Title: Cortical microtubules act as a template to organize nano-scale patterning of the SEC/MUNC protein KEULE and exocytosis

Authors: Jelmer J. Lindeboom[1,2]*, Ryan Gutierrez[1,3], Viktor Kirik[4] and David W. Ehrhardt[1,3]*

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Plant Biology, Carnegie Institution for Science; Stanford, CA 94305, USA.

[2]Current Address: Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Genomic Mechanism of Disease, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, MA 02142, USA.

[3]Department of Biology, Stanford University; Stanford, CA 94305, USA.

[4]School of Biological Sciences, Illinois State University; Normal, IL 61790, USA.

*Corresponding authors

Email: dehrhardt@carnegiescience.edu

jelmer@broadinstitute.org

Abstract

The microtubule cytoskeleton plays an important role in targeting and organizing exocytosis, enabling cellular morphogenesis, motility and polarized transport. However, in many cell types, the mechanisms by it does so are not well understood. Here, we identify the exocytotic protein KEULE, an essential SEC/MUNC, as a dynamic marker for exocytosis that forms clusters that are associated with and required for discrete exocytotic events in growing *Arabidopsis* cells. Live cell imaging and super-resolution image analysis revealed that KEULE clusters and exocytotic events are significantly enriched in ~180 nm wide linear domains that flank membrane-associated cortical microtubules, while being under-represented in adjacent domains ~520 nm wide. Thus, the cortical microtubule array acts as a highly organized and dynamic two-dimensional template that effectively redistributes and patterns exocytotic proteins and a significant portion of exocytotic traffic; a mechanism distinct from those previously described in which exocytotic targeting is achieved by vectorial motor-driven transport to destinations defined by microtubule plus ends. Microtubule arrays near or at the plasma membrane may play similar roles in organizing exocytosis in other cell types and organisms.

Main Text

Introduction

The ability to organize biomolecules at the cell cortex was a fundamental innovation in the evolution of cellular life, enabling essential biological functions including cellular morphogenesis, motility and polarized transport. Targeted exocytosis, non-random positioning and fusion of exocytotic vesicles at the plasma membrane, is a crucial mechanism for creating such cortical organization. Across phyla, the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons have been implicated in spatial organization of exocytosis. This functionality is exemplified in plant and fungal cells by cellular tip growth, and in animal cells by polarization of mammalian epithelia, cell migration and the positioning of focal adhesions[1,2]. The molecular polarity of microtubules plays an important role in how their function in exocytotic targeting is understood. For example, polarized arrays of microtubules created by nucleation from centralized organizing bodies - such as centrosomes or Golgi - can serve as directional tracks for motor-driven transport of exocytotic vesicles from where they are created in the cell interior to peripheral destinations at the plasma membrane[2,3]. More refined positioning of exocytosis is proposed to be accomplished by association of microtubule plus ends with specific locations at the plasma membrane, such as at or near focal adhesions [1]. Centrally polarized arrays of microtubules can also organize exocytosis through directed transport of factors that establish membrane domains that serve to target exocytotic traffic, such as that which drives tip growth in fission yeast[4]. However, many cells across diverse organisms feature interphase microtubule arrays that are not centrally organized and have architectures where microtubules are not clearly polarized with respect to the plasma membrane[5]. Acentrosomal arrays are required in important biological processes that require exocytosis, such as morphogenesis, but relatively little is understood about how they may function to target and pattern exocytotic traffic.

Gaining an understanding of exocytotic targeting mechanisms requires an ability to observe individual exocytotic events with respect to targeting machineries and a means to verify successful delivery of exocytotic cargo. Observing individual exocytosis events has been challenging in many cell models because microtubules, exocytotic vesicles and the machineries of exocytosis are often densely concentrated. Axially growing cells in the epidermis of higher plants have features that provide an excellent experimental platform to make such observations and for investigating how acentrosomal microtubule arrays may target and pattern exocytosis. In contrast to cells with microtubule arrays that are polarized with respect to the cell interior and the plasma membrane, these cells feature highly ordered arrays of microtubules that lie at the cell cortex in parallel to and in contact with the plasma membrane along their lattices. Large regions of the plasma membrane lie in a single focal plane, where the individual microtubules and microtubule bundles that constitute these arrays are easily visualized due to their quasi-2-dimensional nature and comparatively low density[6]. In previous studies, we found that cellulose synthase complexes (CSCs), large integral membrane protein complexes that synthesize and extrude cellulose into the cell wall, can be used to observe individual exocytotic events at the plasma membrane by allowing dynamic tracking of both exocytotic vesicles and the successful delivery of cargo to the plasma membrane[7–9]. By tracking CSCs while simultaneously imaging dynamic cortical arrays, we discovered that CSCs were preferentially delivered to the plasma membrane at locations defined by microtubules. This targeting did not involve vesicular transport along microtubules, nor did it involve defining exocytotic domains by end-on association of microtubule ends with the plasma membrane[10], thus suggesting a previously

undescribed mechanism for microtubule-dependent exocytotic targeting. However, CSC's
themselves have been shown to interact with microtubules via a specific protein (CSI1), a
mechanism that can also tether CSC-containing vesicles and organelles to microtubules[11–14].
Thus, it was not clear if this exocytotic targeting mechanism may be widely employed or if it is
unique to delivery of CSCs to the plasma membrane.

Microtubule targeting of exocytosis is of special interest in the context of plant cell
growth because ordered cortical microtubule arrays are essential to create functional cell shape.
Depolymerization of microtubules by the drug oryzalin causes isotropic growth and a complete
loss of genetically determined cell shape. The predominant hypothesis for the function of cortical
microtubules in directing cell growth is that they guide the trajectories of CSCs as they
synthesize and extrude cellulose microfibrils into the cell wall, thus creating material and
mechanical anisotropy to direct expansion of the cell wall under tension by high in turgor. The
hypothesis that cortical microtubules guide the trajectories of CSCs was microtubules was
confirmed by live cell imaging studies[15]. However, disruption of both microtubule guidance of
CSCs and delivery of CSCs to the plasma membrane in *csi1* null mutants causes only a modest
loss of cell growth anisotropy[11,12,14]. In addition, although complete loss of growth anisotropy is
caused by microtubule depolymerization, this phenotype is not due to a loss of CSC delivery[10]
nor a lack of ordering in CSC trajectories[16–18], and oryzalin treated cells continue to grow at near
normal rates[19]. These observations suggest that cortical microtubules arrays have additional
important functions in directing cell shape, prompting us to ask if cortical microtubules may
guide cellular morphogenesis by acting as a general targeting mechanism, beyond CSC's, to
pattern exocytosis during cell growth.

To determine if exocytosis in growing plant cells is broadly patterned by cortical
microtubule targeting, we require a dynamic biological marker that identifies single exocytotic
events and is involved in a wide range of exocytotic traffic to the plasma membrane. To date,
such a marker has not been identified. Imaging studies of core exocytotic proteins, including
soluble *N*-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors (SNARE proteins)[20–22]
and components of the exocyst complex[23,24], have not revealed a clear relationship between the
presence and dynamics of these proteins with verified exocytosis events nor have they shown
association of these proteins with microtubules[24].

SM proteins are essential regulators of SNARE-mediated vesicle fusion. In exocytosis,
SNARE proteins anchored to the vesicle interact with those on the plasma membrane and form a
membrane bridging complex with other partners that enables membrane fusion[25–27]. The soluble
SM proteins are known to bind to SNAREs, and evidence from genetic studies together with *in*
vivo and *in vitro* experiments suggest they function in vesicle docking, SNARE complex
assembly and vesicle fusion [28–33]. In plants, the *Arabidopsis* Sec1 homolog KEULE was
identified in a genetic screen for embryonic pattern mutants[34], where loss of function mutations
are lethal, causing bloated and multinucleate cells[35]. Consistent with other SM proteins, KEULE
interacts genetically and biochemically with Qa-SNAREs, specifically KNOLLE (SYP111) and
SYP121, and has been shown to play essential roles in cell plate assembly during cytokinesis and
exocytotic traffic to the plasma membrane[20,36–40]. The demonstrated function of KEULE in
exocytosis, combined with its severe loss of function phenotype due to lack of exocytosis
suggested that KEULE might serve as an excellent candidate for a generalized reporter of
exocytosis.

The molecular and structural mechanisms by which SM proteins interact with and
regulate SNARE complex assembly have investigated intensively by genetic, biochemical*, in*
vitro and structural studies[28–33], and SM proteins have been imaged in live cells in several
systems[41–48]. However, important features of their behaviors and biological function in living
cells remain to be uncovered. These questions include the fine scale cellular distributions of SM
proteins and clusters, the association of SM clusters with exocytosis events, the relationship of
these exocytosis events to potential organizing machineries and the dynamics of SM assembly
and loss at exocytosis sites, especially prior to and during vesicle fusion.

Here, we develop and apply automated and high precision analysis methods to detect and
track tens of thousands of individual fluorescently-tagged KEULE clusters to investigate their
dynamics and to map their positions at the plasma membrane in living cells. We evaluate these
clusters as exocytotic events by use of CSCs as a validated cargo and employ genetic analysis to
test for the function of KEULE in CSC delivery. Finally, we employ high precision mapping of
KEULE clusters and microtubules, combined with Bayesian methods, to quantitatively
investigate the cortical microtubule cytoskeleton as a template in patterning exocytosis.

Results

Functional dynamics of tdTomato-KEULE cluster formation

To visualize KEULE protein *in vivo*, we created a tdTomato-KEULE fluorescent reporter
constructed from the chromosomal gene with native upstream regulatory sequence and
introduced it into *Arabidopsis* plants heterozygous for a *keule* loss of function allele. To obtain
plants for study of KEULE localization and dynamics, we screened for self-fertilized progeny of
these transgenic plants that exhibited rescue of *keule* mutant phenotype by the tagged KEULE
protein. These plants exhibited full rescue of plant growth and development through the 5-day
seedling stage used for our further studies (Fig. S1, Methods).

In dark-grown *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl cells expressing the tdTomato-KEULE construct,
we observed KEULE signal appear as densely distributed and temporally dynamic puncta at the
plasma membrane (Fig. 1A). The dynamics of signal increase and decay were apparent in time-
lapse movies (Movie S1), kymographs of signal intensity over time along transect lines (Fig. 1B)
and in sequential image samples of individual puncta (Fig. 1C). In all, a steady increase in signal
intensity was observed, followed by an abrupt decrease and disappearance of signal. This
behavior indicates the accumulation and loss of many fluorescently labeled KEULE proteins,
which we will refer to hereafter as clusters.

The dynamics of tdTomato-KEULE accumulation and disappearance are presumably
related to its function. Therefore, we reasoned that a quantitative and robust picture of KEULE
cluster dynamics would be useful in gaining insight into the underlying molecular mechanisms
of exocytosis. To accomplish this, we collected a large-scale and high-resolution dataset
comprising thousands of individual events. We acquired streamed images at 200 ms intervals and
performed automated detection and frame-to-frame linking of KEULE cluster signal using
µTrack[49]. This track information was then used to extract position and intensity information by
Maximum Likelihood Estimate 2D Gaussian fitting[50] (for details see Methods, Movie S2). To
define events of signal appearance, accumulation and loss, we used a minimum sample of 4
sequential acquisitions, which made our shortest measured lifetimes approximately 800 ms. The

lifetime distribution of tdTomato-KEULE clusters showed a large range, from this minimal event
length of 800 ms to over half a minute. The data followed a roughly exponential distribution with
a mean of 2.34 s and ~95% of lifetimes being less than 7 seconds long (Fig. 1D).

The large lifetime range of observed KEULE cluster events might represent a collection
of functional classes. If so, such classes may by differentiated by their kinetics. To assess the
kinetics KEULE signal accumulation and loss, and to ask if these kinetics might vary as a
function of cluster lifetime, we leveraged our large data set to calculate KEULE kinetics for each
200 ms lifetime increment. Fig. 1E shows average intensities for all observed clusters with
lifetimes of 4.2, 4.4 and 4.6 s. All these plots show a similar pattern: an initial steep rise in
intensity, followed by a steady increase, then a short plateau phase, and ending with a sharp
decrease. When we extended this analysis over a larger range of lifetimes, we observed evidence
that the shorter-lived events accumulated tdTomato-KEULE intensity at a slower rate, suggesting
they might be a functionally different class (Fig. 1F). At longer lifetimes, the plateau phase
became more extended, suggesting that these events may be paused or stalled (Fig. 1F). To
examine further these differences in accumulation kinetics as a function of cluster lifetime, we
grouped events into three classes; short (0.8 to 1.6s), medium (1.8 to 6.2s) and long (6.4+s) – and
then averaged the intensities at each 200 msec timepoint (Fig. 1G, for details see Methods). The
timepoints following the plateau phase were omitted to reveal better differences or similarities in
signal accumulation. This analysis confirmed that, indeed, the short events show significantly
slower signal accumulation (Fig. 1G). Interestingly, the medium and long tdTomato-KEULE
cluster lifetimes show nearly identical trends in signal intensity accumulation over time,
indicating that they might be part of the same functional population during KEULE
recruitment/assembly. It is noteworthy that for events up to ~5s, longer-lasting events reach a
higher maximum cluster signal intensity. A possible explanation for this phenomenon is that
larger vesicles require a larger area for membrane fusion and therefore more KEULE. Unlike the
medium class, the long class exhibited a prolonged plateau phase, possibly indicating stalled
exocytosis events or alternatively, an extended checkpoint for a class of exocytosis events
involving a large amount of KEULE, and therefore perhaps larger exocytotic vesicles.

193 Clusters of tdTomato-KEULE coincide with CSC delivery to the plasma membrane

To place SM protein accumulation in context of functional exocytosis, we took advantage
of our previous work on delivery of CSCs to the plasma membrane[7]. CSCs are assembled in the
Golgi system from multiple CESA catalytic subunits[51,52], move through the trans-Golgi network
(TGN) and are budded off into vesicles that fuse with the plasma membrane[7]. Because CSCs are
formed of multiple CESA subunits, they can be labeled by fluorescent proteins in sufficient
number to allow their detection and the tracking of individual complexes during the process of
exocytosis. Labeled vesicles display rapid and erratic dynamics that abruptly become stabilized
at the optical plane of the plasma membrane with a mean duration of about 60 seconds, followed
by a transition to slow, steady and typically linear motility of between 250 and 450 nm/min. The
transition erratic to static movement is interpreted as evidence for vesicular capture and tethering
at the plasma membrane, and the transition to slow and steady movement indicates successful
vesicle fusion and delivery of CSCs, which are propelled by the synthesis and extrusion of
cellulose microfibrils[15,17]. This stereotyped behavior makes CSCs an excellent reporter for
successful exocytosis, revealing a time and place for vesicle tethering, and distinguishing vesicle
tethering at the plasma membrane from successful vesicle fusion and cargo delivery.

To visualize KEULE together with CSCs, we generated plants that express tdTomato-
KEULE and CIT-CESA6, a catalytic CSC subunit labeled with Citrine fluorescent protein[53] in a
keule/cesa6 double mutant background (Methods). CIT-CESA6 signal is relatively dense in the
plasma membrane of rapidly growing cells so we photobleached the CIT-CESA6 signal over a
region of the plasma membrane to aid detection of new CSC arrivals and their relation to td-
Tomato-KEULE signal (Fig. 2A, Movie S3). For each CSC arrival at the optical plane of the
plasma membrane, we determined the first frame in which the CESA6 signal became stationary
(an indication of interaction and tethering at the plasma membrane). We then identified the
nearest KEULE cluster in a range of -10 to 50 seconds of the first stable CESA6 frame and
determined the distance between the centroid of the CESA6 signal and the centroid of the nearest
KEULE signal by maximum likelihood 2D Gaussian fitting (See Methods). A search range
starting at -10 seconds was chosen because the exact frame of stationary transitions was in some
cases obscured by signal from clusters of other labeled organelles such as Golgi bodies and
TGN. If KEULE is involved in the exocytotic delivery of CSCs to the plasma membrane, we
expect to observe co-localization between CSC delivery events and KEULE clusters. However,
CSC deliveries, as defined by static tracks, are relatively sparse and long lived as compared to
KEULE cluster events, so some degree of colocalization in space and time is expected by chance
alone. To assess statistically the spatial and temporal association of CSC delivery events and
KEULE clusters, we generated a simulated dataset of random locations within the
photobleaching areas and identified the nearest KEULE spot to the random spots within the same
time window we used for our experimental observations. We then compared the distributions of
distances to the nearest KEULE cluster between the observed CSC deliveries and the simulated
spots (Fig. 2B and D) and found that the experimental data were strongly skewed to shorter
distances ($p < 1E-06$, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). The pattern of over- and under-representation
of observed with respect to simulated data is more easily seen in a plot of the bin-by bin
differences where shorter KEULE-microtubule distances are seen to be over-represented in bins
below 200 nm and underrepresented in bins of longer values (Fig. 2C). Using a Bayesian method
(See Methods), we found that the most likely values of the experimental biases from the null
hypothesis of a random association were significantly above that expected by chance alone for
distance bins below 120 nm (Fig 2E and F) and significantly lower than expected in bins of
longer distances from 200 to 480 nm. Based on these data we defined colocalization as observing
a KEULE cluster centroid within 200 nm from the position of CSC signal in the first frame in
which it was observed to stabilize (and in a time window of -10 to 50 seconds around that
frame). By these criteria, we found colocalization in 81% (157 out of 194) of observed delivery
events compared to 29% (13035 out of 45051) of random locations at the plasma membrane ($p <$
$1.4E-50$, Fisher's exact test).

We considered that our automated experimental protocol may allow for underestimation
of colocalization between CSC delivery and KEULE. For example, the time interval used for
these experiments is 2.11 s, of which 400 ms is used for tdTomato-KEULE excitation and image
acquisition. Since a substantial portion of KEULE cluster lifetimes is shorter than the ~1.7 s gaps
between image acquisitions, we do not expect to detect all KEULE clusters within the -10 to 50
second experimental window. In addition, not all excited KEULE foci are successfully identified
by the spot detection and filtering routines used for large-scale data collection. To understand
how these two cases, no excitation and no detection, affect our colocalization results, we
performed a hand-curated analysis using a custom MATLAB GUI. For each CSC delivery, we
examined whether there was overlap of KEULE signal with the centroid of the CSC signal in the

first frame of positionally stable KEULE signal (tethering frame). We found that in 92% (159 out
of 172) of the cases, KEULE signal was observed to overlap the CSC position in the tethering
frame within -10 to 50 s of CSC stabilization, suggesting that our automated analysis, while free
of possible observer bias, may underestimate the true degree of colocalization frequency of CSC
exocytosis events and KEULE.

If KEULE clusters function in CSC exocytosis after vesicle tethering, we expect that not
only should they colocalize with CSC signal, but that the time intervals between vesicle tethering
and the recruitment of KEULE should have a non-random distribution. We tested this prediction
by comparing the delay times for arrival of the nearest KEULE spot following the tethering
frame in the observed vs the simulated KEULE data sets. The observed delay time distribution
was significantly different from the random distribution of the simulated data ($p < 7.8E-04$
Mann-Whitney U test) (Fig. 3B), having a peaked distribution with a median of 2.111 s and a
mean of 7.92 s, suggesting that KEULE is recruited and functions within a several seconds after
the CSC vesicle is stabilized and tethered at the plasma membrane. These data now provide
insight into the kinetics of SM protein recruitment to the site of exocytosis and the time of
vesicle fusion following exocytotic vesicle stabilization and tethering at the plant cell plasma
membrane.

The durations of KEULE clusters associated with CES deliveries had a distribution
similar in character to that observed For KEULE clusters in general (Fig. 3B, compare to
Fig.1D), with both appearing roughly exponential but long tailed. While lifetimes of clusters
associated with CESA deliveries spanned a similar range of durations, those associated with
CESA delivery were observed to have a significant bias towards longer values, with clusters
associated with CESA having a median duration of 4.3 seconds, as compared to 2.3 seconds for
clusters in general. To test the significant of this observed bias, we compared the relative
frequencies of durations longer than two seconds and found a highly significant difference - 59%
vs 37% for CESA delivery-associated KEULE clusters events vs clusters in general (Fig. 3C,
$p=6.07E-09$ Fisher's exact test). These results indicate that both short-lived and long-lived
KEULE clusters are associated with verified exocytosis events and that those associated with
CESA complexes are modestly biased towards longer lifetimes.

Our data show that KEULE clusters are associated with confirmed sites of CSC
exocytosis in both space and time, but is KEULE function required for CSC exocytosis? To
answer this question, we quantified CSC delivery in selfed progeny of parental plants
homozygous for a transgene expressing CIT-CESA6, heterozygous for a *tdTomato-KEULE*
allele, and homozygous for *cesa6* and *keule* loss of function alleles (See Methods). Seedlings
tested for loss of KEULE function were identified by morphological *keule* phenotype (severely
reduced in size, large and misshaped cells) and little to no tdTomato-KEULE signal. In these
mutant seedlings, we found that the CSC delivery rate was reduced by over 17-fold as compared
to that in wildtype ($p<0.0001$, Wilcoxon two sample test, Fig. 2G). Taken together our results
indicate that KEULE plays an essential role in plasma membrane delivery of primary CSCs, and
that CSC delivery is spatially and temporally associated with KEULE clusters which display
stereotyped dynamics of formation and loss.

KEULE dynamics are sensitive to drugs and treatments that reduce exocytosis

We previously reported that the number of active CSCs in the plasma membrane were
rapidly and significantly reduced by treatment with mannitol (osmotic stress) and the herbicide

isoxaben[7]. Measurements of CSC delivery revealed that mannitol treatment also caused a 100-
fold reduction in the delivery rate of CSC's, indicating that CSC loss at the plasma membrane
was likely caused primarily by inhibition of exocytosis. At what step or steps of the secretory
pathway this inhibition of exocytosis by osmotic stress is imposed is not known. Isoxaben has
been characterized as a specific cellulose synthase complex inhibitor[54], but its mode of action is
not well understood. Here we (1) determine if isoxaben treatment also reduces CSC exocytosis
and (2) ask if analysis of KEULE dynamics may provide insight into how osmotic stress and
isoxaben act to inhibit exocytosis.

To address the above questions, we treated seedlings expressing tdTomato-KEULE and
Cit-CESA6 with either 200 mM mannitol, 100nM isoxaben or carrier only (0.01% DMSO,
mock) and assayed CSC delivery to the plasma membrane as described above. We found that,
like osmotic stress caused by mannitol, isoxaben is also a potent inhibitor of CSC exocytosis,
reducing CSC delivery rate by ~70 fold (Fig. S2). The overall frequencies of KEULE cluster
formation after both mannitol and isoxaben treatment were not significantly lower than that
observed for mock treatment, indicating that neither acts to absolutely block KEULE recruitment
and that any differences in initial cluster formation are not detected over the cluster population as
a whole (Fig. S3A). However, when comparing accumulation dynamics we observed striking
differences among treatments (Fig. S3B, C). In cells treated with mannitol, both short and
intermediate duration events (0.8-1.6s and 1.8-6.2s, respectively) accumulated tdTomato-
KEULE signal more slowly and to lower final levels on average than observed in mock treated
cells (Fig. S3B). Intriguingly, the dynamics of long duration clusters (6.4 s or longer) exhibited a
markedly different pattern. In both mock and isoxaben treated cells, KEULE signal trajectories
tracked each other closely for the first 5 s or so, after which signal plateaued in mock treated
cells. However, in mannitol treated cells signal did not plateau, but continued to increase steadily
until the transition to rapid signal loss (Fig. S3B). These data suggest that there may be two
different mechanisms by which mannitol treatment and osmotic stress affects KEULE dynamics,
depending on their duration class: one mechanism that acts negatively and from cluster inception
that reduces the rate of KEULE accumulation, and one which acts at a later stage that prevents
transition to the normally occurring plateau stage. By contrast, we observed that isoxaben
treatment slowed accumulation of tdTomato-KEULE across events of all durations (Fig. S3C).

We observed related differences among treatments in KEULE cluster lifetimes. In
comparing the distributions of cluster lifetimes in mock and mannitol treated cells, a bimodal
effect was again observed, with both shorter and longer lifetimes being over-represented in
comparison with mock treatment (and intermediate events being under-represented) (Fig S4A, B,
D). As was the case with intensity dynamics, isoxoben treatment showed more of a unimodal
effect, with the lifetime distribution being shifted to the left (Fig. S4B, C, E). Mannitol and
isoxaben also caused diverging effects on persistence of KEULE clusters, as assessed by survival
analysis (Fig. S4F, G). Taken together, our data show that KEULE cluster dynamics are sensitive
to perturbations that affect exocytosis and reveal evidence that mannitol and isoxoben treatments
affect these dynamics in distinct ways, suggesting they may perturb exocytosis by different
mechanisms.

Cortical microtubules pattern KEULE cluster distribution and exocytosis

Having established dynamic KUELE clusters as markers of exocytotic events, we noted
that the flux of KEULE cluster formation, 144 events per μm^2 per h (Fig. S3A), is ~85-fold
higher than that of CSC delivery (1.59 evens per $\mu m2$ per h, Fig. 2G). We therefore utilized
dynamic imaging of KEULE and microtubules together to ask if cortical microtubules pattern the
distribution of KEULE protein and to determine if targeting of exocytosis is specific for CSCs or
if it is a broad and fundamental function for the cortical microtubule cytoskeleton in growing
plant cells. Further, we took advantage of the ability to detect thousands of KEULE clusters to
map the spatial range of influence of microtubule targeting with super-resolved precision.

To visualize KEULE cluster events together with cortical microtubules, we performed
live cell imaging at 1 second intervals in hypocotyl cells of *Arabidopsis* seedlings expressing
GFP-TUA5 and tdTomato-KEULE in a *keule* knock-out background (Fig. 4A, Movie S4). We
tracked individual KEULE events at the plasma membrane and used MLEwG fitting to extract
precise positional information (Fig. 4B, Methods), after which we identified the frame with peak
signal intensity as the reference point for each detected KEULE cluster position (Fig. 4C panels
1-3). We then measured signal intensity in the microtubule channel along a series of scan lines
that were rotated at 9° intervals around the centroid of the KEULE cluster (Methods and Fig. 4C
panel 4). On each of these scan lines, we detected all microtubule signal peaks and fit them with
a modified quasi-1-dimensional MLEwG fit (Methods, Fig. 4D). Since the microtubule signal is
best represented as a quasi-1-dimenional Gaussian orthogonal to the microtubule axis, we
determined the orientation of the nearest microtubule as identified by peak analysis and used the
scan line most orthogonal to the microtubule angle for determining the location of the
microtubule central axis closest to the KEULE spot in question (Fig. 4C, panel 5). For example,
the intensity of KEULE signal in photoelectrons for the most orthogonal scan line in Fig. 4C
panel 5 is shown in Fig. 4D panel 1, the triple Gaussian MLEw1dG fit in panel 2, and the
decomposed individual peak fits in panel 3. We used the fit positional coordinates of the nearest
microtubule signal peak and the KEULE cluster to calculate the distance and the photoelectron
fit values to estimate the standard error of the measurement (See Methods and Fig. 4C panel 6).
We repeated this process for all detected KEULE tracks in each movie to assess the spatial
relationship between KEULE clusters and the nearest microtubules to those clusters (Fig. 4E,
panel 1).

To ask if the measured distribution of KEULE to microtubule distances differs from that
expected if KEULE cluster positions are independent of microtubule locations (the null
hypothesis), we first needed to determine what the expected distributions are under the null
hypothesis when our detection and analysis routines are applied to the image datasets we used
for our experimental observations. For example, microtubule array structure, which varies within
and among cells, will have a strong influence on this distribution, as will the dimensions of our
detection kernels. Therefore, we generated a simulated set of random locations within each
region of interest across all image frames in the movies and calculated the distances from these
synthetic KEULE foci to the nearest microtubule (Fig. 4E, panel 2). When the experimental and
expected distributions were plotted together as relative frequencies, they showed evidence for
distinct differences (Fig. 5A). The spatial pattern of under- and over-representation is more
easily visualized in a plot of the differences between observed and expected frequencies (Fig.
5B). To quantify over- or under-representation of the experimental data given the expected data
under the null hypotheses, we used a Bayesian method to determine the most likely positive or
negative bias at each distance bin (Fig. 5C and D and Methods). We found that at KEULE-
microtubule distances smaller than 180 nm, the maximum likelihood bias was significantly

positive (more frequent than expected) and between 180 and 700 nm this bias was significantly negative (less frequent than expected). At distances > 700 nm we again found a positive, but smaller, bias (Fig. 5D).

When examining patterns of KEULE foci, we also observed that sites of previous exocytosis events were 3.4 times more likely to show consecutive exocytosis events within 60 seconds than random sites at the plasma membrane (Fig. S5A-E,G). Such "hot spots" were also significantly over-represented within 180 nm of microtubules, but only modestly so (1.25 times more likely) (Fig. S5F,G).

Taken together, our results reveal that microtubules spatially organize exocytosis machinery by increasing the frequency of KEULE cluster formation within about 180 nm of the center of the microtubules, a distance about 7 times the dimension of a single microtubule, while at the same time decreasing the probability of KEULE cluster formation distally over a region that is about 3 times as wide. This acts effectively as a concentrating mechanism for KEULE cluster events that is patterned by cortical microtubule organization. As these KEULE clusters overlap heavily in their dynamic characteristics with those observed associated with validated exocytosis events of CSCs, this is strong evidence that microtubule organization of exocytosis is not confined to guiding insertion of CSCs but is a more generalized feature of exocytosis in growing plant cells.

Discussion

To assess imaging of SM/KEULE clusters as a general marker for exocytosis it was important to answer two questions; if there are different types of signal foci that may represent multiple types of cellular structures or functional events, and what features of signal dynamics are associated with exocytotic events. For example, some exocytotic proteins, including V-SNARES and constituents of the exocyst, have been shown to arrive at the site of exocytosis on exocytotic vesicles themselves, while others reside in the cytosol or associate with the plasma membrane and are recruited to the exocytosis site. While we found some evidence for KEULE signal on cytosolic organelles, these foci were relatively rare and were easily identified by their positional dynamics. Further, in experiments using labeled CSCs as a trackable exocytotic cargo, no KEULE signal was detected arriving at validated exocytosis sites on CSC-containing vesicles, indicating that KEULE clusters at the plasma membrane form by recruitment of KEULE to sites of exocytosis after arrival and interaction of cargo-carrying organelles with the plasma membrane. KEULE assembly dynamics of clusters associated with CSC delivery had similar characteristics as the KEULE population in general, including a wide range of cluster lifetimes from a couple of seconds to half a minute and stereotyped dynamics of signal accumulation and loss. The demonstrated functions of SM proteins in mediating SNARE complex formation and membrane fusion, together with their stereotyped dynamics and tight association with CSC delivery, indicate that dynamic KEULE clusters are valuable markers of individual exocytotic events.

Our measurements of thousands of KEULE clusters at the plasma allowed us to analyze patterns of signal accumulation and loss as a function of varying cluster duration - revealing features of, and raising new questions about, the underlying mechanisms of exocytotic machinery assembly, maturation and resolution. Over all durations, KEULE recruitment features an initial a phase of rapid accumulation, followed by continued recruitment at a slower rate. This pattern suggests two phases of recruitment with different kinetics. The second rising phase is

near linear, indicating a near constant rate of addition over time, characteristic of mechanism
such as polymerization, rather than recruitment of SM proteins to a fixed number of pre-existing
binding sites. Such a kinetic might be consistent with the sequential addition of SM-bound
SNARE-PINs to a growing chain of laterally-interacting SNARE-PINs at the vesicle-plasma
membrane interface[55–57]. This accumulation phase ends and transitions to a plateau, after which
signal abruptly decays to non-detectible levels. Both the final signal level and the length of the
pause state correlate positively with cluster assembly time, with longer assembly times having
higher signal levels and longer pause durations. Over the population of observed events, global
peak signal is reached at durations of about 6 seconds, after which longer events involve no
further signal accumulation but feature only lengthened plateau times. The plateau phase could
represent a state where all the SNARE complexes required have been recruited to the fusion site
and the components of the fusion super complex are transitioning to a functionally competent
state prior to the enactment of membrane fusion. The plateau could also reflect an assembly
checkpoint. Previous *in vitro* studies have found that greater numbers of SNARE proteins are
recruited to interfaces of large vesicles and planar membranes[58,59]. It is possible, therefore, that
our measurements of KEULE dynamics are shaped in part by a distribution of vesicle sizes, with
longer assembly phases that build a brighter SM signal representing assembly of exocytotic
machinery at larger vesicles.

We found that KEULE dynamics were changed when we disrupted exocytosis with
osmotic stress by treatment with mannitol, or by application of a drug, isoxaben. The changes in
dynamics were qualitatively different for the two antagonists, indicating that they likely act on
different processes affecting exocytosis. These observations indicate that KEULE dynamics,
which indicate functional aspects of vesicle fusion, are a sensitive and useful readout, or marker,
for studying exocytosis and agents that disrupt or alter exocytosis.

High resolution mapping and Bayesian statistical analysis of individual exocytosis events
marked by KEULE clusters revealed that these events are not randomly distributed in growing
hypocotyl cells but are significantly over-represented in domains defined by the cortical
microtubule array. This patterning of exocytosis differs from previously described mechanisms
for exocytosis targeting by microtubules. In the latter, the positioning of microtubule plus ends
define the locations for motor-transported delivery of either the exocytotic vesicles themselves or
proteins that act in defining exocytotic domains. By contrast, our data indicate that every part of
microtubule is associated with exocytosis targeting, making the entire cortical array a dynamic
and steerable template for exocytotic patterning and organization of the cell cortex (Fig, 6A).

Our high precision measurements of both microtubule and KEULE cluster locations
revealed that microtubules pattern exocytosis both positively and negatively and do so over
spatial domains much larger than the scale of microtubules and microtubule bundles. Single
microtubules and bundles of two polymers are ~25 nm and ~75 nm wide at the plant cell cortex,
respectively[60], whereas the combined width of over-represented and under-represented domains
was measured to be ~700 nm. The mean spacing between ordered microtubules and
microtubules bundles in elongating hypocotyl cells is about twice this distance[61], indicating that
microtubules influence exocytosis over most of the observed plasma membrane these cells (Fig.
6B). In addition, the combination of narrower elevated domains coupled with wider depleted
domains of elevated exocytosis frequency suggests a concentrating effect that further enhances
the relative difference in exocytosis adjacent to microtubules as compared to distances several
hundred nanometers away.

One mechanism that could explain the spatial scales of these microtubule-associated
domains is direct interaction of exocytotic vesicles with cortical microtubules, such as we
previously observed for vesicles containing CSC's[7,62]. Microtubule-tethered vesicles might
capture local KEULE associated with the plasma membrane, perhaps as bound to SNARE
partners such as SYP121[36], depleting KEULE concentration in the nearby plasma membrane and
making exocytosis there less likely, thus creating the observed zones of reduced exocytosis (Fig.
6B). Alternatively, upstream exocytotic machinery, such as plasma-membrane associated
components of the exocyst complex, might be recruited by a microtubule-organized membrane
domain, elevating location concentration while causing depletion and thus vesicle capture at a
distance (Fig. 6B). Evidence that such microtubule-organized membrane domains might exist
has been suggested by observations of the plasma membrane associated actin polymerase
Formin1, which reveals narrow "dark" linear domains defined by cortical microtubules where
fluorescently-tagged Formin1 is excluded[63].

The observed flux of KEULE clusters per unit area and time was about 90-fold higher
than that measured for CSC delivery, therefore far more exocytosis events are associated with
domains defined by microtubules than can be accounted for by CSC delivery alone, implying
either that many or most exocytosis events in these cells are influenced by microtubules to some
degree, or that a quantitatively significant subpopulation of specialized traffic is redistributed,
~13% of KEULE associated events as measured by our analysis. One possibility for specialized
exocytotic traffic targeted by cortical microtubules are cargoes involved in cell wall biosynthesis
and cell wall expansion. Supporting this idea is that KEULE has been shown to be an essential
partner of the Qa-SNARE SYP121, which is implicated by a growing body of evidence in
mediating exocytosis required for cell growth and expansion[21,36,37,64,65]. Cellulose is synthesized
at the plasma membrane, while many other structural oligosaccharides, such as pectins[66], and
wall-active proteins, such as expansins[67], are secreted. Targeting this exocytotic traffic by
cortical microtubule arrays to the same locations where newly synthesized cellulose microfibrils
are also being laid down could help coordinate the assembly of these diverse components to
build a functional cell wall. In addition to coordination of wall assembly, it is possible that the
patterned delivery of cell wall oligosaccharides and cell wall active proteins could itself act to
support the anisotropic expansion of cell walls required to create specific cell shape. Targeting
the delivery of proteins and other molecules that promote wall remodeling by ordered
microtubule arrays could create linear and parallel microdomains of increased wall extendibility
that lie perpendicular to the main axis of the cell growth - shaping the anisotropy of cell wall
expansion in the same direction as proposed in prevailing models for constraint of expansion by
cellulose microfibrils organized by microtubules[68]. As discussed in the introduction of this
manuscript, an important reason to consider alternative functions for cortical microtubules arrays
in guiding cell wall expansion and cell shape is that experimental evidence suggests that
guidance of cellulose deposition and CSC delivery alone is not sufficient to explain the
anisotropy of cell wall expansion and cell growth. Microtubule targeting of cell wall-active
factors by exocytosis could also help explain redirection of cell growth in response to signals,
such as blue-light stimulation of phototrophic growth. Perception of blue light by Phototropin
receptors causes a rapid 90° reorientation of cortical microtubule arrays[69], but the following
redirection of expansion cannot be easily explained by directed cellulose deposition model since
previously synthesized cellulose is deposited perpendicular to the direction of expansion.
Microtubule patterning of cell wall-active factors might allow for redirection of cell expansion
regardless of cellulose orientation.

The insights we gained into patterning of exocytosis by cortical microtubule arrays were enabled by utilizing an experimental platform and methods that made detecting, measuring and assessing many thousands of discreet molecular events possible. A protein implicated in mediating a broad swath of a cell's exocytotic traffic might be expected to be subject to a range of targeting mechanisms, including no targeting at all. Such a background could easily obscure a meaningful association between the protein and an hypothesized targeting machinery. Very large sample sizes and appropriate methods to test for meaningful associations, such as we employed here, can robustly reveal such relationships.

The fact that growing plant cells have a planar microtubule array that is associated with the plasma membrane, and that exocytotic events as marked by KEULE protein are of relatively low density, has provided an excellent platform to study how microtubules pattern exocytosis, and do so at the level of individual exocytotic events. When we consider cellular microtubule function in cellular trafficking, we typically think of directed transport of cargos by kinesins and dynein. In contrast, this work highlights that microtubules can also function as scaffolds for organizing exocytosis. This scaffolding function for microtubules might be considered in other systems where there is indirect evidence that microtubules regulate exocytosis where they interact with the plasma membrane, such as in focal adhesions and neuronal growth cones[1,70,71]. In addition, scaffolded guidance of exocytosis should also be considered in the context of disease. For example, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) risk is associated with genetic variants which reduce levels of functional UNC13A[72,73], and with genetic variation within several microtubule associated proteins[72–75]. It is possible that these proteins all converge on the same biological process of microtubule dependent patterning of exocytosis.

Materials and Methods

Generation of transgenic lines

The *pKEULE-tdTomato-KEULE* construct was generated by modifying the Gateway-compatible vector pMDC43[76]. A 2049-bp region immediately upstream of the start codon of *KEULE* (At1g12360) was amplified with primers CGTTTAAACTACAGTTCCATTGGAGCAAC and TAGGTACCTGCGATCTAACAATTT CAGACTTC. The tdTomato gene was amplified from a donor vector[77] with primers CAGGTACCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGGT and TGGCGCGCCCTT GTACAGCTCCTCCATGCCGT. The 35S promoter of pMDC43 was exchanged with the *KEULE* upstream regulatory region via PmeI and Acc65I sites, and GFP in pMDC43 was exchanged with *tdTomato* via KpnI and AscI sites. The full-length *KEULE* gene was amplified from genomic DNA using primers GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAG GCTTGACCATGTCGTACTCTGACTCC and GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGG TTTATTTGGAGATCGTCTA and then inserted into the modified pMDC43 vector using Gateway cloning technology (Invitrogen) as described previously[78]. The construct was introduced into plants heterozygous for the keulemm125 deletion mutation[79] via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation[80,81]. T2 plants were genotyped for the *KEULE* locus using PCR: primers CGACCTTATCAGAGAGCAAGG and Keu-as0 (ACAGGGATTTGATTTGAGATCTGG) amplified a 1661-bp fragment from the wild-type allele and a 1505-bp allele from the deletion allele. Additionally, the primer pair GTCTGTTAACGGCTGCAGAA and Keu-as0 was used to amplify a fragment (1103 bp) only present in the wild-type allele. A T2 plant homozygous for the deletion allele and hemizygous for the *pKEULE-tdTomato-KEULE* transgene was isolated. A doubly homozygous line was subsequently isolated in the T3 generation and used for experiments.

To generate double-labeled plants expressing markers for KEULE protein and cellulose synthase, homozygous *pKEULE-tdTomato-KEULE/ keulemm125* plants (ecotype Landsberg) were crossed with homozygous *pCESA6-Citrine-CESA6/cesa6prc1-1* plants (ecotype Columbia[53]. An F2 line was selected that was homozygous for both mutations and hemizygous for both markers. In the F3 generation, a quadruply homozygous plant was isolated together with a plant homozygous for both mutations, homozygous for *pCESA6-Citrine-CESA6* and hemizygous for *pKEULE-tdTomato-KEULE*. Seed from the latter plant thus show segregation for the *keule* mutant phenotype.

To generate double-labeled plants expressing markers for KEULE protein and microtubules, *p35S-EGFP-TUA5* was introduced into *pKEULE-tdTomato-KEULE/keulemm125* plants via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. T2 seedlings expressing the microtubule label were used for experiments.

Growth

Seedlings were grown in the dark on vertical agar plates as described in Lindeboom et al.[69]. For hypocotyl elongation assays, seedlings were grown for 5 days. For live cell imaging experiments, seedlings were imaged 3 days after germination.

Drug treatments

Oryzalin, taxol and isoxaben were dissolved in DMSO for 100x stock solutions. Oryzalin was used at a final concentration of 20 µM, taxol (Sigma) at 20 µM and isoxaben (Sigma) at 100 nM in plant growth media (Hoagland's No. 2). Mannitol was dissolved in plant growth media

directly at a concentration of 200 mM. For control treatment (mock), DMSO was added to the
growth media to a final concentration of 0.01%. Incubation of the seedlings was performed in 6-
well plates (WVR, nontreated) with 2 mL of plant growth media in each well. The plates were
wrapped in aluminum foil to block light and incubated at 22 °C. The drug treatment durations
were 16 hours for oryzalin, and 2 hours for all other treatments. Likewise, the mock treatments
were 16 hours for comparison to oryzalin, and 2 hours for comparison to the remaining
treatments.
598
599   Confocal microscopy
600   Imaging was performed on an instrument featuring a CSU-X1 spinning disk head
(Yokogawa Electric), a DMI6000 B inverted microscope (Leica), a 100X/1.4 NA oil immersion
objective (Leica), an Evolve 512 EMCCD camera (Photometrics) and a 1.2X telescope between
the spinning disk unit and camera. EGFP/CITRINE and tdTomato were excited at 488 nm and
561 nm respectively, by solid-state lasers (Coherent Cube). Switching, shuttering and intensity of
these lasers was performed by direct electronic control. Emission filtering was accomplished
with bandpass filters, (525/50 nm for EGFP and CITRINE and 636/37 nm for tdTomato
(SemRock), together with a 405/488/568 nm dichroic filter (SemRock). Exposure times were
400 ms for tdTomato-KEULE, 200 ms for EGFP-TUA5 and 800 ms for Citrine-CESA6. Images
were acquired at 1 second intervals in experiments in which both tdTomato-KEULE and EGFP-
TUA5 were imaged and 2 second intervals in experiments featuring tdTomato-KEULE together
with Citrine-CESA6. All light energies were approximately 4 mW as measured at the end of the
optical fiber entering the confocal head. For high-speed acquisitions of tdTomato-KEULE,
image streaming was performed at an acquisition rate of 5 Hz (200 ms exposures). The focal
plane in all experiments was stabilized by hardware Adaptive Focus Control (Leica) to mitigate
changes in specimen intensity over time caused by thermal and mechanical drift in focus.
616   Photobleaching was performed by a Vector laser scanning unit (Intelligent Imaging
Innovations) integrated into the setup above at the right camera port. Focused laser light was
pulsed and scanned (pulse duration = 10 ms, raster block size = 20 units) on the image plane by a
pair of galvanometer-driven mirrors inside the Vector unit. Scanner positions were calibrated to
image coordinates using an automated procedure in Slidebook software (Intelligent Imaging
Innovations). Citrine photobleaching was performed using the 488 nm laser at the same power as
used for imaging but focused on the image plane. Areas of ~200 μm^2 were bleached in 20-30 s.
623   All imaging was performed on the upper hypocotyl cells (1-2 mm below the apical hook).
624   Specimen mounting was performed as described previously[69].
625
626   Image registration
627   To correct for lateral specimen drift in the movies, we performed image registration in
MATLAB. We first performed a running average on the image sequence using a three frame
window (yielding an image series 2 frames shorter than the original series). We then performed
an intensity-based image registration algorithm using the MATLAB function imregtform, using
tformtype "translation" and conserving the pixel size. To minimize interpolation artifacts, we
first determined the transformation matrix that yielded the best intensity match for each
consecutive image pair, then calculated the cumulative transformation matrix for the whole
averaged image series and applied it to the original movie that was not frame averaged, minus
the first and last frame that were lost because of the frame averaging. Some of the KEULE-
tdTomato and Citrine-CESA colocalization movies (3 out of 8) still exhibited sample drift after

intensity-based registration. In those cases, we applied a feature-based method employing the
locations of detected KEULE spots (See Methods: Particle Tracking for details) as registration
features and using the MATLAB function estgeoform2d and a rigid transform.
640
<u>KEULE particle tracking, streaming experiments, image series with labeled microtubules</u>
Particle detection and tracking was performed on the registered movies. For streaming
experiments and experiments featuring labeled microtubules (1 second time intervals) we used
µTrack[49] to detect and estimate the positions of tdTomato-KEULE clusters in each frame and
subsequently link detected foci from frame to frame to track individual KEULE clusters over
time. For each experiment type, we used the following parameters:
647
648   <u>Streaming image series</u>.
649   For detection: initial psfSigma = 1.2 pixels, alpha for local max 0.05 and 10 max
650   iterations for psfSigma estimation.
651   For tracking: max detection gap = 2 frames, min track length = 4 frames, max search
652   radius = 2 pixels, gap penalty = 4.
653
654   <u>1-second interval image series.</u>
655   For detection: initial psfSigma = 1.2 pixels, alpha for local max 0.05 and 10 max
656   iterations for psfSigma estimation.
657   For tracking: max detection gap = 1 frame, min track length = 1 frames, max search
658   radius = 3 pixels, gap penalty = 4.
659
<u>KEULE and CESA particle tracking in dual labeled movies</u>
In experiments assessing KEULE localization and dynamics with respect to cellulose
synthase complex (CSC) trafficking and we used the Spots module in Imaris software (Bitplane)
to detect and track both tdTomato-KEULE clusters and Citrine-CESA6 particles. The Imaris
Spots detector and filters performed better for Citrine-CESA6 signals, in particular. CSCs as
labeled by Citrine-CESA6 were detected using a size value of 0.3 µm and quality value of 110.
Tracking was performed by applying the Autoregressive Motion algorithm (maximum distance =
0.35 µm, maximum gap size = 2 frames). Successful deliveries of CSC complexes to the plasma
membrane were determined by dynamic criteria as described previously[7]. Briefly, a delivery
event was defined when puncta that were mobile in the streaming cytosol (1) stabilized
positionally in the optical plane of the plasma membrane, and (2) showed a transition to slow,
steady and linear movement in the velocity range (~200-450 nm/min) we previously have
determined be characteristic of CSC's actively synthesizing and extruding cellulose fibers into
the cell wall[53]. To make this assessment, we first filtered CSC tracks by duration (>30 seconds),
then used a custom GUI in MATLAB to manually inspect and verify each Spots track and
associated image data for these characteristics. As a part of this process, the frame in which a
tracked particle first showed evidence of stabilized lateral position was marked as the "tethering"
frame – the frame showing the time and location of first stable interaction with the cell cortex of
a presumed vesicle carrying one or more labeled CSC's.
Clusters of tdTomato-KEULE were detected with Spots module using a size parameter
value of 0.3 µm, a quality value of 100 and were tracked using the Connected Components
algorithm (frame to frame displacements of KEULE signal are small and not auto-correlated).

These settings adequately detected and tracked KEULE clusters based on visual inspection of the
results.

<u>Maximum Likelihood Gaussian fitting for particles</u>

686   We employed maximum likelihood Gaussian fitting as described in Mortensen et al.[50] to
use photon counts in estimating the accuracy of particle position and shape. To determine the
number of photons collected in each image pixel, we first needed to calibrate our camera gain
(photoelectrons per grey value or ADU). Photon detection can be modeled as a Poisson process,
which creates a distribution in which the mean equals the variance. Therefore, the camera gain is
equal to the coefficient between the mean and variance of the gray values in the image. To
calculate this coefficient, we first measured the noise floor of the camera by taking the average
of the gray values (intensity) of images acquired with the camera capped. We then determined
the grey value variance by subtracting one image from the other then calculating the variance
among these pixel-by-pixel difference values. To obtain the final variance, we divided this
number by 2 to account for the fact we are using two images for determining the differences.
Finally, we calculated the mean intensity of the images, subtracted the noise floor, and divided
by the variance to estimate the camera gain.

699   For each time point in every track, we cropped out a pixel grid with the estimated center
of the detected KEULE spot in the middle pixel (9 x 9 pixels). Along with a cropped image, the
fitting routine requires a set of initial parameters: xCoordinate, yCoordinate, point spread
function standard deviation (PSF SD), background, and an estimate of number of photons that
comprise the imaged PSF. The x- and y-coordinates we used are the estimates of position from
the particle tracking described above. Based on the approximate emission wavelength and
objective numerical aperture, we used 133 nm for the initial standard deviation of the PSF. We
estimated the background by calculating the median intensity of the outer border of the pixel grid
used for fitting after subtraction of the camera noise floor. If the center of the point source is in
the middle of the pixel and the PSF SD is 133 nm, we expect 0.16 of the photoelectrons to be in
the center pixel. Therefore, we estimated the number of photoelectrons in the imaged spot by
first subtracting the noise floor and pixel background from the intensity in the center pixel and
multiplying the result by 1/0.16 (6.25). Before performing fitting using these initial data, we
needed to address noise that could have been introduced by bilinear interpolation during the
image registration that was employed to mitigate specimen drift. To accomplish this, we used
the transformation matrix from registration to map the locations of the tracked particles back to
the locations in the original image sequence, we then performed MLE fitting on the non-
interpolated images by employing the method of Mortenson et al. [50] in MATLAB.

717   Since we used free fit parameters and there is noise in the data from photon counting, free
fluorescently tagged proteins, high local particle densities and unresolved distributions of labeled
structures, we excluded distance measurement from fits that were outside the expected parameter
ranges. In the case of the SD of the 2D Gaussian, we found that there was a distinct population
where the SD fit parameter was < 50 nm. Since our pixels correspond to 133 nm, in these fits all
the photoelectrons are contained in one pixel, which is not consistent for a diffraction-limited
spot at the magnification onto our camera (roughly 4 pixels). Similarly, we found that fit SD
values above 2x the estimated PSF SD in most cases represented a fit containing more than one
particle. Therefore, SD fit results with SD values outside 50 to 266 nm were excluded from
position measurements. Fit results with estimated numbers of photoelectrons > 1000 were also
considered outliers and excluded. Finally, high local particle densities the distance in some cases

caused the MLEwG fit to center on a nearby particle and not the particle marked by the starting
position. We therefore also did not include position information for fits where the distance
between the original estimate and the MLEwG position differed more than 2 x 133 nm. See Fig.
S6 for fit parameters for the tdTomato-KEULE clusters and CIT-CESA6 labeled CSCs.
732
733   <u>KEULE to microtubule distance measurements</u>
734   To determine the position of each KEULE spot to assess the spatial relationship of
KEULE accumulation with the microtubule cytoskeleton we first determined the single frame
with the highest number of measured photoelectrons for each KEULE track. For tracks that were
longer than 4 frames we determined the max number of photoelectrons using a running three
frames average, for shorter tracks we did not use frame averaging. The rational for this selection
was two-fold. First, we reasoned that maximum signal might best be associated with the site of
KEULE function, with initial signal rise being indicative of KEULE recruitment and/or assembly
into a membrane fusion structure, and signal loss indicating KEULE disassociation or
disassembly of the fusion machinery. Second, the precision of estimated position is proportional
to photon sampling. It is also relevant to note that frame-to-frame movement of KEULE tracks
were small (Fig. S7).
745   To locate positions of microtubule signal relative to each KEULE track location, we used
a rotating linear filter combined with analysis of signal peaks and a two-step fitting procedure.
At the coordinates of KEULE signal in each max value frame we drew a line 21 pixels long and
1 pixel wide extending 10.5 pixels to each side of the KEULE position and repeated this process
in increments of 9 degrees to cover 360 degrees (Fig. 4C). We then projected this array of lines
on the microtubule channel and extracted the intensities (in photons) along each line at 1-pixel
(133 nm) intervals by bilinear interpolation. To locate potential positions of microtubule signal
along each sampling angle we used the MATLAB function Findpeaks with a
MinPeakProminence of 25 to identify signal peaks. The 10.5-pixel distance is typically long
enough to contain the peak intensity of the nearest microtubule. In fact, in many cases this length
intersects multiple microtubules, but it is also possible for the end of the lines to partially
intersect a microtubule with a peak outside the 10.5 pixels. For subsequent fitting steps, we
included pixels from the center until the furthest peak on each side plus 3 pixels to catch the
shoulder of these peaks, and at the same time, exclude signal from microtubules whose peaks are
just outside the 10.5 pixel range from influencing the fitting. We then estimated the center
position of each signal peak by modifying the 2D MLEwG[50] to a quasi-1D version, modeling the
microtubule as a Gaussian wall[82] that is one pixel wide, as shown in the formula below.
762
763   (Formula 1)
764

$$N\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu_x)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)+b^2$$

766
767   Where:
768   N = number of photoelectrons
769   σ = Standard deviation
770   a = nm per pixel
771   x = position on the line
772   μ_x = center position

773   b = background photoelectrons

775   We found up to four peaks on a single line and fitted all peaks simultaneously by adding
776   an additional term describing each additional peak as follows:

778   (Formula 2)

779
$$N_{\text{first}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\text{first}}^2}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{a} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu_{x\,\text{first}})^2}{2\sigma_{\text{first}}^2} \right) +$$

780
781
782   (Formula 3)

783
$$N_{\text{second}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{\text{second}}^2}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{a} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu_{x\,\text{second}})^2}{2\sigma_{\text{second}}^2} \right) + b_{\text{global}}^2$$

784
For estimating the seeding parameters for the fit, we used the center of the maximum
value pixel for each peak identified by Findpeaks. We used 160 nm (median value of the peak
population) as the estimate for the standard deviation and we approximated the background by
taking the square root of the lowest 10 percentile of all the pixels for all angles after subtracting
the noise floor.
After fitting all microtubule peaks along all angular steps, we determined the distance
from the KEULE location to the center of the nearest microtubule signal. We did this in three
steps. First, we determined the nearest microtubule signal peak to the KEULE location from
among the initial angular samples. Second, we determined the angle of the microtubule identified
by the closest peak. Measuring the distance from the KEULE location to the center of the
microtubule signal is most accurate along a line perpendicular from the KEULE location to the
microtubule. By determining the local microtubule signal angle, we could then determine the
optimal perpendicular sample angle. To find the local orientation of the microtubule we rotated a
line of 21 pixels long around the center of the location where we initially found the closest
microtubule peak. We extracted the summed signal intensities along these lines and determined
the angle at which we found the maximum intensity, corresponding to the microtubule angle[69].
Finally, once we established the microtubule angle, we used the angle perpendicular to that
microtubule angle to extract the nearest microtubule fit for the representative KEULE position.
Distance values where the fit parameters for the microtubule positions were the number of
photoelectrons were < 50 or > 10000, as well as SD fit parameters < 50 or > 250 nm were
excluded from distance measurements. We required all the microtubule fits on the line to be
within these parameters to be included in the analysis. See Fig. S8A-C for fit parameters for
KEULE (A) and microtubule signal (B) fits after selection as well as the standard error of the
distance measurement between them (C).

809
Analysis of KEULE localization with CESA6 delivery sites
KEULE and CESA6 spots were detected and tracked in Imaris as described above and
maximum likelihood 2D Gaussian fitting was applied to each set of tracks. CESA tracks tend to

be relatively long and can be confounded by a dynamic background produced by highly motile
CESA containing organelles including Golgi bodies and Trans-Golgi network compartments.
This background makes fully automated detection and quantitation of CSC delivery events
challenging. We therefore designed a graphical user interface in MATLAB that allowed us to
efficiently curate potential CESA delivery events identified in Imaris and verify whether
individual tracks were indeed depicting a CESA delivery. We have shown previously that CESA
delivery to the plasma membrane typically shows three distinct phases; (1) erratic movement of
CESA containing vesicles as they appear in the optical plane at the cell cortex, (2) positional-
stabilization and (3) slow and steady movement with typically linear trajectories. We speculate
that the second phase represents molecular tethering at the plasma membrane and the events that
lead to vesicle fusion and cargo delivery. The third phase is behavior that we have shown is
characteristic of active CSC complexes in the plasma membrane as they synthesize cellulose[53].
Thus, observation of this latter behavior indicates successful delivery of CESA cargo to the
plasma membrane. For each CESA track that showed these three distinct phases, we marked the
first frame of CESA stabilization at the plasma membrane. From this position, we determined the
distance to the nearest KEULE spot in a 30-frame time range (60 seconds). Some degree of
coincidence between CESA deliveries and KEULE spots is expected by chance alone, especially
since the density of KEULE clusters at the plasma membrane is relatively high. To evaluate
whether the observed distribution of KEULE locations with sites of CESA stabilization might be
due to chance alone, we generated random positions in the same regions of interest used for the
experimental data and calculated the distances to the nearest KEULE spot. We used these
simulated data to model the expected distribution of distances and compared this distribution to
the distribution of distances found for confirmed CESA delivery events. First, we divided both
the experimental and simulated distance distributions up into 40 nm distance bins and calculated
the bin-by-bin count frequencies by the total number of simulated counts. We then introduced a
bias parameter δ that equates an observed frequency in each distance bin to the expected value
from the simulated distribution as shown in formula (4).
840
841   (Formula 4)

842    $$P_{inDistBin}(\delta) = \frac{\text{Expected}_{inDistBin}\,\delta}{Expected_{\text{inDistBin}}\,\delta + \text{Expected}_{\text{outsideDistBin}}(1-\delta)}$$

843
The value of δ may vary between 0 and 1. For a possible observed frequency that equals
the expected frequency, δ is equal 0.5. If δ is greater than 0.5, an observed frequency is higher
than expected. Likewise, if δ is less than 0.5 the observed frequency lower than expected under
the null hypothesis. Use of the parameter allows us to use a Bayesian approach to test multiple
hypotheses to ask what bias value best may best explain the actual observed frequencies. To do
this, we calculated the Likelihood Ratio of observing an experimental result given a range of
values for δ (in increments of 0.001, excluding 0) for each frequency bin:
851
(Formula 5)

$$\frac{L(\delta)}{L\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{P_{inDistBin}\,(\delta)^M\,(1 - P_{inDistBin}\,(\delta)^{N-M})}{Expected_{inDistBin}^N\,Expected_{outsideDistBin}^{N-M}}$$

Where:
$L(\delta)$ = likelihood of the data given the bias δ,

$L\frac{1}{2}$ = likelihood of the data in the neutral case (no positive or negative bias)

N = total number of measurements

M = number of measurements in this specific distance bin

We then found the maximum a posteriori probability (MAP) for δ and computed the

shortest range of δ values for the highest posterior density (HPD) that contains 95% of the

normalized probability density. Maximum likelihood estimates for δ below 0.5 indicate

underrepresentation at the distance of interest and above 0.5 indicate overrepresentation of

experimental data compared to the unbiased case.

<u>Analysis of KEULE localization with microtubules</u>

We used the same Bayesian approach describe above for analyzing the localization of

KEULE clusters with respect to the estimated center of the nearest microtubule. We changed the

distance bin size from 40 to 20 nm.

<u>Hot spot analysis</u>

To investigate if some KEULE events are independent of each other each or not (e.g. if

"hot spots" for KEULE accumulation may exist), we examined colocalization between

sequential KEULE spots in time series collected from cells expressing both labeled KEULE and

tubulin proteins. Within a region of interest in each time series, we selected all KEULE tracks

that were first detected between frames 2 and 31 and calculated the distance to all other KEULE

tracks that started subsequently up to and including frame 301. For position estimates we used

the frame in the track that showed the highest number of fitted photoelectrons. To compare the

degree of colocalization of the initial KEULE tracks with future KEULE tracks to that expected

from chance alone, we created a simulated initial dataset with random locations within the same

region of interest and calculated the distances to all KEULE tracks in the same time range.

Since KEULE foci are positionally stable we chose a stringent distance threshold of 133

nm (1 pixel) to declare two spots or locations to be co-localized. Because of the relatively high

density of KEULE spots at the plasma membrane, colocalization is expected at some point in

time, therefore the time frame of colocalization analysis is also important. Indeed, we observe a

large degree of colocalization in the whole dataset for both the experimental and simulated data,

but with very distinct waiting time distributions (Fig. S5D). Based on the differences in the

distribution of waiting times between random simulated positions and KEULE positions until the

next KEULE spot arrives, we determined that a 40 second time delay window captures most

biologically relevant colocalizations while excluding most random colocalizations due to

KEULE density and chance.

1. Noordstra, I., and Akhmanova, A. (2017). Linking cortical microtubule attachment and exocytosis. F1000Research *6*, 469. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10729.1.

2. Spiliotis, E.T., and Nelson, W.J. (2003). Spatial control of exocytosis. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *15*, 430–437. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0955-0674(03)00074-7.

3. Fourriere, L., Jimenez, A.J., Perez, F., and Boncompain, G. (2020). The role of microtubules in protein transport. Journal of Cell Science *133*, jcs237016. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.237016.

4. Chang, F., and Martin, S.G. (2009). Shaping Fission Yeast with Microtubules. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. *1*, a001347. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001347.

5. Bartolini, F., and Gundersen, G.G. (2006). Generation of noncentrosomal microtubule arrays. J. Cell Sci. *119*, 4155–4163. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.03227.

6. Shaw, S.L., Kamyar, R., and Ehrhardt, D.W. (2003). Sustained Microtubule Treadmilling in Arabidopsis Cortical Arrays. Science *300*, 1715–1718. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1083529.

7. Gutierrez, R., Lindeboom, J.J., Paredez, A.R., Emons, A.M.C., and Ehrhardt, D.W. (2009). Arabidopsis cortical microtubules position cellulose synthase delivery to the plasma membrane and interact with cellulose synthase trafficking compartments. Nat. Cell Biol. *11*, 797–806. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1886.

8. Sampathkumar, A., Gutierrez, R., McFarlane, H.E., Bringmann, M., Lindeboom, J., Emons, A.-M., Samuels, L., Ketelaar, T., Ehrhardt, D.W., and Persson, S. (2013). Patterning and Lifetime of Plasma Membrane-Localized Cellulose Synthase Is Dependent on Actin Organization in Arabidopsis Interphase Cells. Plant Physiol. *162*, 675–688. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.215277.

9. Vellosillo, T., Dinneny, J.R., Somerville, C.R., and Ehrhardt, D.W. (2021). TRANVIA (TVA) facilitates cellulose synthase trafficking and delivery to the plasma membrane. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *118*, e2021790118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2021790118.

10. Gutierrez, R., Lindeboom, J.J., Paredez, A.R., Emons, A.M.C., and Ehrhardt, D.W. (2009). Arabidopsis cortical microtubules position cellulose synthase delivery to the plasma membrane and interact with cellulose synthase trafficking compartments. Nature Cell Biology *11*, 797–806. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1886.

11. Li, S., Lei, L., Somerville, C.R., and Gu, Y. (2012). Cellulose synthase interactive protein 1 (CSI1) links microtubules and cellulose synthase complexes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *109*, 185–190. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1118560109.

12. Bringmann, M., Li, E., Sampathkumar, A., Kocabek, T., Hauser, M.-T., and Persson, S. (2012). POM-POM2/CELLULOSE SYNTHASE INTERACTING1 Is Essential for the

Functional Association of Cellulose Synthase and Microtubules in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell *24*, 163–177. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.093575.

13. Zhu, X., Li, S., Pan, S., Xin, X., and Gu, Y. (2018). CSI1, PATROL1, and exocyst complex cooperate in delivery of cellulose synthase complexes to the plasma membrane. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *115*, E3578–E3587. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1800182115.

14. Lei, L., Singh, A., Bashline, L., Li, S., Yingling, Y.G., and Gu, Y. (2015). CELLULOSE SYNTHASE INTERACTIVE1 Is Required for Fast Recycling of Cellulose Synthase Complexes to the Plasma Membrane in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell *27*, 2926–2940. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00442.

15. Paredez, A.R., Somerville, C.R., and Ehrhardt, D.W. (2006). Visualization of Cellulose Synthase Demonstrates Functional Association with Microtubules. Science *312*, 1491–1495. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1126551.

16. Gutierrez, R., Lindeboom, J.J., Paredez, A.R., Emons, A.M.C., and Ehrhardt, D.W. (2009). Arabidopsis cortical microtubules position cellulose synthase delivery to the plasma membrane and interact with cellulose synthase trafficking compartments. Nature Cell Biology *11*, 797–806. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1886.

17. DeBolt, S., Gutierrez, R., Ehrhardt, D.W., Melo, C.V., Ross, L., Cutler, S.R., Somerville, C., and Bonetta, D. (2007). Morlin, an inhibitor of cortical microtubule dynamics and cellulose synthase movement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *104*, 5854–5859. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700789104.

18. Emons, A.M.C., Mulder, B.M., Emons, A.M.C., and Mulder, B.M. (2000). How the deposition of cellulose microfibrils builds cell wall architecture. Trends Plant Sci. *5*, 35–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1360-1385(99)01507-1.

19. Hamant, O., Heisler, M.G., Jönsson, H., Krupinski, P., Uyttewaal, M., Bokov, P., Corson, F., Sahlin, P., Boudaoud, A., Meyerowitz, E.M., et al. (2008). Developmental Patterning by Mechanical Signals in Arabidopsis. Science *322*, 1650–1655. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165594.

20. Zhang, B., Karnik, R., Alvim, J., Donald, N., and Blatt, M.R. (2019). Dual Sites for SEC11 on the SNARE SYP121 Implicate a Binding Exchange during Traffic1. Plant Physiol *180*, 228–239. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.01315.

21. Honsbein, A., Sokolovski, S., Grefen, C., Campanoni, P., Pratelli, R., Paneque, M., Chen, Z., Johansson, I., and Blatt, M.R. (2009). A tripartite SNARE-K+ channel complex mediates in channel-dependent K+ nutrition in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell *21*, 2859–2877. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.066118.

22. Assaad, F.F., Qiu, J.-L., Youngs, H., Ehrhardt, D., Zimmerli, L., Kalde, M., Wanner, G., Peck, S.C., Edwards, H., Ramonell, K., et al. (2004). The PEN1 Syntaxin Defines a Novel

Cellular Compartment upon Fungal Attack and Is Required for the Timely Assembly of Papillae. Mol. Biol. Cell *15*, 5118–5129. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e04-02-0140.

23. Bloch, D., Pleskot, R., Pejchar, P., Potocký, M., Trpko¡ová, P., Cwiklik, L., Vuka¡inović, N., Sternberg, H., Yalovsky, S., and Žárský, V. (2016). Exocyst SEC3 and Phosphoinositides Define Sites of Exocytosis in Pollen Tube Initiation and Growth. Plant Physiol. *172*, 980–1002. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00690.

24. Fendrych, M., Synek, L., Pečenková, T., Drdová, E.J., Sekereš, J., Rycke, R. de, Nowack, M.K., and Žárský, V. (2013). Visualization of the exocyst complex dynamics at the plasma membrane of Arabidopsis thaliana. MBoC *24*, 510–520. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e12-06-0492.

25. Barg, S., Knowles, M.K., Chen, X., Midorikawa, M., and Almers, W. (2010). Syntaxin clusters assemble reversibly at sites of granules in live cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *107*, 20804–20809. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1014823107.

26. Knowles, M.K., Barg, S., Wan, L., Midorikawa, M., Chen, X., and Almers, W. (2010). Single granules of live cells recruit syntaxin-1 and synaptosomal associated protein 25 (SNAP-25) in large copy numbers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *107*, 20810–20815. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1014840107.

27. McNew, J.A., Weber, T., Parlati, F., Johnston, R.J., Melia, T.J., Söllner, T.H., and Rothman, J.E. (2000). Close Is Not Enough. The Journal of Cell Biology *150*, 105–118. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.150.1.105.

28. Südhof, T.C., and Rothman, J.E. (2009). Membrane Fusion: Grappling with SNARE and SM Proteins. Science *323*, 474–477. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1161748.

29. Burgoyne, R.D., Barclay, J.W., Ciufo, L.F., Graham, M.E., Handley, M.T.W., and Morgan, A. (2009). The Functions of Munc18-1 in Regulated Exocytosis. Ann. N. York Acad. Sci. *1152*, 76–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2008.03987.x.

30. Baker, R.W., Jeffrey, P.D., Zick, M., Phillips, B.P., Wickner, W.T., and Hughson, F.M. (2015). A direct role for the Sec1/Munc18-family protein Vps33 as a template for SNARE assembly. Science *349*, 1111–1114. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac7906.

31. Stepien, K.P., Prinslow, E.A., and Rizo, J. (2019). Munc18-1 is crucial to overcome the inhibition of synaptic vesicle fusion by αSNAP. Nat. Commun. *10*, 4326. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12188-4.

32. Peng, R.-W., Guetg, C., Abellan, E., and Fussenegger, M. (2010). Munc18b regulates core SNARE complex assembly and constitutive exocytosis by interacting with the N-peptide and the closed-conformation C-terminus of syntaxin 3. Biochem. J. *431*, 353–361. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20100145.

33. Hashizume, K., Cheng, Y.-S., Hutton, J.L., Chiu, C., and Carr, C.M. (2009). Yeast Sec1p
Functions before and after Vesicle Docking. Mol. Biol. Cell *20*, 4673–4685.
https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e09-02-0172.

34. Mayer, U., Ruiz, R.A.T., Berleth, T., Miséra, S., and Jürgens, G. (1991). Mutations affecting
body organization in the Arabidopsis embryo. Nature *353*, 402–407.
https://doi.org/10.1038/353402a0.

35. Assaad, F.F., Mayer, U., Wanner, G., and Jürgens, G. (1996). The KEULE gene is involved
in cytokinesis in Arabidopsis. Mol. Gen. Genet. MGG *253*, 267–277.
https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00008594.

36. Karnik, R., Grefen, C., Bayne, R., Honsbein, A., Köhler, T., Kioumourtzoglou, D., Williams,
M., Bryant, N.J., and Blatt, M.R. (2013). Arabidopsis Sec1/Munc18 protein SEC11 is a
competitive and dynamic modulator of SNARE binding and SYP121-dependent vesicle traffic.
Plant Cell *25*, 1368–1382. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.108506.

37. Karnik, R., Zhang, B., Waghmare, S., Aderhold, C., Grefen, C., and Blatt, M.R. (2015).
Binding of SEC11 indicates its role in SNARE recycling after vesicle fusion and identifies two
pathways for vesicular traffic to the plasma membrane. Plant Cell *27*, 675–694.
https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.134429.

38. Karnahl, M., Park, M., Krause, C., Hiller, U., Mayer, U., Stierhof, Y.-D., and Jürgens, G.
(2018). Functional diversification of Arabidopsis SEC1-related SM proteins in cytokinetic and
membrane fusion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *115*, 6309–6314.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1722611115.

39. Assaad, F.F., Huet, Y., Mayer, U., and Jürgens, G. (2001). The Cytokinesis Gene KEULE
Encodes a Sec1 Protein That Binds the Syntaxin Knolle. The Journal of Cell Biology *152*, 531–
544. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.152.3.531.

40. Assaad, F.F., Mayer, U., Wanner, G., and Jürgens, G. (1996). The KEULE gene is involved
in cytokinesis in Arabidopsis. Molecular and General Genetics MGG *253*, 267–277.
https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00008594.

41. Carr, C.M., Grote, E., Munson, M., Hughson, F.M., and Novick, P.J. (1999). Sec1p Binds to
SNARE Complexes and Concentrates at Sites of Secretion. J. Cell Biol. *146*, 333–344.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.146.2.333.

42. Scott, B.L., Komen, J.S.V., Irshad, H., Liu, S., Wilson, K.A., and McNew, J.A. (2004).
Sec1p directly stimulates SNARE-mediated membrane fusion in vitro. J. Cell Biol. *167*, 75–85.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200405018.

43. Zilly, F.E., Sørensen, J.B., Jahn, R., and Lang, T. (2006). Munc18-Bound Syntaxin Readily
Forms SNARE Complexes with Synaptobrevin in Native Plasma Membranes. PLoS Biol. *4*,
e330. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040330.

44. Medine, C.N., Rickman, C., Chamberlain, L.H., and Duncan, R.R. (2007). Munc18-1 prevents the formation of ectopic SNARE complexes in living cells. J. Cell Sci. *120*, 4407–4415. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.020230.

45. Rickman, C., and Duncan, R.R. (2010). Munc18/Syntaxin Interaction Kinetics Control Vesicle Dynamics2. J. Biol. Chem. *285*, 3965–3972. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m109.040402.

46. Smyth, A.M., Rickman, C., and Duncan, R.R. (2010). Vesicle Fusion Probability Is Determined by the Specific Interactions of Munc18*. J. Biol. Chem. *285*, 38141–38148. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m110.164038.

47. Trexler, A.J., Sochacki, K.A., and Taraska, J.W. (2016). Imaging the recruitment and loss of proteins and lipids at single sites of calcium-triggered exocytosis. Mol. Biol. Cell *27*, 2423–2434. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e16-01-0057.

48. Somasundaram, A., and Taraska, J.W. (2018). Local protein dynamics during microvesicle exocytosis in neuroendocrine cells. Mol. Biol. Cell *29*, 1891–1903. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e17-12-0716.

49. Jaqaman, K., Loerke, D., Mettlen, M., Kuwata, H., Grinstein, S., Schmid, S.L., and Danuser, G. (2008). Robust single-particle tracking in live-cell time-lapse sequences. Nat. Methods *5*, 695–702. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1237.

50. Mortensen, K.I., Churchman, L.S., Spudich, J.A., and Flyvbjerg, H. (2010). Optimized localization analysis for single-molecule tracking and super-resolution microscopy. Nat. Methods *7*, 377–381. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1447.

51. Haigler, C.H., and Brown, R.M. (1986). Transport of rosettes from the golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane in isolated mesophyll cells ofZinnia elegans during differentiation to tracheary elements in suspension culture. Protoplasma *134*, 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01275709.

52. Zhang, Y., Nikolovski, N., Sorieul, M., Vellosillo, T., McFarlane, H.E., Dupree, R., Kesten, C., Schneider, R., Driemeier, C., Lathe, R., et al. (2016). Golgi-localized STELLO proteins regulate the assembly and trafficking of cellulose synthase complexes in Arabidopsis. Nat. Commun. *7*, 11656. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11656.

53. Paredez, A.R., Somerville, C.R., and Ehrhardt, D.W. (2006). Visualization of Cellulose Synthase Demonstrates Functional Association with Microtubules. Science *312*, 1491–1495. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1126551.

54. Scheible, W.-R., Eshed, R., Richmond, T., Delmer, D., and Somerville, C. (2001). Modifications of cellulose synthase confer resistance to isoxaben and thiazolidinone herbicides in Arabidopsis Ixr1 mutants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *98*, 10079–10084. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.191361598.

55. Zhou, Q., Lai, Y., Bacaj, T., Zhao, M., Lyubimov, A.Y., Uervirojnangkoorn, M., Zeldin,
O.B., Brewster, A.S., Sauter, N.K., Cohen, A.E., et al. (2015). Architecture of the
synaptotagmin–SNARE machinery for neuronal exocytosis. Nature *525*, 62–67.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14975.

56. Brunger, A.T. (2005). Structure and function of SNARE and SNARE-interacting proteins. Q
Rev Biophys *38*, 1–47. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033583505004051.

57. Rothman, J.E., Krishnakumar, S.S., Grushin, K., and Pincet, F. (2017). Hypothesis –
buttressed rings assemble, clamp, and release SNAREpins for synaptic transmission. FEBS Lett.
591, 3459–3480. https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.12874.

58. Cho, W.J., Jeremic, A., and Jena, B.P. (2005). Size of Supramolecular SNARE Complex:
Membrane-Directed Self-Assembly. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *127*, 10156–10157.
https://doi.org/10.1021/ja052442m.

59. Cho, W.J., Lee, J., Zhang, L., Ren, G., Shin, L., Manke, C.W., Potoff, J., Kotaria, N.,
Zhvania, M.G., and Jena, B.P. (2011). Membrane-directed molecular assembly of the neuronal
SNARE complex. J. Cell. Mol. Med. *15*, 31–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-
4934.2010.01152.x.

60. Giddings, T.H., and Staehelin, L.A. (1988). Spatial relationship between microtubules and
plasma-membrane rosettes during the deposition of primary wall microfibrils in Closterium sp.
Planta *173*, 22–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00394482.

61. Lindeboom, J.J., Nakamura, M., Saltini, M., Hibbel, A., Walia, A., Ketelaar, T., Emons,
A.M.C., Sedbrook, J.C., Kirik, V., Mulder, B.M., et al. (2019). CLASP stabilization of plus ends
created by severing promotes microtubule creation and reorientation. J. Cell Biol. *218*, 190–205.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201805047.

62. Crowell, E.F., Bischoff, V., Desprez, T., Rolland, A., Stierhof, Y.-D., Schumacher, K.,
Gonneau, M., Höfte, H., and Vernhettes, S. (2009). Pausing of Golgi Bodies on Microtubules
Regulates Secretion of Cellulose Synthase Complexes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell *21*, 1141–1154.
https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.065334.

63. Martinière, A., Gayral, P., Hawes, C., and Runions, J. (2011). Building bridges: formin1 of
Arabidopsis forms a connection between the cell wall and the actin cytoskeleton. Plant J. *66*,
354–365. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2011.04497.x.

64. Geelen, D., Leyman, B., Batoko, H., Sansebastiano, G.-P.D., Moore, I., and Blatt, M.R.
(2002). The abscisic acid-related SNARE homolog NtSyr1 contributes to secretion and growth:
evidence from competition with its cytosolic domain. Plant Cell *14*, 387–406.
https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.010328.

65. Grefen, C., Chen, Z., Honsbein, A., Donald, N., Hills, A., and Blatt, M.R. (2010). A novel
motif essential for SNARE interaction with the K(+) channel KC1 and channel gating in
Arabidopsis. Plant Cell *22*, 3076–3092. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.110.077768.

66. Hoffmann, N., King, S., Samuels, A.L., and McFarlane, H.E. (2021). Subcellular
coordination of plant cell wall synthesis. Dev. Cell *56*, 933–948.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2021.03.004.

67. Cosgrove, D.J. (2015). Plant expansins: diversity and interactions with plant cell walls. Curr.
Opin. Plant Biol. *25*, 162–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2015.05.014.

68. Baskin, T.I. (2005). ANISOTROPIC EXPANSION OF THE PLANT CELL WALL. Annu.
Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *21*, 203–222. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.20.082503.103053.

69. Lindeboom, J.J., Nakamura, M., Hibbel, A., Shundyak, K., Gutierrez, R., Ketelaar, T.,
Emons, A.M.C., Mulder, B.M., Kirik, V., and Ehrhardt, D.W. (2013). A Mechanism for
Reorientation of Cortical Microtubule Arrays Driven by Microtubule Severing. Science *342*,
1245533. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1245533.

70. Baas, P.W., Rao, A.N., Matamoros, A.J., and Leo, L. (2016). Stability properties of neuronal
microtubules. Cytoskeleton *73*, 442–460. https://doi.org/10.1002/cm.21286.

71. Tojima, T., Itofusa, R., and Kamiguchi, H. (2014). Steering Neuronal Growth Cones by
Shifting the Imbalance between Exocytosis and Endocytosis. The Journal of Neuroscience *34*,
7165–7178. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.5261-13.2014.

72. Brown, A.-L., Wilkins, O.G., Keuss, M.J., Hill, S.E., Zanovello, M., Lee, W.C., Bampton,
A., Lee, F.C.Y., Masino, L., Qi, Y.A., et al. (2022). TDP-43 loss and ALS-risk SNPs drive mis-
splicing and depletion of UNC13A. Nature *603*, 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-
04436-3.

73. Ma, X.R., Prudencio, M., Koike, Y., Vatsavayai, S.C., Kim, G., Harbinski, F., Briner, A.,
Rodriguez, C.M., Guo, C., Akiyama, T., et al. (2022). TDP-43 represses cryptic exon inclusion
in the FTD–ALS gene UNC13A. Nature *603*, 124–130. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-
04424-7.

74. Liu, X., and Henty-Ridilla, J.L. (2022). Multiple roles for the cytoskeleton in ALS. Exp.
Neurol. *355*, 114143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2022.114143.

75. Zhang, S., Cooper-Knock, J., Weimer, A.K., Shi, M., Moll, T., Marshall, J.N.G., Harvey, C.,
Nezhad, H.G., Franklin, J., Souza, C. dos S., et al. (2022). Genome-wide identification of the
genetic basis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neuron *110*, 992-1008.e11.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.12.019.

76. Curtis, M.D., and Grossniklaus, U. (2003). A Gateway Cloning Vector Set for High-
Throughput Functional Analysis of Genes in Planta. Plant Physiol. *133*, 462–469.
https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.027979.

77. Shaner, N.C., Campbell, R.E., Steinbach, P.A., Giepmans, B.N.G., Palmer, A.E., and Tsien,
R.Y. (2004). Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from
Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein. Nat. Biotechnol. *22*, 1567–1572.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1037.

78. Kirik, V., Herrmann, U., Parupalli, C., Sedbrook, J.C., Ehrhardt, D.W., and Hülskamp, M.
(2007). CLASP localizes in two discrete patterns on cortical microtubules and is required for cell
morphogenesis and cell division in Arabidopsis. J. Cell Sci. *120*, 4416–4425.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.024950.

79. Assaad, F.F., Huet, Y., Mayer, U., and Jürgens, G. (2001). The Cytokinesis Gene KEULE
Encodes a Sec1 Protein That Binds the Syntaxin Knolle. J. Cell Biol. *152*, 531–544.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.152.3.531.

80. Clough, S.J., and Bent, A.F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium -
mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. *16*, 735–743.
https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x.

81. Logemann, E., Birkenbihl, R.P., Ülker, B., and Somssich, I.E. (2006). An improved method
for preparing Agrobacterium cells that simplifies the Arabidopsis transformation protocol. Plant
Methods *2*, 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4811-2-16.

82. Ruhnow, F., Zwicker, D., and Diez, S. (2011). Tracking Single Particles and Elongated
Filaments with Nanometer Precision. Biophys. J. *100*, 2820–2828.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2011.04.023.

1159

1160   **Acknowledgments:** We thank Michael Davidson for the kind gift of the tdTomato construct. We
1161   thank Zdeněk Lánský, Johnathan Cooper-Knock and Masayoshi Nakamura for advice
1162   and insightful comments.

1163   **Funding:**

1164   National Institutes of Health grant R15GM137247-01 (VK)

1165   National Institutes of Health grant R01GM123259-01 (DWE)

1166

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: JJL, RG, VK, DWE

Methodology: JJL, RG, VK, DWE

Investigation: JJL, RG, VK, DWE

Visualization: JJL, RG, DWE

Funding acquisition: VK, DWE

Writing – original draft: JJL, RG, DWE

Writing – review & editing: JJL, RG, VK, DWE

1175

1176   **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

1177   **Data and materials availability:** Biological material, raw data, processed data and MATLAB
1178   scripts used for the analysis are available upon request.

 Figures

 Figure 1

Fig. 1. KEULE assembly dynamics at the plasma membrane. (**A**) Distribution of tdTomato-KEULE
signal at the plasma membrane of etiolated hypocotyl cells in *Arabidopsis*. Arrowheads point out
individual clusters of labeled KEULE protein. (**B**) Kymograph of the tdTomato-KEULE signal intensity
over time as sampled over the transect line shown in (**A**) (blue dashes). The yellow brackets highlight
several individual tdTomato-KEULE clusters. (**C**) Example of tdTomato-KEULE cluster intensity over
time. (**D**) Histogram of KEULE cluster lifetimes in 200 ms bins. n = 15,130 clusters. (**E**) Average
tdTomato-KEULE cluster signal intensity with a lifetime of 4.2, 4.4 and 4.6 s. Note the initial rapid
increase in signal over the first acquisition step (darker green), the more gradual, near linear, ramp up
(green) to a plateau (yellow), followed by abrupt loss to non-detection (red). n = 231, 213,182 clusters for
4.2, 4.4 4.8 s, respectively. (**F**) Average tdTomato-KEULE cluster signal intensity with lifetimes ranging
from 0.8 to 6.8 s. n = 15,397 clusters in total, ranging from 4992 clusters for 0.8 s to 122 for 6.8 s. (**G**)
Average signal intensities of tdTomato-KEULE clusters in three cumulative lifetime ranges, 0.8 to 1.6 s,
1.8 to 6.2 s and 6.4+ s. The inset shows the lifetime distribution histogram of tdTomato-KEULE cluster
lifetimes with the lifetime ranges color coded. n = 15,130 clusters. All data in panels D-G acquired from
12 cells from 12 seedlings. Error bars are S.E. Scale bars are 2 μm.

1197

Figure 2

1198

1199
1200

Fig. 2. KEULE is spatially associated with and required for CSC delivery to the plasma membrane. (**A**) CESA exocytosis event. (Top panel) Time series (top panel) and kymograph (bottom panel) of tdTomato-KEULE and CIT-CESA6 during a CESA exocytosis event. The kymograph shows evidence for CSC-vesicle tethering at the plasma membrane (start of static phase) and successful CSC delivery to the plasma membrane as an active complex (steady movement phase, see text). (**B**) Distribution of distances between the positions of CIT-CESA6 signals at start of static phase and the nearest tdTomato-KEULE cluster, as estimated by MLE PSF fitting. Data shown both for observed CIT-CESA6 locations and simulated random locations. (**C**) Differences of the observed vs expected CESA6-KEULE distance frequencies in (**B**), portraying over- and under-representation (green and red respectively). (**D**) Examples of colocalization and fitted positions for events in (**B**). (**E**) Bayesian likelihood ratios of the observed frequency of distances between 0 and 40 nm given bias δ over $\delta(0.5)$. The position of the peak value indicates the most likely, or maximum a posteriori probability (MAP), value for the bias δ for the observed frequency given the expected frequency. (**F**) Bayesian analysis of the MAP(δ) for each distance bin, MAP(δ) = 0.5 indicates no difference from expected. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals expressed as the highest posterior density (HPD, the shortest interval containing 95% of the probability density). (**G**) CESA6 delivery rates in wild type and *keule* mutant cells. Whiskers are quartiles. **** $p<0.0001$ Wilcoxon 2-sample test. In panels B-F, n = 194 CESA exocytosis events in 8 cells from 8 seedlings for observed data. For simulated data, n = 45051 randomized CESA exocytosis locations in the same KEULE channel data sets. In panel G, n = 8 cells from 8 seedlings for WT and 16 cells from 16 plants for *keule*. Scale bars are 1 μm.

1221   **Figure 3**

1222

Fig. 3. KEULE cluster appearance is temporally associated with CSC appearance at the plasma
membrane. (**A**) Comparison of the waiting time distribution from CSC signal stabilization until the
detection of the nearest tdTomato-KEULE cluster in observed and simulated data. n = 194 CSC delivery
events in 8 cells from 8 plants. (**B**) KEULE dynamics in the context of CSC delivery to the plasma
membrane. Histograms of waiting times (left pane) and lifetimes of tdTomato-KEULE clusters co-
localized with CSC delivery events (right pane). n = 161 CSC delivery events in 8 cells from 8 plants for
observed data, n = 45,051 simulated CSC delivery events applied to the same data sets. (**C**) KEULE
cluster durations for all events and those associated with CSC delivery, compared by relative frequency of
durations over 2 seconds. n = 13766 for all KEULE clusters, n = 180 for KEULE clusters associated with
CSC delivery. Whiskers are exact binomial 95% confidence intervals, p = 6.1E-09 Fishers' exact test.

Figure 4

1234
Fig. 4. Microtubule dependent distribution of exocytosis events. (**A**) Images of GFP-TUA5 and
tdTomato-KEULE signal in epidermal cells. Arrowheads indicate examples of apparent co-localization of
signal. (**B**) Spatial patterning of tdTomato-KEULE clusters over the range of 36.8 seconds. Crosses show
positions of MLEwG fits. (**C**) Steps in the routine employed to calculation the distance from a KEULE
cluster to the center of the nearest microtubule distance. (**D**) Steps in quasi-1-dimensional MLEwG fitting
of microtubule signal along the most-orthogonal scan line of a rotating filter. (**E**) Overlay of a
microtubule channel image and pairs of markers for MLE fit locations of KEULE clusters and the center
of the nearest microtubule in observed data (left) and for simulated random KEULE locations (right).
KEULE cluster detects from -5 to + 5 frames from the microtubule image are shown. Scale bars are 2 µm.

Figure 5

1245

Fig. 5. Bayesian analysis of microtubule bias for exocytosis events. (**A**) Comparison of the
distributions of KEULE-nearest microtubule distances for observed and simulated data. n = 115,963
KEULE clusters in 14 cells from 14 plants. (**B**) Differences of the observed vs expected KEULE-
microtubule distance frequencies shown in (**A**), portraying the pattern of over- and under-representation
(green and red respectively). (**C**) Bayesian likelihood ratios of the observed frequency of KEULE-
microtubule distances between 0 and 20 nm given bias δ over δ(0.5). (**D**) Bayesian analysis of the
MAP(δ) of each distance bin. Data acquired from 14 cells in 14 seedlings. n = 115,963 KEULE clusters
in 14 cells from 14 plants. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals expressed as HPD.

1254   **Figure 6**

Polarized tansport to plus end locations   Templated patterning

A

— Microtubule
Golgi body
Secretory vesicle
Kinesin
Targeted domain
Focal adhesions
Adherens junction

B

Membrane domain model   Vesicle-MT interaction model

400 nm
200 nm
100 nm
70 nm

max +

Bias of observed vs
expected frequency

max −

Plasma membrane
Microtubule
Plasma membrane linker
Vesicle linker
KEULE/SNAREs
Microtubule-directed
vesicle

200   500 nm
1400 nm

95% CI

1255

Fig. 6. Patterning of exocytosis by microtubule arrays. (A) Schematic diagrams of microtubule-directed patterning of exocytosis. The three cells on the left show targeting of exocytosis by motor-driven transport of vesicles along polarized microtubule tracks to locations defined by their plus ends[3] . Clockwise from upper left: migrating fibroblast, epithelial cell, neuronal cell. By contrast, the diagram at far right shows an epidermal cell in the plant axis, where a 2-D array of microtubules at the cell cortex acts as a template that directs exocytosis in a complex but ordered pattern. The organization of the microtubule lattices defines this pattern. **(B)** Alternative models for spatial patterning of exocytosis by cortical microtubule arrays in plant cells. All structures are abstracted but depicted at approximate scale. Microtubules (grey) are shown spaced at the mean spacing that has been measured in the cortical arrays of growing cells in the hypocotyl epidermis of dark grown *Arabidopsis* seedings[61]. The likelihood of exocytosis marked by dynamic KEULE clusters is shown as a red-green heat map as scaled from the observed over-representation and under-representation of KEULE clusters compared to that expected from the null hypothesis of a random distribution (depicted in Fig. 5B). The two sides of the diagram depict alternative models to explain the measured spatial dimensions of the domains enriched in exocytosis. On the right, the measured extent of the enriched domain is determined by the interaction exocytotic vesicle with microtubules, where those vesicles have a wide range of sizes. On the left, microtubules establish a membrane domain that extends approximately 180 nm on either side where proteins needed for vesicle capture or exocytosis are concentrated. These models are not mutually exclusive. The grey-scale bar at lower right depicts the mean 95% confidence interval for the measured distances of KEULE clusters to microtubule centers.

1280 **Figure S1**

A Wild type (Ler) *keule^MM125* tdTomato-KEULE *keule^MM125*

B P = 0.72 Hypocotyl length [mm] WT *keule* tdT-KEULE *keule*

1281

Fig. S1. Complementation of the *keule* mutation. (A) Seedlings of indicated genotypes were grown in
the dark for 5 d. The recessive *keule^mm125* allele is seedling lethal; mutant seedlings have bloated,
multinucleate cells. Expression of a tdTomato-tagged version of KEULE, expressed under the control of
the native upstream regulatory element, complemented the *keule* mutation in dark-grown seedlings. Scale
bar, 5 mm. **(B)** Hypocotyl elongation in 5-day-old dark-grown seedlings. Rescued seedlings were
comparable to wild-type ($n = 50$ plants per genotype, $P = 0.72$ by t-test). Error bars represent SEM.

Figure S2

1289

Fig. S2. CSC delivery rates. CSC delivery rates to the plasma membrane of cells expressing tdTomato-
KEULE and CIT-CESA6 after treatment with 0.01% DMSO (mock), 200 mM mannitol or 100 nM
isoxaben. See Methods for treatment durations. Values are means, whiskers are quartiles. Mock: n = 8
cells from 8 seedlings, 208 delivery events observed in 1570 μm^2 total area over 0.0828 hr. Mannitol: n =
15 cells from 15 seedlings, 11 delivery events observed in 880 μm^2 total area over 0.0793 hr. Isoxaben: n
= 12 cells from 12 seedlings, 2 delivery events observed in 996 μm^2 total area over 0.0793 hr. ****
p<0.0001, Wilcoxon two sample test.

 Figure S3

1298
1299

Fig. S3. Intensity of dynamics of tdTomato-KEULE clusters by lifetime. (**A**) Average tdTomato-
KEULE cluster signal intensity with lifetimes ranging from 0.8 to 6.8 s for mock, mannitol treatment. and
isoxaben treatment. Whiskers are means and quartiles. n.s. p>0.05 Wilcoxon two sample test. (**B** and **C**)
Comparison of average signal intensities of tdTomato-KEULE clusters in three cumulative lifetime
ranges, 0.8 to 1.6 s, 1.8 to 6.2 s and 6.4 to 12.2 s, between (**B**) mock vs mannitol treatment, and (**C**) mock
vs isoxaben treatment. Mock: n = 15,130 clusters observed in 12 cells from 12 plants. Mannitol: n =
35,496 clusters observed in 15 cells from 15 plants. Isoxaben: n = 25,251 clusters observed in 12 cells
from 12 plants. Error bars are SEM.

1308

1309   **Figure S4**

1310

Fig. S4. KEULE cluster lifetimes. (**A** to **C**) Lifetime distribution of tdTomato-KEULE clusters in mock
(**A**), mannitol (**B**) and isoxaben (**C**) treated cells. (**D** and **E**) Difference in relative lifetime frequency
between mannitol and mock (**D**), and isoxaben and mock (**E**). Vertical lines indicate the lifetime classes
in Figure 1G. (**F**) Formation rates of new tdTomato-KEULE clusters. Values are means. (**G** and **H**)
Comparison of the fraction of remaining tdTomato-KEULE clusters that were present in the first frame of
the movie for mannitol to mock (**G**) and isoxaben to mock. Mock: n = 763 clusters observed in 12 cells
from 12 plants. Mannitol: n = 1149 clusters observed in 15 cells from 15 plants. Isoxaben: n = 724
clusters observed in 12 cells from 12 plants.

1319

 Figure S5

Fig. S5 KEULE cluster hot spots. (**A**) Map of tdTomato-KEULE cluster detections over x minutes.
Crosses are positions determined by MLEwG fitting. Arrowheads indicate examples of repeat detects at
the same location, or hot spots. (**B**) Kymograph of tdTomato-KEULE signal along a 3 pixel wide transect
line. Yellow brackets indicate examples of repeated KEULE cluster formation at the same location. (**C**)
Individual image frames at a hot spot, 200 msec intervals over 20 seconds. (**D**) Distributions of waiting
times for a second cluster to appear at the same location as a previous cluster, as defined by a stringent
co-localization cutoff distance of 133 nanometers. The distributions for observed data and randomly
simulated data are shown in purple and grey, respectively. Note that the observed intervals are
significantly skewed to shorter intervals as compared to those in the simulated data (p<3.27E-8
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). n = 12,719 clusters in 17 cells from 17 plants. (**E**) Distributions of the
number of co-localized events observed at each KUELE cluster location within 60 seconds of the
formation of the first cluster. Colocalization events were 3.36 more frequent in observed data (green) than
expected by chance alone (grey), as determined by odds ratio analysis (see panel (**G**)). n = 9458 clusters
in 17 cells from 17 plants. (F) Distributions of KEULE colocalization events either on or off microtubules
on observed data. The distance threshold for microtubule association was < 200 nm. Sequential KEULE
cluster co-localization was 1.25 times more frequent for KEULE events associated with microtubules than
for those not associated with microtubules, as determined by odds ratio analysis (see panel (G)). n = 4000
clusters on microtubules and 4866 clusters off microtubules in 17 cells from 17 plants. (G) Odds ratios
and 95% confidence intervals for the data shown in panels (G) and (F). The dotted line indicates the odds
ratio expected for the null hypothesis of no different from random.

1344

1345   **Figure S6**

1346

1347   **Fig. S6.** Frame-to-frame displacements of tdTomato-KEULE clusters in 200 ms streaming acquisitions. n
1348   = 283,127 displacements for 15,130 clusters observed in 12 cells from 12 plants.

1349

Figure S6

 Fig. S6. Fit parameters for CSC vesicles in the tethering frame and the nearest KEULE clusters to
 these tethering sites. (**A**) Distributions of fit parameters for MLEwG fits of tdTomato-KEULE clusters.
 (**B**) Distributions of fit parameters for MLEwG fits of CIT-CESA6 signal. (**C**) Distribution of standard
 errors for KEULE to microtubule distance measurements. Black lines and values indicate means. n = 194

1357   **Figure S7**

1358

1359   **Fig. S7.** Frame-to-frame displacements of tdTomato-KEULE clusters in 200 ms streaming acquisitions. n
1360   = 283,127 displacements for 15,130 clusters observed in 12 cells from 12 plants.

1361
1362

1363 **Figure S8**

1364

Fig. S8. Fit parameters for KEULE to microtubule distances used for localization analysis. (**A**) Fit
parameters for MLEwG fits of tdTomato-KEULE clusters. (**B**) Fit parameters for MLEw1dG fitting of
microtubule signal. (**C**) Standard error of KEULE to microtubule distance measurements. Black lines and
values indicate means. *Truncations of standard deviation distributions are due to cutoff values used to
filter and exclude bad fits due to signal patterns that complicate localization analysis. Bad fits for
tdTomato-KEULE signal occur where more than one tdTomato-KEULE cluster are close in proximity,
and bad fits for microtubule signal occur where microtubules are dense and where they intersect in
complex patterns. n = 115,963 KEULE clusters, nearest microtubule locations and KEULE-microtubule
distances observed in 14 cells from 14 plants.

Movie S1.

tdTomato-KEULE cluster dynamics. Spinning disk confocal images of tdTomato cluster formation and
loss at the plasma membrane of an epidermal hypocotyl cell in a dark grown *Arabidopsis* seedling. To
reduce noise, images were averaged with a running 3-frame window. Streaming acquisition at 5
frames/sec. Playback at 4x real time.

Movie S2.

MLE Gaussian fits of tdTomato-KEULE clusters. Spinning disk confocal images of tdTomato-
KEULE clusters in an epidermal hypocotyl cell of a dark grown *Arabidopsis* seedling. Magenta circles
indicate an automated detection of a KEULE cluster. For each of these detects the coordinates of the
signal centroid number of detected photons were estimated by MLE Gaussian fitting (see methods).
Streaming acquisition at 5 frames/sec. Playback at 10x real time.
1385

1386 **Movie S3.**

1387 **KEULE localization to CSC delivery events.** Spinning disk confocal images of co-expressed tdTomato-
1388 KEULE and Cit-CESA6. Filled arrowheads point to locations of tracked CSC signal. Empty arrowheads
1389 point to co-localized KEULE clusters that appear shortly after appearance and positional stabilization of
1390 CESA6 signal. 2 second acquisition intervals. 3-frame running average. Playback at 10x real time.
1391

Movie S4.

MLE Gaussian fits of tdTomato-KEULE clusters and EGFP-TUA5 labeled microtubules. Spinning
disk confocal images of co-expressed tdTomato-KEULE and EGFP-TUA5 labeled microtubules. White
circles indicate automated KEULE signal detects. The position of each cluster at the first frame of
stabilization was estimated at a sub-pixel level if MLE Gaussian fitting. 1 second acquisition intervals.
Playback at 20x real time.