Title: Single cell RNA-sequencing reveals no evidence for meiotic sex chromosome

inactivation in the threespine stickleback fish

Daniel E. Shaw, Wynter D. Ross, Alexis V. Lambert, and Michael A. White*

Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 30602

Corresponding Author:

Michael A. White

Department of Genetics, University of Georgia

120 Green St,

Athens, GA 30602

whitem@uga.edu

Abstract

Sex chromosomes often evolve unique patterns of gene expression in spermatogenesis. In many species, sex-linked genes are downregulated during meiosis in response to asynapsis of the heterogametic sex chromosome pair (meiotic sex chromosome inactivation; MSCI). Our understanding of this process has been limited to a handful of species, including mammals, *Drosophila*, and *C. elegans.* Based on findings from these taxa, MSCI has been viewed as likely a conserved process. However, in other groups like teleost fish, our understanding of this process is limited. Teleost fish are a noteworthy group to investigate because sex chromosomes can rapidly evolve between closely related species. Transcriptional profiling of spermatogenesis at the single-cell level is a useful approach to investigate whether MSCI occurs in other species with independently derived sex chromosomes. Here, we investigate whether MSCI occurs in the threespine stickleback fish (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*), which have an X and Y chromosome that evolved less than 26 million years ago. Using single-cell RNA-seq, we found that the X and Y chromosomes do not have a signature of MSCI, maintaining gene expression across meiosis. Using immunofluorescence, we also show the threespine stickleback do not form a condensed sex body around the X and Y, a conserved feature of MSCI in many species. We did not see patterns of gene content evolution documented in other species with MSCI. Y-linked campliconic gene families were expressed across multiple stages of spermatogenesis, rather than being restricted to post-meiotic stages, like in mammals. Our work shows MSCI does not occur in the threespine stickleback fish and has not shaped the evolution of the Y chromosome. In addition, the absence of MSCI in the threespine stickleback suggests this process may not be a conserved feature of teleost fish and argues for additional investigation in other species.

Author Summary

As male germ cells enter meiosis, the X and Y chromosome of many species undergo a drastic

repression of gene expression. In mammals, this process has been shown to be essential for

fertility, and the expression of sex-linked genes can lead to meiotic arrest and cell death. This

process has only been studied in a handful of organisms, which limits our understanding how

conserved MSCI is across the tree of life. Teleost fish are an understudied group with many

examples of independently derived sex chromosomes across closely related species. Here, we

investigate whether MSCI occurs in the threespine stickleback fish, using single-cell

transcriptional profiling. We found gene expression remains active throughout meiosis on the sex

chromosomes, indicating MSCI does not occur. This indicates that MSCI is not a conserved

feature of all taxa and is not an inevitable outcome of degenerating Y chromosomes.

Introduction

Heteromorphic sex chromosomes often fail to fully synapse during meiosis due to a lack

of sequence homology between the chromosome pair (i.e. X and Y or Z and W). In mammals,

the X and Y chromosomes only synapse within the pseudoautosomal region, where all crossing

over is restricted in males (1). Outside of the pseudoautosomal region, the unsynapsed chromatin

triggers a process of complete transcriptional silencing and sequestration into a dense sex body,

known as meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI) (2-4). Most genes remain silenced

throughout post-meiotic stages (5, 6). MSCI may actually represent a more generalized process

of silencing of any unsynapsed chromosomes in male or female meiosis (MSUC; meiotic

silencing of unsynapsed chromatin) (7, 8). Although the function of silencing remains unknown,

it may have a role in ensuring DNA double strand breaks are properly repaired during meiosis at

locations where chromosomes fail to synapse properly (reviewed in (3).

MSCI appears to have evolved independently in multiple lineages. In mammals, MSCI

evolved in the common ancestor of therians, approximately 180 million years ago after the origin

of the X and Y chromosomes (9). Since their origin, the sex chromosomes have diverged

structurally in both marsupial and eutherian lineages, yet they still share many meiotic silencing

factors that initiate in response to the unpaired X and Y (10, 11). Sex chromosomes

independently evolved within monotremes, and while a canonical sex body does not form (12),

gene expression is reduced drastically in the non-recombining region in platypus (13), indicating

a general mechanism for silencing unpaired chromosomes may have been present in the common

ancestor of all mammals. Outside of mammals, sex chromosome silencing occurs across the

hemizygous X chromosomes of *Caenorhabditis* species (14) and grasshoppers (15, 16), both of

which have XO males, where the X lacks a pairing partner. Some evidence exists for transcript

repression from the X chromosome in the XY male germline of *Drosophila melanogaster* (17-

20), suggesting MSCI may also exist in this species (but also see (21, 22)).

MSCI imposes a strong selection pressure on the overall gene content of evolving sex

chromosomes. The X chromosome is a disfavored environment for genes with functions in

spermatogenesis if the X is transcriptionally silenced during meiosis (3). One way to circumvent

silencing is to move genes from the suppressed sex chromosomes to the autosomes.

Retrotransposition of genes has been a major driver of gene content evolution on the mammalian

and *Drosophila* X chromosomes (23-25). Another mechanism to circumvent transcriptional

suppression during meiosis may be to increase copy number of genes on the X chromosome to

produce more RNA transcript in spite of the repressive chromatin environment (3). Many multi-

copy gene families on the mouse X chromosome are reactivated post-meiotically despite

chromosome-wide transcriptional silencing (26, 27). Large multi-copy gene families also exist

on the mouse and bull Y chromosomes that have testis-specific gene expression and have roles in

spermatogenesis (28, 29). Sex chromosomes have evolved repeatedly throughout the tree of life,

but outside of a few well-characterized species with relatively ancient sex chromosomes it

remains unclear the overall extent to which MSCI occurs and whether it is a common selection

pressure on sex-linked gene evolution.

The degree of synapsis between sex chromosomes can even vary in species where

substantial degeneration has occurred on the Y or W chromosomes. Birds have a ZW sex

chromosome system that evolved independently from mammals over 120 million years ago (30).

Similar to the mammalian Y chromosome, many species of bird W chromosomes share little

sequence homology with the Z chromosome (30). Despite this, MSCI does not occur on the ZW

sex chromosomes (31). In chickens, the heterologous Z and W chromosomes show complete

synapsis in a majority of pachytene oocytes. Incomplete MSCI has also been shown within

mammals, where self-synapsis of the X chromosome in some spermatocytes blocks

transcriptional suppression of the chromosome (32). Sex chromosomes with little sequence

divergence may also synapse more readily during meiosis, preventing MSCI. At the most

extreme, sex chromosomes that only differ at a small sex determination region may not interfere

with synapsis at all. For example, there is little sequence divergence between the X and Y

chromosome in the guppy (33-35), *Poecilia reticulata*, and these chromosomes fully pair with

each other during meiosis (36). Additional focus on how different sex chromosomes achieve

pairing during meiosis is necessary to understand the extent of MSCI across convergently

evolving sex chromosomes.

The threespine stickleback fish *(Gasterosteus aculeatus)* is an excellent species to

explore whether MSCI occurs on a degenerating sex chromosome that fully synapses. The

threespine stickleback has an X and Y sex chromosome that evolved less than 26 million years

ago (37). Since this time, the Y chromosome has diverged from the X chromosome at both the

sequence and structural level. The X and Y chromosomes have a 2.5 Mb pseudoautosomal

region, where all crossing over is restricted during meiosis (38). The non-crossover region on the

Y chromosome has lost nearly half of the ancestral gene content (37, 39). In addition, three

pericentric inversions have altered synteny between the X and Y (37, 40). Despite this

degeneration, the sex chromosomes fully pair in a non-homologous fashion along their entire

chromosomal length during prophase I of meiosis (41). Due to this pairing, we hypothesized that

MSCI would not occur during spermatogenesis and consequently this process has not shaped the

evolution of gene content on these chromosomes.

In this study, we used single-cell RNA sequencing to characterize sex-linked gene

expression throughout spermatogenesis. Consistent with full pairing and synapsis, we did not

find a stage-specific down regulation of X- or Y-linked genes across spermatogenesis. The sex

chromosomes were also not sequestered into a sex body. Our study indicates asynapsis and

MSCI is not an inevitable outcome for all degenerating sex chromosomes and argues for

additional research into other sex chromosome systems to understand why some heteromorphic

sex chromosomes can maintain synapsis despite a lack of sequence homology.

Results

Identification of stickleback spermatogenic stages using single-cell RNA sequencing

The testis is a highly heterogeneous organ and gene expression varies both spatially

across cell types as well as temporally across developmental stages (13, 42). Spermatogenesis

occurs synchronously in the threespine stickleback (43). At a given developmental stage, the

testes will be enriched for premeiotic, meiotic, or post-meiotic cells, along with somatic support

cells. In reproductively mature adult males, the testes will mostly contain mature sperm and

somatic cells. In order to capture all stages of spermatogenesis, we sequenced testes from lab-

reared males at different developmental stages. We sequenced 6147 cells from a single testis

dissected from an earlier stage of spermatogenesis and 2652 cells from a single testis dissected

from a male at a later stage of spermatogenesis. In order to maximize the total number of stages

of spermatogenesis, we also pooled the testes from two additional males from an intermediate

developmental stage (6027 cells total). Each had a similar number of gene counts detected

(Supplemental Figure 1). Each testis sample cannot be treated as a direct replicate of one another

due to the differences in timing of synchronized spermatogenesis. However, we did find many

replicated clusters, indicating overlap in stages of spermatogenesis between the testis samples

(Supplemental Figure 2). Among the three samples, there was only one unique cell cluster.

Because this cluster was only present in a single sample, we removed these cells (cluster 5 from

sample 3) from all downstream analyses. Non-replicated clusters could be due to rare cell

populations only identified in one sample or derived from batch differences between sequencing

libraries (44).

To capture all stages of spermatogenesis in a single analysis, we merged the three

samples together and scaled gene expression accordingly. Between all of the samples, we

recovered a total of 12,136 cells after filtering. Clustering analysis revealed 14 distinct cell

clusters (Figure 1). We identified 3,694 differentially expressed genes across all cell clusters

(Mann-Whitney U Test). Genes were considered differentially expressed within a cluster if the

gene was expressed in 25% of cells within the cluster, had a log_2 fold change > 0.25 for

expression compared to all other clusters, and had a significantly different level of expression

evaluated with a P-value < 0.01 after correcting for multiple comparisons. Among the

differentially expressed genes, we identified those unique to cell-types in order to assign

spermatogenesis stages to each cluster. Cell-type specific markers were identified from

conserved genes expressed during spermatogenesis across animals, including insects (45, 46),

mammals (13, 42, 47-50), and other teleost fish (51-54). Using these markers, we identified most

stages of spermatogenesis including spermatogonia, spermatocytes, and spermatids. We also

identified multiple clusters of somatic cells. One class of somatic cells clustered separately from

spermatogenesis clusters and had differentially expressed genes that were not specific to testis

(i.e. hbae5; Cluster 12; Figure 1); mostly genes involved in immune function and blood cells,

that likely represent general somatic cells not unique to testis. A second cluster mapped distinctly

from spermatogenesis cells and contained similar immune gene expression, but also had some

shared expressed genes with the spermatogenesis clusters likely consistent with testis specific

somatic cells (i.e. Sertoli, Leydig etc; Cluster 13; Figure 1). For all further analyses, we

combined clusters into four major cell type groups to explore differences across major cell types:

pre-meiotic, post-meiotic, meiotic, and somatic cells (Figure 1B). Within each of these broader

categories, we found that gene expression levels were highly correlated across each testis sample

(Spearman Rank Correlation R > 0.65 for all comparisons) (Supplemental Figure 3).

Sex-linked genes do not undergo meiotic silencing

In mammals, genes within the non-recombining region of the sex chromosomes are not

transcribed (MSCI) (2, 3, 8). To determine whether meiotic silencing has also evolved in

threespine stickleback fish, we compared rates of transcription between sex-linked and

autosomal genes. We found that gene expression was not reduced on the X chromosome in

meiotic cells, relative to somatic and pre-meiotic cell types (Figure 2; $P > 0.05$). While the Y

chromosome showed lower expression than the X chromosome, Y-linked genes maintain similar

levels of expression across pre-meiotic and meiotic cells (Figure 2, $P > 0.05$). We also examined

whether there were unique expression patterns among the differently aged evolutionary strata

(Peichel et al. 2020). We found no evidence of silencing among the different regions of the sex

chromosomes (Supplemental Figure 4), indicating this is not a phenomenon restricted to even the

oldest stratum of the X and Y (stratum 1). Overall, we found that unlike mammals, chromosome-

wide silencing does not occur on the threespine stickleback sex chromosomes during meiosis.

The threespine stickleback X and Y chromosomes are not condensed into a sex body

During MSCI, the mammalian X and Y chromosomes are sequestered into a dense

structure, known as the sex body (2-4, 8). Key markers of this structure are the phosphorylated

histone variant, γH2AX (2, 3, 6, 55) and H3K9me3 (55). In the threespine stickleback, the X and

Y chromosome likely synapse fully and do not show evidence of delayed DSB repair (41).

Together with our results that gene expression was not suppressed throughout meiosis, we

predicted that a mammalian-like MSCI sex body would also not be present during

spermatogenesis. To test this, we characterized how γH2AX accumulated throughout meiosis

using immunofluorescence. γH2AX broadly localized to all chromosomes beginning at leptotene

in response to the initiation of DNA double strand breaks (56) (Figure 3). During pachytene,

double strand breaks are repaired and we observed a reduction of γH2AX foci, similar to patterns

observed in mammals (2). However, we did not observe an aggregation of γH2AX synonymous

with a sex body in late meiosis. We staged a total 146 nuclei from leptotene to pachytene. None

of the scored nuclei had any structure resembling a sex body. This result is consistent with the

expression data supporting that canonical MSCI does not occur in threespine stickleback fish due

to the lack of asynapsis and sex body formation.

Gene evolution on the sex chromosomes has not been shaped by MSCI

In addition to the ancestral complement of gametologs shared between the X and Y, the

Y chromosome has also acquired unique genes that originated from the autosomes (37). After

translocation to the Y chromosome, many of these genes have further duplicated and evolved

testis-biased expression, suggesting a gain of spermatogenesis function (37). In mammals, the

ancient Y chromosome has also accumulated species-specific gene duplicates that are enriched

for post-meiotic expression and have been speculated to be involved in intra-genomic conflicts

between X and Y bearing sperm during spermatogenesis (26, 28, 29, 57-60). Due to the absence

of meiotic silencing in stickleback fish, we characterized how the expression of these Y-linked

duplicates compared to mammalian amplicons. We looked at the overall expression of all

paralogous copies within each gene family and assayed whether there were differences across the

four major cell types of spermatogenesis. We found that the Y-linked duplicated genes were

expressed across multiple cell types of spermatogenesis (Figure 4). Interestingly, in the absence

of chromosome wide silencing in meiosis, we found the highest number of expressed duplicate

families (104) in meiotic cell types, and expression for many duplicate families is highest in

meiosis compared to all other cell types (Figure 4). This was true for many genes, regardless of

the total number of paralogs, which ranged from 2-30 copies. This suggests that many amplicons

on the threespine stickleback Y chromosome may have functions throughout meiosis, rather than

post-meiotic stages as has been observed in mammals.

We searched for an enrichment of GO terms among the expressed duplicate genes to

assign function. We found that the predominant functions included chromatin assembly, DNA

packaging, and DNA repair. Specifically, we found many expressed duplicate families were

genes with critical functions in meiosis, including proteins involved in double strand break repair

(RAD proteins) and proteins involved in chromosome synapsis and segregation (SMC proteins)

(61). Together, these results provide novel insight into the functions of duplicated Y-linked

genes, which in other species have been associated with post-meiotic expression (57, 58, 62).

Specifically, this finding highlights the role of meiotic functions in duplicated gene families

expressed on the Y, in the absence of meiotic silencing.

We searched for evidence of retrotransposition of genes throughout the genome, which

occurs at an increased frequency from the X chromosome in species with MSCI (23, 63-65).

Unlike these species, we did not find an excess of retrotransposition from the X chromosome in

the threespine stickleback. We identified nine examples of retrotransposition since the threespine

stickleback shared a common ancestor with the ninespine stickleback *(Pungitius pungitius)*

approximately 26 million years ago (66-68) (Supplemental Table 1). None of the nine

retrotransposition events originated from the X chromosome. Even though the threespine

stickleback Y chromosome shares many features of gene content evolution that have been

observed on the mammalian Y chromosome (37, 39), our results highlight that MSCI has not

been a driving force shaping these patterns.

Genes have similar signatures of selection across stages of spermatogenesis

In other species, different stages of spermatogenesis have opposing signatures of selection (13, 69-71). In house mice for example, cell types in early stages are more evolutionarily constrained, while later stages show signatures of rapid evolution, and this pattern is more extreme on the X chromosome (70). Because we do not observe meiotic silencing in threespine stickleback, we hypothesized we would not find similar differences in signatures of selection across stages of spermatogenesis. We compared non-synonymous and synonymous substitutions rates within genes induced within each of the four identified cell-type groups. We found that dN/dS of autosome genes was similar across spermatogenesis, with the exception of post-meiotic clusters which contained an excess of genes with elevated dN/dS (Figure 5, $P = 0.006$, Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's test). Consistent with a lack of sex chromosome silencing, we did not observe any differences in dN/dS on the X chromosome across stages of spermatogenesis (Figure 5, $P = 0.862$, Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's test).

Discussion

The repression of sex chromosomes during meiosis was first noted based on early cytological evidence that many sex chromosomes were found in a condensed chromatin state (72-75). Condensation of the X chromosome was proposed to be a critical feature of spermatogenesis, and could result in sterility if disrupted (72). Since then, several hypotheses have been generated to explain the importance of why sex chromosomes are condensed and silenced including: to help avoid ectopic recombination, facilitate DSB repair, silence genes with deleterious DNA damage, or act as a meiotic checkpoint response (3, 73). Molecular studies have revealed that MSCI, in mouse, is initiated by the unsynaped state of the X and Y in male

meiosis during the pachytene stage and results in a complete lack of protein coding expression

(2, 8).

In this study, we found that the threespine stickleback X and Y chromosome maintain

relative expression of genes across all stages of spermatogenesis. Our findings align with

previous observations that the X and Y chromosomes fully pair during meiosis (41). If the sex

chromosomes are fully associating in a non-homologous fashion, this would prevent MSCI from

occurring. In other species with heteromorphic sex chromosomes, full pairing has been shown to

occur despite an overall lack of homology between the chromosomes. Each documented case

thus far has revealed different mechanisms to achieve this. In the platypus, for instance, cohesins

(SMC3) differentially accumulate on the five X and five Y chromosomes during meiosis (76).

Although the exact function of the increased recruitment of cohesins to the sex chromosome

axial cores remains unknown (12, 76). The threespine stickleback sex chromosomes do not

exhibit differential accumulation of SMC3 between the sex chromosomes and autosomes (41),

suggesting this is not required in all species for non-homologous pairing. In the chicken, the Z

chromosome undergoes synaptic adjustment to pair with the W (77). This is followed by

assembly of the central element of the synaptonemal complex, indicating full synapsis of non-

homologous regions of the chromosomes (31, 78). Consequently, MSCI does not occur (31).

Synaptic adjustment of the longer sex chromosome is also observed during pairing in the

threespine stickleback fish (41). However, it remains unknown if full synapsis is also achieved

between the X and Y (41). Both the X and Y chromosomes appear closely associated and

synapsed during prophase I of meiosis based on localization of the axial element, SMC3, but

without higher resolution microscopy, it cannot be ruled out that some regions are not fully

synapsed (41). Additional work is necessary to understand if central element components, like

SYCP1, are also recruited during non-homologous pairing of the threespine stickleback X and Y

chromosomes and if full synapsis is required to prevent MSCI from occurring.

Alternatively, it is possible MSCI is not a conserved feature of all taxa and it does not

occur in stickleback fish. The initial finding that MSUC occurred in taxa as diverse as

Neurospera crassa, C. elegans, and mammals lead researchers to believe that MSCI may be

initiated in all species with divergent, heteromorphic sex chromosomes (79). However, in

chickens the Z and W chromosomes fail to synapse in a subset of oocytes and MSCI is not

triggered in these cells (31), indicating MSCI is not necessarily conserved across the tree of life.

In teleost fish, the existence of MSUC and MSCI remains unclear and has only been investigated

in a few species (80). The swamp guppy *(Micropoecilia picta)* has a degenerated Y chromosome

relative to the X chromosome, yet cytological investigations of meiosis revealed no presence of

an obvious sex body that would indicate MSCI (81). If MSCI does not occur within teleost fish,

the X and Y chromosomes of the threespine stickleback would not need to fully synapse outside

of the pseudoautosomal region and MSCI would not be triggered.

The Y chromosome does not have signatures of gene content evolution from MSCI

Chromosome-wide silencing creates strong constraints on sex-linked genes and inhibits

selfish genetic elements from being expressed in meiosis (82-84). Sex chromosomes are

suspected to be an evolutionary hotspot for the accumulation of selfish genetic elements that can

bias chromosomal transmission (83-85). As the threespine stickleback sex chromosomes

maintain meiotic and post-meiotic expression, we predicted they would not have these signatures

of evolution. We found that genes expressed in meiotic and post-meiotic cells on the X

chromosome exhibited similar rates of protein coding evolution compared to genes expressed in

other cell types. In addition, we did not find an enrichment of retrotransposition of genes of the

X chromosome as has been documented in other species with MSCI (23, 63, 64). This suggests

that the lack of MSCI and post-meiotic repression of genes has not resulted in the type of gene

content evolution that has been linked to genetic conflict.

Y chromosomes across many species have been found to accumulate gene duplications of

testis specific genes (28, 29, 37, 60, 86, 87). Sex-linked gene amplification has been

hypothesized to be the result of selection pressure to escape the repressive environment of

silenced chromosomes. Genes escaping post-meiotic repression in mammals have been

putatively linked to transmission distortion (26-29, 59, 62, 88). In the absence of MSCI in the

threespine stickleback, we observed that Y-linked duplicates were not enriched in post-meiotic

stages. Instead, amplified gene families were expressed throughout meiosis. Y-linked gene

duplications on the neo-Y chromosome of *Drosophila miranda* were also found to be expressed

during meiosis, rather than being restricted to post-meiotic cell types (Wei et al. 2024).

Therefore, it may be possible that the enrichment of large ampliconic families during post-

meiotic stages may be restricted to mammalian lineages where repression of gene expression

extends beyond the meiotic stages of MSCI.

To date, no specific genes have been found to cause segregation distortion in threespine

stickleback fish, although two microsatellites have been found to have distorted transmission in

an F2 cross (89). While most lab crosses yield 50:50 sex ratios, some wild populations have been

found to be female biased (90). This could suggest that selection to repress conflicts to maintain

even sex ratios is ongoing. Similar to duplicated genes across mammals (28, 59) and *Drosophila*

(60, 87, 91), we did find that some gene duplicated gene families duplications on the threespine

stickleback Y chromosome were also expressed in post-meiotic stages. Despite the lack of

repression in threespine stickleback, we identified several enriched categories of genes similar to

other species, including functions in chromatin assembly and maintenance. The well

characterized *Segregation Distorter* meiotic drive system in *Drosophila melanogaster*, distorts

transmission by manipulating chromatin state of other cells leading to sperm dysfunction and

near-complete transmission of the *Sd* locus (92). We also found an enrichment of genes involved

in DNA double strand break repair, including elements in the synaptonemal complex, *Smc5*. Y-

linked copies of this gene were expressed across both meiotic and post-meiotic stages. Similarly,

some of the ampliconic sex-linked gene families in *Mus musculus* (*Slx/Sly*) are orthologs of

another synaptonemal complex protein, SYCP3 (93). Deletions and duplications of *Slx* have

been found to alter sex ratios in mice (26). While the exact mechanisms of how these genes

affect transmission are unknown, the role of recombination proteins being duplicated and neo-

functionalized across independently derived Y chromosomes is intriguing. Functional testing of

the stickleback sex-linked genes may identify a similar role in transmission distortion.

Comparative work across multiple species has showed that several signatures of

spermatogenesis have evolved repeatedly, including the amplification of Y-linked

spermatogenesis genes, and meiotic/post-meiotic repression. While some features have evolved

convergently, many are not conserved across all species (3, 21, 31, 32). The vastly different

reproductive strategies and ecological factors affecting fish may alter some of the assumptions

made by classic conflict models proposed in mice and flies, and the absence of sex-linked

silencing in stickleback fish could be a result of having less selfishness in the male germline.

Most segregation distorter genes have been identified in species with internal fertilization like

mice and flies (92, 94, 95). Externally fertilized eggs in fish could allow for increased male-male

competition (96), which may limit the pervasiveness of genomic conflict, due to the deleterious

effects on sperm production associated with selfishness (97). However, reproductive strategies

can vary greatly across different species of fish. For example, male stickleback fish court females

by building nests, and then provide early stage paternal care by guarding clutches of eggs laid by

the female (98), though this behavior does not completely defend against other males (99).

Broader comparisons across teleost fish would provide an interesting approach to study these

differences in a comparative framework, as there is variation in the types of mating behaviors,

reproductive strategies, and sex chromosomes, across closely related species. Specifically, it

would be noteworthy to compare the pervasiveness of male-male competition to the rate of

selfish evolution in spermatogenesis.

Methods

Dissociation of testes for single-cell RNA sequencing

Procedures using threespine stickleback fish were approved by the University of Georgia

Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol A2024 08-009-Y1-A0).

We performed three runs of single-cell RNA sequencing. Two runs were conducted with

a single male fish. The third run was a pool of males (see below). Each male was collected from

separate clutches of lab strains derived from wild-caught fish from Port Gardner Bay,

Washington. Individuals were selected based on age (~7 months), testis size, and testis

coloration, all of which are indicators that male fish are becoming reproductive and

spermatogenesis is proceeding. Stickleback fish have synchronous spermatogenesis. We

therefore aimed to select one male that was enriched for cells undergoing meiosis and one male

that was enriched for post-meiotic cells. The first male had slightly smaller and lighter colored

testes, consistent with earlier meiotic stages, while the second had larger, darker testes consistent

with later stages of testis maturation. We sequenced a third pool of two males as a replicate of

the first two sequencing runs. We identified two males with different testis coloration and size,

which were at different stages of spermatogenesis. Both males were seven-month-old fish

collected from separate clutches of fish derived from the same Port Gardner Bay population. We

pooled one testis from each male together for tissue dissociation and sequencing.

Cell dissociation was performed separately for each individual. Both testes from each

male were placed in ice cold PBS + 0.04% BSA. We followed a previously published single-cell

dissociation method from mice testis (47). We first minced the tissue into small pieces with

McPherson-Vannas scissors in 200 μL of digestion medium (1mg/mL DNASE, 1mg/mL

collagenase/dispace, 1mg/ML hyaluronidase in solution of DMEM/F12). The cell solution was

incubated at 37º C for 10 minutes. The cells were filtered through a 40 μm cell strainer to obtain

a single-cell suspension, followed by centrifugation at 4º C, 400g for 10 minutes. The pelleted

cells were resuspended in 1mL of cold PBS + 0.04% BSA. Cell viability was assessed using

trypan blue. From the staining, we determined approximately 90% of the cells were viable. The

cells were immediately processed for sequencing using the 10x genomics single-cell sequencing

platform with 3' capture. 10X chromium and subsequent Illumina sequencing were both

performed at the Georgia Genome and Bioinformatics Core (University of Georgia).

Processing of single-cell RNA-sequencing data

The sequencing reads were demultiplexed with CellRanger (v 4.0.0) mkfastq with

bcl2fastq (Illumina) with default parameters. We ran CellRanger mkref on the threespine

stickleback genome assembly (v5.0) (37, 100). We aligned fastq reads from all three samples

separately using CellRanger count with default parameters, plus the parameter --

chemistry=SC3Pv3. To filter for high quality cells, we used Seurat (v 4.0) to remove cells with >

3000 and <200 unique features (i.e. expressed transcripts) and cells with > 5% mitochondrial

counts. Cells with too many or too few identified features likely represent doublets or dead cells,

respectively. Transcript expression was normalized using Seurat (v4.0) scaledata, with default

parameters. After filtering each dataset separately, we merged all three sequencing runs using

Seurat merge, with default parameters. We then performed an analysis on the total dataset, first

by identifying cells from each dataset that are contained within nearest neighbors (anchors), by

running FindIntegrationAnchors with the dimensions set to dims = 1:20. Then we used Seurat

IntegrateData. This step defines a distance between datasets as the total number of cells in the

smaller dataset divided by the total number of anchors between each dataset, computes all

pairwise distances between each dataset, and clusters the distance matrix to determine a guide

tree. After the cells from each dataset were integrated, expression was scaled across each gene,

using scaledata. Finally, cells were reassigned to neighbors, clusters, and UMAP was run on the

complete dataset.

Clustering and UMAP

We followed the Seurat (v4.0) workflow (101) to perform cell clustering. We determined

dimensionality of the dataset by performing a jackstraw permutation in Seurat. Based on the

elbow plot and jackstraw plot (Supplemental Figure 5), we identified 20 significant principal

components for the integrated dataset with a P < 0.001. We ran Seurat findneighbors (Dims:1:12)

and Seurat findclusters (Resolution=0.8). Graph-based clustering is performed based on

construction of a nearest neighbor graph, and then applies a Leiden community detection

algorithm. The resolution factor is recommended to be between 0.4-1.2

(https://satijalab.org/seurat/articles/pbmc3k_tutorial.html). We experimented with a range of

values from 0.4-1.2 and found that the number of clusters from 0.8 was the most consistent with

marker identification and the total number of expected cell types in the threespine stickleback

testis (102, 103). To visualize the clusters, we ran the Seurat UMAP function set to 20

dimensions (Dims=1:20). We verified that most clusters had a similar number of reads per cell,

and expressed features per cell, to avoid identifying clusters based on read depth alone

(Supplemental Figure 6).

Identification of cell clusters

Differentially expressed genes were identified using the FindAllMarkers function in

Seurat. A Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare gene expression of each gene across all

clusters to identify significantly different gene expression profiles between clusters. Genes were

considered differentially expressed between clusters if there was a p-value < 0.001 after

correcting for multiple comparisons based on a Bonferroni correction. To relate cell clusters to

known cell types, we identified upregulated genes specific to clusters that were well

characterized genes from mammals (42, 45, 47-50) and fish (51, 52). We also identified genes

expressed during meiosis that are conserved at the coding sequence across mammals (104, 105).

Gene ontology

We used the ShinyGO v0.741 web-based tool to identify gene ontology of upregulated

genes for each cluster with the genome set to "Stickleback" and processes set to "All available

gene sets." We used all stickleback genes expressed in our single-cell RNA dataset as the

background, to identify enrichment of biological processes involved in the significantly up-

regulated genes of each cluster. For each set of genes, fold enrichment of percentage of genes

within a pathway along with a false discovery rate (FDR). We only retained GO terms with an

FDR < 0.01.

Gene expression across the sex chromosomes

To analyze chromosome-wide expression patterns across cells within a cluster, we

extracted alignments from each cluster output using pysam (v 0.16.0.1) and a custom python

script (https://github.com/daniel-shaw1/Single-Cell-RNA-

sequencing/blob/main/Split_bam_by_cluster.py), that separates RNA alignments for each

cluster. Splitting of alignment files was ran separately for each of the three independent samples

based on CellRanger clustering. We counted the total number of reads that aligned within genes

for each cluster using htseq-count (v 0.13.5) (-mode union --nonunique all --minaqual 1 –

stranded=no) (106). These parameters were previously used to identify expression of Y-linked

genes in threespine stickleback with bulk RNA-seq (37). We counted within genes of the Y

chromosome (37) as well as predicted genes on the autosomes and X chromosome from Ensembl

build 107. Reads were counted across the entire transcript as one feature. To assess how gene

expression changes on the X and Y chromosomes relative to autosomes across spermatogenesis,

we normalized the total expression of each gene on the X and Y by the median expression of all

genes across the autosomes of each cluster and then cluster counts were averaged into four

categories for each major stage(i.e. somatic, pre-meiotic, meiotic, or post-meiotic).

We also assayed gene expression of duplicate gene families across the Y chromosome

that were previously annotated (37). To analyze overall expression level of each duplicate gene

family, we counted the total number of reads that aligned to each paralog within the family and

then summed these counts across all paralogs to get an overall count for each duplicate gene

family. We normalized counts for each duplicate gene family per cluster by dividing by the

library size of each cluster. Normalized gene duplicate expression was plotted using Morpheus

(https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus.)

Detection of retrotransposition throughout the genome

We searched for evidence of retrotransposition of genes from the autosomes and the X

chromosome. We aligned all protein coding sequences from the threespine stickleback (NCBI

release 100) to the threespine stickleback genome assembly (v. 5) (100). In order to identify

putative retrotranspositions unique to threespine stickleback lineage after the X and Y

chromosomes evolved, we also aligned the threespine stickleback protein coding sequences to an

outgroup species, the ninespine stickleback (v. 2.1 genome) (107). We aligned all protein

sequences using Exonerate (v. 2.4) with parameters --model protein2genome --cores 8 --bestn 5 -

-showalignment FALSE --showvulgar TRUE --ryo "%ps\\n" (108). We searched for protein

sequences that had greater than or equal to one intron that aligned to a second location in the

threespine genome with zero introns. These putative retrotranspositions were confirmed to be

specific to the threespine stickleback by verifying the alignment did not exist on the orthologous

chromosome of the ninespine stickleback.

Protein coding divergence

In order to compare coding sequence evolution, we aligned the threespine stickleback

fish CDS (Ensembl release 107) (109) to the ninespine stickleback genome (68) using Exonerate

(v. 2.4) (exonerate --model est2genome -M 2000 --bestn 15 --percent 25) (108). We ran codeml

from the PAML (phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood) (runmode =-2) software

package (110) to calculate d_N, d_S, and d_N/d_S for all alignable coding sequence between the

threespine and ninespine stickleback. Exonerate alignments were filtered to remove stop codons

and gaps, and processed into a codeml compatible input using a custom Perl script

(https://github.com/daniel-shaw1/Single-Cell-RNA-sequencing), modified from a previous study

(39). For genes with multiple annotated transcripts that aligned between the threespine and

ninespine stickleback, d_N/d_S ratios were averaged across the transcripts. Genes with a d_N/d_S value

of 99 were removed as these values are unreliable and occur when a gene does not have

synonymous substitutions.

Meiotic chromosome spreads

The methods used for chromosome spreads were adapted from a protocol for zebrafish

(111). We collected fish that were undergoing meiosis that were five to eight months in age and

had minimal pigmentation of the testes (41). Whole testes were removed and submerged in 1 mL

of 1X PBS inside a 1 mL Douce homogenizer. The tissue was homogenized by grinding with the

tight pestle approximately 10 times. The entire volume of cell suspension was transferred to a 1.6

mL tube and centrifuged at 500 xg for five minutes. The supernatant was then removed and the

pellet was resuspended in 600 µL of 0.8% sodium citrate. The volume of sodium citrate was

adjusted based on the size of the pellet to achieve a low turbidity solution. The sodium citrate,

cell suspension was incubated at room temperature for five to eight minutes. 20 µL of the cell

suspension and 20 µL of 100mM sucrose was pipetted onto each slide and spread by gently

tilting the slide. 100 µL of 1% (pH 8.5, adjusted with 0.1 M sodium tetraborate)

paraformaldehyde solution with 0.15% TX-100 was then added and spread across each slide

before being incubating in a humid chamber at room temperature for two and a half hours. After

incubating the slides, we removed the top of the humid chamber to cure the slides overnight.

After curing, the slides were washed 3 consecutive times for 15, five, and five minutes in 1X

PBS with gentle agitation. Slides were allowed to dry for 15-20 minutes before

immunofluorescence or storing at –20°C.

Immunofluorescence staining of SMC3 and γH2AX

1.5 mL of permeabilization solution (1X PBS, 1 mM EDTA, and 1% TritonX-100) was

pipetted onto the slides and incubated at 20 minutes at room temperature. After dumping off the

solution, the slides were blocked with 1.5 mL of 1X antibody dilution buffer, incubating at room

temperature for 30 minutes. A 1:80 dilution of primary antibodies in 40 μL total volume was

pipetted on each slide (anti-SMC3, Abcam ab9263; anti- γH2AX, DSHB UNC93-5.2.1). The

slides were sealed with coverslips and rubber cement before being incubated in a humid chamber

overnight at 4°C. After incubating overnight, the coverslips were allowed to float off in 1X PBS,

and the slides were blocked with 1.5 mL 1X antibody dilution buffer for 30 minutes at room

temperature. A 1:100 dilution of secondary antibodies in 40 μL total volume was pipetted on

each slide (goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488, Abcam ab150077; goat anti-mouse Alexa 568,

ThermoFisher A-21134). The slides were sealed with coverslips and rubber cement before

incubating in a humid chamber at 37°C for two to three hours. After the coverslips were

removed, the slides were washed three times for 15, five and five minutes in 1X PBS with gentle

agitation. 40 μL of Vectashield Antifade Mounting Media with DAPI (Vector Labs) was added

to the slides and sealed with coverslips and nail polish.

Staging of Meiocytes and Super Resolution Imaging

The chromosome spreads were viewed and staged with a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 Microscope with a 100x oil immersion lens. Prophase I stages were identified with the following criteria: small, non-contiguous stretches of SMC3 were defined as leptotene; long SMC3 pieces and incomplete strands indicating an absence of synapsis were defined as zygotene; and pachytene was identified by fully formed SMC3 strands, suggesting complete synapsis. Final images were taken with a Zeiss Elyra S1 (SR-SIM) Super Resolution Microscope with 100x oil immersion lens. The images were processed with ImageJ and Zeiss Black software.

Data availability

The data for this manuscript is available within the short reads archive under accession numbers:

XXXXXXXX

Scripts and bioinformatic pipelines are available at: https://github.com/daniel-shaw1/Single-Cell-RNA-sequencing

All microscopy images are available upon request.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation MCB 1943283 (M.A.W.), the National Institutes of Health R01GM147312 (M.A.W.), the University of Georgia Research Foundation (D.E.S.), and by the Society for Study of Evolution R.C. Lewontin Early Award

571   (D.E.S). Single cell library preparations and sequencing were performed at the UGA Georgia

572   Genome and Bioinformatics Core.

574   **Declaration of interests**

575   The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

 Figures

Figure 1. Single-cell RNA-seq of threespine stickleback spermatogenesis. Identified clusters

of pre-meiotic, meiotic, post-meiotic, and somatic cell populations integrated from three

sequencing runs. (**A**) Visualization of single-cell expression profiles of spermatogenesis in

UMAP projection. We identified 15 clusters and related them to stages of spermatogenesis based

on significantly upregulated marker genes and GO terms (Table 1). (**B**) Examples of enrichment

of key marker genes across the four main stages of spermatogenesis. Additional marker genes

are in Table 1. (**C**) The four main spermatogenesis stages overlaid on the UMAP projection.

Figure 2. Sex-linked gene expression does not change across spermatogenesis. X- and Y-

linked gene expression was normalized to median autosome expression level for each stage of

spermatogenesis. Relative to median autosome expression, X- (left) and Y-linked (right) gene

expression does not change across stages of spermatogenesis. (Pairwise Mann-Whitney U Test, P

> 0.05). Outliers are not shown.

| SMC3 | γH2AX | SMC3 + γH2AX |

Figure 3. The X and Y chromosomes are not sequestered into a sex body. The highest

density of the phosphorylated histone variant, γH2AX, a marker of DNA damage (red) occurs

during leptotene (top), when the greatest number of DNA double strand breaks also occur.

γH2AX localization decreases as double strand breaks are repaired in zygotene (middle) and

pachytene (bottom). The sex chromosomes are repaired at the same rate since they are not

599   sequestered into a dense, γH2AX containing sex body at pachytene. The synaptonemal complex

600   axes are immunolabeled against the axial protein, SMC3 (green). The scale bar is 5 µm.

605   **Figure 4. Y-linked duplicate gene families are expressed across stages of spermatogenesis.**

606   Duplicate gene families on the Y chromosome assembly are enriched for expression during

607   spermatogenesis. The greatest number of duplicate families were found to be expressed in

608   meiotic cell types. Normalized counts for all paralogous copies of a gene family were summed to

609   determine an aggregate expression level.

Figure 5. Signatures of selection are largely the same across stages of spermatogenesis.

Non-synonymous and synonymous substitutions were quantified between the threespine and ninespine stickleback fish for X-linked and autosomal genes. There are no differences in d_N/d_S of X-linked gametologs (left) across stages of spermatogenesis. d_N/d_S is similar across genes on representative autosome 18 (right), except for an elevation in post-meiotic cell stages (Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's test, P = 0.006).

 Tables

623 Table 1. Identification of clusters reveals genetic markers and gene ontology of distinct stages of
624 spermatogenesis.
625

Cluster identification	Characterization	Representative spermatogenic-related markers identified in cluster (P < 0.01)	Relevant GO terms (FDR < 0.01)
Early Spermatogonia	Undifferentiation, maintenance	*id4, npsn, ptpn6, myh9a, ctss2.1 hcls1, ponzr1, coro1a, itgb2, scinlb*	cell homeostasis, cell number homeostasis, NADP metabolic process
Late Spermatogonia	Differentiating, cell proliferation, hormones	*gata6, id1, ar, grnb, ptn, cyc1, prdx3, ctsa, h2ax*	Differentiation, chromosome, cell cycle
Early Spermatocytes	Early prophase, DSB formation	*smc2b, smc1al,hells, rab5c,rab35b,cbx3a, NASP,tex11*	core histone, centromere kinetochore, tubulin, ribosomal
Mid spermatocytes	Late prophase, DSB processing and strand invasion	*rad51, rad54, meig1, ccna1, map2k6,akirin2, cdk9, mns1, dyrk2, ccnb1*	embryo development, cell cycle, regulation of cell cycle, recombination
Mid-Late spermatocytes	Late meiosis, spindle assembly	*smc3, rab24, cenpv, cetn2,dnal1,cbx8b,rab8 a,spag1,mospd1,cdc37*	chromosome organization, condensed chromosome, centromere, chromosome segregation, spindle

Late spermatocytes	Late meiosis, segregation and cell division	*cbx1, caprin1a, ccnb2, cfap57, SMARCA5, cdca8,rad23b, smc4,ncapd2,spata18,nu sap1, fzr1a*	Sister chromatid segregation, cell cycle, cilium organization, nuclear division, organelle fission, kinetochore
Early Spermatids	Spermiogenesis	*ak1, taf9, ybx1,map6a, dctn3*	Cilium, motile cilium, dynein complex, centriole
Late spermatid	Sperm maturation	*gapdh, gapdhs, krt18a.1, arpc3, myh9b*	Axoneme assembly, axoneme, ciliary plasm
Somatic cluster	Sertoli, erythrocytes, connective tissue, Leydig	*gata1a, hbae5, hbe1, ctrl, ccng1*	Myeloid cell homeostasis, erythrocyte development, immune system development

626
627
628

References
1.   Ellis N, Goodfellow PN. The mammalian pseudoautosomal region. Trends Genet. 1989;5:406-10.
2.   Turner JMA. Meiotic sex chromosome inactivation. Development. 2007;134(10):1823-31.
3.   Turner JMA. Meiotic Silencing in Mammals. Annu Rev Genet. 2015;49(1):395-412.
4.   Handel MA. The XY body: a specialized meiotic chromatin domain. Exp Cell Res. 2004;296(1):57-63.
5.   Namekawa SH, Park PJ, Zhang L-F, Shima JE, McCarrey JR, Griswold MD, et al. Postmeiotic Sex Chromatin in the Male Germline of Mice. Curr Biol. 2006;16(7):660-7.
6.   Turner JMA, Mahadevaiah SK, Ellis PJI, Mitchell MJ, Burgoyne PS. Pachytene Asynapsis Drives Meiotic Sex Chromosome Inactivation and Leads to Substantial Postmeiotic Repression in Spermatids. Dev Cell. 2006;10(4):521-9.
7.   Shiu PK, Raju NB, Zickler D, Metzenberg RL. Meiotic silencing by unpaired DNA. Cell. 2001;107(7):905-16.

8.   Turner JM, Mahadevaiah SK, Fernandez-Capetillo O, Nussenzweig A, Xu X, Deng CX,
et al. Silencing of unsynapsed meiotic chromosomes in the mouse. Nat Genet. 2005;37(1):41-7.
9.   Cortez D, Marin R, Toledo-Flores D, Froidevaux L, Liechti A, Waters PD, et al. Origins
and functional evolution of Y chromosomes across mammals. Nature. 2014;508(7497):488-93.
10.   Franco MJ, Sciurano RB, Solari AJ. Protein immunolocalization supports the presence of
identical mechanisms of XY body formation in eutherians and marsupials. Chromosome
Research. 2007;15(6):815-24.
11.   Namekawa SH, Vandeberg JL, McCarrey JR, Lee JT. Sex chromosome silencing in the
marsupial male germ line. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
2007;104(23):9730-5.
12.   Daish TJ, Casey AE, Grutzner F. Lack of sex chromosome specific meiotic silencing in
platypus reveals origin of MSCI in therian mammals. BMC Biol. 2015;13(1).
13.   Murat F, Mbengue N, Winge SB, Trefzer T, Leushkin E, Sepp M, et al. The molecular
evolution of spermatogenesis across mammals. Nature. 2023;613(7943):308-16.
14.   Larson BJ, Van MV, Nakayama T, Engebrecht J. Plasticity in the Meiotic Epigenetic
Landscape of Sex Chromosomes in <i>Caenorhabditis</i> Species. Genetics. 2016;203(4):1641-
58.
15.   Cabrero J, Teruel M, Carmona FD, Camacho JP. Histone H2AX phosphorylation is
associated with most meiotic events in grasshopper. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2007;116(4):311-5.
16.   Cabrero J, Teruel M, Carmona FD, Jiménez R, Camacho JP. Histone H3 lysine 9
acetylation pattern suggests that X and B chromosomes are silenced during entire male meiosis
in a grasshopper. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2007;119(1-2):135-42.
17.   Vibranovski MD, Lopes HF, Karr TL, Long M. Stage-Specific Expression Profiling of
Drosophila Spermatogenesis Suggests that Meiotic Sex Chromosome Inactivation Drives
Genomic Relocation of Testis-Expressed Genes. PLoS Genet. 2009;5(11):e1000731.
18.   Vibranovski MD, Zhang YE, Kemkemer C, Lopes HF, Karr TL, Long M. Re-analysis of
the larval testis data on meiotic sex chromosome inactivation revealed evidence for tissue-
specific gene expression related to the drosophila X chromosome. BMC Biol. 2012;10(1):49.
19.   Landeen EL, Muirhead CA, Wright L, Meiklejohn CD, Presgraves DC. Sex
Chromosome-wide Transcriptional Suppression and Compensatory Cis-Regulatory Evolution
Mediate Gene Expression in the Drosophila Male Germline. PLoS Biol. 2016;14(7):e1002499.
20.   Kemkemer C, Hense W, Parsch J. Fine-Scale Analysis of X Chromosome Inactivation in
the Male Germ Line of Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Biol Evol. 2011;28(5):1561-3.
21.   Meiklejohn CD, Landeen EL, Cook JM, Kingan SB, Presgraves DC. Sex Chromosome-
Specific Regulation in the Drosophila Male Germline But Little Evidence for Chromosomal
Dosage Compensation or Meiotic Inactivation. PLoS Biol. 2011;9(8):e1001126.
22.   Mikhaylova LM, Nurminsky DI. Lack of global meiotic sex chromosome inactivation,
and paucity of tissue-specific gene expression on the Drosophila X chromosome. BMC Biol.
2011;9(1):29.
23.   Betrán E, Thornton K, Long M. Retroposed new genes out of the X in Drosophila.
Genome Res. 2002;12(12):1854-9.
24.   Parisi M, Nuttall R, Naiman D, Bouffard G, Malley J, Andrews J, et al. Paucity of genes
on the Drosophila X chromosome showing male-biased expression. Science.
2003;299(5607):697-700.
25.   Wang PJ. X chromosomes, retrogenes and their role in male reproduction. Trends
Endocrinol Metab. 2004;15(2):79-83.

26. Kruger AN, Brogley MA, Huizinga JL, Kidd JM, De Rooij DG, Hu Y-C, et al. A Neofunctionalized X-Linked Ampliconic Gene Family Is Essential for Male Fertility and Equal Sex Ratio in Mice. Curr Biol. 2019;29(21):3699-706.e5.

27. Mueller JL, Mahadevaiah SK, Park PJ, Warburton PE, Page DC, Turner JMA. The mouse X chromosome is enriched for multicopy testis genes showing postmeiotic expression. Nat Genet. 2008;40(6):794-9.

28. Soh YQ, Alföldi J, Pyntikova T, Laura, Graves T, Patrick, et al. Sequencing the Mouse Y Chromosome Reveals Convergent Gene Acquisition and Amplification on Both Sex Chromosomes. Cell. 2014;159(4):800-13.

29. Hughes JF, Skaletsky H, Pyntikova T, Koutseva N, Raudsepp T, Brown LG, et al. Sequence analysis in Bos taurus reveals pervasiveness of X–Y arms races in mammalian lineages. Genome Res. 2020;30(12):1716-26.

30. Bellott DW, Skaletsky H, Cho T-J, Brown L, Locke D, Chen N, et al. Avian W and mammalian Y chromosomes convergently retained dosage-sensitive regulators. Nat Genet. 2017;49(3):387-94.

31. Guioli S, Lovell-Badge R, Turner JMA. Error-Prone ZW Pairing and No Evidence for Meiotic Sex Chromosome Inactivation in the Chicken Germ Line. PLoS Genet. 2012;8(3):e1002560.

32. Federici F, Mulugeta E, Schoenmakers S, Wassenaar E, Hoogerbrugge JW, Van Der Heijden GW, et al. Incomplete meiotic sex chromosome inactivation in the domestic dog. BMC Genomics. 2015;16(1).

33. Wright AE, Darolti I, Bloch NI, Oostra V, Sandkam B, Buechel SD, et al. Convergent recombination suppression suggests role of sexual selection in guppy sex chromosome formation. Nature Communications. 2017;8(1):14251.

34. Darolti I, Wright AE, Mank JE. Guppy Y Chromosome Integrity Maintained by Incomplete Recombination Suppression. Genome Biology and Evolution. 2020;12(6):965-77.

35. Charlesworth D, Bergero R, Graham C, Gardner J, Keegan K. How did the guppy Y chromosome evolve? PLoS Genet. 2021;17(8):e1009704.

36. Lisachov AP, Zadesenets KS, Rubtsov NB, Borodin PM. Sex chromosome synapsis and recombination in male guppies. Zebrafish. 2015;12(2):174-80.

37. Peichel CL, McCann SR, Ross JA, Naftaly AFS, Urton JR, Cech JN, et al. Assembly of the threespine stickleback Y chromosome reveals convergent signatures of sex chromosome evolution. Genome Biology. 2020;21(1).

38. Roesti M, Moser D, Berner D. Recombination in the threespine stickleback genome—patterns and consequences. Mol Ecol. 2013;22(11):3014-27.

39. White MA, Kitano J, Peichel CL. Purifying Selection Maintains Dosage-Sensitive Genes during Degeneration of the Threespine Stickleback Y Chromosome. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32(8):1981-95.

40. Ross JA, Peichel CL. Molecular cytogenetic evidence of rearrangements on the Y chromosome of the threespine stickleback fish. Genetics. 2008;179(4):2173-82.

41. Nath S, Welch LA, Flanagan MK, White MA. Meiotic pairing and double-strand break formation along the heteromorphic threespine stickleback sex chromosomes. Chromosome Research. 2022.

42. Lukassen S, Bosch E, Ekici AB, Winterpacht A. Single-cell RNA sequencing of adult mouse testes. Scientific Data. 2018;5(1):180192.

43.   Craig-Bennett A. The Reproductive Cycle of the Three-Spined Stickleback, Gasterosteus
aculeatus, Linn. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Containing
Papers of a Biological Character. 1931;219:197-279.
44.   Heumos L, Schaar AC, Lance C, Litinetskaya A, Drost F, Zappia L, et al. Best practices
for single-cell analysis across modalities. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2023;24(8):550-72.
45.   Witt E, Benjamin S, Svetec N, Zhao L. Testis single-cell RNA-seq reveals the dynamics
of de novo gene transcription and germline mutational bias in Drosophila. eLife. 2019;8.
46.   Bonilla E, Xu EY. Identification and characterization of novel mammalian spermatogenic
genes conserved from fly to human. Mol Human Reprod. 2008;14(3):137-42.
47.   Lukassen S, Bosch E, Ekici AB, Winterpacht A. Characterization of germ cell
differentiation in the male mouse through single-cell RNA sequencing. Scientific Reports.
2018;8(1).
48.   Shami AN, Zheng X, Munyoki SK, Ma Q, Manske GL, Green CD, et al. Single-Cell
RNA Sequencing of Human, Macaque, and Mouse Testes Uncovers Conserved and Divergent
Features of Mammalian Spermatogenesis. Dev Cell. 2020;54(4):529-47.e12.
49.   Green CD, Ma Q, Manske GL, Shami AN, Zheng X, Marini S, et al. A Comprehensive
Roadmap of Murine Spermatogenesis Defined by Single-Cell RNA-Seq. Dev Cell.
2018;46(5):651-67.e10.
50.   Hermann BP, Cheng K, Singh A, Roa-De La Cruz L, Mutoji KN, Chen IC, et al. The
Mammalian Spermatogenesis Single-Cell Transcriptome, from Spermatogonial Stem Cells to
Spermatids. Cell Reports. 2018;25(6):1650-67.e8.
51.   Qian P, Kang J, Liu D, Xie G. Single Cell Transcriptome Sequencing of Zebrafish Testis
Revealed Novel Spermatogenesis Marker Genes and Stronger Leydig-Germ Cell Paracrine
Interactions. Frontiers in Genetics. 2022;13.
52.   Wu X, Yang Y, Zhong C, Wang T, Deng Y, Huang H, et al. Single-Cell Atlas of Adult
Testis in Protogynous Hermaphroditic Orange-Spotted Grouper, Epinephelus coioides.
International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2021;22(22):12607.
53.   Lacerda SMdSN, Costa GMJ, de França LR. Biology and identity of fish spermatogonial
stem cell. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2014;207:56-65.
54.   Xie X, Nóbrega R, Pšenička M. Spermatogonial Stem Cells in Fish: Characterization,
Isolation, Enrichment, and Recent Advances of In Vitro Culture Systems. Biomolecules.
2020;10(4):644.
55.   Hirota T, Blakeley P, Sangrithi MN, Mahadevaiah SK, Encheva V, Snijders AP, et al.
SETDB1 Links the Meiotic DNA Damage Response to Sex Chromosome Silencing in Mice.
Dev Cell. 2018;47(5):645-59.e6.
56.   Sedelnikova OA, Pilch DR, Redon C, Bonner WM. Histone H2AX in DNA damage and
repair. Cancer Biol Ther. 2003;2(3):233-5.
57.   Cocquet J, Ellis PJI, Mahadevaiah SK, Affara NA, Vaiman D, Burgoyne PS. A Genetic
Basis for a Postmeiotic X Versus Y Chromosome Intragenomic Conflict in the Mouse. PLoS
Genet. 2012;8(9):e1002900.
58.   Cocquet J, Ellis PJI, Yamauchi Y, Mahadevaiah SK, Affara NA, Ward MA, et al. The
Multicopy Gene Sly Represses the Sex Chromosomes in the Male Mouse Germline after
Meiosis. PLoS Biol. 2009;7(11):e1000244.
59.   Moretti C, Blanco M, Ialy-Radio C, Serrentino ME, Gobé C, Friedman R, et al. Battle of
the Sex Chromosomes: Competition between X and Y Chromosome-Encoded Proteins for

Partner Interaction and Chromatin Occupancy Drives Multicopy Gene Expression and Evolution in Muroid Rodents. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37(12):3453-68.

60.	Bachtrog D, Mahajan S, Bracewell R. Massive gene amplification on a recently formed Drosophila Y chromosome. Nature Ecology & Evolution. 2019;3(11):1587-97.

61.	Hirano T. At the heart of the chromosome: SMC proteins in action. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2006;7(5):311-22.

62.	Moretti C, Vaiman D, Tores F, Cocquet J. Expression and epigenomic landscape of the sex chromosomes in mouse post-meiotic male germ cells. Epigenetics & Chromatin. 2016;9(1).

63.	Emerson JJ, Kaessmann H, Betrán E, Long M. Extensive Gene Traffic on the Mammalian X Chromosome. Science. 2004;303(5657):537-40.

64.	Shiao M-S, Khil P, Camerini-Otero RD, Shiroishi T, Moriwaki K, Yu H-T, et al. Origins of New Male Germ-line Functions from X-Derived Autosomal Retrogenes in the Mouse. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24(10):2242-53.

65.	Vibranovski MD, Zhang Y, Long M. General gene movement off the X chromosome in the Drosophila genus. Genome Res. 2009;19(5):897-903.

66.	Bell MA, Stewart JD, Park PJ. The World's Oldest Fossil Threespine Stickleback Fish. Copeia. 2009;2009(2):256-65.

67.	Ross JA, Urton JR, Boland J, Shapiro MD, Peichel CL. Turnover of Sex Chromosomes in the Stickleback Fishes (Gasterosteidae). PLoS Genet. 2009;5(2):e1000391.

68.	Varadharajan S, Rastas P, Löytynoja A, Matschiner M, Calboli FCF, Guo B, et al. A high-quality assembly of the nine-spined stickleback (Pungitius pungitius) genome. Genome Biology and Evolution. 2019.

69.	Good JM, Nachman MW. Rates of protein evolution are positively correlated with developmental timing of expression during mouse spermatogenesis. Mol Biol Evol. 2005;22(4):1044-52.

70.	Kopania EEK, Larson EL, Callahan C, Keeble S, Good JM. Molecular Evolution across Mouse Spermatogenesis. Mol Biol Evol. 2022;39(2).

71.	Larson EL, Vanderpool D, Keeble S, Zhou M, Sarver BA, Smith AD, et al. Contrasting Levels of Molecular Evolution on the Mouse X Chromosome. Genetics. 2016;203(4):1841-57.

72.	Lifschytz E, Lindsley DL. The role of X-chromosome inactivation during spermatogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1972;69(1):182-6.

73.	McKee BD, Handel MA. Sex chromosomes, recombination, and chromatin conformation. Chromosoma. 1993;102(2):71-80.

74.	Henking H. Über Patagonian pejerrey (Odontesthes hatcheri) spermatogenese und deren Beziehung zur Entwicklung bei Pyrrhocoris apterus L. Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Zoologie. 1891;51:685-736.

75.	Solari AJ. The behavior of the XY pair in mammals. Int Rev Cytol. 1974;38(0):273-317.

76.	Casey AE, Daish TJ, Barbero JL, Grützner F. Differential cohesin loading marks paired and unpaired regions of platypus sex chromosomes at prophase I. Scientific Reports. 2017;7(1):4217.

77.	Solari AJ. Equalization of Z and W axes in chicken and quail oocytes. Cytogenet Cell Genet. 1992;59(1):52-6.

78.	Schoenmakers S, Wassenaar E, Hoogerbrugge JW, Laven JSE, Grootegoed JA, Baarends WM. Female Meiotic Sex Chromosome Inactivation in Chicken. PLoS Genet. 2009;5(5):e1000466.

79. Namekawa SH, Lee JT. XY and ZW: is meiotic sex chromosome inactivation the rule in
evolution? PLoS Genet. 2009;5(5):e1000493.
80. Lisachov A, Dedukh D, Simanovsky S, Panthum T, Singchat W, Srikulnath K. Spaghetti
Connections: Synaptonemal Complexes as a Tool to Explore Chromosome Structure, Evolution,
and Meiotic Behavior in Fish. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2024;164(1):1-15.
81. Nanda I, Schories S, Simeonov I, Adolfi MC, Du K, Steinlein C, et al. Evolution of the
Degenerated Y-Chromosome of the Swamp Guppy, Micropoecilia picta. Cells. 2022;11(7).
82. Meiklejohn CD, Tao Y. Genetic conflict and sex chromosome evolution. Trends in
Ecology & Evolution. 2010;25(4):215-23.
83. Bachtrog D. The Y Chromosome as a Battleground for Intragenomic Conflict. Trends
Genet. 2020;36(7):510-22.
84. Mank JE, Hosken DJ, Wedell N. Conflict on the sex chromosomes: cause, effect, and
complexity. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2014;6(12):a017715.
85. Good JM. The Conflict within and the Escalating War between the Sex Chromosomes.
PLoS Genet. 2012;8(9):e1002955.
86. Lin Y, Darolti I, Furman BLS, Almeida P, Sandkam BA, Breden F, et al. Gene
duplication to the Y chromosome in Trinidadian Guppies. Mol Ecol. 2022;31(6):1853-63.
87. Chang C-H, Gregory LE, Gordon KE, Meiklejohn CD, Larracuente AM. Unique
structure and positive selection promote the rapid divergence of Drosophila Y chromosomes.
eLife. 2022;11.
88. Mueller JL, Skaletsky H, Brown LG, Zaghlul S, Rock S, Graves T, et al. Independent
specialization of the human and mouse X chromosomes for the male germ line. Nat Genet.
2013;45(9):1083-7.
89. Liu J, Shikano T, Leinonen T, Cano JM, Li M-H, Merilä J. Identification of Major and
Minor QTL for Ecologically Important Morphological Traits in Three-Spined Sticklebacks
(Gasterosteus aculeatus). G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2014;4(4):595-604.
90. Rollins JL, Chiang P, Waite JN, Von Hippel FA, Bell MA. Jacks and jills: Alternative
life-history phenotypes and skewed sex ratio in anadromous threespine stickleback (Gasterosteus
aculeatus). Evol Ecol Res. 2017;18(4):363-82.
91. Chang C-H, Mejia Natividad I, Malik HS. Expansion and loss of sperm nuclear basic
protein genes in Drosophila correspond with genetic conflicts between sex chromosomes. eLife.
2023;12:e85249.
92. Larracuente AM, Presgraves DC. The selfish Segregation Distorter gene complex of
Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics. 2012;192(1):33-53.
93. Ellis PJI, Bacon J, Affara NA. Association of Sly with sex-linked gene amplification
during mouse evolution: a side effect of genomic conflict in spermatids? Hum Mol Genet.
2011;20(15):3010-21.
94. Olds-Clarke P. Models for male infertility: the t haplotypes. Rev Reprod. 1997;2(3):157-
64.
95. Phadnis N, Orr HA. A Single Gene Causes Both Male Sterility and Segregation
Distortion in <i>Drosophila</i> Hybrids. Science. 2009;323(5912):376-9.
96. Fitzpatrick JL. Sperm competition and fertilization mode in fishes. Philosophical
Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2020;375(1813):20200074.
97. Verspoor RL, Price TAR, Wedell N. Selfish genetic elements and male fertility. Philos
Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2020;375(1813):20200067.

98.   Van den Assem J. Territory in the three-spined stickleback Gasterosteus aculeatus L.: an
experimental study in intra-specific competition: Brill Archive; 1967.
99.   Largiadèr CR, Fries V, Bakker TCM. Genetic analysis of sneaking and egg-thievery in a
natural population of the three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus L.). Heredity.
2001;86(4):459-68.
100.   Nath S, Shaw DE, White MA. Improved contiguity of the threespine stickleback genome
using long-read sequencing. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2021;11(2).
101.   Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, Mauck WM, Zheng S, Butler A, et al. Integrated
analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell. 2021;184(13):3573-87.e29.
102.   Borg B. Effects of methyltestosterone on spermatogenesis and secondary sexual
characters in the three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus L.). Gen Comp Endocrinol.
1981;44(2):177-80.
103.   Sokołowska E, Kulczykowska E. Annual reproductive cycle in two free living
populations of three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus L.): Patterns of ovarian and
testicular development. Oceanologia. 2006;48.
104.   Dapper AL, Payseur BA. Molecular evolution of the meiotic recombination pathway in
mammals. Evolution. 2019;73(12):2368-89.
105.   Ramesh MA, Malik S-B, Logsdon JM. A Phylogenomic Inventory of Meiotic Genes.
Curr Biol. 2005;15(2):185-91.
106.   Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(2):166-9.
107.   Wang D, Rastas P, Yi X, Löytynoja A, Kivikoski M, Feng X, et al. Improved assembly
of the Pungitius pungitius reference genome. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2024;14(8).
108.   Slater GSC, Birney E. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence
comparison. BMC Bioinformatics. 2005;6(1):31.
109.   Cunningham F, Allen JE, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode M R, Armean Irina M, et al.
Ensembl 2022. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;50(D1):D988-D95.
110.   Yang Z. PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. Mol Biol Evol.
2007;24(8):1586-91.
111.   Blokhina YP, Nguyen AD, Draper BW, Burgess SM. The telomere bouquet is a hub
where meiotic double-strand breaks, synapsis, and stable homolog juxtaposition are coordinated
in the zebrafish, Danio rerio. PLoS Genet. 2019;15(1):e1007730.

Supplemental figures

Supplemental Figure 1. Gene counts per samples.

Number of transcripts detected for each gene was totaled for each cell barcode within each of the three single cell RNA sequencing experiments. A similar distribution of detected genes were identified in each of three experiments.

Supplemental Figure 2. Clusters split by sequencing replicate. Cell barcodes from all three sequencing runs were merged, and UMAP is depicted for all merged cells (top), and for each replicate separately (bottom). Proportion of each cell type within each sample was dependent on the onset of synchronous spermatogenesis in each individual. Only cell clusters identified in two of three replicates were used for downstream analysis.

Supplemental Figure 3. Correlation of cluster expression profiles across replicates

Gene expression for all expressed genes identified in clusters were highly correlated (R > 0.69) across samples. Testis 2 and 3 showed stronger correlations across cell types compared to testis 1, likely due to a similar developmental staging at the time of testis dissociation. Spearman rank correlation is reported above each graph. Cell types are noted as Pre (pre-meiotic), M (meiotic), Pst (post-meiotic), and Som (somatic).

Supplemental Figure 4. Sex-linked gene expression across evolutonary strata.

Sex-linked gene expression does not change across spermatogenesis in the three different evolutionary strata. X- and Y-linked gene expression was normalized to median autosome

expression level for each stage of spermatogenesis. Relative to median autosome expression, X-(bottom) and Y-linked (top) gene expression does not change across stages of spermatogenesis across the three strata (stratum 1: oldest, stratum 2: middle, stratum 3: youngest). (Pairwise Mann-Whitney U Test, P > 0.05). Outliers are not shown.

Supplemental Figure 5. Identification of significant principal components for scRNA-seq

Elbow plots and jackstraw simulations were generated to assess the number of significant principle components for each sequencing experiment.

Supplemental Figure 6. Number of reads per cell type.

Number of RNA molecules identified per cell within each cell cluster were counted using Seurat.