Early changes in the properties of CA3 engram cells explored with a novel viral tool

Dario Cupollilo[1,2]**, Noëlle Grosjean**[1]**, Catherine Marneffe**[1]**, Julio Viotti**[1]**, Célia Reynaud**[1]**, Séverine Deforges**[1]**, Mario Carta**[1]**, and Christophe Mulle**[1*]

[1]Interdisciplinary Institute for Neuroscience, CNRS UMR 5297, University of Bordeaux, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

[2] current address: Neuroscience and Brain Technologies Department, Fondazione Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, Italy

[*]Lead contact; correspondence: christophe.mulle@u-bordeaux.fr

ABSTRACT

Forming new memories after a one-time experience requires initial encoding then consolidation over time. During learning, multimodal information converges onto the hippocampus, activating sparse neuronal assemblies which are thought to form a memory representation through concerted activity and synaptic interconnectivity. In this work, we use a novel tool for fast-labeling of engram neurons (FLEN). FLEN is based on c-Fos activity-dependent transient expression of a destabilized fluorescent marker ZsGreen1 rapidly after one-trial learning. With FLEN, we explore the electrophysiological properties of c-Fos activated CA3 pyramidal neurons a few hours following one-trial learning of an episodic-like memory. In parallel, we employ the Robust Activity Marker (RAM) system, which provides activity-dependent labelling 24 hours following a novel experience. Comparing FLEN+ and RAM+ neurons allows to characterize how the properties of neuronal assemblies evolve during an initial phase of consolidation. Whereas no difference was observed in the excitability of FLEN+ vs. FLEN- neurons, RAM+ neurons were more excitable than RAM- neurons. This suggests that CA3 pyramidal neurons recruited in an engram progressively acquire increased excitability as compared to neurons which were not activated by the one-trial contextual memory task. In contrast, FLEN+ CA3 neurons show an increased number of excitatory inputs. Overall, with the FLEN strategy, we can show that both the intrinsic excitability and the synaptic properties of CA3 pyramidal neurons undergo progressive plastic changes over the first day following a one-trial memory task.

INTRODUCTION

Animal survival depends on the ability to rapidly form new and durable episodic memories of one-time

meaningful events which, when recalled, allow to adapt behavior (Tulving, 2002). Contextual memories

of ongoing experiences are continuously and rapidly generated in the hippocampus and subsequently

stored in an extended cortical network (Eichenbaum, 2000). Computational models of memory point to a

crucial role of the hippocampal CA3 region in episodic memory encoding and retrieval (Kesner & Rolls,

2015). Based on its distinctive extensive recurrent architecture (CA3-CA3), CA3 may act as an auto-

associative or attractor network, enabling pattern completion during recollection (Kesner & Rolls, 2015;

McNaughton, 1987). Indeed, mice with CA3-specific synaptic plasticity impairment (CA3 NR1-KO mice) are

unable to encode the novel location of a hidden platform in a delayed matching-to-place version of the

Morris water maze (K. Nakazawa et al., 2003) and to retrieve the learned position of a submerged platform

when partial cues are presented (K. Nakazawa et al., 2002). In addition to recurrent connections, the main

excitatory synaptic drivers of CA3 pyramidal neurons (PNs) are inputs from medial and lateral entorhinal

cortex (MEC and LEC) via the perforant pathway (PP), and indirectly from mossy fibers (Mfs) through the

dentate gyrus (DG). Mf inputs display 'conditional detonator' properties, enabling individual Mf synapses

to trigger postsynaptic firing in response to repetitive firing of presynaptic DG cells (Henze et al., 2002;

Marneffe et al., 2024; Rebola et al., 2017; Sachidhanandam et al., 2009; Vandael & Jonas, 2024).

During encoding of novel information, the orchestrated activity patterns of selected neuronal groups

distributed throughout the brain, called engrams, represent the initial memory trace (Josselyn &

Tonegawa, 2020). Engrams undergo long-lasting synaptic modifications during consolidation and are

selectively re-engaged when the memory is retrieved (Bessières et al., 2024; Goto et al., 2021; Josselyn &

Tonegawa, 2020; Kitamura et al., 2017; Ryan et al., 2015; Tomé et al., 2024). Experimental manipulation

of neuronal engrams in the hippocampus allows selective memory erasure (Han et al., 2009), artificial

memory recall (Liu et al., 2012) and creation of a synthetic memory (Vetere et al., 2019), highlighting both

their necessity and sufficiency for memory functions.

The investigation of the properties of engram neurons has taken advantage of immediate early genes

(IEGs) such as c-Fos, Arc (activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein), or *Npas4* (neuronal PAS

domain protein 4) to visualize and label neurons active during a memory task (DeNardo et al., 2019;

Kawashima et al., 2013; Reijmers et al., 2007; Sørensen et al., 2016; X. Sun et al., 2020). Generally, IEGs

are rapidly and transiently expressed; however different IEGs are triggered by different sets of

extracellular events and possess specific expression kinetics. Temporal control of IEG-based systems is

crucial to accurately link engram labeling to specific events that occur in a specific in time.

The activity of engram neurons can be tracked *in vivo* during their maturation from the process of

encoding throughout consolidation in behaving mice, by means of functional indicators like GCaMP

(Milczarek et al., 2018; Mocle et al., 2024; Tomé et al., 2024). However, *in vivo* Ca^{2+} imaging does not allow

to address the synaptic and intrinsic properties of engram neurons. Labeling of engram neurons in order

to characterize these properties *ex vivo* over time after an experience has occurred, often relies on

labeling techniques using inducible transgenic systems (DeNardo et al., 2019; Reijmers et al., 2007). In

several studies using transgenic mouse lines, engram labeling requires 48 hours of doxycycline (Dox)

deprivation before a novel experience (Kitamura et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2012; Pignatelli et al., 2019; Ryan

et al., 2015), or the use of tamoxifen injection to open a 12-hours time window for engram labeling

(DeNardo et al., 2019). Therefore, these systems only offer temporal control over extended time windows,

thus increasing the probability of compromising the experience specificity of labeled engram cells. In

addition, most of these approaches drive a relatively late labeling, enabling investigation of learning-

induced modifications more than 24 hours after a novel experience (e.g RAM system) (X. Sun et al., 2020)

or shortly after recall for neurons which have been labeled several days before (Pignatelli et al., 2019),

when consolidation processes have already been engaged.

Little has been learned about how neuronal engrams in the hippocampus rapidly (in the time range of

hours) adapt their synaptic and physiological properties following encoding of a memory event, and how

these properties evolve over time. In this sense, it is unknown whether initial memory storage is enabled

by engram cell modifications of intrinsic properties, synaptic plasticity or a combination of them. Here,

we tackle these questions using a combination of viral strategies that allow us to identify engram neurons

and compare *ex vivo* their properties shortly after learning (3-4 hours), and 24 hours after the experience

has occurred (Fig. 1A) (Cupolillo, 2021). To track early engrams, we developed a virally delivered c-Fos-

based genetic construct that provides physiological-like rapid expression and fast-decay of the bright

fluorescent cytosolic marker ZsGreen1. This novel viral strategy for fast and efficient labeling of engram

neurons (FLEN) allows to identify and characterize engram neurons within 3-4 hours following a novel

experience, in reference to non-activated neurons. Then, to understand how initial engram cell properties

evolve within a 24-hour time scale, we employ the more durable c-Fos-based artificial RAM system

(Sørensen et al., 2016) to label neurons and characterize their properties 24 hours after the exposure to

a one-trial memory task.

RESULTS

Creation and validation of c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR for fast-labeling of engram neurons (FLEN).

We generated a genetic cassette for adeno-associated virus-mediated delivery which allows fast labelling
of engram neurons (FLEN). This construct includes the full-length, activity-dependent c-Fos promoter
which controls the expression of a destabilized variant of the green fluorescent protein ZsGreen1 (Matz
et al., 1999) (Fig. 1B). The complete sequence of the c-Fos promoter ensures a physiological-like gene
expression of ZsGreen1, which follows c-Fos expression. ZsGreen1 provides a bright whole-cell somatic
and dendritic labelling of activated neurons (Fig. 1B). To restrict the labelling time window and minimize
labeling unrelated to the designed experience, ZsGreen1 was fused with a destabilizing domain (DR);
when fused to EGFP, DR decreases the fluorescence half-time to 2 hours (Li et al., 1998).

To determine the expression kinetics of FLEN in response to neuronal activity, P0 mouse cortical neurons
were cultured (cellular density 3×10^5 cells/well) and then co-infected at DIV3 with AAV9-c-Fos/ZsGreen1-
DR (FLEN) and a secondary AAV9-CB7/mCherry, which constitutively expresses mCherry in infected
neurons (Fig. 1B-C). Neurons were cultured until DIV11 in regular neurobasal medium, before switching
to an activating medium containing 4-aminopyridine (4-AP, 100 μM) and bicuculline (Bic, 10 μM) for 30
minutes. The drugs were then washed out, and tetrodotoxin (TTX, 0.5 μM) was added to block further
neuronal activity until cells were fixed (Fig. 1C). The percentage of activated neurons (ZsGreen+, further
named FLEN+ neurons) over the total number of transduced neurons (mCherry+ neurons) was calculated
at different time points within a 6-hour period after pharmacological stimulation. Only a limited number
of FLEN+ neurons could be found in unstimulated conditions (5.4 ± 0.5 FLEN+/FLEN-, n=3 plates) (Fig. 1D-
E). The activating medium triggered ZsGreen1 expression in neurons as early as 2 hours, reaching a 3-fold
peak within 3 hours (1 hour: 6.7 ± 1.0, n=3; 2 hours: 13.2 ± 5.0, n=3; 3 hours: 15.7 ± 2.2, n=5) (Fig. 1D-E).
The number of FLEN+ neurons decreased after the peak, decaying to pre-stimulation-like levels within 6
hours (4.5 hours: 12.0 ± 3.9, n=4; 6 hours: 5.0 ± 1.1, n=4) (Fig. 1D-E). Thus, FLEN showed a rapid induction
(2-3 hours) and a fast decay (within 6 hours) of ZsGreen1-DR fluorescence (one-way ANOVA, p=0.04).

FLEN captures early neuronal activity following CFC

We next investigated the time course of FLEN expression *in vivo* in wild-type mice in response to exposure
to a salient novel experience. We virally targeted bilaterally dorsal CA3 in adult mice (2–3-month-old) co-
injecting AAV9-c-Fos/ZsGreen1-DR and the infection control AAV9-CB7/mCherry (Fig. 2A). Then, mice
were subjected to contextual fear conditioning (CFC), a hippocampal-dependent one-trial memory task.
During this task, mice learned to associate the delivery of 3 mild foot-shocks (0.4 pA, 2 seconds) with a

specific environment (Fig. 2B). We found that CFC, a one-trial experience, can generate a strong context-

specific fear memory, as mice responded by freezing when re-exposed to the conditioning environment 1

hour after training (HC: 14.7% ± 2.3%, 1-hour post-CFC: 47.4% ± 7.9%, n=7, unpaired t-test, p=0. 0018), a

response that outlasted 24 hours after training, but was not triggered in an unfamiliar novel environment,

nor when they were left undisturbed in their home cage (HC) (HC: 18.7% ± 3.5%, 24 hours post-CFC: 61.5%

± 7.5%, neutral: 14.3% ± 4.5%, n=8, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test HC : 1 hour post-CFC p

=0.00004, HC : Neutral p=0 .84116, Neutral :1 hour post-CFC p=0.00001) (Fig. 2B).

The percentage of FLEN+ CA3 neurons (i. e. that display FLEN+/mCherry+ labeling, Fig. 2C) among the

overall population of infected neurons (FLEN-, i. e. that display mCherry+ labeling) was quantified 1.5, 3,

4.5, 6 and 12 hours following CFC and compared to that of untrained mice . Consistent with previous

studies (Sørensen et al., 2016; Weng et al., 2018), less than 2% of infected CA3 neurons were reported to

be labeled in the absence of a novel experience (Fig. 2D-E, HC, 0.84% ± 0.29% FLEN+/mCherry+, n=6). One-

trial CFC conditioning generated an engram, as indicated by an increase in FLEN+ neurons in CA3 in the

following hours (Fig 2D-E). Specifically, CA3 displayed 1.11% ± 0.42% FLEN+ neurons 1.5 hours after CFC

(n=5), which increased to 3.11% ± 0.74% 3 hours post-CFC (n=4) and 2.31 ± 0.44% at 4.5 hours post-CFC

(n=7). The fraction of active cells returned to basal-like levels at 6 hours (1.86 ± 0.16% FLEN+/ mCherry+,

n=5) and further decreased 12 hours post-CFC (0.73% ± 0.32% FLEN+/ mCherry+, n=4) (Fig. 2D-E). Overall,

the emergence of FLEN assembly peaks 3 hours following CFC and significantly decreases 12 hours later

(one-way ANOVA p=0.003, *post hoc* Tukey's multiple comparisons test: HC vs. 3 h p=0.008, 1.5 h vs. 3 h

p=0.04, 3h vs. 12h p=0.01), faithfully mimicking the progression and transient nature of native c-Fos

expression (Holtmaat & Caroni, 2016).

We sought to probe CA3 engram formation 3 hours after different behavioral situations, namely context-

only (CO) and immediate-shock (IS) conditions, which offer the possibility for spatial exploration only and

no spatial exploration at all, respectively (Fig. S1A). In CO conditions, mice were allowed to freely explore

a novel cue-enriched arena. Comparably to other exploratory tasks, we found an increase in the number

of FLEN+ CA3 PNs 3 hours following CO (3.50 ± 1.18 % FLEN+/FLEN-, n=5) compared to control HC mice

(Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test, p=0.03; Dunn *post hoc* multiple comparisons test, p=0.02) (Fig. S2B).

In the IS test, a 6-second-long foot-shock was delivered 2 seconds after the mouse was placed in the

conditioning cage, preventing sensorial sampling of the novel context (Fig. S1A). In contrast, the IS test

did not induce a significant increase in the number of FLEN+ in CA3 PNs as compared to HC (1.84 ± 0.77 %

FLEN+/FLEN-, n=4, Dunn *post hoc* multiple comparisons test, HC: IS, p=0.51) and to context-only (CO: IS,

p=0.88, n=4), possibly because of minimal spatial exploration.

Because of the reported functional heterogeneity of CA3 PNs in relation to memory encoding and recall,

which is directly related to their position within the proximodistal axis of CA3 (Nakamura et al., 2013; Y.

Nakazawa et al., 2016; Q. Sun et al., 2017), we analyzed the spatial distribution of FLEN+ PNs within CA3

pyramidal layer along the proximodistal and superficial-to-deep axis of CA3, following one-trial CFC (Fig.

2F and Fig. S2). We found a clear skewedness in the location of FLEN+ neurons along the proximodistal

axis toward CA3a at all time points following CFC and following CO compared to HC (Kolgomorov-Smirnov

test: HC vs. 1.5 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 3 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 4.5 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs.

6 h post-CFC, p<0.001: HC vs. 12 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. CO, p<0.001: HC vs. IS, p<0.001) whilst they

appeared to be more evenly distributed along the superficial-deep axis (Kolgomorov-Smirnov test: HC vs.

1.5 h post-CFC, p=0.013: HC vs. 3 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 4.5 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 6h post-CFC,

p<0.001; HC vs. 12 h post-CFC, p=0.61; HC vs. CO, p<0.001: HC vs. IS, p=0.02) (Fig. 2F and Fig. S2). Overall,

these data indicate that the FLEN labeling strategy faithfully identifies neurons activated during a one-trial

exposure to a novel context and allows to characterize the morpho-functional properties of CA3 engram

neurons shortly (< 6 hours) following the experience.

In parallel, we confirmed that the RAM system (Sørensen et al., 2016) is a powerful tool to tag neurons

selectively activated by CFC in a temporally controlled manner (Fig. S3A) (Sørensen et al., 2016; Weng et

al., 2018). To identify RAM+ neurons, we stereotaxically injected AAV9-RAM/tTA::TRE/EGFP and AAV9-

CB7/mCherry to label dorsal CA3 in wild-type mice (Fig. S3A). Mice were fed with doxycycline pellets

starting one day before injection and then switched to a regular food diet for 48 hours before CFC (Fig.

S3A). As reported, we found that the number of RAM+ neurons was notably higher 24 hours after CFC

with respect to HC conditions (% RAM+ vs. mCherry+ neurons, HC: 1.13 ± 0.18%, n=5; CFC: 3.54 ± 0.77%,

n=5; Welch's t-test p=0.03) (Fig. S3B-C), although not to the same extend as in previous reports (Sørensen

et al., 2016). The ratio of FLEN+ neurons 3 hours after CFC over HC (approximately 3:1) closely resembles

that of RAM+ neurons 24 hours after CFC (approximately 3:1)

CA3 engram neurons evolve from low to higher firing frequency over 24 hours

To understand if rapid memory acquisition and early consolidation is supported by specific changes in

electrophysiological intrinsic properties, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of CA3 PNs

(mainly in CA3b) in acute hippocampal slices of young adult mice injected with either FLEN or RAM,

respectively (Fig. 3A). AAV9-c-Fos/ZsGreen1-DR or AAV9-RAM-tTA::TRE-EGFP viral constructs were

injected bilaterally in dorsal CA3, together with AAV9-CB7-mCherry (Fig. 3A). After CFC, mice were

returned to their home cage for 3 hours (FLEN) or 24 hours (RAM), to yield peak expression of ZsGreen1-

DR or EGFP and then sacrificed to collect parasagittal slices (Fig. 3A). Activity-dependent FLEN+ neurons

remained detectable in acute slices, enabling specific targeting of engram CA3 PNs neurons for

approximately 4 to 5 hours after slicing. Engram neurons (FLEN+ or RAM+) were compared to their

respective neighboring non-engram neurons (FLEN- or RAM-) (Fig. 3A).

We first investigated the intrinsic physiological properties of FLEN+ and RAM+ CA3 PNs. Indeed, the

probability for a neuron to be recruited in a memory engram is thought to depend on its inherent

excitability level at the time of learning (see Josselyn & Tonegawa, 2020 for review). We assessed input

resistance (R_i) by injecting a series of hyper- and depolarizing current pulses with the membrane potential

held at -70 mV (Fig. 3B). R_i did not change significantly between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons (FLEN+: 253 ±

35 MΩ, n=19; FLEN-: 210 ± 19 MΩ, n=30; non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test, p-value=0.57) and was

similar to RAM+ and RAM- neurons which were also no different between them (RAM+: 323.7 ± 14.1 MΩ,

n=21; RAM-: 396.1 ± 15.2 MΩ, n=30, parametric t-test, p=0.68) (Fig.3B). Further depolarizing steps of

current were injected until an action potential was fired. Action potential properties such as threshold,

amplitude, width, upstroke and downstroke were not statistically different between FLEN+ and FLEN-

neurons and between RAM+ and RAM- neurons (Fig. S4B, C; Table 1). To study the action potential firing

pattern, we injected 1 s-long pulses of current just above rheobase (200 pA) (Fig. 3C). Overall, the firing

frequency was not different in the FLEN groups (FLEN+: 2.64 ± 0.65 Hz, FLEN-: 3.59 ±0.62 Hz, Mann-

Whitney U test, p=0.51) (Fig. 3C). More than half of the total number of action potentials occurred within

the first 100 ms for all CA3 PNs, showing a consistent discharge pattern across both FLEN+ and FLEN- cells

(Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p-value=1.0) (Fig. 3D). In contrast to FLEN+ CA3 PNs, RAM+ CA3 PNs showed

a prolonged spiking activity which outlasted the first 100 ms (Fig. 3D). This is reflected by an overall

increased number of APs (RAM+: 20.9 ± 1.5 Hz, n=13; RAM-: 7.1 ± 0.6 Hz, n= 15; Mann-Whitney U Test

p=0.04) (Fig. 3C). CA3 PNs have been reported to have a distinctive burst-like firing pattern relying on the

generation of dendritic Ca^{2+} spikes (Ding et al., 2020; Raus Balind et al., 2019). We defined bursts as a

firing pattern where two or more spikes occur within a 50 ms interval (Zucca et al., 2017). Bursts were

triggered by rheobase current pulses in 7 out of 19 FLEN- CA3 PNs (36.8 ± 12.9 %, with an average of 2.33

± 0.33 spikes per burst), but only 1 out of 9 FLEN+ neurons displayed bursting behavior (11.1 ± 12.9 %,

with 3.86 average spikes per burst). Bursts were induced in 6 out of 15 RAM- neurons (40.0 ±4.6 %, with

an average of 2.13 ± 0.07 spikes per burst). However, contrarily to FLEN+ neurons, 30.8 ± 4.6 % (4 out of

13 CA3-PN RAM+ neurons with an average spike/burst of 2.10 ± 0.10), indicating a 2.7-fold increase in the

propensity of CA3 engram neurons to display bursting behavior over 24 hours after CFC (Fig 3D).

Altogether, these results show that early after encoding, FLEN+ CA3 PNs do not show differences in

excitability or patterns of bursting activity as compared to control neurons. However, after 24 hours, CA3

engram cells display an increase in excitability and in bursting behavior without changes in R_i.

Synaptic properties of FLEN+ neurons

To assess overall excitatory synaptic strength and overall number of active synaptic connections, we

recorded spontaneous excitatory post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs) in FLEN+ CA3 PNs while blocking $GABA_A$-

dependent inhibitory currents (Bicuculline, 10 µM) . The average amplitude of sEPSCs was not different

between FLEN+ and FLEN- CA3 PNs (FLEN+: 29.7 ± 5.3 pA, FLEN-: 32.2 ± 3.7 pA, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test,

p = 0.99), whereas their frequency was increased (Inter-event interval (IEI), FLEN+: 788 ± 152 ms, FLEN-:

930 ± 91 ms, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.005) (Fig. 4A). Similarly, 24 hours after a novel experience,

the average amplitude of sEPSCs was similar between RAM+ and RAM- CA3 PNs (RAM+: 26.22 ± 2.46 pA,

n=14; RAM-: 21.31 ± 2.72 pA, n=14, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p=0.99) (Fig. 4A), but their frequency was

significantly increased in RAM+ CA3 PNs (RAM+: 645 ± 88 ms, n=17; RAM -: 1342 ± 207 ms, n=17;

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4A).

Then, to investigate excitatory synaptic strength and release probability independent of network activity,

we measured miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs) by further adding TTX (0.5 µM). The average amplitude was

similar between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons (FLEN+: 20.5 ± 2.5 pA, FLEN-: 18.7 ± 1.6 pA, Kolmogorov-

Smirnov test, p = 0.99), whereas we observed a higher frequency of mEPSCs in FLEN+ neurons as

compared to control neurons (IEI, FLEN+: 1722 ± 242 ms; FLEN-: 1228 ± 186 ms, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test,

p < 0.0005) (Fig. 4B). Comparably, we found a higher frequency of mEPSCs in RAM+ neurons as compared

to RAM- CA3 PNs (RAM+: 782 ± 91 ms, n=14; RAM-: 1244 ± 247 ms, n=13; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test,

p<0.005), with unchanged amplitude (RAM+: 29.6 ± 4.8 pA, n=14: RAM-: 24.7 ± 4.2 pA, n=13; Kolmogorov-

Smirnov test, p=0.99) (Fig. 4B). Thus, neurons recruited in an engram appear to show increased excitatory

drive after a few hours that persist after 24 hours, which may be linked to a higher number of synaptic

contacts.

Previous reports indicate that CA3 PNs activated during by contextual learning and identified 24 hours

later by RAM had strengthened mossy fiber inputs (Weng et al., 2018). The existence of mEPSCs with

larger amplitudes may be related to synaptic plasticity in a subset of synapses, or theoretically to an

increased participation of Mf-driven mEPSCs (Henze et al., 2002). We thus calculated the proportion

miniature excitatory events with an amplitude greater than 40 pA. During spontaneous activity recording,

we found no difference in the fraction of putative Mf-driven inputs between FLEN+ and FLEN- PNs (FLEN+:

0.09 ± 0.03 %, n= 9; FLEN-: 0.06 ± 0.02%, n=14; Mann-Whitney U Test p=0.33) and between RAM+ and

RAM- PNs 24 hours after learning (RAM+: 0.25 ± 0.08 %, n= 14; RAM-: 0.18 ± 0.07 %, n=13; Mann Whitney

U test, p=0.42) (Fig. 4B, inset). This later finding contrasts with earlier finding (Weng et al., 2018), which

found a selective strengthening of Mf inputs onto the ensemble of CA3 neurons >24hours after activation

by CFC. At variance with our analysis, this later study uses a mGluR2/3 agonist, LY354740, which inhibits

evoked Mf-CA3 synaptic transmission (Kamiya & Ozawa, 1999).

Inhibitory neurons are important in controlling spike generation of CA3 PNs, shaping network activity and

participation in neuronal assembly formation (Holtmaat & Caroni, 2016). We therefore probed miniature

inhibitory post-synaptic currents (mIPSCs), comparing FLEN+ vs. FLEN- neurons and RAM+ vs. RAM-

neurons, in the presence of NBQX (20 µM), D-AP5 (50 µM) and TTX (0.5 µM). We found that mIPSCs were

statistically more frequent (FLEN+: 340.1 ± 4.0 ms, FLEN-: 511.0 ± 40.5 ms, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p <

0.005), but their amplitude was on average comparable in FLEN+ vs. FLEN- neurons (FLEN+: 35.1 ± 2.3 pA,

FLEN+: 31.7 ±2.2 pA, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p = 0.99, Welch's t-test, p = 0.30) (Fig 5A). At 24 hours

after learning, RAM+ neurons showed an increase in the frequency of mIPSC (IEI 330.5 ± 51.9 ms, n=6)

compared to RAM- neurons (IEI 437.1 ± 47.1 ms, n=11; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.005), but no

difference in their amplitude (RAM+: 22.7 ±1. 8 pA, n=11; RAM-: 26.5 ± 5.2, n=6, Kolmogorov-Smirnov

test, p=0.89) (Fig. 5A). The frequency of all excitatory and inhibitory inputs was elevated after CFC, both

after 3 hours and after 24 hours, but not their amplitude.

Mf-CA3 synapses and feedforward inhibition in FLEN+ neurons

Mf-CA3 connections between the DG and CA3 pyramidal cells provide powerful inputs via 'giant' Mf

boutons to CA3 PCs, which are thought to assist CA3 in the encoding of memory (Marneffe et al., 2024;

Vandael & Jonas, 2024). We recorded EPSCs evoked by minimal stimulation of Mfs (Marchal & Mulle,

2004) in FLEN+ and FLEN- CA3 PNs using an extracellular electrode placed within the DG hilus to stimulate

the Mf axonal bundle (fig. 6A). Low-frequency stimulation (0.1 Hz) evoked EPSCs of variable amplitude

that, on average, did not differ between FLEN+ (81.7 ± 17.5 pA) and FLEN- neurons (80.8 ± 13.5 pA, Welch's

t-test p = 0.97) (Fig. 6B). Increasing stimulation frequency to 1 Hz induced short-term presynaptic

frequency facilitation, causing an approximatively 3-fold increase in evoked current amplitude in both

FLEN+ (258.3 ± 49.5 pA) and FLEN- neurons (238.2 ± 39.0 pA, Welch's t-test p = 0.76) (Fig. 6B). The EPSC

facilitation ratio (1Hz vs. 0.1 Hz) was not different between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons (FLEN+: 3.28 ± 0.40,

FLEN-: 3.28 ± 0.57, Welch's t-test p = 0.99) (Fig. 6B).

One-trial memory tasks reversibly induce a robust and rapid (within hours) increase in the number of
filopodia emanating from Mf synaptic terminals which contact GABAergic interneurons (Ruediger et al.,
2011), lending support to the hypothesis of an increase in feedforward inhibition in most CA3 PNs.
Because of the rapid time course with which structural plasticity occurs at Mf-CA3 synapses following one-
trial memory task, we took advantage of FLEN in order to explore a functional counterpart to structural
plasticity, and to address whether the change in feedforward inhibition was differentially affected in
FLEN+ vs. FLEN- CA3 PNs. We recorded excitatory and inhibitory synaptic currents in CA3 PNs while
stimulating Mfs (Fig. 6A). To calculate the excitatory to inhibitory (E/I) ratio at these synapses, we first
recorded evoked EPSCs by holding cells at the experimentally determined $GABA_A$ reversal potential (E_{Cl1-}
$=-70$ mV), and then at the reversal potential for cations (E_{Na1+} = +5 mV) (Fig. 6C) (Torborg et al., 2010). We
measured the peak amplitude of evoked EPSCs and IPSCs at a stimulation rate of 0.1 Hz to prevent
frequency facilitation (Fig. 6C). We found that the EPSC/IPSC ratio was higher in FLEN+ vs FLEN- cells,
although this not significantly different (FLEN+: 3.48 ± 0.96, FLEN-: 2.20 ± 0.42, Welch's t-test, p = 0.27)
(Fig. 6C). These data do not support the notion that shortly after one-trial learning, there is an increase in
feedforward inhibition at Mf-CA3 synapses.

DISCUSSION

In the past decade, the search for engrams and the characterization of engram cells has largely benefited
from new gene targeting approaches to label the cells and to control their reactivation (Josselyn &
Tonegawa, 2020). The primary goal of our work was to test the hypothesis that the functional properties
of hippocampal cells activated during a one-trial contextual memory task were distinct from surrounding
ones shortly (3-6 hours) after encoding and were subject to progressive plastic changes which could be
linked to a first phase of memory consolidation.

Most techniques currently employed to study the properties of engram cells *ex vivo*, combine promoter
expression based on IEGs, intersectional transgenic strategies, optogenetics and pharmacogenetics with
behavioral paradigms (Denny et al., 2014; Pignatelli et al., 2019; X. Sun et al., 2020; Tonegawa et al., 2015).
A major caveat for using activity-dependent promoter/enhancers is the background basal expression
which can result in the labeling of neurons unrelated to the triggering behavioral event. To limit this,
fluorescent marker and/or actuator expression can be temporally controlled by directly coupling IEG
promoters, such as c-Fos or synthetic promoters (RAM), to inducible systems such as the doxycycline (Dox)
or the tamoxifen (TAM) systems 12/4/2024 5:31:00 PMWith these approaches, the physiological
properties of engram vs. non-engram cells has been examined by *ex vivo* patch-clamp recordings 24 hours

to several days after the initial contextual memory task (Pignatelli et al., 2019; Sørensen et al., 2016; Weng

et al., 2018; Yap et al., 2021).

We thus developed a viral strategy for transient neuronal expression of a fluorescent protein (FLEN)

following the exposure of mice to a novel context making use of the full-length c-Fos promoter and of the

brightly fluorescent ZsGreen1 protein engineered to be quickly destabilized. The time course of expression

of ZsGreen1 in FLEN labeled neurons peaked at 3 hours both after pharmacological activation in cultured

neurons and *in vivo* after a 5-minute exposure to a novel context. Neuron labeling persisted for a few

hours, enabling *ex vivo* electrophysiological characterization of neurons thought to be involved in the

initial encoding of contextual memory. As with all techniques based on IEG promoters, a small percentage

of AAV-transduced neurons showed ZsGreen1 fluorescence under control conditions (HC or no activation

of cultured neurons). However, following CFC, the number of FLEN labeled neurons increased by a factor

of 3.12. The bright fluorescence of ZsGreen1 enabled the electrophysiological characterization of FLEN+

neurons, the majority of which (>60%) were activated in relation to the CFC memory task.

We have used FLEN to label neurons in CA3, which is involved in the rapid encoding of new spatial and

contextual information (Kesner and Rolls, 2015). One of the key features of CA3 is the presence of

recurrent excitatory connections which are subject to NMDA-dependent synaptic plasticity (Rebola et al.,

2017), and this appears essential for memory of a one-trial experience (K. Nakazawa et al., 2003). It has

been proposed that the excitability state of neurons may contribute to memory formation (Zhang &

Linden, 2003). We first examined whether FLEN+ neurons showed distinctive intrinsic excitability

properties. We observed no difference in the membrane potential, input resistance, threshold or bursting

activity between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons in slices prepared 3 hours following CFC. This suggests that the

subpopulation of CA3 PNs engaged in a one-trial learning task are not initially more excitable than the

general population of neurons, hence are not predetermined by their excitability state. Alternatively,

engram neurons may be transiently more excitable, at the time of encoding, and lose this property within

a few hours. In apparent contrast with our finding that FLEN+ CA3 PNs did not show increased excitability,

a simple associative memory task, trace eye-blink conditioning, appears to increase the excitability of a

large fraction (more than half) of CA3 neurons starting at 1 hour and decreasing after several days

(Thompson et al., 1996). Beyond the difference in the task and in the species, these contrasting results

may be explained by an overall increased activity of CA1 neurons related to the learning task (Cai et al.,

2016; Moyer et al., 1996), however not specific to engram neurons. This does not preclude however the

possibility that relative neuronal excitability immediately before training could contribute to the selection

of neurons to an engram as proposed in the amygdala (Yiu et al., 2014) and the hippocampus (Mocle et

al., 2024). However, in our hands, a one-trial memory task does not per se trigger a selective increase in

the excitability of engram neurons 3 hours after CFC as compared to the general population of CA3

neurons. In the DG, recall of a previously stored contextual memory (48 hours before), hence reactivation

of engram neurons, rapidly increased the excitability of these engram neurons (within 1 hour) with respect

to engram neurons which were not subject to reactivation in a separate group of mice (Pignatelli et al.,

2019). A learning-related task may however induce plasticity of intrinsic excitability of engram neurons on

a longer time scale. We thus compared the intrinsic excitability of FLEN+ neurons to those labeled more

than 24 hours after CFC by using the previously described RAM (Sørensen et al., 2016). We found that

RAM+ neurons showed prolonged spike firing in response to a depolarizing pulse of current, hence

decreased accommodation in comparison with non-labeled neurons. Considering that the set of neurons

labeled by these two strategies may be of the same set, based on the expression of a fluorescent marker

under the control of the c-Fos promoter, our data strongly suggest that engram neurons in CA3

progressively increase excitability as compared to neurons which were not activated by the one-trial

contextual memory task. The acquisition of increased excitability 24 hours after one-trial memory

encoding suggests that intrinsic excitability is a key feature of an early consolidation of memory, which

may even be strengthened upon reactivation of memory (Pignatelli et al., 2019). It would be interesting

to assess whether increased intrinsic excitability persists throughout further consolidation of memory.

Increased neuronal activity in engram neurons at the time of encoding may result from more efficient or

more synchronous excitatory synaptic inputs to these neurons. Here we observed that FLEN+ neurons

(hence characterized >3 hours after initial encoding) showed an increased excitatory drive, which may be

explained by a higher number of synaptic contacts. We cannot discriminate whether the increased

number of synaptic inputs preexists to the formation of the engram, or whether it is a plastic mechanism

taking place shortly following the contextual memory. The time course of appearance of additional

synapses, by unmuting of silent synapses following contextual memory acquisition, is compatible with

experimentally induced functional and structural synaptic plasticity (Holtmaat & Caroni, 2016). On the

other hand, if FLEN+ neurons showed higher synaptic inputs at the time of memory encoding, this would

increase the chance that these neurons show increased excitation (c-Fos activation) hence participate in

the engram. The amplitude of mEPSCs in FLEN+ neurons does not appear to increase on average in

comparison with FLEN- CA3 PNs. This finding may not favor the possibility that LTP-like synaptic plasticity

occurs early during the process of memory formation. In this event, NMDA-dependent forms of synaptic

plasticity are more likely to occur later on during the early phase of consolidation of the memory trace

(Humeau & Choquet, 2019). Interestingly, we observed a comparable increase in the frequency of sEPSCs

and mEPSCs in RAM+ CA3 neurons 24 hours after CFC, as previously reported (Weng et al., 2018), without

any change in the amplitude. Using a pharmacological agent which selectively inhibits Mf-CA3

transmission, it was hypothesized that the increased mEPSC frequency is mainly due to more active Mf-

CA3 synapses (Weng et al., 2018). However, if this was the case, we would also expect an increase in the

amplitude of mEPSCs as well as an increase in the fraction of mEPSCs larger than 45 pA. In addition, we

did not find evidence for an increase in Mf-CA3-evoked EPSCs (see below). Overall, our data indicate that

CA3 engram neurons characterized a few hours after the one-trial memory task show a higher level of

excitatory synaptic inputs, and this feature seems to extend to 24 hours. We found no evidence for

increased amplitude of EPSCs in FLEN+ or RAM+ CA3 PNs which could have been indicative of postsynaptic

plasticity through an increased number of synaptic AMPA receptors (Humeau & Choquet, 2019; Takeuchi

et al., 2014). One reason may be that synaptic plasticity only occurs in a subset of synaptic contacts, and

the recording of mEPSCs is not resolutive enough to capture these modifications

Local inhibition controls the spiking of CA3 PNs, hence their capacity to be engaged in an active ensemble

of neurons at the time of contextual memory encoding. In CA1, there is a fine control of inhibition upon

novel environment exploration (Yap et al., 2021) or fear learning (Lovett-Barron et al., 2014). We found

an increase in the frequency of mIPSCs after CFC in engram CA3 neurons, both after 3 hours and after 24

hours. This suggests that the elevation of mEPSCs in the engram neurons is counterbalanced by increased

inhibition, although this would need to be generally tested in conditions of hippocampal circuit activity *in*

vivo. We have also provided information on the process of Mf-driven feedforward inhibition in CA3

following one-trial memory encoding. Mf-CA3 synapses have been proposed to play a major role in

assisting CA3 in the encoding of memory (Kesner & Rolls, 2015). For these reasons, it is important to

directly characterize the properties of Mf-CA3 synapses impinging on CA3 engram neurons. We observed

no difference in the amplitude and short-term plasticity of Mf-CA3 EPSCs evoked in FLEN+ CA3 neurons

vs. FLEN- neurons. It has been proposed that 24 hours after CFC, the increased amplitude of mEPSCs in

RAM+ neurons can be attributed to modifications of Mf-CA3 synapses (Weng et al., 2018), which may

suggest progressive plasticity of these inputs. There is abundant evidence that the structural properties

of Mf-CA3 synapses are modified by experience (Ruediger et al., 2011)(Galimberti et al., 2006; Maruo et

al., 2016). Feedforward inhibition combines with short-term plasticity of Mf-CA3 synapses to define the

physiological conditions for efficient spike transfer between the DG and CA3 (Marneffe et al., 2024;

Vandael & Jonas, 2024; Zucca et al., 2017). CFC induces a robust and global increase in the number of

filopodia emanating from the giant Mf terminals, which peaks between 1 and 5 hours after the one-trial

memory task and is related to the precision of memory and the size of engrams (Ruediger et al., 2011).
Because filopodia contact GABAergic interneurons, this structural plasticity has been proposed to increase
feedforward inhibition, albeit this has not yet been demonstrated. Here we compared the
excitation/inhibition ratio of Mf-evoked synaptic responses between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons. We did
not observe a significant increase of feedforward inhibition onto CA3 engrams, as may be expected from
an increase number of filopodia, but we rather observed a tendency towards less feedforward inhibition.
This leads us to speculate that shortly following contextual memory formation, Mf-driven feedforward
inhibition is increased in the general population of neurons but not in Mf inputs onto engram neurons,
thus allowing for a comparatively stronger input from the DG to the engram neurons. It will be interesting
to test whether this increased signal to noise ratio is maintained 24 hours after contextual memory
encoding, given that the number of filopodia per Mf bouton has returned to basal level (Ruediger et al.,
2011).

In conclusion, we used a viral tool allowing for the identification of neurons involved in a behavioral task
within 3 hours, as a complement to the transgenic mouse strategies and viral vectors which have been
used to assess changes in engram neuron properties in the time rage of days. Although it is not possible
to fully assess the electrophysiological properties of engram neurons at the time of the encoding, this
work shows that the engram neurons are not different in their intrinsic membrane properties shortly after
encoding. This set of properties may however follow delayed (progressive) plastic changes which are
evident 24 hours after initial encoding. Overall, we propose that engram neurons do not show higher
excitability preexisting to the selection of neurons to the engram during the memory encoding task. Hence
the increased activation state of the FLEN+ neurons at the time of encoding may rather relate to a higher
number of active synaptic inputs providing more efficient excitation. Interestingly, although more work is
needed to confirm this tendency, increased excitation may also be linked to decreased Mf-driven
feedforward inhibition, thus favoring spike transfer from the DG to CA3 at the time or shortly after
memory encoding. The FLEN viral tool will be useful to assess how the properties of neurons in brain
regions involved in the contextual memory encoding process, including the entorhinal cortex and the DG.

METHODS

Animals. C57Bl6j female mice were obtained from Janvier and cared according to the regulations of the University of Bordeaux/CNRS Animal Care and Use Committee. Animals were housed with their littermates with ad libitum access to food and water. Cages were kept in a temperature-regulated room on a 12 h light/dark cycle. Prior to any behavioral test, all mice were single housed for 4-5 days and then handled for at least 3 consecutive days. Mice injected with the RAM system were kept on a doxycycline diet (4 mg/Kg) starting 1 day before the viral injection.

Viral vectors. Adeno-associated virus (AAV) serotype 2/9 vectors containing our custom-made c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR (titer 4.00E13) were generated in our lab, whereas AAV2/9 vectors containing the RAM system (titer 3.39E13) were purchased from AddGene. Each vector was mixed with an infection marker virus AAV2/9-CB7/mCherry (titer 1.50E13) and diluted 1:10 for co-injection.

Cell Culture. P0 mouse cortical neurons were plated (300000 cells/well) and allowed to grow on glia cells. At DIV3 cells were infected with the viral mix of c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR and CB7-mCherry. At DIV14, neurons were switched to an activating medium containing 4-AP (100 µM) and Bicuculline (10 µM) for 30 minutes. Then this activating medium was washed and tetrodotoxin was added (0.5 µM) to prevent action potential firing and stop neuronal activation. Neurons were then fixed using 0.4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 mM PBS at the different intervals following pharmacological activation.

Stereotaxic injection. 2-month-old C57Bl6j mice were bilaterally injected with either AAV9-c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR or the AAV9-RAM virus mixed with the infection control virus AAV9-CB7-mCherry into dorsal hippocampus. Mice were anesthetized with 4% isoflurane and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus, where they were kept under 1.5-2% isoflurane anesthesia (0.8-1 L/min), and injected with buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg) and carprofene (5 mg/kg) to prevent post-surgery pain. The injection volume and flow rate (300 nl at 60 nl/min) were controlled with an injection micro-pump (World Precision Instruments). Injection coordinates, using bregma as a reference point, were as follows: AP -1.78, ML ± 2.40, DV -2.35. This site targets dorsal CA3b, but virus spreading resulted the infection of CA3a, CA3b, CA3c, CA2 and in some cases few granule neurons and hilar cells of the DG. Mice were carefully monitored for 4 days following surgery, and behavioral manipulation started after a recovery period of approximately 2 weeks.

Behavioral tests. Once recovered, mice were single-housed to reduce uncontrolled experiences that could contaminate the ensemble of CFC-related labelled engram cells. Then, mice were handled to habituate to the experimenter for at least 3 consecutive days prior to CFC. Mice injected with the RAM virus were deprived of doxycycline, switching to a regular diet, 48 hours before CFC training. On the one-trial learning

day, mice were transported in the experimental room and immediately placed in a novel conditioning
chamber enclosed in a sound-attenuating cabinet (Ugo Basile), enriched with visual cues, and allowed to
explore it for a total duration of 300s. After an initial exploration period, 3 mild foot-shocks (2s, 0.7 mA)
were delivered at a 150s, 210s, and 270s, leaving 30s for additional context sampling at the end. Mice
were recorded and freezing behavior was scored using ANY-Maze 6 software (Stoelting Europe). Freezing
was detected as the absence of movement apart from respiration, and freezing events were scored when
immobility lasted more than a threshold of 300ms. Mice were then returned to their home cage. For
context only (CO) exploration, mice were placed in a 50 cm x 50 cm custom-built open field, where some
objects were distributed. Mice were allowed to explore for 5 minutes before returned to their home cage.
The immediate shock test was carried out in the fear conditioning system. Mice explored the conditioning
chamber for only 2 seconds before receiving a 6-second-long foot-shock and were then returned to their
home cage.

Histology. Mice were anesthetized with intraperitoneal administration of a ketamine/xylazine mix (100
mg/kg/10 mg/kg) at different time intervals following CFC (1.5 h, 3 h, 4.5 h, 6 h, 12 h for c-Fos-ZsGreen1-
DR, 24 h for RAM). Mice were then intracardially perfused with 0.9% NaCl solution followed by 4%
paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 mM PBS. Brains were removed and postfixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C.
Brains were transferred in sucrose 30% in 0.1 mM PBS at 4°C until they sank. Brains were cut on a cryostat
into 25 μm-thick coronal sections and collected in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100. Slices were immediately
mounted on glass slides and covered in DAPI-based mounting medium. The number of fluorescence
neurons was assessed directly without amplification.

Confocal microscope. Confocal acquisitions were performed using a Leica SP8 White Light Laser 2 on an
inverted stand (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany) and with a x20 (NA 0.75) immersion objective.
Images were analyzed using ImageJ. At least 5 slices per animal were acquired. Imaging of neuronal
cultures was carried out as well using confocal Leica SP8 White Light Laser 2 (Leica Microsystems,
Mannheim, Germany) and with a x20 (NA 0.75) immersion objective. In all experiments, the settings (laser
power, gain, offset) were fixed between groups as well as the pixel size (120 nm).

Ex-vivo slice preparation. Slices were prepared 3 hours or 24 hours following CFC for c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR-
injected mice and RAM-injected mice respectively. To attempt to reduce stress-related unspecific c-Fos
activation, mice were anesthetized with a ketamine/xylazine mix (100 mg/kg/10 mg/kg; i.p.) and
intracardially perfused with an ice-cold oxygenated (95% O_2 and 5% CO_2) cutting solution containing (in
mM): 87 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 25 glucose, 75 sucrose, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, 7 $MgCl_2$, pH 7.4,

315 mOsm/kg. Brains were quickly dissected out and parasagittal slices from both hemispheres (300 μm

thick) were cut on a vibratome (Leica VT1200S, Germany) in the same oxygenated ice-cold cutting

solution. Slices were then incubated at 34°C in a resting chamber containing the same cutting solution for

20-30 minutes for recovery. Slices were then transferred in a resting chamber filled with an oxygenated

(95% O_2 and 5% CO_2) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing (mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25

NaH_2PO_4, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1.3 $MgCl_2$, 2.3 $CaCl_2$, 10 glucose, pH 7.4, 300 mOsm/kg at room temperature for

the rest of the day.

Electrophysiology. When transferred to a recording chamber, slices were continuously superfused with

aCSF. CA3 pyramidal cells were identified with an infrared differential interference contrast (IR-DIC)

microscope with a water immersion 63X objective (N.A. 0.8). Neurons were then classified as engram

neurons (simultaneous expression of ZsGreen1-DR/mCherry or EGFP/mCherry when RAM was used) or

non-engram neuron (mCherry expression only) with a 2p microscope. Whole-cell recordings were made

at room temperature using borosilicate glass capillaries with resistances between 4-6 MΩ. For current

clamp recordings, the intracellular solution contained (in mM): 135 K-gluconate, 5 KCl, 2 NaCl, 10 Na2-

phosphocreatine, 0.1 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 5 Mg-ATP, 0.4 Na-GTP, pH 7.2 adjusted with KOH, 280-290

mOsm/Kg. For voltage clamp mode, the patch pipettes were filled with a solution containing (mM): 140

Cs-methanesulfonate, 2 $MgCl_2$, 4 NaCl, 5 Na-phosphocreatine, 0.2 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 3 Na2-ATP, 0.3 GTP,

pH 7.2 adjusted with CsOH, 280-290 mOsm/Kg. To record inhibitory currents, a high-chloride intracellular

solution was used, containing (mM): 103 CsCl, 12 $CsCH_3O_3S$, 5 TEA-Cl, 10 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.5 Na-GTP, 1

$MgCl_2$, pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH, 280-290 mOsm/Kg. No liquid-junction correction was used. Neurons

were held at −70 mV. Leak current and series resistance were monitored throughout each experiment;

neurons with a leak current >200 pA and a series resistance >25MΩ or if these parameters changed more

than 20% were excluded from analysis. All recordings were performed 5-10 min after opening the

membrane. Input resistance was quantified by linearly fitting the voltage change against injected current.

Steps of hyper- and depolarizing current steps were injected to determine action potential threshold and

rheobase. Action potential firing pattern was determined by injecting 200 pA steps of current.

Spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) were isolated by bath application of bicuculline (10 μM), while mEPSCs were

recorded in presence of bicuculline (10 μM) and tetrodotoxin (0.5 μM). Spontaneous IPSCs (sIPSCs) were

recorded in presence of D-AP5 (50 μM) and NBQX (20 μM), while mIPSCs were isolated in presence of D-

AP5 (50 μM), NBQX (20 μM) and TTX (0.5 μM). Spontaneous and miniature synaptic events were detected

using a deconvolution-based approach (Pernía-Andrade et al., 2012). To record evoked EPSCs (eEPSCs),

stimulating glass pipettes (World Precision Instruments) were filled with aCSF and placed in the hilus, close

to the granule cell layer to stimulate initial portion of Mf axons. Voltage pulses (200 μs) were delivered

through a stimulus isolator (Digitimer, UK). Stimulation intensity was adjusted to obtain minimal Mf

stimulation (Marchal and Mulle., 2004). Basal synaptic transmission was recorded while holding CA3

neurons at -70 mV with pulses delivered at a low frequency of 0.1 Hz. Frequency was then increased to 1

Hz to induce frequency facilitation. After 2-3 minutes, when facilitation was extinguished, the voltage was

switched to +5 mV (reversal potential for cations) to record di-synaptic eIPSC. All recordings were obtained

with a HEKA EPC10 amplifier, filtered at 3.3 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz via PatchMaster software

(Lambrecht, Germany). Data was analyzed offline using custom-made Python scripts.

Statistical analysis and graphical representations. Statistical analyses and graphical representation were

performed with custom-written Python codes. Data are presented as boxplots and scatter plots indicating

the number of samples per group. Boxplots indicate median, first and third quartiles and whiskers

represent the rest of the distribution. Bold horizontal and vertical lines on scattered data points represent

mean and SEM respectively. Values were first tested for normality (D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus test

or Shapiro-Wilk test). Normally distributed data were compared using a t-test (two-sided) while Mann–

Whitney rank test (two-sided) was used for non-normal data set. Multiple group comparison was carried

out using one-way ANOVA when data were normally distributed or Kruskalis-Wallis when normality did

not apply. Tukey's *post hoc* comparison analysis or Dunn *post hoc* comparison analysis was applied.

Cumulative frequency distributions and normalized distributions were tested using Kolgomorov-Smirnov

test. Statistical differences were considered as significant at p < 0.05.

REFERENCES

Bessières, B., Dupuis, J., Groc, L., Bontempi, B., & Nicole, O. (2024). Synaptic rearrangement of NMDA receptors controls memory engram formation and malleability in the cortex. *Science Advances*, *10*(35). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ado1148

Cupolillo, D. (2021). *Comparing properties of CA3 engram neurons over initial periods of encoding and early phase of consolidation*. PhD, Université de Bordeaux. https://theses.hal.science/tel-03336166v1

DeNardo, L. A., Liu, C. D., Allen, W. E., Adams, E. L., Friedmann, D., Fu, L., Guenthner, C. J., Tessier-Lavigne, M., & Luo, L. (2019). Temporal evolution of cortical ensembles promoting remote memory retrieval. *Nature Neuroscience*, *22*(3), 460-469. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0318-7

Denny, C. A., Kheirbek, M. A., Alba, E. L., Tanaka, K. F., Brachman, R. A., Laughman, K. B., Tomm, N. K., Turi, G. F., Losonczy, A., & Hen, R. (2014). Hippocampal Memory Traces Are Differentially Modulated by Experience, Time, and Adult Neurogenesis. *Neuron*, *83*(1), 189-201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.018

Ding, L., Chen, H., Diamantaki, M., Coletta, S., Preston-Ferrer, P., & Burgalossi, A. (2020). Structural Correlates of CA2 and CA3 Pyramidal Cell Activity in Freely-Moving Mice. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *40*(30), 5797-5806. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0099-20.2020

Eichenbaum, H. (2000). A cortical–hippocampal system for declarative memory. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *1*(1), 41-50. https://doi.org/10.1038/35036213

Galimberti, I., Gogolla, N., Alberi, S., Santos, A. F., Muller, D., & Caroni, P. (2006). Long-Term Rearrangements of Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Terminal Connectivity in the Adult Regulated by Experience. *Neuron*, *50*(5), 749-763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.04.026

Goto, A., Bota, A., Miya, K., Wang, J., Tsukamoto, S., Jiang, X., Hirai, D., Murayama, M., Matsuda, T., McHugh, T. J., Nagai, T., & Hayashi, Y. (2021). Stepwise synaptic plasticity events drive the early phase of memory consolidation. *Science*, *374*(6569), 857-863. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj9195

Han, J.-H., Kushner, S. A., Yiu, A. P., Hsiang, H.-L. (Liz), Buch, T., Waisman, A., Bontempi, B., Neve, R. L., Frankland, P. W., & Josselyn, S. A. (2009). Selective Erasure of a Fear Memory. *Science*, *323*(5920), 1492-1496. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164139

Henze, D. A., Wittner, L., & Buzsáki, G. (2002). Single granule cells reliably discharge targets in the hippocampal CA3 network in vivo. *Nature Neuroscience*, *5*(8), 790-795. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn887

Holtmaat, A., & Caroni, P. (2016). Functional and structural underpinnings of neuronal assembly formation in learning. *Nature Neuroscience*, *19*(12), 1553-1562. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4418

Humeau, Y., & Choquet, D. (2019). The next generation of approaches to investigate the link between synaptic plasticity and learning. *Nature Neuroscience*, *22*(10), 1536-1543. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0480-6

Josselyn, S. A., & Tonegawa, S. (2020). Memory engrams : Recalling the past and imagining the future. *Science*, *367*(6473), eaaw4325. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw4325

Kamiya, H., & Ozawa, S. (1999). Dual mechanism for presynaptic modulation by axonal metabotropic

glutamate receptor at the mouse mossy fibre-CA3 synapse. *The Journal of Physiology*, *518*(2), 497-506.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.1999.0497p.x

Kawashima, T., Kitamura, K., Suzuki, K., Nonaka, M., Kamijo, S., Takemoto-Kimura, S., Kano, M., Okuno,

H., Ohki, K., & Bito, H. (2013). Functional labeling of neurons and their projections using the synthetic

activity–dependent promoter E-SARE. *Nature Methods*, *10*(9), 889-895.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2559

Kesner, R. P., & Rolls, E. T. (2015). A computational theory of hippocampal function, and tests of the

theory : New developments. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *48*, 92-147.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.11.009

Kitamura, T., Ogawa, S. K., Roy, D. S., Okuyama, T., Morrissey, M. D., Smith, L. M., Redondo, R. L., &

Tonegawa, S. (2017). Engrams and circuits crucial for systems consolidation of a memory. *Science*,

356(6333), 73-78. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam6808

Li, X., Zhao, X., Fang, Y., Jiang, X., Duong, T., Fan, C., Huang, C.-C., & Kain, S. R. (1998). Generation of

Destabilized Green Fluorescent Protein as a Transcription Reporter. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*,

273(52), 34970-34975. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.52.34970

Liu, X., Ramirez, S., Pang, P. T., Puryear, C. B., Govindarajan, A., Deisseroth, K., & Tonegawa, S. (2012).

Optogenetic stimulation of a hippocampal engram activates fear memory recall. *Nature*, *484*(7394),

381-385. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11028

Lovett-Barron, M., Kaifosh, P., Kheirbek, M. A., Danielson, N., Zaremba, J. D., Reardon, T. R., Turi, G. F.,

Hen, R., Zemelman, B. V., & Losonczy, A. (2014). Dendritic Inhibition in the Hippocampus Supports Fear

Learning. *Science*, *343*(6173), 857-863. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247485

Marchal, C., & Mulle, C. (2004). Postnatal maturation of mossy fibre excitatory transmission in mouse

CA3 pyramidal cells : A potential role for kainate receptors. *The Journal of Physiology*, *561*(1), 27-37.

https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2004.069922

Marneffe, C., Moreira-de-Sá, A., Lecomte, S., Erhardt, A., & Mulle, C. (2024). Short term plasticity at

hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. *Neuroscience*, S0306452224004974.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2024.09.044

Maruo, T., Mandai, K., Takai, Y., & Mori, M. (2016). Activity-dependent alteration of the morphology of a

hippocampal giant synapse. *Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience*, *71*, 25-33.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2015.12.005

Matz, M. V., Fradkov, A. F., Labas, Y. A., Savitsky, A. P., Zaraisky, A. G., Markelov, M. L., & Lukyanov, S. A.

(1999). Fluorescent proteins from nonbioluminescent Anthozoa species. *Nature Biotechnology*, *17*(10),

969-973. https://doi.org/10.1038/13657

McNaughtonis, L. (1987). *Hippocampalsynapticenhancementand informationstorage withina*

disMbutedmemorysystem. *10*(10).

Milczarek, M. M., Vann, S. D., & Sengpiel, F. (2018). Spatial Memory Engram in the Mouse Retrosplenial

Cortex. *Current Biology*, *28*(12), 1975-1980.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.002

Mocle, A. J., Ramsaran, A. I., Jacob, A. D., Rashid, A. J., Luchetti, A., Tran, L. M., Richards, B. A., Frankland,
P. W., & Josselyn, S. A. (2024). Excitability mediates allocation of pre-configured ensembles to a
hippocampal engram supporting contextual conditioned threat in mice. *Neuron*, *112*(9), 1487-1497.e6.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2024.02.007

Nakamura, N. H., Flasbeck, V., Maingret, N., Kitsukawa, T., & Sauvage, M. M. (2013). Proximodistal
Segregation of Nonspatial Information in CA3 : Preferential Recruitment of a Proximal CA3-Distal CA1
Network in Nonspatial Recognition Memory. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *33*(28), 11506-11514.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4480-12.2013

Nakazawa, K., Quirk, M. C., Chitwood, R. A., Watanabe, M., Yeckel, M. F., Sun, L. D., Kato, A., Carr, C. A.,
Johnston, D., Wilson, M. A., & Tonegawa, S. (2002). Requirement for Hippocampal CA3 NMDA Receptors
in Associative Memory Recall. *Science*, *297*(5579), 211-218. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1071795

Nakazawa, K., Sun, L. D., Quirk, M. C., Rondi-Reig, L., Wilson, M. A., & Tonegawa, S. (2003). Hippocampal
CA3 NMDA Receptors Are Crucial for Memory Acquisition of One-Time Experience. *Neuron*, *38*(2),
305-315. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00165-X

Nakazawa, Y., Pevzner, A., Tanaka, K. Z., & Wiltgen, B. J. (2016). Memory retrieval along the
proximodistal axis of CA1 : PROXIMODISTAL CA1. *Hippocampus*, *26*(9), 1140-1148.
https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.22596

Pernía-Andrade, A. J., Goswami, S. P., Stickler, Y., Fröbe, U., Schlögl, A., & Jonas, P. (2012). A
Deconvolution-Based Method with High Sensitivity and Temporal Resolution for Detection of
Spontaneous Synaptic Currents In Vitro and In Vivo. *Biophysical Journal*, *103*(7), 1429-1439.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.08.039

Pignatelli, M., Ryan, T. J., Roy, D. S., Lovett, C., Smith, L. M., Muralidhar, S., & Tonegawa, S. (2019).
Engram Cell Excitability State Determines the Efficacy of Memory Retrieval. *Neuron*, *101*(2), 274-284.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.11.029

Raus Balind, S., Magó, Á., Ahmadi, M., Kis, N., Varga-Németh, Z., Lőrincz, A., & Makara, J. K. (2019).
Diverse synaptic and dendritic mechanisms of complex spike burst generation in hippocampal CA3
pyramidal cells. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1), 1859. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09767-w

Rebola, N., Carta, M., & Mulle, C. (2017). Operation and plasticity of hippocampal CA3 circuits :
Implications for memory encoding. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *18*(4), 208-220.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.10

Reijmers, L. G., Perkins, B. L., Matsuo, N., & Mayford, M. (2007). Localization of a Stable Neural Correlate
of Associative Memory. *Science*, *317*(5842), 1230-1233. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1143839

Ruediger, S., Vittori, C., Bednarek, E., Genoud, C., Strata, P., Sacchetti, B., & Caroni, P. (2011). Learning-
related feedforward inhibitory connectivity growth required for memory precision. *Nature*, *473*(7348),
514-518. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09946

Ryan, T. J., Roy, D. S., Pignatelli, M., Arons, A., & Tonegawa, S. (2015). Engram cells retain memory under
retrograde amnesia. *Science*, *348*(6238), 1007-1013. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5542

Sachidhanandam, S., Blanchet, C., Jeantet, Y., Cho, Y. H., & Mulle, C. (2009). Kainate Receptors Act as

Conditional Amplifiers of Spike Transmission at Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Synapses. *The Journal of*
Neuroscience, *29*(15), 5000-5008. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5807-08.2009

Sørensen, A. T., Cooper, Y. A., Baratta, M. V., Weng, F.-J., Zhang, Y., Ramamoorthi, K., Fropf, R.,
LaVerriere, E., Xue, J., Young, A., Schneider, C., Gøtzsche, C. R., Hemberg, M., Yin, J. C., Maier, S. F., & Lin,
Y. (2016). A robust activity marking system for exploring active neuronal ensembles. *eLife*, *5*, e13918.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.13918

Sun, Q., Sotayo, A., Cazzulino, A. S., Snyder, A. M., Denny, C. A., & Siegelbaum, S. A. (2017).
Proximodistal Heterogeneity of Hippocampal CA3 Pyramidal Neuron Intrinsic Properties, Connectivity,
and Reactivation during Memory Recall. *Neuron*, *95*(3), 656-672.e3.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.012

Sun, X., Bernstein, M. J., Meng, M., Rao, S., Sørensen, A. T., Yao, L., Zhang, X., Anikeeva, P. O., & Lin, Y.
(2020). Functionally Distinct Neuronal Ensembles within the Memory Engram. *Cell*, *181*(2), 410-423.e17.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.055

Takeuchi, T., Duszkiewicz, A. J., & Morris, R. G. M. (2014). The synaptic plasticity and memory
hypothesis : Encoding, storage and persistence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*
Biological Sciences, *369*(1633), 20130288. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0288

Thompson, L. T., Moyer, J. R., & Disterhoft, J. F. (1996). Transient changes in excitability of rabbit CA3
neurons with a time course appropriate to support memory consolidation. *Journal of Neurophysiology*,
76(3), 1836-1849. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1996.76.3.1836

Tomé, D. F., Zhang, Y., Aida, T., Mosto, O., Lu, Y., Chen, M., Sadeh, S., Roy, D. S., & Clopath, C. (2024).
Dynamic and selective engrams emerge with memory consolidation. *Nature Neuroscience*, *27*(3),
561-572. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-023-01551-w

Tonegawa, S., Liu, X., Ramirez, S., & Redondo, R. (2015). Memory Engram Cells Have Come of Age.
Neuron, *87*(5), 918-931. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.08.002

Torborg, C. L., Nakashiba, T., Tonegawa, S., & McBain, C. J. (2010). Control of CA3 Output by
Feedforward Inhibition Despite Developmental Changes in the Excitation–Inhibition Balance. *The Journal*
of Neuroscience, *30*(46), 15628-15637. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3099-10.2010

Tulving, E. (2002). Episodic Memory : From Mind to Brain. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *53*(1), 1-25.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135114

Vandael, D., & Jonas, P. (2024). Structure, biophysics, and circuit function of a "giant" cortical
presynaptic terminal. *Science*, *383*(6687), eadg6757. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg6757

Vetere, G., Tran, L. M., Moberg, S., Steadman, P. E., Restivo, L., Morrison, F. G., Ressler, K. J., Josselyn, S.
A., & Frankland, P. W. (2019). Memory formation in the absence of experience. *Nature Neuroscience*,
22(6), 933-940. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0389-0

Weng, F.-J., Garcia, R. I., Lutzu, S., Alviña, K., Zhang, Y., Dushko, M., Ku, T., Zemoura, K., Rich, D., Garcia-
Dominguez, D., Hung, M., Yelhekar, T. D., Sørensen, A. T., Xu, W., Chung, K., Castillo, P. E., & Lin, Y.
(2018). Npas4 Is a Critical Regulator of Learning-Induced Plasticity at Mossy Fiber-CA3 Synapses during
Contextual Memory Formation. *Neuron*, *97*(5), 1137-1152.e5.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.01.026

Yap, E.-L., Pettit, N. L., Davis, C. P., Nagy, M. A., Harmin, D. A., Golden, E., Dagliyan, O., Lin, C., Rudolph,
S., Sharma, N., Griffith, E. C., Harvey, C. D., & Greenberg, M. E. (2021). Bidirectional perisomatic
inhibitory plasticity of a Fos neuronal network. *Nature*, *590*(7844), 115-121.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-3031-0

Yiu, A. P., Mercaldo, V., Yan, C., Richards, B., Rashid, A. J., Hsiang, H.-L. L., Pressey, J., Mahadevan, V.,
Tran, M. M., Kushner, S. A., Woodin, M. A., Frankland, P. W., & Josselyn, S. A. (2014). Neurons Are
Recruited to a Memory Trace Based on Relative Neuronal Excitability Immediately before Training.
Neuron, *83*(3), 722-735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.017

Zhang, W., & Linden, D. J. (2003). The other side of the engram : Experience-driven changes in neuronal
intrinsic excitability. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *4*(11), 885-900. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1248

Zucca, S., Griguoli, M., Malézieux, M., Grosjean, N., Carta, M., & Mulle, C. (2017). Control of Spike
Transfer at Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Synapses *In Vivo* by GABA $_A$ and GABA $_B$ Receptor-Mediated
Inhibition. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *37*(3), 587-598. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2057-
16.2016

 Legends – Main Figures

Figure 1. Development of a novel viral tool to study early changes in the properties of CA3 engram cells.

(**A**) Scheme representing the maturation of engram neurons during memory formation. Top panel: during

learning, a neuron receives numerous synaptic inputs, resulting in sequences of action potentials. This

activity triggers the rapid expression of immediate early genes (IEGs), marking the neuron as part of an

engram (yellow). As the engram matures (green), IEGs expression is not detectable anymore, yet the

neuron retains established engram properties. Bottom panel: expression function of IEG-based construct

to investigate and compare early and late engram properties. A construct with rapid offset (yellow) best

captures engrams shortly after learning, whereas a progressively expressing construct best captures more

mature engrams. (**B**) FLEN construct (c-Fos.ZsGreen1-DR) encapsulated in AAV2/9 vector. Bottom panel:

representative images showing ZsGreen1 fluorescence in c-Fos+ neurons (arrowhead) and c-Fos- neurons

(arrow). Scalebar = 50 μm. (**C**) Timeline of *in vitro* experiments to determine FLEN expression and offset

time course. After infection (DIV3) and activation (DIV11), samples are washed with a blocking medium

and images are acquired at different intervals (downward arrows). (**D**) Percentage of ZsGreen+ vs. all

transduced neurons (mCherry+ cells) at the different intervals following activation. (**E**) Representative

images of activated cultured neurons at 1, 2, 3, 4.5 and 6 hours after activation. Scalebar = 100 μm.

Figure 2. *In vivo* FLEN labeling and decay time course following a salient experience. (**A**) FLEN and an

infection marker viral vectors are injected bilaterally into CA3. Mice undergo contextual fear conditioning

(CFC), and sections are collected (downward arrows) 1.5, 3, 4.5, 6 and 12 hours following CFC. As a control,

sections are obtained from mice left undisturbed in their home cage (HC). (**B**) Left: one-time CFC

experiment scheme. Mice explore an arena for 5 minutes, 3 foot-shocks are given at 180, 210 and 270

seconds after the start of the test (red line). Top-right: paired comparison of the percentage of time mice

spent freezing during training and when replaced in the conditioning arena 1 hour after CFC. Bottom-right:

comparison of the percentage of time mice spent freezing during training, when placed in a different

neutral environment and 24 hours after CFC. These experiments show that the CA3-dependent shock-

context association is rapidly learned (within 1 hour), is highly environment-selective and it is retained for

24 hours. (**C**) Representative images of CA3 neurons expressing the infection marker (mCherry+, indicated

by arrow) and neurons expressing both the infection marker and FLEN (ZsGreen1+/mCherry+, indicated

by arrowhead). The latter are considered FLEN+ neurons. Scalebar = 50 μm. (**D**) Percentage of ZsGreen+

CA3 neurons over the total number of mCherry+ neurons at different intervals following activation. (**E**)

Representative sections of CFC-trained mice compared to HC untrained mice at different intervals

following CFC. Dashed lines outline the dentate gyrus (DG) cellular layer, while the dashed segment
indicates the separation between CA3 and CA2. Scale bar = 100 μm. (**F**) Left panel: Schematic
representation of neuronal position along the proximodistal axis analysis of CA3. The CA3 pyramidal layer
was straightened and the x position of FLEN+ cells was normalized on a 0 (closer to DG) to 1 (closer to
CA2) scale. Right panel: comparison of normalized proximodistal frequency distribution of CA3 FLEN+ cells
placement in HC mice (gray) and 3 hours post-CFC mice (yellow). Bold lines represent the median of the
respective distributions. HC median is displayed in the CFC plot to highlight distribution difference.

Figure 3. Electrophysiological analysis of intrinsic properties of CA3 engram neurons. (**A**) Experimental
outline: mice were injected with either FLEN or RAM construct, subjected to CFC and then sacrificed at
either 3- or 24-hours post-conditioning to collect acute hippocampal slices. Right: representative images
of FLEN+ and RAM+ cells targeted for patch clamp recordings, with neighboring control FLEN- or RAM-
neurons. Scale bar = 20 μm. (**B**) Membrane potential response. Left panel: representative membrane
potential trace in response to incremental injected current in control cells (gray) and engram cells (yellow
for FLEN and green for RAM). Right panel: graphs of input resistance for comparison between engram
cells and their corresponding control cells. (**C**) Action potential firing pattern. Left panel: sample traces of
long (1 second) above-rheobase current step to analyze the pattern of action potential firing current in
control cells (gray) and engram cells (yellow and green). Right panel: average spike frequency graphs. (**D**)
Cumulative spike frequency curve over the 1 second current step shown in (C) showing a similar
distribution between FLEN+ and FLEN − neurons (top), and a more sustained firing of RAM+ compared to
their RAM- neurons (bottom). (**E**) Left panel: difference between isolated spikes and bursts. A group of
action potential is considered a burst if two or more spikes occur within a 50 ms interval. Right panel:
stacked bar plot showing the fraction of bursting cells in each group.

Figure 4. Analysis of spontaneous EPSCs in CA3 engram neurons (**A**) Sample traces and cumulative
distributions of sEPSCs recorded from CA3 engram neurons (FLEN in yellow, RAM in green). Top-left:
comparison of spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons, showing no difference in
average amplitude, and representative traces showing differences in the frequency of sEPSCs between
FLEN- and FLEN+ neurons. Top-center and top-right: cumulative frequency distribution of amplitude and
inter-event interval (IEI) as a readout of sEPSC frequency in FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons. Bottom-left:
comparison of spontaneous EPSCs between RAM+ and RAM- neurons showing no difference in average
amplitude and sample traces showing differences in the frequency of sEPSCs between RAM+ and RAM-
neurons. Bottom-center and bottom-right: cumulative frequency distribution of amplitude and inter-

event interval (IEI) of RAM+ and RAM- neurons. (**B**) The same as in (A) but for miniature EPSCs. Inset within

cumulative distribution of amplitude plot represent the normalized fraction of mEPSC larger than 40 pA,

likely due to giant mossy fiber activity.

Figure 5. Analysis of spontaneous IPSCs in CA3 engram neurons (**A**) Sample traces and cumulative

distributions of mIPSCs recorded from hippocampal CA3 engram (FLEN in yellow, RAM in green). Top-left:

comparison of the average miniature IPSC between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons showing no difference in

amplitude. Below, sample traces of FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons showing differences in the frequency of

mIPSCs. Top-center and top-right: cumulative distribution plots for amplitude and inter-event interval (IEI)

in FLEN+ versus FLEN- neurons. Bottom-left: sample traces highlighting the difference in mIPSC frequency

between RAM- and RAM+ neurons. Below, sample traces of FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons showing difference

in the frequency of mIPSCs. Bottom-center and bottom-right: cumulative frequency distribution for

amplitude and inter-event interval (IEI) of RAM+ and RAM- neurons.

Figure 6. Properties of Mf-CA3 synapses in CA3 engram neurons (**A**) Schematic representation of Mf-CA3

EPSCs and feedfoward inhibition recordings. (**B**) Left panel: representative traces showing EPSC amplitude

in FLEN- (gray) and FLEN+ (yellow) cells following low frequency stimulation (0.1 Hz) and corresponding

increase evoked with a higher stimulation frequency (1 Hz), due to a presynaptic form of synaptic plasticity

characteristics of Mf-CA3 synapses (frequency facilitation). Center panel: amplitude plot for FLEN- and

FLEN+ stimulated at 0.1 Hz and 1 Hz. Right panel: frequency facilitation ratio plot shows no difference

between FLEN- and FLEN+ neurons. (**C**) Left panel: representative traces showing Mf-driven IPSCs

(feedforward inhibition) and EPSCs (direct excitatory activity) recorded in individual FLEN- (gray) and

FLEN+ (yellow) neurons. Center panel: amplitude plot of EPSCs and IPSCs for FLEN- and FLEN+ neurons.

Right panel: graph showing excitation-to-inhibition ratio, comparing FLEN- and FLEN+ neurons.

Legends Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. (**A**) Left panel: context-only and immediate-shock behavioral test layout. In the context-only (CO) task, mice freely explore an arena without being conditioned; mice subjected to the immediate-shock (IS) experience a long (8 seconds) foot-shock immediately after being placed in the conditioning cage, preventing them exploring the environment and forming contextual memories. Right panel: plot comparing the percentage of FLEN+ cells in the home cage group (from Figure 2D) and the context-only and immediate-shock groups.

Figure S2. (**A**) Normalized proximodistal (x) and superficial-to-deep (y) frequency distribution of the position of CA3 FLEN+ neurons in all behavioral groups. Black dashed lines indicate each group's median value, while red dashed line represents the home cage group's median value. Each plot includes the scattered x-y position of FLEN+ cells within the pyramidal layer, and the frequency distribution along the proximodistal (top) and superficial-to-deep (right) axis.

Figure S3. (**A**) RAM construct outline and expression mechanism. Top panel: Dox administration prevents binding of tTA protein to the TRE promoter, whereas Dox deprivation allows downstream EGFP transcription. Bottom panel: scheme for *in vivo* experiments using the RAM system. Dox-fed mice are bilaterally injected in CA3 with viral constructs for RAM and the infection marker AAV-CB7-mCherry. The diet is switched to regular food chow 48 hours prior to the CFC. Then, mice undergo CFC and sections are collected (downward arrows) 24 hours later and compared to untrained mice that never left their home cage. (**B**) Percentage of RAM+ CA3 neurons over the total number of mCherry+ neurons in HC and 24 hours post-CFC. (**C**) Representative sections of CFC-trained mice compared to HC mice. Dashed lines outline the dentate gyrus (DG) cellular layer, while the dashed segment indicates the separation between CA3 and CA2. Scale bar = 100 μm.

Figure S4. (**A**) Left panel: overview of action potential features analyzed. Right panel: Initial frequency calculation. (**B** and **C**) Summary of analyzed action potential properties in FLEN+ vs. FLEN- (B) and RAM+ vs. RAM- (C).

817 **Table 1.**

	FLEN			RAM		
	FLEN-	FLEN+	Test	RAM-	RAM+	Test
Peak (mV)	45.19 ± 2.25, n=19	43.92 ± 4.62, n=9	Mann-Whitney U, p=1.00	47.93 ± 1.41, n=15	50.91 ± 1.57, n=13	T-test, p=0.17
Threshold (mV)	-40.41 ± 1.54, n=20	-42.47 ± 1.36, n=14	T-test, p=0.35	-41.53 ± 1.35, n=15	-39.78 ± 2.46, n=13	T-test, p=0.52
Amplitude (mV)	99.91 ± 4.63, n=19	98.67 ± 8.73, n=9	T-test, p=0.89	103.71 ± 3.93, n=15	101.18 ± 4.53, n=13	T-test, p=0.67
Width (ms)	0.002 ± 0.001, n=16	0.002 ± 0.001, n=6	Mann-Whitney U, p=0.51	0.0017 ± 0.0001, n=13	0.0016 ± 0.00003, n=7	Mann-Whitney U, p=0.36
Upstroke (mV/s)	197.06 ± 19.54, n=19	213.35 ± 35.48, n=9	T-test, p=0.67	229.89 ± 17.86, n=15	241.65 ± 23.2, n=13	T-test, p=0.69
Downstroke (mV/s)	-57.99 ± 7.91, n=19	-54.99 ± 6.18, n=9	Mann-Whitney U, p=0.84	-56.70 ± 4.43, n=15	-59.96 ± 3.62, n=13	T-test, p=0.58
Initial frequency (Hz)	21.58 ± 4.15, n=16	25.04 ± 12.83, n=7	Mann-Whitney U, p=0.62	41.74 ± 9.54, n=10	25.30 ± 6.43, n=11	Mann-Whitney U, p=0.25
ISI (ms)	0.19 ± 0.05, n=16	0.26 ± 0.08, n=7	Mann-Whitney U, p=0.28	0.14 ± 0.02, n=10	0.14 ± 0.02, n=11	T-test, p=0.98

Figure 1

A

Learning → Early engram → Late engram

Expression / Time — Offset, Stable

B

c-Fos → ZsGreen1 → DR

mCherry | ZsGreen1

C

DIV 0 — DIV 3 — DIV 11

+ 4-AP, Bic | + TTX

Plating | Infection | Activation | 1 h | 2 h | 3 h | 4.5 h | 6 h

D

% FLEN+

Pre-activ. 1 2 3 4.5 6

h post-activation

E

Hours after activation

Pre-activation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4.5 | 6

mCherry, ZsGreen1-DR, DAPI

Figure 2

mCherry, ZsGreen1-DR, DAPI

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

DG

Interneuron

CA3

Pyramidal neuron

B

100 pA
20 ms

Amplitude (pA)

n. s.

n. s.

*

*

0.1 Hz   1 Hz   0.1 Hz   1 Hz

FLEN-   FLEN+

FLEN-   FLEN+

Frequency facilitation ratio

n. s.

FLEN-   FLEN+

C

+5 mV

-70 mV

20 pA
20 ms

Amplitude (pA)

n. s.

n. s.

Exc.   Inh.   Exc.   Inh.

FLEN-   FLEN+

FLEN-   FLEN+

E/I ratio

n. s.

FLEN-   FLEN+

Figure S1

A

B

Figure S2

A

Home Cage

CFC 1.5h

CFC 3h

CFC 4.5h

CFC 6h

CFC 12h

Context Only 3h

Immediate shock 3h

Figure S3

A

AAV9.RAM.d2tTA::TRE.EGFP
AAV9.CB7.mCherry

B

% RAM+

*

HC   24 h
post-CFC

C

Home Cage   24 h post-CFC

DG   CA2

CA3

mCherry, EGFP

Figure S4