Constructing a holistic map of cell fate decision by hyper solution landscape

Xiaoyi Zhang,[1] Zhiyuan Li,[1,2,*] and Lei Zhang[1,3,4,5**]

[1] Center for Quantitative Biology, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

[2] Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

[3] School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

[4] Center for Machine Learning Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

[5] Lead contact

*Correspondence: zhiyuanli@pku.edu.cn

**Correspondence: zhangl@math.pku.edu.cn

Summary

The Waddington landscape metaphor has inspired extensive quantitative studies of cell fate decisions using dynamical systems. While these approaches provide valuable insights, the intrinsic nonlinear complexity and the parameter dependence limits systematic analysis of fate transitions. Here, we introduce the Hyper Solution Landscape (HSL), a minimally parameter-dependent methodology showing a comprehensive structure of all possible landscape configurations for gene regulatory networks. HSL connects different solution landscapes to reflect dynamic changes of the landscapes associated with bifurcations. Applied to the Cross-Inhibition with Self-activation motif, HSL analysis identifies key hyperparameters driving distinct directional changes in cell fate propensity. Different routes through the HSL between the same initial and final states can produce markedly different fate distributions. This enables rational design of transition strategies. We validate HSL's utility in the seesaw model of cellular reprogramming, establishing a powerful framework for understanding and engineering cell fate decisions. A record of this paper's Transparent Peer Review process is included in the Supplemental Information.

Key words:

cell fate decision, Waddington landscape, bifurcation, gene regulatory network, solution landscape, hyper solution landscape

Introduction:

The Waddington landscape has served as a powerful metaphor for understanding cell fate decisions since its inception[1], catalyzing extensive research in developmental biology[2,3]. Following Kauffman's pioneering work using Boolean networks to characterize the valleys of this landscape[4,5], researchers have made significant strides in quantifying cell fate decisions through dynamical systems, particularly gene regulatory networks (GRNs) [6–9]. This quantitative framework has established a crucial link between mathematical attractors and biological cell

40 fates, enabling computational studies of cellular decision-making through nonlinear
41 dynamics[10–12].
42
Among the various regulatory motifs studied, the Cross-Inhibition with Self-activation (CIS)
pattern has emerged as particularly significant[13–17], appearing in numerous biological contexts
including GATA1-PU.1[18], OCT4-CDX2[15], and NANOG-GATA6 interactions[19]. Cell state
transitions within these networks can occur through two primary mechanisms: stochastic
fluctuations (noise-driven)[20–23] or changes in external signals (signal-driven)[24–28]. These
biological processes correspond to static and dynamic landscapes, respectively[29].
49
While nonlinear dynamics models have proven valuable in characterizing cell fate decisions,
they face a fundamental limitation: parameter dependency. Recent advances in nonequilibrium
statistical physics have enabled the construction of energy landscapes from dynamical
systems[30–34]. However, experimental determination of all relevant parameters in a gene
regulatory network remains challenging, if not impossible, and different parameter sets can lead
to distinct landscapes with varying predictions on cell fate behaviors[35]. Furthermore, the
complexity of energy landscapes, which contain numerous details beyond the primary
attractors, makes systematic analysis of global changes particularly challenging. While recent
geometric approaches to cell fate decisions have provided valuable insights[36–39], their abstract,
gene-free nature has limited their direct application to real genetic networks[40].
60
The solution landscape concept offers a promising alternative approach[41]. This framework
creates a pathway map comprising all stationary points and their connections[42], having
demonstrated utility across various fields including gene regulatory networks[43], liquid crystals[44],
and quasicrystals[45]. Unlike traditional energy landscapes that expend computational resources
on fine details, solution landscapes focus on the essential elements of noise-driven cell fate
decisions: the attractors (stable cell fates), saddle points (unstable states, as approximations
to potential transition states in non-equilibrium systems[46], forming a structural backbone upon
which stochastic trajectories) and their connections (transition pathways). Their simplified graph
structure of nodes and edges provides an efficient framework for analyzing static landscape
and transitions driven by stochastic fluctuations. However, experimental manipulation of cell
fates typically falls into the signal-driven category, where external signals alter the landscape
geometry[43,47]. In these cases, the solution landscape itself undergoes transformation as
external conditions change[48]. This dynamic nature calls for a more systematic approach to
connect and analyze different solution landscapes, enabling better understanding and control
of directed cell fate decisions[49].
76
Building on this foundation, we developed a framework: Hyper Solution Landscape (HSL),
which is the ensemble of all solution landscapes and their bifurcation relationships for a
dynamic system. HSL is a minimally parameter-dependent methodology that shows a
comprehensive structure of all possible landscape configurations from a given GRN topology.
By connecting different solution landscapes through bifurcation relationships, HSL provides a
unified analysis for both noise-driven and signal-driven cell fate decisions. We apply this
approach to both the CIS motif of differentiation and the seesaw model of reprogramming,

establishing clear connections between solution landscape alterations and the Waddington
landscape. Our analysis reveals intrinsic nonlinear complexity of cell fate decisions, including
the most probable fate distributions and their responses to varying hyperparameters.
Furthermore, we demonstrate how different bifurcation routes lead to distinct cell fate
behaviors, enabling the rational design of cell fate decision routes through HSL.
89
Results
Solution Landscape Depicts the Geometry of Cell Fate Decisions Under a Given Signal
Level
The dynamics of cell fate decisions are often studied through mathematical models of gene
regulatory networks. Among these, the Cross-Inhibition with Self-activation (CIS) motif has
emerged as a particularly important regulatory structure[13] (Figure 1A). In this motif, two lineage-
specifying transcription factors interact: factor X promotes its own expression while inhibiting
factor Y, and vice versa. For such combinatory regulation[43], the Shea-Ackers formalism, which
mechanistically represents probabilistic occupancy of transcription factor binding sites on
promoters[50,51], provides broader coverage of regulatory logics than manual combinations of Hill
functions(e.g., summation or multiplication)[30], avoiding arbitrary choices that can influence
outcomes. Therefore, we unified diverse regulatory scenarios into this flexible framework:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dx}{dt} = F_1(x,y) = \frac{r_x + r_1 k_1 x^{n_1} + r_2 k_2 y^{n_2} + r_3 k_3 x^{n_1} y^{n_2}}{1 + k_1 x^{n_1} + k_2 y^{n_2} + k_3 x^{n_1} y^{n_2}} - d_1 x \\
\frac{dy}{dt} = F_2(x,y) = \frac{r_y + r_4 k_4 y^{n_2} + r_5 k_5 x^{n_1} + r_6 k_6 x^{n_1} y^{n_2}}{1 + k_4 y^{n_2} + k_5 x^{n_1} + k_6 x^{n_1} y^{n_2}} - d_2 y
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

103 n_1 and n_2 represent transcription factor cooperation efficiencies, d_1 and d_2 are degradation
104 rates, $k_1 - k_6$ denote relative binding strengths to cis-regulatory elements, and $r_x, r_y, r_1 - r_6$,
105 represent transcription rates for different binding configurations. For analytical convenience, we
106 can express this system in vector form:

$$
\frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x})
\tag{2}
$$

108 where the $\boldsymbol{x} = (x,y)$ and $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) = [F_1(x,y), F_2(x,y)]$.
109
In the CIS motif, four distinct cell fates emerge as stable gene expression patterns,
corresponding to mathematical attractors (Figure 1B). These states mirror the 'valleys' in
Waddington's landscape metaphor: two uncommitted progenitor cell states—S1 (low
expression of both X and Y) and S2 (high expression of both)—and two lineage-committed
states—LX (high X, low Y) and LY (low X, high Y). Between these stable states lie saddle
points, analogous to the "peaks" in Waddington's landscape, which separate different attractor
basins. Of particular importance are the index-2 saddle points, which connect multiple index-1
saddle points and help define attractor basin boundaries.
118
119 By connecting all stationary points—both attractors and saddles—the solution landscape
120 provides a complete geometric representation of all possible cell fate decisions under specific
121 conditions[41]. The solution landscape is a hierarchical structure with all stationary points in

122 different layers (Figure 1C). The General High-index Saddle Dynamics (GHiSD) method allows
123 us to efficiently compute the index-k saddle points [42]:

124
$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{x} = \left(I - 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} v_j v_j^{\mathrm{T}}\right)F(x) \\
\dot{v}_i = \left(I - v_i v_i^{\mathrm{T}}\right)J(x)v_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} v_j v_j^{\mathrm{T}}\left(J(x) + J^{\mathrm{T}}(x)\right)v_i, i = 1, \dots, k
\end{cases}
$$

125 Where $J(x) = \nabla F(x)$ is the Jacobian matrix of $F(x)$ and the v is the eigenvector of $J(x)$
126 corresponding to the positive eigenvalues.
127
The solution landscape reveals a static landscape under a given signal. For our two-
dimensional (2D) system, the highest index for saddles is 2, and the solution landscape can be
constructed hierarchically from the index-2 saddle point (repeller) down to the attractors using
GHiSD combined with downward/upward searching algorithms[42]. The resulting landscape for
the CIS motif reveals a single index-2 saddle point, four index-1 saddle points, and four stable
attractors, arranged in a hierarchical structure that corresponds to the intersections of nullclines
in state space (Figure 1D). By calculating the energy barrier (i.e. quasi-potential) between
different attractors by the Geometric Minimum Action Method (GMAM)[52,53] (Figure 1E and
Methods), the solution landscape offers a perspective of noise-driven cell fate decision.
137
The Alteration of Solution Landscapes Corresponds to Distinct Geometry of Cell Fate
Decision
A solution landscape captures the complete set of stationary points—both saddles and
attractors—under specific parameter conditions of a system, representing all possible cell fates
and their transition relationships in a static landscape. In biological systems, varying signaling
strengths manifest as parameter changes in mathematical models. These parameter shifts can
alter the solution landscape, leading to changes in both the number of stable cell fates and the
likelihood of transitions between them.
146
Consider the signal-induced differentiation process from uncommitted progenitor cells (S2) to
lineage-specified cells (LX and LY) as an illustrative example. This process demonstrates how
parameter-induced changes in the solution landscape govern the geometry of cell fate
decisions: Initially, the solution landscape exhibits three stable attractors connected by two
index-1 saddle points (Figure 1E, top panel). This configuration represents a uncommitted cell
fate (S2) positioned between two differentiated states (LX and LY). As the parameter r_x and
r_y decreases from 0.22 to 0.15 (Figure 1E, middle panel), the landscape's topology remains
unchanged, but the relative stabilities shift : the uncommitted progenitor cell state S2 becomes
less stable, while the differentiated states LX and LY gain more stability. Further reduction of
r_x and r_y to 0.1 triggers a subcritical fork bifurcation, causing the stable attractor
corresponding to the uncommitted progenitor cell state to vanish, leaving only the LX and LY
attractors connected by a saddle point (Figure 1E, bottom panel).
159
These progressive changes in the solution landscape directly correspond to cellular behavior.
In the initial configuration, uncommitted progenitor cells predominantly maintain their

undifferentiated state due to the higher stability of the S2 attractor (Figure 1G, top panel). As
r_x and r_y decreases, the reduced stability of the uncommitted progenitor cell fate increases
the probability of spontaneous differentiation (Figure 1G, middle panel). Following the
bifurcation, the topological transformation of the landscape drives all uncommitted progenitor
cells toward differentiated fates (Figure 1G, bottom panel).
167
168 This analysis reveals how signal-induced changes in cell fate decisions manifest as geometric
169 transformations in solution landscapes. Bifurcations create qualitative changes in cell fate
170 geometries, highlighting the need for tools capable of characterizing sequential changes in
171 solution landscapes. Such tools would enable systematic analysis of complex cell fate transition
172 processes.
173

Figure 1. Solution landscape characterizes the geometry of cell fate decisions.
(A) The cross-inhibition and self-activation (CIS) gene regulatory motif in cell fate decisions.
Gene X and Y represent two antagonizing lineage-specifying genes.
(B) Relationship between cell fates (i.e. attractors) and gene expression levels for the model
in (A). Symbols S2, S1, LX, and LY represent uncommitted progenitor cell fates with high and
low lineage specifying genes, lineage X, and lineage Y cell fates, respectively. These symbols
are used for all following figures.
(C) A solution landscape of the CIS motif in (A). The lowest layer of the solution landscape is
composed of stable attractors represented by colored circles, which are labeled as LX, S2,
S1, and LY to represent corresponding cell fates. The middle layer is composed of four index-
1 saddle points represented by red crosses, and the top layer contains an index-2 saddle

point represented by yellow triangle. The line in the figure indicates the connection between
these points. These annotations are used for the following figures.
(D) The phase diagram of the CIS motif in (A). The coordinate axis represents the expression

of X and Y genes, respectively. The black line and the red line represent nullclines of $\frac{dx}{dt} = 0$,

$\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$, respectively. The intersections of nullclines are the stationary points in the system,

with the same symbol as that in (C). Basins of attractors are filled by their corresponding
colors. These annotations are used for the following figures.
(E) Three solution landscapes during the signal-driven differentiation from uncommitted
progenitor cell to Lineage X and Lineage Y, corresponding to the red lines in (F). The height
of each point is determined by the quasi-potential. The parameters (r_x, r_y) corresponding to
different solution landscapes are shown in the figure.
(F) The schematic Waddington landscapes for the differentiation shown in (E). In each curve,
the valleys represent attractors, and the blue, green and purple balls represent cells with LX,
S2 and LY fates, respectively. The red dashed line indicates the boundary of the S2 attractor.
The situation corresponding to the red line is shown in (E) and (G).
(G) The schematic representation of cell fate decisions under three different situations, as
corresponds in (E).
203
Hyper Solution Landscape (HSL): Ensemble of Solution Landscapes and Bifurcations
In order to gain a holistic view of cell fate decisions, we introduce the HSL, defined as the
ensemble of solution landscapes and their bifurcation relationships, for a given gene regulatory
network across all parameter sets. For the CIS motif, the HSL takes the form of a hierarchical
graph (Figure 2A), with nodes representing topologies of solution landscapes and edges
representing bifurcations. The nodes are arranged horizontally based on their number of
stationary points and assigned unique identifiers based on their position in the graph. To
facilitate readers' tracking of the CIS motif, every node also has a descriptive name based on
the number of saddle points and the types of attractors (Methods).
213
Before introducing the construction of HSL, it is worth to introduce the simplified HSL, an
analytical method based on Morse inequalities (Methods). Morse inequality establishes a
fundamental relationship between stationary points and manifolds, specifically that the
difference between the number of even-order and odd-order saddle points equals one for the
manifolds studied here. This relationship persists through local bifurcations, constraining the
possible transitions between different landscape topologies. Furthermore, we observed that
local bifurcations without limit cycles (such as saddle-node and pitchfork bifurcations) follow a
consistent pattern: they typically involve the simultaneous creation or annihilation of adjacent-
index saddle points: index$-k$ saddle point and index$-k \pm 1$ saddle point simultaneously. This
feature offers a generation rule of simplified HSL (Methods and Figure S2), instructed by which
we can generate it by a process of adding or deleting nodes and edges.
225
The practical algorithm for constructing the HSL is mainly based on sampling and bifurcation
analysis (Figure S1 and Methods). Given that the HSL is inherently a graph composed of nodes

and edges, the algorithm is divided into two main components: identifying the nodes and
connecting them via edges. The node identification process involves several steps: sampling
parameter sets, constructing the solution landscape for each set, and clustering these
landscapes. Enhanced sampling may be necessary to ensure node completeness. Notably,
prior knowledge, such as the simplified HSL derived from Morse inequality, can aid in node
identification during clustering. For the CIS network, we further integrate prior knowledge to
exclude biologically-unrealistic landscapes (e.g., those with limit cycles). Edge connection
calculates bifurcations by varying one parameter at a time — driving transitions between
topologies (e.g., from ID 1 to ID 12). If new nodes emerge, the process iterates with enhanced
sampling; otherwise, the HSL is finalized. Since bifurcations are induced by single parameters,
edges represent co-dimension 1 types (e.g., saddle-node).
239
HSL Enables Systematic Analysis of Parameter-Dependent Bifurcations.
The HSL framework provides comprehensive insights into cell fate transition behaviors.
Extensive bifurcation analysis across all 16 parameters using randomized sets revealed more
frequent bifurcations between common, low-complexity landscapes, reflecting the prevalence
of simpler landscape types in parameter space. (Figure 2B). Besides, the solution landscape
topology labeled ID 13 emerges as the most highly connected node in the HSL. This topology,
representing a bistable landscape with two differentiated states (LX and LY) separated by a
saddle point, appears to be the most probable landscape of the CIS motif, as evidenced by its
frequent connections to other topologies (Figure S2).
249
Parameter changes can trigger sequential transformations between solution landscapes,
represented as directional routes in the HSL. For example, increasing the parameter
r_x produces a systematic progression through different landscape topologies. In this
progression, certain topologies act as "sources" (ID41 and ID23, with multiple outgoing edges)
or "sinks" (ID2, with multiple incoming edges), revealing how parameter changes can
systematically eliminate certain attractors and bias the system toward specific cell fates (Figure
2C).
257
This hyperparameter framework provides a simplified yet powerful way to understand how
different parameters influence cell fate decisions. While a given parameter can induce different
bifurcation types depending on the initial landscape, it often produces consistent functional
outcomes, such as the annihilation of an LY attractor or the creation of an LX attractor (Figure
S10, Section 7 in SI). We therefore classify parameters into distinct hyperparameters based on
these shared functional effects. Analysis of parameter-induced changes revealed that the 16
parameters naturally cluster into four categories, characterized by their effects on X and Y gene
expression levels (Figure 2D and Figure S2). We formalized these categories as
hyperparameters: $P_{x\_up}, P_{y\_up}, P_{x\_down}, P_{y\_down}$ (Figure 2D-E). This categorization emerges
directly from equation (1) by analyzing how dx/dt responds to parameter increases. For
instance, parameters r_x and r_1, which represent the basal expression and self-activation of
gene X respectively, belong to the P_{x_up} category as their increases both elevate X gene
expression.
271

272
Figure 2. HSL connects different solution landscape topologies by bifurcations.
(A) The HSL for the CIS motif shown in Figure 1(A). Individual solution landscape topology in
each dotted box represents the node of HSL, and their IDs are shown above each box.

Bifurcations induced by single parameter between solution landscape topologies are edges of
HSL.
(B) The HSL with weighted edges. Each solution landscape topology is symbolled by a
square with the corresponding ID. The widths of the edges are positively correlated with the
transformations between the linked landscape topologies, in 100 randomized parameter sets.
(C) Transformations induced by r_x. As r_x increases, the transformation from one solution
landscape topology to another is indicated by arrows, with the arrow widths positively
correlated with the count of transformation in 100 randomized parameter sets.
(D) Relationship between the hyper parameters and their directions of cell fate changes. For
example, with the increase of hyper parameter P_{x_up}, the steady state of the solution
landscape bias towards the direction of S2 and LX. So as the other hyper parameters.
(E) Categorization of parameters into the four classes of hyper-parameters.
288
Different routes in HSL induce distinct cell fate decision behaviors.
The HSL provides a global framework for understanding signal-driven cell fate decisions. Each
cell fate transition corresponds to a transformation between nodes in HSL, which can occur
either through direct parameter changes ("direct route," blue in Figure 3A) or through a series
of sequential bifurcations along HSL edges ("gradual route," red in Figure 3A). Using the
differentiation of uncommitted progenitor cells (transition from landscape ID 21 to ID 13) as a
model system, we discovered that different routes between the same initial and final landscapes
can produce different cell fate outcomes.
297
298 To investigate these route-dependent behaviors, we conducted simulations modulating four
299 key parameters: basal expression levels (r_x, r_y) and self-activation levels (r_1, r_4) of genes X and
300 Y through a signal Δr. To introduce a realistic fate bias, we set the parameter changes for the
301 X lineage 1.1 times stronger than those for the Y lineage (details in Methods).
302
Our first key finding reveals that the gradual route promotes more efficient differentiation toward
the LX fate compared to the direct route (Figure 3B). In stochastic simulations starting with
1,000 cells in the S1 attraction basin, the gradual route produced 30% more LX cells than the
direct route under identical noise conditions. This difference appears to arise from distinct
decision-making mechanisms: in the direct route, a cell's final fate strongly correlates with its
initial gene expression state, analiogous to direct lineage commitment. In contrast, the gradual
route allows fate decisions to evolve with the changing landscape, similar to passing through a
priming stage before lineage commitment. Consistent with a recent study from Chen *et al.*[54],
slower rates of landscape change in the gradual route increase the final LX fraction (Figure S7).
312
Furthermore, the HSL framework reveals multiple gradual routes between the same
landscapes (Figure 3C): a short route (ID21→ID13) and a long route
(ID21→ID31→ID22→ID13). These routes can be implemented by alternating increases in
basal expression (Δr_0) and self-activation levels (Δr_1) of both genes (Figure 3D): the long route
prioritizes increasing Δr_1 followed by Δr_0, while the short route reverses this sequence. From
the mathematical perspective, the difference of the two routes is the appearance of an unstable
attractor and index-1 saddle. Although the bifurcation between index-1 and index-2 saddle does

not produce a new cell state (stable attractor), it influences basin connectivity between
attractors, potentially leading to observable changes in cell fate switching probabilities. For
example, the ID31 are also called fully-connected stage, in which the attractors are connected
by each other, and we consider this special stage may correspond to the priming stage of
differentiation[43].
325
Despite sharing initial and final landscapes, these routes produce substantially different
outcomes: simulations with 1,000 uncommitted progenitor cells showed that the long route
generated 2.5 times more LY cells than the short route (Figure 3E). This route-dependent bias
can be explained through calculating energy barriers (i.e. the quasi-potential between the
saddles and the attractors at each time step calculated by GMAM). By comparing the energy
barriers from S1 to LY (E_{SY}) and S1 to LX (E_{SX}) along both routes, we found that during the
critical decision period near the bifurcation point, when the E_{SX} is sufficient small (lower than
1×10^{-4} here), the long route presents an E_{SY} approximately three times lower than the short
route (Figure 3F and Figure S3). This reduced barrier makes the LY fate more accessible to
uncommitted progenitor cells following the long route, demonstrating how route choice can
influence cell fate outcomes even when starting and ending points remain the same.
337
We also noted that the different noise conditions influence cell state transitions, as suggested
by Coomer at al.[23] (Table S11 and S12). In the low-noise conditions, the gradual route and
direct route model showed minimal sensitivity to multiplicative noise types ($\sigma * x * dW_t, \sigma * (1 +$
$x) * dW_t, \sigma * (1/x) * dW_t$), as deterministic mechanisms dominate. In contrast, the long and
short routes showed significant sensitivity to noise structure (Table S11). Under high-noise
conditions, all routes converged to identical steady-state distributions regardless of noise type,
as stochastic forces overwhelmed pathway-specific landscapes (Table S12). Thus, both noise

type and magnitude can affect fate transition dynamics, particularly in barrier-dependent
systems like the long and short routes.
347

Figure 3. Different routes in HSL induce different cell fate decision behaviors.
(A) The schematics of directly change and gradually changes in landscapes. The symbols are
the same as the Figure 1. The gray shadows indicate the boundary of the uncommitted
progenitor cell attractors in the upper landscape. The black dash lines indicate the position of
the saddle points in the bottom landscape.
(B) The fraction of LX cells induced by direct (blue)and gradual routes(red), respectively. The
parameter changes from the initial to the final in these two routes were shown in the upper
box. Simulations were performed with n=1,000 cells for each condition. In the gradual route,
parameters changes by the following rules: $r_1(t) = r_1(0) + 5.5 * \Delta r(t)$, $r_4(t) = r_4(0) + 5 *$
$\Delta r(t), r_x(t) = r_x(0) + 1.1 * \Delta r(t), r_y(t) = r_y(0) + \Delta r(t)$. The noise level in simulations is set to

0.02. Simulations in each route are repeated for 1,000 times to calculate the standard
deviation. The standard deviation is 7.6 for direct route and 10.0 for gradual route.
(C) Long route and short route in HSL. In the subset of HSL, the short and the long routes
from ID 21 to ID 13 solution landscape topologies are indicated by yellow and blue arrows,
respectively.
(D) Changes in parameters over time for different cell fate decision routes. Blue and yellow
lines correspond to the long and the short routes, respectively. The routes correspond to
alternatively increase the basal expression level $\Delta r_0(t)$ and the self-activation level $\Delta r_1(t)$.
The parameters are changed as the following rules: $r_1(t) = r_1(0) + 1.1 * \Delta r_1(t)$, $r_4(t) =$
$r_4(0) + \Delta r_1(t), r_x(t) = r_x(0) + 1.1 * \Delta r_0(t), r_y(t) = r_y(0) + \Delta r_0(t)$.
(E) The fraction of LY cells induced by different routes. The correspondence between colors
and routes is the same as (D). Simulations were performed with n=1,000 cells for each
condition. The noise level in simulations is set to 0.02. Simulations in each route were
repeated for 100 times to calculate the standard deviation. The standard deviation is 15.9 for
long route and 9.2 for short route.
(F) The schematic of the landscape near bifurcation. Symbols are the same as the Figure1.
The energy barrier of different route is shown in the corresponding color in (E). The energy
barrier calculated by GMAM is shown in the table.
377
Utilizing HSL to Design the Route of Cell Fate Decision.
The HSL provides a comprehensive framework for designing signal-driven cell fate transitions.
Consider the differentiation from uncommitted progenitor cells to lineage-X (transition from
landscape ID 23 to ID 2), where HSL reveals two distinct routes: a "progression route" (red
dashed line, Figure 4A) and an "accuracy route" (blue dashed line, Figure 4A), each with unique
fate decision characteristics[43]. In the progression route, the uncommitted progenitor cell
attractor disappears first, forcing cells to exit the uncommitted progenitor cell state and
differentiate (Figure 4B). Such progression routes may occur when a substantial replenishment
of cells is required, for instance, in the hematopoiesis. The hematopoietic system experiences
a high rate of cellular apoptosis on a daily basis, necessitating rapid differentiation, regardless
of accuracy, to replenish these lost cells. In contrast, the accuracy route first eliminates the
antagonistic LY fate, restricting cell fate choices to either maintaining stemness or differentiating
specifically to LX, thus ensuring higher differentiation precision. Such accuracy routes may
occur in embryonic development, in which accurate differentiation into the expected cell type is
emphasized.
393
These theoretical routes can be implemented through specific parameter modifications. Based
on our analysis in Figure 2, the progression route can be achieved through linear-like increases
in basal expression rate r_1, while the accuracy route requires increases in self-activation level
r_x (Figure 4B, Figure S4). To compare these routes quantitatively, we conducted stochastic
simulations starting with 1,000 cells in the S2 state. After initial equilibration, we tracked the

population dynamics along both routes, recording the number of cells in S2, LX, and LY cell
type (details in Methods and SI) over time (Figure 4C).
401
To characterize route efficiency, we defined three key temporal indicators (Figure 4C). The
lineage X arrival time measures when the cell type LX population exceeds 90%, providing a
metric for successful differentiation. The lineage Y leaving time indicates when the cell type LY
population decreases below 90% of its maximum, representing clearance of the alternative fate.
Finally, the uncommitted progenitor cell leaving time marks when the uncommitted progenitor
cell (S2) population drops below 10%, indicating exit from the uncommitted progenitor cell state.
According to their definitions, these three metrics characterize the temporal aspects of different
fate-determination processes by statistically simulating the collective behavior of various cell
types. These temporal indicators are crucial for systems such as hematopoiesis. For instance,
a recent study showed rapid depletion of the common myeloid progenitor (CMP) pool to
accelerate downstream differentiation during polymicrobial sepsis-induced inflammation[55].
413
414 These metrics revealed distinct rate-limiting steps for each route. In the progression route, rapid
415 uncommitted progenitor cell exit precedes other transitions, with lineage X arrival time closely
416 matching lineage Y leaving time—indicating that exit from lineage Y is rate-limiting. Conversely,
417 in the accuracy route, lineage X arrival closely tracks uncommitted progenitor cell leaving time,
418 suggesting that uncommitted progenitor cell exit is the primary bottleneck.
419
Further analysis across varying noise levels and initial landscapes revealed environment-
dependent route efficiencies. Initial landscape geometry, controlled by parameter shift Δr_{ini},
particularly affects energy barriers between uncommitted progenitor and differentiated states
(Figure S4). Heat map analysis of temporal indicators across these conditions (Figure 4D-F)
revealed several key insights into route behavior. The overall efficiency of each route,
measured by lineage X arrival time, strongly correlates with its characteristic rate-limiting step.
Under conditions of low noise and low energy barriers, the progression route shows diminished
performance as cells have difficulty exiting the LY state. In contrast, the accuracy route faces
its greatest challenges at low noise levels combined with high energy barriers, where cells
exhibit delayed exit from the uncommitted progenitor cell state, resulting in extended
differentiation times. These findings demonstrate how HSL can guide the design of effective

differentiation strategies by identifying and addressing route-specific bottlenecks in cell fate
transitions.
433

Figure 4. Targeted design of the cell fate transition routes by HSL.

(A) Designs of the progression route and accuracy route for differentiation in the HSL of
Figure 2(A). We set the initial landscape as ID 23 and the final landscape as ID 2, and there
are two routes connecting them. The red arrows mark the "progression route" and the blue
arrows mark the "accuracy route".

(B) Schematic changes of the landscapes for the two routes in (A). Along the progression
route, with the increasing of r_1, the uncommitted progenitor cell fate disappears first, then the
LY fate disappears. Along the accuracy route, with the increasing of r_x, the LY fate
disappears first, then the uncommitted progenitor cell fate. The green, blue, and purple balls
stand for the cells in uncommitted progenitor cell, lineage X, and lineage Y fates, respectively.

(C) Changes of the percentage of cell types along the progression (left panel) and accuracy
(right panel) routes. Simulations were performed with n=1,000 cells for each condition. The
green, blue and purple lines stand for the cells in uncommitted progenitor cell, lineage X and
lineage Y states respectively. The noise level and initial parameter is set as indicated by the
green box in (D) and (E).

(D-F) The heatmap of lineage X arrival time (D), Uncommitted progenitor cell leaving time (E)
and lineage Y leaving time (F) in different noise levels and initial parameters for progression
and accuracy routes. The initial parameter is set as following: $r_x = r_x^0 + \Delta r_{ini}, r_y = r_y^0 +$
$\Delta r_{ini}, r_1 = r_1^0 + \Delta r_{ini}, r_4 = r_4^0 + \Delta r_{ini}$. The color scales represent time in simulation steps on a
linear scale.

The Application of HSL in Seesaw Model
The HSL framework can be extended to various network structures. A particularly significant
application lies in the "seesaw" model of cellular reprogramming (Figure 5A), which
demonstrates how maintaining regulatory balance is crucial for restoring pluripotency[56]. In this
model (details in Methods), pluripotency factors OCT4 and SOX2 activate each other while
promoting their respective lineages, while lineage-specifying gene groups MEs and ECTs
exhibit mutual antagonism and suppress pluripotency (Figure 5A). We constructed the HSL
using eight key parameters from the original model: external signals that either induce
(C_O, C_S, C_M, C_E) or inhibit (I_O, I_S, I_M, I_E) the expression of OCT4, SOX2, MEs, and ECTs,
respectively.

The resulting HSL reveals that two dominant routes in seesaw model: (1) the differentiation:
from landscapes ID 5→ID 1, ID 5→ID 3 and (2) reprogramming: from landscapes ID 4→ID 2,
ID 6→ID 2 (Figure 5B, Figure S5). Starting from the ID 8 landscape, as the same initial
landscape in the reference[56], transitions predominantly target ID 4/6 landscapes
(reprogramming routes), aligning with the model's major focus. However, even between the
same initial (ID 8) and intermediate (ID 6) landscapes, different parameter modifications can
induce distinct reprogramming behaviors.

When ME fate suppression dominates (through increased I_M), the landscape transforms
directly from ID 8 to ID 6. However, when ECT fate activation prevails (through increased C_E),
the transition proceeds via an intermediate ID 9 landscape (Figure 5C). Unlike prior bifurcations,
the ID 8-to-ID 9 shift is a heteroclinic flip bifurcation, altering connectivity without changing fixed-
point numbers (Figures 5C and S5). And when heteroclinic bifurcations redirect trajectories to
ID 9, subsequent transitions overwhelmingly favor ID 6 (Figure 5B). These distinct routes
produce markedly different fate outcomes: ME suppression forces direct reprogramming to
stem cells (Figure 5D and 5F), while ECT activation enables both reprogramming to stem cells
and transdifferentiation to ECT cells (Figure 5E and 5G).

The fate heterogeneity arise from different topological connections between attractors. In the
ID 9 landscape, ME cells connect to ECT cells through an index-1 saddle, while in ID 8, ME
cells connect directly to the stem cell state. As signaling increases, the ME attractor gradually
destabilizes, enhancing transition probability to adjacent states according to the landscape's
topology.

This application of HSL to the seesaw model not only demonstrates the framework's versatility
but also reveals how different routes through the landscape can fundamentally alter cell fate

outcomes. These insights suggest that careful consideration of transition routes, not just initial
and final states, is crucial for optimal control of cell fate engineering.
495

496
Figure 5. HSL of the seesaw model reveals how different routes influences fate
distributions.

(A) The topology of the gene regulatory network underling the original seesaw model[56]. Color
schemes and the symbols are the same as Figure1(A).

(B) The HSL with weighted edges for seesaw model. Each solution landscape topology is
symbolled by a square with the corresponding ID. The symbols are the same as Figure2(A).
The widths of the edges are positively correlated with the transformations between the linked

landscape topologies, in 100 randomized parameter sets. The pink lines between ID 8 and ID
9 (ID 7) represent heteroclinic flip bifurcations. The cell types are distinguished by the
stemness and the bias (Methods).

(C) Schematics on how different parameter changes induce different landscape
transformations, forming different routes. The upper route corresponds to the change of
solution landscape topologies from ID 8 to ID 6, induced by the I_M linear decreasing over
time. The bottom route corresponds to the change from ID 8 to ID 9 and then to ID 6, induced
by the C_E linear increasing over time.

(D-E) Stacked area plots show the distribution of cell types at different times for 8-6 route(D)
and 8-9-6 route(E). The green, blue and purple areas stand for the number of cells in stem
cell, lineage X, and lineage Y states, respectively. 1,000 cells are set in ME state in ID 8
solution landscape initially and reach the ID 6 solution landscape finally, with different
parameters changing linear over time.

(F-G) The distribution of cell types at different parameter situation for 8-6 route(F) and 8-9-6
route(G). Dots with different colors represent cells at different parameter situation. The cells
are clustered by Gaussian mixture method.

Discussion
The quest to understand cell fate decisions through landscape perspectives has evolved greatly
since Waddington's initial metaphor. We construct a holistic map of cell fate decision by
applying a HSL approach. HSL incorporates fundamental principles of landscape transitions,
particularly how local bifurcations involve the simultaneous creation and annihilation of
adjacent-order stationary points. Through systematic analysis of the CIS network, we identified
hub nodes within the HSL and consolidated the numerous parameters into four key
hyperparameters that characterize directional landscape changes. This framework
demonstrates how different routes through the landscape can shape cell fate heterogeneity,
providing a global perspective for designing cell fate transitions with specific properties, such
as optimizing for either progression efficiency or fate accuracy.
531
As the node of HSL, the solution landscape approach offers several advantages over traditional
methods. Unlike energy landscapes constructed by solving Fokker-Planck equations[14] or
transition path optimization[30], it efficiently resolves the complete topological hierarchy of
stationary points (attractors, 1-saddles, 2-saddles, etc.) and their connections through saddle
dynamics. This topology-first strategy eliminates the need for prior state definitions and
extensive stochastic simulations — particularly critical in high-dimensional gene regulatory
networks. Such strategy of connecting stationary points has gained much attention in biological
network modeling[36], such as Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) that shares conceptual similarities
with solution landscape, and Bifurcation Diagrams (BD) share conceptual connections with
HSL. Building on these, the solution landscape serves as a computable tool for searching points
and connections via saddle dynamics, while HSL extends it to ensembles of landscapes and
bifurcations in gradient and non-gradient systems. Key differences from BDs in geometric
approaches include: (1) basic structures (solution landscapes vs. "MS components"); (2) HSL's
focus on node connectivity (transition pathways) versus BDs' emphasis on topological

boundaries; and (3) HSL's multi-layered hierarchy versus BDs' continuum representation. For
instance, Figure 1E depicts a "dual cusp" in geometric approaches, and restricting parameters
r_x and r_y to the range of 0.1-0.25 yields a consistent sub-HSL (Table S9 and Figure S6).

Building on these strengths, HSL provides a unified framework for investigating both noise-
driven and signal-driven cell fate decisions at distinct analytical scales. At the node level, each
solution landscape represents a static landscape, enabling the study of noise-driven effects
through the extraction of transition states and transfer pathways. At the edge level, connections
between solution landscapes reflect landscape changes associated with bifurcations,
facilitating exploration of signal-driven behaviors and cellular adaptation to environmental
stimuli. Overall, the HSL enhances our understanding of the intricate interplay between noise
and signals in cellular fate decision, positioning it as a valuable tool for advancing insights into
complex biological processes.

The practical utility of HSL lies in its ability to guide the design of cell fate decision routes. Acting
as a holistic map, it enables identification of optimal paths to desired cell states. With advancing
capabilities in synthetic biology[57–59], these theoretically designed routes can be experimentally
implemented through timed induction of transcriptional regulators. Our analysis of progression
and accuracy routes revealed distinct rate-limiting steps, providing crucial insights for route
design in real systems. Future applications could extend to optimizing pathways under multiple
constraints to meet diverse functional requirements.

In summary, the HSL framework advances our understanding of cell fate decisions by providing
a holistic, topology-driven map that unifies noise- and signal-driven transitions while
overcoming parameter dependencies. It equips researchers with powerful tools for rationally
designing cellular engineering strategies, from optimizing differentiation routes to precise
reprogramming. As synthetic biology advances, HSL's applications promise to extend beyond
cells to diverse dynamical systems, catalyzing breakthroughs in biology and beyond.

Limitations of the study
While this work establishes HSL as a powerful framework, several challenges remain for future
investigation.

Firstly, the theory and algorithms of HSL need further refinement for application to more
complex systems, particularly those exhibiting dynamic behaviors like limit cycles[32]. The HSL
framework currently relies on saddle dynamics to trace stationary points and their connections,
which inherently cannot detect limit cycles as the algorithm cannot converge to limit cycles.
While this restricts our current analysis of periodic solutions, we fully acknowledge the critical
need for advanced algorithms to efficiently identify limit cycles and characterize their
relationships with stationary points. Future work will focus on extending the HSL methodology

to incorporate cycle-oriented dynamics, enabling systematic exploration of solution landscapes
involving both steady states and oscillatory behaviors.

Secondly, extending the HSL framework to high-dimensional gene regulatory networks[46,60]
introduces substantial computational challenges, due to the exponential increase of stationary
points and the complexity of bifurcations in the HSL constructions. To mitigate this, we prioritize
biologically informed dimensionality reduction—for example, focusing on core regulatory
parameters (Oct4/Sox2/Nanog in pluripotency networks) and aggregating redundant nodes.
Future integration with parallel computing will further expand the scope to complex high-
dimensional systems.

Thirdly, the high co-dimension bifurcations need to be explored. In the process of constructing
HSL, the change of solution landscape was induced by one single parameter. It means that the
co-dimension of bifurcations in HSL equals 1 and the bifurcations are mostly saddle-node or
flip. Though we demonstrate a co-dimension 2 bifurcation (dual cusp) in SI, the high co-
dimension bifurcations induced by multiple parameters are still needs exploration. And how to
identify the bifurcation type directly from HSL is also a direction worth exploring. The HSL is
constructed through single-parameter variation, meaning that only co-dimension 1 bifurcations
(e.g., saddle-node, flip) are captured. As such, bifurcation types are not directly labeled in the
HSL. Future work using multi-parameter analysis will allow identification of higher-order
bifurcations and enable more refined bifurcation classification within the HSL.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
Lead Contact
Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the
Lead Contact, Lei Zhang (zhangl@math.pku.edu.cn).
Materials Availability
This study did not generate new materials.
Data and Code Availability
All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
All original code has been deposited at Zenodo and is publicly available as of the date of
publication. DOIs are listed in the key resources table.
Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from
the lead contact upon request.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We would like to thank J. Yin and H. Su for their advice on mathematical methods, and N. Yang.
and Y. Yu. for their support in theoretical concepts. We also extend our gratitude to all members
of Lei's group for their support and assistance. L.Z. was supported by the National Key
Research and Development Program of China (No. 2024YFA0919500) and the National
Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12225102, T2321001, 12288101, 12426653). Z.L.
was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No.
2021YFA0910700, 2024YFA0919500), and Fundamental and Interdisciplinary Disciplines

Breakthrough Plan of the Ministry of Education of China (JYB2025XDXM502). This work
supported in part by Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS
The authors declare no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
X.Z., Z.L. and L.Z. conceived the project; X.Z. performed the analysis and computational
simulations; Z.L. and L.Z. supervised the project; X.Z., Z.L. and L.Z. wrote the paper.

STAR★METHODS
Key Resources Table

REAGENT OR RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Software and algorithms		
MATLAB r2022b	The Mathworks Inc.	https://matlab.mathworks.com/
GHiSD (Generalized High-index Saddle Dynamics)	(Yin et al., 2021)[42]	N/A
hsl-project	This paper	Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.18006147 GitHub: https://github.com/zzzhangxy98/hsl-project.git

641
642

METHOD DETAILS
Gene Regulatory Network.
The GRN used in this work follows previous models[43,61]. The equation (1) derives from a
biochemical reaction model incorporating dimerization, reversible DNA binding, transcription,
translation, decay, and ectopic overexpression[61]. The original 14-dimensional system was
reduced to equation (1) using quasi-steady state assumptions.

Generalized High-index Saddle Dynamics (GHiSD).
k-GHiSD identifies index-k saddle points in dynamical systems[42,62], represented by dynamics
(3). This method can be interpreted as a reverting evolution along the unstable subspace
$\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{u}}(\boldsymbol{x})$:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = -\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{u}}(\boldsymbol{x})}\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \left(\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{u}}(\boldsymbol{x})}\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$$

Where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{u}}(\boldsymbol{x})}$ stands for the orthogonal projection operator onto the unstable subspace
$\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{u}}(\boldsymbol{x})$. The second equation for the saddle dynamic aims to find an orthonormal basis
$\{\boldsymbol{v_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{v_k}\}$ of the unstable subspace $\boldsymbol{W^u}(\boldsymbol{x})$.

Algorithm for constructions of the solution landscape.

Generally speaking, we can use the downward-search and the upward-search algorithms to
construct the solution landscape[41,44], which starting from the k-index saddle points and the
stable points respectively.

Downward search:

1. Given an k-index saddle x, calculate the unstable directions$\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$.
2. Choose an unstable direction v_j and perturb the initial state along this direction.
3. Start from the point $x \pm \varepsilon v_j$, find the m-index saddle point $(m < k)$ using the m-GHiSD.
4. Record the new saddle point, and the connection relationship.
5. Repeat the process for all of the unstable directions and all of the indices until no new
saddles can be found.

Upward search:
1. Given an k-index saddle x, calculate the stable directions$\{v_1, \ldots, v_p\}$.(suppose the
highest index of saddle points is $k + p$)
2. Choose a stable direction v_j and perturb the initial state along this direction.
3. Start from the point $x \pm \varepsilon v_j$, find the m-index saddle point $(m > k)$ using the m-GHiSD.
4. Record the new saddle point, and the connection relationship.
5. Repeat the process for all of the stable directions and all of the indices until find the
highest index saddles.
In practice, we use the upward search and the downward search algorithm together.

Morse inequality.
Morse theory is a geometrical theory that studies the topological relationship between stationary
points and manifolds. For the dynamical system we studied, it satisfies the constraints in
reference[63] and the Morse inequalities for the dynamical system can be shown by the following
expression:
Let α_i be the number of stationary points of index i and β_i be the i-th Betti number (i.e.,
the rank of the i-th homology group). We have the following inequalities:

$$\alpha_0 \geq \beta_0$$
$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \geq \beta_1 - \beta_0$$
$$\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 \geq \beta_2 - \beta_1 + \beta_0$$
$$\alpha_3 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \geq \beta_3 - \beta_2 + \beta_1 - \beta_0$$
$$\ldots \ldots$$
The Betti numbers for $\mathbb{R}^2$ are as follows: $\beta_0 = 1, \beta_i = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.
In the context of a two-dimensional system, the maximum index of saddles is 2, indicating that
there are no saddles of index 3 or higher:$\alpha_j = 0\ for\ j \geq 3$.
Therefore, the inequalities can be simplified to the following:
$$\alpha_0 \geq 1,$$
$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \geq -1,$$
$$\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 \geq 1,$$
$$-\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \geq -1.$$
The last inequality can be rewritten as:
$$\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 \leq 1.$$

Considering the last two inequalities together, we find that the number of saddles satisfies:

704
$$\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 = 1.$$

Since bifurcations do not alter the topological features of the manifold, this equation holds

both before and after bifurcations. In our study, we observe that bifurcations affect the number

of stationary points according to the following rules: k-index and $(k \pm 1)$-index saddles

appear and disappear simultaneously.

A framework of constructing simplified HSL.

We present a theoretical framework for constructing simplified hyper solution landscape

(simplified HSL), which primarily focuses on the topological structures of the solution

landscape (Figure S2). The methodology encompasses the following steps: 1) fixing the

starting and ending solution landscapes; 2) applying generation rules derived from Morse

inequalities to create the solution landscapes between them; and 3) pruning based on prior

knowledge.

In the specific application to the CIS network, our algorithmic process is detailed as follows:

1. Fixing Starting and Ending Points: The simplest solution landscape is characterized by

the presence of a single attractor. According to our prior knowledge[64], the most complex

solution landscape for the CIS network consists of a 2-saddle, four 1-saddles, and four

attractors (Figure 1C).

2. Generation Rules: Within the CIS network, the methods for expansion include two types:

adding a 2-saddle and a 1-saddle to the solution landscape, or adding a 1-saddle and a

0-saddle (attractor) to the solution landscape.

3. Modifications: By integrating prior knowledge of the CIS network, we eliminate structures

that obviously correspond to other topologies.

The resulting simplified hyper solution landscape (simplified HSL) can then be utilized in the

subsequent construction of the HSL.

HSL Construction Algorithm.

The flowchart for constructing the HSL (Figure S1) is organized into the following steps:

1. Input Data: Begin by inputting the GRNs (or ODEs), along with the corresponding

parameter space. The range of parameter was set to [0,10] in our work.

2. Initial Parameter Sampling: Conduct initial sampling of the parameter set using Latin

Hypercube Sampling or leveraging prior knowledge, such as a simplified HSL constructed

based on Morse inequality.

3. Solution Landscape Generation: For the parameter sets identified in the previous step,

generate solution landscapes through both upward and downward searches.

4. Clustering: Cluster the pool of solution landscapes into distinct topologies by the number

and type of stationary points.

5. Enhanced Sampling: Perform enhanced sampling for topologies that exhibit insufficient

sampling to ensure comprehensive representation.

6. Bifurcation Search: Execute a bifurcation search by generating solution landscapes

across a range of parameters to identify critical transitions.

7. Output: If a new topology of solution landscape is discovered, return to step 5 for further
enhanced sampling; otherwise, proceed to output the final HSL.

The computational costs for HSL are shown in Table S13 and Table S14.
748
749   **The descriptive name for CIS motif.**
750   The descriptive names are shown by the following format:
751                                       $2^a 1^b 0^c$
752   Where **a (a=0,1)** is the number of index-2 saddle, **b (b=0,1,2,3,4)** is the number of index-1
753   saddle, and **c (c=S1,X,Y,S2)** is the type of attractors. The descriptive names of different IDs
754   are shown in Table S10.
755
Geometric Minimum Action Method (GMAM) and quasi-potential.
The GMAM[52,53] is a numerical algorithm used to compute the Minimum Action Path (MAP)
connecting two metastable states in a dynamical system. The key idea behind the GMAM is
to reformulate the Freidlin-Wentzell action functional on the space of curves. We apply the
GMAM method to calculate the transition pathways between two attractors x_1 and x_2 and
the quasi-potential $V(x_1, x_2)$ representing the energy barrier.

$$V(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\varphi \in \bar{C}_{x_1}^{x_2}(0,1)} \hat{S}(\varphi)$$

763   Where $\hat{S}(\varphi) = \int_0^1 \langle \varphi', \vartheta(\varphi, \varphi') \rangle d\alpha$ is the action calculated by GMAM.
764
765   **Stochastic simulation.**
766   We introduce noise into Equation (1) to obtain the Langevin equation[14,30], expressed as
767   follows:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) + \xi(t),$$

769   where $F(x)$ represents the right-hand side of Equation (1), and $\xi(t)$ denotes the Gaussian
770   white noise. The properties of the noise are given by:

$$\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0, \langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = 2D\delta(t - t'),$$

772   where D is the noise level.
773
774   **Ranges of bifurcations.**
775   The range for CIS motif: the following multiplier to the initial parameters:
776   {0.0001,0.001,0.01,0.1,0.5,0.9,0.95,0.99,0.995,0.999,1,1.001,1.005,1.01,1.05,1.1,1.5,2,2.5,5,10}
777   The ranges for seesaw motif: 0-1 with the interval of 0.05.
778
The framework of hyperparameter.
Our hyperparameter classification methodology is grounded in systematic analysis of solution
landscape transitions, as illustrated in Figure 2C and Figure S2C in manuscript. The
parameter ranges for bifurcations are shown in the "Ranges of bifurcations" section in
Methods. We classify parameters based on the dominant trend of attractor changes induced
by their increase:

1. Primary labeling: A parameter is assigned a directional label by the dominate effects
(e.g., P_{x_up}, P_{y_down}), shown by the arrows with orange color in figure S2c. For example,
if the dominate effect for a parameter is the disappearance of LY attractors (e.g. the
widest arrow in Figure 2C), it is classified as P_{x_up} or P_{y_down}.
2. Final labeling: If a parameter shows mixed effects (with multiple labels, such as the co-
occurrence of P_{x_up} and P_{y_down}), we resolve ambiguity using the parameter's role in the
governing equations (Eq. 1): For example, when a parameter were marked by both
P_{x_up} and P_{y_down}, if it modulates the $F_1(x, y)$ term governing X dynamics (e.g. r_x), it is
designated P_{x_up}; otherwise, if it affects $F_2(x, y)$ (Y dynamics), it is classified as P_{y_down}.

The equations of seesaw model.

$$797 \quad \frac{d[Oct4]}{dt} = d_o \cdot \left(C_O + I_O \cdot \frac{K_{p\_inh}^{n_{p\_inh}}}{K_{p\_inh}^{n_{p\_inh}} + [MEs]^{n_{p\_inh}}} \cdot \frac{K_{p\_inh}^{n_{p\_inh}}}{K_{p\_inh}^{n_{p\_inh}} + [ECTs]^{n_{p\_inh}}} \right.$$

$$798 \quad \left. \cdot \left(KM + \frac{(Oct4 \cdot Sox2)^{n_{p\_act}}}{K_{p\_act}^{n_{p\_act}} + (Oct4 \cdot Sox2)^{n_{p\_act}}} \right) - [Oct4] \right)$$

$$799 \quad \frac{d[Sox2]}{dt} = d_s \cdot \left(C_S + I_S \cdot \frac{K_{p\_inh}^{n_{p\_inh}}}{K_{p\_inh}^{n_{p\_inh}} + [MEs]^{n_{p\_inh}}} \cdot \frac{K_{p\_inh}^{n_{p\_inh}}}{K_{p\_inh}^{n_{p\_inh}} + [ECTs]^{n_{p\_inh}}} \right.$$

$$800 \quad \left. \cdot \left(KM + \frac{(Oct4 \cdot Sox2)^{n_{p\_act}}}{K_{p\_act}^{n_{p\_act}} + (Oct4 \cdot Sox2)^{n_{p\_act}}} \right) - [Sox2] \right)$$

$$801 \quad \frac{d[MEs]}{dt} = d_M \cdot \left(C_M + I_M \cdot w \cdot \frac{[Oct4]^{n_a}}{K_a^{n_a} + [Oct4]^{n_a}} + I_M \cdot \frac{K_i^{n_i}}{K_i^{n_i} + [SOx2]^{n_i}} \cdot \frac{K_{d\_inh}^{n_{d\_inh}}}{K_{d\_inh}^{n_{d\_inh}} + [ECTs]^{n_{d\_inh}}} \right.$$

$$802 \quad \left. - [MEs] \right)$$

$$803 \quad \frac{d[ECTs]}{dt} = d_E \cdot \left(C_E + I_E \cdot w \cdot \frac{[Sox2]^{n_a}}{K_a^{n_a} + [Sox2]^{n_a}} + I_E \cdot \frac{K_i^{n_i}}{K_i^{n_i} + [Oct4]^{n_i}} \cdot \frac{K_{d\_inh}^{n_{d\_inh}}}{K_{d\_inh}^{n_{d\_inh}} + [MEs]^{n_{d\_inh}}} \right.$$

$$804 \quad \left. - [ECTs] \right)$$

Classification criteria of cell types.
For the CIS motif:
The attractors are distinguished by the expression level of X and Y gene (Figure 1B).
In the simulations, we can use the following three methods to define the cell types:
1. By the fate bias ($[X] - [Y]$) of gene expression level. The cell type of uncommitted progenitor
cell, LX and LY is corresponding to $-0.5 < [X] - [Y] < 0.5, [X] - [Y] \geq 0.5$ and $[X] - [Y] \leq$
-0.5, where the $[X]$ and $[Y]$ represent for the expression level of gene X and gene Y,
respectively.

2. By the hyper spherical basin approximation.   Cells are classified by calculating the minimum

Euclidean distance to the attractors at each timestep, with a cutoff of 0.5 (dimensionless units)

to define basin boundaries. If the distance of a cell and a certain attractor is less than 0.5, we

define the cell type as the corresponding attractor.

3. By relaxation-based fate assignment. For each cell at every timestep, we iteratively relax its

position to convergence and assign fates based on the terminal attractor.

In our simulation, these methods have the similar results (Figure S8 and S9), so we choose the

method 1 to make the Figure4C.

For the seesaw model:

The cell types are distinguished by the stemness ($stemness = [Oct4] + [Sox2]$) and the bias

($bias = ([Oct4] + [MEs]) - ([Sox2] + [ECTs])$). The stem cell state has $stemness \geq 1$. The ME

state and the ECT state corresponds to the case of $stemnes < 1, bias > 0$ and $stemnes <$

$1, bias > 0$, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Document S1. Figures S1-S10. Tables S1-S15.

Reference

1. Waddington, C.H. (1957). The Strategy of the Genes (George Allen & Unwin Ltd).

2. Bhattacharya, S., Zhang, Q., and Andersen, M.E. (2011). A deterministic map of Waddington's epigenetic landscape for cell fate specification. BMC Syst Biol *5*, 85.

3. Demirkıran, G. (2024). Construction of a Waddington-like landscape model that can guide clinical exploration of p53-dynamics-activating parameters in the face of divergent p53 dynamics. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation *132*, 107893.

4. Kauffman, S. (1969). Homeostasis and Differentiation in Random Genetic Control Networks. Nature *224*, 177–178.

5. Kauffman, S.A. (1993). The Origins of Order: Self-Organization and Selection in Evolution (Oxford University Press).

6. Ferrell, J.E. (2012). Bistability, Bifurcations, and Waddington's Epigenetic Landscape. Current Biology *22*, R458–R466.

7. Li, C., and Wang, J. (2013). Quantifying Waddington landscapes and paths of non-adiabatic cell fate decisions for differentiation, reprogramming and transdifferentiation. J R Soc Interface *10*, 20130787.

8. Huang, S., Eichler, G., Bar-Yam, Y., and Ingber, D.E. (2005). Cell fates as high-dimensional attractor states of a complex gene regulatory network. Phys Rev Lett *94*, 128701.

9. Qiao, L., Zhao, W., Tang, C., Nie, Q., and Zhang, L. (2019). Network Topologies That Can Achieve Dual Function of Adaptation and Noise Attenuation. Cell Systems *9*, 271-285.e7.

10. Hong, T., Watanabe, K., Ta, C.H., Villarreal-Ponce, A., Nie, Q., and Dai, X. (2015). An Ovol2-Zeb1 Mutual Inhibitory Circuit Governs Bidirectional and Multi-step Transition between Epithelial and Mesenchymal States. PLoS Comput Biol *11*, e1004569.

11. Huang, S. (2013). Hybrid T-Helper Cells: Stabilizing the Moderate Center in a Polarized System. PLoS Biol *11*, e1001632.

12. Graf, T., and Enver, T. (2009). Forcing cells to change lineages. Nature *462*, 587–594.

13. Huang, S., Guo, Y.-P., May, G., and Enver, T. (2007). Bifurcation dynamics in lineage-commitment in bipotent progenitor cells. Developmental Biology *305*, 695–713.

14. Wang, J., Xu, L., Wang, E., and Huang, S. (2010). The Potential Landscape of Genetic Circuits Imposes the Arrow of Time in Stem Cell Differentiation. Biophysical Journal *99*, 29–39.

15. Zhou, J.X., and Huang, S. (2011). Understanding gene circuits at cell-fate branch points
for rational cell reprogramming. Trends in Genetics *27*, 55–62.

16. Semrau, S., Goldmann, J.E., Soumillon, M., Mikkelsen, T.S., Jaenisch, R., and van
Oudenaarden, A. (2017). Dynamics of lineage commitment revealed by single-cell
transcriptomics of differentiating embryonic stem cells. Nat Commun *8*, 1096.

17. Liu, Y., Zhou, Z., Su, H., Wu, S., Ni, G., Zhang, A., Tsimring, L.S., Hasty, J., and Hao, N.
(2024). Enhanced cellular longevity arising from environmental fluctuations. Cell Systems
15, 738-752.e5.

18. Hoppe, P.S., Schwarzfischer, M., Loeffler, D., Kokkaliaris, K.D., Hilsenbeck, O., Moritz,
N., Endele, M., Filipczyk, A., Gambardella, A., Ahmed, N., et al. (2016). Early myeloid
lineage choice is not initiated by random PU.1 to GATA1 protein ratios. Nature *535*, 299–
302.

19. Simon, C.S., Hadjantonakis, A., and Schröter, C. (2018). Making lineage decisions with
biological noise: Lessons from the early mouse embryo. WIREs Developmental Biology
7, e319.

20. Guillemin, A., and Stumpf, M.P.H. (2020). Noise and the molecular processes underlying
cell fate decision-making. Phys. Biol. *18*, 011002.

21. Qiao, L., Zhang, Z.-B., Zhao, W., Wei, P., and Zhang, L. (2022). Network design principle
for robust oscillatory behaviors with respect to biological noise. eLife *11*, e76188.

22. Wells, D.K., Kath, W.L., and Motter, A.E. (2015). Control of Stochastic and Induced
Switching in Biophysical Networks. Phys. Rev. X *5*, 031036.

23. Coomer, M.A., Ham, L., and Stumpf, M.P.H. (2022). Noise distorts the epigenetic
landscape and shapes cell-fate decisions. Cell Systems *13*, 83-102.e6.

24. Li, Y., Jiang, Y., Paxman, J., O'Laughlin, R., Klepin, S., Zhu, Y., Pillus, L., Tsimring, L.S.,
Hasty, J., and Hao, N. (2020). A programmable fate decision landscape underlies single-
cell aging in yeast. Science *369*, 325–329.

25. Zhu, R., Del Rio-Salgado, J.M., Garcia-Ojalvo, J., and Elowitz, M.B. (2022). Synthetic
multistability in mammalian cells. Science *375*, eabg9765.

26. Driskill, J.H., and Pan, D. (2023). Control of stem cell renewal and fate by YAP and TAZ.
Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *24*, 895–911.

27. Smart, M., Moreno, D.F., and Acar, M. (2024). Rationally reprogramming single-cell aging
trajectories and lifespan through dynamic modulation of environmental inputs. Cell
Systems *15*, 676–678.

28. Zhang, J., Tian, X.-J., Zhang, H., Teng, Y., Li, R., Bai, F., Elankumaran, S., and Xing, J. (2014). TGF-β-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition proceeds through stepwise activation of multiple feedback loops. Sci Signal 7, ra91.

29. MacArthur, B.D. (2022). The geometry of cell fate. Cell Systems 13, 1–3.

30. Wang, J., Zhang, K., Xu, L., and Wang, E. (2011). Quantifying the Waddington landscape and biological paths for development and differentiation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 8257–8262.

31. Zhou, P., and Li, T. (2016). Construction of the landscape for multi-stable systems: Potential landscape, quasi-potential, A-type integral and beyond. The Journal of Chemical Physics 144, 094109.

32. Li, C., and Wang, J. (2014). Landscape and flux reveal a new global view and physical quantification of mammalian cell cycle. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 14130–14135.

33. Yao, M., Su, Y., Xiong, R., Zhang, X., Zhu, X.-M., Chen, Y.-C., and Ao, P. (2023). Energy Landscape near Glioma Decipher the Role of Glioma Stem Cells within Glioma Hierarchy. Preprint at bioRxiv.

34. Shi, J., Aihara, K., Li, T., and Chen, L. (2022). Energy landscape decomposition for cell differentiation with proliferation effect. Natl Sci Rev 9, nwac116.

35. Li, C. (2018). Landscape of gene networks for random parameter perturbation. Integr. Biol. 10, 92–99.

36. Rand, D.A., Raju, A., Sáez, M., Corson, F., and Siggia, E.D. (2021). Geometry of gene regulatory dynamics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118, e2109729118.

37. Raju, A., and Siggia, E.D. (2024). A geometrical model of cell fate specification in the mouse blastocyst. Development 151, dev202467.

38. Raju, A., and Siggia, E.D. (2023). A geometrical perspective on development. Development, Growth & Differentiation 65, 245–254.

39. Sáez, M., Blassberg, R., Camacho-Aguilar, E., Siggia, E.D., Rand, D.A., and Briscoe, J. (2022). Statistically derived geometrical landscapes capture principles of decision-making dynamics during cell fate transitions. Cell Systems 13, 12-28.e3.

40. Corson, F., and Siggia, E.D. (2017). Gene-free methodology for cell fate dynamics during development. eLife 6, e30743.

41. Yin, J., Wang, Y., Chen, J.Z.Y., Zhang, P., and Zhang, L. (2020). Construction of a Pathway Map on a Complicated Energy Landscape. Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 090601.

42. Yin, J., Yu, B., and Zhang, L. (2021). Searching the solution landscape by generalized
high-index saddle dynamics. Sci. China Math. *64*, 1801–1816.

43. Xue, G., Zhang, X., Li, W., Zhang, L., Zhang, Z., Zhou, X., Zhang, D., Zhang, L., and Li,
Z. (2024). A logic-incorporated gene regulatory network deciphers principles in cell fate
decisions. eLife *12*, RP88742.

44. Yin, J., Zhang, L., and Zhang, P. (2022). Solution landscape of the Onsager model
identifies non-axisymmetric critical points. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena *430*,
133081.

45. Yin, J., Jiang, K., Shi, A.-C., Zhang, P., and Zhang, L. (2021). Transition pathways
connecting crystals and quasicrystals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. *118*, e2106230118.

46. Chen, Z., Lu, J., Zhao, X.-M., Yu, H., and Li, C. (2024). Energy Landscape Reveals the
Underlying Mechanism of Cancer-Adipose Conversion in Gene Network Models.
Advanced Science *11*, 2404854.

47. Li, L., Xu, Y., Yan, L., Li, X., Li, F., Liu, Z., Zhang, C., Lou, Y., Gao, D., Cheng, X., et al.
(2023). Dynamic network biomarker factors orchestrate cell-fate determination at tipping
points during hESC differentiation. Innovation *4*.

48. Moris, N., Pina, C., and Arias, A.M. (2016). Transition states and cell fate decisions in
epigenetic landscapes. Nat Rev Genet *17*, 693–703.

49. Wang, L.-Z., Su, R.-Q., Huang, Z.-G., Wang, X., Wang, W.-X., Grebogi, C., and Lai, Y.-C.
(2016). A geometrical approach to control and controllability of nonlinear dynamical
networks. Nat Commun *7*, 11323.

50. Shea, M.A., and Ackers, G.K. (1985). The OR control system of bacteriophage lambda.
Journal of Molecular Biology *181*, 211–230.

51. Ackers, G.K., Johnson, A.D., and Shea, M.A. (1982). Quantitative model for gene
regulation by lambda phage repressor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
79, 1129–1133.

52. Heymann, M., and Vanden-Eijnden, E. (2008). The geometric minimum action method: A
least action principle on the space of curves. Comm Pure Appl Math *61*, 1052–1117.

53. Vanden-Eijnden, E., and Heymann, M. (2008). The geometric minimum action method for
computing minimum energy paths. The Journal of Chemical Physics *128*, 061103.

54. Chen, S., Sun, Y., Zhang, F., and Luo, C. (2024). Dynamic processes of fate decision in
inducible bistable systems. Biophysical Journal *123*, 4030–4041.

55. Fanti, A.-K., Busch, K., Greco, A., Wang, X., Cirovic, B., Shang, F., Nizharadze, T.,
Frank, L., Barile, M., Feyerabend, T.B., et al. (2023). Flt3- and Tie2-Cre tracing identifies

regeneration in sepsis from multipotent progenitors but not hematopoietic stem cells. Cell
Stem Cell *30*, 207-218.e7.

56. Shu, J., Wu, C., Wu, Y., Li, Z., Shao, S., Zhao, W., Tang, X., Yang, H., Shen, L., Zuo, X.,
et al. (2013). Induction of Pluripotency in Mouse Somatic Cells with Lineage Specifiers.
Cell *153*, 963–975.

57. Wu, F., Su, R.-Q., Lai, Y.-C., and Wang, X. (2017). Engineering of a synthetic
quadrastable gene network to approach Waddington landscape and cell fate
determination. eLife *6*, e23702.

58. Zhang, R., Goetz, H., Melendez-Alvarez, J., Li, J., Ding, T., Wang, X., and Tian, X.-J.
(2021). Winner-takes-all resource competition redirects cascading cell fate transitions.
Nat Commun *12*, 853.

59. Zhu, J., Chu, P., and Fu, X. (2023). Unbalanced response to growth variations reshapes
the cell fate decision landscape. Nat Chem Biol *19*, 1097–1104.

60. Zhang, B., and Wolynes, P.G. (2014). Stem cell differentiation as a many-body problem.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *111*, 10185–10190.

61. Cahan, P. ed. (2019). Computational Stem Cell Biology: Methods and Protocols
(Springer New York).

62. Yin, J., Zhang, L., and Zhang, P. (2019). High-Index Optimization-Based Shrinking Dimer
Method for Finding High-Index Saddle Points. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. *41*, A3576–A3595.

63. Smale, S. (1960). Morse inequalities for a dynamical system. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. *66*,
43–49.

64. Macía, J., Widder, S., and Solé, R. (2009). Why are cellular switches Boolean? General
conditions for multistable genetic circuits. Journal of Theoretical Biology *261*, 126–135.

988
989