1 *Ezh2 Delays Activation of Differentiation Genes During Normal*
2 *Cerebellar Granule Neuron Development and in Medulloblastoma*

3
4

5 **Authors:** James Purzner[1,2,3*], Alexander S. Brown[3,4], Teresa Purzner[1,2,3], Lauren Ellis[3,5], Sara
6 Broski[3,6], Ulrike Litzenburger[3,7], Kaytlin Andrews[8], Aryaman Sharma[8], Xin Wang[9,10], Michael D.
7 Taylor[11], Yoon-Jae Cho[12,13,14], Margaret T. Fuller[3,15], and Matthew P. Scott[3*]

8
9
Affiliations:
[1]Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, Queen's University, Kingston, ON
[2]Department of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, ON
[3]Department of Developmental Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA
[4]EditCo Bio, Redwood City, CA
[5]Department of Anesthesiology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA.
[6]Nura Bio, South San Francisco, CA
[7]Therapeutic Oncology Research Lab Head, Nuvisan Pharma, Berlin, Germany
[8]Department of Medcine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON
[9]Clinician-Scientist Training Program, Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto,
Toronto, ON
[10]Department of Medical Oncology, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, ON
[11]Pediatric Brain Tumor Research Program**,** Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX
[12]Division of Pediatric Neurology, Department of Pediatrics, Oregon Health & Science
University, Portland, Oregon USA
[13]Papé Family Pediatric Research Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland,
Oregon USA
[14]Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon USA
[15]Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA
29
30
31
32 *Correspondence to: mscott@stanford.edu, jgp12@queensu.ca

33
34

Abstract

Medulloblastoma (MB) is the most common malignant brain tumour in children. The Sonic Hedgehog (SHH)-medulloblastoma subtype arises from the cerebellar granule neuron lineage. Terminally differentiated neurons are incapable of undergoing further cell division, so an effective treatment for this tumour could be to force neuronal differentiation. Differentiation therapy provides a potential alternative for patients with medulloblastoma who harbor mutations that impair cell death pathways (TP53), which is associated a with high mortality. To this end, our goal was to explore epigenetic regulation of cerebellar granule neuron differentiation in medulloblastoma cells. Key regulators were discovered using chromatin immunoprecipitation with high-throughput sequencing. DNA-bound protein and chromatin protein modifications were investigated across all genes. We discovered that Ezh2-mediated tri-methylation of the H3 histone (H3K27me3), occurred on more than half of the 787 genes whose transcription normally increases as granule neurons terminally differentiate. Conditional knockout of *Ezh2* led to early initiation of differentiation in granule neuron precursors (GNPs), but only after cell cycle exit had occurred. Similarly, in MB cells, neuronal differentiation could be induced by preventing H3K27me3 modifications using an Ezh2 inhibitor (UNC1999), but only when UNC1999 was combined with forced cell cycle exit driven by a CDK4/6 inhibitor (Palbociclib). Ezh2 emerges as a powerful restraint upon post-mitotic differentiation during normal GNP development and combination of Ezh2 inhibition with cell cycle exit leads to MB cell differentiation.

Introduction

The Hedgehog (Hh) pathway is one of the major regulators of cerebellar granule neuron precursor (GNP) proliferation. Sonic hedgehog (Shh) protein secreted from Purkinje neurons drives proliferation of GNPs (*1-3*) through transcriptional regulation of *Cyclin D1* and *N-myc* (*4, 5*) among other targets. Despite ongoing exposure to Shh, GNPs leave the cell cycle and undergo timed and reliable differentiation, a switch that occurs correctly about 50 billion times in the developing human brain. When differentiation and neurogenesis fail, for example due to Shh pathway mutations that lead to unrestrained Shh target gene activation, GNPs continue to proliferate and may form medulloblastomas (MBs). Yet even in genetic models of MB that are 100% penetrant, where Shh target genes are dramatically activated, the vast majority of GNPs still differentiate into functional granule neurons (GNs) (*6*). Thus, regulation that promotes differentiation has the potential to overcome a potent mitogenic signal.

GNPs are the cell type of origin for the Shh subtype of MBs, which make up a quarter to a third of MBs. Children with Shh MB are treated intensively with surgical resection followed by craniospinal radiation, one year of multi-drug chemotherapy, and targeted inhibition of the Hh pathway using Smo inhibitors. Unfortunately, in patients with germline Hh pathway mutations, or intratumoral mutations in *P53*, the five-year overall survival is halved, from 81% to 41% (*7, 8*). Making matters worse, patients with *P53* mutations often have amplifications in downstream targets like *GLI2* and *NMYC* (*9, 10*), meaning that they will not respond to inhibitors that target the SMO protein.

An alternative therapeutic approach is to force tumor cells into terminal differentiation,

which can be less toxic than alkylating chemotherapy or radiation (*11-13*) and does not rely on

apoptosis or other cell death machinery. The optimal procedure for inducing terminal

differentiation is likely to vary from cell type to cell type, since differentiation of neurons

depends on different genes than, for example, differentiating blood cells. Neuronal

differentiation of MB does occur spontaneously, as it has been commonly observed in human

MB pathology specimens for over 30 years (*14-16*). BMP4 induces differentiation of MB cells,

reflecting its normal effect on GNPs (*17, 18*). Unfortunately, no pharmaceutical BMP agonist

currently exists. Developmental regulation of cerebellar granule neurons potentially provides

us with blueprints for engineering a differentiation therapy that is specific to Shh-subtype MB

differentiation.

GNPs undergo approximately eight symmetric divisions (*19*), during transit amplification in

the external granule layer (EGL) (Fig 1A). As GNPs divide they travel inward from the pial

surface, eventually exiting the cell cycle. GNP movement, morphogenesis, and synapse

formation lasts for about 10 days after cell cycle exit (*20-22*). Following the last mitosis the cells

remain in the inner EGL (iEGL) for approximately 48 hours. While in the iEGL the GNs begin to

extend two lateral processes, then a deep process (Fig 1B). Each GN nucleus migrates inward

through the deep process, along Bergmann glia and past the Purkinje cell layer, into the Internal

Granule Layer (IGL). The final shape of a GN is a T, with most of the cell's three processes

located in the molecular layer and the nucleus in the IGL. For each step of GNP differentiation,

positive and negative regulators have been discovered (*23, 24*). The extensive movement and

morphological changes involve the changing expression of hundreds of genes, as shown by

recent transcriptional profiling (*25*).

We set out to explore epigenetic regulation of genes whose expression increases during

differentiation. Many genes whose transcription change during differentiation encode

chromatin-remodeling complexes, implying coordination at the level of transcription (*25*). In

addition, SHH MB harbor somatic mutations in genes which impact a number chromatin

modifying complexes including genes that impact H3 methylation at K27 and K4 (*26*). A

mechanism that represses pro-differentiation genes potentially could be inhibited by an anti-

cancer drug and would potentially stimulate differentiation of MBs.

Our plan was to comprehensively describe histone modifications and critical transcription

factors at promoters and enhancers of genes that become active during GNP development, to

discover inhibitors of differentiation. We found that more than half of the GNP differentiation

genes are associated with histones modified to be H3K27me3 and H3K4me3. H3K27

methylation is carried out by the Enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) histone

methyltransferase, a component of one of the Polycomb Repressive Complexes (PRC2) that

were discovered in Drosophila (*27, 28*). H3K4me3 is formed by the MLL complex (*29*) and was

not further investigated in our studies. The frequency of H3K27 modifications suggested that

inhibition or mutation of EZH2 could lead to premature differentiation, and perhaps to

differentiation and growth cessation by MB cells. In *Ezh2* mouse mutants we observed

accelerated differentiation of GNPs that had exited the cell cycle. We were able to induce

differentiation of MB cells by combining an EZH2 inhibitor with a CDK4/6 inhibitor that arrested

cells in G0.

Results

Identification of genes activated at the onset of GNP differentiation

We used Percoll fractionation to enrich for GNPs from wild-type mouse cerebella at three

key stages, proliferation initiation (P1), peak proliferation (P7), and the onset of differentiation

(P14), and then extracted RNA (Materials and Methods; the RNA preparations used are

diagrammed in blue in Fig. 1C). We purified earlier-stage GNPs from E15.5 mouse embryos;

these had seen little or no Shh (Materials and Methods).   E15.5-stage GNPs were also isolated

by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) from E15.5 mice harboring a *Math1-GFP* reporter

(*30*). *Math1-GFP* is expressed in neural progenitor cells from the dorsal neural tube, adjacent

to the roof plate, that are committed to a GNP lineage. The reporter remains active until GNPs

complete their final mitosis.

Data for GNPs from stages later than P14 were obtained using the Translating Ribosome

Affinity Purification (TRAP) dataset made available by the Hatten lab (*25*). TRAP data were

obtained by purifying mRNA from post-proliferative stages of GNP differentiation (P18, P28) in

cells expressing *NeuroD1,* which is transcribed as GNs exit from the cell cycle. TRAP has the

benefit of allowing detection and quantification of RNA in the delicate granule neuron

processes which are otherwise lost when cerebellar tissue is broken up. *NeuroD1* is not

expressed at E15, so earlier time points were not accessible using TRAP. Our RNAseq data were

combined with the NeuroD1-TRAP data (23) to achieve a picture of transcription from the

earliest stages of GNP formation at E15 to their terminal state at P56.

To identify genes that increase transcription during differentiation we followed a multistep

process (Fig. 1C). First, genes were excluded if their transcript level was below a cutoff,

established using the maximum expression time point of each dataset in both our RNAseq and

the Hatten lab TRAP data, and fitting a mixture of two probability distributions to the bimodal

data (expressed and unexpressed). Next, we excluded genes that were potentially

contaminants from other cell types.   Percoll-fractionated samples from P7 mouse cerebellum

typically contain about 95% GNPs and 5% contaminating cells (Fig. 1C, blue v. gray). Genes that

are highly expressed in non-GNP cerebellar cells, and scarcely expressed in GNPs, were

identified and excluded based upon single-cell RNAseq (scRNAseq) of the developing mouse

cerebellum from E10 to P14 (*31*). 1874 genes that were represented by transcripts in bulk

cerebellar RNA were determined to be likely contaminants based upon the results of the

scRNAseq.   Third, genes that vary substantially during the cell cycle were removed as well.

GNP differentiation genes were grouped according to when during development they had

maximum transcript abundance. Genes whose transcript levels changed less than 2-fold

between developmental stages were categorized as unchanged.

2050 genes were most highly represented in RNA between P14 and P56 and were

categorized as differentiation genes. Some peaked early in differentiation, and some peaked

during maximal GNP proliferation from P1 to P7. We used the time at which a gene's transcript

reached 50% of its maximum (t50) to describe when genes were undergoing the most

transcriptional changes (Fig S1A-C). If a gene reached 50% of its maximum transcript level

before P0 it was set aside. Our focus on differentiation therapy means we are primarily

interested in genes that increase after the period of peak proliferation, as this is most similar to

MB.

787 genes increased 4-fold to 12.4 fold at or after P14, comparing the lowest to highest

contiguous time points, and were categorized as differentiation genes (Fig 1C and Supplemental

Table 1). Many of the differentiation genes are involved in neuronal function with 181 genes

impacting membrane potential or synaptic signalling, which includes 45 ion channels and 45

transporters. Genes involved in cell morphogenesis make up another 57 genes including cell

adhesion proteins and another 28 proteins involved in the cytoskeleton. In addition to these

terminal effectors of building a functional neuron we also see an increase in 50 transcription

factors, which could have extensive downstream impact on transcription (Supplemental Table

2).

Transcripts of a different set of 440 genes were highest (>= 4 fold) during proliferative

stages P0-P7. As expected, these proliferation genes included *Gli1,* a direct transcriptional

target of the Shh signal transduction pathway that drives GNP proliferation, as well as cell cycle

regulators including the G1/S phase cyclin *Ccnd1.* The majority of the genes that have *lower*

RNA abundance as GNPs approach cell cycle exit are known regulators or components of the

cell cycle such as components of the E2f-Rb complex which controls expression of S-phase cell

cycle related genes. Transcript levels for a third set of 783 genes were highest (>= 4-fold

change) in the E15 pre-Shh GNPs (*32, 33*).

We checked a putative differentiation gene to see if its protein abundance reflected the

observed changes in RNA levels.   P7 cerebellar sections (Fig S1E) and GNPs differentiated *in*

vitro (Fig S1F,G) had changes in protein abundance that agree with the RNASeq results. *Cbx7*

was among the differentiation genes that had increased transcripts at P14 compared to P7.

Levels of Cbx7 proteins increase in the inner EGL and further in the IGL (Fig S1E), where GNPs

have recently exited the cell cycle and initiated the first stages of differentiation.

GNP division, differentiation, and migration can occur during a wide developmental

window, from P3 to P10 (*19*). The densely packed nuclei within the EGL and IGL preclude

accurate quantification of protein levels. To avoid these problems we used GNP culture, which

permits accurate and automated single-cell quantification.   The murine *Math1* bHLH

transcription factor was used to distinguish dividing from non-dividing cultured GNPs. *Math1*

expression stops when the GNPs stop dividing. *Math-1* reporter GFP (*30*) fluorescence allows

classification of GNPs as differentiated or proliferating.   To estimate the changes in Cbx7

during differentiation in culture we compared 6h Atoh1 high cells (dividing GNPs) to 48 h Atoh1

low cells (differentiated GNs). Cbx7 was 3.3 times higher in the differentiated GNs then the

dividing GNPs (Fig S1 D-G).

The transcription of the 787 differentiation genes varies in the exact timing of transcription

activation, as reflected in Fig S1A. A regulator of a set of differentiation genes, among the 787,

might be a good target for therapeutic manipulation to force MB cells to differentiate. Based

upon gene expression patterns, SHH-subgroup MB cells are highly similar to Shh-exposed

dividing P7 GNPs (*31*) . Both cell types rely on Hh signaling as a mitogen (*1*). Mutations of Hh

components in the GNP lineage are adequate to induce medulloblastomas (*6*). Further

experiments examining epigenetic regulators therefore focused on P7 GNPs.

The chromatin repression modification H3K27me3 is present in P7 GNPs on half the 787

differentiation genes, and correlates with H3K4me3 and poised RNA Pol2

To gain insight into the transcriptional regulation of differentiation genes, we

investigated the chromatin state of P7 GNPs. The positions and abundance of six histone

modifications and two chromatin-associated proteins were measured using ChIP-Seq:

H3K27me3, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K4me3, H3K4me1, H2Aubi119, Ring1b, and Pol2S5. We

selected the histone modifications and known chromatin bound proteins for ChIP based on

known markers of promoters, enhancers, and to broadly assess transcriptional repression.

Modifications associated with Polycomb-mediated transcriptional repression were mapped,

including H3K27me3, which is produced by the PRC2 complex (*34*), H2Aubi119, which is

produced by the PRC1 complex (*35*), and Ring1b, which is a core component of the PRC1

complex and is the ubiquitin ligase that catalyzes H2Aubi119 modifications.

Another form of transcriptional repression employs CpG island DNA methylation. DNA

methylation was measured using methylated-CpG island recovery assay (MIRA), which uses

recombinant MBD protein to bind double-stranded methylated DNA (*36*).

Protein modifications at active promoter regions were assessed, including H3K4me3, S5-

phosphorylated RNA polymerase 2 (Pol2S5), (which labels paused RNA polymerase), H3K4me1

(which is associated with active enhancer regions), and H3K27ac (which is found at active

promoters and enhancers) (*37-40*). DNA accessibility was assessed by ATAC-Seq, which is based

upon the bias for Tn5 transposition towards open chromatin (*41*). To quantify the amount of a

histone modification at a given gene we quantified the number of reads within 250 BP of the

transcriptional start site (TSS). Several of the histone modifications, including the

transcriptionally repressive modifications accumulate at the TSS and extend towards the gene

body and upstream (Fig S2C,D). This extension of the histone modifications has been shown to

be biologically relevant for H3K27ac at super-enhancers (*42*) and for H3K27me3 at permanently

repressed genes like Hox genes. To better separate strongly marked genes from moderately

marked genes we extended the quantified region to include significantly bound DNA regions

farther from the TSS (Materials & Methods).

Of all the histone modifications and histone-associated proteins assessed for selective

presence with the differentiation genes, the frequent presence of H3K27me3 was most striking.

Of the 787 differentiation genes 447 (57%) had H3K27me3 within 250 BP of the TSS (Fig 2B). To

identify important modifications we calculated enrichment, meaning the amount of a

modification in the 787 differentiation genes compared to the amount in either all genes or in

expressed genes during the GNP time-course. H3K27me3 was 2.3 times more frequent near

differentiation genes compared to all expressed genes at P7 (p-val 7.54×10^{-147}, hypergeometric

BH adjusted) and 2.7 times more frequent compared to all genes (p-val 7.14×10^{-198},

hypergeometric BH adjusted) (Fig. 2A). H2Aubi119, a repressive modification made by Ring1b,

a component of the PRC1 complex, was also more frequent at the differentiation gene set with

2.17 enrichment over all genes (p-val 1.15×10^{-245}, hypergeometric BH adjusted) and 1.89 over

all expressed genes at P7 (p-val 3.71×10^{-156}, hypergeometric BH adjusted).

The frequent presence of H3K27me3 modifications suggests that PRC2 may repress

differentiation genes during GNP transit amplification. The PRC2 complex contains the

methyltransferase EZH2, which carries out H3K27 methylation.   From past studies (*43*) we

know that PRC2 is present at specific chromatin regions, where it functions as a transcriptional

repressor. The amount of H3K27me3 present regulates whether repression is temporary

(moderate levels) or permanent (high levels) (*44-46*). The differentiation genes have a

moderate amount of H3K27me3 (Fig S2A-C). Only three differentiation genes had high levels of

H3K27me3. The other, non-differentiation, genes within the high H3K27me3 group included

Hox cluster and transcription factors associated with alternative fates or earlier lineages. As an

example, *Cbx7*, a differentiation gene, has moderate K27me3 while Lhx9 and Hoxa10 have high

K27me3 (Fig SC). Very highly H3K27me3-modified genes are thought to be permanently

repressed (*47*).

In addition to the amount of H3K27me3, whether PRC2 repression is transient or long-

lived depends on its association with other histone marks or protein complexes. For example,

H3K27 methylation may occur in association with active-gene modifications such as H3K4me4

and/or poised RNA polymerase II (*48*). This kind of arrangement is known as a bivalent

promoter, transcriptionally repressed but poised for increased transcription (*49, 50*). In

contrast, PRC2 can establish more permanent repression when associated with certain PRC1

variants (*51*). However, these are heterogeneous complexes, and changing select PRC1 subunits

allows the association with bivalent promoters as well (*52*). H3K27me3 can recruit the

machinery for DNA methylation (*53*), driving permanent repression.

To distinguish possible roles of PRC2 in GNPs we examined the relationship between

H3K27me3 and H2Aubi119 (a marker of PRC1 complex) or H3K4me4 (a marker of active

promoters) at differentiation genes that have H3K27me3.   H3K27me3-marked differentiation

genes have both H3K4me3 and PRC1 complex (H2Aubi119). DNA methylation was detected in

only 4 percent of differentiation genes, indicating that this form of repression is mostly not

active there.

The association of H3K27me3 with other modifications at differentiation genes is

represented as a chart (Fig 2B). Each modification is represented by a column that shows the

numbers of modified and unmodified genes. The columns are organized from left to right

according to the number of genes that are also marked by H3K27me3. The red band shows

genes containing H3K27me3 which share other modifications. Of the 447 H3K27me3-marked

differentiation genes, 87 % also had H3K4me3 and 84.3% had paused RNA polymerase. PRC1

occupancy on the H3K27me3-bound differentiation genes was frequent: 89 % of the 447 genes

had significant H2Aubi119. The frequent associations between H3K27me3 and H2Aubi119 or

H3K4me3 are also seen with a scatter plot (Fig S2 D,E), where 373 (83%) of the differentiation

genes bear all three modifications.

Thus at P7 many of the 447 differentiation genes had nearby PRC2 complexes, PRC1

complexes, and markers of active promotors such as H3K4me3 and RNA Pol2Ser5P (paused

RNA polymerase 2). These results suggest that about half of the differentiation genes have

bivalent or poised promoters containing both PRC2 and PRC1. Removal of these modifications

in the normal course of development, or engineered removal in MB cells, may cause de-

repression of these genes and early differentiation.

Ezh2-mediated H3K27 methylation delays differentiation of GNPs

The H3K27me3 histone modification, associated with gene repression, is enzymatically

carried out by the protein complex PRC2. The presence of H3K27me3 modifications at 447 GN

differentiation genes at the P7 stage suggests that PRC2 may be blocking differentiation. In

that case differentiation of GNs, or perhaps MBs, would require relief from PRC2 repression.

We tested this hypothesis using mice carrying a mutation in *Ezh2*, which is the enzymatic

component of PRC2 that catalyzes formation of H3K27me3. Homozygous *Ezh2* knockout mice

die as embryos (*54*), so studying postnatal cerebellar development required conditional

knockout mice. Mice with LoxP sites flanking the DNA encoding the SET domain of *Ezh2* (*55*)

were crossed with *Math1-Cre* mice (*56*). The SET domain is required for Ezh2 to function as a

methyltransferase (*57*) and maternal depletion of the SET domain in mice causes significant

growth retardation (*58*).

As a consequence of crossing the *Ezh2* Lox-P mice with the *Math1-Cre* mice, H3K27me3 is

reduced in the granule neurons (Fig S3 A-C). *Ezh1*, a paralog of *Ezh2* that is present throughout

GN development is a weaker methyltransferase than *Ezh2* (*59*). Even with *Ezh1* functioning,

conditional loss of *Ezh2* led to dramatic loss of H3K27me3 from GNPs and GNs, as shown in P7

cerebellar slices (Fig S3 A panel II vs VI, yellow arrow) and cultured GNPs (Fig S3 C panel II vs IV,

yellow arrow).

The most notable phenotype of the *Ezh2* cKO mice is a blurring of the boundary between

the inner external granular layer (iEGL) and the molecular layer (ML; Fig 3B-C, magenta and

cyan arrow). GNs within the inner EGL (iEGL) permanently exit the cell cycle and remain there

as they extend parallel fibers. GNs within the iEGL express the cell cycle inhibitor p27. In the

Ezh2 cKO the iEGL is more diffuse and blends into the ML (Fig 3A compare cyan arrows). To

facilitate quantification of the EGL blurring we collapsed 2D fluorescent images into line

segments (Fig 3D).   P7 cerebellar slices were stained with anti-p27 to label post-mitotic EGL

cells, NeuN antibody to identify the IGL, and DAPI to mark all nuclei. For each channel,

measurements were made along a line from the pial border on the outside to the IGL. The 581

lines (266 WT and 315 *Ezh2* cKO) were aligned and averaged, in sets according to genotype (Fig

3E). The normalized p27 intensities show a spreading of iEGL, blurring the boundaries with the

IGL and ML. The ML blurring, was quantified as an increase in the p27 at the junction of the

iEGL and ML in the *Ezh2* cKO (Fig 3D; compare the cyan arrows). To quantify across replicates,

we compared *Ezh2* cKO and WT at a point within the ML where the *Ezh2* cKO had the highest

relative p27 fluorescence (Fig 3E).

The sizes of the layers of the cerebellum are largely unaffected by *Ezh2* cKO (Fig 3G,H). **T**he

different layers of the cerebellum were measured in slices stained with anti-p27, NeuN

antibody, and DAPI (Fig. 3F). No significant differences were observed in the thicknesses of the

oEGL, iEGL, ML, or IGL (Fig 3G,H). Due to the diffuse EGL/IGL-ML boundary in the cKO, p27

fluorescence intensities within the iEGL (where GNPs begin differentiation) and IGL (where

post-mitotic GNs reside) were reduced 25% and 23% (Fig 3I). These findings are consistent with

the loss of *Ezh2* function not altering the overall structure of the cerebellum, but instead

specifically affecting GN differentiation.

Ezh2 cKO⁻ GNPs labeled with a 48 h EDU pulse migrated from the EGL prematurely (Fig.

S3 D-F). To distinguish early migration out of the oEGL from stalled GN migration within the

ML, GNPs going through S-phase were labeled with EDU and harvested 48 hours later. In WT

mice there is dense EDU labeling of the iEGL, and GNs lining up along the border with the ML

(Fig S3 D, panel III versus VIII, yellow arrows). In the *Ezh2* cKO, EDU-labeled cells are within the

diffuse iEGL and within the ML (p-val 0.024), mirroring what was seen with p27

immunofluorescence (Fig S3E,F).   We conclude that, in *Ezh2* mutant mice, GNs prematurely exit

from the EGL into the ML, a movement typical of wild-type GNs that have stopped dividing.

The hypothesis that PRC2 blocks cell differentiation is consistent with the *Ezh2* mutant results,

because early de-repression of differentiation genes would cause premature EGL cell migration.

GNPs cultured from *Ezh2* cKO mice, compared to normal, had more differentiated cells but

the same fraction of dividing cells. That fits with the idea that loss of *Ezh2* allows premature

activation of differentiation genes. To further test the impact of *Ezh2* cKO on differentiation,

we cultured GNPs with Shh and monitored *Map2* (Fig. 4A,C), a marker for process extension,

and *NeuN*, a marker of differentiated GNs. Process extension was measured using Neuroncyto

2 (*60*), which reveals cell processes and assigns them to a cell body (Fig. 4B,D). *Ezh2* cKO GNPs

had a 37.6% increase (p-val 0.007) in average process length compared to control (Fig 4E).

Next, we separated the cells into groups based upon process length (Fig 4F). WT cells had a

19.2 % higher proportion of cells with no process (49.2 % WT vs. 30% in *Ezh2* cKO; p-val 0.019)

or a process less than 5 pixels long (p-val 0.0047).   *Ezh2* cKO cells had processes 10 pixels and

longer 20.1% more often (39.3 WT versus 59.4 *Ezh2* cKO). Thus loss of *Ezh2* led to more of the

longer processes, an indicator of differentiation, in cultured GNPs.

Cell cycle stage and differentiation were quantified using staining for DAPI (to show all

nuclei), Ki67 (to show dividing cells), and NeuN (to show post-mitotic neurons) (Fig S4A).   The

fraction of total cells that were NeuN-positive in *Ezh2* cKO cultures was 13.9 % compared to 9.8

% in WT (p-val 0.008) (Fig S4C). The amount of NeuN fluorescence increases on the per-cell

histogram (Fig S4B). No significant change in the number of cells that were in G1 (p-val 0.107)

or G2 (p-val 0.087) occurred, with cells more often being in G1 in *Ezh2* cKO and in G2 in WT (Fig.

S4C). *Ezh2* appears to be a cell-intrinsic regulator of differentiation timing; its loss hastens

process extension and nuclear migration out of the EGL.

During normal development, *Ezh2* transcript and protein levels decrease as GNPs
differentiate

Reduced PRC2 activity as GN differentiation commences could be due either to reduced

Ezh2 protein levels or to functional inactivation. Among genes encoding components of

repressive chromatin complexes, transcript levels for *Ezh2* and *Cbx7* changed the most during

early GN development, with *Ezh2* transcript being reduced 8.8 fold after P7 (Fig 5A,B). GNP cell

cycle exit coincides with a reduction in Ezh2 protein level, as shown by reduced staining

intensity in the iEGL (Fig 5C). To further explore this observation, P7 GNPs freshly isolated from

Math1>GFP reporter mice were plated to observe differentiation. As early as 6 hours after

plating, the average level of Ezh2 was lower in GNs that had begun to differentiate, as

determined by low Math1-GFP levels. Relative fluorescence for Ezh2 continued to drop at 24

hours of culture (Fig 5D). Thus cell cycle exit strongly correlates with decreased Ezh2 levels in

cultured cells and in vivo.

The results so far suggest that Ezh2-based H3K27me3 modifications at promoter regions

delay transcription of many differentiation genes during GNP proliferation. Once GNPs

complete their final mitotic division and enter G0 in preparation for differentiation, reduced

Ezh2 function may foster activation of the GN differentiation program. Can this knowledge be

applied to manipulate MB cells?

Medulloblastoma cells also H3K27-trimethylate GN differentiation genes

In MB cells, as in dividing GNPs, repressive chromatin regulators may prevent expression of

GN differentiation genes to maintain a pro-proliferative state. Indeed, 95% of the 447

differentiation genes that are H3K27-marked in GNPs are repressed in MB cells compared to

GNs (Fig 6C). To determine whether GN differentiation genes are stably repressed in MB, we

measured chromatin markers and mRNA transcript abundance in MB samples from *Ptch1$^{+/-}$*

mice. *Ptch1* encodes the Hedgehog receptor, a negative regulator in the pathway, so in these

mice, and in humans with the same kind of mutation, derepressed Hedgehog target genes

increase the frequency of MB. RNAseq was used to compare transcript populations in MBs

from *Ptch1$^{+/-}$* mice to P1, P7, and P14 GNPs. As expected, MB transcripts correlated most with

transcripts from rapidly dividing P7 GNPs (R^2 = 0.79) compared to P1 (R^2 = 073 , Fig S6 B) or P14

(R^2 = 0.52, Fig S6 C).

In MB cells, three-quarters of the GN differentiation genes have H3K27me3 at their

promoters (Fig S6 A,C).   The Pearson correlation between MB cells and P7 GNPs was also

strong for histone markers, with H3K27me3 being 0.79, H2Aubi119 being 0.87 and H3K4me3

being 0.95 (Fig. S6A). Among genes that increase expression during GN differentiation, only

27% of the H3K27-methylated GN differentiation genes did not have H3K27me3 in MB cells (Fig

6 A,C).   The majority of GN differentiation genes are persistently repressed in MB.

In human SHH-subtype medulloblastomas, higher levels of *EZH2* transcript correlate with lower-level expression of GN differentiation genes

The inverse relationship between GN differentiation gene transcription and Ezh2 levels holds true in human MB tumors as it does in mouse MBs and developing mouse cerebellum. The levels of *EZH2* transcription from 223 primary human samples of *SHH*-subtype MB (*9*) were compared to the levels of GNP differentiation gene transcripts. Samples were ranked in terms of *EZH2* mRNA level (Fig 7A). The 30% of the tumors with the least *EZH2* RNA were compared to the top 30% (Fig S7A). GN differentiation gene transcript levels were on average 1.3 fold higher in tumors with low EZH2 transcript than in tumors with high EZH2 (Fig S7A).

Comparing human genes that were differentially expressed in *EZH2*-high vs *EZH2*-low SHH MB samples with the expression of their mouse homologs revealed a striking pattern (Fig 7B). Genes that were significantly more highly transcribed in human MBs that had relatively low *EZH2* RNA were 26-fold enriched for genes whose transcription increases during mouse GNP differentiation (Fig 7C). 60% of the human genes that had low expression when *EZH2* RNA was high were among the mouse GNP differentiation genes (343/570). The amount of Ezh2 transcript in each individual tumour was also anticorrelated with the transcript levels of the individual differentiation genes (Fig S7B,C). The average Pearson correlation for the differentiation genes was -0.24 indicating a negative correlation (Fig S7B,C). Thus the relationship between *EZH2* transcription and differentiation gene transcription is maintained in human MBs.

Patients with high *EZH2* RNA tumors had significantly worse 5-year (*EZH2*-high 73% versus

EZH2-low 91%) and 10-year (*EZH2*-high 64% versus *EZH2*-low 84%) survival (Fig 7D), so patients

with lower *EZH2* RNA and more differentiation gene expression have a better prognosis.

Combined Ezh2 inhibitors and CDK4/6 inhibitors force MB cells to undergo neuronal

differentiation

Mouse MB cells isolated from *Ptch1*$^{+/-}$ mice (*61*) were plated on laminin-coated plates and

cultured for 72 hours in serum-free medium, with or without small molecule inhibitors (Fig 8A).

We measured rates of proliferation and differentiation using 2 combinations of antibodies and

dyes. In one type of experiment, we used anti-pRb (Ser807/811) to label G1/2 versus G0 and

NeuN to label differentiated cells (Fig 8A,B). In a second type of experiment, the antibody

combination was p27, which identified quiescent G0-arrested MB cells, and Atoh1, which is

only present during MB proliferation (Fig S8A,B). Cycling MB cells have high Atoh1 and low p27

if in G1/S/G2 (green in Fig. S8A) or high p27 if in G0 (yellow in Figure S8A). Cells embarking on

differentiation (red in Fig. S8A) have high p27 but relatively low levels of Atoh1. NeuN marks a

later stage of development than p27 presence or loss of Atoh1.

Untreated MB cultures had 70.2/43.6% (pRb high / Atoh1 high p27 low) dividing cells and

2.0/14.2% (NeuN high / Atoh1 low p27 high) differentiating cells. Cultures treated with Ezh2

inhibitor (5 uM UNC1999) had 50.4/18.6% (pRB high /Atoh high p27 high) dividing cells and 4.6/

35.2% (NeuN high / Atoh1 low p27 high) differentiating cells (Fig 8B, Fig S8B). Ezh2 inhibition

caused a 21 % increase in the fraction of differentiated cells, based upon measuring Atoh1 and

p27 (p-val < 0.001) and a 2.6 % increase in NeuN positive cells (p-val < 0.001). For UNC1999,

previous studies of cultured cells showed that a dose of 1 to 5 uM caused substantial reduction

in H3K27me3 activity without substantial toxicity (*62*). Thus induction of differentiation genes

using Ezh2 inhibitor reduced but did not stop MB cell growth, in keeping with a lack of effect on

MB frequency due to genetic removal of Ezh2 function. *Ptch1$^{+/-}$* mice were crossed with *Ezh2$^{fl/fl}$*

Math1-Cre mice. About 15% of the *Ptch1$^{+/-}$* mice develop MBs. *Ezh2* cKO did not affect the rate

of MB formation in *Ptch1$^{+/-}$* mice.   MB formation occurred in 14.86% (n = 74) who were Cre$^{+/-}$

and 14.28 % (n = 84) in Cre$^{-/-}$ animals.

Normal development may be informative about how to stop MB cells from growing. During

normal differentiation, GNPs would first enter G0 arrest, then GNP differentiation genes would

be de-repressed as Ezh2 is reduced. To stop MB cell proliferation, the same two steps might be

required: going into G0 arrest and then differentiating.   MB cultures treated with CDK4/6

inhibitor (1 uM Palbociclib) to drive them into G0 (*63*), had 18.1/15.4% (pRb high / Atoh high

p27 low) dividing cells and 15.9/26.0 % differentiating cells (NeuN high / Atoh1 low p27 high).

Thus an inhibitor that pushes MB cells toward G0 is does increase rates differentiation more

than the Ezh2 inhibitors alone but still at relatively low rates (Fig 8B, Fig S8B).

Dual treatment with Ezh2 inhibitor and CDK4/6 inhibitor produced the highest percentage

of terminally differentiating MB cells 22.8/43.0 % (NeuN high / Atoh1 low p27 high) with only

9/6.8 % (pRb high / Atoh1 high p27 low) percent of cells dividing (Fig 8B, Fig S8B). The

combination of Ezh2 inhibitors and CDK4/6 inhibitors increased the rate of differentiation by

7% for NeuN positive cells (p-val 0.004) and 17% for low of Atoh1 with high p27 (p-val < 0.001).

MB cells treated with both 5uM UNC1999 and 1um Palbociclib developed thin neuron-like

processes, that contain the F-actin stain phalloidin (Fig S8B xiii). In contrast, after treatment

with CDK4/6 inhibitor alone, no such processes were observed and the cells appeared similar to

dividing MB cells (Fig S8B x). It should be noted that differentiated GN require changes to the

media for long term survival which could limit the time an MB cell could survive late into

neuronal differentiation.

Combining a CDK4/6 inhibitor with an Ezh2 inhibitor could be toxic to dividing cells. The

increase in percentage of differentiated cells would then reflect more robust survival of

differentiated cells vs proliferating cells. If selective survival explained the results, then the

absolute number of differentiated cells should be similar in untreated and doubly treated cells.

Instead, combining 5uM UNC1999 and 1 uM Palbociclib produced 2.2 to 4.68 times more

differentiated cells compared to control cells not treated with any drug (Fig S8C). This suggests

that combining Ezh2 and CDK4/6 inhibitors drove conversion of dividing cells into differentiated

cells, rather than causing selective death of dividing cells.

A key advantage of differentiation therapy over anti-proliferative approaches is that

terminally differentiated neurons should not re-enter the cell cycle even after the treatment is

terminated. MB cells in culture were treated with 1 uM of the CDK4/6 inhibitor alone or in the

presence of various doses of Ezh2 inhibitor. After 72 hrs, all drugs were washed out and the

cells cultured for an additional 24 h (Fig. 8C). As previously, cells were labeled using anti-pRb

(Ser807/811) and NeuN (Fig. 8D). When CDK4/6 inhibitor was used alone, following drug wash

out 40.8 % of MB cells re-entered the cell cycle (Fig 8E).   Thus, with only CDK4/6 inhibition, MB

cells can rapidly re-enter the cell cycle. Only 14.2 % of cells treated with both inhibitors re-

entered G1/2. Again, we saw an increase fraction of differentiated from 14.5 % of cells to

21.9% (p-val 0.006) (Fig 8E) and in the total number of differentiated cells by 1.68 times (p-val

0.016) comparing dual Ezh2 and CDK4/6 inhibitors compared to CDK4/6 inhibitors alone (Fig

S8D). The combination of Ezh2 inhibitors with CDK4/6 mediated cell cycle arrest achieves the

most important therapeutic goal, to irreversibly prevent cell cycle re-entry.

Discussion

The parallels between early cerebellar development and MB formation provide an

opportunity to study key regulators of normal development in the context of tumor cells, with

the goal of stopping tumor growth. During normal cerebellar development, GNPs proliferate

under the mitogenic effect of the Sonic hedgehog pathway. Following a period of transit

amplification, GNPs exit the cell cycle and terminally differentiate into granule neurons, the

most abundant neuron in the brain (Fig 1). In MBs, the SHH pathway is aberrantly activated

through mutations that inactivate inhibitory elements of the pathway (eg Patched or Sufu) or

through amplification of activating elements (eg *Gli2* amplification). As a result, cells fail to exit

the cell cycle and can ultimately give rise to a tumor. A large body of evidence describes the

morphological (*64*), transcriptional (*65*), and post-translational modifications (*66*) similarities

between transit-amplifying GNPs and medulloblastomas.

Ezh2-mediated H3K27me3 broadly represses differentiation genes in GNPS and MBs

Here we undertook a genome-wide identification of the epigenetic regulators and

transcriptional changes that occur during the initiation of proliferation, peak proliferation, and

early stages of differentiation in GNPs.   In agreement with other groups, we find that many

genes whose transcription increases as GNPs differentiate have bivalent promoters, with

H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 modifications (*67, 68*). Our study also shows PRC1 occupancy at the

majority of the H3K27me3-marked differentiation genes (Fig 2). Most PRC1 complex variants

and the PRC2 complexes are involved in transcriptional repression, which allows a transient

repression of genes required during differentiation. The roles of PRC2 and PRC1 complexes

during differentiation has been well described in many different cell types including other types

of neurons (*69*), myocytes (*70-72*), cardiomyocytes (*73*) and T-cells (*74*).

Bivalent H3K37me3 and H3K4me3 modifications delay transcription of specific gene sets

during GNP cell cycle exit

For a cell with complex cytoarchitecture like a neuron, it is important to turn on the correct

genes during differentiation and to have those genes turn on at the correct time. Ezh2 is

transcriptionally activated by the pRb/E2f1 complex during S-phase expression(*75, 76*). In GNPs

the bivalent H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 modifications mark a subset of genes that appear to be

sensitive to the drop in Ezh2 function. The subsequent transcriptional activation of genes

associated with neuronal differentiation, like ion channels, can in this way be reliably linked to

cell cycle exit. The reduction of Ezh2 protein (Fig 5) that occurs as GNPs complete their cell

division stage is likely to promote terminal cell cycle exit by allowing de-repression of

differentiation genes.

What determines the exact timing of transcriptional activation for a given differentiation

gene is likely multifactorial. Kdm6b, an enzyme that removes H3K27me3 methylations,

significantly influences timing during GNP differentiation (*77*). The abundance of Kdm6b across

the H3K27me3 marked differentiation genes may be non-uniform, activating some genes

earlier than others. The PRC1 complex has numerous variants, which could fine tune the

required delays in GNP differentiation gene expression timing.   Another path of H3K27me3

elimination can be replicative dilution, where H3K27me3 modifications are depleted by ongoing

cell division following Ezh2 activity reduction (*45, 46*). In GNPs, the drop in *Ezh2* expression

happens as cells exit the cell cycle, so replicative dilution does not seem to be an important

factor.

Ezh2 loss accelerates differentiation but only after cell cycle exit

Ezh2 cKO allowed early differentiation of GNPs but did not have an impact on transit-

amplifying GNPs. During GNP cell division, Ezh2 is highly expressed. As Ezh2 levels drop

following cell cycle exit, GNPs activate H3K27me3 modified genes. Premature removal of Ezh2

should cause early and/or prolonged activation of differentiation genes. Our *Ezh2* knockout did

result in early differentiation but only after the period of transit amplification was complete (Fig

3). In cultured GNPs, *Ezh2* cKO did not reduce the number of G1/2 cells. If anything, there was

an increase in the number of G1 cells (Fig 4). The phenotype of the *Ezh2* cKO is quite subtle; a

previous study did not report a phenotype in the cerebellum in *Ezh2* cKO (*78*). We too found it

difficult to observe and quantify the changes to the iEGL. We were alerted to the phenotype by

automated analysis of GNP cultures from *Ezh2* cKO mice that revealed increased process

extension pointing our attention to the iEGL.

H3K27me3-marked differentiation genes have known GN differentiation phenotypes

Many H3K27me3-marked differentiation genes have known neuronal differentiation

phenotypes in cerebellar GNs. One important example is the voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel genes,

which have been linked to GN migration. Of the six channel genes that increase transcription

during differentiation, five are modified by H3K27me3. Loss of function of these genes impairs

normal GN differentiation. Knockout of *Cacna1a* which codes for Cav2.1 showed clear

migration defect in the EGL, with persistence of the EGL at P21 (*79*). Another potent regulator

of Ca^{2+} that is critical to GN migration is the NMDA receptor (*80*), which is a ligand-gated

glutamate channel. The genes for all four NMDA subunits that transcriptionally increase

(NR2BA-D), are modified by H3K27me3. Functional NMDA receptors form when the radially

migrating GNs start migrating into the ML (*81, 82*). NMDA receptors undergo subunit switching

between migrating and post migratory receptors (*83, 84*).   Genetically altering subunit

composition leads to an increased rate in migration (*85*) and persistent EGL (*86*), while blocking

NMDA receptors reduces migration(*87*). The targets of Ezh2 have developmental phenotypes,

and the timing of their production matters.

Bdnf and multiple CAMK components that transduce its signal are also H3K27me3-modified.

BDNF signaling is a well-established regulator of GN radial migration. The knockout of *Bdnf* (*88,*

89) or of its downstream pathway components *CaMKKII* or *CaMKIV* (*90*) all cause GN migration

defects. The opposite phenotype occurs in response to over-activation of the Bdnf pathway,

decreasing the time to differentiation. Transfection of GNPs with a constitutively active CREB,

the terminal transcriptional activator of BDNF signaling, accelerated terminal differentiation of

GNPs in culture (*91*).

From a differentiation therapy perspective, not all differentiation genes are equal. The

greatest interest lies with genes that could be activated to prevent subsequent re-entry into the

cell cycle. Attempted cell division in differentiated neurons typically leads to cell death as seen

with neurodegenerative disease (*92-95*) or to binucleated cells as seen with gangliogliomas (*96,*

97). Our present results suggest several candidate differentiation genes, but another approach

is to manipulate Ezh2 directly and thereby control many of these genes simultaneously.

Ezh2 inhibitors as a potential differentiation therapy

EZH2 inhibitors have been tested in SHH-subtype MB cells and have caused decreased cell

viability (*98, 99*) and increased rates of differentiation (*100*). One rationale for using Ezh2

inhibitors in MB was that forced expression of *NeuroD1* in MB cells is capable of driving

differentiation (*100*). ChIP qPCR analysis had demonstrated that *NeuroD1* is marked by

H3K27me3, and Ezh2 inhibitors showed increased rates of differentiation both in culture and in

vivo models. Therefore, EZH2 inhibition might derepress *NeuroD1* and spur differentiation of

MB cells.

Our data provide genome-wide context for the previous work by identifying the large

number of H3K27me3-modified genes during development and in MB cells.   EZH2 drives

H3K27me3-mediated repression in *NeuroD1* and in more than half of the 787 genes whose

transcription increases in early GN differentiation. The mechanism by which EZH2 inhibition

promotes cell death and differentiation of MB cells is likely to depend on its regulation of many

genes in addition to repressing *NeuroD1*.

Combined Ezh2 inhibition and cell cycle arrest as a differentiation therapy

A prevailing hope is that properly stimulated differentiation can override tumor cell

proliferation, for MB and other cancer types (*101-103*). Differentiation therapy in acute

promyelocytic leukemia using retinoic acid (RA), has dramatically extended survival of PML

patients (*104, 105*). RA induces the cancer cells to differentiate from a granulocyte precursor

into a mature myelocyte that can no longer divide (*106, 107*). Given its transformative effect in

AML, RA was trialed for patients diagnosed with MB in hopes of similarly driving differentiation

and long-term regression. Unfortunately, RA did not prove effective in MB and phase 2 trials

were ultimately halted. RA does cause growth arrest of MB tumous (*108, 109*), but through

apoptosis rather than induced differentiation (*110, 111*).

Our work suggests a nuanced relationship between proliferation and differentiation in GNPs

and MB cells. Ezh2 appears to have a significant role in delaying activation of differentiation

genes but *Ezh2* loss or inhibition is not capable overriding the cell cycle. *Ezh2* cKO did not

reduce GNP proliferation (Fig 3) and there was only a marginal increase in differentiation with

Ezh2 inhibition alone (Fig 8). Neuronal differentiation is a complex process and understanding

where and how a given regulator fits into that process is critical to maximizing its use as a

clinical differentiation therapy.

Additional observations raise concerns about using EZH2 inhibitors as a solo differentiation

therapy. First, *Ezh2* cKO did not prevent MB formation in mouse models of MB.   SmoM2 mice,

who develop MB from unrestrained Hh signalling were crossed with Math1-Cre / Ezh2fl/fl

developed MB at 100% penetrance as in normal SmoM2 control mice.   The MBs from the *Ezh2*

cKO mice were more aggressive than control SmoM2 with intact *Ezh2*, leading to early death of

the mice (*78*). *Ezh2* cKO, in Ptch1$^{+/-}$ mice also showed no impact on the rate of MB formation.

The reduction in H3K27me3 with *Ezh2* cKO is substantial, exceeding what is possible with an

Ezh2 inhibitor, so using Ezh2 inhibitors alone is unlikely to yield differentiation rates high

enough to reduce cancer progression. Another concern is that *Ezh2* cKO has no apparent effect

on proliferating GNPs. If anything, there were increased numbers of GNPs in G1 in *Ezh2* cKO

mice compared to WT mice, indicating that GNPs will not cease dividing when H3K27me3 is

strongly depleted.   Because MB cells are dividing too, Ezh2 inhibition alone will probably not

drive a high rate of differentiation.

With regards to forced G0 arrest in MB cells, our observations parallel long term animal

experiments, where CDK4/6 inhibitors were used with xenografts of human MB cells. The

tumors dramatically regressed when mice were treated with inhibitor (*112*), but three-quarters

of the tumors recurred within 60 days of drug removal. This suggests that forced exit of the cell

cycle is not sufficient to drive terminal differentiation of MB cells. In our experiments, wash-

out of Ezh2 and CDK4/6 inhibitors resulted in cell-cycle re-entry of MB cells within 24 hours (Fig

8). When developmental regulation was closely recapitulated using dual inhibitors, driving both

exit from the cell cycle and inhibition of Ezh2, we observed significantly higher levels of

terminal differentiation without re-entry into the cell cycle following drug wash out.

Barriers to clinical differentiation therapy

Our results suggest two critically important ideas for designing a differentiation therapy for

MB. First, our evidence supports the use of Ezh2 inhibition as a strategy for the differentiation

of Shh-subgroup medulloblastoma (*100*). The second is that premature transcriptional de-

repression by Ezh2 inhibition is not sufficient to cause differentiation in a dividing MB cell. Prior

to larger scale testing in animals, more extensive work needs to be done to optimize the

duration of cell cycle arrest. A phase I trial of Palbociclib for pediatric brain tumours was

recently completed which showed bone marrow suppression at higher doses (*113*). One

advantage of differentiation therapy is that the cells may not need to be G0 arrested for more

than 72 hours to get induction of differentiation, which means the CDK4/6 inhibitor could be

delivered in short pulses. As bone marrow suppression took weeks to months to develop these

short pulses will prevent accumulated toxicity (*114*).   Continuous administration of an Ezh2

inhibitor would be supplemented with 72 hour cyclic period of CDK4/6 inhibition.

Materials and Methods

Crosslinking Chromatin immunoprecipiation (ChIP)

The ChIP protocol was adapted from an existing protocol (*115*). Briefly, a single cell

suspension of GNPs or MB cells were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min, then

quenched with 2.5 M Glycine. Chromatin was sheared using a Bioruptor (Diagenode, Denville,

New Jersey) for 6 cycles of 15 minutes (30 sec on, 30 seconds off at maximum power).

Following sonication the insoluble material was pelleted. Antibodies were added to the

sheared chromatin as indicated by table 1 to 750 ul of chromatin and incubated at 4 C

overnight.   For each ChIP-seq experiment 3 technical replicates of the immunoprecipitation

were performed and pooled after DNA isolation. Protein G agarose beads (Roche) were used to

precipitate the antibody bound protein and 4 sequential washes were done with the buffers

described in the original paper (*115*). Protein was eluted of beads with 10 mM EDTA and 1%

SDS and the DNA was liberated from protein using Proteinase K (Roche). The DNA was purified

using phenol chloroform extraction then treated with RNAse A. DNA was quantified using

Qubit DNA HS (Invitrogen). ChIP-seq libraries were prepared using NEBNext ChIP-Seq Library

Prep (New England Biolabs). Sequencing was performed using HiSeq 2500 (Illumina Inc) with 40

BP single end reads.

Native ChIP

655 The crosslinking protocol described above was performed for all antibodies in Table 1,

656 except the H2Aubi119 antibody where native ChIP was used. The protocol for native ChIP has

657 previously been described (Hasegawa et al., 2016). In brief, cell lysate from fresh frozen GNPs

658 or MB was incubated in MNase for 20 min at 4°C and stopped by adding 1/25 0.5 M EDTA. The

659 amount of MNase used for each reaction was batch adjusted to obtain mono-nucleosomes

660 after a 20 min digestion. After centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 15 min at 4C, the supernatant

661 containing the mononucleosome fraction was collected. Protein was precipitated using Protein

662 G Dynabeads (Invitrogen), with rabbit anti-IgM IgG linker antibodies for the H2Aubi119 ChIP.

663 The beads were washed 3 times using buffers described in Hasegawa et al (2016). DNA was

664 eluted, freed from protein using Proteinase K (Roche), and purified using phenol chloroform

665 extraction. Preparation of ChIP-seq libraries was performed as described for crosslinking ChIP.

666   *Table 1*: Antibodies and concentrations used for ChIP

Antibody	Vendor	Species	Serotype	Catalog	Amount (ug, ul) in 750 ul lysate	RRID
H3K4me3	Abcam	Rabbit polyclonal	IgG	ab8580	5 ug	*AB_306649*
H3K4me1	Abcam	Rabbit polyclonal	IgG	ab8895	5 ug	*AB_306847*
H3K27me3	Milipore	Rabbit polyclonal	IgG	07-449	5 ug	*AB_310624*
H3K27ac	Abcam	Rabbit polyclonal	IgG	ab4729	5 ug	*AB_2118291*
Ring1b	Active Motif	Rabbit monoclonal	IgG	39664	10 ul	*AB_2615006*
H2Aubi119	Milipore (Upstate)	Mouse monoclonal	IgM	05-678	10 ug	*AB_309899*
H3K36me3	Abcam	Rabbit polyclonal	IgG	ab9050	5 ug	*AB_306966*
RNA polymerase 2 (S5 phospho)	Abcam	Rabbit polyclonal	IgG	ab5131	5 ug	*AB_449369*
RNA polymerase 2 (S5 phospho)	Active Motif	Mouse monoclonal	IgG	39097	10 ul	*AB_2732926*

ChIP-seq data processing

Reads were adaptor filtered, trimmed, mapped to mm9 using Bowtie2. From the mapped

reads duplicates and reads with a mapping quality below 20 were discarded. Quantification of

a region around the TSS which were defined in the previous section proceeded with the

following steps. Peaks in aligned reads for histone modifications were called using EPIC

(https://github.com/biocore-ntnu/epic), which is a more computationally efficient version of

SICER (*116*). Macs2 (*117*) was used to call peaks for the ATAC-seq data as no input sequencing

was done. Many of the histone modifications would vary substantially in terms of both the

number of reads in a set genomic region but also in terms of the width of the marked area. To

capture the variation in with the promoter modifications were quantified, for each of the

annotated transcriptional start sites (TSSs) with a window of 250 base pairs flanking the site

was quantified and extended to include overlapping peaks. All quantified promoter features

underwent a regularized log transform (*118*) and were scaled 0 to 1.

For RNAseq and H3K36me3 we altered our quantification strategy. H3K36me3 is a histone

modification associated with an elongating RNA polymerase II seem during active expression,

which is absent over the promoter. The H3K36me3 ChIP-seq was quantified across the entire

gene body and then divided by the length of the gene. The P7 RNAseq data was quantified

across all exons and divided by the total length of the exons. Both RNAseq and H3K36me3

were then scaled between 0 and 1.

To calculate the enrichment of chromatin modifications, epigenetic features were

separated based upon the distribution of the quantified modifications. The normalized data

show a clearly bimodal distribution or a distribution with a large upper tail. Marked or bound

versus unmarked or unbound genes could be distinguished by fitting two normal distributions.

First long upper tails were removed by calculating the first order derivative of the smoothed

ranked data similar to how super enhancers are identified (*42*). We set the threshold for the

long upper tails at 0.0004 pragmatically. The remainder of the datapoints for each tracks were

split using a mixture of Gaussian distributions using mixtools (*119*). Each data set were split

into marked/unmarked/tail for the enrichment calculation and for plotting.

RNA-seq

RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen), with and mechanical disruption with a 1.5 ul

tube mortise and pestle. Following precipitation, the RNA was treated with DNase1 and

cleaned up with a RNAeasy colomn (Qiagen). RNA was quantified with the Qubit and the

quality of the RNA was assayed using Agilent Bioanalyzer. Libraries were prepared using mRNA

purified using polyA bead selection (New England Biolabs), using Illumina TruSeq v2 library

preparation kit (Illumina). Sequencing was performed using HiSeq 2500 with 100 BP single-end

to approximately 60-70 million reads per sample.

Generating cell type-specific transcript models

Since we were attempting to identify epigenetic regulatory features by looking for common

signatures present in a large number of genes, it was important that each protein coding gene

would be counted roughly equally. Some genes have numerous annotated TSS while others

only have one and the discrepancy could bias the downstream analysis. We wanted to

represent each protein coding gene with at least one TSS and one transcript model but

permitted a gene to have multiple TSS and associated transcript models if there was evidence in

the ChIP-seq data of an additional TSS. We used both the Ensembl mouse 67 annotation

system as well as the UCSC mm9 knownGene annotation to generate a robust list of baseline

TSSs and transcript models. The TSS in the reference annotation was quantified using both the

GNP H3K4me3 data and the adult cerebellum H3K4me3 data from the Encode project (*120*).

For genes with clear H3K4me3 binding we selected transcripts with the strongest H3K4me3 at

the TSS or within 500 BP of the optimal TSS. For genes without clear H3K4me3 over any

transcript we took the maximum of all of the other modifications annotated over potential TSS.

To identify the best transcript model for each gene from the numerous in the reference

transcriptome we performed de-novo transcript assembly from 1 replicate in each of the time

points in the RNA-seq data using Stringtie (*121*). The time point transcript assemblies were

then merged using Stringtie merge without the reference annotation. Both selecting a TSS and

transcript model using only de novo assembly is complicated by a number of issues. The PolyA

selection is 3' biased, which will bias the de novo transcript models towards TSS which occur in

the 3' direction in a manner that is worse for low expressed genes. We calculated an overlap

for each transcript between the reference transcript library (UCSC and ensemble) and the

Stringtie models using Bedtools (*122*). We then selected a transcript model from the reference

with the highest overlap to the Stringtie models for each gene.

RNAseq quantification

The RNAseq data was mapped to mm9 using Tophat2 (*123*), so the last mm9 associated

Ensembl release (release 67) was used as reference transcriptome. The transcript models used

to quantify the RNAseq data developed as described above. Transcripts were quantified with

HTSEQ. The overall pipeline was developed with modification from the Bradner lab pipeline

(https://github.com/BradnerLab/pipeline).

Processing existing data sets

The Hatten lab NeuroD1-TRAP data was downloaded from GEO (GSE74400) and the

Affemetrix annotations linked to the Ensembl mouse 67 transcript models were downloaded

using biomaRt. Initial analysis was performed using oligo and limma packages from

Bioconductor. The probes were then collapsed to the transcript models described above.

The scRNAseq data from the Taylor lab was obtained from GEO (*31*) and the reads were

processed using the DropletUtils package from Bioconductor. We used the annotated cluster

to cell tables provided in the supplementary data to reconstruct the data. The granule neuron

lineages were separated from other cell types like Purkinje cells, astrocytes. In order to

eliminate genes from the bulk RNAseq that were likely arising from non-granule neuron lineage

we used the existing cell type clustering analysis done by the Taylor lab using Seurat.   The cell

types were separated into granule neuron lineage and non GN lineage. For each gene, across

the cell types, the highest expression in GN lineage and the non GN lineage cells was calculated.

By comparing the maximum GN expression to non GN expression we excluded genes with the

following 3 criteria. We excluded genes that were expressed in alternative lineages when not

expressed at all in the GN lineage cells, genes that were 2 fold higher in non GN or when they

were significantly higher expressed in non GN lineage by the Taylor lab Seurat analysis.

Differentiation gene classification

Expression cutoffs for transcriptional time course data were established using the

distribution of the maximal values from the time course. For each dataset the average

maximum of the 3 highest expressed timepoints/replicates were taken. Based upon the

distribution we created a mixture model to estimate the point at which the probability of the

expressed versus unexpressed distribution was equal to .50. For the Scott lab RNAseq dataset

the count data was highly zero weighted, so we utilized a mixture of 2 gamma distributions

(*124*). The Hatten lab TRAP data was modeled using 2 normal distributions. The transcriptional

profiles were merged by interpolating the overlapping time points. We then calculated the

timepoint that had the maximum and minimum RNA using the combined dataset. Using the

maximum and minimum values we calculated a fold change using both datasets. We also

estimated the developing time point at which the gene reached 50% of its maximum transcript

abundance (t50). In order to be accepted as a significant changer p-values were calculated

based upon each dataset individually, using DEseq2 (*118*) for the RNAseq dataset and limma

(*125*) for the TRAP data.

Mouse husbandry

Ezh2 conditional knockout (Math1$^{Cre;}$ *Ezh2$^{flox/flox}$*) mice were generated by crossing

Math1>Cre (*56*) with the *Ezh2$^{flox/flox}$* mouse where Loxp sites flank the catalytic SET domain of

Ezh2 (*55*). Mice were then bred homozygous for the flox Ezh2 allele, and Cre negative

littermates were used for controls. Math1>GFP (*30*) mice were maintained as homozygous.

Math1$^{Cre;}$ *Ezh2$^{flox/flox}$*;Math1>GFP mice All animal studies were approved by the Stanford APLAC

review board protocol .

Spontaneous MB tumor formation

ChIPseq and RNAseq data was generated from spontaneous MBs that occurred in Ptch1$^{+/-}$

mice, with MB formation determined by following for neurologic decline.   Since the generation

of MBs can have severe neurological affects, animals were monitored daily for physical

abnormalities such as ataxia, hunching, immobility. Math1$^{Cre;}$ *Ezh2$^{flox/flox}$*;Ptch1$^{+/-}$ and

Ezh2$^{flox/flox}$;Ptch1$^{+/-}$ mice were also generated to test the effect of *Ezh2* cKO on MB formation.

Table 2: Mice alleles used

Allele	RRID
Math1-Cre	IMSR_JAX:011104
Ezh2^{flox}	MMRRC_015499-UNC
Math1-GFP	MGI:4456122
Ptch1+/-	IMSR_JAX:003081

Edu pulse/chase

To assess migration of the GNPs out of the EGL we performed 48 h Edu pulse chase. A single

IP injection (50mg/kg using a 5mg/mL stock diluted in PBS) was administered to p5 *Ezh2* cKO

and wild-type mice.   After 48 h, brains were dissected and fixed in 4% PFA overnight then

transferred into 30% sucrose for 24h. Fixed whole cerebellum were mounted in OCT and

sectioned at 20µm. EdU staining was performed as per manufacturers instructions (Life

Technologies, Clik-iT Plus EdU Alexa Fluor 647 Imaging Kit, cat no. C10640). Following Edu

labeling, sections were blocked in 0.2% triton X-100 and 5% Donkey serum 1h at RT. Sections

were then stained with Rabbit anti-p27 as described in the tissue immunofluorescence section.

GNP isolation and purification

The GNP extraction and purification has been previously described (*1, 126*). In brief, the

mice were euthanized and the cerebella were dissected and minced. The cerebellar pieces

were incubated with 10 U/ml papain (Worthington, NJ, United States, LSOO3126) and 250 U/ml

DNase (Sigma, MO, United States, D4627) in HBSS (Stem Cell Technologies Canada Inc,

Vancouver, Canada, 37150) at 37 C for 30 min and the papain was halted using 8 mg/ml

Ovomucoid (Sigma, MO, United States, T2011) and 8 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (Sigma).

The pieces were then sequentially triturated in the presence of DNase to prevent clumping,

then passed through a 70uM nylon cell strainer (VWR, 21,008-952). GNPs were isolated using a

35%, 65% Percoll (Sigma, P4937) step gradient with centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 15 min.

E15.5 GNP purification

Because of the small cell number and the contamination of Sox2 + cells we utilized FACS to

purify the pre-Shh GNPs. Timed pregnant mothers homozygous for the *Math1*-GFP reporter

were sacrificed using cervical dislocation following deep anesthesia. The embryos were

harvested, and the rhombic lip and cerebellar anlage were dissected using micro-scissors and

jeweller forceps. The single cell suspension was generated as above. GFP+ were isolated using

standard FACS technique. The cells were then lyzed in Trizol without centrifugation and RNA

purification proceeded immediately.

MB cell culture

MB cell lines (*61*) generated from *Ptch1*$^{+/-}$ mice by the Seghal Lab (Dana-Farber Cancer

Institute, Harvard Medical School), and *Ptch1*$^{+/-}$; *Tpr53*$^{-/-}$ from the Rudin Lab (Memorial Sloan

Kettering Cancer Center), were grown as suspension as neurospheres. Briefly, cells were

maintained in DMEM/F12 supplemented with glutamine and with B27 minus vitamin A,

penicillin and streptomycin. The cells were passaged every 6 days by collecting the spheres by

gravity, washing, followed by dissociation with Accutase (Stemcell Technologies 07920) and

replating as a suspension to allow cells to spontaneously re-aggregate. UNC1999 was soluble in

DMSO while Palbociclib was soluble in water (Selleckchem).

GNP culture

P7 GNPs were purified as described above. The cerebella dissected either from the *Ezh2*

cKO described above or from mice with a Math1>GFP (*30*) reporter that delineates transit

amplifying GNPs from differentiated cells. The GNPs were grown as described previously for

our GNP proliferation conditions (*1*) with 3ng/ml of Shh 461-54 (R&D systems). The cells were

fixed at 24 h and immunofluorescence imaging occurred.   To measure the protein abundance

of the product from the differentiation genes, the freshly isolated cells were plated without Shh

to induce differentiation, fixed after 6h, 24h or 48h in culture.

Cell culture imaging

All cell culture imaging for both GNPs and MB cells was performed on 96 well, glass bottom

plates (Cellvis P96-1.5H-N). The plates were coated with 100 ug/ml PDL (Millipore A-003-E) for

3 h at 37 C, then washed, and coated with 10 ug/ml Lamnin (Millipore CC095) overnight at 37 C.

GNPs were purified as described above and MB cells were dissociated with Accutase as

described above, then filtered using a 70-micron to remove clusters of cells. Following cell

counting 10,000 cells were plated per well and perturbations were performed 2h after plating.

Following treatment, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room

temperature. The cells were then blocked using 5% donkey serum, 1% BSA and 0.2% triton X-

100 for 1h at room temperature. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 C. The

primary antibodies used are described in table 3. Cells were co-stained with Rhodamine

Phalloidin (Molecular Probes R415). Donkey anti IgG secondary antibodies against mouse and

rabbit were used conjugated to Alexa-488 and Alexa-647 at 1:500 (Jackson Immunoresearch).

All cell imaging was performed using the ImageXpress Micro XLS Widefield High Content

Screening System (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) using 20x (0.75 NA) Nikon objectives. The

intensity of fluorescence in each cell was automatically calculated using custom MATLAB

scripts. Nuclei were segmented using DAPI as previously described (*127*). Downstream analysis

was performed in R.

Table 3: Antibodies used for immunofluorescence

Antibody	Vendor	Species	Serotype	Catalog	Dilution	RRID
NeuN	Millipore	mouse monoclonal	IgG	MAB377	1:200	AB_2298772
Phospho-Rb (Ser807/811) clone D20B12	Cell Signaling Technology	rabbit monoclonal	IgG	8516	1:1000	AB_11178658
p27	Abcam	Rabbit monoclonal	IgG	ab32034	1:100	AB_2244732
Cbx7	Abcam	Rabbit polyclonal	IgG	ab21873	1:200	AB_726005
H3K27me3	Active Motif	Rabbit polyclonal	IgG	39155	1:200	AB_2561020
Map2	Abcam	Chicken polyclonal	IgG	ab5392	1:2500	AB_2138153
Ezh2 clone D2C9	Cell Signaling Technology	Rabbit monoclonal	IgG	5246	1:200	AB_10694683

Tissue Immunofluorescence

P7 mice were perfused with 4% PFA, then fixed for 16 h. Fixed whole cerebellum were

mounted in OCT and sectioned at 20μm. Antigen retrieval was performed only for Cbx7 using

10 mM Citrate pH 6 buffer, boiled for 15 min.   Immunofluorescence proceeded as described in

the cell culture staining protocol.   Panoramic Imaging was done on a Zeiss Axioimager and 40x

confocal micrographs were generated using a Leica Sp2 confocal microscope.

Cerebellar layer segmentation

To segment the cerebellum into outer EGL, inner EGL, ML, IGL and deep white matter we

generated panoramic tiled immunofluorescence images of the P7 cerebellum, with DAPI, NeuN

(Alexa 488) and p27 (Alexa 647). The images were then background corrected and stitched in

Fiji (Image J) using Grid/Collection with 20% overlap. A custom MATLAB script was then used to

perform the segmentation. The first pass performed preprocessing on the image and coarsely

identified each layer using a combination or ratio of the individual channels. The IGL was

defined as NeuN high, the inner EGL as p27 high, and the outer EGL as DAPI high. Each layer of

the cerebellum borders the following layer, allowing previously established layers to serve as

guides for the development of following layers. Beginning from the inner most layer, through

to the outermost, dilations were used to define and fill out the regions of interest. The pixels of

each region were filtered and smoothed to promote clear boundaries between the layers. A

composite image was then produced containing all the regions. With each region clearly

defined, calculations for segmented pixel area and region measurements for NeuN, P27, and

DAPI fluorescence intensity for each layer could be determined.

Cerebellar immunofluorescence quantification

The images were generated as described above. Linear segments centered along the pia,

with an EGL on either side were selected and made into separate images. The image segments

were further subdivided into 200 pixel sections moving perpendicular to the axis of the EGL. A

line track was generated by taking the pixel mean perpendicular to the EGL. The individual line

segments were then aligned using cross-correlation from the Stats package in R. After

alignment the symmetric line segment was split by calculating the local minimum near the

center of the dataset for an average p27 intensity of the entire dataset. The center was set to 0

on the x-axis which allowed us to split each line segment into 2 moving from 0 the pial

boundary to the IGL at the highest values. There was variability in the distance from the center

point to the pial boundary where the EGL starts caused by a gap in the arachnoid along the

invagination of the folia. The pial boundary was determined as a drop off in the

immunofluorescence background. To find this boundary we identified the peak change in the

product of NeuN and p27 values.

Quantification of cell cycle and differentiation and process extension

Dead cells and G2 cells were separated from G0/1 cells using the cell pixel area and DAPI

median values, then G0, G1 and G2 were further separated according to the level of Ki67 or RB

phosphorylation (Ser807/811). Differentiated cells were identified using a cut-off value for

NeuN immunofluorescence only accepting differentiating cells if they are marked as G0 by the

above-described criteria. Process extension and process length was quantified using

Neuroncyto 2 (*60*) image by image using Map2 immunofluorescence with DAPI labeling of the

nuclei.

Additional information

Data availability and resource sharing

Data supporting this study are available in GEO GSE279346 and GSE279347. Custom

analysis scripts are available following GITHUB repositories; jpurzner/seq_pipelines,

jpurzner/chip_tools, jpurzner/cell_culture_segment, jpurzner/layer_quant,

jpurzner/cerebellar_segmentation.

Acknowledgements

The research presented here was funded by the National Institute Health (5R01CA157895-

02), the American Brain Tumour Association, B*CURED and the American Association of

Neurologic Surgeons via the Neurosurgery Research and Education Fund.

Author contributions

JP, ASB, TP, KW, MDT, YJT, MTF, MPS designed research. JP, ASB, TP, LE, SB, UL, AK, KW

performed research. JP, ASB, TP, KA, AS, KW, MDT, YJT, MTF, MPS analyzed data. JP, TP, ASB

and MPS wrote the paper.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. R. J. Wechsler-Reya, M. P. Scott, Control of neuronal precursor proliferation in the cerebellum by Sonic Hedgehog. *Neuron* **22**, 103-114 (1999).

2. P. M. Lewis, A. Gritli-Linde, R. Smeyne, A. Kottmann, A. P. McMahon, Sonic hedgehog signaling is required for expansion of granule neuron precursors and patterning of the mouse cerebellum. *Dev Biol* **270**, 393-410 (2004).

3. V. A. Wallace, Purkinje-cell-derived Sonic hedgehog regulates granule neuron precursor cell proliferation in the developing mouse cerebellum. *Current biology : CB* **9**, 445-448 (1999).

4. A. M. Kenney, M. D. Cole, D. H. Rowitch, Nmyc upregulation by sonic hedgehog signaling promotes proliferation in developing cerebellar granule neuron precursors. *Development* **130**, 15-28 (2003).

5. A. M. Kenney, D. H. Rowitch, Sonic hedgehog promotes G(1) cyclin expression and sustained cell cycle progression in mammalian neuronal precursors. *Mol Cell Biol* **20**, 9055-9067 (2000).

6. Z. J. Yang *et al.*, Medulloblastoma can be initiated by deletion of Patched in lineage-restricted progenitors or stem cells. *Cancer cell* **14**, 135-145 (2008).

7. V. Ramaswamy, C. Nor, M. D. Taylor, p53 and Meduloblastoma. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine* **6**, (2015).

8. N. Zhukova *et al.*, Subgroup-specific prognostic implications of TP53 mutation in medulloblastoma. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **31**, 2927-2935 (2013).

9. F. M. G. Cavalli *et al.*, Intertumoral Heterogeneity within Medulloblastoma Subgroups. *Cancer cell* **31**, 737-754 e736 (2017).

10. M. Kool *et al.*, Genome sequencing of SHH medulloblastoma predicts genotype-related response to smoothened inhibition. *Cancer cell* **25**, 393-405 (2014).

11. D. J. Mabbott, L. Penkman, A. Witol, D. Strother, E. Bouffet, Core neurocognitive functions in children treated for posterior fossa tumors. *Neuropsychology* **22**, 159-168 (2008).

12. D. J. Mabbott *et al.*, Serial evaluation of academic and behavioral outcome after treatment with cranial radiation in childhood. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **23**, 2256-2263 (2005).

13. L. Dirven *et al.*, Neurocognitive functioning and health-related quality of life in adult medulloblastoma patients: long-term outcomes of the NOA-07 study. *Journal of neuro-oncology* **148**, 117-130 (2020).

14. C. G. Eberhart, W. E. Kaufman, T. Tihan, P. C. Burger, Apoptosis, neuronal maturation, and neurotrophin expression within medulloblastoma nodules. *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* **60**, 462-469 (2001).

15. P. C. Burger, F. C. Grahmann, A. Bliestle, P. Kleihues, Differentiation in the medulloblastoma. A histological and immunohistochemical study. *Acta neuropathologica* **73**, 115-123 (1987).

16.　R. T. Meurer *et al.*, Immunohistochemical expression of markers Ki-67, neun,
synaptophysin, p53 and HER2 in medulloblastoma and its correlation with
clinicopathological parameters. *Arquivos de neuro-psiquiatria* **66**, 385-390 (2008).
17.　H. Zhao, O. Ayrault, F. Zindy, J. H. Kim, M. F. Roussel, Post-transcriptional down-
regulation of Atoh1/Math1 by bone morphogenic proteins suppresses medulloblastoma
development. *Genes Dev* **22**, 722-727 (2008).
18.　I. Rios, R. Alvarez-Rodriguez, E. Marti, S. Pons, Bmp2 antagonizes sonic hedgehog-
mediated proliferation of cerebellar granule neurones through Smad5 signalling.
Development **131**, 3159-3168 (2004).
19.　J. S. Espinosa, L. Luo, Timing neurogenesis and differentiation: insights from quantitative
clonal analyses of cerebellar granule cells. *J Neurosci* **28**, 2301-2312 (2008).
20.　T. Kim, H. Park, K. Tanaka-Yamamoto, Y. Yamamoto, Developmental timing-dependent
organization of synaptic connections between mossy fibers and granule cells in the
cerebellum. *Commun Biol* **6**, 446 (2023).
21.　J. K. Rhee, H. Park, T. Kim, Y. Yamamoto, K. Tanaka-Yamamoto, Projection-dependent
heterogeneity of cerebellar granule cell calcium responses. *Molecular brain* **14**, 63
(2021).
22.　S. A. Shuster *et al.*, The relationship between birth timing, circuit wiring, and
physiological response properties of cerebellar granule cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
118, (2021).
23.　K. Leto *et al.*, Consensus Paper: Cerebellar Development. *Cerebellum*, (2015).
24.　A. Chedotal, Should I stay or should I go? Becoming a granule cell. *Trends Neurosci* **33**,
163-172 (2010).
25.　X. Zhu *et al.*, Role of Tet1/3 Genes and Chromatin Remodeling Genes in Cerebellar
Circuit Formation. *Neuron* **89**, 100-112 (2016).
26.　P. Skowron *et al.*, The transcriptional landscape of Shh medulloblastoma. *Nature*
communications **12**, 1749 (2021).
27.　A. Shearn, G. Hersperger, E. Hersperger, Genetic analysis of two allelic temperature-
sensitive mutants of Drosophila melanogaster both of which are zygotic and maternal-
effect lethals. *Genetics* **89**, 341-353 (1978).
28.　E. A. Carrington, R. S. Jones, The Drosophila Enhancer of zeste gene encodes a
chromosomal protein: examination of wild-type and mutant protein distribution.
Development **122**, 4073-4083 (1996).
29.　D. C. Tkachuk, S. Kohler, M. L. Cleary, Involvement of a homolog of Drosophila trithorax
by 11q23 chromosomal translocations in acute leukemias. *Cell* **71**, 691-700 (1992).
30.　E. A. Lumpkin *et al.*, Math1-driven GFP expression in the developing nervous system of
transgenic mice. *Gene expression patterns : GEP* **3**, 389-395 (2003).
31.　M. C. Vladoiu *et al.*, Childhood cerebellar tumours mirror conserved fetal transcriptional
programs. *Nature* **572**, 67-73 (2019).
32.　R. Machold, C. Klein, G. Fishell, Genes expressed in Atoh1 neuronal lineages arising from
the r1/isthmus rhombic lip. *Gene expression patterns : GEP* **11**, 349-359 (2011).
33.　D. Morales, M. E. Hatten, Molecular markers of neuronal progenitors in the embryonic
cerebellar anlage. *J Neurosci* **26**, 12226-12236 (2006).

34. R. Cao *et al.*, Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in polycomb-group silencing.
Science **298**, 1039-1043 (2002).
35. H. B. Wang *et al.*, Role of histone H2A ubiquitination in polycomb silencing. *Nature* **431**,
873-878 (2004).
36. T. Rauch, G. P. Pfeifer, Methylated-CpG island recovery assay: a new technique for the
rapid detection of methylated-CpG islands in cancer. *Laboratory investigation; a journal*
of technical methods and pathology **85**, 1172-1180 (2005).
37. M. P. Creyghton *et al.*, Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and
predicts developmental state. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 21931-21936 (2010).
38. A. Rada-Iglesias *et al.*, A unique chromatin signature uncovers early developmental
enhancers in humans. *Nature* **470**, 279-283 (2011).
39. N. D. Heintzman *et al.*, Histone modifications at human enhancers reflect global cell-
type-specific gene expression. *Nature* **459**, 108-112 (2009).
40. N. D. Heintzman *et al.*, Distinct and predictive chromatin signatures of transcriptional
promoters and enhancers in the human genome. *Nat Genet* **39**, 311-318 (2007).
41. J. D. Buenrostro, B. Wu, H. Y. Chang, W. J. Greenleaf, ATAC-seq: A Method for Assaying
Chromatin Accessibility Genome-Wide. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* **109**, 21 29 21-29 (2015).
42. W. A. Whyte *et al.*, Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers
at key cell identity genes. *Cell* **153**, 307-319 (2013).
43. R. Margueron, D. Reinberg, The Polycomb complex PRC2 and its mark in life. *Nature*
469, 343-349 (2011).
44. A. Hugues, C. S. Jacobs, F. Roudier, Mitotic Inheritance of PRC2-Mediated Silencing:
Mechanistic Insights and Developmental Perspectives. *Frontiers in plant science* **11**, 262
(2020).
45. U. Jadhav *et al.*, Replicational Dilution of H3K27me3 in Mammalian Cells and the Role of
Poised Promoters. *Mol Cell* **78**, 141-151 e145 (2020).
46. R. T. Coleman, G. Struhl, Causal role for inheritance of H3K27me3 in maintaining the OFF
state of a Drosophila HOX gene. *Science* **356**, (2017).
47. P. Asp *et al.*, Genome-wide remodeling of the epigenetic landscape during myogenic
differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, E149-158 (2011).
48. D. S. Gilmour, J. T. Lis, RNA polymerase II interacts with the promoter region of the
noninduced hsp70 gene in Drosophila melanogaster cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **6**, 3984-3989
(1986).
49. D. J. Huebert, M. Kamal, A. O'Donovan, B. E. Bernstein, Genome-wide analysis of histone
modifications by ChIP-on-chip. *Methods* **40**, 365-369 (2006).
50. T. S. Mikkelsen *et al.*, Genome-wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-
committed cells. *Nature* **448**, 553-560 (2007).
51. N. P. Blackledge *et al.*, Variant PRC1 complex-dependent H2A ubiquitylation drives PRC2
recruitment and polycomb domain formation. *Cell* **157**, 1445-1459 (2014).
52. M. Ku *et al.*, Genomewide analysis of PRC1 and PRC2 occupancy identifies two classes of
bivalent domains. *PLoS Genet* **4**, e1000242 (2008).
53. E. Vire *et al.*, The Polycomb group protein EZH2 directly controls DNA methylation.
Nature **439**, 871-874 (2006).

54.   D. O'Carroll *et al.*, The polycomb-group gene Ezh2 is required for early mouse
development. *Mol Cell Biol* **21**, 4330-4336 (2001).

55.   I. H. Su *et al.*, Ezh2 controls B cell development through histone H3 methylation and Igh
rearrangement. *Nat Immunol* **4**, 124-131 (2003).

56.   V. Matei *et al.*, Smaller inner ear sensory epithelia in Neurog 1 null mice are related to
earlier hair cell cycle exit. *Dev Dyn* **234**, 633-650 (2005).

57.   J. Muller *et al.*, Histone methyltransferase activity of a Drosophila Polycomb group
repressor complex. *Cell* **111**, 197-208 (2002).

58.   S. Erhardt *et al.*, Consequences of the depletion of zygotic and embryonic enhancer of
zeste 2 during preimplantation mouse development. *Development* **130**, 4235-4248
(2003).

59.   R. Margueron *et al.*, Ezh1 and Ezh2 maintain repressive chromatin through different
mechanisms. *Mol Cell* **32**, 503-518 (2008).

60.   K. H. Ong, J. De, L. Cheng, S. Ahmed, W. Yu, NeuronCyto II: An automatic and
quantitative solution for crossover neural cells in high throughput screening. *Cytometry.*
Part A : the journal of the International Society for Analytical Cytology **89**, 747-754
(2016).

61.   X. Zhao *et al.*, RAS/MAPK Activation Drives Resistance to Smo Inhibition, Metastasis, and
Tumor Evolution in Shh Pathway-Dependent Tumors. *Cancer research* **75**, 3623-3635
(2015).

62.   K. D. Konze *et al.*, An orally bioavailable chemical probe of the Lysine Methyltransferases
EZH2 and EZH1. *ACS chemical biology* **8**, 1324-1334 (2013).

63.   D. W. Fry *et al.*, Specific inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 by PD 0332991 and
associated antitumor activity in human tumor xenografts. *Molecular cancer therapeutics*
3, 1427-1438 (2004).

64.   L. V. Goodrich, L. Milenkovic, K. M. Higgins, M. P. Scott, Altered neural cell fates and
medulloblastoma in mouse patched mutants. *Science* **277**, 1109-1113 (1997).

65.   T. G. Oliver *et al.*, Loss of patched and disruption of granule cell development in a pre-
neoplastic stage of medulloblastoma. *Development* **132**, 2425-2439 (2005).

66.   T. Purzner *et al.*, Developmental phosphoproteomics identifies the kinase CK2 as a
driver of Hedgehog signaling and a therapeutic target in medulloblastoma. *Sci Signal* **11**,
(2018).

67.   K. Matlik, E. E. Govek, M. R. Paul, C. D. Allis, M. E. Hatten, Histone bivalency regulates
the timing of cerebellar granule cell development. *Genes Dev* **37**, 570-589 (2023).

68.   V. Ramesh, F. Liu, M. S. Minto, U. Chan, A. E. West, Bidirectional regulation of
postmitotic H3K27me3 distributions underlie cerebellar granule neuron maturation
dynamics. *eLife* **12**, (2023).

69.   X. Feng *et al.*, Polycomb Ezh2 controls the fate of GABAergic neurons in the embryonic
cerebellum. *Development* **143**, 1971-1980 (2016).

70.   C. Mozzetta *et al.*, Selective control of Pax7 expression by TNF-activated
p38alpha/polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) signaling during muscle satellite cell
differentiation. *Cell Cycle* **10**, 191-198 (2011).

71.   A. H. Juan *et al.*, Polycomb EZH2 controls self-renewal and safeguards the transcriptional
1078   identity of skeletal muscle stem cells. *Genes Dev* **25**, 789-794 (2011).
1079   72.   G. Caretti, M. Di Padova, B. Micales, G. E. Lyons, V. Sartorelli, The Polycomb Ezh2
1080   methyltransferase regulates muscle gene expression and skeletal muscle differentiation.
1081   *Genes Dev* **18**, 2627-2638 (2004).
1082   73.   A. He *et al.*, Polycomb repressive complex 2 regulates normal development of the
1083   mouse heart. *Circulation research* **110**, 406-415 (2012).
1084   74.   X. P. Yang *et al.*, EZH2 is crucial for both differentiation of regulatory T cells and T
1085   effector cell expansion. *Scientific reports* **5**, 10643 (2015).
1086   75.   B. P. Coe *et al.*, Genomic deregulation of the E2F/Rb pathway leads to activation of the
1087   oncogene EZH2 in small cell lung cancer. *PLoS One* **8**, e71670 (2013).
1088   76.   A. P. Bracken *et al.*, EZH2 is downstream of the pRB-E2F pathway, essential for
1089   proliferation and amplified in cancer. *Embo J* **22**, 5323-5335 (2003).
1090   77.   R. Wijayatunge *et al.*, The histone demethylase Kdm6b regulates a mature gene
1091   expression program in differentiating cerebellar granule neurons. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **87**,
1092   4-17 (2018).
1093   78.   A. H. Cleveland *et al.*, PRC2 disruption in cerebellar progenitors produces cerebellar
1094   hypoplasia and aberrant myoid differentiation without blocking medulloblastoma
1095   growth. *Acta neuropathologica communications* **11**, 8 (2023).
1096   79.   K. Jun *et al.*, Ablation of P/Q-type Ca(2+) channel currents, altered synaptic
1097   transmission, and progressive ataxia in mice lacking the alpha(1A)-subunit. *Proc Natl*
1098   *Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 15245-15250 (1999).
1099   80.   H. Komuro, P. Rakic, Modulation of neuronal migration by NMDA receptors. *Science* **260**,
1100   95-97 (1993).
1101   81.   M. Farrant, D. Feldmeyer, T. Takahashi, S. G. Cull-Candy, NMDA-receptor channel
1102   diversity in the developing cerebellum. *Nature* **368**, 335-339 (1994).
1103   82.   D. J. Rossi, N. T. Slater, The developmental onset of NMDA receptor-channel activity
1104   during neuronal migration. *Neuropharmacology* **32**, 1239-1248 (1993).
1105   83.   C. Akazawa, R. Shigemoto, Y. Bessho, S. Nakanishi, N. Mizuno, Differential expression of
1106   five N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunit mRNAs in the cerebellum of developing and
1107   adult rats. *The Journal of comparative neurology* **347**, 150-160 (1994).
1108   84.   M. Watanabe, M. Mishina, Y. Inoue, Distinct spatiotemporal expressions of five NMDA
1109   receptor channel subunit mRNAs in the cerebellum. *The Journal of comparative*
1110   *neurology* **343**, 513-519 (1994).
1111   85.   K. Tarnok, K. Czondor, M. Jelitai, A. Czirok, K. Schlett, NMDA receptor NR2B subunit
1112   over-expression increases cerebellar granule cell migratory activity. *Journal of*
1113   *neurochemistry* **104**, 818-829 (2008).
1114   86.   K. Schlett *et al.*, Long-term NR2B expression in the cerebellum alters granule cell
1115   development and leads to NR2A down-regulation and motor deficits. *Mol Cell Neurosci*
1116   **27**, 215-226 (2004).
1117   87.   H. Komuro, P. Rakic, Selective role of N-type calcium channels in neuronal migration.
1118   *Science* **257**, 806-809 (1992).

88. P. Zhou *et al.*, Polarized signaling endosomes coordinate BDNF-induced chemotaxis of
cerebellar precursors. *Neuron* **55**, 53-68 (2007).
89. P. R. Borghesani *et al.*, BDNF stimulates migration of cerebellar granule cells.
Development **129**, 1435-1442 (2002).
90. M. Kokubo *et al.*, BDNF-mediated cerebellar granule cell development is impaired in
mice null for CaMKK2 or CaMKIV. *J Neurosci* **29**, 8901-8913 (2009).
91. S. Pons, J. L. Trejo, J. R. Martinez-Morales, E. Marti, Vitronectin regulates Sonic
hedgehog activity during cerebellum development through CREB phosphorylation.
Development **128**, 1481-1492 (2001).
92. G. U. Hoglinger *et al.*, The pRb/E2F cell-cycle pathway mediates cell death in Parkinson's
disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 3585-3590 (2007).
93. K. L. Jordan-Sciutto, L. M. Malaiyandi, R. Bowser, Altered distribution of cell cycle
transcriptional regulators during Alzheimer disease. *Journal of neuropathology and*
experimental neurology **61**, 358-367 (2002).
94. H. Osuga *et al.*, Cyclin-dependent kinases as a therapeutic target for stroke. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **97**, 10254-10259 (2000).
95. G. C. Wong, K. H. Chow, DNA Damage Response-Associated Cell Cycle Re-Entry and
Neuronal Senescence in Brain Aging and Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* **94**, S429-
S451 (2023).
96. I. Blumcke, O. D. Wiestler, Gangliogliomas: an intriguing tumor entity associated with
focal epilepsies. *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* **61**, 575-584
(2002).
97. M. J. Hicks, B. Mackay, Comparison of ultrastructural features among neuroblastic
tumors: maturation from neuroblastoma to ganglioneuroma. *Ultrastructural pathology*
19, 311-322 (1995).
98. E. Miele *et al.*, The histone methyltransferase EZH2 as a druggable target in SHH
medulloblastoma cancer stem cells. *Oncotarget* **8**, 68557-68570 (2017).
99. I. Alimova *et al.*, Targeting the enhancer of zeste homologue 2 in medulloblastoma.
International journal of cancer. Journal international du cancer **131**, 1800-1809 (2012).
100. Y. Cheng *et al.*, NeuroD1 Dictates Tumor Cell Differentiation in Medulloblastoma. *Cell*
reports **31**, 107782 (2020).
101. M. Leszczyniecka, T. Roberts, P. Dent, S. Grant, P. B. Fisher, Differentiation therapy of
human cancer: basic science and clinical applications. *Pharmacology & therapeutics* **90**,
105-156 (2001).
102. G. B. Pierce, C. Wallace, Differentiation of malignant to benign cells. *Cancer research* **31**,
127-134 (1971).
103. G. B. Pierce, The cancer cell and its control by the embryo. Rous-Whipple Award lecture.
The American journal of pathology **113**, 117-124 (1983).
104. D. Head *et al.*, Effect of aggressive daunomycin therapy on survival in acute
promyelocytic leukemia. *Blood* **86**, 1717-1728 (1995).
105. H. J. Iland *et al.*, All-trans-retinoic acid, idarubicin, and IV arsenic trioxide as initial
therapy in acute promyelocytic leukemia (APML4). *Blood* **120**, 1570-1580; quiz 1752
(2012).

106.   F. Lo-Coco, L. Cicconi, M. Breccia, Current standard treatment of adult acute
1163   promyelocytic leukaemia. *British journal of haematology* **172**, 841-854 (2016).
1164   107.   Z. Y. Wang, Z. Chen, Acute promyelocytic leukemia: from highly fatal to highly curable.
1165   *Blood* **111**, 2505-2515 (2008).
1166   108.   Q. Chang *et al.*, All-trans-retinoic acid induces cell growth arrest in a human
1167   medulloblastoma cell line. *Journal of neuro-oncology* **84**, 263-267 (2007).
1168   109.   J. Liu, L. Guo, Y. Luo, J. W. Li, H. Li, All trans-retinoic acid suppresses in vitro growth and
1169   down-regulates LIF gene expression as well as telomerase activity of human
1170   medulloblastoma cells. *Anticancer research* **20**, 2659-2664 (2000).
1171   110.   A. R. Hallahan *et al.*, BMP-2 mediates retinoid-induced apoptosis in medulloblastoma
1172   cells through a paracrine effect. *Nature medicine* **9**, 1033-1038 (2003).
1173   111.   S. E. Spiller, S. H. Ditzler, B. J. Pullar, J. M. Olson, Response of preclinical
1174   medulloblastoma models to combination therapy with 13-cis retinoic acid and
1175   suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA). *Journal of neuro-oncology* **87**, 133-141 (2008).
1176   112.   M. L. Cook Sangar *et al.*, Inhibition of CDK4/6 by Palbociclib Significantly Extends Survival
1177   in Medulloblastoma Patient-Derived Xenograft Mouse Models. *Clinical cancer research :*
1178   *an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research* **23**, 5802-5813
1179   (2017).
1180   113.   D. Van Mater *et al.*, A phase I trial of the CDK 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib in pediatric
1181   patients with progressive brain tumors: A Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium study
1182   (PBTC-042). *Pediatric blood & cancer* **68**, e28879 (2021).
1183   114.   V. Dieras *et al.*, Long-term Pooled Safety Analysis of Palbociclib in Combination With
1184   Endocrine Therapy for HR+/HER2- Advanced Breast Cancer. *Journal of the National*
1185   *Cancer Institute* **111**, 419-430 (2019).
1186   115.   S. A. Blythe, C. D. Reid, D. S. Kessler, P. S. Klein, Chromatin immunoprecipitation in early
1187   Xenopus laevis embryos. *Dev Dyn* **238**, 1422-1432 (2009).
1188   116.   S. Xu, S. Grullon, K. Ge, W. Peng, Spatial clustering for identification of ChIP-enriched
1189   regions (SICER) to map regions of histone methylation patterns in embryonic stem cells.
1190   *Methods in molecular biology* **1150**, 97-111 (2014).
1191   117.   J. Feng, T. Liu, Y. Zhang, Using MACS to identify peaks from ChIP-Seq data. *Current*
1192   *protocols in bioinformatics / editoral board, Andreas D. Baxevanis ... [et al.]* **Chapter 2**,
1193   Unit 2 14 (2011).
1194   118.   M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
1195   RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
1196   119.   T. Benaglia, D. Chauveau, D. R. Hunter, D. S. Young, mixtools: An R Package for Analyzing
1197   Mixture Models. *Journal of Statistical Software* **32**, 1 - 29 (2009).
1198   120.   F. Yue *et al.*, A comparative encyclopedia of DNA elements in the mouse genome.
1199   *Nature* **515**, 355-364 (2014).
1200   121.   M. Pertea *et al.*, StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from
1201   RNA-seq reads. *Nature biotechnology* **33**, 290-295 (2015).
1202   122.   A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
1203   features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841-842 (2010).

123. C. Trapnell, L. Pachter, S. L. Salzberg, TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq.
Bioinformatics **25**, 1105-1111 (2009).
124. L. Scrucca, M. Fop, T. B. Murphy, A. E. Raftery, mclust 5: Clustering, Classification and
Density Estimation Using Gaussian Finite Mixture Models. *R J* **8**, 289-317 (2016).
125. I. Diboun, L. Wernisch, C. A. Orengo, M. Koltzenburg, Microarray analysis after RNA
amplification can detect pronounced differences in gene expression using limma. *BMC*
genomics **7**, 252 (2006).
126. H. Y. Lee, L. A. Greene, C. A. Mason, M. C. Manzini, Isolation and culture of post-natal
mouse cerebellar granule neuron progenitor cells and neurons. *Journal of visualized*
experiments : JoVE, (2009).
127. S. D. Cappell, M. Chung, A. Jaimovich, S. L. Spencer, T. Meyer, Irreversible APC(Cdh1)
Inactivation Underlies the Point of No Return for Cell-Cycle Entry. *Cell* **166**, 167-180
(2016).

1217

1218

Figure 1

A) Spatial Distribution of Stages in Cerebellar Development (P7)

B) Temporal Distribution of Stages in Cerebellar Development

C)

Figure 1: Identifying a high confidence group of genes that increase with GNP differentiation.

A) Schematic representation of the spatial distribution of stages of GNP development represented in the P7 mouse cerebellum. B) Schematic representation of the temporal distribution of the stages of GNP development. Stages represented in the RNASeq data are indicated by vertical bars. Representative sagittal slices of the cerebellum from mice harboring a *Math1-GFP* reporter, injected with EDU (1.5 h, red) and DAPI (blue) at P1, P7, and P14. **C**) A Sankey diagram describes how genes are partitioned in order to identify high confidence differentiation genes.   Briefly, genes are segregated by the following steps: 1, excluded genes by non-expression; 2, excluded genes by contamination from non granule neuron lineage identified through scRNAseq; 3, excluded by minimal change in expression during development; 4, segregated genes by the time of minimum and maximum expression (highest P14 to P6 differentiation genes, highest P0-7 proliferation genes, highest at E15 embryonic genes); 5 excluded genes by low statistical confidence; 6, exclude genes that increase prior to P0; 7, separate by fold-change (>2 fold log2 fold change or 1-2 log2 fold change) .

Figure 2

A)

B)

Figure 2: **H3K27me3 modification near the promoter of genes at P7 is associated with genes that increase later with differentiation.**

A) Enrichment of chromatin features near promoters of proliferating P7 GNP whose expression increases during differentiation**.** Enrichment is calculated for a given modification as the number of differentiation genes over either all genes (black) or genes expressed at any point during the granule neuron lineage (green). The log2 value of this fraction is then shown on the figure. The p-value is calculated using the hypergeometric test and the p-values are shown as the size of the dot. **B)** A parallel sets diagram that shows correlation between H3K27me3 binding and other modification. The disconnected bar graphs shows the number of genes that are bound or within the long upper tail for each modification. The red colour that spans the bar segments shows the genes that contain the next modification.

Figure 3

Figure 3: *Ezh2* cKO leads to a depletion of the inner EGL

 A) Schematic of granule neuron (GN) development in a P7 cerebellum sagittal slice. Inner external grandule layer (iEGL), outer external granule layer (oEGL); molecular layer (ML), internal granule layer (IGL). **B)** WT and **C)** *Ezh2* cKO 40x confocal micrographs of the deep sulcus between lobule V and VI in the control and in the cKO, showing DAPI (red) and p27 (green; a cell cycle arrest marker in GNPs). In the mutant, the boundary between the inner EGL and the ML and the ML with the IGL is ill-defined. The cyan arrow indicates the position of the inner EGL, there are fewer p27 labeled cells sitting within the EGL. The cellular density is reduced in both the inner EGL and IGL. **D)** Quantification of the boundaries adjacent to the ML in WT and *Ezh2* cKO by collapsing 2D images into 1D line segments**.** The line segments for anti-p27 immunofluorescence span from the pial boundary to the IGL and show a hump of high average fluorescence at the inner EGL and the IGL. In the *Ezh2* cKO the iEGL p27 signal extends further into the ML then in the WT. The blue arrows show the approximate position of the transition between the iEGL and the ML. E) The average fluorescence intensity difference between mutant (n = 7) and WT (n = 4) shows where along the line segment from the pia to the IGL is the *Ezh2* cKO most different from the WT. Positive values are associated with p27 value being higher in the *Ezh2* cKO and is highest at the boundary of the inner EGL and the ML and to a lesser extent at the boundary between the ML and IGL. The WT shows higher signal within the inner EGL itself where the values of E are negative. **F)** Quantification of each line segment at the point where the differences between *Ezh2* cKO and WT are largest as indicated by the hashed lines seen in panel D and E. The left panel shows quantification at position where the WT had had more P27 staining then to the *Ezh2* cKO and the right panel shows the opposite.

The distribution of the values at the 2 positions in right and left panel for the 266 WT and 315 *Ezh2* cKO line segments was shown as a violin plot (a histogram that is mirrored). The dots correspond to individual mice, with multiple slides analyzed for each mouse. P-values are calculated using a T-test showing a significant increase of P27 labeling within the boundary of the EGL and ML. G) Segmentation into inner and outer EGL, ML, IGL and deep white matter using panoramic images of the entire cerebellum, labeled with DAPI, P27, NeuN. Anti-p27 over the entire P7 cerebellar section, for WT i) and *Ezh2* cKO ii). **H)** Quantification of the area for each layer in WT and *Ezh2* cKO shows no significant difference, indicating the overall structure of the cerebellum is not dramatically altered and the phenotype is limited to the early migration out of the inner EGL. **I)** Quantification of the anti-p27 fluorescence intensity by layer shows a decrease in the fluorescence in *Ezh2* cKO versus WT, for the inner EGL (p-value 0.028, t-test) and IGL (p-value 0.013, t-test). The decrease in p27 abundance suggests that the total number of neurons within the inner EGL and the IGL density of cells within those areas is reduced.

Figure 4

Figure 4: *Ezh2* **cKO leads to premature process extension and NeuN expression**.

GNPs cultured for 24 in Shh, were labeled with DAPI (nucleus) and for MAP2 (neuronal processes) (**A,C**), then segmented with Neuronctyo 2 (**B,D**). Segmented images showed increased process extension in _Ezh2_ cKO (**D** for cKO versus WT in **B**). **F**) Mean process length comparison between *Ezh2* cKO and WT shows cells in *Ezh2* cKO mice had significantly longer processes (n = 5). **E**) Quantification of the number of cells with no process, a process less than 5, 10, 50, 100 pixels, or greater then 100 pixels, showed a significant increase in the number of processes longer then 50 pixels. P-value determined by T-test.

Figure 5

Figure 5: **Transcriptional regulation of known H3K27me3 effector protein complexes during granule neuron (GN) development.**

A) Rank order plot of genes that change expression during GN development shows *Ezh2* as the gene with the largest decrease in RNA abundance. The y-axis indicates the combined fold change for both datasets. **B)** RNA abundance over time for *Ezh2*. **C**) Schematic of granule neuron (GN) development in a P7 cerebellum sagittal slice. A P7 cerebellar slice stained with Anti-Ezh2 (left), DAPI (middle), and NeuN (right) shows reduced Ezh2 staining intensity in the postmitotic cells of the iEGL and EGL. **D)** Schematic of GNP culture without Shh, which causes GNPs to immediately exit cell cycle. Ezh2 protein abundance was measured after 6 h and 24, splitting cells into proliferating (high Math1>GFP) cells and non-proliferating (low Math1>GFP) cells (n=6). The decrease in Ezh2 shortly after cell cycle suggests that the decrease in Ezh2 is linked to cell cycle exit but does not show a causal relationship.

Figure 6

Figure 6: **In medulloblastoma cells GNP differentiation genes are transcriptionally repressed and H3K27me3 modified.**

A) H3K27me3 quantified over the promoters of GNP differentiation genes in GNPs (x-axis) and MB (y-axis). Genes are color-coded based upon H3K27me3-marked versus not marked in GNP and MB. Genes whose promoters show more H3K27me3 modification in GNP are shown in red, while those higher in MB are blue and those modified in both are purple. Duller colors (dark tones) were used if higher relative expression in MB than in GNPs. Higher saturation colors indicate gene expressed relatively higher in GNPs than in MB. The colour legend is seen bellow panel A. **B)** Transcript levels of differentiation genes in P7 GNPs, x-axis) versus in $Ptch1^{+/-}$ derived MB cells (y-axis). Colors are inherited from panel A with dark tones used for genes in MB (2 fold higher expression and adjusted p-value <0.05) and high saturation colours when higher expression in GNPs. **C)** Sankey diagram showing how the H3K27me3 modified differentiation genes are expressed in MB. The second column shows the differentiation genes in GNP separated by H3K27me3 modification. The third column compares MB H3K27me3 ChIP, showing of 447 H3K27me3 modified GNP differentiation genes, 336 (75%) of those genes are also H3K27me3 modified in MB. The fourth column shows differential expression between P7 GNPs and MB. Even when the H3K27me3 is not present in MB cells only 8% of the differentiation genes show an increase in expression in MB compared to P7 GNPs. The fifth column shows the final classification**.**

Figure 7

A)

Cavalli et al SHH MB class
- ● SHH_alpha
- ▲ SHH_beta
- ■ SHH_delta
- + SHH_gamma

EZH2 transcript group
- EZH2 high
- EZH2 low
- EZH2 mid

number of tumors

EZH2 RNA abundance

B)

Average RNA Abundance for EZH2 Low Tumors

Average RNA Abundance for EZH2 High Tumors

CACNA1A
ABLIM1
GABRD
PHYHIP
CBX7
GABRA6
SLC12A5

EZH2 transcript group
- High when EZH2 low
- Low when EZH2 low
- other

C)

$$\text{enrichment} = \left| \log_2\left(\frac{n_{gene}(GNP_{group} \cap EZH2_{low})}{n_{gene}(GNP_{group} \cap EZH2_{high})} \right) \right|$$

GNP expression time course group

- unchanged
- Proliferation genes 1-2 log2FC
- Proliferation genes >2 log2FC
- Differentiation genes 1-2 log2FC
- Differentiation genes >2 log2FC

Number of genes in EZH2 MB differential expression groups

Log2 fold enrichment

Differential expression
- Genes increased when EZH2 low
- Genes increased when EZH2 high

-log10 p-val: ● 2 ● 5 ● 10 ● 20 ● 30 ● 40

D)

Survival probability

Time in years

EZH2 transcript group
- EZH2=high
- EZH2=low

p = 0.019

53	44	39	36	34
55	50	50	48	46

Figure 7: Human SHH MBs with High EZH2 are associated with worse outcome and show lower expression of GNP differentiation genes.

A) Labeled dot histogram of *EZH2* transcript abundance from 223 human SHH MB with the top (orange) and bottom (brown) 30% labeled. Each human tumor is represented as a dot with the symbols reflecting the most recent SHH MB classification (*9*). Note the high number of patients with gamma-class SHH MB with low EZH2 expression. These patients are infants with a typically good prognosis. **B)** Comparison of average gene expression between the human MB samples from the 30% highest (x-axis) and lowest EZH2 (y-axis) expressing tumours. The color labels show more highly expressed genes that are 1.5 fold higher (adjusted p < 0.05) in tumours that were low in EZH2 were labelled orange, while those that are higher in *EZH2* high tumours are brown. The GNP differentiation genes (red) show considerable overlap with the genes highest in EZH2 low tumours (orange), while the GNP proliferation genes (green) show overlap with the genes that are highest in EZH2 high tumours (brown). **C)** Quantification of the extensive overlap between GNP differentiation genes and genes highly expressed in EZH2 low human MB tumours. The categories of genes based on the GNP time course (y-axis) are quantified using a bar graph that shows the intersection of genes for each GNP group to either genes that are highly expressed when Ezh2 is low (EZH2$_{low}$) or genes that are highly expressed when Ezh2 is high (EZH2$_{high}$). Enrichment is calculated as the number of GNP group genes that intersect ($\cap$) with EZH2$_{low}$ genes over the number that intersect with EZH2$_{high}$ genes as described in the equation. The p-value is determined by the hypergeometric test.   **D)** Kaplan-Meyer curves show a significant 10 year survival difference for SHH MB patients with high versus low EZH2 transcript.

Figure 8

A) Dissociate MB spheres → Culture with drugs 72h → Image and quantify → Atoh1 +p27 (C/D) / pRB +NeuN (B)

B) Palbociclib 0 uM / Palbociclib 1 uM

Fraction of cells vs Concentration of UNC1999 (uM)

Cell classification: G0, G0 high NeuN, G1/2
Cell Line: MB55, MB56, p53_null

p = 0.76 / p = 0.86
p < 0.001 / p < 0.001
p < 0.001 / p < 0.001

p = 0.72 / p = 0.8
p = 0.027 / p < 0.001
p = 0.004 / p < 0.001

C) Dissociate MB spheres → Culture with drugs 72h → Wash out drug → Culture without drugs 24h → Image and quantify

D) Palbociclib 1uM (I, II pRB, II' NeuN, III phalloidin, III' DAPI) / Palbociclib 1uM + UNC1999 5uM (IV pRB NeuN, V pRB, V' NeuN, VI phalloidin, VI' DAPI)

E) Palbociclib 1 uM

Fraction of cells vs UNC1999 (uM), Ezh2 inhibitor

Cell classification: G0, G0 high NeuN, G1/2

p = 0.98 / p = 0.52
p = 0.006 / p < 0.001
p = 0.0092 / p < 0.001

Figure 8: Treatment of MB cells with Ezh2 and CDK4/6 inhibitors leads to differentiation.

A) Schematic of treatment and imaging protocol. MB cells were treated using the Ezh2 inhibitor UNC1999 with or without the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib. **B)** Quantification of immunofluorescence in treated MB cells (n = 16), derived from *Ptch1$^{+/-}$* mice, stained for NeuN, pRb (Ser807/811) and DAPI to categorize the cells as in G1/2 (green), G0 (yellow) and differentiating (G0 with high NeuN (red)). Note an increase in fraction of cells scored as differentiating with combined Palbociclib and UNC1999 treatment. **C)** Schematic of treatments with inhibitors followed by drug wash out. **D)** Immunofluorescence images of fields of MB cells stained for NeuN (red), pRb (green), DAPI (blue) and phalloidin (grey) after treatment for 72h with 1 uM Palbociclib (i-iii) combined with 1 uM Palbociclib or 5 uM UNC1999 (iv-vi) followed by washout as per C. Dashed boxes in i and iv show regions enlarged in ii and v respectively, with the individual colour channels shown in separate panes. Note fewer pRB positive cells with UNC1999 and Palbociclib (iv) than with Palbociclib alone (i).   **E)** Quantification of fractions of cells in G0, G1/2, and G0 and differentiating as described in B (n = 8). Note that the MB cells treated with both UNC1999 and Palbociclib showed persistent cell cycle exit even after washout. P-values in B and E calculated using ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey test.