Multiple full-length homozygous IGH haplotypes from Mauritian cynomolgus macaques

Simone Olubo[1]*, William S. Gibson[1]*, Trent M. Prall[2], Julie A. Karl[2], Roger W. Wiseman[2,3], David

H. O'Connor[2,3], Daniel C. Douek[1#], Chaim A. Schramm[1#]

[1]Vaccine Research Center, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National

Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA.

[2]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, School of Medicine and Public Health,

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53705, USA.

[3]Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison,

Wisconsin 53715, USA.

*These authors contributed equally to this work

[#]Correspondence to ddouek@mail.nih.gov or chaim.schramm@nih.gov

Abstract

Background:

Nonhuman primates are valuable experimental models for human disease pathology and

vaccine design. However, the vast and mostly uncatalogued immunogenomic diversity of typical

species adds complexity to the interpretation of experiments and hinders reproducibility.

Mauritian cynomolgus macaques (MCM) offer a unique opportunity to circumvent these

difficulties, due to their restricted genetic diversity.

Results:

We assembled high-quality immunoglobulin heavy chain (IGH) haplotypes from long-read

genomic sequencing of 13 MCM. Four animals were homozygous for IGH, yielding 3 distinct

haplotypes, termed H1, H2, and H3. IGH haplotype H1 was observed in two of the homozygotes

and 5 additional heterozygous animals, accounting for half of the assemblies recovered. H1

shares only 83% average sequence identity with the IGH locus of the rhesus macaque

reference genome, in addition to numerous large structural variations. The other two

homozygous haplotypes exhibited considerable variation, including a 60 kilobase (Kbp) deletion

and 200 Kbp insertion relative to H1. Furthermore, we annotated the IG gene content from all

complete MCM IGH assemblies and found 288 functional IGHV alleles, of which 94 (33%) were

not in existing databases. We also identified 68 functional IGHD alleles, 11 functional IGHJ

alleles, and 33 functional constant gene alleles across all 5 isotypes.

Conclusions:

We identified multiple common and genetically diverse IGH haplotypes within MCM and provide

high-quality reference assemblies and annotations for these to facilitate future work with this

important animal model.

Keywords: Mauritian cynomolgus macaque, immunogenetics, IGH

Background

Cynomolgus (*Macaca fascicularis*) and rhesus (*Macaca mulatta*) macaques share a close

evolutionary history with humans and are widely used as biomedical models of human health

[1−7]. Mauritian-origin cynomolgus macaques (MCMs) descend from a small (~20) founding

population introduced to the island of Mauritius in the 16th century. As a result of this bottleneck

event, MCMs exhibit significantly constrained genetic diversity compared to other cynomolgus

and rhesus macaques [8]. This makes today's population of more than 50,000 MCM [8,9]

excellent model organisms especially in the context of immunological studies where germline

variation can significantly impact results [10–12].

Immune loci such as the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) [13–18], killer cell

immunoglobulin-like receptors (KIR) [19,20], and Fc-gamma receptors (FcγR) [21] all have

limited genetic diversity in MCM. Relatively little is known about the T cell receptor (TR) [11,22–

24] and IG loci [11,25,26] in MCM. The high density of segmental duplications and repetitive

elements, as well as the presence of large structural variations, make accurate assembly of

these loci difficult [25,27,28]. The IGH locus is particularly challenging and requires specialized

sequencing and assembly methods alongside purpose-built annotation tools [27–33].

B cells produce receptors (BCR) and antibodies (Ab) to neutralize or destroy viruses, bacteria,

aberrant cells, and other antigenic material. The generation of a diverse Ab repertoire relies on

somatic rearrangement of genomic DNA in an individual B cell to create a complete BCR/Ab

sequence, a process called V(D)J rearrangement. In the germline, individual IG genes, including

variable (V), diversity (D), and joining (J) genes, are selected and joined together permanently

altering the resident B cell's genome. V(D)J rearrangement in the IG heavy chain locus (IGH)

generate a VDJ sequence corresponding to the variable region of an Ab heavy chain. The light

chain loci, kappa and lambda, do not contain D genes and generate VJ recombination

sequences which encode the Ab light chain variable region [34]. The genetic content and

organization of the IG loci impact which genes are used most frequently in functional

repertoires, and thus are of great interest for immunological studies [35–39].

As an alternative to genomic sequencing, the germline sequences of variable (V), diversity (D),

and joining (J) genes can be inferred from adaptive immune receptor repertoire sequencing

(AIRR-seq) [40–43]. The Karolinska Macaque database (KIMDB) [26,27] contains 615 and 14

functional IGHV and IGHJ alleles, respectively, inferred from 18 cynomolgus macaques of

Indonesian and Mauritian origin. Of these, 279 IGHV and 11 IGHJ alleles were found specifically

in MCM. Another recent study added an additional 84 inferred IGHV alleles from 3 Chinese-

origin cynomolgus macaques [11]. The large number of reported IGH alleles from a relatively

small number of sequenced individuals suggests the macaque IGH loci harbor significant

diversity, most of which has not yet been characterized as is true for many other species

[35,44,45].

Despite these successes, AIRR-seq-derived inferences have limited ability to resolve V, D, and

J genes due to the removal of germline nucleotides during V(D)J rearrangement from the 3' end

of V genes, 3' and 5' end of D genes, and 5' end of J genes. Additionally, most AIRR-seq-

derived sequences capture only a small fraction of the constant (C) gene and are unable to

reveal C gene polymorphisms which can impact function [46,47]. Furthermore, large structural

variations within the IGH locus have been observed in macaques [25,27,29], similar to other

primates [28], and humans [35]. High quality genomic references are thus essential for

elucidating the complexity of the IGH loci in MCMs and accurate annotation of rearranged IGs.

Additionally, the power of the MCM as a model organism relies on a comprehensive

understanding of genetic diversity within the constrained population.

While there are multiple high quality, annotated assemblies of the IG loci for rhesus macaques

[25,27,29,48], the only genomic IG reference for cynomolgus macaques is an unlocalized

scaffold from a 2013 draft genome primarily generated using 2x100 bp paired-end short reads

[49]. A new telomere-to-telomere cynomolgus reference genome has recently become available

[50] but IG genes have not been annotated. In addition, there are known to be differences in

IGH germline alleles and gene usage between MCM and other cynomolgus populations [26].

To fill in this gap, we leveraged long-read whole-genome sequencing data from 13 MCMs [20] to

generate curated and annotated assemblies of the MCM IGH locus. Despite the genetic

restriction of MCMs, we identified significant allelic and structural variation between haplotypes

further demonstrating the potential of MCMs as a powerful model for immunogenetic

investigation and reproducibility.

Results

Efficient assembly of IGH from whole genome long read sequencing

Long read technologies enable the assembly of highly repetitive regions and the resolution of

structural variants, including IGH [28,30,51]. We previously generated whole genome

sequencing of 13 MCMs using a combination of Oxford Nanopore Technology and PacBio HiFi

sequencing [20]. We assembled the reads for each animal using Hifiasm [52,53] and extracted

the IGH locus from contigs that either partially or completely spanned the region. We recovered

13 scaffolds from 10 animals that spanned the entire IGH locus from IGHA to the most distal

IGHV7 gene (Table 1). Notably, four animals were homozygous in IGH (Fig 1a and Fig S1).

The most common haplotype ("H1") was present in two of the homozygotes (CY0568 and

CY0695) with relatively uniform read coverage and an average depth of 7.4x and 10.3x,

respectively, across the IGH locus (Fig 1a). Large spikes in coverage occurred over repetitive

elements due to off-target reads mapping to these regions. We identified five additional H1

haplotypes from heterozygous animals based on average sequence identity >99% and no

structural variants greater than 2 Kbp. Two of these heterozygous H1 haplotypes in subjects

CY330 and CY0558 were fragmented into two and three contigs, respectively (Table 1). We

combined the three contiguous H1 haplotypes from heterozygotes with the two homozygous

haplotypes to generate an H1 consensus for further analysis (Fig 1b). Remarkably, the five H1

haplotypes were 99.999% identical, differing at only 20 positions across the 2 megabase (Mbp)

H1 haplotype. All variable positions fell within intergenic regions (Fig 1, Fig S2). Overall, this

suggests that the H1 consensus is representative of the common H1 haplotype and can serve

as a reliable genetic reference for analysis of MCM IGH. In addition, haplotypes H2 and H3

were identified from additional homozygous MCM, and haplotypes H4, H5, and H6 were

identified from heterozygous MCM (Table 1).

We used ALIGaToR [54] to annotate the IG genes present in H1 (Fig 2a-b). As per the current

recommendations of the T-cell Receptor and Immunoglobulin Nomenclature Sub-Committee

(TR-IG NSC) of the International Union of Immunological Societies [55], we have assigned

temporary labels to these genes using IgLabel [44] (see Methods). Correspondences between

temporary labels and the names currently used by KIMDB are available in Table S1. We found

80 functional, 3 ORF, and 40 pseudo IGHV genes; 38 functional and 6 ORF IGHD genes; 5

functional and 2 ORF IGHJ genes; and 8 functional IGHC genes. Of the functional and ORF

alleles, 61 IGHV, 29 IGHD, and 7 IGHJ alleles (73%, 66%, and 100%, respectively) were

previously annotated in KIMDB [26]. The novel alleles were distributed throughout the locus and

across IGHV and IGHD gene families (Fig 2c). Notably, when compared to the IGHV alleles

present in the existing IMGT cynomolgus genomic reference, only 69 (83%) of the H1 IGHV

alleles had close orthologs (≥95% sequence identity; Fig S3), highlighting the need for updated

allele databases fully capture the immunogenetic diversity of this species.

Differences between MCM and the rhesus Mmul_10 genomic reference

We conducted a comparative analysis of the H1 haplotype with the IGH locus of the rhesus

macaque reference assembly Mmul_10 [29]. The Mmul_10 IGH locus contains 79 IGHV genes,

44 IGHD, 7 IGHJ, and 8 IGHC genes [56]. The H1 haplotype has one more IGHV genes, six

fewer IGHD genes, the same number of IGHC genes and the same number of IGHJ genes

though two are designated as ORFs by ALIGaToR (Fig 3). Only 5 IGHV alleles are shared

exactly between Mmul_10 and H1, while 7/75 (9%) Mmul_10 IGHV alleles and 11/83 (13%) H1

IGHV alleles share less than 95% sequence identity with any allele in the other haplotype (Fig

S4). In addition, there are large structural variations between these haplotypes, consistent with

comparisons between other primate species [28]. These structural variations are localized to the

IGHV region of the locus and include the insertion or deletion of multiple functional genes,

resulting in the H1 haplotype being 103 Kbp larger than the Mmul_10 haplotype. There are also

large differences in nucleotide sequence between the H1 and Mmul_10 haplotypes with only

83% identity even in regions that can be aligned between species. Divergence time between

rhesus and cynomolgus macaque is estimated at 0.49 to 0.90 million years ago [57]. To

compare divergence between rhesus and cynomolgus macaque we aligned whole genome

contigs from CY0695 (H1) to Mmul_10 and computed the frequency of single nucleotide variant

(SNV) events across all Mmul_10 covered bases. The average frequency of SNV events across

the genome is 4.93 bases per 1 Kbp while in IGH only the average frequency is 12.38 bases per

1 Kbp. These results suggest the accelerated divergence of the IGH locus between rhesus

macaque and MCM relative to other genomic regions [58] which is consistent with the IGH

region in other ape species [28].

Repetitive content within MCM IGH

To evaluate highly repetitive regions across H1 we used the *high multiplicity repeat content*

(HMRC) metric to compute the fraction of positions that are covered by repeats of minimum

length L at least N times [59]. Positions of repeats were computed by self-alignment of H1 using

YASS [60] with a minimum repeat length of 15 Kbp and a minimum coverage of 5. Previous

studies have shown that HMRC in the IGH locus can vary significantly across species [59]

[28,61]. In H1 we found five HMRC regions in total covering 367 Kbp (18%) of the locus (Fig

4a). Four of the HMRC regions cover a total of 14 V genes (17%) (Fig 4c-d) and a single HMRC

region covers 38 (100%) of D genes (Fig 4b). High repeat density across D genes is present in

other ape species and human [28,62]. The four HMRC regions across V genes fell in two larger

repetitive clusters (Fig 4c-d). The proximal region (Fig 4c) spans ~450 Kbp, contains 24

functional IGHV alleles and two HMRC regions. The two HMRC regions span 44 Kbp and 30

Kbp respectively; the first contains one IGHV gene and one IGHV pseudogene, while the

second contains two IGHV genes which differ from each other by 19 bases (93.6%) and 25

bases (95.8%) in the V exon. The distal region (Fig 4d) is ~400 Kbp and contains 20 functional

IGHV genes and two HMRC regions. The first HMRC region is 155 Kbp and contains eight

IGHV genes while the second is 69 Kbp and contains three IGHV genes. These patterns of

highly homologous sequence clusters are a common feature of the immunoglobulin loci across

different species and are frequently hotspots of structural variation within a species

[28,35,63,64]. This demonstrates the power and necessity of long-read sequencing approaches

like the one used here, as short-read technologies often fail at resolving complex and highly

repetitive regions like the IGH locus [30,65].

Structural variation between MCM IGH haplotypes

We then compared the H1 haplotype to two additional haplotypes, H2 and H3, resolved from

homozygous MCM. H2 was distributed over 3 scaffolded contigs, but spanned the entire locus,

while H3 was comprised of a single full-length contig. Similar to other studies of immunogenetic

regions in these animals [16,20], we found a high degree of haplotype diversity (Fig 5). The H1

IGH haplotype is the shortest at 2.07 Mbp, while the H2 and H3 haplotypes are 2.3 Mbp and

2.48 Mbp respectively. The sequence disparity between haplotypes is primarily due to large

gene-rich structural variations. The IGHC, IGHJ, and IGHD regions contain little or no structural

variation though the sequence identity in these regions varies from ~92% to >99%. In contrast,

the IGHV region contains significant structural variation which primarily occur in or directly

adjacent to highly repetitive gene-rich regions. Haplotypes H1 and H2 primarily differ at the

proximal end of the IGHV region with interspersed regions of 95-97.5% sequence identity and

two large structural variations approximately 600 Kbp and 1,400 Kbp into the locus (Fig 5). The

distal end of H1 and H2 have large blocks of highly homologous sequence with >99% identity

(Fig 5). Comparatively, the H3 haplotype has a high degree of sequence variation across the

entirety of the IGHV region relative to both H1 and H2 (Fig 5). Among regions of structural

similarity across the IGH locus, the H1 haplotype has a sequence identity of 99.06% and

98.14% to H2 and H3, respectively.

Relative to H1, the H3 haplotype contains a ~60 Kbp deletion and a large ~400 Kbp insertion

characterized by multiple segmental duplications in the proximal highly repetitive region (Fig

6a). While the segmental duplications in this region share greater than 99.99% identity, we were

able to identify multiple ONT reads that spanned the segmental duplication breakpoints. In this

region, H1 contains 26 functional IGHV genes while H3 contains 52. Among these, H1 includes

two genes absent in H3 while H3 contains 14 genes not found in H1, as well as a tandem

duplication containing 14 genes (28 total). No genes shared between H1 and H3 have identical

sequences. Comparing this region across haplotypes H1, H2, H3, and H6 (see below) reveal a

potential progression of deletions and duplications that contribute to the divergence between H1

and H3 (Fig 6b). The H2 haplotype retains all 23 genes found in this segment of H1 and

includes an additional ~200 Kbp insertion containing 14 genes that match those in H3. All genes

shared between H1 and H2 are sequence identical, with overlapping regions having ≥99.85%

sequence identity. Haplotype H6, like H2, contains the ~200 Kbp insertion absent in H1 but also

features a ~60 Kbp deletion that contains two genes in H1. Of the 38 genes overlapping

between H6 and H2, only 10 sequences are identical, and all lie within the ~200 Kbp insertion

region. The H3 haplotype contains an additional ~200 Kbp duplication that significantly overlaps

the insertion found in H2 and H6. Notably, H3 and H6 have 99.88% sequence identity in this

region and all 39 distinct genes have identical sequence. In fact, the coding alleles of H3 and H6

are identical, outside of the deletion. This suggests a recent duplication, similar to that observed

for the MHC class I *Maga-AG*/*Mafa-G* region in these animals [16]. The H3 and H6 haplotypes

are 99.19% identical to H2 and 99.03% identical to H1 with the lowest sequence identity found

in the regions immediately flanking the 60 Kbp deletion. Structural variations in the IGH region

of humans [35–37] and mice [38,66,67] can significantly impact IGHV gene usage and V(D)J

rearrangement. These results suggest that MCMs would be an ideal NHP model to test the

impact of structural variation on V(D)J rearrangement and downstream response in therapeutic

and vaccine studies, eg by investigating difference in the expressed repertoires between H3-

and H6-homozygous animals.

Mapping the range of IGH genetic diversity within MCM

In addition to the complete H1 and H3 haplotypes recovered from homozygous animals, we also

recovered a full-length H2 assembly and three additional fully contiguous haplotypes (H4, H5,

and H6) from four heterozygous animals, each of which representing a unique haplotype (Table

1, Fig S5). We found that plurality of IGHV alleles (123/288, 43%) are uniquely found in a single

haplotype (Fig 7a). An additional 113 alleles (39%) were found in exactly two haplotypes, due to

the large blocks of high sequence identity in the IGHV region shared between H1/H2 and H3/H6

(Fig 5, Fig 6b, Fig S6). By contrast, IGHD alleles were predominately seen across all six

haplotypes (18/68, 26%) or unique to a haplotype (20/68, 29%). (Fig 7b). No clear pattern was

observed for IGHJ alleles (Fig 7c).

The structural variation among macaques in immune loci like IGH makes it difficult to align

genomes with sufficient resolution to identify sets of alleles that originate from the same gene

[30,62,68]. Instead, we used PIgLET [31] to group coding sequences into Allele Similarity

Clusters (ASCs), using an identity cutoff of 95% (90% for IGHD alleles) to approximate the

similarity among alleles of a single gene. We found 97 IGHV, 36 IGHD, and 7 IGHJ ACSs

across all six haplotypes. In general, IGHV ASCs contain multiple alleles per ASC, with 35 IGHV

ASCs (36%) having four or more alleles (Fig 7d). In contrast, IGHD ASCs predominantly

contained one or two alleles, with only six IGHD ASCs (17%) having four or more alleles (Fig

7e). The IGHJ ACSs followed a similar pattern, with three of the seven ASCs having a single

allele with the remainder having two alleles per ASC (Fig 7f). While the shorter length of IGHD

and IGHJ alleles compared to IGHV alleles would require a smaller number of nucleotide

variants to separate sequences into distinct ASC clusters, the conservation of IGHJ allele

sequences and the bimodal frequency of unique and common IGHD alleles across all

haplotypes suggest multiple factors influencing the diversity of these genes. Importantly, many

IGHD alleles are duplicated, such that there are 68 unique sequences but 81 total alleles.

Moreover, some of these duplications are conserved, with all 6 haplotypes carrying at least two

identical copies of IGHD22-W6OT*01 and IGHD3-XNAE*05. Notably, IGHD coding alleles

appear to be strongly conserved across macaques, with a previous study having found 29/54

(54%) total observed IGHD alleles to be expressed by all animals in all subsets of both Rhesus

and Cynomolgus species [26].

To assess the extent of MCM immunogenetic diversity, we compared the alleles recovered from

the 13 animals in this study to those inferred from repertoire sequencing of an independent

group of 12 MCM [26]. Of 373 total IGHV alleles across both studies, only 194 (52%) were

present in both groups of animals (Fig 7g). The 94 alleles that were found exclusively in the

current cohort were uniformly distributed across all 6 haplotypes (Fig S6a) and across the locus

within each haplotype (Fig 2c). These likely have low usage in the expressed repertoires, which

would have prevented their recovery by [26]. To determine if the 85 IGHV alleles present only in

KIMDB might represent unmapped haplotypes, we annotated 5 unscaffolded partial IGH contigs

(Table 1). This suggested the existence of two additional haplotypes (H7 and H8) and yielded 72

further IGHV alleles, including 41 KIMDB alleles that had not been captured on H1-H6 (Fig

S6b). Furthermore, 40/44 remaining KIMDB IGHV alleles not present in the genomic data can

be accounted for by pairs of alleles that differ only at position 320 or beyond, which are known

to be difficult to infer from rearranged sequences [69] (Fig S6c). The high concordance between

the two cohorts provides further evidence that 12 animals are sufficient to capture most of the

restricted genetic diversity of MCM even in IGH.

Low overlap was also observed for IGHD alleles, with only 39/76 (51%) observed in both

cohorts (Fig 7h), although with significantly more unique alleles in the current study than in the

prior cohort. This imbalance likely reflects the difficulty of reconstructing germline IGHD gene

sequences from repertoire sequencing data [41,70] rather than true genetic differences between

the cohorts. Notably, the addition of the two partial haplotypes did not lead to any further shared

alleles between the two databases, consistent with the differences in IGHD not being due to

sampling.

We also considered allelic diversity in the constant chains. Eight IGHC genes were annotated in

the expected order on all 10 fully spanning assemblies (Table 2). However, IgG4 was not

automatically annotated by ALIGaToR in haplotypes H3 and H6 due to loss of the M2 exon. The

observation that the H3 and H6 haplotypes share identical allelic variants across the IGHC

region suggest that this is not a sequencing or assembly artifact. Further study will be required

however, to determine if this represents a functional allele with a truncated intracellular domain

or the pseudogenization of IgG4 in these haplotypes.

Within IgG, subtypes were labeled by genomic position, rather than sequence homology to

other species or inferred function [71]. Similar to previous reports [71] human and macaque IgG

clustered separately, with all macaque subtypes being more similar to each other than to any

human subtype (Fig 8). Within the macaques, cynomolgus IgG1, IgG2, and IgG4 each clustered

together with their rhesus ortholog. Notably, however, IgG3 did not form a distinct cluster, with

the MCM alleles instead falling between rhesus IgG3 and cynomolgus IgG4. Additionally, only

IGHG3*01, on the H1 and H5 haplotypes, is similar to cynomolgus IgG3 alleles previous

documented by IMGT. The other haplotypes carry IGHG3*02, which is in the same relative

position as IGHG3*01 but has a shorter hinge region and is closer in sequence to the IGHG4

alleles. Indeed, the coding sequence of IGHG3*02 here is identical to that of cynomolgus

IGHG4*02 in the in current IMGT database. It is unclear if these IgGs function more similarly to

the cynomolgus IgG4 alleles or the IGHG3*01 allele. Moreover, the diversity in this part of the

genome is limited to only 2 total alleles, compared to 4-5 alleles present at each of the other IgG

subtype genes. Together, these data suggest a complex evolutionary history in this region.

Discussion

Overall, we have provided the first full-length, curated genomic reference sequences for IGH in

cynomolgus macaques. In addition, by analyzing sequences from 13 animals, we were able to

not only characterize inter-species variation between cynomolgus and rhesus macaques, but

also assess the immunogenetic diversity within the MCM population, as well. Together with

other, immune receptor genes such as MHC, KIR, NKGC, and FCGR, IGs play an outsized role

in the course of infectious disease and the response to vaccination [72–76]. Despite this,

controlling for genetic variation in these highly polymorphic loci is extremely challenging in

outbred species like primates. Crucially, we found that a single dominant haplotype was present

in half of the animals studied, highlighting the potential of MCMs for disentangling and

assessing the role of genetic factors, due to the restricted genetic diversity in this population.

We have previously used long-read technology to resolve complete haplotypes of the genetic

loci for MHC, KIR, natural killer group 2 (NKG2) receptors, and Fcγ receptors in MCM

[16,20,76]. Here, we assembled and characterized 6 full-length haplotypes of MCM IGH,

including three from homozygous animals. The predominant haplotype, H1, accounted for half

of the full-length IGH assemblies recovered. These results are consistent with our previous

analyses of these animals, which found that 3 MCM in this study are homozygous for a common

NKG2 haplotype (N1) with a frequency of 40% [20]. As these are the first full-length curated

assemblies of the IGH region in cynomolgus macaques, this represents a significant advance in

the genomic resources available for this species. Moreover, knowledge of the specific IgG

alleles carried by MCM will provide a fuller understanding of their interactions and binding

affinity to the Fcγ receptors present in this subspecies [76].

Collectively, the haplotypes reported here identify a high total degree of sequence diversity

moderated by the relative abundance of a common haplotype. Regions of high sequence

homology between haplotypes can nonetheless contain structural variations (Fig 5), with both

small (Fig 4) and large (Fig 6) segmental duplications in gene-rich regions. Haplotype diversity

is even more extreme when compared to rhesus macaque (Fig 3), further signifying the

importance of accurate genomic references to account for genetic variation. Structural variation

in the IGH, IGK, and IGL loci of humans has been correlated with differential gene usage [35–

37]. While SNV and structural variation in the IGH locus can significantly alter gene usage, the

mechanisms and impact of genetic variation on the expressed repertoire is not well understood.

The diverse haplotypes characterized from this genetically restricted population represent a

powerful model to further explore the associations between germline and phenotype. For

example, a vaccine study involving two separate groups of genetically restricted MCMs can

elucidate the impact of genetic features on vaccine response.

Our study is limited by the small number of animals sequenced and the limited sequencing

depth achieved for some of the animals, especially for the ONT reads necessary to span large

SVs and scaffold assemblies of the entire IGH locus. Thus, we fully assembled 13 loci, which,

accounting for the 4 homozygous animals, cover 17/26 (65%) copies of the IGH locus. Analysis

of partial assemblies and comparisons to an existing database indicated the presence of at least

two additional haplotypes beyond the six analyzed here. In addition, 6/10 (60%) of the animals

with at least one complete assembly are heterozygous, raising the possibility of assembly errors

confounding our analyses [77]. Finally, we currently lack sufficient data to fully trace the history

of complex structural variations across haplotypes and confidently link alleles from orthologous

genes. Instead, we have relied on ASCs, which collapse evolutionarily recent duplications that

still maintain high sequence homology.

In addition to structural variation across haplotypes, more data are needed to distinguish

between the likely ancestral IGH haplotypes of the MCM founder population and more recent

recombinants. Previous work has identified 7, 8, 8 and 7 MCM haplotypes for MHC, KIR, FCGR

and NKG2, respectively [76,78–81]. Each of these loci is on a different chromosome and

therefore expected to segregate independently. Similarly, we currently describe 6 IGH

haplotypes, with evidence for two additional haplotypes present in these animals (Table 1) and

other MCM (Fig 7g, Fig S6b). Moreover, we found large blocks of high sequence homology

between H1 and H2 (Fig 5, Fig 6b), as well as between H3 and H6 (Fig 6b). The restricted

genetic diversity of this subspecies thus provides a tractable system for the analysis of genetic

effects on the expressed repertoire and responses to vaccines and other immunological

challenges.

Conclusions

In total, we annotated over 400 unique alleles across all IGH gene types, 132 (33%) of which
are not present in a previous MCM database. Furthermore, 43% of IGHV alleles and 29% of
IGHD alleles were unique to a specific haplotype (Fig 7 a-b). The large number of novel alleles
and the diversity in gene and allele content between haplotypes demonstrates the necessity of
genotyping study animals and continuing to add to databases. Future work will link genomic
analysis with AIRR-seq data to better understand the functional effects of genetic
diversity[35,45], with the potential to provide insights into the biological mechanisms
underpinning different immune responses. Overall, the extensive analysis of the IGH region
here has revealed significant biological implications for the usage of MCMs and cynomolgus
macaques in biomedical research.

Methods

Assembly and mapping

Whole genome sequencing using PacBio and Oxford Nanopore Technology for 13 MCM were
retrieved from NCBI (PRJNA854973 [20]) and assembled *de novo* with Hifiasm [52,53] using
the command:

```
hifiasm -o {output.asm} -t16 --ul {Nanopore.fq.gz} {PacBio.fq.gz}
```

Contigs either partially or fully spanning the IGH locus were identified using BLAST+ with the
Mmul_10 IGH locus as the query. Contigs spanning the centromeric edge of the IGH locus were
trimmed using an anchor sequence ~2 Kbp centromeric of the IgA gene. To assess assembly

381 quality over the IGH locus HiFi and Nanopore reads were mapped back to the assembly contigs

382 and IGH locus contigs were visually inspected [82]:

383   ```
         minimap2 -ax map-pb {reference}.fa {reads.fastq} > {output.sam}
         ```

384  Coverage was calculated using `samtools coverage` and plotted in R using `ggplot2`.

385  The H1 consensus was generated in Geneious Prime version 2022.1.1 (Biomatters Ltd.) and

386  minimap2 was used to map each full-length H1 contig against the consensus:

387      ```
         minimap2 -ax asm20 {H1-cons.fasta} {input.fasta} > {output.sam}
         ```

388 and bcftools [83] was used to call variants:

389   ```
         bcftools mpileup -f {H1-cons.fasta} {input.bam} |
390   bcftools call -mv -o {input.vcf}
         ```

391  The SNVs were then visualized in Geneious.

393  *Gene Annotation*

394  ALIGaToR [54] was used to annotate the IGH assemblies starting from the IMGT [84]

395  annotations of the Mmul_10 reference genome. Recombination signal sequences (RSS) were

396  predicted based on RSS Information Content [85] using Dnagrep [86] in ALIGaToR. *M.*

397  *fascicularis* IGHV, IGHD, and IGHJ coding sequences were downloaded from KIMDB [26] and

398  filtered to only those identified as present in at least one MCM. These were combined with

399  coding sequences of *M. fasciularis* IGHC from IMGT and used to identify shared alleles. The

400  final ALIGaToR command was:

401      ```
         aligator annotate contig.fasta contig.RSS12.bed contig.RSS23.bed \
402             IGH IMGT_reference.fasta IMGT_reference.bed --outgff contig.gff \
403             --outfasta functional_genes.fa \
         ```

```
404                 --alleledb KIMdb_IMGT_reference_sequences.fa \
405                 --psfasta pseudo_genes.fa
```

Piglet [31] was then use to separately cluster all unique coding alleles of V, D, and J genes

across the 27 IGH contigs (Table 1) and the KIMDB MCM subset. V and J genes used

`allele_cluster_threshold=95`, while D genes were clustered with

`allele_cluster_threshold=90`. For V genes only, `trim_3prime_side=318` was used,

while D and J had `trim_3prime_side=NULL`. For each ASC, IgLabel [44] was used to assign a

single temporary label, with allele numbers added manually based on ASC membership. For

IGHV and IGHD, family numbers are matched as much as possible to those reported in a recent

immunogenetic survey of rhesus macaques [45], though individual ASCs were not screened for

sequence homology to that database. For IGHC, allele numbers were assigned manually with

*01 corresponding to the H1 haplotype and no screening for homology to alleles in the current

IMGT database (of which only 3 were observed in these animals).

The annotations were visualized as a number line schematic using `matplotlib`. Tile plots and

bar graphs were visualized using `ggplot2`. Venn diagrams were created using the `eulerr`

package in R. The neighbor-joining tree was generated using the `ape` package and visualized

using `color.plot.phylo` from the `picante` package.

Identifying High Multiplicity Repeat Content Regions

To identify regions of HMRC we first self-aligned the H1 haplotype to itself using YASS[60]

using default settings and the -d 2 option for tabular blast format output. This table was then

filtered to remove alignments less than L=15 Kbp and to remove self-alignments where query

and target coordinates are equal. We then calculated the number of unique target alignments

over every query region to determine the regions with coverage N=5 or greater and filtered out

the rest. Any overlapping regions were then merged. Due to the presence of telomeric sequence

at the distal end of the H1 haplotype, 27,752 bp of telomeric sequence was masked from this

analysis.

Structural Variation

Alignments between haplotypes were generated using minimap2 [82]:

`minimap2 -x asm20 -c -eqx -secondary=no {input_1.fasta} {input_2.fasta} >`
`{output.paf}`

SVbyEye [87] was used to visualize sequence alignments. A minimum alignment size cutoff of

9000, 13000, and 10000 were used to generate Fig 3, Fig 5, and Fig 6 respectively. To filter out

alignments, the `breakPaf` or `breakPafAlignment` commands were used to expand PAF

alignments which were then subset to the specified cutoffs. In addition, the SVbyEye commands

`addAnnotation` and `plotSelf` were modified to replace default coloring and shape parameters

with custom coloring and shape parameters. The locus coordinates used for Fig 6 are

H1:950,000-1,450,000, H2:989,287-1,697,206, H6:964,653-1,595,927, and H3:964,529-

1,803,724. PatchWorkPlot [88] (https://github.com/yana-safonova/PatchWorkPlot) was used to

generate dot plots of self-alignments (Fig 4). The command used was '`python`

`PatchWorkPlot.py -i input_config.csv -o patchwork_output --cmap jet --`

`reverse-cmap false`' with input fastas corresponding to the different haplotypes or regions of

focus. StainedGlass [89] was used to generate dot plots of haplotype comparisons (Fig 6). In

brief, sequences for comparison were indexed using samtools [83] and used as inputs for the

StainedGlass snakemake pipeline using default parameters.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Animal selection PBMCs and splenocytes were obtained from 13 MCM housed at the Wisconsin

National Primate Research Center during semiannual health checks or at necropsy. The

animals were selected for analysis based on previously established MHC and KIR genotypes

[78,81,90,91]. Sampling was performed in concordance with protocols approved by the

University of Wisconsin-Madison Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, as well as

guidelines contained within the Animal Welfare Act, the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory

Animals, and the Weatherall report [92]

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

Genomic sequencing for this study was downloaded from NCBI BioProject with accession

number PRJNA854973. Assembled IGH contigs and annotations have been deposited in

Genbank with accession numbers PX411181-PX411201.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National

Institutes of Health (NIH). The contributions of the NIH authors are considered Works of the

United States Government. The findings and conclusions presented in this paper are those of

the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NIH or the U.S. Department of Health

and Human Services.

This work was also supported in part by contract 75N93021C00006 to David O'Connor from the

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, National Institutes of Health.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: RWW, DHO, DCD, CAS

Data curation: SO, WSG, TMP, CAS

Formal Analysis: SO, WSG, CAS

Investigation: TMP, JAK

Supervision: RWW, DHO, DCD, CAS

Visualization: SO, WSG, CAS

Writing – original draft: SO, WSG, CAS

Writing – review & editing: all authors

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

References

References

1. Anderson DJ, Kirk AD. Primate Models in Organ Transplantation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.

2013;3:a015503.

2. Burwitz BJ, Wu HL, Abdulhaqq S, Shriver-Munsch C, Swanson T, Legasse AW, et al. Allogeneic stem cell

transplantation in fully MHC-matched Mauritian cynomolgus macaques recapitulates diverse human

clinical outcomes. Nat Commun. 2017;8:1418.

3. Knechtle SJ, Shaw JM, Hering BJ, Kraemer K, Madsen JC. Translational impact of NIH-funded

nonhuman primate research in transplantation. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11:eaau0143.

4. Shiina T, Blancher A. The Cynomolgus Macaque MHC Polymorphism in Experimental Medicine. Cells.

2019;8:978.

5. D'Souza SS, Kumar A, Maufort J, Weinfurter JT, Raymond M, Strelchenko NS, et al. Assessment of

safety and immunogenicity of MHC homozygous iPSC-derived CD34+ hematopoietic progenitors in an

NHP model. Blood Adv. 2022;6:5267–78.

6. Gardner MB, Luciw PA. Macaque models of human infectious disease. ILAR J. 2008;49:220–55.

7. Shedlock DJ, Silvestri G, Weiner DB. Monkeying around with HIV vaccines: using rhesus macaques to

define "gatekeepers" for clinical trials. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009;9:717–28.

8. Sussman RW, Tattersall I. Distribution, Abundance, and Putative Ecological Strategy of Macaca

fascicularis on the Island of Mauritius, Southwestern Indian Ocean. Folia Primatol (Basel). 1986;46:28–

43.

9. Osada N, Hettiarachchi N, Adeyemi Babarinde I, Saitou N, Blancher A. Whole-genome sequencing of

six Mauritian Cynomolgus macaques (Macaca fascicularis) reveals a genome-wide pattern of

polymorphisms under extreme population bottleneck. Genome Biol Evol. 2015;7:821–30.

10. Mikocziova I, Greiff V, Sollid LM. Immunoglobulin germline gene variation and its impact on human

disease. Genes Immun [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Jul 8]; Available from:

http://www.nature.com/articles/s41435-021-00145-5

11. Zhu Y, Tang H, Xie W, Chen S, Zeng H, Lan C, et al. The multilevel extensive diversity across the

cynomolgus macaque captured by ultra-deep adaptive immune receptor repertoire sequencing. Sci Adv.

2024;10:eadj5640.

12. Colman K. Impact of the Genetics and Source of Preclinical Safety Animal Models on Study Design,

Results, and Interpretation. Toxicol Pathol. 2017;45:94–106.

13. Doxiadis GGM, de Groot N, Otting N, de Vos-Rouweler AJM, Bolijn MJ, Heijmans CMC, et al.

Haplotype diversity generated by ancient recombination-like events in the MHC of Indian rhesus

macaques. Immunogenetics. 2013;65:569–84.

14. Karl JA, Bohn PS, Wiseman RW, Nimityongskul FA, Lank SM, Starrett GJ, et al. Major

Histocompatibility Complex Class I Haplotype Diversity in Chinese Rhesus Macaques. G3

GenesGenomesGenetics. 2013;3:1195–201.

15. Karl JA, Graham ME, Wiseman RW, Heimbruch KE, Gieger SM, Doxiadis GGM, et al. Major

histocompatibility complex haplotyping and long-amplicon allele discovery in cynomolgus macaques

from Chinese breeding facilities. Immunogenetics. 2017;69:211–29.

16. Karl JA, Prall TM, Bussan HE, Varghese JM, Pal A, Wiseman RW, et al. Complete sequencing of a

cynomolgus macaque major histocompatibility complex haplotype. Genome Res. 2023;33:448–62.

17. de Groot NG, de Groot N, de Vos-Rouweler AJM, Louwerse A, Bruijnesteijn J, Bontrop RE. Dynamic

evolution of Mhc haplotypes in cynomolgus macaques of different geographic origins. Immunogenetics.

2022;74:409–29.

18. Shortreed CG, Wiseman RW, Karl JA, Bussan HE, Baker DA, Prall TM, et al. Characterization of 100

extended major histocompatibility complex haplotypes in Indonesian cynomolgus macaques.

Immunogenetics. 2020;72:225–39.

19. Bruijnesteijn J, de Groot N, van der Wiel MKH, Otting N, de Vos-Rouweler AJM, de Groot NG, et al.

Unparalleled Rapid Evolution of KIR Genes in Rhesus and Cynomolgus Macaque Populations. J Immunol.

2020;204:1770–86.

20. Prall TM, Karl JA, Varghese JM, Baker DA, Minor NR, Raveendran M, et al. Complete Genomic

Assembly of Mauritian Cynomolgus Macaque Killer Ig-like Receptor and Natural Killer Group 2

Haplotypes. J Immunol. 2024;212:1754–65.

21. Conley HE, He MM, Easterhoff D, Kirshner HF, Cocklin SL, Meyer J, et al. Defining genetic diversity of

rhesus macaque Fcγ receptors with long-read RNA sequencing. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2024 [cited

2024 Nov 17];14. Available from:

https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1306292/full

22. Fu L, Li X, Zhang W, Wang C, Wu J, Yang H, et al. A comprehensive profiling of T- and B-lymphocyte

receptor repertoires from a Chinese-origin rhesus macaque by high-throughput sequencing. PLOS ONE.

2017;12:e0182733.

23. Brochu HN, Tseng E, Smith E, Thomas MJ, Jones AM, Diveley KR, et al. Systematic Profiling of Full-

Length Ig and TCR Repertoire Diversity in Rhesus Macaque through Long Read Transcriptome

Sequencing. J Immunol. 2020;204:3434–44.

24. Jaiswal S, Nyquist SK, Boyce S, Jivanjee T, Ibrahim S, Bromley JD, et al. Identification and

characterization of the T cell receptor (TCR) repertoire of the cynomolgus macaque (Macaca

Fascicularis). BMC Genomics. 2022;23:647.

25. Ramesh A, Darko S, Hua A, Overman G, Ransier A, Francica JR, et al. Structure and Diversity of the

Rhesus Macaque Immunoglobulin Loci through Multiple De Novo Genome Assemblies. Front Immunol.

2017;8:1407.

26. Bernat NV, Corcoran M, Nowak I, Kaduk M, Dopico XC, Narang S, et al. Rhesus and cynomolgus

macaque immunoglobulin heavy-chain genotyping yields comprehensive databases of germline VDJ

alleles. Immunity. 2021;54:355-366.e4.

27. Cirelli KM, Carnathan DG, Nogal B, Martin JT, Rodriguez OL, Upadhyay AA, et al. Slow Delivery

Immunization Enhances HIV Neutralizing Antibody and Germinal Center Responses via Modulation of

Immunodominance. Cell. 2019;177:1153-1171.e28.

28. Yoo D, Rhie A, Hebbar P, Antonacci F, Logsdon GA, Solar SJ, et al. Complete sequencing of ape

genomes. Nature [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Apr 10]; Available from:

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-025-08816-3

29. Warren WC, Harris RA, Haukness M, Fiddes IT, Murali SC, Fernandes J, et al. Sequence diversity

analyses of an improved rhesus macaque genome enhance its biomedical utility. Science.

2020;370:eabc6617.

30. Rodriguez OL, Gibson WS, Parks T, Emery M, Powell J, Strahl M, et al. A Novel Framework for

Characterizing Genomic Haplotype Diversity in the Human Immunoglobulin Heavy Chain Locus. Front

Immunol. 2020;11:2136.

31. Peres A, Lees WD, Rodriguez OL, Lee NY, Polak P, Hope R, et al. IGHV allele similarity clustering

improves genotype inference from adaptive immune receptor repertoire sequencing data. Nucleic Acids

Res. 2023;51:e86.

32. Lin M-J, Langmead B, Safonova Y. IGLoo: Profiling the Immunoglobulin Heavy chain locus in

Lymphoblastoid Cell Lines with PacBio High-Fidelity Sequencing reads. bioRxiv. 2024;2024.07.20.604421.

33. Lees WD, Saha S, Yaari G, Watson CT. Digger: directed annotation of immunoglobulin and T cell

receptor V, D, and J gene sequences and assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2024;40:btae144.

34. Tonegawa S. Somatic generation of antibody diversity. Nature. 1983;302:575–81.

35. Rodriguez OL, Safonova Y, Silver CA, Shields K, Gibson WS, Kos JT, et al. Genetic variation in the

immunoglobulin heavy chain locus shapes the human antibody repertoire. Nat Commun. 2023;14:4419.

36. Rodriguez OL, Qiu X, Shields K, Dunn C, Singh A, Kaileh M, et al. Human genetic variation shapes the

antibody repertoire across B cell development [Internet]. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2025 [cited

2025 Jul 11]. Available from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2025.05.19.654982

37. Engelbrecht E, Rodriguez OL, Lees W, Vanwinkle Z, Shields K, Schultze S, et al. Germline

polymorphism in the immunoglobulin kappa and lambda loci explain variation in the expressed light

chain antibody repertoire [Internet]. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2025 [cited 2025 Jul 11]. Available

from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2025.05.28.656470

38. Bhat KH, Priyadarshi S, Naiyer S, Qu X, Farooq H, Kleiman E, et al. An Igh distal enhancer modulates

antigen receptor diversity by determining locus conformation. Nat Commun. 2023;14:1225.

39. Barajas-Mora EM, Lee L, Lu H, Valderrama JA, Bjanes E, Nizet V, et al. Enhancer-instructed epigenetic

landscape and chromatin compartmentalization dictate a primary antibody repertoire protective against

specific bacterial pathogens. Nat Immunol. 2023;24:320–36.

40. Corcoran MM, Phad GE, Bernat NV, Stahl-Hennig C, Sumida N, Persson MAA, et al. Production of

individualized V gene databases reveals high levels of immunoglobulin genetic diversity. Nat Commun.

2016;7:13642.

41. Safonova Y, Pevzner PA. De novo Inference of Diversity Genes and Analysis of Non-canonical V(DD)J

Recombination in Immunoglobulins. Front Immunol. 2019;10:987.

42. Gadala-Maria D, Gidoni M, Marquez S, Vander Heiden JA, Kos JT, Watson CT, et al. Identification of

Subject-Specific Immunoglobulin Alleles From Expressed Repertoire Sequencing Data. Front Immunol.

2019;10:129.

43. Mikelov A, Nefedev G, Tashkeev A, Rodriguez OL, Ortmans DA, Skatova V, et al. Ultrasensitive allele

inference from immune repertoire sequencing data with MiXCR. Genome Res. 2024;gr.278775.123.

44. Lees WD, Christley S, Peres A, Kos JT, Corrie B, Ralph D, et al. AIRR community curation and

standardised representation for immunoglobulin and T cell receptor germline sets. ImmunoInformatics

[Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Nov 17];10. Available from:

https://www.immunoinformaticsjournal.com/article/S2667-1190(23)00005-8/fulltext

45. Peres A, Upadhyay AA, Klein V, Saha S, Rodriguez OL, Vanwinkle ZM, et al. A Broad Survey and

Functional Analysis of Immunoglobulin Loci Variation in Rhesus Macaques [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2025 [cited

2025 Jan 28]. p. 2025.01.07.631319. Available from:

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.01.07.631319v1

46. Ford EE, Tieri D, Rodriguez OL, Francoeur NJ, Soto J, Kos JT, et al. FLAIRR-Seq: A Method for Single-

Molecule Resolution of Near Full-Length Antibody H Chain Repertoires. J Immunol. 2023;ji2200825.

47. Ko S, Park S, Sohn MH, Jo M, Ko BJ, Na J-H, et al. An Fc variant with two mutations confers prolonged

serum half-life and enhanced effector functions on IgG antibodies. Exp Mol Med. 2022;54:1850–61.

48. He Y, Luo X, Zhou B, Hu T, Meng X, Audano PA, et al. Long-read assembly of the Chinese rhesus

macaque genome and identification of ape-specific structural variants. Nat Commun. 2019;10:4233.

49. Yu G-Y, Mate S, Garcia K, Ward MD, Brueggemann E, Hall M, et al. Cynomolgus macaque (Macaca

fascicularis) immunoglobulin heavy chain locus description. Immunogenetics. 2016;68:417–28.

50. Zhang S, Xu N, Fu L, Yang X, Li Y, Yang Z, et al. Comparative genomics of macaques and integrated

insights into genetic variation and population history [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2024 [cited 2024 Nov 27]. p.

2024.04.07.588379. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.04.07.588379v1

51. Nurk S, Koren S, Rhie A, Rautiainen M, Bzikadze AV, Mikheenko A, et al. The complete sequence of a

human genome. Science. 2022;376:44–53.

52. Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, Zhang H, Li H. Haplotype-resolved de novo assembly using phased

assembly graphs with hifiasm. Nat Methods. 2021;18:170–5.

53. Cheng H, Jarvis ED, Fedrigo O, Koepfli K-P, Urban L, Gemmell NJ, et al. Haplotype-resolved assembly

of diploid genomes without parental data. Nat Biotechnol. 2022;40:1332–5.

54. Schramm CA, Olubo S, Douek DC. ALIGaToR: A tool for the automated annotation of immunoglobulin

and T cell receptor genomic loci [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2025 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. p. 2025.05.02.651960.

Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.05.02.651960v1

55. T-cell Receptor and Immunoglobulin Nomenclature Sub-Committee. Data Review and Naming

[Internet]. International Union of Immunological; 2023 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://wp-

iuis.s3.eu-west-

1.amazonaws.com/app/uploads/2024/10/04120932/Data.Review.and_.Naming.Dec2023.pdf

56. Nguefack Ngoune V, Bertignac M, Georga M, Papadaki A, Albani A, Folch G, et al. IMGT® Biocuration

and Analysis of the Rhesus Monkey IG Loci. Vaccines. 2022;10:394.

57. Ito T, Kanthaswamy S, Bunlungsup S, Oldt RF, Houghton P, Hamada Y, et al. Secondary contact and

genomic admixture between rhesus and long-tailed macaques in the Indochina Peninsula. J Evol Biol.

2020;33:1164–79.

58. Zhang S, Xu N, Fu L, Yang X, Ma K, Li Y, et al. Integrated analysis of the complete sequence of a

macaque genome. Nature. 2025;640:714–21.

59. Pospelova M, Voss K, Zamyatin A, Watson CT, Koepfli K-P, Bankevich A, et al. Comparative Analysis of

Mammalian Adaptive Immune Loci Revealed Spectacular Divergence and Common Genetic Patterns. Mol

Biol Evol. 2025;42:msaf152.

60. Noe L, Kucherov G. YASS: enhancing the sensitivity of DNA similarity search. Nucleic Acids Res.

2005;33:W540–3.

61. Sepulveda MA, Garrett FE, Price-Whelan A, Birshtein BK. Comparative analysis of human and mouse

3ʹ *Igh* regulatory regions identifies distinctive structural features. Mol Immunol. 2005;42:605–15.

62. Watson CT, Steinberg KM, Huddleston J, Warren RL, Malig M, Schein J, et al. Complete Haplotype

Sequence of the Human Immunoglobulin Heavy-Chain Variable, Diversity, and Joining Genes and

Characterization of Allelic and Copy-Number Variation. Am J Hum Genet. 2013;92:530–46.

63. Das S, Nozawa M, Klein J, Nei M. Evolutionary dynamics of the immunoglobulin heavy chain variable

region genes in vertebrates. Immunogenetics. 2008;60:47–55.

64. Pospelova M, Voss K, Zamyatin A, Watson CT, Koepfli K-P, Bankevich A, et al. Comparative analysis of

mammalian adaptive immune loci revealed spectacular divergence and common genetic patterns

[Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Apr 11]. Available from:

http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2025.04.01.646651

65. Watson CT, Breden F. The immunoglobulin heavy chain locus: genetic variation, missing data, and

implications for human disease. Genes Immun. 2012;13:363–73.

66. Rogers CH, Mielczarek O, Corcoran AE. Dynamic 3D Locus Organization and Its Drivers Underpin

Immunoglobulin Recombination. Front Immunol. 2021;11:633705.

67. Lin SG, Guo C, Su A, Zhang Y, Alt FW. CTCF-binding elements 1 and 2 in the *Igh* intergenic control

region cooperatively regulate V(D)J recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2015;112:1815–20.

68. Matsuda F, Ishii K, Bourvagnet P, Kuma K, Hayashida H, Miyata T, et al. The Complete Nucleotide

Sequence of the Human Immunoglobulin Heavy Chain Variable Region Locus. J Exp Med.

1998;188:2151–62.

69. Thörnqvist L, Ohlin M. The functional 3⬚-end of immunoglobulin heavy chain variable (IGHV) genes.

Mol Immunol. 2018;96:61–8.

70. Ralph DK, Iv FAM. Consistency of VDJ Rearrangement and Substitution Parameters Enables Accurate

B Cell Receptor Sequence Annotation. PLOS Comput Biol. 2016;12:e1004409.

71. Boesch AW, Osei-Owusu NY, Crowley AR, Chu TH, Chan YN, Weiner JA, et al. Biophysical and

Functional Characterization of Rhesus Macaque IgG Subclasses. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2016 [cited

2025 Jul 23];7. Available from:

https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00589/full

72. MacDonald KS, Fowke KR, Kimani J, Dunand VA, Nagelkerke NJD, Blake Ball T, et al. Influence of HLA

Supertypes on Susceptibility and Resistance to Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Infection. J Infect

Dis. 2000;181:1581–9.

73. Gras S, Chen Z, Miles JJ, Liu YC, Bell MJ, Sullivan LC, et al. Allelic polymorphism in the T cell receptor

and its impact on immune responses. J Exp Med. 2010;207:1555–67.

74. Pushparaj P, Nicoletto A, Sheward DJ, Das H, Dopico XC, Vidakovics LP, et al. Immunoglobulin

germline gene polymorphisms influence the function of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Immunity.

2023;56:193-206.e7.

75. deCamp AC, Corcoran MM, Fulp WJ, Willis JR, Cottrell CA, Bader DLV, et al. Human immunoglobulin

gene allelic variation impacts germline-targeting vaccine priming. Npj Vaccines. 2024;9:1–13.

76. Haj AK, Arbanas JM, Yamniuk AP, Karl JA, Bussan HE, Drinkwater KY, et al. Characterization of

Mauritian Cynomolgus Macaque FcγR Alleles Using Long-Read Sequencing. J Immunol. 2019;202:151–9.

77. Zhu Y, Watson C, Safonova Y, Pennell M, Bankevich A. CloseRead: a tool for assessing assembly errors

in immunoglobulin loci applied to vertebrate long-read genome assemblies. Genome Biol. 2025;26:131.

78. Bimber BN, Moreland AJ, Wiseman RW, Hughes AL, O'Connor DH. Complete characterization of killer

Ig-like receptor (KIR) haplotypes in Mauritian cynomolgus macaques: novel insights into nonhuman

primate KIR gene content and organization. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950. 2008;181:6301–8.

79. Budde ML, Lhost JJ, Burwitz BJ, Becker EA, Burns CM, O'Connor SL, et al. Transcriptionally abundant

major histocompatibility complex class I alleles are fundamental to nonhuman primate simian

immunodeficiency virus-specific CD8+ T cell responses. J Virol. 2011;85:3250–61.

80. Wiseman RW, Karl JA, Bohn PS, Nimityongskul FA, Starrett GJ, O'Connor DH. Haplessly hoping:

macaque major histocompatibility complex made easy. ILAR J. 2013;54:196–210.

81. Prall TM, Graham ME, Karl JA, Wiseman RW, Ericsen AJ, Raveendran M, et al. Improved full-length

killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor transcript discovery in Mauritian cynomolgus macaques.

Immunogenetics. 2017;69:325–39.

82. Li H. New strategies to improve minimap2 alignment accuracy. Bioinformatics. 2021;37:4572–4.

83. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al. Twelve years of SAMtools and

BCFtools. GigaScience. 2021;10:giab008.

84. Lefranc M-P, Pommié C, Kaas Q, Duprat E, Bosc N, Guiraudou D, et al. IMGT unique numbering for

immunoglobulin and T cell receptor constant domains and Ig superfamily C-like domains. Dev Comp

Immunol. 2005;29:185–203.

85. Cowell LG, Davila M, Kepler TB, Kelsoe G. Identification and utilization of arbitrary correlations in

models of recombination signal sequences. Genome Biol. 2002;3:research0072.1.

86. Merelli I, Guffanti A, Fabbri M, Cocito A, Furia L, Grazini U, et al. RSSsite: a reference database and

prediction tool for the identification of cryptic Recombination Signal Sequences in human and murine

genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:W262–7.

87. Porubsky D, Guitart X, Yoo D, Dishuck PC, Harvey WT, Eichler EE. SVbyEye: A visual tool to

characterize structural variation among whole-genome assemblies [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2024 [cited 2024

Nov 27]. p. 2024.09.11.612418. Available from:

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.09.11.612418v2

88. Pospelova M, Safonova Y. PatchWorkPlot: simultaneous visualization of local alignments across

multiple sequences [Internet]. arXiv; 2025 [cited 2025 Apr 28]. Available from:

http://arxiv.org/abs/2503.20766

89. Vollger MR, Kerpedjiev P, Phillippy AM, Eichler EE. StainedGlass: interactive visualization of massive

tandem repeat structures with identity heatmaps. Bioinformatics. 2022;38:2049–51.

90. O'Connor SL, Lhost JJ, Becker EA, Detmer AM, Johnson RC, Macnair CE, et al. MHC heterozygote

advantage in simian immunodeficiency virus-infected Mauritian cynomolgus macaques. Sci Transl Med.

2010;2:22ra18.

91. Ericsen AJ, Starrett GJ, Greene JM, Lauck M, Raveendran M, Deiros DR, et al. Whole genome

sequencing of SIV-infected macaques identifies candidate loci that may contribute to host control of

virus replication. Genome Biol. 2014;15:478.

92. Weatherall D. The use of non-human primates in research [Internet]. London: Academy of Medical

Sciences; 2006 [cited 2025 Jul 23]. Available from: https://royalsociety.org/-

/media/policy/publications/2006/weatherall-report.pdf

Figure Legends

Figure 1. H1 is the predominant IGH haplotype found. (A) Read coverage plots of H1
assemblies from two homozygous animals. Dashed red lines show the average genomic read
coverage for each animal. Vertical dotted lines indicate the positions of SNVs shown in panel B.
(B) Five full-length, contiguous H1 assemblies differ at a total of just 20 sites across 2
megabases of sequence.

Figure 2. IGH haplotype H1 gene content. (A) Schematic representation of H1 showing the
genomic positions of genes annotated by ALIGaToR. (B) Enlarged schematic view of the D-J
cluster. (C) H1 V, D, and J genes annotated as functional colored by whether they correspond to
alleles represented in the existing KIMdb database. Correspondences between temporary
labels and names in KIMdb can be found in Table S1.

Figure 3. Comparison of IGH from MCM haplotype H1 to M_mul10 reference genome. H1
has approximately 100 kilobases of sequence not found in M_mul10, and overall sequence
homology is only 83%.

Figure 4. Regions enriched for duplications/repeats within H1. (A) Locus track of the H1
IGH locus. The left-most track are all functional genes outside of HMRC (high multiplicity repeat
content) regions (yellow). The right-most track are all genes within HMRC regions. (B) Dot plot
of the HMRC region spanning all 38 functional IGHD genes. The dot plot colors correspond to
sequence identity. (C) Dot plot of the proximal repetitive region containing two HMRC blocks.

The dot plot covers 24 functional IGHV alleles in this region with 3 inside HMRC regions

highlighted by a yellow bar. (D) Dot plot of a more distal region with larger homologous blocks

and HMRC regions. The dot plot covers 20 functional IGHV alleles in this region with 11 inside

HMRC regions highlighted by a yellow bar.

Figure 5. Significant diversity within MCM IGH haplotypes. Alignments of H1, H2, and H3

visualized using SVbyEye. Little structural variation is observed in the IGHC and IGHJ regions

(left) with more complex events interspersed with regions of high homology. The underlined

region of the H3 haplotype represents a segmental duplication relative to H2.

Figure 6. Haplotype H3 contains a large duplications compared to H1. (A) Dot plot

comparing H1 (horizontal axis) to H3 (vertical axis). Box (H1:950,000-1,450,000 bp) highlights a

region of segmental duplication in H3, just distal of the H1 repeat region show in Fig 3a. The

histogram shows the frequency of bins at each identity level, with colors corresponding to colors

in the dot plot. (B) Dot plots (left) and Miro plot (right) comparisons of highlighted segmental

duplication in haplotypes H1, H2, H3, H6. From top to bottom; H1 is compared to H2, H2 is

compared to H6, and H6 is compared to H3. For Dot plots, the top comparison is on the Y-axis

and the bottom comparison is on the X-axis. For Miro plots, genes for each haplotype are

marked by lines and colored based on sequence identity to comparison haplotype. Blocks of

structural variation are highlighted with dotted lines demarcating region of duplication in H3.

Figure 7. Genetic diversity among MCM haplotypes. (A) The plurality of IGHV alleles appear

uniquely in a single haplotype, while (B) IGHD and especially (C) IGHJ alleles are more likely to

be shared across most or all haplotypes. The large number of IGHV alleles shared between two

haplotypes is due to extended blocks of shared sequence between H1 and H2, as well as

between H3 and H6 (see Fig 6b). (D) IGHV genes are the most diverse, with up to eight alleles

per ASC observed in this cohort. (E) IGHD genes and (F) IGHJ genes are less allelically

diverse. (G) Overlap between the IGHV alleles observed in this cohort and those from a

previous cohort [26]. See also Fig S6. (H) A greater proportion of IGHD alleles are unique to this

study due to the difficulty of recovering germline IGHD gene sequences from rearranged IGs.

Figure 8. Diversity of MCM IgG constant region subtypes compared to rhesus macaque

and humans. Neighbor-joining tree for full-length alleles (CH1 through CHS) of all IgG subtypes

found in this study, together with representative alleles from human (purple) and rhesus (green)

IgG subtypes. MCM alleles present in H1 are highlighted in red. All other MCM alleles are

shown in black.

Tables

Table 1. IGH contigs assembled from long-read whole genome sequencing of 13

Mauritian-origin cynomolgus macaques. Asterisk denotes homozygous contig that

completely spans IGH. Partial assemblies for a single haplotype are ordered from centromeric

to telomeric. Parentheses indicate unscaffolded partial assemblies. Dash denotes unclassified

or unresolved haplotype. IGH contig coverage is the mean read depth for each contig, contig

coverage is the mean read depth across all contigs, and whole genome coverage is calculated

as total base pairs mapped divided by 3 Gbp (the approximate size of the MCM genome).

Subject	Haplotype	# of IGH contigs	Genbank Accession	IGH contig length (Kbp)	Mean IGH contig HIFI coverage	Mean genome contig HIFI coverage	Mean whole genome HIFI coverage
CY0568	H1*	1		2,067	7.4	8.2	17.9
CY0695	H1*	1		2,015	10.3	9.9	20.3
CY0973	H3*	1		2,483	12.9	11.4	26.3
CY0692	H2	3		310	5.6	4.8	8.0
				1,035	4.2		
				906	4.4		
CY0161	H2	1		2,310	7.6	7.2	15.3
	H4	1		1,896	7.4		
CY0424	H1	1		2,049	15.3	13.8	30.5
	H5	1		1,843	15.3		
CY0333	H1	1		2,060	14.1	12.8	28.5
	H6	1		2,280	14.2		
CY0558	H1	3		630	7.4	7.1	15.5
				1,264	6.9		
	(H7)	1		105	4.2		
				1,962	6.9		
CY0390	(H2)	1		1,851	15.6	14.1	30.9
	(H7)	2		911	10.3		
				264	15.4		
CY0322	H1	1		2,015	11.1	10.3	21.4
	(H8)	2		1,458	11.0		
				163	8.7		
CY0330	H1	2		1,791	13.3	14.2	29.8
				263	12.6		
	(H8)	2		426	13.4		
				1,197	15.0		
CY0325	-	3		2,047	10.6	11.0	21.1
				625	7.7		
				481	10.5		

			283	8.0		
			1,504	7.7		
CY0355	-	5	1,520	7.4	7.5	16.2
			457	8.0		
			257	6.3		

Table 2. IG constant region alleles identified on 10 fully spanning contigs. All eight
expected constant alleles were detected in all fully spanning assemblies. Text color indicates the
allele number of each gene. For haplotypes H3 and H6, IgG4 was manually annotated (green
shading) after failing to be detected by ALIGaToR due to missing M2 exons. Bold font indicates
homozygous animals. See also Table 1.

Animal	Hap	IgM	IgD	IgG1	IgG2	IgG3	IgG4	IgE	IgA
CY0568	**H1**	**IGHM*01**	**IGHD*01**	**IGHG1*01**	**IGHG2*01**	**IGHG3*01**	**IGHG4*01**	**IGHE*01**	**IGHA*01**
CY0695	**H1**	**IGHM*01**	**IGHD*01**	**IGHG1*01**	**IGHG2*01**	**IGHG3*01**	**IGHG4*01**	**IGHE*01**	**IGHA*01**
CY0322	H1	IGHM*01	IGHD*01	IGHG1*01	IGHG2*01	IGHG3*01	IGHG4*01	IGHE*01	IGHA*01
CY0333	H1	IGHM*01	IGHD*01	IGHG1*01	IGHG2*01	IGHG3*01	IGHG4*01	IGHE*01	IGHA*01
CY0424	H1	IGHM*01	IGHD*01	IGHG1*01	IGHG2*01	IGHG3*01	IGHG4*01	IGHE*01	IGHA*01
CY0161	H2	IGHM*01	IGHD*01	IGHG1*02	IGHG2*02	IGHG3*02	IGHG4*02	IGHE*01	IGHA*01
CY0973	**H3**	**IGHM*02**	**IGHD*02**	**IGHG1*03**	**IGHG2*03**	**IGHG3*02**	**IGH4*05p**	**IGHE*02**	**IGHA*02**
CY0161	H4	IGHM*03	IGHD*03	IGHG1*04	IGHG2*05	IGHG3*02	IGHG4*03	IGHE*03	IGHA*03
CY0424	H5	IGHM*04	IGHD*04	IGHG1*05	IGHG2*04	IGHG3*01	IGHG4*04	IGHE*04	IGHA*04
CY0333	H6	IGHM*02	IGHD*02	IGHG1*03	IGHG2*03	IGHG3*02	IGH4*05p	IGHE*02	IGHA*02

Supplemental Information

Figure S1. Comparison of read coverage for IGH assemblies from homozygous animals.

(A) CY0692 and (B) CY0973 versus (C) both assemblies from heterozygous animal CY0161.

The assembly for CY092 is fragmented into 3 contigs. Dashed red lines show average genomic

coverage for each animal. and plots, bin size of 10,000bp. Fragmented homozygous haplotype

CY0692.

Figure S2. Read support for each of the 20 H1 SNVs relative to consensus shown in Fig
1b.

Figure S3. Current databases do not adequately capture the immunogenetic diversity of
cynomolgus macaques. Heatmap showing nucleotide sequence identity between MCM IGHV

alleles observed on haplotype H1 (rows) and known cynomolgus macaque IGHV alleles from

IMGT (columns). Although H1 is a commonly observed haplotype in our cohort, only 69/82

(84%) of H1 IGHV alleles have at least 95% sequence identity to at least one allele in the IMGT

database.

Figure S4. Extensive allelic differences between species. Heatmap showing nucleotide

sequence identity between MCM IGHV alleles observed on haplotype H1 (rows) and IGHV

alleles observed on the rhesus macaque Mmul_10 reference genome (columns). Only 5 alleles

are shared exactly between species and 11/82 (13%) of H1 IGHV alleles have no counterpart in

Mmul_10 with at least 95% sequence identity.

Figure S5. Significant diversity within MCM IGH haplotypes. Dotplots of H1, H2, H3, H4,

H5, and H6 visualized using PatchWorkPlot [88].

Figure S6. Differences between KIMDB and the current study. (A) Each haplotype identified

in this study includes 21-33 IGHV alleles that were not captured in KIMDB. See also Fig 2c. (B)

When alleles from two partial additional haplotypes (Table 1) are included, 41 alleles previously

found only in KIMDB are now identified as shared alleles. (C) When differences at or after

position 320 are ignored, 40 KIMDB-only alleles collapse onto 38 alleles identified in the current

study, including 10 other KIMDB alleles. Together with the two partial haplotypes, this eliminates

almost all potential false negatives in the current study. (D) Only 3 additional IGHD alleles are

identified in the partial haplotypes, none of which were previously documented in KIMDB.

Table S1. Sequences of alleles reported with corresponding KIMDB labels.

Fig 1

A

B

Figure 1. H1 is the predominant IGH haplotype found. (A) Read coverage plots of H1 assemblies from two homozygous animals. Dashed red lines show the average genomic read coverage for each animal. Vertical dotted lines indicate the positions of SNVs shown in panel B. (B) Five full-length, contiguous H1 assemblies differ at a total of just 20 sites across 2 megabases of sequence.

Fig 2

Figure 2. IGH haplotype H1 gene content. (A) Schematic representation of H1 showing the genomic positions of genes annotated by ALIGaToR. (B) Enlarged schematic view of the D-J cluster. (C) H1 V, D, and J genes annotated as functional colored by whether they correspond to alleles represented in the existing KIMdb database. Correspondences between temporary labels and names in KIMdb can be found in Table S1.

Fig 3

Figure 3. Comparison of IGH from MCM haplotype H1 to M_mul10 reference genome. H1 has approximately 100 kilobases of sequence not found in M_mul10, and overall sequence homology is only 83%.

Fig 4

Figure 4. Regions enriched for duplications/repeats within H1. (A) Locus track of the H1 IGH locus. The left-most track are all functional genes outside of HMRC (high multiplicity repeat content) regions (yellow). The right-most track are all genes within HMRC regions. (B) Dot plot of the HMRC region spanning all 38 functional IGHD genes. The dot plot colors correspond to sequence identity. (C) Dot plot of the proximal repetitive region containing two HMRC blocks. The dot plot covers 24 functional IGHV alleles in this region with 3 inside HMRC regions highlighted by a yellow bar. (D) Dot plot of a more distal region with larger homologous blocks and HMRC regions. The dot plot covers 20 functional IGHV alleles in this region with 11 inside HMRC regions highlighted by a yellow bar.

Fig 5

Figure 5. Significant diversity within MCM IGH haplotypes. Alignments of H1, H2, and H3 visualized using SVbyEye. Little structural variation is observed in the IGHC and IGHJ regions (left) with more complex events interspersed with regions of high homology. The underlined region of the H3 haplotype represents a segmental duplication relative to H2.

Fig 6

Figure 6. Haplotype H3 contains a large duplications compared to H1. (A) Dot plot comparing H1 (horizontal axis) to H3 (vertical axis). Box (H1:950,000-1,450,000 bp) highlights a region of segmental duplication in H3, just distal of the H1 repeat region show in Fig 3a. The histogram shows the frequency of bins at each identity level, with colors corresponding to colors in the dot plot. (B) Dot plots (left) and Miro plot (right) comparisons of highlighted segmental duplication in haplotypes H1, H2, H3, H6. From top to bottom; H1 is compared to H2, H2 is compared to H6, and H6 is compared to H3. For Dot plots, the top comparison is on the Y-axis and the bottom comparison is on the X-axis. For Miro plots, genes for each haplotype are marked by lines and colored based on sequence identity to comparison haplotype. Blocks of structural variation are highlighted with dotted lines demarcating region of duplication in H3.

Fig 7

Figure 7. Genetic diversity among MCM haplotypes. (A) The plurality of IGHV alleles appear uniquely in a single haplotype, while (B) IGHD and especially (C) IGHJ alleles are more likely to be shared across most or all haplotypes. The large number of IGHV alleles shared between two haplotypes is due to extended blocks of shared sequence between H1 and H2, as well as between H3 and H6 (see Fig 6b). (D) IGHV genes are the most diverse, with up to eight alleles per ASC observed in this cohort. (E) IGHD genes and (F) IGHJ genes are less allelically diverse. (G) Overlap between the IGHV alleles observed in this cohort and those from a previous cohort [CITE]. See also Fig S6. (H) A greater proportion of IGHD alleles are unique to this study due to the difficulty of recovering germline IGHD gene sequences from rearranged IGs.

Fig 8

Figure 8. Diversity of MCM IgG constant region subtypes compared to rhesus macaque and humans. Neighbor-joining tree for full-length alleles (CH1 through CHS) of all IgG subtypes found in this study, together with representative alleles from human (purple) and rhesus (green) IgG subtypes. MCM alleles present in H1 are highlighted in red. All other MCM alleles are shown in black.