Early changes in the properties of CA3 engram cells explored with a novel viral tool

Dario Cupolillo[1,2], Noëlle Grosjean[1], Catherine Marneffe[1], Julio Viotti[1], Célia Reynaud[1], Séverine Deforges[1], Mario Carta[1], and Christophe Mulle[1*]

[1]Interdisciplinary Institute for Neuroscience, CNRS UMR 5297, University of Bordeaux, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

[2] current address: Italian Institute of Technology, Synaptic Plasticity of Inhibitory Networks, Genova, Italy

[*]Lead contact; correspondence: christophe.mulle@u-bordeaux.fr

Abstract

Forming new memories after a one-time experience requires initial encoding then consolidation over time. During learning, multimodal information converges onto the hippocampus, activating sparse neuronal assemblies which are thought to form a memory representation through concerted activity and synaptic interconnectivity. In this work, we use a novel tool for fast **fluorescent** labeling of engram neurons (FLEN). FLEN is based on c-Fos activity-dependent transient expression of a destabilized fluorescent marker ZsGreen1 rapidly after one-trial learning. With FLEN, we explore the electrophysiological properties of c-Fos activated CA3 pyramidal neurons a few hours following one-trial learning of an episodic-like memory. In parallel, we employ the Robust Activity Marker (RAM) system, which provides activity-dependent labelling 24 hours following a novel experience. Comparing FLEN+ and RAM+ neurons allows to characterize how the properties of neuronal assemblies evolve during an initial phase of consolidation. Whereas no difference was observed in the excitability of FLEN+ vs. FLEN- neurons, RAM+ neurons were more excitable than RAM- neurons. This suggests that CA3 pyramidal neurons recruited in an engram progressively acquire increased excitability as compared to neurons which were not activated by the one-trial contextual memory task. On the other hand, like RAM+ neurons, FLEN+ CA3 neurons show an increased number of excitatory inputs. Overall, with the FLEN strategy, we can show that both the intrinsic excitability and the synaptic properties of CA3 pyramidal neurons undergo progressive plastic changes over the first day following a one-trial memory task.

Introduction

Animal survival depends on the ability to rapidly form new and durable episodic memories of one-time meaningful events which, when recalled, allow to adapt behavior (Tulving, 2002). Contextual memories of ongoing experiences are continuously and rapidly generated in the hippocampus and subsequently stored in an extended cortical network (Eichenbaum, 2000). Computational models of memory point to a crucial role of the hippocampal CA3 region in episodic memory encoding and retrieval (Kesner & Rolls, 2015). Based on its distinctive extensive recurrent architecture (CA3-CA3), CA3 may act as an auto-associative or attractor network, enabling pattern completion during recollection (Kesner & Rolls, 2015; McNaughton, 1987). Indeed, mice with CA3-specific synaptic plasticity impairment (CA3 NR1-KO mice) are unable to encode the novel location of a hidden platform in a delayed matching-to-place version of the Morris water maze (K. Nakazawa et al., 2003) and to retrieve the learned position of a submerged platform when partial cues are presented (K. Nakazawa et al., 2002). In addition to recurrent connections, the main excitatory synaptic drivers of CA3 pyramidal neurons (PNs) are inputs from medial and lateral entorhinal cortex (MEC and LEC) via the perforant pathway (PP), and indirectly from mossy fibers (Mfs) through the dentate gyrus (DG). Mf inputs display 'conditional detonator' properties, enabling individual Mf synapses to trigger postsynaptic firing in response to repetitive firing of presynaptic DG cells (Henze et al., 2002; Marneffe et al., 2024; Rebola et al., 2017; Sachidhanandam et al., 2009; Vandael & Jonas, 2024).

During encoding of novel information, the orchestrated activity patterns of selected neuronal groups distributed throughout the brain, called engrams, represent the initial memory trace (Josselyn & Tonegawa, 2020). Engrams undergo long-lasting synaptic modifications during consolidation and are selectively re-engaged when the memory is retrieved (Bessières et al., 2024; Goto et al., 2021; Josselyn & Tonegawa, 2020; Kitamura et al., 2017; Ryan et al., 2015; Tomé et al., 2024). Experimental manipulation of neuronal engrams in the hippocampus allows selective memory erasure (Han et al., 2009), artificial memory recall (Liu et al., 2012) and creation of a synthetic memory (Vetere et al., 2019), highlighting both their necessity and sufficiency for memory functions.

The investigation of the properties of engram neurons has taken advantage of immediate early genes (IEGs) such as c-Fos, Arc (activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein), or *Npas4* (neuronal PAS domain protein 4) to visualize and label neurons active during a memory task (DeNardo et al., 2019; Kawashima et al., 2013; Reijmers et al., 2007; Sørensen et al., 2016; X. Sun et al., 2020). Generally, IEGs are rapidly and transiently expressed; however different IEGs are triggered by different sets of

extracellular events and possess specific expression kinetics. Temporal control of IEG-based systems is
crucial to accurately link engram labeling to specific events that occur in a specific in time.

The activity of engram neurons can be tracked *in vivo* during their maturation from the process of
encoding throughout consolidation in behaving mice, by means of functional indicators like GCaMP
(Milczarek et al., 2018; Mocle et al., 2024; Tomé et al., 2024). However, *in vivo* Ca^{2+} imaging does not
allow to address the synaptic and intrinsic properties of engram neurons. Labeling of engram neurons in
order to characterize these properties *ex vivo* over time after an experience has occurred, often relies
on labeling techniques using inducible transgenic systems (DeNardo et al., 2019; Reijmers et al., 2007).
In several studies using transgenic mouse lines, engram labeling requires 48 hours of doxycycline (Dox)
deprivation before a novel experience (Kitamura et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2012; Pignatelli et al., 2019; Ryan
et al., 2015), or the use of tamoxifen injection to open a 12-hour time window for engram labeling
(DeNardo et al., 2019). Therefore, these systems only offer temporal control over extended time
windows, thus increasing the probability of compromising the experience specificity of labeled engram
cells. In addition, most of these approaches drive a relatively late labeling, enabling investigation of
learning-induced modifications more than 24 hours after a novel experience (e.g. RAM system) (X. Sun
et al., 2020) or shortly after recall for neurons which have been labeled several days before (Pignatelli et
al., 2019), when consolidation processes have already been engaged.

Little has been learned about how neuronal engrams in the hippocampus rapidly (in the time range of
hours) adapt their synaptic and physiological properties following encoding of a memory event, and how
these properties evolve over time. In this sense, it is unknown whether initial memory storage is
enabled by engram cell modifications of intrinsic properties, synaptic plasticity or a combination of
them. Here, we tackle these questions using a combination of viral strategies that allow us to identify
engram neurons and compare *ex vivo* their properties shortly after learning (3-4 hours), and 24 hours
after the experience has occurred (Fig. 1A)(Cupolillo, 2021). To track early engrams, we developed a
virally delivered c-Fos-based genetic construct that provides physiological-like rapid expression and fast-
decay of the bright fluorescent cytosolic marker ZsGreen1. This novel viral strategy for fast and efficient
labeling of engram neurons (FLEN) allows to identify and characterize engram neurons within 3-4 hours
following a novel experience, in reference to non-activated neurons. Then, to understand how initial
engram cell properties evolve within a 24-hour time scale, we employ the more durable c-Fos-based
artificial RAM system (Sørensen et al., 2016) to label neurons and characterize their properties 24 hours
after the exposure to a one-trial memory task.

Results

Creation and validation of c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR for fast-labeling of engram neurons (FLEN).

We generated a genetic cassette for adeno-associated virus-mediated delivery which allows fast
labelling of engram neurons (FLEN). This construct includes the full-length, activity-dependent c-Fos
promoter which controls the expression of a destabilized variant of the green fluorescent protein
ZsGreen1 (Matz et al., 1999) (Fig. 1B). The complete sequence of the c-Fos promoter ensures a
physiological-like gene expression of ZsGreen1, which follows c-Fos expression. ZsGreen1 provides a
bright whole-cell somatic and dendritic labelling of activated neurons (Fig. 1B). To restrict the labelling
time window and minimize labeling unrelated to the designed experience, ZsGreen1 was fused with a
destabilizing domain (DR); when fused to EGFP, DR decreases the fluorescence half-time to 2 hours (Li et
al., 1998).

To determine the expression kinetics of FLEN in response to neuronal activity, P0 mouse cortical
neurons were cultured (cellular density 3x10^5 cells/well) and then co-infected at DIV3 with AAV9-c-
Fos/ZsGreen1-DR (FLEN) and a secondary AAV9-CB7/mCherry, which constitutively expresses mCherry
in infected neurons (Fig. 1B-C). Neurons were cultured until DIV11 in regular neurobasal medium, before
switching to an activating medium containing 4-aminopyridine (4-AP, 100 µM) and bicuculline (Bic, 10
µM) for 30 minutes. The drugs were then washed out, and tetrodotoxin (TTX, 0.5 µM) was added to
block further neuronal activity until cells were fixed (Fig. 1C). The percentage of activated neurons
(ZsGreen+, further named FLEN+ neurons) over the total number of transduced neurons (mCherry+
neurons) was calculated at different time points within a 6-hour period after pharmacological
stimulation. Only a limited number of FLEN+ neurons could be found in unstimulated conditions (5.4 ±
0.5 FLEN+/FLEN-, n=3 plates) (Fig. 1D-E). The activating medium triggered ZsGreen1 expression in
neurons as early as 2 hours, reaching a 3-fold peak within 3 hours (1 hour: 6.7 ± 1.0, n=3; 2 hours: 13.2 ±
5.0, n=3; 3 hours: 15.7 ± 2.2, n=5) (Fig. 1D-E). The number of FLEN+ neurons decreased after the peak,
decaying to pre-stimulation-like levels within 6 hours (4.5 hours: 12.0 ± 3.9, n=4; 6 hours: 5.0 ± 1.1, n=4)
(Fig. 1D-E). Thus, FLEN showed a rapid induction (2-3 hours) and a fast decay (within 6 hours) of
ZsGreen1-DR fluorescence (one-way ANOVA, p=0.04). To test specificity, we assessed co-expression with
endogenous c-Fos in hippocampal cultures 3 hours following the same pharmacological stimulation.
Among infected neurons (mCherry+), we found that 77.1 ± 6.3 % (n=4) of FLEN+neurons were positive
for c-Fos. We also found that 60.8 ± 5.4 % (n=4) of the c-Fos-+neurons were also positive for FLEN. Thus,

although FLEN does not capture the entire c-Fos+ population, FLEN+ neurons are likely true engram (c-
Fos+) cells.

FLEN captures early neuronal activity following CFC

We next investigated the time course of FLEN expression *in vivo* in wild-type mice in response to
exposure to a salient novel experience. We virally targeted bilaterally dorsal CA3 in adult mice (2–3-
month-old) co-injecting AAV9-c-Fos/ZsGreen1-DR and the infection control AAV9-CB7/mCherry (Fig. 2A).
Then, mice were subjected to contextual fear conditioning (CFC), a hippocampal-dependent one-trial
memory task. During this task, mice learned to associate the delivery of 3 mild foot-shocks (0.4 pA, 2
seconds) with a specific environment (Fig. 2B). We found that CFC, a one-trial experience, can generate
a strong context-specific fear memory, as mice responded by freezing when re-exposed to the
conditioning environment 1 hour after training (HC: 14.7% ± 2.3%, 1-hour post-CFC: 47.4% ± 7.9%, n=7,
unpaired t-test, p=0. 0018), a response that outlasted 24 hours after training, but was not triggered in an
unfamiliar novel environment, nor when they were left undisturbed in their home cage (HC) (HC: 18.7%
± 3.5%, 24 hours post-CFC: 61.5% ± 7.5%, neutral: 14.3% ± 4.5%, n=8, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc
test HC : 1 hour post-CFC p =0.00004, HC : Neutral p=0 .84116, Neutral :1 hour post-CFC p=0.00001) (Fig.
2B).

The percentage of FLEN+ CA3 neurons (i. e. that display FLEN+/mCherry+ labeling, Fig. 2C) among the
overall population of infected neurons (FLEN-, i. e. that display mCherry+ labeling) was quantified 1.5, 3,
4.5, 6 and 12 hours following CFC and compared to that of untrained mice . Consistent with previous
studies (Sørensen et al., 2016; Weng et al., 2018), less than 2% of infected CA3 neurons were reported
to be labeled in the absence of a novel experience (Fig. 2D-E, HC, 0.84% ± 0.29% FLEN+/mCherry+, n=6).
One-trial CFC conditioning generated an engram, as indicated by an increase in FLEN+ neurons in CA3 in
the following hours (Fig 2D-E). Specifically, CA3 displayed 1.11% ± 0.42% FLEN+ neurons 1.5 hours after
CFC (n=5), which increased to 3.11% ± 0.74% 3 hours post-CFC (n=4) and 2.31 ± 0.44% at 4.5 hours post-
CFC (n=7). The fraction of active cells returned to basal-like levels at 6 hours (1.86 ± 0.16% FLEN+/
mCherry+, n=5) and further decreased 12 hours post-CFC (0.73% ± 0.32% FLEN+/ mCherry+, n=4) (Fig.
2D-E). Overall, the emergence of FLEN assembly peaks 3 hours following CFC and significantly decreases
12 hours later (one-way ANOVA p=0.003, *post hoc* Tukey's multiple comparisons test: HC vs. 3 h
p=0.008, 1.5 h vs. 3 h p=0.04, 3h vs. 12h p=0.01), faithfully mimicking the progression and transient
nature of native c-Fos expression (Holtmaat & Caroni, 2016).

We sought to probe CA3 engram formation 3 hours after different behavioral situations, namely

context-only (CO) and immediate-shock (IS) conditions, which offer the possibility for spatial exploration

only and no spatial exploration at all, respectively (Fig. S1A). In CO conditions, mice were allowed to

freely explore a novel cue-enriched arena. Comparably to other exploratory tasks, we found an increase

in the number of FLEN+ CA3 PNs 3 hours following CO (3.50 ± 1.18 % FLEN+/FLEN-, n=5) compared to

control HC mice (Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test, p=0.03; Dunn *post hoc* multiple comparisons test,

p=0.02) (Fig. S2B). In the IS test, a 6-second-long foot-shock was delivered 2 seconds after the mouse

was placed in the conditioning cage, preventing sensorial sampling of the novel context (Fig. S1A). In

contrast, the IS test did not induce a significant increase in the number of FLEN+ in CA3 PNs as compared

to HC (1.84 ± 0.77 % FLEN+/FLEN-, n=4, Dunn *post hoc* multiple comparisons test, HC: IS, p=0.51) and to

context-only (CO: IS, p=0.88, n=4), possibly because of minimal spatial exploration.

Because of the reported functional heterogeneity of CA3 PNs in relation to memory encoding and recall,

which is directly related to their position within the proximodistal axis of CA3 (Nakamura et al., 2013; Y.

Nakazawa et al., 2016; Q. Sun et al., 2017), we analyzed the spatial distribution of FLEN+ PNs within CA3

pyramidal layer along the proximodistal and superficial-to-deep axis of CA3, following one-trial CFC (Fig.

2F and Fig. S2). We found a clear skewedness in the location of FLEN+ neurons along the proximodistal

axis toward CA3a at all time points following CFC and following CO compared to HC (Kolgomorov-

Smirnov test: HC vs. 1.5 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 3 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 4.5 h post-CFC,

p<0.001; HC vs. 6 h post-CFC, p<0.001: HC vs. 12 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. CO, p<0.001: HC vs. IS,

p<0.001) whilst they appeared to be more evenly distributed along the superficial-deep axis

(Kolgomorov-Smirnov test: HC vs. 1.5 h post-CFC, p=0.013: HC vs. 3 h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 4.5 h

post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 6h post-CFC, p<0.001; HC vs. 12 h post-CFC, p=0.61; HC vs. CO, p<0.001: HC vs.

IS, p=0.02) (Fig. 2F and Fig. S2). Overall, these data indicate that the FLEN labeling strategy faithfully

identifies neurons activated during a one-trial exposure to a novel context and allows to characterize the

morpho-functional properties of CA3 engram neurons shortly (< 6 hours) following the experience.

In parallel, we confirmed that the RAM system (Sørensen et al., 2016) is a powerful tool to tag neurons

selectively activated by CFC in a temporally controlled manner (Fig. S3A) (Sørensen et al., 2016; Weng et

al., 2018). To identify RAM+ neurons, we stereotaxically injected AAV9-RAM/tTA::TRE/EGFP and AAV9-

CB7/mCherry to label dorsal CA3 in wild-type mice (Fig. S3A). Mice were fed with doxycycline pellets

starting one day before injection and then switched to a regular food diet for 48 hours before CFC (Fig.

S3A). As reported, we found that the number of RAM+ neurons was notably higher 24 hours after CFC

with respect to HC conditions (% RAM+ vs. mCherry+ neurons, HC: 1.13 ± 0.18 %, n=5; CFC: 3.54 ± 0.77

%, n=5; Welch's t-test p=0.03) (Fig. S3B-C), although not to the same extend as in previous reports

(Sørensen et al., 2016). The ratio of FLEN+ neurons 3 hours after CFC over HC (approximately 3:1)

closely resembles that of RAM+ neurons 24 hours after CFC (approximately 3:1)

CA3 engram neurons evolve from low to higher firing frequency over 24 hours

To understand if rapid memory acquisition and early consolidation is supported by specific changes in

electrophysiological intrinsic properties, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of CA3 PNs

(mainly in CA3b) in acute hippocampal slices of young adult mice injected with either FLEN or RAM,

respectively (Fig. 3A). AAV9-c-Fos/ZsGreen1-DR or AAV9-RAM-tTA::TRE-EGFP viral constructs were

injected bilaterally in dorsal CA3, together with AAV9-CB7-mCherry (Fig. 3A). After CFC, mice were

returned to their home cage for 3 hours (FLEN) or 24 hours (RAM), to yield peak expression of ZsGreen1-

DR or EGFP and then sacrificed to collect parasagittal slices (Fig. 3A). Activity-dependent FLEN+ neurons

remained detectable in acute slices, enabling specific targeting of engram CA3 PNs neurons for

approximately 4 to 5 hours after slicing. Engram neurons (FLEN+ or RAM+) were compared to their

respective neighboring non-engram neurons (FLEN- or RAM-) (Fig. 3A).

We first investigated the intrinsic physiological properties of FLEN+ and RAM+ CA3 PNs. Indeed, the

probability for a neuron to be recruited in a memory engram is thought to depend on its inherent

excitability level at the time of learning (see Josselyn & Tonegawa, 2020 for review). We assessed input

resistance (R_i) by injecting a series of hyper- and depolarizing current pulses with the membrane

potential held at -70 mV (Fig. 3B). R_i did not change significantly between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons

(FLEN+: 253 ± 35 MΩ, n=19; FLEN-: 210 ± 19 MΩ, n=30; non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test, p-

value=0.57) and was similar to RAM+ and RAM- neurons which were also no different between them

(RAM+: 323.7 ± 14.1 MΩ, n=21; RAM-: 396.1 ± 15.2 MΩ, n=30, parametric t-test, p=0.68) (Fig.3B).

Further depolarizing steps of current were injected until an action potential (AP) was fired. AP properties

such as threshold, amplitude, width, upstroke and downstroke were not statistically different between

FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons and between RAM+ and RAM- neurons (Fig. S4B, C; Table 1). To study the AP

firing pattern, we injected 1 s-long pulses of current just above rheobase (200 pA) (Fig. 3C). Overall, the

firing frequency was not different in the FLEN groups (FLEN+: 2.64 ± 0.65 Hz, FLEN-: 3.59 ±0.62 Hz,

Mann-Whitney U test, p=0.51) (Fig. 3C). More than half of the total number of APs occurred within the

first 100 ms for all CA3 PNs, showing a consistent discharge pattern across both FLEN+ and FLEN- cells

(Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p-value=1.0) (Fig. 3D). In contrast to FLEN+ CA3 PNs, RAM+ CA3 PNs showed

a prolonged spiking activity which outlasted the first 100 ms (Fig. 3D).. Altogether, these results show

that early after encoding, FLEN+ CA3 PNs do not show differences in excitability or patterns of activity as

compared to control neurons. However, after 24 hours, CA3 engram cells display an increase in

excitability without changes in R_i.

Synaptic properties of FLEN+ neurons

To assess overall excitatory synaptic strength and overall number of active synaptic connections, we

recorded spontaneous excitatory post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs) in FLEN+ CA3 PNs while blocking

$GABA_A$-dependent inhibitory currents (Bicuculline, 10 µM). The average amplitude of sEPSCs was not

different between FLEN+ and FLEN- CA3 PNs (FLEN+: 29.7 ± 5.3 pA, n=10, FLEN-: 32.2 ± 3.7 pA, n=10,

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p = 0.99), whereas their frequency was increased (Inter-event interval (IEI),

FLEN+: 788 ± 152 ms, n=10, FLEN-: 930 ± 91 ms, n=10, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.005; frequency:

FLEN+: 1.64 ± 0.26 Hz, n=10, FLEN-: 1.19 ± 0.14 Hz, n=10, pair-wise Mann-Whitney U test, p = 0.27) (Fig.

4A). Similarly, 24 hours after a novel experience, the average amplitude of sEPSCs was similar between

RAM+ and RAM- CA3 PNs (RAM+: 26.22 ± 2.46 pA, n=14; RAM-: 21.31 ± 2.72 pA, n=14, Kolmogorov-

Smirnov test, p=0.99) (Fig. 4A), but their frequency was significantly increased in RAM+ CA3 PNs (IEI:

RAM+: 645 ± 88 ms, n=17; RAM -: 1342 ± 207 ms, n=17; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.05; frequency:

RAM+: 2.04 ± 0.26 Hz, n=17, RAM-: 0.93 ± 0.11 Hz n=14, pair-wise Mann-Whitney U test, p = 0.001) (Fig.

4A).

Then, to investigate excitatory synaptic strength and release probability independent of network

activity, we measured miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs) by further adding TTX (0.5 µM). The average amplitude

was similar between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons (FLEN+: 20.5 ± 2.5 pA, n=9, FLEN-: 18.7 ± 1.6 pA, n=14,

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p = 0.99), whereas we observed a higher frequency of mEPSCs in FLEN+

neurons as compared to control neurons (IEI: FLEN+: 1228 ± 186 ms, n=9, FLEN-: 1722 ± 242 ms, n=14,

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.0005; frequency: FLEN+: 1.48 ± 0.66 Hz, n=9, FLEN-: 0.69 ± 0.07 Hz,

n=14, pair-wise Mann-Whitney U test, p = 0.29) (Fig. 4B). Comparably, we found a higher frequency of

mEPSCs in RAM+ neurons as compared to RAM- CA3 PNs (IEI: RAM+: 782 ± 91 ms, n=14; RAM-: 1244 ±

247 ms, n=13; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p<0.005; frequency: FLEN+: 1.60 ± 0.23 Hz, n=14, FLEN-: 1.12 ±

0.20 Hz, n=13, pair-wise Mann-Whitney U test, p = 0.08), with unchanged amplitude (RAM+: 29.6 ± 4.8

pA, n=14, RAM-: 24.7 ± 4.2 pA, n=13,Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p=0.99) (Fig. 4B). Thus, neurons

recruited in an engram appear to show increased excitatory drive after a few hours that persist after 24

hours, which may be linked to a higher number of synaptic contacts.

Previous reports indicate that CA3 PNs activated during by contextual learning and identified 24 hours

later by RAM had strengthened mossy fiber inputs (Weng et al., 2018). The existence of mEPSCs with

larger amplitudes may be related to synaptic plasticity in a subset of synapses, or theoretically to an

increased participation of Mf-driven mEPSCs (Henze et al., 2002). We thus calculated the proportion

miniature excitatory events with an amplitude greater than 40 pA. During spontaneous activity

recording, we found no difference in the fraction of putative Mf-driven inputs between FLEN+ and FLEN-

PNs (FLEN+: 0.09 ± 0.03 %, n= 9; FLEN-: 0.06 ± 0.02%, n=14; Mann-Whitney U Test p=0.33) and between

RAM+ and RAM- PNs 24 hours after learning (RAM+: 0.25 ± 0.08 %, n= 14; RAM-: 0.18 ± 0.07 %, n=13;

Mann Whitney U test, p=0.42) (Fig. 4B, inset). This later finding contrasts with earlier finding (Weng et

al., 2018), which found a selective strengthening of Mf inputs onto the ensemble of CA3 neurons

>24hours after activation by CFC. At variance with our analysis, this later study uses a mGluR2/3 agonist,

LY354740, which inhibits evoked Mf-CA3 synaptic transmission (Kamiya & Ozawa, 1999).

Inhibitory neurons are important in controlling spike generation of CA3 PNs, shaping network activity

and participation in neuronal assembly formation (Holtmaat & Caroni, 2016). We therefore probed

miniature inhibitory post-synaptic currents (mIPSCs), comparing FLEN+ vs. FLEN- neurons and RAM+ vs.

RAM- neurons, in the presence of NBQX (20 μM), D-AP5 (50 μM) and TTX (0.5 μM). We found that

mIPSCs were statistically more frequent (IEI: FLEN+: 340.1 ± 4.0 ms, n=4, FLEN-: 511.0 ± 40.5 ms, n=14,

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.005; frequency: FLEN+: 3.06 ± 0.35 Hz, n=4, FLEN-: 2.09 ± 0.14 Hz, n=14,

pair-wise Student's T test, p = 0.008), but their amplitude was on average comparable in FLEN+ vs. FLEN-

neurons (FLEN+: 35.1 ± 2.3 pA, n=4, FLEN+: 31.7 ±2.2 pA, n=14, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p = 0.99,

Welch's t-test, p = 0.30) (Fig. 4C). At 24 hours after learning, RAM+ neurons showed an increase in the

frequency of mIPSC (IEI: 330.5 ± 51.9 ms, n=6; frequency: 3.48 ± 0.60 Hz, n=6) compared to RAM-

neurons (IEI: 437.1 ± 47.1 ms, n=11, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.005; frequency: 2.52 ± 0.23 Hz,

n=11, pair-wise Mann-Whitney U test, p = 0.09), but no difference in their amplitude (RAM+: 22.7 ±1. 8

pA, n=11; RAM-: 26.5 ± 5.2, n=6, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p=0.89) (Fig. 4C). The frequency of all

excitatory and inhibitory inputs was elevated after CFC, both after 3 hours and after 24 hours, but not

their amplitude.

Mf-CA3 synapses and feedforward inhibition in FLEN+ neurons

Mf-CA3 connections between the DG and CA3 pyramidal cells provide powerful inputs via 'giant' Mf

boutons to CA3 PCs, which are thought to assist CA3 in the encoding of memory (Marneffe et al., 2024;

Vandael & Jonas, 2024). We recorded EPSCs evoked by minimal stimulation of Mfs (Marchal & Mulle,

2004) in FLEN+ and FLEN- CA3 PNs using an extracellular electrode placed within the DG hilus to

stimulate the Mf axonal bundle (Fig. 5A). Low-frequency stimulation (0.1 Hz) evoked EPSCs of variable

amplitude that, on average, did not differ between FLEN+ (81.7 ± 17.5 pA) and FLEN- neurons (80.8 ±

13.5 pA, Welch's t-test p = 0.97) (Fig. 5B). Increasing stimulation frequency to 1 Hz induced short-term

presynaptic frequency facilitation, causing an approximatively 3-fold increase in evoked current

amplitude in both FLEN+ (258.3 ± 49.5 pA) and FLEN- neurons (238.2 ± 39.0 pA, Welch's t-test p = 0.76)

(Fig. 5B). The EPSC facilitation ratio (1Hz vs. 0.1 Hz) was not different between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons

(FLEN+: 3.28 ± 0.40, FLEN-: 3.28 ± 0.57, Welch's t-test p = 0.99) (Fig. 5B).

One-trial memory tasks reversibly induce a robust and rapid (within hours) increase in the number of

filopodia emanating from Mf synaptic terminals which contact GABAergic interneurons (Ruediger et al.,

2011), lending support to the hypothesis of an increase in feedforward inhibition in most CA3 PNs.

Because of the rapid time course with which structural plasticity occurs at Mf-CA3 synapses following

one-trial memory task, we took advantage of FLEN to explore a functional counterpart to structural

plasticity, and to address whether the change in feedforward inhibition was differentially affected in

FLEN+ vs. FLEN- CA3 PNs. We recorded excitatory and inhibitory synaptic currents in CA3 PNs while

stimulating Mfs (Fig. 5A). To calculate the excitatory to inhibitory (E/I) ratio at these synapses, we first

recorded evoked EPSCs by holding cells at the experimentally determined GABA$_A$ reversal potential (E_{Cl1-}

=-70 mV), and then at the reversal potential for cations (E_{Na1+} = +5 mV) (Fig. 5C) (Torborg et al., 2010).

We measured the peak amplitude of evoked EPSCs and IPSCs at a stimulation rate of 0.1 Hz to prevent

frequency facilitation (Fig. 5C). We found that the EPSC/IPSC ratio was higher in FLEN+ vs FLEN- cells,

although this is not significantly different (FLEN+: 3.48 ± 0.96, FLEN-: 2.20 ± 0.42, Welch's t-test, p =

0.27) (Fig. 5C). These data do not support the notion that shortly after one-trial learning, there is an

increase in feedforward inhibition at Mf-CA3 synapses.

Discussion

In the past decade, the search for engrams and the characterization of engram cells has largely

benefited from new gene targeting approaches to label the cells and to control their reactivation

(Josselyn & Tonegawa, 2020). The primary goal of our work was to test the hypothesis that the

functional properties of hippocampal cells activated during a one-trial contextual memory task were

distinct from surrounding ones shortly (3-6 hours) after encoding and were subject to progressive plastic

changes which could be linked to a first phase of memory consolidation.

Most techniques currently employed to study the properties of engram cells *ex vivo*, combine promoter

expression based on IEGs, intersectional transgenic strategies, optogenetics and pharmacogenetics with

behavioral paradigms (Denny et al., 2014; Pignatelli et al., 2019; X. Sun et al., 2020; Tonegawa et al.,

2015). A major caveat for using activity-dependent promoter/enhancers is the background basal

expression which can result in the labeling of neurons unrelated to the triggering behavioral event. To

limit this, fluorescent marker and/or actuator expression can be temporally controlled by directly

coupling IEG promoters, such as c-Fos or synthetic promoters (RAM), to inducible systems such as the

doxycycline (Dox) or the tamoxifen (TAM) systems 7/16/2025 2:46:00 AMWith these approaches, the

physiological properties of engram vs. non-engram cells has been examined by *ex vivo* patch-clamp

recordings 24 hours to several days after the initial contextual memory task (Pignatelli et al., 2019;

Sørensen et al., 2016; Weng et al., 2018; Yap et al., 2021).

We thus developed a viral strategy for transient neuronal expression of a fluorescent protein (FLEN)

following the exposure of mice to a novel context making use of the full-length c-Fos promoter and of

the brightly fluorescent ZsGreen1 protein (8.6 times brighter that EGPF, (Bell et al., 2007)), engineered

to be quickly destabilized. The time course of expression of ZsGreen1 in FLEN labeled neurons peaked at

3 hours both after pharmacological activation in cultured neurons and *in vivo* after a 5-minute exposure

to a novel context. Neuron labeling persisted for a few hours, enabling *ex vivo* electrophysiological

characterization of neurons thought to be involved in the initial encoding of contextual memory. As with

all techniques based on IEG promoters, a small percentage of AAV-transduced neurons showed

ZsGreen1 fluorescence under control conditions (HC or no activation of cultured neurons). However,

following CFC, the number of FLEN labeled neurons increased by a factor of 3.12. The bright

fluorescence of ZsGreen1 enabled the electrophysiological characterization of FLEN+ neurons, the

majority of which (>60%) were activated in relation to the CFC memory task. Therefore, the key features

of the FLEN strategy as compared to other tools used to label engrams is that: (i) it uses an AAV-based

viral tool, (ii) a c-fos promoter drives the expression of a brightly fluorescent protein allowing for their

identification *ex vivo* for functional analysis, (iii) the fluorescent protein is rapidly destabilized, providing

the possibility to label neurons only a few hours after their activation by a behavioural task.

We have used FLEN to label neurons in CA3, which is involved in the rapid encoding of new spatial and

contextual information (Kesner and Rolls, 2015). One of the key features of CA3 is the presence of

recurrent excitatory connections which are subject to NMDA-dependent synaptic plasticity (Rebola et

al., 2017), and this appears essential for memory of a one-trial experience (K. Nakazawa et al., 2003). It

has been proposed that the excitability state of neurons may contribute to memory formation (Zhang &

Linden, 2003). We first examined whether FLEN+ neurons showed distinctive intrinsic excitability

properties. We observed no difference in the membrane potential, input resistance, threshold or

bursting activity between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons in slices prepared 3 hours following CFC. This

suggests that the subpopulation of CA3 PNs engaged in a one-trial learning task are not initially more

excitable than the general population of neurons, hence are not predetermined by their excitability

state. Alternatively, engram neurons may be transiently more excitable, at the time of encoding, and

lose this property within a few hours. In apparent contrast with our finding that FLEN+ CA3 PNs did not

show increased excitability, a simple associative memory task, trace eye-blink conditioning, appears to

increase the excitability of a large fraction (more than half) of CA3 neurons starting at 1 hour and

decreasing after several days (Thompson et al., 1996). Beyond the difference in the task and in the

species, these contrasting results may be explained by an overall **increased neuronal activity** related to

the learning task (Cai et al., 2016; Moyer et al., 1996), however not specific to engram neurons. This

does not preclude however the possibility that relative neuronal excitability immediately before training

could contribute to the selection of neurons to an engram as proposed in the amygdala (Yiu et al., 2014)

and the hippocampus (Mocle et al., 2024). However, in our hands, a one-trial memory task does not per

se trigger a selective increase in the excitability of engram neurons 3 hours after CFC as compared to the

general population of CA3 neurons. In the DG, recall of a previously stored contextual memory (48 hours

before), hence reactivation of engram neurons, rapidly increased the excitability of these engram

neurons (within 1 hour) with respect to engram neurons which were not subject to reactivation in a

separate group of mice (Pignatelli et al., 2019). A learning-related task may however induce plasticity of

intrinsic excitability of engram neurons on a longer time scale. We thus compared the intrinsic

excitability of FLEN+ neurons to those labeled more than 24 hours after CFC by using the previously

described RAM (Sørensen et al., 2016). We found that RAM+ neurons showed prolonged spike firing in

response to a depolarizing pulse of current, hence decreased accommodation in comparison with non-

labeled neurons. Considering that the set of neurons labeled by these two strategies may be of the same

set, based on the expression of a fluorescent marker under the control of the c-Fos promoter, our data

strongly suggest that engram neurons in CA3 progressively increase excitability as compared to neurons

which were not activated by the one-trial contextual memory task. As a limitation point, it should

however be considered that both strategies may label distinct populations of neurons, due to the use of

different promoters to drive the expression of the fluorescent marker. However, both strategies

accurately label neurons that are, in large part, c-Fos positive and are involved in processing specific

context information (see Fig 4, Sorensen et al, 2016). The acquisition of increased excitability 24 hours

after one-trial memory encoding suggests that intrinsic excitability is a key feature of an early

consolidation of memory, which may even be strengthened upon reactivation of memory (Pignatelli et

al., 2019). It would be interesting to assess whether increased intrinsic excitability persists throughout

further consolidation of memory.

Increased neuronal activity in engram neurons at the time of encoding may result from more efficient or

more synchronous excitatory synaptic inputs to these neurons. Here we observed that FLEN+ neurons

(hence characterized >3 hours after initial encoding) showed an increased excitatory drive, which may

be explained by a higher number of synaptic contacts, although an increase in release probability cannot

be excluded. We cannot discriminate whether the increased number of synaptic inputs preexists to the

formation of the engram, or whether it is a plastic mechanism taking place shortly following the

contextual memory. The time course of appearance of additional synapses, by unmuting of silent

synapses following contextual memory acquisition, is compatible with experimentally induced functional

and structural synaptic plasticity (Holtmaat & Caroni, 2016). On the other hand, if FLEN+ neurons

showed higher synaptic inputs at the time of memory encoding, this would increase the chance that

these neurons show increased excitation (c-Fos activation) hence participate in the engram. The

amplitude of mEPSCs in FLEN+ neurons does not appear to increase on average in comparison with

FLEN- CA3 PNs. This finding may not favor the possibility that LTP-like synaptic plasticity occurs early

during the process of memory formation. In this event, NMDA-dependent forms of synaptic plasticity

are more likely to occur later on during the early phase of consolidation of the memory trace (Humeau

& Choquet, 2019). Interestingly, we observed a comparable increase in the frequency of sEPSCs and

mEPSCs in RAM+ CA3 neurons 24 hours after CFC, as previously reported (Weng et al., 2018), without

any change in the amplitude. Using a pharmacological agent which selectively inhibits Mf-CA3

transmission, it was hypothesized that the increased mEPSC frequency is mainly due to more active Mf-

CA3 synapses (Weng et al., 2018). However, if this was the case, we would also expect an increase in the

amplitude of mEPSCs as well as an increase in the fraction of mEPSCs larger than 45 pA. In addition, we

did not find evidence for an increase in Mf-CA3-evoked EPSCs (see below). Overall, our data indicate

that CA3 engram neurons characterized a few hours after the one-trial memory task show a higher level

of excitatory synaptic inputs, and this feature seems to extend to 24 hours. We found no evidence for

increased amplitude of EPSCs in FLEN+ or RAM+ CA3 PNs which could have been indicative of

postsynaptic plasticity through an increased number of synaptic AMPA receptors (Humeau & Choquet,

2019; Takeuchi et al., 2014). One reason may be that synaptic plasticity only occurs in a subset of
synaptic contacts, and the recording of mEPSCs is not **sensitive** enough to capture these modifications

Local inhibition controls the spiking of CA3 PNs, hence their capacity to be engaged in an active
ensemble of neurons at the time of contextual memory encoding. In CA1, there is a fine control of
inhibition upon novel environment exploration (Yap et al., 2021) or fear learning (Lovett-Barron et al.,
2014). We found an increase in the frequency of mIPSCs after CFC in engram CA3 neurons, both after 3
hours and after 24 hours. This suggests that the elevation of mEPSCs in the engram neurons is
counterbalanced by increased inhibition, although this would need to be generally tested in conditions
of hippocampal circuit activity *in vivo*. We have also provided information on the process of Mf-driven
feedforward inhibition in CA3 following one-trial memory encoding. Mf-CA3 synapses have been
proposed to play a major role in assisting CA3 in the encoding of memory (Kesner & Rolls, 2015). For
these reasons, it is important to directly characterize the properties of Mf-CA3 synapses impinging on
CA3 engram neurons. We observed no difference in the amplitude and short-term plasticity of Mf-CA3
EPSCs evoked in FLEN+ CA3 neurons vs. FLEN- neurons. It has been proposed that 24 hours after CFC,
the increased amplitude of mEPSCs in RAM+ neurons can be attributed to modifications of Mf-CA3
synapses (Weng et al., 2018), which may suggest progressive plasticity of these inputs. There is
abundant evidence that the structural properties of Mf-CA3 synapses are modified by experience
(Ruediger et al., 2011)(Galimberti et al., 2006; Maruo et al., 2016). Feedforward inhibition combines
with short-term plasticity of Mf-CA3 synapses to define the physiological conditions for efficient spike
transfer between the DG and CA3 (Marneffe et al., 2024; Vandael & Jonas, 2024; Zucca et al., 2017). CFC
induces a robust and global increase in the number of filopodia emanating from the giant Mf terminals,
which peaks between 1 and 5 hours after the one-trial memory task and is related to the precision of
memory and the size of engrams (Ruediger et al., 2011). Because filopodia contact GABAergic
interneurons, this structural plasticity has been proposed to increase feedforward inhibition, albeit this
has not yet been demonstrated. Here we compared the excitation/inhibition ratio of Mf-evoked
synaptic responses between FLEN+ and FLEN- neurons. We did not observe a significant increase of
feedforward inhibition onto CA3 engrams, as may be expected from an increased number of filopodia,
but we rather observed a tendency towards less feedforward inhibition. This leads us to speculate that
shortly following contextual memory formation, Mf-driven feedforward inhibition is increased in the
general population of neurons but not in Mf inputs onto engram neurons, thus allowing for a
comparatively stronger input from the DG to the engram neurons. It will be interesting to test whether

this increased signal to noise ratio is maintained 24 hours after contextual memory encoding, given that

the number of filopodia per Mf bouton has returned to basal level (Ruediger et al., 2011).

In conclusion, we used a viral tool allowing for the identification of neurons involved in a behavioral task

within 3 hours, as a complement to the transgenic mouse strategies and viral vectors which have been

used to assess changes in engram neuron properties in the time range of days. Although it is not

possible to fully assess the electrophysiological properties of engram neurons at the time of the

encoding, this work shows that the engram neurons are not different in their intrinsic membrane

properties shortly after encoding. This set of properties may however follow delayed (progressive)

plastic changes which are evident 24 hours after initial encoding. Overall, we propose that engram

neurons do not show higher excitability preexisting to the selection of neurons to the engram during the

memory encoding task. Hence the increased activation state of the FLEN+ neurons at the time of

encoding may rather relate to a higher number of active synaptic inputs providing more efficient

excitation. Interestingly, although more work is needed to confirm this tendency, increased excitation

may also be linked to decreased Mf-driven feedforward inhibition, thus favoring spike transfer from the

DG to CA3 at the time or shortly after memory encoding. The FLEN viral tool will be useful to assess how

the properties of neurons in brain regions are involved in the contextual memory encoding process,

including the entorhinal cortex and the DG.

Materials and methods

Animals

C57Bl6j female mice were obtained from Janvier and cared according to the regulations of the University of Bordeaux/CNRS Animal Care and Use Committee. Animals were housed with their littermates with ad libitum access to food and water. Cages were kept in a temperature-regulated room on a 12 h light/dark cycle. Prior to any behavioral test, all mice were single housed for 4-5 days and then handled for at least 3 consecutive days. Mice injected with the RAM system were kept on a doxycycline diet (4 mg/Kg) starting 1 day before the viral injection.

Viral vectors

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) serotype 2/9 vectors containing our custom-made c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR (titer 4.00×10^{13}) were generated in our lab. The c-Fos promoter was subcloned from the Addgene_11983 construct (Mus musculus, size: 466 pb, position -380/+86). The AAV2/9 vectors containing the RAM system (titer 3.39×10^{13}) were purchased from AddGene (ID 84469). Each vector was mixed with an infection marker virus AAV2/9-CB7/mCherry (titer 1.50×10^{13}) and diluted 1:10 for co-injection.

Cell culture and pharmacological activation

P0 mouse cortical neurons were plated (300000 cells/well) and allowed to grow on glia cells. Plates were stored in an incubator (37° C) for the duration of the experiment. At DIV3 cells were infected with the viral mix of c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR and CB7-mCherry. At DIV14, neurons were switched to an activating medium containing 4-AP (100 µM) and Bicuculline (10 µM) for 30 minutes at 37°C. Then this activating medium was washed and tetrodotoxin was added (0.5 µM) to prevent action potential firing and stop neuronal activation. Neurons were then fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 mM PBS at the different intervals following pharmacological activation. To calculate FLEN/c-Fos overlap, neurons were fixed as described above 3 hours after tetrodotoxin was added to the medium.

c-Fos immunofluorescence

Fixed cells were incubated with 50 mM ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl) in PBS for 10 minutes under constant shaking (1 mL/well), and washed three times with PBS (5 minutes each). Permeabilization was performed with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 minutes under shaking (1 mL/well), followed by three PBS washes (5 minutes each). Non-specific binding sites were blocked with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 60 minutes under shaking (1 mL/well). Primary antibody incubation was performed at RT for

60 minutes under shaking using rat anti-c-Fos (Synaptic Systems, ref 226 017) diluted 1:1000 in 2% BSA

(160 µL/coverslip). Coverslips were washed three times with 2% BSA in PBS (5 minutes each) and

incubated again in 2% BSA for 60 minutes under shaking (1 mL/well). Secondary antibody (goat anti-rat

Alexa Fluor 647, Thermo Fisher Scientific, ref A21247) was applied at 1:500 dilution (160 µL/coverslip)

for 60 minutes at RT under shaking and protected from light. Coverslips were washed three times in PBS

(5 minutes each) and mounted using Fluoromount-G with DAPI (Southern Biotech).

Cell counting

Culture and ex vivo slice images were processed using custom code. Each fluorescence channel

(mCherry, ZsGreen, c-Fos, and DAPI) was normalized, Gaussian-filtered and manually thresholded.

Binary masks were generated and cleaned by removing small objects and segmented using watershed.

Masks were further filtered by area and shape (circularity, axis ratio, eccentricity, solidity). From these

counts, labeling ratios (between ZsGreen, mCherry, c-Fos and DAPI) were calculated.

Stereotaxic injection

2-month-old C57Bl6j mice were bilaterally injected with either AAV9-c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR or the AAV9-

RAM virus mixed with the infection control virus AAV9-CB7-mCherry into dorsal hippocampus. Mice

were anesthetized with 4% isoflurane and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus, where they were kept

under 1.5-2% isoflurane anesthesia (0.8-1 L/min), and injected with buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg) and

carprofene (5 mg/kg) to prevent post-surgery pain. The injection volume and flow rate (300 nl at 60

nl/min) were controlled with an injection micro-pump (World Precision Instruments). Injection

coordinates, using bregma as a reference point, were as follows: AP -1.78, ML ± 2.40, DV -2.35. This site

targets dorsal CA3b, but virus spreading resulted in the infection of CA3a, CA3b, CA3c, CA2 and in some

cases few granule neurons and hilar cells of the DG. Mice were carefully monitored for 4 days following

surgery, and behavioral manipulation started after a recovery period of approximately 2 weeks.

Behavioral tests

Once recovered, mice were single-housed to reduce uncontrolled experiences that could contaminate

the ensemble of CFC-related labelled engram cells. Then, mice were handled to habituate to the

experimenter for at least 3 consecutive days prior to CFC. Mice injected with the RAM virus were

deprived of doxycycline, switching to a regular diet, 48 hours before CFC training. On the one-trial

learning day, mice were transported in the experimental room and immediately placed in a novel

conditioning chamber enclosed in a sound-attenuating cabinet (Ugo Basile), enriched with visual cues,

and allowed to explore it for a total duration of 300s. After an initial exploration period, 3 mild foot-

shocks (2s, 0.7 mA) were delivered at a 150s, 210s, and 270s, leaving 30s for additional context sampling

at the end. Mice were recorded and freezing behavior was scored using ANY-Maze 6 software (Stoelting

Europe). Freezing was detected as the absence of movement apart from respiration, and freezing events

were scored when immobility lasted more than a threshold of 300ms. Mice were then returned to their

home cage. For context only (CO) exploration, mice were placed in a 50 cm x 50 cm custom-built open

field, where some objects were distributed. Mice were allowed to explore for 5 minutes before

returning to their home cage. The immediate shock test was carried out in the fear conditioning system.

Mice explored the conditioning chamber for only 2 seconds before receiving a 6-second-long foot-shock

and then returned to their home cage.

Histology

Mice were anesthetized with intraperitoneal administration of a ketamine/xylazine mix (100 mg/kg/10

mg/kg) at different time intervals following CFC (1.5 h, 3 h, 4.5 h, 6 h, 12 h for c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR, 24 h

for RAM). Mice were then intracardially perfused with 0.9% NaCl solution followed by 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 mM PBS. Brains were removed and postfixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C.

Brains were transferred in sucrose 30% in 0.1 mM PBS at 4°C until they sank. Brains were cut on a

cryostat into 25 µm-thick coronal sections and collected in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100. Slices were

immediately mounted on glass slides and covered in DAPI-based mounting medium. The number of

fluorescent neurons was assessed directly without amplification.

Confocal microscope

Confocal acquisitions were performed using a Leica SP8 White Light Laser 2 on an inverted stand (Leica

Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany) and with a x20 (NA 0.75) immersion objective. Images were

analyzed using ImageJ. At least 5 slices per animal were acquired. Imaging of neuronal cultures was

carried out as well using confocal Leica SP8 White Light Laser 2 (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim,

Germany) and with a x20 (NA 0.75) immersion objective. In all experiments, the settings (laser power,

gain, offset) were fixed between groups as well as the pixel size (120 nm).

Ex vivo **slice preparation**

Slices were prepared 3 hours or 24 hours following CFC for c-Fos-ZsGreen1-DR-injected mice and RAM-

injected mice respectively. To attempt to reduce stress-related unspecific c-Fos activation, mice were

anesthetized with a ketamine/xylazine mix (100 mg/kg/10 mg/kg; i.p.) and intracardially perfused with

an ice-cold oxygenated (95% O_2 and 5% CO_2) cutting solution containing (in mM): 87 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25

NaH2PO4, 25 NaHCO3, 25 glucose, 75 sucrose, 0.5 CaCl2, 7 MgCl2, pH 7.4, 315 mOsm/kg. Brains were

quickly dissected out and parasagittal slices from both hemispheres (300 µm thick) were cut on a

vibratome (Leica VT1200S, Germany) in the same oxygenated ice-cold cutting solution. Slices were then

incubated at 34°C in a resting chamber containing the same cutting solution for 20-30 minutes for

recovery. Slices were then transferred in a resting chamber filled with an oxygenated (95% O_2 and 5%

CO_2) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing (mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3,

1.3 MgCl2, 2.3 CaCl2, 10 glucose, pH 7.4, 300 mOsm/kg at room temperature for the rest of the day.

Electrophysiology

When transferred to a recording chamber, slices were continuously superfused with aCSF. CA3

pyramidal cells were identified with an infrared differential interference contrast (IR-DIC) microscope

with a water immersion 63X objective (N.A. 0.8). Neurons were then classified as engram neurons

(simultaneous expression of ZsGreen1-DR/mCherry or EGFP/mCherry when RAM was used) or non-

engram neuron (mCherry expression only) with a 2p microscope. Whole-cell recordings were made at

room temperature using borosilicate glass capillaries with resistances between 4-6 MΩ. For current

clamp recordings, the intracellular solution contained (in mM): 135 K-gluconate, 5 KCl, 2 NaCl, 10 Na2-

phosphocreatine, 0.1 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 5 Mg-ATP, 0.4 Na-GTP, pH 7.2 adjusted with KOH, 280-290

mOsm/Kg. For voltage clamp mode, the patch pipettes were filled with a solution containing (mM): 140

Cs-methanesulfonate, 2 MgCl2, 4 NaCl, 5 Na-phosphocreatine, 0.2 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 3 Na2-ATP, 0.3 GTP,

pH 7.2 adjusted with CsOH, 280-290 mOsm/Kg. To record inhibitory currents, a high-chloride

intracellular solution was used, containing (mM): 103 CsCl, 12 $CsCH_3O_3S$, 5 TEA-Cl, 10 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP,

0.5 Na-GTP, 1 $MgCl_2$, pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH, 280-290 mOsm/Kg. No liquid-junction correction

was used. Neurons were held at –70 mV. Leak current and series resistance were monitored throughout

each experiment; neurons with a leak current >200 pA and a series resistance >25MΩ or if these

parameters changed more than 20% were excluded from analysis. All recordings were performed 5-10

min after opening the membrane. Input resistance was quantified by linearly fitting the voltage change

against injected current. Steps of hyper- and depolarizing current steps were injected to determine

action potential threshold and rheobase. Action potential firing pattern was determined by injecting 200

pA steps of current. Spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) were isolated by bath application of bicuculline (10

µM), while mEPSCs were recorded in presence of bicuculline (10 µM) and tetrodotoxin (0.5 µM).

Spontaneous IPSCs (sIPSCs) were recorded in presence of D-AP5 (50 µM) and NBQX (20 µM), while

mIPSCs were isolated in presence of D-AP5 (50 µM), NBQX (20 µM) and TTX (0.5 µM). Spontaneous and

miniature synaptic events were detected using a deconvolution-based approach (Pernía-Andrade et al.,

2012). To record evoked EPSCs (eEPSCs), stimulating glass pipettes (World Precision Instruments) were

filled with aCSF and placed in the hilus, close to the granule cell layer to stimulate initial portion of Mf

axons. Voltage pulses (200 µs) were delivered through a stimulus isolator (Digitimer, UK). Stimulation

intensity was adjusted to obtain minimal Mf stimulation (Marchal and Mulle., 2004). Basal synaptic

transmission was recorded while holding CA3 neurons at -70 mV with pulses delivered at a low

frequency of 0.1 Hz. Frequency was then increased to 1 Hz to induce frequency facilitation. After 2-3

minutes, when facilitation was extinguished, the voltage was switched to +5 mV (reversal potential for

cations) to record di-synaptic eIPSC. All recordings were obtained with a HEKA EPC10 amplifier, filtered

at 3.3 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz via PatchMaster software (Lambrecht, Germany). Data was analyzed

offline using custom-made Python scripts.

Statistical analysis and graphical representations

Statistical analyses and graphical representation were performed with custom-written Python codes

using standard libraries (e.g. Numpy, Scipy, Pandas, Skimage). Data are presented as boxplots and

scatter plots indicating the number of samples per group. Boxplots indicate median, first and third

quartiles and whiskers represent the rest of the distribution. Bold horizontal and vertical lines on

scattered data points represent mean and SEM respectively. Values were first tested for normality

(D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus test or Shapiro-Wilk test). Normally distributed data were compared

using a t-test (two-sided) while Mann–Whitney rank test (two-sided) was used for non-normal data set.

Multiple group comparison was carried out using one-way ANOVA when data were normally distributed

or Kruskalis-Wallis when normality did not apply. Tukey's *post hoc* comparison analysis or Dunn *post hoc*

comparison analysis was applied. Cumulative frequency distributions and normalized distributions were

tested using Kolgomorov-Smirnov test. Statistical differences were considered as significant at $p < 0.05$.

References

Bessières, B., Dupuis, J., Groc, L., Bontempi, B., & Nicole, O. (2024). Synaptic rearrangement of NMDA
receptors controls memory engram formation and malleability in the cortex. *Science Advances*, *10*(35).
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ado1148

Cupolillo, D. (2021). *Comparing properties of CA3 engram neurons over initial periods of encoding and*
early phase of consolidation. PhD, Université de Bordeaux. https://theses.hal.science/tel-03336166v1

DeNardo, L. A., Liu, C. D., Allen, W. E., Adams, E. L., Friedmann, D., Fu, L., Guenthner, C. J., Tessier-
Lavigne, M., & Luo, L. (2019). Temporal evolution of cortical ensembles promoting remote memory
retrieval. *Nature Neuroscience*, *22*(3), 460‑469. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0318-7

Denny, C. A., Kheirbek, M. A., Alba, E. L., Tanaka, K. F., Brachman, R. A., Laughman, K. B., Tomm, N. K.,
Turi, G. F., Losonczy, A., & Hen, R. (2014). Hippocampal Memory Traces Are Differentially Modulated by
Experience, Time, and Adult Neurogenesis. *Neuron*, *83*(1), 189‑201.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.018

Eichenbaum, H. (2000). A cortical–hippocampal system for declarative memory. *Nature Reviews*
Neuroscience, *1*(1), 41‑50. https://doi.org/10.1038/35036213

Galimberti, I., Gogolla, N., Alberi, S., Santos, A. F., Muller, D., & Caroni, P. (2006). Long-Term
Rearrangements of Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Terminal Connectivity in the Adult Regulated by
Experience. *Neuron*, *50*(5), 749‑763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.04.026

Goto, A., Bota, A., Miya, K., Wang, J., Tsukamoto, S., Jiang, X., Hirai, D., Murayama, M., Matsuda, T.,
McHugh, T. J., Nagai, T., & Hayashi, Y. (2021). Stepwise synaptic plasticity events drive the early phase of
memory consolidation. *Science*, *374*(6569), 857‑863. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj9195

Han, J.-H., Kushner, S. A., Yiu, A. P., Hsiang, H.-L. (Liz), Buch, T., Waisman, A., Bontempi, B., Neve, R. L.,
Frankland, P. W., & Josselyn, S. A. (2009). Selective Erasure of a Fear Memory. *Science*, *323*(5920),
1492‑1496. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164139

Henze, D. A., Wittner, L., & Buzsáki, G. (2002). Single granule cells reliably discharge targets in the
hippocampal CA3 network in vivo. *Nature Neuroscience*, *5*(8), 790‑795. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn887

Holtmaat, A., & Caroni, P. (2016). Functional and structural underpinnings of neuronal assembly
formation in learning. *Nature Neuroscience*, *19*(12), 1553‑1562. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4418

Humeau, Y., & Choquet, D. (2019). The next generation of approaches to investigate the link between
synaptic plasticity and learning. *Nature Neuroscience*, *22*(10), 1536‑1543.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0480-6

Josselyn, S. A., & Tonegawa, S. (2020). Memory engrams : Recalling the past and imagining the future.
Science, *367*(6473), eaaw4325. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw4325

Kamiya, H., & Ozawa, S. (1999). Dual mechanism for presynaptic modulation by axonal metabotropic
glutamate receptor at the mouse mossy fibre-CA3 synapse. *The Journal of Physiology*, *518*(2), 497‑506.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.1999.0497p.x

Kawashima, T., Kitamura, K., Suzuki, K., Nonaka, M., Kamijo, S., Takemoto-Kimura, S., Kano, M., Okuno,
H., Ohki, K., & Bito, H. (2013). Functional labeling of neurons and their projections using the synthetic
activity–dependent promoter E-SARE. *Nature Methods*, *10*(9), 889-895.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2559

Kesner, R. P., & Rolls, E. T. (2015). A computational theory of hippocampal function, and tests of the
theory : New developments. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *48*, 92-147.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.11.009

Kitamura, T., Ogawa, S. K., Roy, D. S., Okuyama, T., Morrissey, M. D., Smith, L. M., Redondo, R. L., &
Tonegawa, S. (2017). Engrams and circuits crucial for systems consolidation of a memory. *Science*,
356(6333), 73-78. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam6808

Li, X., Zhao, X., Fang, Y., Jiang, X., Duong, T., Fan, C., Huang, C.-C., & Kain, S. R. (1998). Generation of
Destabilized Green Fluorescent Protein as a Transcription Reporter. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*,
273(52), 34970-34975. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.52.34970

Liu, X., Ramirez, S., Pang, P. T., Puryear, C. B., Govindarajan, A., Deisseroth, K., & Tonegawa, S. (2012).
Optogenetic stimulation of a hippocampal engram activates fear memory recall. *Nature*, *484*(7394),
381-385. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11028

Lovett-Barron, M., Kaifosh, P., Kheirbek, M. A., Danielson, N., Zaremba, J. D., Reardon, T. R., Turi, G. F.,
Hen, R., Zemelman, B. V., & Losonczy, A. (2014). Dendritic Inhibition in the Hippocampus Supports Fear
Learning. *Science*, *343*(6173), 857-863. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247485

Marchal, C., & Mulle, C. (2004). Postnatal maturation of mossy fibre excitatory transmission in mouse
CA3 pyramidal cells : A potential role for kainate receptors. *The Journal of Physiology*, *561*(1), 27-37.
https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2004.069922

Marneffe, C., Moreira-de-Sá, A., Lecomte, S., Erhardt, A., & Mulle, C. (2024). Short term plasticity at
hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. *Neuroscience*, S0306452224004974.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2024.09.044

Maruo, T., Mandai, K., Takai, Y., & Mori, M. (2016). Activity-dependent alteration of the morphology of a
hippocampal giant synapse. *Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience*, *71*, 25-33.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2015.12.005

Matz, M. V., Fradkov, A. F., Labas, Y. A., Savitsky, A. P., Zaraisky, A. G., Markelov, M. L., & Lukyanov, S. A.
(1999). Fluorescent proteins from nonbioluminescent Anthozoa species. *Nature Biotechnology*, *17*(10),
969-973. https://doi.org/10.1038/13657

McNaughtonis, L. (1987). *Hippocampalsynapticenhancementand informationstorage withina
disMbutedmemorysystem*. *10*(10).

Milczarek, M. M., Vann, S. D., & Sengpiel, F. (2018). Spatial Memory Engram in the Mouse Retrosplenial
Cortex. *Current Biology*, *28*(12), 1975-1980.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.002

Mocle, A. J., Ramsaran, A. I., Jacob, A. D., Rashid, A. J., Luchetti, A., Tran, L. M., Richards, B. A., Frankland,
P. W., & Josselyn, S. A. (2024). Excitability mediates allocation of pre-configured ensembles to a
hippocampal engram supporting contextual conditioned threat in mice. *Neuron*, *112*(9), 1487-1497.e6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2024.02.007

Nakamura, N. H., Flasbeck, V., Maingret, N., Kitsukawa, T., & Sauvage, M. M. (2013). Proximodistal
Segregation of Nonspatial Information in CA3 : Preferential Recruitment of a Proximal CA3-Distal CA1
Network in Nonspatial Recognition Memory. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *33*(28), 11506-11514.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4480-12.2013

Nakazawa, K., Quirk, M. C., Chitwood, R. A., Watanabe, M., Yeckel, M. F., Sun, L. D., Kato, A., Carr, C. A.,
Johnston, D., Wilson, M. A., & Tonegawa, S. (2002). Requirement for Hippocampal CA3 NMDA Receptors
in Associative Memory Recall. *Science*, *297*(5579), 211-218. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1071795

Nakazawa, K., Sun, L. D., Quirk, M. C., Rondi-Reig, L., Wilson, M. A., & Tonegawa, S. (2003). Hippocampal
CA3 NMDA Receptors Are Crucial for Memory Acquisition of One-Time Experience. *Neuron*, *38*(2),
305-315. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00165-X

Nakazawa, Y., Pevzner, A., Tanaka, K. Z., & Wiltgen, B. J. (2016). Memory retrieval along the
proximodistal axis of CA1 : PROXIMODISTAL CA1. *Hippocampus*, *26*(9), 1140-1148.
https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.22596

Pernía-Andrade, A. J., Goswami, S. P., Stickler, Y., Fröbe, U., Schlögl, A., & Jonas, P. (2012). A
Deconvolution-Based Method with High Sensitivity and Temporal Resolution for Detection of
Spontaneous Synaptic Currents In Vitro and In Vivo. *Biophysical Journal*, *103*(7), 1429-1439.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.08.039

Pignatelli, M., Ryan, T. J., Roy, D. S., Lovett, C., Smith, L. M., Muralidhar, S., & Tonegawa, S. (2019).
Engram Cell Excitability State Determines the Efficacy of Memory Retrieval. *Neuron*, *101*(2), 274-284.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.11.029

Rebola, N., Carta, M., & Mulle, C. (2017). Operation and plasticity of hippocampal CA3 circuits :
Implications for memory encoding. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *18*(4), 208-220.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.10

Reijmers, L. G., Perkins, B. L., Matsuo, N., & Mayford, M. (2007). Localization of a Stable Neural Correlate
of Associative Memory. *Science*, *317*(5842), 1230-1233. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1143839

Ruediger, S., Vittori, C., Bednarek, E., Genoud, C., Strata, P., Sacchetti, B., & Caroni, P. (2011). Learning-
related feedforward inhibitory connectivity growth required for memory precision. *Nature*, *473*(7348),
514-518. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09946

Ryan, T. J., Roy, D. S., Pignatelli, M., Arons, A., & Tonegawa, S. (2015). Engram cells retain memory under
retrograde amnesia. *Science*, *348*(6238), 1007-1013. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5542

Sachidhanandam, S., Blanchet, C., Jeantet, Y., Cho, Y. H., & Mulle, C. (2009). Kainate Receptors Act as
Conditional Amplifiers of Spike Transmission at Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Synapses. *The Journal of
Neuroscience*, *29*(15), 5000-5008. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5807-08.2009

Sørensen, A. T., Cooper, Y. A., Baratta, M. V., Weng, F.-J., Zhang, Y., Ramamoorthi, K., Fropf, R.,
LaVerriere, E., Xue, J., Young, A., Schneider, C., Gøtzsche, C. R., Hemberg, M., Yin, J. C., Maier, S. F., & Lin,
Y. (2016). A robust activity marking system for exploring active neuronal ensembles. *eLife*, *5*, e13918.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.13918

Sun, Q., Sotayo, A., Cazzulino, A. S., Snyder, A. M., Denny, C. A., & Siegelbaum, S. A. (2017). Proximodistal Heterogeneity of Hippocampal CA3 Pyramidal Neuron Intrinsic Properties, Connectivity, and Reactivation during Memory Recall. *Neuron*, *95*(3), 656-672.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.012

Sun, X., Bernstein, M. J., Meng, M., Rao, S., Sørensen, A. T., Yao, L., Zhang, X., Anikeeva, P. O., & Lin, Y. (2020). Functionally Distinct Neuronal Ensembles within the Memory Engram. *Cell*, *181*(2), 410-423.e17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.055

Takeuchi, T., Duszkiewicz, A. J., & Morris, R. G. M. (2014). The synaptic plasticity and memory hypothesis : Encoding, storage and persistence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *369*(1633), 20130288. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0288

Thompson, L. T., Moyer, J. R., & Disterhoft, J. F. (1996). Transient changes in excitability of rabbit CA3 neurons with a time course appropriate to support memory consolidation. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *76*(3), 1836-1849. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1996.76.3.1836

Tomé, D. F., Zhang, Y., Aida, T., Mosto, O., Lu, Y., Chen, M., Sadeh, S., Roy, D. S., & Clopath, C. (2024). Dynamic and selective engrams emerge with memory consolidation. *Nature Neuroscience*, *27*(3), 561-572. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-023-01551-w

Tonegawa, S., Liu, X., Ramirez, S., & Redondo, R. (2015). Memory Engram Cells Have Come of Age. *Neuron*, *87*(5), 918-931. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.08.002

Torborg, C. L., Nakashiba, T., Tonegawa, S., & McBain, C. J. (2010). Control of CA3 Output by Feedforward Inhibition Despite Developmental Changes in the Excitation–Inhibition Balance. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *30*(46), 15628-15637. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3099-10.2010

Tulving, E. (2002). Episodic Memory : From Mind to Brain. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *53*(1), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135114

Vandael, D., & Jonas, P. (2024). Structure, biophysics, and circuit function of a "giant" cortical presynaptic terminal. *Science*, *383*(6687), eadg6757. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg6757

Vetere, G., Tran, L. M., Moberg, S., Steadman, P. E., Restivo, L., Morrison, F. G., Ressler, K. J., Josselyn, S. A., & Frankland, P. W. (2019). Memory formation in the absence of experience. *Nature Neuroscience*, *22*(6), 933-940. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0389-0

Weng, F.-J., Garcia, R. I., Lutzu, S., Alviña, K., Zhang, Y., Dushko, M., Ku, T., Zemoura, K., Rich, D., Garcia-Dominguez, D., Hung, M., Yelhekar, T. D., Sørensen, A. T., Xu, W., Chung, K., Castillo, P. E., & Lin, Y. (2018). Npas4 Is a Critical Regulator of Learning-Induced Plasticity at Mossy Fiber-CA3 Synapses during Contextual Memory Formation. *Neuron*, *97*(5), 1137-1152.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.01.026

Yap, E.-L., Pettit, N. L., Davis, C. P., Nagy, M. A., Harmin, D. A., Golden, E., Dagliyan, O., Lin, C., Rudolph, S., Sharma, N., Griffith, E. C., Harvey, C. D., & Greenberg, M. E. (2021). Bidirectional perisomatic inhibitory plasticity of a Fos neuronal network. *Nature*, *590*(7844), 115-121. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-3031-0

Yiu, A. P., Mercaldo, V., Yan, C., Richards, B., Rashid, A. J., Hsiang, H.-L. L., Pressey, J., Mahadevan, V.,

Tran, M. M., Kushner, S. A., Woodin, M. A., Frankland, P. W., & Josselyn, S. A. (2014). Neurons Are
Recruited to a Memory Trace Based on Relative Neuronal Excitability Immediately before Training.
Neuron, *83*(3), 722-735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.017

Zhang, W., & Linden, D. J. (2003). The other side of the engram : Experience-driven changes in neuronal
intrinsic excitability. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *4*(11), 885-900. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1248

Zucca, S., Griguoli, M., Malézieux, M., Grosjean, N., Carta, M., & Mulle, C. (2017). Control of Spike
Transfer at Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Synapses *In Vivo* by GABA $_A$ and GABA $_B$ Receptor-Mediated
Inhibition. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *37*(3), 587-598. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2057-
16.2016

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Development of a novel viral tool to study early changes in the properties of CA3 engram

cells. (**A**) Scheme representing the maturation of engram neurons during memory formation. Top panel:

during learning, a neuron receives numerous synaptic inputs, resulting in sequences of action potentials.

This activity triggers the rapid expression of immediate early genes (IEGs), marking the neuron as part of

an engram (yellow). As the engram matures (green), IEGs expression is not detectable anymore, yet the

neuron retains established engram properties. Bottom panel: expression function of IEG-based

construct to investigate and compare early and late engram properties. A construct with rapid offset

(yellow) best captures engrams shortly after learning, whereas a progressively expressing construct best

captures more mature engrams. (**B**) FLEN construct (c-Fos.ZsGreen1-DR) encapsulated in AAV2/9 vector.

Bottom panel: representative images showing ZsGreen1 fluorescence in c-Fos+ neurons (arrowhead)

and c-Fos- neurons (arrow). Scalebar = 50 µm. (**C**) Timeline of *in vitro* experiments to determine FLEN

expression and offset time course. After infection (DIV3) and activation (DIV11), samples are washed

with a blocking medium and images are acquired at different intervals (downward arrows). (**D**)

Percentage of ZsGreen+ vs. all transduced neurons (mCherry+ cells) at the different intervals following

activation. (**E**) Representative images of activated cultured neurons at 1, 2, 3, 4.5 and 6 hours after

activation. Scalebar = 100 µm.

Figure 2. *In vivo* FLEN labeling and decay time course following a salient experience. (**A**) FLEN and an

infection marker viral vectors are injected bilaterally into CA3. Mice undergo contextual fear

conditioning (CFC), and sections are collected (downward arrows) 1.5, 3, 4.5, 6 and 12 hours following

CFC. As a control, sections are obtained from mice left undisturbed in their home cage (HC). (**B**) Left:

one-time CFC experiment scheme. Mice explore an arena for 5 minutes, 3 foot-shocks are given at 180,

210 and 270 seconds after the start of the test (red line). Top-right: paired comparison of the

percentage of time mice spent freezing during training and when replaced in the conditioning arena 1

hour after CFC. Bottom-right: comparison of the percentage of time mice spent freezing during training,

when placed in a different neutral environment and 24 hours after CFC. These experiments show that

the CA3-dependent shock-context association is rapidly learned (within 1 hour), is highly environment-

selective and it is retained for 24 hours. (**C**) Representative images of CA3 neurons expressing the

infection marker (mCherry+, indicated by arrow) and neurons expressing both the infection marker and

FLEN (ZsGreen1+/mCherry+, indicated by arrowhead). The latter are considered FLEN+ neurons.

Scalebar = 50 µm. (**D**) Percentage of ZsGreen+ CA3 neurons over the total number of mCherry+ neurons

at different intervals following activation. (**E**) Representative sections of CFC-trained mice compared to

HC untrained mice at different intervals following CFC. Dashed lines outline the dentate gyrus (DG)

cellular layer, while the dashed segment indicates the separation between CA3 and CA2. Scale bar = 100

µm. (**F**) Left panel: Schematic representation of neuronal position along the proximodistal axis analysis

of CA3. The CA3 pyramidal layer was straightened and the x position of FLEN+ cells was normalized on a

0 (closer to DG) to 1 (closer to CA2) scale. Right panel: comparison of normalized proximodistal

frequency distribution of CA3 FLEN+ cells placement in HC mice (gray) and 3 hours post-CFC mice

(yellow). Bold lines represent the median of the respective distributions. HC median is displayed in the

CFC plot to highlight distribution difference.

Figure 3. Electrophysiological analysis of intrinsic properties of CA3 engram neurons. (**A**) Experimental

outline: mice were injected with either FLEN or RAM construct, subjected to CFC and then sacrificed at

either 3- or 24-hours post-conditioning to collect acute hippocampal slices. Right: representative images

of FLEN+ and RAM+ cells targeted for patch clamp recordings, with neighboring control FLEN- or RAM-

neurons. Scale bar = 20 µm. (**B**) Membrane potential response. Left panel: representative membrane

potential trace in response to incremental injected current in control cells (gray) and engram cells

(yellow for FLEN and green for RAM). Right panel: graphs of input resistance for comparison between

engram cells and their corresponding control cells. (**C**) Action potential firing pattern. Left panel: sample

traces of long (1 second) above-rheobase current step to analyze the pattern of action potential firing

current in control cells (gray) and engram cells (yellow and green). Right panel: average spike frequency

graphs. (**D**) Cumulative spike frequency curve over the 1 second current step shown in (C) showing a

similar distribution between FLEN+ and FLEN – neurons (top), and a more sustained firing of RAM+

compared to their RAM- neurons (bottom).

Figure 4. Analysis of EPSCs and IPSCs in CA3 engram neurons (**A**) Sample traces and cumulative

distributions of sEPSCs recorded from CA3 engram neurons (FLEN in yellow, RAM in green). Top panels:

comparison between FLEN[+] and FLEN^{−} neurons reports no difference in mean sEPSC amplitude

cumulative distribution but a higher event frequency in FLEN^{+} neurons, as seen in the inter-event

interval (IEI) cumulative distribution. Inset displays the comparison of the average frequency (Hz) of such

events. Bottom panels: RAM[+] vs RAM^{−} neurons show a similar pattern characterized by no change in

amplitude and increased frequency in RAM^{+} neurons. (**B**) Same analysis as in (A), for miniature EPSCs

(mEPSCs). The inset in the amplitude plot shows the fraction of mEPSCs exceeding 40 pA, indicative of

giant mossy fiber events. (**C**) As (A) and (B), for miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs), comparing frequency and

amplitude in FLEN+ vs FLEN- and RAM+ vs, RAM- neurons.

Figure 5. Properties of Mf-CA3 synapses in CA3 engram neurons (**A**) Schematic representation of Mf-

CA3 EPSCs and feedfoward inhibition recordings. (**B**) Left panel: representative traces showing EPSC

amplitude in FLEN- (gray) and FLEN+ (yellow) cells following low frequency stimulation (0.1 Hz) and

corresponding increase evoked with a higher stimulation frequency (1 Hz), due to a presynaptic form of

synaptic plasticity characteristics of Mf-CA3 synapses (frequency facilitation). Center panel: amplitude

plot for FLEN- and FLEN+ stimulated at 0.1 Hz and 1 Hz. Right panel: frequency facilitation ratio plot

shows no difference between FLEN- and FLEN+ neurons. (**C**) Left panel: representative traces showing

Mf-driven IPSCs (feedforward inhibition) and EPSCs (direct excitatory activity) recorded in individual

FLEN- (gray) and FLEN+ (yellow) neurons. Center panel: amplitude plot of EPSCs and IPSCs for FLEN- and

FLEN+ neurons. Right panel: graph showing excitation-to-inhibition ratio, comparing FLEN- and FLEN+

neurons.

Figure 1

A

Learning → Early engram → Late engram

Expression | Offset | Stable
Time

B

c-Fos ▶ ZsGreen1 — DR

mCherry   ZsGreen1

C

DIV 0   DIV 3   DIV 11
+ 4-AP, Bic   + TTX
Plating   Infection   Activation   1 h | 2 h | 3 h | 4.5 h | 6 h

D

% FLEN+

Pre-activ.   1   2   3   4.5   6
h post-activation

E

Hours after activation

Pre-activation   1   2   3   4.5   6

mCherry, ZsGreen1-DR, DAPI

Figure 2

E mCherry, ZsGreen1-DR, DAPI

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

B

C