Diverse phage defence systems define West African South American pandemic *Vibrio cholerae*

David W. Adams[1,2]*, Milena Jaskólska[1], Alexandre Lemopoulos[1], Sandrine Stutzmann[1], Laurie Righi[1], Loriane Bader[1] and Melanie Blokesch[1,2]*

[1]Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology, Global Health Institute, School of Life Sciences, Station 19, EPFL-SV-UPBLO, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

[2]These authors contributed equally.

*Corresponding authors: david.adams@epfl.ch and melanie.blokesch@epfl.ch

Summary

Our understanding of the factors underlying the evolutionary success of different lineages of

pandemic *Vibrio cholerae* remains incomplete. Interestingly, two unique genetic signatures define the

West African South American (WASA) lineage of *V. cholerae* responsible for the 1991-2001 Latin

American cholera epidemic. Here we show these signatures encode diverse anti-phage defence

systems. Firstly, the WASA-1 prophage encodes a 2-gene abortive-infection system WonAB that

renders the lineage resistant to the major predatory vibriophage ICP1, which alongside other phages,

is thought to restrict cholera epidemics and has potential for use in prophylaxis. Secondly, a unique

set of genes on the *Vibrio* seventh pandemic island II encodes an unusual modification-dependent

restriction system targeting phages with modified genomes, and a new member of the Shedu defence

family that defends against vibriophage X29. Taken together, we propose that these anti-phage

defence systems have likely contributed to the success of a major epidemic lineage of the ongoing

seventh cholera pandemic.

The ability of lytic bacteriophages to rapidly devastate bacterial populations has driven the evolution

of multiple layers of defence, including a diverse array of specialised anti-phage defence systems[1].

Bacteriophages also have the potential to affect bacterial pathogenesis, as exemplified by the cholera

toxin-encoding prophage CTXΦ[2]. Moreover, bacteriophage predation is thought to limit the duration

and severity of cholera epidemics, as well as affecting individual patient outcomes[3-6]. Sampling of

cholera patients has revealed that the O1 El Tor strains of *Vibrio cholerae* responsible for the ongoing

seventh cholera pandemic (7PET) consistently co-occur with three lytic phages ICP1, 2 and 3, with ICP1

being most frequently isolated[7,8]. Furthermore, the demonstration that a cocktail of ICP1-2-3 can

prevent cholera in animal infection models has led to renewed interest in using phages as a

prophylactic treatment[9]. There is therefore an urgent need to understand the mechanisms by which

V. cholerae defends against these and other viruses.

Since 1961 7PET strains have spread out from the Bay of Bengal in a series of three distinct but

overlapping waves[10]. Importantly, from Wave 2 onwards strains acquired SXT/R391 integrative and

conjugative elements (SXT-ICE), which in addition to carrying multiple antibiotic resistance genes,

encode a variable anti-phage defence hotspot that in the globally dominant SXT-ICE harbours either

BREX or Restriction-Modification systems active against ICP1-3[10,11]. Additionally, a family of viral

satellites, the Phage-inducible chromosomal island-like elements (PLE), also occur sporadically and

specifically parasitise ICP1 infection to mediate their own transmission[12,13]. Notably, these elements

exemplify the co-evolutionary arms race of defence and counter-defence between bacterial hosts and

their viral predators, with the emergence of resistant ICP1 phages that can overcome these defence

mechanisms selecting for either alternative SXT-ICE carrying new defence systems or new PLE

variants[11,14]. In contrast, how 7PET strains that lack SXT-ICE/PLE defend against these phages remains

unknown. Given their ubiquitous nature and ability to shape epidemics, we hypothesised that these

strains likely contained additional defence systems with activity against ICP1-3.

WASA-1 prophage renders Peruvian strains resistant to ICP1

To test this hypothesis, we challenged a set of intensively studied wave 1 strains that lack SXT-ICE/PLE with a well-characterised collection of ICP1-3 phages that utilise the O1-antigen (ICP1/3) and OmpU (ICP2) as receptors[7,15,16]. All strains exhibited the expected sensitivities to ICP2 and ICP3 (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1a-b). Unexpectedly, however, the widely used model strain A1552 – a Peruvian isolate – was completely resistant to all 16 tested ICP1 isolates spanning a twenty-seven-year period, including the most recent isolates from the Democratic Republic of the Congo[17], displaying a 10^5-fold reduction in plaque formation (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1a-e). Notably, the contemporary Peruvian strains C6706 and C6709 also behaved similarly. Interestingly, although zones of lysis were still observed at the highest concentrations of phage tested, re-streak tests revealed that these spots contained little-to-no viable particles (Extended Data Fig. 1c), suggesting that ICP1 propagation is significantly impaired and that these lysis zones represent 'lysis from without'[18]. Indeed, liquid replication assays comparing A1552 with the non-Peruvian strain E7946, showed that although genome injection proceeded similarly in both strains, in contrast to the robust replication observed with E7946, ICP1 was completely unable to replicate on strain A1552 (Extended Data Fig. 1d). ICP1 resistance in strain A1552 was independent of the *Vibrio* pathogenicity islands (VPI-1/2) and the *Vibrio* seventh pandemic islands (VSP-I/II), which together harbour multiple known anti-viral defence systems[19-26] (Extended Data Fig. 1e). Moreover, the ICP1-resistant strains encode the same repertoire of known defence systems as the ICP1-sensitive strains (Supplementary Table 1), suggesting that a novel as yet unrecognised defence system(s) is likely responsible for ICP1 resistance.

The Peruvian strains are members of the West African South American (WASA) lineage of 7PET *V. cholerae*[10], which was responsible for a massive cholera epidemic that started in Peru in 1991, before spreading rapidly throughout Latin America, resulting in over 1.2 million cholera cases and approximately 12,000 deaths[27]. Interestingly, phylogenetic analysis has shown that these strains originated in West Africa, where they acquired two genetic signatures that define the WASA lineage: (i) a novel set of genes on VSP-II and (ii) the WASA-1 prophage[10,28-31]. Since deletion of VSP-II had no effect on ICP1 resistance we tested strains deleted for WASA-1. Strikingly, this abolished defence

against ICP1 in all tested Peruvian strains, and restored ICP1 replication to levels similar to those of a

susceptible control (Fig. 1b-e and Extended Data Fig. 1b). Moreover, introducing WASA-1 into non-

WASA lineage strains rendered them ICP1 resistant (Extended Data Fig. 1f).

WonAB system is responsible for ICP1 defence

The WASA-1 prophage is highly conserved throughout all WASA lineage strains[10,30], and interestingly,

is also found in diverse *Vibrio* species (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 2).

WASA-1 displays a conserved core genome encoding proteins involved in capsid/tail biogenesis, DNA

replication and a recombinase (Fig. 1b). To determine the gene(s) responsible for ICP1 defence, we

used an existing RNA-seq dataset[32] to identify WASA-1 genes expressed under laboratory conditions,

and then screened truncations of these regions for loss of protection (Extended Data Fig. 2b). This

revealed a 2-gene operon (A1552VC_01233-34) encoding an ATPase and a hypothetical protein,

downstream of a conserved putative transcriptional regulator (A1552VC_01232) (Fig. 1b). For the

reasons outlined below we have renamed this operon WASA OLD-ABC ATPase Nuclease, WonAB.

Strikingly, deletion of *wonAB* abolished protection against ICP1. Moreover, ectopic expression of

wonAB complemented the Δ*wonAB* deletion and conferred complete protection to ICP1-sensitive non-

WASA strains (Fig. 1d-e). Importantly, both genes were required for protection indicating that

production of WonAB is both necessary and sufficient for anti-phage activity (Fig. 1f). Notably, although

WonAB is unique to the WASA-1 prophage found in *V. cholerae*, known defence systems could also be

found at the same locus in other non-cholerae WASA-1 (Supplementary Table 2), consistent with the

observation that prophages often carry anti-phage defence systems to increase the fitness of their

bacterial hosts[33-35].

Bioinformatic analysis revealed that WonA is an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) ATPase with a

predicted core β-barrel like ATPase fold characteristic of the ABC-ATPase clade[36]. Motifs required for

ATP binding and hydrolysis (Walker A, Q-loop, ABC-signature, Walker B, D-loop and H-loop) were

readily identifiable (Fig. 1g and Extended Data Fig. 3a). Moreover, structural modelling confidently

predicted that WonA forms a dimer, in which these motifs are positioned at the dimer interface to

form the composite ATP-binding sites typical of ABC-ATPases[36] (Fig. 1g, Extended Data Figs. 3b and 4a-

b). In contrast, WonB is predicted to encode a variant of the PD-(D/E)xK nuclease fold, with the highly

conserved active site residues clustered together[37] (Fig. 1g and Extended Data Fig. 3c-d). Variants

designed to disrupt either WonA ATP-binding/hydrolysis (K34A; R182A; E300A; H333A) or WonB

nuclease activity (K71A) all abolished protection against ICP1, despite mostly being produced at similar

levels to the WT control (Fig. 1h and Extended Data Fig. 3e). Interestingly, Western blotting showed

that inactivating WonA ATPase activity resulted in the loss of WonB, suggesting that WonA regulates

or otherwise affects the stability of WonB (Extended Data Fig. 3e). Indeed, the two proteins are

predicted to form a complex, with WonB binding to a surface created by the dimerised C-terminal

extensions of WonA (Fig. 1g and Extended Data Fig. 4a-d).

Homologues of WonAB are found in diverse classes of mainly Gram-negative bacteria and

overall were present in 0.43% of bacterial genomes examined (Extended Data Fig. 3f and

Supplementary Table 3). Notably, when expressed in *Escherichia coli* WonAB displayed robust activity

against all members of the *Vequintavirinae* subfamily of the BASEL bacteriophage collection[38],

indicating that WonAB activity is not limited to ICP1 (Extended Data Fig. 5). Interestingly, WonA ATPase

motifs display deviations typical of the overcoming lysogenisation defect (OLD) family of ABC-

ATPases[36,39] (Extended Data Fig. 3a). However, members of this family such as OLD, Gabija, PARIS and

the related Septu, are distinct from WonA in both structure and domain composition[39-44]. In contrast,

WonAB appears to be closely related to an operon encoding a predicted OLD-ABC ATPase and a novel

version of the restriction-enzyme fold (Extended Data Fig. 4), detected during a recent comprehensive

in silico survey of ABC-ATPases[36]. Moreover, homologues of this operon are found in 0.96% of bacterial

genomes and encompass all detected homologues of WonAB (Supplementary Table 3). Furthermore,

WonAB also shows similarity to operons with a similar organisation such as PD-T4-4 that have also

recently been identified (Extended Data Fig. 4), albeit with variations in the Insert 1/2 regions and C-

terminal extensions of the ATPase, and in some cases a distinct nuclease[34,45]. Taken together, these

data suggest that WonAB is part of a larger as yet uncharacterised family of ABC-ATPase sensors that

are paired with nuclease effectors.

ICP1 defence occurs via lysis-independent abortive infection

To gain insights into how WonAB mediates ICP1 defence we monitored the growth kinetics of ICP1-

infected cells at different multiplicities of infection (MOI), in the absence and presence of WASA-1 (Fig.

2a). This revealed that WonAB provides robust protection against ICP1 at low MOI when only a subset

of cells is initially infected. In contrast, infection at high MOI, when most cells are simultaneously

infected, resulted in a growth arrest (Fig. 2a). This phenotype is characteristic of abortive infection,

wherein individual cell viability is sacrificed prior to the completion of phage replication to protect the

surrounding bacterial population[46]. Indeed, time-lapse microscopy showed that while both WT and

ΔWASA-1 cells swell upon infection, cells of the ΔWASA-1 go on to lyse within ~20min, whereas WT

cells remain in this swollen state without lysing for the 60min duration of the experiment (Fig. 2b,

Extended Data Fig. 6 and movie 1). Moreover, these non-growing cells persisted in an intact state for

several hours before eventually undergoing lysis (Extended Data Fig. 7a-b). During this time ICP1-

infected cultures rebounded due to the selection of spontaneous O1-antigen mutants (Extended Data

Fig. 7c), as has been previously described[7]. Importantly, this is likely an *in vitro* phenomenon since O1-

antigen mutants are attenuated for virulence in animal infection models[47].

Efforts to isolate either a spontaneous or an evolved ICP1 escape mutant able to overcome

WonAB have so far proved unsuccessful. Therefore, to study the mode of action of WonAB in more

detail we investigated different stages of the ICP1 lifecycle in the absence and presence of WASA-1[8,48].

First, quantitative-PCR showed that ICP1 DNA replication proceeds similarly in both strain

backgrounds, albeit with a 2.7-fold defect in the WT background, indicating that WonAB neither

restricts ICP1 DNA on entry nor prevents the initiation of DNA replication (Fig. 2c). Second, microscopy

revealed that upon infection the nucleoids of both strains undergo dramatic changes in morphology

by 4min post-infection, with the nucleoid frequently appearing to contract along its long axis, likely

representing the action of ICP1-encoded nucleases and host-cell takeover[48,49] (Fig. 2d). Notably,

whereas ΔWASA-1 cells then rapidly went on to lyse, DNA in WT cells persisted in a highly compacted

state and went on to form toroidal structures, suggesting that WonAB does not act by simply degrading

DNA. Third, microscopy of ICP1-infected cells producing a fluorescent protein fusion to the ICP1 major

capsid protein revealed robust capsid assembly, lysis and release in the ΔWASA-1 background (Fig. 2e-

g). In contrast, capsid assembly was largely absent in WT cells (Fig. 2f). Taken together, these results

show that WonAB does not prevent the initial damage inflicted by ICP1, and suggest that WonAB is

activated after the initiation of ICP1 DNA replication and prevents progression to structural protein

assembly.

WonAB activation shuts down cell growth by inhibiting translation

The data so far are consistent with WonAB aborting ICP1 infection via a lysis-independent mechanism

that targets the host cell. To test whether WonAB can be artificially activated in the absence of

infection, we overexpressed either the *wonAB* operon or each gene individually in an otherwise WT

background (Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 8a). While *wonAB* and *wonA* had no apparent phenotype,

WonB overproduction was highly toxic, resulting in a growth arrest and a rapid loss in viability, with

>99% of cells non-viable within 30min (Fig. 3a-c and Extended Data Fig. 8a). Furthermore, toxicity was

dependent on WonB nuclease activity since the WonB[K71A] variant was non-toxic (Fig. 3b-c and

Extended Data Fig. 8a). One explanation for these data could be that WonAB is a toxin-antitoxin system,

with WonA acting as an antitoxin to neutralise the toxicity of WonB[50]. Indeed, the DarTG toxin-antitoxin

system has recently been shown to have activity against ICP1[51]. Arguing against this hypothesis,

however, WonB overproduction was not toxic in a Δ*wonAB* background, despite being produced at

similar levels to the control (Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 8b-c). Moreover, deletion of *wonA* or

inactivation of WonA ATPase activity also abolished the toxicity associated with WonB overproduction

(Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 8b-c). Thus, these results rule out a simple sequestration mechanism

and suggest that WonA is either required to activate WonB or that the two proteins act together.

Microscopy revealed that following WonB overproduction growth-arrested cells exhibit

condensed nucleoids that at later stages display aberrant morphologies and are highly compacted (Fig.

3d and Extended Data Fig. 8d). Notably, the vast majority of cells retained DNA and purified genomic

DNA also remained intact (Extended Data Fig. 8d-e), indicating that toxicity does not result from

chromosomal DNA degradation. Since the nucleoid compaction phenotype resembled the effects of

antibiotics that inhibit translation[52], we investigated WonB production over time using Western

blotting (Fig. 3e). Remarkably, this revealed that compared to the inactivated WonB[K71A] control,

WonB is produced only for short time after induction, and by 30min post-induction was no longer

detectable. Importantly, qRT-PCR showed that *wonB* mRNA levels were induced similarly between the

two constructs and remained stable throughout the course of the experiment (Extended Data Fig. 8f).

These data suggested that (i) WonB overproduction inhibits translation and (ii) that WonB is likely

unstable. In agreement with these hypotheses, following inhibition of translation with

chloramphenicol, WonB rapidly disappeared in a Lon protease-dependent manner (Fig. 3f-g),

indicating that WonB is indeed unstable and subject to proteolytic degradation. Since in the absence

of ICP1, WonB was toxic only when produced in excess of WonA, Lon-mediated degradation likely

functions as a safety mechanism to reduce WonB accumulation and hence prevent auto-immunity.

Collectively, these results support a model whereby upon activation WonAB aborts phage infection by

inhibiting translation, and thus prevents the progression of the ICP1 lifecycle. Notably, although

WonAB aborts the infection, cells are likely already irreversibly damaged by ICP1 during the initial

stages of the infection. Furthermore, since the growth-arrest upon WonB overproduction does not

result in genomic DNA degradation, these results suggest that the target of WonB nuclease activity

could be a rRNA or tRNA.

VSP-II[WASA] carries two distinct anti-phage defence systems

The second genetic signature of the WASA lineage is a unique variant of VSP-II (Fig. 4a), wherein the

chemotaxis gene cluster from the prototypical VSP-II is replaced by a set of three novel genes

(A1552VC_00274-76) and a frame-shifted transposase gene[10,28,53]. Although these genes are not

predicted to be part of a known defence system, and lacked activity against ICP1-3, bioinformatic

analysis revealed that they encode proteins with domains characteristic of defence systems (see

below). Consistent with this, when this cluster was expressed in *E. coli* we observed anti-phage activity

against several major groups of the BASEL collection (Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 9a). As outlined

below, genetic dissection revealed that this cluster encodes two independent systems, with the two-

gene operon VC_00274-75 encoding the <u>G</u>mrSD-like Type IV <u>RE</u>ase of <u>W</u>ASA strains, GrwAB (Fig. 4c-e)

and VC_00276 encoding the <u>V. cholerae</u> <u>Sh</u>e<u>du</u>, *Vc*SduA (Fig. 4f-h).

GrwAB is a two-component modification-dependent restriction system

GrwAB showed potent anti-phage activity against all members of the *Queuovirinae* and

Tevenvirinae (*Straboviridae*), as well as some members of the *Markadamsvirinae* (*Demerecviridae*),

and both genes were required for protection (Fig. 4b). Interestingly, the signature feature of the

Queuovirinae and *Tevenvirinae* subfamilies is that their genomes contain hypermodified nucleobases

with either 7-deazaguanine modified guanosines or sugar-modified hydroxymethyl cytosines,

respectively, suggesting that GrwAB may recognise DNA modification[38,54,55]. In line with this

hypothesis, GrwAB resembles the GmrSD family of modification-dependent restriction enzymes, such

as GmrSD, BrxU and SspE[56-59] (Fig. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 10a-d). These are typically single protein

systems composed of an N-terminal DUF262 domain that likely functions as a DNA modification sensor,

and that uses NTP binding/hydrolysis to regulate the activity of a C-terminal His-Me nuclease domain

(DUF1524), which functions as an effector to target non-self DNA[56,58,60]. Indeed, GrwA is predicted to

share this architecture, with an N-terminal DUF262 domain containing the highly conserved QR,

DGQQR and FxxN motifs[56], coupled by an α-helical linker to a C-terminal DUF1524 domain containing

the canonical $\beta\beta\alpha$ fold and catalytic residues of the His-Me nuclease superfamily[61] (Fig. 4c and

Extended Data Fig. 10a-c). In contrast, and unlike known GmrSD systems, GrwB encoded by the second

gene contains an N-terminal DUF262 domain followed by multiple domains of unknown function (Fig.

4c and Extended Data Fig. 10d). Notably, variants designed to disrupt either the NTP hydrolysis of

DUF262 in GrwA[R81A] and GrwB[R86A], or the nuclease activity of DUF1524 in

GrwA[H431A]/[N521A/[N530A] all abolished anti-phage activity (Fig. 4d), indicating that GrwA and

GrwB function together. Indeed, structural modelling of a potential GrwAB complex supports the idea

that the two proteins interact (Extended Data Fig. 10e).

238   Importantly, multiple independent escaper mutants of Bas24 were obtained that overcome

defence by GrwAB (Extended Data Fig. 11a). These escapers all contain changes in either the active

site or the DNA-binding surface of DpdA – the transglycosylase that inserts the 7-deazaguanine

modification into the DNA – consistent with the disruption of DNA modification leading to immune

evasion[62] (Extended Data Fig. 11b-d). Interestingly, growth kinetics of phage-infected cultures

producing GrwAB lacked the growth arrest or premature lysis typical of abortive infection (Fig. 4e).

However, cultures did go on to exhibit moderate-to-severe lysis, which microscopy revealed was due

to the presence of non-dividing filamentous cells (Extended Data Fig. 12 and movie 2), suggesting that

either GrwAB is unable to clear the infection prior to the cell sustaining irreversible damage or that

the cell is subject to collateral damage during phage defence. Finally, bioinformatic analyses revealed

that homologues of GrwAB are found in ~1% of genomes examined (Extended Data Fig. 10f and

Supplementary Table 4), suggesting that GrwAB represents a new member of an emerging family of

two-gene GmrSD-like modification-dependent restriction enzymes that includes the recently

discovered TgvAB system present in *V. cholerae* VPI-2[25,26]. Similar to TgvAB, the requirement for both

GrwA and GrwB suggests that these proteins likely act as a complex, which could represent either a

novel mode of action or else a mechanism to overcome phage-encoded anti-defences. Notably,

despite the similarities, GrwAB has an expanded range compared to TgvAB and is also able to

recognise 7-deazaguanine modified DNA. Furthermore, although GrwA, TgvB and GmrSD all share a

similar domain architecture, except for the shared N-terminal DUF262 domain GrwB and TgvA are

distinct from one another[25].

259   ***Vc*SduA is a Shedu system with a GHKL ATPase N-terminal domain**

260   *Vc*SduA showed activity against all members of the T1-like *Drexlerviridae* family, with strong

261   protection against Bas1 (>10,000-fold), Bas03 and Bas08 (10-100-fold), while the remainder showed a

262   reduced plaque diameter that in the case of Bas03 and Bas05 was drastic (Fig. 4b and Extended Data

263   Fig. 9b). Sequence analysis and structural modelling showed that *Vc*SduA encodes an N-terminal GHKL

264   (Gyrase, Hsp90, Histidine Kinase, MutL) ATPase domain (HATPase_c) containing the highly conserved

265   motifs I-IV that define this ATPase superfamily[63,64], followed by a C-terminal PD-(D/E)xK nuclease

266   domain (DUF4263) that is also found in the Shedu defence system[20,65,66] (Fig. 4f and Extended Data Fig.

267   13a-c). Variants designed to disrupt either ATP hydrolysis *Vc*SduA[E27A] or nuclease activity

268   *Vc*SduA[K557A] both abolished anti-phage activity despite being produced at similar levels to WT

269   control (Fig. 4g and Extended Data Fig. 13d). Furthermore, growth kinetic experiments with phage-

270   infected cultures revealed similarly robust protection at all tested MOIs (Fig. 4h), consistent with

271   *Vc*SduA targeting and restricting the phage directly while preserving the viability of the host cell.

272   Proteins with a similar domain organisation to *Vc*SduA were previously annotated as

273   paraMORC3, and have recently been proposed to be part of the Shedu defence system family due to

274   the presence of the signature Shedu domain DUF4263[64,65]. Indeed, Shedu has recently been re-

275   classified as consisting of a shared nuclease domain with diverse N-terminal regulatory domains (Type

276   I), including various enzymatic domains (Type I-D)[65]. Strikingly, modelling of *Vc*SduA as a dimer (Fig.

277   4f), which are typical for GHKL ATPases, confidently predicted an entwined configuration with the GHKL

278   ATPase domain sitting below a cavity formed by a clamp-like middle domain, which in other GHKL

279   family members mediates binding to DNA and protein substrates[63,67]. We therefore hypothesise that

280   the GHKL ATPase domain functions to control the activity of the C-terminal nuclease domain.

281   Interestingly, modelling of *Vc*SduA as a tetramer was not well-supported (Extended Data Fig. 13e).

282   Furthermore, *Vc*SduA homologues were present in ~1.2% of genomes examined (Extended Data Fig.

283   13f and Supplementary Table 5), though GrwAB and *Vc*SduA were rarely found together outside of *V.*

284   *cholerae*, consistent with the demonstration above that they are independent systems. Overall, these

data show that *Vc*SduA is a newly identified member of the Shedu family and the first validated

member of the type I-D class.

VcSduA protects *V. cholerae* against vibriophage X29

Next, to determine whether GrwAB and *Vc*SduA were functional in their native *V. cholerae* host, we

first searched the NCBI virus database for vibriophages with modified genomes that might be targeted

by GrwAB (see methods). However, no such phage targeting *V. cholerae* were identified

(Supplementary Table 6). We therefore screened a collection of phages from the Félix d'Hérelle

Reference Center for Bacterial Viruses, focussing on phage X29[68] as we determined that it requires the

O-antigen for infection (Fig. 5a). Importantly, Darracq *et al.*, recently showed that the VSP-II encoded

DdmABC defence system[24] protects *V. cholerae* against X29[69]. Indeed, deletion of *ddmABC* in the non-

WASA 7PET strains tested here was sufficient to fully restore X29 plaque formation (Fig. 5b). In

contrast, deletion of *ddmABC* in the WASA-lineage strains A1552 and C6706 had no effect (Fig. 5b),

raising the possibility that the LAT-1 encoded defence systems of VSP-II[WASA] were active against X29.

Consistent with this idea, while deletion of *grwAB* or *VcSduA* alone had no effect, deletion of either

the entire LAT-1 region or *VcSduA* alone in a Δ*ddmABC* background restored X29 plaque formation to

levels comparable to that of a complete VSP-II deletion (Fig. 5c). Furthermore, ectopic expression of

VcSduA complemented the Δ*ddmABC*Δ*VcSduA* deletion and conferred complete protection against

X29 in non-WASA strains deleted for *ddmABC* (Fig. 5d-e). Collectively, these data show that *Vc*SduA is

active in its native host organism at native expression levels, and show that WASA lineage strains have

two distinct mechanisms to defend against phage X29.

Discussion

The main discovery of this work is that the two unique genetic signatures (WASA-1 and VSP-II[WASA]) of

the WASA lineage encode three novel antiviral defence systems: WonAB, GrwAB, *Vc*SduA. Previously,

these elements have primarily been used as a molecular signature to track the origins of these

strains[10,28-30,70], but their significance for the success of the lineage and the Latin American cholera epidemic has remained unknown. Here we demonstrate that combined these systems have broad activity against diverse bacteriophage families and target two-thirds of the isolates in the BASEL bacteriophage collection, including those with highly modified genomes. Importantly, we also show that in the native *V. cholerae* host, the WonAB system carried on the WASA-1 prophage renders strains resistant to ICP1 - the major predatory phage of pandemic *V. cholerae*[8]. Given the ongoing arms race between *V. cholerae* and ICP1[11,14] and the suggested role of ICP1 predation in cholera epidemics[3,4,6,7], it is therefore reasonable to assume that this would have provided a significant selective advantage to the lineage. Nevertheless, since WonAB can also target other phages, then together with the systems carried on VSP-II^{WASA}, this selective advantage may have been against phages other than ICP1. Indeed, the VSP-II^{WASA}-encoded *Vc*SduA system protects WASA lineage strains against vibriophage X29, a myovirus that was originally isolated from a cholera patient in India in 1927[68,71]. Notably, spacers targeting X29 are abundant in the CRISPR-Cas systems present in classical 6th pandemic and non-7PET strains[72-74], suggesting that X29 (or related phages) may be commonly encountered. Interestingly, since *Vc*SduA shares redundancy with DdmABC it could potentially serve to protect against X29 variants that have evolved DdmABC-resistance. However, given *Vc*SduA activity against *Drexlerviridae* in *E. coli* it is equally possible that it functions primarily against other non-DdmABC sensitive phages.

Prior to the start of the epidemic in 1991, Latin America had been free from pandemic cholera for almost 100 years[27,75]. However, following the introduction of the WASA lineage from West Africa in the late 1980's, an explosive cholera epidemic spread rapidly throughout Latin America, with >500,000 cases in Peru alone in 1991-92, and ongoing outbreaks continuing until 2001[10,27,30,31,75,76]. Given our findings we therefore propose that the combined activities of the defence systems encoded on WASA-1 and VSP-II^{WASA} directly contributed to the success of the WASA lineage and envisage at least two possible scenarios by which this could have occurred. Firstly, the acquisition of these systems in Africa could have allowed the strains to resist local vibriophages, triggering the proliferation of the lineage that therefore facilitated the transfer to South America. Notably, cholera outbreaks were

ongoing in Western and Central Africa just prior to the start of the Latin American epidemic, and

intensified in the years afterwards[30,31]. Furthermore, strains containing WASA-1 and VSP-II^WASA

continued to circulate in Western/Central Africa up until the late 1990's, including in the strains

responsible for the 1987-1996 Angolan cholera epidemic[30,31,77]. Remarkably, we found WASA-1 (99.7%

identity to A1552) in a recently sequenced non-7PET *V. cholerae* strain isolated in Switzerland in 2019

from a patient with a travel history to Morocco[78], suggesting that WonAB-containing WASA-1

continues to circulate in Africa. Secondly, the defences provided by WASA-1 and VSP-II^WASA could have

played a more direct role in the transmission, for example by allowing the WASA lineage to overcome

an entry barrier posed by phages associated with local non-pandemic *V. cholerae* strains endemic to

South America that might have prevented previous introductions. In support of these proposals, the

ability to defend against phage predation is thought to play a key role in the observed evolution of

local *V. cholerae* lineages, which then go on to be successful before subsequently being replaced[11,14].

Indeed, the continuous antagonistic co-evolution of bacterial defences and phage encoded anti-

defences drives the gain and loss of defence systems in natural *vibrio* populations, with such defences

often encoded on mobile genetic elements (MGE) and accounting for a large proportion of the

accessory genome[18,79]. Thus, while 7PET strains are typically considered to be clonal based on the

phylogeny of the core genome, closely related-strains can harbour diverse MGEs encoding defence

systems that vary over time in response to the dominant phages[11,14]. This, together with the results

presented here, reinforces the need to consider the role of the accessory genomes in the success of

novel lineages and therefore understanding their pandemic potential. Finally, while further work will

be necessary to decipher the mechanistic details of the newly discovered defence systems, this work

provides new insights into the evolution of one of the major epidemic lineages of the seventh cholera

pandemic.

361
362
Fig. 1. WonAB carried on WASA-1 prophage renders WASA lineage ICP1 resistant. (a) Heat-map
showing mean plaque-forming units (PFU/mL) of diverse ICP1-3 isolates determined by plaque assays
on wave 1 *V. cholerae* strains, as compared to a pre-7th pandemic control (MAK757), shown alongside
10-fold serial dilution plaque assays of ICP1-2006. Data represent the results of three independent
repeats. Strain P27459 encodes a naturally occurring resistant variant of the ICP2 receptor OmpU[80].
(b) Schematic of the WASA-1 prophage highlighting *wonAB* and genes with predicted functions in
prophage biology. **(c)** Heat-map showing mean PFU/mL of diverse ICP1 isolates determined by plaque
assays on Peruvian *V. cholerae* strains in WT and ΔWASA-1 backgrounds, determined as in (**a**). (**d**)
Plaque assay showing the effects of ΔWASA-1, Δ*wonAB* and *wonAB* complementation in strain A1552
on ICP1-2006 PFU/mL alongside the effect of producing WonAB in non-WASA strains. (**e**) Replication
assay showing change in PFU/mL over time following infection of the indicated A1552 cultures with
c.a. 10^3-10^4 PFU of ICP1-2006. (**f**) Plaque assay showing effect of E7946 derivatives producing either
WonA, WonB or WonAB on ICP1-2006 PFU/mL. Where indicated, strains in (**d-f**) contain a
chromosomally integrated transposon carrying the arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter, induced by
the addition of 0.2% arabinose. (**g**) AlphaFold3 predicted structure of the putative WonAB complex
shown below schematic (top) indicating the conserved motifs. Residues targeted by site-directed
mutagenesis are highlighted in red. (**h**) Plaque assay testing the effect of WonA and WonB site-
directed variants expressed from their native locus on ICP1-2006 PFU/mL. Bar charts show the mean
+ s.d. from 3 independent repeats. d.l. = detection limit.

Fig. 2. WonAB protects cells by lysis-independent abortive infection. (**a**) Growth kinetics of *V. cholerae* strain A1552 in the presence (WT) and absence of WASA-1 (ΔWASA-1), with either no phage or infected at time 0 with ICP1-2006 at the indicated MOI. Data are representative of three independent repeats. (**b**) Time-lapse microscopy comparing exponentially growing cells of *V. cholerae* A1552 WT and ΔWASA-1 strains after infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. (**c**) Fold-change in ICP1-2006 genome copy number relative to time 0, as determined by qPCR, after infection of either WT or ΔWASA-1 strains with ICP1-2006 at MOI 0.1. (**d**) Time-course microscopy snapshots comparing cell morphology and cellular DNA content, as monitored by a HU-mNeonGreen fusion, in WT and ΔWASA-1 backgrounds following infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. (**e-g**) Time-course microscopy snapshots comparing ICP1 capsid assembly, as monitored by the incorporation of a host-cell produced mNeonGreen (mNG) fusion to the ICP1 major capsid protein Gp122 (**e**), in either WT (**f**) or ΔWASA-1 (**g**) backgrounds, following infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. All images are representative of the results of three independent experiments. Scale bars = 5 µm.

397
398
Fig. 3. WonAB activation shuts down cell growth by inhibiting translation. (**a**) Toxicity assay
evaluating the growth of 10-fold serial dilutions of *V. cholerae* strain A1552 cultures and the indicated
derivatives on solid media, in the absence (LB) and presence (+ 0.2% ara) of WonB overproduction. (**b**-
c) Toxicity assay evaluating the growth kinetics (**b**) and cell viability (**c**) of exponentially growing
cultures in liquid media upon WonB overproduction following induction at time 0, as compared to a
negative control strain and a strain overproducing the inactive WonB[K71A] variant. (**d**) Time-course
microscopy snapshots showing the effect of WonB overproduction on cell morphology and cellular
DNA content, as monitored by a HU-mNeonGreen fusion, compared to a negative control strain and
a strain overproducing the inactive WonB[K71A] variant. Scale bar = 5 μm. (**e**) Western blot showing
protein levels of WonB and WonB[K71A] over time following induction in exponentially growing cells
at time 0. Predicted molecular mass of WonB, 16.1 kDa. Sigma70, was used as a loading control (**f**)
Western blot showing protein levels of WonB[K71A] over time following induction in exponentially
growing cells at time 0, in the absence and presence of chloramphenicol (Cm), added at 15min (T15).
(**g**) Western blot showing protein levels of WonB and WonB[K71A] over time following
chloramphenicol (Cm) addition, in the presence (*lon*+) and absence of Lon protease (Δ*lon*).
Overexpression of genes in (**a-g**) was done from a chromosomally integrated transposon carrying the
arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter, induced by the addition of 0.2% arabinose. Charts show mean
values, error bars show s.d. All images, charts and blots are representative of the results of three
independent experiments.

418
419
Fig. 4. VSP-II^WASA variant encodes two distinct anti-phage defence systems. (**a**) Schematic of VSP-
II^WASA highlighting the LAT-1 variant present in the WASA lineage and the previously characterised
DdmABC system. (**b**) Fold-protection against *E. coli* phages of the BASEL collection conferred by the
production of the indicated systems and components in *E. coli*, compared to a negative 'no system'
control. Boxes with a filled dot indicate phages exhibiting altered plaque morphology. Data represent
the mean of two independent repeats. (**c, f**) Predicted structures of GrwAB (**c**) and *Vc*SduA (**f**)
generated using AlphaFold3 shown below schematics (top) indicating the conserved domains and
motifs identified in each system. Residues targeted by site-directed mutagenesis are highlighted in
red. (**d**, **g**) Fold-protection against the indicated *E. coli* phages conferred by site-directed variants of
GrwAB (**d**) and *Vc*SduA (**g**) in *E. coli*, compared to a negative 'no system' control. Bar charts show the
mean + s.d. of three independent repeats. (**e**, **h**) Growth kinetics of *E. coli* cultures in the absence (No
system) and presence of either GrwAB (**e**) or *Vc*SduA (**h**), with either no phage or infected at time 0
with the indicated MOI. Data are representative of three independent repeats. Systems were
expressed from a chromosomally integrated transposon using the arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter.
Growth media were supplemented with 0.2% arabinose.

435
436
Fig. 5. *Vc*SduA protects *V. cholerae* against *vibrio* phage X29. (**a**) Plaque assay showing X29 plaque-forming units (PFU/mL) on the pre-7th pandemic strain MAK757, in the presence and absence (Δ*manCB*) of the O1-antigen. (**b**) 10-fold serial dilution plaque assays of X29 on wave 1 *V. cholerae* strains, comparing the effect of deleting *ddmABC* in non-WASA (N16961, P27459, E7946) and WASA-lineage (A1552, C6706) strains, below a pre-7th pandemic control (MAK757). (**c**) Plaque assay showing X29 PFU/mL obtained on C6706 derivates deleted for combinations of *ddmABC* with ΔLAT1 (Δ*grwAB-VcSduA*), Δ*grwAB* and Δ*VcSduA*, alongside representative images of each 10-fold serial dilution plaque assay. Note that strain C6706 was used in these assays because the rifampicin antibiotic resistance marker in strain A1552 partially interferes with X29 infection. (**d-e**) Plaque assays testing the ability of *Vc*SduA production to complement X29 protection in a C6706Δ*ddmABC*Δ*VcSduA* background (**d**) and in Δ*ddmABC* backgrounds of the non-WASA linage strains E7946 and P27459 (**e**). Bar charts show the mean + s.d. from 3 independent repeats. d.l. = detection limit. Images are representative of the results of three independent experiments.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids and bacteriophages

The bacterial strains, plasmids, bacteriophages and defence systems used in this work are detailed in Supplementary Table 7. The primary *V. cholerae* strain used throughout this work, A1552, is an O1 El Tor (Inaba) strain isolated from a patient in 1992 following an outbreak of cholera linked to a commercial airline flight between Lima, Peru and Los Angeles, California, U.S.A[81-83]. The primary *E. coli* strain used throughout this work is the non-arabinose metabolising strain MG1655Δ*araCBAD*[84]. *E. coli* strains S17-1λ*pir* and MFD*pir* were used for cloning and bacterial mating with plasmids containing the conditional R6K origin of replication[85,86].

Growth conditions

Bacteria were cultured either in Lysogeny broth (LB-Miller; 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L NaCl; Carl Roth, Switzerland) with shaking at 180rpm or on LB + 1.5% agar plates, at 37°C. Transformation of *V. cholerae* was done on chitin powder (Alfa Aesar via Thermo Fisher, U.S.A) suspended in half-concentrated Instant Ocean medium (Aquarium Systems) and sterilised by autoclaving. Thiosulfate citrate bile salts sucrose (TCBS) agar plates were used for counter-selection against *E. coli* following bacterial mating (Sigma-Aldrich). SacB-based counter-selection for allelic exchange was done on NaCl-free media containing 10% sucrose. Counter-selection of *pheS**-carrying strains (see below) was done on LB agar plates supplemented with 20 mM 4-chloro-phenylalanine (cPhe). Ampicillin (Amp; 100 μg/mL), chloramphenicol (Cm; 2.5 μg/mL), gentamicin (Gent; 25-50 μg/mL), kanamycin (Kan; 75 μg/mL), streptomycin (Strep; 100 μg/mL) and rifampicin (Rif; 100 μg/mL) were used for selection, as required. Growth of MFD*pir* was supported by the addition of 0.3 mM diaminopimelic acid (DAP; Sigma-Aldrich). Unless stated otherwise, genes under control of the P_{BAD}-promoter were induced by supplementing growth media with 0.2% L-arabinose.

Strain construction

Genetic engineering of *V. cholerae* was done using natural competence for transformation to introduce deletions marked with antibiotic resistance genes[87], which when necessary were removed using FLP recombination (TransFLP;[88,89]). Alternatively, antibiotic cassettes linked to the modified phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase *pheS** (*pheS*[A294G/T251A]) were removed by natural transformation using the Trans2 method[90]. Scar-less, marker-less modifications were introduced using allelic exchange with derivatives of the counter-selectable plasmid pGP704-Sac28[91]. A mini-Tn7 transposon carrying *araC* and the indicated gene(s) of interest under control of the P_{BAD}- promoter was integrated downstream of the *glmS* locus in *V. cholerae* and *E. coli* by introducing derivatives of pGP704-TnAraC via tri-parental mating, as previously described[92]. Plasmids were constructed using either standard restriction enzyme cloning or by inverse PCR, and were used exclusively as intermediates for strain construction. All strains and constructs were verified by PCR, and either Sanger sequencing or Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT)-based full plasmid sequencing (Microsynth AG, Switzerland).

V. cholerae **bacteriophage methods**

Vibriophages were propagated on *V. cholerae* strains E7946 or MAK757 (ICP1 and ICP3) and E7946Δ*manCB* (ICP2). Briefly, overnight cultures were back-diluted and grown in LB at 37°C, 180rpm to exponential phase (optical density at 600nm, OD_{600} *c.a.* 0.5-0.6), infected with the relevant phage at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of <0.01. Following lysis of the culture, debris was removed by centrifugation (10min; 4,000 x *g*; RT), lysates passed through a 0.2μm filter, and stored at 4°C after the addition of chloroform to 1%. Phage titres were determined by plaque assays on strain E7946 using the small-drop plaque assay on double-layer plates, as described below. To quantify ICP and X29 phage infections, 100μL and 10μL, respectively, of overnight culture was added to molten top-agar (LB + 0.5% agar), poured on top of a bottom agar (LB + 1.5% agar), and allowed to solidify at RT. For complementation of *wonAB* and *VcSduA*, cultures were grown either for 2h + 0.2% arabinose or overnight with 0.02% arabinose, respectively, and the top agar was also supplemented with arabinose

at the respective concentration. Phages were serially-diluted (10^{-1}-10^{-8}), 4µL of each dilution spotted

onto plates, and allowed to dry under a laminar flow hood. Plates were imaged after overnight

incubation at 37°C, and the plaque-forming units (PFU/mL) enumerated. The theoretical detection

limit in this experiment was 2.5×10^3 PFU/mL. In cases where no discrete plaques were observed but a

zone of non-specific lysis was apparent (*e.g.* ICP1 on strain A1552; Fig. 1a), the last dilution exhibiting

this phenotype was counted as 20 plaques. To determine whether these zones of non-specific lysis

resulted from phage propagation or "lysis from without" (*i.e.* lysis at high phage concentration without

viable phage production) re-streak tests were performed, as previously described[18]. Material from the

highest phage concentration spot on plaque assay plates was re-streaked onto freshly poured top agar

plates containing the ICP1-sensitive strain A1552ΔWASA-1.

***E. coli* bacteriophage methods**

The BASEL (BActeriophage SElection for your Laboratory) collection of *E. coli* bacteriophages was

obtained from A. Harms, ETH Zürich[38]. Infections were quantified using the small-drop plaque assay

described above, with the following modifications. Briefly, overnight cultures of *E. coli*

MG1655Δ*araCBAD* were back-diluted 1:100 in 3mL LB + 5mM CaCl$_2$, 20mM MgSO$_4$, 0.2% arabinose

and grown 2h at 37°C, 180rpm to reach exponential phase, before being diluted 1:40 in molten top

agar, supplemented with 5mM CaCl$_2$, 20mM MgSO$_4$ and 0.2% arabinose. Phages were serially-diluted

(10^{-1}-10^{-8}), 5µL of each dilution spotted onto plates, and allowed to dry under a laminar flow hood.

Plates were imaged after overnight incubation at 37°C, and the plaque-forming units (PFU/mL)

enumerated. The theoretical detection limit in this experiment was 2×10^3 PFU/mL. Fold-protection

was calculated as the ratio of PFU/mL on the strain of interest to that of the no system control strain

E. coli MG1655Δ*araCBAD*-TnAraC.

Quantification of infectious ICP1 phages

To monitor the production of infectious ICP1 phages, overnight cultures of the *V. cholerae* strains indicated in the text were back-diluted 1:100 in 2.5mL LB in 14mL test-tubes and grown at 37°C, 180rpm to exponential phase (OD_{600} *c.a.* 0.5-0.6) before being infected with *c.a.* 10^3-10^4 PFU/mL of ICP1-2006. Infected cultures were incubated at 37°C, 180rpm and sampled at 30min intervals for 2.5h. At each time-point, 300µL culture was mixed with 30µL of chloroform, vortexed vigorously for 10s and centrifuged (3min; 20,000 x *g*; RT). To determine the starting titre at time 0 samples were prepared from LB only controls. Chloroform-free supernatants were transferred to new tubes, serially diluted (10^0-10^{-7}) and PFU/mL enumerated by a plaque assay on plates seeded with the ICP1-sensitive *V. cholerae* strain E7946. The theoretical detection limit in this experiment was 2.5×10^2 PFU/mL.

Bacteriophage infection kinetics

To monitor the kinetics of ICP1 infection, overnight cultures of the *V. cholerae* strains indicated in the text were back-diluted 1:100 in 2.5mL LB in 14mL test-tubes and grown at 37°C, 180rpm for 1h45min to exponential phase (OD_{600} *c.a.* 0.5-0.6). 180µL aliquots of cultures diluted 1:5 in pre-warmed LB were added to the wells of a 96-well plate containing 20µL of ICP1-2006 at the indicated MOI (in technical triplicate). Bacterial growth (OD_{600}) at 37°C with shaking was followed using a SpectraMax i3x (Molecular Devices) plate reader at 6-min intervals for a total of 49 cycles. The kinetics of Bas01, Bas24 and Bas37 infection in *E. coli* were monitored exactly as described above, except that *E. coli* strains were grown for 2h in LB + 5mM $CaCl_2$, 20mM $MgSO_4$, 0.2% arabinose and aliquots were diluted 1:10. *E. coli* phages used for plate reader experiments were propagated on MG1655Δ*araCBAD*, as described above.

Microscopy

Imaging was conducted using Zeiss Axio Imager M2 and Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 epifluorescence microscopes, equipped with AxioCam MRm cameras and controlled by Zeiss Zen software (v.2.6 blue

edition). Images were captured using a Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.4-NA Ph3 oil objective, and for

fluorescence microscopy illumination with an HXP120 lamp. For snap-shot imaging, cells were

immobilized on slides coated with 1.2% (wt/vol) agarose in PBS, covered with a No. 1 coverslip. For

time-lapse microscopy, cells were immobilized on slides coated with 1.2% (wt/vol) agarose in either

LB (ICP1 infection of *V. cholerae*) or LB + 5mM $CaCl_2$, 20mM $MgSO_4$, 0.2% arabinose (Bas24 infection

of *E. coli*) and imaged automatically at the indicated time-points, within a temperature-controlled

stage-top chamber (H301-K-Frame; OKO Lab, Italy) set to 37°C with an accompanying objective-

heater. Images were prepared for publication using ImageJ (version 2.1.0/1.53h;

imagej.net/software/fiji), and where needed, drift was corrected using the HyperStackRegPlus

function of MicrobeJ[93].

Time-lapse and time-course microscopy of ICP1 infection

To image ICP1 infection in *V. cholerae*, overnight cultures of the strains indicated in the text were back-

diluted 1:100 in 2.5mL LB in 14mL test-tubes and grown at 37°C, 180rpm for 1h45min to exponential

phase (OD_{600} *c.a.* 0.5-0.6). For time-lapse microscopy, an aliquot of the culture was then mixed with

ICP1-2006 to achieve a MOI of 5, and immediately transferred to a slide and imaged, as described

above. For time-course microscopy, 180μL aliquots of cultures were mixed with 20μL ICP1-2006 in

2mL microcentrifuge tubes to achieve a MOI of 5 and incubated flat at 37°C, 180rpm. At the indicated

time-points, tubes were removed from the incubator and samples immediately transferred to a slide

and imaged, as described above. To monitor cellular DNA content, strains were used encoding a C-

terminal mNeonGreen fusion to the Histone-like DNA-binding protein HU (HU-mNeonGreen)

separated by a GSGSGS linker, and expressed from the native *hupA* locus. To monitor ICP1 capsid

assembly, infections were performed in strains with a chromosomally integrated transposon carrying

a C-terminal mNeonGreen fusion to the ICP1 major capsid protein Gp122 (Gp122-mNeonGreen),

separated by a GSGSGS linker, and expressed under the control of the arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}-

promoter. The fusion was induced by the inclusion of 0.02% arabinose in the growth media.

Time-lapse microscopy of Bas24 infection

To image Bas24 infection in *E. coli*, overnight cultures were back-diluted 1:100 in 3mL LB + 5mM CaCl$_2$,

20mM MgSO$_4$, 0.2% arabinose in 14mL test-tubes and grown at 37°C, 180rpm for 2h to mirror the

conditions used for the BASEL screen above. An aliquot of the culture was then mixed with Bas24 to

achieve a MOI of 10, and allowed to stand at RT for 2min before being transferred to a slide and

imaged.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) of ICP1 genome replication

ICP1 genome replication was quantified by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using a protocol modified from[13].

Briefly, overnight cultures were back-diluted 1:100 in 2.5mL LB in 14mL test-tubes and grown at 37°C,

180rpm for 1h45min to exponential phase (OD$_{600}$ *c.a.* 0.5-0.6). ICP1-2006 was then added to a MOI of

0.1, tubes briefly vortexed to ensure proper mixing, and a 20μL sample immediately withdrawn ($t = 0$)

and heat-inactivated at 95°C for 20min. Sampling was repeated following incubation at 37°C, 180rpm

for 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20min post-infection. Samples were analysed using a LightCycler 96 Instrument

(Roche) with SYBR Green I (Fast Start Essential DNA Green Master; Roche) to detect amplification

products using the ICP1-specific primers qICP12006E_148-f (ACTTTGGTGCGTGAAGAAGG) and

qICP12006E_148-r (ACTTGCTCACCTGAATGGTC). ICP1 genome copy number levels are presented

relative to $t = 0$, and were analysed with LightCycler 96 software v.1.1.0.1320 (Roche) with the

absolute quantification method using a standard curve of purified ICP1-2006 genomic DNA.

WonB toxicity assay

Overnight cultures were back-diluted to OD$_{600}$ 0.05 in LB and grown 1h45min at 37°C, 180rpm to

exponential phase (OD$_{600}$ *c.a.* 0.5-0.6), induced by the addition of 0.2% arabinose ($t = 0$), and

incubation continued for 120min. The OD$_{600}$ of each culture was measured at $t = 0$, 15, 30, 60, and

120min. At each time point, samples were collected and processed to determine the (i) CFU/mL by

serial-dilution and plating on LB agar, (ii) transcript levels via qRT-PCR and (iii) genomic DNA was

prepared using a GenElute Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (NA2110; Sigma-Aldrich) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Samples to determine protein levels by Western blotting were collected

at the time-points indicated in the text and processed as described below. To determine the stability

of WonB protein levels over time, translation was inhibited by the addition of chloramphenicol to 200

μg/mL.

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) of *wonAB* transcript levels

2mL culture samples from the WonB toxicity assay (above) were harvested by centrifugation (3min;

20,000 x *g*; 4°C), cell pellets resuspended in Tri-Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich), snap-frozen in a dry ice-

ethanol bath, and stored at -80°C. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and qPCR was then performed

exactly as previously described[94], using a LightCycler 96 Instrument (Roche). Transcript levels are

presented relative to mRNA levels of the reference gene *gyrA*, and were analysed with LightCycler 96

software v.1.1.0.1320 (Roche) using the standard curve method. Primers used to detect *wonA and*

wonB were: qVC_01233-F   (GTCCACAAGCAAACGGTAAG)   and   qVC_01233-R

(TTCTCCCATGAATACCTCGG);   qVC_01234-F   (ACAACTGTCTCAAAGGATCC)   and   qVC_01234-R

(GCTCAATATCCAGTCACATC).

Western Blotting

Unless stated otherwise, overnight cultures were back-diluted 1:100 and grown at 37°C, 180rpm for

3h. Lysates were prepared by resuspending pelleted bacteria in 2x Laemmli buffer (Sigma-Aldrich),

normalised to optical density (100 μL buffer per OD unit), and samples were then heated at 95°C,

15min. Proteins were resolved on Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free precast gels (10% or 12%, as

required; Bio-Rad) and transferred onto PVDF membranes using a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System

(Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Membranes were blocked in 2.5% skim milk

in TBST (1x Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20) with agitation at either RT for 1h or at 4°C

overnight. Primary antibodies were added at a dilution of 1:500 in TBST and membranes incubated at

RT for 1h. Membranes were then washed three times with TBST before being incubated at RT for 1h

with anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to HRP (A9169, Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:20,000 in TBST. Membranes

were washed as above and visualised by chemiluminescence using Lumi-Light^PLUS Western Blotting

Substrate (Roche). Primary antibodies against WonA (2210455), WonB (2210453) and *Vc*SduA

(2310059) were custom-raised in rabbits against synthetic peptides (Eurogentec), and their specificity

validated by comparing strains lacking the relevant proteins. Uniform sample loading was verified by

the intensity of non-specific bands on the membranes or by detection of Sigma70 (1:10,000 diluted

anti-Sigma70-HRP antibody from BioLegend, U.S.A).

Identification of defence systems, protein domains, motifs and structural predictions

The repertoire of known defence systems present in *V. cholerae* strains was identified using

DefenseFinder (v.1.2.4) and PADLOC (v.2.0.0) (accessed May 14th 2024), see Supplementary Table 1

for details[95,96]. Conserved protein domains were initially identified by searching the PFAM and NCBI

Conserved Domain Database with the MOTIF Search tool (genome.jp/tools/motif/; accessed July 22nd

2024), and was supplemented by remote homology searches using HHpred (PDB_mmCIF70_8_Mar,

default database; accessed July 22nd 2024), and structural alignments performed with DALI using

structural predictions generated by AlphaFold3[97-99]. The predicted template modelling (pTM) score,

and where appropriate, the interface predicted template modelling (ipTM) score, are shown alongside

each model[99]. For full confidence metrics for AlphaFold models, see Supplementary Fig. 1. Sequence

logos showing the conservation of motifs in each system were generated using Weblogo 3 from

multiple sequence alignments done using MAFFT[100,101].

Identification and distribution of WASA-1

A BLASTN search of the *V. cholerae* A1552 WASA-1 sequence (default parameters; evalue 1e-10)

against 45,725 bacterial genomes (NCBI, Refseq database, complete and chromosome level genomes,

downloaded on May 22nd 2024) revealed hits exclusively in the *Vibrio* genus. The BLASTN search was

therefore repeated against a database consisting of 7,624 genomes, covering all available assemblies

within the *Vibrio* genus (Refseq database, genomes from contig to complete level assemblies,

downloaded on May 30th 2024). A second complementary BLASTN search was also performed with

the additional max_hsps (high-scoring pair) parameter set to 1. Initial hits were then manually curated

to identify complete WASA-1 assemblies, as shown in Supplementary Table 2. WASA-1 conservation

was visualised using clinker (v.0.0.28, default parameters)[102].

Identification and distribution of defence systems

The presence of the WonAB, OLD-ABC ATPase + Novel REase, GrwAB and *Vc*SduA defence systems

was determined against 45,725 bacterial genomes (NCBI, Refseq database, complete and

chromosome level genomes, downloaded on May 22nd 2024) using MacSyFinder (v.2.1.1; default

parameters)[103]. To build HMM profiles, a PSI-BLAST of each component was performed against the

NCBI non-redundant protein sequence database (accessed in February 2023, and May 2024). The PSI-

blast e-value threshold was set to 1e-10 and run for three iterations, except for *Vc*SduA for which only

one iteration was performed due to NCBI computational constraints. Sequences were aligned using

MAFFT (v.7.508; --maxiterate 1000 –localpair parameters for a higher accuracy alignment) and HMM

profiles built using the hmmbuild function of the HMMER suite (v.3.3.2; default parameters)[101,104]. To

evaluate the distribution of each system in the RefSeq database used, genera represented with more

than 500 genomes were extracted, and the NCBI common tree tool used to create an order-level

phylogenetic tree showing the most represented clades, with the presence/absence of each system

mapped. For the full list of identified hits see Supplementary Tables 3-5.

Isolation and characterisation of Bas24 escaper phages that overcome GrwAB

Candidate escaper phages of Bas24, which appeared as spontaneous large plaques in the lowest

dilution of the small-drop plaque assay on strains producing GrwAB, were isolated and propagated

using a protocol modified from[105]. Single plaques of each candidate were resuspended in 90µL phage

buffer (50mM Tris-HCL pH 7.5, 100 mM MgCl$_2$, 10mM NaCl), added to 1mL exponentially growing *E.*

coli MG1655Δ*araCBAD* producing GrwAB in a 14mL test-tube and cultured at 37°C, 180rpm for 3h, at

which point a further 2mL of exponentially growing culture was added and incubated for 3h, before

phages were purified exactly as described above. As a control, a single plaque from the no system

control plate was picked and propagated in the absence of GrwAB production. Genomic DNA was

prepared using the Norgen Phage DNA Isolation Kit (Product #46850; Norgen, Canada) according to

the manufacturer's instructions. Whole genome sequencing was done using the Small, 200 Mbp

Illumina short read service on the Illumina NextSeq2000 platform (SeqCoast Genomics, U.S.A).

Genomes were assembled using Unicycler (v.0.5.0)[106], using short reads only as input with the

remaining parameters set to default, and assemblies rotated to match the Bas24 reference genome[107].

Genomes were aligned using MAFFT (v.7.508) and single nucleotide polymorphisms called using snp-

sites (v.2.5.1)[101,108].

Search for DpdA-encoding vibriophages

To identify previously described vibriophages with modified genomes, phage sequences were

downloaded from the NCBI virus database, with Vibrionaceae (taxid: 641) specified as the host. Using

the resulting 1,700 nucleotide assemblies and the NCBI tool suite (v.2.14.1) to translate the sequences,

a local database containing 117,034 protein sequences was generated (makeblastdb). This database

was then searched for homologues of DpdA from phage Bas24 using Blastp (e-value 1e-10, rest of

parameters to default). The resulting data are provided in Supplementary Table 6.

Genome sequencing of Swiss WASA-1 strain N19-2759

V. cholerae strain N19-2759 is a non-O1/non-O139 non-toxigenic travel-associated clinical isolate

isolated from a patient in Switzerland in 2019 (Illumina-based sequencing accession number

GCA_032819675.1)[78]. To obtain an assembled complete genome of strain N19-2759, genomic DNA

was prepared as previously described[109], sequenced using ONT-based sequencing, and assembled

using the software flye[110] [v. 2.9.3] (Microsynth, Switzerland).

Data availability

The genome assemblies of *V. cholerae* strain N19-2759 have been deposited in the NCBI GenBank database under accession number GCA_046097525.1. The raw reads are available from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under submission number SRX26909066. All other data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

References

Georjon, H. & Bernheim, A. The highly diverse antiphage defence systems of bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **21**, 686-700, doi:10.1038/s41579-023-00934-x (2023).

Waldor, M. K. & Mekalanos, J. J. Lysogenic conversion by a filamentous phage encoding cholera toxin. *Science* **272**, 1910-4, doi:10.1126/science.272.5270.1910 (1996).

Faruque, S. M. *et al.* Seasonal epidemics of cholera inversely correlate with the prevalence of environmental cholera phages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 1702-7, doi:10.1073/pnas.0408992102 (2005).

Faruque, S. M. *et al.* Self-limiting nature of seasonal cholera epidemics: Role of host-mediated amplification of phage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 6119-24, doi:10.1073/pnas.0502069102 (2005).

Jensen, M. A., Faruque, S. M., Mekalanos, J. J. & Levin, B. R. Modeling the role of bacteriophage in the control of cholera outbreaks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 4652-7, doi:10.1073/pnas.0600166103 (2006).

Madi, N. *et al.* Phage predation, disease severity, and pathogen genetic diversity in cholera patients. *Science* **384**, eadj3166, doi:10.1126/science.adj3166 (2024).

Seed, K. D. *et al.* Evidence of a dominant lineage of *Vibrio cholerae*-specific lytic bacteriophages shed by cholera patients over a 10-year period in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *mBio* **2**, e00334-10, doi:10.1128/mBio.00334-10 (2011).

Boyd, C. M. *et al.* Bacteriophage ICP1: A Persistent Predator of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Annu Rev Virol* **8**, 285-304, doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-091919-072020 (2021).

Yen, M., Cairns, L. S. & Camilli, A. A cocktail of three virulent bacteriophages prevents *Vibrio cholerae* infection in animal models. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14187, doi:10.1038/ncomms14187 (2017).

Mutreja, A. *et al.* Evidence for several waves of global transmission in the seventh cholera pandemic. *Nature* **477**, 462-5, doi:10.1038/nature10392 (2011).

LeGault, K. N. *et al.* Temporal shifts in antibiotic resistance elements govern phage-pathogen conflicts. *Science* **373**, doi:10.1126/science.abg2166 (2021).

Seed, K. D., Lazinski, D. W., Calderwood, S. B. & Camilli, A. A bacteriophage encodes its own CRISPR/Cas adaptive response to evade host innate immunity. *Nature* **494**, 489-91, doi:10.1038/nature11927 (2013).

O'Hara, B. J., Barth, Z. K., McKitterick, A. C. & Seed, K. D. A highly specific phage defense system is a conserved feature of the *Vibrio cholerae* mobilome. *PLoS Genet* **13**, e1006838, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006838 (2017).

Angermeyer, A. *et al.* Evolutionary Sweeps of Subviral Parasites and Their Phage Host Bring Unique Parasite Variants and Disappearance of a Phage CRISPR-Cas System. *mBio* **13**, e03088-21, doi:10.1128/mbio.03088-21 (2022).

Seed, K. D. *et al.* Evolutionary consequences of intra-patient phage predation on microbial populations. *Elife* **3**, e03497, doi:10.7554/eLife.03497 (2014).

Beckman, D. A. & Waters, C. M. *Vibrio cholerae* phage ICP3 requires O1 antigen for infection. *Infect Immun* **91**, e0002623, doi:10.1128/iai.00026-23 (2023).

17 Alam, M. T. *et al.* Emergence and Evolutionary Response of *Vibrio cholerae* to Novel
Bacteriophage, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Emerg Infect Dis* **28**, 2482-90,
doi:10.3201/eid2812.220572 (2022).
18 Hussain, F. A. *et al.* Rapid evolutionary turnover of mobile genetic elements drives bacterial
resistance to phages. *Science* **374**, 488-92, doi:10.1126/science.abb1083 (2021).
19 Davies, B. W., Bogard, R. W., Young, T. S. & Mekalanos, J. J. Coordinated regulation of
accessory genetic elements produces cyclic di-nucleotides for *V. cholerae* virulence. *Cell* **149**,
358-70, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.053 (2012).
20 Doron, S. *et al.* Systematic discovery of antiphage defense systems in the microbial
pangenome. *Science* **359**, doi:10.1126/science.aar4120 (2018).
21 Severin, G. B. *et al.* Direct activation of a phospholipase by cyclic GMP-AMP in El Tor *Vibrio
cholerae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, E6048-E55, doi:10.1073/pnas.1801233115 (2018).
22 Cohen, D. *et al.* Cyclic GMP-AMP signalling protects bacteria against viral infection. *Nature*
574, 691-95, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1605-5 (2019).
23 Hsueh, B. Y. *et al.* Phage defence by deaminase-mediated depletion of deoxynucleotides in
bacteria. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1210-20, doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01162-4 (2022).
24 Jaskólska, M., Adams, D. W. & Blokesch, M. Two defence systems eliminate plasmids from
seventh pandemic *Vibrio cholerae*. *Nature* **604**, 323-29, doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04546-y
(2022).
25 Vizzarro, G., Lemopoulos, A., Adams, D. W. & Blokesch, M. *Vibrio cholerae* pathogenicity island
2 encodes two distinct types of restriction systems. *J Bacteriol*, e0014524,
doi:10.1128/jb.00145-24 (2024).
26 Gomez, J. B. & Waters, C. M. A *Vibrio cholerae* Type IV restriction system targets glucosylated
5-hydroxymethylcytosine to protect against phage infection. *J Bacteriol*, e0014324,
doi:10.1128/jb.00143-24 (2024).
27 Kumate, J., Sepúlveda, J. & Gutiérrez, G. Cholera epidemiology in Latin America and
perspectives for eradication. *Bulletin de l'Institut Pasteur* **96**, 217-26, doi:10.1016/S0020-
2452(99)80002-5 (1998).
28 Nusrin, S. *et al.* Peruvian *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor strains possess a distinct region in the *Vibrio*
seventh pandemic island-II that differentiates them from the prototype seventh pandemic El
Tor strains. *J Med Microbiol* **58**, 342-54, doi:10.1099/jmm.0.005397-0 (2009).
29 Garza, D. R. *et al.* Genome-wide study of the defective sucrose fermenter strain of *Vibrio
cholerae* from the Latin American cholera epidemic. *PLoS One* **7**, e37283,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037283 (2012).
30 Domman, D. *et al.* Integrated view of *Vibrio cholerae* in the Americas. *Science* **358**, 789-93,
doi:10.1126/science.aao2136 (2017).
31 Weill, F. X. *et al.* Genomic history of the seventh pandemic of cholera in Africa. *Science* **358**,
785-89, doi:10.1126/science.aad5901 (2017).
32 Lemos Rocha, L. F. *et al.* The VarA-CsrA regulatory pathway influences cell shape in *Vibrio
cholerae*. *PLoS Genet* **18**, e1010143, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1010143 (2022).
33 Rousset, F. *et al.* Phages and their satellites encode hotspots of antiviral systems. *Cell Host
Microbe* **30**, 740-53 e5, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2022.02.018 (2022).
34 Vassallo, C. N., Doering, C. R., Littlehale, M. L., Teodoro, G. I. C. & Laub, M. T. A functional
selection reveals previously undetected anti-phage defence systems in the E. coli pangenome.
Nat Microbiol **7**, 1568-79, doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01219-4 (2022).
35 Patel, P. H. & Maxwell, K. L. Prophages provide a rich source of antiphage defense systems.
Curr Opin Microbiol **73**, 102321, doi:10.1016/j.mib.2023.102321 (2023).
36 Krishnan, A., Burroughs, A. M., Iyer, L. M. & Aravind, L. Comprehensive classification of ABC
ATPases and their functional radiation in nucleoprotein dynamics and biological conflict
systems. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 10045-75, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa726 (2020).
37 Steczkiewicz, K., Muszewska, A., Knizewski, L., Rychlewski, L. & Ginalski, K. Sequence, structure
and functional diversity of PD-(D/E)XK phosphodiesterase superfamily. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**,
7016-45, doi:10.1093/nar/gks382 (2012).

38 Maffei, E. *et al.* Systematic exploration of *Escherichia coli* phage-host interactions with the
BASEL phage collection. *PLoS Biol* **19**, e3001424, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001424 (2021).
39 Schiltz, C. J., Adams, M. C. & Chappie, J. S. The full-length structure of *Thermus scotoductus*
OLD defines the ATP hydrolysis properties and catalytic mechanism of Class 1 OLD family
nucleases. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 2762-76, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa059 (2020).
40 Antine, S. P. *et al.* Structural basis of Gabija anti-phage defence and viral immune evasion.
Nature **625**, 360-65, doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06855-2 (2024).
41 Burman, N. *et al.* A virally-encoded tRNA neutralizes the PARIS antiviral defence system.
Nature, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07874-3 (2024).
42 Deep, A., Liang, Q., Enustun, E., Pogliano, J. & Corbett, K. D. Architecture and activation
mechanism of the bacterial PARIS defence system. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07772-8
(2024).
43 Li, Y. *et al.* PtuA and PtuB assemble into an inflammasome-like oligomer for anti-phage
defense. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **31**, 413-23, doi:10.1038/s41594-023-01172-8 (2024).
44 Dot, E. W., Thomason, L. C. & Chappie, J. S. Everything OLD is new again: How structural,
functional, and bioinformatic advances have redefined a neglected nuclease family. *Mol*
Microbiol **120**, 122-40, doi:10.1111/mmi.15074 (2023).
45 Payne, L. J., Hughes, T. C. D., Fineran, P. C. & Jackson, S. A. New antiviral defences are
genetically embedded within prokaryotic immune systems. *bioRxiv*, 2024.01.29.577857,
doi:10.1101/2024.01.29.577857 (2024).
46 Rousset, F. & Sorek, R. The evolutionary success of regulated cell death in bacterial immunity.
Curr Opin Microbiol **74**, 102312, doi:10.1016/j.mib.2023.102312 (2023).
47 Seed, K. D. *et al.* Phase variable O antigen biosynthetic genes control expression of the major
protective antigen and bacteriophage receptor in *Vibrio cholerae* O1. *PLoS Pathog* **8**,
e1002917, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002917 (2012).
48 Barth, Z. K., Netter, Z., Angermeyer, A., Bhardwaj, P. & Seed, K. D. A Family of Viral Satellites
Manipulates Invading Virus Gene Expression and Can Affect Cholera Toxin Mobilization.
mSystems **5**, doi:10.1128/mSystems.00358-20 (2020).
49 McKitterick, A. C., Hays, S. G., Johura, F. T., Alam, M. & Seed, K. D. Viral Satellites Exploit Phage
Proteins to Escape Degradation of the Bacterial Host Chromosome. *Cell Host Microbe* **26**, 504-
14 e4, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.09.006 (2019).
50 Jurenas, D., Fraikin, N., Goormaghtigh, F. & Van Melderen, L. Biology and evolution of bacterial
toxin-antitoxin systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**, 335-50, doi:10.1038/s41579-021-00661-1
(2022).
51 Patel, K. M. & Seed, K. D. Sporadic phage defense in epidemic *Vibrio cholerae* mediated by the
toxin-antitoxin system DarTG is countered by a phage-encoded antitoxin mimic. *mBio* **15**,
e0011124, doi:10.1128/mbio.00111-24 (2024).
52 Nonejuie, P., Burkart, M., Pogliano, K. & Pogliano, J. Bacterial cytological profiling rapidly
identifies the cellular pathways targeted by antibacterial molecules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
110, 16169-74, doi:10.1073/pnas.1311066110 (2013).
53 Murphy, S. G., Johnson, B. A., Ledoux, C. M. & Dörr, T. *Vibrio cholerae*'s mysterious Seventh
Pandemic island (VSP-II) encodes novel Zur-regulated zinc starvation genes involved in
chemotaxis and cell congregation. *PLoS Genet* **17**, e1009624,
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009624 (2021).
54 Hutinet, G. *et al.* 7-Deazaguanine modifications protect phage DNA from host restriction
systems. *Nat Commun* **10**, 5442, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13384-y (2019).
55 Hutinet, G., Lee, Y. J., de Crécy-Lagard, V. & Weigele, P. R. Hypermodified DNA in Viruses of *E.*
coli and *Salmonella*. *EcoSal Plus* **9**, eESP00282019, doi:10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0028-2019
(2021).
56 Machnicka, M. A., Kaminska, K. H., Dunin-Horkawicz, S. & Bujnicki, J. M. Phylogenomics and
sequence-structure-function relationships in the GmrSD family of Type IV restriction enzymes.
BMC Bioinformatics **16**, 336, doi:10.1186/s12859-015-0773-z (2015).

57   He, X. *et al.* Expression and purification of a single-chain Type IV restriction enzyme Eco94GmrSD and determination of its substrate preference. *Sci Rep* **5**, 9747, doi:10.1038/srep09747 (2015).

58   Picton, D. M. *et al.* The phage defence island of a multidrug resistant plasmid uses both BREX and type IV restriction for complementary protection from viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 11257-73, doi:10.1093/nar/gkab906 (2021).

59   Xiong, X. *et al.* SspABCD-SspE is a phosphorothioation-sensing bacterial defence system with broad anti-phage activities. *Nat Microbiol* **5**, 917-28, doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0700-6 (2020).

60   Gao, H. *et al.* Nicking mechanism underlying the DNA phosphorothioate-sensing antiphage defense by SspE. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6773, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-34505-0 (2022).

61   Jablonska, J., Matelska, D., Steczkiewicz, K. & Ginalski, K. Systematic classification of the His-Me finger superfamily. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 11479-94, doi:10.1093/nar/gkx924 (2017).

62   Gedara, S. H. *et al.* 7-Deazaguanines in DNA: functional and structural elucidation of a DNA modification system. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**, 3836-54, doi:10.1093/nar/gkad141 (2023).

63   Dutta, R. & Inouye, M. GHKL, an emergent ATPase/kinase superfamily. *Trends Biochem Sci* **25**, 24-8, doi:10.1016/s0968-0004(99)01503-0 (2000).

64   Iyer, L. M., Abhiman, S. & Aravind, L. MutL homologs in restriction-modification systems and the origin of eukaryotic MORC ATPases. *Biol Direct* **3**, 8, doi:10.1186/1745-6150-3-8 (2008).

65   Gu, Y. *et al.* Bacterial Shedu immune nucleases share a common enzymatic core regulated by diverse sensor domains. *Mol Cell*, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2024.12.004 (2025).

66   Loeff, L., Walter, A., Rosalen, G. T. & Jinek, M. DNA end sensing and cleavage by the Shedu anti-phage defense system. *Cell*, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2024.11.030 (2025).

67   Corbett, K. D. & Berger, J. M. Structural dissection of ATP turnover in the prototypical GHL ATPase TopoVI. *Structure* **13**, 873-82, doi:10.1016/j.str.2005.03.013 (2005).

68   Nicolle, P., Gallut, J., Ducrest, P. & Quiniou, J. Recherches en vue d'établir une lysotypie pour les vibrions cholériques et les vibrions d'El-Tor. *Rev. Hyg. Med. soc.* **10**, 91-126 (1962).

69   Darracq, B. *et al.* Sedentary chromosomal integrons as biobanks of bacterial anti-phage defence systems. *bioRxiv*, 2024.07.02.601686, doi:10.1101/2024.07.02.601686 (2024).

70   Morais, L. L. *et al.* Population and genetic study of *Vibrio cholerae* from the amazon environment confirms that the WASA-1 prophage is the main marker of the epidemic strain that circulated in the region. *PLoS One* **8**, e81372, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081372 (2013).

71   Bhandare, S. G. *et al.* Complete Genome Sequences of *Vibrio cholerae*-Specific Bacteriophages 24 and X29. *Genome Announc* **5**, doi:10.1128/genomeA.01013-17 (2017).

72   Box, A. M., McGuffie, M. J., O'Hara, B. J. & Seed, K. D. Functional Analysis of Bacteriophage Immunity through a Type I-E CRISPR-Cas System in *Vibrio cholerae* and Its Application in Bacteriophage Genome Engineering. *J Bacteriol* **198**, 578-90, doi:10.1128/JB.00747-15 (2016).

73   Carpenter, M. R. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas and Contact-Dependent Secretion Systems Present on Excisable Pathogenicity Islands with Conserved Recombination Modules. *J Bacteriol* **199**, doi:10.1128/JB.00842-16 (2017).

74   McDonald, N. D., Regmi, A., Morreale, D. P., Borowski, J. D. & Boyd, E. F. CRISPR-Cas systems are present predominantly on mobile genetic elements in *Vibrio* species. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 105, doi:10.1186/s12864-019-5439-1 (2019).

75   Tauxe, R. V., Mintz, E. D. & Quick, R. E. Epidemic cholera in the new world: translating field epidemiology into new prevention strategies. *Emerg Infect Dis* **1**, 141-6, doi:10.3201/eid0104.950408 (1995).

76   Dorman, M. J. *et al.* Genomics of the Argentinian cholera epidemic elucidate the contrasting dynamics of epidemic and endemic *Vibrio cholerae*. *Nat Commun* **11**, 4918, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18647-7 (2020).

77   Valia, R. *et al.* *Vibrio cholerae* O1 epidemic variants in Angola: a retrospective study between 1992 and 2006. *Front Microbiol* **4**, 354, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00354 (2013).

78   Meyer, N., Stephan, R., Cernela, N., Horlbog, J. A. & Biggel, M. Genomic characteristics of clinical non-toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* isolates in Switzerland: a cross-sectional study. *Swiss Med Wkly* **154**, 3437, doi:10.57187/s.3437 (2024).

79 Piel, D. *et al.* Phage-host coevolution in natural populations. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1075-86,
doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01157-1 (2022).
80 Lim, A. N. W., Yen, M., Seed, K. D., Lazinski, D. W. & Camilli, A. A Tail Fiber Protein and a
Receptor-Binding Protein Mediate ICP2 Bacteriophage Interactions with *Vibrio cholerae*
OmpU. *J Bacteriol* **203**, e0014121, doi:10.1128/JB.00141-21 (2021).
81 Eberhart-Phillips, J. *et al.* An outbreak of cholera from food served on an international aircraft.
Epidemiol Infect **116**, 9-13, doi:10.1017/s0950268800058891 (1996).
82 Yildiz, F. H. & Schoolnik, G. K. Role of *rpoS* in stress survival and virulence of *Vibrio cholerae*. *J*
Bacteriol **180**, 773-84, doi:10.1128/JB.180.4.773-784.1998 (1998).
83 Matthey, N., Drebes Dörr, N. C. & Blokesch, M. Long-Read-Based Genome Sequences of
Pandemic and Environmental *Vibrio cholerae* Strains. *Microbiol Resour Announc* **7**,
doi:10.1128/MRA.01574-18 (2018).
84 Aoki, S. K. *et al.* A universal biomolecular integral feedback controller for robust perfect
adaptation. *Nature* **570**, 533-37, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1321-1 (2019).
85 Simon, R., Priefer, U. & Pühler, A. A Broad Host Range Mobilization System for In Vivo Genetic
Engineering: Transposon Mutagenesis in Gram Negative Bacteria. *Bio/Technology* **1**, 784-91,
doi:10.1038/nbt1183-784 (1983).
86 Ferrières, L. *et al.* Silent mischief: bacteriophage Mu insertions contaminate products of
Escherichia coli random mutagenesis performed using suicidal transposon delivery plasmids
mobilized by broad-host-range RP4 conjugative machinery. *J Bacteriol* **192**, 6418-27,
doi:10.1128/JB.00621-10 (2010).
87 Marvig, R. L. & Blokesch, M. Natural transformation of *Vibrio cholerae* as a tool--optimizing
the procedure. *BMC Microbiol* **10**, 155, doi:10.1186/1471-2180-10-155 (2010).
88 De Souza Silva, O. & Blokesch, M. Genetic manipulation of *Vibrio cholerae* by combining
natural transformation with FLP recombination. *Plasmid* **64**, 186-95,
doi:10.1016/j.plasmid.2010.08.001 (2010).
89 Blokesch, M. TransFLP-a method to genetically modify *Vibrio cholerae* based on natural
transformation and FLP-recombination. *J Vis Exp*, doi:10.3791/3761 (2012).
90 Van der Henst, C. *et al.* Molecular insights into *Vibrio cholerae*'s intra-amoebal host-pathogen
interactions. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3460, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05976-x (2018).
91 Meibom, K. L. *et al.* The *Vibrio cholerae* chitin utilization program. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
101, 2524-9, doi:10.1073/pnas.0308707101 (2004).
92 Bao, Y., Lies, D. P., Fu, H. & Roberts, G. P. An improved Tn7-based system for the single-copy
insertion of cloned genes into chromosomes of gram-negative bacteria. *Gene* **109**, 167-8,
doi:10.1016/0378-1119(91)90604-a (1991).
93 Ducret, A., Quardokus, E. M. & Brun, Y. V. MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell
detection and quantitative analysis. *Nat Microbiol* **1**, 16077, doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.77
(2016).
94 Lo Scrudato, M. & Blokesch, M. The regulatory network of natural competence and
transformation of *Vibrio cholerae*. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1002778,
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002778 (2012).
95 Tesson, F. *et al.* Systematic and quantitative view of the antiviral arsenal of prokaryotes. *Nat*
Commun **13**, 2561, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-30269-9 (2022).
96 Payne, L. J. *et al.* PADLOC: a web server for the identification of antiviral defence systems in
microbial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, W541-W50, doi:10.1093/nar/gkac400 (2022).
97 Zimmermann, L. *et al.* A Completely Reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit with a New
HHpred Server at its Core. *J Mol Biol* **430**, 2237-43, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007 (2018).
98 Holm, L., Laiho, A., Törönen, P. & Salgado, M. DALI shines a light on remote homologs: One
hundred discoveries. *Protein Sci* **32**, e4519, doi:10.1002/pro.4519 (2023).
99 Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold
3. *Nature* **630**, 493-500, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w (2024).
100 Crooks, G. E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J. M. & Brenner, S. E. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator.
Genome Res **14**, 1188-90, doi:10.1101/gr.849004 (2004).

Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**, 772-80, doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010 (2013).

Gilchrist, C. L. M. & Chooi, Y. H. clinker & clustermap.js: automatic generation of gene cluster comparison figures. *Bioinformatics* **37**, 2473-75, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btab007 (2021).

Abby, S. S., Néron, B., Ménager, H., Touchon, M. & Rocha, E. P. MacSyFinder: a program to mine genomes for molecular systems with an application to CRISPR-Cas systems. *PLoS One* **9**, e110726, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110726 (2014).

Eddy, S. R. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**, e1002195, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195 (2011).

Stokar-Avihail, A. *et al.* Discovery of phage determinants that confer sensitivity to bacterial immune systems. *Cell* **186**, 1863-76 e16, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2023.02.029 (2023).

Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L. & Holt, K. E. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**, e1005595, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595 (2017).

Durbin, R., De Sanctis, B. & Blumer, M. Rotate: A command-line program to rotate circular DNA sequences to start at a given position or string. *Wellcome Open Res* **8**, 401, doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.19568.1 (2023).

Page, A. J. *et al.* SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. *Microb Genom* **2**, e000056, doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000056 (2016).

Stutzmann, S. & Blokesch, M. Comparison of chitin-induced natural transformation in pandemic *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor strains. *Environ Microbiol* **22**, 4149-66, doi:10.1111/1462-2920.15214 (2020).

Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y. & Pevzner, P. A. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 540-46, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8 (2019).

Chattopadhyay, D. J. *et al.* New phage typing scheme for *Vibrio cholerae* O1 biotype El Tor strains. *J Clin Microbiol* **31**, 1579-85, doi:10.1128/jcm.31.6.1579-1585.1993 (1993).

Drebes Dörr, N. C., Lemopoulos, A. & Blokesch, M. Exploring Mobile Genetic Elements in *Vibrio cholerae*. *bioRxiv*, 2024.07.25.605194, doi:10.1101/2024.07.25.605194 (2024).

Heidelberg, J. F. *et al.* DNA sequence of both chromosomes of the cholera pathogen *Vibrio cholerae*. *Nature* **406**, 477-83, doi:10.1038/35020000 (2000).

Pearson, G. D., Woods, A., Chiang, S. L. & Mekalanos, J. J. CTX genetic element encodes a site-specific recombination system and an intestinal colonization factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **90**, 3750-4, doi:10.1073/pnas.90.8.3750 (1993).

Miller, V. L., DiRita, V. J. & Mekalanos, J. J. Identification of *toxS*, a regulatory gene whose product enhances *toxR*-mediated activation of the cholera toxin promoter. *J Bacteriol* **171**, 1288-93, doi:10.1128/jb.171.3.1288-1293.1989 (1989).

Wachsmuth, I. K. *et al.* The molecular epidemiology of cholera in Latin America. *J Infect Dis* **167**, 621-6, doi:10.1093/infdis/167.3.621 (1993).

Blokesch, M. & Schoolnik, G. K. Serogroup conversion of *Vibrio cholerae* in aquatic reservoirs. *PLoS Pathog* **3**, e81, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030081 (2007).

Metzger, L. C. *et al.* Independent Regulation of Type VI Secretion in *Vibrio cholerae* by TfoX and TfoY. *Cell Rep* **15**, 951-58, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.092 (2016).

Adams, D. W., Stutzmann, S., Stoudmann, C. & Blokesch, M. DNA-uptake pili of *Vibrio cholerae* are required for chitin colonization and capable of kin recognition via sequence-specific self-interaction. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 1545-57, doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0479-5 (2019).

Angermeyer, A., Das, M. M., Singh, D. V. & Seed, K. D. Analysis of 19 Highly Conserved *Vibrio cholerae* Bacteriophages Isolated from Environmental and Patient Sources Over a Twelve-Year Period. *Viruses* **10**, doi:10.3390/v10060299 (2018).

O'Hara, B. J., Alam, M. & Ng, W. L. The *Vibrio cholerae* Seventh Pandemic Islands act in tandem to defend against a circulating phage. *PLoS Genet* **18**, e1010250, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1010250 (2022).

Acknowledgments

We thank all the members of the Blokesch laboratory for constructive feedback throughout the project. We also gratefully acknowledge K. D. Seed (University of California, Berkeley), A. Camilli (Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston), W.-L. Ng (Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston) and A. Ali (University of Florida, Gainesville) for sharing ICP phages, and A. Harms (ETH, Zurich) for sharing the BASEL collection. We thank the Félix d'Hérelle Reference Center for Bacterial Viruses from the Université Laval (Québec, Canada) for providing phage X29 (HER66). Finally, we acknowledge Roger Stephan and Michael Biggel (University of Zurich) for sharing *V. cholerae* isolates from Switzerland and for valuable scientific discussions.

Funding

European Research Council Consolidator Grant 724630 (MB)

Swiss National Research Foundation 310030_185022 (MB)

HHMI International Scholarship 55008726 (MB)

EPFL intramural funding (MB)

Author contributions

Conceptualization: DWA, MJ and MB

Methodology: DWA, MJ, AL, and MB

Investigation: DWA, MJ, AL, SS, LR, LB and MB

Visualization: DWA

Funding acquisition: MB

Supervision: DWA and MB

Writing – original draft: DWA

Writing – review & editing: DWA, MJ and MB

Writing – revision: DWA and MB

1047   **Competing interests**

1048   Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Supplementary information

Diverse phage defence systems define West African South American pandemic *Vibrio cholerae*

David W. Adams[1,2]*, Milena Jaskólska[1], Alexandre Lemopoulos[1], Sandrine Stutzmann[1], Laurie Righi[1], Loriane Bader[1] and Melanie Blokesch[1,2]*

[1]Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology, Global Health Institute, School of Life Sciences, Station 19, EPFL-SV-UPBLO, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

[2]These authors contributed equally

*Corresponding authors: david.adams@epfl.ch and melanie.blokesch@epfl.ch

Contents:
Extended Data Figs. 1 to 13
Captions for Tables 1 to 7
Captions for Movies 1 to 2
Caption for Supplementary Fig. 1

1049

1050

Extended Data Fig. 1. Control experiments showing role of WASA-1 prophage in ICP1 defence. (**a**)
Heat-map showing mean plaque-forming units (PFU/mL) of diverse ICP1-3 isolates determined by
plaque assays on E7946 derivatives that lack either the O1 antigen receptor for ICP1 and ICP3
(E7946Δ*manCB*) or the OmpU receptor for ICP2 (E7946Δ*ompU*). (**b**) Plaque assay showing PFU/mL of
various ICP1 isolates on A1552 in the presence and absence of WASA-1. (**c**) Re-streak tests evaluating
the propagation of viable ICP1 phage progeny were conducted by taking material from the highest
concentration spot of 10-fold serial dilution plaque assays done in the presence (A1552) and absence
(ΔWASA-1) of WASA-1 (left) and re-streaking on fresh lawns of the susceptible ΔWASA-1 strain (right).
(**d**) Replication assay showing change in PFU/mL over time following the infection of A1552 and E7946
cultures with *c.a.* 10^3-10^4 PFU of ICP1-2006. (**e**) Heat-map showing mean plaque-forming units
(PFU/mL) of diverse ICP1-3 isolates determined by plaque assays on A1552 derivatives that lack the
indicated genomic islands, as compared to the pre-7th pandemic control strain MAK757. (**f**) Plaque
assay showing the effects of introducing WASA-1, using versions with a kanamycin resistance cassette
inserted at two different positions, into the non-WASA lineage strains E7946 and P27459 on ICP1-2006
PFU/mL, as compared to the equivalent A1552 control strains. Heat-maps and bar charts show the
mean from 3 independent repeats. Error bars show s.d. and d.l. = detection limit.

1067
1068

Extended Data Fig. 2. Conservation of the WASA-1 genome and identification of regions of interest.
(**a**) Schematic comparing the genome organisation of WASA-1 from *V. cholerae* strain A1552 with a
representative set of examples of the WASA-1 detected by BLAST in diverse *Vibrio spp*. The schematic
was built using the clinker pipeline and the connections between genomes are coloured according to
protein identity (above the minimum default threshold of 30%). Genes with predicted functions in
prophage biology are coloured according to function, as indicated. For details of WASA-1 identification
and a full list of identified sequences, see methods and Supplementary Table 2. (**b**) Schematic of *V.
cholerae* strain A1552 WASA-1 highlighting the genes that were highly expressed under standard
growth conditions in RNA-seq results, and which were used to define the indicated regions of interest
(ROI) 1-4. Note that ROI1 could only be deleted in the ΔROI4 background. The bar chart shows the
effects of deleting each ROI on ICP1-2006 PFU/mL, as compared to WT and ΔWASA-1 control strains.
Bars show the mean + s.d. from 3 independent repeats. d.l. = detection limit.

1081
1082
Extended Data Fig. 3. Identification of conserved motifs and distribution of WonAB. (**a**) Sequence
logos showing conservation of the identified ABC-ATPase motifs in the 198 WonA hits detected using
MacSyFinder v.2.1.1, as compared to the equivalent residue number in *V. cholerae* A1552 WonA.
Amino acids in logos are coloured according to chemical properties: polar (G, S, T, Y, C), green; neutral
(Q, N), purple; basic (K, R, H), blue; acidic (D, E), red; and hydrophobic (A, V, L, I, P, W, F, M), black. (**b**)
Location of the conserved ABC-ATPase motifs shown in (**a**) at the dimer interface of the WonA dimer
structural prediction. (**c**) Sequence logo showing the conservation of the identified PD-(D/E)xK
nuclease motif in the 198 WonB hits detected using MacSyFinder v.2.1.1, compared to the equivalent
residue number in *V. cholerae* A1552 WonB. (**d**) Location of the identified PD-(D/E)xK nuclease
residues in the WonB structural prediction. (**e**) Western blot showing the protein levels of WonA and
WonB, natively expressed in strain A1552 (WT) and in derivatives encoding the indicated site-directed
variants. (**f**) Distribution of WonAB hits detected using MacSyFinder v.2.1.1. The tree shows the order-
level phylogeny of genera in the RefSeq database with more than 500 genomes (see methods). For
the full list of 198 WonAB hits see Supplementary Table 3.

Extended Data Fig. 4. Summary of structural modelling of WonAB and related systems. (a-e) Cartoon representations coloured by chain showing the AlphaFold3 predicted structures for WonAB, and the related OLD-ABC ATPase + Novel REase and PD-T4-4 systems. The ATPase components WonA, OLD-ABC ATPase and PD-T4-4_A were modelled as a monomer (**a**) and a dimer (**b**), and further modelled as a complex with either one (**c**) or two (**d**) copies of the nuclease components WonB, Novel REase and PD-T4-4_B. (**e**) Nuclease components modelled alone, highlighting the location of the predicted PD-(D/E)xK nuclease residues. The predicted template modelling (pTM) score, and where appropriate, the interface predicted template modelling (ipTM) score, are shown alongside each model. Protein sequences were obtained from NCBI: *V. cholerae* A1552 WonA (AWB73975.1) and WonB (AWB73976.1); *Anaerovibrio lipolyticus* DSM 3074 OLD-ABC ATPase (SHI83489.1) + Novel REase (SHI83462.1); *E. coli* MOD1-ECOR58 PD-T4-4_A (RCO57999.1) and PD-T4-4_B (RCO57988.1).

1110

1111

1112 **Extended Data Fig. 5. Anti-phage activity of WonAB in *E. coli*.** Fold-protection against *E. coli* phages

1113 of the BASEL collection conferred by the production of WonAB in *E. coli* MG1655Δ*araCBAD*, as

1114 compared to a negative 'no system' control. The system was expressed from a chromosomally

1115 integrated transposon carrying the arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter, induced by the addition of

1116 0.2% arabinose. Bar chart shows the mean of two independent experiments.

Extended Data Fig. 6. Time-lapse microscopy of ICP1 infection. (a) Control experiment showing time-lapse microscopy of exponentially growing cells of *V. cholerae* A1552 (WT) in the absence of phage infection. (**b**) Time-lapse microscopy comparing exponentially growing cells of *V. cholerae* WT and ΔWASA-1 strains after infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. The panels depict the full-frame versions of the examples presented in Fig. 2b. All images are representative of the results of three independent experiments. Scale bars = 20 μm.

1125
1126
Extended Data Fig. 7. Imaging the fate of ICP1 infected cells. (**a**) Time-course microscopy snapshots comparing the growth and cell morphology of *V. cholerae* A1552 cultures at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 hours after infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5, as compared to an uninfected control culture (+ LB). Note how the ICP1-infected culture initially contains only a few cells with normal morphology (white arrowheads), and that these cells increase in number as the culture rebounds, while the swollen ICP1-infected cells that are initially dominant persist for several hours before undergoing lysis (yellow arrowheads). (**b**) SytoxBlue-staining of *V. cholerae* A1552 cultures 1 hour after infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5, as compared to an uninfected control culture (+ LB). (**c**) Plaque assays testing the ability of ICP1-2006 and ICP3-2007 (which both require the O1 antigen receptor) to form plaques on cultures of A1552ΔWASA-1 that rebounded following ICP1-infection, as compared to a culture of the same strain that was grown without selection, and the set of indicated control strains. Note how neither ICP1-2006 nor ICP3-2007 are able to form plaques on the rebounded cultures, consistent with recovery of the culture being driven by the growth of spontaneous O1-antigen mutant cells. All images are representative of the results of three independent experiments. Scale bars = 20 μm.

Extended Data Fig. 8. Control experiments showing details of toxicity during WonB overproduction.
(**a**) Toxicity assay evaluating the growth of 10-fold serial dilutions of cultures of *V. cholerae* strain A1552 derivatives with a chromosomally integrated transposon carrying the indicated genes under the control of the arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter, in the absence (LB) and presence of induction (+ 0.2% ara), as compared to a negative control strain. (**b**) Toxicity assay evaluating the growth of 10-fold serial dilutions of *V. cholerae* strain A1552 and the indicated derivatives encoding site-directed variants of WonA, compared to the indicated deletion control strains, in the absence (LB) and presence (+ 0.2% ara) of WonB overproduction. Note that the control strains are also shown separately in Fig. 3a. (**c**) Western blots showing the protein levels of WonA and WonB in the strains used for the toxicity assay shown in (**b**). Samples were taken from exponentially growing cultures, 15 minutes after induction of P_{BAD}-*wonB*. (**d**) Time-course microscopy snapshots showing the effect of WonB overproduction on cell morphology and cellular DNA content, as monitored by a HupA-mNeonGreen fusion, compared to a negative control strain and a strain overproducing the inactive WonB[K71A] variant. Scale bar = 5 μm. The panels depict the full time-series for the examples presented in Fig. 3d. (**e**-**f**) Agarose gels evaluating the integrity of genomic DNA extractions (**e**) and comparison of *wonA* and *wonB* transcript levels as determined by qRT-PCR (**f**), prepared from cultures over time upon WonB overproduction following induction in exponentially growing cells at time 0, as compared to a negative control strain and a strain overproducing the inactive WonB[K71A] variant. All data are representative of the results of three independent repeats. Bar charts show the mean + s.d.

Extended Data Fig. 9. Anti-phage activity of GrwAB and *Vc*SduA in *E. coli*. (**a**) Fold-protection against *E. coli* phages of the BASEL collection conferred by the production of either GrwA, GrwB, *Vc*SduA, GrwAB or GrwAB-*Vc*SduA in *E. coli* MG1655Δ*araCBAD*, as compared to a negative 'no system' control. Genes were expressed from a chromosomally integrated transposon carrying the arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter, induced by the addition of 0.2% arabinose. Bar charts show the mean of two independent experiments. Bars with a black dot indicate phages exhibiting altered plaque morphology. (**b**) Representative examples of the altered plaque morphology phenotype observed for Bas03 and Bas05 in the presence of *Vc*SduA production.

1172
1173
Extended Data Fig. 10. Identification of conserved motifs and distribution of GrwAB. (a, d) Sequence
logos showing conservation of the identified QR, DGQQR and FxxxN motifs in the DUF262 domains of
the 471 GrwA (**a**) and 471 GrwB (**d**) hits detected using MacSyFinder v.2.1.1, as compared to the
equivalent residue number in *V. cholerae* A1552 GrwA and GrwB. Amino acids in logos are coloured
according to chemical properties: polar (G, S, T, Y, C), green; neutral (Q, N), purple; basic (K, R, H), blue;
acidic (D, E), red; and hydrophobic (A, V, L, I, P, W, F, M), black. (**b**) Sequence logo showing the
conservation of the identified His-Me (HNH) nuclease motif in the DUF1524 domain of the 471 GrwA
hits detected using MacSyFinder v.2.1.1, as compared to the equivalent residue number in *V. cholerae*
A1552 GrwA. (**c**) Location of the identified His-Me (HNH) nuclease motif residues in the GrwA
structural prediction, highlighting the predicted $\beta\beta\alpha$ fold. (**e**) AlphaFold3 predicted structures of
potential GrwAB complexes. Colour scheme as in (**c**). (**f**) Distribution of GrwAB hits detected using
MacSyFinder v.2.1.1. The tree shows the order-level phylogeny of genera in the RefSeq database with
more than 500 genomes (see methods). For the full list of 471 GrwAB hits see Supplementary Table 4.

1187
1188

Extended Data Fig. 11. Bas24 escaper phages overcome GrwAB defence via mutations in the DNA modification pathway. (**a**) Plaque assays evaluating the ability of 10-fold serial dilutions of Bas24 escaper phages to form plaques on *E. coli* MG1655Δ*araCBAD* in the absence (No system) and presence of GrwAB (+ GrwAB), as compared to the parental Bas24 stock and a control stock propagated in the absence of GrwAB. (**b**) SNP table summarising results of whole genome sequencing for each phage compared to the published Bas24 reference sequence. Light yellow (SNP), dark yellow (SNP targeting *dpdA* locus), HP (hypothetical protein). (**c**) Multiple-sequence alignment showing conservation of *Queuovirinae* (Bas19-25) homologues of the 7-deazaguanosine inserting enzyme DpdA, with the residues found to be substituted in the Bas24 escaper phages highlighted in red. (**d**) Locations of the substituted residues shown on predicted structure of Bas24 DpdA, generated using AlphaFold3, compared to the experimentally determined crystal structure of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Montevideo DpdA (PDB 7UI4) showing the active site highlighted in magenta.

1201
1202
1203 **Extended Data Fig. 12. Time-lapse microscopy of Bas24 infection.** (**a**-**b**) Time-lapse microscopy of
1204 exponentially growing cells of *E. coli* MG1655Δ*araCBAD* in the absence (No system) and presence (+
1205 GrwAB) of GrwAB production, in the absence (**a**) and presence (**b**) of infection with Bas24 at MOI 10.
1206 All images are representative of the results of three independent experiments. Scale bars = 20 μm.

Extended Data Fig. 13. Identification of conserved motifs and distribution of *Vc*SduA. (a-b) Sequence logos showing conservation of the identified GHKL ATPase motifs I-IV in the GHKL domain (**a**) and the identified PD-(D/E)xK nuclease motif in the DUF4263 domain (**b**) of the 543 *Vc*SduA hits detected using MacSyFinder v.2.1.1, as compared to the equivalent residue number in *V. cholerae* A1552 *Vc*SduA. Amino acids in logos are coloured according to chemical properties: polar (G, S, T, Y, C), green; neutral (Q, N), purple; basic (K, R, H), blue; acidic (D, E), red; and hydrophobic (A, V, L, I, P, W, F, M), black. (**c**) Location of the identified PD-(D/E)xK nuclease residues in the *Vc*SduA structural prediction. (**d**) Western blot showing the protein levels of *Vc*SduA, expressed in *E. coli* MG1655Δ*araCBAD* from a chromosomally integrated transposon carrying the arabinose-inducible *P*~BAD~- promoter, induced by the addition of 0.2% arabinose, as compared to derivatives encoding the indicated site-directed variants. Sigma70 was used as a loading control. (**e**) AlphaFold3 predicted structure of potential *Vc*SduA tetramer. (**f**) Distribution of *Vc*SduA hits detected using MacSyFinder v.2.1.1. The tree shows the order-level phylogeny of genera in the RefSeq database with more than 500 genomes (see methods). For the full list of 543 *Vc*SduA hits see Supplementary Table 5.

1223 **Supplementary Tables**

1224 **Table 1**. Summary of defence systems identified by DefenseFinder and PADLOC.

1225

1226 **Table 2**. Summary of WASA-1 hits detected by BLAST.

1227

1228 **Table 3**. Summary of matching hits for the *Vibrio cholerae* WonAB and *Anaerovibrio lipolyticus* OLD-

1229 ABC ATPase + Novel REase models, detected by MacSyFinder v.2.1.1.

1230

1231 **Table 4**. Summary of matching hits for the *Vibrio cholerae* GrwAB model detected by MacSyFinder

1232 v.2.1.1.

1233

1234 **Table 5**. Summary of matching hits for the *Vibrio cholerae* *Vc*SduA model detected by MacSyFinder

1235 v.2.1.1.

1236

1237 **Table 6**. Summary of DdpA-encoding vibriophages detected by BLAST.

1238

1239 **Table 7**. Bacterial strains, plasmids, bacteriophages and defence systems used in this work.

Supplementary Movies

Movie 1. Time-lapse microscopy of ICP1 infection.

Time-lapse microscopy comparing exponentially growing cells of *V. cholerae* A1552 WT and ΔWASA-1 strains after infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. Cells were grown at 37°C on LB agarose and imaged automatically at 1 minute intervals for 60 minutes. Bar = 20 μm. Playback = 5 fps.

Movie 2. Time-lapse microscopy of Bas24 infection.

Time-lapse microscopy comparing exponentially growing cells of *E. coli* MG1655Δ*araCBAD* in the absence (No system) and presence of GrwAB (+ GrwAB) production, after infection with Bas24 at MOI 10. Cells were grown at 37°C on LB agarose + 5mM $CaCl_2$, 20mM $MgSO_4$, 0.2% arabinose and imaged automatically at 1 minute intervals for 180 minutes. Bar = 20 μm. Playback = 5 fps.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. 1. Confidence metrics for AlphaFold3 models.

AlphaFold3 models of (**a**) WonAB and related systems (**b**) GrwAB, (**c**) *Vc*SduA and (**d**) DpdA are shown below predicted aligned error (PAE) plots and are coloured according to per residue predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) score. The predicted template modelling (pTM) score, and where appropriate the interface predicted template modelling (ipTM) score, are shown below each model.