CRAGE-RB-PI-seq enables transcriptional profiling of rhizobacteria during plant-root colonization

Tomoya Honda[1,2,§], Sora Yu[2], Dung Mai[1], Leo Baumgart[1], Gyorgy Babnigg[3], & Yasuo Yoshikuni[1,2,4-7,§]

[1]The US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[2]Environmental Genomics and Systems Biology Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[3]Biosciences Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA

[4]Biological Systems and Engineering Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[5]US Department of Energy Center for Bioenergy and Bioproducts Innovation (CABBI), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[6]Global Institution for Collaborative Research and Education, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido 060-8589, Japan

[7]Institute of Global Innovation Research, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo 183-8538, Japan

[§]Correspondence: thonda@lbl.gov, yyoshikuni@lbl.gov

Abstract

Plant roots release a wide array of metabolites into the rhizosphere, shaping microbial communities and their functions. While metagenomics has expanded our understanding of these communities, little is known about the physiology of their members in host environments. Transcriptome analysis via RNA sequencing is a common approach to learning more, but its use has been challenging because plant RNA masks bacterial transcripts. To overcome this, we developed randomly-barcoded promoter-library insertion sequencing (RB-PI-seq) and combined it with chassis-independent recombinase-assisted genome engineering (CRAGE), using *Pseudomonas simiae* WCS417 as a model rhizobacterium. This method enables targeted amplification of barcoded transcripts, bypassing plant RNA interference and allowing measurement of thousands of promoter activities during root colonization. Our analysis revealed time-resolved dynamics of promoter activities, highlighting early transcriptional reprogramming as a key determinant of successful colonization. Additionally, we discovered that transcriptional activation of xanthine dehydrogenase and a lysozyme inhibitor are crucial for evading plant immune system defenses. This framework is scalable to other bacterial species and provides new opportunities for understanding rhizobacterial gene regulation in native environments.

Introduction

Plant roots secrete nutrient-rich compounds into the surrounding soil, creating unique habitats that support diverse microbial communities[1,2]. These microbial communities, in turn, play critical roles in plant physiology by promoting growth, protecting against disease, or, in some cases, causing infection[3–5]. Over the past decade, extensive metagenomic studies have identified the members of microbial communities across various plant species, genotypes, and growth environments[6–10]. The next major challenge is to develop a framework that predicts microbial gene functions, regulation, and impacts on plant physiology. Achieving this requires in-depth knowledge of the physiology of individual microbial species within their host environments. In particular, studying key organisms such as plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria and pathogens is critical for advancing sustainable agricultural systems.

Among plant-associated bacteria, *Pseudomonas* species have been extensively studied because of their roles in promoting plant growth and protecting against disease. In particular, *Pseudomonas simiae* WCS417 has served as a model bacterium, with traits beneficial to its hosts and a remarkable ability to colonize a wide range of plant species[11]. Previous studies have uncovered molecular mechanisms underlying these symbiotic relationships, such as siderophore production[12] and modulation of plant immune systems[13,14]. Additionally, transposon mutagenesis sequencing of *P. simiae* WCS417 identified genetic determinants that influence early-stage root colonization, including through chemotaxis and substrate utilization[15]. These findings suggest that bacteria engage in sophisticated chemical interactions with host plants during colonization. However, global profiling of these physiological adaptations remains limited.

Transcriptomics is a powerful tool for characterizing the temporal dynamics of global gene expression. In host plants, transcriptomic studies have revealed coordinated cascades of gene expression in response to microbial colonization or microbe-associated molecular patterns[16–18]. Although the host responses have been studied at both population and single-cell levels, the adaptations of rhizobacteria remain less explored. This gap is primarily due to technical challenges, as bacterial RNA is significantly outnumbered by host-plant RNA. This issue is common in plant-associated bacteria, and a similar issue is found in bacteria associated with other hosts[19–22]. To address this, various strategies have been explored, including deep sequencing[23,24], depletion of host and bacterial rRNA[23–25], enrichment of bacterial mRNA using customized probes[22,26], and physical separation of bacterial cells from host tissues through techniques such as laser microdissection[27], flow cytometry[28], and density-gradient centrifugation[26]. Alternatively, in vitro cell culturing with root exudates has been a common approach. Although the choice of approach depends on the research question, profiling transcriptomes in planta with high efficiency and scalability remains the most desirable option.

Synthetic biology offers an alternative way to profile global transcriptional activities. Using DNA barcodes to report promoter activity enables quantification through a straightforward workflow involving PCR amplification and barcode sequencing. This method has been successfully used in model prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms, revealing unique features of promoter regulatory elements[29–32]. In the present study, we introduced a DNA-barcoded promoter library into *P. simiae* WCS417, using chassis-independent recombinase-assisted genome engineering (CRAGE)[33,34],

a versatile strain-engineering technology developed in our group. We then established an
experimental workflow to characterize in planta promoter activities by selective amplification of
barcoded transcripts, overcoming interference from plant RNA. We term this workflow as "CRAGE
randomly-barcoded promoter-library insertion sequencing (CRAGE-RB-PI-seq)," or PI-seq in
short. Using this method, we identified a set of uniquely regulated promoters involved in plant-
root colonization and validated their activities and functions through a series of independent
experiments. The framework we developed enables rapid characterization of transcriptional
activities and can be applied to a wide range of bacterial species, providing new insights into gene
function and regulation in native environments.
91

Results

Development of the CRAGE-RB-PI-seq workflow

To generate a barcoded promoter library, we first computationally extracted the 140 bp upstream
sequences from the start codons of all annotated protein-coding sequences in the *P. simiae*
WCS417 genome (**Fig.1A**). We chose a length of 140 bp, as this length accommodates the 66 %
of transcriptional factor binding sites in *P. simiae* WCS417 (**Fig.S1**), and the typical 20-80 bp
distance of transcription start site in bacteria[29,35–37], while also being feasible to acquire oligo pool
from Twist Biosciences at the time. To optimize versatility, we divided promoter libraries into three
groups according to the distances to upstream genes: group I ($\leq$30 bp), group II (31–139 bp), and
group III ($\geq$140 bp). This grouping later helped us reduce library complexity by selecting groups
with active promoters, as the presence of transcriptional start sites (TSSs) generally correlate with
intergenic length[38]. Each library was synthesized, barcoded, and cloned into a CRAGE accessory
vector (**Fig.1B**), then transformed into a conjugal *E. coli* donor strain.
105

The promoter libraries were chromosomally integrated into *P. simiae* WCS417 (strain SB599)
using CRAGE (**Fig.1C**). The integration site lies in the middle of an intergenic region, without
disrupting any genes[34] (at nucleotide location 268,402 between locus tag PS417_RS26490 and
26495), and our extended analysis confirmed that the engineering process did not alter cellular
physiology (**Fig.S2-3**). DNA sequencing (DNA-seq) was used to link each promoter with unique
barcodes (**Fig.1D**). This process successfully cloned 91% of promoters (5,040 out of 5,541), each
tagged with unique barcodes (**Supplementary Data 1)**. Pooled cell libraries were cultured under
various conditions, both in vitro and in planta (**Fig.1E**). Promoter activities were quantified using
targeted RNA-seq and DNA-seq, normalizing RNA-derived barcode counts to DNA-derived
counts (**Fig.1E**).
116

Figure 1: Development of the CRAGE-PI-seq workflow

(**A**) A total of 5,541 sequences, each 140 bp sequences immediately upstream of start codons, were computationally extracted. These promoter sequences were categorized into three groups according to the distances to upstream genes: group I (≤30 bp), group II (31–139 bp), and group III (≥140 bp). (**B**) Each promoter library was synthesized, barcoded with 23 bp sequences, and cloned into the CRAGE accessory vector (pW26_mod), which included an apramycin-resistant

marker (Apr^R) flanked by two mutually exclusive lox sites (*lox2272* and *lox5171*), necessary for recombination. (**C**) The plasmids were transformed into an *E. coli* donor strain and conjugated into *P. simiae* WCS417 (strain SB599), which harbored a CRAGE landing pad on the chromosome. The landing pad is located between locus tags PS417_RS26490 and PS417_RS26495, without disrupting any coding sequences[34]. Cre-mediated recombination integrated the barcoded promoters into the landing pad. (**D**) The promoter-barcode regions were amplified from the genomic DNA and sequenced via targeted DNA-seq to associate each promoter with its corresponding unique barcodes. (**E**) The transcriptional activity of promoters was characterized by growing cells under various conditions, extracting DNA and RNA, and amplifying barcode regions from the DNA and cDNA. The cDNA was synthesized from RNA by selective reverse transcription. Promoter activities were then quantified by normalizing RNA barcode counts to DNA barcode counts for each gene.

Transcriptional profiling of *P. simiae* WCS417 under various in vitro conditions

To test the functionality of our promoter library, we first grew the pooled library (groups I–III) under
five different conditions, preparing sequencing samples for both PI-seq and conventional RNA-
seq (**Fig.2A**). PI-seq consistently demonstrated high library coverage and reproducibility across
conditions. For example, DNA barcode analysis showed that under the reference condition (20
mM glucose), 98.8% of promoters (4,982 out of 5,040 in the library) were covered at least 50
times per million reads, and the histogram showed a narrow distribution (**Fig.2B**). The data
reproducibility between biological replicates was high (Pearson's r > 0.99) (**Fig.2C**), indicating
sufficient library coverage under these culture conditions.

Promoter activity — determined by normalizing RNA barcode counts to DNA barcode counts —
showed a large variation within and between groups I–III, with the activity levels differing by
several orders of magnitude (**Fig.2D**). In particular, group II and III promoters (green and magenta
bars in the figure) exhibited overall higher activity than group I promoters (blue bars). The results
of biological replicates were highly reproducible for group II and III libraries (Pearson's r > 0.83),
while the results between biological replicates for group I were less reproducible (Pearson's r ~
0.52) (**Fig.2E**), a trend noted across all growth conditions (**Fig.S4**).

We hypothesized that the lower activity of group I promoters (derived from ≤30 bp intergenic
regions) might be because many promoters were part of operons, and therefore lacked active
transcription start sites[38]. To investigate this, we used Rockhopper[39] to predict operon structures
in silico, incorporating *P. simiae* WCS417 genome sequence data and RNA-seq data. This
analysis identified 1,149 operons comprising 3,447 genes, as well as 2,094 single isolated genes
(**Fig.2F, Supplementary Data 2**). As expected, most group I promoters were part of operons,
while all group III promoters corresponded to either operon start sites or isolated genes. Of the
3,243 genes (1,149 operonic + 2,094 single), 2,880 promoters were successfully cloned into our
libraries. Subsequent analysis focused on these 2,880 promoters to further validate their activities.

 Analysis of differentially induced promoters from PI-seq data

We next compared PI-seq data from cells grown under 20 mM glucose conditions with the data
from 20 mM citrate conditions. These two carbon sources require distinct transporters and
metabolic pathways[40], allowing us to assess the promoter library's performance. Differential
analysis identified 32 promoters that were uniquely upregulated under glucose conditions, and 10
promoters that were uniquely upregulated under citrate conditions (**Fig.2G**). RNA expression
driven by these upregulated promoters were similarly upregulated, as RNA-seq verified these
patterns (**Fig.2H**). Comparison of fold changes in promoter activity and RNA expression
demonstrated a strong quantitative agreement between PI-seq and RNA-seq, as illustrated in
Fig.2I. Notably, there was a strong correlation between the two methods for differentially induced
promoters (**Fig.2I,** blue and red points, fitted with a solid line: Pearson's r = 0.91), although some
fraction of promoters did not match RNA-seq results, which may reflect missing regulatory
elements (**Fig.S1**) or post-transcriptional regulation[41,42] in our library design.
158
Additional analysis of other culture conditions confirmed similar trends for both PI-seq and RNA-
seq (**Fig.S5**). Unsupervised clustering analysis of the PI-seq data revealed distinct clustering
depending on culture conditions, consistent with the pattern in RNA-seq data (**Fig.S6**).
Importantly, PI-seq captured physiologically relevant changes in promoter activity (**Fig.2J**). For
example, under glucose conditions, the two most upregulated promoters (annotated by arrows in
Fig.2G) drive genes (PS417_RS06235, PS417_RS06240) involved in glucose binding and
transport, while under citrate conditions, the most upregulated promoter drives an operon that
encodes porin and tripartite-tricarboxylate transporter-substrate-binding protein
(PS417_RS20775, PS417_RS20780), both functional for citrate uptake[43,44]. These findings align
with expected differences corresponding to the different culture conditions, and the large fold
changes in RNA expression were confirmed by RNA-seq (annotated in **Fig.2H**). Overall, these
analyses suggest that PI-seq is effective at identifying a core set of promoters that are
reprogrammed in response to environmental changes.
172

Figure 2: Characterization of promoter activities in liquid cultures.
(**A**) Experimental overview. A pooled library of groups I–III was grown under five different conditions. PI-seq and RNA-seq libraries were created for each condition (*n* = 2 biological replicates). (**B-E**) Analysis of PI-seq data for the cells grown in 20 mM glucose, used as an example. DNA barcode counts showed a narrow distribution and high coverage of the designed library (B). Comparison of the library coverage for two biological replicates showed high reproducibility (C). In contrast, RNA barcode counts normalized to DNA barcode counts showed a large variation in promoter activity. Groups II and III promoters (green and magenta bars, intergenic distance ≥ 31 bp) were more active than group I promoters (blue bars, intergenic distance ≤ 30 bp) (D). The biological replicates for groups II and III showed high reproducibility of promoter activity, while the reproducibility of group I promoter activity was weaker (E). Pearson's r correlation values for each group are shown in parentheses. (**F**) In silico prediction of operon structure using Rockhopper identified 1,149 operons and 2,094 single genes. From these 3,243 genes, 2,880 promoters were successfully cloned into the library and used to compare PI-seq and RNA-seq results. (**G**) Comparison of promoter activity under two conditions. PI-seq data showing promoter activity under 20 mM glucose (x-axis; blue points) and under 20 mM citrate (y-axis; red points) highlights upregulated promoters. Differentially activated promoters were selected based on fold changes (FCs) (log₂FC > 2.5) after filtering

out low-activity promoters ($\log_2$ promoter activity < 2). (**H**) Comparison of gene expression under 20 mM glucose and 20 mM citrate conditions using RNA-seq data. Genes driven by the promoters identified by PI-seq in (G) are highlighted in the same colors. (**I**) Correlation of expression changes between PI-seq and RNA-seq. Comparison of fold changes in expression between PI-seq (x-axis) and RNA-seq (y-axis) shows strong quantitative agreement for the promoters with large fold changes ($\log_2$FC > 2.5), indicated by red and blue points. The fold changes were calculated by analyzing the expression under the 20 mM citrate condition divided by that under the 20 mM glucose condition for each method. Pearson's r correlation values are provided (all genes: dashed gray line; genes with $\log_2$FC > 2.5: solid black line). (**J**) Illustrations of the most upregulated promoters and their associated downstream genes. Consistent with the experimental conditions, the promoter most upregulated under 20 mM citrate conditions drives genes encoding porin and a tripartite tricarboxylate transporter, while the two promoters most upregulated under 20 mM glucose conditions drive sugar transporter genes within the same operon. The 140 bp promoter regions are highlighted in pink.

Transcriptional profiling of *P. simiae* WCS417 during Arabidopsis root colonization

Using the engineered strains, we investigated *P. simiae* WCS417 promoter activity during
colonization of Arabidopsis roots (**Fig.3A**). Pooled promoter libraries from groups II and III were
grown in M9–glucose medium and inoculated with 10-day-old seedlings on phytagel plates
supplemented with 0.5X Murashige & Skoog (MS) basal salts. Group I promoters were omitted
because of their low activity. 10-day-old seedlings were chosen, because this developmental
stage is commonly used in other agar-based root colonization studies[4,15,45]. Whole root samples
(~15 seedlings per sample) were collected at 10 minutes, 3 hours, 24 hours, and up to 7 days.
Promoter activity was quantified by barcode counts from extracted DNA and RNA. Despite having
~100 times fewer cells in planta (~2×10^7) than the number of cells in liquid cultures (1.6×10^9) and
handling samples contaminated with ~20 mg of plant roots, we achieved high library coverage
and reproducible results (**Fig.3B–E**). This demonstrates the utility of our approach, as
conventional RNA-seq often requires deep sequencing to overcome plant RNA contamination
and obtain sufficient bacterial reads (**Table S2**). We furthermore confirmed that the PI-seq library
strain elicited similar plant transcriptional responses as the wild-type *P. simiae* WCS417 (**Fig.S7**).
189
To understand colonization dynamics, we compared promoter activities at each sampling point
against the M9–glucose condition and identified a total of 1,009 differentially expressed
promoters. These promoters were grouped into five clusters by hierarchical clustering based on
their activity profiles (**Fig.3F**). Promoter activities changed most dynamically within the first 24
hours, after which they remained relatively stable. This pattern was also evident in principal
component analysis (**Fig.3G**). Each cluster displayed distinct temporal patterns of activation and
inactivation (**Fig.3H**). Promoters in clusters 1 and 2 were highly active in M9–glucose, although
cluster 2 exhibited a transient reactivation at 3 hours. Cluster 3 and cluster 4 showed transient
activation at 10 minutes and 3 hours, respectively. Cluster 5 displayed a gradual increase in
activity after 24 hours, marking the late stage of colonization.
200

We next examined the genes driven by these promoters using Rockhopper operon structure
analysis (**Fig.3I**, **Supplementary Data 4**). Functional insights from KEGG pathway enrichment
revealed distinct pathways in each cluster (**Fig.3J**). Cluster 3 was enriched for flagellar assembly
and bacterial chemotaxis, whose strong induction as early as 10 minutes implies their importance
in early root colonization, consistent with previous reports[15,46,47]. Cluster 4 was enriched for
glycine, serine, and threonine metabolism. Its activation coincided with cluster 2, which included
ribosome and amino acid biosynthesis pathways, suggesting that the 3-hour induction reflects
initiation of cell growth by taking up root exudates. Cluster 1 was enriched for ABC transporters
and biosynthesis of cofactors and amino acids, consistent with their strong expression only in
M9–glucose. In contrast, cluster 5 was enriched for microbial metabolism in diverse environments,
two-component systems, and biofilm formation. The functional distinction between cluster 5 and
clusters 1-2, which were dominated by biomass production pathways such as ribosome and
amino acid biosynthesis, suggests a physiological shift from a metabolically active state to more
adhesive and protective states during colonization, in line with prior studies[23,48,49]. Finally, the
detailed analysis of the top-enriched "metabolic pathways" in clusters 1 and 5 revealed activation
of distinct metabolic programs engaged under each condition (**Fig.S8**).
217
Additionally, several promoters linked to genes essential for root colonization were upregulated.
Cluster 4 included pyrroloquinoline quinone (PQQ) dependent genes (PS417_RS10765,
PS417_RS10785, PS417_RS10720) that suppress root immune responses via gluconic acid
production[14] (**Fig.3K**). Cluster 5 contained catalase (PS417_RS27325) and DNA repair enzymes
(PS417_RS21405, PS417_RS14920), both involved in mitigating reactive oxygen species (ROS)
likely produced by plants as a defense response[50,51] (**Fig.3L**). Other upregulated promoters in
cluster 5, potentially important for colonization, included those driving a xanthine dehydrogenase
(PS417_RS0404) and a hypothetical protein (PS417_RS02110) predicted to be a member of the
MliC (membrane-bound lysozyme inhibitor of c-type lysozyme) family protein (**Fig.3M**); we
experimentally validated the latter two functions, as shown in **Fig.4**.
228

Figure 3: Characterization of promoter activities during Arabidopsis root colonization.
(**A**) *P. simiae* WCS417 populations containing promoter libraries from groups II and III were grown in M9-glucose medium and inoculated onto phytagel plates with 10-day-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings. Group I was excluded because of low promoter activity (Fig.2). Whole root samples were collected at 10 minutes, 3 hours, 24 hours and up to 7 days. Barcode sequencing libraries were prepared by targeted PCR amplification from extracted RNA and DNA to assess

promoter activity (n = 4 biological replicates per time point). (**B–E**) PI-seq data from root-colonizing cells (day-7) demonstrated a high library coverage (B) and reproducibility (C), a large variation (D) and high reproducibility of promoter activity across biological replicates (E). (**F**) Promoters differentially expressed relative to the glucose condition were identified ($|log_2FC| >$ 1 and BH-adjusted p-value < 0.05) with a total of 1,009 promoters. Log_2 promoter activity was z-score normalized per promoter and visualized as a heat map. Rows were hierarchically clustered and split into five clusters based on their activity profiles. (**G**) Principal component analysis of promoter activity across biological replicates. Points are colored by the sampling point. (**H**) Cluster-mean log_2 promoter activity values ± SD were plotted across sampling points. Colors match cluster assignments in the heat map. (**I**) Predicted operon structures (from Fig.2F) were linked to promoters, and the corresponding genes were subjected to KEGG pathway analysis. (**J**) Top-5 enriched KEGG pathways in each cluster. Dashed lines indicate statistical significance thresholds (adjusted p-value < 10^{-5}). (**K-M**) Examples of promoter activities: (K) plant immune suppression genes in cluster 4, and (L–M) ROS response and other defense-related genes in cluster 5.

Characterization of mutant phenotypes

To examine the functions of genes driven by upregulated promoters, we obtained transposon
insertion mutants for three genes generated by Cole *et al*[15] (see **Fig.4** legend table) and
characterized their metabolic and root colonization capabilities. In addition, we included a gene
involved in polysaccharide-mediated biofilm production (PS417_RS18295), whose promoter was
not identified in the differential expression analysis, although the pathway is enriched in cluster 5,
for further phenotypic testing. When grown individually, all mutants displayed growth rates
comparable to the wild-type strain in M9-glucose minimal medium (**Fig.4A**). However, in
competitive root colonization assays, the wild-type strain significantly outcompeted the mutants
(**Fig.4B**). The reduced colonization observed for mutants of the PQQ-dependent catabolism-
associated protein (PS417_RS10720) and the undecaprenyl-phosphate glucose
phosphotransferase (PS417_RS18295) is consistent with previous findings that highlight the
importance of plant immune suppression[14] and biofilm formation[52,53], respectively, in root
colonization.

The wild-type strain also outcompeted two other mutants, carrying insertion mutations in genes
PS417_RS02110 and PS417_RS04040 whose roles in the root colonization ability of *P. simiae*
WCS417 had not been previously reported. According to RefSeq annotation PS417_RS02110
encodes an MliC family protein. The MliC protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia*
coli is known to function as a c-type lysozyme inhibitor, aiding in host colonization of humans and
other animals[54,55]. Although *P. simiae* WCS417's MliC shares limited protein sequence identity
with these homologs, AlphaFold 3 predicted significant structural similarities[56] (**Fig.S9**),
suggesting a similar lysozyme-inhibiting function. This is especially relevant given recent studies
showing that lysozyme-like hydrolases are produced by Arabidopsis[57] and epiphytic fungi[58],
potentially influencing microbial communities. While *Pseudomonas* species are generally
lysozyme-resistant, the role of MliC may be critical in the presence of agents that destabilize the

outer membrane of the bacterium, thereby increasing its susceptibility to external lysozymes[59,60].
To investigate whether MliC increases lysozyme tolerance in *P simiae* WCS417, we examined
the effect of lysozyme on the wild-type strain and a mutant carrying an insertion in *mliC*. The
mutant showed reduced cell numbers compared to the wild-type in the presence of lysozyme,
whereas no difference was observed between them in the absence of lysozyme (**Fig.4C**),
indicating that MliC contributes to *P. simiae* WCS417's protection against lysozymes from plants
and from other microbes.
263
The second gene, PS417_RS04040, encodes xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH), whose
transcriptional activation has been linked to ROS tolerance and host infection in *Ralstonia*
solanacearum[61] and in *Borrelia burgdorferi*[62]. Since ROS generation is a common plant immune
response, we hypothesized that XDH in *P. simiae* WCS417 plays a role in neutralizing plant-
generated ROS. To test this, we examined the effect of ROS stress on the wild-type strain and a
mutant carrying an insertion in *xdh* during exponential growth in M9 minimal medium with glucose.
Upon exposure to 5 mM hydrogen peroxide, both wild-type and mutant strains experienced
growth arrest, while the mutant showed a longer arrest by ~60 minutes compared to the wild-type
strain (**Fig.4D**), supporting our hypothesis. These findings suggest XDH may contribute to root
colonization by working in concert with other ROS-responsive enzymes such as catalases and
DNA ligases.
275

Figure 4: Mutant phenotypes in root colonization and stress tolerance.
(**A**) Growth rates of the wild-type strain and four insertion mutants were compared in M9 media supplemented with 20 mM glucose. The growth rates of mutant strains were indistinguishable from those of the wild-type strain. Statistical comparisons were performed using one-way ANOVA, comparing each mutant to wild-type. Mutants are identified by their locus tags (with "PS417_" omitted; see the legend table for full tag). (**B**) Root colonization competition assay with Arabidopsis seedlings. Wild-type and individual mutant strains were mixed 1:1 and applied to plates containing 10-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings. After 5 days, root samples were collected, and wild-type and mutant strain colonies were counted (see **Supplementary Information 5.1**). The log$_2$ ratios of mutant to wild-type colonies are shown in the graph. All tested mutants were outcompeted by the wild-type strain, as indicated by their ratios falling below the line at y = 0. The bars represent mean ± SD. Statistical significance was assessed using a one-sample t-test against 0. (*, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$). (**C**) Lysozyme assay of the wild-type strain and an insertion mutant in the MliC family protein. Cultures were adjusted to OD$_{600}$ = 0.05 and incubated in PBS for 24 hours, either without (left) or with (right) 25 mg/mL lysozyme. Cell numbers were determined by CFU counts, and the mutant strain displayed fewer cells than the wild-type in the presence of lysozyme. (**D**) Oxidative stress tolerance assay to examine the function of xanthine dehydrogenase (PS417_RS04040). Wild-type and mutant strains were

grown in M9 media supplemented with 20 mM glucose and challenged with 5 mM H_2O_2 at time 0. The mutant required approximately 60 minutes longer than the wild-type to restore exponential growth after oxidative stress exposure (n = 3 biological replicates). The legend table lists the mutant locus tags and their corresponding gene products. Log_2 fold changes in promoter activity at 24 hours and day 7, relative to the M9-glucose condition, are shown based on PI-seq data. Adjusted p-values are provided in parentheses.

Application of PI-seq to Arabidopsis grown in a soil-like system

To test whether PI-seq is applicable to plants grown in a more natural system, we inoculated
pooled promoter libraries from groups II and III (grown in M9–glucose medium) into the
rhizosphere of 10-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings cultivated in clay (particle size ~4 mm)
supplemented with 0.5× MS basal salts. Root samples were collected after 7 and 21 days,
extending the colonization period compared to the phytagel plate experiments (**Fig.5A**). Clay was
selected as the substrate because it resembles physical properties of soil while also permitting
gentle separation from Arabidopsis roots that are fine and fragile.

As in the phytagel experiments, DNA barcode analysis showed that 98 % of the 3,382 promoters
in the groups II and III library were represented at least 50 times per million reads in both day 7
and 21 samples, demonstrating sufficient library coverage (**Fig.5B&F**). Biological replicates of
DNA barcode counts were reproducible, although correlations were lower than those observed in
phytagel plates (**Fig.5C&G**). In contrast, RNA barcodes were recovered from a subset of the
library: promoter activity was detected in 70 % of promoters at day 7 and 69 % at day 21, with the
remaining ~30 % inactive (**Fig.5D&H**). This reduced activity resulted in weaker correlations across
biological replicates compared to phytagel experiments (**Fig.5E&I**). This lower RNA recovery
likely results from 1) adsorption of root exudates to clay particles[63], 2) reduced nutrient availability
in an open environment, and 3) diminished metabolic activity during late-stage colonization
(**Fig.3**), all contributing to reduced transcriptional activity.

We next compared promoter activity at day 7 between phytagel plates and clay, filtering out
promoters with zero activity in the clay dataset. Differential expression analysis identified 3
promoters upregulated and 81 downregulated in clay relative to phytagel (**Fig.5J, Supplementary
Data 5**). The downregulated set in clay included genes associated with central carbon
metabolism, consistent with lower metabolic activity in soil-like conditions. Comparing day 7 and
day 21 in clay revealed 31 upregulated and 4 downregulated promoters at the later time point.
The upregulated group included promoters driving genes for stress response and cellular
maintenance such as peroxiredoxin, XDH, ClpS, and Fe-S biogenesis protein NfuA (**Fig.5K,
Supplementary Data 5**), consistent with the phytagel experiments, where late-stage colonizers
further shifted toward stress tolerance and long-term survival.

Figure 5: Application of PI-seq to a soil-like system.
(**A**) *P. simiae* WCS417 populations containing promoter libraries from groups II and III were grown in M9–glucose medium and inoculated into clay pots with 10-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings. Root samples were collected at 7 and 21 days. Barcode sequencing libraries were prepared by targeted PCR amplification from extracted RNA and DNA to quantify promoter activity (n = 3 biological replicates per time point). (**B**) Library coverage based on DNA barcode data at day 7. (**C**) Reproducibility of DNA barcode counts across two biological replicates at day 7. (**D**) Distribution of promoter activity at day 7, showing ~30 % inactive promoters. (**E**) Reproducibility of promoter activity across two biological replicates at day 7. (**F–I**) Same analyses as in panels (B–E), but using day 21 samples. (**J**) Volcano plot comparing promoter activity between clay and phytagel at day 7; promoters driving genes in central carbon

metabolism are highlighted. (**K**) Volcano plot comparing promoter activity between day 7 and day 21 in clay; promoters driving stress response and maintenance genes are highlighted.

Discussion

Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria offer a promising avenue for enhancing plant biomass and
crop yields without high chemical fertilizer use. To harness their full potential, it is critical to
understand their in planta physiology, including gene functions and regulatory mechanisms.
However, studying these rhizobacteria in host-associated environments is challenging because
of their low biomass. Traditional RNA-seq approaches for plant-colonizing bacteria often require
large sample sizes and extensive rRNA depletion, followed by deep-sequencing. Even then, only
about 0.5% of reads map to bacterial gene coding sequences, with a large proportion mapping to
plants[23,26] Further optimizations such as improved combinatorial rRNA removals[24] and physical
separation of cells prior to lysis[26] are necessary to enrich bacterial mRNA when RNA-seq
approaches are used.

In this study, we developed CRAGE-RB-PI-seq in the model rhizobacterium *P. simiae* WCS417
to study its transcriptional activities during Arabidopsis root colonization. This approach uses PCR
amplification of RNA barcodes from a promoter library, enabling nearly 100% enrichment of
bacterial transcripts. Compared with conventional deep RNA-seq, PI-seq substantially reduces
sequencing depth requirements and associated reagent costs. PI-seq will also become highly
effective particularly when the species of interest is present in low abundance. Although our
experiment involved only ~10^7 cells collected from ~20 mg of root per sample, the input cell
amount could be reduced even further by increasing the number of PCR cycles. Moreover, this
approach is adaptable to other bacteria compatible with CRAGE. Our current strain portfolio
includes approximately 50 species across diverse phyla, many of which were isolated from soil
environments. Barcoding also offers a distinct advantage in that it allows differentiation of
homologous genes across different organisms; the difficulty in differentiating these is a frequent
challenge in metatranscriptome analysis.

We selected the 140 bp sequences that were immediately upstream of individual genes as
promoters because of the technical limitations of the oligo pools available at the time from Twist
Biosciences. Despite this constraint, our results showed high correlation between PI-seq and
RNA-seq, particularly in identifying differentially expressed genes (**Fig.2IJ** and **Fig.S5**). We
expect that extending the promoter regions would result in even greater accuracy as it covers
more transcriptional factor binding sites (**Fig.S1**); this is now possible with new oligo pools that
can harbor 240 bp sequences (Twist Biosciences). Advances in oligo synthesis technologies such
as enzymatic oligo synthesis and DropSynth will also allow construction of longer promoter
sequences[64,65], improving library quality. Additionally, results could be enhanced by refining library
design to capture entire promoter regions, including regulatory elements and transcriptional start
sites, which could be facilitated by 5'-enriched RNA-seq methods such as differential RNA-seq[66]
and CAGE[67]. The availability of high-quality genome annotations will further contribute to the
effectiveness of these libraries.

Using PI-seq, we identified temporally resolved promoter activations during root colonization,
including those associated with chemotaxis, plant immune suppression, biofilm formation, and
ROS response, consistent with previous genetic studies[14,15,46,47]. In addition, we uncovered
previously unrecognized transcriptional changes, such as the late-stage activation of the *xdh* and
mliC genes, which further enhance the mutualistic interactions between *P. simiae* WCS417 and
Arabidopsis through ROS neutralization and lysozyme inhibition, respectively (**Fig.4**). Because
plant responses and root exudates strongly influence bacterial states, future studies could
examine how specific metabolites and signaling molecules regulate bacterial gene expression.
For example, coumarins exuded from Arabidopsis roots dynamically regulate genes involved in
motility and biofilm formation in *P. simiae* WCS417[68].

Notably, we observed significant dynamics in promoter activity during the first 24 hours,
highlighting this period as critical for successful bacterial adaptation to the root environment. By
contrast, relatively little change was observed at later time points (>24 hours) in both phytagel
and soil experiments, likely reflecting reduced metabolic activity as cells enter a dormant phase
after depleting locally available plant-derived nutrients. While our experiments relied on whole-
root sampling, finer-scale resolution could provide additional insight into how bacterial gene
expression is influenced by spatial variation as root systems develop. For example, root tips
secrete large amounts of metabolites, which can locally boost bacterial activity[69]. Although
Arabidopsis roots are too small and fragile for such spatial dissection, plants with larger root
systems, such as Brachypodium, may be a suitable model for future studies.

Among all promoters, the strongest upregulation occurred for those driving PQQ-related systems
(PS417_RS10765 and PS417_RS10720), showing 206-fold and 24-fold increases, respectively,
at 3 hours of colonization (**Fig.3K**). Notably, PS417_RS10720 controls a downstream response
regulator transcription factor (PS417_RS10715), which shares 86% sequence identity with AgmR
(also known as PedR1) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1—a key regulator of PQQ
biosynthesis[70]. PQQ is a known cofactor involved in sugar and alcohol oxidation, with the
metabolic byproducts that contribute to plant immune suppression by modulating local pH[14]. PQQ
can also function as a growth-promoting factor when directly applied to plants[71,72], and it
stimulates production of natural products such as antibiotics in a broad range of *Actinobacteria*[73].
These findings underscore the vital role of PQQ production in the rhizosphere and its potential
impact on microbial community structure and plant physiology.

Although PI-seq requires further refinement, this method provides a valuable tool for identifying
regulatory elements associated with host-microbe interactions, particularly in non-model bacteria.
Given the diverse roles that bacteria play in host physiology—such as biofertilization,
biostimulation, and biocontrol—there is ample opportunity to uncover new molecular
mechanisms. This knowledge will be instrumental in advancing the use of biologics, as well as in
developing new biosensors and genetic circuits that can mediate interactions between microbes
and their hosts[74–77].

Acknowledgements

We thank Zhiying Zhao, Robert Evans, William Kauffman, Jan-Fang Cheng, Benjamin Cole, Yuko Yoshinaga, Vlastimil Novak, William Kauffman, Emory Chan and Jeffery Dangle for helpful discussions and technical suggestions. We also thank Joint Genome Institute, QB3 Genomics Center at UC Berkeley, and Azenta Life Sciences for sequencing T.H. acknowledges a JSPS overseas research fellowship from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory is managed by University of California for DOE under contract number DE-AC02-05CH11231 (T.H. S.Y., D.M., L.B., Y.Y.). Argonne National Laboratory is managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC for DOE under contract number DE-AC02-06CH11357 (GB). This work was funded by the secure biosystem design (S.Y., G.B., Y.Y.) and the bioimaging programs (T.H., G.B., Y.Y.) of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Biological and Environmental Research under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 and DE-AC02-06CH11357. This work (proposal: 10.46936/10.25585/60001279), conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (T.H., D.M., L.B., Y.Y.). This project was also supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, through the Biomolecular Characterization and Imaging Sciences Program, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, under FWP 39156 (T.H., S.Y., Y.Y., G.B.). Raman Microscopy work at the Molecular Foundry was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Author Contribution

T.H. and Y.Y. designed the study. T.H. performed the experiments, with contribution by S.Y., who prepared sequencing libraries and characterized mutant phenotypes. T.H. and Y.Y. developed the computational pipeline. D.M. performed protein structural analysis. L.B. contributed to a plasmid construction and provided DAP-seq data. Y.Y and G.B. supervised the study and acquired funding. T.H. and Y.Y. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version.

Competing Interest Statement

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory has filed an international patent application related to high-throughput characterization of bacterial promoters from their host environments on behalf of the Regents of the University of California, on which T.H. and Y.Y. are the named inventors (PCT/US2023/031771). Y.Y. is a co-founder and has financial interest in Quorum Bio, Inc. All other authors declare no competing interest.

Data availability

All sequencing data generated and analyzed in this study are available at NCBI Short Read Archive: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA1221951. Custom scripts used for PI-seq analysis is deposited at https://github.com/tomoyahonda/CRAGE-RB-PI-seq. Supplementary data that support this study are provided as downloadable Excel files (**Supplementary Data 1-5**).

References

1. Zhalnina, K. *et al.* Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences

drive patterns in rhizosphere microbial community assembly. *Nat. Microbiol.* **3**, 470–480

(2018).

2. McLaughlin, S., Zhalnina, K., Kosina, S., Northen, T. R. & Sasse, J. The core metabolome

and root exudation dynamics of three phylogenetically distinct plant species. *Nat. Commun.*

14, 1649 (2023).

3. Jansson, J. K., McClure, R. & Egbert, R. G. Soil microbiome engineering for sustainability in

a changing environment. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 1–13 (2023) doi:10.1038/s41587-023-01932-3.

4. Haney, C. H., Samuel, B. S., Bush, J. & Ausubel, F. M. Associations with rhizosphere

bacteria can confer an adaptive advantage to plants. *Nat. Plants* **1**, 15051 (2015).

5. Wang, N. R. *et al.* Commensal Pseudomonas fluorescens Strains Protect Arabidopsis from

Closely Related Pseudomonas Pathogens in a Colonization-Dependent Manner. *mBio* **13**,

e02892-21 (2022).

6. Acharya, S. M. *et al.* Fine scale sampling reveals early differentiation of rhizosphere

microbiome from bulk soil in young Brachypodium plant roots. *ISME Commun.* **3**, 1–9

(2023).

7. Huang, A. C. *et al.* A specialized metabolic network selectively modulates Arabidopsis root

microbiota. *Science* **364**, eaau6389 (2019).

8. Levy, A. *et al.* Genomic features of bacterial adaptation to plants. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 138–150

(2018).

9. Lundberg, D. S. *et al.* Defining the core Arabidopsis thaliana root microbiome. *Nature* **488**,

86–90 (2012).

10. Lebeis, S. L. *et al.* Salicylic acid modulates colonization of the root microbiome by specific

bacterial taxa. *Science* **349**, 860–864 (2015).

11. Pieterse, C. M. J. *et al.* Pseudomonas simiae WCS417: star track of a model beneficial

rhizobacterium. *Plant Soil* **461**, 245–263 (2021).

12. Berendsen, R. L. *et al.* Unearthing the genomes of plant-beneficial Pseudomonas model

strains WCS358, WCS374 and WCS417. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 539 (2015).

13. Van Wees, S. C., Van der Ent, S. & Pieterse, C. M. Plant immune responses triggered by

beneficial microbes. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **11**, 443–448 (2008).

14. Yu, K. *et al.* Rhizosphere-Associated Pseudomonas Suppress Local Root Immune

Responses by Gluconic Acid-Mediated Lowering of Environmental pH. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, 3913-

3920.e4 (2019).

15. Cole, B. J. *et al.* Genome-wide identification of bacterial plant colonization genes. *PLOS*

Biol. **15**, e2002860 (2017).

16. Stringlis, I. A. *et al.* Root transcriptional dynamics induced by beneficial rhizobacteria and

microbial immune elicitors reveal signatures of adaptation to mutualists. *Plant J.* **93**, 166–

180 (2018).

17. Bjornson, M., Pimprikar, P., Nürnberger, T. & Zipfel, C. The transcriptional landscape of

Arabidopsis thaliana pattern-triggered immunity. *Nat. Plants* **7**, 579–586 (2021).

18. Verbon, E. H. *et al.* Cell-type-specific transcriptomics reveals that root hairs and endodermal

barriers play important roles in beneficial plant-rhizobacterium interactions. *Mol. Plant* **16**,

1160–1177 (2023).

19. Humphrys, M. S. *et al.* Simultaneous Transcriptional Profiling of Bacteria and Their Host

Cells. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e80597 (2013).

20. Marsh, J. W., Humphrys, M. S. & Myers, G. S. A Laboratory Methodology for Dual RNA-

Sequencing of Bacteria and their Host Cells In Vitro. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, (2017).

21. Westermann, A. J., Barquist, L. & Vogel, J. Resolving host–pathogen interactions by dual

RNA-seq. *PLOS Pathog.* **13**, e1006033 (2017).

22. Donaldson, G. P. *et al.* Spatially distinct physiology of Bacteroides fragilis within the

proximal colon of gnotobiotic mice. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, 746–756 (2020).

23. Andrés-Barrao, C. *et al.* Coordinated bacterial and plant sulfur metabolism in Enterobacter

sp. SA187–induced plant salt stress tolerance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, (2021).

24. Vannier, N. *et al.* Genome-resolved metatranscriptomics reveals conserved root

colonization determinants in a synthetic microbiota. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 8274 (2023).

25. Kumar, N. *et al.* Efficient Enrichment of Bacterial mRNA from Host-Bacteria Total RNA

Samples. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 34850 (2016).

26. Nobori, T. *et al.* Transcriptome landscape of a bacterial pathogen under plant immunity.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **115**, E3055–E3064 (2018).

27. Roux, B. *et al.* An integrated analysis of plant and bacterial gene expression in symbiotic

root nodules using laser-capture microdissection coupled to RNA sequencing. *Plant J.* **77**,

817–837 (2014).

28. Ma, Q., Bücking, H., Gonzalez Hernandez, J. L. & Subramanian, S. Single-Cell RNA

Sequencing of Plant-Associated Bacterial Communities. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, (2019).

29. Johns, N. I. *et al.* Metagenomic mining of regulatory elements enables programmable

species-selective gene expression. *Nat. Methods* **15**, 323–329 (2018).

30. Jones, E. M. *et al.* A Scalable, Multiplexed Assay for Decoding GPCR-Ligand Interactions

with RNA Sequencing. *Cell Syst.* **8**, 254-260.e6 (2019).

31. Crook, N., Ferreiro, A., Condiotte, Z. & Dantas, G. Transcript Barcoding Illuminates the

Expression Level of Synthetic Constructs in *E. coli* Nissle Residing in the Mammalian Gut.

ACS Synth. Biol. **9**, 1010–1021 (2020).

32. Jores, T. *et al.* Synthetic promoter designs enabled by a comprehensive analysis of plant

core promoters. *Nat. Plants* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-021-00932-y (2021)

doi:10.1038/s41477-021-00932-y.

33. Wang, G. *et al.* CRAGE enables rapid activation of biosynthetic gene clusters in

undomesticated bacteria. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 2498–2510 (2019).

34. Wang, B. *et al.* CRAGE-Duet Facilitates Modular Assembly of Biological Systems for

Studying Plant–Microbe Interactions. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **9**, 2610–2615 (2020).

35. Cho, B.-K. *et al.* The transcription unit architecture of the Escherichia coli genome. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. **27**, 1043–1049 (2009).

36. Wurtzel, O. *et al.* The Single-Nucleotide Resolution Transcriptome of Pseudomonas

aeruginosa Grown in Body Temperature. *PLOS Pathog.* **8**, e1002945 (2012).

37. Filiatrault, M. J. *et al.* Genome-Wide Identification of Transcriptional Start Sites in the Plant

Pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato str. DC3000. *PLOS ONE* **6**, e29335 (2011).

38. Salgado, H., Moreno-Hagelsieb, G., Smith, T. F. & Collado-Vides, J. Operons in Escherichia

coli: Genomic analyses and predictions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**, 6652–6657 (2000).

39. Tjaden, B. A computational system for identifying operons based on RNA-seq data.

Methods **176**, 62–70 (2020).

40. Muñoz-Elías, E. J. & McKinney, J. D. Carbon metabolism of intracellular bacteria. *Cell.*

Microbiol. **8**, 10–22 (2006).

41. Chen, H., Shiroguchi, K., Ge, H. & Xie, X. S. Genome-wide study of mRNA degradation and

transcript elongation in Escherichia coli. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **11**, 781 (2015).

42. Waters, L. S. & Storz, G. Regulatory RNAs in Bacteria. *Cell* **136**, 615–628 (2009).

43. Winnen, B., Hvorup, R. N. & Saier, M. H. The tripartite tricarboxylate transporter (TTT)

family. *Res. Microbiol.* **154**, 457–465 (2003).

44. Brocker, M., Schaffer, S., Mack, C. & Bott, M. Citrate Utilization by Corynebacterium

glutamicum Is Controlled by the CitAB Two-Component System through Positive Regulation

of the Citrate Transport Genes citH and tctCBA. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 3869 (2009).

45. Finkel, O. M. *et al.* A single bacterial genus maintains root growth in a complex microbiome.

Nature **587**, 103–108 (2020).

46. De Weger, L. A. *et al.* Flagella of a plant-growth-stimulating Pseudomonas fluorescens

strain are required for colonization of potato roots. *J. Bacteriol.* **169**, 2769–2773 (1987).

47. Sivakumar, R. *et al.* Evaluation of INSeq To Identify Genes Essential for Pseudomonas

aeruginosa PGPR2 Corn Root Colonization. *G3 GenesGenomesGenetics* **9**, 651–661

(2019).

48. Pankievicz, V. C. S. *et al.* RNA-seq transcriptional profiling of Herbaspirillum seropedicae

colonizing wheat (Triticum aestivum) roots. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **90**, 589–603 (2016).

49. Nobori, T. *et al.* Dissecting the cotranscriptome landscape of plants and their microbiota.

EMBO Rep. **23**, e55380 (2022).

50. WOJTASZEK, P. Oxidative burst: an early plant response to pathogen infection. *Biochem.*

J. **322**, 681–692 (1997).

51. Ebel, J. & Mithöfer, A. Early events in the elicitation of plant defence. *Planta* **206**, 335–348

(1998).

52. Danhorn, T. & Fuqua, C. Biofilm Formation by Plant-Associated Bacteria. *Annu. Rev.*

Microbiol. **61**, 401–422 (2007).

53. Rudrappa, T., Biedrzycki, M. L. & Bais, H. P. Causes and consequences of plant-associated

biofilms. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **64**, 153–166 (2008).

54. Callewaert, L. *et al.* A New Family of Lysozyme Inhibitors Contributing to Lysozyme

Tolerance in Gram-Negative Bacteria. *PLOS Pathog.* **4**, e1000019 (2008).

55. Yum, S. *et al.* Structural basis for the recognition of lysozyme by MliC, a periplasmic

lysozyme inhibitor in Gram-negative bacteria. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **378**, 244–

248 (2009).

56. Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold

3. *Nature* **630**, 493–500 (2024).

57. Liu, X. *et al.* Host-induced bacterial cell wall decomposition mediates pattern-triggered

immunity in Arabidopsis. *eLife* **3**, e01990 (2014).

58. Eitzen, K., Sengupta, P., Kroll, S., Kemen, E. & Doehlemann, G. A fungal member of the

Arabidopsis thaliana phyllosphere antagonizes Albugo laibachii via a GH25 lysozyme. *eLife*

10, e65306 (2021).

59. Lee, K.-M. *et al.* A Genetic Screen Reveals Novel Targets to Render Pseudomonas

aeruginosa Sensitive to Lysozyme and Cell Wall-Targeting Antibiotics. *Front. Cell. Infect.*

Microbiol. **7**, (2017).

60. Torrens, G. *et al.* Targeting the permeability barrier and peptidoglycan recycling pathways to

disarm Pseudomonas aeruginosa against the innate immune system. *PLOS ONE* **12**,

e0181932 (2017).

61. Flores-Cruz, Z. & Allen, C. Ralstonia solanacearum Encounters an Oxidative Environment

During Tomato Infection. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interactions®* **22**, 773–782 (2009).

62. Phelan, J. P., Bourgeois, J. S., McCarthy, J. E. & Hu, L. T. A putative xanthine

dehydrogenase is critical for Borrelia burgdorferi survival in ticks and mice. *Microbiology*

169, 001286 (2023).

63. Sasse, J. *et al.* Root morphology and exudate availability are shaped by particle size and

chemistry in Brachypodium distachyon. *Plant Direct* **4**, e00207 (2020).

64. Palluk, S. *et al.* De novo DNA synthesis using polymerase-nucleotide conjugates. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. **36**, 645–650 (2018).

65. Plesa, C., Sidore, A. M., Lubock, N. B., Zhang, D. & Kosuri, S. Multiplexed gene synthesis in

emulsions for exploring protein functional landscapes. *Science* **359**, 343–347 (2018).

66. Sharma, C. M. *et al.* The primary transcriptome of the major human pathogen Helicobacter

pylori. *Nature* **464**, 250–255 (2010).

67. Adiconis, X. *et al.* Comprehensive comparative analysis of 5′-end RNA-sequencing

methods. *Nat. Methods* **15**, 505–511 (2018).

68. Yu, K. *et al.* Transcriptome Signatures in Pseudomonas simiae WCS417 Shed Light on

Role of Root-Secreted Coumarins in Arabidopsis-Mutualist Communication. *Microorganisms*

9, 575 (2021).

69. Canarini, A., Kaiser, C., Merchant, A., Richter, A. & Wanek, W. Root Exudation of Primary

Metabolites: Mechanisms and Their Roles in Plant Responses to Environmental Stimuli.

Front. Plant Sci. **10**, (2019).

70. Gliese, N., Khodaverdi, V., Schobert, M. & Görisch, H. AgmR controls transcription of a

regulon with several operons essential for ethanol oxidation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa

ATCC 17933. *Microbiology* **150**, 1851–1857 (2004).

71. Choi, O. *et al.* Pyrroloquinoline Quinone Is a Plant Growth Promotion Factor Produced by

Pseudomonas fluorescens B16. *Plant Physiol.* **146**, 657–668 (2008).

72. Carreño-López, R., Alatorre-Cruz, J. M. & Marín-Cevada, V. Pyrroloquinoline quinone

(PQQ): Role in Plant-Microbe Interactions. in *Secondary Metabolites of Plant Growth*

Promoting Rhizomicroorganisms: Discovery and Applications (eds Singh, H. B., Keswani,

C., Reddy, M. S., Sansinenea, E. & García-Estrada, C.) 169–184 (Springer, Singapore,

2019). doi:10.1007/978-981-13-5862-3_9.

73. Wang, X. *et al.* Elucidation of genes enhancing natural product biosynthesis through co-

evolution analysis. *Nat. Metab.* 1–14 (2024) doi:10.1038/s42255-024-01024-9.

74. Boo, A. *et al.* Synthetic microbe-to-plant communication channels. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 1817

(2024).

75. Pini, F. *et al.* Bacterial Biosensors for in Vivo Spatiotemporal Mapping of Root Secretion.

Plant Physiol. **174**, 1289–1306 (2017).

76. Haskett, T. L. *et al.* Engineered plant control of associative nitrogen fixation. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. **119**, e2117465119 (2022).

77. Toju, H. *et al.* Core microbiomes for sustainable agroecosystems. *Nat. Plants* **4**, 247–257

(2018).