating
with the inner mitochondrial membrane

We next studied the localization of SneRING in the host cell. Since we were unsuccessful in producing
an antibody against SneRING that would recognize the native protein, we limited our studies to the
FLAG-tagged protein we expressed from a plasmid in U2OS cells. To increase the efficacy of SneRING
expression, we used a human codon-adjusted version of the gene. SneRING was successfully
expressed and exhibited cytosolic distribution as observed by immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig
4A). The FLAG-tagged protein could also be identified by western blot after transfection (Fig 4B).
Upon subcellular fractionation and separation of the cells into the crude mitochondrial, the light
membrane, and the cytosolic fraction, SneRING signal was distributed between all fractions (Fig 4C).
In comparison, Mic60, a mitochondrial inner membrane protein, localized almost exclusively to
mitochondrial fraction, while calnexin, the integral protein of the ER, was detected in both the light
membrane and the crude mitochondrial fraction. GAPDH and tubulin were associated with every
fraction but mainly localized in the cytosol, somewhat resembling SneRING distribution (Fig 4C).

Immunofluorescence microscopy of HeLa229 cells with GFP-labeled mitochondria (Fig S4A) or dsRED-
labeled ER (Fig S4B) that were transfected with FLAG-tagged SneRING gave a similar picture, with
some overlap of the signal, indicating possible association of SneRING with these organelles. This did
not significantly change upon infection with Sne (Fig S4A,B, lower panels). Notably, Sne-infected cells
show fragmentation of the mitochondria, which is absent in cells transfected only with SneRING. In
both cell lines, the FLAG-tagged protein is pushed to the cell periphery with the cytosol and is not
identified in the SnCV when the cells are infected (Fig S4).

The observed association of SneRING with mitochondria could be caused by the interaction with the
mitochondrial surface or result from the insufficient purity of the mitochondrial fraction, which
contains ER and contaminations from other cellular compartments. To analyze this further, we
isolated mitochondria from the FLAG-SneRING-transfected U2OS cells and subjected them to swelling
in a hypotonic buffer in the absence or the presence of Protease K (PK). The accessibility of the
proteins to PK demonstrates their submitochondrial localization. As a positive control, mitochondria
were completely solubilized by 1% Triton X-100 and treated with PK (Fig 4D). Surprisingly, FLAG-
tagged SneRING could be detected even after PK treatment of intact mitochondria, indicating that the
protein is not localized on the mitochondrial surface. In contrast, Tom70, a mitochondrial outer
membrane protein, is degraded under similar conditions, comparable to the ER protein calnexin.
Opening of the outer membrane by swelling leads to a partial release of an intermembrane-space
protein coproporphyrinogen oxidase (CPOX), but the inner membrane protein Mic60, the matrix
protein Tim44, and SneRING remain undisturbed. PK treatment of the mitochondria with the

ruptured outer membrane leads to a degradation of CPOX as well as Mic60, which is exposed to the
intermembrane space, while Tim44 is degraded completely only after complete solubilization of
mitochondria by a detergent. SneRING behaves in this respect like Mic60 since most of the protein is
degraded upon PK treatment of swollen mitochondria. A small portion of the protein, however,
remains undigested, indicating possible protection by the inner mitochondrial membrane (Fig 4D).

We performed carbonate extraction of isolated mitochondria using 100 mM Na_2CO_3, pH 11.5 or pH
10.8 to assess membrane integration of SneRING. In addition, the membrane association of the
protein was tested by sonicating mitochondria in a high salt buffer. Hsp60, a soluble mitochondrial
matrix protein, was found in both pellet and supernatant fractions after milder carbonate extraction
and extraction in the high-salt buffer but was fully extracted at pH 11.5. In contrast, Sam50, an
integral protein of the outer mitochondrial membrane, was only detected in the pellet after
treatment in all three conditions. Mic60, the transmembrane protein of the inner mitochondrial
membrane, was partially extracted from membranes only after carbonate extraction at the higher pH
of 11.5. FLAG-tagged SneRING was detected in both the pellet and the supernatant after incubation
in all three conditions, suggesting that the protein is mostly membrane-associated. A small portion,
however, appears to be a membrane-integral protein (Fig 4E). We conclude that a portion of SneRING
localizes to the host cell mitochondria after overexpression. The protein is most likely associated with
the inner mitochondrial membrane.

Putative SneRING-ligase interacting partners include mitochondrial and ER proteins involved in the
regulation of organelle morphology, transport, and energetics

To determine possible host cell targets of SneRING, we expressed the FLAG-tagged protein in U2OS
cells with and without Sne infection and performed a pull-down using FLAG-antibody followed by
mass spectrometry analysis. We compared infected samples with and without ectopically expressed
FLAG-tagged SneRING to determine significantly enriched proteins (Fig 5A). Highly enriched proteins
(log2 fold change > 2) included mitochondrial proteins involved in organelle morphology (prohibitins,
Mic60/IMMT), oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) (subunits of ATPase and respiratory chain
components), as well as transport (VDAC1 and 2). However, several ER proteins were also identified,
and these included ER-microtubule anchoring protein CKAP4, BCAP31, a protein involved in ER-
associated degradation (ERAD) and acting as an ER stress sensor that mediates communication with
mitochondria (Namba, 2019), and ERLIN1 and 2, which are prohibitin-domain containing proteins
involved in ERAD (Pearce *et al*, 2009) (Fig 5A, Table S1).

Besides these cellular proteins, a number of *Simkania*-derived proteins were also strongly enriched in
the SneRING-pulldowns (Fig S5, Table S1). These proteins might be bacterial SneRING targets or co-
factors of SneRING. None of the top-enriched Sne proteins appears to be experimentally
characterized, but several members of this set have informative sequence relationships. SNE_A02810,
SNE_A02800, SNE_A02780, SNE_A18120, and SNE_A05090 are relatives of the *Legionella* major
outer membrane protein mOMP, and SNE_08170 contains mOMP-associated POTRA domains. Other
enriched proteins are related to components of bacterial secretion systems: A21850 is related to the
SecDF secretion protein, SNE_A20110 is related to the T2SS secretin GspD, while SNE_A10070 is
related to bacterial signal peptidases. Overall, the bacterial SneRING-associated proteins appear to be
located at the bacterial surface.

When comparing the cellular SneRING-associated proteins of Sne-infected and non-infected cells, a
very similar set of proteins was obtained. The top fourteen cellular infection-specific SneRING
interactors were found also on the previous list. We again found mitochondrial and ER proteins
among the hits. Interestingly, GOLIM4, a protein localized to Golgi apparatus and playing a role in
endosome to Golgi protein transport (Natarajan & Linstedt, 2004), was also found as one of the most
highly enriched proteins in both lists (Fig 5B, Table S1). Taken together, these results show clustering
of putative SneRING targets in groups of ER and mitochondrial proteins functioning in quality control,
bioenergetics, and morphology. The localization of these targets is in agreement with the possible
localization of SneRING that we previously determined (Fig 4). The observation that most of the
prominent SneRING interactors are only present in the infected samples suggests that SneRING needs
additional bacterial factors for its function, or that the infection-induced remodeling of cellular
compartments fosters SneRING interactions.

Discussion

After invading the host cell, intracellular bacteria reside either directly within the cytosol or inside a
vacuole. In both cases, they are targeted by the innate immune system of the host cell to induce their
clearance and block their propagation. To survive and replicate, intracellular pathogens must modify
and/or evade the host defense system. An important part of intracellular defenses is the ubiquitin
system.

Ubiquitin system has been thought to be exclusive to eukaryotes; however, recent reports about
bacterial ubiquitination systems that serve as anti-viral defense show that ubiquitination might be
evolutionarily older than presumed (Chambers *et al*, 2024; Hör *et al*, 2024). In addition, various
bacteria specifically counteract their ubiquitination and even hijack the system for their own

advantage by secreting effector proteins (Tripathi-Giesgen *et al*, 2021). Thus, the ubiquitin system of
the host cell represents a weapon for both the host cell and the bacteria. Bacterial DUBs can prevent
bacterial ubiquitination, which can be a signal for xenophagy (Chai *et al*, 2019; Otten *et al*, 2021;
Yamada *et al*, 2021). Furthermore, some bacteria deliver unique virulence factors that functionally
mimic mammalian E3 ligases to exploit the host ubiquitin system. Ubiquitin E3 ligases of all three
types have been described for various bacteria. These differ in their target in the host cell and their
intracellular function (Ashida & Sasakawa, 2017).

Recent studies have shown that *S. negevensis* possesses a high number of DUBs from many different
classes including some that have never before been seen in bacteria. This is in strong contrast to only
one or two DUBs encoded by most other intracellular bacteria. Interestingly, some of the *Simkania*
DUBs show cleavage specificity–SnOTU specifically cleaves linear M1 chains and VTD-type DUB
preferably cleaves K6-linked ubiquitin conjugates–while others are less specific (Boll *et al.*, 2023).
These observations indicate that Sne encodes ubiquitin-modifying enzymes to manipulate and
counteract the ubiquitin system of the host cell, but so far no Sne ubiquitin ligases have been
described.

Bioinformatical analysis revealed several genes in Sne that contain a RING domain. In the case of
SneRING, the RING as well as the C-terminal domain is shared with related proteins from other
Chlamydia-like bacteria. Despite the overall similarity, there are notable differences in the Zn-binding
residues of the RING finger, when comparing and aligning the sequences of the SneRING with the E3s
from the *Chlamydia*-like bacteria. In addition to these putative E3s of related bacteria, also two
established human RING fingers, structurally corresponding best to the SneRING model, were
included in the alignment. In particular, PDB:3LRQ_A (from TRIM37) structurally matches best;
however, it is more similar to the RING finger of the *Chlamydia*-like bacteria than of Sne in terms of
Zn binding (Fig 1). In addition to SneRING, Sne possesses several more proteins with a RING domain
(Fig S1), which remain to be characterized.

Though the RING finger of the SneRING appears to be rather atypical, we could demonstrate the
ligase activity using autoubiquitination assays. SneRING does not unspecifically interact with E2
enzymes, because we detected the activity of the SneRING only in the presence of the E2 conjugating
enzyme UbcH5b, and, to a lesser extent, in combination with the E2 UBE2T (Fig 2). However, the
human genome encodes for around 40 E2 conjugating enzymes that are involved in transferring
ubiquitin or ubiquitin-like proteins to the target (Stewart *et al*, 2016). We have tested only a limited
number of E2 enzymes, so we cannot exclude that SneRING is active in the presence of other E2
enzymes besides UbcH5b and UBE2T.

UbcH5b plays an important role in various cellular processes, such as in the activation of NF-κB by the
degradation of IκbΑ (Gonen *et al*, 1999) and regulation of the level of p53 by ubiquitination and its
subsequent degradation (Saville *et al*, 2004). It is involved in the removal of abnormal and regulatory
proteins (Seufert & Jentsch, 1990) and is one of the most active E2 enzymes (Houben *et al*, 2004). In
contrast, the E2 conjugating enzyme UBE2T regulates the levels of the receptor for activated protein
kinase C (RACK1) by mediating its ubiquitination with K48-linked conjugates followed by its
elimination. In this way, UBE2T induces hyperactivation of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway (Yu *et*
al, 2021). The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is crucial in various physiological processes ranging
from embryonic development to tissue homeostasis in adults (Liu *et al*, 2022). It is conceivable that
SneRING interacts with these E2 ligases during infection, considering their ubiquitous presence in the
cell. 3D structure prediction has helped identify S19 and E22 as crucial for the interaction with
UbcH5b, which was confirmed by an *in vitro* autoubiquitination assay (Fig 1C and Fig 2B). This shows
that the predicted RING domain of the SneRING is the interacting region with the E2 conjugating
enzyme UbcH5b. The expression of the catalytically inactive form of SneRING, however, did not
influence infection.

SneRING produces K6-, K11-, K48- and K63-linked ubiquitin conjugates, which implies that its host cell
targets are modified similarly (Fig 3 and Fig S2). The preference to catalyze K6 and K48 linkages was
also observed for the HECT-like ubiquitin ligase NleL of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. (Lin *et al*, 2011). This
strain from the group of enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) causes hemorrhagic colitis and diarrhea in
humans (Lim *et al*, 2010). The bacterial infection is stimulated by the bacterial HECT-like ligase NleL,
which mediates the ubiquitination and inactivation of the host c-Jun NH2-terminal kinases (JNKs),
promoting the production of unique attaching and effacing (A/E) lesions (Sheng *et al*, 2017) and thus
a close adherence between the bacterium and the membrane of the epithelial cell (Nataro & Kaper,
1998). NleL shows *in vitro* ubiquitinating activity when incubated with the E2 conjugating enzyme
UbcH5b (Lin *et al.*, 2011), similar to SneRING.

Although not obligate intracellular, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serotype Typhimurium
replicates within a bacteria-containing vacuole after invading the host cell (Steele-Mortimer, 2008),
similar to Sne. SopA effector of *S.* Typhimurium is a HECT-like E3 ubiquitin ligase and preferentially
reacts with the host E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes UbcH5a, UbcH5c, and UbcH7. It has been
proposed that SopA ubiquitinates bacterial and host cell proteins involved in *Salmonella*-induced
intestinal inflammation (Zhang *et al*, 2006). The ligase selectively mediates K11- and K48-linked
polyubiquitination of the human RING-ligases TRIM56 and TRIM65, leading to their proteasomal
degradation during infection. Blocking these ligases suppresses the expression of the nucleic acid-
sensing receptor STING and interferon-γ (Fiskin *et al*, 2017; Tripathi-Giesgen *et al.*, 2021). *S.*

Typhimurium virulence factor SspH2 has been shown to autoubiquitinate *in vitro* when combined
with the E2 enzyme UbcH5b and is thus assumed to be an E3 ubiquitin ligase, too, similar to HECT
type E3 ligases (Quezada *et al*, 2009). *In vitro* experiments revealed that SspH2 mediates K48-linked
ubiquitination, suggesting that it targets proteins for degradation by the proteasome (Levin *et al*,
2010). A putative homolog of SspH2, IpaH3 from *Shigella*, also mediates the synthesis of K48-linked
ubiquitin chains and it only shows activity in the presence of E2 conjugating enzymes of the UbcH5
type (Zhu *et al*, 2008). Ultimately, all the observations made in this study and parallels with known E3
ligases of other bacteria support the identification of SneRING as an E3 ubiquitin ligase with a role in
infection. Since genetic modification of Sne has so far not been achieved, we are currently unable to
confirm to which extent bacterial infectivity and life cycle depend on the activity of SneRING.

The variety of Sne DUBs, with 12 identified candidates of which 8 are active, indicates that ubiquitin-
modifying enzymes are important for Sne infection. As with other intracellular bacteria, Sne
replication niche SnCV can be a direct target for ubiquitination. This could lead to its complete or
partial degradation by autophagy (Hermanns & Hofmann, 2019). Sne DUBs might play an important
role in preventing autophagy by removing ubiquitin from the SnCV surface. The role of SneRING, on
the other hand, can be to modulate protein stability or activity through ubiquitination. While some
Sne DUBs do not show cleavage specificity, others are only active against certain ubiquitin chain
types. Interestingly, the two Sne-encoded DUBs SnJos1 and SnJos2 efficiently cleave K6-, K11-, K48-
and K63-linked ubiquitin chains, with another enzyme selectively cleaving K6-linked chains (Boll *et al.*,
2023). One possibility is that SneRING generates these specific ubiquitin conjugates in the early
stages of infection for them to be removed at later stages by Sne DUBs to support bacterial
development and/or release. However, whether the targets of both SneRING and Sne DUBs are
bacterial or host cell proteins remains yet to be determined.

SnCV has a unique tubular morphology and is in close contact with ER. Its development depends on
retrograde vesicular transport (Herweg *et al.*, 2016) and it is presumed that the majority of lipids for
growth of this complex membranous compartment are derived from ER. Sne is also capable of
suppressing ER stress response (Mehlitz *et al.*, 2014). On the other hand, mitochondria intertwine
with SnCV only in the early stages of infection, whereas at the later time point, we observe
fragmentation and loss of mitochondrial mass. Endogenous SneRING is found in the ER/SnCV
membrane (Herweg *et al.*, 2016), whereas the overexpressed SneRING localizes to cytosol, ER, and
mitochondria (Fig 4 and Fig S4), including its surprising association with the inner mitochondrial
membrane (Fig 4D). This, together with the identification of possible targets and interacting factors,
might offer a clue about the function of this enzyme.

Mitochondrial depolarization leads to the formation of K6-, K11-, K48-, and K63-chain linkages on the
surface of these organelles. Parkin, a mitochondrial protein with E3 ubiquitin ligase activity and the
potential to form these same linkages is involved in mitochondrial ubiquitination, which promotes
mitophagy (Harper *et al*, 2018). Recently, Parkin has been shown to ubiquitinate proteins not only on
the surface of mitochondria but also in the inner membrane. Parkin directly binds to prohibitin 2
(PHB2) through its RING1 domain and promotes K11- and K33-linked ubiquitination of PHB2 (Sun *et*
al, 2022), which enhances the interaction between PHB2 and the central protein in autophagy, LC3
(Wei *et al*, 2017). SneRING not only generates the same types of ubiquitin chains in an *in vitro*
reaction as Parkin (Fig. 3), but one of the highly enriched host cell proteins in the SneRING pulldown
fractions is PHB2 (Fig 5, Table S1). SneRING might ubiquitinate PHB2 to induce mitophagy; however,
this process would depend on the presence of SnCV and other, so far unidentified bacterial factors,
since we did not observe mitochondrial fragmentation in non-infected cells expressing SneRING (Fig
S4). Alternatively, SneRING/prohibitin interaction might impact mitochondrial integrity and
respiration, in which prohibitins play an important role (Merkwirth & Langer, 2009). This could also
explain another highly enriched putative SneRING interactor, BCAP31, a protein present in ER-
mitochondria contact sites, which has been reported to regulate mitochondrial respiration by
controlling the mitochondrial import of respiratory complex I subunits (Namba, 2019). Interestingly,
ERLIN1 and ERLIN2, other highly enriched hits (Fig 5, Table S1), also contain a prohibitin domain.
These proteins localize to ER and are involved in ERAD (Pearce *et al.*, 2009), which might be a
potential target of modification by SneRING considering that bacteria can suppress ER stress response
(Mehlitz *et al.*, 2014), a process counteracted by the ERAD upregulation (Hwang & Qi, 2018). Finally,
possible SneRING ubiquitination of CKAP4, a protein involved in the attachment of ER to microtubules
(Vedrenne *et al*, 2005), might be required during the growth and organization of the SnCV. CKAP4 has
recently been reported to also play a role in ER-phagy, a process triggered by ER stressors, such as
tunicamycin (Li *et al*, 2024). Its targeting by SneRING might be one of the ways for bacteria to
interfere with ER stress signaling (Mehlitz *et al.*, 2014). Though interesting, these theories must be
confirmed by analyzing if any of these proteins are ubiquitinated upon SneRING expression or during
infection. In addition, deletion of SneRING from bacteria could give further information about the
function and importance of this protein.

To survive and replicate within their host, intracellular pathogens have evolved various strategies and
mechanisms to counteract the host cell immune system. By secreting effector proteins, pathogens
manipulate the host cell to provide better conditions for their survival and propagation. Effector
proteins also include ubiquitin-modifying enzymes such as deubiquitinases and ubiquitin E3 ligases.
This study describes for the first time a Sne effector protein SneRING that functions as ubiquitin E3
ligase with a possible role in remodeling host cell mitochondria and ER and controlling mitophagy and

ER stress response to promote the development of SnCV and accommodate its unique replicative

niche.

Materials and Methods

Sequence analysis

Sequence alignments were generated using the MAFFT package (Katoh & Standley, 2013).

Generalized profiles were derived from multiple alignments using pftools (Bucher *et al.*, 1996) and

searched against the Uniprot database (https://www.uniprot.org) and the NCBI microbial genome

reference sequence database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/microbes) using pfsearchV3

(Schuepbach *et al*, 2013). Structure predictions were run using a local installation of Alphafold 2.3

(Jumper *et al.*, 2021). Structure comparisons were performed using the DALI software (Holm, 2022).

For modeling the missing Zn^{2+} ions into Alphafold structures, a superposition with the best-scoring

Zn^{2+}-containing DALI hit was used to copy the zinc ions into the model. Subsequently, an energy

minimization was performed using YASARA (Krieger & Vriend, 2014) to improve the sidechain

positioning of the Zn^{2+} ligands.

Cloning and mutagenesis

The SneRING coding region for protein purification was obtained by amplification of *S. negevensis*

genomic DNA (Leibniz Institute DSMZ, German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, DSM

No. 27360) using the following primers: forward, 5'-

AAGTTCTGTTTCAGGGCCCGGAAAGGGTAAATCCTAATCAAGTTCTA – 3', and reverse, 5'-

ATGGTCTAGAAAGCTTTATGAAAAAATTCTACGAGAAATATCTTCC – 3'. Amplification was performed using

the Phusion™ High Fidelity DNA Polymerase Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA), and

the PCR product was inserted into the pOPIN-K vector (Berrow *et al*, 2007) by restriction cloning

according to the manufacturer's protocol. Point mutations were generated using the QuikChange

Lightning kit (Agilent Technologies, California, USA). To introduce the first mutation, the following

primers were used: forward, 5' – GCATGGAATTGTCGCAGGTGCTGGGAACCAATTCA – 3', and reverse, 5'

– TGAATTGGTTCCCAGCACCTGCGACAATTCCATGC – 3'. The second mutation was added by using the

following primers: forward, 5' – AATTGTCGCAGGTGCTGGAGACCAATTCAGGATGGTCC – 3', and reverse,

5' – GGACCATCCTGAATTGGTCTCCAGCACCTGCGACAATT – 3'. SneRING coding region for transfection

experiments was synthesized by Thermo GeneArt Gene synthesis (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Massachusetts, USA) and cloned into the pCDNA3 vector by restriction digestion according to the

manufacturer's protocol.

Protein expression and purification

Wildtype SneRING was expressed from the pOPIN-K vector containing an N-terminal 6His-GST-tag in
E. coli Rosetta (DE3) pLysS. The bacteria culture was grown in LB medium at 37 °C until reaching an
OD_{600} of 0.8. Afterward, the culture was precooled at 18 °C before SneRING expression was induced
with 500 µM $ZnCl_2$ and 0.1 mM isopropyl β-d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 16 h when bacteria
were collected by centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 15 min. The pellets were frozen at -80 °C, then
thawed on ice and resuspended in binding buffer (300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 20 mM
imidazole, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol), containing DNase and lysozyme. Cells were sonicated for 10
min with 10 s pulses at 50 W and the lysate was clarified by centrifugation for 1 h at 50,000 x g and 4
°C. The supernatant was applied to a HisTrap FF column (Cytiva, Massachusetts, USA), and affinity
purification was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. The subsequent incubation of
the fractions with 3C protease during dialysis in binding buffer overnight resulted in the 6His-GST tag
removal, which was removed, together with the His-tagged 3C protease in a second affinity
purification run. Size exclusion chromatography (HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 pg (Cytiva,
Massachusetts, USA)) was performed in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 2
mM dithiothreitol (DTT). After concentration using VIVASPIN 20 Columns (Sartorius, Göttingen,
Germany), the purified protein was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

The expression of SneRING S19R_E22R was induced as already described for the wildtype SneRING.
After harvesting the cells, the pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (40 mM Tris pH 8.2, 500 mM
NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM imidazole, containing 1xComplete[TM] protease inhibitor
cocktail (Roche Holding, Basel, Switzerland), DNase and lysozyme). Cells were lysed by sonication,
the cleared lysate was applied to Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen, Hilden Germany), and affinity purification
was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Mutated SneRING was eluted using 40 mM
Tris pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-Mercaptoethanol, and 250 mM imidazole. The removal of the
6His-GST tag was performed similarly to the wildtype SneRING and using the Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen,
Hilden Germany) in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH7.5 and 300 mM NaCl. After concentration on
Amicon Ultra-15, PLGC Ultracel-PL membrane (Sigma/Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), the protein was
frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

The two Sne DUBs SnVTD and SnOTU used in the study were purified as described before (Boll *et al.*,
2023). The plasmids pOPINB-OTUB1* (Addgene plasmid #65441; http://n2t.net/addgene:65441;
RRID:Addgene_65441), pOPINB-AMSH* (Addgene plasmid #66712; http://n2t.net/addgene:66712;
RRID:Addgene_66712) (Michel *et al*, 2015), pOPINK-Cezanne (OTU, aa 53-446), (Addgene plasmid
#61581; http://n2t.net/addgene:61581 ; RRID:Addgene_61581) (Mevissen *et al*, 2013) and pOPINS-
USP21 (USP, aa 196-565) (Addgene plasmid #61585; http://n2t.net/addgene:61585;
RRID:Addgene_61585) (Ye *et al.*, 2011) were kind gifts from David Komander (WEHI, Melbourne,
Australia). Purification of these DUBs was performed as already described for the SneRING.

Protein concentration was determined by measuring the absorption at 280 nm (A_{280}) and using the
SneRING extinction coefficient calculated from its sequence.

In vitro **autoubiquitination assay**

10 μL of the 10x ligation buffer (800 mM Tris pH 7.5, 200 mM $MgCl_2$, 12 mM DTT) was mixed with 10
μM purified recombinant SneRING, 100 nM recombinant E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme and 2.3 μM
recombinant E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, to which 50 μM recombinant ubiquitin and 10 mM
ATP were added. ddH_2O was added to a final volume of 100 μL. The reactions were incubated at 37 °C
for different time points (0 min, 30 min, 60 min, 120 min, 180 min, and 300 min) and stopped by
adding Laemmli sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol,
and 0.002% Bromophenol Blue) and analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel
electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and western blot.

The purified proteins of the E2 conjugating enzymes UbcH5b, UbE2T, UbCH7, Ube2A, Ube2B and
Ube2W were a kind gift from David Komander (WEHI, Melbourne, Australia).

UbiCRest assay

The recombinant DUBs were first diluted in a buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, and
10 mM DTT. The 5 h *in vitro* autoubiquitination assay reaction was treated for 15 min at RT with 2 mU
apyrase to remove the remaining ATP. For the assay, the DUBs were added at 1.5 μM concentration
except OTUB1, for which 3 μM concentration was used. During the double UbiCrest assay, the DUBs
were added at 1.5 μM or 0.75 μM concentrations. The mixture was incubated for 1 h at RT and the
reaction was stopped by adding Laemmli sample.

Immunoblotting

The lysates for western blot analysis were prepared with Laemmli sample buffer and denatured at 95
°C for 5 min. After SDS-PAGE, proteins were transferred onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was
blocked with 5% milk in Tris-based saline (TBS) and incubated in the primary antibody, followed by
the incubation with an HRP-coupled secondary antibody (1:3000) in a blocking solution. The signal
was detected using SuperSignal™ West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Fisher
Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and a Chemo Cam Imager (Intas). In the case of an affimer, the
membrane was incubated overnight at 4 °C in the affimer dilution in TBS. The next day, the
membrane was washed with TBS and incubated with a 6xHis primary antibody, followed by the HRP-
coupled secondary antibody as already described.

Cell culture

HeLa (ATCC® CCL-2.1™), HeLa229 KDEL-dsRed, Hela 229 Mito-GFP, and THP-1 (ATCC® TIB-202™) cells

were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)1640 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Massachusetts, USA), Hek293T cells (ATCC® CRL-3216™) were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's

medium (DMEM) medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and U2OS cells (ATCC®

HTB-9.6™) were grown in DMEM/F12 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). All

media were supplemented with 10% v/v heat-inactivated (56 °C at 30 min) fetal calf serum (FCS)

(Sigma/Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Growth took place at 37 °C and 5% CO_2. To differentiate THP-1

cells into macrophages, 5x 10^5 cells/well were seeded into 6-well plates and incubated with 20 ng/mL

phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (Sigma/Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in RPMI1640 medium

containing 10% v/v heat-inactivated FCS for 24 h. The medium was exchanged for fresh RPMI1640

medium containing 10% v/v heat-inactivated FCS and the cells were incubated for a further 48 h.

Primary human macrophages were derived from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)

isolated from leukoreduction system (LRS) cones, using the SepMate™-50 system (#85450, STEMCELL

Technologies, Cologne, Germany) and Ficoll-Paque (#GE17-1440-03, Sigma/Merck, Darmstadt,

Germany) gradient according to manufacturer's instructions. Red blood cells were removed by hypo-

osmotic shock: PBMC pellets were mixed and incubated with 16 mL sterile water for 30 s, then

immediately 4 mL 5 x DPBS were added. Monocytes were purified from the PBMC fraction using the

EasySep™ Human CD14 Positive Selection Kit II (# 17858, STEMCELL Technologies, Cologne, Germany)

according to the manufacturer's instructions and seeded in 6-well cell culture plates (1.5 x 106

cells/well) in medium supplemented with 50 ng/mL M-CSF (#78057, STEMCELL Technologies,

Cologne, Germany). Medium exchanges, with fresh M-CSF supplementation, were done on days 1

and 4. On day 7, M2"-like polarization, was induced by replacing M-CSF-containing medium with

medium containing 100 ng/mL IL-4 (#78045, STEMCELL Technologies, Cologne, Germany) and further

incubation for 48 h. All cultivation steps were done in RPMI1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Massachusetts, USA) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated FCS (Sigma/Merck Darmstadt,

Germany). All Sne infection experiments were performed on day 9, without cytokine

supplementation.

Acanthamoeba castellanii (ATCC® 30010D™) were cultured in axenic medium (56 mM glucose, 2.6

mM KH2PO4, 1.3 mM Na2HPO4x7H2O, 0.5% proteose peptone 2, 0.5% thiotone E peptone, 0.5%

yeast extract) in cell culture flasks at 30 °C.

Bacteria and infection

E. coli DH5α and Rosetta (DE3) pLysS were grown in an LB medium containing the respective

antibiotics for selection (1% bacto-tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% NaCl, 1% agar).

To prepare *S. negevensis* (ATCC® VR-1471™), U2OS cells were grown to 60% confluency, and infected

at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) 1 in RPMI1640 medium without HEPES containing 5% v/v heat-

inactivated FCS (infection medium). The infected cells were incubated at 35 °C and 5% CO_2 for 6 h,

when the medium was exchanged for fresh infection medium. After 3 days of growth under the same

conditions, the cells were detached using a cell scrapper and lysed by vortexing the cells with 2-5 mm

glass beads (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany). Intact cells and larger cell debris were removed by low-

speed centrifugation at 600 x g for 10 min and the resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 20,000 x

g for 30 min to pellet the released bacteria. The pellet was resuspended in 5 mL SPG buffer (250 mM

sucrose, 4 mM monopotassium phosphate, 10 mM disodium phosphate, and 5 mM glutamate pH

7.4), followed by centrifugation (20,000 x g, 30 min), with the resulting pellet resuspended in 2.5 mL

SPG buffer. The bacteria were homogenized using a syringe with one G20 and one G18 needle,

aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C. All the experiments with *S. negevensis* were performed in a

laboratory with biosafety level 2, which is registered with the Lower Franconia Government under

code 55.1-8791.1.30.

For infection experiments, 5 x 10^5 human cells/well were seeded into 6 well plates and at 60%

confluency infected with *S. negevensis* at MOI 1 in the infection medium, at 35 °C and 5% CO_2. 6 h

later, the medium was exchanged for fresh infection medium. The infection proceeded for the

indicated time points. When infection took longer than 3 days, the medium was exchanged for fresh

infection medium after every 3 days.

A. castellanii were seeded into cell culture flasks and infected with *S. negevensis* in an axenic

medium. Infected amoebae were incubated at 30 °C for 4 days.

Transfection

Hek293T cells were transfected using the Calcium-phosphate transfection method as described

previously (Wagner *et al*, 2019). For 6-well plates, 4 µg of the respective plasmid were used; for

transfecting cells in 15 cm dishes, we used 50 µg of plasmid DNA. U2OS and HeLa229 cells were

transfected using the commercially available transfection reagents jetOptimus® (Polyplus®, Illkirch-

Graffenstaden, France) or PEI MAX® (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, United Kingdom) according to

the manufacturer's protocol. Depending on the experiment, cells were infected with *S. negevensis* at

MOI 1, 24 h post-transfection.

Immunofluorescence and Microscopy

U2OS and HeLa cell lines were seeded at a density of 8 x 10^4 cells/well into 12 well plates, while

Hek293T cells were seeded at a density of 5 x 10^5 cells/well into 6 well plates on 15 mm glass

coverslips (Paul Marienfeld, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany). Cells were transfected and/or infected as

indicated in the corresponding experiments. The cells were fixed at respective time points using 4%
paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 1 h at RT. For *S. negevensis* infection experiments, the cells were
permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 45 min at RT while shaking, washed with PBS, and
blocked in 2% goat serum in PBS for 1 h, followed by staining with a SnGroEL primary antibody in the
blocking solution overnight at 4 °C. The following day, samples were washed and incubated with the
secondary antibody (1:1600) and DAPI (1:3000) for 1 h in 2% goat serum in PBS at RT. After washing
with PBS and ddH$_2$O, coverslips were mounted using Mowiol 4-88 (Carl Roth GmbH + Co. KG,
Karlsruhe, Germany).

For experiments that did not include infection, after fixing, cells were simultaneously permeabilized
and blocked for 30 min at RT in blocking solution (10% BSA, 0.2% Triton X-100, in PBS), washed with
PBS and stained with the primary antibody diluted in antibody dilution solution (3% BSA in 0.05%
Tween in PBS) for 1 h at RT. After washing with PBS and blocking for 10 min in blocking buffer at RT,
samples were washed again and incubated with DAPI (1:3000) and the secondary antibodies (1:100)
for 1 h at RT. The coverslips were then washed with PBS and ddH$_2$O and mounted using Mowiol 4-88
(Carl Roth GmbH + Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany). Microscopy was performed using LEICA SP5 confocal
laser scanning microscope.

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and RT-qPCR

Infected macrophages were collected on day 2, 4 and 6 pi. The cells were washed with DPBS at the
desired time point and 100 µL of fresh medium and 500 µL RNAprotect Cell Reagent (Qiagen, Hilden,
Germany) were added per well. Detached cells were collected in RNase-free tubes and stored at 4 °C
for a maximum of 1 week. After centrifugation at 6200 x g for 5 min at 4 °C, 600 µL RLT Buffer
supplemented with β-mercaptoethanol was added to each pelleted sample, and RNA was purified
using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions. For
other human cell lines, after collecting them on day 2 and 4 pi and washing with DPBS at the desired
time point, 600 µL RLT Buffer supplemented with β-mercaptoethanol was added to each sample and
RNA was purified using the RNeasy Mini (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer's
instructions. DNase treatment was performed using the TURBO DNA-free™ Kit (Invitrogen, California,
USA). 100 ng pure RNA was used for cDNA generation, using the Revert Aid First Strand cDNA
Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). RT-qPCR was performed on a
StepOnePlus™ instrument (Life Technologies, California, USA), using the GreenMasterMix (2X) High
ROX™ (Gennaxon, Ulm, Germany). Data analysis was performed using the StepOne Software (v2.3)
and Microsoft Excel, with a modified $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). ΔCt values were
calculated relative to the host reference gene *YWHAZ* (human) or the 5S RNA (for amoeba). Fold
changes ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) of gene expression for each tested Sne gene, were calculated relative to the non-

infected controls. The following primers were used: forward, 5' – ATACTGCGCAGAAAGCAC – 3', and

reverse, 5' – ACCCCAGTACTAACACCG – 3' (5S RNA reference gene for amoeba); forward, 5' –

GCAGAGTCGAAGTTTCAAGGG – 3', and reverse, 5' – TCGCTTGCCTGTTGAGGATT – 3' (SneRING);

forward, 5' – TTCCATCACCGGCAACATCA – 3', and reverse, 5' – GCAAAAGAGATCGCGCTGAA – 3' (GroEL

C (SNE_A22590)); forward, 5' – CACCTGATCCCATCCCGAAC – 3', and reverse, 5' –

GCGACCTACTCTCCCGTACT – 3' (Sne 5S RNA) (Boll *et al.*, 2023); forward, 5' –

ACTTTTGGTACATTGTGGCTTCAA – 3', and reverse, 5' – CCGCCAGGACAAACCAGTAT – 3' (*YWHAZ*)

(Vandesompele *et al*, 2002).

Mitochondrial isolation and fractionation

Mitochondria were isolated from cells transfected for 48 h as described previously (Humphries *et al*,

2005). Cellular fractionation and determining of submitochondrial localization by swelling and PK

treatment were performed as already described (Kozjak-Pavlovic *et al*, 2011; Kozjak *et al*, 2003).

Carbonate extraction for determining protein membrane extractability was performed as previously

described (Milenkovic *et al*, 2004). In brief, isolated mitochondria from transfected cells were either

sonicated in 10 mM Tris pH 7.6 and 500 mM NaCl or treated with 100 mM Na_2CO_3 at pH 10.8 or 11.5

for 20 min on ice. After centrifugation at 100.000 g, pellets were resuspended in Laemmli sample

buffer, while supernatant and non-centrifuged control samples were precipitated using

trichloroacetic acid (TCA).

Antibodies, chemicals, and positive controls

K48- and K63-linked ubiquitin chains were components of the Di-ubiquitin Explorer Panel purchased

from UbiQ (Amsterdam, Netherlands, Cat. No. UbiQ-L01). M1-linked ubiquitin chains (kindly provided

by S. Meyer and A. Buchberger) were produced by incubating the following reaction mixture at 37 °C

for two hours: 6 µg bovine ubiquitin, 200 ng yeast Uba1, 600 ng human UbcH5c, 4 µg HOIP RBR-LDD

(Smit *et al*, 2012) in a buffer containing 50 µL 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM DTT, 4 mM

ATP.

The primary antibodies against ubiquitin (Cat. No. 05-9449), FLAG-tag (Cat. No. F7425), CPOX (Cat.

No. HPA015736), K63-linked ubiquitin chains (Cat. No. 05-1308), K48-linked ubiquitin chains (Cat. No.

05-1307) and M1-linked ubiquitin chains (Cat. No. MABS451, kindly provided by A. Buchberger) were

purchased from Sigma/Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The anti-ubiquitin Lys6 specific Affimer® (Cat.

No. MABS1918, kindly provided by M. Eilers) was purchased from Sigma/Merck (Darmstadt,

Germany). The primary antibodies against Tubulin (Cat. No. ab18251) and Mitofilin (Cat. No.

ab48139) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom). The primary antibodies against

Calnexin (Cat. No. 2433, Cat. No. sc-23954) were purchased from Cell Signaling (Massachusetts, USA)

and Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Texas, USA). The antibody against GAPDH (Cat. No. sc-47724) was

purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Texas, USA). The anti-HSP60 antibody (Cat. No. ADI-SPA-

806) was purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (New York, USA). The primary antibody against TIM44

(Cat. No. 612582) was purchased from BD Transduction Laboratories (California, USA). The antibody

against 6xHis (Cat. No. GTX18184) was purchased from Biozol (Eching, Germany). The antibody

against K11-linked ubiquitin chains (Cat. No. NBP3-05681, kindly provided by A. Buchberger,) was

purchased from Novus Biologicals (Littleton, USA). The antibody against Sam50 was custom-

generated against the full-length protein (Gramsch laboratories, Schwabhausen, Germany). The

antibody against rat Tom70 (crossreactive with human Tom70) was a gift from K. Mihara. The

antibody against SnGroEL was produced as described in a previous study (Mehlitz *et al.*, 2014).

Secondary antibodies anti-rabbit-Alexa555, Cat. No. A-21428, anti-mouse-Alexa488 Cat. No. A-11001,

and anti-mouse-Alexa555, Cat. No. A-21422 were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific

(Massachusetts, USA). Anti-rabbit-Atto647N secondary antibody, Cat No. 40839, was purchased from

Sigma/Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Anti-mouse-Cy2 secondary antibody, Cat. No. 115-225-146, as

well as the HRP-coupled secondary antibodies for western blot, were purchased from Biozol (Eching,

Germany).

Immunoprecipitation

For immunoprecipitation (IP) assays, U2OS cells were seeded at a density of 1.2 x 10^6 cells/well into

10 cm dishes. The next day, the cells were transiently transfected with a plasmid carrying a FLAG-

tagged humanized version of the SneRING gene and 24 h later infected with Sne at an MOI 1. Non-

transfected and non-infected cells served as controls. On day 2 pi, the cells were washed once with

PBS, harvested in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 % NP-40, proteinase inhibitor

(Roche: cOmplet, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail), 1 mM PMSF, 5 mM Iodoacetamide)),

incubated for 30 min at 4 °C and sonicated for 15 min using an ultrasonic water bath. After removing

the cell debris by centrifugation (15 min, 14000 x g, 4 °C), the supernatant was added to equilibrated

Anti-FLAG® M2 magnetic beads (Sigma/Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated on a rotary

shaker overnight at 4 °C. The beads were washed three times for 5 min at 4 °C with washing buffer

(20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40) and once with a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7.5,

150 mM NaCl. For mass spectrometry analysis, bound proteins were eluted with 200 µg/mL FLAG

peptide (Sigma/Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in elution buffer (6 M urea, 2 M thiourea).

Mass spectrometry analysis

For in-solution digest, the samples were reduced by adding 1 µL of 1 M DTT for 1 h at RT, alkylated

with 1 µL of 400 mM chloroacetamide (CAA) for 45 min at RT in darkness, digested with 1 µL of the

Lysyl endopeptidase (Lys-C, 0.5 µg/µL) for 3 h at RT, treated with 270 µL of 50 mM triethylammonium

bicarbonate buffer and finally digested with 1 µL of trypsin enzyme (1 µg/µL) overnight at RT. The

next day, samples were acidified by adding 1 µL of 100% formic acid. After in-solution digestion,

peptides were bound to styrene-divinylbenzene reverse-phase stage tips (SDB-RP). One stage tip was

used per sample. The stage tips were equilibrated by adding 20 µL of 100% methanol and

centrifuging for 1 min at 700 x g. Afterward, 20 µL of buffer B (0.1% formic acid in 80% acetonitrile)

was added and the stage tips were again centrifuged for 1 min at 700 x g. In the next step, 20 µL of

buffer A (0.1 % formic acid in water) was added to each stage tip, the samples were centrifuged for 1

min at 700 x g and an additional 20 µL of buffer A was added. Acidified samples were spun down for 5

min at 17,000 x g, the supernatant was loaded onto the SDB discs of the equilibrated stage tips, and

they were again centrifuged for 5 min at 700 x g. The stage tips were washed buffers A and B and

completely dried with a syringe.

After binding peptides to SDB-RP Stage tips, they were analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass

spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). LC-MS/MS analysis was performed by the Proteomics

Facility of the CECAD, University of Cologne, as described previously (Hermanns *et al*, 2018).

Figure legends

Figure 1. SneRING is a predicted RING domain ligase. (A) Multiple alignment of the RING domains of

SneRING (Sne_A12920), some bacterial relatives (Sim-KFB93: *Simkaniaceae* bacterium

RefSeq:QVL56959; Sim-QNJ27: *Simkaniaceae* bacterium RefSeq:MDJ0651792; Chl-HKST: *Chlamydiia*

bacterium Uniprot: A0A960X5Q0), and sequences of the two best DALI hits (pdb:3LRQ (TRIM37 from

Homo sapiens) and pdb:2CKL (RING2 from *Mus musculus*)). Residues invariant or conserved in at least

50% of the sequences are shown on black and grey background, respectively. Residues involved in

the coordination of Zn_1 and Zn_2 are highlighted in red and magenta, respectively. **(B)** Alphafold model

of SneRING shows the N-terminal RING-like domain (blue) and a C-terminal domain of unknown

function (DUF, green). The Zn_1 and Zn_2 ions coordinated by the RING-like domain are shown in red

and magenta, respectively. **(C)** Alphafold model of the RING domain of SneRING (blue) in contact with

UbcH5b (grey). The Zn_1 and Zn_2 ions coordinated by the RING-like domain are shown in red and

magenta, respectively. The predicted contact residues Glu-22 (E22) and Ser-19 (S19) and their

interaction partners are labeled.

Figure 2. SneRING shows ubiquitination activity in an *in vitro* assay. (A) Purified recombinant

SneRING was mixed with recombinant E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme, E2 ubiquitin-conjugating

enzyme (UbcH5b), ubiquitin, and ATP for indicated periods. A reaction without the ligase served as a

control. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot, using an antibody against ubiquitin.

(B) *In vitro* autoubiquitination reaction of wildtype SneRING and SneRING-ligase with mutated E2

enzyme interacting region (SneRING S19R_E22R) was performed for 5 h as in A and analyzed by SDS-

PAGE and western blot, using anti-ubiquitin antibodies. **(C)** *In vitro* autoubiquitination reaction of

wildtype SneRING was performed as in A in combination with indicated E2 enzymes for 5 h, followed

by SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis using ubiquitin antibody. **(D)** SneRING was incubated with E2

enzyme UbcH5b or UBE2T in an *in vitro* autoubiquitination assay as described in A. Samples were

taken at indicated time points and tested by immunoblot using the primary antibody against

ubiquitin.

Figure 3. SneRING generates K6-, K11-, K48, and K63-linked ubiquitin chains that can be digested by

specific DUBs. (A) An *in vitro* autoubiquitination reaction as in Fig. 2A was incubated for 5 h, after

which an UbiCRest assay was performed using the indicated chain type-specific DUBs for 1 h at room

temperature. Ubiquitin chain cleavage was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot using a primary

antibody against ubiquitin. **(B)** An *in vitro* autoubiquitination reaction as in A was incubated with

Cezanne (K11-linked ubiquitin chain-specific DUB) and AMSH (K63-linked ubiquitin chain-specific

DUB). Degradation of ubiquitin chains was detected by SDS-PAGE and western blot using anti-

ubiquitin antibody. **(C, D)** A 5 h *in vitro* autoubiquitination reaction of SneRING with UbcH5b (C) or

UBE2T (D) was analyzed by mass spectrometry. The graphs show $\log_{10}$ intensities of GlyGly-modified

residues for the different linkage types, as determined by MaxQuant.

Figure 4. SneRING localizes to the host cell cytosolic, light membranes, and inner mitochondrial

membrane after overexpression. (A) U2OS cells were transfected with a human codon-adjusted

FLAG-tagged version of the SneRING using the transfection reagent PEI MAX®. 72 h post-transfection,

cells were fixed and stained using DAPI (blue channel), and a primary antibody against FLAG followed

by the fluorophore-coupled secondary antibody (green channel). Images were taken using laser

scanning confocal microscopy. The scale bar represents 30 µm. **(B)** U2OS cells were transfected as in

A, and 36 h post-transfection, analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot using antibodies against the

FLAG-tag and tubulin. **(C)** U2OS cells were transfected as in A, and 48 h post-transfection, the cells

were separated by differential centrifugation into a crude mitochondrial (Mito), light membrane (LM),

and cytosolic (Cyt) fraction. Total cell lysate of non-transfected cells (Control) and transfected cells

(Total) served as control. The samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot using antibodies

against Mic60, Calnexin, Tubulin, GAPDH, and FLAG. **(D)** U2OS cells were transfected as in D. After 48

h of expression, mitochondria were isolated and then incubated in isotonic buffer (-Swelling) or in

hypotonic buffer (+Swelling) to rupture the outer mitochondrial membrane, combined with the

treatment using 50 µg/mL of protease K (PK). For complete solubilization, mitochondria were treated

with 1% Triton X-100 (1% Triton) and PK and precipitated using 72% trichloroacetic acid. Samples

were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot, using antibodies against Calnexin, Tom70, CPOX,

Mic60, Tim44, and FLAG-tag. **(E)** Mitochondria as in D were either sonicated in a high-salt buffer (500

mM NaCl pH 7.6) or subjected to carbonate extraction (100 mM Na_2CO_3 pH 10.8 or 100 mM Na_2CO_3

pH 11.5). Pellet (P) and supernatant (S) fractions were separated by ultracentrifugation, while

untreated total samples (T) served as control. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot

using antibodies against Hsp60, Sam50, Mic60, and FLAG.

Figure 5. SneRING interacts with mitochondrial and ER proteins. (A, B) A human codon-adjusted

FLAG-tagged version of the SneRING was overexpressed in U2OS cells using PEI MAX® transfection.

24 h later, cells were infected with Sne at an MOI 1. Non-transfected cells served as control.

Immunoprecipitation was performed using FLAG-magnetic beads and the precipitated proteins were

quantified by mass spectrometry. The graphs show identified proteins, with significance (-$\log_{10}$p-

value) plotted against the $\log_2$ fold change ($\log_2$FC) of transfected/infected U2OS cells

(U2OS+Sne+SneRING) relative to non-transfected/infected controls (U2OS+Sne) **(A)** or of

transfected/infected U2OS cells (U2OS+Sne+SneRING) relative to transfected/non-infected controls

(U2OS+SneRING) **(B)**. Enriched proteins are labeled in blue, reduced proteins are shown in red, and

grey represents unchanged proteins. Only host cell proteins are shown.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Dr. David Komander for the University of Melbourne for providing a panel of

deubiquitinating and E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes. We thank Prof. Dr. Alexander Buchberger and

Prof. Dr. Martin Eilers from the University of Würzburg and Prof. Dr. Katsuyoshi Mihara from the

Kyushu University for antibodies. We also thank Susanne Meyer for the University of Würzburg for

the production of M1-linked ubiquitin chains. This study was supported by the Deutsche

Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Society) through a GRK2243/1+2 grant to VK-P.

Ethics statement

Primary cells used in this study originate from leukoreduction system cones made available by the

Institut für Klinische Transfusionsmedizin und Hämotherapie of the University of Würzburg, Germany

for research purposes and are not subject to approval by the Ethics Committee of the University of

Würzburg.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Akutsu M, Dikic I, Bremm A (2016) Ubiquitin chain diversity at a glance. *J Cell Sci* 129: 875-880

Al-Younes HM, Al-Zereini W, Obeidat NM (2017) Molecular evidence for the absence of an association
between Simkania negevensis and respiratory diseases. *J Med Microbiol* 66: 1324-1327

Ashida H, Sasakawa C (2017) Bacterial E3 ligase effectors exploit host ubiquitin systems. *Curr Opin*
Microbiol 35: 16-22

Berrow NS, Alderton D, Sainsbury S, Nettleship J, Assenberg R, Rahman N, Stuart DI, Owens RJ (2007)
A versatile ligation-independent cloning method suitable for high-throughput expression screening
applications. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35: e45

Boll V, Hermanns T, Uthoff M, Erven I, Hörner EM, Kozjak-Pavlovic V, Baumann U, Hofmann K (2023)
Functional and structural diversity in deubiquitinases of the Chlamydia-like bacterium Simkania
negevensis. *Nat Commun* 14: 7335

Bremm A, Freund SM, Komander D (2010) Lys11-linked ubiquitin chains adopt compact
conformations and are preferentially hydrolyzed by the deubiquitinase Cezanne. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*
17: 939-947

Bucher P, Karplus K, Moeri N, Hofmann K (1996) A flexible motif search technique based on
generalized profiles. *Comput Chem* 20: 3-23

Chai Q, Wang X, Qiang L, Zhang Y, Ge P, Lu Z, Zhong Y, Li B, Wang J, Zhang L *et al* (2019) A
Mycobacterium tuberculosis surface protein recruits ubiquitin to trigger host xenophagy. *Nat*
Commun 10: 1973

Chambers LR, Ye Q, Cai J, Gong M, Ledvina HE, Zhou H, Whiteley AT, Suhandynata RT, Corbett KD
(2024) A eukaryotic-like ubiquitination system in bacterial antiviral defence. *Nature* 631: 843-849

Chanput W, Mes JJ, Wichers HJ (2014) THP-1 cell line: an in vitro cell model for immune modulation
approach. *Int Immunopharmacol* 23: 37-45

Collingro A, Tischler P, Weinmaier T, Penz T, Heinz E, Brunham RC, Read TD, Bavoil PM, Sachse K,
Kahane S *et al* (2011) Unity in variety--the pan-genome of the Chlamydiae. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 3253-
3270

Croxatto A, Rieille N, Kernif T, Bitam I, Aeby S, Péter O, Greub G (2014) Presence of Chlamydiales DNA
in ticks and fleas suggests that ticks are carriers of Chlamydiae. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis* 5: 359-365

Deshaies RJ, Joazeiro CA (2009) RING domain E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Annu Rev Biochem* 78: 399-434

Dikic I, Schulman BA (2023) An expanded lexicon for the ubiquitin code. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 24: 273-
287

Everett KD, Bush RM, Andersen AA (1999) Emended description of the order Chlamydiales, proposal
of Parachlamydiaceae fam. nov. and Simkaniaceae fam. nov., each containing one monotypic genus,
revised taxonomy of the family Chlamydiaceae, including a new genus and five new species, and
standards for the identification of organisms. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 49 Pt 2: 415-440

Fiskin E, Bhogaraju S, Herhaus L, Kalayil S, Hahn M, Dikic I (2017) Structural basis for the recognition
and degradation of host TRIM proteins by Salmonella effector SopA. *Nat Commun* 8: 14004

French ME, Koehler CF, Hunter T (2021) Emerging functions of branched ubiquitin chains. *Cell Discov*
7: 6

Fritsche TR, Horn M, Wagner M, Herwig RP, Schleifer KH, Gautom RK (2000) Phylogenetic diversity
among geographically dispersed Chlamydiales endosymbionts recovered from clinical and
environmental isolates of Acanthamoeba spp. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 66: 2613-2619

Gonen H, Bercovich B, Orian A, Carrano A, Takizawa C, Yamanaka K, Pagano M, Iwai K, Ciechanover A
(1999) Identification of the ubiquitin carrier proteins, E2s, involved in signal-induced conjugation and
subsequent degradation of IkappaBalpha. *J Biol Chem* 274: 14823-14830

Haakonsen DL, Rape M (2019) Branching Out: Improved Signaling by Heterotypic Ubiquitin Chains.
Trends Cell Biol 29: 704-716

Harper JW, Ordureau A, Heo J-M (2018) Building and decoding ubiquitin chains for mitophagy. *Nat*
Rev Mol Cell Biol 19: 93-108

Hermanns T, Hofmann K (2019) Bacterial DUBs: deubiquitination beyond the seven classes. *Biochem*
Soc Trans 47: 1857-1866
Hermanns T, Pichlo C, Woiwode I, Klopffleisch K, Witting KF, Ovaa H, Baumann U, Hofmann K (2018) A
family of unconventional deubiquitinases with modular chain specificity determinants. *Nat Commun*
9: 799
Herweg JA, Pons V, Becher D, Hecker M, Krohne G, Barbier J, Berger H, Rudel T, Mehlitz A (2016)
Proteomic analysis of the Simkania-containing vacuole: the central role of retrograde transport. *Mol*
Microbiol 99: 151-171
Herweg JA, Rudel T (2016) Interaction of Chlamydiae with human macrophages. *Febs j* 283: 608-618
Hochstrasser M (2001) SP-RING for SUMO: new functions bloom for a ubiquitin-like protein. *Cell* 107:
5-8
Holm L (2022) Dali server: structural unification of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Res* 50: W210-w215
Hör J, Wolf SG, Sorek R (2024) Bacteria conjugate ubiquitin-like proteins to interfere with phage
assembly. *Nature* 631: 850-856
Hospenthal MK, Mevissen TET, Komander D (2015) Deubiquitinase-based analysis of ubiquitin chain
architecture using Ubiquitin Chain Restriction (UbiCRest). *Nat Protoc* 10: 349-361
Houben K, Dominguez C, van Schaik FM, Timmers HT, Bonvin AM, Boelens R (2004) Solution structure
of the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UbcH5B. *J Mol Biol* 344: 513-526
Humphries AD, Streimann IC, Stojanovski D, Johnston AJ, Yano M, Hoogenraad NJ, Ryan MT (2005)
Dissection of the mitochondrial import and assembly pathway for human Tom40. *J Biol Chem* 280:
11535-11543
Husain S, Kahane S, Friedman MG, Paterson DL, Studer S, McCurry KR, Wolf DG, Zeevi A, Pilewski J,
Greenberg D (2007) Simkania negevensis in bronchoalveolar lavage of lung transplant recipients: a
possible association with acute rejection. *Transplantation* 83: 138-143
Hwang J, Qi L (2018) Quality Control in the Endoplasmic Reticulum: Crosstalk between ERAD and UPR
pathways. *Trends Biochem* 43: 593-605
Jamal AJ, Resende MR, Prochnow T, McGilvray I, Pilewski JM, Crespo MM, Singer LG, McCurry KR,
Kolls JK, Keshavjee S *et al* (2015) Simkania negevensis and acute cellular rejection in lung transplant
recipients. *Clin Transplant* 29: 705-711
Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Žídek
A, Potapenko A *et al* (2021) Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596:
583-589
Jury B, Fleming C, Huston WM, Luu LDW (2023) Molecular pathogenesis of Chlamydia trachomatis.
Front Cell Infect Microbiol 13: 1281823
Kahane S, Dvoskin B, Friedman MG (2008) The role of monocyte/macrophages as vehicles of
dissemination of Simkania negevensis: an in vitro simulation model. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*
52: 219-227
Kahane S, Dvoskin B, Mathias M, Friedman MG (2001) Infection of Acanthamoeba polyphaga with
Simkania negevensis and S. negevensis survival within amoebal cysts. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67:
4789-4795
Kahane S, Fruchter D, Dvoskin B, Friedman MG (2007) Versatility of Simkania negevensis infection in
vitro and induction of host cell inflammatory cytokine response. *J Infect* 55: e13-21
Kahane S, Gonen R, Sayada C, Elion J, Friedman MG (1993) Description and partial characterization of
a new Chlamydia-like microorganism. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 109: 329-333
Kahane S, Greenberg D, Friedman MG, Haikin H, Dagan R (1998) High prevalence of "Simkania Z," a
novel Chlamydia-like bacterium, in infants with acute bronchiolitis. *J Infect Dis* 177: 1425-1429
Kahane S, Kimmel N, Friedman MG (2002) The growth cycle of Simkania negevensis. *Microbiology*
(Reading, U K) 148: 735-742
Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements
in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 30: 772-780
Koch RD, Hörner EM, Münch N, Maier E, Kozjak-Pavlovic V (2020) Modulation of Host Cell Death and
Lysis Are Required for the Release of Simkania negevensis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 10: 594932

Komander D, Reyes-Turcu F, Licchesi JD, Odenwaelder P, Wilkinson KD, Barford D (2009) Molecular discrimination of structurally equivalent Lys 63-linked and linear polyubiquitin chains. *EMBO Rep* 10: 466-473

Kozjak-Pavlovic V, Ott C, Götz M, Rudel T (2011) Neisserial Omp85 Protein Is Selectively Recognized and Assembled into Functional Complexes in the Outer Membrane of Human Mitochondria. *J Biol Chem* 286: 27019-27026

Kozjak V, Wiedemann N, Milenkovic D, Lohaus C, Meyer HE, Guiard B, Meisinger C, Pfanner N (2003) An essential role of Sam50 in the protein sorting and assembly machinery of the mitochondrial outer membrane. *J Biol Chem* 278: 48520-48523

Krieger E, Vriend G (2014) YASARA View - molecular graphics for all devices - from smartphones to workstations. *Bioinformatics* 30: 2981-2982

Kuo CC, Chen HH, Wang SP, Grayston JT (1986) Identification of a new group of Chlamydia psittaci strains called TWAR. *J Clin Microbiol* 24: 1034-1037

Levin I, Eakin C, Blanc MP, Klevit RE, Miller SI, Brzovic PS (2010) Identification of an unconventional E3 binding surface on the UbcH5 ~ Ub conjugate recognized by a pathogenic bacterial E3 ligase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 2848-2853

Li CM, Kang J, Baek J, Kim Y, Park H, Jung Y-K (2024) Cytosolic FKBPL and ER-resident CKAP4 co-regulates ER-phagy and protein secretion. *Nature Commun* 15: 7886

Lieberman D, Kahane S, Lieberman D, Friedman MG (1997) Pneumonia with serological evidence of acute infection with the Chlamydia-like microorganism "Z". *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 156: 578-582

Lim JY, Yoon J, Hovde CJ (2010) A brief overview of Escherichia coli O157:H7 and its plasmid O157. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 20: 5-14

Lin DY, Diao J, Zhou D, Chen J (2011) Biochemical and structural studies of a HECT-like ubiquitin ligase from Escherichia coli O157:H7. *J Biol Chem* 286: 441-449

Liu J, Xiao Q, Xiao J, Niu C, Li Y, Zhang X, Zhou Z, Shu G, Yin G (2022) Wnt/β-catenin signalling: function, biological mechanisms, and therapeutic opportunities. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 7: 3

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT Method. *Methods* 25: 402-408

Maculins T, Fiskin E, Bhogaraju S, Dikic I (2016) Bacteria-host relationship: ubiquitin ligases as weapons of invasion. *Cell Res* 26: 499-510

Mehlitz A, Karunakaran K, Herweg JA, Krohne G, van de Linde S, Rieck E, Sauer M, Rudel T (2014) The chlamydial organism Simkania negevensis forms ER vacuole contact sites and inhibits ER-stress. *Cell Microbiol* 16: 1224-1243

Merkwirth C, Langer T (2009) Prohibitin function within mitochondria: essential roles for cell proliferation and cristae morphogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1793: 27-32

Mevissen TE, Hospenthal MK, Geurink PP, Elliott PR, Akutsu M, Arnaudo N, Ekkebus R, Kulathu Y, Wauer T, El Oualid F *et al* (2013) OTU deubiquitinases reveal mechanisms of linkage specificity and enable ubiquitin chain restriction analysis. *Cell* 154: 169-184

Michel MA, Elliott PR, Swatek KN, Simicek M, Pruneda JN, Wagstaff JL, Freund SM, Komander D (2015) Assembly and specific recognition of k29- and k33-linked polyubiquitin. *Mol Cell* 58: 95-109

Milenkovic D, Kozjak V, Wiedemann N, Lohaus C, Meyer HE, Guiard B, Pfanner N, Meisinger C (2004) Sam35 of the mitochondrial protein sorting and assembly machinery is a peripheral outer membrane protein essential for cell viability. *J Biol Chem* 279: 22781-22785

Namba T (2019) BAP31 regulates mitochondrial function via interaction with Tom40 within ER-mitochondria contact sites. *Sci Adv* 5: eaaw1386

Natarajan R, Linstedt AD (2004) A cycling cis-Golgi protein mediates endosome-to-Golgi traffic. *Mol Biol Cell* 15: 4798-4806

Nataro JP, Kaper JB (1998) Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 11: 142-201

Newman L, Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, Wijesooriya NS, Unemo M, Low N, Stevens G, Gottlieb S, Kiarie J, Temmerman M (2015) Global Estimates of the Prevalence and Incidence of Four Curable Sexually Transmitted Infections in 2012 Based on Systematic Review and Global Reporting. *PLoS One* 10: e0143304

Otten EG, Werner E, Crespillo-Casado A, Boyle KB, Dharamdasani V, Pathe C, Santhanam B, Randow F (2021) Ubiquitylation of lipopolysaccharide by RNF213 during bacterial infection. *Nature* 594: 111-116

Pearce MM, Wormer DB, Wilkens S, Wojcikiewicz RJ (2009) An endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane complex composed of SPFH1 and SPFH2 mediates the ER-associated degradation of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors. *J Biol Chem* 284: 10433-10445

Quezada CM, Hicks SW, Galán JE, Stebbins CE (2009) A family of Salmonella virulence factors functions as a distinct class of autoregulated E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106: 4864-4869

Saville MK, Sparks A, Xirodimas DP, Wardrop J, Stevenson LF, Bourdon JC, Woods YL, Lane DP (2004) Regulation of p53 by the ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes UbcH5B/C in vivo. *J Biol Chem* 279: 42169-42181

Scaioli E, Biondi R, Liverani E, Sartini A, Troiano A, Fuccio L, Muratori R, Lombardi G, Onorini D, Dal Monte P *et al* (2019) Simkania negevensis in Crohn's Disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 64: 3284-3290

Schuepbach T, Pagni M, Bridge A, Bougueleret L, Xenarios I, Cerutti L (2013) pfsearchV3: a code acceleration and heuristic to search PROSITE profiles. *Bioinformatics* 29: 1215-1217

Seufert W, Jentsch S (1990) Ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes UBC4 and UBC5 mediate selective degradation of short-lived and abnormal proteins. *Embo J* 9: 543-550

Sheng X, You Q, Zhu H, Chang Z, Li Q, Wang H, Wang C, Wang H, Hui L, Du C *et al* (2017) Bacterial effector NleL promotes enterohemorrhagic E. coli-induced attaching and effacing lesions by ubiquitylating and inactivating JNK. *PLoS Pathog* 13: e1006534

Smit JJ, Monteferrario D, Noordermeer SM, van Dijk WJ, van der Reijden BA, Sixma TK (2012) The E3 ligase HOIP specifies linear ubiquitin chain assembly through its RING-IBR-RING domain and the unique LDD extension. *Embo J* 31: 3833-3844

Steele-Mortimer O (2008) The Salmonella-containing vacuole: moving with the times. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 11: 38-45

Stewart MD, Ritterhoff T, Klevit RE, Brzovic PS (2016) E2 enzymes: more than just middle men. *Cell Res* 26: 423-440

Sun S, Hou H, Ma G, Ma Q, Li N, Zhang L, Dong C, Cao M, Tam KY, Ying Z *et al* (2022) The interaction between E3 ubiquitin ligase Parkin and mitophagy receptor PHB2 links inner mitochondrial membrane ubiquitination to efficient mitophagy. *J Biol Chem* 298: 102704

Swatek KN, Komander D (2016) Ubiquitin modifications. *Cell Res* 26: 399-422

Toma-Fukai S, Shimizu T (2021) Structural Diversity of Ubiquitin E3 Ligase. *Molecules* 26

Tripathi-Giesgen I, Behrends C, Alpi AF (2021) The ubiquitin ligation machinery in the defense against bacterial pathogens. *EMBO Rep* 22: e52864

UniProtConsortium (2023) UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res* 51: D523-d531

Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F (2002) Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol* 3: research0034.0031

Vedrenne C, Klopfenstein DR, Hauri HP (2005) Phosphorylation controls CLIMP-63-mediated anchoring of the endoplasmic reticulum to microtubules. *Mol Biol Cell* 16: 1928-1937

Vouga M, Baud D, Greub G (2017) Simkania negevensis, an insight into the biology and clinical importance of a novel member of the Chlamydiales order. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 43: 62-80

Wagner F, Kunz TC, Chowdhury SR, Thiede B, Fraunholz M, Eger D, Kozjak-Pavlovic V (2019) Armadillo repeat-containing protein 1 is a dual localization protein associated with mitochondrial intermembrane space bridging complex. *PLoS One* 14: e0218303

Wang T, Yin L, Cooper EM, Lai MY, Dickey S, Pickart CM, Fushman D, Wilkinson KD, Cohen RE, Wolberger C (2009) Evidence for bidentate substrate binding as the basis for the K48 linkage specificity of otubain 1. *J Mol Biol* 386: 1011-1023

Wei Y, Chiang WC, Sumpter R, Jr., Mishra P, Levine B (2017) Prohibitin 2 Is an Inner Mitochondrial Membrane Mitophagy Receptor. *Cell* 168: 224-238.e210

Wickliffe KE, Williamson A, Meyer HJ, Kelly A, Rape M (2011) K11-linked ubiquitin chains as novel
regulators of cell division. *Trends Cell Biol* 21: 656-663
Yamada A, Hikichi M, Nozawa T, Nakagawa I (2021) FBXO2/SCF ubiquitin ligase complex directs
xenophagy through recognizing bacterial surface glycan. *EMBO Rep* 22: e52584
Yau R, Rape M (2016) The increasing complexity of the ubiquitin code. *Nat Cell Biol* 18: 579-586
Ye Y, Akutsu M, Reyes-Turcu F, Enchev RI, Wilkinson KD, Komander D (2011) Polyubiquitin binding and
cross-reactivity in the USP domain deubiquitinase USP21. *EMBO Rep* 12: 350-357
Yu Z, Jiang X, Qin L, Deng H, Wang J, Ren W, Li H, Zhao L, Liu H, Yan H *et al* (2021) A novel UBE2T
inhibitor suppresses Wnt/β-catenin signaling hyperactivation and gastric cancer progression by
blocking RACK1 ubiquitination. *Oncogene* 40: 1027-1042
Zhang Y, Higashide WM, McCormick BA, Chen J, Zhou D (2006) The inflammation-associated
Salmonella SopA is a HECT-like E3 ubiquitin ligase. *Mol Microbiol* 62: 786-793
Zheng N, Shabek N (2017) Ubiquitin Ligases: Structure, Function, and Regulation. *Annu Rev Biochem*
86: 129-157
Zhu Y, Li H, Hu L, Wang J, Zhou Y, Pang Z, Liu L, Shao F (2008) Structure of a Shigella effector reveals a
new class of ubiquitin ligases. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 15: 1302-1308

1120

Figure 1

A

```
Sne A12920    IDAWNCRSCWEPIQDGPMRHNVQCNASFHLQCIETWLKN.NLSCPFCRVEVSR
Sim-KFB93     LQSWECPICFNQFED.PII.HNGCGHTLDRQCALDSIQR.YGRCPSCNLDATM
Sim-QNJ27     LKSFECAICFNQFKD.PWI.HDACGRTMDQQCILNSIQQ.LGRCPSCNLEATM
Chl-HKST.2    SEVGNCPICFNRFEN.PYI.HSGCGNTIDFACISEAVEK.FQRCPVCNENVTM
3LRQ (TRIM37) AEVFRCFICMEKLRD.ARL.CPHCSKLCCFSCIRRWLTEQRAQCPHCRAPIQL
2CKL (RING2)  HSELMCPICLDMLKN.TMT.TKECLHRFCADCITTALRSGNKECPTCRKKLVS
```

B

C

Figure 2

A

B

C

D

Figure 3

A

B

C

GlyGly-modified peptides (UbcH5b)

D

GlyGly-modified peptides (UBE2T)

Figure 4

Figure 5

A U2OS+Sne+SneRING vs U2OS+Sne

B U2OS+Sne+SneRING vs U2OS+SneRING