Engineered CRISPR-Base Editors as a Permanent Treatment for Familial Dysautonomia

Shuqi Yun[1,2], Anil Chekuri[1,3-6], Jennifer Art[7,8], Krishnakanth Kondabolu[1,3], Susan A. Slaugenhaupt[1,3,4], Nadja Zeltner[7,9,10], Benjamin P. Kleinstiver[1,2,11], Elisabetta Morini[1,3,4,12*], Christiano R. R. Alves[1,3,4,12*]

[1] Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital Research Institute, Boston, MA, USA

[2] Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital Research Institute, Boston, MA, USA

[3] Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital Research Institute, Boston, MA, USA

[4] Department of Neurology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[5] Grousbeck Gene Therapy Center, Schepens Eye Research Institute and Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, MA, USA

[6] Department of Ophthalmology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, MA, USA

[7] Center for Molecular Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

[8] Biomedical and Translational Sciences Institute, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

[9] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

[10] Department of Cellular Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

[11] Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[12] These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors: calves2@mgh.harvard.edu and emorini@mgh.harvard.edu

Abstract

Familial dysautonomia (FD) is a fatal autosomal recessive congenital neuropathy caused by a T-to-C mutation in intron 20 of the *Elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 1* (*ELP1*) gene, which causes tissue-specific skipping of exon 20 and reduction of ELP1 protein. Here, we developed a base editor (BE) approach to precisely correct this mutation. By optimizing Cas9 variants and screening multiple gRNAs, we identified a combination that was able to promote up to 70% on-target editing in HEK293T cells harboring the *ELP1* T-to-C mutation. These editing levels were sufficient to restore exon 20 inclusion in the *ELP1* transcript. Moreover, we optimized an engineered dual intein-split system to deliver these constructs *in vivo*. Mediated by adeno-associated virus (AAV) delivery, this BE strategy effectively corrected the liver and brain *ELP1* splicing defects in a humanized FD mouse model carrying the *ELP1* T-to-C mutation and rescued the FD phenotype in iPSC-derived sympathetic neurons. Importantly, we observed minimal off-target editing demonstrating high levels of specificity with these optimized base editors. These findings establish a novel and highly precise BE-based therapeutic approach to correct the FD mutation and associated splicing defects and provide the foundation for the development of a transformative, permanent treatment for this devastating disease.

Keywords

ELP1; CRISPR; Cas9; genome editing; bystander editing; splicing, neurodegenerative disease.

Introduction

Familial dysautonomia (FD), also known as hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy type III (HSANIII) or Riley-Day syndrome, is a rare, fatal, inherited congenital disorder that affects the development and survival of specific unmyelinated sensory and autonomic neurons. FD is caused by a T-to-C base transition at the 6th base of intron 20 (c.2204+6T>C) of the Elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 1 (*ELP1*, previously known as *IKBKAP*)[1,2]. This mutation (henceforth *ELP1* T6C) results in variable tissue-specific skipping of *ELP1* exon 20, leading to a premature termination codon and a corresponding reduction in ELP1 protein[1,3]. The lowest levels of *ELP1 expression* occur in the central and peripheral nervous system[3]. Most patients with FD are of Ashkenazi Jewish descent, with a carrier frequency in that population of 1:30, rising to 1:17 for Polish Jews[4,5]. All FD patients possess at least one copy of this mutation, and 99.5% of patients are homozygous[1,6]. FD symptoms include decreased pain and temperature sensation, orthostatic hypotension, tachycardia, labile blood pressure, gait ataxia, and retinal degeneration[7–12] (**Fig. 1a**). Neurogenic dysphagia causes frequent aspiration, leading to chronic pulmonary disease. Characteristic hyperadrenergic "autonomic crises" consisting of brisk episodes of severe hypertension, tachycardia, skin blotching, retching, and vomiting occur in all patients[8,12–15]. Unexplained sudden death, aspiration pneumonia, and respiratory insufficiency remain the leading causes of death[7,11]. To date, there are no treatments available to stop the continuous neuronal loss in FD patients.

Previous efforts to develop therapeutic approaches for FD include splicing modulator compounds (SMCs), antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs), modified exon-specific U1 small nuclear RNAs (ExSpe snRNAs), and gene replacement therapy[16–22]. However, none of these potential strategies offer a permanent cure or have been FDA-approved. The drawbacks of SMCs in these potential therapies lie in their frequent lack of specificity and transient nature. Similarly, ASOs, ExSpe snRNAs, and gene replacement therapies have limitations, including transient effects, unknown longevity of expression, utilization of ubiquitous exogenous promoters, uncontrolled gene expression, and potential neurotoxicity. Genome editing technologies capable of permanently correcting the FD splicing mutation to adequately maintain endogenous expression of *ELP1* would overcome many of these challenges.

Base editors (BEs) and prime editors (PEs) are genome editing technologies capable of installing point mutations[23]. Recently, we reported preclinical efforts to optimize novel BE technologies to treat spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), a severe pediatric neuromuscular disease[24]. SMA is caused by mutations in *SMN1*. SMN2 is a paralogous gene with a base transition in exon 7, which causes this exon to be skipped in most SMN2 transcripts. Thus, we developed a BE approach to restore SMN expression by correcting the SMN2 coding sequence. We engineered enzymes and tested several combinations of guide RNAs (gRNAs) capable of editing SMN2[24]. One optimized combination resulted in up to 99% intended editing in SMA patient-cells[24], highlighting the great therapeutic potential of customized BEs to introduce corrective genetic edits efficiently and safely.

In this study, we investigated the feasibility of developing a genetic treatment for FD by correcting the *ELP1* T6C using cytosine base editing (**Fig. 1b**). We performed a series of engineering strategies to optimize these base editors along with a comprehensive evaluation of on-target and off-target editing via unbiased methods. Minimum

off-target editing was observed showing high levels of specificity with these optimized base editors. To assess the *in vivo* effectiveness of the most optimal base editors, we utilized a humanized FD mouse model harboring the *ELP1* T-to-C mutation as well as FD-induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) derived neurons. Our findings demonstrate that engineering base editors can effectively correct the *ELP1* splicing defects *in vivo* and in human neurons, leading to phenotypic rescue. This work provides essential in vivo proof-of-concept data necessary to advance a durable, single-dose therapeutic strategy for FD toward the clinic.

Figure 1. Development of cytosine base editors to correct the *ELP1* T6C mutation causing FD. a, Schematic of familial dysautonomia (FD) symptoms caused by reduced ELP1 protein levels affecting the autonomic nervous system. **b**, Schematic of FD caused by reduction in ELP1 protein levels due to a T-to-C (T6C) mutation in the position 6 of intron 20. **c**, Schematic of the genomic region surrounding the *ELP1* T6C mutation, showing base editor guide RNA (gRNA) target sites; potential bystander edits are highlighted in orange boxes. **d-e**, C-to-T base editing to correct *ELP1* T6C in homozygous HEK293T cells using TadCBEd, which comprises deaminase domains fused to PAM-variant SpCas9 enzymes nSpRY (**d**) and nSpG (**e**). Edited alleles were assessed via targeted sequencing and analyzed using CRISPResso2. **f-g**, Comparison of deaminase activity for correcting the *ELP1* T6C mutation in homozygous HEK293T cells using TadCBEd, CBE6a, or CBE6b deaminase domains fused to SpG paired with gRNA C6 (**f**) or SpRY paired with gRNA C11 (**g**). Data in **panels d-g** from experiments in HEK 293T cells harboring the *ELP1* T6C mutation; mean, s.e.m., and individual datapoints are shown for n = 3 independent biological replicates.

Results and Discussion

Development of HEK 293T cell lines harboring the *ELP1* T6C mutation

We first established a homozygous HEK 293T cell line harboring the *ELP1* T6C mutation via adenosine base editing (ABE). We sought to generate this cell line to rapidly screen and prioritize combinations of editors and guide RNAs (gRNAs) that maximize editing efficiencies. We tested various combinations of gRNAs and ABEs (**Sup. Fig. 1a**). Transfections in HEK 293T cells were performed to investigate the efficacy of these combinations, with some combinations achieving >50% precise introduction of the mutation with the presence or not of bystander editing (**Sup. Fig. 1a**). To create a clonal cell line, we further selected the most optimal ABE paired gRNA-A6 and transfected into fresh HEK 293T cells followed by a serial dilution for single cell sorting (**Sup. Fig. 1b**). Targeted sequencing of the *ELP1* locus in genomic DNA extracted from clonal cell lines confirmed that some harbored homozygous *ELP1* T6C mutation and we selected a homozygous HEK 293T cell line harboring *ELP1* T6C and no other bystander edits (hereafter named HEK 293T-*ELP1*-TC6) to use throughout this study to screen and optimize base editor strategies for correcting the FD mutation.

Development of cytosine base editors to correct the *ELP1* T6C mutation

Using the newly developed HEK 293T-*ELP1*-TC6 homozygous cell line, we explored the potential of various cytosine base editors (CBEs) to correct the *ELP1* T6C mutation since CBEs can catalyze C•G to T•A edits[25]. We recognize that this approach has several challenges. For example, CBEs can only act in a narrow 'edit window' within the gRNA-Cas target site at a fixed distance from a PAM motif, but there are no NGG PAMs typically recognized by wild-type (WT) CRISPR-Cas9 enzymes for this specific *ELP1* site (**Fig. 1c**). Thus, this site is not accessible for WT CRISPR-Cas9 enzymes. Another complicating factor is that the target cytosine has two additional adjacent cytosines, which may lead to bystander edits (**Fig. 1c**). To overcome these challenges and identify an efficient and precise CBE strategy, we utilized previously engineered SpCas9 variants that have relaxed tolerance for NNN PAMs (named SpRY) or preference for an NGN PAM motif (named SpG)[26]. In addition, we designed eight different gRNAs to tile target sites across the *ELP1* T6C editable window for CBEs by placing the target cytosine at positions C4 to C11 (**Fig. 1c**). We tested various Cas enzymes linked with different deaminases and found that recently developed CBEs comprised of the TadCBEd deaminase[25] is more efficient than other conventional options such as BE4max[27] as well as prime editing strategies to edit the *ELP1* FD mutation (**Fig. 1d-e** and **Sup. Fig. 2a-c**). Therefore, we cloned TadCBEd deaminase into our SpRY and SpG Cas9 variants. TadCBEd-SpRY enabled C-to-T editing across nearly all gRNAs within this editing window, with the most promising result observed using gRNA C11 (NTG PAM), which achieved efficient target base correction without any bystander editing mutation (**Fig. 1d**). TadCBEd-SpG also resulted in C-to-T editing using all gRNAs with NGN PAMs (**Fig. 1d**). The most efficient combination identified across all CBE conditions was TadCBEd-SpG paired with gRNA-C6 (NGC PAM). While this condition resulted in bystander editing at two other cytosine sites (**Fig. 1e**), it is important to emphasize that these edits occur in the intronic region and have no negative

impact on exon 20 inclusion or *ELP1* splicing. Moreover, TadCBEd deaminase domain activity was superior to CBE6a and 6b (**Fig. 1f-g**). Taken together, these data demonstrate that optimized CBEs can correct the *ELP1* T6C mutation in human cells.

Optimization of an AAV-delivered base editing strategy to correct the *ELP1* T6C mutation

We then selected two conditions for further investigation: (1) TadCBEd-SpRY paired with gRNA-C11, which results in reasonable on-target editing with no bystander editing and (2) TadCBEd-SpG paired with gRNA-C6, which results in the highest on-target editing. To prepare for future in vivo applications, we applied a series of engineering strategies to produce an intein-split system to facilitate the packaging of these constructs into AAV vectors for in vivo delivery[24]. First, we cloned novel variants of intein-split systems expressing gRNAs in both C-terminal and N-terminal, which achieved efficient on-target editing in cells (**Fig. 2a-c**). Most importantly, we observed that TadCBEd-SpG and gRNA-C6 combination delivered via this intein-split system resulted in a significant increase in *ELP1* exon 20 inclusion, which was not observed with the TadCBEd-SpRY and gRNA-C11 combination (**Fig. 2d-f**). To better simulate *in vivo* conditions where BE expression may not be optimal, we performed titration experiments in R179H HEK 293T cells. This experiment allowed us to establish a dynamic range of activity across different constructs, reflecting more physiologically relevant expression levels. We observed that TadCBEd-SpG with gRNA C6 resulted in the highest levels of on-target *ELP1* TC6 correction (**Fig. 2g**). Lastly, we evaluated the impact of gRNA orientations relative to the base editor within these constructs, testing whether this architectural variation could impact the editing efficiency (**Fig. 2h**). Our findings revealed that tandem-facing gRNAs yielded slightly higher editing efficiency compared to the opposite-facing orientation. More importantly, this configuration resulted in a greater rescue of *ELP1* exon 20 inclusion (**Fig. 2i-k**). Collectively, these optimizations led to the development of a refined construct, paving the way for further in vivo testing.

Figure 2. Engineering an intein-split system for *in vivo* delivery via adeno- associated virus (AAV). a, Schematic of plasmid transfection experiments in HEK293T-*ELP1*-T6C cells using ITR-containing intein-split AAV production plasmid for TadCBEd fused with SpCas9 variants SpG or SpRY with N-terminus and C-terminus. Comparison between strategies with or without guide RNA (gRNA) expression in the N-term. **b-c**, C-to-T base editing to correct *ELP1* T6C in homozygous HEK293T cells using AAV plasmids illustrated in **panel a**. **d-e**, ELP1 protein splicing assessing exon 20 inclusion and quantification for splicing enhancement in HEK293T *ELP1* T6C cells treated with conventional base editor or intein-split plasmids. **f**, qPCR analysis of full-length *ELP1* transcript expression following treatment with conventional and AAV plasmid systems using SpCas9 variants SpG paired with gRNA-C6 and SpRY paired with gRNA-C11). **g**, C-to-T editing efficiency using DNA titration of intein-split AAV plasmid in HEK293T *ELP1* T6C cells. **h**, Schematic comparing opposite vs. tandem gRNA cassette

orientation relative to SpCas9 expression in ITR-containing intein-split AAV production plasmids. **i**, C-to-T editing efficiency comparison between opposite and tandem gRNA orientations in intein-split AAV plasmids. **j-k**, ELP1 protein splicing assessing exon 20 inclusion and quantification for splicing enhancement when HEK293T *ELP1* T6C cells treated with construct in **panel h**. Data in **panels b-c, e-g, l, and k** are from experiments in HEK293T cells; mean, s.e.m., and individual datapoints are shown for n = 2 or 3 independent biological replicates.

Assessment of CBE-mediated *ELP1* T6C correction specificity

Given that base editors can introduce unwanted genome-wide off-target edits, we performed an unbiased cell-based assay (GUIDE-seq2) and *in silico* prediction (Cas-OFFinder) to nominate putative off-target sites. GUIDE-seq2 is an updated version of GUIDE-seq[28,29]. We performed GUIDE-seq2 in HEK293T-*ELP1*-TC6 using nucleases SpG paired with gRNA C6 and SpRY paired with C11. Sequencing data from GUIDE-seq2 experiments revealed expected on-target editing (**Fig. 3a**) and integration of the GUIDE-seq dsODN tag (**Fig. 3b**). Off-target analysis revealed 5 and 6 off-target sites detected for SpG and SpRY, respectively (**Fig. 3c** and **Sup. Fig. 3a-b**). With SpG and gRNA-C6, about 70% of reads were attributable to on-target editing, while only about 55% for on-target reads for SpRY and gRNA-C11 (**Fig. 3d**), suggesting an increased on-target precision for the intended *ELP1* site targeting with SpG and gRNA-C6 when compared to SpRY and gRNA-C11. Analysis of putative off-target sites with 3 or fewer mismatches using Cas-OFFinder for SpG with gRNA-C6 or SpRY with gRNA-C11 revealed 17 and 84 sites, respectively (**Fig. 3e** and **Sup. Fig. 4a-c**). Importantly, among the 17 targets identified for SpG with gRNA-C6, two were also previously identified by GUIDE-seq2.

We next validated the list of a total of 20 nominated off-target sites from GUIDE-seq2 combined with CasOFFinder for SpG paired with gRNA-C6. For this validation step, we used three different cells that demonstrated precise on-target editing: HEK293T-*ELP1*-TC6 (**Fig. 3f** and **Sup. Fig. 5**), FD iPSC-derived sympathetic neurons (**Fig. 3f** and **Sup. Fig. 6a-b**), and FD patient fibroblasts (**Fig. 3f** and **Sup. Fig. 7**).

Off-target base editing was detected at 9 sites in HEK293T-ELP1-TC6 cells, 8 sites in FD iPSC-derived sympathetic neurons, and only a single site in FD patient primary fibroblasts (**Fig. 3g**). Notably, all significant off-target base editing was lower than 0.2% in absolute values when compared to untreated paired cells (**Fig. 3h**). In summary, these results demonstrate that our developed TadCBEd-nSpG base editor paired with gRNA-C6 can effectively correct *ELP1* T6C with minimal off-target editing in different human cell types.

Figure 3. Base editing specificity in correcting the *ELP1* T6C mutation. a-b, Results from GUIDE-seq2 experiments including analysis of insertion or deletion (indels; **panel a**) and quantification of reads containing the GUIDE-seq2 dsODN tag (**panel b**) in *ELP1* T6C HEK 293T cells when using SpCas9 variants SpG nuclease with gRNA-C6 or SpRY nuclease with gRNA-C11. **c**, Total number of GUIDE-seq2-detected off-target sites using SpG or SpRY. **d,** Percentage of total GUIDE-seq reads attributable to the on-target site or cumulative off-target sites. **e**, Number of putative off-target sites in the human genome with up to 3 mismatches for the spacers of gRNAs C6 and C11, as annotated by CasOFFinder. **f**, On-target C-to-T editing levels in HEK293T-*ELP1*-T6C cells, iPSC-derived FD-sympathetic neurons, and FD patient primary fibroblast cells when treated with TadCBEd-nSpG paired with gRNA-C6. **g**, Pie chart showing a summary of off-target sites validated for gRNA C6 and SpG across all 3 cell types in **panel f**, based on *in silico* CasOFFinder and GUIDE-seq2 nominations. **h**, Summary of on- and off-target base editing levels in three cell lines from **panel f**. Cells were untreated (naïve) or treated with TadCBEd-nPSG and gRNA C6. Genomic DNA was subjected to PCR for the on-target site and 19 off-target sites (nominated by CasOFFinder and GUIDE-seq2) with data analysis via CRISPResso2 for *n = 3* independent biological replicates. For panels **a-b** and **f**, mean, standard errors of the mean (s.e.m.), and individual data points are shown for *n = 3* or 9 independent biological replicates.

In vivo testing of *ELP1* base editing efficiency in a humanized FD mouse model and phenotypic recovery in human sympathetic neurons

To assess the translatability of our base editing approach, we used two different AAV serotypes, AAV2 and AAV9, to deliver our N- and C-terminal TadCBEd-nSpG and gRNA-C6 strategy in the humanized TgFD9 mouse model, which carries the full *ELP1* human gene with the FD mutation[30]. This model is phenotypically normal, as it expresses normal levels of mouse endogenous *ELP1*, but it faithfully recapitulates the *ELP1* splicing defect observed in FD patients, making it an ideal model for testing the effects of our base editing approach on rescuing

ELP1 splicing in vivo. We delivered AAV2-BE via intravitreal injections into TgFD9 mice (**Fig. 4a**) and AAV9-BE systemically in TgFD9 neonates (**Fig. 4b**). Analysis was conducted using two distinct cohorts for each delivery method, with varying doses and time courses (**Fig. 4a-b**). These two delivery approaches are highly translatable: AAV2 delivery via intravitreal injection provides a localized treatment that has the potential to rescue retinal degeneration, while AAV9 systemic delivery has the potential to address the systemic manifestations of the disease. AAV2-BE intravitreal delivery promoted up to 9% on-target editing in retinal cells, with average values close to 3% (**Fig. 4c-d**). In parallel, we observed a range of editing efficiency across different tissues with the systemic injections (**Fig. e-f**). Notably, liver was the most edited tissue with about 30% editing on average, and brain tissues reached up to 5% editing levels (**Fig. 4e-f**). To determine whether these editing levels could rescue *ELP1* exon 20 inclusion *in vivo*, we extracted RNA and calculated the % exon 20 inclusion levels in both liver and brain tissues. Remarkably, in TgFD9 mice injected with AAV9-BE, the percentage of *ELP1* exon 20 inclusion in the brain nearly doubled compared to untreated mice. In the liver, although the base editing efficiency was higher than in the brain, we observed only a 20% increase in *ELP1* exon 20 inclusion in treated TgFD9 mice compared to untreated controls (**Fig. 4g-i**). One possible explanation for this is the tissue-specific variability in the splicing of the mutant *ELP1* transcript. In the liver, the mutant transcript already splices relatively well, reaching an average of 66% exon 20 inclusion, even in untreated TgFD9 mice, whereas in the brain, splicing is much less efficient, with only an average of 18% exon 20 inclusion. The difference in baseline splicing efficiency could explain the more pronounced improvement in splicing following base editing in the brain. These striking findings demonstrate for the first time that these optimized base editors can significantly rescue the *ELP1* splicing in mouse brain.

To assess the therapeutic potential of our approach in rescuing the neuronal phenotype, we used FD iPSC-derived sympathetic neurons, as they accurately recapitulate many of the neuronal features characteristic of FD[31]. After treatment with AAV2-BE, we observed approximately 10% editing efficiency in the FD iPSC-derived sympathetic neurons. We recorded the electrical activity of these neurons at 5, 7, and 10 days post-transduction, comparing treated and untreated groups (**Fig. 4j** and **Sup. Fig. 4**). As previously described, FD sympathetic neurons exhibited hyperactivity when compared to neurons derived from healthy control hiPSCs (**Fig. 4k**). Notably, in FD sympathetic neurons treated with AAV2-BE, we observed a full recovery of activity to normal levels, demonstrating that even a 10% editing efficiency is sufficient to produce a significant improvement in critical FD-related phenotypes. In summary, the development and validation of a gene editing technology targeting the FD splicing mutation provides a groundbreaking approach to treating this devastating disorder. The significance of this work is underscored by the rapid advancements in gene editing and the severity of FD, a disease that currently lacks effective therapeutic options beyond supportive care. This study represents a pioneering effort to assess the therapeutic potential and safety of base editors in correcting the *ELP1* splicing defect, both in a mouse model and a human neuronal model of FD, thereby paving the way for future therapeutic interventions in FD.

Figure 4. AAV-mediated delivery of base editors for *in vivo ELP1* C6T editing. a, Schematic of local retina injections of dual AAV2-BE vectors expressing intein-split TadCBEd-SpG and gRNA C6 into *TgFD9* mice carrying the human *ELP1* transgene with T6C mutation. Mice retina ganglion cells were isolated and sorted based on GFP expression at 4 or 6 weeks post-injection. **b**, Schematic of P1–2 intravenous (IV) injections of AAV9-BE vectors expressing intein-split TadCBEd-SpG and gRNA C6 into *TgFD9* neonates. Mice were sacrificed, and tissues were harvested at 12 or 22 days post-injection. **c-f**, On-target C-to-T editing and potential bystander editing in the retina cells (**c-d**) or across different tissues (**e-f**) in each cohort treated base editors. **g-h.** *ELP1* splicing in liver (**g**) and brain (**h**) tissues after AAV9-BE treatment. **i**, Quantification of exon 20 inclusion as percentage of the control in mice tissues are shown in **panels g** and **h**. **j**, Schematic of AAV2-BE transduction in iPSC-derived FD sympathetic neurons. Cell culture plates equipped with multielectrode arrays (MEAs) were used to record the electrical activity of sympathetic neurons. **k**, Electrical activity of iPSC-derived FD sympathetic neurons recorded at 5, 7, and 10 days post-transduction. Measurements were taken from both untreated and AAV2-BE-treated neurons. The mean firing rate was used to quantify overall neuronal firing activity. For panel **k**, mean, standard errors of the mean (s.e.m.), and individual data points are shown for *n* = 3-6 independent biological replicates.

Discussion

FD is a sensory and autonomic neurodegenerative disease for which no effective treatments currently exist to halt the progressive neuronal loss that defines this devastating disorder. A permanent base editing therapy for FD holds the potential to transform disease care. Notably, because all FD patients carry at least one copy of the *ELP1* T6C mutation, and 99.5% are homozygous for this mutation[10,11], developing a precise and efficient strategy to correct the genetic cause of FD would benefit all affected individuals. Several efforts have been made to develop disease-modifying therapies, including small molecule SMCs, ASOs, and modified exon-specific U1 snRNAs [12-14]. While these approaches have shown promising effects in mouse models[12,14,15], confirming the therapeutic relevance of *ELP1* as a target, none offer a permanent solution or have achieved FDA approval. SMCs often lack specificity and are transient, while ASOs, exon-specific U1 snRNAs, and gene replacement therapies face limitations such as transient effects, unknown duration of expression, reliance on exogenous promoters, uncontrolled gene expression, and potential neurotoxicity. In contrast, genome editing technologies capable of permanently correcting the *ELP1* splicing mutation while preserving endogenous expression levels would address these challenges and represent a significant advance in therapy for FD.

Methods

Plasmids and oligonucleotides

Target site sequences for gRNAs are available in **Supplementary Table 2.** Plasmids used in this study are described in **Supplementary Table 3**; new plasmids related to genome editing technologies generated during this study will be available through Addgene. Oligonucleotide sequences are available in **Supplementary Table 4**. Briefly, base editor plasmids were generated by subcloning different TadA deaminase sequences into the NotI and BglII sites of pCMV-T7-ABEmax(7.10)-VRQR-P2A-EGFP (RTW5025; Addgene plasmid 140003) via isothermal assembly[32]. Additional mutations were cloned into BE plasmids using isothermal assembly the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (E0554; New England Biolabs; NEB). Expression plasmids for human U6 promoter-driven gRNAs were generated by annealing and ligating duplexed oligonucleotides corresponding to spacer sequences into BsmBI-digested pUC19-U6-BsmBI_cassette-SpCas9_gRNA (BPK1520; Addgene plasmid 65777). Npu intein-split ABE constructs were cloned into N- and C-terminal AAV plasmids (Addgene plasmids 137177 and 137178, respectively). The N-terminal vector was modified to include the deaminase domain and gRNA cassette. The C-terminal vector was modified to encode the spacers for *ELP1*-T6C.

HEK293T and fibroblast culture

Human HEK 293T cells (American Type Culture Collection; ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (HI-FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Transfections were performed 20 hours following seeding of 2×10^4 HEK 293T cells per well in 96-well plates.

Base editor transfections contained 70 ng of ABE expression plasmid and 30 ng gRNA expression plasmid (exception for specific experiments testing lower doses, which included a supplemented weight of a stuffer plasmid BPK1098 to reach 100 ng total DNA) mixed with 0.72 µL of TransIT-X2 (Mirus) in a total volume of 15 µL Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Transfection mixtures were incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature and distributed across the seeded HEK 293T cells. Experiments were halted after 72 hours and genomic DNA (gDNA) was collected by discarding the media, resuspending the cells in 100 µL of quick lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 5% glycerol, 25 mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 60 ng/µL Proteinase K (NEB)), heating the lysate for 6 minutes at 65 ºC, heating at 98 ºC for 2 minutes, and then storing at -20 ºC. For experiments to generate clonal cell lines, serial dilutions were performed to sort for single clones. Samples of supernatant media from cell culture experiments were analyzed monthly for the presence of mycoplasma using MycoAlert PLUS (Lonza).

For fibroblast cell culture, GMO2343 patient fibroblasts were thawed and incubated in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM-10%) fetal bovine (FBS) for 72 hours. Fibroblasts were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% HI-FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. For experiments involving fluorescence-activated cell sorting, the media was modified to contain 20% HI-FBS for recovery after sorting. Fibroblasts were transfected with Lipofectamine LTX (ThermoFisher) to deliver separate plasmids encoding base editors. Approximately 48 hours after transfection, GFP+ fibroblasts were sorted (MGH Pathology: Flow and Mass Cytometry Core (CNY) and DNA was extracted.

Human pluripotent stem cell (hPSC) lines

hPSC experiments were carried out *in vitro* with two hPSC lines: H9, a healthy control embryonic stem cell line, and S2, an FD patient derived iPSC line. H9 embryonic stem cells were purchased from WiCell, H9=WA-09 (female, NIH registry # 0062). S2 iPSCs were reprogrammed and characterized previously[31] from FD patient fibroblasts purchased from Coriell S2=GM04899 (female, 12 years old). The fibroblasts were previously reprogrammed, characterized[31] and resulting iPSCs have been employed to study FD *in vitro* [31,33–35]. Detailed stem cell maintenance has been previously described[33,34]. hPSCs were maintained in Essential 8 medium (Gibco, A15170-01) on Vitronectin (Thermo Fisher/Life Technologies, A14700, 5 ug/ml) coated cell culture plates and passaged regularly with EDTA (Sigma, ED2SS).

In vitro differentiation of hPSCs into sympathetic neurons

In vitro differentiation into sympathetic neurons was done as previously described[33,34]. On day 0, hPSCs were dissociated in EDTA for 20 min at 37°C, washed with 1X PBS, and plated on Geltrex (Invitrogen, A1413202) coated plates at $1.25*10^5$ cells/cm^2. On day 0-1 cells were maintained in Essential 6 medium (Gibco, A15165-01) supplemented with 0.4 ng/ml BMP4 (PeproTech, 314-BP), 10 uM SB431542 (R&D Systems, 1614), and 300 nM CHIR99021 (R&D Systems, 4423). From day 2 to day 10, cells were fed with Essential 6 medium containing 10 uM SB431542 and 0.75 uM CHIR99021. On day 10, neural crest cells were rinsed with 1x PBS twice, then

dissociated with Accutase (Corning, AT104500) for 20 min. at 37°C. Dissociated neural crest cells were again rinsed with 1X PBS and replated onto ultra low-attachment 24 well cell culture dishes (Corning, 07 200 602) at $0.5*10^6$ cells/well. Sympathetic neuron progenitors were maintained in 3D spheroid cultures from day 10 to day 14 in Neurobasal media (Gibco, 21103-049) containing 1% N2 supplement (Gibco, 17502-048), 2% B27 supplement (Gibco, 17502-048), 1% L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher/Gibco, 25030-081), 3 uM CHIR99021, and 10 ng/ml FGF2 (R&D Systems, 233-FB/CF). On day 14, sympathetic neuron progenitors were dissociated in Accutase for 20 min. at 37°C, washed in 1X PBS, and replated on PO (Sigma, P3655)/LM (R&D Systems, 3400-010-01)/FN (VWR/Corning, 47743-654) coated cell culture dishes at $1.0*10^5$ cells/cm^2. Sympathetic neuron growth and maturation was supported by maintaining cells in Neurobasal medium containing 1% N2 supplement, 2% B27 supplement, 1% L-glutamine, 25 ng/ml GDNF (PeproTech, 450), 25 ng/ml BDNF (R&D Systems, 248-BD), 200 uM Ascorbic Acid (Sigma, A8960), 25 ng/ml NGF (PeproTech, 450-01), and 200 uM dbcAMP (Sigma, D0627) with 0.125 uM RA (Sigma, R2625). RA was added fresh at each feeding from day 14 onwards. Number of independent experiments (biological replicates, n) were defined as independent differentiations started at least 3 days apart or from a freshly thawed vial of hPSCs.

AAV2-BE transduction in iPSC derived neurons and phenotype observation

FD iPSC-derived sympathetic neurons were transduced with AAV2-BEs. On day 21 of the sympathetic neuron differentiation, viruses were added to Neurobasal media with N2/B27/L-glutamine/GDNF/BDNF/Ascorbic Acid/NGF/dbcAMP/RA. Old media was removed from the sympathetic neuron containing wells, and a low volume of media containing viruses (30 ul/96 well or 250 ul/24 well) was added to the cells and incubated at 37°C for 6 hours. After 6 hours fresh Neurobasal media with N2/B27/L-glutamine/GDNF/BDNF/Ascorbic Acid/NGF/dbcAMP/RA was added to the wells to increase total media in the wells, so they did not dry out overnight (total 150 ul/96 well or 500 ul/24 well). 24 hours after initial transduction, media containing viruses was removed from the wells and replaced with fresh Neurobasal media with N2/B27/L-glutamine/GDNF/BDNF/Ascorbic Acid/NGF/dbcAMP/RA.

Brightfield images of transduced sympathetic neurons were taken regularly after transduction to monitor neuron growth and any morphological or viability related effect of the viruses. Fluorescent images were taken using the Lionheart FX Automated Microscope to assess effective transduction with AAV2:GFP. To harvest gDNA from sympathetic neurons, five days after transduction, sympathetic neurons were washed with 1X PBS. Then, using a cell scraper, neurons were detached from the plate in ice cold 1X PBS and collected in Eppendorf tubes. Dried cell pellets were then flash frozen and shipped on dry ice for further gDNA processing. Electrical activity of sympathetic neurons was performed as previously described[33,34]. Briefly, on day 14 of sympathetic neuron differentiations, cells were plated onto 96-well CytoView MEA plates coated with PO/LM/FN. These cells were transduced as described above. Neural activity was measured at the desired timepoints with the Axion Maestro Pro multiwell plate reader using the neural detection mode.

Next-generation sequencing and data analysis

The genome modification efficiencies of nucleases, base editors, and prime editors were determined by next-generation sequencing (NGS) using a 2-step PCR-based Illumina library construction method, similar to as previously described[26]. Briefly, genomic loci were amplified from approximately 50 ng of gDNA using Q5 High-fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) and the primers (**Supplementary Table 4**). PCR products were purified using paramagnetic beads prepared as previously described[36,37]. Approximately 20 ng of purified PCR-1 products were used as template for a second round of PCR (PCR-2) to add barcodes and Illumina adapter sequences using Q5 and primers (**Supplementary Table 4**) and cycling conditions of 1 cycle at 98 ºC for 2 min; 10 cycles at 98 ºC for 10 sec, 65 ºC for 30 sec, 72 ºC 30 sec; and 1 cycle at 72 ºC for 5 min. PCR products were purified prior to quantification via capillary electrophoresis (Qiagen QIAxcel), normalization, and pooling. Final libraries were quantified by qPCR using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Complete kit; Universal) (Roche) and sequenced on a MiSeq sequencer using a 300- cycle v2 kit (Illumina). On-target genome editing activities were determined from sequencing data using CRISPResso2[38] using parameters: CRISPResso -r1 READ1 -r2 READ2 --amplicon_seq--amplicon_name --guide_seq GUIDE -w 20 --cleavage_offset -10 for nucleases and CRISPResso -r1 READ1 -r2 READ2 --amplicon_seq --guide_seq GUIDE -w 20 --cleavage_offset -10 --base_editor_output --conversion_nuc_from C --conversion_nuc_to T --min_frequency_alleles_around_cut_to_plot 0.001.

Off-target analysis via GUIDE-seq2

GUIDE-seq2 (Lazzarotto & Li et al, *in preparation*) is an adapted version of the original GUIDE-seq method[28,29]. Briefly, approximately 20,000 HEK 293T cells were seeded per well in 96-well plates ~20 hours prior to transfection, performed using 29 ng of nuclease expression plasmid, 12.5 ng of gRNA expression plasmid, 1 pmol of the GUIDE-seq double-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide tag (dsODN; oSQT685/686)[28,29], and 0.3 µL of TransIT-X2 (Mirus). Genomic DNA was extracted ~72 hours post transfection using the DNAdvance Kit (Beckman Coulter) according to manufacturer's instructions, and then quantified by Qubit (Thermo Fisher). On-target dsODN integration was assessed by PCR amplification, library preparation, and next-generation sequencing as described above, with data analysis via CRISPREsso2[38] run in non-pooled mode by supplying the target site spacer, the reference amplicon, and both the forward and reverse dsODN-containing amplicons as 'HDR' alleles with custom parameters: -w 25 -g GUIDE --plot_window_size 50. The fraction of alleles bearing an integrated dsODN was calculated as the number of reads mapped to the forward dsODN amplicon plus the number of reads mapped to the reverse dsODN amplicon divided by the sum of the total reads mapped to all three amplicons.

GUIDE-seq2 reactions were performed essentially as described (Lazzarotto & Li et al, *in preparation*) with minor modifications. Briefly, the Tn5 transposase was prepared by combining 36 µL hyperactive Tn5 (1.85 mg/mL, purified as previously described), 15 µL annealed i5 adapter oligos encoding 8 nucleotide (nt) barcodes and 10-nt unique molecular indexes (UMIs) (**Supplementary Table 4**), with 52 µL 2x Tn5 dialysis buffer (100 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.2, 200 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 0.2% Triton X-100, and 20% glycerol) for 60

minutes at 24 ºC. Tagmentation reactions were performed in 40 µL reactions for 7 minutes at 55 ºC, containing approximately 250 ng of genomic DNA, 8 µL of the assembled Tn5/i5 -transposome, and 8 µL of freshly prepared 5x TAPS-DMF buffer (50 mM TAPS-NaOH, 25 mM $MgCl_2$, and 50% dimethylformamide (DMF)). Tagmentation reactions were halted using 5 µL of a 50% proteinase K (NEB) solution (mixed with H_2O) with incubation at 55 ºC for 15 minutes, purified using SPRI-guanidine magnetic beads, and analyzed via TapeStation with High Sensitivity D5000 tapes (Agilent). Separate PCR reactions were performed using dsODN sense- and antisense-specific primers (**Supplementary Table 4**) using Platinum Taq (Thermo Fisher), with a thermocycler program of 95 ºC for 5 minutes, followed by 15 cycles of temperature cycling (95 ºC for 30 s, 70 ºC (-1 ºC per cycle) for 120 s, and 72 ºC for 30 s), 20 constant cycles (95 ºC for 30 s, 55 ºC for 60 s, and 72 ºC for 30 s), an a final extension at 72 ºC for 5 minutes. PCR products were purified using SPRI beads and analyzed via QIAxcel (Qiagen) prior to sample pooling to form single sense- and antisense- libraries. Libraries were purified using the Pippin Prep (Sage Science) DNA size selection system to achieve a size range of 250-500 base pairs. Sense- and antisense-libraries were quantified using Qubit (Thermo Fisher) and pooled in equal amounts to achieve a final concentration of 2 nM. The library was sequenced using NextSeq1000/2000 P3 kit (Illumina) with cycle settings of 146, 8, 18, 146. Demultiplexed sequencing reads were down sampled to ensure equal numbers of reads for samples being compared using the same gRNA. Data analysis was performed using an updated version of the open-source GUIDE-seq2 analysis softwareClick or tap here to enter text. (https://github.com/tsailabSJ/guideseq/tree/V2) with the max_mismatches parameter set to 6.

AAV production

Plasmids encoding TadCBEd-SpG split into N-term and C-terminal fragments via an Npu intein and gRNA C6 for packaging into AAV2 and AAV9 vectors were cloned as previously described[24,39,40] (see **Supplementary Table 3** for plasmids). AAV2 or AAV9 vectors encoding BEs and gRNAs were produced by PackGene Biotech Inc. or by our local core facility at Grousbeck Gene Therapy Center, Schepens Eye Research Institute and Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary. AAVs were produced from endo-free plasmid DNA in a triple transfection (ITR-flanked ExspeU1-eGFP, packaging, and Adeno-helper plasmids) in HEK293 cells. After 3 days, the viral vector was isolated from the combined lysate and cell media via sequential high salt, benzonase (for non-AAV protected DNA digestion) treatment, lysate clearing via high-speed centrifugation, Tangential Flow Filtration (for volume reduction and clearing), Iodoxinol gradient ultracentrifugation (for purification and separation of empty vs full particles) and buffer exchange and formulation in PBS via molecular weight cutoff filtration. Preparation was undergone titration via digital droplet PCR, and quality control for purity was assessed via SDS-PAGE.

Mice and AAV-BE treatment *in vivo*

The generation of the *TgFD9* mice carrying the human *ELP1* transgene with intron 20 6 T>C mutation was previously generated [30]. Control and TgFD9 mice have a mixed background, including C57BL/6J and C57BL/6N. Both sexes were included in this study. The mice were housed in the animal facility at Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA), provided with access to food and water ad libitum, and maintained on a 12-hour light/dark

cycle. All experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Massachusetts General Hospital and were in accordance with NIH guidelines. For routine genotyping of progeny, genomic DNA was prepared from tail biopsies, and PCR was carried out using the following primers - forward, 5`-TGATTGACACAGACTCTGGCCA-3'; reverse, 5`-CTTTCACTCTGAAATTACAGGAAG-3'- to discriminate the *ELP1* alleles and the primers - forward 5`-GCCATTGTACTGTTTGCGACT-3'; reverse, 5`-TGAGTGTCACGATTCTTTCTGC-3'- to detect the *TgFD9* transgene.

For Intravitreal injection of AAV-BE vectors, *TgFD9* mice were anesthetized by placing them in a mobile isoflurane induction chamber, and the vaporizer was set to an isoflurane concentration of 2% at 2 L/min O2. Pupils of the mice were dilated using 2.5% phenylephrine and 1% tropicamide. About 0.5% proparacaine is used as a topical anesthetic during the procedure for 1-2min. Genteal gel was used to keep the eyes moist and avoid corneal dryness and opacities. The body temperature of the mouse was kept stable at 37°C throughout the procedure. Under the control of a stereo microscope (Discovery.V20, Zeiss), an incision was made into the sclera posterior of the superior limbus using a sterile, sharp 30-gauge (G) needle without touching the lens. A microliter Hamilton syringe attached to a 34G blunt needle was carefully inserted into the same incision, and 1 µl of the indicated AAV vector combination (45% N-term, 45% C-term, 10% eGFP) at a total dose of 1.5×10^9 or 1×10^{10} vg was injected slowly into the vitreous using manual pressure. The needle was kept inside the vitreous area for at least 1 minute after the injection to avoid reflux of viral suspension. After the completion of intravitreal AAV injections, triple antibiotic ointment (neomycin, bacitracin, and polymyxin B) was applied, and mice were injected subcutaneously with the analgesic buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg).

For systemic injections, AAV-BE were combined as indicated and injected into the temporal facial vein of neonatal mice (P1-P2) according to a previously described protocol[41]. Briefly, neonatal mice were immobilized using cryoanesthesia by freezing on wet ice for 30–60s without direct contact. AAV9 N and C terminal vectors were combined at doses of 3×10^{10} or 1.6×10^{11} vector genomes (vg)/g diluted in PBS (ThermoFisher Scientific). The pups were monitored for deep anesthesia and were placed under an anatomical microscope. An insulin syringe with a 31-gauge needle (BD, USA), containing an aliquot of the AAV vector was inserted into the temporal vein. Once the content of the syringe was injected into the facial vein. Pups were then warmed with a heat pack to recover from low body temperature and returned to the dam.

Isolation of retinal cell suspension

After dissection, retinas were dissociated into single cells using 45U of papain (Worthington, Cat. #LS003126) solution (1mg L-Cystine, Sigma; 8 KU of DNase I, Affymetrix; in 5 mL DPBS) as previously published[32]. The retina was then incubated at 37C for 20min, followed by the replacement of buffer with 2mL ovomucoid solution (15 mg ovomucoid, Worthington Biochemical; 15 mg BSA Thermo Fisher Scientific; in 10 mL DPBS) and 500ul deactivated FBS. Following the enzymatic digestion, the retinas were carefully triturated and filtered using 20 mm filter. Trituration steps were repeated with an additional 1mL ovomucoid solution until no tissue was visible. The single cell suspension was then spun down at 300g, 4C for 10 min.

Extraction of gDNA and RNA from mouse tissues

Genomic DNA was extracted from mouse tissues using the Agencourt DNAdvance protocol (Beckman Coulter). Briefly, ~10 to 20 mg frozen tissue samples were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min prior to treatment. Lysis reactions were performed using LBH lysis buffer, 1 M DTT, and proteinase K (40mg/mL) in 200 μL reactions, incubated overnight (18 to 20 hr) at 55 °C with shaking at 100 RPM. gDNA was purified from lysate using Bind BBE solution containing magnetic beads and performing three washes with 70% ethanol. DNA was eluted in 200 μL of Elution buffer EBA, and the approximate concentrations of gDNA were quantified by Nanodrop.

Brain and liver tissues were removed and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissues were homogenized in ice-cold TRI reagent (Molecular Research Center, Inc., Cincinnati, OH, USA), using a TissueLyser (Qiagen). Total RNA was extracted using the TRI reagent procedure provided by the manufacturer. The yield, purity, and quality of the total RNA for each sample were determined using a Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer. According to the manufacturer's protocol, reverse transcription was performed using 1 μg of total RNA, Random Primers (Promega), and Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen)[17,18].

RT-PCR analysis of full-length and mutant *ELP1* transcripts

RT-PCR was performed using the cDNA equivalent of 100 ng of starting RNA in a 30-μl reaction, using GoTaq® green master mix (Promega) and 30 amplification cycles (94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s). Human-specific *ELP1* primers - forward, 5`- CCTGAGCAG CAATCATGTG -3; reverse, 5`-TACATGGTCTTCGTGACATC-3'- were used to amplify human *ELP1* isoform. PCR products were separated on 1.5% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide. The relative amounts of WT and mutant (Δ20) *ELP1* spliced isoforms in a single PCR were determined using ImageJ and the integrated density value for each band as previously described[17,18,30,42]. The relative proportion of the WT isoform detected in a sample was calculated as a percentage.

RT-qPCR analysis of full-length and mutant *ELP1* transcripts

Tissues were homogenized in ice-cold QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Qiagen), using Qiagen TissueLyser II (Qiagen). Similarly, human fibroblasts were collected, and RNA was extracted with QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. The yields of the total RNA for each sample were determined using a Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer. Full-length and mutant *ELP1* mRNA expression was quantified by quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis using CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad). Reverse transcription and qPCR were carried out using One Step RT-qPCR (BioRad) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The mRNA levels of full-length *ELP1*, mutant Δ20 *ELP1* and *GAPDH* were quantified using Taqman-based RT-qPCR with a cDNA equivalent of 25 ng of starting RNA in a 20-μl reaction. To amplify the full-length *ELP1* isoform, FL *ELP1* primers forward, 5`- GAGCCCTGGTTTTAGCTCAG -3`;

reverse, 5`- CATGCATTCAAATGCCTCTTT -3` and FL *ELP1* probe 5`-
TCGGAAGTGGTTGGACAAACTTATGTTT-3` were used. To amplify the mutant (Δ20) *ELP1* spliced isoforms,
Δ20 *ELP1* primers forward, 5`- CACAAAGCTTGTATTACAGACT -3`; reverse, 5`-
GAAGGTTTCCACATTTCCAAG -3` and Δ20 *ELP1* probe 5`- CTCAATCTGATTTATGATCATAACCCTAAGGTG
-3` were used to amplify the mutant (Δ20) *ELP1* spliced isoforms. The *ELP1* forward and reverse primers were
each used at a final concentration of 0.4 µM. The *ELP1* probes were used at a final concentration of 0.15 µM.
Mouse *GAPDH* mRNA was amplified using 20X gene expression PCR assay (Life Technologies, Inc.). RT-qPCR
was carried out at the following temperatures for indicated times: Step 1: 48°C (15 min); Step 2: 95°C (15 min);
Step 3: 95°C (15 sec); Step 4: 60°C (1 min); Steps 3 and 4 were repeated for 39 cycles. The Ct values for each
mRNA were converted to mRNA abundance using actual PCR efficiencies. *ELP1* FL and Δ20 mRNAs were
normalized to *GAPDH* and vehicle controls and plotted as fold change compared to vehicle treatment. Data were
analyzed using the SDS software.

Data availability

Primary datasets will be available in **Supplementary Table 6**. Sequencing datasets will be deposited with the
NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA). Plasmids from this study will be made available through Addgene.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the following individuals for their technical contributions: Sabyasachi Das (in vivo injections and tissue collection), Sydnee Dymock (mouse colony maintenance), and Paolo Pigini (PCR splicing analysis). We thank Dr. Alejandra Gonzalez-Duarte and Dr. Horacio Kaufmann of the Dysautonomia Treatment and Evaluation Center at New York University Medical School for their helpful discussions. C.R.R.A was supported by a Charles A. King Trust Postdoctoral Research Fellowship, Bank of America, N.A., Co-Trustees, a James L. and Elisabeth C. Gamble Endowed Fund for Neuroscience Research / Mass General Neuroscience Transformative Scholar Award, a MGH Physician/Scientist Development Award, and a National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant K01NS134784. B.P.K. was supported by an MGH Howard M. Goodman Fellowship, the Kayden-Lambert MGH Research Scholar Award 2023-2028, and National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants DP2CA281401, P01HL142494 and R01NS125353. A.C. is supported by the Knights Templar Eye Foundation career starter grant and funding from the Familial Dysautonomia Foundation. E.M. was supported by the HMS Ruth and Maurice Freeman Award for Pain Research and NIH grants R01NS124561 and R01NS095640. S.A.S. was supported by the NIH grant R01NS095640.

Author contributions

S.Y., A.C., B.P.K., E.M. and C.R.R.A. conceived of and designed the study. All authors designed, performed, or supervised experiments, and/or analyzed data. S.Y. performed plasmid cloning, cell culture experiments in HEK293T cells, NGS assays, and additional molecular experiments. S.Y. and K.K. performed fibroblast experiments. J.A. and N.Z. conducted experiments using iPSCs derived FD neurons. A.C., and K.K. conducted the *in vivo* experiments using *TgFD9* mice. S.Y., E.M. and C.R.R.A wrote the manuscript with contributions or revisions from all authors.

Competing interests

B.P.K., E.M. and C.R.R.A are inventors on a patent application filed by Mass General Brigham (MGB) that describes genome editing technologies to treat FD. B.P.K. and C.R.R.A. are inventors on additional patents or patent applications filed by MGB that describe genome engineering technologies, including to treat SMA. S.A.S. is an inventor on several U.S. and foreign patents and patent applications assigned to the Massachusetts General Hospital, including U.S Patents 8,729,025 and 9,265,766, both entitled "Methods for altering mRNA splicing and treating familial dysautonomia by administering benzyladenine," filed on August 31, 2012 and May 19, 2014 and related to use of kinetin; and U.S. Patent 10,675,475 entitled, "Compounds for improving mRNA splicing" filed on July 14, 2017 and related to use of BPN-15477. E.M. and S.A.S. are inventors on an International Patent Application Number PCT/US2021/012103, assigned to Massachusetts General Hospital and entitled " RNA Splicing Modulation" related to use of BPN-15477 in modulating splicing.B.P.K. is a consultant for

EcoR1 capital, Novartis Venture Fund, and Jumble Therapeutics, and is on the scientific advisory boards of Acrigen Biosciences, Life Edit Therapeutics, and Prime Medicine. B.P.K. has a financial interest in Prime Medicine, Inc., a company developing therapeutic CRISPR-Cas technologies for gene editing. E.M. is a consultant for ReviR Therapeutics. C.R.R.A is a consultant for Ilios Therapeutics and Biogen and holds stocks in publicly traded companies developing gene therapies. B.P.K. and C.R.R.A interests were reviewed and are managed by MGH and MGB in accordance with their conflict-of-interest policies. The other authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Slaugenhaupt, S. A. *et al.* Tissue-specific expression of a splicing mutation in the IKBKAP gene causes familial dysautonomia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **68**, 598–605 (2001).

2. Slaugenhaupt, S. A. Genetics of familial dysautonomia. *Clin. Auton. Res.* **12**, I15–I19 (2002).

3. Cuajungco, M. P. *et al.* Tissue-specific reduction in splicing efficiency of IKBKAP due to the major mutation associated with familial dysautonomia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **72**, 749–758 (2003).

4. Axelrod, F. B. & Gold-von Simson, G. Hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathies: types II, III, and IV. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* **2**, 39 (2007).

5. González-Duarte, A., Cotrina-Vidal, M., Kaufmann, H. & Norcliffe-Kaufmann, L. Familial dysautonomia. *Clin. Auton. Res. Off. J. Clin. Auton. Res. Soc.* **33**, 269–280 (2023).

6. Leyne, M. *et al.* Identification of the first non-Jewish mutation in familial Dysautonomia. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A.* **118A**, 305–308 (2003).

7. Norcliffe-Kaufmann, L., Slaugenhaupt, S. A. & Kaufmann, H. Familial dysautonomia: History, genotype, phenotype and translational research. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **152**, 131–148 (2017).

8. Norcliffe-Kaufmann, L. & Kaufmann, H. Familial dysautonomia (Riley-Day syndrome): when baroreceptor feedback fails. *Auton. Neurosci. Basic Clin.* **172**, 26–30 (2012).

9. Axelrod, F. B. & Abularrage, J. J. Familial dysautonomia: a prospective study of survival. *J. Pediatr.* **101**, 234–236 (1982).

10. Axelrod, F. B. & Pearson, J. Congenital sensory neuropathies. Diagnostic distinction from familial dysautonomia. *Am. J. Dis. Child. 1960* **138**, 947–954 (1984).

11. Familial dysautonomia - Axelrod - 2004 - Muscle & Nerve - Wiley Online Library. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/mus.10499.

12. Norcliffe-Kaufmann, L., Axelrod, F. & Kaufmann, H. Afferent baroreflex failure in familial dysautonomia. *Neurology* **75**, 1904–1911 (2010).

13. Hilz, M. J. *et al.* Assessing function and pathology in familial dysautonomia: assessment of temperature perception, sweating and cutaneous innervation. *Brain J. Neurol.* **127**, 2090–2098 (2004).

14. Axelrod, F. B., Nachtigal, R. & Dancis, J. Familial dysautonomia: diagnosis, pathogenesis and management. *Adv. Pediatr.* **21**, 75–96 (1974).

15. Kaufmann, H. & Biaggioni, I. Autonomic failure in neurodegenerative disorders. *Semin. Neurol.* **23**, 351–363 (2003).

16. Donadon, I. *et al.* Exon-specific U1 snRNAs improve ELP1 exon 20 definition and rescue ELP1 protein expression in a familial dysautonomia mouse model. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **27**, 2466–2476 (2018).

17. Morini, E. *et al.* Development of an oral treatment that rescues gait ataxia and retinal degeneration in a phenotypic mouse model of familial dysautonomia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **110**, 531–547 (2023).

18. Morini, E. *et al.* ELP1 Splicing Correction Reverses Proprioceptive Sensory Loss in Familial Dysautonomia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **104**, 638–650 (2019).

19. Romano, G. *et al.* Rescue of a familial dysautonomia mouse model by AAV9-Exon-specific U1 snRNA. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **109**, 1534–1548 (2022).

20. Salani, M. *et al.* Development of a Screening Platform to Identify Small Molecules That Modify *ELP1* Pre-mRNA Splicing in Familial Dysautonomia. *SLAS Discov.* **24**, 57–67 (2019).

21. Schultz, A. *et al.* Reduction of retinal ganglion cell death in mouse models of familial dysautonomia using AAV-mediated gene therapy and splicing modulators. *Sci. Rep.* **13**, 18600 (2023).

22. Sinha, R. *et al.* Antisense oligonucleotides correct the familial dysautonomia splicing defect in IKBKAP transgenic mice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, 4833–4844 (2018).

23. Anzalone, A. V., Koblan, L. W. & Liu, D. R. Genome editing with CRISPR–Cas nucleases, base editors, transposases and prime editors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **38**, 824–844 (2020).

24. Alves, C. R. R. *et al.* Optimization of base editors for the functional correction of SMN2 as a treatment for spinal muscular atrophy. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* **8**, 118–131 (2024).

25. Neugebauer, M. E. *et al.* Evolution of an adenine base editor into a small, efficient cytosine base editor with low off-target activity. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **41**, 673–685 (2023).

26. Walton, R. T., Christie, K. A., Whittaker, M. N. & Kleinstiver, B. P. Unconstrained genome targeting with near-PAMless engineered CRISPR-Cas9 variants. *Science* **368**, 290–296 (2020).

27. Koblan, L. W. *et al.* Improving cytidine and adenine base editors by expression optimization and ancestral reconstruction. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 843–846 (2018).

28. Tsai, S. Q. *et al.* GUIDE-seq enables genome-wide profiling of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 187–197 (2015).

29. Malinin, N. L. *et al.* Defining genome-wide CRISPR-Cas genome-editing nuclease activity with GUIDE-seq. *Nat. Protoc.* **16**, 5592–5615 (2021).

30. Hims, M. M. *et al.* A humanized IKBKAP transgenic mouse models a tissue-specific human splicing defect. *Genomics* **90**, 389–396 (2007).

31. Zeltner, N. *et al.* Capturing the biology of disease severity in a PSC-based model of familial dysautonomia. *Nat. Med.* **22**, 1421–1427 (2016).

32. Siegert, S. *et al.* Transcriptional code and disease map for adult retinal cell types. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**, 487–495 (2012).

33. Wu, H.-F. *et al.* Norepinephrine transporter defects lead to sympathetic hyperactivity in Familial Dysautonomia models. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 7032 (2022).

34. Wu, H.-F. *et al.* Parasympathetic neurons derived from human pluripotent stem cells model human diseases and development. *Cell Stem Cell* **31**, 734-753.e8 (2024).

35. Saito-Diaz, K. *et al.* Genipin rescues developmental and degenerative defects in familial dysautonomia models and accelerates axon regeneration. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **16**, eadq2418 (2024).

36. Rohland, N. & Reich, D. Cost-effective, high-throughput DNA sequencing libraries for multiplexed target capture. *Genome Res.* **22**, 939–946 (2012).

37. Kleinstiver, B. P. *et al.* Engineered CRISPR-Cas12a variants with increased activities and improved targeting ranges for gene, epigenetic and base editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 276–282 (2019).

38. Clement, K. *et al.* CRISPResso2 provides accurate and rapid genome editing sequence analysis. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 224–226 (2019).

39. Ramirez, S. H. *et al.* An Engineered Adeno-Associated Virus Capsid Mediates Efficient Transduction of Pericytes and Smooth Muscle Cells of the Brain Vasculature. *Hum. Gene Ther.* **34**, 682–696 (2023).

40. Hanlon, K. S. *et al.* Selection of an Efficient AAV Vector for Robust CNS Transgene Expression. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* **15**, 320–332 (2019).

41. Gombash Lampe, S. E., Kaspar, B. K. & Foust, K. D. Intravenous Injections in Neonatal Mice. *J. Vis. Exp. JoVE* 52037 (2014) doi:10.3791/52037.

42. Shetty, R. S. *et al.* Specific correction of a splice defect in brain by nutritional supplementation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **20**, 4093–4101 (2011).