Anti-biofilm activity of new low molecular weight compounds produced by
Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* SJ33 against *Klebsiella pneumoniae

Amrita Ray Mohapatra[1], Divya Lakshmanan[1] and Kadirvelu Jeevaratnam[2]*

Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,

School of Life Sciences

Pondicherry University,

R. V. Nagar, Kalapet,

Puducherry – 605014,

*Corresponding Author

Prof. K. Jeevaratnam

E-mail: armohapatra18389@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Community-acquired infections are partly attributed to biofilms formed by drug resistant bacteria signifying major health problems. Hence, there is a critical need for the development of new antibiotics with antibacterial activities. In this study, we investigated the biofilm inhibiting characteristics of antibacterial compounds C1 and C2 confirmed as (3-amino-5-hydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(1-hydroxyprop-2-yn-1-yl)-3,3a,4,5,6,7a-hexahydro-7H-indazol-7-one) and (1-(dimethylamino)-3-hydroxy-3-((2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)oxy)-1 (methylamino)butan-2-one) which were previously characterized and identified by our group. This compound exhibits antibacterial activity against Gram-negative bacteria including activity against clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pnemoniae* and *Escherichia coli*. Bactericidal activity against *K. pnemoniae* was revealed by scanning electron microscopic (SEM) images that showed cellular leakage by C1 and C2 which is probably the major cause of its antibacterial activity. These findings were supported by antibacterial assays of susceptible as well as resistant pathogens. Biofilm assays also proved anti-biofilm activity of C1 and C2 on biofilm inhibition as well as dispersion of mature biofilms using crystal violet assay and the results were confirmed by fluorescence and SEM observation. In conclusion, our results showed that C1 and C2 exert bactericidal action on target cells by membrane disruption. Biofilm inhibition and dispersion of preformed biofilms was also revealed by microscopic images, making it an interesting alternative for commercial antibiotics for the development of new antibacterial therapies. The bioactive compounds C1 and C2 not only inhibit *K. pnemoniae* growth but also eradicate the biofilm formation thus reducing the virulence of *K. pnemoniae* pathogen.

Keywords: C1 and C2; Gram-negative bacteria; Biofilm; Anti-biofilm; multi-drug resistance; Scanning electron microscopy

INTRODUCTION

The inappropriate and abandoned use of commercial antibiotics in medicine resulted in the occurrence of multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains, which have become a worldwide health concern. MDR strains are those pathogens that obtained resistant to one or more classes of antibiotics. MDR pathogens are limiting the effectiveness of many antibiotics and are involved with several nosocomial and community-acquired infections (Iseppi *et al.*, 2020).

Biofilm formation is considered as a major factor in development of infections and one of the resistance strategies of many pathogenic bacteria which makes them more difficult to treat than the planktonic bacteria (Zamani *et al.*, 2017). Biofilm is an intricate polymeric matrix of microbial communities composed of polysaccharides, proteins and other components in which cells bind together strongly and also enable microbes to adhere on to surfaces that can tolerate hostile conditions such as antimicrobial agents and host defenses. Therefore, biofilm formation is one of the indirect mechanism by which microorganisms attains resistant to antibiotics where they also transfer resistance genes within the biofilm micro-community (Famuyide *et al.*, 2019).

Many Gram-negative bacteria of genera such as *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas* and *Salmonella* could form biofilm that cause serious infections. Nearly, two-third of bacterial infections in humans and majority of microbial infections are caused by the biofilms (Famuyide *et al.*, 2019). The resistant nature of biofilm is a matter of immense concern for medicine and health care industry and many studies have been carried out to explore novel and effective anti-biofilm agents (Zamani *et al.*, 2017).

Gram-negative pathogens such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are among the leading causes of nosocomial infections throughout the world and have developed the resistance to antibiotics. *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* are the causes of several potentially fatal infections, including pneumonia, sepsis, wound, surgical site infections and meningitis. *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* pathogens have been reported to attain resistance to most of the generally used traditional antibiotics (Yarlagadda *et al.*, 2018). The presence of the outer membrane in Gram-negative bacteria offers further difficulty towards identification of novel antibacterial compounds and have abilities to develop new mechanisms of resistance (Choi, Britigan and Narayanasamy, 2019). The increase of antimicrobial resistance to antibiotics is a significant health problem, hence there is an urgent need to develop new antibacterial

compounds as alternative therapeutic agents that can block resistance to eliminate these resistant

strains and improve treatment of infections (Haroun and Al-Kayali, 2016).

Low-molecular-weight (LMW) antimicrobial compounds are known to be produced by most of

the lactic acid bacteria (LAB) strains which are generally considered as safe (Jeevaratnam *et al.*,

2015). Few *Lactobacillus spp.* produce antimicrobial compounds that not only inhibit bacterial

growth but also has inhibitory effect on biofilm formation by *K. pneumoniae* (Yudani *et al.*,

2019). While few other LMW antimicrobial compounds produced by *Lactobacillus* spp. have not

been characterized and analyzed extensively due to their less concentration and low yield.

Furthermore, only limited studies have been performed on antimicrobial compounds produced by

Lactobacillus spp. to evaluate their potential as natural therapeutic agents to overcome microbial

drug resistance in clinical infections (Yudani *et al.*, 2019).

Previously, we purified and identified the structures of two novel antibacterial compounds C1

and C2 (Figure 1) with antibacterial and anti-biofilm activity against susceptible *S. aureus*

(MSSA) and MRSA. Additionally, we also demonstrated that the LMW compound can be used

as antibacterial coating on urinary catheters, inhibiting bacterial colonization on medical devices

(Mohapatra et al., manuscript communicated). In the present work, we further studied the

antibacterial characteristics, including anti-biofilm activity of novel LMW against Gram-

negative pathogens i.e. *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli*. This study aimed to develop a strategy to

address the emergence of antibiotic resistance through bactericidal and anti-biofilm properties of

compounds for treating biofilm-associated infections as well as reduce bacterial pathogenesis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and growth conditions

Multi-resistant clinical isolates of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* were collected from Mahatma

Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute (MGMCRI), Pondicherry, India. The clinical

isolates were grown in Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB) (HiMedia, India). *K. pneumoniae* (MTCC

109), *K. pneumoniae* (MTCC 3384) and *E. coli* (MTCC 728) susceptible bacterial strains used in

this study were obtained from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC), Institute of Microbial

Technology, Chandigarh, India. All pathogenic strains were cultured in Mueller-Hinton broth

(MHB) and agar (MHA). The producer strain, *Lactobacillus plantarum* SJ33 was grown in Man

Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) broth and maintained in 50% glycerol at -80 °C.

Structure of LMW compounds

Purified antibacterial compounds obtained from reverse phase HPLC were structurally characterized by spectroscopic studies which involve 1D NMR and 2D NMR (COSY, NOESY, HSQC), Infrared (FT IR) spectroscopy and molecular masses of C1 and C2 were confirmed by HR ESI-MS analysis in our previous study (Mohapatra et al., manuscript communicated).

Antibacterial assay

Antibacterial activity of fractions obtained after purification was tested against both sensitive and drug resistant Gram-negative pathogens. Antibacterial efficacy of two purified compounds C1 and C2 were screened at a single concentration against bacterial strains and clinical isolates using agar well diffusion assay (Parente *et al.*, 1995). The bacterial cultures were grown overnight in broth media (1% v/v) inoculated in agar (1.2% MHA). The agar media inoculated with pathogens were poured into Petri plates and 6 mm diameter wells were bored into the plates. The Petri plates were incubated for overnight at 37°C and the diameters of the inhibition zone was measured.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations

The Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of compounds C1 and C2 were determined by broth micro-dilution method (Zgoda and Porter, 2001; Jiang *et al.*, 2013). Compounds were serially diluted to obtain different concentrations, dilutions were distributed into the wells of the 96 well microtitre plate and then 100 μL of bacteria (10^6 CFU/mL) was added to each well to attain the desired final concentrations in range 0.37 to 24 mM. The plate was incubated at 37ºC for 24 h and cell density was measured at 600 nm. Ciprofloxacin (Cip) with similar concentrations as for the antibacterial compounds was used as an antibiotic standard. The MIC value was evaluated as the lowest concentration at which the compounds totally inhibit the bacterial growth (Valgas *et al.*, 2007). All experiments were performed in triplicates in three independent experiments.

Mechanism of action

The overnight grown culture of *K. pneumonia* MTCC 109 and *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolate were treated with C1 and C2 compounds at MIC and incubated for 6 h at 37ºC to analyze the

morphological changes under SEM. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 x g for 5

mins, the cell pellet was washed twice with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and fixed with 2.5%

(v/v) glutaraldehyde. After fixation the cells were centrifuged (8,000 x g for 5 mins) and washed

with PBS, then the cells were treated with 1% osmium tetroxide for 1 h at room temperature, the

centrifugation and washing step was repeated again. Finally the cells were dehydrated through a

gradient series of ethanol (50%, 75%, 90%, 95% and 100%) which were dried to completely

remove the supernatant. The cells were lyophilized and coated to be examined under HR SEM

(FEI Quanta FEG 200, USA) (Kim *et al.*, 2009).

Biofilm assays

Congo red assay

Congo red agar (CRA) medium was prepared with brain heart infusion broth 37 g/L, sucrose

50 g/L, agar 10 g/L and Congo Red indicator 8 g/L supplemented with 5% sucrose and congo red

stain was sterilized (121°C for 15 minutes) separately from the other medium constituents. Then

it was added to the autoclaved brain heart infusion agar with sucrose at 55°C. CRA plates were

inoculated in streaks with test organisms and incubated at 37°C for 24 h aerobically (Mathur *et*

al., 2006; Hassan *et al.*, 2011). The experiment was performed independently in triplicates.

Biofilm formation

Biofilm formation of the bacterial pathogenic strains was quantified by crystal violet assay in

96-well microtitre plates. The diluted (1:100) overnight cultures of pathogenic strains

(~10^6 CFU/mL) were added to microtitre plates and kept for static incubation for 24 h at 37°C.

After incubation, plates were washed gently with PBS to remove the non-adhered cells, then

stained with 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet for 10 min and washed with PBS. The bound stain was

dissolved in 33% (v/v) acetic acid and measured using microplate reader at 590 nm (Versa max

microplate reader) to detect the density of biofilms formed (Tong *et al.*, 2014).

Biofilm inhibition by antibacterial compounds

The inhibitory effect of two bioactive compounds C1 and C2 were examined at sub-MIC (1.5

mM) on biofilm formed by strong biofilm formers. The disruption of biofims formed by

susceptible as well as resistant clinical isolates was evaluated quantitatively in a similar way by

crystal violet staining as mentioned above. Strong biofilm formers including *K. pneumoniae*

MTCC 109, *E. coli* MTCC 728 and clinical isolates of *K. pneumonia* and *E. coli* were used in

this study for analyzing the anti-biofilm activity of the antibacterial compounds C1 and C2. The

assay was carried out in triplicates in three different experiments.

Dispersion of established preformed biofilms

Biofilms were allowed to be formed for 24 h prior to addition of compounds C1 and C2.

Biofilm formation was achieved by transferring 100 μL of bacterial culture (prepared as

described above) into the wells of polystyrene microtitre plates in triplicates. The microtitre

plates were incubated for 24 h at 37ºC to allow cell attachment and initiate biofilm formation.

Following incubation, the plate was washed with sterile distilled water to remove non-adhered

cells and 100 μL of C1 and C2 solution at sub-MIC (3mM) was added to each well. Equal

volumes of sterile distilled water were added as negative control. After the treatment of

preformed biofilms, the plates were incubated for overnight. Following incubation, the biofilms

were assessed using the crystal violet assay.

Visualization of biofilms under Fluorescence microscope and SEM

The overnight cultures of biofilm forming *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 strain were diluted

(1:100) with MHB and added to 12-well plate with and without C1 and C2 at sub-MIC and the

plates were incubated for 24 h at 37ºC. The biofilms after incubation were viewed under

fluorescence microscope with Live/Dead staining (EtBr/AO) (Tong *et al.*, 2014). The disruptions

of preformed biofilms were observed after 24 h biofilm development and then addition of the

compounds.

The biofilms to be examined under SEM were prepared on coverslips, the coverslips were

incubated in 12-well plate with the samples untreated and treated with sub-MIC concentration in

a similar way for 24 h at 37ºC. After incubation the coverslips were washed with PBS, dried and

fixed with glutraldehyde (2.5%). The fixed coverslips were finally dehydrated with gradient

series of ethanol (50%, 75%, 90%, 95% and 100%) to visualize the formation and inhibition of

biofilm in *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 strain under HR SEM (Lakshmanan *et al.*, 2019).

RESULTS

Structure of antibacterial compounds

The purified LMW compounds produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* SJ33 strain were purified by RP HPLC and were structurally identified (Figure 1A and B) by NMR, IR and HR ESI-MS data in our previous study (Mohapatra et.al. manuscript communicated). The molecular structure of the bioactive compound C1 was previously elucidated as **3-amino-5-hydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(1-hydroxyprop-2-yn-1-yl)-3,3a,4,5,6,7a-hexahydro-7H-indazol-7-one** and the molecular formula was $C_{11}H_{15}N_3O_4$. The structure of antibacterial compound C2 was confirmed as **1-(dimethylamino)-3-hydroxy-3-((2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)oxy)-1 (methylamino)butan-2-one** and the molecular formula of C2 was $C_{10}H_{23}N_2O_4$ (Figure 1) reported in our earlier study (Mohapatra et al., manuscript communicated).

Antibacterial assay

The activity of compounds produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* SJ33 were determined against susceptible as well as resistant Gram-negative bacteria and showed good antibacterial activity against *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* (Table 1). Table 1 clearly showed C2 was more effective against susceptible *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* strains whereas C1 revealed better antibacterial activity against clinical isolates of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* at equal concentration. The supplementary figure also showed potent activity of C1 against resistant *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* clinical isolate (Figure S1).

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration

The inhibitory potential of compounds C1 and C2 were determined against both susceptible and resistant Gram-negative pathogens. C1 and C2 were found to be effective against *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 and clinical isolate of *K. pneumoniae* that showed more than 90% of inhibition at 12 mM (Figure 2). The susceptible pathogenic strains were found to be more sensitive towards C2 while C1 was effective against resistant bacteria isolates at MIC (Figure 2). Ciprofloxacin, the standard antibiotic was also effective at lower concentrations as compared to the compounds C1 and C2.

Mechanism of action

The activity of C1 and C2 on *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 cells were viewed under SEM and deformation of cell morphology was clearly visible (Figure 3B and 3C) after the treatment of antibacterial compounds at MIC (12 mM). After incubation with C1 and C2 for 6 h the

membrane integrity was lost, membrane disruption and damage was evidently visible on the

surface of the target pathogen (Figures 3B and 3C). Figure 3B and 3C clearly showed the

leakage of inner contents in compound treated *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 cells when compared

to the untreated cells in Figures 3A.

Biofilm assays

Congo red assay

Congo red agar (CRA) method showed streaked bacterial pathogens that displayed black

colonies on Congo red agar plates as distinctive biofilm producing strains but no dry crystalline

morphology was observed. However, some bacterial strains such as *P. aeruginosa* MTCC 2295

showed red or pink colonies (Figure S2). Only few isolates displayed red (pink or orange)

colonies after incubation at 37ºC for 24 to 48 h.

Biofilm inhibition by LMW compounds

The anti-biofilm activity of C1 and C2 was explored against biofilm forming Gram-

negative pathogens. The activity of purified compounds C1 and C2 at sub-MIC on biofilm

formation and on established mature biofilms was quantified by crystal violet assay. The results

showed biofilm inhibition at 3 mM and biofilm dispersion at 6 mM of *K. pneumoniae* MTCC

109 and *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolate. The percentage of biofilm inhibition by C1 was found to

be in a range of 20 to 45% while C2 reduced the biofilm formation by 30 to 60% at 3 mM

(Figure 4). Notable, biofilm inhibition and biofilm dispersion was found against susceptible as

well as clinical isolate of *K. pneumoniae* specifically, against *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 strain

i.e. nearly 60%, whereas the lowest percentage of biofilm inhibition and dispersion was seen

against *E. coli* clinical isolate (Figure 4A and 4B). The quantitative results of crystal violet

staining clearly showed that C2 have better biofilm inhibition potential than C1, while C1

efficiently dispersed preformed mature biofilms as compared to C2 (Figure 4B).

Visualization of biofilms under Fluorescence microscope and SEM

The results of crystal violet assay were further confirmed by fluorescence and SEM images

which demonstrated both inhibition as well as dispersion of preformed biofilms of

K. pneumoniae MTCC 109 strain (Figure 5). Biofilms formed by *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolate

also showed inhibition and dispersion by compounds C1 and C2 (Figure 5). SEM images also

clearly demonstrated inhibition of biofilms formed by *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 strain (Figure

6) which confirmed the anti-biofilm activity of both compounds against the biofilm forming

Gram-negative pathogen.

DISCUSSION

Gram-negative bacteria are among the pathogens that cause hospital-acquired and clinical

infections, which have emerged as a major threat to healthcare sector. Additionally,

K. pneumoniae causes nosocomial infections and has also appeared as one of the means of

community-acquired infections. Antimicrobial agents that are effective against multi-drug

resistant pathogens are limited (Haroun and Al-Kayali, 2016). Thus, it is important to search and

develop novel compounds that potentiate activity of antibiotics on these drug-resistant

pathogens. Therefore, combating multi-drug resistance which selectively interferes with

mechanism that regulate virulence are difficult to treat (Lesic *et al.*, 2007) and urgently require

alternative mechanistic approach that can combat antibiotic resistance to the improve

chemotherapeutic methods.

The current study showed antibacterial activity of two recently identified low molecular weight

compounds (Mohapatra et al., manuscript communicated) against both susceptible as well as

drug resistant Gram-negative bacteria. However, there were various antimicrobial compounds

produced by different LAB strains which were previously reported i.e. Reuterin produced by

LAB strains such as *Lactobacillus brevis*, *Lactobacillus buchneri*, *Lactobacillus collinoides*,

Lactobacillus coryniformis and was known as well characterized low molecular antimicrobial

compound till date (Talarico *et al.*, 1988; Talarico and Dobrogosz, 1989; Vollenweider *et al.*,

2003; Cleusix *et al.*, 2007; Jeevaratnam *et al.*, 2015). The compounds characterized in this study

demonstrated antibacterial effect against Gram-negative bacterial strains. Similar activities were

previously reported for other antimicrobial substances isolated from different LAB sources that

showed activity against Gram-negative pathogens (Bernet-Camard *et al.*, 1997; Yang *et al.*,

1997; Tenea *et al.*, 2019). Another study demonstrated cell-free supernatant of different

Lactobacillus and *Bifidobacterium* spp. that were able to reduce the growth of drug resistant *E.*

coli isolates when examined using well diffusion method (Abdelhamid, Esaam and Hazaa,

2018). In contrast to our results previous studies showed novel compound, 135C was mostly

active against Gram-positive bacteria and observed poor activity against Gram-negative bacteria.

The lack of activity against Gram-negative bacteria may be due to several mechanisms including

outer membrane impermeability (Man *et al.*, 2018). In addition, anti-parasitic agent i.e. auranofin

acquires significant antibacterial activity against important Gram-positive pathogens, including

MRSA but lacks antibacterial efficacy against Gram-negative bacteria as indicated by an earlier

study (Thangamani, Mohammad and Abushahba, 2016).

The effect of compounds C1 and C2 on the pathogenic strains showed that the inhibitory

concentration varies depending on different strains and means of determination. Comparison of

MIC between C1 and C2 as well as among different bacterial pathogens revealed that the

compounds were effective against both sensitive as well as drug resistant *K. pneumoniae* which

was also seen in case of the standard antibiotic used in this study. Previous studies also suggested

higher MIC values of antibacterial metabolites produced by *Lactobacillus* spp., specifically MIC

of low molecular antimicrobial compounds produced by different LAB strains can be as high as

200-500 mM and the inhibitory concentration ranges from 8 to 500 mM depending on the target

organism (Schwenninger *et al.*, 2008). Reuterin produced by *L. reuteri*, a low molecular weight

antimicrobial compound also showed higher active concentrations in a range of 1.4 M to 0.030

M (Spinler *et al.*, 2008). Antimicrobial activities of biosurfactancts derived from *Lactobacillus*

spp. against *E.coli* and drug-resistant *K. pneumoniae* demonstrated inhibitory concentration

ranging between 12.5 mg/mL to 50 mg/mL (Sambanthamoorthy *et al.*, 2014) which also

suggested higher MIC values. The cell free extract (CFS) of *L. plantarum* was found to inhibit

diverse pathogens at concentrations ranging higher than 1750 µg/mL and 3350 µg/mL (Lin and

Pan, 2019). These reports supported our present study which also showed similar results.

Besides, some earlier studies also showed MIC of plant extracts against multi-drug resistant *S.*

aureus and *K. pneumoniae* strains vary from 6.25 to 50 mg/mL and 12.5 to 100 mg/mL

respectively, which indicate moderate antibacterial activity and showed much higher MIC values

than our results. The antibacterial action of C1 and C2 was investigated to analyze the

bactericidal effects of the compounds on *K. pneumoniae* cells. The results of SEM clearly

revealed membrane disruption and leakage of cellular components which confirmed the

bactericidal nature of both compounds against *K. pneumoniae*. Previous study also demonstrated

microbicidal activity of biosurfactants isolated from *Lactobacillus* spp. that targeted bacterial cell

membrane as revealed by electron microscopic analysis (Sambanthamoorthy *et al.*, 2014).

Antibacterial compounds from different bacterial strains, specifically from LAB can damage the

target cells by various mechanisms but the exact mechanism for bactericidal activity is still

unknown (Liu *et al.*, 2017; Man *et al.*, 2018).

Biofilm related infections are among major concerns than the infections caused by their

planktonic counter parts. Here, we evaluated biofilm forming ability of Gram-negative pathogens

and clinical isolate of *K. pneumoniae.* Recent studies suggested that biofilm formation may be an

important virulence factor of *K. pneumoniae* pathogen and have the ability to form strong

biofilms on surfaces (Derakhshan, Navidinia and Ahmadi, 2018). Congo red assay used for

screening biofilm forming strains was simple to perform and the results were commonly based

on color of colonies produced on CRA plates, which ranges from red (or pink) for non-biofilm

formers to black for biofilm formers (Kaiser *et al.*, 2013). This study has also examined the

antibiofilm activity of reported compounds C1 and C2 against Gram-negative pathogens for

biofilm inhibition as well as dispersion of 24 h old biofilms by quantitative crystal violet assay.

Both the antibacterial compounds effectively inhibit and disperse *K. pneumoniae* biofilms at sub-

MIC which was further confirmed using fluorescent microscopy and SEM images. These results

suggested that C1 and C2 retained its anti-biofilm activity even at lower concentrations than

MIC. Previous study of ASK2, a bioactive compound obtained from *Streptomyces* sp. prevented

K. pneumoniae biofilm formation at sub-MIC, signifying that ASK2 does not target virulence

gene expression (Lalitha, Raman and Rathore, 2017). Biosurfactants obtained from *Lactobacillus*

spp. showed anti-biofilm property and also disrupted preformed biofilms of clinically significant

pathogens as reported earlier (Sambanthamoorthy *et al.*, 2014). Previously, cell free preparations

of *L. plantarum*, *L. acidophilus*, *L. helveticus* and various other LAB strains also recorded anti-

biofilm activity and reduction ability against biofilms of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* (Allam,

2017; Yudani *et al.*, 2019). On the other hand, the anti-biofilm activity of antibiotics ranged from

512 to 5120 mg/L, whereas some antibiotics showed anti-biofilm activity at 80 mg/L. These

previous reports suggested that few antibiotics restrained biofilm formation against pathogenic

strains at high range which clearly demonstrated our studied compounds to be more efficient

than few conventional antibiotics. The CFS of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* also showed better

antibacterial and anti-biofilm activities against *K. pneumoniae* pathogen than other Gram-

negative bacteria as suggested by the previous study (Mohamed A El-Mokhtar *et al.*, 2020).

Moreover, only few bioactive compounds produced by LAB strains demonstrated anti-biofilm

activity as reported earlier. Most of the studies suggested combination of antibiotics at sub-

minimal concentration to have synergistic effect on biofilms (Rachid *et al.*, 2000). However,

combination therapies of antibiotics and antimicrobial metabolites can help in reducing the high

dosage of antibiotics against drug resistant pathogens that also disrupt the preformed biofilms,

making the treatment vastly effective and efficient.

To the best of our knowledge, this study for the first time characterized and evaluated

antibacterial and anti-biofilm activities of previously identified new compounds by our group

(Mohapatra et al., manuscript communicated) against susceptible as well as clinical isolates of

Gram-negative pathogens.

CONCLUSION

The results showed that new compounds produced by SJ33 strain reported in our previous

study possesses antibacterial and anti-biofilm potential against Gram-negative bacteria such as

K. pneumonia and *E. coli*, which are prominent biofilm formers. The leakage of cellular contents

and membrane disruption indicated bactericidal efficacy of compounds against *K. pneumoniae*.

In addition, the compounds C1 and C2 dispersed established mature biofilms of *K. pneumoniae*

MTCC109 as well as clinical isolate of *K. pneumoniae* at sub-MIC. Recently, it has been

highlighted that antibacterial agents are better candidates for treatment of chronic infections

caused by biofilm forming pathogens. In conclusion, antibacterial compounds C1 and C2 have

demonstrated significant anti-biofilm activity against susceptible as well as drug resistant

pathogens and can also be used for the development of novel anti-infective therapeutics in future.

Further detailed mechanistic studies are required on these reported low molecular weight

compounds to fully understand their nature and beneficial potential in various clinical

applications.

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge DST-FIST and UGC-SAP for the infra-structure facilities through special

grants to the Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Pondicherry University, India.

We are also thankful to IIT Madras, Chennai, India for SEM analysis. Authors are grateful to

University Grant Commission (UGC), New Delhi for the financial support to ARM.

References

Abdelhamid, A. G., Esaam, A. and Hazaa, M. M. (2018) 'Cell free preparations of probiotics exerted antibacterial and antibiofilm activities against multidrug resistant E . coli', *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, 26(5), pp. 603–607. doi: 10.1016/j.jsps.2018.03.004.

Allam, N. G. (2017) 'Correlation between Biofilm Production and Bacterial Urinary Tract Infections: New Therapeutic Approach', 48, pp. 39–48.

Bernet-Camard, M. F. *et al.* (1997) 'The human Lactobacillus acidophilus strain LA1 secretes a nonbacteriocin antibacterial subtance(s) active in vitro and in vivo', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 63(7), pp. 2747–2753.

Choi, S. R., Britigan, B. E. and Narayanasamy, P. (2019) 'Dual Inhibition of Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa Iron Metabolism Using Gallium Porphyrin and Gallium Nitrate', *ACS Infectious Diseases*, 5(9), pp. 1559–1569. doi: 10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00100.

Cleusix, V. *et al.* (2007) 'Inhibitory activity spectrum of reuterin produced by Lactobacillus reuteri against intestinal bacteria', *BMC Microbiology*, 7, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-7-101.

Derakhshan, S., Navidinia, M. and Ahmadi, A. (2018) 'Antibacterial Activity of Dill (Anethum graveolens) Essential Oil and Antibiofilm Activity of Cumin (Cuminum cyminum) Alcoholic Extract', *Infection, Epidemiology and Microbiology*, 3(4), pp. 122–126. doi: 10.18869/modares.iem.3.4.122.

Famuyide, I. M. *et al.* (2019) 'Antibacterial and antibiofilm activity of acetone leaf extracts of nine under-investigated south African Eugenia and Syzygium (Myrtaceae) species and their selectivity indices', *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 19(141), pp. 1–13.

Haroun, M. F. and Al-Kayali, R. S. (2016) 'Synergistic effect of Thymbra spicata L. Extracts with antibiotics against multidrug-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Klebsiella pneumoniae strains', *Iranian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences*, 19(11), pp. 1193–1200. doi: 10.22038/ijbms.2016.7819.

Hassan, A. *et al.* (2011) 'Evaluation of different detection methods of biofilm formation in the clinical isolates', *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 15(4), pp. 305–311. doi: 10.1590/S1413-86702011000400002.

Iseppi, R. *et al.* (2020) 'In Vitro Activity of Essential Oils Against Planktonic Bacteria Involved

in Human Nosocomial Infections', *Antibiotics*, 9(272), pp. 1–12.

Jeevaratnam, K. *et al.* (2015) 'Characterization of an Antibacterial Compound, 2-Hydroxyl Indole-3-Propanamide, Produced by Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Fermented Batter', *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 177(1), pp. 137–147. doi: 10.1007/s12010-015-1733-9.

Jiang, L. *et al.* (2013) 'Evaluation of diffusion and dilution methods to determine the antimicrobial activity of water-soluble chitosan derivatives', *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 114(4), pp. 956–963.

Kaiser, T. D. L. *et al.* (2013) 'Modification of the Congo red agar method to detect biofilm production by Staphylococcus epidermidis', *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, 75(3), pp. 235–239. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.11.014.

Kim *et al.* (2009) 'Characterization of antimicrobial substance produced by lactobacillus paraplantarum KNUC25 isolated from kimchi', *Korean Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 37(1), pp. 24–32.

Lakshmanan, D. *et al.* (2019) 'A compound isolated from Alpinia officinarum Hance. inhibits swarming motility of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and down regulates virulence genes', *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. doi: 10.1111/jam.14563.

Lalitha, C., Raman, T. and Rathore, S. S. (2017) 'ASK2 Bioactive Compound Inhibits MDR Klebsiella pneumoniae by Antibiofilm Activity, Modulating Macrophage Cytokines and Opsonophagocytosis', *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 7(August), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00346.

Lesic, B. *et al.* (2007) 'Inhibitors of pathogen intercellular signals as selective anti-infective compounds', *PLoS Pathogens*, 3(9), pp. 1229–1239. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0030126.

Lin, T. H. and Pan, T. M. (2019) 'Characterization of an antimicrobial substance produced by Lactobacillus plantarum NTU 102', *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection*, 52(3), pp. 409–417. doi: 10.1016/j.jmii.2017.08.003.

Liu, J. *et al.* (2017) 'A novel antimicrobial substance produced by Lactobacillus rhamnous LS8', *Food Control*, 73(February 2017), pp. 754–760. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.09.028.

Man, N. Y. T. *et al.* (2018) 'Spectrum of antibacterial activity and mode of action of a novel tris-stilbene bacteriostatic compound', *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-25080-w.

Mathur, T. *et al.* (2006) 'Detection of biofilm formation among the clinical isolates of staphylococci: An evaluation of three different screening methods', *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 24(1), pp. 25–29. doi: 10.4103/0255-0857.19890.

Mohamed A El-Mokhtar *et al.* (2020) 'Antagonistic Activities of Cell-Free Supernatants of Lactobacilli Against Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa', *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 13, pp. 543–552.

Parente, E. *et al.* (1995) 'A comparision of methods for the measurement of bacteriocin activity', *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 22, pp. 95–108.

Rachid, S. *et al.* (2000) 'Effect of subinhibitory antibiotic concentrations on polysaccharide intercellular adhesin expression in biofilm-forming Staphylococcus epidermidis', *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 44(12), pp. 3357–3363. doi: 10.1128/AAC.44.12.3357-3363.2000.

Sambanthamoorthy, K. *et al.* (2014) 'Antimicrobial and antibiofilm potential of biosurfactants isolated from lactobacilli against multi-drug-resistant pathogens', *BMC Microbiology*, 14(197), pp. 1–9.

Schwenninger, S. M. *et al.* (2008) 'Characterization of low-molecular-weight antiyeast metabolites produced by a food-protective Lactobacillus-Propionibacterium coculture', *Journal of Food Protection*, 71(12), pp. 2481–2487. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X-71.12.2481.

Spinler, J. K. *et al.* (2008) 'Human-derived probiotic Lactobacillus reuteri demonstrate antimicrobial activities targeting diverse enteric bacterial pathogens', *Anaerobe*, 14(3), pp. 166–171. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2008.02.001.

Talarico, T. L. *et al.* (1988) 'Production and isolation of reuterin, a growth inhibitor produced by Lactobacillus reuteri', *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 32(12), pp. 1854–1858. doi: 10.1128/AAC.32.12.1854.

Talarico, T. L. and Dobrogosz, W. J. (1989) 'Chemical characterization of an antimicrobial substance produced by Lactobacillus reuteri', *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 33(5), pp. 674–679. doi: 10.1128/AAC.33.5.674.

Tenea, G. N. *et al.* (2019) 'Antimicrobial compounds produced by Weissella confusa Cys2-2 strain inhibit Gram-negative bacteria growth.', *CyTA - Journal of Food*, 17(1), pp. 105–111. doi: 10.1080/19476337.2018.1561520.

Thangamani, S., Mohammad, H. and Abushahba, M. F. N. (2016) 'Antibacterial activity and mechanism of action of auranofin against multi-drug resistant bacterial pathogens', *Nature*

Publishing Group, (March), pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1038/srep22571.

Tong, Z. *et al.* (2014) 'An in vitro study on the effect of free amino acids alone or in combination

with nisin on biofilms as well as on planktonic bacteria of Streptococcus mutans', *PLoS ONE*,

9(6), pp. 3–10. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099513.

Valgas, C. *et al.* (2007) 'Screening methods to determine antibacterial activity of natural

products', *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 38(2), pp. 369–380.

Vollenweider, S. *et al.* (2003) 'Purification and structural characterization of 3-

hydroxypropionaldehyde and its derivatives', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,

51(11), pp. 3287–3293. doi: 10.1021/jf021086d.

Yang, Z. *et al.* (1997) 'Antimicrobial Activity of 2-Pyrrolidone-5-Carboxylic Produced by Lactic

Acid Bacteria Acid', *Journal of Food Protection*, 60(7), pp. 786–790.

Yarlagadda, V. *et al.* (2018) 'Vancomycin Analogue Restores Meropenem Activity against

NDM-1 Gram-Negative Pathogens', *ACS Infectious Diseases*, 4, pp. 1093–1101. doi:

10.1021/acsinfecdis.8b00011.

Yudani, T. *et al.* (2019) 'Anti-Biofilm Activity of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Kefir

Against Multidrug-Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae', *Journal of Pure and Applied*

Microbiology, 13(2), pp. 983–992. doi: 10.22207/JPAM.13.2.35.

Zamani, H. *et al.* (2017) 'Antibiofilm potential of Lactobacillus plantarum spp. cell free

supernatant (CFS) against multidrug resistant bacterial pathogens', *Pharmaceutical and*

Biomedical Research, 3(2), pp. 39–44. doi: 10.29252/pbr.3.2.39.

Zgoda, J. R. and Porter, J. R. (2001) 'A convenient microdilution method for screening natural

products against bacteria and fungi', *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 39(3), pp. 221–225.

Table

Table 1. Inhibitory Spectrum of antibacterial compounds C1 and C2 against both susceptible and multi-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. Inhibition zone in millimetres (mm) inclusive of well diameter 6 mm; values are shown in mean ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicates.

Figures

Figure 1. Analytical reverse phase HPLC profile monitored at 254 nm and structure of compounds, (A) C1 and (B) C2.C1.

Figure 2. Minimum inhibitory concentration of compounds C1 and C2 against *K. pneumoniae* **MTCC 109 and drug-resistant** *K. pneumoniae***.**

Figure 3. Bactericidal action of compounds C1 and C2 against *K. pneumoniae* **MTCC 109 revealed by SEM analysis.** (A) Untreated cells. Cells treated with (B) C1 and (C) C2.

Figure 4. Antibiofilm activity of compounds C1 and C2 against Gram-negative pathogens using crystal violet assay. (A) Biofilm inhibition and (B) dispersion of preformed biofilms.

Figure 5. Antibiofilm efficacy of compounds C1 and C2 against *K. pneumoniae* **MTCC 109 and drug-resistant** *K. pneumoniae* **viewed under Fluorescence microscope.** Inhibition and dispersion of susceptible and resistant *K. pneumoniae* biofilms and preformed 24 h old biofilms grown either in absence (control) or presence (treated) of C1 and C2 at sub-MIC.

Figure 6. Microscopic analysis of biofilm inhibition against *K. pneumoniae* **MTCC 109 strain by compounds C1 and C2.** SEM images showed (A) biofilm formation and (B) C1 and (C) C2 treated *K. pneumoniae* showed reduction or disruption of biofilms.

FIGURES

Fig 1 Structure of LMW compounds (a) **C1** predicted as **3-amino-5-hydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(1-hydroxyprop-2-yn-1-yl)-3,3a,4,5,6,7a-hexahydro-7H-indazol-7-one** and (b) **C2** identified as **1-(dimethylamino)-3-hydroxy-3-((2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)oxy)-1 (methylamino)butan-2-one.**

Fig 2 Mechanistic action of compounds against *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 demonstrated by SEM analysis (a) Untreated cells. Cells treated with (b) C1 and (c) C2 at 12 mM.

Fig 3 Antibiofilm activity of C1 and C2 against *K·pneumoniae* using crystal violet assay

(a) Biofilm inhibition and (b) dispersion of preformed biofilms·

Fig 4 Antibiofilm efficacy of compounds against *K‧ pneumoniae* **MTCC 109 and** *K‧ pneumoniae* **clinical isolate viewed under fluorescence microscope** Inhibition and dispersion of susceptible and clinical isolate of *K‧ pneumoniae* biofilms as well as preformed biofilms grown in absence (control) and presence (treated) of C1 and C2 at sub‑MIC‧

Fig 5 Microscopic observation of biofilm inhibition against *K· pneumoniae* **MTCC 109**

strain by compounds· SEM images showed *(*a*)* biofilm formation and *(*b*)* C1 and *(*c*)*

C2 treated *K· pneumoniae* showed reduction or disruption of biofilms·