Antibiotic treatment and microbiome depletion slow cnidarian regeneration

Jeric Da-Anoy[a,1,*], *Kyle Toyama[a,1], Oliwia Jasnos[1], Audrey Wong[1], Thomas D. Gilmore[1], Sarah*

W. Davies[1,]*

[1]Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA 02215

[a]Equal contribution

[*]Corresponding authors: J. Da-Anoy and S.W. Davies

Email:jpdaanoy@bu.edu, daviessw@gmail.com

Phone: (617) 353-8980

Fax: (617) 353-6340

Abstract

Cnidarian microbiomes play an essential role in physiology and development, but how these

communities influence tissue regeneration is poorly understood. Here, we examined the effects

of antibiotic exposure on regeneration and microbial communities in two cnidarian models, the

sea anemones *Nematostella vectensis* (non-symbiotic, hereafter, *Nematostella*) and *Exaiptasia*

pallida (symbiotic, hereafter, *Aiptasia*). Bisected animals were incubated in either sterile or

antibiotic-containing artificial seawater for seven days and regeneration was monitored daily.

After seven days, tentacle number and length were measured, and microbial communities were

profiled using metabarcoding of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA. Microbiome disruption was

observed under antibiotic treatment in both species, resulting in decreased microbial load and

shifts in relative abundances of some microbial taxa. However, *Nematostella* exhibited a greater

reduction in microbial diversity and community shifts under antibiotic exposure, whereas

Aiptasia showed only moderate changes in diversity. In both species, microbiome disruption was

associated with slower regeneration rates and reduced tentacle number and length, suggesting a

functional role for the microbiome in cnidarian regeneration. Our findings suggest that host-

microbiome interactions in both symbiotic and aposymbiotic anemones are important for the

maintenance of regenerative processes. These findings provide insight into how cnidarians and

their microbiomes respond to environmental stressors, with implications for predicting cnidarian

resilience in the context of emerging threats to the marine environment.

Keywords: microbiome, Aiptasia, Nematostella, antibiotic, regeneration, gnotobiotic

Introduction

Microbiomes play essential roles in the health and resilience of coral reef ecosystems (reviewed in (Rädecker et al. 2015)). These microbial communities form complex symbioses with their cnidarian hosts that can influence health, development, and survival outcomes (MacVittie et al. 2024). For example, some bacteria associated with a cnidarian host are involved in nitrogen cycling, which is crucial for the nutritional balance within coral reefs (Ceh et al. 2013). Additionally, microbiomes can modulate immune systems, protect against pathogens, and influence metabolic processes of their cnidarian hosts (Krediet, Ritchie, et al. 2013).

Several studies have demonstrated how symbiosis can influence cnidarian immunity. Studies in Hydra have shown that symbiotic algae can modify host immune responses through the differential expression of antimicrobial peptides (Franzenburg et al. 2013). Furthermore, comparative analyses of starvation responses in non-symbiotic sea anemones (e.g., *Nematostella vectensis*) and symbiotic cnidarians (such as the anemone *Exaiptasia pallida* and some reef-building corals) have revealed distinct defense responses (Valadez-Ingersoll et al. 2024). These defense and immune pathways are modulated through complex signaling that optimizes mutualistic benefits, thereby enhancing host resilience and imposing adaptive demands on the immune system (Detournay et al. 2012; Mydlarz et al. 2016). Taken together, such studies indicate that symbiotic relationships may drive evolutionary innovations in immune systems (Davy et al. 2012).

While interactions between cnidarians and their endosymbiotic algae are well-studied, the roles of cnidarian holobiont members—which include host animals, Symbiodiniaceae algae, and

associated bacteria—are increasingly being recognized for supporting stress responses and host

development. For instance, microbiomes in the mucus protect cnidarian hosts from opportunistic

pathogens through competitive niche occupation (Krediet, Ritchie, et al. 2013), disruption of

pathogen establishment (Ceh et al. 2013), inhibition of quorum sensing (Kalia et al. 2018), and

the production of antimicrobial compounds (Nissimov et al. 2009). The microbiome is also

essential for cnidarian development (Thompson et al. 2014), where disruptions in bacterial

composition lead to altered development (Klein et al. 2021). Exposure of juvenile *Nematostella*

anemones to antibiotics leads to shifts in microbial communities, and *Nematostella* larvae

exposed to antibiotics show a 50% delay in settlement time (Krueger et al. 2024). Moreover,

adult *Nematostella* exposed to acute and chronic antibiotic treatments showed differential

expression of development-associated genes (Krueger et al. 2024). In Hydra, the elimination of a

microbiome leads to increased formation of ectopic tentacles when animals are exposed to

alsterpaullone, a chemical that activates the Wnt-signaling pathway (Taubenheim et al. 2020).

Additionally, interactions between pathogenic *Turneriella* species and beneficial *Pseudomonas*

species in Hydra have been shown to disrupt tissue homeostasis, resulting in tumors and

additional tentacles (Rathje et al. 2020). Microbiome disruption has also been linked to reduced

Aiptasia fitness, including decreased asexual reproduction, reduced biomass, and loss of algal

symbionts (MacVittie et al. 2024).

Not all cnidarian-associated bacteria are beneficial. Certain members of the Vibrio family can

infect coral tissues and cause disease (Kushmaro et al. 1996; Ben-Haim et al. 2003; Rosenberg

and Falkovitz 2004). Recently, antibiotics have been used to combat pathogens causing coral

diseases (Hartman et al. 2022; Studivan et al. 2023). While these treatments can effectively halt

disease progression and protect affected colonies, they also disrupt the natural microbial balance

within hosts. Antibiotics can lead to altered gene expression, decreased photosynthetic capacity,

increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and subsequent cellular stress (Motone et

al. 2020). Furthermore, antibiotic treatment can result in microbiome shifts, allowing

opportunistic bacteria and pathogens to invade due to the elimination of dominant, protective

bacteria (Sweet et al. 2011; MacVittie et al. 2024). These shifts can increase necrosis and

mortality rates, as the loss of protective microbes renders the host more susceptible to harmful

pathogens (Bent et al. 2021).

Previous work has shown that after antibiotic treatment, coral microbiomes can recover to a state

similar to pre-treatment conditions when given sufficient time (Sweet et al. 2011), likely due to

incomplete elimination of bacteria under antibiotic treatment. However, long-term effects of

antibiotic use in marine ecosystems are not fully understood, and there is a need to explore how

antibiotic treatment influences fitness-related traits. Here, we determined the effects of antibiotic

treatment on cnidarian microbiomes and how this treatment impacted two key fitness traits:

regeneration and growth. We conducted experiments on two sea anemones, *Nematostella* (non-

symbiotic) and *Aiptasia* (facultatively symbiotic), both of which are model organisms commonly

used in microbiome and/or symbiosis research due to ease of culture and ability to reproduce

sexually and asexually (Baumgarten et al. 2015). Bisected anemones were exposed to an

antibiotic cocktail and monitored regeneration rates (ability of animals to regrow tentacles after

horizontal bisection of the body) and tentacle growth (number and length of new tentacles).

Antibiotic treatment altered microbiome profiles, and also caused slower regeneration rates and

reduced tentacle growth. These results shed light on host-microbe interactions and their broader

implications for cnidarian health.

Methods

Animal preparation

Individual animals were acclimated separately in sterile 60 x 15 mm plates containing sterile

artificial seawater (ASW) at 24°C in an incubator for one week. *Nematostella vectensis* (20–

25mm oral disk) strains from Maine (ME) and North Carolina (NC) were reared in ASW at 11

ppt, while *Aiptasia* strains CC7 (Sunagawa et al., 2009) and H2 (Xiang et al., 2013) (20–25mm

oral disk) were reared in ASW at 33 ppt. A total of 150 *Nematostella* (75 individuals/strain) and

150 *Aiptasia* (75 individuals/strain) were used. Animals were fed 48 hour-old brine shrimp

(*Artemia salina*) four days prior to experimental onset. The incubator was illuminated under low

photosynthetic photon flux density (~50 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) on a 12:12 light-dark cycle.

Antibiotic treatment preparation

Ampicillin (Amp, Acros Organics Cat. No.: 61177), streptomycin (Strep, Alfa Aesar, Cat. No.:

J61299), and neomycin (Neo, Alfa Aesar, Cat. No.: J61499) were dissolved in sterile deionized

water to a working concentration of 20 mg/ml. Rifampicin (Rif, Tokyo Chemical Industry, Cat.

No.: 236-312-0) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to 20 mg/ml. As a vehicle control,

DMSO was added to seawater at equal concentration to antibiotic treatment (0.1 and 0.4%, v/v).

The antibiotic cocktail was a mixture of four antibiotics at concentrations of 200 µg/ml for each

Amp+Strep+Neo and 50 µg/ml for Rif. All antibiotics were dissolved in ASW with identical

salinity used to maintain animals.

Antibiotic experiments

Regeneration experiments were performed on adult animals (20–25mm oral disk). Using a sterile

no. 10 disposable scalpel, animals were bisected at the base of the pharynx, and only aboral

physa were used (Fig. 1a). Animals were placed into separate sterile petri dishes (60 mm × 15

mm) using disposable pipettes, and maintained at 24°C in an incubator with light levels of ~50

µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} on a 12:12 light-dark cycle. Animals were subjected to one of two treatments: 1)

control, sterile ASW; 2) antibiotic cocktail. All ASW had the same salinity used to maintain the

animals. Culture media was replaced daily, and animals were not fed during regeneration

experiments. After 7 days, all anemones regardless of regeneration state were flash-frozen in

liquid nitrogen for DNA extraction and microbiome profiling.

Bacterial load quantification

To quantify bacterial load, colony forming unit (CFU) assays were performed on whole animal

lysates. Individual anemones (8 replicates/treatment/strain/animal) were rinsed with sterile ASW,

homogenized in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and homogenate was serially diluted in

sterile PBS up to 10^8, and 10 µl of each dilution was spot plated onto Marine Agar plates.

Plates were incubated at 28°C for 48–72 h, and then colonies were counted. Negative controls

(sterile ASW, 1X PBS) were included to confirm absence of contamination during sample

preparation.

153 **Regeneration rate, asexual reproduction, and tentacle phenotypes**

154 Daily photographs of animals were taken using an Olympus Tough TG-5, with a ruler included

155 as a size standard. Animals were scored as: 1 = regenerated or 0 = not regenerated where

156 regeneration was identified by reemergence of the oral disc and primary tentacle regrowth (Fig.

157 1a). On the final day, mean number of tentacles and lengths of the five longest tentacles per

158 animal were quantified in ImageJ. To assess the effects of antibiotics on asexual reproduction,

159 we counted new individuals produced by longitudinal fission (splitting along body) in

160 *Nematostella* and through pedal laceration (tissue fragments detach from pedal disc and develop

161 into new individuals) in *Aiptasia* at the end of the experiment. Notably, asexual reproduction was

162 not observed in *Nematostella* in either treatment.

163

164 **Statistical analyses**

165 To estimate differences in regeneration rates between antibiotic and control treatments, *survival*

166 v.3.5-5 and *survminer* v.0.4.9 (Therneau and Lumley 2015) packages in R version 4.4.0 were

167 used. We compared regeneration curves using a log-rank test and assessed significant differences

168 between treatments. Differences in CFU, number of clones produced, tentacle number and length

169 among treatments were analyzed using an ANOVA (*aov*) with fixed effects of treatment and

170 strain, after checking for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk Test (*shapiro.test*). Post-hoc pairwise

171 comparisons were conducted using Tukey's HSD tests. Results are reported in Table 1. Raw data

172 and code for all analyses can be found on GitHub

173 (https://github.com/jpdaanoy2024/Proj_Regeneration). Sequence reads can be accessed in the

174 NCBI short read archive (SRA) database under the BioProject accession number

175 PRJNA1165229.

Microbiome profiling

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated from each anemone (n=4-5/treatment/species) by homogenizing samples using 1□mm glass beads (BioSpec) followed by extraction with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1). Only one strain from each species was used: ME for *Nematostella* and CC7 for *Aiptasia*. The V4 region of the 16S rRNA was amplified from gDNA using the Hyb515F (Parada et al. 2016) and Hyb806R (Apprill et al. 2015) primers. The PCR mix contained 0.1 µl of gDNA template, 0.025 U of ExTaq, 1x ExTaq buffer (Takara), 1 µM of primers, 0.2 mM of dNTPs, and molecular biology grade water. PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 62°C for 2 min, 72°C for 2 min, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. Samples were then purified using the GeneJet PCR Purification Kit (Thermo Fisher) and subjected to a second 6-cycle PCR for dual-indexing, using identical PCR conditions. Samples were pooled and sequenced at Tufts University Core Facility on an Illumina MiSeq (250 bp paired-end).

Demultiplexed reads were pre-processed using *bbmap* (Bushnell 2014) to retain sequences containing 16S primers only. Primers were removed with *cutadapt* (Martin 2011). Quality filtering of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) and taxonomic assignment were performed using *DADA2* (Callahan et al. 2016) with the Silva v.138.1 database (Quast et al. 2013) and the National Center for Biotechnology Information nucleotide database via blast+ (Camacho et al. 2009). Sequence reads from chloroplast, mitochondrial, non-bacterial, and negative control contaminants were removed using *decontam* (Davis et al. 2018). Rarefied ASVs were trimmed

with *MCMC.OTU* (Green et al. 2014) and further processed to eliminate ASVs with low counts

(<0.01%) using *vegan* (Oksanen et al. 2013).

Alpha diversity indices (Shannon index, Simpson's index, ASV richness, and evenness) were

calculated on rarefied counts using the *estimate richnes*s function in *Phyloseq* (McMurdie and

Holmes 2013). Community distance matrices based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity were assessed

using principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) with *Phyloseq* and permutational multivariate

analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, *vegan* package) to evaluate changes in microbiome

structure and composition across treatments. All read tracking statistics are provided in Table S2.

Results

Fig. 1. (a) Schematic of the experimental design. Two strains of *Nematostella* and *Aiptasia* were

bisected and subjected to control (blue) or antibiotic (red) treatment. Representative images

showing regeneration stages in *Nematostella* (b) and *Aiptasia* (c) in controls. Numbers

correspond to days following bisection. Tentacle reemergence was observed after 3 and 4 days in

Nematostella and *Aiptasia*, respectively.

Reduced microbial load in anemones after exposure to antibiotics

To determine the effect of antibiotic treatment on bacterial load, CFU assays quantified

culturable bacteria on marine agar. Results showed that antibiotic treatment resulted in a 98.4%

reduction in CFU per individual in *Nematostella* (Fig. 2a, $F(1,28)=69.91$, *$p<0.001$*) relative to

the untreated control. In addition, strain ME *Nematostella* had higher bacterial loads under

control conditions compared to the NC strain and although both strains exhibited strong bacterial

load reductions, a treatment *x* strain interaction (*$p<0.05$*) was observed, indicating that ME

anemones exhibited a greater microbial load reduction following antibiotic treatment. Similarly,

antibiotic treatment led to a 96.9% reduction in CFU in *Aiptasia* (Fig. 2a, $F(1,28) =190.27$,

$p<0.001$) relative to controls, with CC7 and H2 showing similar levels of microbial depletion

following antibiotic treatment.

Delayed oral disc regeneration in antibiotic treatments

To assess the impact of antibiotics on regeneration, anemones were bisected and the aboral

halves were monitored daily for seven days to track oral disc regrowth, which was determined

via tentacle emergence. Under control conditions, *Nematostella* and *Aiptasia* regeneration was

first observed after 3 and 4 days, respectively (Fig. 1b). However, antibiotic exposure delayed

regeneration in both species. In *Nematostella*, the proportion of individuals regenerating at day 5

was lower in the antibiotic treatment than control, with a significant difference in overall

regeneration rate (Fig. 2b, Wald test, $p<0.001$). Specifically, ME *Nematostella* showed greater

regeneration delays under antibiotics, with only partial recovery by day 7, whereas NC

Nematostella experienced less pronounced delays (Fig. S1). Similarly, antibiotic treatments in

Aiptasia resulted in delayed regeneration (Fig. 2b, Wald test, $p<0.001$). The extent of delay also

varied by strain: CC7 showed a moderate delay by day 4, while H2 exhibited a more pronounced

initial delay that improved by day 7 (Fig. S1). Regardless of strain level differences in magnitude

through time, regeneration delays under antibiotic treatment were observed across strains in both

species (Fig. 2b).

Antibiotic treatment altered asexual reproduction in *Aiptasia*

To assess antibiotic effects on *Aiptasia* asexual reproduction, we counted pedal lacerates (tissue

that detaches from pedal disc and develops into new clone) after 7 days under control or

antibiotic treatment. The number of clones produced via pedal laceration in the antibiotic

treatment was significantly higher (1.625 ± 0.23) compared to controls (0.4375 ± 0.12) (Fig. 3,

$F(1,28)=19.00$, $p<0.001$). No significant differences between strains or a treatment *x* strain

interaction were observed.

Fig. 2. Anemone responses to antibiotic treatment. (a) Colony forming units (CFU) per individual under control and antibiotic treatments. Antibiotic treatment significantly reduced CFU counts ($p_{treatment}$<0.001). (b) Proportion of individuals regenerating bisected oral discs over time between treatments. Line types represent different strains: *Nematostella* strains ME (solid) and NC (dashed), and *Aiptasia* strains CC7 (solid) and H2 (dashed), while color represents control (blue) and antibiotic treatment (red).

Anemones developed fewer and shorter tentacles after exposure to antibiotics

To determine the effect of microbiome disruption on cnidarian tentacle phenotypes, we monitored tentacle number and length at the end of the experiment (day 7). Antibiotic treatment

led to altered tentacle phenotypes (Fig. 3a). In *Nematostella*, tentacle numbers formed were

reduced from 11.9±0.46 in control to 8.75±1.21 in antibiotic treatment (Fig. 3b, $F_{(1,36)}=6.57$,

$p<0.05$). *Aiptasia* exhibited a greater reduction in tentacle formation (52%) from 23.45±1.53 in

control to 11.21±1.32 under antibiotic treatment (Fig. 3b, $F_{(1,36)}=40.62$, $p<0.001$). No

significant difference between strains was observed in either species (Fig. 3b). Similarly, tentacle

length was significantly shorter in both species following antibiotic treatment. In *Nematostella*,

tentacle length was reduced by approximately 60%, from 4.19±0.38mm to 1.56±0.19mm (Fig.

3c, $F_{(1,47)}=34.92$, $p<0.001$) and *Aiptasia* showed an ~80% reduction in tentacle length, from

4.99±0.39mm in controls to 0.97±0.10mm in antibiotic treatment (Fig. 3c, $F_{(1,52)}=156.47$,

$p<0.001$). Notably, H2 *Aiptasia* had longer tentacles overall than CC7 (Fig. 3c, $p<0.001$), which

led to CC7 showing a less apparent reduction in tentacle length compared to H2 under antibiotic

treatment (Fig. 3c, $p<0.001$).

Fig. 3. *Aiptasia* asexual reproduction, measured by numbers of clones produced via pedal

laceration, between treatments. Shapes represent different strains: CC7 (square) and H2

(triangle), while color represents control (blue) and antibiotic (red) treatments. Note: no asexual

reproduction was observed in *Nematostella.*

Fig. 4. Phenotypic alteration in cnidarian tentacle phenotypes after exposure to antibiotic

treatment. (a) Representative photographs of *Nematostella* (top) and *Aiptasia* (bottom) after 7

days of exposure to control (left) or antibiotic treatment (right). Antibiotic exposure resulted in

shortened tentacles. (b) Average number of tentacles in different treatments (control=blue,

antibiotic treatment=red). Antibiotic exposure resulted in lower tentacle regrowth relative to

control. (c) Average tentacle length of individuals exposed to antibiotic treatment, which resulted

in shorter tentacle lengths after 7 days relative to control anemones. Shapes indicate strains, and

* indicate significant p-values.

Table 1. Summary of statistical results of microbial load and tentacle phenotypes (number and

length) in *Nematostella* and *Aiptasia* across different treatments and strains.

Traits	Organism	Factors	df	df.res	*F*	*P*
CFU per animal	*Nematostella*	Treatment	1	28	69.908	***<0.001***
		Strain	1	28	6.179	***<0.05***
		Treatment:Strain	1	28	6.179	***<0.05***
	Aiptasia	Treatment	1	28	190.268	***<0.001***

		Strain	1	28	2.543	n.s
		Treatment:Strain	1	28	1.851	n.s
Asexual reproduction via pedal laceration	*Aiptasia*	Treatment	1	28	19	***<0.001***
		Strain	1	28	0.4737	n.s
		Treatment:Strain	1	28	1.3158	n.s
Tentacle number	*Nematostella*	Treatment	1	36	6.569	***<0.05***
		Strain	1	36	3.4436	n.s
		Treatment:Strain	1	36	2.8965	n.s
	Aiptasia	Treatment	1	35	40.6253	***<0.001***
		Strain	1	35	3.1878	n.s
		Treatment:Strain	1	35	3.564	n.s
Tentacle length (mm)	*Nematostella*	Treatment	1	47	34.92	***<0.001***
		Strain	1	47	1.0636	n.s
		Treatment:Strain	1	47	0.0025	n.s
	Aiptasia	Treatment	1	52	156.469	***<0.001***
		Strain	1	52	16.08	***<0.001***
		Treatment:Strain	1	52	19.589	***<0.001***

Changes in the microbiome profiles of anemones exposed to antibiotics

To assess the impact of antibiotics on anemone microbiome profiles, we sequenced the 16S rRNA genes of whole anemones from one strain of *Aiptasia* (CC7) and *Nematostella* (ME). In *Nematostella*, antibiotic treatment resulted in a significant reduction of microbial alpha diversity compared to controls (Fig. 5a, $p<0.05$). Additionally, Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

analysis revealed a distinct separation of bacterial communities between treatments (Fig. 5b,

p<0.001), indicating that antibiotic exposure altered bacterial communities. Proteobacteria were

the dominant group in *Nematostella*, and the composition within this phylum varied between

treatments. Notably, the relative abundance of orders such as Burkholderiales and Francisellales

decreased following antibiotic treatment, whereas Enterobacterales became more dominant (Fig.

5c), suggesting that antibiotic treatment differentially affected certain Proteobacteria taxa.

Conversely, antibiotic exposure in *Aiptasia* CC7 did not elicit a significant reduction in

alpha diversity (Fig. 5a), which could be due to the inherently higher baseline microbial diversity

of this species. PCA further showed that microbiomes of control and antibiotic-treated *Aiptasia*

formed distinct clusters, although the separation was less pronounced than in *Nematostella*, with

a marginally significant p-value (Fig. 5, *p=0.07*). This indicates that antibiotic treatment only

had a moderate impact on overall microbial communities in *Aiptasia*. Exploring relative

abundances of Proteobacteria in *Aiptasia* suggested that antibiotic treatment altered composition,

although these changes were less pronounced than those observed in *Nematostella* (Fig. 5c).

Specifically, within Proteobacteria, antibiotic treatment differentially influenced the microbial

taxa in *Aiptasia*: the abundance of Rhodobacterales increased, whereas Xanthomonadales

decreased.

Fig 5. Microbial communities associated with *Nematostella* and *Aiptasia* exposed to antibiotic

treatment. (a) Shannon diversity index comparing alpha diversity between treatments for

Nematostella (left) and *Aiptasia* (right). A significant reduction in microbial diversity was

observed in *Nematostella* ($p<0.05$) following antibiotic treatment, while *Aiptasia* showed no

difference. (b) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) between treatments in *Nematostella* (left)

and *Aiptasia* (right). *Nematostella* showed strong clustering between treatments ($p<0.001$), while

Aiptasia exhibited muted clustering ($p=0.07$). (c) Stacked bar plots showing the relative

abundance of Proteobacteria orders between treatments in *Nematostella* (left) and *Aiptasia*

(right). Antibiotic treatment led to shifts in some members of Proteobacteria phylum in both

cnidarians.

Discussion

We investigated the effects of antibiotic treatment on the regeneration capacity and associated microbial communities of two sea anemone models, *Nematostella* and *Aiptasia*. While both species experienced similar overall reductions in bacterial load, regeneration rate, and tentacle growth, their microbial community responses differed, suggesting that microbial stability and host-microbiome relationships vary across cnidarian species and may be linked to species-specific adaptations or algal symbiotic associations. In aposymbiotic *Nematostella*, overall phenotypic responses to antibiotic treatment were consistent between strains, suggesting the potential for a species-wide response to microbial disruption, although only two strains were explored. Conversely, the response of symbiotic *Aiptasi*a varied between strains, suggesting that genetic differences can influence resilience to microbial depletion. This variability highlights the need to account for genetic diversity in microbial resilience studies and suggests further research into the genetic factors influencing microbial community stability under stress.

Antibiotic exposure impacts cnidarian regeneration and tentacle phenotypes

Here, antibiotic treatment reduced bacterial load in both cnidarian species, consistent with previous studies (Sweet et al. 2011; Bent et al. 2021; Costa et al. 2021; Connelly et al. 2023; Peng et al. 2023; MacVittie et al. 2024). Moreover, we found that antibiotic treatment and the resulting microbiome disruption delayed regeneration and had negative impacts on tentacle phenotypes in both cnidarian species, suggesting that host-associated microbiomes facilitate tissue regeneration and overall host physiology in these taxa. Strain-specific responses to antibiotics were not apparent in *Nematostella* (non-symbiotic) but were evident in *Aiptasia*

(symbiotic). These differences could be attributed to baseline variation in the microbiomes of

different *Aiptasia* strains (Herrera et al. 2017) or could reflect a more complex host-microbiome-

symbiont (holobiont) interactions, where all components participate to maintain community

structure and function under stress.

Notably, we observed an increase in pedal laceration in *Aiptasia* under antibiotic treatment,

which contrasts (MacVittie et al. 2024) who found that exposure to antibiotics reduced pedal

laceration. These contrasting results could be due to variation in the physiological state of the

experimental *Aiptasia* or differences in experimental conditions, such as microbial community

composition, antibiotic dose, or duration of exposure. However, pedal laceration is often a

response to stress in *Aiptasia* (Hunter 1984; Clayton and Lasker 1985), which may be indicative

of stress in regenerating animals exposed to antibiotics.

Regeneration requires significant energy and the presence of a beneficial microbiome (Nigro et

al. 2014; Arnold et al. 2016). Therefore, disrupting beneficial microbes during the regeneration

process could amplify organismal stress, leading to increased pedal laceration as *Aiptasia* are

attempting to balance regeneration and microbial disruption. Microbial disruption following

antibiotic treatment also aligns with observed delays in regeneration and tentacle phenotype

reductions, which likely result from impaired microbial functions essential to various cnidarian

biological processes (MacVittie et al. 2024), health (Ovchinnikova et al. 2006; Tinta et al. 2019),

fitness (Lee et al. 2018; Weiland-Bräuer et al. 2020), and other developmental processes

(Krueger et al. 2024). For example, antibiotic-induced microbiome depletion has been shown to

delay the onset of strobilation (polyp-medusa transition) in jellyfish, impacting nematocyte

development (Weiland-Bräuer et al. 2020; Peng et al. 2023). Antibiotic exposure has also been

shown to delay *Nematostella* settlement time (Krueger et al. 2024). Alternatively, the impaired

tentacle phenotypes that we observed under antibiotic treatment could be attributed, at least in

part, to metabolic consequences caused by microbial depletion, such as mitochondrial

dysfunction, nutritional deficiencies, and impaired immune functions, which disrupt cellular and

physiological processes critical for development and growth (Moullan et al. 2015).

In addition to the observed effects on regeneration and tentacle phenotypes, microbial disruption

may have broad consequences for immunity (Arnold et al. 2016) and metabolism (Ochsenkühn

et al. 2018), both critical for maintaining homeostasis. Studies in other marine invertebrates

suggest that the microbiome plays a key role in modulating immune system functions, with

certain bacteria contributing to immune regulation and pathogen defense (Mannochio-Russo et

al. 2023). Disruption of the microbiome could impair immune function, hindering wound healing

and tissue regeneration (reviewed in (Eming et al. 2009; Karin and Clevers 2016)), and leaving

the host more susceptible to pathogen infection. Furthermore, antibiotic treatments have been

shown to alter metabolic profiles in mice, potentially disrupting energy allocation between

growth, regeneration, and immune responses (VanHook 2018). Therefore, it is possible that the

observed delayed regeneration and reduced tentacle number and length is the result of the

combined effects of compromised immunity and metabolic processes due to microbial

imbalances. While disentangling the direct effects of microbial associations on these host traits

remains challenging, a deeper understanding of how each partner influences the symbiotic

relationship is needed (Bove et al. 2022).

Antibiotic exposure shifts microbiome compositions

16S microbial profiling revealed significant shifts in the diversity and composition of *Nematostella* microbiomes following the seven-day antibiotic treatment, highlighting the vulnerability of the microbiome to external perturbations. These disruptions likely contributed to the delayed regeneration seen in *Nematostella*, possibly due to the loss of beneficial microbial functions (Díaz-Díaz et al. 2021). In contrast, *Aiptasia* showed more stable microbial communities under the same antibiotic treatment, suggesting that their microbiomes are more buffered from these external stressors, perhaps through redundancy in the microbial community's functions, where multiple microbes perform similar roles (reviewed in (McCauley et al. 2023)). Additionally, the symbiotic association of *Aiptasia* with Symbiodiniaceae, which maintain their own stable core microbiome (Lawson et al. 2018; Bernasconi et al. 2019), could provide a mechanism for microbiome resilience since algae are maintained inside host cells. This endosymbiosis may render removal of algal-associated microbes *via* antibiotic treatment more challenging in *Aiptasia*, and future work exploring the microbiome of both the host and algal symbiont in and out of symbiosis is warranted. Additionally, future work should investigate the effects of antibiotics on the photosynthetic apparatus of algal symbionts to disentangle the effects of symbiosis and to determine whether the ability of the algae to provide additional nutrition to the host is compromised under antibiotic treatment. These types of explorations would expand our understanding of the costs and benefits of symbiosis under antibiotic stress.

In *Nematostella*, antibiotic exposure resulted in reduced alpha diversity that was accompanied by clear reductions in microbial load. Such an effect can be caused by stressors that select for certain taxa while allowing more resilient ones to persist. This phenomenon could result in

deterministic shifts in community composition (Zaneveld et al. 2017). Specifically, we observed

strong microbiome shifts following antibiotic treatment, with notable decreases of

Burkholderiales and Francisellales. These taxa are often associated with metabolic and

physiological functions of the host. For example, Burkholderiales has been shown to support

metabolic processes including nitrogen cycling (Baldani et al. 2000), which is important for

cellular growth and tissue regeneration. Conversely, the shift in dominance of Enterobacterales

in antibiotic-treated anemones may reflect its resilience, where it remains less affected than other

taxa that fail to survive antibiotic treatment. Enterobacterales are not associated with beneficial

roles in cnidarian development and are often known for their pathogenicity (Patterson et al.

2002; Krediet, Carpinone, et al. 2013). Their relative dominance following antibiotic treatment

could lead to dysregulation of developmental processes, as these bacteria might not provide the

same beneficial functions as suppressed bacterial groups. This shift could result in slower or

impaired regeneration and developmental defects, as the microbiome's overall functionality is

altered.

While antibiotic treatment of *Aiptasia* caused less clear reductions in microbial diversity than

Nematostella, microbial load was depleted and microbiomes still shifted. This reduction in

microbial load, accompanied by a less pronounced reduction in diversity, suggests that although

the overall microbial load was reduced in *Aiptasia*, the diversity of the remaining community

was largely preserved. This pattern coupled with reduced regeneration under antibiotic treatment

might imply impaired microbial function, where the taxa that survived antibiotic treatment are

more likely to be resistant to antibiotics, but may lack the specific functions necessary for

effective regeneration (Röthig et al. 2017; Cárdenas et al. 2022). Furthermore, the increased

relative abundance of specific taxa like Rhodobacterales may be the opportunistic result of

microbial members filling the functions of suppressed taxa. However, the persistent delay in

regeneration suggests that these shifts do not fully compensate for the loss of essential

microbiome functions. Additionally, the seven-day duration of antibiotic treatment may only

partially capture the long-term effects on microbial communities and their implications for host

physiology and regeneration. Lastly, 16S profiling was only conducted on strain CC7 of *Aiptasia*

and it is possible that other strains exhibit divergent responses. Extending treatment times in

future studies with additional Aiptasia strains could provide more comprehensive insights into

the resilience of these communities and their critical roles in host health.

Conclusion

We demonstrated that antibiotic treatment disrupts the microbiomes of two cnidarian models,

which leads to impaired regeneration and reduction in overall health of *Nematostella* and

Aiptasia. These shifts in microbial composition after antibiotic exposure underscore the

susceptibility of the microbial communities of cnidarians to external factors, raising important

questions about how cnidarians maintain resilience in the face of such disturbances. While

Nematostella showed greater sensitivity to microbial disruption, *Aiptasia* exhibited strain-

specific responses to antibiotic treatment, alongside an increase in pedal laceration—a stress

response that further underscores the impact of microbial disruption. The more taxonomically

stable, though depleted, *Aiptasia* microbiome suggests that host-microbe relationships vary

between these cnidarians, and are likely influenced by the host's evolutionary background or

symbiotic associations (e.g., Symbiodiniaceae in *Aiptasia*). However, it is also possible that our

seven-day treatment fails to fully capture the longer-term effects on microbial roles in host

health. Future research on host-microbe interactions would benefit from examining how

microbial shifts impact host system function (*i.e.,* metabolism, immunity) and how resilient these

microbial communities are once the stressor is removed. Understanding these interactions may

be useful for predicting how cnidarians will fare under changing environmental conditions,

particularly in the context of climate change, where both microbiome stability and host health are

at risk (Ziegler et al. 2019; Torda et al. 2020). As cnidarians continue to face environmental

challenges, understanding their host-microbiome interactions will be important for developing

strategies to ensure their survival.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation [IOS-1937650 to T.D.G. and

S.W.D.], BU Davies lab startup funds, and the BU Marine Program. O.J. was supported by the

Boston University Work Study Program.

Acknowledgments

We thank Kian Thompson, Maria Valadez-Ingersol, and the BU Marine Program staff for

experimental support; the BU Supercomputing Center for computational analysis assistance;

Quinton Krueger and the Adam Reitzel Lab for the *Nematostella* strains; and Rachel Wright, the

Philip Cleves Lab, and the Virginia Weis Lab for the Aiptasia strains.

References

Apprill A, McNally S, Parsons R, Weber L. 2015. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R
gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. Aquat Microb Ecol.

75(2):129–137.

Arnold CP, Merryman MS, Harris-Arnold A, McKinney SA, Seidel CW, Loethen S, Proctor KN,
Guo L, Sánchez Alvarado A. 2016. Pathogenic shifts in endogenous microbiota impede
tissue regeneration via distinct activation of TAK1/MKK/p38. Elife. 5.
doi:10.7554/elife.16793. http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/elife.16793.

Baldani JI, Oliveira ALM, Guimarães SL, Baldani VLD, Reis FB Jr, Silva LG, Reis VM,
Teixeira KRS, Döbereiner J. 2000. Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) in non-leguminous
plants: The role of endophytic diazotrophs. In: Nitrogen Fixation: From Molecules to Crop
Productivity. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. (Current plant science and biotechnology in
agriculture). p. 397–400.

Baumgarten S, Simakov O, Esherick LY, Liew YJ, Lehnert EM, Michell CT, Li Y, Hambleton
EA, Guse A, Oates ME, Gough J, Weis VM, Aranda M, Pringle JR, Voolstra CR. 2015.
The genome of Aiptasia, a sea anemone model for coral symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 112(38):11893–11898.

Ben-Haim Y, Zicherman-Keren M, Rosenberg E. 2003. Temperature-regulated bleaching and
lysis of the coral Pocillopora damicornis by the novel pathogen Vibrio coralliilyticus. Appl
Environ Microbiol. 69(7):4236–4242.

Bent SM, Miller CA, Sharp KH, Hansel CM, Apprill A. 2021. Differential patterns of
Microbiota recovery in symbiotic and aposymbiotic corals following antibiotic
disturbance. mSystems. 6(2). doi:10.1128/mSystems.01086-20.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.01086-20.

Bernasconi R, Stat M, Koenders A, Huggett MJ. 2019. Global networks of Symbiodinium-
bacteria within the coral holobiont. Microb Ecol. 77(3):794–807.

Bove CB, Ingersoll MV, Davies SW. 2022. Help me, symbionts, you're my only hope:
Approaches to accelerate our understanding of coral holobiont interactions. Integr Comp
Biol. 62(6):1756–1769.

Bushnell B. 2014. BBMap: a fast, accurate, splice-aware aligner. Conference: 9th Annual
Genomics of Energy & Environment Meeting, Walnut Creek, CA, March 17-20.

Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016. DADA2:
High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 13(7):581–
583.

Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009.
BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 10(1):421.

Cárdenas A, Raina J-B, Pogoreutz C, Rädecker N, Bougoure J, Guagliardo P, Pernice M,
Voolstra CR. 2022. Greater functional diversity and redundancy of coral endolithic
microbiomes align with lower coral bleaching susceptibility. ISME J. 16(10):2406–2420.

Ceh J, Kilburn MR, Cliff JB, Raina J-B, van Keulen M, Bourne DG. 2013. Nutrient cycling in
early coral life stages:Pocillopora damicornislarvae provide their algal symbiont
(Symbiodinium) with nitrogen acquired from bacterial associates. Ecol Evol. 3(8):2393–
2400.

Clayton WS Jr, Lasker HR. 1985. Individual and population growth in the asexually reproducing
anemone Aiptasia pallida Verrill. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 90(3):249–258.

Connelly MT, Snyder G, Palacio-Castro AM, Gillette PR, Baker AC, Traylor-Knowles N. 2023.
Antibiotics reduce Pocillopora coral-associated bacteria diversity, decrease holobiont
oxygen consumption and activate immune gene expression. Mol Ecol. 32(16):4677–4694.

Costa RM, Cárdenas A, Loussert-Fonta C, Toullec G, Meibom A, Voolstra CR. 2021. Surface
topography, bacterial carrying capacity, and the prospect of microbiome manipulation in
the sea anemone coral model Aiptasia. Front Microbiol. 12:637834.

Davis NM, Proctor DM, Holmes SP, Relman DA, Callahan BJ. 2018. Simple statistical
identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and metagenomics
data. Microbiome. 6(1):226.

Davy SK, Allemand D, Weis VM. 2012. Cell biology of cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis.
Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 76(2):229–261.

Detournay O, Schnitzler CE, Poole A, Weis VM. 2012. Regulation of cnidarian-dinoflagellate
mutualisms: Evidence that activation of a host TGFβ innate immune pathway promotes
tolerance of the symbiont. Dev Comp Immunol. 38(4):525–537.

Díaz-Díaz LM, Rodríguez-Villafañe A, García-Arrarás JE. 2021. The role of the Microbiota in
regeneration-associated processes. Front Cell Dev Biol. 9:768783.

Eming SA, Hammerschmidt M, Krieg T, Roers A. 2009. Interrelation of immunity and tissue
repair or regeneration. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 20(5):517–527.

Franzenburg S, Walter J, Künzel S, Wang J, Baines JF, Bosch TCG, Fraune S. 2013. Distinct
antimicrobial peptide expression determines host species-specific bacterial associations.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 110(39):E3730–8.

Green EA, Davies SW, Matz MV, Medina M. 2014. Quantifying cryptic Symbiodinium diversity
within Orbicella faveolata and Orbicella franksi at the Flower Garden Banks, Gulf of
Mexico. PeerJ. 2:e386.

Hartman LM, Blackall LL, van Oppen MJH. 2022. Antibiotics reduce bacterial load in
Exaiptasia diaphana, but biofilms hinder its development as a gnotobiotic coral model.
Access Microbiol. 4(1):000314.

Herrera M, Ziegler M, Voolstra CR, Aranda M. 2017. Laboratory-cultured strains of the sea
anemone Exaiptasia reveal distinct bacterial communities. Front Mar Sci. 4.
doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00115. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00115.

Hunter T. 1984. The energetics of asexual reproduction: Pedal laceration in the symbiotic sea anemone Aiptasia pulchella (Carlgren, 1943). J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 83(2):127–147.

Kalia M, Yadav VK, Singh PK, Sharma D, Narvi SS, Agarwal V. 2018. Exploring the impact of parthenolide as anti-quorum sensing and anti-biofilm agent against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Life Sci. 199:96–103.

Karin M, Clevers H. 2016. Reparative inflammation takes charge of tissue regeneration. Nature. 529(7586):307–315.

Klein S, Frazier V, Readdean T, Lucas E, Diaz-Jimenez EP, Sogin M, Ruff ES, Echeverri K. 2021. Common environmental pollutants negatively affect development and regeneration in the sea anemone Nematostella vectensis holobiont. Front Ecol Evol. 9. doi:10.3389/fevo.2021.786037. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.786037.

Krediet CJ, Carpinone EM, Ritchie KB, Teplitski M. 2013. Characterization of the gacA-dependent surface and coral mucus colonization by an opportunistic coral pathogen Serratia marcescens PDL100. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 84(2):290–301.

Krediet CJ, Ritchie KB, Paul VJ, Teplitski M. 2013. Coral-associated micro-organisms and their roles in promoting coral health and thwarting diseases. Proc Biol Sci. 280(1755):20122328.

Krueger Q, Phippen B, Reitzel A. 2024. Antibiotics alter development and gene expression in the model cnidarian Nematostella vectensis. PeerJ. 12(e17349):e17349.

Kushmaro A, Loya Y, Fine M, Rosenberg E. 1996. Bacterial infection and coral bleaching. Nature. 380(6573):396–396.

Lawson CA, Raina J-B, Kahlke T, Seymour JR, Suggett DJ. 2018. Defining the core microbiome of the symbiotic dinoflagellate, Symbiodinium. Environ Microbiol Rep. 10(1):7–11.

Lee MD, Kling JD, Araya R, Ceh J. 2018. Jellyfish life stages shape associated microbial communities, while a core microbiome is maintained across all. Front Microbiol. 9:1534.

MacVittie S, Doroodian S, Alberto A, Sogin M. 2024. Microbiome depletion and recovery in the sea anemone, Exaiptasia diaphana, following antibiotic exposure. mSystems. 9(6):e0134223.

Mannochio-Russo H, Swift SOI, Nakayama KK, Wall CB, Gentry EC, Panitchpakdi M, Caraballo-Rodriguez AM, Aron AT, Petras D, Dorrestein K, Dorrestein TK, Williams TM, Nalley EM, Altman-Kurosaki NT, Martinelli M, Kuwabara JY, Darcy JL, Bolzani VS, Kelly LW, Mora C, Yew JY, Amend AS, McFall-Ngai M, Hynson NA, Dorrestein PC, Nelson CE. 2023. Microbiomes and metabolomes of dominant coral reef primary producers illustrate a potential role for immunolipids in marine symbioses. Commun Biol. 6(1):896.

Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

EMBnet.journal. 17(1):10–12.

McCauley M, Goulet TL, Jackson CR, Loesgen S. 2023. Systematic review of cnidarian
microbiomes reveals insights into the structure, specificity, and fidelity of marine
associations. Nat Commun. 14(1):4899.

McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and
graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One. 8(4):e61217.

Motone K, Takagi T, Aburaya S, Miura N, Aoki W, Ueda M. 2020. A zeaxanthin-producing
bacterium isolated from the algal phycosphere protects coral endosymbionts from
environmental stress. MBio. 11(1). doi:10.1128/mBio.01019-19.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01019-19.

Moullan N, Mouchiroud L, Wang X, Ryu D, Williams EG, Mottis A, Jovaisaite V, Frochaux
MV, Quiros PM, Deplancke B, Houtkooper RH, Auwerx J. 2015. Tetracyclines disturb
mitochondrial function across eukaryotic models: A call for caution in biomedical
research. Cell Rep. 10(10):1681–1691.

Mydlarz LD, Fuess L, Mann W, Pinzón JH, Gochfeld DJ. 2016. Cnidarian immunity: From
genomes to phenomes. In: The Cnidaria, Past, Present and Future. Cham: Springer
International Publishing. p. 441–466.

Nigro G, Rossi R, Commere P-H, Jay P, Sansonetti PJ. 2014. The cytosolic bacterial
peptidoglycan sensor Nod2 affords stem cell protection and links microbes to gut epithelial
regeneration. Cell Host Microbe. 15(6):792–798.

Nissimov J, Rosenberg E, Munn CB. 2009. Antimicrobial properties of resident coral mucus
bacteria of Oculina patagonica. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 292(2):210–215.

Ochsenkühn MA, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Harir M, Amin SA. 2018. Coral metabolite gradients
affect microbial community structures and act as a disease cue. Commun Biol. 1(1):184.

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'hara RB, Oksanen M. 2013.
Package "vegan." Community ecology package, version. 2(9):1–295.

Ovchinnikova TV, Balandin SV, Aleshina GM, Tagaev AA, Leonova YF, Krasnodembsky ED,
Men'shenin AV, Kokryakov VN. 2006. Aurelin, a novel antimicrobial peptide from
jellyfish Aurelia aurita with structural features of defensins and channel-blocking toxins.
Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 348(2):514–523.

Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. 2016. Every base matters: assessing small subunit
rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global
field samples. Environ Microbiol. 18(5):1403–1414.

Patterson KL, Porter JW, Ritchie KB, Polson SW, Mueller E, Peters EC, Santavy DL, Smith
GW. 2002. The etiology of white pox, a lethal disease of the Caribbean elkhorn coral,
Acropora palmata. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 99(13):8725–8730.

Peng S, Ye L, Li Y, Wang F, Sun T, Wang L, Hao W, Zhao J, Dong Z. 2023. Microbiota
regulates life-cycle transition and nematocyte dynamics in jellyfish. iScience.
26(12):108444.

Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2013. The
SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based
tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 41(Database issue):D590–6.

Rädecker N, Pogoreutz C, Voolstra CR, Wiedenmann J, Wild C. 2015. Nitrogen cycling in
corals: the key to understanding holobiont functioning? Trends Microbiol. 23(8):490–497.

Rathje K, Mortzfeld B, Hoeppner MP, Taubenheim J, Bosch TCG, Klimovich A. 2020. Dynamic
interactions within the host-associated microbiota cause tumor formation in the basal
metazoan Hydra. PLoS Pathog. 16(3):e1008375.

Rosenberg E, Falkovitz L. 2004. The Vibrio shiloi/Oculina patagonica model system of coral
bleaching. Annu Rev Microbiol. 58(1):143–159.

Röthig T, Roik A, Yum LK, Voolstra CR. 2017. Distinct bacterial microbiomes associate with
the deep-sea coral Eguchipsammia fistula from the red sea and from Aquaria settings.
Front Mar Sci. 4. doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00259.
http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00259.

Studivan MS, Eckert RJ, Shilling E, Soderberg N, Enochs IC, Voss JD. 2023. Stony coral tissue
loss disease intervention with amoxicillin leads to a reversal of disease-modulated gene
expression pathways. Mol Ecol. 32(19):5394–5413.

Sweet MJ, Croquer A, Bythell JC. 2011. Dynamics of bacterial community development in the
reef coral Acropora muricata following experimental antibiotic treatment. Coral Reefs.
30(4):1121–1133.

Taubenheim J, Willoweit-Ohl D, Knop M, Franzenburg S, He J, Bosch TCG, Fraune S. 2020.
Bacteria- and temperature-regulated peptides modulate β-catenin signaling in Hydra. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 117(35):21459–21468.

Therneau TM, Lumley T. 2015. Package 'survival. R Top Doc. 128(10):28–33.

Thompson JR, Rivera HE, Closek CJ, Medina M. 2014. Microbes in the coral holobiont: partners
through evolution, development, and ecological interactions. Front Cell Infect Microbiol.
4:176.

Tinta T, Kogovšek T, Klun K, Malej A, Herndl GJ, Turk V. 2019. Jellyfish-associated
microbiome in the marine environment: Exploring its biotechnological potential. Mar
Drugs. 17(2):94.

Valadez-Ingersoll M, Aguirre Carrión PJ, Bodnar CA, Desai NA, Gilmore TD, Davies SW.
2024. Starvation differentially affects gene expression, immunity and pathogen
susceptibility across symbiotic states in a model cnidarian. Proc Biol Sci.

291(2017):20231685.

VanHook AM. 2018. Antibiotics directly affect host cell metabolism. Sci Signal.
11(512):eaas9172.

Weiland-Bräuer N, Pinnow N, Langfeldt D, Roik A, Güllert S, Chibani CM, Reusch TBH,
Schmitz RA. 2020. The Native Microbiome is Crucial for Offspring Generation and
Fitness of Aurelia aurita. MBio. 11(6). doi:10.1128/mBio.02336-20.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02336-20.

Zaneveld JR, McMinds R, Vega Thurber R. 2017. Stress and stability: applying the Anna
Karenina principle to animal microbiomes. Nat Microbiol. 2(9):17121.

Supplementary Tables and Figures

Fig S1. Percentage of regenerating animals over time in each strain: CC7 and H2 for *Aiptasia*,

and ME and NC for *Nematostella*. Regeneration was tracked daily for 7 days, and the proportion

of animals regenerating under antibiotic treatment (red) or control conditions (blue) is shown.

Each treatment included 38 animals per strain and species.