Diverse phage defence systems define West African South American pandemic *Vibrio cholerae*

David W. Adams[1,2]*, Milena Jaskólska[1], Alexandre Lemopoulos[1], Sandrine Stutzmann[1], Laurie Righi[1], Loriane Bader[1] and Melanie Blokesch[1,2]*

[1]Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology, Global Health Institute, School of Life Sciences, Station 19, EPFL-SV-UPBLO, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

[2]These authors contributed equally.

*Corresponding authors: david.adams@epfl.ch and melanie.blokesch@epfl.ch

Summary

Our understanding of the factors underlying the evolutionary success of different lineages of

pandemic *Vibrio cholerae* remains incomplete. Interestingly, two unique genetic signatures define the

West African South American (WASA) lineage of *V. cholerae* responsible for the 1991-2001 Latin

American cholera epidemic. Here we show these signatures encode diverse anti-phage defence

systems. Firstly, the WASA-1 prophage encodes a 2-gene abortive-infection system WonAB that

renders the lineage resistant to the major predatory *vibrio*phage ICP1, which alongside other phages,

restricts cholera epidemics and has potential for use in prophylaxis. Secondly, the unique set of genes

on *Vibrio* seventh pandemic island II encodes an unusual modification-dependent restriction system

and a new type of the Shedu defence family. Taken together, we propose that these anti-phage

defence systems have likely contributed to the success of a major epidemic lineage of the ongoing

seventh cholera pandemic.

The ability of lytic bacteriophages to rapidly devastate bacterial populations has driven the evolution of multiple layers of defence, including a diverse array of specialised anti-phage defence systems[1]. Bacteriophages also have the potential to affect bacterial pathogenesis. Indeed, bacteriophage predation is thought to limit the duration and severity of cholera epidemics, as well as affecting individual patient outcomes[2-5]. Sampling of cholera patients has revealed that the O1 El Tor strains of *Vibrio cholerae* responsible for the ongoing seventh cholera pandemic (7PET) consistently co-occur with three lytic phages ICP1, 2 and 3, with ICP1 being most frequently isolated[6,7]. Furthermore, the demonstration that a cocktail of ICP1-2-3 can prevent cholera in animal infection models has led to renewed interest in using phages as a prophylactic treatment[8]. There is therefore an urgent need to understand the mechanisms by which *V. cholerae* defends against these viruses.

Since 1961 7PET strains have spread out from the Bay of Bengal in a series of three distinct but overlapping waves[9]. Importantly, from Wave 2 onwards strains acquired SXT/R391 integrative and conjugative elements (SXT-ICE), which in addition to carrying multiple antibiotic resistance genes, encode a variable anti-phage defence hotspot that in the globally dominant SXT-ICE harbours either BREX or Restriction-Modification systems active against ICP1-3[9,10]. Additionally, a family of viral satellites, the Phage-inducible chromosomal island-like elements (PLE), also occur sporadically and specifically parasitise ICP1 infection to mediate their own transmission[11,12]. Notably, these elements exemplify the co-evolutionary arms race of defence and counter-defence between bacterial hosts and their viral predators, with the emergence of resistant ICP1 selecting for either alternative SXT-ICE carrying new defence systems or new PLE[10,13]. In contrast, how 7PET strains that lack SXT-ICE/PLE defend against these phages remains unknown. Given their ubiquitous nature and ability to shape epidemics, we hypothesised that these strains likely contained additional defence systems with activity against ICP1-3.

WASA-1 prophage renders Peruvian strains resistant to ICP1

To test this hypothesis, we challenged a set of intensively studied wave 1 strains that lack SXT-ICE/PLE with a well-characterised collection of ICP1-3 phages. All strains showed the expected sensitivities to

ICP2 and ICP3 (Fig. 1A and Extended Data Fig. 1A). Unexpectedly, however, the widely used model

strain A1552 – a Peruvian isolate – was completely resistant to all tested ICP1 isolates spanning a

twenty-seven-year period, displaying a 10^5-fold reduction in plaque formation and a similar defect in

ICP1 replication (Fig. 1A and Extended Data Fig. 1A-C). Notably, the contemporary Peruvian strains

C6706 and C6709 also behaved similarly. ICP1 resistance was independent of the *Vibrio* pathogenicity

islands (VPI-1/2) and the *Vibrio* seventh pandemic islands (VSP-I/II), which together harbour multiple

known anti-viral defence systems[14-21] (Extended Data Fig. 1D). Moreover, the ICP1 resistant strains

were predicted to encode the same repertoire of defence systems as the ICP1 sensitive strains (table

S1), suggesting that ICP1 resistance was likely due to the presence of a novel defence system(s) not

recognised by the currently available prediction tools.

The Peruvian strains are members of the West African South American (WASA) lineage of 7PET

V. cholerae[9], which was responsible for a massive cholera epidemic that started in Peru in 1991, before

spreading rapidly throughout Latin America, resulting in over 1.2 million cholera cases and

approximately 12,000 deaths[22]. Interestingly, phylogenetic analysis has shown that these strains

originated in West Africa, where they acquired two genetic signatures that define the WASA lineage:

(i) a novel set of genes on VSP-II and (ii) the WASA-1 prophage[9,23-26]. Since deletion of VSP-II had no

effect on ICP1 resistance we tested strains deleted for WASA-1. Strikingly, this abolished defence

against ICP1 in all tested Peruvian strains, and restored ICP1 replication to levels similar to those of a

susceptible control (Fig. 1B-E). Moreover, introducing WASA-1 into non-WASA lineage strains rendered

them ICP1 resistant (Extended Data Fig. 1E).

WonAB system is responsible for ICP1 defence

The WASA-1 prophage is highly conserved throughout all WASA lineage strains[9,25], and interestingly, is

also found in diverse *Vibrio* spp. where it displays a broadly conserved genome encoding proteins

involved in capsid/tail biogenesis, DNA replication and a recombinase (Fig. 1B, Extended Data Fig. 2A

and table S2). To determine the gene(s) responsible for ICP1 defence, we used an existing RNA-seq

dataset[27] to identify WASA-1 genes expressed under laboratory conditions, and then screened

truncations of these regions for loss of protection (Extended Data Fig. 2B). This revealed a 2-gene

operon (A1552VC_01233-34) encoding an ATPase and a hypothetical protein, downstream of a

conserved putative transcriptional regulator (A1552VC_01232) (Fig. 1B). For the reasons outlined

below we have renamed this operon WASA OLD-ABC ATPase Nuclease, WonAB. Strikingly, deletion of

wonAB abolished protection against ICP1. Moreover, ectopic expression of *wonAB* complemented the

Δ*wonAB* deletion and conferred complete protection to ICP1-sensitive non-WASA strains (Fig. 1D-E).

Importantly, both genes were required for protection indicating that production of WonAB is both

necessary and sufficient for anti-phage activity (Fig. 1F). Notably, although WonAB is unique to the

WASA-1 prophage found in *V. cholerae*, known defence systems could also be found at the same locus

in other non-cholerae WASA-1 (table S2), consistent with the observation that prophages often carry

anti-phage defence systems to increase the fitness of their bacterial hosts[28-30].

89   Bioinformatic analysis revealed that WonA is an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) ATPase with a

predicted core β-barrel like ATPase fold characteristic of the ABC-ATPase clade[31]. Motifs required for

ATP binding and hydrolysis (Walker A, Q-loop, ABC-signature, Walker B, D-loop and H-loop) were

readily identifiable (Fig. 1G and Extended Data Fig. 3A). Moreover, structural modelling confidently

predicted that WonA forms a dimer, in which these motifs are positioned at the dimer interface to

form the composite ATP-binding sites typical of ABC-ATPases[31] (Fig. 1G, Extended Data Figs. S3B and

S4A-B). In contrast, WonB is predicted to encode a variant of the PD-(D/E)xK nuclease fold, with the

highly conserved active site residues clustered together[32] (Fig. 1G and Extended Data Fig. 3C-D).

Variants designed to disrupt either WonA ATP-binding/hydrolysis (K34A; R182A; E300A; H333A) or

WonB nuclease activity (K71A) all abolished protection against ICP1, despite mostly being produced at

similar levels to the WT control (Fig. 1H and Extended Data Fig. 3E). Interestingly, Western blotting

showed that inactivating WonA ATPase activity resulted in the loss of WonB, suggesting that WonA

regulates or otherwise affects the stability of WonB (Extended Data Fig. 3E). Indeed, the two proteins

are predicted to form a complex, with WonB binding to a surface created by the dimerised C-terminal

extensions of WonA (Extended Data Fig. 4A-D).

Homologues of WonAB are found in diverse classes of mainly Gram-negative bacteria and

overall were present in 0.43% of bacterial genomes examined (Extended Data Fig. 3F and table S3).

Notably, when expressed in *Escherichia coli* WonAB displayed robust activity against all members of

the *Vequintavirinae* subfamily of the BASEL bacteriophage collection[33], indicating that WonAB activity

is not limited to ICP1 (Extended Data Fig. 5). Interestingly, WonA ATPase motifs display deviations

typical of the overcoming lysogenisation defect (OLD) family of ABC-ATPases[31,34] (Extended Data Fig.

3A). However, members of this family such as OLD, Gabija, PARIS and the related Septu, are distinct

from WonA in both structure and domain composition[34-39]. In contrast, WonAB appears to be closely

related to an operon encoding a predicted OLD-ABC ATPase and a novel version of the restriction-

enzyme fold (Extended Data Fig. 4), detected during a recent comprehensive *in silico* survey of ABC-

ATPases[31]. Moreover, homologues of this operon are found in 0.96% of bacterial genomes and

encompass all detected homologues of WonAB (table S3). Furthermore, WonAB also shows similarity

to operons with a similar organisation such as PD-T4-4 that have also recently been identified

(Extended Data Fig. 4), albeit with variations in the Insert 1/2 regions and C-terminal extensions of the

ATPase, and in some cases a distinct nuclease[29,40]. Taken together, these data suggest that WonAB is

part of a larger as yet uncharacterised family of ABC-ATPase sensors that are paired with nuclease

effectors.

ICP1 defence occurs via lysis-independent abortive infection

To gain insights into how WonAB mediates ICP1 defence we monitored the growth kinetics of ICP1-

infected cells at different multiplicities of infection (MOI), in the absence and presence of WASA-1 (Fig.

2A). This revealed that WonAB provides robust protection against ICP1 at low MOI when only a subset

of cells is initially infected. In contrast, infection at high MOI, when most cells are simultaneously

infected, resulted in a growth arrest (Fig. 2A). This phenotype is characteristic of abortive infection,

wherein individual cell viability is sacrificed prior to the completion of phage replication to protect the

surrounding bacterial population[41]. Indeed, time-lapse microscopy showed that while both WT and

ΔWASA-1 cells swell upon infection, cells of the ΔWASA-1 go on to lyse within ~20min, whereas WT

cells remain in this swollen state without lysing for the 60min duration of the experiment (Fig. 2B,

Extended Data Fig. 6 and movie S1). Moreover, these growth-arrested cells persist in an intact state for

several hours before eventually undergoing lysis (Extended Data Fig. 7A-B). During this time ICP1-

infected cultures rebounded due to the growth of spontaneous O1-antigen mutants (Extended Data

Fig. 7C), which is the receptor for ICP1, as has been observed elsewhere[6]. Importantly, this is likely an

in vitro phenomenon since O1 antigen mutants are attenuated for virulence[42].

Efforts to isolate either a spontaneous or an evolved ICP1 escape mutant resistant to WonAB

have so far proved unsuccessful. Therefore, to study the mode of action of WonAB in more detail we

investigated different stages of the ICP1 lifecycle in the absence and presence of WASA-1[7,43]. First,

quantitative-PCR showed that ICP1 DNA replication proceeds similarly in both strain backgrounds,

albeit with a 2.7-fold defect in the WT background, indicating that WonAB neither restricts ICP1 DNA

on entry nor prevents the initiation of DNA replication (Fig. 2C). Second, microscopy revealed that

upon infection the nucleoids of both strains undergo dramatic changes in morphology by 4min post-

infection, with the nucleoid frequently appearing to contract along its long axis, likely representing the

action of ICP1-encoded nucleases and host-cell takeover[43,44] (Fig. 2D). Notably, whereas ΔWASA-1 cells

then rapidly went on to lyse, DNA in WT cells persisted in a highly compacted state and went on to

form toroidal structures, suggesting that WonAB does not act by simply degrading DNA. Third,

microscopy of ICP1-infected cells producing a fluorescent protein fusion to the ICP1 major capsid

protein revealed robust capsid assembly, lysis and release in the ΔWASA-1 background (Fig. 2E-G). In

contrast, capsid assembly was largely absent in WT cells (Fig. 2F). Taken together, these results show

that WonAB does not prevent the initial damage inflicted by ICP1, and suggest that WonAB is activated

after the initiation of ICP1 DNA replication and prevents progression to structural protein assembly.

WonAB activation shuts down cell growth by inhibiting translation

The data so far are consistent with WonAB aborting ICP1 infection via a lysis-independent mechanism that targets the host cell. To test whether WonAB can be artificially activated in the absence of infection, we overexpressed either the *wonAB* operon or each gene individually in an otherwise WT background (Fig. 3A and Extended Data Fig. 8A). While *wonAB* and *wonA* had no apparent phenotype, WonB overproduction was highly toxic, resulting in a growth arrest and a rapid loss in viability, with >99% of cells non-viable within 30min (Fig. 3A-C and Extended Data Fig. 8A). Furthermore, toxicity was dependent on WonB nuclease activity since the WonB[K71A] variant was non-toxic (Fig. 3B-C and Extended Data Fig. 8A). One explanation for these data could be that WonAB is a toxin-antitoxin system, with WonA acting as an antitoxin to neutralise the toxicity of WonB[45]. Indeed, the DarTG toxin-antitoxin system has recently been shown to have activity against ICP1[46]. Arguing against this hypothesis, however, WonB overproduction was not toxic in a Δ*wonAB* background, despite being produced at similar levels to the control (Fig. 3A and Extended Data Fig. 8B-C). Moreover, deletion of *wonA* or inactivation of WonA ATPase activity also abolished the toxicity associated with WonB overproduction (Fig. 3A and Extended Data Fig. 8B-C). Thus, these results rule out a simple sequestration mechanism and suggest that WonA is either required to activate WonB or that the two proteins act together.

Microscopy revealed that following WonB overproduction growth-arrested cells exhibit condensed nucleoids that at later stages display aberrant morphologies and are highly compacted (Fig. 3D and Extended Data Fig. 8D). Notably, the vast majority of cells retained DNA and purified genomic DNA also remained intact (Extended Data Fig. 8D-E), indicating that toxicity does not result from chromosomal DNA degradation. Since the nucleoid compaction phenotype resembled the effects of antibiotics that inhibit translation[47], we investigated WonB production over time using Western blotting (Fig. 3E). Remarkably, this revealed that compared to the inactivated WonB[K71A] control, WonB is produced only for short time after induction, and by 30min post-induction was no longer detectable. Importantly, qRT-PCR showed that *wonB* mRNA levels were induced similarly between the

two constructs and remained stable throughout the course of the experiment (Extended Data Fig. 8F).

These data suggested that (i) WonB overproduction inhibits translation and (ii) that WonB is likely

unstable. In agreement with these hypotheses, following inhibition of translation with

chloramphenicol, WonB rapidly disappeared in a Lon protease-dependent manner (Fig. 3F-G),

indicating that WonB is indeed unstable and subject to proteolytic degradation. Since in the absence

of ICP1, WonB was toxic only when produced in excess of WonA, Lon-mediated degradation likely

functions as a safety mechanism to reduce WonB accumulation and hence prevent auto-immunity.

Collectively, these results support a model whereby upon activation WonAB aborts phage infection by

inhibiting translation, and thus preventing the progression of the ICP1 lifecycle. Furthermore, since the

growth-arrest upon WonB overproduction does not result in genomic DNA degradation, these results

suggest that the target of WonB nuclease activity could be a rRNA or tRNA.

VSP-II[WASA] carries two distinct anti-phage defence systems

The second genetic signature of the WASA lineage is a unique variant of VSP-II (Fig. 4A), wherein the

chemotaxis gene cluster from the prototypical VSP-II is replaced by a set of three novel genes

(A1552VC_00274-76) and a frame-shifted transposase gene[9,23,48]. Although these genes are not

predicted to be part of a known defence system, and lacked activity against ICP1-3, bioinformatic

analysis revealed that they encode proteins with domains characteristic of defence systems (see

below). Consistent with this, when this cluster was expressed in *E. coli* we observed anti-phage activity

against several major groups of the BASEL collection (Fig. 4B and Extended Data Fig. 9A). As outlined

below, genetic dissection revealed that this cluster encodes two independent systems, with the two-

gene operon VC_00274-75 encoding the G̲mrSD-like Type IV R̲Ease of W̲ASA strains, GrwAB (Fig. 4C-E)

and VC_00276 encoding the *V̲. c̲holerae* S̲he̲du, *Vc*SduA (Fig. 4F-H).

GrwAB showed potent anti-phage activity against all members of the *Queuovirinae* and

Tevenvirinae (*Straboviridae*), as well as some members of the *Markadamsvirinae* (*Demerecviridae*),

and both genes were required for protection (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, the signature feature of the

Queuovirinae and *Tevenvirinae* subfamilies is that their genomes contain hypermodified nucleobases

with either 7-deazaguanine modified guanosines or sugar-modified hydroxymethyl cytosines,

respectively, suggesting that GrwAB may recognise DNA modification[33,49,50]. In line with this

hypothesis, GrwAB resembles the GmrSD family of modification-dependent restriction enzymes, such

as GmrSD, BrxU and SspE[51-54] (Fig. 4C and Extended Data Fig. 10A-D). These are typically single protein

systems composed of an N-terminal DUF262 domain that functions as a DNA modification sensor, and

that uses NTP binding/hydrolysis to regulate the activity of a C-terminal His-Me nuclease domain

(DUF1524), which functions as an effector to target non-self DNA[51,53,55]. Indeed, GrwA is predicted to

share this architecture, with an N-terminal DUF262 domain containing the highly conserved QR,

DGQQR and FxxN motifs[51], coupled by an α-helical linker to a C-terminal DUF1524 domain containing

the canonical $\beta\beta\alpha$ fold and catalytic residues of the His-Me nuclease superfamily[56] (Fig. 4C and

Extended Data Fig. 10A-C). In contrast, and unlike known GmrSD systems, GrwB encoded by the second

gene contains an N-terminal DUF262 domain followed by multiple domains of unknown function (Fig.

4C and Extended Data Fig. 10D). Notably, variants designed to disrupt either the NTP hydrolysis of

DUF262 in GrwA[R81A] and GrwB[R86A], or the nuclease activity of DUF1524 in

GrwA[H431A]/[N521A]/[N530A] all abolished anti-phage activity (Fig. 4D), indicating that GrwA and

GrwB function together.

Importantly, multiple independent escaper mutants of Bas24 were obtained that overcome

defence by GrwAB (Extended Data Fig. 11A). These escapers all contain changes in either the active

site or the DNA-binding surface of DpdA – the transglycosylase that inserts the 7-deazaguanine

modification into the DNA – consistent with the disruption of DNA modification leading to immune

evasion[57] (Extended Data Fig. 11B-D). Interestingly, growth kinetics of phage-infected cultures

producing GrwAB lacked the growth arrest or premature lysis typical of abortive infection (Fig. 4E).

However, cultures did go on to exhibit moderate-to-severe lysis, which microscopy revealed was due

to the presence of non-dividing filamentous cells (Extended Data Fig. 12 and movie S2), suggesting

that either GrwAB is unable to clear the infection prior to the cell sustaining irreversible damage or

that the cell is subject to collateral damage during phage defence. Finally, bioinformatic analyses

revealed that homologues of GrwAB are widespread and found in ~1% of genomes examined

(Extended Data Fig. 10E and table S4), suggesting that GrwAB represents a new member of an

emerging family of two-gene GmrSD-like modification-dependent restriction enzymes that includes

the recently discovered TgvAB system present in *V. cholerae* VPI-2[20,21]. Similar to TgvAB, the

requirement for both GrwA and GrwB suggests that these proteins likely act as a complex, which could

represent either a novel mode of action or else a mechanism to overcome phage-encoded anti-

defences. Notably, despite the similarities, GrwAB has an expanded range compared to TgvAB and is

also able to recognise 7-deazaguanine modified DNA. Furthermore, although GrwA, TgvB and GmrSD

all share a similar domain architecture, except for the shared N-terminal DUF262 domain GrwB and

TgvA are distinct from one another[20].

*Vc*SduA showed activity against all members of the T1-like *Drexlerviridae* family, with strong

protection against Bas1 (>10,000-fold), Bas03 and Bas08 (10-100-fold), while the remainder showed a

reduced plaque diameter that in the case of Bas03 and Bas05 was drastic (Fig. 4B and Extended Data

Fig. 9B). Sequence analysis and structural modelling showed that *Vc*SduA encodes an N-terminal GHKL

(Gyrase, Hsp90, Histidine Kinase, MutL) ATPase domain (HATPase_c) containing the highly conserved

motifs I-IV that define this ATPase superfamily[58,59], followed by a C-terminal PD-(D/E)xK nuclease

domain (DUF4263) that is also found in the Shedu defence system[15,60,61] (Fig. 4F and Extended Data

Fig. 13 A-C). Variants designed to disrupt either ATP hydrolysis *Vc*SduA[E27A] or nuclease activity

*Vc*SduA[K557A] both abolished anti-phage activity despite being produced at similar levels to WT

control (Fig. 4G and Extended Data Fig. 13D). Furthermore, growth kinetic experiments with phage-

infected cultures revealed similarly robust protection at all tested MOIs (Fig. 4H), consistent with

*Vc*SduA targeting and restricting the phage directly while preserving the viability of the host cell.

Modelling of *Vc*SduA as a dimer (Fig. 4F), which are typical for GHKL ATPases, confidently

predicted an entwined configuration with the GHKL ATPase domain sitting below a cavity formed by a

clamp-like middle domain, which in other GHKL family members mediates binding to DNA and protein

substrates[58,62]. Thus, these results suggest that the GHKL domain may act as a sensor to control the

activity of the C-terminal nuclease domain. Furthermore, bioinformatic analysis revealed that *Vc*SduA

homologues are widely distributed and present in ~1.2% of genomes examined (Extended Data Fig.

13E and table S5). Notably, GrwAB and VcSduA were rarely found together outside of *V. cholerae* (table

S5), consistent with the demonstration above that they are independent systems. Interestingly,

proteins with a similar domain organisation to VcSduA were previously annotated as paraMORC3, and

have recently been proposed to be part of the Shedu (SduA) defence system family due to the presence

of the signature Shedu domain DUF4263[59,60]. Indeed, both of these *in silico* predicted systems closely

resemble VcSduA, suggesting that it is a newly identified member of the Shedu defence system family.

Discussion

The main discovery of this work is that the two unique genetic signatures (VSP-II[WASA] and WASA-1) of

the WASA lineage encode three novel antiviral defence systems (GrwAB, VcSduA and WonAB).

Previously, these elements have primarily been used as a molecular signature to track the origins of

these strains[9,23-25,63], but their significance for the success of the lineage and the Latin American

cholera epidemic has remained unknown. Here we demonstrate that combined these systems have

broad activity against diverse bacteriophage families and target two-thirds of the isolates in the BASEL

bacteriophage collection, including those with highly modified genomes. Importantly, we also show

that in the native *V. cholerae* host, the WonAB system carried on the WASA-1 prophage renders strains

resistant to ICP1 - the major predatory phage of pandemic *V. cholerae*[7]. Given the ongoing arms race

between *V. cholerae* and ICP1[10,13] and the established role of ICP1 predation in cholera epidemics[2,3,5,6],

it is therefore reasonable to assume that this would have provided a significant selective advantage

to the lineage. Nevertheless, since WonAB can also target other phages, then together with the

systems carried on VSP-II[WASA], this selective advantage may have been against phages other than ICP1.

Prior to the start of the epidemic in 1991, Latin America had been free from pandemic cholera

for almost 100 years[22,64]. However, following the introduction of the WASA lineage from West Africa

in the late 1980's, an explosive cholera epidemic spread rapidly throughout Latin America, with

>500,000 cases in Peru alone in 1991-92, and ongoing outbreaks continuing until 2001[9,22,25,26,64,65].

Given our findings we therefore propose that the combined activities of the defence systems encoded

on VSP-II[WASA] and WASA-1 are responsible for the success of the WASA lineage and envisage at least

two possible scenarios by which this could have occurred. Firstly, the acquisition of these systems in

Africa could have allowed the strains to resist local *vibrio*phages, triggering the proliferation of the

lineage that therefore facilitated the transfer to South America. Notably, cholera outbreaks were

ongoing in Western and Central Africa just prior to the start of the Latin American epidemic, and

intensified in the years afterwards[25,26]. Furthermore, strains containing VSP-II[WASA] and WASA-1

continued to circulate in Western/Central Africa up until the late 1990's, including in the strains

responsible for the 1987-1996 Angolan cholera epidemic[25,26,66]. Secondly, the defences provided by

these elements could have played a more direct role in the transmission, for example by allowing the

WASA lineage to overcome an entry barrier posed by phages associated with local non-pandemic *V.*

cholerae strains endemic to South America that might have prevented previous introductions. In

support of these proposals, the ability to defend against phage predation is thought to play a key role

in the observed evolution of local *V. cholerae* lineages, which then go on to be successful before

subsequently being replaced[10,13]. Finally, while further work will be necessary to decipher the

mechanistic details of the newly discovered defence systems, this work provides new insights into the

evolution of one of the major epidemic lineages of the seventh cholera pandemic. Moreover, while

7PET strains are typically considered to be clonal based on the phylogeny of the core genome, these

results reinforce the need to consider the role of the accessory genomes in the success of novel

lineages and therefore understanding their pandemic potential.

References
1   Georjon, H. & Bernheim, A. The highly diverse antiphage defence systems of bacteria. *Nat Rev*
309   *Microbiol* **21**, 686-700, doi:10.1038/s41579-023-00934-x (2023).
310   2   Faruque, S. M. *et al.* Seasonal epidemics of cholera inversely correlate with the prevalence of
311   environmental cholera phages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 1702-7,
312   doi:10.1073/pnas.0408992102 (2005).
313   3   Faruque, S. M. *et al.* Self-limiting nature of seasonal cholera epidemics: Role of host-mediated
314   amplification of phage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 6119-24, doi:10.1073/pnas.0502069102
315   (2005).
316   4   Jensen, M. A., Faruque, S. M., Mekalanos, J. J. & Levin, B. R. Modeling the role of bacteriophage
317   in the control of cholera outbreaks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 4652-7,
318   doi:10.1073/pnas.0600166103 (2006).

5   Madi, N. *et al.* Phage predation, disease severity, and pathogen genetic diversity in cholera
patients. *Science* **384**, eadj3166, doi:10.1126/science.adj3166 (2024).
6   Seed, K. D. *et al.* Evidence of a dominant lineage of *Vibrio cholerae*-specific lytic
bacteriophages shed by cholera patients over a 10-year period in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *mBio* **2**,
e00334-10, doi:10.1128/mBio.00334-10 (2011).
7   Boyd, C. M. *et al.* Bacteriophage ICP1: A Persistent Predator of *Vibrio cholerae. Annu Rev Virol*
8, 285-304, doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-091919-072020 (2021).
8   Yen, M., Cairns, L. S. & Camilli, A. A cocktail of three virulent bacteriophages prevents *Vibrio*
cholerae infection in animal models. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14187, doi:10.1038/ncomms14187
(2017).
9   Mutreja, A. *et al.* Evidence for several waves of global transmission in the seventh cholera
pandemic. *Nature* **477**, 462-5, doi:10.1038/nature10392 (2011).
10   LeGault, K. N. *et al.* Temporal shifts in antibiotic resistance elements govern phage-pathogen
conflicts. *Science* **373**, doi:10.1126/science.abg2166 (2021).
11   Seed, K. D., Lazinski, D. W., Calderwood, S. B. & Camilli, A. A bacteriophage encodes its own
CRISPR/Cas adaptive response to evade host innate immunity. *Nature* **494**, 489-91,
doi:10.1038/nature11927 (2013).
12   O'Hara, B. J., Barth, Z. K., McKitterick, A. C. & Seed, K. D. A highly specific phage defense system
is a conserved feature of the *Vibrio cholerae* mobilome. *PLoS Genet* **13**, e1006838,
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006838 (2017).
13   Angermeyer, A. *et al.* Evolutionary Sweeps of Subviral Parasites and Their Phage Host Bring
Unique Parasite Variants and Disappearance of a Phage CRISPR-Cas System. *mBio* **13**,
e0308821, doi:10.1128/mbio.03088-21 (2021).
14   Davies, B. W., Bogard, R. W., Young, T. S. & Mekalanos, J. J. Coordinated regulation of
accessory genetic elements produces cyclic di-nucleotides for *V. cholerae* virulence. *Cell* **149**,
358-70, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.053 (2012).
15   Doron, S. *et al.* Systematic discovery of antiphage defense systems in the microbial
pangenome. *Science* **359**, doi:10.1126/science.aar4120 (2018).
16   Severin, G. B. *et al.* Direct activation of a phospholipase by cyclic GMP-AMP in El Tor *Vibrio*
cholerae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, E6048-E55, doi:10.1073/pnas.1801233115 (2018).
17   Cohen, D. *et al.* Cyclic GMP-AMP signalling protects bacteria against viral infection. *Nature*
574, 691-95, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1605-5 (2019).
18   Hsueh, B. Y. *et al.* Phage defence by deaminase-mediated depletion of deoxynucleotides in
bacteria. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1210-20, doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01162-4 (2022).
19   Jaskólska, M., Adams, D. W. & Blokesch, M. Two defence systems eliminate plasmids from
seventh pandemic *Vibrio cholerae*. *Nature* **604**, 323-29, doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04546-y
(2022).
20   Vizzarro, G., Lemopoulos, A., Adams, D. W. & Blokesch, M. *Vibrio cholerae* pathogenicity island
2 encodes two distinct types of restriction systems. *J Bacteriol*, e0014524,
doi:10.1128/jb.00145-24 (2024).
21   Gomez, J. B. & Waters, C. M. A *Vibrio cholerae* Type IV restriction system targets glucosylated
5-hydroxymethylcytosine to protect against phage infection. *J Bacteriol*, e0014324,
doi:10.1128/jb.00143-24 (2024).
22   Kumate, J., Sepúlveda, J. & Gutiérrez, G. Cholera epidemiology in Latin America and
perspectives for eradication. *Bulletin de l'Institut Pasteur* **96**, 217-26,
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-2452(99)80002-5 (1998).
23   Nusrin, S. *et al.* Peruvian *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor strains possess a distinct region in the *Vibrio*
seventh pandemic island-II that differentiates them from the prototype seventh pandemic El
Tor strains. *J Med Microbiol* **58**, 342-54, doi:10.1099/jmm.0.005397-0 (2009).
24   Garza, D. R. *et al.* Genome-wide study of the defective sucrose fermenter strain of *Vibrio*
cholerae from the Latin American cholera epidemic. *PLoS One* **7**, e37283,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037283 (2012).

Domman, D. *et al.* Integrated view of *Vibrio cholerae* in the Americas. *Science* **358**, 789-93, doi:10.1126/science.aao2136 (2017).

Weill, F. X. *et al.* Genomic history of the seventh pandemic of cholera in Africa. *Science* **358**, 785-89, doi:10.1126/science.aad5901 (2017).

Lemos Rocha, L. F. *et al.* The VarA-CsrA regulatory pathway influences cell shape in *Vibrio cholerae*. *PLoS Genet* **18**, e1010143, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1010143 (2022).

Rousset, F. *et al.* Phages and their satellites encode hotspots of antiviral systems. *Cell Host Microbe* **30**, 740-53 e5, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2022.02.018 (2022).

Vassallo, C. N., Doering, C. R., Littlehale, M. L., Teodoro, G. I. C. & Laub, M. T. A functional selection reveals previously undetected anti-phage defence systems in the E. coli pangenome. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1568-79, doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01219-4 (2022).

Patel, P. H. & Maxwell, K. L. Prophages provide a rich source of antiphage defense systems. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **73**, 102321, doi:10.1016/j.mib.2023.102321 (2023).

Krishnan, A., Burroughs, A. M., Iyer, L. M. & Aravind, L. Comprehensive classification of ABC ATPases and their functional radiation in nucleoprotein dynamics and biological conflict systems. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 10045-75, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa726 (2020).

Steczkiewicz, K., Muszewska, A., Knizewski, L., Rychlewski, L. & Ginalski, K. Sequence, structure and functional diversity of PD-(D/E)XK phosphodiesterase superfamily. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, 7016-45, doi:10.1093/nar/gks382 (2012).

Maffei, E. *et al.* Systematic exploration of *Escherichia coli* phage-host interactions with the BASEL phage collection. *PLoS Biol* **19**, e3001424, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001424 (2021).

Schiltz, C. J., Adams, M. C. & Chappie, J. S. The full-length structure of *Thermus scotoductus* OLD defines the ATP hydrolysis properties and catalytic mechanism of Class 1 OLD family nucleases. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 2762-76, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa059 (2020).

Antine, S. P. *et al.* Structural basis of Gabija anti-phage defence and viral immune evasion. *Nature* **625**, 360-65, doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06855-2 (2024).

Burman, N. *et al.* A virally-encoded tRNA neutralizes the PARIS antiviral defence system. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07874-3 (2024).

Deep, A., Liang, Q., Enustun, E., Pogliano, J. & Corbett, K. D. Architecture and activation mechanism of the bacterial PARIS defence system. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07772-8 (2024).

Li, Y. *et al.* PtuA and PtuB assemble into an inflammasome-like oligomer for anti-phage defense. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **31**, 413-23, doi:10.1038/s41594-023-01172-8 (2024).

Dot, E. W., Thomason, L. C. & Chappie, J. S. Everything OLD is new again: How structural, functional, and bioinformatic advances have redefined a neglected nuclease family. *Mol Microbiol* **120**, 122-40, doi:10.1111/mmi.15074 (2023).

Payne, L. J., Hughes, T. C. D., Fineran, P. C. & Jackson, S. A. New antiviral defences are genetically embedded within prokaryotic immune systems. *bioRxiv*, 2024.01.29.577857, doi:10.1101/2024.01.29.577857 (2024).

Rousset, F. & Sorek, R. The evolutionary success of regulated cell death in bacterial immunity. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **74**, 102312, doi:10.1016/j.mib.2023.102312 (2023).

Seed, K. D. *et al.* Phase variable O antigen biosynthetic genes control expression of the major protective antigen and bacteriophage receptor in *Vibrio cholerae* O1. *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1002917, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002917 (2012).

Barth, Z. K., Netter, Z., Angermeyer, A., Bhardwaj, P. & Seed, K. D. A Family of Viral Satellites Manipulates Invading Virus Gene Expression and Can Affect Cholera Toxin Mobilization. *mSystems* **5**, doi:10.1128/mSystems.00358-20 (2020).

McKitterick, A. C., Hays, S. G., Johura, F. T., Alam, M. & Seed, K. D. Viral Satellites Exploit Phage Proteins to Escape Degradation of the Bacterial Host Chromosome. *Cell Host Microbe* **26**, 504-14 e4, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.09.006 (2019).

Jurėnas, D., Fraikin, N., Goormaghtigh, F. & Van Melderen, L. Biology and evolution of bacterial toxin-antitoxin systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**, 335-50, doi:10.1038/s41579-021-00661-1 (2022).

46 Patel, K. M. & Seed, K. D. Sporadic phage defense in epidemic *Vibrio cholerae* mediated by the
toxin-antitoxin system DarTG is countered by a phage-encoded antitoxin mimic. *bioRxiv*,
doi:10.1101/2023.12.14.571748 (2023).
47 Nonejuie, P., Burkart, M., Pogliano, K. & Pogliano, J. Bacterial cytological profiling rapidly
identifies the cellular pathways targeted by antibacterial molecules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
110, 16169-74, doi:10.1073/pnas.1311066110 (2013).
48 Murphy, S. G., Johnson, B. A., Ledoux, C. M. & Dörr, T. *Vibrio cholerae*'s mysterious Seventh
Pandemic island (VSP-II) encodes novel Zur-regulated zinc starvation genes involved in
chemotaxis and cell congregation. *PLoS Genet* **17**, e1009624,
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009624 (2021).
49 Hutinet, G. *et al.* 7-Deazaguanine modifications protect phage DNA from host restriction
systems. *Nat Commun* **10**, 5442, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13384-y (2019).
50 Hutinet, G., Lee, Y. J., de Crécy-Lagard, V. & Weigele, P. R. Hypermodified DNA in Viruses of *E.*
coli and *Salmonella*. *EcoSal Plus* **9**, eESP00282019, doi:10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0028-2019
(2021).
51 Machnicka, M. A., Kaminska, K. H., Dunin-Horkawicz, S. & Bujnicki, J. M. Phylogenomics and
sequence-structure-function relationships in the GmrSD family of Type IV restriction enzymes.
BMC Bioinformatics **16**, 336, doi:10.1186/s12859-015-0773-z (2015).
52 He, X. *et al.* Expression and purification of a single-chain Type IV restriction enzyme
Eco94GmrSD and determination of its substrate preference. *Sci Rep* **5**, 9747,
doi:10.1038/srep09747 (2015).
53 Picton, D. M. *et al.* The phage defence island of a multidrug resistant plasmid uses both BREX
and type IV restriction for complementary protection from viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**,
11257-73, doi:10.1093/nar/gkab906 (2021).
54 Xiong, X. *et al.* SspABCD-SspE is a phosphorothioation-sensing bacterial defence system with
broad anti-phage activities. *Nat Microbiol* **5**, 917-28, doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0700-6 (2020).
55 Gao, H. *et al.* Nicking mechanism underlying the DNA phosphorothioate-sensing antiphage
defense by SspE. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6773, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-34505-0 (2022).
56 Jablonska, J., Matelska, D., Steczkiewicz, K. & Ginalski, K. Systematic classification of the His-
Me finger superfamily. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 11479-94, doi:10.1093/nar/gkx924 (2017).
57 Gedara, S. H. *et al.* 7-Deazaguanines in DNA: functional and structural elucidation of a DNA
modification system. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**, 3836-54, doi:10.1093/nar/gkad141 (2023).
58 Dutta, R. & Inouye, M. GHKL, an emergent ATPase/kinase superfamily. *Trends Biochem Sci* **25**,
24-8, doi:10.1016/s0968-0004(99)01503-0 (2000).
59 Iyer, L. M., Abhiman, S. & Aravind, L. MutL homologs in restriction-modification systems and
the origin of eukaryotic MORC ATPases. *Biol Direct* **3**, 8, doi:10.1186/1745-6150-3-8 (2008).
60 Gu, Y. *et al.* Bacterial Shedu immune nucleases share a common enzymatic core regulated by
diverse sensor domains. *bioRxiv*, 2023.08.10.552793, doi:10.1101/2023.08.10.552793 (2023).
61 Loeff, L., Walter, A., Rosalen, G. T. & Jinek, M. DNA end sensing and cleavage by the Shedu
anti-phage defense system. *bioRxiv*, 2023.08.10.552762, doi:10.1101/2023.08.10.552762
(2023).
62 Corbett, K. D. & Berger, J. M. Structural dissection of ATP turnover in the prototypical GHL
ATPase TopoVI. *Structure* **13**, 873-82, doi:10.1016/j.str.2005.03.013 (2005).
63 Morais, L. L. *et al.* Population and genetic study of *Vibrio cholerae* from the amazon
environment confirms that the WASA-1 prophage is the main marker of the epidemic strain
that circulated in the region. *PLoS One* **8**, e81372, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081372 (2013).
64 Tauxe, R. V., Mintz, E. D. & Quick, R. E. Epidemic cholera in the new world: translating field
epidemiology into new prevention strategies. *Emerg Infect Dis* **1**, 141-6,
doi:10.3201/eid0104.950408 (1995).
65 Dorman, M. J. *et al.* Genomics of the Argentinian cholera epidemic elucidate the contrasting
dynamics of epidemic and endemic *Vibrio cholerae*. *Nat Commun* **11**, 4918,
doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18647-7 (2020).

66 Valia, R. *et al. Vibrio cholerae* O1 epidemic variants in Angola: a retrospective study between
1992 and 2006. *Front Microbiol* **4**, 354, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00354 (2013).
67 Lim, A. N. W., Yen, M., Seed, K. D., Lazinski, D. W. & Camilli, A. A Tail Fiber Protein and a
Receptor-Binding Protein Mediate ICP2 Bacteriophage Interactions with *Vibrio cholerae*
OmpU. *J Bacteriol* **203**, e0014121, doi:10.1128/JB.00141-21 (2021).
68 Eberhart-Phillips, J. *et al.* An outbreak of cholera from food served on an international aircraft.
Epidemiol Infect **116**, 9-13, doi:10.1017/s0950268800058891 (1996).
69 Yildiz, F. H. & Schoolnik, G. K. Role of *rpoS* in stress survival and virulence of *Vibrio cholerae*. *J*
Bacteriol **180**, 773-84, doi:10.1128/JB.180.4.773-784.1998 (1998).
70 Matthey, N., Drebes Dörr, N. C. & Blokesch, M. Long-Read-Based Genome Sequences of
Pandemic and Environmental *Vibrio cholerae* Strains. *Microbiol Resour Announc* **7**,
doi:10.1128/MRA.01574-18 (2018).
71 Aoki, S. K. *et al.* A universal biomolecular integral feedback controller for robust perfect
adaptation. *Nature* **570**, 533-37, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1321-1 (2019).
72 Simon, R., Priefer, U. & Pühler, A. A Broad Host Range Mobilization System for In Vivo Genetic
Engineering: Transposon Mutagenesis in Gram Negative Bacteria. *Bio/Technology* **1**, 784-91,
doi:10.1038/nbt1183-784 (1983).
73 Ferrières, L. *et al.* Silent mischief: bacteriophage Mu insertions contaminate products of
Escherichia coli random mutagenesis performed using suicidal transposon delivery plasmids
mobilized by broad-host-range RP4 conjugative machinery. *J Bacteriol* **192**, 6418-27,
doi:10.1128/JB.00621-10 (2010).
74 Marvig, R. L. & Blokesch, M. Natural transformation of *Vibrio cholerae* as a tool--optimizing
the procedure. *BMC Microbiol* **10**, 155, doi:10.1186/1471-2180-10-155 (2010).
75 De Souza Silva, O. & Blokesch, M. Genetic manipulation of *Vibrio cholerae* by combining
natural transformation with FLP recombination. *Plasmid* **64**, 186-95,
doi:10.1016/j.plasmid.2010.08.001 (2010).
76 Blokesch, M. TransFLP-a method to genetically modify *Vibrio cholerae* based on natural
transformation and FLP-recombination. *J Vis Exp*, doi:10.3791/3761 (2012).
77 Meibom, K. L. *et al.* The *Vibrio cholerae* chitin utilization program. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
101, 2524-9, doi:10.1073/pnas.0308707101 (2004).
78 Bao, Y., Lies, D. P., Fu, H. & Roberts, G. P. An improved Tn7-based system for the single-copy
insertion of cloned genes into chromosomes of gram-negative bacteria. *Gene* **109**, 167-8,
doi:10.1016/0378-1119(91)90604-a (1991).
79 Ducret, A., Quardokus, E. M. & Brun, Y. V. MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell
detection and quantitative analysis. *Nat Microbiol* **1**, 16077, doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.77
(2016).
80 Lo Scrudato, M. & Blokesch, M. The regulatory network of natural competence and
transformation of *Vibrio cholerae*. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1002778,
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002778 (2012).
81 Tesson, F. *et al.* Systematic and quantitative view of the antiviral arsenal of prokaryotes. *Nat*
Commun **13**, 2561, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-30269-9 (2022).
82 Payne, L. J. *et al.* PADLOC: a web server for the identification of antiviral defence systems in
microbial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, W541-W50, doi:10.1093/nar/gkac400 (2022).
83 Zimmermann, L. *et al.* A Completely Reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit with a New
HHpred Server at its Core. *J Mol Biol* **430**, 2237-43, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007 (2018).
84 Holm, L., Laiho, A., Törönen, P. & Salgado, M. DALI shines a light on remote homologs: One
hundred discoveries. *Protein Sci* **32**, e4519, doi:10.1002/pro.4519 (2023).
85 Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold
3. *Nature* **630**, 493-500, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w (2024).
86 Crooks, G. E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J. M. & Brenner, S. E. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator.
Genome Res **14**, 1188-90, doi:10.1101/gr.849004 (2004).

Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**, 772-80, doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010 (2013).

Gilchrist, C. L. M. & Chooi, Y. H. clinker & clustermap.js: automatic generation of gene cluster comparison figures. *Bioinformatics* **37**, 2473-75, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btab007 (2021).

Abby, S. S., Néron, B., Ménager, H., Touchon, M. & Rocha, E. P. MacSyFinder: a program to mine genomes for molecular systems with an application to CRISPR-Cas systems. *PLoS One* **9**, e110726, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110726 (2014).

Eddy, S. R. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**, e1002195, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195 (2011).

Stokar-Avihail, A. *et al.* Discovery of phage determinants that confer sensitivity to bacterial immune systems. *Cell* **186**, 1863-76 e16, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2023.02.029 (2023).

Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L. & Holt, K. E. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**, e1005595, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595 (2017).

Durbin, R., De Sanctis, B. & Blumer, M. Rotate: A command-line program to rotate circular DNA sequences to start at a given position or string. *Wellcome Open Res* **8**, 401, doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.19568.1 (2023).

Page, A. J. *et al.* SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. *Microb Genom* **2**, e000056, doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000056 (2016).

Chattopadhyay, D. J. *et al.* New phage typing scheme for *Vibrio cholerae* O1 biotype El Tor strains. *J Clin Microbiol* **31**, 1579-85, doi:10.1128/jcm.31.6.1579-1585.1993 (1993).

Drebes Dörr, N. C., Lemopoulos, A. & Blokesch, M. Exploring Mobile Genetic Elements in *Vibrio cholerae*. *bioRxiv*, 2024.07.25.605194, doi:10.1101/2024.07.25.605194 (2024).

Heidelberg, J. F. *et al.* DNA sequence of both chromosomes of the cholera pathogen *Vibrio cholerae*. *Nature* **406**, 477-83, doi:10.1038/35020000 (2000).

Pearson, G. D., Woods, A., Chiang, S. L. & Mekalanos, J. J. CTX genetic element encodes a site-specific recombination system and an intestinal colonization factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **90**, 3750-4, doi:10.1073/pnas.90.8.3750 (1993).

Stutzmann, S. & Blokesch, M. Comparison of chitin-induced natural transformation in pandemic *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor strains. *Environ Microbiol* **22**, 4149-66, doi:10.1111/1462-2920.15214 (2020).

Miller, V. L., DiRita, V. J. & Mekalanos, J. J. Identification of *toxS*, a regulatory gene whose product enhances *toxR*-mediated activation of the cholera toxin promoter. *J Bacteriol* **171**, 1288-93, doi:10.1128/jb.171.3.1288-1293.1989 (1989).

Wachsmuth, I. K. *et al.* The molecular epidemiology of cholera in Latin America. *J Infect Dis* **167**, 621-6, doi:10.1093/infdis/167.3.621 (1993).

Blokesch, M. & Schoolnik, G. K. Serogroup conversion of *Vibrio cholerae* in aquatic reservoirs. *PLoS Pathog* **3**, e81, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030081 (2007).

Metzger, L. C. *et al.* Independent Regulation of Type VI Secretion in *Vibrio cholerae* by TfoX and TfoY. *Cell Rep* **15**, 951-58, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.092 (2016).

Adams, D. W., Stutzmann, S., Stoudmann, C. & Blokesch, M. DNA-uptake pili of *Vibrio cholerae* are required for chitin colonization and capable of kin recognition via sequence-specific self-interaction. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 1545-57, doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0479-5 (2019).

Angermeyer, A., Das, M. M., Singh, D. V. & Seed, K. D. Analysis of 19 Highly Conserved *Vibrio cholerae* Bacteriophages Isolated from Environmental and Patient Sources Over a Twelve-Year Period. *Viruses* **10**, doi:10.3390/v10060299 (2018).

Seed, K. D. *et al.* Evolutionary consequences of intra-patient phage predation on microbial populations. *Elife* **3**, e03497, doi:10.7554/eLife.03497 (2014).

O'Hara, B. J., Alam, M. & Ng, W. L. The *Vibrio cholerae* Seventh Pandemic Islands act in tandem to defend against a circulating phage. *PLoS Genet* **18**, e1010250, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1010250 (2022).

Acknowledgments

We thank all the members of the Blokesch laboratory for constructive feedback throughout the

project. We also gratefully acknowledge K. D. Seed (University of California, Berkeley) and W.-L. Ng

(Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston) for the gift of ICP phages, and A. Harms (ETH, Zürich) for

the gift of the BASEL collection.

Funding

European Research Council Consolidator Grant 724630 (MB)

Swiss National Research Foundation 310030_185022 (MB)

HHMI International Scholarship 55008726 (MB)

EPFL intramural funding (MB)

Author contributions

Conceptualization: DWA, MJ and MB

Methodology: DWA, MJ, AL, and MB

Investigation: DWA, MJ, AL, SS, LR, LB and MB

Visualization: DWA

Funding acquisition: MB

Supervision: DWA and MB

Writing – original draft: DWA

Writing – review & editing: DWA, MJ and MB

Competing interests

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data availability

All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

607
608
Fig. 1. WonAB carried on WASA-1 prophage renders WASA lineage ICP1 resistant. (**A**) Heat-map
showing mean plaque-forming units (PFU/mL) of diverse ICP1-3 isolates determined by plaque assays
on wave 1 *V. cholerae* strains, as compared to a pre-7th pandemic control (MAK757), shown alongside
10-fold serial dilution plaque assays of ICP1-2006. Data represent the results of three independent
repeats. Strain P27459 encodes a naturally occurring resistant variant of the ICP2 receptor OmpU[67].
(**B**) Schematic of the WASA-1 prophage highlighting *wonAB* and genes with predicted functions in
prophage biology. (**C**) Heat-map showing mean PFU/mL of diverse ICP1 isolates determined by plaque
assays on Peruvian *V. cholerae* strains in WT and ΔWASA-1 backgrounds, determined as in (**A**). (**D**)
Plaque assay showing the effects of ΔWASA-1, Δ*wonAB* and *wonAB* complementation in strain A1552
on ICP1-2006 PFU/mL alongside the effect of producing WonAB in non-WASA strains. (**E**) Replication
assay showing change in PFU/mL over time following infection of the indicated A1552 cultures with
c.a. 10^3-10^4 PFU of ICP1-2006. (**F**) Plaque assay showing effect of E7946 derivatives producing either
WonA, WonB or WonAB on ICP1-2006 PFU/mL. Where indicated, strains in (**D**-**F**) contain a
chromosomally integrated transposon carrying the arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter, induced by
the addition of 0.2% arabinose. (**G**) Predicted structures of the WonA dimer and WonB generated
using AlphaFold3 shown below schematic (top) indicating the conserved motifs. Residues targeted by
site-directed mutagenesis are highlighted in red. (**H**) Plaque assay testing the effect of WonA and
WonB site-directed variants expressed from their native locus on ICP1-2006 PFU/mL. Bar charts show
the mean + s.d. from 3 independent repeats. d.l. = detection limit.

628
629
Fig. 2. WonAB protects cells by lysis-independent abortive infection. (**A**) Growth kinetics of *V. cholerae* strain A1552 in the presence (WT) and absence of WASA-1 (ΔWASA-1), with either no phage or infected at time 0 with ICP1-2006 at the indicated MOI. Data are representative of three independent repeats. (**B**) Time-lapse microscopy comparing exponentially growing cells of *V. cholerae* A1552 WT and ΔWASA-1 strains after infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. (**C**) Fold-change in ICP1-2006 genome copy number relative to time 0, as determined by qPCR, after infection of either WT or ΔWASA-1 strains with ICP1-2006 at MOI 0.1. (**D**) Time-course microscopy snapshots comparing cell morphology and cellular DNA content, as monitored by a HupA-mNeonGreen fusion, in WT and ΔWASA-1 backgrounds following infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. (**E-G**) Time-course microscopy snapshots comparing ICP1 capsid assembly, as monitored by the incorporation of a host-cell produced mNeonGreen (mNG) fusion to the ICP1 major capsid protein Gp122 (**E**), in either WT (**F**) or ΔWASA-1 (**G**) backgrounds, following infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. All images are representative of the results of three independent experiments. Scale bars = 5 μm.

643
644
Fig. 3. WonAB activation shuts down cell growth by inhibiting translation. (**A**) Toxicity assay
evaluating the growth of 10-fold serial dilutions of *V. cholerae* strain A1552 cultures and the indicated
derivatives on solid media, in the absence (LB) and presence (+ 0.2% ara) of WonB overproduction. (**B**-
C) Toxicity assay evaluating the growth kinetics (**B**) and cell viability (**C**) of exponentially growing
cultures in liquid media upon WonB overproduction following induction at time 0, as compared to a
negative control strain and a strain overproducing the inactive WonB[K71A] variant. (**D**) Time-course
microscopy snapshots showing the effect of WonB overproduction on cell morphology and cellular
DNA content, as monitored by a HupA-mNeonGreen fusion, compared to a negative control strain and
a strain overproducing the inactive WonB[K71A] variant. Scale bar = 5 μm. (**E**) Western blot showing
protein levels of WonB and WonB[K71A] over time following induction in exponentially growing cells
at time 0. Predicted molecular mass of WonB, 16.1 kDa. Sigma70, was used as a loading control (**F**)
Western blot showing protein levels of WonB[K71A] over time following induction in exponentially
growing cells at time 0, in the absence and presence of chloramphenicol (Cm), added at 15min (T15).
(**G**) Western blot showing protein levels of WonB and WonB[K71A] over time following
chloramphenicol (Cm) addition, in the presence (*lon+*) and absence of Lon protease (Δ*lon*).
Overexpression of genes in (**a-g**) was done from a chromosomally integrated transposon carrying the
arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter, induced by the addition of 0.2% arabinose. Charts show mean
values, error bars show s.d. All images, charts and blots are representative of the results of three
independent experiments.

Fig. 4. VSP-II^WASA variant encodes two distinct anti-phage defence systems. (**A**) Schematic of VSP-
II^WASA highlighting the LAT-1 variant present in the WASA lineage and the previously characterised
DdmABC system. (**B**) Fold-protection against *E. coli* phages of the BASEL collection conferred by the
production of the indicated systems and components in *E. coli*, compared to a negative 'no system'
control. Boxes with a filled dot indicate phages exhibiting altered plaque morphology. Data represent
the mean of two independent repeats. (**C, F**) Predicted structures of GrwAB (**C**) and *Vc*SduA (**F**)
generated using AlphaFold3 shown below schematics (top) indicating the conserved domains and
motifs identified in each system. Residues targeted by site-directed mutagenesis are highlighted in
red. (**D, G**) Fold-protection against the indicated *E. coli* phages conferred by site-directed variants of
GrwAB (**D**) and *Vc*SduA (**G**) in *E. coli*, compared to a negative 'no system' control. Bar charts show the
mean + s.d. of three independent repeats. (**E, H**) Growth kinetics of *E. coli* cultures in the absence (No
system) and presence of either GrwAB (**E**) or *Vc*SduA (**H**), with either no phage or infected at time 0
with the indicated MOI. Data are representative of three independent repeats. Systems were
expressed from a chromosomally integrated transposon using the arabinose-inducible P_{BAD}- promoter.
Growth media were supplemented with 0.2% arabinose.

1087 **Supplementary Tables**

1088 **Table S1**. Summary of defence systems predicted by DefenseFinder and PADLOC.

1089

1090 **Table S2**. Summary of WASA-1 hits detected by BLAST.

1091

1092 **Table S3**. Summary of matching hits for the *Vibrio cholerae* WonAB and *Anaerovibrio lipolyticus* OLD-

1093 ABC ATPase + Novel REase models, detected by MacSyFinder v.2.1.1.

1094

1095 **Table S4**. Summary of matching hits for the *Vibrio cholerae* GrwAB model detected by MacSyFinder

1096 v.2.1.1.

1097

1098 **Table S5**. Summary of matching hits for the *Vibrio cholerae Vc*SduA model detected by MacSyFinder

1099 v.2.1.1.

1100

1101 **Table S6**. Bacterial strains, plasmids, bacteriophages and defence systems used in this work.

 Supplementary Movies

 Movie S1. Time-lapse microscopy of ICP1 infection.

 Time-lapse microscopy comparing exponentially growing cells of *V. cholerae* A1552 WT and ΔWASA-

 1 strains after infection with ICP1-2006 at MOI 5. Cells were grown at 37°C on LB agarose and imaged

 automatically at 1 minute intervals for 60 minutes. Bar = 20 μm. Playback = 5 fps.

 Movie S2. Time-lapse microscopy of Bas24 infection.

 Time-lapse microscopy comparing exponentially growing cells of *E. coli* MG1655Δ*araCBAD* in the

 absence (No system) and presence of GrwAB (+ GrwAB) production, after infection with Bas24 at MOI

 10. Cells were grown at 37°C on LB agarose + 5mM $CaCl_2$, 20mM $MgSO_4$, 0.2% arabinose and imaged

 automatically at 1 minute intervals for 180 minutes. Bar = 20 μm. Playback = 5 fps.