Population-based metaproteomics reveals functional associations between gut microbiota and phenotypes

Shuang Liang[1,2,3,4#], Yingying Sun[1,2,3#], Zelei Miao[1,2,3,5#], Bang-yan Li[6#], Ziyuan Xing[1,2,3], Yuting Xie[1,2,3], Enci Cai[2], Sainan Li[1,2,3], Pu Liu[7], Min Yang[2], Menglei Shuai[1,2,5], Wanglong Gou[1,2,3,5], Wenhao Jiang[1,2,3], Youming Wang[8], Huanhuan Gao[1,2,3], Ke Zhang[1,2,5], Jing Yu[7], Xue Cai[1,2,3], Xingbing Wang[8], Yu-ming Chen[6]*, Ju-Sheng Zheng[1,2,3,5]*, Tiannan Guo[1,2,3,9]*

1 Affiliated Hangzhou First People's Hospital, State Key Laboratory of Medical Proteomics, School of Medicine, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China.

2 Westlake Center for Intelligent Proteomics, Westlake Laboratory of Life Sciences and Biomedicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China.

3 Research Center for Industries of the Future, School of Life Sciences, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China.

4, State Key Laboratory for Managing Biotic and Chemical Treats to the Quality and Safety of Agro-products, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou 310021, China.

5, Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Multi-Omics in Infection and Immunity, Center for Infectious Disease Research, School of Medicine, Westlake University, Hangzhou, China

6, Department of Epidemiology, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food, Nutrition and Health, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

7, Westlake Omics (Hangzhou) Biotechnology Co., Ltd., No. 1 Yunmeng Road, Cloud Town, Xihu District, Hangzhou 310024, Zhejiang Province, China

8, First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230001, China.

9, Lead Contact

# These authors contribute equally

*Correspondence: chenyum@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Y.C.); zhengjusheng@westlake.edu.cn (J.Z.); guotiannan@westlake.edu.cn (T.G.)

Highlights

- The first large-scale population-based metaproteomics landscape.

- Metaproteomics efficiently maps microbial functions, exposing individual functional variation.

- 10,714 associations between metaproteomic taxa, microbial functions, or human proteins with 39 phenotypes.

- *Megasphaera elsdenii* protects against elevated blood glucose levels in the host by degrading lactate to produce butyric acid.

Summary

The association between gut microbiota and physiological disorders underscores the crucial need to understand its largely unexplored biological functions. In this study, we analyzed 86,876 microbial proteins and 1,277 human proteins from 1,967 Chinese stool samples. Our results indicate that metaproteomic data captures the microbial biodiversity of a population more effectively than metagenomic data, displaying greater variability in microbial functions and providing reliable assessments of microbiota stability. We identified crucial functions of core microbes and discovered 10,714 associations between metaproteomic taxon, microbial function, or human proteins with 39 phenotypes, including 1,381 features related to Type 2 Diabetes (T2D). Importantly, *Megasphaera elsdenii*'s conversion of lactate to butyric acid appears to lower blood glucose levels, suggesting a protective mechanism against T2D. Our study underscores the power of population-based metaproteomics to offer unique functional insights into the gut microbiota.

Keywords

Metaproteomics; population-based cohort study; human gut microbiome; diabetes; *Megasphaera elsdenii*; butyrate

Introduction

Apart from their well-known roles in food digestion and nutrition absorption, gut microbes are also involved in multiple physiological processes and disorders including metabolic disorders and cancers[1,2]. The gut microbiota serves as intricate communities, and multi-omic technologies are extensively employed to gauge the dynamic fluctuations within these communities. Studies investigating the relationship between gut microbes and factors such as age, sex, diet, geography, heredity, and health status in specific populations have primarily relied on microbial composition analysis using 16S sequencing or metagenomics[3-7]. Metaproteomics has emerged as a powerful tool to explore the functional diversity of the microbiome, as proteins directly govern cellular functions[8,9]. It can reveal taxonomic biomass contribution variations by quantifying taxon-specific proteins[10]. Additionally, metaproteomics also provides information on human enterocyte proteins, facilitating the investigation of host-microbiome interactions[11,12]. Metaproteomics have been successfully employed in studies of inflammatory bowel disease, diabetes, obesity, and colorectal

cancer[13-20]. However, these studies have been restricted to dozens to hundreds of samples
primarily due to the relatively long MS time required by the data-dependent acquisition mass
spectrometry (DDA-MS) and sophisticated data analysis[21-27]. Therefore, metaproteomics faces
challenges in scalability for population-based metaproteome analysis. Due to the highly
heterogeneous composition of gut microbiota across individuals[28], more subjects need to be
included to obtain confident biological conclusions. Moreover, no study has systematically
investigated the unique insights provided by metaproteomics compared to metagenomics.

Data-independent acquisition (DIA) MS coupled with targeted data analysis enables
high-throughput profiling of proteomes[29-32]. In particular, DIA leverages DDA to construct a
spectral library, facilitating the precise quantification of thousands of proteins with a single
injection. Integrating ion mobility with MS provides additional information into ion size, shape,
and charge distribution, thereby enhancing ion separation and reducing chemical noise in
measurements[33,34]. As a refinement of DIA, parallel accumulation–serial fragmentation combined
with data-independent acquisition (diaPASEF) incorporates trapped ion mobility into the ion
separation, leading to a significant improvement in proteomic depth[35,36]. In this study, we
performed a diaPASEF-based metaproteomic analysis on a cohort comprising thousands of
individuals. The distribution of age, gender, lifestyle, and metabolic diseases in this cohort was
representative of the middle-aged and elderly Chinese population. Our findings from
population-scale gut metaproteomics offer unique functional insights.

Results

Metaproteomic profiling of GNHS cohort

Our study included 1,399 middle-aged and elderly participants from the Guangzhou Nutrition
and Health Study (GNHS). Fecal specimens were collected from these individuals, with 568
participants providing samples at baseline and follow-up time points, separated by a median
interval of 3.2 years, over a period ranging from 1.4 to 5.5 years. The remaining 831 participants
provided samples only once. Altogether, we collected 1,967 fecal samples, each with
comprehensive metadata recorded at the time of sampling (Fig. 1A). The metadata comprised 49
clinical and questionnaire-based phenotypes related to lifestyle, demographics, diet, biomedical
measures, anthropometrics, metabolic disorders, self-reported diseases, medicine usage, and
antibiotic usage (Fig. 1A). Details of these phenotypes are listed in Table S1.

We employed the diaPASEF-based metaproteomic analysis to study the functional profiles of
gut microbiota in a cohort of thousands of individuals. A total of 2,514 MS profiles containing
1,967 fecal samples and 547 quality controls were acquired. Our investigation encountered an
initial hurdle in the analysis of mass spectral data. This challenge is commonplace in
metaproteomic analysis, primarily due to the extensive protein sequence databases inherent to the
human gut microbiome, necessitating significant computational resources. Additionally, the
integration of ion mobility dimensions in diaPASEF technology introduces further complexity to
the data, rendering its interpretation more intricate. To surmount these obstacles, we developed a
customized analysis pipeline specifically designed for diaPASEF-based metaproteomics. This
pipeline encompasses ddaPASEF-based spectral library generation, database searches tailored to
diaPASEF MS profiles, and the annotation of taxonomic and functional information within
metaproteomic datasets. For the spectral library generation, we employed a refined three-step

iterative database search method[37] alongside protein inference rules based on the maximum
parsimony[38] (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1, Fig. S2). The process commenced with partitioning the extensive
human gut protein sequence database into ten segments, each dedicated to the target-only
proteomic search of a ddaPASEF MS profile. The identified protein sequences obtained from the
target-only search were merged to create a "pseudo-metaproteome" database for each ddaPASEF
MS profile (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1). Subsequently, a project-specific database (GNHS.fas) was
constructed by matching each profile against its corresponding "pseudo-metaproteome," utilizing
a target-decoy approach. This database, comprising proteins identified with high confidence (FDR
≤ 0.01) from all ddaPASEF analyses, served to pinpoint project-specific microbial proteins
accurately. The final phase involved searching all ddaPASEF profiles against this project-specific
database through standard target-decoy search strategies. Subsequently, an in-house protein
inference process based on the "maximum parsimony principle" was applied to eliminate the
redundant proteins (Figure S2 and methods), resulting in the construction of the definitive spectral
library.

Employing a three-step iterative search strategy enabled us to notably diminish the size of the
protein sequence database. Initially, database segmentation reduced the entry count from
10,352,085 to 1,035,209. Subsequent refinement, incorporating metaproteomic data from GNHS
population, further reduced this to just 164,695 entries, denoted as "GNHS.fas". This streamlined
process facilitated the construction the spectral library within a timeframe of 38 hours. The
subsequent protein characterization and quantification via diaPASEF MS-based analysis of all
samples required approximately 625 hours, averaging about 15 minutes per MS profile (Fig. 1B).
Finally, taxonomic and functional annotations were performed leveraging the clusters of
orthologous genes (COG) and KEGG ontology (KO) databases, augmenting our metaproteomic
data with significant biological insights.

Data overview

For all the 1,967 fecal samples, we measured 7,731 human-specific peptides belonging to
1,277 unique human proteins, 360,180 microbial-specific peptides belonging to 86,876 microbial
proteins (Fig. 1C). Of all the microbial proteins, 74,624 are unique microbial proteins and 12,252
are microbial protein groups comprising undistinguishable microbial proteins. Here, we refer to
the unique microbial proteins and the microbial protein groups as "metaproteins". For the
taxonomic characterization, we identified four superkingdoms, 34 phyla, 49 classes, 74 orders,
111 families, 235 genera, 373 species, and 146 strains. For the functional characterization, a total
of 2,537 COGs and 2,679 KO clusters were annotated (Fig. 1C). To assess the stability of human
protein detection, we subjected the fecal samples to multiple washes, ranging from three to five
times. Remarkably, a significant majority (86.3%) of these human proteins were consistently
detected after multiple washes, underscoring their robustness and stability in the human fecal
samples (Fig. S3 A-E). Further Gene Ontology (GO) analysis revealed an enrichment of these
proteins in peptidase activity, highlighting their pivotal role in food digestion processes (Fig. S3F).
Additionally, 96 human proteins have been shown to interact with gut microbes and are
potentially implicated in regulating human diseases [39] (Fig. S3G). Furthermore, to assess data
quality over extended acquisition periods, we obtained multiple pairs of technical and biological
replicate samples within and among batches. We observed high correlation and closely clustered
Bray-Curtis (BC) distance among the 547 QC replicates, which included 95 inter-batch biological

replicates, 98 inter-batch technical replicates, 169 intra-batch biological replicates, and 185
intra-batch technical replicates (Fig. S4A). The BC distance across these replicates showed no
significant batch-to-batch variances (Fig. S4B). Principal Component Analysis (PCA) further
validated our results, demonstrating a consistent distribution of samples with minimal batch
effects, thus affirming the integrity and reliability of the data (Fig. S4C).

In each sample, we identified an average of 477 ± 114 human proteins (mean ± SD),
9,761 ± 3,905 metaproteins, 103 ± 18 genera, 140 ± 29 species, 1,304 ± 268 KO, and 1,365 ± 241
COGs clusters. The median taxonomic annotation rate per sample was 86.0%, while the median
functional annotation rates per sample were 75.2% for KO and 93.9% for COG clusters (Fig. S5
A–D). A considerable metaproteome variation in richness was observed on the microbial function
and taxonomy levels (Fig. S5E), consistent with findings from a previous population-scale
metagenomic study[4]. Based on the metaproteomic data, we gained a fundamental understanding of
the taxonomy and functions of the gut microbiome across the GNHS population (Fig. 1 D–E, Fig.
S6). The dominant bacterial phyla were Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and
Actinobacteria (Fig. 1D, Fig. S6A), which aligns with the finding of a recent population-scale
metagenomic study [40]. Apart from Bacteria, we also identified six microbes from Archaea and
Eukaryote (Fungi), as well as seven Viruses (Fig. 1D). In terms of microbiome functions, the
predominant COG categories were "G-carbohydrate transport and metabolism", "J–Translation,
ribosomal structure and biogenesis", and "C–Energy production and conversion" (Fig. 1E, Fig.
S6F). On the other hand, host human proteins were primarily associated with "O–Posttranslational
modification, protein turnover, chaperones", "R–General function prediction only", and
"Z–Cytoskeleton" (Fig. 1F). This underscores distinct functional profiles between microbial and
human proteins within the human gut.

Population-scale comparison on metaproteomics and metagenomics

To emphasize the unique insights gleaned from metaproteomics, we conducted an in-depth
comparison between the metaproteomic data and the metagenomic data [41] of the GNHS cohort.
Multiple levels, including human protein, metaprotein, COG, KO cluster, and taxonomy were
employed to capture the microbial taxonomic and functional diversity within the GNHS cohort.
Analysis was conducted on non-redundant samples collected from participants who had not
received antibiotics (n = 1385) (Fig. 2A). Our findings showed that, as sample size increased,
metaproteomic data reached maximum estimated richness with 333 individuals at the genus level
and 302 individuals at the species level. For functional cluster KO, the metaproteome data
achieved 99% of the maximum estimated richness with approximately 200 samples and reached
the maximum estimated richness with 1,049 individuals (Fig. 2B, Fig. S7A). Additionally,
metaproteomic data covered over 99% of the estimated maximum richness at the human protein,
metaprotein, and COG cluster levels within 500 samples (Fig. S7A). In contrast, the metagenomic
data of the GNHS cohort could only reached 85% of the saturation level at the taxonomic genus
level, 81% at the species level, 93% at the KO functional cluster level, and 97% at the COG level
with 1,219 individuals (Fig. 2B, Fig. S7B). Previous population-based metagenomic studies have
shown that the observed richness is lower than the estimated richness even with sample sizes
exceeding 8,000 [40]. We also observed that the diversity of microbial taxa and their functions
predicted by metagenomics far exceeds those detected by metaproteomics. Therefore, we infer
that metagenomics more accurately represents the entire microbial composition and the spectrum

of its genetic functions. Conversely, metaproteomics is more adept at identifying actively living
microbes and their actively expressed functions. Despite abundant estimations in gut microbiome
composition diversity among individuals, the diversity of expressed functions appears to be
relatively conservative and less diverse. Consequently, metaproteomic studies can draw robust
conclusions with fewer samples.

Subsequently, we examined the correlation within and between metaproteomic and
metagenomic datasets, focusing on paired metaproteomic and metagenomic data from 1,041
individuals with non-redundant sample collection (Fig. 2A). Apart from the human protein level,
the BC distance data of microbial levels exhibited strong correlation with each other within each
omic data (> 60% correlation) (Fig. S7C). We also observed statistically significant correlation
between the two omic datasets. Notably, the correlation between the taxonomic datasets of the two
omics was higher than that of the functional datasets (Spearman rho: 0.34 for the genus, 0.43 for
species compared to 0.26 for COG, 0.25 for KO) (Fig. S7C), suggesting taxonomic data are more
consistently aligned across omics than functional data. This was further supported by the
distribution of abundant genera and KOs, where taxonomic correlation was typically higher. The
most abundant taxa in two omics datasets were found to be consistent (Fig. S8 A-B). Among the
top 20 genera identified in the metaproteomic data, 19 were also detected in the metagenomic data,
exhibiting a strong correlation ($r_{Spearman}$ = 0.74, p = 5e-4) in their relative abundance (Fig. 2C). A
similar pattern was observed at the species level ($r_{Spearman}$ = 0.72, p = 0.0052) (Fig. S8C). However,
at the KO and COG functional levels, the highly abundant functions are distinct between the two
omics datasets (Fig. S8 D-E). Although the highly abundant functions in the metaproteomic data
were also detected in the metagenomic data, the quantitative results showed no statistically
significant correlation between the two omics datasets (Fig. 2C, Fig. S8F). The observed
differences in functional profiles between the two omics data might arise from the inherent
measurement focuses: metagenomics quantifies gene copy numbers, whereas metaproteomics
assesses protein expression [42,43].

Population-based metagenomic studies reported conserved functional category variations
between individuals [4,40,44]. Given the quantitative functional discrepancies between
metaproteomics and metagenomics, we investigated whether metaproteomics could reflect the
functional variation within the population. Analyzing the feces of 1,041 subjects with both
metagenomic and metaproteomic data, we observed more pronounced variability in
metaproteomics KO and COG categories compared to that in metagenomics (Fig. 2D).
Additionally, our analysis revealed that variation in functional categories between baseline and
follow-up samples were higher in the metaproteome data than in metagenomic data across 377
individuals (Fig. 2D). Thus, we inferred that metaproteomics may offer a more sensitive approach
to detecting functional differences. Finally, we examined the long-term stability of the gut
microbiome within individuals. Both metaproteomics and metagenomics data showed that
individuals displayed stable gut microbiome compositions and functions for over 1.4–5.5 years
(Fig. S9 A–B), consistent with a previous metagenomic study [45]. It has been reported that the
stability of the microbiome over time inversely correlates with its biodiversity at the initial
assessment [45]. In our data, the metagenomic analysis showed a slight negative correlation ($r_{Spearman}$
= -0.1) between individual microbiome variation and the baseline taxonomic species richness. No
significant correlation could be obtained at metagenomic taxonomic genus, functional KO, or

COG levels (Fig. 2E, Fig. S9C). In contrast, metaproteomic analysis revealed significantly
negative correlations across all microbial taxonomic and functional levels. Notably, the correlation
was stronger at the microbial function level than the microbial taxonomy level ($r_{Spearman}$ = -0.23 for
genus vs. $r_{Spearman}$ = -0.48 for KO function), suggesting that functional diversity, as captured by
metaproteomics, provides a more reliable measure of microbiota stability over time.

In summary, our study highlights the superior capabilities of metaproteomics in revealing the
functional dynamics of the microbiota. Through the lens of metaproteomics, we were able to
capture a comprehensive view of microbial functional biodiversity within a population of fewer
than 500 individuals, identify significant functional variations, and gain deeper insights into the
temporal dynamics of microbiome functions.

Core microbial functions and the core species expressing these functions

Core features are believed to play crucial roles in maintaining the health of the gut ecosystem.
To identify core features within the GNHS cohort, we conducted a screening for attributes shared
across individuals. Features present in more than 80% of both inter-individual (non-redundant
samples collected from 1,041 individuals) and intra-individual samples (samples collected at the
baseline and follow-up time points from 377 individuals) were categorized as "core". In the
metaproteomic data, 262 human proteins, 353 metaproteins, 9 phylum, 56 genera, 62 species, 797
KOs, and 947 COGs emerged as core features (Fig. S10A, C–E; Table S2). The metagenomic data
revealed 4 phyla, 19 genera, 23 species, 3,227 KOs, and 2,773 COGs as core features (Fig. S10B,
F–H; Table S3).

Our primary focus is on the core microbial functionalities present within the population and
how these functions are distributed among the core microbes. To pinpoint core microbial
functionalities, we characterized pathways significantly enriched in core KOs as "core pathways",
using a criterion of a Benjamini & Hochberg (BH) adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05 and a fold enrichment
value ≥ 2. Additionally, we mapped the uniquely expressed metaproteins of each core species and
identified the pathways that were significantly expressed by them (with a BH adjusted p-value ≤
0.05 and fold enrichment ≥ 2). This dual analysis allows us to discern which microbial pathways
are likely to be expressed and the core microbes responsible for their expression. Our findings
revealed 42 core pathways enriched in metaproteomics and 64 in metagenomics, with 39 pathways
common to both datasets (Table S4). Notably, core pathways in metaproteomics showed higher
fold enrichment values than those in metagenomics (Fig. S11), indicating a greater likelihood of
these pathways being actively expressed. We classified pathways with greater fold enrichment in
metaproteomics compared to metagenomics as "highly expressed pathways" and pathways with
lower fold enrichment in metaproteomics as "moderately expressed pathways". We found that
highly expressed pathways mainly involve "housekeeping" functions essential for microbial
survival, such as translation, energy metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, and amino acid
metabolism. These functional pathways, significantly enriched within the core microbes and
detected in at least 15 core species (Fig. S11, Table S5), exemplify functionalities commonly
expressed across the microbial community. This aligns with findings from population-based
metatranscriptomic studies, suggesting these housekeeping functions are readily transcribed [46].
Furthermore, the enriched pathways occurred in a limited number of core species, involving
functions like glycan degradation, streptomycin biosynthesis, drug metabolism related to

xenobiotic degradation, as well as taurine and hypotaurine metabolism. Despite being expressed
by only a few core microbes, these pathways still exhibited relatively high expression in the
population (Fig. S11, Table S5). In contrast, the moderately enriched core pathways within the
population are mainly associated with environmental stress response, such as cellular community,
cell motility, replication and repair, and membrane transport. These functions, enriched in fewer
than three core microbes, exhibit low expression levels across the population (Fig. S11, Table S5).
This distinction between highly and moderately expressed pathways provides insight into the
functional stability and adaptability of the core microbes within diverse environments.

The metabolism-related pathway constituted the largest proportion of highly expressed group
in gut microbe community, underscoring the microbial community's active metabolic function.
Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and vitamin Bs act as an energy source for intestinal cells and
regulate the activity of the host's immune [47-49]. According to our data, a total of 33 microbes were
found to express functions related to the metabolism of SCFAs or vitamin B, with 23 of these
microbes previously reported to have the capability of secreting these beneficial metabolites (Fig.
3A, Table S6). We also found core microbes that contribute to the production of SCFA or vitamin
B which have not been reported. Notably, *Frisingicoccus caecimuris* demonstrated a significant
capability for propanoic acid production, evidenced by a high fold enrichment value of 42 in the
propanoate metabolism pathway. *Clostridium fessum* showed notable activity in butanoate
metabolism with a fold enrichment of 18, while *Blautia hansenii* was involved in vitamin B9
metabolism, presenting a fold enrichment value of 22 (Fig. 3A and Table S6). To verify our
findings, we measured the butyric acid content in feces from 494 individuals. Our analysis
revealed a positive correlation between the total relative abundance of 19 specific bacteria and the
level of butyrate in the fecal samples, suggesting the potential contributions of the microbiome
community to butyrate production (Fig. 3 B-C, $r_{Spearman} = 0.25$, $p = 2.7e^{-8}$).

In conclusion, we have delineated the highly expressed biological pathways and identified
the core species responsible for these expressions. We also identified potential core microbes
capable of producing SCFAs or Vitamin Bs, emphasize the crucial role of metaproteomic analysis
in accurately identifying microbial contributors to key metabolic processes.

Associations between phenotypes and metaproteomic data

To explore the relationship between phenotypic variation and the diversity of gut microbiome
among individuals, we performed permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA)
to assess the association between 49 phenotypes (excluding antibiotic use) and BC dissimilarities
at various levels, including human protein, microbial metaprotein, KO, COG, genus, and species
levels. Five metadata parameters, including type 2 diabetes medicine (T2D medicine), blood
triglyceride (TG) level, Bristol scale, T2D, and tea consumption, were found to exhibit significant
association with BC distance variation at all levels (Fig. S12, adjusted p-value ≤ 0.1, Table S7).
Among these metadata, the most significant contributor was T2D medicine, followed by TG level,
Bristol stool scale, T2D, and tea consumption. These results align with findings from
population-based metagenomic studies conducted in various countries and regions, reinforcing the
impact of these phenotypes on the gut microbiome's composition and function [4,40,50-52]. We next
explored the specific associations between phenotypes and the metaproteomic data using general
linear model (GLM) method. A total of 10,714 associations were identified between

metaproteomic data and 39 phenotypes (Table S8). Still, T2D medicine, Bristol scale, T2D, TG,
and tea consumption emerged as the primary phenotypes correlated with metaproteomic data
across various levels, including the levels of protein (metaprotein and human protein), microbiota
function (COG and KO), and taxonomy (genus and species) (BH adjusted $p \le 0.05$, Fig. 4A).

Some of the associations at the taxon level have been previously reported. For instance, the
genus *Anaerotruncus* was positively correlated with constipation. *Megasphaera* showed a positive
correlation with T2D [53]. *Evtepia* and *Romboustsia* [54] exhibited a negative correlation with T2D.
Tea drinkers displayed increased *Gemmiger* [55] and decreased *Tyzzerella* levels [56]. In addition, at
the function levels, we uncovered protein functions of microbes and the host that are significantly
associated with these metadata for the first time. At the microbial KO level, enzymes related to
carbohydrate metabolism and energy metabolism were significantly increased in diarrhea and
significantly decreased in constipation. Amino acid metabolism functions were upregulated in
constipated individuals. Diabetic patients showed decreased expression of carbohydrate
metabolism and energy metabolism proteins, while the levels of rubrerythrin, electron transfer
flavoprotein-ubiquinone oxidoreductase, and lactate dehydrogenase were elevated. At the human
protein level, mucin proteins MUC6 and MUC17, proteasome PSA1 and PSB1, as well as
succinate dehydrogenase enzymes SDHA and SDHB, were increased in diarrhea individuals. In
individuals with constipation, the abundance of mucin proteins as well as carbohydrate
degradation related trehalase TREA and alpha-amylase-associated protein SLC3 were decreased.
(Fig. 4D).

Aging is the root cause of numerous diseases and functional disorders. The connection
between aging and the gut microbiome has become increasingly emphasized [57,58]. Beyond the five
phenotypes previously discussed, our data also revealed age-related gut microbes and their
functions. At the microbial functional level, there were 58 microbial KOs associated with aging
(Table S7). Among these, glycine dehydrogenase, beta-glucuronidase, and proline racemase
exhibited the strongest negative correlation with aging, indicating a potential decline in the overall
metabolic activity of the gut microbiome with increasing age (Fig. S13A). Conversely, outer
membrane proteins of Gram-negative bacteria, such as ompF, ompC, and lamB, showed the
strongest positive correlation with aging. Outer membrane proteins play a crucial role in
pathogens, aiding bacteria in evading host defense mechanisms, thereby increasing their virulence
[59]. Therefore, the increase in outer membrane proteins might suggest that with age, the activity or
potential pathogenicity of certain pathogenic strains in the gut microbiome could increase,
potentially impacting the host's health. Taxonomically, four genera were associated with aging,
including negative associated genus *Phascolarctobacterium* and positive associated genera
Klebsiella, *Dialister*, and *Veillonella* (Fig. S13B). By connecting these microbial genera with their
unique functional expressions, we observed specific changes in the functionality of each microbe.
Functions related to energy metabolism, lipid metabolism, and nucleotide metabolism of *Dialister*
showed positive correlation with aging. Similarly, energy metabolism functions of *Veillonella*
were also positively associated with aging. Additionally, the outer membrane porins primarily
expressed by *Klebsiella* were positively correlated with aging, indicating an increased
pathogenicity as age advanced (Fig. S13C).

The correlation between metaproteomic data and phenotypes deepen our understanding of the
changes in the gut microbiome and their functions under different phenotypic conditions, thus

offering new perspectives in disease prevention and health management.

T2D related microbes and functions identified through metaproteomics

T2D has been proven to be related to gut microbiota composition, but the specific functions
of microbiota associated with T2D remain incompletely understood. In this study, we analyzed
non-redundant samples from 1153 non-T2D and 223 T2D individuals within the GNHS cohort to
investigate T2D-associated metaproteomic features (Fig. 5A). A total of 1,381 features including
785 metaproteins, 23 human proteins, 268 KOs, 279 COGs, 14 genera, and 12 species were
identified significantly associated with T2D (BH adjusted p ≤ 0.05, Table S8). Among these, 335
metaproteins were uniquely expressed by 36 microbial species (Table S9). Consequently, a total
of 40 species were identified to be correlated with T2D when considering the combined results of
species related to T2D and those corresponding to T2D-related metaproteins. These findings are
consistent with previous reports, where 25 species were identified as related to T2D using 16S or
metagenomic sequencing (Table S9). Our data further elucidate the significantly expressed
metaproteins of these bacteria, with over half of the species-uniquely-expressed metaproteins (176
out of 335) involved in carbohydrate, amino acid, lipid, and nucleotide metabolism, as well as
energy production. This highlights the critical regulatory roles of these functions in T2D [51,60-62]
(Fig. S14). Specifically, the 40 species mainly form 4 distinct microbial community clusters based
on their interrelationships (Fig. S14, Fig. S15A). Cluster 1 included 6 species from the
Bifidobacterium genus, with their abundance or protein expressions positively correlated with
T2D. Specifically, these microbial proteins were mainly associated with amino acid transport and
carbohydrate metabolism. Cluster 2 including 14 species, with 11 of them belonging to
Eubacteriales. These bacteria mainly expressed carbohydrate metabolism related functions that
were negatively correlated with T2D. The Cluster 3 was composed of *D. hominis* and *A. histamini*,
both belonging to the family Veillonellaceae. They were positively correlated with T2D. Their
proteins mainly participated in energy metabolism and amino acid metabolism. The last cluster
consisted of two species belonging to the *Megasphaera* genus, positively correlated with T2D.
The microbial proteins expressed in this cluster were mainly related to energy metabolism and
lipid metabolism (Fig. S15A). To validate the T2D-related features identified in the GNHS cohort,
we included an independent cohort, referred to as the FH cohort, consisting of 82 non-T2D
individuals and 21 T2D individuals. Through this validation process, we confirmed the association
of 45 metaproteins, 1 human protein, 27 KOs, 18 COGs, and 3 species associated with T2D
(consistent orientation of associations and BH adjusted $p \leq 0.05$, Table S10). Notably,
Megasphaera elsdenii and 20 of its expressed metaproteins, predominantly involved in energy
production and lipid metabolism, were confirmed to be associated with T2D in the FH cohort as
well. These consistent associations suggested a potential significant role for *M. elsdenii* in the
development of the disease (Fig. S15B).

Next, we explored the performance of metaproteomic data in classifying T2D patients from
those without disease. To achieve this, we utilized the T2D-associated features identified through
GLM analysis in the GNHS cohort as potential features (Fig. 5A). These features were further
refined using the eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) algorithm with wrapped recursive feature
elimination. Using this approach, we identified 16 metaproteins, 21 human proteins, 11 KOs, 11
COGs, 10 species, and 14 genera as the most robust features for machine-learning models at each
level (Table S11, Fig. 5A, and Methods). The performance of these features was evaluated by an

internal validation and the independent FH test cohort at each level. Notably, the microbial
functional COG and KO levels exhibited strong performance in both the internal and test cohorts
(Fig. 5B). The best receiver operating characteristic (ROC) area under the curves (AUCs) reached
0.738 and 0.723 at COG and KO levels in FH cohort, respectively (Fig. 5B). We explored the
feasibility of using metagenomic data for T2D classification using the same approach (Fig. S16B).
At the species level, the best AUC of the machine learning model achieved 0.62 in the
independent validation set, comparable with that of metaproteomics. However, when evaluating
microbial functional KO levels, metagenomics only achieved an AUC of 0.5 much lower than that
of metaproteomics (Fig. S16C). These findings highlight the advantage of quantitative
characterization of microbial expressed functions by metaproteomics in T2D classification. (Table
S12, S13)

Combining the outcomes of GLM analysis and machine learning, we carried out an extensive
screening to identify the most conclusive features associated with T2D. These features exhibited
significant correlation with T2D in both the GNHS and FH cohorts. We identified five pivotal
features, consisting of two microbial functions—electron transfer flavoprotein-quinone
oxidoreductase fixC (K00313) and glycogen phosphorylase (K00688), two bacterial
genera—Clostridium fessum and M. elsdenii, and one human protein—phospholipase B1 (PLB1)
(Fig. 5C). M. elsdenii, K00313, and K00688 were elevated in T2D patients, and their relationships
with diabetes have been reported [63-65]. While PLB1 and Clostridium fessum were first found to be
decreased in T2D patients. A co-occurrence network was developed to illustrate the regulatory
roles of machine learning and FH cohort validated T2D-related features (Fig. 5D). Notably, M.
elsdenii emerged as a hub microbe with the highest connectivity in the network. The network
specifically highlights up-regulated proteins in the M. elsdenii, primarily concentrated in butyrate
production through lactate utilization and redox pathways. This emphasizes the critical role of M.
elsdenii and its functions in T2D. (Fig. 5D).

***M. elsdenii* safeguards against diabetes by producing butyric acid**

Next, we directed our analysis towards M. elsdenii to explore its potential role in the
development of T2D. Supported by prior research [66,67], our findings showed that M. elsdenii
utilizes lactate as the energy source to produce butyric acid. Given that butyric acid has been
recognized to alleviate diabetes symptoms [68,69], the increased abundance of M. elsdenii in T2D
patients might initially appear paradoxical. However, our investigation also uncovered significant
positive correlations between M. elsdenii and its proteins with antidiabetic drug (Fig. S18; Table
S9), a notable observation considering about 57% of diabetic patients were under medication
treatment. This led us to speculate that the observed positive correlation between T2D and M.
elsdenii could primarily stem from the medications commonly prescribed to these patients.
Analyzing data from three distinct groups—non-diabetic individuals, untreated diabetics, and
diabetics on medication, we noted an increasing trend in M. elsdenii levels among patients
undergoing antidiabetic treatment (Fig. 6A). Corroborating our hypothesis, previous studies have
documented elevated levels of M. elsdenii in patients receiving antidiabetic treatment, including
metformin and acarbose [70,71]. To confirm the direct effect of antidiabetic medication on microbial
growth, we conducted *in vitro* experiments by introducing common anti-diabetic drugs, namely
metformin, acarbose, and glimepiride, to a pure culture of M. elsdenii. The outcomes, measured
through both the OD600 values and bacterial cell counts, verified that acarbose and metformin

promoted the growth of *M. elsdenii*, with the exception of glimepiride (Fig. 6B).

The increase of *M. elsdenii* through drug treatment might suggest its glucose-lowering effect
on T2D. To explore this possibility, we conducted an experiment with gnotobiotic mice on a
high-fat diet (HFD), treating them with *M. elsdenii* and a control bacterium, *Lactobacillus reuteri*,
known for its glucose-lowering effects in mice [72,73]. The mice were subjected to an HFD for 42
days, with the first 14 days including bacterial colonization. We monitored their fasting blood
glucose (FBG) levels throughout the study (Fig. 6C). Compared to the control group on a chow
diet (CD), mice on the HFD exhibited significantly higher FBG levels. However, the group treated
with *L. reuteri* showed a consistent reduction in FBG levels compared to those on the HFD after
26 days (Fig. 6D). Similarly, mice fed with *M. elsdenii* showed a notable and consistent decrease
in FBG levels stating from day 16, as compared to HFD-fed mice (Fig. 6D), demonstrating its
glucose-lowering impact on T2D. Our metaproteomic data revealed an increase in proteins related
to butyric acid production in *M. elsdenii*. To investigate the mechanism underlying blood glucose
levels induced by *M. elsdenii*, we examined the intestinal contents of mice after 42 days to assess
the level of butyric acid. We found that HFD mice fed with *M. elsdenii* exhibited significantly
higher butyrate level than the other groups (Fig. 6E), suggesting a possible mechanism that *M.*
elsdenii contributes to glucose regulation in T2D.

Therefore, drawing from the metaproteomic data, *in vitro* culture, and mouse experiments,
we found that *M. elsdenii* responds to the stimulation induced by common antidiabetic drugs like
acarbose and metformin. This stimulation enhanced the production of butyric acid, which
subsequently reduces high blood glucose levels and alleviates diabetes conditions (Fig. 6F).

Discussion

In this project, we have developed a metaproteomics analysis pipeline tailored for large-scale
population samples. This pipeline demonstrated high-throughput capability and reproducibility.
We applied this method in a regional cohort from China, which included over a thousand
individuals. Notably, this represents the inaugural application of metaproteomics on such a sizable
cohort. The critical bottleneck in metaproteomics analysis lies in aligning microbial proteins with
mass spectrometry spectra. Given the limited understanding of the full spectrum of gut
microorganisms, there is a lack of a comprehensive database to underpin this process. To
overcome this obstacle, numerous studies have utilized paired metagenomes to construct protein
databases. This approach facilitates the analysis of metaproteomics data and aids in identifying
microbial proteins most pertinent to the metagenomic context. For example, open-access resources
like the Integrated Gene Catalog (IGC) [74], derived from integrated metagenomic sequencing data,
and the Unified Human Gastrointestinal Protein Database (UHGP) [75], built on sequenced
microbial genomes, are increasingly being integrated into metaproteomic analyses. However,
relying on metagenomic sequencing to generate a reference database adds extra burden to
metaproteomic analysis. Here, we utilized an open-source database instead of paired metagenomes
to align the microbial protein sequences with mass spectrometry data. Additionally, the IGC
database, derived from metagenomic sequences of 1,070 individuals, notably includes a
significant representation from China. This makes it particularly suitable for exploring Chinese
cohorts. As a result, we have chosen the IGC database as the foundation of our reference database.

Through metaproteomic analysis, we identified 1,277 unique human proteins and 86,876

microbial proteins from 1,967 fecal samples of 1,399 individuals. One of the key advantages of
metaproteomics over metagenomics is its capability to detect host proteins. However, accurately
determining the origin of these host proteins, whether they arise from shed epithelial cells,
host-secreted proteins, or genuine interactions with microbes, is crucial as it directly impacts the
scientific significance of our protein detection findings. To address this, we initially employed a
differential centrifugation method to remove shed epithelial cells and food residues from the fecal
samples before enriching the microbial fraction for metaproteomic analysis. This step effectively
eliminated the majority of host proteins derived from shed epithelial cells. Furthermore, we
performed multiple rounds of differential centrifugation washing to ensure the comprehensive
removal of impurities while verifying the presence of proteins. Across the 3 to 5 washing stages,
we consistently detected these host proteins, indicating their stable association with microbial
precipitates. Functional annotation analysis of the identified host proteins revealed their
predominant involvement in food digestion enzymes, with some confirmed to interact with
microbial proteins [39]. Consequently, we believe that the identification of these human proteins
provides valuable insights for future investigation into the complex interplay between the host and
gut microbiota.

Metagenomics and metaproteomics are vital techniques for exploring microbial species and
their proportions through gene or protein analysis. While comparisons between these methods
have shown some consistency in classifying high-abundance microbial populations [20,75], there is a
lack of research on the advantages of metaproteomics over metagenomics in population studies
and disease applications, primarily due to limited sample sizes. In our study, leveraging data from
thousands of samples, we found that metaproteomic data tends to be more conservative compared
to metagenomic data in discerning population diversity. Metaproteomics can capture the diversity
of microbial classification within a population with around 350 samples and detect all microbial
functional clusters expressed by the microbes with approximately 1000 samples. Our findings,
based on a population of middle-aged and elderly individuals in China, suggest that
metaproteomics offers advantages in encompassing the diversity of microbial populations in
human populations, particularly in capturing active microbial functions in the gut. Considerations
must be given to the limitations of metaproteomics, including the sensitivity of mass spectrometry,
which can impact protein detection depth, and the prevalence of highly abundant microbial
proteins in the results. While the conclusions regarding high-abundance microbial compositions in
metagenomics and metaproteomics are generally congruent, the functional expression of microbial
populations exhibits little correlation between the two omics approaches. Across populations or in
samples collected repeatedly from the same individual over years, microbial protein expression in
metaproteomics displays greater variability compared to gene content detected in metagenomics.
Large-scale metagenomic studies have shown that while there is substantial variability in
microbial composition among populations, the abundance of gene functions remains relatively
consistent [40,44]. The increased functional variability in metaproteomics relative to metagenomics
may stem from differences between gene copy number and protein expression. Our study also
highlights the benefits of metaproteomics for longitudinal studies of microbial populations within
individuals over several years. The correlation between the biodiversity and the stability of
microbial composition and function within an individual over time is stronger in metaproteomic
data compared to metagenomics, making it a valuable tool for investigating the dynamics of
microbial communities in human cohorts. Overall, while metagenomics and metaproteomics

provide complementary information, metaproteomics offers unique insights into microbial diversity, functional expression, and longitudinal stability within human populations. The combination of these omics' approaches can enhance our understanding of the complex interactions between host and microbiota in health and disease contexts.

In the analysis of core microbial features in the GNHS cohort using metaproteomic and metagenomic data, we observed differences of the core microbial taxa at the genus level and core microbial functional clusters at the KO level between two omics data. Metaproteomics revealed a greater number of core microbial taxa at the genus level (56 in metaproteomics vs 19 in metagenomics) compared to metagenomics, while metagenomics identified fewer core microbial functional clusters at the KO level (797 in metaproteomics vs 3,227 in metagenomics). The core genera identified in the metaproteome mainly encompassed those found in the metagenome, and similarly, the core functions identified in the metagenome largely encompassed those found in the metaproteome. The discrepancy of the core genus between two omics may be attributed to differences in the annotation databases for microbial classification. Utilizing the open-source IGC protein sequence database for metaproteomics could lead to variations in taxonomic annotation compared to paired metagenomic data. However, despite these differences, abundant core genera such as *Prevotella*, *Faecalibacterium*, and *Bacteroides* remained consistent between the two omics data. These genera have been previously identified as core genus in worldwide population studies based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing and metagenomics [5,76], further emphasizing their significance in the gut ecosystem. When comparing microbial functions, the disparities between the core microbial functions identified by the two omics approaches may arise from whether genes are actively expressed as proteins. Beyond transcription and ribosomal proteins, the core-expressed microbial functional groups predominantly encompass metabolic processes, including sugar metabolism, amino acid metabolism, lipid metabolism, and energy production. These findings underscore the significance of intestinal microbes in modulating host metabolic pathways for overall environmental health. Enriching these core microbial functions into pathways revealed that widely expressed microbial functions in the population are mainly related to microbial survival, with significant functional redundancy among microbes. These findings are consistent with the results from a metatranscriptomics study [46]. However, within the core functional pathways, certain functions such as glycan degradation, streptomycin biosynthesis, xenobiotic degradation, as well as taurine and hypotaurine metabolism, are expressed by only a limited number of core microbes. This suggests that these microbes and their functions may play a central and potentially irreplaceable role in the microbial community, indicating their importance in maintaining microbial community stability.

We delved deeper into the distinct microbial proteins expressed by each microbe, delineating the independent high-expression functions of each organism. This involved functional annotation and pathway enrichment analysis of these metabolic proteins, with a particular focus on the vital roles of SCFAs and vitamin Bs in microbial contributions to host health. We pinpointed 33 core species potentially involved in the metabolism of SCFAs and vitamin Bs. Remarkably, 23 of these species have been previously documented to harbor these metabolic capabilities, underscoring the utility of metaproteomic data in elucidating microbial contributions to industrial production. However, it's imperative to acknowledge the constraints and limitations inherent in such analyses. Given the phenomenon of cross-feeding in the gut microbiota, where various microbes collaborate

to fulfill common metabolic pathways [77], pinpointing specific microbes solely based on pathway
enrichment merely indicates their potential involvement in SCFA and vitamin B metabolism.
Further research is imperative to determine whether these microbes can autonomously undertake
SCFA or vitamin B metabolism, or if they rely on assistance from other microbes.

We also investigated the associations between metaproteomic data and 49 phenotypes. We
found that T2D medicine, TG level, Bristol scale, T2D, and tea consumption exhibit the strongest
associations with microbial features. This finding is consistent with the observations from other
population-based metagenomic studies [50,78,79]. We identified the microbes and their functions most
closely associated with the five phenotypes and aging. Noteworthy correlations include
Anaerotruncus with constipation, *Megasphaera* with T2D, *Klebsiella* with aging, and specific
changes in microbial functions associated with these phenotypes.

Finally, our study centered on examining the microbiota and their functions associated with
T2D. While previous metagenomic research has identified various microbiota linked to T2D [80-83],
this study aimed to uncover the specific functional contributions of these microbiota to T2D. Our
findings revealed that *Bifidobacterium* may influence T2D through amino acid transport and
carbohydrate metabolism, while *Megasphaera* could contribute to T2D via energy and lipid
metabolism. By employing a combination of GLM and XGBoost machine learning algorithms, we
pinpointed five stable microbiota features consistently associated with T2D in both the GNHS and
independent FH cohorts. Remarkably, we noted a noteworthy correlation between *M. elsdenii* and
T2D, along with its association with medication treatment, consistent with prior research [70,84,85].
However, the exact mechanisms underlying how *M. elsdenii* influences the development of T2D
remain unclear and necessitate further investigation. Based on our data, we hypothesize that *M.*
elsdenii may contribute to T2D development through the production of butyric acid. To validate
this hypothesis, we conducted experiments on germ-free mice fed a high-fat diet. Our results
confirmed that administering *M. elsdenii* prevented abnormal elevation of blood glucose levels in
these mice. Furthermore, we observed an increase in butyric acid content in the intestines of mice
treated with *M. elsdenii*. In conclusion, our results suggest that medication may elevate the levels
of *M. elsdenii*, which in turn helps mitigate intestinal inflammation by producing short-chain fatty
acids, thereby potentially addressing elevated blood glucose levels in individuals with T2D.

Overall, metaproteomics serves as a valuable tool for uncovering the roles of microorganisms
in human health and their patterns of functional expression. Our data serve as a significant
resource for investigating microbial functions, thereby enhancing our understanding of how
microbes influence human health.

Conclusion

We generated high-quality metaproteomic data from 1,967 fecal samples collected from a
Chinese population. Our analysis delved into inter-individual variations of human proteins,
microbial taxa, and gut microbiota functions. The findings underscore the effectiveness of
population-based metaproteomics in representing actively functional biodiversity, elucidating
significant variations in functional clusters, and offering a more reliable indicator of the temporal
functional stability of the microbiome. We summarized highly expressed functions of each core
microbe and pinpointed core microbes capable of expressing elevated levels of SCFA and vitamin
B production. In addition, we investigated the correlation between 49 phenotypes and

metaproteomic data. We outlined the microbial functional characteristics linked to T2D and highlighted how *M. elsdenii* alleviates T2D by generating butyric acid through lactate utilization. Our study offers valuable resources for investigating the functions of the gut microbiota.

Acknowledgments

This study and the coauthors of this study are jointly supported by grants from the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2021YFA1301600 and 2020YFE0202200), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82073529, 81903316), and the Westlake Educational Foundation. We thank Westlake University Supercomputer Center for assistance in data analysis and storage, Dr Shu Zhu and his team for their advices.

Author contributions

T. G., J.Z., and Y.C. designed and supervised the project. B.L. Z.M., E.C., M.Y., M.S., W.G., and K.Z. collected the samples and metadata. Shuang L., Y.S., E.C., M.Y., Y.X., Sainan L., Y.W., H.G., K.Z., J.Y., X.C., and X.W. generated the data. Shuang L., Y.S., Z.M., Z.X., P.L., M.S., W.G., and W.J. analyzed the data. Shuang L., Y.S., and T.G. drafted the manuscript with inputs from all co-authors. Shuang L., Y.S., Z.M., and B.L. contribute equally to this work.

Competing interests

T.G. is a shareholder of Westlake Omics Inc. P.L., and J.Y. are currently employees of Westlake Omics Inc. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Materials and Methods

The GNHS cohort. Our study population included 1,967 stool samples from 1,399 participants from the GNHS cohort [86] of middle-aged and elderly people (40–75 years old) from the Guangzhou city urban area. Stool samples collected on-site at the Sun Yat-sen University were manually stirred, stored on ice, and transferred to -80 °C within 4 hours. Additionally, 25 physiologically measured or questionnaire-based phenotypic factors[87] were collected along with samples. Specifically, metadata variables excepted antibiotic usage were classified into five phenotypic categories, containing demographics, lifestyle, anthropometrics, biochemical measures, and metabolic disorders. Also, the metadata types can be continuous (*e.g.*, age, body mass index, and blood lipid levels), binary (*e.g.*, sex, disease status), or categorical (*e.g.*, Bristol scale scores). More details, including the definition of metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and hypertension, as well as the statistics of each phenotype, are provided in Table S1.

The FH cohort. Additional 104 individuals (52–83 years old) were recruited in Guangdong Province, China[54]. From these participants, we collected stool samples and their corresponding metadata as the GNHS cohort does. Detailed metadata information is provided in Table S1.

Batch design. To minimize the batch effects, the 1,967 samples were randomly assigned to 96 batches by individuals' age, gender, and sampling stage (baseline or follow-up). We designed intra-batch and inter-batch biological and technical replicates to monitor reproducibility during sample preparation and mass spectrometry (MS) acquisition. In each batch, two fecal samples were weighed twice and processed as intra-batch biological replicates. The inter-batch biological replicate samples mixed from randomly selected fecal samples were also designed in each batch before sample preparation. Also, after sample processing, peptides of two randomly selected fecal samples were injected twice for MS analysis in one batch as intra-batch technical replicates. The inter-batch technical replicates mixed from randomly selected peptides were also included in each batch. A batch contained 21 samples, two intra-batch biological replicates, two intra-batch technical replicates, one inter-batch biological replicate, and one inter-batch technical replicate. Altogether, we finally allocated 1,967 samples, 98 inter-batch technical replicates, 95 inter-batch biological replicates, 169 intra-batch biological replicates, and 185 intra-batch technical replicates to 96 batches (2,514 MS runs in total).

Sample processing. For each sample, about 200 mg stools were gently resuspended in 500 μL cold phosphate buffer (PBS) and then centrifuged at 500 × *g* and 4°C for 5 min to collect the supernatant. This process was repeated twice to fully collect gut microbes and discard epithelial cells and food residues. Supernatants were combined (about 1.5 mL) and centrifuged at 500 × *g* and 4 °C for 10 min to discard more debris. Finally, supernatants (700 μL) were centrifuged at 18,000 × *g* and 4°C for 20 min to collect microbe pellets. Proteins were then extracted using 250 μL lysis buffer (4% w/v SDS and cOmplete Tablets (Roche) in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8.0) from the pellets. Microbe pellets added with lysis buffer were boiled at 95 °C for 10 min. After cooling at room temperature, samples were ultrasonicated at 40 Khz (SCIENTZ) for 1 h on ice. Following extraction, the lysis buffer containing sample proteins was centrifuged at 18,000 × *g* for 5 min for debris discarding. The resulting 200 μL supernatants were transferred into a new tube and added with a 5-fold volume of pre-cooled acetone. After vigorous shaking, proteins were precipitated overnight at -20 °C. Proteins were then pelleted by centrifuging at 1,000 × *g* for 3 min

and washed with acetone three times at room temperature. The purified protein pellets were then

completely re-dissolved in a dissolving buffer (8 mM urea and 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate).

BCA protein assay kits were used to detect protein concentrations[55]. To prepare for the protein

digestion, protein (50 μg) from each sample was reduced using 10 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl)

phosphine (TCEP, Adamas-beta) and then alkylated with 40 mM iodoacetamide (IAA,

Sigma-Aldrich). The first round of digestion was performed by adding 0.5 μg trypsin (Hualishi

Tech) to the protein mixture, which was incubated for 4 h at 32 °C. Then, another 0.5 μg trypsin

was added to the protein mixture, which was then incubated for 16 h at 32 °C. The tryptic peptides

were desalted using solid-phase extraction plates (ThermoFisher Scientific, SOLAμ™) and then

freeze-dried for storage. Dried peptides were resuspended in 2% acetonitrile, with 98% water and

0.1% formic acid (FA), before MS acquisition.

Verification experiment of human proteins. Nine inter-batch biological replicate samples were

used for the verification experiment. Firstly, the samples were divided into three groups (group 1,

group 2, and group 3), each containing three samples as technical replicates. All samples were

gently resuspended in 500 μL cold PBS and then centrifuged at $500 \times g$ and 4°C for 5 min three

times (group 1), four times (group 2), or five times (group 3) to collect the supernatant. The

combined supernatants (about 1.5 mL, 2.0 mL, and 2.5 mL for group 1, group 2, and group 3)

were centrifuged at $500 \times g$ and 4 °C for 10 min to discard more debris (Fig. S3A). Next, 700 μL

supernatants were centrifuged at $18,000 \times g$ and 4 °C for 20 min to collect microbe pellets (Fig.

S3A). The supernatant after centrifuging was collected into new tubes to detect the proteins that

remained in the supernatant. Then, stool residues after microbe enrichment in group 1, group 2,

and group 3 were further washed with 500 μL cold PBS and centrifuged at $500 \times g$ and 4 °C for 5

min to collect the supernatant after microbe enrichment. The following procedures of sample

preparation of microbe pellets were followed as what has been described above. The supernatants

were directly added with a 5-fold volume of pre-cooled acetone to precipitate proteins. Then the

proteins were digested and desalted as described above.

High-pH reversed-phase fractionation. To generate a comprehensive spectral library of stool gut

microbial proteins, randomly mixed peptides from 672 samples in GNHS cohort together with

peptides from 11 healthy Chinese adults' stool (five males and six females, their ages ranging

from 22 to 33 years) were mixed into a library building pool (Fig. S1A). The pooled sample was

then fractionated using high-pH reversed-phase liquid chromatography (LC). About 300 μg of

purified peptides from the pool were separated using a nanoflow DIONEX Ultimate 3000 RSLC

nano System (ThermoFisher Scientific) with an XBridge Peptide BEH C18 column (300 Å, 5

μm× 4.6 mm × 250 mm) (Waters) at 45 °C. The mobile phase of buffer A was water with 0.6%

ammonia and pH = 10, while buffer B contained 98% acetonitrile and 0.6% ammonia, again with

pH = 10. A 120 min gradient from 5% to 35% buffer B with a flow rate of 1 mL/min was applied.

As a result, 120 fractions were collected at 1 min intervals and then combined into 30 fractions.

Next, the fractions were freeze-dried and re-constituted in 2% acetonitrile, with 98% water and

0.1% FA.

ddaPASEF mass spectrometry acquisition for library generation. The fractionated peptides were

spiked with iRT (Biognosys)[88] and loaded on a precolumn (5 μm, 100 Å, 5 mm × 300 μm I.D.)

and then separated by a nanoElute UHPLC System (Bruker Daltonics) equipped with a 150 mm ×

75 μm I.D. fused silica column custom-packed with 1.9 μm 120 Å C18 aqua (homemade) using a

95 min gradient at 300 nL/min. Buffer A contained 0.1% FA in water, while buffer B contained
0.1% FA in acetonitrile. The gradient flow was first set to 2% buffer B, linearly increased to 22%
buffer B during the next 80 minutes, then linearly increased to 35% buffer B for additional 10
minutes, and finally increased to 80% buffer B during the next 2 minutes and kept at this level for
another 3 minutes. A time-of-flight instrument coupled to a dual Trapped Ion Mobility
Spectrometry (TIMS) analyzer (Bruker timsTOF Pro) equipped with a CaptiveSpray
nano-electrospray ion source was used for MS acquisition. The TIMS section was operated with a
100 ms ramp time and a scan range of 0.6–1.6 V·s/cm^2. One cycle was composed of 1 MS scan
followed by 10 PASEF tandem-MS (MS/MS) scans. The MS and MS/MS spectra were recorded
for mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) ranging between 100 and 1700. A polygon filter was used to filter
out singly charged ions. For all experiments, the quadrupole isolation width was set to 2 Th for
m/z < 700 and 3 Th for m/z > 800; between these values, the isolation width was linearly
interpolated. The collision energy was ramped stepwise as a function of the increasing ion
mobility: 20 eV energy start for the 1/K0 start (0.6 V·s/cm^2) and 59 eV for the 1/K0 end (1.60
V·s/cm^2). Eighty-seven MS profiles were acquired from fractionated peptides with repeated
acquisitions. We also acquired one MS profile for the peptides of the library building pool. As a
result, 88 LC-MS profiles were obtained for the spectral library building.

diaPASEF mass spectrometry acquisition. A tandem system comprising a nanoElute UHPLC
System (Bruker Daltonics) and a hybrid TIMS quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer
(Bruker timsTOF Pro) was used for MS measurements. For the LC analysis, 300 ng peptides were
trapped at 500 Bar on the precolumn (5 µm, 100 Å, 5 mm × 300 µm I.D.) in 0.1 % FA/water and
then separated along a 65 min LC gradient at a flow rate of 300 nL/min with a 150 mm × 75 µm
ID fused silica column packed with 1.9 µm 120 Å C18. A linear gradient from 5 to 27% buffer B
was applied for 50 min, followed by a second one from 27 to 40% buffer B for the next 10 min,
and closed by a 2 min step to 80% buffer B, which was used for washing during the last 3 min.
Buffer A: 0.1% FA in water; buffer B: 0.1% FA in ACN. The ion mobility range was limited to
0.7–1.3 V·s/cm^2, and we applied four windows to each 100 ms diaPASEF scan. Fourteen of these
scans covered the doubly and triply charged peptides' diagonal scan line in the m/z ion mobility
plane with 28 m/z narrow precursor windows. Other parameters were the same as those set during
the ddaPASEF analysis.

Spectral library construction. We used the integrated gut microbiome protein database described
in a previous study[18] and named it "IGC+", to quantify microbial proteins. The IGC+ database
contains the IGC 9.9 database[74], fungi, virus sequences, and an in-house gut microbial gene
catalog. The FragPipe platform (version 15), equipped with MSFragger (version 3.3)[89],
Philosopher (version 4.0.0)[90], and EasyPQP (version 0.1.20), were chosen to build the spectral
library. A three-step iterative search strategy for metaproteomics [13,27] was used with a few
modifications. As detailed described in Fig. S1B, in the first step, we divided the IGC+ database
into ten small databases and searched the 88 ddaPASEF files with a target-only version for
database search. The matched protein sequences of the ten target-only searches for each
ddaPASEF file were combined to reconstruct a simplified database for each MS profile
(S1.fas–S88.fas). In the second step, each MS profile was searched against its corresponding
simplified database using a target-decoy database search for accurate identifications. Proteins
obtained from the 88 searches were aggregated into the concentrated database catalog of the

GNHS fecal samples (GNHS.fas). In the third step, the 88 profiles were searched together against the GNHS database combined with a *Homo sapiens* protein catalog (Swiss-Prot, date 20211213) and the 245-contaminant database (MaxQuant, date 20160325) for the peptide to spectrum matches (PSMs) and peptide identifications. For the MSFragger analysis, the precursor and fragment tolerance were set to 20 ppm. Fragment ion series was set to b and y ions. Enzyme specificity was set to 'trypsin', allowing cleavages before proline. Up to two missed cleavages were allowed. The isotope error was set to 0/1/2. The peptide length was set from 7 to 50, and the peptide mass was set from 500 to 5000 Da. The carbamidomethylation of cysteine (57.021464 Da) was set as a fixed modification. The oxidation of methionine (15.99490 Da) and acetyl (Protein N-term) (42.010600 Da) was set as variable modification. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to 0.01 for all the PSMs, ions, peptides, and proteins in the target-decoy searches. The final output from the iterative database search was imported into EasyPQP to establish the primary spectral library. The retention times of the peptides of each fraction were aligned using the iRT calibration. After obtaining the primary spectral library, we manually assigned peptides to proteins based on the maximum parsimony principle of protein inference (Fig. S2A). The final GNHS fecal spectral library included 506,470 peptides,1,433 unique human proteins with human-specific peptides, 121,702 unique microbial proteins with microbiome-specific peptides, and 18,143 microbial protein groups that comprising undistinguished microbial proteins.

diaPASEF MS data analysis. The 2,514 diaPASEF profiles were searched against the final GNHS fecal spectral library using DIA-NN (version 1.8)[91]. The maximum mass accuracy tolerance was set to 10 ppm for both MS1 and MS2 spectra. The quantification mode was set to "Robust LC (high precision)". The protein inference in DIA-NN was disabled. All the other settings were left to their default value. The output matrices, filtered at precursor q value $\leq$ 1% and global protein q value $\leq$ 1%, were used for metaproteomic analysis.

Microbial functional annotation. The COGs were mapped by the microbial protein sequences with eggNOG-mapper (version 2.1.5) [92]. The narrowest COG, KOG (Eukaryotic clusters of orthologous genes), and arCOG (Archaeal clusters of orthologous genes) of each protein were extracted. Proteins were also aligned to the KO annotation using GHOSTX from GhostKOALA (version 2.2) [93] with a bit-score threshold of 60. The best hit for each query was selected. Regarding microbial protein groups, we kept the microbial protein groups whose microbial proteins had the same functional annotations. When multiple functions were assigned to the same protein, all the functions were considered.

Microbial taxonomic annotation. Taxonomic annotations were performed using the peptide-centric aligning software Unipept (version 2.2.1, searching date 2021.05.09) [94]. The identified microbial peptides were cut according to the "Advanced missed cleavage handing" rules, and the cut peptides were further filtered to contain more than five and less than 50 amino acids. The filtered peptides were annotated using Unipept with the "Equal I and L" rule. The taxonomic assignment results were then manually filtered to the taxa of bacteria, archaea, fungi, or viruses. All the taxonomic annotations were filtered by at least two distinctive peptides, and their abundances were computed by summing the intensities of all the corresponding peptides. Within the taxonomic tree, the "noname" was added to the name of the narrowest taxon if there were no annotated taxa names in the middle taxon rank.

Taxonomic and functional profiling of metagenomic samples. We also performed metagenomic
shotgun sequencing for GNHS participants using the Illumina HiSeq platform (Illumina Inc.). We
analyzed metagenomic sequences using a pipeline built as previously described[42]. We trimmed the
sequences for quality using PRINSEQ (version 0.20.4) [95], and removed the human genome (*H.*
sapiens, UCSC hg19) using Bowtie2 tool (version 2.2.5) [96]. Taxonomic profiling was performed
using MetaPhlAn2 (version 2.6.0) [97], which classifies metagenomic reads to taxa and yields their
relative abundances in each sample. Functional profiling was performed by applying HUMAnN2
(version 2.8.1) [98]. This analysis mapped reads to UniProt Reference Clusters (UniRef90) [99] and
further grouped them into 9,381 COG groups.

Analysis of richness, intensity, and BC dissimilarity. We mainly used three types of matrices for
analysis, the human protein matrix, the microbial taxonomic composition matrices, and the
microbial functional matrices. Three parameters were used to assess the differences between study
individuals, levels of richness, intensity, and BC dissimilarity between samples. The BC distance
was calculated using the function *vegdist* of the package vegan (version 2.5-7) in R.

Quality control analysis. The data reproducibility was evaluated by the Spearman pairwise
correlation and the BC distance between replicates. PCAs, performed with the command *prcomp*
in R, was used to evaluate the batch effects of this study.

Associations between matrices. The associations between matrices were done using the Mantel
test. Specifically, the BC distance dissimilarity of the two matrices was inputted to calculate their
Spearman correlation by the function *mantel* in vegan (version 2.5-7).

Features' accumulation curves and estimation of feature richness. The accumulation curves
were plotted based on the observed richness of features with increasing numbers of collected
samples. The observed richness was calculated in subsets of increasing size composed of
randomly chosen samples (500 repetitions for each sample size). The estimated richness was
calculated based on the Chao1 index using the function *poolaccum* from the package vegan
(version 2.5-7) in R. When the samples reached a saturation number (*i.e.*, the observed richness
was equal to the estimated saturation number and remained stable even with further increased
sample number), we can assume these samples to cover the full diversity of the studied
population.

GO enrichment analysis. The human protein GO biological process enrichment was performed
using the package clusterProfiler (version 4.2.0) in R. The package org.HS.eg.db (version 3.14.0)
was used to annotate the human proteins. For statistically significant results, both the BH-adjusted
p-value and the q-value were required to be lower than 0.05.

Microbial function pathway enrichment. The pathway enrichment was performed using the R
package MicrobiomeProfiler. For population-level pathway enrichment, the core KOs of
metaproteomics and metagenomics were selected and enriched by enrichKO function with
parameters minGSSize =10 and maxGSSize = 200. Statistically significant enriched pathways
were screened with BH-adjusted p value ≤ 0.05, and fold enrichment value (GeneRatio / BgRatio)
≥ 2.

Fecal butyric acid content analysis. The fecal butyric acid content was detected by targeted
metabolomics through ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

(UPLC-MS/MS) system (ACQUITY UPLC-Xevo TQ-S, Waters Corp., MA, USA). Detailed
information about the measurements could be refered to the previously study (Jiang et al., 2020).

Covariate analysis. The associations between each phenotypic factor and variation of human
protein, gut microbiome function, or gut microbial taxon were determined by PERMANOVA on
the human protein, metaprotein, KO, COG, species or genus levels (Bray-Curtis dissimilarities
using the function *adonis* and the vegan R package, version 2.5-7). The *p*-value of each
phenotypic factor was determined using 1,000 permutations and adjusted with the BH method.
The phenotypic factors with adjusted $p \leq 0.05$ were selected as covariates.

Association analysis between individual features and the metadata. The generalized linear model
(GLM) (implemented with the R package glm, version 4.1.1) was used to identify the association
between the abundance of features (metaproteins, human proteins, COGs, KOs, species, and
genera) and each phenotype. Only the features with higher than 10% prevalence in the GNHS
cohort were used for the GLM analysis. Then the feature abundance data were firstly INT
transformed to bring them all on the same scale. To avoid the covariate effects potentially
associated with feature abundances, for the phenotypes excluded "Sex", "Age" and "Bristol Scale",
we included these three metadata variables as the confounding covariates in the GLM models. In
the GLM model for the three phenotypes, we use the other two metadata variables as the
confounding covariates. For each *p*-value, multiple testing corrections were performed using the
BH adjustment. The associations with q value (adjusted *p*) ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically
significant.

Machine learning. A total of 785 metaproteins, 23 human proteins, 268 KOs, 279 COGs, 12
species, and 14 genera that were related to T2D (GLM, q ≤ 0.05) were used for the
metaproteomic-based T2D classification. The GNHS samples were randomly divided into the
training set and internal validation set with a 4:1 ratio. Then we started to train the model using the
training set. Firstly, we used the eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) wrapped recursive feature
elimination algorithm to select the features for our model at metaprotein, human protein, KO,
COG, species, or genus level, respectively. We then counted the occurrence of each feature in the
100 candidate feature sets. We extracted the features with more than 70 occurrences as the final
input feature set and feed it into an XGB model. We then fine-tuned the XGBoost model using the
Genetic Algorithm hyperparameter searching with an early stop of three generations without AUC
improvements. Finally, we tested the trained model in the internal validation set to check the
generalization of the model. And an independent cohort (FH cohort) with 104 individuals was
introduced for an independent test of the model.

Co-occurrence Network. The linkages between the species and their corresponding functions
were generated by their common peptide sequences, while the co-expressed linkages between
intra-levels and inter-levels were calculated by the Spearman correlation (BH adjusted p-value $\leq$
0.05, $|r| \geq 0.3$ for inter-levels, $|r| \geq 0.8$ for intra-levels).

Data visualization. The R packages ggplot2 (version 4.1.3), ggpubr (version 0.4.0), gghalves
(version 0.1.1), Goplot (version 1.0.2), forplo (version 0.1.0) and venn (version 1.10) were used
for data visualization. The taxonomic tree was visualized using graphlan (version 1.1.3). The
co-occurrence networks were visualized with the interactive platform Gephi (version 0.9.2).
Additionally, we used the Fruchterman Reingold layout algorithm to visualize the networks. The

modularity was built using the fast unfolding of communities in large networks. Different node
colors represented different module communities. The size of the nodes was determined using the
number of links between the nodes with other nodes.

In vitro bacterial growth experiments. Precultures of *M. elsdenii* DSM 20460 were inoculated in
anaerobic workstation (5% hydrogen, 10% carbon dioxide, and 85% nitrogen) as single colonies
in modified reinforced clostridial medium (mRCM) medium. The medium contained the following
components per liter: peptone (10g), beef extract powder (10g), yeast extract (3g), glucose (5g),
fructose (10g), sodium chloride (5g), L-cysteine hydrochloride (0.5g), sodium acetate (3g), and
resazurin (0.001g). After 24 hours of incubation, the preculture was inoculated into fresh mRCM
broth at a concentration of 4% (v/v) and incubated for an additional 15 hours. The broth was
supplemented with either 20 mM metformin, 20 mM acarbose, or 50 μm glimpiride in a 96-well
microplate with 12 replicates per group. The impact of the drugs on bacterial growth was assessed
by measuring the OD600 value of the bacterial culture and counting the number of live bacterial
cells using BactoBox V7.2 (SBT Instruments), to ensure consistent results.

Animal trail. Mouse experiments and all associated manipulations were carried out in accordance
with the approved protocol by the research ethics committee of GNOTOBIO (permit
JTAW20230705), following standard care and husbandry guidelines. Germ-free male C57BL/6
mice were randomly assigned to four ABSL-1 animal facilities with controlled environments.
These environments maintained a 12-hour dark-light cycle (7 p.m. to 7 a.m.), 50±10% humidity, a
temperature of 22 ± 2 °C, and provided ad libitum access to food and water. Following a 3-day
acclimation period, the mice were divided into four experimental groups (n = 7). (1) Control group
(CD group): These mice were fed a chow diet (catalog no. D12450J, Research Diets) with 10% of
calories from fat, 20% from protein, and 70% from carbohydrates, providing 382 kcal per 100 g.
They were administered 0.9% saline solution by gavage six times in the first two weeks. (2)
High-fat diet group (HFD group): These mice were fed a high-fat diet (catalog no. D12492i,
Research Diets) with 60% of calories from fat, 20% from protein, and 20% from carbohydrates,
providing 524 kcal per 100 g. They were administered 0.9% saline solution by gavage six times in
the first two weeks. (3) HFD+*M. elsdenii* group: These mice were fed a high-fat diet and
administered *M. elsdenii* cells at a concentration of 10^9 CFU by gavage six times in the first two
weeks. (4) HFD+*L. reuteri* group: These mice were fed a high-fat diet and administered *L. reuteri*
cells at a concentration of 10^9 CFU by gavage six times in the first two weeks. After
exsanguination, the mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, and the intestines were
dissected to collect the corresponding contents.

References

1   Cani, P. D. Human gut microbiome: hopes, threats and promises. *Gut* **67**, 1716-1725,
928   doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316723 (2018).
929   2   Shreiner, A. B., Kao, J. Y. & Young, V. B. The gut microbiome in health and in disease.
930   *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* **31**, 69-75, doi:10.1097/MOG.0000000000000139 (2015).
931   3   Asnicar, F. *et al.* Microbiome connections with host metabolism and habitual diet from
932   1,098   deeply   phenotyped   individuals.   *Nat   Med*   **27**,   321-332,
933   doi:10.1038/s41591-020-01183-8 (2021).
934   4   Falony, G. *et al.* Population-level analysis of gut microbiome variation. *Science* **352**,
935   560-564, doi:10.1126/science.aad3503 (2016).
936   5   Kurilshikov, A. *et al.* Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing
937   human   gut   microbiome   composition.   *Nat   Genet*   **53**,   156-165,
938   doi:10.1038/s41588-020-00763-1 (2021).
939   6   Lloyd-Price, J. *et al.* Multi-omics of the gut microbial ecosystem in inflammatory bowel
940   diseases. *Nature* **569**, 655-662, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1237-9 (2019).
941   7   Rothschild, D. *et al.* Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut
942   microbiota. *Nature* **555**, 210-215, doi:10.1038/nature25973 (2018).
943   8   Muth, T., Renard, B. Y. & Martens, L. Metaproteomic data analysis at a glance: advances
944   in computational microbial community proteomics. *Expert Rev Proteomics* **13**, 757-769,
945   doi:10.1080/14789450.2016.1209418 (2016).
946   9   Wilmes, P. & Bond, P. L. Metaproteomics: studying functional gene expression in
947   microbial ecosystems. *Trends Microbiol* **14**, 92-97, doi:10.1016/j.tim.2005.12.006 (2006).
948   10   Kleiner, M. *et al.* Assessing species biomass contributions in microbial communities via
949   metaproteomics. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1558, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01544-x (2017).
950   11   Salvato, F., Hettich, R. L. & Kleiner, M. Five key aspects of metaproteomics as a tool to
951   understand functional interactions in host-associated microbiomes. *PLoS Pathog* **17**,
952   e1009245, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009245 (2021).
953   12   Zhang, X. *et al.* Deep Metaproteomics Approach for the Study of Human Microbiomes.
954   *Anal Chem* **89**, 9407-9415, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02224 (2017).
955   13   Bassignani, A. *et al.* Benefits of Iterative Searches of Large Databases to Interpret Large
956   Human   Gut   Metaproteomic   Data   Sets.   *J   Proteome   Res*   **20**,   1522-1534,
957   doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00669 (2021).
958   14   Gavin, P. G. *et al.* Intestinal Metaproteomics Reveals Host-Microbiota Interactions in
959   Subjects   at   Risk   for   Type   1   Diabetes.   *Diabetes   Care*   **41**,   2178-2186,
960   doi:10.2337/dc18-0777 (2018).
961   15   Long, S. *et al.* Metaproteomics characterizes human gut microbiome function in
962   colorectal cancer. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* **6**, 14, doi:10.1038/s41522-020-0123-4
963   (2020).
964   16   Mills, R. H. *et al.* Multi-omics analyses of the ulcerative colitis gut microbiome link
965   Bacteroides vulgatus proteases with disease severity. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 262-276,
966   doi:10.1038/s41564-021-01050-3 (2022).
967   17   Tran, H. Q. *et al.* Associations of the Fecal Microbial Proteome Composition and
968   Proneness   to   Diet-induced   Obesity.   *Mol   Cell   Proteomics*   **18**,   1864-1879,
969   doi:10.1074/mcp.RA119.001623 (2019).

18   Zhang, X. *et al.* Metaproteomics reveals associations between microbiome and intestinal
extracellular vesicle proteins in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat Commun* **9**,
2873, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05357-4 (2018).

19   Zhang, X., Li, L., Butcher, J., Stintzi, A. & Figeys, D. Advancing functional and
translational microbiome research using meta-omics approaches. *Microbiome* **7**, 154,
doi:10.1186/s40168-019-0767-6 (2019).

20   Zhong, H. *et al.* Distinct gut metagenomics and metaproteomics signatures in prediabetics
and treatment-naive type 2 diabetics. *EBioMedicine* **47**, 373-383,
doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.08.048 (2019).

21   Aakko, J. *et al.* Data-Independent Acquisition Mass Spectrometry in Metaproteomics of
Gut Microbiota-Implementation and Computational Analysis. *J Proteome Res* **19**,
432-436, doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00606 (2020).

22   Beyter, D., Lin, M. S., Yu, Y., Pieper, R. & Bafna, V. ProteoStorm: An Ultrafast
Metaproteomics Database Search Framework. *Cell Syst* **7**, 463-467 e466,
doi:10.1016/j.cels.2018.08.009 (2018).

23   Mesuere, B. *et al.* Unipept: tryptic peptide-based biodiversity analysis of metaproteome
samples. *J Proteome Res* **11**, 5773-5780, doi:10.1021/pr300576s (2012).

24   Muth, T. *et al.* The MetaProteomeAnalyzer: a powerful open-source software suite for
metaproteomics data analysis and interpretation. *J Proteome Res* **14**, 1557-1565,
doi:10.1021/pr501246w (2015).

25   Rooijers, K. *et al.* An iterative workflow for mining the human intestinal metaproteome.
BMC Genomics **12**, 6, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-12-6 (2011).

26   Werner, J., Geron, A., Kerssemakers, J. & Matallana-Surget, S. mPies: a novel
metaproteomics tool for the creation of relevant protein databases and automatized
protein annotation. *Biol Direct* **14**, 21, doi:10.1186/s13062-019-0253-x (2019).

27   Zhang, X. *et al.* MetaPro-IQ: a universal metaproteomic approach to studying human and
mouse gut microbiota. *Microbiome* **4**, 31, doi:10.1186/s40168-016-0176-z (2016).

28   Shanahan, F., Ghosh, T. S. & O'Toole, P. W. The Healthy Microbiome-What Is the
Definition of a Healthy Gut Microbiome? *Gastroenterology* **160**, 483-494,
doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.09.057 (2021).

29   Gillet, L. C. *et al.* Targeted data extraction of the MS/MS spectra generated by
data-independent acquisition: a new concept for consistent and accurate proteome
analysis. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **11**, O111 016717, doi:10.1074/mcp.O111.016717 (2012).

30   Guo, T. *et al.* Rapid mass spectrometric conversion of tissue biopsy samples into
permanent quantitative digital proteome maps. *Nat Med* **21**, 407-413,
doi:10.1038/nm.3807 (2015).

31   Yue, L. *et al.* Generating Proteomic Big Data for Precision Medicine. *Proteomics* **20**,
e1900358, doi:10.1002/pmic.201900358 (2020).

32   Zhu, Y., Aebersold, R., Mann, M. & Guo, T. SnapShot: Clinical proteomics. *Cell* **184**,
4840-4840 e4841, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.08.015 (2021).

33   Kanu, A. B., Dwivedi, P., Tam, M., Matz, L. & Hill, H. H., Jr. Ion mobility-mass
spectrometry. *J Mass Spectrom* **43**, 1-22, doi:10.1002/jms.1383 (2008).

34   Lanucara, F., Holman, S. W., Gray, C. J. & Eyers, C. E. The power of ion mobility-mass
spectrometry for structural characterization and the study of conformational dynamics.

Nat Chem **6**, 281-294, doi:10.1038/nchem.1889 (2014).

35 Demichev, V. *et al.* dia-PASEF data analysis using FragPipe and DIA-NN for deep
proteomics of low sample amounts. *Nat Commun* **13**, 3944,
doi:10.1038/s41467-022-31492-0 (2022).

36 Meier, F. *et al.* diaPASEF: parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation combined with
data-independent acquisition. *Nat Methods* **17**, 1229-1236,
doi:10.1038/s41592-020-00998-0 (2020).

37 Jagtap, P. *et al.* A two-step database search method improves sensitivity in peptide
sequence matches for metaproteomics and proteogenomics studies. *Proteomics* **13**,
1352-1357, doi:10.1002/pmic.201200352 (2013).

38 Nesvizhskii, A. I. & Aebersold, R. Interpretation of shotgun proteomic data: the protein
inference problem. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **4**, 1419-1440,
doi:10.1074/mcp.R500012-MCP200 (2005).

39 Zhou, H., Beltran, J. F. & Brito, I. L. Host-microbiome protein-protein interactions
capture disease-relevant pathways. *Genome Biol* **23**, 72,
doi:10.1186/s13059-022-02643-9 (2022).

40 Gacesa, R. *et al.* Environmental factors shaping the gut microbiome in a Dutch population.
Nature **604**, 732-739, doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04567-7 (2022).

41 Shuai, M. *et al.* Human Gut Antibiotic Resistome and Progression of Diabetes. *Adv Sci*
(Weinh) **9**, e2104965, doi:10.1002/advs.202104965 (2022).

42 Maron, P. A., Ranjard, L., Mougel, C. & Lemanceau, P. Metaproteomics: a new approach
for studying functional microbial ecology. *Microb Ecol* **53**, 486-493,
doi:10.1007/s00248-006-9196-8 (2007).

43 Nayfach, S. & Pollard, K. S. Toward Accurate and Quantitative Comparative
Metagenomics. *Cell* **166**, 1103-1116, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.007 (2016).

44 Human Microbiome Project, C. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human
microbiome. *Nature* **486**, 207-214, doi:10.1038/nature11234 (2012).

45 Chen, L. *et al.* The long-term genetic stability and individual specificity of the human gut
microbiome. *Cell* **184**, 2302-2315 e2312, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.024 (2021).

46 Abu-Ali, G. S. *et al.* Metatranscriptome of human faecal microbial communities in a
cohort of adult men. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 356-366, doi:10.1038/s41564-017-0084-4 (2018).

47 Jandhyala, S. M. *et al.* Role of the normal gut microbiota. *World J Gastroenterol* **21**,
8787-8803, doi:10.3748/wjg.v21.i29.8787 (2015).

48 Olofsson, L. E. & Backhed, F. The Metabolic Role and Therapeutic Potential of the
Microbiome. *Endocr Rev* **43**, 907-926, doi:10.1210/endrev/bnac004 (2022).

49 Vieira-Silva, S. *et al.* Statin therapy is associated with lower prevalence of gut microbiota
dysbiosis. *Nature* **581**, 310-315, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2269-x (2020).

50 Aasmets, O., Krigul, K. L., Lull, K., Metspalu, A. & Org, E. Gut metagenome
associations with extensive digital health data in a volunteer-based Estonian microbiome
cohort. *Nat Commun* **13**, 869, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28464-9 (2022).

51 Zhang, X. *et al.* Sex- and age-related trajectories of the adult human gut microbiota
shared across populations of different ethnicities. *Nature Aging* **1**, 87-100,
doi:10.1038/s43587-020-00014-2 (2021).

52 Zhernakova, A. *et al.* Population-based metagenomics analysis reveals markers for gut

microbiome composition and diversity. *Science* **352**, 565-569,
doi:10.1126/science.aad3369 (2016).

53 Sugitani, Y. *et al.* Mucosa-associated gut microbiome in Japanese patients with functional
constipation. *J Clin Biochem Nutr* **68**, 187-192, doi:10.3164/jcbn.20-93 (2021).

54 Kanwal, A. *et al.* Exploring New Drug Targets for Type 2 Diabetes: Success, Challenges
and Opportunities. *Biomedicines* **10**, doi:10.3390/biomedicines10020331 (2022).

55 Zhong, S. *et al.* Dissection of gut microbiota and metabolites reveals the hypolipidemic
effect of green mulberry leaf tea / black mulberry leaf tea in mice. *Journal of Functional*
Foods **111**, doi:10.1016/j.jff.2023.105906 (2023).

56 Liao, W. *et al.* Potential prebiotic effects of nonabsorptive components of Keemun and
Dianhong black tea: an in vitro study. *Food Science and Human Wellness* **11**, 648-659,
doi:10.1016/j.fshw.2021.12.022 (2022).

57 Bosco, N. & Noti, M. The aging gut microbiome and its impact on host immunity. *Genes*
Immun **22**, 289-303, doi:10.1038/s41435-021-00126-8 (2021).

58 Nagpal, R. *et al.* Gut microbiome and aging: Physiological and mechanistic insights. *Nutr*
Healthy Aging **4**, 267-285, doi:10.3233/NHA-170030 (2018).

59 Sun, J., Rutherford, S. T., Silhavy, T. J. & Huang, K. C. Physical properties of the
bacterial outer membrane. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**, 236-248,
doi:10.1038/s41579-021-00638-0 (2022).

60 Heianza, Y. *et al.* Gut microbiota metabolites, amino acid metabolites and improvements
in insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism: the POUNDS Lost trial. *Gut* **68**, 263-270,
doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316155 (2019).

61 Ma, S. *et al.* Alterations in Gut Microbiota of Gestational Diabetes Patients During the
First Trimester of Pregnancy. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **10**, 58,
doi:10.3389/fcimb.2020.00058 (2020).

62 Salazar, J. *et al.* Microbiota and Diabetes Mellitus: Role of Lipid Mediators. *Nutrients* **12**,
doi:10.3390/nu12103039 (2020).

63 Graciano, M. F., Valle, M. M., Kowluru, A., Curi, R. & Carpinelli, A. R. Regulation of
insulin secretion and reactive oxygen species production by free fatty acids in pancreatic
islets. *Islets* **3**, 213-223, doi:10.4161/isl.3.5.15935 (2011).

64 Letchumanan, G. *et al.* Gut Microbiota Composition in Prediabetes and Newly Diagnosed
Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review of Observational Studies. *Front Cell Infect*
Microbiol **12**, 943427, doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.943427 (2022).

65 Treadway, J. L., Mendys, P. & Hoover, D. J. Glycogen phosphorylase inhibitors for
treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* **10**, 439-454,
doi:10.1517/13543784.10.3.439 (2001).

66 Hashizume, K., Tsukahara, T., Yamada, K., Koyama, H. & Ushida, K. Megasphaera
elsdenii JCM1772T normalizes hyperlactate production in the large intestine of
fructooligosaccharide-fed rats by stimulating butyrate production. *J Nutr* **133**, 3187-3190,
doi:10.1093/jn/133.10.3187 (2003).

67 Shetty, S. A., Marathe, N. P., Lanjekar, V., Ranade, D. & Shouche, Y. S. Comparative
genome analysis of Megasphaera sp. reveals niche specialization and its potential role in
the human gut. *PLoS One* **8**, e79353, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079353 (2013).

68 Gao, Z. *et al.* Butyrate improves insulin sensitivity and increases energy expenditure in

mice. *Diabetes* **58**, 1509-1517, doi:10.2337/db08-1637 (2009).

69   Roshanravan, N. *et al.* Effect of Butyrate and Inulin Supplementation on Glycemic Status,
Lipid Profile and Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 Level in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A
Randomized Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Horm Metab Res* **49**, 886-891,
doi:10.1055/s-0043-119089 (2017).

70   de la Cuesta-Zuluaga, J. *et al.* Metformin Is Associated With Higher Relative Abundance
of Mucin-Degrading Akkermansia muciniphila and Several Short-Chain Fatty
Acid-Producing Microbiota in the Gut. *Diabetes Care* **40**, 54-62, doi:10.2337/dc16-1324
(2017).

71   Gu, Y. *et al.* Analyses of gut microbiota and plasma bile acids enable stratification of
patients for antidiabetic treatment. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1785,
doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01682-2 (2017).

72   Mobini, R. *et al.* Metabolic effects of Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938 in people with
type 2 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab* **19**, 579-589,
doi:10.1111/dom.12861 (2017).

73   Simon, M. C. *et al.* Intake of Lactobacillus reuteri improves incretin and insulin secretion
in glucose-tolerant humans: a proof of concept. *Diabetes Care* **38**, 1827-1834,
doi:10.2337/dc14-2690 (2015).

74   Li, J. *et al.* An integrated catalog of reference genes in the human gut microbiome. *Nat*
Biotechnol **32**, 834-841, doi:10.1038/nbt.2942 (2014).

75   Almeida, A. *et al.* A unified catalog of 204,938 reference genomes from the human gut
microbiome. *Nat Biotechnol* **39**, 105-114, doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0603-3 (2021).

76   Summers, N. A. *et al.* Metabolic Changes Associated With the Use of Integrase Strand
Transfer Inhibitors Among Virally Controlled Women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **85**,
355-362, doi:10.1097/QAI.0000000000002447 (2020).

77   Culp, E. J. & Goodman, A. L. Cross-feeding in the gut microbiome: Ecology and
mechanisms. *Cell Host Microbe* **31**, 485-499, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2023.03.016 (2023).

78   Manor, O. *et al.* Health and disease markers correlate with gut microbiome composition
across thousands of people. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5206, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18871-1
(2020).

79   Zhang, X. *et al.* Sex- and age-related trajectories of the adult human gut microbiota
shared across populations of different ethnicities. *Nat Aging* **1**, 87-100,
doi:10.1038/s43587-020-00014-2 (2021).

80   Cunningham, A. L., Stephens, J. W. & Harris, D. A. Gut microbiota influence in type 2
diabetes mellitus (T2DM). *Gut Pathog* **13**, 50, doi:10.1186/s13099-021-00446-0 (2021).

81   Qin, J. *et al.* A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes.
Nature **490**, 55-60, doi:10.1038/nature11450 (2012).

82   Ruuskanen, M. O. *et al.* Gut Microbiome Composition Is Predictive of Incident Type 2
Diabetes in a Population Cohort of 5,572 Finnish Adults. *Diabetes Care* **45**, 811-818,
doi:10.2337/dc21-2358 (2022).

83   Zhang, B. *et al.* Global research trends on the links between the gut microbiota and
diabetes between 2001 and 2021: A bibliometrics and visualized study. *Front Microbiol*
13, 1011050, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.1011050 (2022).

84   Talukdar, R. *et al.* The gut microbiome in pancreatogenic diabetes differs from that of

1146   Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes. *Sci Rep* **11**, 10978, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-90024-w
1147   (2021).

1148   85   Zhang, Q. & Hu, N. Effects of Metformin on the Gut Microbiota in Obesity and Type 2
1149   Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* **13**, 5003-5014,
1150   doi:10.2147/DMSO.S286430 (2020).

1151   86   Zhang, Z. Q. *et al.* Association between dietary intake of flavonoid and bone mineral
1152   density in middle aged and elderly Chinese women and men. *Osteoporos Int* **25**,
1153   2417-2425, doi:10.1007/s00198-014-2763-9 (2014).

1154   87   Gou, W. *et al.* Interpretable Machine Learning Framework Reveals Robust Gut
1155   Microbiome Features Associated With Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **44**, 358-366,
1156   doi:10.2337/dc20-1536 (2021).

1157   88   Escher, C. *et al.* Using iRT, a normalized retention time for more targeted measurement
1158   of peptides. *Proteomics* **12**, 1111-1121, doi:10.1002/pmic.201100463 (2012).

1159   89   Kong, A. T., Leprevost, F. V., Avtonomov, D. M., Mellacheruvu, D. & Nesvizhskii, A. I.
1160   MSFragger: ultrafast and comprehensive peptide identification in mass
1161   spectrometry-based proteomics. *Nat Methods* **14**, 513-520, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4256
1162   (2017).

1163   90   da Veiga Leprevost, F. *et al.* Philosopher: a versatile toolkit for shotgun proteomics data
1164   analysis. *Nat Methods* **17**, 869-870, doi:10.1038/s41592-020-0912-y (2020).

1165   91   Demichev, V., Messner, C. B., Vernardis, S. I., Lilley, K. S. & Ralser, M. DIA-NN:
1166   neural networks and interference correction enable deep proteome coverage in high
1167   throughput. *Nat Methods* **17**, 41-44, doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0638-x (2020).

1168   92   Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically
1169   annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids*
1170   *Res* **47**, D309-D314, doi:10.1093/nar/gky1085 (2019).

1171   93   Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y. & Morishima, K. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools
1172   for Functional Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. *J Mol Biol* **428**,
1173   726-731, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.006 (2016).

1174   94   Mesuere, B. *et al.* High-throughput metaproteomics data analysis with Unipept: A tutorial.
1175   *J Proteomics* **171**, 11-22, doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2017.05.022 (2018).

1176   95   Schmieder, R. & Edwards, R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets.
1177   *Bioinformatics* **27**, 863-864, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026 (2011).

1178   96   Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods*
1179   **9**, 357-359, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923 (2012).

1180   97   Truong, D. T. *et al.* MetaPhlAn2 for enhanced metagenomic taxonomic profiling. *Nat*
1181   *Methods* **12**, 902-903, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3589 (2015).

1182   98   Franzosa, E. A. *et al.* Species-level functional profiling of metagenomes and
1183   metatranscriptomes. *Nat Methods* **15**, 962-968, doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0176-y (2018).

1184   99   Suzek, B. E., Huang, H., McGarvey, P., Mazumder, R. & Wu, C. H. UniRef:
1185   comprehensive and non-redundant UniProt reference clusters. *Bioinformatics* **23**,
1186   1282-1288, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm098 (2007).

1187

Figure 1

Figure 1. Metaproteomic profiling of the gut microbiome. (A) Population distribution of individuals. Our study covered 1399 individuals from the GNHS cohort. Of these, 831 individuals contributed one sample only, while 568 individuals provided two samples taken approximately 3.2 years apart. This cohort also had 49 available phenotype-related information, including types of

sociodemographics, lifestyle, metabolic disorders, biochemical measures, and anthropometrics. (B)

The experimental strategy of diaPASEF-based metaproteomic profiling of 2,514 MS runs in the

GNHS cohort, of which 1,967 were for our investigations and 547 for quality assessment. (C)

Metaproteomic identifications of 1967 fecal samples at the levels of microbial protein, taxonomy,

and function. (D) Taxonomic tree based on metaproteomic data. The taxonomic levels range from

superkingdoms to species from the inner to the outer circle. (E) The functional distributions of

microbiota. The functional category was annotated using the COG database. (F) The functional

distributions of human proteins. The functional category was annotated using the COG database.

Figure 2

1203

Figure 2. Comparison of metaproteomic and metagenomic data of paired samples. (A)The
GNHS cohort collected fecal samples during baseline and follow-up, which were then subjected to
metaproteomic or metagenomic analysis. (B) Genus or KO accumulation curves of metaproteomic
and metagenomic data. The x-axes represent the cumulative sample number, and the y-axes mean
the total richness of each feature. The black curves show the estimated richness; the box plots
report the measured richness. The maximum measured richness and its proportion to the
maximum estimated richness are indicated by a horizontal line. The vertical lines represent the
minimum sample numbers required for full representation of GNHS cohort diversity. (C)
Quantitative correlation analysis between the top 20 abundant genera or KOs in metaproteomics
and their corresponding values in metagenomics. The x-axes show the relative abundance
measured by metaproteomic data, and the y-axes are the quantitative value in metagenomic data.
The correlation was calculated using Spearman. (D) The correlation of microbial functions
between different samples or two samples collected from the same individual. The statistical
analysis was performed with Welch's t-test. *** p value < 0.001. (E) The temporal stability of the

microbial genus or KO functions was associated with corresponding richness at the stage of
baseline in metaproteomic data and metagenomic data. The correlation was calculated using
Spearman.

1221

 Figure 3

Figure 3. Metaproteomic core species involved in the metabolism of SCFA and vitamin Bs.
(A) Summary of metaproteomic core species enriched with SCFAs (butyrate and propionate), as
well as vitamin Bs (B1, B3, B5, B6, B7, and B9) metabolisms. The dots represent the fold
enrichment value of pathways in each species. The bacteria denoted in black font are consistent
with the reports, while those in red were firstly found in this study. (B) Metaproteomic core

species highly expressing butyric acid metabolism were summarized, with bacteria capable of
detecting the involved enzyme labeled next to the reaction. The bacteria denoted in black font are
consistent with reported butyric acid-producing species, while those in red were firstly found in
this study. (C) The fecal butyric acid content was found to be correlated with the total abundance
of core microbes highly expressing butyric acid metabolic pathways identified in metaproteomics.
The correlation was calculated using Spearman.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Associations between phenotype and metaproteomic features. (A) Summary of the associations between metaproteomic characteristics and phenotypes. The bars in the graph represent different phenotypes and are sorted according to the number of associations for each level. (B) Metaproteomic genera with the strongest overall correlations with five covariates – Bristol scale, T2D, T2D medicine, TG, and tea. The beta coefficients of each feature and

1242   phenotype were plotted along with their corresponding BH-adjusted p values, where * represents p

1243 $< = 0.05$, ** $< = 0.01$, *** $< = 0.001$. (C) Metaproteomic microbial KO functions with the

1244   strongest overall correlations with covariates. (D) Metaproteomic human proteins with the

1245   strongest overall correlations with covariates.

1246

 Figure 5

Figure 5. Metaproteomic functional insights of T2D. (A) The machine learning workflow for
diabetes classification based on metaproteomic data includes data processing, feature selection,
and model validation. Features with a q-value < 0.05 are filtered using GLM and used as input for
diabetes-related features. Among the 100 machine learning models, features that occur more than
70 times are further selected, and their performance is evaluated in both the internal validation test
and the independent test set at each level. (B) The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves

showcasing the best performance for each level in the internal validation cohort and the
independent FH cohort. (C) The $\log_{10}$ transformed intensity of the five potential T2D biomarkers
in the GNHS cohort and FH cohort. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for the differential analyses.
* *p* value < 0.05, *** *p* value <0.001, **** *p* value < 0.0001. (D) Networks of the five potential
T2D biomarkers and their associated T2D-related features in GNHS cohort. Links between
metaproteins and species, as well as KOs and species, were based on their common peptides,
while the co-expressed linkages between intra-levels and inter-levels were calculated by the
Spearman correlation (BH adjusted p-value < 0.05, | r | ≥ 0.3 for inter-levels, | r | ≥ 0.8 for
intra-levels).

Figure 6

Figure 6. Biofunction of *M. elsdenii*. (A) The $\log_{10}$ transformed intensity of M. elsdenii in
non-T2D, T2D not taking medication, and T2D taking medication groups. Wilcoxon rank-sum test
was used for the differential analyses. *** *p* value <0.001. (B) Growth curves of *M. elsdenii* in the
presence or absence of exogenous drugs assessed by measuring the OD600 value of the bacterial
culture and counting the number of live bacterial cells, respectively. Two-way ANOVA with
repeated measurement was applied to calculate the difference between control and drug-treatment
groups. *** *p* value <0.001. (C) Experimental design of germ-free mouse study. Mice were fed
with either a CD or a HFD for 42 days. To investigate the impact of *M. elsdenii* and *L. reuteri* on
blood glucose, mice fed with HFD were monocolonized with these two strains for the initial 14
days of the study. Throughout the experiment, FBG levels were monitored twice weekly. After the
study period, the intestinal contents of the mice were collected to analyze the levels of SCFAs in
the gut. (D) The FBG levels of each mice group during administration. Two-way ANOVA with
repeated measurement was applied to calculate the difference between the HFD and

HFD+FMT(*M. elsdenii*) groups. *** *p* value <0.001. (E) The butyric acid levels in the intestinal

contents of each mice group. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for the differential analyses. *** *p*

value <0.001. (F) The pathogenesis of *M. Eisdenii* in inducing diabetes based on metaproteomic

and experimental evidence.